

THE BUNDLE OF KMS STATE SPACES FOR FLOWS ON A UNITAL C^* -ALGEBRA

GEORGE A. ELLIOTT AND KLAUS THOMSEN

1. INTRODUCTION

The collection of KMS state spaces for a flow on a unital C^* -algebra can be thought of as a bundle of simplices over the real line. This may seem like a far-fetched analogy to other more established notions of bundles because the fibers of the bundle may be empty or they may all be mutually non-isomorphic, but we will show here that it is in fact a well-behaved and useful concept. Specifically, we use it to show that for any given unital separable infinite-dimensional simple AF algebra A and for any configuration of KMS state spaces which occurs for a flow on a unital separable C^* -algebra and has the property that the simplex of 0-KMS states is affinely homeomorphic to the tracial state space of A , there is a flow on A with the same configuration. In particular, it follows that for any given closed subset F of real numbers containing 0 there are flows on A whose KMS spectrum is F . This removes the lower boundedness condition which occurs in a recent work by the second author, [Th3].

Since we deal with unital AF algebras, there are always 0-KMS states present. For flows on infinite C^* -algebras this is not the case, and in a joint work with Y. Sato we have shown that for any unital, nuclear, purely infinite, simple, separable C^* -algebra A in the UCT class and with torsion-free K_1 group, and for any configuration of KMS state spaces which occurs for a flow on a unital separable C^* -algebra without trace states, there is also a flow on A with the same configuration; see [EST]. In both cases we depend on results from the classification of simple C^* -algebras.

While the work in [Th3] was based on ideas from [BEH] and [BEK1], in the present paper the underlying ideas are closer to those presented by Bratteli, Elliott and Kishimoto in [BEK2]. In particular, the idea of considering the configuration of KMS simplices as a bundle originates from [BEK2].

Acknowledgements The work of the first named author was supported by a Natural Sciences and Engineering Research Council of Canada Discovery Grant. The work of the second named author was

supported by the DFF-Research Project 2 ‘Automorphisms and Invariants of Operator Algebras’, no. 7014-00145B.

2. PROPER SIMPLEX BUNDLES

Let S be a second countable locally compact Hausdorff space and $\pi : S \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ a continuous map. If the inverse image $\pi^{-1}(t)$, equipped with the relative topology inherited from S , is homeomorphic to a compact metrizable Choquet simplex for all $t \in \mathbb{R}$ we say that (S, π) is a *simplex bundle*. We emphasize that π need not be surjective, and we consider therefore also the empty set as a simplex. When (S, π) is a simplex bundle we denote by $\mathcal{A}(S, \pi)$ the set of continuous functions $f : S \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ with the property that the restriction $f|_{\pi^{-1}(t)}$ of f to $\pi^{-1}(t)$ is affine for all $t \in \mathbb{R}$.

Definition 2.1. (Compare [BEK2].) A simplex bundle (S, π) is a *proper simplex bundle* when

- (1) π is proper; that is $\pi^{-1}(K)$ is compact in S when $K \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ is compact, and
- (2) $\mathcal{A}(S, \pi)$ separate points on S ; that is for all $x \neq y$ in S there is an $f \in \mathcal{A}(S, \pi)$ such that $f(x) \neq f(y)$.

Two proper simplex bundles (S, π) and (S', π') are *isomorphic* when there is a homeomorphism $\phi : S \rightarrow S'$ such that $\pi' \circ \phi = \pi$ and $\phi : \pi^{-1}(\beta) \rightarrow \pi'^{-1}(\beta)$ is affine for all $\beta \in \mathbb{R}$.

2.1. Proper simplex bundles from flows. In this paper all C^* -algebras are assumed to be separable and all traces and weights on a C^* -algebra are required to be non-zero, densely defined and lower semi-continuous. Let A be a C^* -algebra and θ a flow on A . Let $\beta \in \mathbb{R}$. A β -KMS weight for θ is a weight ω on A such that $\omega \circ \theta_t = \omega$ for all t , and

$$\omega(a^*a) = \omega\left(\theta_{-\frac{i\beta}{2}}(a)\theta_{-\frac{i\beta}{2}}(a)^*\right) \quad \forall a \in D(\theta_{-\frac{i\beta}{2}}). \quad (2.1)$$

In particular, a 0-KMS weight for θ is a θ -invariant trace. A bounded β -KMS weight is called a β -KMS functional and a β -KMS state when it is of norm one. For states alternative formulations of the KMS condition can be found in [BR].

Assume that A is unital. For each $\beta \in \mathbb{R}$ let S_β^θ be the (possibly empty) set of β -KMS states for θ . Let $E(A)$ be the state space of A , a compact convex set in the weak* topology. Set

$$S^\theta = \{(\omega, \beta) \in E(A) \times \mathbb{R} : \omega \in S_\beta^\theta\},$$

and equip S^θ with the relative topology inherited from the product topology of $E(A) \times \mathbb{R}$. Since S^θ is a closed subset of $E(A) \times \mathbb{R}$ by Proposition 5.3.23 of [BR], it follows that S^θ is a second countable locally compact Hausdorff space. Denote by $\pi^\theta : S^\theta \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ the projection

to the second coordinate. Since the inverse image $\pi^{\theta^{-1}}(\beta)$ is homeomorphic to S_β^θ , which is a Choquet simplex by Theorem 5.3.30 of [BR], the pair (S^θ, π^θ) is a simplex bundle. An obvious application of Proposition 5.3.23 of [BR], using the compactness of $E(A)$, shows that π^θ is proper. Note that every self-adjoint element $a \in A$ gives rise to an element $\hat{a} \in \mathcal{A}(S^\theta, \pi^\theta)$ such that $\hat{a}(\omega, \beta) = \omega(a)$. A continuous function $f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ also gives rise to an element of $\mathcal{A}(S^\theta, \pi^\theta)$:

$$S^\theta \ni (\omega, \beta) \mapsto f(\beta).$$

It follows that $\mathcal{A}(S^\theta, \pi^\theta)$ separates the points of S^θ , showing that (S^θ, π^θ) is a proper simplex bundle, which we shall call the *KMS bundle* of the flow. In general, $\pi^{\theta^{-1}}(0)$ is the set of θ -invariant trace states and hence non-empty if and only if A has trace states. When A is AF it is the simplex of all trace states of A .

Remark 2.2. Let (S, π) be a proper simplex bundle. Denote by $\mathcal{A}_\mathbb{R}(S, \pi)$ the subset of $\mathcal{A}(S, \pi)$ consisting of the elements that have a limit at infinity. This is a separable real Banach space (in the supremum norm) containing the constant function 1, and its state space

$$E(\mathcal{A}_\mathbb{R}(S, \pi)) := \left\{ \omega \in \mathcal{A}_\mathbb{R}(S, \pi)^* : |\omega(f)| \leq \sup_{x \in S} |f(x)| \quad \forall f \in \mathcal{A}_\mathbb{R}(S, \pi), \omega(1) = 1 \right\}$$

is a metrizable compact convex set in the weak* topology. For $x \in S$, let $\text{ev}_x \in E(\mathcal{A}_\mathbb{R}(S, \pi))$ denote evaluation at x . For each $\beta \in \mathbb{R}$, the set

$$K_\beta := \{ \text{ev}_x : x \in \pi^{-1}(\beta) \}$$

is a closed convex subset of $E(\mathcal{A}_\mathbb{R}(S, \pi))$. With $K = E(\mathcal{A}_\mathbb{R}(S, \pi))$, the system $K_\beta, \beta \in \mathbb{R}$, has the properties required in Theorem 2.1 of [BEK1]. Thus, there is a unital, simple, separable, nuclear C^* -algebra A equipped with a 2π -periodic flow θ such that $\pi^{-1}(\beta)$ is affinely homeomorphic to the simplex of β -KMS states for all $\beta \in \mathbb{R}$. In the construction of A in [BEK1] the algebra appears to depend on (S, π) and on the many choices made in the process of its construction, but it was shown in [EST], based on the Kirchberg-Phillips classification result, that when $\pi^{-1}(0)$ is empty one can take A to be any given separable, simple, nuclear, purely infinite C^* -algebra in the UCT class and with torsion free K_1 group. It follows from the main result we describe next that when $\pi^{-1}(0)$ is not empty one can take A to be any infinite dimensional unital simple AF algebra whose tracial state space is affinely homeomorphic to $\pi^{-1}(0)$.

3. THE MAIN RESULT AND APPLICATIONS

Theorem 3.1. *Let (S, π) be a proper simplex bundle and let A be a unital infinite-dimensional simple AF algebra with a tracial state space*

affinely homeomorphic to $\pi^{-1}(0)$. There is a 2π -periodic flow on A whose KMS bundle is isomorphic to (S, π) .

By definition the KMS spectrum of a flow θ on a unital C^* -algebra is the set of real numbers β for which θ has a β -KMS state. When the KMS bundle of θ is isomorphic to a proper simplex bundle (S, π) , the KMS spectrum of θ is the range $\pi(S)$ of π .

To exhibit possible ways to work with proper simplex bundles and to illustrate how Theorem 3.1 can be applied let us use it to prove the following statement.

Corollary 3.2. *Let A be a unital infinite-dimensional simple AF algebra and let F be a closed subset of real numbers containing 0.*

- *There is a 2π -periodic flow on A whose KMS spectrum is F and such that there is a unique β -KMS state for all $\beta \in F \setminus \{0\}$.*
- *There is a 2π -periodic flow θ on A whose KMS spectrum is F and such that S_β^θ is not affinely homeomorphic to $S_{\beta'}^\theta$ when $\beta, \beta' \in F \setminus \{0\}$ and $\beta \neq \beta'$.*

Proof. Given a proper simplex bundle (S, π) , set $S_F = \pi^{-1}(F)$ and denote by π_F the restriction of π to $\pi^{-1}(F)$. The pair (S_F, π_F) is again a proper simplex bundle.

For the first item, let $T(A)$ be the tracial state space of A and fix an element $\omega_0 \in T(A)$. Let S be the subset

$$(T(A) \times \{0\}) \cup \{(\omega_0, t) : t \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}\}$$

of the topological product $T(A) \times \mathbb{R}$. Let $\pi : S \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be the canonical projection. Then (S, π) is a proper simplex bundle such that $\pi^{-1}(\beta) = \{(\omega_0, \beta)\}$ when $\beta \neq 0$ and such that $\pi^{-1}(0)$ is a copy of $T(A)$. The existence of the desired flow follows from Theorem 3.1 by applying it to the bundle (S_F, π_F) .

For the second item, note that the KMS bundle of the flow described in Theorem 1.1 of [Th2] is a proper simplex bundle (S', π') such that $\pi'^{-1}(0)$ contains only one point, $\pi'(S) = \mathbb{R}$ and such that $\pi'^{-1}(\beta)$ is not affinely homeomorphic to $\pi'^{-1}(\beta')$ when $\beta \neq \beta'$. With (S, π) the bundle defined above set

$$S'' = \{(x, y) \in S' \times S : \pi'(x) = \pi(y)\} .$$

Define $\pi'' : S'' \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ by $\pi''(x, y) = \pi(y)$. Then (S'', π'') is a proper simplex bundle and an application of Theorem 3.1, this time to (S''_F, π''_F) , gives the desired flow. \square

4. PROOF OF THE MAIN RESULT

4.1. **Tools.** The following lemma is an immediate consequence of Lemma 3.1 of [Th3] and Theorem 2.4 of [Th1].

Lemma 4.1. *Let D be a C^* -algebra. Denote by $\rho \in \text{Aut}(D)$ an automorphism of D and let $q \in D$ a projection in D which is full¹ in $D \rtimes_{\rho} \mathbb{Z}$. Let $\hat{\rho}$ denote the restriction to $q(D \rtimes_{\rho} \mathbb{Z})q$ of the dual action on $D \rtimes_{\rho} \mathbb{Z}$ considered as a 2π -periodic flow. Let $P : D \rtimes_{\rho} \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow D$ denote the canonical conditional expectation. For each $\beta \in \mathbb{R}$, the map $\tau \mapsto \tau \circ P|_{q(D \rtimes_{\rho} \mathbb{Z})q}$ is an affine homeomorphism from the set of traces τ on D that satisfy*

$$\tau \circ \rho = e^{-\beta} \tau \text{ and } \tau(q) = 1, \quad (4.1)$$

onto the simplex of β -KMS states for $\hat{\rho}$.

In this lemma the topology on the set of traces of D with the properties (4.1) is given by pointwise convergence on elements from the corner qDq of D .

When D is an AF algebra it is well-known that the set of its traces can be identified, via the map $\tau \mapsto \tau_*$, with the set $\text{Hom}^+(K_0(D), \mathbb{R})$ of non-zero positive homomorphisms $\phi : K_0(D) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$; a fact stated as Lemma 3.5 in [Th3]. In the setting of Lemma 4.1 this implies that when D is an AF algebra the KMS spectrum and the structure of the KMS states for $\hat{\rho}$ can be determined directly from the pair $(K_0(D), \rho_*)$. To see how, we note that by Remark 3.3 in [LN] every β -KMS state τ for $\hat{\rho}$ on $q(D \rtimes_{\rho} \mathbb{Z})q$ extends uniquely to a β -KMS weight $\hat{\tau}$ for the dual action. Since D is the fixed point algebra for the dual action the restriction of $\hat{\tau}$ to D is a trace on D , yielding a map

$$\tau \mapsto (\hat{\tau}|_D)_* \quad (4.2)$$

from the set of β -KMS states τ for $\hat{\rho}$ to $\text{Hom}^+(K_0(D), \mathbb{R})$. Therefore Lemma 4.1 has the following consequence.

Corollary 4.2. *In the setting of Lemma 4.1 assume that D is an AF algebra. For each $\beta \in \mathbb{R}$ the map (4.2) is an affine homeomorphism from the set of β -KMS states for $\hat{\rho}$ on $q(D \rtimes_{\rho} \mathbb{Z})q$ onto the set of positive homomorphisms $\phi \in \text{Hom}^+(K_0(D), \mathbb{R})$ that satisfy*

$$\phi \circ \rho_* = e^{-\beta} \phi \text{ and } \phi([q]) = 1. \quad (4.3)$$

Here the topology on the elements from $\text{Hom}^+(K_0(D), \mathbb{R})$ with the properties (4.3) is given by pointwise convergence on $\{x \in K_0(D) : 0 \leq x \leq [q]\}$.

Corollary 4.2 will be complemented by the following lemma which helps to control the Elliott invariant of $q(D \rtimes_{\rho} \mathbb{Z})q$, and to ensure that it is classified by it. It follows from Lemma 3.4 of [Th3], which is based on arguments from [Sa], [MS1] and [MS2].

¹Recall that a projection q in a C^* -algebra A is full when $\overline{AqA} = A$. This is automatic when $q \neq 0$ and A is simple.

Lemma 4.3. *Let D be a stable AF algebra such that $K_0(D)$ has large denominators.² For any order automorphism $\alpha \in \text{Aut}(K_0(D))$ of $K_0(D)$ there is an automorphism $\gamma \in \text{Aut}(D)$ of D such that*

- (a) $\gamma_* = \alpha$ on $K_0(D)$,
- (b) the restriction map $\mu \mapsto \mu|_D$ is a bijection from traces μ on $D \rtimes_\gamma \mathbb{Z}$ onto the γ -invariant traces on D , and
- (c) $D \rtimes_\gamma \mathbb{Z}$ is \mathcal{Z} -stable; that is, $(D \rtimes_\gamma \mathbb{Z}) \otimes \mathcal{Z} \simeq D \rtimes_\gamma \mathbb{Z}$ where \mathcal{Z} denotes the Jiang-Su algebra, [JS].

Given a proper simplex bundle (S, π) and a closed subset $F \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ we denote by (S_F, π_F) the proper simplex bundle with $S_F = \pi^{-1}(F)$ and π_F is the restriction of π to S_F . The following lemma relates $\mathcal{A}(S_F, \pi_F)$ to $\mathcal{A}(S, \pi)$ and it will be a crucial tool in the following.

Lemma 4.4. *Let (S, π) be a proper simplex bundle and $F \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ a closed subset.*

- (1) *The map $\mathcal{A}(S, \pi) \rightarrow \mathcal{A}(S_F, \pi_F)$ given by restriction is surjective.*
- (2) *Let $f_1, f_2, g_1, g_2 \in \mathcal{A}(S, \pi)$ such that $f_i(x) < g_j(x)$ for all $x \in S$ and all $i, j \in \{1, 2\}$. Assume that there is an element $h^F \in \mathcal{A}(S_F, \pi_F)$ such that*

$$f_i(x) < h^F(x) < g_j(x) \quad \forall x \in S_F, \quad \forall i, j \in \{1, 2\}.$$

There is an element $h \in \mathcal{A}(S, \pi)$ such that $h(y) = h^F(y)$ for all $y \in S_F$ and

$$f_i(x) < h(x) < g_j(x) \quad \forall x \in S, \quad \forall i, j \in \{1, 2\}.$$

Proof. (1) Let $h \in \mathcal{A}(S_F, \pi_F)$. For each $n \in \mathbb{N}$ the pair $(S_{[-n, n]}, \pi_{[-n, n]})$ is a compact simplex bundle in the sense of [BEK2] and it follows from Lemma 2.2 in [BEK2] that there are elements $f_n \in \mathcal{A}(S_{[-n, n]}, \pi_{[-n, n]})$ such that the restriction $f_n|_{S_F \cap [-n, n]}$ of f_n to $S_F \cap [-n, n]$ agrees with $h|_{S_F \cap [-n, n]}$. For $n \in \mathbb{N}$ choose a continuous function $\chi_n : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow [0, 1]$ such that $\chi_n(t) = 1$ for $t \leq n - \frac{1}{2}$ and $\chi_n(t) = 0$ for $t \geq n$. Define $f'_n : S_{[-n, n]} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ recursively by

$$f'_1(x) = (1 - \chi_1(|\pi(x)|))f_2(x) + \chi_1(|\pi(x)|)f_1(x),$$

and then f'_n for $n \geq 2$ such that $f'_n(x) = f'_{n-1}(x)$ when $x \in \pi^{-1}([-n+1, n-1])$ and $f'_n(x) = (1 - \chi_n(|\pi(x)|))f'_{n+1}(x) + \chi_n(|\pi(x)|)f'_n(x)$ when $x \in \pi^{-1}([n-1, n] \cup [-n, -n+1])$. Then $f'_n|_{S_F \cap [-n, n]} = h|_{S_F \cap [-n, n]}$ and since f'_{n+1} extends f'_n for all n , there is an element $f \in \mathcal{A}(S, \pi)$ such that $f|_{S_{[-n, n]}} = f'_n$. This element f extends h .

The assertion (2) follows in a similar way on using Lemma 2.3 in [BEK2]. \square

²An ordered group (G, G^+) has large denominators when the following condition holds: For any $a \in G^+$ and any $n \in \mathbb{N}$ there are an element $b \in G$ and an $m \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $nb \leq a \leq mb$; see [Ni].

4.2. Dimension groups from proper simplex bundles. Given a Choquet simplex Δ , as usual denote by $\text{Aff}\Delta$ the set of real-valued continuous and affine functions on Δ . Fix a proper simplex bundle (S, π) with $\pi^{-1}(0)$ non-empty. Let H be a torsion free abelian group and $\theta : H \rightarrow \text{Aff}\pi^{-1}(0)$ a homomorphism. We assume

- (1) there is an element $u \in H$ such that $\theta(u) = 1$, and
- (2) $\theta(H)$ is dense in $\text{Aff}\pi^{-1}(0)$.

Set

$$H^+ = \{h \in H : \theta(h)(x) > 0 \quad \forall x \in \pi^{-1}(0)\} \cup \{0\} .$$

Then (H, H^+) is a simple dimension group; see [EHS].

It follows from (1) of Lemma 4.4 that the map $r : \mathcal{A}(S, \pi) \rightarrow \text{Aff}\pi^{-1}(0)$ given by restriction is surjective and we can therefore choose a linear map $L : \text{Aff}\pi^{-1}(0) \rightarrow \mathcal{A}(\pi, S)$ such that $r \circ L = \text{id}$. We arrange, as we can, that $L(1) = 1$. Define $\hat{L} : \bigoplus_{\mathbb{Z}} H \rightarrow \mathcal{A}(S, \pi)$ by

$$\hat{L}((h_n)_{n \in \mathbb{Z}})(x) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} L(\theta(h_n))(x) e^{n\pi(x)} .$$

Let $\mathbb{Q}[e^{-\pi}, 1 - e^{-\pi}]$ denote the \mathbb{Q} -linear span of the functions defined on $S \setminus \pi^{-1}(0)$ by

$$x \mapsto e^{n\pi(x)} (1 - e^{-\pi(x)})^l \tag{4.4}$$

for some $n, l \in \mathbb{Z}$. For each $k \in \mathbb{N}$, choose continuous functions $\psi_k^0, \psi_k^\pm : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow [0, 1]$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} \psi_k^0(t) &= 1, \quad -\frac{1}{2k} \leq t \leq \frac{1}{2k}, \\ \psi_k^-(t) &= 1, \quad t \leq -\frac{1}{k}, \\ \psi_k^+(t) &= 1, \quad t \geq \frac{1}{k}, \text{ and} \\ \psi_k^-(t) + \psi_k^0(t) + \psi_k^+(t) &= 1 \text{ for all } t \in \mathbb{R}. \end{aligned}$$

Consider the countable subgroup of $\mathcal{A}(S, \pi)$

$$G_k := \mathbb{Q}[e^{-\pi}, 1 - e^{-\pi}] \psi_k^- \circ \pi + \hat{L}\left(\bigoplus_{\mathbb{Z}} H\right) \psi_k^0 \circ \pi + \mathbb{Q}[e^{-\pi}, 1 - e^{-\pi}] \psi_k^+ \circ \pi .$$

In what follows we denote the support of a real-valued function f by $\text{supp } f$. Let $\mathcal{A}_{00}(S, \pi)$ denote the set of elements f from $\mathcal{A}(S, \pi)$ for which $\text{supp } f$ is compact and contained in $S \setminus \pi^{-1}(0)$. Since the topology of S is second countable we can choose a countable subgroup G_{00} of $\mathcal{A}_{00}(S, \pi)$ with the following density property:

Property 4.5. For all $N \in \mathbb{N}$, all $\epsilon > 0$ and all $f \in \mathcal{A}_{00}(S, \pi)$ with $\text{supp } f \subseteq \pi^{-1}(] - N, N[\setminus \{0\})$, there is $g \in G_{00}$ such that

$$\sup_{x \in S} |f(x) - g(x)| < \epsilon$$

and $\text{supp } g \subseteq \pi^{-1}(] - N, N[\setminus \{0\})$.

When $f_1 \in \hat{L}(\bigoplus_{\mathbb{Z}} H)$ and $f_2^\pm \in \mathbb{Q}[e^{-\pi}, 1 - e^{-\pi}]$ the difference between

$$f_2^- \psi_k^- \circ \pi + f_1 \psi_k^0 \circ \pi + f_2^+ \psi_k^+ \circ \pi$$

and

$$f_2^- \psi_{k+1}^- \circ \pi + f_1 \psi_{k+1}^0 \circ \pi + f_2^+ \psi_{k+1}^+ \circ \pi$$

is an element of $\mathcal{A}_{00}(S, \pi)$, and so by enlarging G_{00} we can ensure that

$$G_k + G_{00} \subseteq G_{k+1} + G_{00} . \quad (4.5)$$

Let $\alpha_0 \in \text{Aut } \mathcal{A}(S, \pi)$ be defined by

$$\alpha_0(f)(x) = e^{-\pi(x)} f(x) .$$

Since $\alpha_0(\mathcal{A}_{00}) = \mathcal{A}_{00}$ and since $(1 - e^{-\pi})^{-1} \mathcal{A}_{00}(S, \pi) \subseteq \mathcal{A}_{00}(S, \pi)$, we can enlarge G_{00} further to achieve that

$$\alpha_0(G_{00}) = G_{00} \quad (4.6)$$

and that

$$(\text{id} - \alpha_0)(G_{00}) = G_{00} . \quad (4.7)$$

We define

$$G = \bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} (G_k + G_{00}) .$$

Let $\sigma \in \text{Aut}(\bigoplus_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} H)$ denote the shift:

$$\sigma((h_n)_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}) = (h_{n+1})_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} .$$

Then $\alpha_0 \circ \hat{L} = \hat{L} \circ \sigma$, which implies that $\alpha_0(G_k) = G_k$ and hence

$$\alpha_0(G) = G .$$

Set

$$\mathcal{A}(S, \pi)^+ = \{f \in \mathcal{A}(S, \pi) : f(x) > 0 \ \forall x \in S\} \cup \{0\}$$

and

$$G^+ = G \cap \mathcal{A}(S, \pi)^+ .$$

Lemma 4.6. *The pair (G, G^+) has the following properties.*

- (1) $G^+ \cap (-G^+) = \{0\}$.
- (2) $G = G^+ - G^+$.
- (3) (G, G^+) is unperforated, i.e., $n \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \{0\}$, $g \in G$, $ng \in G^+ \Rightarrow g \in G^+$.
- (4) (G, G^+) has the strong Riesz interpolation property, i.e. if $f_1, f_2, g_1, g_2 \in G$ and $f_i < g_j$ in G for all $i, j \in \{1, 2\}$, then there is an element $h \in G$ such that

$$f_i < h < g_j$$

for all $i, j \in \{1, 2\}$.

Proof. (1) and (3) are obvious. (2): Let $f \in G$. Then $f \in G_k + G_{00}$ for some $k \in \mathbb{N}$ and we can write

$$f = h^- \psi_k^- \circ \pi + f_0 \psi_k^0 \circ \pi + h^+ \psi_k^+ \circ \pi + g$$

where $h^\pm \in \mathbb{Q}[e^{-\pi}, 1 - e^{-\pi}]$, $f_0 \in \hat{L}(\bigoplus_{\mathbb{Z}} H)$ and $g \in G_{00}$. By definition of $\mathbb{Q}[e^{-\pi}, 1 - e^{-\pi}]$ there are $n, m \in \mathbb{N}$ and $M > 0$ such that

$$h^-(x) \leq e^{-n\pi(x)} \quad \forall x \in \pi^{-1}([-\infty, -M]) ,$$

and

$$h^+(x) \leq e^{m\pi(x)} \quad \forall x \in \pi^{-1}([M, \infty[) .$$

Since $f_0\psi_k^0 \circ \pi$ and g are compactly supported there is $K \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $f(x) < g(x)$ for all $x \in S$, where

$$g = K \left(e^{-n\pi}\psi_k^- \circ \pi + \psi_k^0 \circ \pi + e^{m\pi}\psi_k^+ \circ \pi \right) \in G^+ .$$

Note that $f = g - (g - f) \in G^+ - G^+$.

(4): Since $\theta(H)$ has the Riesz interpolation property for the strict order by Lemma 3.1 in [EHS], there is $h_0 \in \theta(H)$ such that $f_i(x) < h_0(x) < g_j(x)$ for all i, j and all $x \in \pi^{-1}(0)$. We claim that there are elements $h^\pm \in \mathbb{Q}[e^{-\pi}, 1 - e^{-\pi}]$ and $K^\pm \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $f_i(x) < h^-(x) < g_j(x)$ when $\pi(x) \leq -K^-$ and $f_i(x) < h^+(x) < g_j(x)$ when $\pi(x) \geq K^+$. To find h^+ and K^+ , note that we may assume that $\pi(S)$ contains arbitrarily large positive numbers; otherwise we take K^+ larger than $\sup \pi(S)$ and $h^+ = 0$. By definition of G there is $N \in \mathbb{N}$ so large that there are polynomials p_1, p_2, q_1, q_2 with rational coefficients such that

$$\left(e^{\pi(x)}(e^{\pi(x)} - 1) \right)^N f_i(x) = p_i(e^{\pi(x)})$$

and

$$\left(e^{\pi(x)}(e^{\pi(x)} - 1) \right)^N g_j(x) = q_j(e^{\pi(x)})$$

for all i, j and all large x . Then $p_i(y) < q_j(y)$ for all large elements y of $e^{\pi(S)}$ and it follows therefore from Lemma 4.7 that there is a polynomial h' with rational coefficients such that $p_i(x) < h'(x) < q_j(x)$ for all i, j and all large x . Set

$$h^+(x) = \left(e^{\pi(x)}(e^{\pi(x)} - 1) \right)^{-N} h'(e^{\pi(x)}) .$$

Then $h^+ \in \mathbb{Q}[e^{-\pi}, 1 - e^{-\pi}]$ and if K^+ is large enough $f_i(x) < h^+(x) < g_j(x)$ for all i, j and all $x \in \pi^{-1}([K^+, \infty))$. The pair h^-, K^- is constructed in a similar way: Multiply each of the functions from $\{f_1, f_2, g_1, g_2\}$ with the same function of the form

$$(e^{-\pi})^N (e^{-\pi} - 1)^N$$

to get them into the form $x \mapsto p(e^{-\pi(x)})$ for p a polynomial with rational coefficients and apply Lemma 4.7.

Having h^\pm and K^\pm we use the statement (2) of Lemma 4.4 to find $H \in \mathcal{A}(S, \pi)$ such that $H(x) = h^-(x)$ when $\pi(x) \leq -K^-$, $H(x) = h^+(x)$ when $\pi(x) \geq K^+$, $H(x) = h_0(x)$ when $x \in \pi^{-1}(0)$, and $f_i(x) < H(x) < g_j(x)$ for all $x \in S$ and all i, j . Set

$$H'(x) = H\psi_k^- \circ \pi + L(h_0)\psi_k^0 \circ \pi + H\psi_k^+ \circ \pi .$$

If k is large enough we have

$$f_i(x) < H'(x) < g_j(x)$$

for all $x \in S$ and all i, j . Set

$$H''(x) = H'(x) - L(h_0)\psi_k^0 \circ \pi - h^-\psi_k^- \circ \pi - h^+\psi_k^+ \circ \pi ,$$

and note that $\text{supp } H'' \subseteq]-K^-, K^+[\setminus\{0\}$. Set $K = \max\{K^-, K^+\}$ and let $\delta > 0$ be given, smaller than $g_j(x) - H'(x)$ and $H'(x) - f_i(x)$ for all i, j and all $x \in \pi^{-1}([-K, K])$. By Property 4.5 there is an element $g' \in G_{00}$ such that

$$\text{supp } g' \subseteq \pi^{-1}(]-K, K[\setminus\{0\}))$$

and $\sup_{x \in S} |g'(x) - H''(x)| < \frac{\delta}{2}$. Then

$$h = g' + L(h_0)\psi_k^0 \circ \pi + h^-\psi_k^- \circ \pi + h^+\psi_k^+ \circ \pi \in G$$

and $f_i(x) < h(x) < g_j(x)$ for all i, j and all $x \in S$. \square

Lemma 4.7. *Let p_i, q_j , $i, j \in \{1, 2\}$, be polynomials with rational coefficients. Assume that there is a sequence $\{x_n\}$ in \mathbb{R} such that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_n = \infty$ and such that $p_i(x_n) < q_j(x_n)$ for all i, j, n . It follows that there is a polynomial h with rational coefficients and a $K > 0$ such that*

$$p_i(x) < h(x) < q_j(x)$$

for all $i, j \in \{1, 2\}$ and all $x \geq K$.

Proof. Since polynomials only have finitely many zeros there is a $K' > 0$ such that $p_i(x) < q_j(x)$ for all i, j and all $x \geq K'$. Write $q_j(x) = a_{0,j} + a_{1,j}x + a_{2,j}x^2 + \dots + a_{N,j}x^N$ and $p_i(x) = b_{0,i} + b_{1,i}x + b_{2,i}x^2 + \dots + b_{N,i}x^N$ for some N larger than the degree of any of the four given polynomials, and set

$$\xi_i = (b_{N,i}, b_{N-1,i}, \dots, b_{0,i}) \in \mathbb{Q}^{N+1}$$

and

$$\eta_j = (a_{N,j}, a_{N-1,j}, \dots, a_{0,j}) \in \mathbb{Q}^{N+1}.$$

Since $p_i(x) < q_j(x)$ for all large x , we have that $\xi_i <_{lex} \eta_j$ for all i, j with respect to the lexicographic order $<_{lex}$. Since \mathbb{Q}^{N+1} is totally ordered in the lexicographic order there is an element $c = (c_N, c_{N-1}, c_{N-2}, \dots, c_0) \in \mathbb{Q}^{N+1}$ such that $\xi_i <_{lex} c <_{lex} \eta_j$ for all i, j . By changing c_0 by a small amount we can arrange that $c \notin \{\xi_1, \xi_2, \eta_1, \eta_2\}$. Then the polynomial

$$h(x) = c_0 + c_1x + c_2x^2 + \dots + c_Nx^N$$

will have the desired property. \square

Consider the subset Γ of $(\bigoplus_{\mathbb{Z}} H) \oplus G$ consisting of the elements $(\xi, g) \in (\bigoplus_{\mathbb{Z}} H) \oplus G$ with the property that there is an $\epsilon > 0$ such that

$$\hat{L}(\xi)(x) = g(x) \quad \forall x \in \pi^{-1}(]-\epsilon, \epsilon[). \quad (4.8)$$

Γ is a subgroup of $(\bigoplus_{\mathbb{Z}} H) \oplus G$.

Lemma 4.8. *The projection $\Gamma \rightarrow G$ is surjective.*

Proof. Let $g \in G$. By definition of G there is an element $\xi \in \bigoplus_{\mathbb{Z}} H$ and $k \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $g(x) = \hat{L}(\xi)$ on $\pi^{-1}(]-\frac{1}{2k}, \frac{1}{2k}[)$. \square

Set

$$\Gamma^+ = \{(\xi, g) \in \Gamma : g \in G^+ \setminus \{0\}\} \cup \{0\} .$$

By combining Lemma 4.8 and Lemma 4.6 above with Lemma 3.1 and Lemma 3.2 in [EHS] we conclude that (Γ, Γ^+) is a dimension group.

Given an element $h \in H$ we denote in the following by $h^{(0)}$ the element of $\bigoplus_{\mathbb{Z}} H$ defined by $(h^{(0)})_0 = h$ and $(h^{(0)})_n = 0$ when $n \neq 0$. Define $\Sigma : \bigoplus_{\mathbb{Z}} H \rightarrow H$ by

$$\Sigma((h_n)_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} h_n .$$

Lemma 4.9. (Γ, Γ^+) has large denominators; that is for all $x \in \Gamma^+$ and $m \in \mathbb{N}$ there is an element $y \in \Gamma^+$ and an $n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $my \leq x \leq ny$.

Proof. Let $x = (\xi, g) \in \Gamma^+ \setminus \{0\}$ and $m \in \mathbb{N}$ be given. Then $\Sigma(\xi) \in H^+ \setminus \{0\}$ and since H has large denominators by [Ni], there is an element $b \in H^+$ such that $mb < \Sigma(\xi) < nb$ for some $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $n > m + 2$. Since $L(\theta(\Sigma(\xi)))$ agrees with g on $\pi^{-1}(0)$, there is a compact neighborhood U of 0 such that

$$mL(\theta(b))(x) < g(x) < nL(\theta(b))(x)$$

for all $x \in \pi^{-1}(U)$. There is also a $K \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $U \subseteq] - K, K[$ and functions $f^\pm \in \mathbb{Q}[e^{-\pi}, 1 - e^{-\pi}]$ such that $g(x) = f^-(x)$, $x \leq -K$, and $g(x) = f^+(x)$, $x \geq K$. It follows from (2) of Lemma 4.4 that there is an element $a \in \mathcal{A}(S, \pi)$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{n}g(x) &< a(x) < \frac{1}{m}g(x) \quad \forall x \in S, \\ a(x) &= L(\theta(b))(x) \text{ for all } x \in \pi^{-1}(U), \\ a(x) &= \frac{1}{m+1}f^-(x) \text{ for } x \leq -K, \text{ and} \\ a(x) &= \frac{1}{m+1}f^+(x) \text{ for all } x \geq K. \end{aligned}$$

Choose $k \in \mathbb{N}$ so large that $[-\frac{1}{k}, \frac{1}{k}] \subseteq U$ and note that

$$a(x) = L(\theta(b))(x)\psi_k^0 \circ \pi(x) + a(x)\psi_k^+ \circ \pi(x) + a(x)\psi_k^- \circ \pi(x).$$

Then the function

$$a' := a - L(\theta(b))\psi_k^0 \circ \pi - \frac{1}{m+1}f^+\psi_k^+ \circ \pi - \frac{1}{m+1}f^-\psi_k^- \circ \pi$$

is supported in $] - K, K[\setminus \{0\}$. Let $\delta > 0$ be smaller than $\frac{1}{m}g(x) - a(x)$ and $a(x) - \frac{1}{n}g(x)$ for all $x \in \pi^{-1}([-K, K])$. By Property 4.5 we can find $c \in G_{00}$ such that $\text{supp } c \subseteq] - K, K[\setminus \{0\}$ and $|c(x) - a'(x)| < \delta$ for all $x \in S$. Then

$$g' := c + L(\theta(b))\psi_k^0 \circ \pi + \frac{1}{m+1}f^+\psi_k^+ \circ \pi + \frac{1}{m+1}f^-\psi_k^- \circ \pi \in G$$

and $mg'(x) < g(x) < ng'(x)$ for all $x \in S$. It follows that $y = (b^{(0)}, g) \in \Gamma^+$ has the desired property. \square

Since $\hat{L} \circ \sigma = \alpha_0 \circ \hat{L}$ we can define $\alpha \in \text{Aut } \Gamma$ by

$$\alpha = \sigma \oplus \alpha_0.$$

Lemma 4.10. *The only order ideals I in Γ such that $\alpha(I) = I$ are $I = \{0\}$ and $I = \Gamma$.*

Proof. Recall that an order ideal I in Γ is a subgroup such that

- (a) $I = I \cap \Gamma^+ - I \cap \Gamma^+$, and
- (b) when $0 \leq y \leq x$ in Γ and $x \in I$, then $y \in I$.

Let I be a non-zero order ideal such that $\alpha(I) = I$. Since $I \cap \Gamma^+ \neq \{0\}$ there is an element $g \in G^+ \setminus \{0\}$ and an element $\xi \in \bigoplus_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} H$ such that $(\xi, g) \in I$. Set $h = \Sigma(\xi)$. By definition of $G^+ \setminus \{0\}$ there are natural numbers $n, m, k, K \in \mathbb{N}$ such that the function

$$g' = L(\theta(h))\psi_k^0 \circ \pi + e^{n\pi}\psi_k^- \circ \pi + e^{-m\pi}\psi_k^+ \circ \pi$$

has the property that

$$0 < g'(x) < Kg(x) \quad \forall x \in S.$$

It follows that $(h^{(0)}, g') \in I \cap \Gamma^+$. Note that

$$\alpha_0^l(g') = e^{-l\pi}L(\theta(h))\psi_k^0 \circ \pi + e^{(n-l)\pi}\psi_k^- \circ \pi + e^{-(m+l)\pi}\psi_k^+ \circ \pi$$

for all $l \in \mathbb{Z}$. Consider an arbitrary element $(\xi', f) \in \Gamma^+ \setminus \{0\}$. We can then find $l_1, l_2 \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $M \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$f(x) < M(\alpha_0^{l_1}(g')(x) + \alpha_0^{l_2}(g')(x)) \quad \forall x \in S.$$

Since

$$\alpha^{l_1}((h^{(0)}, g')) + \alpha^{l_2}((h^{(0)}, g')) \in I \cap \Gamma^+,$$

it follows that $(\xi', f) \in I$ and we conclude therefore that $I = \Gamma$. \square

4.3. Some homomorphisms $\Gamma \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$. Note that the constant function 1 is in G and that, with $v := (u^{(0)}, 1)$, $v \in \Gamma^+$. Let $\beta \in \mathbb{R}$ and $\omega \in \pi^{-1}(\beta)$. As in Remark 2.2 we denote in the sequel by $\mathcal{A}_{\mathbb{R}}(S, \pi)$ the real Banach space consisting of the elements of $\mathcal{A}(S, \pi)$ that have a limit at infinity.

Lemma 4.11. *Let $f \in \mathcal{A}_{\mathbb{R}}(S, \pi)$ and let $\epsilon > 0$ be given. There is an element $g \in G$ such that $\sup_{x \in S} |f(x) - g(x)| \leq \epsilon$.*

Proof. An initial approximation gives us an element $f_1 \in \mathcal{A}(S, \pi)$ which is compactly supported and a real number $r \in \mathbb{R}$ such that

$$\sup_{x \in S} |f(x) - f_1(x) - r| \leq \frac{\epsilon}{2}.$$

Let $q \in \mathbb{Q}$ such that $|q - r| < \frac{\epsilon}{6}$ and choose an element $h \in H$ such that $|\theta(h)(y) - f_1(y) - r| < \frac{\epsilon}{6}$ for all $y \in \pi^{-1}(0)$. There is a $k \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $|L(\theta(h))(x) - f_1(x) - r| < \frac{\epsilon}{6}$ for all $x \in \pi^{-1}([\frac{1}{k}, \frac{1}{k}])$. Since $f_1\psi_k^+ \circ \pi + f_1\psi_k^- \circ \pi$ is compactly supported in $S \setminus \pi^{-1}(0)$ it follows from Property 4.5 that there is an element $g' \in G_{00}$ such that

$$\sup_{x \in S} |g'(x) - f_1(x)\psi_k^+ \circ \pi(x) - f_1(x)\psi_k^- \circ \pi(x)| \leq \frac{\epsilon}{6}.$$

Then

$$g = L(\theta(h))\psi_k^0 \circ \pi + q\psi_k^+ \circ \pi + q\psi_k^- \circ \pi + g' \in G$$

is an element with the desired property. \square

Let $\beta \in \mathbb{R}$. For each $\omega \in \pi^{-1}(\beta)$, define $\omega_\beta : \Gamma \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ by

$$\omega_\beta(\xi, g) = g(\omega) .$$

Then $\omega_\beta(\Gamma^+) \subseteq [0, \infty)$, $\omega_\beta(v) = 1$, and $\omega_\beta \circ \alpha = e^{-\beta} \omega_\beta$.

Lemma 4.12. *Let $\phi : \Gamma \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a positive homomorphism with the properties that $\phi(v) = 1$ and $\phi \circ \alpha = s\phi$ for some $s > 0$. Set $\beta = -\log s$. There is an element $\omega \in \pi^{-1}(\beta)$ such that $\phi = \omega_\beta$.*

Proof. The projection $p : \Gamma \rightarrow G$ is surjective by Lemma 4.8. Assume that $(\xi, g) \in \Gamma$ and $p(\xi, g) = g = 0$. Since (Γ, Γ^+) has large denominators by Lemma 4.9 there is for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$ an element $(\xi_n, g_n) \in \Gamma^+$ and a natural number k_n such that $n(\xi_n, g_n) \leq v \leq k_n(\xi_n, g_n)$. Then $\pm(\xi, g) \leq (\xi_n, g_n)$ in Γ and hence $\pm\phi(\xi, g) \leq \phi(\xi_n, g_n) \leq \frac{1}{n}$. It follows that $\phi(\xi, g) = 0$ and we conclude that there is a homomorphism $\phi' : G \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that $\phi' \circ p = \phi$. Let $g \in G$ and assume that $g(x) \geq 0$ for all $x \in S$, and let $n \in \mathbb{N}$. There is $h_n \in H^+$ such that $0 < \theta(h_n) < \frac{1}{n}$, and then also a natural number $k \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $0 < L(\theta(h_n))(x)\psi_k^0 \circ \pi(x) + \frac{1}{2n}\psi_k^+(\pi(x)) + \frac{1}{2n}\psi_k^-(\pi(x)) < \frac{1}{n}$ for all $x \in S$. Then

$$g'_n := L(\theta(h_n))\psi_k^0 \circ \pi + \frac{1}{2n}\psi_k^- \circ \pi + \frac{1}{2n}\psi_k^+ \circ \pi \in G^+$$

and $0 \leq n(h_n^{(0)}, g'_n) \leq v$ in Γ . Hence $0 \leq \phi'(g'_n) \leq \frac{1}{n}$. Let $\xi \in \bigoplus_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} H$ be an element such that $(\xi, g) \in \Gamma$. Then $(h_n^{(0)} + \xi, g'_n + g) \in \Gamma^+$ and hence $0 \leq \phi'(g'_n + g) \leq \phi'(g) + \frac{1}{n}$. Letting n tend to infinity we find that $\phi'(g) \geq 0$, proving that ϕ' is positive on G . Let $g \in G$ and $n, m \in \mathbb{N}$ satisfy $|g(x)| < \frac{n}{m}$ for all $x \in S$. Then $-n < mg(x) < n$ for all $x \in S$ and since $\phi'(1) = 1$ this leads to the conclusion that $|\phi'(g)| \leq \frac{n}{m}$. Combined with Lemma 4.11 it follows from the last estimate that ϕ' extends by continuity to a linear map $\phi' : \mathcal{A}_{\mathbb{R}}(S, \pi) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that $|\phi'(f)| \leq \sup_{x \in S} |f(x)|$. Using a Hahn-Banach theorem we extend ϕ' in a norm-preserving way to the space of all continuous real-valued functions on S with a limit at infinity. Since $\phi'(1) = 1$ the extension is positive. It follows that there is a bounded Borel measure m on S such that

$$\phi'(f) = \int_S f(x) \, dm$$

for all $f \in \mathcal{A}_0(S, \pi)$, where $\mathcal{A}_0(S, \pi)$ denotes the space of elements in $\mathcal{A}_{\mathbb{R}}(S, \pi)$ that vanish at infinity. Let $C_c(\mathbb{R})$ denote the set of continuous real-valued compactly supported functions on \mathbb{R} and note that $C_c(\mathbb{R})$ is mapped into $\mathcal{A}_0(S, \pi)$ by the formula $F \mapsto F \circ \pi$. Since $\phi \circ \alpha = s\phi$ by assumption it follows that the measure $m \circ \pi^{-1}$ on \mathbb{R} satisfies

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{-t} F(t) \, dm \circ \pi^{-1}(t) = s \int_{\mathbb{R}} F(t) \, dm \circ \pi^{-1}(t) \quad \forall F \in C_c(\mathbb{R}).$$

It follows that $m \circ \pi^{-1}$ is concentrated at the point $\beta = -\log s$ and hence that m is concentrated on $\pi^{-1}(\beta)$. We can therefore define a linear functional $\phi'' : \text{Aff}\pi^{-1}(\beta) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ by

$$\phi''(f) = \phi'(\hat{f}) = \int_S \hat{f}(x) \, dm(x) ,$$

where $\hat{f} \in \mathcal{A}_0(S, \pi)$ is any element with $\hat{f}|_{\pi^{-1}(\beta)} = f$, which exists by (1) in Lemma 4.4. If $f \geq 0$ it follows from (2) of Lemma 4.4 that \hat{f} can be chosen such that $\hat{f} \geq -\epsilon$ for any $\epsilon > 0$ and we see therefore that ϕ'' is a positive linear functional. Since every state of $\text{Aff}\pi^{-1}(\beta)$ is given by evaluation at a point in $\pi^{-1}(\beta)$ it follows in this way that there is an $\omega \in \pi^{-1}(\beta)$ and a number $\lambda \geq 0$ such that

$$\phi'(g) = \lambda g(\omega) \tag{4.9}$$

for all $g \in \mathcal{A}_0(S, \pi)$. In particular, this conclusion holds for all $g \in G \cap \mathcal{A}_0(S, \pi)$. A general element $f \in G$ can be write as a sum

$$f = f_- + f_0 + f_+,$$

where $f_{\pm}, f_0 \in G$, f_0 has compact support and there are natural numbers $n_{\pm} \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $e^{n_-} f_- \in \mathcal{A}_0(S, \pi)$ and $e^{-n_+} f_+ \in \mathcal{A}_0(S, \pi)$. Then $\phi'(f_0) = \lambda f_0(\omega)$,

$$\begin{aligned} \phi'(f_-) &= \phi'(\alpha^{n_-}(e^{n_-} f_-)) = s^{n_-} \phi'(e^{n_-} f_-) \\ &= s^{n_-} \lambda e^{n_- \pi(\omega)} f_-(\omega) = \lambda f_-(\omega), \end{aligned}$$

and similarly, $\phi'(f_+) = \lambda f_+(\omega)$. It follows that $\phi(f) = \lambda f(\omega)$. Inserting $f = 1$ we find that $\lambda = 1$ and the proof is complete. \square

4.4. Application of the Pimsner-Voiculescu exact sequence.

Let B be a stable AF algebra with $(K_0(B), K_0(B)^+) = (\Gamma, \Gamma^+)$ and let γ be an automorphism of B such that $\gamma_* = \alpha$; see [E1].

Additional properties 4.13. By Lemma 4.3 we can arrange that γ has the following additional properties:

- (A) The restriction map $\mu \mapsto \mu|_B$ is a bijection from traces μ on $B \rtimes_{\gamma} \mathbb{Z}$ onto the γ -invariant traces on B , and
- (B) $B \rtimes_{\gamma} \mathbb{Z}$ is \mathcal{Z} -stable; that is $(B \rtimes_{\gamma} \mathbb{Z}) \otimes \mathcal{Z} \simeq B \rtimes_{\gamma} \mathbb{Z}$ where \mathcal{Z} denotes the Jiang-Su algebra, [JS].

Set

$$C = B \rtimes_{\gamma} \mathbb{Z} .$$

It follows from Lemma 4.10 and [E1] that B is γ -simple and hence from [E2] (see also [Ki1]) that C is simple. It follows from the Pimsner-Voiculescu exact sequence, [PV], that we can identify $K_0(C)$, as a group, with the quotient

$$\Gamma / (\text{id} - \alpha)(\Gamma),$$

in such a way that the map $\iota_* : K_0(B) \rightarrow K_0(C)$ induced by the inclusion $\iota : B \rightarrow C$ becomes the quotient map

$$q : \Gamma \rightarrow \Gamma/(\text{id} - \alpha)(\Gamma).$$

Define $S_0 : \Gamma \rightarrow H$ such that

$$S_0(\xi, g) = \Sigma(\xi).$$

Lemma 4.14. $\ker S_0 = (\text{id} - \alpha)(\Gamma)$.

Proof. Since $(\text{id} - \alpha)(\Gamma) = (\text{id} - \sigma) \oplus (\text{id} - \alpha_0)$ and $\Sigma \circ (\text{id} - \sigma) = 0$, we find that $(\text{id} - \alpha)(\Gamma) \subseteq \ker S_0$. Let $(\xi, g) \in \Gamma$, and assume that $S_0(\xi, g) = \Sigma(\xi) = 0$. By Lemma 4.6 of [Th3] there is an element $\xi' \in \bigoplus_{\mathbb{Z}} H$ such that $(\text{id} - \sigma)(\xi') = \xi$. By the definition of Γ there is $\epsilon > 0$ such that $\hat{L}(\xi)$ and g agree on $\pi^{-1}(\cdot) - \epsilon, \epsilon[\cdot]$, and so when $k \geq \epsilon^{-1}$ we have

$$g = \hat{L}(\xi)\psi_k^0 \circ \pi + h^- \psi_k^- \circ \pi + h^+ \psi_k^+ \circ \pi + g_0$$

for some $h^\pm \in \mathbb{Q}[e^{-\pi}, 1 - e^{-\pi}]$ and some $g_0 \in G_{00}$. By the definition of $\mathbb{Q}[e^{-\pi}, 1 - e^{-\pi}]$ there are elements $f^\pm \in \mathbb{Q}[e^{-\pi}, 1 - e^{-\pi}]$ such that $h^\pm = (\text{id} - \alpha_0)(f^\pm)$ and by (4.7) there is an element $g' \in G_{00}$ such that $g_0 = (\text{id} - \alpha_0)(g')$. Define

$$g'' := \hat{L}(\xi')\psi_k^0 \circ \pi + f^- \psi_k^- \circ \pi + f^+ \psi_k^+ \circ \pi + g' \in G.$$

Since

$$(\text{id} - \alpha_0)(\hat{L}(\xi')\psi_k^0 \circ \pi) = \hat{L}((\text{id} - \sigma)(\xi'))\psi_k^0 \circ \pi = \hat{L}(\xi)\psi_k^0 \circ \pi,$$

it follows that $g = (\text{id} - \alpha_0)(g'')$ and hence that $(\xi, g) = (\text{id} - \alpha)(\xi', g'')$. \square

It follows from Lemma 4.14 that S_0 induces an isomorphism

$$S : K_0(C) = \Gamma/(\text{id} - \alpha)(\Gamma) \rightarrow H$$

such that $S \circ q = S_0$.

Lemma 4.15. $S(K_0(C)^+) = H^+$.

Proof. Let $h \in H^+ \setminus \{0\}$. There is then a k so big that $L(\theta(h))(x) > 0$ for all $x \in \pi^{-1}([-\frac{1}{k}, \frac{1}{k}])$. Define

$$g := L(\theta(h))\psi_k^0 \circ \pi + \psi_k^- \circ \pi + \psi_k^+ \circ \pi \in G_k.$$

Then $(h^{(0)}, g) \in \Gamma^+$, $q((h^{(0)}, g)) \in K_0(C)^+$, and $S(q((h^{(0)}, g))) = h$. Hence, $S(K_0(C)^+) \supseteq H^+$. Consider an element $x \in K_0(C)^+ \setminus \{0\}$ and write $x = q(\xi, g)$ for some $(\xi, g) \in \Gamma$. Let $\omega \in \pi^{-1}(0)$. Since $\omega_0 \circ \alpha = \omega_0$, there is a γ -invariant trace τ_ω on B such that $\tau_{\omega_*} = \omega_0$; see Lemma 3.5 in [Th3]. Denote by $P : C \rightarrow B$ the canonical conditional expectation and note that $\tau_\omega \circ P$ is a trace on C . Since $x \in K_0(C)^+ \setminus \{0\}$ and C is simple it follows that

$$(\tau_\omega \circ P)_*(x) > 0.$$

Since $(\tau_\omega \circ P)_*(x) = \Sigma(\xi)(\omega)$, and $\omega \in \pi^{-1}(0)$ was arbitrary, it follows that $S(x) = \Sigma(\xi) \in H^+ \setminus \{0\}$. Hence, $S(K_0(C)^+) \subseteq H^+$. \square

Lemma 4.16. $K_1(C) = 0$.

Proof. To establish this from the Pimsner-Voiculescu exact sequence, [PV], we must show that $\text{id} - \alpha$ is injective. Let $(\xi, g) \in \Gamma$ and assume that $\alpha(\xi, g) = (\xi, g)$. Then $\sigma(\xi) = \xi$, implying that $\xi = 0$ and hence that $g|_{\pi^{-1}(0)} = 0$. Since $(1 - e^{-\pi(x)})g(x) = 0$ for all $x \in S$, it follows that $g = 0$. \square

Let $e \in B$ be a projection such that $[e] = v$ in $K_0(B) = \Gamma$. Since eCe is stably isomorphic to C by [B] it follows that $(K_0(eCe), K_0(eCe)^+) = (K_0(C), K_0(C)^+)$.

4.5. Completing the proof of Theorem 3.1 via classification theory. Let (S, π) and A be as in Theorem 3.1. With $H = K_0(A)$ and the assumed identification of the tracial state space $T(A)$ of A with $\pi^{-1}(0)$ we get the homomorphism $\theta : H \rightarrow \text{Aff}\pi^{-1}(0)$ from the canonical map $K_0(A) \rightarrow \text{Aff}T(A)$. It follows from Theorem 4.11 in [GH] that $\theta(K_0(A))$ is dense in $\text{Aff}\pi^{-1}(0)$ and that

$$K_0(A)^+ = \{h \in K_0(A) : \theta(h)(x) > 0 \ \forall x \in \pi^{-1}(0)\} \cup \{0\}.$$

We can therefore apply the preceding with $H = K_0(A)$, $H^+ = K_0(A)^+$ and $u = [1]$.

Let τ be a trace state on eCe . Then $\tau_* \circ S^{-1} : H \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a positive homomorphism such that $\tau_* \circ S^{-1}(u) = \tau_*(q(v)) = \tau(e) = 1$, and there is therefore a unique trace state τ' on A such that

$$\tau'_* = \tau_* \circ S^{-1}$$

on $K_0(A) = H$.

Lemma 4.17. *The map $\tau \rightarrow \tau'$ is an affine homeomorphism from $T(eCe)$ onto $T(A)$.*

Proof. The map is clearly affine. To show that it is continuous, assume that $\{\tau_n\}$ is a convergent sequence in $T(eCe)$ and let $\tau = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \tau_n$. Then $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \tau_{n*} \circ S^{-1}(h) = \tau_* \circ S^{-1}(h)$ for all $h \in H$. Since A is AF this implies that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \tau'_n = \tau'$ in $T(A)$. To see that the map is surjective, let $\tau \in T(A)$. Then $\tau_* : H \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is given by evaluation at a point $\omega \in \pi^{-1}(0)$, and $\tau_1 = \tau_\omega \circ P$ is a trace state on eCe such that $\tau'_1 = \tau$. To see that the map is also injective, consider $\tau_1, \tau_2 \in T(eCe)$. If $\tau'_1 = \tau'_2$, it follows that $\tau_{1*} = \tau_{2*}$. Since $\tau_{1*} \circ \iota_* = \tau_{2*} \circ \iota_*$ and B is AF it follows that $\tau_1|_B = \tau_2|_B$. Thanks to (A) from Additional properties 4.13 this implies that $\tau_1 = \tau_2$. \square

Lemma 4.18. *eCe is $*$ -isomorphic to A .*

Proof. Since A is AF the K_1 group of A is trivial, and by Lemma 4.16 the same is true for eCe since eCe is stably isomorphic to C . The affine homeomorphism $\tau \rightarrow \tau'$ of Lemma 4.17 is compatible with the isomorphism of ordered groups $S : K_0(eCe) \rightarrow K_0(A)$ from Lemma

4.15 in the sense that $\tau'_* \circ S = \tau_*$, resulting in an isomorphism from the Elliott invariant of eCe onto that of A . Both algebras, A and eCe , are separable, simple, unital, nuclear and in the UCT class. It is well known that all infinite-dimensional unital simple AF algebras are approximately divisible and hence \mathcal{Z} -absorbing by Theorem 2.3 of [TW]; in particular, A is \mathcal{Z} -absorbing. Since C is \mathcal{Z} -absorbing thanks to (B) in Additional properties 4.13, it follows from Corollary 3.2 of [TW] that eCe is \mathcal{Z} -absorbing. Therefore eCe is isomorphic to A by Corollary D of [CETWW], which in turn is based on [GLN1], [GLN2], [EGLN] and [TWW]. (In the case where A is a UHF algebra there is an alternative route through the literature to the same effect. See Remark 4.12 in [Th3].) \square

We consider the dual action on $C = B \rtimes_{\gamma} \mathbb{Z}$ as a 2π -periodic flow and we denote by θ the restriction of this flow to eCe .

Lemma 4.19. *The KMS bundle (S^θ, π^θ) of θ is isomorphic to (S, π) .*

Proof. Let $(\omega, \beta) \in S^\theta$. By Corollary 4.2, $(\hat{\omega}|_B)_*$ is a positive homomorphism $\Gamma \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that $(\hat{\omega}|_B)_*(v) = 1$ and $(\hat{\omega}|_B)_* \circ \alpha = e^{-\beta}(\hat{\omega}|_B)_*$. By Lemma 4.12, there is $\mu \in \pi^{-1}(\beta)$ such that $(\hat{\omega}|_B)_*(\xi, g) = g(\mu)$ for all $(\xi, g) \in \Gamma$. μ is unique since G separates the points of S by Lemma 4.11. We define $\Phi : S^\theta \rightarrow S$ by $\Phi(\omega, \beta) = \mu$. By combining Lemma 4.12 and Corollary 4.2 we conclude that Φ restricts to an affine bijection from $\pi^{\theta^{-1}}(\beta)$ onto $\pi^{-1}(\beta)$ for every $\beta \in \mathbb{R}$. It follows in particular that Φ is surjective. If $(\omega_i, \beta_i) \in S^\theta$, $i = 1, 2$, are such that $\Phi((\omega_1, \beta_1)) = \Phi((\omega_2, \beta_2))$, it follows that $\beta_1 = \pi(\Phi((\omega_1, \beta_1))) = \pi(\Phi((\omega_2, \beta_2))) = \beta_2$ and hence that $(\omega_1, \beta_1) = (\omega_2, \beta_2)$. Thus, Φ is a bijection. Since $\pi \circ \Phi = \pi^\theta$, and π and π^θ are both proper maps, it suffices to show that Φ^{-1} is continuous. Let therefore $\{\omega^n\}$ be a sequence in S such that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \omega^n = \omega$ in S . Set $\beta_n = \pi(\omega^n)$ and note that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \beta_n = \beta$, where $\beta = \pi(\omega)$. It follows that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \omega_{\beta_n}^n(x) = \omega_\beta(x)$ for all $x \in \Gamma$. Let τ^n and τ be the traces on B determined by the conditions that $\tau^{n,*} = \omega_{\beta_n}^n$ and $\tau_* = \omega_\beta$. Then $\Phi^{-1}(\omega^n) = (\tau^n \circ P|_{eCe}, \beta_n)$ and $\Phi^{-1}(\omega) = (\tau \circ P|_{eCe}, \beta)$. It suffices therefore to show that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \tau^n \circ P(exe) = \tau \circ P(exe)$ for all $x \in C$. Since $\tau^n \circ P(e) = \tau \circ P(e) = 1$, it suffices to check for x in a dense subset of C . If w is the canonical unitary in the multiplier algebra of C coming from the construction of C as a crossed product, it suffices to show that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \tau^n \circ P(ebw^k e) = \tau \circ P(ebw^k e)$ for all $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ and all $b \in B$. Since $P(ebw^k e) = 0$ when $k \neq 0$ it suffices to consider the case $k = 0$; that is, it suffices to show that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \tau^n(ebe) = \tau(ebe)$. By approximating ebe by a linear combination of projections from eBe it suffices to show that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \tau^n(p) = \tau(p)$ when p is a projection in eBe . This holds because

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \tau^n(p) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \omega_{\beta_n}^n([p]) = \omega_\beta([p]) = \tau(p).$$

\square

The proof of Theorem 3.1 is complete.

REFERENCES

- [BEH] O. Bratteli, G. A. Elliott and R.H. Herman, *On the possible temperatures of a dynamical system*, Comm. Math. Phys. **74** (1980), 281–295.
- [BEK1] O. Bratteli, G. A. Elliott and A. Kishimoto, *The temperature state space of a dynamical system I*, J. Yokohama Univ. **28** (1980), 125–167.
- [BEK2] O. Bratteli, G. A. Elliott and A. Kishimoto, *The temperature state space of a dynamical system II*, Ann. of Math. **123** (1986), 205–263.
- [BR] O. Bratteli and D.W. Robinson, *Operator Algebras and Quantum Statistical Mechanics I + II*, Texts and Monographs in Physics, Springer Verlag, New York, Heidelberg, Berlin, 1979 and 1981.
- [B] L. G. Brown, *Stable isomorphism of hereditary subalgebras of C^* -algebras*, Pacific J. Math. **71** (1977), 335–348.
- [CETWW] J. Castillejos, S. Evington, A. Tikuisis, S. White and W. Winter, *Nuclear dimension of simple C^* -algebras*, arXiv:1901.05853v3, Invent. Math., to appear.
- [EHS] E.G. Effros, D.E. Handelman and C.-L. Shen, *Dimension groups and their affine representations*, Amer. J. Math. **102** (1980), 385–407.
- [E1] G. A. Elliott, *On the classification of inductive limits of sequences of semisimple finite-dimensional algebras*, J. Algebra **38** (1976), 29–44.
- [E2] G. A. Elliott, *Some simple C^* -algebras constructed as crossed products with discrete outer automorphism groups*, Publ. RIMS, Kyoto Univ. **16** (1980), 299–311.
- [EGLN] G. A. Elliott, G. Gong, H. Lin and Z. Niu, *On the classification of simple amenable C^* -algebras with finite decomposition rank, II*, preprint. arXiv:1507.03437.
- [EST] G. A. Elliott, Y. Sato and K. Thomsen, *In preparation*.
- [GLN1] G. Gong, H. Lin and Z. Niu, *A classification of finite simple amenable Z -stable C^* -algebras, I: C^* -algebras with generalized tracial rank one*, C.R. Math. Acad. Sci. Soc. R. Can. **42** (2020), 63–450.
- [GLN2] G. Gong, H. Lin and Z. Niu, *A classification of finite simple amenable Z -stable C^* -algebras, II: C^* -algebras with rationalized generalized tracial rank one*, C.R. Math. Acad. Sci. Soc. R. Can. **42** (2020), 451–539.
- [GH] K.R. Goodearl and D.E. Handelman, *Metric Completions of Partially Ordered Abelian Groups*, Indiana Univ. Math. J. **29** (1980), 861–895.
- [JS] X. Jiang and H. Su, *On a simple unital projectionless C^* -algebra*, Amer. J. Math. **121** (2000), 359–413.
- [Ki1] A. Kishimoto, *Outer Automorphisms and Reduced Crossed Products of Simple C^* -Algebras*, Comm. Math. Phys. **81** (1981), 429–435.
- [LN] M. Laca and S. Neshveyev, *KMS states of quasi-free dynamics on Pimsner algebras*, J. Funct. Anal. **211** (2004), 457–482.
- [MS1] H. Matui and Y. Sato, *Decomposition rank of UHF-absorbing C^* -algebras*, Duke Math. J. **163** (2014), 2687–2708.
- [MS2] H. Matui and Y. Sato, *Z -stability of crossed products by strongly outer actions*, Comm.Math. Phys. **314**(2012), 193–228.
- [Ni] V. Nistor, *On the homotopy groups of the automorphism group of AF- C^* -algebras*, J. Operator Theory **19** (1988), 319–340.

- [PV] M. Pimsner and D. Voiculescu, *Exact sequences for K -groups and Ext-groups of certain cross-products of C^* -algebras*, J. Oper. Th. **4** (1980), 93–118.
- [Sa] Y. Sato, *The Rohlin property for automorphisms of the Jiang–Su algebra*, J. Funct. Analysis **259** (2010) 453–476.
- [Th1] K. Thomsen, *KMS weights on graph C^* -algebras*, Adv. Math. **309** (2017) 334–391.
- [Th2] K. Thomsen, *Phase transition in the CAR algebra*, Adv. Math. **372** (2020); arXiv:1810.01828
- [Th3] K. Thomsen, *The possible temperatures for flows on a simple AF algebra*, Comm. Math. Phys. **386** (2021), 1489–1518.
- [TWW] A. Tikuisis, S. White and W. Winter, *Quasidiagonality of nuclear C^* -algebras*, Ann. of Math. **185** (2017), 229–284.
- [TW] A. S. Toms and W. Winter, *Strongly self-absorbing C^* -algebras*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **358** (2007), 3999–4029.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF TORONTO, TORONTO, ONTARIO, CANADA M5S 2E4

Email address: `elliott@math.toronto.edu`

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, AARHUS UNIVERSITY, NY MUNKEGADE, 8000 AARHUS C, DENMARK

Email address: `matkt@math.au.dk`