

AN ALTERNATIVE FOR MINIMAL GROUP ACTIONS ON TOTALLY REGULAR CURVES

ENHUI SHI, HUI XU, AND XIANGDONG YE

ABSTRACT. Let G be a countable group and X be a totally regular curve. Suppose that $\phi : G \rightarrow \text{Homeo}(X)$ is a minimal action. Then we show an alternative: either the action is topologically conjugate to isometries on the circle \mathbb{S}^1 (this implies that $\phi(G)$ contains an abelian subgroup of index at most 2), or has a quasi-Schottky subgroup (this implies that G contains the free nonabelian group $\mathbb{Z} * \mathbb{Z}$). In order to prove the alternative, we get a new characterization of totally regular curves by means of the notion of measure; and prove an escaping lemma holding for any minimal group action on infinite compact metric spaces, which improves a trick in Margulis' proof of the alternative in the case that $X = \mathbb{S}^1$.

1. INTRODUCTION

The notion of amenable group was first introduced by von Neumann, which forbids the existence of a paradoxical decomposition of a group. On the contrary, the free nonabelian group $\mathbb{Z} * \mathbb{Z}$ admits such a decomposition. This is the core of Banach-Tarski's decomposition of the sphere. So, the following question asked by von Neumann is very natural: whether every nonamenable group contains $\mathbb{Z} * \mathbb{Z}$ (see [5]). This question was answered positively by J. Tits for linear groups:

Theorem 1.1. [29, Theorem 1] *A finitely generated linear group either contains a free nonabelian subgroup or has a solvable subgroup of finite index.*

In general, von Neumann's question has a negative answer; one may consult [14, 19, 24] for many counterexamples. Now, Theorem 1.1 is known as the Tits alternative. An interesting question is which group has the Tits alternative. Many important groups coming from geometry and topology were shown to have this property (see e.g. [6, p. 545]). Nevertheless, the exact analogue of Tits alternative does not hold even for subgroups of C^∞ diffeomorphism group of \mathbb{S}^1 (see [9]). As a replacement of the Tits alternative, G. Margulis proved the following theorem which answered positively a conjecture proposed by Ghys. One may see [21, 10] for a different proof of this theorem by Ghys.

Theorem 1.2. [15, Theorem 3] *Let a group G act by homeomorphisms on \mathbb{S}^1 . Then either there is a G -invariant probability measure on \mathbb{S}^1 , or G contains a free nonabelian subgroup.*

Recently, some people are interested in studying the alternative phenomena for group actions on curves (continua of one dimension). For example, it is implied by several authors' work that every subgroup of a dendrite homeomorphism group either has a finite orbit or contains a free nonabelian group (see [7, 17, 11]). One may refer to [12, 16, 26, 27, 28] for some related investigations in this direction.

The purpose of the paper is to establish an alternative for group actions on a class of curves which contains all dendrites and all graphs. Explicitly, we obtain the following theorem.

Theorem 1.3. *Let G be a countable group and X be a totally regular curve. Suppose that $\phi : G \rightarrow \text{Homeo}(X)$ is a minimal action. Then either the action is topologically conjugate to isometries on the circle \mathbb{S}^1 (this implies that $\phi(G)$ contains an abelian subgroup of index at most 2), or has a quasi-Schottky subgroup (this implies that G contains the free nonabelian group $\mathbb{Z} * \mathbb{Z}$).*

Here we remark that the minimality condition in the theorem is not very strict, as there are many natural examples of minimal group actions on curves coming from geometry (see e.g. [3, 18]). Margulis also established an alternative for minimal group actions on the circle [15, Theorem 2]. The proof of Theorem 1.3 follows the same line as in [15]; however, since the topology of the concerned curves are more complicated than that of the circle, we have to develop some topological and dynamical ideas to overcome the difficulties encountered.

During the process of the proof, we get a new characterization of totally regular curves by means of the notion of measure, which may have its own interest in continuum theory.

Theorem 1.4. *A continuum X is totally regular if and only if there is an atomless probability Borel measure μ on X such that for every subcontinuum sequence $(K_i)_{i=1}^{\infty}$ satisfying $\mu(K_i) \rightarrow 0$, we always have $\text{diam}(K_i) \rightarrow 0$.*

We also get an escaping lemma holding for any minimal group action on infinite compact metric space. This lemma is an extension of a trick used by Margulis in [15], and we avoid using the Neumann's theorem in group theory as he did.

Lemma 1.5 (Escaping lemma). *Let X be an infinite compact metric space and let a countable group G act on X minimally. Then for any countable subset $C \subset X$ and any finite subset $F \subset X$, there always exists a sequence (g_n) in G and a finite set K in X such that $g_n F \rightarrow K$ and $K \cap C = \emptyset$.*

Note. We always assume that the group G appeared in this article is a countable discrete topological group; in particular, it is secondly countable and locally compact.

The paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we introduce some basic notions and facts which will be used in this article. Then we show the existence of contractible neighborhoods for minimal and sensitive actions on regular curves with a point of finite order in Section 3, and we use size function to construct a measure on continua in Section 4. In Section 5, we give a new characterization of totally regular curves via measures. We prove the escaping lemma for minimal actions in Section 6. Finally, we conclude the alternative for minimal actions on totally regular curves in Section 7.

2. PRELIMINARIES

In this section, we will introduce some notions, notations, and facts in continuum theory, measure theory, and the theory of dynamical system, which will be used in the sequel.

2.1. Characterizations of totally regular continua and the circle. By a *continuum*, we mean a connected compact metric space. A continuum X is said to be *nondegenerate* if it is not a single point. We say that X is a *Peano continuum* if it is locally connected. If a continuum X does not contain uncountably many mutually disjoint nondegenerate subcontinua, then X is called *Suslinian*. A continuum X is said to be

- (1) *regular* if every point $x \in X$ has an open neighborhood basis \mathcal{N}_x each member of which has finite boundary;
- (2) *totally regular* if for any countable subset F of a continuum X , there is a basis \mathcal{B} of open sets for X such that for each $B \in \mathcal{B}$, $F \cap \partial_X(B) = \emptyset$ and the boundary $\partial_X(B)$ of B is finite.

It is known that graphs and dendrites are totally regular; Suslinian continua are of one dimension; and regular curves are Peano continua of dimension 1.

There have been many equivalent characterizations of totally regular continua (see [23, Theorem 7.5]). Now, we recall a characterization given by S. Eilenberg and O. Harrold. Let (X, d) be a metric space. For any $\varepsilon > 0$, let

$$L_\varepsilon^1(X, d) = \inf \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \text{diam}(X_i),$$

where the infimum is taken over all decompositions $X = X_1 \cup X_2 \cup X_3 \cup \dots$ of X such that $\text{diam}(X_i) < \varepsilon$ for each $i = 1, 2, \dots$. Then $L_\varepsilon^1(X, d)$ is non-decreasing with respect to ε . Let

$$L^1(X, d) = \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^+} L_\varepsilon^1(X, d).$$

If $L^1(X, d) < \infty$, then we say that (X, d) has *finite linear measure*.

Theorem 2.1 ([8]). *A continuum X is totally regular if and only if it has finite linear measure.*

Let X be a topological space and $x \in X$. Let β be a cardinal number. We say that x is of *order* $\leq \beta$, written $\text{ord}(x) \leq \beta$, provided that x has an open neighborhood basis \mathcal{N} such that the cardinality of the boundary of each $U \in \mathcal{N}$ is less than or equal to β ; if $\text{ord}(x) \leq \beta$ but $\text{ord}(x) \not\leq \alpha$ for any $\alpha < \beta$, then we say that x is of *order* β ; if $\beta < \aleph_0$, we say that x is of *finite order*. The following characterization of the simple closed curve is due to W. Ayres.

Theorem 2.2 ([2], Corollary 3). *The simple closed curve is the only continuum all of whose points are of the same finite order.*

A point p in a continuum X is called a *local separating point* provided that there is some neighborhood U of p such that the connected component C of U containing p is separated by p in U . Using the concept of local separating, Whyburn gave the following equivalent characterization of totally regular curve.

Theorem 2.3 ([30], Theorem 4). *A continuum X is totally regular if and only if every nondegenerate subcontinuum of X contains uncountable local separating points.*

Together with the following Whyburn's theorem, we know that every totally regular continuum has a point of order 2.

Theorem 2.4 ([30], Theorem 1). *A continuum with uncountable local separating points has a point of order 2.*

2.2. Hyperspace and size functions. Let (X, d) be a compact metric space and set

$$\begin{aligned} 2^X &= \{A : A \text{ is a nonempty closed subset of } X\}, \\ C(X) &= \{A \in 2^X : A \text{ is connected}\}. \end{aligned}$$

Then 2^X is a compact metric space endowed with the Hausdorff metric d_H and $C(X)$ is closed in 2^X ([20, Theorems 4.13, 4.17]). We call each of $(2^X, d_H)$ and $(C(X), d_H)$ the *hyperspace* of X .

Definition 2.5. [20, 4.33] A continuous function $\tau : 2^X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is said to be a *size function* (or *Whitney map*) on 2^X if

- (1) if $A, B \in 2^X$ and $A \subsetneq B$, then $\tau(A) < \tau(B)$;
- (2) $\tau(\{x\}) = 0$, for any $x \in X$.

Lemma 2.6. [20, 4.33] For a compact metric space (X, d) ,

- (1) there exists a size function on 2^X ;
- (2) for any size function τ on 2^X , $A_n \rightarrow A$ if and only if for any $\varepsilon > 0$, there is $N > 0$, such that for each $n \geq N$,

$$A_n \subseteq N(A, \varepsilon) \text{ and } |\tau(A_n) - \tau(A)| < \varepsilon.$$

Note that $N(A, \varepsilon) = \{x \in X : d(x, A) < \varepsilon\}$. Here we recall an explicit construction of size functions in [20, 4.33], which will be used in the sequel. Choose a countable dense subset $D = \{x_1, x_2, x_3, \dots\}$ of X . For each i , define $f_i : X \rightarrow [0, 1]$ by

$$f_i(x) = \frac{1}{1 + d(x_i, x)}.$$

For any subset A of X , set $\tau_i(A) = \text{diam} f_i(A)$. Then the function

$$\tau(A) = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2^i} \tau_i(A)$$

is a size function on 2^X .

Lemma 2.7. Let τ be the size function defined above. Then, for any $C, C_1, C_2, \dots \in C(X)$ with $C \subseteq \bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} C_k$, we have

$$\tau(C) \leq \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \tau(C_k).$$

Proof. For each i , f_i is continuous on X . So, $f_i(C), f_i(C_1), f_i(C_2), \dots$ are connected in $[0, 1]$. Let λ be the Lebesgue measure on $[0, 1]$. Thus

$$\begin{aligned} \tau_i(C) &= \text{diam}(f_i(C)) = \lambda(f_i(C)) \\ &\leq \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \lambda(f_i(C_k)) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \text{diam}(f_i(C_k)) \\ &= \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \tau_i(C_k). \end{aligned}$$

Hence $\tau(C) \leq \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \tau(C_k)$. □

2.3. Metric outer measures. For a nonempty set Ω let $\mathcal{P}(\Omega)$ denote the power set of Ω , i.e., $\mathcal{P}(\Omega) = \{A : A \subseteq \Omega\}$. Recall that a function μ defined on $\mathcal{P}(\Omega)$ is called an *outer-measure* on Ω if it satisfies:

- (1) $0 \leq \mu(E) \leq +\infty$, for each subset E of Ω ;
- (2) $\mu(\emptyset) = 0$;
- (3) if $E_1 \subseteq E_2$, then $\mu(E_1) \leq \mu(E_2)$;
- (4) if $\{E_i\}$ is any sequence of subsets of Ω , then

$$\mu\left(\bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} E_i\right) \leq \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \mu(E_i).$$

Let μ be an outer-measure on Ω . A subset E of Ω is said to be μ -*measurable* if for all subsets A, B with $A \subseteq E$ and $B \subseteq \Omega \setminus E$, we have

$$\mu(A \cup B) = \mu(A) + \mu(B).$$

Theorem 2.8. [25, Theorem 3] *If μ is an outer-measure on Ω , then the system \mathcal{M} of μ -measurable sets is a σ -algebra and the restriction of μ to \mathcal{M} is a measure on \mathcal{M} .*

A function τ defined on a class \mathcal{C} of subsets of Ω will be called a *pre-measure* if

- (1) $\emptyset \in \mathcal{C}$ and $\tau(\emptyset) = 0$;
- (2) $0 \leq \tau(C) \leq +\infty$ for all C in \mathcal{C} .

Theorem 2.9. [25, Theorems 15,16,19] *If τ is a pre-measure defined on a class \mathcal{C} of subsets in a metric space (X, d) , then the set function*

$$(2.1) \quad \mu(E) = \sup_{\delta > 0} \mu_{\delta}(E)$$

is an outer-measure on X , where

$$\mu_{\delta}(E) = \inf \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \tau(C_i) : C_i \in \mathcal{C}, \text{diam}(C_i) \leq \delta, E \subseteq \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} C_i \right\}.$$

(We let $\mu_{\delta}(E) = +\infty$ if the infimum is taken over the empty set.) Moreover, all Borel sets are μ -measurable.

2.4. Equicontinuity and sensitivity in minimal systems. Let G be a countable group and X be a compact metric space with metric d . A *continuous action* of G on X , written $G \curvearrowright X$, means a group homomorphism $\phi : G \rightarrow \text{Homeo}(X)$, where $\text{Homeo}(X)$ is the homeomorphism group of X . For brevity, we usually use gx instead of $\phi(g)(x)$ for $g \in G$ and $x \in X$. For $A \subset X$ and $g \in G$, denote by gA the set $\{gx : x \in A\}$. We use GA to denote the set $\bigcup_{g \in G} gA$; if $GA = A$, then A is called *G -invariant*. For $x \in X$, the *orbit* of x under the action $G \curvearrowright X$ is the set $\{gx : g \in G\}$, which is denoted by $O(x, G)$. If for every $x \in X$, $O(x, G)$ is dense in X , then the action $G \curvearrowright X$ is said to be *minimal*. If $A \subset X$ is closed and G -invariant, and the restriction action $G \curvearrowright A$ is minimal, then we call A a *minimal set*. If $\phi(G)$ is an equicontinuous family in $\text{Homeo}(X)$ with respect to the uniform convergence topology, then $G \curvearrowright X$ is said to be *equicontinuous*; that is, for every $\varepsilon > 0$ there is a $\delta > 0$ such that $d(gx, gy) < \varepsilon$ for all $g \in G$, whenever $d(x, y) < \delta$. The action $G \curvearrowright X$ is said to be *sensitive* if there is $c > 0$ such that for every nonempty open set U in X , there is a $g \in G$ with $\text{diam}(gU) > c$; c is said to be a *sensitivity constant*.

The following dichotomy is well known in the theory of dynamical system and easy to prove.

Theorem 2.10. *Let G be a group and X be a compact metric space. Suppose the action $G \curvearrowright X$ is minimal. Then $G \curvearrowright X$ is either equicontinuous or sensitive.*

Let H be a compact metric topological group and K be a closed subgroup of H . Then $\psi : H \rightarrow \text{Homeo}(H/K)$ define by $\psi(h)(gK) = hgK$ is a continuous action of H on H/K , which is called the *left translation action* of H on H/K . We use $L(H/K)$ to denote the subgroup $\psi(H)$ of $\text{Homeo}(H/K)$.

The following theorem is classical and can be seen in [1].

Theorem 2.11. *Let G be a group and X be a compact metric space. Suppose the action $\phi : G \curvearrowright \text{Homeo}(X)$ is minimal and equicontinuous. Then there is a compact metric topological group H and a closed subgroup K of H such that ϕ is topologically conjugate to left translations on H/K ; that is, there is a homeomorphism $h : X \rightarrow H/K$ and a group homomorphism $\gamma : G \rightarrow L(H/K)$ such that $h(\phi(g)(x)) = \gamma(g)(h(x))$ for all $g \in G$ and $x \in X$; in particular, X is topologically homogenous.*

2.5. Contractible neighborhoods and strong ε -proximality. We will recall some notions used by Margulis in [15], some ideas of which are due to Furstenberg. Let a group G act on a compact metric space (X, d) and let $\mathcal{M}(X)$ denote the space of all Borel probability measures on X with the standard weak* topology. A subset K of X is said to be *G -contractible* if there is a sequence (g_n) in G such that $\text{diam}(g_n K) \rightarrow 0$. We call a measure $\mu \in \mathcal{M}(X)$ *G -contractible* if there is a sequence (g_n) in G and $x \in X$ such that $g_n \mu \rightarrow \delta_x$, where δ_x is the Dirac measure at x . We say that the G -action on X is *strongly ε -proximal* if every measure $\mu \in \mathcal{M}(X)$ with $\text{diam}(\text{supp}(\mu)) < \varepsilon$ is G -contractible.

The following lemma is implied by an argument of Lebesgue number.

Lemma 2.12. *If every point $x \in X$ has a G -contractible neighborhood, then the G -action on X is strongly ε -proximal for some $\varepsilon > 0$.*

The following lemma is Proposition 1(ii) in [15].

Lemma 2.13. *If the G -action on X is strongly ε -proximal for some $\varepsilon > 0$, then for any $\mu \in \mathcal{M}(X)$, there is a $\nu \in \mathcal{M}(X)$ with finite support and a sequence (g_n) in G such that $g_n \mu \rightarrow \nu$.*

From Lemma 2.12 and Lemma 2.13, we immediately have

Proposition 2.14. *If every point $x \in X$ has a G -contractible neighborhood, then for any $\mu \in \mathcal{M}(X)$, there is a $\nu \in \mathcal{M}(X)$ with finite support and a sequence (g_n) in G such that $g_n \mu \rightarrow \nu$.*

2.6. Quasi-Schottky groups. (see Fig.1.) A group H with two generators acting on a topological space X is said to be *quasi-Schottky* if there are generators h_1, h_2 of H and disjoint nonempty open sets U_1, U_2, V_1, V_2 and W of X such that

$$h_1(U_1 \cup U_2 \cup V_2 \cup W) \subset U_1, \quad h_1^{-1}(U_2 \cup V_1 \cup V_2 \cup W) \subset V_1,$$

and

$$h_2(U_1 \cup U_2 \cup V_1 \cup W) \subset U_2, \quad h_2^{-1}(U_1 \cup V_1 \cup V_2 \cup W) \subset V_2.$$

By a ‘‘ping-pong’’ argument of Tits [29], we know that H is a free nonabelian group. In addition, for any $h \neq e$, $h(W) \cap W = \emptyset$; this implies that the H action on the open set HW is discrete.




FIGURE 1. Quasi-Schottky group

3. EXISTENCE OF CONTRACTIBLE NEIGHBORHOODS

The following theorem is known as the Boundary Bumping Theorem (see e.g. [20, p. 73]).

Theorem 3.1. *Let X be a continuum and let U be a nonempty proper open subset of X . If K is a component of \bar{U} , then $K \cap \partial_X(U) \neq \emptyset$.*

The following two lemmas are taken from [31]. As the paper has not yet been officially published, for the convenience of the readers, we repeat the proof again here.

Lemma 3.2. [31, Lemma 3.1] *Let X be a regular curve and U be a connected open subset of X with $|\partial_X(U)| = n$ for some positive integer n . If $\text{diam}(U) > \varepsilon$ for some $\varepsilon > 0$, then there is some connected open set $V \subset U$, such that $d(V, \partial_X(U)) \geq \varepsilon/4n$ and $\text{diam}(V) \geq \varepsilon/4n$.*

Proof. Let $\partial_X(U) = \{e_1, e_2, \dots, e_n\}$. We claim that $U \setminus \bigcup_{i=1}^n B(e_i, \varepsilon/2n) \neq \emptyset$. In fact, if $U \subset \bigcup_{i=1}^n B(e_i, \varepsilon/2n)$, then for any two distinct points $a, b \in U$, by the connectivity of U there are finite $B(e_{i_1}, \varepsilon/2n), \dots, B(e_{i_m}, \varepsilon/2n)$ ($m \leq n$) such that $a \in B(e_{i_1}, \varepsilon/2n)$, $b \in B(e_{i_m}, \varepsilon/2n)$ and $B(e_{i_k}, \varepsilon/2n) \cap B(e_{i_{k+1}}, \varepsilon/2n) \neq \emptyset$ for $1 \leq k \leq m-1$. Thus we have

$$\begin{aligned} d(a, b) &\leq d(a, e_{i_1}) + d(e_{i_1}, e_{i_2}) + \dots + d(e_{i_{m-1}}, e_{i_m}) + d(e_{i_m}, b) \\ &< \frac{\varepsilon}{2n} + \frac{\varepsilon}{n} \cdot (m-1) + \frac{\varepsilon}{2n} \\ &\leq \varepsilon. \end{aligned}$$

It follows that $\text{diam}(U) \leq \varepsilon$, which is a contradiction. Hence $U \setminus \bigcup_{i=1}^n B(e_i, \varepsilon/2n) \neq \emptyset$.

Take a point $x \in U \setminus \bigcup_{i=1}^n B(e_i, \varepsilon/2n)$. Then $d(x, \partial_X(U)) \geq \varepsilon/2n$, and hence

$$d(B(x, \varepsilon/4n), \partial_X(U)) \geq \varepsilon/4n.$$

Let V be the component of $B(x, \varepsilon/4n) \cap U$ which contains x . Then $d(V, \partial_X(U)) \geq \varepsilon/4n$. Let $W = U \cap B(x, \varepsilon/4n)$. Since U is connected, $\emptyset \neq \partial_X(W) \subset \partial_X(B(x, \varepsilon/4n))$. This together with Theorem 3.1 implies $\emptyset \neq \partial_X(V) \subset \partial_X(B(x, \varepsilon/4n))$. So, $\text{diam}(V) = \text{diam}(\bar{V}) \geq \varepsilon/4n$. \square

Lemma 3.3. [31, Proposition 3.2] *Let X be a regular curve and let $(U_i)_{i=1}^\infty$ be a sequence of connected open subsets of X with $|\partial_X(U_i)| = n$ for some positive integer n and for each i . Suppose that there is some $\varepsilon > 0$ with $\text{diam}(U_i) > \varepsilon$ for each i . Then there is a nonempty open subset W of X and infinitely many i 's such that W is contained in U_i .*

Proof. For each i , it follows from Lemma 3.2 that there is a connected open subset $W_i \subset U_i$ with $d(W_i, \partial_X(U_i)) \geq \varepsilon/4n$ and $\text{diam}(W_i) \geq \varepsilon/4n$. By the compactness of 2^X and $C(X)$, there are subsequences $(\overline{W_{i_k}})$ and $(\partial_X(U_{i_k}))$ such that $(\overline{W_{i_k}})$ converges to a subcontinuum A , and

$$d_H(\partial_X(U_{i_{k_1}}), \partial_X(U_{i_{k_2}})) < \varepsilon/4n, \forall k_1 \neq k_2. \quad (1)$$

Take a point $z \in A$. Then there exists a connected open neighborhood Q of z such that $\partial_X(Q)$ is finite and $\text{diam}(Q) < \varepsilon/4n$. Since $(\overline{W_{i_k}})$ converges to A , there exists a positive integer N such that $W_{i_k} \cap Q \neq \emptyset$ for each $k \geq N$. Noting that $\text{diam}(W_{i_k}) \geq \varepsilon/4n$ and $\text{diam}(Q) < \varepsilon/4n$, we have $W_{i_k} \not\subseteq Q$. Thus $W_{i_k} \cap \partial_X(Q) \neq \emptyset$ by the connectivity of W_{i_k} . Hence, there exist a point $p \in \partial_X(Q)$ and infinitely many k 's such that $p \in W_{i_k}$. Passing to a subsequence if necessary, we may suppose that $p \in W_{i_k}$ for each $k \geq N$.

Let $W = W_{i_N}$. To complete the proof, we only need to show that $W \subset U_{i_k}$ for all $k \geq N$. Otherwise, there is some $k' \geq N$ with $W \not\subseteq U_{i_{k'}}$. Since W is connected and $p \in W \cap U_{i_{k'}}$, there is a point $e \in W \cap \partial_X(U_{i_{k'}})$. By (1), there is $e' \in \partial_X(U_{i_N})$ such that $d(e, e') < \varepsilon/4n$. Then we have $d(W, e') \leq d(e, e') < \varepsilon/4n$. This contradicts the assumption that $d(W, \partial_X(U_{i_N})) \geq \varepsilon/4n$ at the beginning. \square

Theorem 3.4. *Let X be a regular curve with a point of finite order and let a group G act on X minimally and sensitively. Then every point $x \in X$ has a contractible neighborhood.*

Proof. Let x be a point of finite order in X . Then there is a positive integer n and a sequence of open neighborhoods $(U_i)_{i=1}^\infty$ of x such that $|\partial_X(U_i)| = n$ and $\text{diam}(U_i) \rightarrow 0$ as $i \rightarrow \infty$. Let $c > 0$ be a sensitivity constant of the action. Then for each i , there is $g_i \in G$ such that $\text{diam}(g_i(U_i)) > c$. By Lemma 3.3, there exists a nonempty open set W in X such that W is contained in infinitely many U_i 's. We may as well assume that $W \subset U_i$ for each i . Then $\text{diam}(g_i^{-1}W) \leq \text{diam}(U_i) \rightarrow 0$. For any $y \in X$, by the minimality of the action, there is some $g \in G$ with $gy \in W$. Take an open neighborhood V of y such that $gV \subset W$. Then V is a contractible neighborhood of y as $\text{diam}(g_i^{-1}gV) \rightarrow 0$ ($i \rightarrow \infty$). \square

4. MEASURES INDUCED BY SIZE FUNCTIONS

Let X be a continuum. Let τ be the size function on 2^X as defined in Section 2.2. Set $\mathcal{C} = C(X) \cup \{\emptyset\}$ and $\tau(\emptyset) = 0$. Let μ be the outer-measure defined as in Theorem 2.9 by

$$(4.1) \quad \mu(E) = \sup_{\delta > 0} \mu_\delta(E),$$

where

$$\mu_\delta(E) = \inf \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \tau(C_i) : C_i \in \mathcal{C}, \text{diam}(C_i) \leq \delta, E \subseteq \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} C_i \right\}.$$

Here, we should note that $\mu_\delta(E) = +\infty$ if the infimum is taken over an empty set. By Theorem 2.9, the restriction of μ to the Borel σ -algebra is a measure.

Lemma 4.1. *μ is atomless.*

Proof. For any $x \in X$, it is clear $\tau(\{x\}) = 0$. By the definition of μ , we have $\mu(\{x\}) = 0$. \square

Lemma 4.2. *For any $C \in C(X)$, we have $\mu(C) \geq \tau(C)$.*

Proof. If for some $\delta > 0$, there is no sequence (C_i) in \mathcal{C} with $\text{diam}(C_i) \leq \delta$ and $C \subseteq \cup C_i$, then

$$\mu(C) \geq \mu_\delta(C) = +\infty \geq \tau(C).$$

Otherwise, for every $\delta > 0$ and $\varepsilon > 0$, there is a sequence (C_i) in \mathcal{C} with $\text{diam}(C_i) \leq \delta$ and $C \subseteq \cup C_i$ such that

$$\tau(C) \leq \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \tau(C_i) \leq \mu_\delta(C) + \varepsilon,$$

by Lemma 2.7. By the arbitrariness of ε and the definition of μ , we still have $\mu(C) \geq \tau(C)$. \square

Lemma 4.3. *For any continuum X , there exists an atomless Borel measure μ on X such that for any sequence (Y_n) of subcontinua, if $\mu(Y_n) \rightarrow 0$, then $\text{diam}(Y_n) \rightarrow 0$.*

Proof. Let μ be the measure defined as (4.1). If $\text{diam}(Y_n)$ does not converge to 0, then there exist a subsequence (Y_{n_k}) and $Y \in C(X)$ with $\text{diam}(Y) > \delta$ for some $\delta > 0$, such that $Y_{n_k} \rightarrow Y$. By Lemma 4.2, $\mu(Y_{n_k}) \geq \tau(Y_{n_k}) \rightarrow 0$. Since τ is continuous on 2^X , we have $\tau(Y) = 0$. By the Definition 2.5 of the size function, Y must be degenerate. This is a contradiction. \square

Note. Here we should note that the measure μ in Lemma 4.3 may take the infinite value at some Borel subsets.

5. A CHARACTERIZATION OF TOTALLY REGULAR CURVES

Before the proof of the main result in this section, let us first recall and show some lemmas in continuum theory.

Lemma 5.1. [20, 7.21] *A Peano continuum (X, d) is ULAC (uniformly locally arcwise connected). That is for any $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists $\delta > 0$ such that if $d(x, y) < \delta$ and $x \neq y$, then there is an arc $A \subset X$ such that A has end points x and y and $\text{diam}(A) < \varepsilon$.*

The following lemma is an easy conclusion of the regularity of Borel measures on metric spaces.

Lemma 5.2. *Let μ be an atomless Borel probability measure on a continuum (X, d) . Then for any sequence of subcontinua (K_n) of X with $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \text{diam}(K_n) = 0$, we have $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mu(K_n) = 0$.*

Lemma 5.3. *Let X be a Peano continuum. Let V be a connected open subset and F be a closed subset of X . If $F \subset V$, then there is a subcontinuum K of X satisfying $F \subset K \subset V$.*

Proof. Since X is locally connected, for every $x \in F$, there is a connected neighborhood W_x of x such that $\overline{W_x} \subset V$. By the compactness, there exist $x_1, \dots, x_n \in F$ such that $F \subset W_{x_1} \cup \dots \cup W_{x_n}$. Noting that every connected open set of a Peano continuum is arcwise connected, for each $i \in \{1, \dots, n-1\}$, there is an arc $A_i \subset V$ connecting B_{x_i} and $B_{x_{i+1}}$. Let

$$K = \overline{(\cup_{i=1}^n B_{x_i}) \cup (\cup_{i=1}^{n-1} A_i)}.$$

Then K is a subcontinuum satisfying $F \subset K \subset V$. \square

The following definition describes a kind of 1-dimensionality of a continuum from the viewpoint of measure.

Definition 5.4. A continuum (X, d) is said to be of *1-dimension in the sense of measure* if there is an atomless Borel probability measure μ on X such that for any sequence of subcontinua (Y_n) of X with $\mu(Y_n) \rightarrow 0$, we always have $\text{diam}(Y_n) \rightarrow 0$.

It can be seen from the following lemma that a continuum of 1-dimension in the sense of measure is always a Peano continuum.

Lemma 5.5. *If a continuum X is of 1-dimension in the sense of measure, then it is a Peano continuum.*

Proof. If X is not a Peano continuum, then there exists a convergence continuum ([20, 5.12]), i.e., there is a nondegenerate subcontinuum A and a sequence $(A_n)_{n=1}^{\infty}$ of mutually disjoint subcontinua A_n such that

$$A = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} A_n \quad \text{and} \quad A \cap A_n = \emptyset \quad \text{for each } n.$$

Let μ be a probability measure on X such that for any sequence of subcontinua (Y_n) of X with $\mu(Y_n) \rightarrow 0$, we always have $\text{diam}(Y_n) \rightarrow 0$. Since A_n 's are pairwise disjoint and $\mu(X) = 1$, we have $\mu(A_n) \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Then $\text{diam}(A_n) \rightarrow 0$ by the assumption on μ , which is a contradiction. \square

It is well known that the topological dimension of Suslinian continua are one. However, we did not find a reference giving an explicit proof. For the convenience of the readers, we afford a sketched proof here.

Lemma 5.6. *Every Suslinian continuum is of topological dimension 1.*

Proof. Let X be a Suslinian continuum with metric d and fix $x \in X$. Set

$$E = \{r \in (0, \text{diam}(X)) : \partial B(x, r) \text{ has a non-degenerate component}\}.$$

Then E is countable by the definition of Suslinian continuum. Thus, $\{B(x, r) : r \in (0, \text{diam}(X)) \setminus E\}$ forms an open neighborhood of x with 0-dimensional boundary. Hence $\dim(X) \leq 1$. Note that the dimension of every non-degenerate continuum is no less than 1. So $\dim(X) = 1$. \square

Now we start to state and prove the main theorem in this section which gives a new characterization of totally regular curves.

Theorem 5.7. *A continuum (X, d) is totally regular if and only if it is 1-dimensional in the sense of measure.*

Proof. (\Leftarrow) Suppose that (X, d) is 1-dimensional in the sense of measure, then there is an atomless Borel probability measure μ on X such that for any sequence of subcontinua (Y_n) of X with $\mu(Y_n) \rightarrow 0$, we have $\text{diam}(Y_n) \rightarrow 0$. Define a function $\rho : X \times X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ by

$$\rho(x, y) = \inf\{\mu(K) : K \text{ is a subcontinuum of } X \text{ containing } x \text{ and } y\}.$$

Claim 1. ρ is a metric on X .

It is clear that we only need to show the triangle inequality and the requirement that $\rho(x, y) = 0$ implies $x = y$.

Assume that $\rho(x, y) = 0$. Then there is a sequence (K_n) of subcontinua of X containing x and y such that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mu(K_n) = 0$. By the assumption on μ , we have $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \text{diam}(K_n) = 0$. Thus $d(x, y) = 0$, and hence $x = y$.

For any $x, y, z \in X$ and any $\varepsilon > 0$, there exist subcontinua $K_{x,y}$ and $K_{y,z}$ of X containing $\{x, y\}$ and $\{y, z\}$ respectively such that

$$\mu(K_{x,y}) < \rho(x, y) + \frac{\varepsilon}{2}, \text{ and } \mu(K_{y,z}) < \rho(y, z) + \frac{\varepsilon}{2}.$$

Then $K \equiv K_{x,y} \cup K_{y,z}$ is a subcontinuum of X containing x and z such that

$$\rho(x, z) \leq \mu(K) \leq \mu(K_{x,y}) + \mu(K_{y,z}) \leq \rho(x, y) + \rho(y, z) + \varepsilon.$$

Since ε is arbitrary, we have $\rho(x, z) \leq \rho(x, y) + \rho(y, z)$. Thus ρ satisfies the triangle inequality.

Claim 2. The metric ρ is compatible with d .

We need to show that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(x_n, x) = 0$ is equivalent to $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \rho(x_n, x) = 0$ for any sequence (x_n) of X .

On the one hand, suppose $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \rho(x_n, x) = 0$. Then for any $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists a positive integer N such that for any $n \geq N$, $\rho(x_n, x) < \frac{\varepsilon}{2}$. By the definition of ρ , for each $n \geq N$, there is a subcontinuum K_n containing x_n and x such that $\text{diam}(K_n) \leq \rho(x_n, x) + \frac{\varepsilon}{2} < \varepsilon$. Thus

$$d(x_n, x) \leq \text{diam}(K_n) < \varepsilon.$$

Hence $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(x_n, x) = 0$.

On the other hand, suppose $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(x_n, x) = 0$. Lemma 5.5 implies that X is a Peano continuum. Fix $\varepsilon > 0$. Then by Lemma 5.1, there exists $\delta > 0$ such that if $d(x, y) < \delta$ and $x \neq y$, then there is an arc $A \subset X$ such that A has end points x and y and $\text{diam}(A) < \varepsilon$. Since $d(x_n, x) \rightarrow 0$, there exists N such that for any $n \geq N$, $d(x_n, x) < \delta$. Therefore, for any $n \geq N$, there is an arc A_n connecting x_n and x and $\text{diam}(A_n) < \varepsilon$. Thus there exists a sequence (K_n) of subcontinua of X containing x_n and x satisfying $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \text{diam}(K_n) = 0$. By Lemma 5.2, $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mu(K_n) = 0$. By the definition of ρ , we have $\rho(x_n, x) \leq \mu(K_n)$ for each n . Thus $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \rho(x_n, x) = 0$.

Claim 3. X is of dimension 1.

By the definition of ρ , it is clear that $\text{diam}_\rho(K) \leq \mu(K)$, for any subcontinuum K of X . Thus there are at most countable many pairwise disjoint nondegenerate subcontinua in X ; otherwise $\mu(X) = \infty$, which is a contradiction. Then X is Suslinian and hence has dimension 1 by Lemma 5.6.

From Claim 3, for any $\varepsilon > 0$, there is a finite open cover $\mathcal{U} = \{U_1, \dots, U_n\}$ with $\text{mesh}(\mathcal{U}) < \varepsilon$ and $\text{ord}(\mathcal{U}) \leq 1$ (Here $\text{ord}(\mathcal{U}) = -1 + \sup_{x \in X} |\{i \in \{1, \dots, n\} : x \in U_i\}|$). For each $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$, let \mathcal{U}_i be the set of connected components of U_i . By the local connectivity, the connected components of an open set are all open. Then $\bigcup_{i=1}^n \mathcal{U}_i$ is an open cover of X . By the compactness of X , there is a finite subcover $\mathcal{V} = \{V_1, V_2, \dots, V_m\}$ of X . Moreover, $\text{mesh}(\mathcal{V}) < \varepsilon$ and $V_i \cap V_j \cap V_k = \emptyset$ for any distinct $i, j, k \in \{1, \dots, m\}$.

For each $i \in \{1, \dots, m\}$, we can choose a closed subset F_i contained in V_i such that $X = F_1 \cup F_2 \cup \dots \cup F_m$ and $F_i \cap F_j \cap F_k = \emptyset$ for any distinct $i, j, k \in \{1, \dots, m\}$. By Lemma

5.3, there exists a subcontinuum K_i satisfying $F_i \subset K_i \subset V_i$ for each i . It is clear that $\mathcal{K} \equiv \{K_i : i = 1, \dots, m\}$ also satisfy

$$X = K_1 \cup K_2 \cup \dots \cup K_m, \text{ mesh}(\mathcal{K}) < \varepsilon, \text{ and } K_i \cap K_j \cap K_k = \emptyset, \quad (\text{i})$$

for any distinct $i, j, k \in \{1, \dots, m\}$. Set $\hat{K}_i = K_i \setminus (\cup_{j \neq i} K_j)$ for each i . Then, by (i),

$$(5.1) \quad \mu(K_i) = \mu(\hat{K}_i) + \sum_{j \neq i} \mu(K_i \cap K_j).$$

Note that $\text{diam}_\rho(K_i) \leq \mu(K_i)$ for each i . By (5.1) and the definitions in Section 2.1,

$$\begin{aligned} L_\varepsilon^1(X, \rho) &\leq \sum_{i=1}^m \text{diam}_\rho(K_i) \leq \sum_{i=1}^m \mu(K_i) \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^m \left(\mu(\hat{K}_i) + \sum_{j \neq i} \mu(K_i \cap K_j) \right) \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^m \mu(\hat{K}_i) + \sum_{i=1}^m \sum_{j \neq i} \mu(K_i \cap K_j) \\ &= \mu(\cup_{i=1}^m \hat{K}_i) + \mu(\cup_{1 \leq i < j \leq m} K_i \cap K_j) \\ &\leq 2. \end{aligned}$$

Since ε is arbitrary, we have $L^1(X, \rho) \leq 2$. By Theorem 2.1, X is totally regular.

(\implies) Now assume that X is a totally regular curve. We may assume that the metric d on X is such that all open balls are connected (see [20, 8.50]). We claim that the measure μ defined in (4.1) is finite.

Let A be a subset of X . By the definitions in Section 2.2, for each positive integer i ,

$$\begin{aligned} \tau_i(A) &= \text{diam}(f_i(A)) = \sup_{x, y \in A} \left| \frac{1}{1 + d(x, x_i)} - \frac{1}{1 + d(y, x_i)} \right| \\ &= \sup_{x, y \in A} \left| \frac{d(x, x_i) - d(y, x_i)}{(1 + d(x, x_i))(1 + d(y, x_i))} \right| \\ &\leq \sup_{x, y \in A} d(x, y) \leq \text{diam}(A). \end{aligned}$$

Thus

$$\tau(A) = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2^i} \tau_i(A) \leq \text{diam}(A).$$

For every $\delta > 0$, let $X = X_1 \cup X_2 \cup X_3 \cup \dots$ be a decomposition of X with $\text{diam}(X_i) < \delta$ for each i . Note that the metric d is such that all open balls are connected. Then each X_i is contained in a connected closed ball B_i with $\text{diam}(B_i) \leq 2\text{diam}(X_i)$. Thus

$$\inf \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \tau(C_i) : C_i \in \mathcal{C}, \text{diam}(C_i) \leq \delta, E \subseteq \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} C_i \right\} \leq 2L_{\delta/2}^1(X, d).$$

Therefore

$$\begin{aligned}
\mu(X) &= \sup_{\delta>0} \mu_\delta(X) = \lim_{\delta \rightarrow 0^+} \mu_\delta(X) \\
&= \lim_{\delta \rightarrow 0^+} \inf \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \tau(C_i) : C_i \in \mathcal{C}, \text{diam}(C_i) \leq \delta, E \subseteq \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} C_i \right\} \\
&\leq \lim_{\delta \rightarrow 0^+} \inf \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \text{diam}(C_i) : C_i \in \mathcal{C}, \text{diam}(C_i) \leq \delta, E \subseteq \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} C_i \right\} \\
&\leq \lim_{\delta \rightarrow 0^+} 2L_{\delta/2}^1(X, d) \\
&= 2L^1(X, d) < \infty.
\end{aligned}$$

This together with Lemma 4.1 and Lemma 4.3 implies that X is of 1-dimension in the sense of measure. \square

6. AN ESCAPING LEMMA FOR MINIMAL ACTIONS

Let G be a countable group. A subset S of G is said to be *syndetic* if there is a finite set $F \subset G$ such that $G = FS$. Suppose X is a compact metric space with metric d and $G \curvearrowright X$ is a continuous action. Then a point $x \in X$ is said to be *almost periodic* if for every neighborhood U of x , the set $R(x, U) \equiv \{g \in G : gx \in U\}$ is syndetic. The following theorem is well known (see e.g. [1, Ch.1-Theorem 1]).

Theorem 6.1. *Let $G \curvearrowright X$ be an action of a countable group G on a compact metric space X . Then $x \in X$ is almost periodic if and only if \overline{Gx} is a minimal set.*

For $x \neq y \in X$, if there is a sequence (g_n) in G such that $d(g_n x, g_n y) \rightarrow 0$, then x and y are said to be *proximal*. The following theorem can be seen in [1, Ch.5-Theorem 3].

Theorem 6.2. *Let $G \curvearrowright X$ be an action of a group G on a compact metric space X . Then for every $x \in X$, there is an almost periodic point x^* which is proximal to x .*

Lemma 6.3. *Let G be a group acting on a compact metric space X . Suppose x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n are n points in X . Then there are y_1, y_2, \dots, y_k in X with $1 \leq k \leq n$ and (g_i) in G such that $g_i\{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n\} \rightarrow \{y_1, y_2, \dots, y_k\}$ and for any $p \neq q$, y_p and y_q are not proximal.*

Proof. Take k to be the minimal positive integer such that there are y_1, y_2, \dots, y_k and a sequence (g_i) in G with $g_i\{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n\} \rightarrow \{y_1, y_2, \dots, y_k\}$. Then for any $p \neq q$, y_p and y_q are not proximal. \square

Now we state and prove the escaping lemma, which is key in constructing a ping-pong-game in next section.

Lemma 6.4 (Escaping lemma). *Let X be an infinite compact metric space and let a countable group G act on X minimally. Then for any countable infinite set $C \subset X$ and any finite set $F \subset X$, there always exist a sequence (g_n) in G and a finite set K in X such that $g_n F \rightarrow K$ and $K \cap C = \emptyset$.*

Proof. (see Fig.2.) Write $C = \{c_i : i = 1, 2, 3, \dots\}$ and $F = \{a_1, a_2, \dots, a_k\}$ for some positive integer k . From Lemma 6.3, we may suppose that a_i and a_j are not proximal for any $i \neq j$.

Consider the product action $G \curvearrowright X^k$ defined by

$$g(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_k) = (gx_1, gx_2, \dots, gx_k).$$

From Theorem 6.2, there is an almost periodic point $(w_1, w_2, \dots, w_k) \in X^k$ which is proximal to (a_1, a_2, \dots, a_k) . Then there is $(b_1, b_2, \dots, b_k) \in \overline{G(w_1, w_2, \dots, w_k)} \subset X^k$ and a sequence (g_n) with $g_n a_i \rightarrow b_i$ for each $1 \leq i \leq k$, as $n \rightarrow \infty$. By the non-proximality of each pair of a_i and a_j , these b_i 's are pairwise distinct. Note that (b_1, b_2, \dots, b_k) is still almost periodic by Theorem 6.1. Set $B = \{b_1, b_2, \dots, b_k\} \subset X$; then $g_n B \rightarrow B$. We can suppose that $c_1 \notin B$; otherwise replace B by gB for some $g \in G$, by the minimality of $G \curvearrowright X$ and the infinity of X .

Now we inductively define a sequence of neighborhoods V_i of c_i for each $i = 1, 2, 3, \dots$, and open sets $U_{j,i}$ for each $j = 1, 2, \dots, k$ and $i = 1, 2, 3, \dots$ as follows. Take pairwise disjoint open sets V_1 and $U_{1,1}, U_{2,1}, \dots, U_{k,1}$ such that $c_1 \in V_1$, $\text{diam}(U_{j,1}) < 1$ and $b_j \in U_{j,1}$ for $j = 1, 2, \dots, k$. Let $S_1 = \{g \in G : gb_j \in U_{j,1}, \forall j = 1, 2, \dots, k\}$. Then S_1 is syndetic by Theorem 6.1.

Claim A. There is some $g_1 \in S_1$ such that $c_2 \notin \{g_1 b_1, g_1 b_2, \dots, g_1 b_k\}$. Otherwise, WLOG, we may assume that $c_2 = g b_1$ for all $g \in S_1$. Noting that $e \in S_1$, b_1 is fixed by all $g \in S_1$. Since S_1 is syndetic, $G b_1$ is finite and then X is finite by the minimality of $G \curvearrowright X$. This contradicts the infiniteness of X .

From Claim A, we can take pairwise disjoint open sets V_2 and $U_{1,2}, U_{2,2}, \dots, U_{k,2}$ such that $c_2 \in V_2$, $\text{diam}(U_{j,2}) < 1/2$ and $g_1 b_j \in U_{j,2} \subset \overline{U_{j,2}} \subset U_{j,1}$ for $j = 1, 2, \dots, k$. Applying the above discussions to c_3 , $\{g_1 b_j : j = 1, 2, \dots, k\}$, and $\{U_{j,2} : j = 1, 2, \dots, k\}$, we get $g_2 \in G$ and pairwise disjoint open sets V_3 and $U_{1,3}, U_{2,3}, \dots, U_{k,3}$ such that $c_3 \in V_3$, $\text{diam}(U_{j,3}) < 1/3$ and $g_2 b_j \in U_{j,3} \subset \overline{U_{j,3}} \subset U_{j,2}$ for $j = 1, 2, \dots, k$. Going on in this way, we obtain in the end a sequence of neighborhoods V_i of c_i for each $i = 1, 2, 3, \dots$, and open sets $U_{j,i}$ for each $j = 1, 2, \dots, k$ and $i = 1, 2, 3, \dots$, such that for each positive m ,

$$(\cup_{i=1}^m V_i) \cap (\cup_{j=1}^k U_{j,m}) = \emptyset, \quad (*)$$

and for each $j = 1, 2, \dots, k$ and $i = 1, 2, 3, \dots$,

$$\overline{U_{j,i+1}} \subset U_{j,i} \text{ and } \text{diam}(U_{j,i}) < 1/i. \quad (**)$$

From (**), we may let $z_j = \cap_{i=1}^{\infty} \overline{U_{j,i}}$ for $j = 1, 2, \dots, k$. Then by the construction, we see that $(z_1, z_2, \dots, z_k) \in \overline{G(b_1, b_2, \dots, b_k)} \subset \overline{G(a_1, a_2, \dots, a_k)}$. By (*), $\{z_1, z_2, \dots, z_k\} \cap C = \emptyset$. Set $K = \{z_1, z_2, \dots, z_k\}$. Then K satisfies the requirement. \square

Corollary 6.5. *Let X be an infinite compact metric space and let a countable group G act on X minimally. Then for any finite sets $A, B \subset X$, there is a $g \in G$ such that $gA \cap B = \emptyset$.*

Proof. Take a countable infinite set $C \supset B$. Then applying Lemma 6.4 to the sets C and A will lead to the conclusion. \square

Here we remark that Corollary 6.5 is used by Margulis in [15] in order to construct a ping-pong-game, the proof of which relies on a theorem due to Neumann in group theory ([22]). The proof here avoids using any algebraic techniques.




FIGURE 2. Illustration of the construction process

7. EXISTENCE OF QUASI-SCHOTTKY SUBGROUPS

Let X be a connected space. A point $x \in X$ is said to be a *separating point of finite order* if $X \setminus \{x\}$ has finite connected components, otherwise we say that x is a *separating point of infinite order*.

Lemma 7.1. *Let X be a regular curve and A be a finite set of separating points of finite order. Then $X \setminus A$ has finite components.*

Proof. Let $A = \{a_1, \dots, a_n\}$ for some positive integer n . Suppose that the conclusion is false. Then there exists $k \in \{2, \dots, n\}$ such that $X \setminus \{a_1, \dots, a_{k-1}\}$ has finite components but $X \setminus \{a_1, \dots, a_k\}$ has infinite components. Let C denote the component of $X \setminus \{a_1, \dots, a_{k-1}\}$ containing a_k . Then $C \setminus \{a_k\}$ has infinite components, saying B_1, B_2, B_3, \dots . Since a_k is a separating point of finite order, there are only finitely many i 's such that $\overline{B_i} = B_i \cup \{a_k\}$. Hence, there are infinitely many i 's with $\overline{B_i} \cap \{a_1, \dots, a_{k-1}\} \neq \emptyset$. Take an open neighborhood U of a_k such that $\overline{U} \subset X \setminus \{a_1, \dots, a_{k-1}\}$. Then for every open set $V \subset U$ with $a_k \in V$, the boundary $\partial_X(V)$ is infinite, which contradicts the regularity of a_k . \square

Lemma 7.2. [13, Theorem 1, p. 160] *There are at most countably many separating points of infinite order in a continuum.*

Now we are ready to prove the main theorem of the paper.

Theorem 7.3. *Let G be a countable group and X be a totally regular curve. Suppose that $\phi : G \rightarrow \text{Homeo}(X)$ is a minimal action. Then either the action is topologically conjugate to isometries on the circle \mathbb{S}^1 (this implies that $\phi(G)$ contains an abelian subgroup of index at most 2), or has a quasi-Schottky subgroup (this implies that G contains the free nonabelian group $\mathbb{Z} * \mathbb{Z}$).*

Proof. From Theorem 2.10, we discuss in two cases:

Case 1. The action $G \curvearrowright X$ is equicontinuous. Then it topologically conjugates to minimal left translations on some homogenous space H/K by Theorem 2.11, where H is a

compact metric topological group and K is a closed subgroup of H . From Theorem 2.3 and Theorem 2.4, X has a point of finite order; and then all points of X have the same order by the topological homogeneity of X . Hence X is a simple closed curve by Theorem 2.2. Then it is a canonical fact that $G \curvearrowright X$ is topologically conjugates to isometries on \mathbb{S}^1 and $\phi(G)$ contains an abelian subgroup of index at most 2 (see [15, Lemma 3]).

Case 2. The action $G \curvearrowright X$ is sensitive. By Theorems 2.3 and 2.4, X has a point of finite order. Then, by Theorem 3.4, every $x \in X$ has a contractible neighborhood. It follows from Theorem 5.7 and Definition 5.4 that there is an atomless Borel probability measure μ on X such that for any sequence of subcontinua (Y_n) of X ,

$$\mu(Y_n) \rightarrow 0 \implies \text{diam}(Y_n) \rightarrow 0. \quad (a)$$

Applying Proposition 2.14 to μ , there is a sequence (g_n) in G and a Borel probability measure ν of finite support on X such that $g_n \mu \rightarrow \nu$. Since X has at most countably many separating points of infinite order by Lemma 7.2, we may further assume that each point of $\text{supp}(\nu)$ is a separating point of finite order. In fact, it follows from the Escaping Lemma (Lemma 6.4) that $\overline{G\nu}$ always contains some element whose support consists of separating points of finite order.

Set $A = \text{supp}(\nu) = \{a_1, a_2, \dots, a_k\}$ for some positive integer k . Then for each subcontinuum $K \subset X \setminus A$, we have

$$0 = \nu(K) \geq \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} g_n \mu(K) = \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mu(g_n^{-1}K) \geq 0. \quad (b)$$

Then, from (a), $\text{diam}(g_n^{-1}K) \rightarrow 0$. Let C be a component of $X \setminus A$. Then C is open since every component of an open set is open in Peano continuum. By Lemma 5.3, for every compact subset F of C , there is a connected compact subset K satisfying $F \subset K \subset C$. Thus, passing to a subsequence if necessary, we may suppose $g_n^{-1}|_C$ converges uniformly on compact sets to a point. This together with Lemma 7.1 imply that there is a finite set $B = \{b_1, b_2, \dots, b_p\}$ such that

$$g_n^{-1}|_{X \setminus A} \text{ converges uniformly on compact sets to a map to } B. \quad (c)$$

We may suppose $B \cap A = \emptyset$; otherwise, by Corollary 6.5, we can replace B by gB and (g_n^{-1}) by (gg_n^{-1}) for some $g \in G$. Applying Corollary 6.5 again, there is some $h \in G$ with

$$h(A \cup B) \cap (A \cup B) = \emptyset.$$

Set $C = hA$ and $D = hB$. Then

$$hg_n^{-1}h^{-1}|_{X \setminus C} \text{ convergences unifomaly on compact sets to a map to } D. \quad (d)$$

Now take pairwise disjoint nonempty open sets U_1, V_1, U_2, V_2 and W such that

$$A \subset U_1, B \subset V_1, C \subset U_2, \text{ and } D \subset V_2.$$

From (c), for sufficiently large n , we have $g_n^{-1}(X \setminus U_1) \subset V_1$. Thus $X \setminus g_n^{-1}(U_1) \subset V_1$, hence $X \setminus V_1 \subset g_n^{-1}(U_1)$. Then $g_n(X \setminus V_1) \subset U_1$. Therefore,

$$g_n(U_1 \cup U_2 \cup V_2 \cup W) \subset U_1, \quad g_n^{-1}(U_2 \cup V_1 \cup V_2 \cup W) \subset V_1.$$

Similarly from (d), for sufficiently large n , we have

$$hg_n h^{-1}(U_1 \cup U_2 \cup V_1 \cup W) \subset U_2, \quad hg_n^{-1}h^{-1}(U_1 \cup V_1 \cup V_2 \cup W) \subset V_2.$$

Thus g_n and $hg_n h^{-1}$ generate a quasi-Schottky subgroup of G . \square

REFERENCES

- [1] J. Auslander, *Minimal flows and their extensions*. North-Holland Mathematics Studies, 153. Notas de Matemática [Mathematical Notes], 122. North-Holland Publishing Co., Amsterdam, 1988.
- [2] W. Ayres, *On the regular points of a continuum*. Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 33 (1931), 252-262.
- [3] B. Bowditch, *Hausdorff dimension and dendritic limit sets*. Math. Ann. 332 (2005), 667-676.
- [4] M. Coornaert, *Topological dimension and dynamical systems*. Universitext. Springer, Cham, 2015. Translated and revised from the 2005 French original.
- [5] M. Day, *Means for the bounded functions and ergodicity of the bounded representations of semi-groups*. Tran. Amer. Math. Soc. 69 (1950), 276-291.
- [6] C. Drutu, M. Kapovich, *Geometric group theory. With an appendix by Bogdan Nica*. American Mathematical Society Colloquium Publications, 63. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2018.
- [7] B. Duchesne, N. Monod, *Group actions on dendrites and curves*. Ann. Inst. Fourier, 68 (2018), 2277-2309.
- [8] S. Eilenberg, O. Harrold, *Continua of finite linear measure*. Amer. J. Math. 65 (1943), 137-146.
- [9] É. Ghys, V. Sergiescu, *Sur un groupe remarquable de difféomorphismes du cercle*. Comment. Math. Helv. 62 (1987), 185-239.
- [10] É. Ghys, *Groups acting on the circle*, Enseign. Math. 47 (2001), 329-407.
- [11] E. Glasner, M. Megrelishvili, *Group actions on treelike compact spaces*. Sci. China Math. 62 (2019), 2447-2462.
- [12] E. el Abdalaoui, I. Naghmouchi, *A note on actions with finite orbits on dendrites*, <https://arxiv.org/abs/1903.02372>.
- [13] K. Kuratowski, *Topology*, Vol II, New York, 1968.
- [14] Y. Lodha, J. Moore, *A nonamenable finitely presented group of piecewise projective homeomorphisms*. Groups Geom. Dyn. 10 (2016), 177-200.
- [15] G. Margulis, *Free subgroups of the homeomorphism group of the circle*. C. R. Math. Acad. Sci. Paris 331 (2000), 669-674.
- [16] H. Marzougui, I. Naghmouchi, *Minimal sets for group actions on dendrites*. Proceedings of American Mathematical Society, 144 (2016), 4413-4425.
- [17] A. Maljutin, *On groups acting on dendrons*. Zap. Nauchn. Sem. S. Peterburg. Otdel. Mat. Inst. Steklov. (POMI), 415(Geometriya i Topologiya. 12):62-74, 2013. transl. J. Math. Sci. (N.Y.) 212 (2016), 558-565.
- [18] Y. Minsky, *On rigidity, limit sets, and end invariants of hyperbolic 3-manifolds*. J. Amer. Math. Soc., 7(1994), 1994.
- [19] N. Monod, *Groups of piecewise projective homeomorphisms*. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 110 (2013), 4524-4527.
- [20] S. Nadler, *Continuum theory*, volume 158 of *Monographs and Textbooks in Pure and Applied Mathematics*. Marcel Dekker, Inc., New York, 1992. An introduction.
- [21] A. Navas, *Groups of Circle Diffeomorphisms*, *Sociedade Brasileira de Matemática* (English translation of the original Grupos de difeomorfismos del círculo), 2007.
- [22] B. Neumann, *Groups covered by permutable subsets*. J. Lond. Math. Soc. 29 (1954), 236-248.
- [23] J. Nikiel, H. Tuncali, E. Tymchatyn, *Continuous images of arcs and inverse limit methods*. Mem. Amer. Math. Soc. 104, 1993.
- [24] A. Ol'shanskii, *On the question of the existence of an invariant mean on a group*. Uspekhi Mat. Nauk 35 (1980), 199-200. In Russian. English translation, Russ. Math. Surv. 35 (1980), 180-181.
- [25] C. Rogers, *Hausdorff measures*. Cambridge University Press, London-New York, 1970.
- [26] E. Shi, *Free subgroups of dendrite homeomorphism group*. Topology Appl. 159 (2012), 2662-2668.
- [27] E. Shi, X. Ye, *Equicontinuity of minimal sets for amenable group actions on dendrites*. Dynamics: topology and numbers, 175-180, Contemp. Math., 744, Amer. Math. Soc., [Providence], RI, 2020.
- [28] E. Shi, X. Ye, *Periodic points for amenable group actions on uniquely arcwise connected continua*. to appear in Ergodic Theory and Dynamical Systems. (<https://doi.org/10.1017/etds.2020.82>.)
- [29] J. Tits, *Free subgroups in linear groups*. J. Algebra 20 (1972), 250-270.
- [30] G.T. Whyburn, *Concerning continua of finite degree and local separating points*. American Journal of Mathematics, vol. 57 (1935), pp. 11-18.

- [31] S. Wang, E. Shi, H. Xu, Z. Xie, *Sensitive group actions on regular curves of almost $\leq n$ order*, arXiv:2102.00401.

(E. Shi) SOOCHOW UNIVERSITY, SUZHOU, JIANGSU 215006, CHINA
Email address: ehshi@suda.edu.cn

(H. Xu) CAS WU WEN-TSUN KEY LABORATORY OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY OF CHINA, HEFEI, ANHUI 230026, CHINA
Email address: huixu2734@ustc.edu.cn

(X. Ye) CAS WU WEN-TSUN KEY LABORATORY OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY OF CHINA, HEFEI, ANHUI 230026, CHINA
Email address: yexd@ustc.edu.cn