

ON AFFINELY 3-REGULAR MAPS AND TRAPEZOIDS

FLORIAN FRICK AND MICHAEL HARRISON

ABSTRACT. We show that any embedding $\mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{2d+\rho(d)-1}$ inscribes a trapezoid or maps three points to a line, where $\rho(d)$ denotes the Radon–Hurwitz function. This strengthens earlier results on the nonexistence of affinely 3-regular maps for infinitely many dimensions d by further constraining four coplanar points to be the vertices of a trapezoid.

A continuous map $f: \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ is called (*affinely*) $(k-1)$ -regular if for any k pairwise disjoint $x_1, \dots, x_k \in \mathbb{R}^d$ the points $f(x_1), \dots, f(x_k)$ are affinely independent; that is, they do not lie in any affine $(k-2)$ -dimensional plane. It is easy to see that there are 1-regular maps (embeddings) $\mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^d$ and 2-regular maps $\mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{d+1}$, and that these target dimensions are optimal. For $k \geq 4$ the problem of determining the smallest dimension $n = n(d, k-1)$ such that \mathbb{R}^d admits an affinely $(k-1)$ -regular map to \mathbb{R}^n is notoriously intricate. Classical bounds give $(d+1)\lfloor \frac{k}{2} \rfloor - 1 \leq n(d, k-1) \leq (d+1)k - 1$; see Boltyansky, Ryshkov and Saskin [4], Bogatyı [3], and Handel [7]. For improved upper bounds see Buczyński, Januszkiewicz, Jelisiejew, and Michałek [5]. Chisholm [6] showed that $n(d, k-1) \geq d(k - \alpha(k)) + \alpha(k) - 1$ for d a power of two, where $\alpha(k)$ denotes the number of ones in the binary expansion of k . The same bound for general d appears in a paper of Blagojević, Lück, and Ziegler [2], but it was later found that their proof relies on an incorrect result in the literature.

Recent work by Blagojević, Cohen, Crabb, Lück, and Ziegler [1] aims to correct earlier mistakes in the literature about the mod 2 equivariant cohomology of the configuration space $F_k(\mathbb{R}^d)$ of k pairwise distinct points in \mathbb{R}^d . Their extensive treatment recovers lower bounds for $n(d, k-1)$ that for general d are weaker than the previously claimed bounds and depend on the binary expansion of both d and k . In particular, they show $n(2^t + \ell, 3) \geq 3 \cdot 2^t + \ell$ for $0 \leq \ell < 2^t$, see [1, Theorem 6.16]. That is, any embedding $\mathbb{R}^{2^t+\ell} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{3 \cdot 2^t + \ell - 1}$ maps four pairwise distinct points to a common 2-dimensional plane.

Here we show that certain special cases of these recent lower bounds can be proved in a simple fashion, which seems of interest due to the length and technical sophistication of the proof in [1]. Our argument not only reproduces the lower bounds for affinely 3-regular maps for infinitely many dimensions d , but it allows for imposing further constraints; namely, that any such embedding inscribes a trapezoid (that is, maps four pairwise distinct points to the vertices of a trapezoid). In particular, the four coplanar points are in convex position and also satisfy one more constraint to force a trapezoid instead of a general convex quadrilateral. We hope that our short proof is of

Date: September 13, 2021.

FF was supported by NSF grant DMS 1855591, NSF CAREER grant DMS 2042428, and a Sloan Research Fellowship. MH was supported by Mathematisches Forschungsinstitut Oberwolfach with an Oberwolfach Leibniz Fellowship, and portions of this work were completed while MH was in residence and supported by the Institute for Advanced Study.

independent use: Up to homotopy there is no difference between configurations of two distinct points in S^d and two antipodal points in S^d ; roughly speaking, we address the obstacle of $F_2(S^d) \times F_2(S^d)$ collapsing to a space of half the dimension by also incorporating nonsingular bilinear maps to force points into nonantipodal position.

Theorem 1. *Let $d \geq 1$ be an integer and let $n \leq 2d + \rho(d) - 1$. Then any embedding $f: \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ inscribes a trapezoid or maps three distinct points to a line. In particular, $n(d, 3) \geq 2d + \rho(d)$.*

Here $\rho(d)$ denotes the Radon–Hurwitz function, that is, the largest integer n such that there is a nonsingular bilinear map $\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^d$. A bilinear map $B: \mathbb{R}^m \times \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^d$ is *nonsingular* if $B(x, y) = 0$ implies $x = 0$ or $y = 0$. Let $d = a \cdot 2^b$ with a odd and $b = k + 4\ell$ with $k \in \{0, 1, 2, 3\}$. Then $\rho(d) = 2^k + 8\ell$. So in particular, Theorem 1 implies:

Corollary 2. *Any embedding $\mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^5$, $\mathbb{R}^4 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{11}$, and $\mathbb{R}^8 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{23}$ inscribes a trapezoid or maps three points to a common line.*

Since it is known [5] that $n(2, 3) = 6$, $n(4, 3) = 12$, and $n(8, 3) = 24$, there are maps $\mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^6$, $\mathbb{R}^4 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{12}$, and $\mathbb{R}^8 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{24}$ that do not map any four pairwise distinct points to coplanar points. Corollary 2 shows that reducing the dimension of the codomain by one not only forces four coplanar points, but in fact forces an inscribed trapezoid.

In the same sense, Theorem 1 strengthens the nonexistence results for affinely 3-regular maps for infinitely many dimensions d . Indeed, if $d = 2^t - 2 = 2^{t-1} + (2^{t-1} - 2)$, then $\rho(d) = 2$, so $2d + \rho(d) = 2^{t+1} - 2$, which coincides with $3 \cdot 2^{t-1} + 2^{t-1} - 2$, the bound from [1]. Similarly, Theorem 1 is a strengthening of the lower bounds for 3-regular maps for $d = 2^t - 4$ (when $\rho(d) = 4$) and for $d = 2^t - 8$ (when $\rho(d) = 8$). In general, the bounds of Theorem 1 are significantly worse than previously established bounds for the nonexistence of 3-regular maps. We do not know if, within these gaps, there exist dimensions for which 3-regularity fails but for which an inscribed trapezoid need not exist.

Remark 3. To avoid confusion, we emphasize that much of the literature on k -regularity is written in terms of *linear*, not affine, k -regularity. A map $f: \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ is linearly k -regular if any k pairwise distinct points are mapped to linearly independent points. However, the relationship between the two notions is simple:

Lemma 4. *There is a linearly k -regular map $\mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ if and only if there is an affinely $(k - 1)$ -regular map $\mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{n-1}$.*

Proof. If $f: \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{n-1}$ is affinely $(k - 1)$ -regular, then $\mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n, x \mapsto (f(x), 1)$ is linearly k -regular. Conversely, given a linearly k -regular map $f: \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$, the restriction of f to the compact d -dimensional unit ball $B^d \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ is again linearly k -regular and by compactness there is an affine hyperplane $H \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ that does not intersect the image of $f|_{B^d}$. By symmetry we may assume that H is given by $x_n = 0$. Identify \mathbb{R}^{n-1} with the affine hyperplane $x_n = 1$. Define $g: B^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{n-1}$ by letting $g(x)$ be the intersection of the line spanned by $f(x)$ with the hyperplane $x_n = 1$. Then g is affinely $(k - 1)$ -regular, and restricting g to the open d -dimensional unit ball gives an affinely $(k - 1)$ -regular map $\mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{n-1}$. \square

Fix the antipodal $\mathbb{Z}/2$ -action on the n -sphere S^n , that is, the generator of $\mathbb{Z}/2$ acts on S^n by $x \mapsto -x$. This defines a natural action of $(\mathbb{Z}/2)^2$ on $S^n \times S^m$, where the first copy of $\mathbb{Z}/2$ acts non-trivially on S^n and trivially on S^m , and the second copy of $\mathbb{Z}/2$ acts non-trivially only on S^m . Define a $(\mathbb{Z}/2)^2$ -action on \mathbb{R}^{n+m} by letting both standard generators act by $x \mapsto -x$. A map $S^n \times S^m \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{n+m}$ that commutes with these actions is a $(\mathbb{Z}/2)^2$ -map.

Lemma 5 (Hopf [8]). *Let $m \geq 1$ and $n \geq 1$ be integers that do not share a one in any digit of their binary expansions. Then any $(\mathbb{Z}/2)^2$ -map $S^n \times S^m \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{n+m}$ has a zero.*

Proof of Theorem 1. For $n = 2d + \rho(d) - 1$ let $f: \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ be an embedding. Write $p = \rho(d)$. Let $X = (0, 1) \times F_2(\mathbb{R}^d)$, and let $B: \mathbb{R}^p \times \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^d$ be a nonsingular bilinear map.

Define $\Phi: F_2(X) \times S^{p-1} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ by

$$\begin{aligned} & \Phi((t_1, x_1, y_1), (t_2, x_2, y_2), z) \\ &= t_1[f(x_1 + y_1 + B(z, x_1 - y_1)) - f(x_1 + y_1 - B(z, x_1 - y_1))] \\ & - t_2[f(x_2 + y_2 + B(z, x_2 - y_2)) - f(x_2 + y_2 - B(z, x_2 - y_2))]. \end{aligned}$$

The map Φ is $(\mathbb{Z}/2)^2$ -equivariant, where both standard generators act by $x \mapsto -x$ on the codomain \mathbb{R}^n , the first generator acts by swapping (t_1, x_1, y_1) and (t_2, x_2, y_2) on $F_2(X)$, and the second generator acts by $z \mapsto -z$ on S^{p-1} . Since S^{2d} embeds into the $(2d + 1)$ -dimensional manifold X , we get a $\mathbb{Z}/2$ -equivariant map $F_2(S^{2d}) \rightarrow F_2(X)$. In particular, there is a $\mathbb{Z}/2$ -equivariant map $S^{2d} \rightarrow F_2(X)$.

Now $2d$ and $p - 1$ do not share any common ones in their binary expansion. Indeed, if $d = a \cdot 2^b$ with a odd and $b = k + 4\ell$ with $k \in \{0, 1, 2, 3\}$, then $p = 2^k + 8\ell = 2^{b-4\ell} + 8\ell < 2^{b+1}$. The last b digits in the binary expansion of $2d$ (corresponding to $2^b, 2^{b-1}, \dots, 2^0$) are zero, and only those digits may be non-zero for $p - 1$. Thus by Lemma 5, the map Φ has a zero: $\Phi((t_1, x_1, y_1), (t_2, x_2, y_2), z) = 0$. This means that the points $u_1 = f(x_1 + y_1 + B(z, x_1 - y_1))$, $v_1 = f(x_1 + y_1 - B(z, x_1 - y_1))$, $u_2 = f(x_2 + y_2 + B(z, x_2 - y_2))$, and $v_2 = f(x_2 + y_2 - B(z, x_2 - y_2))$ satisfy the equation $t_1(u_1 - v_1) = t_2(u_2 - v_2)$. Equivalently,

$$\frac{t_1}{t_1 + t_2}u_1 + \frac{t_2}{t_1 + t_2}v_2 = \frac{t_1}{t_1 + t_2}v_1 + \frac{t_2}{t_1 + t_2}u_2. \quad (1)$$

That is, the diagonal from u_1 to v_2 and the diagonal from v_1 to u_2 intersect, and the point of intersection splits both diagonals in a t_1 to t_2 ratio. So u_1, u_2, v_1, v_2 are in the image of f and form a (possibly degenerate) trapezoid.

We have that $x_1 \neq y_1$ since $(x_1, y_1) \in F_2(\mathbb{R}^d)$, and so $B(z, x_1 - y_1) \neq 0$ by nonsingularity. Thus, since f is an embedding, $u_1 \neq v_1$. Similarly, $u_2 \neq v_2$. If $u_1 = u_2$ and $v_1 = v_2$, then since f is an embedding, we get that

$$\begin{aligned} x_1 + y_1 + B(z, x_1 - y_1) &= x_2 + y_2 + B(z, x_2 - y_2), \text{ and} \\ x_1 + y_1 - B(z, x_1 - y_1) &= x_2 + y_2 - B(z, x_2 - y_2). \end{aligned}$$

Adding and subtracting these equations yields

$$x_1 + y_1 = x_2 + y_2 \quad \text{and} \quad B(z, x_1 - y_1) = B(z, x_2 - y_2).$$

By bilinearity and nonsingularity of B , the second equation yields $x_1 - y_1 = x_2 - y_2$, which, together with the first equation, yields $x_1 = x_2$ and $y_1 = y_2$, which is impossible since $(x_1, y_1) \neq (x_2, y_2)$ by assumption. The case $u_1 = v_2$ and $u_2 = v_1$ is similar.

Thus Equation (1) is a non-trivial affine combination that involves at least three pairwise distinct points. In the degenerate situation, where $\{u_1, u_2, v_1, v_2\}$ is a set of three points, all these points lie on a common line, since Equation (1) implies that (after copying one of the points) the two resulting diagonals intersect in a nontrivial ratio. It follows that $n(d, 3) \geq 2d + \rho(d)$. \square

REFERENCES

- [1] P.V.M. Blagojević, F.R. Cohen, M.C. Crabb, W. Lück, and G.M. Ziegler, *The mod 2 equivariant cohomology algebras of configuration spaces—revised*, arXiv preprint arXiv:2004.12350 (2020).
- [2] P.V.M. Blagojević, W. Lück, and G.M. Ziegler, *On highly regular embeddings*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **368** (2016), no. 4, 2891–2912.
- [3] S.A. Bogatyĭ, *k-Regular maps into Euclidean spaces and the Borsuk-Boltyanskii problem*, Sbornik: Math. **193** (2002), no. 1, 73.
- [4] V.G. Boltyansky, S.S. Ryzhkov, and Yu.A. Shashkin, *On k-regular embeddings and their applications to the theory of approximation of functions*, Uspekhi Mat. Nauk **15** (1960), no. 6, 125–132.
- [5] J. Buczyński, T. Januszkiewicz, J. Jelisiejew, and M. Michałek, *Constructions of k-regular maps using finite local schemes*, J. Europ. Math. Soc. **21** (2019), no. 6, 1775–1808.
- [6] M.E. Chisholm, *k-regular mappings of 2^n -dimensional Euclidean space*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **74** (1979), no. 1, 187–190.
- [7] D. Handel, *2k-regular maps on smooth manifolds*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **124** (1996), no. 5, 1609–1613.
- [8] H. Hopf, *Ein topologischer Beitrag zur reellen algebra*, Comment. Math. Helv. **13** (1940), no. 1, 219–239.

(FF) DEPT. MATH. SCIENCES, CARNEGIE MELLON UNIVERSITY, PITTSBURGH, PA 15213, USA
 INST. MATH., FREIE UNIVERSITÄT BERLIN, ARNIMALLEE 2, 14195 BERLIN, GERMANY
Email address: frick@cmu.edu

(MH) INSTITUTE FOR ADVANCED STUDY, 1 EINSTEIN DRIVE, PRINCETON, NJ 08540
Email address: mah5044@gmail.com