

# On the realization of subgroups of $PGL(2, F)$ , and their automorphism groups, as Galois groups over function fields

Rod Gow and Gary McGuire<sup>1</sup>

School of Mathematics and Statistics  
University College Dublin  
Ireland

## Abstract

Let  $F$  be any field. We give a short and elementary proof that any finite subgroup  $G$  of  $PGL(2, F)$  occurs as a Galois group over the function field  $F(x)$ . We also develop a theory of descent to subfields of  $F$ . This enables us to realize the automorphism groups of finite subgroups of  $PGL(2, F)$  as Galois groups.

## Keywords

Galois group; linear fractional transformation

MSC: 12F12, 11S20

---

<sup>1</sup>email gary.mcguire@ucd.ie

# 1 Introduction

Given a field  $F$  and a finite group  $G$ , the general inverse Galois problem is to determine whether there exists a Galois extension of  $F$  having  $G$  as its Galois group. The solution is known for certain base fields, such as  $\mathbb{C}(x)$  where every finite group occurs as the Galois group of some extension. Both existence proofs and constructive proofs with explicit polynomials appear in the literature. The terminology ‘the inverse Galois problem’ usually refers to the case that the base field is  $\mathbb{Q}$ , where the problem is still open. In this paper our first result is the following theorem.

**Theorem.** *Let  $F$  be any field, and let  $F(x)$  be the field of rational functions in  $x$  over  $F$ . Let  $G$  be any finite subgroup of  $PGL(2, F)$ . Let  $F(x)^G$  be the field of  $G$ -invariant rational functions. Let  $f(x)/g(x)$  be a generator of  $F(x)^G$ . Then  $f(T) - xg(T) \in F(x)[T]$  is irreducible of degree  $|G|$  and has Galois group  $G$  over  $F(x)$ .*

The proof is in Section 4. Our proof is elementary and uses the invariant function field and a lemma (proved in Section 3) about commuting group actions.

A simple argument shows that if  $K$  is an extension field algebraic over  $F$ ,  $f(T) - xg(T)$  remains irreducible over  $K(x)$ , with the same Galois group  $G$ . This deals satisfactorily with algebraic extensions of  $F$ , see Theorem 4. We think of this as an ascent problem, where we go up from  $F$  to  $K$ . However, as we discuss throughout this paper, we frequently find that the polynomials  $f$  and  $g$  described above have coefficients in a proper subfield  $E$ , say, of  $F$  and thus  $f(T) - xg(T)$  is irreducible in  $E(x)[T]$ . We may then ask what is the Galois group of the polynomial over  $E(x)$ . We call this a descent problem, in contrast to the ascent just described. We provide some answers to this problem when  $F$  is a finite Galois extension of  $E$ .

As might be anticipated, the Galois group over the smaller field contains a normal subgroup isomorphic to  $G$ , with quotient isomorphic to the Galois group of  $F$  over  $E$ . The problem then is to determine the group-theoretic structure of what is easily shown to be a split extension. We illustrate the idea by realizing some semi-direct products and automorphism groups as Galois groups over  $\mathbb{Q}$  and  $\mathbb{F}_p(x)$  in Sections 7 and 8. In fact, Sections 5–8 form the greater part of our paper and should be seen as substantial extensions of Theorems 3 and 4. They involve more detailed group theory.

We include several examples to demonstrate the results, which apply in all characteristics. One such example is to realize the automorphism group of  $PGL(2, q)$  as a Galois group over  $\mathbb{F}_p(x)$  (see Theorem 18). We remark here that the richest aspects of the theory occur when  $F$  has prime characteristic  $p$ ,  $G$  is an elementary abelian  $p$ -group and the Galois group of  $F$  over  $E$  is essentially an arbitrary finite group, but we hope to illustrate what may be achieved in a separate paper.

A finite extension  $L$  of  $F(x)$  is said to be *regular* if every element of  $L$  is transcendental over  $F$ , apart from the elements of  $F$  itself. The regular inverse Galois problem is the problem of determining which groups appear as Galois groups of regular extensions of  $F(x)$ . We prove in Corollary 5 that the splitting fields of the polynomials  $f(T) - xg(T)$  in the theorem stated above, are regular. Descending to the subfield  $E(x)$  loses the regularity property; this is proved in Lemma 9.

We should address some remarks about historical precedents for the theory and results we present. The subject of finite subgroups of  $PGL(2, F)$  is a venerable one and can be traced back at least as far as pioneering work of Galois. The ground breaking study of Klein, [7], which appeared in 1884, is especially relevant, and we quote some of his examples in this paper.

Finite subgroups of  $PGL(2, F)$  were studied because of their connection to invariant theory. Researchers such as Carlitz and Dickson had a voluminous output of papers relating to all aspects of invariant theory and finite linear groups, and it is impossible for us to do justice here to the entirety of their contributions to this multifarious topic. We mention as a pertinent example a paper of Carlitz, [4], in which he briefly remarks that  $PSL(2, q)$  is realized as a Galois group over  $\mathbb{F}_q(x)$  using the same type of polynomial that we employ. Specific forms of the invariant functions we use can also be found in the papers of Carlitz and Dickson (and possibly others). Thus Carlitz, on the first page of [4], provides the  $f(x)$  and  $g(x)$  functions for  $PSL(2, q)$ . On the whole, these specific forms are not important to us, although it is fascinating to see them in all their details.

## 2 Background

In this section we present some background for the later sections. In this paper  $\overline{F}$  will denote an algebraic closure of a field  $F$ . We use the notation  $\mathbb{F}_q$  for a finite field with  $q$  elements, where  $q$  will always be a power of a prime number  $p$ .

### 2.1 The Projective General Linear Group $PGL(2, F)$

The general linear group  $GL(2, F)$  is the group of all invertible 2-by-2 matrices with entries in  $F$ . The projective general linear group  $PGL(2, F)$  is the quotient of  $GL(2, F)$  by the subgroup consisting of all the nonzero scalar multiples of the identity (its centre). We write  $PGL(2, q)$  for  $PGL(2, \mathbb{F}_q)$ . When  $q$  is odd,  $PGL(2, q)$  contains a normal subgroup of index 2 called the projective special linear group, denoted by  $PSL(2, q)$ . It is the image of the special linear group  $SL(2, q)$  under the projection homomorphism from  $GL(2, q)$  to  $PGL(2, q)$ .

### 2.2 Function Fields

Let  $F$  be a field, let  $x$  be an indeterminate over  $F$ , and let  $F(x)$  be the field of rational functions in  $x$ . The full group of  $F$ -automorphisms of  $F(x)$  is  $PGL(2, F)$ , which acts by mapping  $r(x) \in F(x)$  to  $r(\frac{ax+b}{cx+d})$ , where  $a, b, c, d \in F$  and the matrix  $\begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix}$  is invertible. Therefore, if  $G$  is a finite group of  $F$ -automorphisms of  $F(x)$ , we may assume that  $G$  is a subgroup of  $PGL(2, F)$ . Let  $F(x)^G$  denote the subfield of rational functions that are fixed by every element of  $G$ . By Lüroth's Theorem there exists a rational function  $\Phi(x)$  such that  $F(x)^G = F(\Phi(x))$ . By Galois theory we know that  $F(x) : F(x)^G$  is a Galois extension with Galois group  $G$ , and  $[F(x) : F(x)^G] = |G|$ .

### 2.3 Orbit Polynomials

Let  $F$  be a field, let  $x$  be an indeterminate over  $F$ , and let  $F(x)$  be the field of rational functions in  $x$ . Let  $G$  be a finite group of automorphisms of  $F(x)$ , i.e., a finite subgroup of  $PGL(2, F)$ . We denote the image of  $x$  under  $s \in G$  by  $s(x)$ .

Let  $T$  be another indeterminate. We define the *orbit polynomial* of  $G$  to be

$$P_G(T) = \prod_{s \in G} (T - s(x)),$$

which is an element of the polynomial ring  $F(x)[T]$ . Note that  $P_G(T)$  also depends on the field  $F$ , but we suppress this from the notation. This polynomial can be found in many previous works, such as Section 1.1 of [6]. Further information and examples can also be found in [5].

Both the roots and the coefficients of  $P_G(T)$  are elements of  $F(x)$ . It is clear that the coefficients of  $P_G(T)$  are fixed by every element of  $G$ , and thus the coefficients lie in  $F(x)^G$ . In fact, all the nonconstant coefficients in  $P_G(T)$  are generators for  $F(x)^G$ . This provides a constructive approach to Lüroth's Theorem, whose truth is thus apparent in this special case. Some coefficients in  $P_G(T)$  may be constant, however at least one coefficient must be nonconstant for otherwise  $x$  would be algebraic over  $F$ . The orbit polynomial  $P_G(T)$  has  $x$  as a root, and is the minimal polynomial of  $x$  over the field  $F(x)^G$ .

### 3 Commuting Actions

We want to consider the following situation. We have a finite subgroup  $G$  of  $PGL(2, F)$  and an irreducible polynomial  $f$  in  $F[x]$ . We assume that  $G$  acts by linear fractional transformations on the roots of  $f$  in some extension field of  $F$ . We will also assume that  $G$  acts transitively and regularly on the roots.

Let  $\Gamma$  be the Galois group of  $f$  over  $F$ . Since we are assuming that  $f$  is irreducible,  $\Gamma$  acts transitively on the roots. The goal is to show that  $\Gamma$  is isomorphic to  $G$ . The key point is that the actions of  $G$  and  $\Gamma$  on the roots commute. The following lemma looks at this commuting situation more generally.

**Lemma 1.** *Let  $\Omega$  be a finite set and let  $G$  and  $H$  be subgroups of the group of all permutations of  $\Omega$ . Suppose that  $G$  acts transitively and regularly on  $\Omega$ , and  $H$  acts transitively. Suppose also that*

$$gh(\alpha) = hg(\alpha)$$

*for all  $g$  in  $G$ ,  $h$  in  $H$  and  $\alpha$  in  $\Omega$ . Then  $H$  acts regularly on  $\Omega$  and is isomorphic to  $G$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $\alpha$  be an element of  $\Omega$  and let  $H_\alpha$  be the stabilizer subgroup of  $\alpha$  in  $H$ . Let  $\beta$  be any other element in  $\Omega$ . Since  $G$  acts transitively on  $\Omega$ , there is some  $g \in G$  with  $\beta = g(\alpha)$ . Now let  $h$  be an element of  $H_\alpha$ . We have then

$$h(\beta) = h(g(\alpha)) = g(h(\alpha)) = \beta.$$

Thus  $h$  fixes  $\beta$  and since  $\beta$  is an arbitrary element of  $\Omega$ ,  $h$  acts trivially on  $\Omega$  and hence is the identity. It follows that  $H_\alpha = 1$  and we deduce that  $H$  acts regularly on  $\Omega$ .

Given  $\alpha$  as above, let  $g$  be any element of  $G$ . We define  $g^*$  in  $H$  to be the unique element in  $H$  with  $g^*(\alpha) = g(\alpha)$ . We claim that  $g \mapsto g^*$  is an injective map from  $G$  onto  $H$ . For suppose that  $g^* = g_1^*$  for  $g_1$  in  $G$ . Then we have

$$g(\alpha) = g_1(\alpha) \text{ and hence } g(\alpha) = g_1(\alpha).$$

This implies  $g = g_1$ , since  $G$  acts regularly, and proves our claim.

We now wish to show that  $g \mapsto g^*$  is an anti-homomorphism, in other words, that

$$(g_1g)^* = g^*g_1^*$$

for all  $g$  and  $g_1$  in  $G$ . We have

$$g^*g_1^*(\alpha) = g^*g_1(\alpha) = g_1g^*(\alpha) = g_1g(\alpha) = (g_1g)^*(\alpha),$$

where we have used the fact that the actions of  $G$  and  $H$  commute. This proves that

$$(g_1g)^* = g^*g_1^*,$$

as required.

To conclude, we define a map  $\theta : G \rightarrow H$  by

$$\theta(g) = (g^*)^{-1}.$$

The map  $\theta$  is a homomorphism, since

$$\theta(g_1g) = ((g_1g)^*)^{-1} = (g^*g_1^*)^{-1} = (g_1^*)^{-1}(g^*)^{-1} = \theta(g_1)\theta(g).$$

We thus have a monomorphism from  $G$  to  $H$ , and since the groups have the same order  $|\Omega|$ , for they both act regularly on  $\Omega$ ,  $G$  and  $H$  are isomorphic.  $\square$

## 4 Main Theorem

The first lemma shows that the polynomials under consideration here,  $f(T) - xg(T) \in F[x, T]$ , are irreducible.

**Lemma 2.** *Let  $F$  be a field, and let  $x, T$  be algebraically independent indeterminates over  $F$ . Let  $f$  and  $g$  be relatively prime polynomials in one variable. Then  $f(T) - xg(T)$  is irreducible in  $F[x, T]$ .*

*Proof.* Suppose  $f(T) - xg(T) = u(x, T)v(x, T)$  over  $F[x, T] = F[T][x]$ . Write  $u(x, T) = \sum_{i=0}^m u_i(T)x^i$  and  $v(x, T) = \sum_{j=0}^n v_j(T)x^j$ , for some positive integers  $m = \deg_x(u)$  and  $n = \deg_x(v)$ . Here the  $u_i(T)$  and  $v_j(T)$  are polynomials in  $T$  with coefficients in  $F$ .

Because  $f(T) - xg(T)$  has degree 1 in  $x$ , one of  $u$  and  $v$  has degree 0 in  $x$  and the other has degree 1 in  $x$ . Without loss of generality we may assume that  $u(x, T)$  has degree 0 in  $x$ , and  $v(x, T)$  has degree 1 in  $x$ , i.e.,  $m = 0$  and  $n = 1$ . Then  $u(x, T) = u_0(T)$  and  $v(x, T) = v_0(T) + xv_1(T)$ . Then

$$f(T) - xg(T) = u(x, T)v(x, T) = u_0(T)v_0(T) + xu_0(T)v_1(T).$$

It follows that  $u_0(T)$  divides both  $f(T)$  and  $g(T)$ . Since  $f$  and  $g$  are relatively prime,  $u_0(T)$  must be a constant. But then  $u(x, T)$  is constant.  $\square$

We remark that the same proof shows that  $f(T) - xg(T)$  is irreducible in  $\overline{F}[x, T]$  (absolutely irreducible).

Here is our main working result.

**Theorem 3.** *Let  $F$  be any field, and let  $F(x)$  be the field of rational functions in  $x$  over  $F$ . Let  $G$  be any finite subgroup of  $PGL(2, F)$ . Let  $F(x)^G$  be the field of  $G$ -invariant rational functions. Let  $f(x)/g(x)$  be a generator of  $F(x)^G$ . Then  $f(T) - xg(T) \in F(x)[T]$  is irreducible of degree  $|G|$  and its Galois group over  $F(x)$  is isomorphic to  $G$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $P = f(T) - xg(T) \in F(x)[T]$ . By Lemma 2 and Gauss's Lemma,  $P$  is irreducible over  $F(x)$ .

Let  $\beta$  be a root of  $P$  in some extension field of  $F(x)$ . Then  $x = f(\beta)/g(\beta)$ . As  $x$  is transcendental over  $F$ , so is  $\beta$ . Since  $\beta$  is transcendental over  $F$ , the  $s(\beta)$  for all  $s$  in  $G$  are distinct (if  $s(\beta) = s'(\beta)$  then this equation implies  $\beta$  is algebraic over  $F$ ).

As  $f(x)/g(x)$  is  $G$ -invariant,  $s(\beta)$  is also a root of  $P$  for all  $s$  in  $G$ . Since  $P$  is a polynomial of degree  $|G|$ , these  $s(\beta)$  for  $s \in G$  must be all the roots of  $P$ . We conclude that  $P$  has distinct roots in a splitting field. We have shown that  $G$  acts transitively and regularly on the  $|G|$  distinct roots of  $P$ .

Let  $\Gamma$  be the Galois group of  $P$  over  $F(x)$ . Since  $P$  is irreducible,  $\Gamma$  acts transitively on the roots.

Let  $\sigma$  be any element of  $\Gamma$  and let  $s$  be any element of  $G$ , with  $s$  defined by  $s(x) = \frac{ax+b}{cx+d}$  where  $a, b, c, d$  are elements of  $F$ . Since the Galois automorphisms are  $F$ -linear, it is clear that

$$\sigma(s(\gamma)) = \sigma(a\gamma + b)/\sigma(c\gamma + d) = (a\sigma(\gamma) + b)/(c\sigma(\gamma) + d) = s(\sigma(\gamma))$$

for each root  $\gamma$ . Thus the actions of  $G$  and  $\Gamma$  on the roots commute. It follows from Lemma 1 that  $G$  is isomorphic to  $\Gamma$ .  $\square$

In summary, one can produce Galois groups in a systematic way as follows.

1. Take a finite subgroup  $G$  of  $PGL(2, F)$  realized as a group of linear fractional transformations.
2. Compute the orbit polynomial  $P_G(T)$  of  $G$ .
3. Let  $f(x)/g(x)$  be any nonconstant coefficient of  $P_G(T)$ . Then the Galois group of  $f(T) - xg(T)$  over  $F(x)$  is  $G$ .

The method will always produce a degree 1 polynomial in  $x$ , with degree  $|G|$  in  $T$ .

We would also like to draw attention to another aspect of Theorem 3 that we think is significant. We present our observation in the form of an extension of the theorem.

**Theorem 4.** *Assume the hypotheses and notation of Theorem 3. Let  $K$  be any extension field of  $F$  that is algebraic over  $F$ . Then  $f(T) - xg(T)$  is irreducible in  $K(x)[T]$  and its Galois group over  $K(x)$  is also isomorphic to  $G$ . This holds in particular when we take  $K$  to be  $\overline{F}$ .*



The proof of this result is identical to that of the previous theorem in virtually all respects. That  $f(T) - xg(T)$  is irreducible in  $K(x)[T]$  follows from our remark after the proof of Lemma 2 that this polynomial is absolutely irreducible. We choose  $K$  to be algebraic over  $F$  to ensure that our indeterminate  $x$  remains transcendental over  $K$ .

Theorem 4 implies that the splitting field of  $f(T) - xg(T)$  is a regular extension of  $F(x)$ , as we now prove.

**Corollary 5.** *Assume the hypotheses and notation of Theorem 3. Let  $L$  be a splitting field for  $f(T) - xg(T)$  over  $F(x)$ . Then  $L$  is a regular extension of  $F(x)$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $P = f(T) - xg(T)$  and suppose that  $\beta \in L$  is algebraic over  $F$ . Let  $K = F(\beta)$ , so that  $K$  is algebraic over  $F$ , and  $F(x) \subseteq K(x) \subseteq L$ .

Recall that  $L$  has degree  $|G|$  over  $F(x)$  by Theorem 3, and  $P$  has degree  $|G|$ . If  $\alpha$  is a root of  $P$  in  $L$ , it follows that  $L = F(x)(\alpha)$ . Since

$$F(x)(\alpha) \subseteq K(x)(\alpha) \subseteq L$$

we may conclude  $L = K(x)(\alpha)$  as well. So, we have  $K(x)(\alpha) = F(x)(\alpha)$ .

Also,  $F(x)(\alpha)$  contains  $K(x)$ , since  $F(x)(\alpha) = K(x)(\alpha)$ , and so

$$|F(x)(\alpha) : K(x)| |K(x) : F(x)| = |F(x)(\alpha) : F(x)| = |G|.$$

As  $K(x)(\alpha) = F(x)(\alpha)$ ,

$$|F(x)(\alpha) : K(x)| = |K(x)(\alpha) : K(x)| = |G|$$

where the last equality holds because  $\alpha$  has degree  $|G|$  over  $K(x)$  by Theorem 4.

So  $K(x)$  has degree 1 over  $F(x)$ , implying  $F(x) = K(x)$  and then  $K = F$ , as these are the fields of constants. So  $\beta$  is in  $F$ , as required.  $\square$

The stability behaviour exhibited in Theorem 4 when we take algebraic extensions of  $F$  may be contrasted with the following behaviour when we look at subfields of  $F$ . As we mentioned in the introductory section, it is often the case that the polynomials  $f$  and  $g$  described in Theorems 3 and 4 have coefficients in some proper subfield  $E$ , say, of  $F$ . Then of course  $f(T) - xg(T)$  is an irreducible polynomial

in  $E(x)[T]$  but its Galois group over  $E(x)$  may have larger order than  $|G|$ . We investigate how to determine the precise Galois group over  $E(x)$  in Sections 5–8 of the paper. These sections form the greater part of our paper and should be seen as substantial extensions of Theorems 3 and 4. They involve more detailed group theory.

We now present a few examples, which will later be reinterpreted in the light of the descent idea.

**Example 1:** Let  $F$  be any field of characteristic not equal to 2 in which  $-1$  is a square. For example,  $F$  can be any algebraic number field containing  $\mathbb{Q}(i)$ , or any function field  $\mathbb{F}_q(x)$  where  $q \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$ . Then  $PGL(2, F)$  contains a subgroup isomorphic to  $A_4$ . One presentation of  $A_4$  given by Felix Klein [7] is that the elements are the linear fractional transformations that take  $x$  to

$$\left\{ \pm x, \pm \frac{1}{x}, \pm \frac{i(x+1)}{x-1}, \pm \frac{i(x-1)}{x+1}, \pm \frac{x+i}{x-i}, \pm \frac{x-i}{x+i} \right\}$$

where  $i^2 = -1$ . The orbit polynomial for this group is easily calculated using a computer algebra package such as Magma [3], or by hand in a small example such as this. A generator of the invariant functions  $F(x)^{A_4}$  is then found to be  $f(x)/g(x)$  where  $f(x) = x^{12} - 33x^8 - 33x^4 + 1$  and  $g(x) = x^{10} - 2x^6 + x^2$ . By Theorem 3

$$f_1(T) = T^{12} - 33T^8 - 33T^4 + 1 - x(T^{10} - 2T^6 + T^2)$$

is irreducible over  $F(x)$  and has Galois group  $A_4$ .

**Example 2:** There is another presentation of  $A_4$  as a subgroup of  $PGL(2, \mathbb{C})$ . Here  $A_4$  is generated by the elements  $s(x) = \frac{x+2}{x-1}$  and  $r(x) = \omega x$  where  $\omega$  is a primitive cube root of unity. A generator for the invariant functions is easily calculated to be  $(x^{12} + 264x^6 + 440x^3 + 24)/(x^3 - 1)^3$ . This remains valid over any field  $F$  of characteristic not equal to 3 that contains a primitive cube root of unity. By Theorem 3

$$f_2(T) = T^{12} + 264T^6 + 440T^3 + 24 - x(T^9 - 3T^6 + 3T^3 - 1)$$

is irreducible over  $F(x)$  and has Galois group  $A_4$ .

The reason for giving two versions of  $A_4$  will become apparent in the next section.

**Example 3:** Let  $F$  be any field in which 2 is a square, and let  $c = 1 + \sqrt{2}$ . Then  $s(x) = (-cx - 1)/(x - c)$  has order 8 in  $PGL(2, F)$ . Let  $C_8$  be the cyclic subgroup

generated by  $s$ . By calculating the orbit polynomial for  $C_8$  we find that a generator for the invariant functions is  $\frac{x^8-28x^6+70x^4-28x^2+1}{x^7-7x^5+7x^3-x}$ . By Theorem 3

$$f_3(T) = T^8 - 28T^6 + 70T^4 - 28T^2 + 1 - x(T^7 - 7T^5 + 7T^3 - T)$$

is irreducible over  $F(x)$  and has Galois group  $C_8$ . This is the polynomial found by Shen [9] by a different method. We obtain a polynomial for  $C_8$  over  $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{2})$ , for example.

**Example 4:** It is well known that the only finite subgroups of  $PGL(2, \mathbb{Q})$  are  $C_n$  and  $D_n$ , for  $n = 1, 2, 3, 4, 6$ . Therefore these groups are realized over  $\mathbb{Q}$  by Theorem 3 (this is not a new result). For example,  $s(x) = \frac{-x-1}{x-1}$  generates a  $C_4$ . A nonconstant coefficient in the orbit polynomial is  $(x^4 - 6x^2 + 1)/(x^3 - x)$  so  $T^4 - 6T^2 + 1 - x(T^3 - T)$  has Galois group  $C_4$  over  $\mathbb{Q}(x)$ . The same example is given in chapter 1 of Serre [9].

As another example, the transformations  $s(x) = \frac{-x-1}{x-1}$  and  $t(x) = -x$  generate the dihedral group of order 8. A nonconstant coefficient in the orbit polynomial is  $(x^8 + 14x^4 + 1)/x^2(x^2 - 1)^2$  so the polynomial  $T^8 + 14T^4 + 1 - xT^2(T^2 - 1)^2$  has Galois group  $D_4$  over  $\mathbb{Q}(x)$ .

## 5 Automorphisms of Base Field and Descent

There is an interesting point concerning rationality and fields of definition that we wish to discuss in this section. Consider the examples in the previous section when the base field  $F$  is  $\mathbb{Q}(i)$  in example 1,  $\mathbb{Q}(\omega)$  in example 2, and  $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{2})$  in example 3. In all cases, the polynomial  $f_i(T)$  actually has coefficients in  $\mathbb{Q}(x)$ . Therefore we can ask for the Galois group of  $f_i(T)$  over  $\mathbb{Q}(x)$ . Analogous phenomena hold for fields such as  $\mathbb{F}_q(x)$ . This is the descent problem already described and we may be able to realize groups other than subgroups of  $PGL(2, F)$  as Galois groups over function fields by working over subfields of  $F$ . Such ideas have been pursued before; see, for example, Section 4 of [2].

We consider the following general configuration. Let  $F$  be a field and let  $H$  be a finite group of automorphisms of  $F$ . Let  $E$  be the fixed field of  $H$ . We may extend the action of  $H$  from  $F$  to  $F(x)$  coefficientwise. Explicitly, if  $\sigma \in H$  and if  $(\sum a_i x^i)/(\sum b_i x^i) \in F(x)$  then

$$\sigma\left(\frac{\sum a_i x^i}{\sum b_i x^i}\right) = \frac{\sum \sigma(a_i) x^i}{\sum \sigma(b_i) x^i}.$$

We may also define an action of  $H$  on  $PGL(2, F)$ , as follows. Given  $\sigma \in H$  and  $s \in PGL(2, F)$ , with  $s$  expressed as a linear fractional transformation in the form  $s(x) = (ax + b)/(cx + d)$ , we define  $\sigma.s$  by

$$\sigma.s(x) = \frac{\sigma(a)x + \sigma(b)}{\sigma(c)x + \sigma(d)}.$$

It is clear that  $\sigma.s \in PGL(2, F)$  and furthermore, we have a homomorphism from  $H$  into the automorphism group of  $PGL(2, F)$ . This homomorphism is injective, as may be proved by elementary Galois theory.

Let  $G$  be a finite subgroup of  $PGL(2, F)$  and  $H$  a subgroup of automorphisms of  $F$ , as above. Given  $\sigma \in H$ , we may define another subgroup  $\sigma.G$  by  $\sigma.G = \{\sigma.s | s \in G\}$ . We say that  $H$  fixes  $G$  or that  $G$  is  $H$ -invariant if  $\sigma.G = G$  for all  $\sigma \in H$ . We note that if  $H$  fixes  $G$ , we inherit a homomorphism from  $H$  to the automorphism group  $Aut(G)$  of  $G$ . A little later in this section, we will give a sufficient condition for this homomorphism to be faithful. In these circumstances, the question of whether the automorphism of  $G$  induced by a given element of  $H$  is inner or outer is relevant, but it seems to be a delicate to give a definitive answer.

In connection with these questions, we need to make precise a concept that we shall apply. As before, let  $G$  be a finite subgroup of  $PGL(2, F)$ . We say that  $G$  is defined over the subfield  $K$  of  $F$  if, for each element  $s$  of  $G$ , where  $s(x) = (ax + b)/(cx + d)$ , there exists a nonzero  $e$  in  $\{a, b, c, d\}$  such that  $a/e, b/e, c/e$  and  $d/e$  are all in  $K$ . This means that when the elements of  $G$  are expressed as fractional transformations, we can assume that all coefficients lie in  $K$ . We also say that  $G$  is not a subgroup of  $PGL(2, K)$  for any proper subfield  $K$  of  $F$  if  $G$  is not defined over any such  $K$ .

We may now proceed to prove a sufficient condition for a Galois group action to be faithful.

**Lemma 6.** *Let  $F$  be any field and let  $G$  be a finite subgroup of  $PGL(2, F)$ . Let  $H$  be a nontrivial finite group of automorphisms of  $F$ . Assume that  $G$  is not a subgroup of  $PGL(2, K)$  for any proper subfield  $K$  of  $F$ . If  $H$  fixes  $G$  then  $H$  acts faithfully as a group of automorphisms of  $G$ .*

*Proof.* Suppose that  $\rho \in H$  acts trivially on  $G$ . Let  $s$  be any element of  $G$ , with  $s(x) = (ax + b)/(cx + d)$ , where  $a, \dots, d$  are in  $F$ . Then, applying  $\rho$  to  $s$ , we obtain

$$\rho.s(x) = s(x) = \frac{\rho(a)x + \rho(b)}{\rho(c)x + \rho(d)} = \frac{ax + b}{cx + d}.$$

It follows that there is some nonzero element  $\lambda$  in  $F$  with

$$\rho(a) = \lambda a, \quad \rho(b) = \lambda b, \quad \rho(c) = \lambda c, \quad \rho(d) = \lambda d.$$

Since  $s$  is invertible, at least two of its entries are nonzero. For definiteness, we shall assume that  $a$  is nonzero, but the argument can trivially be modified if  $a$  is zero, in which case some other entry is nonzero. We have  $\lambda = a^{-1}\rho(a)$  and hence

$$\rho(b) = a^{-1}\rho(a)b, \quad \rho(c) = a^{-1}\rho(a)c, \quad \rho(d) = a^{-1}\rho(a)d.$$

We deduce that  $a^{-1}b, a^{-1}c, a^{-1}d$  are all in the fixed field  $K$ , say, of  $\rho$ . Thus we have

$$b = a\alpha, \quad c = a\beta, \quad d = a\gamma,$$

where  $\alpha, \beta, \gamma$  are in  $K$ .

Since this holds for  $s$  in  $G$ , we see that  $G$  is a subgroup of  $PGL(2, K)$ . Given our hypothesis on  $G$ ,  $K$  equals  $F$  and hence  $\rho = 1$ . This implies that  $H$  acts faithfully as automorphisms of  $G$ , as required.  $\square$

We continue with the hypothesis that  $G$  is a finite subgroup of  $PGL(2, F)$  and  $H$  is a finite group of automorphisms of  $F$ . Recall that  $G$  acts on  $F(x)$  via  $(s.r)(x) = r(s(x))$  for  $r \in F(x)$ ,  $s \in G$ . If  $\sigma \in H$ , then observe that

$$\sigma.(r(s(x))) = r(\sigma.s(x)). \tag{1}$$

We want to relate the property that  $H$  fixes  $G$  to a property of the generator of the fixed subfield of  $G$  acting on  $F(x)$ , as the next lemma informs us.

**Lemma 7.** *Let  $F$  be any field, and let  $F(x)$  be the field of rational functions in  $x$  over  $F$ . Let  $G$  be any finite subgroup of  $PGL(2, F)$ . Assume that  $G$  is not a subgroup of  $PGL(2, K)$  for any proper subfield  $K$  of  $F$ . Let  $f(x)/g(x)$  be a nonconstant coefficient of the orbit polynomial  $P_G(T)$  of  $G$ , with one of  $f, g$  monic. Let  $H$  be a nontrivial finite group of automorphisms of  $F$ , with fixed field  $E$ . Then  $H$  fixes  $G$  if and only if  $f$  and  $g$  have coefficients in  $E$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $P_G(T)$  be the orbit polynomial of  $G$ . The roots of  $P_G(T)$  are the elements  $s(x)$  for  $s \in G$ . We consider the  $s(x)$  as elements of  $F(x)$ , as usual.

First assume that  $\sigma.G = G$  for all  $\sigma \in H$ . Therefore the map  $s(x) \mapsto (\sigma.s)(x)$  is a permutation of the roots of the orbit polynomial of  $G$ . Any permutation of the roots of a polynomial fixes all the coefficients of the polynomial, when the action is extended to the coefficients in the natural way. We conclude that  $H$  fixes the coefficients of the orbit polynomial.

Let  $\Phi(x) = f(x)/g(x)$  be any nonconstant coefficient of  $P_G(T)$ . We assume  $g$  is monic (the same argument works if  $f$  is monic). Let  $\sigma \in H$ . By the previous paragraph  $\sigma.\Phi = \Phi$ , so  $\sigma.f/\sigma.g = f/g$ . This implies  $(\sigma.f)g = (\sigma.g)f$ , so  $g$  divides  $\sigma.g$  since  $\gcd(f, g) = 1$ . Since  $g$  and  $\sigma.g$  have the same degree, and  $g$  is monic, we have  $g = \sigma.g$ , and then also  $f = \sigma.f$ . This is true for any  $\sigma \in H$ , and because the fixed field of  $H$  is  $E$ , the polynomials  $f$  and  $g$  must have coefficients in  $E$ .

For the converse, assume that  $f$  and  $g$  have coefficients in  $E$ . Then  $\Phi(x) = f(x)/g(x)$  has coefficients in  $E$ , so  $\Phi$  is fixed by  $H$ . Let  $\sigma \in H$ , and let  $s \in G$ . By (1) we have  $\sigma.(\Phi(s(x))) = \Phi(\sigma.s(x))$ , and since  $\Phi$  is both  $G$ -invariant and fixed by  $H$ , this becomes  $\Phi(x) = \Phi(\sigma.s(x))$ . This means that  $\Phi$  is invariant under the group  $\sigma.G$  as well as the group  $G$ . If  $\sigma.G \neq G$  then  $\Phi$  is invariant under the group generated by  $\sigma.G$  and  $G$ , which is larger than  $G$ , and this is a contradiction to the Galois correspondence. Hence  $\sigma.G = G$  for all  $\sigma \in H$ .  $\square$

We remark that in the examples considered in this section, we usually calculate the polynomials  $f(x)$  and  $g(x)$  using a computer algebra system and then we can check if these polynomials lie in  $E[x]$ . Then we may deduce that  $H$  fixes  $G$ . However, in a small example it is sometimes clear by inspection that  $H$  fixes  $G$ , and then there is no obvious advantage in appealing to Lemma 7.

In light of Lemma 7, when  $H$  fixes  $G$  we may then ask for the Galois group of  $f(T) - xg(T)$  over  $E(x)$ . This question can be delicate, as the examples below will show. It is partly related to whether  $H$  induces any inner automorphisms.


Firstly we state one obvious connection between the Galois groups over  $F(x)$  and  $E(x)$ . The following lemma is well known from elementary Galois theory.

**Lemma 8.** *Let  $L$  and  $F$  be Galois extensions of  $E$ , contained in a fixed algebraic closure of  $E$ . Then  $\text{Gal}(LF/F) \cong \text{Gal}(L/L \cap F)$ .*

Next we note the following.

**Lemma 9.** *Let  $F$  be any field, and let  $F(x)$  be the field of rational functions in  $x$  over  $F$ . Let  $G$  be any finite subgroup of  $PGL(2, F)$ . Assume that  $G$  is not a subgroup of  $PGL(2, K)$  for any proper subfield  $K$  of  $F$ . Let  $f(x)/g(x)$  be a nonconstant coefficient of the orbit polynomial  $P_G(T)$  of  $G$ . Let  $L_F$  be the splitting field of  $f(T) - xg(T)$  considered as an element of  $F(x)[T]$ . Let  $H$  be a nontrivial finite group of automorphisms of  $F$ , with fixed field  $E$ , and suppose that  $H$  fixes  $G$ . Assume that one of  $f, g$  is monic. Then  $f$  and  $g$  have coefficients in  $E$ . Furthermore, let  $L_E$  be the splitting field of  $f(T) - xg(T)$  considered as an element of  $E(x)[T]$ . Then  $F(x) \subseteq L_E$  and  $L_E = L_F$ .*

*Proof.* We first observe that as  $H$  fixes  $G$ , both  $f$  and  $g$  have coefficients in  $E$ . This follows from Lemma 7. Suppose that  $F(x)$  is not contained in  $L_E$ . Consider the following tower of fields.



Let  $G_1$  be the Galois group of  $F(x)$  over  $F(x) \cap L_E$ , and let  $G_2$  be the Galois group of  $L_E$  over  $F(x) \cap L_E$ . Then the Galois group of the compositum  $F(x)L_E = L_F$  over  $F(x) \cap L_E$  is the direct product  $G_1 \times G_2$ .

Note that  $G = Gal(L_F/F(x))$  by Theorem 3, and  $G_2 \cong G$  by Lemma 8. Also,  $G_1$  is a subgroup of  $H$ , since  $E(x) \subseteq F(x) \cap L_E$  and  $Gal(F(x)/E(x)) \cong Gal(F/E) = H$ . And  $G_1$  is a normal subgroup of  $H$  because  $F(x)$  and  $L_E$  are both Galois extensions of  $E(x)$ , so  $F(x) \cap L_E$  is a Galois extension of  $E(x)$ .

As  $G$  is not a subgroup of  $PGL(2, K)$  for any proper subfield  $K$  of  $F$ ,  $H$  acts faithfully on  $G$ , by Lemma 6. It follows that we can choose  $\tau \in G_1$  and  $s \in G$  such

that  $\tau$  does not fix  $s$ . Suppose  $s$  is given by  $s(x) = (ax + b)/(cx + d)$ .

Let  $\alpha$  be a root of  $f(T) - xg(T)$  in  $L_F$ . Since  $G$  acts transitively and regularly on the roots,  $s(\alpha) = (a\alpha + b)/(c\alpha + d)$  is also a root and  $s$  is the unique element of  $G$  that maps  $\alpha$  to  $s(\alpha)$ .

Apply the automorphism  $(\tau, 1)$  to  $s(\alpha)$ , viewing  $\text{Gal}(L_F/F(x) \cap L_E)$  as  $G_1 \times G_2$ . This element  $(\tau, 1)$  will fix  $\alpha$  and  $s(\alpha)$  and so we obtain  $s(\alpha) = (\tau(a)\alpha + \tau(b))/(\tau(c)\alpha + \tau(d))$ . By uniqueness of  $s$  we get  $(a\alpha + b)/(c\alpha + d) = (\tau(a)\alpha + \tau(b))/(\tau(c)\alpha + \tau(d))$  so there exists nonzero  $\lambda$  such that  $\tau(a) = \lambda a$ ,  $\tau(b) = \lambda b$ ,  $\tau(c) = \lambda c$ , and  $\tau(d) = \lambda d$ . We now apply the argument in the proof of Lemma 6. At least one of  $a$  and  $b$  is nonzero, and we assume for definiteness that  $a$  is nonzero. These equations imply that  $\tau$  fixes  $b/a$ ,  $c/a$  and  $d/a$ . Therefore  $\tau$  fixes  $s(x) = (x + b/a)/(cx/a + d/a)$ , and this is a contradiction to the choice of  $\tau$  and  $s$ . So  $F(x) \subseteq L_E$ .

Since  $L_F$  is the compositum of  $F(x)$  and  $L_E$ , and since  $F(x) \subseteq L_E$ , we obtain  $L_E = L_F$ .  $\square$

Next we demonstrate that in these circumstances, the Galois group over  $E(x)$  is in fact a split extension of the Galois group over  $F(x)$

**Lemma 10.** *Assume the hypotheses of Lemma 9. Consider  $f(T) - xg(T)$  as an element of  $E(x)$ . Let  $L = L_F = L_E$ . Let  $\Gamma = \text{Gal}(L/E(x))$ . Then  $\Gamma$  is a split extension of  $G$ .*

*Proof.* By the Galois correspondence  $\Gamma/G \cong H$ . We will show that this extension of  $G$  is always split. Let  $\alpha$  be a root of  $f(T) - xg(T)$  in  $L$ . Let  $\Gamma_\alpha$  be the stabilizer of  $\alpha$ . Then  $G \cap \Gamma_\alpha = \{1\}$  because  $G$  acts transitively and regularly on the roots of  $f(T) - xg(T)$ . Also,  $|\Gamma_\alpha| = |\Gamma|/|G|$  by the orbit-stabilizer theorem. Therefore

$$|G\Gamma_\alpha| = |G||\Gamma_\alpha|/|G \cap \Gamma_\alpha| = |G||\Gamma_\alpha| = |\Gamma|$$

and so  $\Gamma = G\Gamma_\alpha$ . Thus  $\Gamma$  is a semidirect product of  $G$  and  $\Gamma_\alpha$ .  $\square$

We note that

$$H \cong \Gamma/G = G\Gamma_\alpha/G \cong \Gamma_\alpha/(G \cap \Gamma_\alpha) \cong \Gamma_\alpha.$$

We return to consider some examples in the light of the theory we have developed.



**Example 1 continued:** Let  $F = \mathbb{Q}(i)$  where  $i^2 = -1$ . Then  $PGL(2, F)$  contains a subgroup isomorphic to  $A_4$  as outlined in Example 1 earlier. By Theorem 3

$$f_1(T) = T^{12} - 33T^8 - 33T^4 + 1 - x(T^{10} - 2T^6 + T^2)$$

is irreducible over  $F(x)$  and has Galois group  $A_4$ . By inspection or by Lemma 7 we can see that  $Gal(\mathbb{Q}(i)/\mathbb{Q})$  permutes the elements of  $A_4$ . We may ask for the Galois group of  $f_1$  over  $\mathbb{Q}(x)$ . By Lemma 10,  $Gal(f_1/\mathbb{Q}(x))$  will be a split extension of  $A_4$ . It turns out (using Magma) that the Galois group of  $f_1$  over  $\mathbb{Q}(x)$  is the direct product  $A_4 \times C_2$ .

**Example 2 continued:** Let  $F = \mathbb{Q}(\omega)$  where  $\omega^2 + \omega + 1 = 0$ . Then  $PGL(2, F)$  contains a subgroup isomorphic to  $A_4$  as outlined in Example 2 earlier. By Theorem 3

$$f_2(T) = T^{12} + 264T^6 + 440T^3 + 24 - x(T^9 - 3T^6 + 3T^3 - 1)$$

is irreducible over  $F(x)$  and has Galois group  $A_4$ . By inspection or by Lemma 7 we can see that  $Gal(\mathbb{Q}(\omega)/\mathbb{Q})$  permutes the elements of  $A_4$ . By Lemma 10,  $Gal(f_2/\mathbb{Q}(x))$  will be a split extension of  $A_4$ . It turns out (using Magma) that the Galois group of  $f_2$  over  $\mathbb{Q}(x)$  is  $S_4$ .

The appearance of two different split extensions of order 24 in these two examples, when the original group is  $A_4$  in both cases, demonstrates that determining the Galois group exactly when descending to the subfield  $E(x)$  is not trivial.

**Example 3 continued:** Let  $F$  be a field in which 2 is a square, and let  $c = 1 + \sqrt{2}$ . As shown earlier,

$$f_3(T) = T^8 - 28T^6 + 70T^4 - 28T^2 + 1 - x(T^7 - 7T^5 + 7T^3 - T)$$

is irreducible over  $F(x)$  and has Galois group  $C_8$ , a cyclic group of order 8. Let us assume  $F = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{2})$ . Since  $f_3$  has coefficients in  $\mathbb{Q}$ , by Lemma 7 we get that  $Gal(\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{2})/\mathbb{Q})$  permutes the elements of  $C_8$ . By Lemma 10,  $Gal(f_3/\mathbb{Q}(x))$  will be a split extension of  $C_8$ . The Galois group of  $f_3$  over  $\mathbb{Q}(x)$  has order 16, and according to Magma it is the metacyclic or modular group of order 16 (not dihedral, semidihedral or dicyclic).

**Example 5:** From Klein's copy of  $S_4$  (see [7]) defined over  $\mathbb{Q}(i)$  we obtain the polynomial  $T^{24} + 759T^{16} + 2576T^{12} + 759T^8 + 1 - xT^4(T^4 - 1)^4$  which has Galois group  $S_4$  over  $\mathbb{Q}(i)(x)$ . Over  $\mathbb{Q}(x)$  it has Galois group  $S_4 \times C_2$ , according to Magma.

**Example 6:** From Klein's copy of  $A_5$  (see [7]) defined over  $\mathbb{Q}(\epsilon)$  where  $\epsilon$  is a primitive fifth root of unity, for  $f(T) - xg(T)$  over  $\mathbb{Q}(x)$  we get a Galois group of order 240. It is a semidirect product of  $A_5$  and  $C_4$ , according to Magma.

## 6 Identifying groups obtained by descent

We want in this section to elucidate the structure of the Galois group  $\Gamma$  obtained by descent, as described in Lemma 9, and relate this structure to the groups  $G$  and  $H$ . This of course is dependent on how  $H$  acts on  $G$ . We have a large set of hypotheses that we need to assume, and thus to save ourselves from an excess of repetition, we make an initial statement of what we are assuming, together with some consequences of our hypotheses that we have already proved. Our subsequent results will then be stated in terms of these hypotheses.

**Hypotheses (H).**  $F$  is an arbitrary field and  $G$  is a finite subgroup of  $PGL(2, F)$ . We assume that  $G$  is not a subgroup of  $PGL(2, K)$  for any proper subfield  $K$  of  $F$ . We have a nonconstant coefficient  $f(x)/g(x)$  of the orbit polynomial of  $G$ , with one of  $f$  and  $g$  monic. We also have a finite group  $H$  of automorphisms of  $F$ , with fixed field  $E$ , that fixes  $G$  in its action on  $PGL(2, F)$ . (Thus both  $f$  and  $g$  have coefficients in  $E$ , by Lemma 7.)

The polynomial  $P$  defined by  $P = f(T) - xg(T)$  is an element of  $E(x)[T]$  and  $\Gamma$  is the Galois group of  $P$  over  $E(x)$ . (Thus  $\Gamma$  contains a normal subgroup  $\Gamma_1$ , say, isomorphic to  $G$ , which fixes  $F(x)$  elementwise.  $\Gamma_1$  is the Galois group of  $P$  over  $F(x)$ .)  $\Lambda$  is the set of roots of  $P$  in a splitting field and  $\alpha$  is an element of  $\Lambda$ .  $\Gamma_\alpha$  is the stabilizer of  $\alpha$  in  $\Gamma$ . (Then  $\Gamma_\alpha$  is a complement for  $\Gamma_1$  in  $\Gamma$  and  $\Gamma_\alpha$  is isomorphic to  $H$ , by Lemma 10 and the subsequent observations.)

Our main objective will be to find conditions to guarantee that the centralizer of  $\Gamma_1$  in  $\Gamma$  is contained in  $\Gamma_1$  (and thus is the centre of  $\Gamma_1$ ). When we can be sure that the centralizer is in  $\Gamma_1$ , we may be able to identify  $\Gamma$  in terms of the automorphism group of  $\Gamma_1$ . We will illustrate this principle with some naturally occurring examples of groups  $G$  and  $H$ .

We continue with some further observations about our hypotheses. Let  $L$  be a splitting field for  $P$  over  $E(x)$ . We have proved that  $L$  contains  $F$ . Since  $\Gamma$  fixes  $E$

elementwise, and  $F$  is a Galois extension of  $E$ , it follows that  $\Gamma$  maps  $F$  into itself and we thus have a homomorphism from  $\Gamma$  into the Galois group  $H$  of  $F$  over  $E$ .  $\Gamma_1$  is in the kernel of the homomorphism, as it acts trivially on  $F(x)$ , and we have already shown that the homomorphism is surjective. It follows that in its action on  $F$ ,  $\Gamma_\alpha$  induces the full Galois group  $H$  and we will consequently identify  $\Gamma_\alpha$  with  $H$ .

We can now formulate a simple general principle concerning the number of roots fixed by elements of  $\Gamma_\alpha$ .

**Lemma 11.** *Assume the hypotheses (H). Let  $\tau$  be an element of  $\Gamma_\alpha$ . Then the number of elements of  $\Lambda$  fixed by  $\tau$  equals the order of the subgroup of  $G$  fixed by the automorphism of  $F$  defined by  $\tau$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $\beta$  be an element of  $\Lambda$  fixed by  $\tau$ . The proof of Theorem 3 shows that each element of  $\Lambda$  has the form  $\beta = s(\alpha)$  for a unique element  $s$  of  $G$ . Let  $s$  be defined as the fractional transformation  $x \mapsto (ax + b)/(cx + d)$ , where the coefficients  $a, \dots, d$  are in  $F$ . We thus have

$$\beta = \tau(\beta) = (\tau(a)\alpha + \tau(b))/(\tau(c)\alpha + \tau(d)) = (a\alpha + b)/(c\alpha + d).$$

Arguing as in the proof of Lemma 6, we deduce that  $s$  is fixed by  $\tau$  in its action on  $G$ , and conversely, any element of  $G$  fixed by  $\tau$  determines a root fixed by  $\tau$ . Thus the number of roots fixed by  $\tau$  is the order of this fixed subgroup of  $G$ .  $\square$

We proceed to the proof of an important technical lemma.

**Lemma 12.** *Assume the hypotheses (H) and let  $C$  denote the centralizer of  $\Gamma_1$  in  $\Gamma$ . Suppose that  $C$  is not contained in  $\Gamma_1$ . Then there is a nonidentity element  $\tau$  of  $\Gamma_\alpha$  such that the number of elements of  $\Lambda$  fixed by  $\tau$  is the order of the centralizer in  $\Gamma_1$  of some nonidentity element of  $\Gamma_1$ .*

*Proof.* We first note that as  $\Gamma_1$  is normal in  $\Gamma$ ,  $C$  is also normal in  $\Gamma$ . Let  $z$  be an element of  $C$  not contained in  $\Gamma_1$ . Since  $\Gamma_\alpha$  is a complement of  $\Gamma_1$  in  $\Gamma$ , we can write

$$z = g^{-1}\tau,$$

where  $g$  is an element of  $\Gamma_1$  and  $\tau$  an element of  $\Gamma_\alpha$ . We note that  $\tau \neq 1$ , since otherwise  $z$  is an element of  $\Gamma_1$ , contrary to hypothesis.

Let us now show that  $g \neq 1$ . For if  $g = 1$ , it follows that  $z$  is a nonidentity element of  $\Gamma_\alpha$  and hence fixes  $\alpha$ . We derive a contradiction as follows. Let  $h$  be any element of  $\Gamma_1$ . Then we have

$$zh(\alpha) = hz(\alpha) = h(\alpha),$$

since  $z$  and  $h$  commute. But  $\Gamma_1$  acts transitively on  $\Lambda$  and hence  $z$  fixes all roots. This is the desired contradiction, since only the identity of  $\Gamma_1$  fixes all roots. Thus we have shown that  $g \neq 1$ .

Clearly,  $\tau = gz = zg$  and we will proceed to calculate how many roots are fixed by  $\tau$  when we represent  $\tau$  in this form. We claim that the answer is  $|D|$ , where  $D$  is the centralizer of  $g$  in  $\Gamma_1$ . Let us note that any element  $d$  of  $D$  commutes with  $zg = \tau$ , since  $z$  centralizes  $\Gamma_1$ . Thus the argument above shows that  $\tau$  fixes  $d(\alpha)$ . Since  $\Gamma_1$  acts regularly on  $\Lambda$ , it follows that we have found  $|D|$  different roots fixed by  $\tau$ .

To complete the enumeration, we show that any root  $\beta$  fixed by  $\tau$  has the form  $e(\alpha)$  for some  $e$  in  $D$ . To achieve this, we recall that  $\beta = w(\alpha)$  for a unique  $w$  in  $\Gamma_1$ . Thus we obtain

$$zgw(\alpha) = w(\alpha).$$

Since  $w$  commutes with  $z$ ,

$$zw^{-1}gw(\alpha) = \alpha = zg(\alpha).$$

We cancel  $z$  from the equality above and deduce that  $g^{-1}w^{-1}gw$  fixes  $\alpha$ . Hence  $w^{-1}gw = g$ , since  $\Gamma_1$  acts regularly, and thus  $w$  centralizes  $g$ . This establishes the desired formula for the number of fixed roots.  $\square$

We may rapidly deduce what we consider to be a significant consequence of Lemma 12.

**Theorem 13.** *Assume the hypotheses (H), with the further hypothesis that  $G$  is abelian. Then  $\Gamma_1$  is its own centralizer in  $\Gamma$  and hence  $\Gamma/\Gamma_1$  acts faithfully on  $\Gamma_1$  as a group of automorphisms.*

*Proof.* Let  $C$  denote the centralizer of  $\Gamma_1$  in  $\Gamma$ . Since  $G$  is abelian,  $\Gamma_1$  is contained in  $C$ . Suppose if possible that  $C$  is strictly larger than  $\Gamma_1$ . Then Lemma 12 states that there is a nonidentity element  $\tau$  of  $\Gamma_\alpha$  such that the number of roots fixed by  $\tau$  is the order of the centralizer in  $\Gamma_1$  of an element of  $\Gamma_1$ . However, as  $\Gamma_1$  is abelian, this number is  $|\Gamma_1|$ . Thus  $\tau$  fixes all roots, which we know is impossible. Therefore,  $C = \Gamma_1$ , as required.  $\square$

## 7 An application in Characteristic 0

When  $F$  is a field of characteristic zero, apart from the three groups related to the regular polyhedra, there are only cyclic and dihedral subgroups of  $PGL(2, F)$ . We will apply the theory developed so far to investigate a Galois group related to the dihedral group. We take  $F = \mathbb{Q}(\zeta_n)$  to be a cyclotomic field, generated by the primitive  $n$ -th root of unity  $\zeta_n$ . In this case,  $PGL(2, F)$  contains a dihedral subgroup,  $G$ , say, of order  $2n$  generated by the fractional transformations

$$s(x) = \zeta_n x, \quad t(x) = 1/x,$$

where  $s$  has order  $n$ ,  $t$  has order 2, and  $t$  inverts  $s$ . The polynomial  $x^n$  is invariant under  $s$  and we easily see that

$$x^n + x^{-n} = (x^{2n} + 1)/x^n$$

generates the subfield of  $G$ -invariant rational functions in  $F(x)$ .

It follows from Theorem 3 that the polynomial

$$D(T) = T^{2n} - xT^n + 1$$

has Galois group  $G = D_n$  over  $F(x)$ . We want to describe the Galois group of  $D(T)$  over  $\mathbb{Q}(x)$ . It has order  $2n\phi(n)$ , where  $\phi$  denotes the Euler function, and we may anticipate that the group is related to the automorphism group of the dihedral group. We intend to show that, when  $n$  is odd, the Galois group is isomorphic to the direct product of the automorphism group of  $D_n$  with a group of order 2. We omit a description of the Galois group when  $n$  is even, not least because the answer is somewhat messy.

We start our analysis by determining the automorphism group of the dihedral group when  $n$  is odd.

**Lemma 14.** *Let  $G$  be a dihedral group of order  $2n$ , where  $n$  is odd. Then the automorphism group of  $G$  has order  $n\phi(n)$  and it contains a normal cyclic subgroup of order  $n$  generated by an inner automorphism.*

*Proof.* We take  $G$  to be generated by elements  $s$  and  $t$ , as above, where  $s$  has order  $n$  and  $t$  is an involution that inverts  $s$ . Let  $H$  be the cyclic subgroup of order  $n$  generated by  $s$ . It is straightforward to see that  $H$  is characteristic in  $G$  and hence we

have a homomorphism from the automorphism group of  $G$  into the automorphism group of  $H$ , obtained by restriction to  $H$ . We shall now determine the kernel  $K$ , say, of this homomorphism.

Let  $\sigma$  be an element of  $K$ . Then we have  $\sigma(s) = s$ . Since  $\sigma(t)$  is an involution in  $G$ , it can be written as  $s^a t$  for some integer  $a$ . We claim that  $\sigma$  is inner. For, since  $|H|$  is odd, we can write  $s^a = s^{2b}$  for some integer  $b$ . Then we have

$$s^b t s^{-b} = s^{2b} t = s^a t = \sigma(t).$$

Since conjugation by  $s^b$  fixes  $H$  elementwise,  $\sigma$  is the inner automorphism determined by  $s^b$ , as claimed.

Conversely, any inner automorphism of  $G$  defined by an element of  $H$  fixes  $H$  elementwise and hence is in  $K$ . This implies that  $K$  is cyclic of order  $n$  and is identical with the subgroup of inner automorphisms determined by  $H$ . We proceed to show that any automorphism of  $H$  may be extended to  $G$ , so that the homomorphism of one group to the other is surjective. Let  $m$  be an integer relatively prime to  $n$  and define  $\tau : G \rightarrow G$  by

$$\tau(s^r) = s^{rm}, \quad \tau(s^r t) = s^{rm} t,$$

for  $1 \leq r \leq n$ . It is easy to verify that  $\tau$  is an automorphism of  $G$  that extends the automorphism  $s \mapsto s^m$  of  $H$ . Since there are  $\phi(n)$  such automorphisms, we have proved the required result.  $\square$

**Theorem 15.** *Let  $n > 1$  be an odd integer. Then the Galois group of  $D(T) = T^{2n} - xT^n + 1$  over  $\mathbb{Q}(x)$  is isomorphic to the direct product of the automorphism group of a dihedral group of order  $2n$  with a group of order 2.*

*Proof.* We again adopt the notation of Hypotheses (H), with  $F = \mathbb{Q}(\zeta_n)$ ,  $E = \mathbb{Q}$ ,  $G$  a dihedral group of order  $2n$  and  $H$  abelian of order  $\phi(n)$ .  $\Gamma$  contains a normal subgroup  $\Gamma_1$  isomorphic to the dihedral group, with quotient of order  $\phi(n)$ .

Let  $C$  denote the centralizer of  $\Gamma_1$  in  $\Gamma$ . We certainly have  $\Gamma_1 \cap C = 1$ , since the centre of a dihedral group is trivial when  $n$  is odd. On the other hand,  $C$  is nontrivial. For if  $C = 1$ ,  $\Gamma$  embeds into the automorphism group of  $\Gamma_1$ , which we know from Lemma 14 has order  $n\phi(n)$ , whereas  $|\Gamma| = 2n\phi(n)$ . This contradiction implies that  $C > 1$ . We need to show that  $|C| = 2$ .

Let  $z$  be a nonidentity element of  $C$ . As we observed in Lemma 12, we can write  $zg = \tau$ , where  $g$  and  $\tau$  are nonidentity elements of  $\Gamma_1$  and  $\Gamma_\alpha$ , respectively. The

number of roots of  $D(T)$  fixed by  $\tau$  is the order of the centralizer of  $g$  in  $\Gamma_1$ . Now as  $\Gamma_1$  is isomorphic to a dihedral group of order  $2n$ , where  $n$  is odd, there are two possibilities. Either  $g$  has odd order, dividing  $n$ , in which case its centralizer in  $\Gamma_1$  has order  $n$ , or  $g$  has order 2, in which case its centralizer in  $\Gamma_1$  has order 2. We claim that the first case cannot occur. For if the centralizer of  $g$  has order  $n$ ,  $\tau$  fixes exactly  $n$  roots of  $D(T)$ . However,  $D(T)$  clearly has the property that if  $\beta$  is a root, so also is its reciprocal. Since  $\beta$  and  $\beta^{-1}$  are different,  $\tau$  must fix an even number of roots. This verifies our claim that  $g$  has order 2.

Since  $z$  and  $g$  commute, we may square the equation  $zg = \tau$  to obtain  $z^2 = \tau^2$ , and deduce that  $z^2$  is in  $\Gamma_\alpha$  and hence fixes the root  $\alpha$ . If  $z^2$  is not the identity, the argument used in the proof of Lemma 12 implies that  $z^2$  fixes all roots, since it centralizes  $\Gamma_1$ . This cannot occur and hence  $z^2 = 1$ . Thus we have shown that all nonidentity elements of  $C$  have order 2.

Suppose if possible that  $C$  has order greater than 2, and let  $z_1$  be another element of order 2 in  $C$  different from  $z$ . Then we can write  $z_1g_1 = \tau_1$ , where  $g_1$  is an element of order 2 in  $\Gamma_1$ , and  $\tau_1$  an element of order 2 in  $\Gamma_\alpha$ . When we multiply this equation by  $zg = \tau$ , and recall that  $C$  centralizes  $\Gamma_1$ , we obtain

$$zz_1(gg_1) = \tau\tau_1,$$

where  $zz_1 \in C$ ,  $gg_1 \in \Gamma_1$  and  $\tau\tau_1 \in \Gamma_\alpha$ . Since  $zz_1$  is not the identity, the argument above shows that each element  $zz_1$ ,  $gg_1$  and  $\tau\tau_1$  has order 2. But  $g$  and  $g_1$  are elements of order 2 in a dihedral group of order  $2n$ , where  $n$  is odd, and it is well known and easy to prove that their product has odd order. We have arrived at a contradiction and we have thus proved that  $|C| = 2$ , as required.

To finish the argument,  $\Gamma/C$  is isomorphic to a subgroup of the automorphism group of  $\Gamma_1$  and a comparison of orders, taken in conjunction with the conclusion of Lemma 14, shows that  $\Gamma/C$  is isomorphic to the entire automorphism group of  $\Gamma_1$ .  $C$  is central in  $\Gamma$ , since it is normal and has order 2. We are required to show that it is a direct factor. Let  $z$  be a generator of  $C$ . As we have already argued,  $z$  fixes no roots of  $D(T)$ , since it centralizes  $\Gamma_1$ . Thus in its permutation action on the  $2n$  roots,  $z$  is a product of  $n$  disjoint transpositions. Since  $n$  is odd,  $z$  determines an odd permutation and hence in the symmetric group of degree  $2n$ ,  $\Gamma$  is the direct product of a subgroup of index 2, lying in the alternating group of degree  $2n$ , and the central subgroup generated by the permutation corresponding to  $z$ . This completes the proof.  $\square$

## 8 Further Descent Analysis in Characteristic $p$

In this section, we will realize the automorphism groups of several subgroups of  $PGL(2, q)$  as Galois groups over  $\mathbb{F}_p(x)$ . We do this by applying the descent methods outlined in the previous section to go from  $\mathbb{F}_q(x)$  to  $\mathbb{F}_p(x)$ . We start by realizing the automorphism group of  $PGL(2, q)$  as a Galois group over  $\mathbb{F}_p(x)$ . This group is the same as the automorphism group of  $PSL(2, q)$ . We also realize a subgroup of index 2 in the automorphism group of  $PSL(2, q)$  as a Galois group over  $\mathbb{F}_p(x)$ . The automorphism group of  $PGL(2, q)$  is sometimes known as  $P\Gamma L(2, q)$ , as discussed in [1], Section 15, for example.

We briefly recall some properties of  $PGL(2, q)$  that we need to prove subsequent results. If  $q$  is odd and at least 5,  $PGL(2, q)$  has a unique proper normal subgroup  $PSL(2, q)$ , which has index 2 in  $PGL(2, q)$ .  $PSL(2, q)$  is a nonabelian simple group. The centre of  $PGL(2, q)$  is trivial. When  $q = 3$ ,  $PGL(2, 3)$  is isomorphic to the symmetric group  $S_4$ , and  $PSL(2, 3)$  is isomorphic to the alternating group  $A_4$ , whose centre is also trivial.  $PSL(2, 3)$  contains a normal abelian subgroup of order 4, which is normal in  $PGL(2, 3)$ .

When  $q$  is a power of 2,  $PGL(2, q)$  is a nonabelian simple group provided that  $q > 2$ .

**Lemma 16.** *Let  $q = p^n$ , where  $p$  is a prime, and let  $X = \text{Aut}(PGL(2, q))$  denote the automorphism group of  $PGL(2, q)$ . Likewise, let  $Y = \text{Aut}(PSL(2, q))$  denote the automorphism group of  $PSL(2, q)$ . Then  $X$  is naturally a subgroup of  $Y$  and hence has order dividing  $nq(q^2 - 1)$ .*

*Proof.* We set  $G = PGL(2, q)$  and  $H = PSL(2, q)$ . We begin by considering the case where  $q$  is a power of 2. In this circumstance,  $G = H$ , and thus automatically  $X = Y$ . We may therefore make the assumption that  $q$  is odd.

It is well known that  $H$  is the commutator subgroup of  $G$  and hence is characteristic in  $G$ . Thus, restriction of the elements of  $X$  to  $H$  naturally defines a homomorphism from  $X$  into  $Y$ . We claim that if an element  $\sigma \in X$  acts trivially on  $H$ , then  $\sigma$  is the identity.

To prove the claim, we proceed as follows. Suppose that  $\sigma(h) = h$  for all  $h$  in  $H$ . Let  $t$  be an element of  $G \setminus H$ . We want to show that  $\sigma(t) = t$  also, from which our



claim will follow. Since  $t^{-1}ht \in H$ , we have

$$\sigma(t^{-1}ht) = t^{-1}ht = \sigma(t)^{-1}\sigma(h)\sigma(t) = \sigma(t)^{-1}h\sigma(t).$$

This shows that  $\sigma(t)t^{-1}$  centralizes  $H$  and hence is an element of the centralizer of  $H$  in  $G$ , which we shall call  $C$ .

It is certainly the case that  $C$  is normal in  $G$ , since  $H$  is normal in  $G$ . Now, as it is well known that  $H$  is the unique proper normal subgroup of  $G$ , except when  $q = 3$ , either  $C = 1$  or  $C = H$  when  $q > 3$ . However,  $H$  is nonabelian and simple when  $q > 3$ , and hence we cannot have  $C = H$ , because this implies that  $H$  is abelian. It follows that  $C = 1$  and so  $\sigma(t) = t$ . This establishes the claim for  $q > 3$ .

We need to examine what happens when  $q = 3$ . It is still the case that  $H$  contains all proper normal subgroups of  $G$  and thus  $C$  lies in  $H$ . Then  $C$  must be the centre of  $H$ . But when  $q = 3$ , as we noted above,  $H$  is isomorphic to  $A_4$  and thus has trivial centre. This forces the conclusion that  $C = 1$  and we again have that  $\sigma$  is the identity.

Having established this embedding of  $X$  into  $Y$ , our estimate for the order of  $X$  follows for example from Theorem 3.2 of [10].  $\square$

We remark that we could be more precise in the previous lemma, since  $X$  equals  $Y$ , but this will become apparent in the proof that follows.

## 8.1 $PGL(2, q)$

We now apply this analysis to identify the Galois group  $\Gamma$  in Lemma 10 when  $G = PGL(2, q)$ . Before embarking on the proof, we extract a lemma needed in the course of the argument.

**Lemma 17.** *Let  $G$  be a subgroup of  $PGL(2, q)$ , where  $q$  is a power of the prime  $p$ . Suppose that the centralizer in  $G$  of some nonidentity element  $g$  has order divisible by  $p$ . Then  $g$  has order  $p$  and the order of the centralizer is a power of  $p$ .*

This result must be well known, and it is easy to prove. For a formal proof, we refer to Lemma 4 of [5].

**Theorem 18.** *Let  $q = p^n$ , where  $p$  is a prime, and let  $G = PGL(2, q)$ . Let  $\Phi(x) = f(x)/g(x)$  be a nonconstant coefficient in the orbit polynomial of  $G$ . Let  $P = f(T) - xg(T)$  and consider  $P$  as an element of  $\mathbb{F}_p(x)[T]$ . Then the Galois group  $\Gamma$  of  $P$  over  $\mathbb{F}_p(x)$  is isomorphic to the automorphism group  $Aut(G)$  of  $G$  and has order  $n|G|$ .*

*Proof.* We employ the notation of Hypotheses (H), with  $F = \mathbb{F}_q$ ,  $E = \mathbb{F}_p$ ,  $G = PGL(2, q)$  and  $H$  cyclic of order  $n$ . Let  $\sigma$  be a nonidentity element of  $\Gamma_\alpha$ . We have seen that  $\sigma$  induces a field automorphism of  $\mathbb{F}_q$ . Let  $p^a$  be the order of the subfield of  $\mathbb{F}_q$  fixed elementwise by  $\sigma$ . Now  $\sigma$  also induces a group automorphism of  $PGL(2, q)$  and it is straightforward to see that the subgroup of fixed points of this action is  $PGL(2, p^a)$ . We note here that the order of this subgroup is divisible by  $p$ . Lemma 11 tells us that the number of roots of  $P$  fixed by  $\sigma$  is  $|PGL(2, p^a)|$ .

Turning to the Galois group  $\Gamma$ , we know that  $\Gamma$  contains a normal subgroup  $\Gamma_1$  isomorphic to  $PGL(2, q)$ , and the quotient is cyclic of order  $n$ . Let  $C$  denote the centralizer of  $\Gamma_1$  in  $\Gamma$ . Since the centre of  $PGL(2, q)$  is trivial,  $\Gamma_1 \cap C = 1$ . Suppose that  $C$  is nontrivial. Then by Lemma 12, there is a nonidentity element  $\tau$ , say, of  $\Gamma_\alpha$  such that the number of roots of  $P$  fixed by  $\tau$  is the order of the centralizer in  $\Gamma_1$  of some nonidentity element  $g$  of  $\Gamma_1$ .

The argument above shows that the order of the centralizer of  $g$  in  $\Gamma_1$  is divisible by  $p$ . Since  $\Gamma_1$  is isomorphic to  $PGL(2, q)$ , Lemma 17 tells us that  $g$  has order  $p$  and the order of the centralizer is a power of  $p$ . This in turn implies that  $|PGL(2, p^a)|$  is a power of  $p$ , which is certainly not true. It follows that  $C$  is trivial.

As is well known, since  $\Gamma_1$  is normal in  $\Gamma$ , we have a homomorphism from  $\Gamma$  into the automorphism group of  $\Gamma_1$ , defined by conjugation action, and the kernel is  $C$ . Given the triviality of  $C$  just proved,  $\Gamma$  is realized as a subgroup of  $Aut(\Gamma_1)$ . We also know from Lemma 16 that  $|Aut(\Gamma_1)|$  has order dividing  $n|G|$ . Since  $|\Gamma| = n|\Gamma_1|$ , it follows that  $\Gamma$  is isomorphic to  $Aut(PGL(2, q))$ , as claimed.  $\square$

## 8.2 $PSL(2, q)$

There is an analogue of Theorem 18 that applies to the group  $PSL(2, q)$ . As we mentioned earlier, we realize not the whole automorphism group but rather a subgroup of index 2. We confine attention to the case that  $q$  is odd, since the groups  $PGL(2, q)$  and  $PSL(2, q)$  are identical when  $q$  is a power of 2.

**Theorem 19.** *Let  $q = p^n$ , where  $p$  is an odd prime, and let  $G = PSL(2, q)$ . Let  $\Phi(x) = f(x)/g(x)$  be a nonconstant coefficient in the orbit polynomial of  $G$ . Let  $C(T) = f(T) - xg(T)$  and consider  $C(T)$  as an element of  $\mathbb{F}_p(x)[T]$ . Then the Galois group  $\Gamma$  of  $C(T)$  over  $\mathbb{F}_p(x)$  is isomorphic to a subgroup of the automorphism group of  $G$  and has order  $n|G|$ .*

*Proof.* The proof is essentially identical to the proof of the previous theorem. The main point of difference is the following. Let  $\alpha$  be a root of  $C(T)$  in a splitting field over  $\mathbb{F}_p(x)$ . Then the other roots of  $C(T)$  have the form

$$\frac{a\alpha + b}{c\alpha + d},$$

where  $a, \dots, d$  are elements of  $\mathbb{F}_q$  with  $ad - bc$  a nonzero square in  $\mathbb{F}_q$ . When an element  $\tau$  of  $\Gamma_\alpha$  acts on the roots, the number of roots it fixes is either  $|PSL(2, p^a)|$  or  $|PGL(2, p^a)|$ , where  $p^a$  is the order of the subfield of fixed points of  $\tau$  acting on  $\mathbb{F}_q$ . The vital part of the argument is that the number of fixed roots is still divisible by  $p$  and this enables the rest of the proof to proceed as in the former case.  $\square$

### 8.3 Affine General Linear Group

Similar techniques can be applied to realize another subgroup of  $PGL(2, q)$  as a Galois group over  $\mathbb{F}_q(x)$  and to realize its full automorphism group as a Galois group over  $\mathbb{F}_p(x)$ . We assume as usual that  $q = p^n$ , where  $p$  is a prime.

Consider the subgroup  $G$ , say, of  $PGL(2, q)$  consisting of all affine linear transformations of the form  $x \mapsto ax + b$ , where  $a$  and  $b$  run over all elements in  $\mathbb{F}_q$ , subject to  $a \neq 0$ . It is clear that  $|G| = q(q - 1)$ .  $G$  is usually called the (one-dimensional) affine general linear group and is denoted by  $AGL_1(\mathbb{F}_q)$ .  $G$  is the normalizer of a Sylow  $p$ -subgroup of  $PGL(2, q)$ .

We note that  $G$  is invariant under the action of the Galois group of  $\mathbb{F}_q$  over  $\mathbb{F}_p$ . Thus we have an action of the cyclic group of order  $n$  as automorphisms of  $G$ . Aside from the case when  $q = 2$ ,  $G$  has trivial centre and thus  $G$  coincides with its subgroup of inner automorphisms. The Galois group induces a cyclic subgroup of automorphisms of  $G$ , which, apart from the identity, are not inner. Thus  $G$  has a group of automorphisms of order  $nq(q - 1)$ , containing a normal subgroup isomorphic to  $G$ , and having a cyclic quotient of order  $n$ . The group of automorphisms obtained

is denoted by  $AGL_1(\mathbb{F}_q)$  and it can be shown<sup>2</sup> to be the full group of automorphisms of  $AGL_1(\mathbb{F}_q)$ , except when  $q = 2$ .

When  $G$  acts on  $\mathbb{F}_q(x)$ , it leaves invariant the polynomial  $(x^q - x)^{q-1}$ , as is easily confirmed. Since this polynomial has degree  $q(q-1) = |G|$ , it generates the field of  $G$ -invariant rational functions. It follows from Theorem 3 that the polynomial  $(T^q - T)^{q-1} - x$  in  $\mathbb{F}_q(x)[T]$  has Galois group over  $\mathbb{F}_q(x)$  isomorphic to  $G$ .

We show that over  $\mathbb{F}_p(x)$ ,  $(T^q - T)^{q-1} - x$  has Galois group isomorphic to  $AGL_1(\mathbb{F}_q)$  and we thus realize the automorphism group of  $AGL_1(\mathbb{F}_q)$  as a Galois group over  $\mathbb{F}_p(x)$ , the case  $q = 2$  being excluded.

**Theorem 20.** *Let  $q = p^n$ , where  $p$  is a prime and  $q > 2$ . Let  $B(T) = (T^q - T)^{q-1} - x$  and consider  $B(T)$  as an element of  $\mathbb{F}_p(x)[T]$ . Then the Galois group  $\Gamma$  of  $B(T)$  over  $\mathbb{F}_p(x)$  is isomorphic to the automorphism group of the affine general linear group  $AGL_1(\mathbb{F}_q)$  and has order  $nq(q-1)$ .*

*Proof.* We follow the proof of Theorem 18 and only give a sketch, as the proofs are almost identical. We again adopt the notation of Hypotheses (H), with  $F = \mathbb{F}_q$ ,  $E = \mathbb{F}_p$ ,  $G = AGL_1(\mathbb{F}_q)$  and  $H$  cyclic of order  $n$ .  $\Gamma$  contains a normal subgroup  $\Gamma_1$  isomorphic to  $AGL_1(\mathbb{F}_q)$ , with quotient cyclic of order  $n$ .

Let  $\alpha$  be a root of  $B(T)$  in the splitting field. The roots of  $B(T)$  have the form  $a\alpha + b$ , where  $a$  and  $b$  run over  $\mathbb{F}_q$ , with  $a \neq 0$ . Let  $\sigma$  be an element of  $\Gamma_\alpha$  and let  $\beta = a\alpha + b$  be a root of  $B(T)$ . Then

$$\sigma(\beta) = \sigma(a)\alpha + \sigma(b)$$

and it follows that the number of roots fixed by  $\sigma$  is  $p^a(p^a - 1)$ , where  $p^a$  is the order of the subfield of  $\mathbb{F}_q$  fixed elementwise by  $\sigma$ .

Let  $C$  be the centralizer of  $\Gamma_1$  in  $\Gamma$ . Since  $\Gamma_1$  has trivial centre when  $q > 2$ ,  $\Gamma_1 \cap C = 1$ . Suppose that  $C$  is nontrivial. Then by Lemma 12, there is a nonidentity element  $\tau$ , say, of  $\Gamma_\alpha$  such that the number of roots of  $B(T)$  fixed by  $\tau$  is the order of the centralizer in  $\Gamma_1$  of some nonidentity element  $g$  of  $\Gamma_1$ .

---

<sup>2</sup>For a proof, provided by Derek Holt, we refer to the question ‘‘Automorphism group of the general affine group of the affine line over a finite field’’, posted on StackExchange and answered on 19 February 2015.

The argument above now shows that the order of the centralizer of  $g$  in  $\Gamma_1$  has the form  $p^a(p^a - 1)$  and in turn Lemma 17 tells us that  $g$  has order  $p$  and the order of the centralizer is a power of  $p$ . Since  $p^a(p^a - 1)$  is not a power of  $p$ , we have a contradiction and we have therefore shown that  $C = 1$ .

Consequently,  $\Gamma$  embeds into the automorphism group of  $AGL_1(\mathbb{F}_q)$  and since the automorphism group has the same order as  $\Gamma$ , namely  $nq(q - 1)$ ,  $\Gamma$  is isomorphic with the automorphism group of  $AGL_1(\mathbb{F}_q)$ .  $\square$

## 9 Further Remarks

Apart from the descent idea, there are other methods to reach groups that are not subgroups of  $PGL(2, F)$ , building on a subgroup that has been realized. Here is one approach.

Given a subgroup  $G$  of  $PGL(2, F)$  we have a generator  $\Phi = f/g$  of the field of  $G$ -invariant functions. In Theorem 3 we proved that  $f(T) - xg(T)$  has Galois group  $G$  over  $F(x)$ . We also showed in Lemma 2 that if  $f$  and  $g$  are relatively prime, then  $f(T) - xg(T)$  is irreducible. If  $f$  and  $g$  are relatively prime, so are  $f(T^2)$  and  $g(T^2)$ , so  $f(T^2) - xg(T^2)$  is irreducible as well.

Let  $\Gamma$  be the Galois group of  $f(T^2) - xg(T^2)$ . There is an elementary abelian normal 2-subgroup  $A$  of  $\Gamma$  such that  $\Gamma/A \cong G$ . As the polynomial  $f(T^2) - xg(T^2)$  has degree  $2|G|$ ,  $2|G|$  divides  $|\Gamma|$ . This means that  $A$  is nontrivial.

Example: let  $G = PSL(2, 3) \cong A_4$ , we calculate using a formula given by Carlitz that  $f(x) = x^{12} - x^{10} + x^6 - x^2 + 1$  and  $g(x) = x^9 - x^3$ , where  $\Phi(x) = f(x)/g(x)$ . Then we found using Magma that the Galois group of  $f(T^2) - xg(T^2) = T^{24} - T^{20} + T^{12} - T^4 + 1 + x(T^{18} - T^6)$  is a nonsplit extension of  $C_2^4$  by  $A_4$  of order 192. In this case the subgroup  $A$  has order 16.

## References

- [1] Abhyankar, S. S. Galois theory on the line in nonzero characteristic. Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. **27** (1992), 68–133.

- [2] Abhyankar, S. S. Semilinear transformations. *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **127** (1999), 2511–2525.
- [3] Bosma, W.; Cannon, J.; Playoust, C. The Magma algebra system. I. The user language. *J. Symbolic Comput.* **24** (1997), 235–265.
- [4] Carlitz, L. Resolvents of certain linear groups in a finite field. *Canad. J. Math.* **8** (1956), 568–579.
- [5] Gow, R.; McGuire, G. Invariant rational functions, linear fractional transformations and irreducible polynomials over finite fields. arXiv:2105.11247.
- [6] Jensen, C. U.; Ledet, A.; Yui, N. *Generic polynomials, Constructive aspects of the inverse Galois problem*, Cambridge University Press, 2002.
- [7] Klein, F. *Lectures on the ikosahedron and the solution of equations of the fifth degree*, Leipzig, Trübner, 1888. (English translation of German original of 1884.)
- [8] Serre, J.-P. *Topics in Galois theory*, A.K. Peters/CRC Press, 2007.
- [9] Shen, Y. Y. Unit groups and class numbers of real cyclic octic fields. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **326** (1991), 179–209
- [10] Wilson, R. A. *The finite simple groups*. Springer-Verlag, London, 2009.