

# COMPACT QUANTUM METRIC SPACES FROM FREE GRAPH ALGEBRAS

KONRAD AGUILAR, MICHAEL HARTGLASS, AND DAVID PENNEYS

ABSTRACT. Starting with a vertex-weighted pointed graph  $(\Gamma, \mu, v_0)$ , we form the free loop algebra  $\mathcal{S}_0$  defined in Hartglass-Penneys' article on canonical  $C^*$ -algebras associated to a planar algebra. Under mild conditions,  $\mathcal{S}_0$  is a non-nuclear simple  $C^*$ -algebra with unique tracial state. There is a canonical polynomial subalgebra  $A \subset \mathcal{S}_0$  together with a Dirac number operator  $N$  such that  $(A, L^2 A, N)$  is a spectral triple. We prove the Haagerup-type bound of Ozawa-Rieffel to verify  $(\mathcal{S}_0, A, N)$  yields a compact quantum metric space in the sense of Rieffel.

We give a weighted analog of Benjamini-Schramm convergence for vertex-weighted pointed graphs. As our  $C^*$ -algebras are non-nuclear, we adjust the Lip-norm coming from  $N$  to utilize the finite dimensional filtration of  $A$ . We then prove that convergence of vertex-weighted pointed graphs leads to quantum Gromov-Hausdorff convergence of the associated adjusted compact quantum metric spaces.

As an application, we apply our construction to the Guionnet-Jones-Shyakhtenko (GJS)  $C^*$ -algebra associated to a planar algebra. We conclude that the compact quantum metric spaces coming from the GJS  $C^*$ -algebras of many infinite families of planar algebras converge in quantum Gromov-Hausdorff distance.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

In Connes' noncommutative geometry [Con89, Con94], the notion of a spectral triple is an analog of a space of smooth functions on a non-commutative manifold. In [Rie98, Rie99], Rieffel initiated the study of noncommutative *metric* geometry via the notion of a compact quantum metric space. He then introduced *quantum Gromov-Hausdorff distance* as a noncommutative analogue of Gromov-Hausdorff distance to provide a framework for establishing convergence of certain spaces arising in the operator algebra and high-energy physics literature [Rie04a, Rie04b].

To the best of our knowledge, all results proving convergence in quantum Gromov-Hausdorff distance do so for sequences of *nuclear*  $C^*$ -algebras, where finite-dimensional approximations are crucial in demonstrating convergence [Rie04a, KL09, Agu19, Lat17, JRZ18, KK21]. In this article, we prove a result about quantum Gromov-Hausdorff convergence for compact quantum metric spaces associated to *non-nuclear* free graph algebras produced from vertex-weighted pointed graphs.

Given an unoriented connected graph  $\Gamma = (V, E)$  with an arbitrary vertex weighting  $\mu : V \rightarrow (0, \infty)$ , we replace each edge  $\varepsilon \in E$  between two distinct vertices by two oriented edges  $\epsilon, \epsilon^{\text{op}} \in \vec{E}$  in opposite directions, and we replace each loop by a single oriented loop to obtain a strongly connected directed graph  $\vec{\Gamma} = (V, \vec{E})$  which inherits the same weighting  $\mu$ . One forms the Toeplitz-Cuntz-Krieger graph algebra  $\mathcal{T}(\vec{\Gamma})$  [FR99] with generators  $\ell(\epsilon)$  for  $\epsilon \in \vec{E}$ , and the *free graph algebra* [HP17] is given by

$$\mathcal{S}(\Gamma, \mu) = C^* \left( C_0(V) \cup \left\{ a_\epsilon \ell(\epsilon) + a_\epsilon^{-1} \ell(\epsilon^{\text{op}}) \mid \epsilon \in \vec{E} \right\} \right) \subset \mathcal{T}(\vec{\Gamma}).$$

Here, each  $a_\epsilon \in (0, \infty)$  depends on the weighting of the source and target of  $\epsilon$ , which is chosen so that  $\mathcal{S}(\Gamma, \mu)$  has a semifinite trace  $\text{Tr}$ . By [Har17],  $\mathcal{S}(\Gamma, \mu)$  is simple exactly when

$$\mu(v) < \sum_{\substack{\epsilon \in \vec{E} \\ s(\epsilon)=v}} \mu(t(\epsilon)); \tag{1}$$

we assume this condition in the sequel.

Now there are canonical projections  $p_v \in \mathcal{S}(\Gamma, \mu)$  for the vertices  $v \in V$ , and by simplicity [HP17, HP14, Har17], each compression  $p_v \mathcal{S}(\Gamma, \mu) p_v$  is Morita equivalent to  $\mathcal{S}(\Gamma, \mu)$ . We thus consider *pointed* weighted graphs, which are equipped with a basepoint  $v_0 \in V$  such that  $\mu(v_0) = 1$ . We consider the *free loop algebra*  $\mathcal{S}_0 = \mathcal{S}_0(\Gamma, \mu) := p_{v_0} \mathcal{S}(\Gamma, \mu) p_{v_0}$ , which can be described as generated by loops on  $\Gamma$  based at  $v_0$ . Under condition (1),  $\mathcal{S}_0(\Gamma, \mu)$  also has unique trace [Har17].

The loop algebra  $\mathcal{S}_0$  has a dense  $*$ -subalgebra  $A$  of finite linear combinations of loops, which acts by bounded operators on  $L^2(A, \text{tr}_0) \cong L^2(\mathcal{S}_0, \text{tr}_0)$ . Moreover,  $A$  is *filtered* by finite dimensional  $*$ -closed subspaces  $A_n$  of linear combinations of loops of length at most  $n$ , which satisfy  $A_m \cdot A_n \subset A_{m+n}$  and  $A_0 = \mathbb{C}1_A$ . In this situation, by [OR05, Lemma 1.1], the formula

$$N = \sum_{n \geq 0} n \text{Proj}_{A_n \ominus A_{n-1}},$$

defines a *Dirac number operator* which has bounded commutator with elements of  $A$ . Thus  $(A, L^2 A, N)$  is a *spectral triple* in the sense of Connes [Con94]. We prove the Haagerup-type inequality of [OR05, Theorem 1.2], which gives the following theorem.

**Theorem A.** *The Dirac number operator  $N$  induces a Lip-norm  $L$  on  $A$ , making  $(\mathcal{S}_0, A, L)$  a compact quantum metric space in the sense of Rieffel [Rie04a].*

Thus given a connected, vertex-weighted pointed graph  $(\Gamma, \mu, v_0)$ , we get a canonical compact quantum metric space. Given a sequence of connected vertex-weighted graphs  $(\Gamma_n, \mu_n)$ , we say it converges *locally uniformly* to a limit  $(\Gamma, \nu)$  if essentially on every ball of radius  $R$  about  $v$ , the graphs  $\Gamma_n$  eventually coincide with  $\Gamma$ , and the weights converge pointwise. This is a weighted analog of Benjamini-Schramm convergence [BS01]. (See Definition 3.9 for the precise definition.) With this definition in hand, we can ask whether the associated compact quantum metric spaces  $(\mathcal{S}_0(\Gamma_n, \mu_n), A(\Gamma_n, \mu_n), L_n)$  converge in quantum Gromov-Hausdorff distance to  $(\mathcal{S}_0(\Gamma, \mu), A(\Gamma, \mu), L)$ .

Unfortunately, we were unable to solve this question due to two main problems. First, projecting an element in  $A_n$  onto  $A_{n-1}$  can increase the operator norm, similar to how truncating a Fourier series can increase the sup norm. Second, these algebras are non-nuclear, so we are missing the finite dimensional approximations which were essential to the results [Rie04a, KL09, Agu19, Lat17, JRZ18, KK21].

In analogous situations [Rie99, Agu16], one replaces the Lip norm  $L$  with another Lip norm  $\mathcal{L}$  produced by a Minkowski functional. In our setup, we choose  $\mathcal{L}$  so that it agrees with  $L$  on the spaces  $A_n \ominus A_{n-1}$  of homogeneous loops, i.e., spans of loops of the same length  $n$ . While this produces a less canonical compact quantum metric space, these adjusted quantum metrics take advantage of the intrinsic finite-dimensional spaces  $A_n \ominus A_{n-1}$  of homogeneous loops, which replace the finite dimensional approximations in the nuclear setting. In §3.3 below, we are able to prove that these compact quantum metric spaces converge in quantum Gromov-Hausdorff distance to the desired limit.

**Theorem B.** *If the sequence of vertex-weighted pointed graphs  $(\Gamma_n, \mu_n, v_0^n)$  converges locally uniformly to  $(\Gamma, \mu, v_0)$ , then the induced compact quantum metric spaces  $(\mathcal{S}_0(\Gamma_n, \mu_n), A(\Gamma_n, \mu_n), \mathcal{L}_n)$  converge in quantum Gromov-Hausdorff distance to  $(\mathcal{S}_0(\Gamma, \mu), A(\Gamma, \mu), \mathcal{L})$ .*

**Application to subfactor theory.** The original motivation in our two articles [HP17, HP14] was to develop a connection between subfactor theory and  $C^*$ -algebras with a view toward connections to Connes' non-commutative geometry [Con94]. The standard invariant of a finite index subfactor forms a shaded subfactor planar algebra [Jon99]. Here, we work with unshaded unitary factor planar algebras, which correspond to symmetrically self-dual bifinite bimodules over some factor [BHP12, Pen20]. The more categorically minded reader may choose to work directly with a unitary tensor category as in [HHP20].

A special application of the setup in this article is when:

- $\Gamma$  is the principal graph of an unshaded unitary factor planar algebra  $\mathcal{P}_\bullet$ ,
- $\mu$  is a quantum dimension vertex-weighting which satisfies the Frobenius-Perron condition, and
- $v_0 = \star$ , the distinguished vertex corresponding to the empty diagram/monoidal unit object.

In this case, the cutdown  $\mathcal{S}_0$  of  $\mathcal{S}(\Gamma, \mu)$  at  $v_0 = \star$  is isomorphic to the Guionnet-Jones-Shlyakhtenko (GJS)  $C^*$ -algebra [HP17, HP14]. This algebra is the  $C^*$ -completion of the graded algebra  $\text{Gr}_0$  arising from their diagrammatic reproof [GJS10] of Popa's celebrated subfactor reconstruction theorem [Pop95].

When  $\mathcal{P}_\bullet = \mathcal{NC}_\bullet$ , the factor planar algebra of non-commuting polynomials on self-adjoint variables  $X_1, \dots, X_n$ ,  $\text{Gr}_0$  is exactly the algebra of non-commutative polynomials, and  $\mathcal{S}_0$  is Voiculescu's reduced  $C^*$ -algebra generated by  $n$  free semi-circular elements. Thus we may view  $\text{Gr}_0 \subset \mathcal{S}_0$  as a smooth subalgebra of polynomials inside the algebra of non-commutative continuous functions. We are thus in a position to study Connes' non-commutative geometry via Dirac operators and spectral triples [Con94].

In subfactor theory, there are many examples of local uniform graph convergence. For instance, we have examples coming from quantum groups at roots of unity [Jon83, Wen88, GdlHJ89, Wen90, Xu98], continuous families of subfactors [BNP07], and from composites at a fixed index [BH96, Liu15]. A particular application to subfactor theory is the following corollary of Theorem B, which holds in much more generality than stated.

**Corollary C.** *For a fixed  $n$ , the GJS  $C^*$ -algebra  $\mathcal{S}_0(A_n, \exp(\pi i/(n+1)))$  of the Temperley-Lieb-Jones (TLJ) (sub)factor planar algebra gives a compact quantum metric space when equipped with the Lip norm  $L_n$  from the Dirac number operator. Adjusting our Lip norm to  $\mathcal{L}_n$  as in Theorem B, the associated compact quantum metric spaces converge in quantum Gromov-Hausdorff distance to the adjusted compact quantum metric space of the GJS  $C^*$ -algebra of TLJ at  $q = 1$ .*

**Acknowledgements.** The authors would like to thank Farzad Fathizadeh, Matilde Marcolli, Marc Rieffel, and Robin Tucker-Drob for helpful conversations. David Penneys was supported by NSF DMS grants 1500387/1655912 and 1654159.

## 2. BACKGROUND

**2.1. Compact quantum metric spaces.** We rapidly recall the notions of Gromov-Hausdorff distance, order unit space, compact quantum metric space, and quantum Gromov-Hausdorff distance from [Rie04a].

**Definition 2.1.** Suppose we have two compact subsets  $X, Y$  of a metric space  $(Z, \rho)$ . The Hausdorff distance between  $X$  and  $Y$  is given by

$$\text{dist}_H(X, Y) := \inf \{r > 0 \mid X \subset N_r(Y) \text{ and } Y \subset N_r(X)\},$$

where for  $A \subset Z$ ,  $N_r(A)$  is the  $r$ -neighborhood of  $A$ :

$$N_r(A) := \{z \in Z \mid \text{there is an } a \in A \text{ with } \rho(z, a) < r\}.$$

**Definition 2.2.** Now suppose  $(X, \rho_X)$  and  $(Y, \rho_Y)$  are independent compact metric spaces. Let  $X \amalg Y$  be the disjoint union of  $X$  and  $Y$ , and let  $\mathcal{M}(\rho_X, \rho_Y)$  be the set of all metrics  $\rho$  on  $X \amalg Y$  such that

- $\rho$  induces the disjoint union topology on  $X \amalg Y$ , and
- $\rho|_X = \rho_X$  and  $\rho|_Y = \rho_Y$ .

The Gromov-Hausdorff distance between  $(X, \rho_X)$  and  $(Y, \rho_Y)$  is given by

$$\text{dist}_{\text{GH}}(X, Y) = \inf \{\text{dist}_H^{\rho}(X, Y) \mid X, Y \subset (X \amalg Y, \rho) \text{ and } \rho \in \mathcal{M}(\rho_X, \rho_Y)\}.$$

**Definition 2.3.** An order unit space  $(V, e)$  is a real vector space  $V$  together with a partial order  $\leq$  with an element  $e$  called the order unit which satisfies

- (order unit) For every  $v \in V$ , there is an  $r > 0$  such that  $v \leq re$ .
- (Archimedean property) If  $v \leq re$  for all  $r > 0$ , then  $v \leq 0$ .

An order unit space has a norm, which is given by  $\|v\| = \inf \{r > 0 \mid -re \leq v \leq re\}$ .

**Example 2.4.** Suppose  $\mathcal{A}$  is a unital  $C^*$ -algebra. Then the self-adjoint elements  $\mathcal{A}_{\text{s.a.}}$  of  $\mathcal{A}$  form an order unit space with order unit  $1_{\mathcal{A}}$ .

**Definition 2.5.** Suppose  $(V, e)$  is an order unit space.

- A state of  $(V, e)$  is a continuous linear functional  $\mu \in V^*$  such that  $\mu(e) = 1 = \|\mu\|$ . The space of states on  $(V, e)$  is denoted  $S(V)$ . Given a seminorm  $L$  on  $(V, e)$ , it induces a  $[0, \infty]$ -valued metric on  $S(V)$  by

$$\rho_L(\mu, \nu) = \sup \{ |\mu(v) - \nu(v)| \mid L(v) \leq 1 \}.$$

- A Lip-norm on  $(V, e)$  is a seminorm  $L$  on  $V$  such that
  - (1)  $L(v) = 0$  if and only if  $v \in \mathbb{R}e$ .
  - (2) The topology on  $S(V)$  induced by  $\rho_L$  is the weak-\* topology.

Note that (2) above implies  $\rho_L$  is a genuine metric on  $S(V)$  which takes only finite values.

**Definition 2.6.** A compact quantum metric space is a triple  $(V, e, L)$  where  $(V, e)$  is an order unit space and  $L$  is a Lip-norm on  $(V, e)$ .

The following criterion will be useful in determining whether  $L$  is a Lip-norm on a unital  $C^*$ -algebra  $\mathcal{A}$ . A *unital pre  $C^*$ -algebra* is a pair  $(A, \phi)$  where  $A$  is a unital complex  $*$ -algebra and  $\phi : A \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  is a positive linear functional ( $\phi(a^*a) \geq 0$ ) with  $\phi(1_A) = 1_{\mathbb{C}}$  such that the left action of  $A$  on  $L^2(A, \phi)$  is by bounded operators.

**Proposition 2.7** ([OR05, Prop. 1.3]). *Let  $(A, \phi)$  be a unital pre  $C^*$ -algebra, and let  $L$  be a seminorm on  $A$ . Then  $L$  is a Lip-norm if and only if*

$$\{a \in A \mid L(a) \leq 1 \text{ and } \phi(a) = 0\}$$

*is a norm totally bounded subset of  $A$ .*

**Definition 2.8** ([Rie04a, Sections 3 and 4]). Suppose we have compact quantum metric spaces  $(V, e_V, L_V)$  and  $(W, e_W, L_W)$ . Then  $(V \oplus W, (e_V, e_W))$  is an order unit space. Let  $\mathcal{M}(V, W)$  be the set of all Lip-norms  $L$  on  $V \oplus W$  which induce  $L_V$  on  $(V, e_V)$  and  $L_W$  on  $(W, e_W)$ . The quantum Gromov-Hausdroff distance between  $(V, e_V, L_V)$  and  $(W, e_W, L_W)$  is

$$\text{dist}_q(V, W) := \inf \{ \text{dist}_H^{\rho_L}(S(V), S(W)) \mid L \in \mathcal{M}(L_V, L_W) \}.$$

The following lemma will help in providing important estimates later.

**Lemma 2.9.** *Let  $(V, e, L)$  be a compact quantum metric space, and let  $W \subseteq V$  be a unital subspace ( $e \in W$ ) such that  $(W, e, L|_W)$  is a compact quantum metric space. If  $\phi \in S(V)$ , then*

$$\text{dist}_q(V, W) \leq 2 \text{dist}_H^{\|\cdot\|}(\{a \in V \mid L(a) \leq 1 \text{ and } \phi(a) = 0\}, \{a \in W \mid L|_W(a) \leq 1 \text{ and } \phi(a) = 0\}).$$

*Proof.* By [Lat16, Thm. 6.3], we have that

$$\text{dist}_q(V, W) \leq 2 \text{dist}_H^{\|\cdot\|}(\{a \in V \mid L(a) \leq 1\}, \{a \in W \mid L|_W(a) \leq 1\}).$$

However, by the discussion preceding [Lat16, Def. 3.14], we have that

$$\begin{aligned} & \text{dist}_H^{\|\cdot\|}(\{a \in V \mid L(a) \leq 1\}, \{a \in W \mid L|_W(a) \leq 1\}) \\ & \leq \text{dist}_H^{\|\cdot\|}(\{a \in V \mid L(a) \leq 1 \text{ and } \phi(a) = 0\}, \{a \in W \mid L|_W(a) \leq 1 \text{ and } \phi(a) = 0\}), \end{aligned}$$

which completes the proof.  $\square$

**2.2. The Ozawa-Rieffel criterion.** In [OR05], Ozawa and Rieffel give criteria to determine when a filtered  $*$ -algebra with a tracial state gives a compact quantum metric space. We now recall their setup and theorem.

**Assumptions 2.10.** For this section,  $A$  is a unital complex  $*$ -algebra equipped with a trace  $\text{tr} : A \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  such that  $(A, \text{tr})$  is a pre  $C^*$ -algebra. We further assume:

- $A$  is filtered by  $*$ -closed finite dimensional subspaces. That is, there are finite dimensional  $*$ -closed subspaces  $A_0 \subseteq A_1 \subseteq A_2 \subseteq \dots$  whose union is  $A$  which satisfy  $A_m \cdot A_n \subseteq A_{m+n}$ .
- The ground algebra  $A_0$  is trivial, i.e.,  $A_0 = \mathbb{C}1_A$ .

- The left (and right) action(s) of  $A$  on  $(A, \text{tr})$  is bounded in  $\|\cdot\|_2$ , and thus extends to an action on  $\mathcal{H} = L^2(A, \text{tr})$  by bounded operators.

Under these assumptions, we set  $W_n = A_n \ominus A_{n-1}$  which is finite dimensional, and we let  $P_n$  be the orthogonal projection from  $\mathcal{H}$  onto  $W_n$ .

**Definition 2.11.** The *Dirac number operator* is defined by  $N := \sum_{n \geq 0} nP_n$ , which is closable with dense domain.

One has the following lemma due to [OR05].

**Lemma 2.12** ([OR05, Lemma 1.1]). *For every  $a \in A$ ,  $[N, a]$  is densely defined and extends to a bounded operator on  $\mathcal{H}$ .*

Using this lemma, we define a seminorm  $L$  on  $A$  by  $L(a) = \|[N, a]\|$ . Observe that  $L$  vanishes exactly on  $A_0 = \mathbb{C}1_A$ . We set  $\mathcal{A} = \overline{A}^{\|\cdot\|}$ , and we denote by  $S(\mathcal{A})$  the state space of  $\mathcal{A}$ . In the theory of compact quantum metric spaces, one induces a metric  $\rho$  on  $S(\mathcal{A})$  with values in  $[0, \infty]$  by the formula

$$\rho(\phi, \psi) = \sup \{ |\phi(x) - \psi(x)| \mid x \in A \text{ with } L(x) \leq 1 \}.$$

If the topology induced by  $\rho$  induces the weak-\* topology on  $S(\mathcal{A})$ , we say that  $(\mathcal{A}, A, L)$  is a *compact quantum metric space* in the sense of Rieffel [Rie04a]. A main result of [OR05] is the following theorem:

**Theorem 2.13** ([OR05, Theorem 1.2]). *If there exists a  $C > 0$  such that for all  $m, n, k \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $a_k \in W_k$*

$$\|P_m a_k P_n\| \leq C \|a_k\|_2,$$

*then  $(\mathcal{A}, A, L)$  is a compact quantum metric space.*

**2.3. Free graph algebras.** Let  $\Gamma = (V, E)$  be a countable, connected, locally finite, undirected graph, and let  $\mu : V \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_{>0}$  be a weighting on the vertices. (The examples in the later part of this article will be principal graphs of (sub)factor planar algebras with a quantum dimension weighting which satisfies the Frobenius-Perron condition.)

We now follow the construction from [HP17], summarized in [Har17, Section 2.1].

**Definition 2.14.** From our undirected graph  $\Gamma$ , we form a directed graph  $\vec{\Gamma} = (V, \vec{E}, s, t)$  as follows.

(1) For each  $e \in E$  which has endpoints  $\alpha \neq \beta$  in  $V$ , we get two directed edges  $\epsilon$  and  $\epsilon^{\text{op}}$  in  $\vec{E}$  such that

$$s(\epsilon) = t(\epsilon^{\text{op}}) = \alpha \quad \text{and} \quad s(\epsilon^{\text{op}}) = t(\epsilon) = \beta.$$

(2) For each  $e \in E$  which is a loop at the vertex  $\gamma$ , we get one directed edge  $\epsilon \in \vec{E}$  with  $s(\epsilon) = t(\epsilon) = \gamma$ .

Note that  $\vec{\Gamma}$  inherits the weighting  $\mu$  from  $\Gamma$ .

The algebra  $C_0(V)$  is the  $C^*$ -algebra generated by the indicator functions  $p_v$  for  $v \in V$  acting on  $\ell^2(V)$ . The  $C_0(V)$  Hilbert bimodule  $\mathcal{X}$  is the completion of the space of formal finite  $\mathbb{C}$ -linear combinations of edges of  $\vec{E}$ , under the  $C_0(V)$ -valued inner product given by  $\langle \epsilon, \epsilon' \rangle = \delta_{\epsilon, \epsilon'} p_{t(\epsilon)}$ . The action of  $C_0(V)$  on  $\mathcal{X}$  is given by  $p_\alpha \epsilon = \delta_{\alpha, s(\epsilon)} \epsilon$  and  $\epsilon p_\beta = \delta_{\alpha, t(\epsilon)} \epsilon$ .

We then form the Pimsner-Fock space

$$\mathcal{F}(\vec{\Gamma}) = C_0(V) \oplus \bigoplus_{n \geq 1} \bigotimes_{C_0(V)}^n \mathcal{X}.$$

The spaces  $\bigotimes_{C_0(V)}^n \mathcal{X}$  are spanned by elements of the form  $\epsilon_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes \epsilon_n$  such that  $\epsilon_1 \cdots \epsilon_n$  is a path in  $\vec{\Gamma}$ . For each edge  $\epsilon \in \vec{E}$ , we get bounded creation and annihilation operators on  $\mathcal{F}(\vec{\Gamma})$  given by

$$\begin{aligned} \ell(\epsilon) (\epsilon_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes \epsilon_n) &:= \epsilon \otimes \epsilon_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes \epsilon_n \\ \ell(\epsilon)^* (\epsilon_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes \epsilon_n) &:= \langle \epsilon | \epsilon_1 \rangle_{C_0(V)} \epsilon_2 \otimes \cdots \otimes \epsilon_n. \end{aligned}$$

The Pimsner-Toeplitz algebra  $\mathcal{T}(\vec{\Gamma})$  is the  $C^*$ -algebra generated by the  $\ell(\epsilon), \ell(\epsilon)^*$ .

**Definition 2.15.** The free graph algebra  $\mathcal{S} = \mathcal{S}(\Gamma, \mu)$  is generated by the edge elements

$$X_\epsilon = a_\epsilon \ell(\epsilon) + a_\epsilon^{-1} \ell(\epsilon^{\text{op}}) \quad \text{where} \quad a_\epsilon = \sqrt[4]{\frac{\mu(s(\epsilon))}{\mu(t(\epsilon))}}.$$

Note that  $a_\epsilon^{-1} = a_{\epsilon^{\text{op}}}$ . We set  $X_e := X_\epsilon + X_{\epsilon^{\text{op}}}$ .

We now give the structure of the free graph algebra. There is a conditional expectation  $E : \mathcal{S}(\Gamma, \mu) \rightarrow C_0(V)$  given by  $E(x) = \sum_{v \in V(\Gamma)} \langle v | xv \rangle_{C_0(V)}$ . We have the following lemma.

**Lemma 2.16** ([GJS11, HP17]). *The algebras  $\mathcal{S}_{e,\mu} = C^*(C_0(V), X_e)$  are free with amalgamation over  $C_0(V)$  with respect to the conditional expectation  $E$ , i.e.*

$$\mathcal{S}(\Gamma, \mu) = \underset{C_0(V)}{*} (\mathcal{S}_{e,\mu}, E).$$

Furthermore,  $\mu \circ E$  defines a (semifinite) trace  $\text{Tr}$  on  $\mathcal{S}$ .

One can check that  $\text{Tr}(p_v) = \mu(v)$  for all  $v \in V$ .

### 3. FREE LOOP ALGEBRAS AND COMPACT QUANTUM METRIC SPACES

**3.1. Free loop algebras give compact quantum metric spaces.** Let  $\mathcal{H}_{\Gamma,\mu} = \mathcal{F}(\vec{\Gamma}) \otimes_{C_0(V)} \ell^2(V(\Gamma), \mu)$ . Here,  $\ell^2(V(\Gamma), \mu)$  is the Hilbert space spanned by  $V$ , whose inner product is given by  $\langle v, w \rangle = \delta_{v=w} \mu(v)^2$ . Note that paths in  $\vec{\Gamma}$  give an orthogonal basis for  $\mathcal{H}_{\Gamma,\mu}$ , and  $\|\epsilon_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes \epsilon_n\|_{\mathcal{H}_{\Gamma,\mu}} = \sqrt{\mu(t(\epsilon_n))}$ .

We introduce the following notation.

**Notation 3.1.** Let  $\Pi$  denote the set of all paths in  $\vec{\Gamma}$ , and  $\sigma = \epsilon_1 \cdots \epsilon_n \in \Pi$ . Let  $|\sigma| = n$  denote the length of  $\sigma$ . We set:

- $\sigma^{\text{op}} := \epsilon_n^{\text{op}} \cdots \epsilon_1^{\text{op}}$
- $\ell(\sigma) := \ell(\epsilon_1) \cdots \ell(\epsilon_n)$ .
- $a_\sigma := \sqrt[4]{\frac{\mu(s(\sigma))}{\mu(t(\sigma))}} = \sqrt[4]{\frac{\mu(s(\epsilon_1))}{\mu(t(\epsilon_n))}}$
- $X_\sigma := X_{\epsilon_1} \cdots X_{\epsilon_n}$ .
- $Y_\sigma := \sum_{\sigma=\rho\tau} a_\rho a_\tau^{-1} \ell(\rho) \ell(\tau^{\text{op}})^*$ .

Once it is shown in Proposition 3.2 below that  $Y_\sigma \in \mathcal{S}(\Gamma, \mu)$ , it will follow from faithfulness of the trace that  $Y_\sigma$  is the unique element in  $\mathcal{S}(\Gamma, \mu)$  whose right support is under  $p_{t(\sigma)}$  and satisfies  $Y_\sigma \cdot p_{t(\sigma)} = a_\sigma \sigma$ . The element  $Y_\sigma$  is known as the *Wick word* of  $a_\sigma \sigma$ . Observe that  $Y_\sigma^* = Y_{\sigma^{\text{op}}}$ .

We now perform a change of basis from the  $X$ 's to  $Y$ 's. These  $Y$ 's will be useful later on as they are eigenvectors of the number operator.

**Proposition 3.2** (Change of basis). *Suppose  $\sigma$  is a path in  $\vec{\Gamma}$  of length  $n$ .*

- (1)  $Y_\sigma \in \mathcal{S}(\Gamma, \mu)$
- (2)  $Y_\sigma = X_\sigma + Q$  where  $Q$  is a linear combination of the  $X_{\sigma'}$  with  $|\sigma'| < n$ .
- (3)  $X_\sigma = Y_\sigma + P$  where  $P$  is a linear combination of the  $Y_{\sigma'}$  with  $|\sigma'| < n$ .

*Proof.* We will prove this by induction on  $|\sigma|$ , the length of  $\sigma$ . If  $|\sigma| = 1$ , then  $\sigma = \epsilon$  for some  $\epsilon \in \vec{E}$  and it is apparent that  $Y_\epsilon = X_\epsilon$ .

Given,  $\sigma$  with  $|\sigma| > 1$ , write  $\sigma = \epsilon\tau$  for  $\epsilon \in \vec{E}$ , and write  $\tau = \epsilon'\tau'$  for  $\epsilon' \in \vec{E}$ . We see that

$$\begin{aligned} X_\epsilon Y_\tau &= (a_\epsilon \ell(\epsilon) + a_{\epsilon^{\text{op}}} \ell(\epsilon^{\text{op}})^*) \sum_{\tau=\tau_1\tau_2} a_{\tau_1} a_{\tau_2}^{-1} \ell(\tau_1) \ell(\tau_2^{\text{op}})^* \\ &= \sum_{\tau=\tau_1\tau_2} a_{\epsilon\tau_1} a_{\tau_2} \ell(\epsilon\tau_1) \ell(\tau_2^{\text{op}})^* + \delta_{\epsilon^{\text{op}},\epsilon'} \sum_{\tau'=\tau_1'\tau_2'} a_{\tau_1'} a_{(\tau_2')^{\text{op}}}^{-1} \ell(\tau_1') \ell((\tau_2')^{\text{op}}) + a_{\epsilon^{\text{op}}} a_\tau^{-1} \ell(\epsilon^{\text{op}})^* \ell(\tau^{\text{op}})^* \\ &= Y_\sigma + \delta_{\epsilon^{\text{op}},\epsilon'} Y_{\tau'} \end{aligned}$$

By induction, this proves (1) and (2). (3) follows directly from (2).  $\square$

We now work with the following assumption:

**Assumption 3.3.** Our vertex-weighted graph  $(\Gamma, \mu)$  comes with a pointing  $v_0 \in V$  with  $\mu(v_0) = 1$  which is minimal amongst vertex weights, i.e.,  $\mu(v_0) \leq \mu(v)$  for all  $v \in V$ .

**Definition 3.4.** From the free graph algebra  $\mathcal{S} = \mathcal{S}(\Gamma, \mu)$ , we define the free loop algebra at  $v_0$ , denoted  $\mathcal{S}_0$ , as the cutdown at  $p_{v_0}$ , i.e.,  $\mathcal{S}_0 = p_{v_0} \mathcal{S} p_{v_0}$ .

**Remark 3.5.** In [HP17, Har17] the K-theory of  $\mathcal{S}(\Gamma, \mu)$  was shown to be given by  $K_0(\mathcal{S}(\Gamma, \mu)) = \mathbb{Z}\{[p_v] | v \in V\}$ , the free abelian group generated by the equivalence classes of the projections  $p_v$ , and  $K_1(\mathcal{S}(\Gamma, \mu)) \cong \{0\}$ . Under the mild assumption (1),  $\mathcal{S}(\Gamma, \mu)$  is simple, and thus  $\mathcal{S}_0(\Gamma, \mu)$  is Morita equivalent to  $\mathcal{S}(\Gamma, \mu)$ . Moreover, under condition (1),  $\mathcal{S}_0(\Gamma, \mu)$  has unique trace. It follows that if  $(\Gamma, \mu, v_0)$  and  $(\Gamma', \mu', v_0)$  are two pointed weighted graphs, and the additive groups generated by  $\{\mu(v) | v \in V\}$  and  $\{\mu'(v') | v' \in V'\}$  do not agree, then  $\mathcal{S}_0(\Gamma, \mu) \not\cong \mathcal{S}_0(\Gamma', \mu')$ .

Let  $\mathcal{H}_0$  be the GNS Hilbert space of  $\mathcal{S}_0$  under the finite trace  $\text{tr}_0 = \text{Tr}|_{\mathcal{S}_0}$ . Let  $\Pi_0$  denote the set of loops based at  $v_0$ . Note that  $\Pi_0$  is an orthonormal basis for  $\mathcal{H}_0 = L^2(\mathcal{S}_0, \text{tr}_0)$ . Furthermore, if  $\sigma \in \Pi_0$ , then  $Y_\sigma$  is the unique element in  $\mathcal{S}_0$  satisfying  $Y_\sigma v_0 = \sigma$  (note that  $a_\sigma$  is necessarily 1 if  $\sigma$  is a loop). This means that we have the following important fact:

**Fact 3.6.** The set  $\{Y_\sigma | \sigma \in \Pi_0\}$  is an orthonormal basis for  $L^2(\mathcal{S}_0, \text{tr}_0)$ .

We define an unbounded operator  $N$  in  $\mathcal{H}_0$  by the closure of the operator satisfying  $N(\sigma) = n\sigma$  whenever  $\sigma$  is a loop of length  $n$ . Let  $A$  be the unital  $*$ -algebra generated by the elements  $Y_\sigma$ , which by Proposition 3.2, is also generated by the elements  $X_\sigma$ . Notice that under the identification of  $\mathcal{H}_0$  with  $L^2(\mathcal{S}_0, \text{tr}_0)$ , we may realize  $N : A \rightarrow A$  as an unbounded operator satisfying  $N(Y_\sigma) = nY_\sigma$  whenever  $\sigma$  is a loop of length  $n$ . Observe that due to cutting down  $\mathcal{S}$  by  $p_{v_0}$ , it follows that the null space of  $N$  is precisely scalar multiples of  $p_0$ , the identity in  $\mathcal{S}_0$ . Set  $A_n := \text{span}\{Y_\sigma | |\sigma| \leq n\}$ , which is  $*$ -closed and finite dimensional. Furthermore, it is straightforward to see that  $A_n \cdot A_m \subset A_{n+m}$ , giving a  $*$ -filtration of  $A$  by finite dimensional subspaces. We are now in position to use the Ozawa-Rieffel framework as in §2.2.

As above, we set  $W_n := \text{span}\{Y_\sigma | |\sigma| = n\} = A_n \ominus A_{n-1}$ , and we define  $P_n$  to be the orthogonal projection from  $\mathcal{H}_0$  onto  $W_n$ .

**Lemma 3.7.** *If  $x \in W_k$ , then  $\|P_m x P_n\| \leq \|x\|_2$ .*

*Proof.* Write  $x = \sum_{|\sigma|=k} b_\sigma Y_\sigma$ . Note that  $\|x\|_2^2 = \sum_{|\sigma|=k} |b_\sigma|^2$ . We need to show that if  $\xi \in P_n \mathcal{H}_0$  then we have

$$\|P_m x \xi\|_{\mathcal{H}_0} \leq \|x\|_2 \|\xi\|_{\mathcal{H}_0}$$

Write  $\xi = \sum_{|\tau|=n} c_\tau \tau$ . The term  $P_m x P_n$  is zero unless  $|m - n| \leq k \leq m + n$ . Choose  $j$  such that  $(k - j) + (n - j) = m$ , and write

$$x = \sum_{\substack{|\rho_1|=k-j \\ |\tau_1|=j}} b_{\rho_1 \tau_1} Y_{\rho_1 \tau_1} \quad \text{and} \quad \xi = \sum_{\substack{|\rho_2|=j \\ |\tau_1|=n-j}} c_{\rho_2 \tau_2} \rho_2 \tau_2.$$

This means that

$$P_m x \xi = \left( \sum_{\substack{|\rho_1|=k-j \\ |\tau_1|=j}} b_{\rho_1 \tau_1} a_{\rho_1}^2 \ell(\rho_1) \ell(\tau_1^{\text{op}})^* \right) \cdot \sum_{\substack{|\rho_2|=j \\ |\tau_1|=n-j}} c_{\rho_2 \tau_2} \rho_2 \tau_2 = \sum_{\substack{|\rho|=k-j \\ |\sigma|=j \\ |\tau|=n-j}} a_\sigma^2 b_{\rho \sigma} c_{\sigma \tau} \rho \tau.$$

From this, we see that

$$\begin{aligned}
\|P_m x \xi\|_2^2 &= \sum_{\substack{|\rho|=k-j \\ |\tau|=n-j}} \left| \sum_{|\sigma|=j} a_\sigma^2 b_{\rho\sigma} c_{\sigma\tau} \right|^2 \\
&\leq \sum_{\substack{|\rho|=k-j \\ |\tau|=n-j}} \left( \sum_{|\sigma|=j} |a_\sigma^2 b_{\rho\sigma} c_{\sigma\tau}| \right)^2 \\
&\leq \sum_{\substack{|\rho|=k-j \\ |\tau|=n-j}} \left( \sum_{|\sigma|=j} |b_{\rho\sigma} c_{\sigma\tau}| \right)^2 \\
&\leq \sum_{\substack{|\rho|=k-j \\ |\tau|=n-j}} \left[ \left( \sum_{|\sigma_1|=j} |b_{\rho\sigma_1}|^2 \right) \left( \sum_{|\sigma_2|=j} |c_{\sigma_1\tau}|^2 \right) \right] \\
&\leq \left( \sum_{|\sigma'|=k} |b_{\sigma'}|^2 \right) \cdot \left( \sum_{|\sigma''|=n} |c_{\sigma''}|^2 \right) \\
&= \|x\|_2^2 \cdot \|\xi\|_2^2
\end{aligned}$$

as desired.  $\square$

Lemma 3.7 immediately implies Theorem A.

*Proof of Theorem A.* Lemma 3.7 allows us to use the Ozawa-Rieffel criterion in Theorem 2.13 (for  $C = 1$ ) to conclude that  $(\mathcal{S}_0, A, N)$  is a compact quantum metric space.  $\square$

**3.2. Convergence for weighted pointed graphs.** We now discuss a type of convergence for vertex-weighted pointed graphs, which is a weighted analog of Benjamini-Schramm convergence [BS01]. As in the previous sections, the graphs we consider are countable, connected, locally finite, undirected, vertex-weighted, and pointed, where the base-point has minimal weight 1. The following notation will be handy.

**Notation 3.8.** Suppose  $\Gamma = (V, E, v)$  is such a graph and  $R \in \mathbb{N}$ . We denote by  $\Gamma(R)$  the truncation of  $\Gamma$  to the ball of radius  $R$  of  $\Gamma$  based at  $v$ .

**Definition 3.9.** Suppose we have a sequence of such graphs  $(\Gamma_n = (V_n, E_n, v_n), \mu_n)$ , and another graph  $(\Gamma = (V, E, v), \mu)$ . We say that  $\Gamma_n$  converges locally to  $\Gamma$  if for all  $R \in \mathbb{N}$ , there is an  $N_R > 0$  such that for every  $n > N_R$ ,

- there is a pointed graph isomorphism  $\varphi_n^R : \Gamma_n(R) \rightarrow \Gamma(R)$ , and
- these graph isomorphisms satisfy for all  $n > \max\{N_R, N_{R+1}\}$ ,  $\varphi_n^{R+1}|_{\Gamma_n(R)} = \varphi_n^R$ .

Moreover, we say  $\Gamma_n \rightarrow \Gamma$  locally uniformly if  $\Gamma_n \rightarrow \Gamma$  locally and the isomorphisms  $\varphi_n^R$  satisfy

- for every vertex  $w \in \Gamma$  with  $\text{dist}(v, w) \leq R$ ,  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mu_n[(\varphi_n^R)^{-1}(w)] = \mu(w)$ .


**Examples 3.10.** We give several examples of local uniform graph convergence.

(1) (Subgraphs converging to a limit graph) Consider the Coxeter-Dynkin diagrams  $A_n$  with their unique normalized Frobenius-Perron weighting, where the base-point is at the left:

$$\begin{array}{c} [1] \quad [2] \quad [3] \quad \dots \quad [n-1] \quad [n] \\ \bullet \text{---} \bullet \text{---} \bullet \text{---} \dots \text{---} \bullet \text{---} \bullet \\ \star \end{array} \quad \text{where} \quad [n] = \frac{q^n - q^{-n}}{q - q^{-1}} \quad \text{and} \quad q = \exp\left(\frac{2\pi i}{2n}\right).$$



It is easy to see that the  $A_n$  converge to the Coxeter-Dynkin diagram  $A_\infty$  with its Frobenius-Perron weighting




Just observe that as  $\theta \rightarrow 0$ , we have  $q = e^{i\theta} \rightarrow 1$ , so  $[n] \rightarrow n$ .

- (2) (Weightings converging on the same graph) We fix the graph  $A_\infty$ , but we consider the continuous family of Frobenius-Perron weightings given by


$$\begin{array}{c} [1] \quad [2] \quad [3] \quad \dots \\ \bullet \text{---} \bullet \text{---} \bullet \text{---} \dots \\ \star \end{array} \quad \text{where} \quad [n] = \frac{q^n - q^{-n}}{q - q^{-1}} \quad \text{and} \quad q \geq 1.$$

It is easily verified that any convergent sequence  $q_n \rightarrow q_0$  gives a convergent sequence of graphs.

- (3) (Existence of only local isomorphisms) Consider the the affine Coxeter-Dynkin diagrams  $D_n^{(1)}$  with their unique normalized Frobenius-Perron weighting:



It is easily verified that these graphs converge to the affine Coxeter-Dynkin diagram  $D_\infty$  with its Frobenius-Perron weighting



**3.3. Adjusting the Lip-norm.** Nuclearity is often used to establish quantum Gromov-Hausdorff convergence of infinite-dimensional quantum metric spaces (see [Rie04a, KL09, Lat17, Agu19, JRZ18, KK21] where nuclearity is either implicitly or explicitly used to provide finite-dimensional approximations). Since  $\mathcal{S}_0$  is exact but non-nuclear, we do not have contractive completely positive maps for finite dimensional approximations. Instead, we pass to a new Lip-norm  $\mathcal{L}$  on  $\mathcal{S}_0$  defined from the finite dimensional spaces  $A_n \ominus A_{n-1}$  from our filtration  $(A_n)$  of  $A \subset \mathcal{S}_0$ . The spaces  $A_n \ominus A_{n-1}$  of homogeneous loops provide an appropriate finite dimensional approximation.

**Definition 3.11.** Let  $W_n := \text{span}\{Y_\sigma \mid |\sigma| = n\}$  i.e., the span of the Wick words of length  $n$  in  $\mathcal{S}_0$ , and observe  $A_n = \text{span}\bigcup_{k=0}^n W_k$ . Set  $B_n := \{x \in W_n \mid L(x) \leq 1\}$ , and define

$$\mathcal{C}' := \overline{\text{conv} \bigcup_{k=0}^{\infty} B_k}, \quad \mathcal{C} := \overline{\text{conv} \bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} B_k}, \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{C}_n := \text{conv} \bigcup_{k=1}^n B_k = \overline{\text{conv} \bigcup_{k=1}^n B_k}.$$

We then define  $\mathcal{L}$  on  $\mathcal{S}_0$  to be the Minkowski functional associated to  $\mathcal{C}'$ , i.e.

$$\mathcal{L}(x) := \inf \{r > 0 \mid r^{-1}x \in \mathcal{C}'\}. \tag{2}$$

Observe that  $\mathcal{L}(x) = \infty$  whenever  $r^{-1}x \notin \mathcal{C}'$  for all  $r > 0$ . Clearly  $\mathcal{L}$  is finite on  $A$ .

**Remarks 3.12.**

- (1) By construction,  $L \leq \mathcal{L}$ .
- (2) By lower semi-continuity of  $L$ , we have that

$$\{x \in \mathcal{S}_0 \mid \mathcal{L}(x) \leq 1\} \subseteq \{x \in \mathcal{S}_0 \mid L(x) \leq 1\}.$$

Thus, as  $L$  is a Lip-norm, it follows from Proposition 2.7 that  $\mathcal{L}$  is a Lip-norm.

- (3) Observe that if  $x \in W_n$ , then  $L(x) = \mathcal{L}(x)$ . Indeed, by lower-semicontinuity of  $L$ , we see that  $L \leq 1$  on  $\mathcal{C}$ . So if  $L(x) = 1$ , then  $\alpha x \notin \mathcal{C}$  for all  $\alpha > 1$ , and thus  $\mathcal{L}(x) = 1$ .

**Lemma 3.13.** *For every  $\varepsilon > 0$ , there is a  $K \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $x \in A \ominus A_K$  and  $L(x) \leq 1$  implies  $\|x\| < 2\varepsilon/3$ . Moreover, for all  $n > m > K$ ,  $\text{dist}_H^{\|\cdot\|}(\mathcal{C}_m, \mathcal{C}_n) < 4\varepsilon/3$ . This result holds independent of the graph  $\Gamma$  and the vertex-weighting  $\mu$  on  $\mathcal{S}_0$ .*

*Proof.* By [OR05, §3], given  $a \in A$  with  $L(a) \leq 1$  and  $\varepsilon > 0$ , there are  $K > M > 0$  depending only on the constant  $C$  in Theorem 2.13 ([OR05, Theorem 1.2]) so that

- if  $a^{(M)} = \sum_{|m-n| \geq M} P_m a P_n$  and  $a^M = a - a^{(M)}$ , then  $\|a^{(M)}\| < \varepsilon/3$
- if  $\hat{a}_K = \sum_{k \leq K} a_k$  and  $\tilde{a}_K = a - \hat{a}_K$  (which are both in  $A(t)$ ),  $\|(\tilde{a}_K)^M\| < \varepsilon/3$ .

Thus for  $x \in A \ominus A_K$ , we have

$$\|x\| = \|(\hat{x}_K)^M + (\tilde{x}_K)^M + x^{(M)}\| = \|(\tilde{x}_K)^M + x^{(M)}\| < 2\varepsilon/3.$$

It was shown in Lemma 3.7 that  $C = 1$  regardless of the graph  $\Gamma$  and the vertex-weighting  $\mu$  (as long as the base point  $v_0$  has minimal weighting). Therefore, it follows that if  $x \in A \ominus A_K$  and  $\mathcal{L}(x) \leq 1$ , then  $L(x) \leq 1$  and hence  $\|x\| < 2\varepsilon/3$ .

Now by definition,  $\mathcal{C}_m \subset \mathcal{C}_n$ . Observe that when  $V$  is a vector space and  $S \subset T \subset V$ , then every element in  $\text{conv}(T)$  is a convex combination of an element in  $\text{conv}(S)$  and an element in  $\text{conv}(T \setminus S)$ . Hence if  $x \in \mathcal{C}_n$ , we have  $x = \lambda y + (1 - \lambda)z$  where  $y \in \mathcal{C}_m$  and  $z \in \text{conv} \bigcup_{k=m+1}^n B_k$ . Since  $z \in A \ominus A_K$  and  $\mathcal{L}(z) \leq 1$ ,  $\|z\| < 2\varepsilon/3$  by the preceding paragraph. We conclude that  $\text{dist}_H^{\|\cdot\|}(\mathcal{C}_m, \mathcal{C}_n) < 4\varepsilon/3$  as desired.  $\square$

**Corollary 3.14.** *For every  $\varepsilon > 0$ , there is a  $K \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $\text{dist}_q((\mathcal{S}_0, A, \mathcal{L}), (A_k, \mathcal{L}|_{A_k})) < \varepsilon$  for all  $k \geq K$ . Again, this result holds independent of the graph  $\Gamma$  and the vertex-weighting  $\mu$  on  $\mathcal{S}_0$ .*

*Proof.* Immediate from Lemmas 2.9 and 3.13.  $\square$

**Lemma 3.15.** *Let  $(M, \rho)$  be a normed linear space. Suppose we have  $k > 0$  sequences  $(X_n^i)$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, k$ , of compact convex subsets of  $Z$  and compact convex subsets  $X^i \subset Z$  such that  $X_n^i \rightarrow X^i$  in Hausdorff distance for each  $i = 1, \dots, k$ . Then*

$$\text{conv} \left( \bigcup_{i=1}^k X_n^i \right) \longrightarrow \text{conv} \left( \bigcup_{i=1}^k X^i \right)$$

*in Hausdorff distance.*

*Proof.* Let  $\varepsilon > 0$ . Choose  $N$  sufficiently large such that  $\text{dist}_H(X_n^i, X^i) < \varepsilon$  for all  $n > N$  and  $i = 1, \dots, k$ . Then for  $x^i \in X^i$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, k$ , we can choose  $x_n^i \in X_n^i$  for  $n > N$  with  $\text{dist}_H(x_n^i, x^i) < \varepsilon$ . Hence

$$\left\| \sum_{i=1}^k \lambda_i x_n^i - \sum_{i=1}^k \lambda_i x^i \right\| \leq \sum_{i=1}^k \lambda_i \|x_n^i - x^i\| < \varepsilon.$$

This means every point in  $\text{conv} \left( \bigcup_{i=1}^k X^i \right)$  can be  $\varepsilon$ -approximated by a point in  $\text{conv} \left( \bigcup_{i=1}^k X_n^i \right)$  where  $n > N$ . But the above argument clearly works swapping the roles of  $\text{conv} \left( \bigcup_{i=1}^k X^i \right)$  and  $\text{conv} \left( \bigcup_{i=1}^k X_n^i \right)$  for  $n > N$ . The result follows.  $\square$

**Theorem 3.16.** *Let  $(\Gamma_n, \mu_n)$  be a sequence of vertex-weighted pointed graphs converging locally uniformly to  $(\Gamma, \mu)$ , each of which have base point  $v_0$  (here, we suppress the isomorphism data  $\varphi_n^R$ ). Let  $\mathcal{L}_n$  be the Lip-norm constructed on  $\mathcal{S}_0(\Gamma_n, \mu_n)$  as in (2) above. Then  $(\mathcal{S}_0(\Gamma_n, \mu_n), A(\Gamma_n, \mu_n), \mathcal{L}_n)$  converges in quantum Gromov-Hausdorff distance to  $(\mathcal{S}_0(\Gamma, \mu), A(\Gamma, \mu), \mathcal{L})$ .*

*Proof.* For any fixed  $K \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $A_K(\Gamma_n, \mu_n)$  is finite-dimensional. For sufficiently large  $n$ , all of the  $\Gamma_n(K)$  coincide (again, we suppress the isomorphism data  $\varphi_n^R$ ). It follows that for these values of  $n$ , the vector spaces  $A_K(\Gamma_n, \mu_n)$  are canonically isomorphic to the complex linear span of all loops in  $\Gamma$  of length at most  $K$  based at  $v_0$ . Setting

$$V_K := \text{span}_{\mathbb{R}} \{ a\sigma + \bar{a}\sigma^{\text{op}} \mid a \in \mathbb{C} \text{ and } \sigma \in \Pi_0 \text{ such that } |\sigma| \leq K \},$$

$V_K$  is canonically isomorphic to  $A_K(\Gamma, \mu)^{\text{sa}}$  and  $A_K(\Gamma_n, \mu_n)^{\text{sa}}$  for sufficiently large  $n$ .

To prove quantum Gromov-Hausdorff convergence, we use [Rie04a, Theorem 11.2] on a continuous field of order unit spaces whose underlying vector space is  $V_K$  obtained by transporting the norms from the compact quantum metric spaces  $(\mathcal{S}_0(\Gamma_n, \mu_n), A(\Gamma_n, \mu_n), \mathcal{L}_n)$ . Fix a loop  $\sigma$  of  $\Gamma$  based at  $v_0$ , and let  $Y_\sigma(n)$  be the Wick-word for  $\sigma$  in  $\mathcal{S}_0(\Gamma_n, \mu_n)$ :

$$Y_\sigma(n) = \sum_{\sigma=\rho\tau} a_\rho(n)a_\tau^{-1}(n)\ell(\rho)\ell(\tau^{\text{op}})^*.$$

since  $\mu_n \rightarrow \mu$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ , it follows that  $a_\rho(n) \rightarrow a_\rho$  for any path  $\rho$  in  $\Gamma$ . For  $\xi \in V_K$ , we write  $Y_\xi$  for the corresponding linear combination of Wick words in  $\mathcal{S}_0(\Gamma, \mu)$ , and we write  $Y_\xi(n)$  for the corresponding linear combination of Wick words in  $\mathcal{S}_0(\Gamma_n, \mu_n)$  for sufficiently large  $n$ . Setting  $\|\xi\|_n := \|Y_\xi(n)\|_{\mathcal{S}_0(\Gamma_n, \mu_n)}$  and  $\|\xi\| := \|Y_\xi\|_{\mathcal{S}_0(\Gamma, \mu)}$ , we have  $\|\xi\|_n \rightarrow \|\xi\|$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . Moreover, for each  $k$  between 1 and  $K$ , note that for any  $\xi \in V_K$  which is a linear combination of loops of length exactly  $k$ ,  $\mathcal{L}_n(Y_\xi(n))$  converges to  $\mathcal{L}(Y_\xi)$ . This is due to the fact that on the space  $W_k$ ,  $L$  and  $\mathcal{L}$  coincide.

Now by [Rie04a, Theorem 11.2] and Lemma 3.15, we have that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \text{dist}_q((A_K(\Gamma_n, \mu_n)^{\text{sa}}, \mathcal{L}|_{A_K(\Gamma_n, \mu_n)^{\text{sa}}}), (A_K(\Gamma, \mu)^{\text{sa}}, \mathcal{L}|_{A_K(\Gamma, \mu)^{\text{sa}}})) = 0.$$

Finally, using Corollary 3.14 and a standard  $\varepsilon/3$  argument, the result follows.  $\square$

#### 4. APPLICATION TO SUBFACTOR THEORY

We refer the reader to [Jon12] for the definition of a subfactor planar algebra and its principal graphs and to [BHP12] for the definition of a factor planar algebra and its principal graph.

**4.1. The Guionnet-Jones-Shlyakhtenko  $C^*$ -algebras.** Let  $\mathcal{P}_\bullet$  be a (sub)factor planar algebra. We now give the construction from [HP17, HP14] of the Guionnet-Jones-Shlyakhtenko (GJS)  $C^*$ -algebras based on the constructions [GJS10, JSW10, GJS11]. A similar construction starting from a unitary tensor category and chosed symmetrically self-dual generator was given in [HHP20].

First, we form the graded algebra  $\text{Gr}_0 = \bigoplus_{n \geq 0} \mathcal{P}_n$  with the Bacher-Walker product

$$x \star y = \sum_{j=0}^{\min\{m,n\}} \begin{array}{c} m-j \\ | \\ \text{---} \\ | \\ x \end{array} \begin{array}{c} j \\ | \\ \text{---} \\ | \\ y \end{array} \begin{array}{c} | \\ \text{---} \\ | \\ n-j \end{array} \quad \text{for } x \in \mathcal{P}_m, y \in \mathcal{P}_n,$$

and trace given by

$$\text{tr}(x) = \delta_{m=0}x \quad \text{for } x \in \mathcal{P}_m.$$

(Since  $\mathcal{P}_0 = \mathbb{C}$ , the above expression gives us a number.) We note that under the GNS inner product  $\langle x, y \rangle = \text{tr}_0(y^* \star x)$ , the spaces  $\mathcal{P}_n$  are orthogonal for distinct  $n$ .

Observe that since each  $\mathcal{P}_n$  is  $*$ -closed and finite dimensional, the subspaces  $A_n = \bigoplus_{k=0}^n \mathcal{P}_k$  give  $\text{Gr}_0$  the structure of a  $*$ -filtration by finite dimensional subspaces. Moreover, since  $\mathcal{P}_\bullet$  is connected,  $\mathcal{P}_0 = \mathbb{C}1_{\text{Gr}_0}$ . Finally, by [GJS10, JSW10], the action of  $\text{Gr}_0$  on  $(\text{Gr}_0, \text{tr}_0)$  is bounded in  $\|\cdot\|_2$ . Hence Assumptions 2.10 hold, and we are in the position to use the Ozawa-Rieffel criterion from Theorem 2.13 ([OR05, Theorem 1.2]).

**Definition 4.1.** The  $C^*$ -algebra  $\mathcal{A}_0 = \overline{\text{Gr}_0}^{\|\cdot\|}$  acting on  $L^2(\text{Gr}_0, \text{tr}_0)$  is called the GJS  $C^*$ -algebra of  $\mathcal{P}_\bullet$ .

Let  $(\Gamma, \mu)$  be the principal graph of  $\mathcal{P}_\bullet$  with its quantum dimension weighting, which satisfies the Frobenius-Perron condition. Note that the distinguished vertex  $\star$  has minimal weight 1, so Assumption 3.3 holds. We have the following lemma from [HP14] which connects the GJS  $C^*$ -algebra to the free loop algebras discussed in Section 3.1.

**Lemma 4.2** ([HP14, Cor. 3.4]). *The  $C^*$ -algebra  $\mathcal{A}_0$  is isomorphic to the free loop algebra  $\mathcal{S}_0(\Gamma, \mu)$ .*

**Remark 4.3.** The examples of local uniform graph convergence in Examples 3.10 are all examples of principal graphs of subfactors with weightings given by quantum dimension functions which satisfy the Frobenius-Perron condition. We may thus interpret Theorem B as giving quantum Gromov-Hausdorff convergence of the compact quantum metric spaces associated to GJS C\*-algebras.

**4.2. The number operator.** As in §2.2, we have the number operator  $N = \sum_{n \geq 0} nP_n$  on  $\text{Gr}_0$ , where  $P_n$  is the projection with range  $B_n = A_n \ominus A_{n-1} = \mathcal{P}_n$ . We end our article with some further observations about the properties of the number operator in our setup.

To begin, we give a supplementary diagrammatic proof that the number operator has bounded commutator with  $\text{Gr}_0$ , although it follows directly from Lemma 2.12 ([OR05, Lemma 1.1]).

**Lemma 4.4.** *The number operator  $N$  has bounded commutator with every  $x \in \text{Gr}_0$ .*

*Proof.* To show  $\|[N, x]\|_\infty$  is bounded for an arbitrary  $x \in \text{Gr}_0$ , it suffices to consider a fixed  $x \in \mathcal{P}_m$ . Suppose  $y \in \mathcal{P}_n$ , and we write  $\hat{y}$  for its image in  $L^2(\text{Gr}_0, \text{tr}_0)$ . We need only treat the case  $m < n$ , since we may ignore the behavior of  $[N, x]$  on a finite dimensional subspace. We have

$$\begin{aligned} [N, x]\hat{y} &= N(x \star y)^\wedge - x \star (Ny)^\wedge \\ &= \sum_{j=0}^m (m+n-2j) \begin{array}{c} m-j \quad j \\ | \quad | \\ \textcircled{x} \quad \textcircled{y} \\ | \quad | \\ n-j \end{array} - \sum_{j=0}^m n \begin{array}{c} m-j \quad j \\ | \quad | \\ \textcircled{x} \quad \textcircled{y} \\ | \quad | \\ n-j \end{array} \\ &= \sum_{j=0}^m (m-2j) \begin{array}{c} m-j \quad j \\ | \quad | \\ \textcircled{x} \quad \textcircled{y} \\ | \quad | \\ n-j \end{array}. \end{aligned}$$

We now see we can write this sum at the end as

$$\left( \sum_{j=0}^m (m-2j) \begin{array}{c} m-j \quad j \\ | \quad | \\ \textcircled{x} \\ | \\ j \end{array} \right) \hat{y}$$

where the sum in parentheses is a finite sum of bounded operators in the  $\mathcal{P}_\bullet$ -Toeplitz algebra  $\mathcal{T}_0(\mathcal{P}_\bullet)$  [HP17], which is independent of  $y$ . We are finished.  $\square$

**Proposition 4.5.** *The number operator  $N$  has compact resolvent and is  $\theta$ -summable.*

*Proof.* We must show that  $e^{-tN^2}$  is trace class for all  $t > 0$ . Since  $\Gamma$  is the principal graph of  $\mathcal{P}_\bullet$ ,  $\dim(\mathcal{P}_n)$  is the number of loops of length  $2n$  on  $\Gamma$  based at  $*$ . Letting  $A_\Gamma$  be the adjacency matrix of  $\Gamma$ , we have that  $A_\Gamma$  acts on  $\ell^2(V)$ , and  $\|A_\Gamma\| \leq \delta$  by [Pop94, §1.3.5]. Define  $e_* \in \ell^2(V)$  by  $e_*(v) = \delta_{v=*}$ , and note that the number of loops based at  $*$  of length  $2n$  is  $\langle A_\Gamma^{2n} e_*, e_* \rangle$ . Hence, we see

$$\dim(\mathcal{P}_n) = \langle A_\Gamma^{2n} e_*, e_* \rangle = |\langle A_\Gamma e_*, e_* \rangle| \leq \|A_\Gamma\|^{2n} \leq \delta^{2n}.$$

Thus, on  $\mathcal{P}_n$ ,  $e^{-tN^2}$  has trace bounded above by

$$\dim(\mathcal{P}_n) e^{-tn^2} \leq \delta^{2n} e^{-tn^2}.$$

It is now clear by the root test that

$$\sum_{n \geq 0} \frac{\delta^{2n}}{e^{tn^2}} < \infty. \quad \square$$

We now use techniques from [CJS14] to show that the number operator arises as  $\partial^* \partial$  where  $\partial$  is a derivation from  $\text{Gr}_0$  into a Hilbert space.

**Definition 4.6.** We define  $\mathcal{K}_0 = \bigoplus_{i,j \geq 0} \mathcal{P}_{i,j,1}$ , where  $\mathcal{P}_{i,j,1} = \mathcal{P}_{i+j+1}$ , but we think of elements as having  $i$  strings out the top,  $j$  strings out the bottom, and one string to the right:

$$\mathcal{P}_{i,j,1} \ni x \longleftrightarrow \begin{array}{c} | \\ i \\ \boxed{x} \\ | \\ j \end{array} \text{---}.$$

We define  $\mathcal{K}$  to be the completion of  $\mathcal{K}_0$  using the inner product

$$\left\langle \begin{array}{c} | \\ i \\ \boxed{x} \\ | \\ j \end{array} \text{---}, \begin{array}{c} | \\ k \\ \boxed{y} \\ | \\ \ell \end{array} \text{---} \right\rangle = \delta_{i=k} \delta_{j=\ell} \star \begin{array}{c} | \\ i \\ \boxed{x} \\ | \\ j \end{array} \begin{array}{c} | \\ i \\ \boxed{y^*} \\ | \\ j \end{array} \star.$$

We have an action of  $\text{Gr}_0 \otimes \text{Gr}_0^{\text{op}}$  on  $\mathcal{K}$  by bounded operators. Given  $x \in \mathcal{P}_m$  and  $y \in \mathcal{P}_n$ , we think of  $x \otimes y^{\text{op}}$  as the following diagram:

$$x \otimes y^{\text{op}} = \begin{array}{c} | \\ m \\ \boxed{x} \\ | \\ n \\ \boxed{f} \\ | \\ n \end{array}$$

which acts on  $\mathcal{K}$  by left multiplication in a variation of the Bacher-Walker product. If  $z \in \mathcal{P}_{k,\ell,1}$ , we have

$$(x \otimes y^{\text{op}}) \cdot z = \sum_{i=0}^{\min\{m,k\}} \sum_{j=0}^{\min\{n,\ell\}} \begin{array}{c} | \\ m-i \\ \boxed{x} \\ | \\ n-j \\ \boxed{f} \\ | \\ n-j \end{array} \begin{array}{c} | \\ i \\ \boxed{z} \\ | \\ j \\ \end{array} \begin{array}{c} | \\ k-i \\ \text{---} \\ | \\ \ell-j \end{array}.$$

It is easy to see that this action is bounded using the Fock space argument of [HP17]. This means that  $\mathcal{K}$  has the natural structure of a  $\text{Gr}_0 - \text{Gr}_0$  bimodule. We use the notation  $x \star \xi \star y = (x \otimes y^{\text{op}}) \cdot \xi$ .

**Definition 4.7.** We define a map  $\partial : \text{Gr}_0 \rightarrow \mathcal{K}$  by the linear extension of

$$\mathcal{P}_m \ni \begin{array}{c} | \\ m \\ \boxed{x} \\ | \\ m \end{array} \mapsto \sum_{j=0}^{m-1} \begin{array}{c} | \\ j \\ \boxed{x} \\ | \\ m-j-1 \end{array} \text{---} = \sum_{j=0}^{m-1} \begin{array}{c} | \\ m-j-1 \\ \boxed{x} \\ | \\ j \end{array} \text{---}.$$

**Lemma 4.8.** *The map  $\partial$  is a closable derivation in the Bacher-Walker product.*

*Proof.* First, we show that  $\partial$  is a derivation. We need to show that  $\partial(x \star y) = x \star \partial(y) + \partial(x) \star y$ . It is straightforward to compute

$$\partial \left( \sum_{i=0}^{\min\{m,n\}} \begin{array}{c} | \\ m-i \\ \boxed{x} \\ | \\ n-i \end{array} \begin{array}{c} | \\ i \\ \boxed{y} \\ | \\ n-i \end{array} \right) = \sum_{i=0}^{\min\{m,n\}} \sum_{j=0}^{n-i} \begin{array}{c} | \\ m-i \\ \boxed{x} \\ | \\ n-j-i-1 \end{array} \begin{array}{c} | \\ i \\ \boxed{y} \\ | \\ j \end{array} \text{---} + \sum_{i=0}^{\min\{m,n\}} \sum_{j=0}^{m-j} \begin{array}{c} | \\ m-j-i-1 \\ \boxed{f} \\ | \\ n-i \end{array} \begin{array}{c} | \\ i \\ \boxed{x} \\ | \\ j \end{array} \text{---}$$

The right hand side is easily seen to be equal to  $x \star \partial(y) + \partial(x) \star y$  after switching the order of summation. To show  $\partial$  is closable, it is easy to calculate that in the Bacher-Walker product,

$$\partial^* \left( \begin{array}{c} | \\ j \\ \boxed{x} \\ | \\ m-j-1 \end{array} \text{---} \right) = \begin{array}{c} | \\ m \\ \boxed{x} \\ | \\ m \end{array}.$$

Hence  $\partial^*$  defined, and we are finished. □

The following corollary is now immediate.

**Corollary 4.9.** *The number operator  $N = \partial^* \partial$ .*

#### REFERENCES

- [Agu16] K. Aguilar. AF algebras in the quantum Gromov-Hausdorff propinquity space, 2016. [arXiv:1612.02404](#).
- [Agu19] Konrad Aguilar. Fell topologies for AF-algebras and the quantum propinquity. *J. Operator Theory*, 82(2):469–514, 2019. [MR4015960](#) [DOI:10.7900/jot](#).
- [BH96] Dietmar Bisch and Uffe Haagerup. Composition of subfactors: new examples of infinite depth subfactors. *Ann. Sci. École Norm. Sup. (4)*, 29(3):329–383, 1996. [MR1386923](#).
- [BHP12] Arnaud Brothier, Michael Hartglass, and David Penneys. Rigid  $C^*$ -tensor categories of bimodules over interpolated free group factors. *J. Math. Phys.*, 53(12):123525, 43, 2012. [MR3405915](#) [DOI:10.1063/1.4769178](#) [arXiv:1208.5505](#).
- [BNP07] Dietmar Bisch, Remus Nicoara, and Sorin Popa. Continuous families of hyperfinite subfactors with the same standard invariant. *Internat. J. Math.*, 18(3):255–267, 2007. [MR2314611](#) [arXiv:math.OA/0604460](#) [DOI:10.1142/S0129167X07004011](#).
- [BS01] Itai Benjamini and Oded Schramm. Recurrence of distributional limits of finite planar graphs. *Electron. J. Probab.*, 6:no. 23, 13, 2001. [MR1873300](#) [DOI:10.1214/EJP.v6-96](#) [arXiv:math/0011019](#).
- [CJS14] Stephen Curran, Vaughan F. R. Jones, and Dimitri Shlyakhtenko. On the symmetric enveloping algebra of planar algebra subfactors. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 366(1):113–133, 2014. [MR3118393](#) [DOI:10.1090/S0002-9947-2013-05910-7](#) [arXiv:1105.1721](#).
- [Con89] A. Connes. Compact metric spaces, Fredholm modules, and hyperfiniteness. *Ergodic Theory Dynam. Systems*, 9(2):207–220, 1989. [MR1007407](#) [DOI:10.1017/S0143385700004934](#).
- [Con94] Alain Connes. *Noncommutative geometry*. Academic Press Inc., San Diego, CA, 1994. [MR1303779](#).
- [FR99] Neal J. Fowler and Iain Raeburn. The Toeplitz algebra of a Hilbert bimodule. *Indiana Univ. Math. J.*, 48(1):155–181, 1999. [MR1722197](#), [DOI:10.1512/iumj.1999.48.1639](#), [arXiv:math/9806093](#).
- [GdlHJ89] Frederick M. Goodman, Pierre de la Harpe, and Vaughan F.R. Jones. *Coxeter graphs and towers of algebras*. Mathematical Sciences Research Institute Publications, 14. Springer-Verlag, New York, 1989. x+288 pp. ISBN: 0-387-96979-9, [MR999799](#).
- [GJS10] Alice Guionnet, Vaughan F. R. Jones, and Dimitri Shlyakhtenko. Random matrices, free probability, planar algebras and subfactors. In *Quanta of maths*, volume 11 of *Clay Math. Proc.*, pages 201–239. Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2010. [MR2732052](#), [arXiv:0712.2904v2](#).
- [GJS11] Alice Guionnet, Vaughan F. R. Jones, and Dimitri Shlyakhtenko. A semi-finite algebra associated to a subfactor planar algebra. *J. Funct. Anal.*, 261(5):1345–1360, 2011. [arXiv:0911.4728](#), [MR2807103](#), [DOI:10.1016/j.jfa.2011.05.004](#).
- [Har17] Michael Hartglass. Free product  $C^*$ -algebras associated with graphs, free differentials, and laws of loops. *Canad. J. Math.*, 69(3):548–578, 2017. [MR3679687](#) [DOI:10.4153/CJM-2016-022-6](#) [arXiv:1509.02553](#).
- [HHP20] Michael Hartglass and Roberto Hernández Palomares. Realizations of rigid  $C^*$ -tensor categories as bimodules over GJS  $C^*$ -algebras. *J. Math. Phys.*, 61(8):081703, 32, 2020. [MR4139893](#) [DOI:10.1063/5.0015294](#) [arXiv:2005.09821](#).
- [HP14] Michael Hartglass and David Penneys.  $C^*$ -algebras from planar algebras II: The Guionnet–Jones–Shlyakhtenko  $C^*$ -algebras. *J. Funct. Anal.*, 267(10):3859–3893, 2014. [MR3266249](#), [DOI:10.1016/j.jfa.2014.08.024](#), [arXiv:1401.2486](#).
- [HP17] Michael Hartglass and David Penneys.  $C^*$ -algebras from planar algebras I: Canonical  $C^*$ -algebras associated to a planar algebra. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 369(6):3977–4019, 2017. [MR3624399](#) [DOI:10.1090/tran/6781](#) [arXiv:1401.2485](#).
- [Jon83] Vaughan F. R. Jones. Index for subfactors. *Invent. Math.*, 72(1):1–25, 1983. [MR696688](#), [DOI:10.1007/BF01389127](#).
- [Jon99] Vaughan F. R. Jones. Planar algebras I, 1999. [arXiv:math.QA/9909027](#).
- [Jon12] Vaughan F. R. Jones. Quadratic tangles in planar algebras. *Duke Math. J.*, 161(12):2257–2295, 2012. [MR2972458](#), [arXiv:1007.1158](#), [DOI:10.1215/00127094-1723608](#).
- [JRZ18] Marius Junge, Sepideh Rezvani, and Qiang Zeng. Harmonic analysis approach to Gromov-Hausdorff convergence for noncommutative tori. *Comm. Math. Phys.*, 358(3):919–994, 2018. [MR3778347](#) [DOI:10.1007/s00220-017-3017-4](#) [arXiv:1612.02735](#).
- [JSW10] Vaughan Jones, Dimitri Shlyakhtenko, and Kevin Walker. An orthogonal approach to the subfactor of a planar algebra. *Pacific J. Math.*, 246(1):187–197, 2010. [MR2645882](#), [DOI:10.2140/pjm.2010.246.187](#), [arXiv:0807.4146](#).
- [KK21] Jens Kaad and David Kyed. Dynamics of compact quantum metric spaces. *Ergodic Theory Dynam. Systems*, 41(7):2069–2109, 2021. [MR4266364](#) [DOI:10.1017/etds.2020.34](#) [arXiv:1904.13278](#).
- [KL09] David Kerr and Hanfeng Li. On Gromov-Hausdorff convergence for operator metric spaces. *J. Operator Theory*, 62(1):83–109, 2009. [MR2520541](#) [arXiv:math/0411157](#).
- [Lat16] Frédéric Latrémolière. The quantum Gromov-Hausdorff propinquity. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 368(1):365–411, 2016.

- [Lat17] F. Latrémolière. A compactness theorem for the dual Gromov-Hausdorff propinquity. *Indiana Univ. Math. J.*, 66(5):1707–1753, 2017. [MR3718439](#) [DOI:10.1512/iumj.2017.66.6151](#) [arXiv:1501.06121](#).
- [Liu15] Zhengwei Liu. Composed inclusions of  $A_3$  and  $A_4$  subfactors. *Adv. Math.*, 279:307–371, 2015. [MR3345186](#) [DOI:10.1016/j.aim.2015.03.017](#) [arXiv:1308.5691](#).
- [OR05] Narutaka Ozawa and Marc A. Rieffel. Hyperbolic group  $C^*$ -algebras and free-product  $C^*$ -algebras as compact quantum metric spaces. *Canad. J. Math.*, 57(5):1056–1079, 2005. [MR2164594](#) [DOI:10.4153/CJM-2005-040-0](#) [arXiv:math/0302310](#).
- [Pen20] David Penneys. Unitary dual functors for unitary multitensor categories. *High. Struct.*, 4(2):22–56, 2020. [MR4133163](#) [arXiv:1808.00323](#).
- [Pop94] Sorin Popa. Classification of amenable subfactors of type II. *Acta Math.*, 172(2):163–255, 1994. [MR1278111](#), [DOI:10.1007/BF02392646](#).
- [Pop95] Sorin Popa. An axiomatization of the lattice of higher relative commutants of a subfactor. *Invent. Math.*, 120(3):427–445, 1995. [MR1334479](#) [DOI:10.1007/BF01241137](#).
- [Rie98] Marc A. Rieffel. Metrics on states from actions of compact groups. *Doc. Math.*, 3:215–229, 1998. [MR1647515](#) [arXiv:math/9807084](#).
- [Rie99] Marc A. Rieffel. Metrics on state spaces. *Doc. Math.*, 4:559–600, 1999. [MR1727499](#), [arXiv:math/9906151](#).
- [Rie04a] Marc A. Rieffel. Gromov-Hausdorff distance for quantum metric spaces. *Mem. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 168(796):1–65, 2004. Appendix 1 by Hanfeng Li, Gromov-Hausdorff distance for quantum metric spaces. Matrix algebras converge to the sphere for quantum Gromov-Hausdorff distance. [MR2055927](#) [DOI:10.1090/memo/0796](#) [arXiv:math/0011063](#).
- [Rie04b] Marc A. Rieffel. Matrix algebras converge to the sphere for quantum Gromov-Hausdorff distance. volume 168, pages 67–91. 2004. Gromov-Hausdorff distance for quantum metric spaces. Matrix algebras converge to the sphere for quantum Gromov-Hausdorff distance. [MR2055928](#) [DOI:10.1090/memo/0796](#) [arXiv:math/0108005](#).
- [Wen88] Hans Wenzl. Hecke algebras of type  $A_n$  and subfactors. *Invent. Math.*, 92(2):349–383, 1988. [MR936086](#) [DOI:10.1007/BF01404457](#).
- [Wen90] Hans Wenzl. Quantum groups and subfactors of type  $B$ ,  $C$ , and  $D$ . *Comm. Math. Phys.*, 133(2):383–432, 1990. [MR1090432](#).
- [Xu98] Feng Xu. Standard  $\lambda$ -lattices from quantum groups. *Invent. Math.*, 134(3):455–487, 1998. [MR1660937](#) [DOI:10.1007/s002220050271](#).