

GENERAL PRIMITIVITY IN THE MAPPING CLASS GROUP

PANKAJ KAPARI AND KASHYAP RAJEEVSARATHY

ABSTRACT. For $g \geq 2$, let $\text{Mod}(S_g)$ be the mapping class group of the closed orientable surface S_g of genus g . In this paper, we obtain necessary and sufficient conditions under which a given pseudo-periodic mapping class can be a root of another up to conjugacy. Using this characterization, the canonical decomposition of (non-periodic) mapping classes, and some known algorithms, we give an efficient algorithm for computing roots of arbitrary mapping classes up to conjugacy. Furthermore, we derive realizable bounds on the degrees of roots of pseudo-periodic mapping classes in $\text{Mod}(S_g)$, the Torelli group, the level- m subgroup of $\text{Mod}(S_g)$, and the commutator subgroup of $\text{Mod}(S_2)$. In particular, we show that the highest possible (realizable) degree of a root of a pseudo-periodic mapping class F is $3q(F)(g+1)(g+2)$, where $q(F)$ is a unique positive integer associated with the conjugacy class of F . Moreover, this bound is realized by the roots of the powers of Dehn twist about a separating curve of genus $\lfloor g/2 \rfloor$ in S_g . Finally, for $g \geq 3$, we show that any pseudo-periodic mapping class having a nontrivial periodic component that is not the hyperelliptic involution, normally generates $\text{Mod}(S_g)$. Consequently, we establish that there exist roots of bounding pair maps and powers of Dehn twists that normally generate $\text{Mod}(S_g)$.

1. INTRODUCTION

For $g \geq 2$, let $\text{Mod}(S_g)$ be the *mapping class group* of the closed orientable surface S_g of genus g surface. A nontrivial $G \in \text{Mod}(S_g)$ is said to be a *root of an* $F \in \text{Mod}(S_g)$ *of degree* n if there exists an integer $n > 1$ such that $G^n = F$. If F does not have any roots, then it is said to be *primitive*. A natural question in this context is whether one can determine if an arbitrary $F \in \text{Mod}(S_g)$ is primitive and compute the roots of F (up to conjugacy) when it is non-primitive. We call this the *general primitivity problem* in $\text{Mod}(S_g)$. Fehrenbach and Los [8] have developed an algorithm that computes the roots of pseudo-Anosov mapping classes on once-punctured surfaces. For the case of a single Dehn twist (or its power) and a product of Dehn twists, the answer to this question is well known [15, 17, 18, 22–24]. More recently, Dhanwani and Rajeevsarathy [6] have obtained necessary and sufficient conditions for the primitivity of periodic mapping classes. In view of the canonical decomposition of non-periodic mapping classes, a key step in addressing the general primitivity problem in $\text{Mod}(S_g)$ is to determine the primitivity of an arbitrary pseudo-periodic mapping class (i.e. a reducible mapping class that has only periodic components in its Nielsen decomposition). In this paper, to begin with, we classify the conjugacy classes of roots of multitwists (i.e. a product of powers of commuting Dehn twists) in Section 3. This is a complete generalization of the theory developed in [24] (see Proposition 3.3). Furthermore, we derive equivalent conditions under which a given pseudo-periodic mapping class can be a root of another (see Theorem 3.5) up to conjugacy. For obtaining this result, we apply Thurston’s orbifold theory [25, Chapter 13] and theory of pseudo-periodic mapping classes developed in [16, 24].

Before we tackle the general primitivity problem, we remark that given an arbitrary mapping class $F \in \text{Mod}(S_g)$ as a word in k Dehn twists, one can consider a simple-minded algorithm to determine its roots, which systematically searches over all words of length $\leq k$ to identify such roots. However, such an algorithm would be inefficient as its complexity would be $\mathcal{O}(g^k)$. In our approach, we first canonically decompose a non-periodic mapping class into pseudo-periodic and

2020 *Mathematics Subject Classification*. Primary 57K20, Secondary 57M60.

Key words and phrases. surface, pseudo-periodic mapping class, Dehn twist, roots.

pseudo-Anosov components using the Bestvina-Handel [1] algorithm. We will then apply our theory to determine the roots of the pseudo-periodic components and the method of Fehrenbach-Los [8] (which also makes extensive use of the Bestvina-Handel algorithm) to determine the roots of the pseudo-Anosov components. Assuming that the complexity of Bestvina-Handel algorithm (resp. Fehrenbach-Los algorithm) is $\mathcal{O}(f_1(g, k))$ (resp. $\mathcal{O}(f_2(g, k))$), the complexity of our algorithm can be shown to be at most $\max\{\mathcal{O}(f_1(g, k)), \mathcal{O}(f_2(g, k)), \mathcal{O}(kp(g))\}$, where $p(g)$ is a polynomial in g of degree g . Thus, in this sense, our algorithm (see Algorithm 3.6) is expected to be considerably more efficient than any brute-force algorithm.

Theorem 1. *For $g \geq 2$, there exists an efficient algorithm that determines the primitivity of an arbitrary $F \in \text{Mod}(S_g)$, and also computes its roots up to conjugacy, when F is non-primitive.*

Let T_c denote the left-handed Dehn twist about a simple closed curve in S_g . For an arbitrary pseudo-periodic F , there exists a unique positive integer $q(F)$ associated to its conjugacy class (see Proposition 3.3). In particular, for a multitwist $F = T_{c_1}^{q_1} \cdots T_{c_m}^{q_m}$ (i.e a product of powers of commuting Dehn twists), $q(F)$ turns out to be $\min\{|q_1|, \dots, |q_m|\}$. In Section 4, as an application of these results, we obtain realizable bounds on the degrees of roots of pseudo-periodic mapping classes in $\text{Mod}(S_g)$.

Proposition 1. *For $g \geq 2$, let $F \in \text{Mod}(S_g)$ be a pseudo-periodic mapping class. Then the highest degree of a root of F is $3q(F)(g+1)(g+2)$. Moreover, this bound is realized when $F = T_c^q$, for some separating curve c of genus $\lfloor g/2 \rfloor$ and a positive integer q .*

We also obtain similar bounds for the pseudo-periodic mapping classes in the Torelli group $\mathcal{I}(S_g)$, the level- m subgroup $\text{Mod}(S_g)[m]$, and the commutator subgroup $\text{Mod}(S_2)^{(1)}$ of $\text{Mod}(S_2)$.

Proposition 2. *For $g \geq 3$ and $m \geq 2$, let $F \in \text{Mod}(S_g)[m]$ be a pseudo-periodic mapping class. Suppose that the canonical reduction system for F does not contain any separating curves and that F has a root in $\text{Mod}(S_g)$ of degree n .*

- (i) *If $F \in \mathcal{I}(S_g)$, then $2 \leq n \leq q(F)g(g-2)$.*
- (ii) *If $F \in \text{Mod}(S_g)[m] \setminus \mathcal{I}(S_g)$, then $m \leq n \leq 3q(F)g(g-2)$.*

These upper bounds are realized when $F = (T_{c_1} T_{c_2}^k)^q$, for some integers $q > 0$ and $k \neq 0$, where $\{c_1, c_2\}$ is a bounding pair of genus $(\lfloor g/2 \rfloor - 1)$ ($k = -1$ when $F \in \mathcal{I}(S_g)$). In particular, $g(g-2)$ is the highest degree of a root of a bounding pair map.

By applying Proposition 2 and a result of Ivanov [12, Corollary 1.8], we obtain the following.

Corollary 1. *For $g, m \geq 3$, let $F \in \text{Mod}(S_g)[m]$ be a pseudo-periodic mapping class. Assume that the canonical reduction system for F does not contain any separating curves. If $m > 3g(g-2)$, then either F is primitive or F is a nontrivial power of a multitwist in $\text{Mod}(S_g)[m]$.*

As another application of our theory, we have the following.

Corollary 2. *Let $F \in \text{Mod}(S_2)^{(1)}$ be a pseudo-periodic mapping class having a root in $\text{Mod}(S_2)$ of degree n . Then we have*

$$2q(F) \leq n \leq 12q(F).$$

Furthermore, the upper and lower bounds are realized when $F = T_c^q$, where q is a positive integer such that 5 divides q and c is a separating curve in S_2 .

The following application characterizes the periodic components arising in the canonical decomposition of bound-realizing pseudo-periodic mapping classes.

Corollary 3. *The upper bound realizing roots of pseudo-periodic mapping classes in $\text{Mod}(S_g)$ are primitive pseudo-periodic mapping classes that decompose canonically into irreducible periodic mapping classes whose Nielsen representatives have at least one fixed point.*

Recently, Margalit and Lanier [14] have proved that when $g \geq 3$, any periodic mapping class, which is not a hyperelliptic involution, normally generates $\text{Mod}(S_g)$. In Section 5, we generalize this result to pseudo-periodic mapping classes by applying the theory developed in [19] and the *well-suited curve criterion* from [14] (see Proposition 5.2). Keeping in mind that the nontrivial powers of Dehn twists and bounding pair maps do not normally generate $\text{Mod}(S_g)$, we show the following as a final application.

Corollary 4. *For $g \geq 3$, let $F \in \text{Mod}(S_g)$ be either a power of a Dehn twist or a bounding pair map. Then there exists a root of F that normally generates $\text{Mod}(S_g)$.*

2. PRELIMINARIES

This section will introduce some basic notions pertaining to cyclic actions on surfaces, orbifold theory, and multitwists that are relevant to this paper.

2.1. Periodic mapping classes. Let $F \in \text{Mod}(S_g)$ be a periodic mapping class of order n . The Nielsen-Kerckhoff theorem [13] asserts that F is represented by an orientation-preserving homeomorphism \mathcal{F} of S_g of the same order which induces a C_n -action on S_g , where $C_n = \langle \mathcal{F} \rangle$. The orbit space $\mathcal{O}_F := S_g/C_n$ is the *quotient orbifold* [25, Chapter 13] associated to F which is homeomorphic to S_{g_0} , where g_0 is the *orbifold genus* of \mathcal{O}_F . The C_n -action induces a branched covering $p : S_g \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_F$ with ℓ branch points (or *cone points*) x_1, \dots, x_ℓ in the quotient orbifold \mathcal{O}_F of orders n_1, \dots, n_ℓ , respectively. The tuple $(g_0; n_1, \dots, n_\ell)$ is called the *signature* of the quotient orbifold \mathcal{O}_F . Each cone point x_i of order n_i in \mathcal{O}_F lifts under p to an orbit of size n/n_i on S_g and the *local rotation* induced by C_n in this orbit is given by $2\pi c_i^{-1}/n_i$, where $c_i \in \mathbb{Z}_{n_i}^\times$. Thus, the conjugacy class of a cyclic action can be compactly encoded as a tuple of integers known as a *cyclic data set*.

Definition 2.1 ([19, Definition 2.1]). A *cyclic data set of degree n* is a tuple of the form

$$D = (n, g_0, r; (c_1, n_1), \dots, (c_k, n_k)),$$

where $n \geq 2$, $g_0 \geq 0$, $0 \leq r \leq n - 1$ with the following conditions.

- (i) $r > 0$ if and only if $k = 0$, and when $r > 0$, we have $\gcd(r, n) = 1$.
- (ii) $n_i \geq 2$, $n_i \mid n$, $c_i \in \mathbb{Z}_{n_i}^\times$, for all i .
- (iii) $\text{lcm}(n_1, \dots, \widehat{n}_i, \dots, n_k) = \text{lcm}(n_1, \dots, n_k)$, for all i .
- (iv) If $g_0 = 0$, then $\text{lcm}(n_1, \dots, n_k) = n$.
- (v) $\sum_{i=1}^k \frac{n}{n_i} c_i \equiv 0 \pmod{n}$.
- (vi) $\frac{2g - 2}{n} = 2g_0 - 2 + \sum_{i=1}^k \left(1 - \frac{1}{n_i}\right)$. (Riemann-Hurwitz formula)

The number g determined by the Riemann-Hurwitz formula is the *genus* of the data set, denoted by $g(D)$. A non-zero value of r encodes the free rotation of S_g by $2\pi r/n$. The natural numbers n , r , and g_0 associated to a data set D will be denoted by $n(D)$, $r(D)$, and $g_0(D)$, respectively.

Proposition 2.2 ([24, Proposition 3.9]). *Data sets of degree n and genus g are in one-to-one correspondence with periodic mapping classes (or cyclic actions) of order n in $\text{Mod}(S_g)$.*

From here on, a periodic mapping class F (and its associated cyclic action) up to conjugacy will be represented by its corresponding data set D_F . We will use the following nomenclature (from [19]) for categorizing cyclic actions.

Definition 2.3. Let $D = (n, g_0; (c_1, n_1), \dots, (c_k, n_k))$ be a cyclic action on S_g . Then we say that D is

- (i) *rotational*, if either $r(D) \neq 0$ or D is of the form

$$(n, g_0; \underbrace{(s, n), (n-s, n), \dots, (s, n), (n-s, n)}_{k\text{-pairs}}),$$

for integer $k \geq 1$ and $1 \leq s \leq n-1$ with $\gcd(s, n) = 1$.

- (ii) of Type 1, if $k = 3$ and $n_j = n$ for some j .
- (iii) of Type 2, if D is neither rotational nor of Type 1.

2.2. Multitwists and their roots. For a multicurve C , $S_g(C)$ will be the surface (possibly disconnected) obtained by capping the boundary components of $\overline{S_g} \setminus \overline{N}$ by marked discs, where N is a closed regular neighborhood of C . The symbol $S_g(m)$ will denote a disjoint union of m copies of S_g .

Definition 2.4. A multicurve C is said to be

- (i) *nonseparating* if $S_g(C)$ is connected.
- (ii) *separating* if $S_g(C)$ is not connected.
- (iii) *bounding* if $S_g(C)$ has exactly two connected components.

For a bounding multicurve C , the minimum of genera of components of $S_g(C)$ is said to be the *genus* of C .

Definition 2.5. A nontrivial $G \in \text{Mod}(S_g)$ is said to be a *root* of an $F \in \text{Mod}(S_g)$ of degree n if there exists an integer $n > 1$ such that $G^n = F$.

An $F \in \text{Mod}(S_g)$ is said to be *primitive* if it has no roots.

Definition 2.6. Given a multicurve $C = \{c_1, \dots, c_m\}$ in S_g and non-zero integers q_i , for $1 \leq i \leq m$, a mapping class of the form $T_{c_1}^{q_1} \dots T_{c_m}^{q_m}$ is said to be a *multitwist about C* .

Definition 2.7. For $i = 1, 2$, let O_i be an orbit of cyclic action D_i such that $|O_1| = |O_2|$. Let k be a non-zero integer such that $0 < |k| \leq n/2$, where $n = \text{lcm}(n(D_1), n(D_2))$.

- (i) We say that O_1 and O_2 are *trivially compatible* if $|O_1| = |O_2| = n$ (in this case $n(D_1) = n(D_2)$).
- (ii) Let the pair (c_i, n_i) correspond to the orbit O_i in the data set D_i , where we assume that $(c_i, n_i) = (0, 1)$ if $|O_i| = n(D_i)$. We say that the orbits O_1 and O_2 are *compatible with twist factor k* if

$$(1) \quad \frac{2\pi c_1^{-1}}{n_1} + \frac{2\pi c_2^{-1}}{n_2} \equiv \frac{2\pi k}{n} \pmod{2\pi}.$$

As a consequence of the Nielsen-Thurston classification [4, 26], a reducible mapping class $F \in \text{Mod}(S_g)$ can be decomposed into periodic and pseudo-Anosov components by cutting the surface along the canonical reduction system $\mathcal{C}(F)$ for F . This decomposition is known as *Nielsen decomposition*.

Definition 2.8. A reducible mapping class is said to be *pseudo-periodic* if there are only periodic components in its Nielsen decomposition.

From the Nielsen-Thurston classification, it follows that a pseudo-periodic mapping class F is a root of a multitwist about $\mathcal{C}(F)$. We conclude this section with the following technical remark.

Remark 2.9. Let G be a root of the multitwist $T_{c_1}^{q_1} \dots T_{c_m}^{q_m}$ of degree n . It suffices to assume that $|q_i| \leq n/2$ for every i . To see this we write $q_i = ns_i + r_i$, where $0 \leq r_i < n$. If $r_i > n/2$, then $n - r_i < n/2$, and $q_i = n(s_i + 1) - (n - r_i)$. Hence, for $q_i = ns_i + r_i$, we have

$$G^n = (T_{c_1}^{s_1} \dots T_{c_m}^{s_m})^n T_{c_1}^{r_1} \dots T_{c_m}^{r_m},$$

where $|r_i| \leq n/2$.

3. PRIMITIVITY IN THE MAPPING CLASS GROUP

In this section, we will use the theory of pseudo-periodic mapping classes developed in [16, 24] and Thurston's orbifold theory [25, Chapter 13] to formulate a combinatorial data set that encodes the conjugacy class of a pseudo-periodic mapping class. Using this encoding and a result from [6] concerning the primitivity of periodics, we derive equivalent conditions for the primitivity of pseudo-periodic mapping classes. We then apply this characterization (and a couple of known algorithms) to obtain an algorithm that determines the primitivity of an arbitrary mapping class up to conjugacy.

3.1. Primitivity of pseudo-periodic mapping classes. Consider the following cyclic actions

- (i) $D_1 = (4, 0; (1, 4)_1, (3, 4), (1, 2), (1, 2))$,
- (ii) $D_2 = (5, 0; (4, 5)_1, (2, 5)_2, (4, 5))$, and
- (iii) $D_3 = (3, 0; (1, 3), (1, 3)_2, (2, 3), (2, 3))$.

We observe that the pairs of cone points with the same suffix are compatible with twist factor ± 1 . The data sets D_1 , D_2 , and D_3 are periodic components of a pseudo-periodic mapping class $F \in \text{Mod}(S_6)$, which is a root of the multitwist $G = T_{c_1}^3 T_{c_2}^{-4}$ of degree 60, where c_i is a separating curve in S_6 shown in Figure 1. The information associated with the conjugacy class of the pseudo-periodic mapping class F can be encoded as

$$\mathcal{D} = \llbracket 60; (D_1, 1), (D_2, 1), (D_3, 1); (1, 3, 1; 1, 2), (1, -4, -1; 2, 3); - \rrbracket.$$




FIGURE 1. An illustration of the tuple \mathcal{D} .

In the tuple \mathcal{D} , the integer 60 is the degree of the root and the pairs $(D_i, 1)$ encode the fact that each D_i acts on an orbit of size 1 induced by F on $S_6(\mathcal{C}(G))$. We observe that the orbit of the curve c_1 (resp. c_2) is of size one under the action of F on $\mathcal{C}(G)$ with twist factor 1 (resp. -1). An annular neighborhood of c_1 (resp. c_2) connects components of $S_6(\mathcal{C}(G))$ on which D_1 and D_2 (resp. D_2 and D_3) act, and the exponent of T_{c_1} (resp. T_{c_2}) in the multitwist G is 3 (resp. -4). The tuple $(1, 3, 1; 1, 2)$ (resp. $(1, -4, -1; 2, 3)$) encodes the information associated with the curve c_1 (resp. c_2) as we have just observed. This motivates the following definition.

Definition 3.1. For $1 \leq i, i_r, j_r \leq s$, $1 \leq r \leq \ell$, and $\ell_1 \leq \ell_2 \leq \ell_3 \leq \ell$, a *pseudo-periodic data set* is a tuple of the form

$$\mathcal{D} = \llbracket n; (D_1, p_1), \dots, (D_s, p_s); (m_1, q_1, k_1; i_1, j_1), \dots, (m_{\ell_1}, q_{\ell_1}, k_{\ell_1}; i_{\ell_1}, j_{\ell_1}), (m_{\ell_1+1}, q_{\ell_1+1}, k_{\ell_1+1}; i_{\ell_1+1}, j_{\ell_1+1})^*, \dots, (m_{\ell_2}, q_{\ell_2}, k_{\ell_2}; i_{\ell_2}, j_{\ell_2})^*, (m_{\ell_2+1}, q_{\ell_2+1}, k_{\ell_2+1}; i_{\ell_2+1}, j_{\ell_2+1}), \dots, (m_{\ell_3}, q_{\ell_3}, k_{\ell_3}; i_{\ell_3}, j_{\ell_3}), (m_{\ell_3+1}, q_{\ell_3+1}, k_{\ell_3+1}; i_{\ell_3+1}, j_{\ell_3+1})^*, \dots, (m_{\ell}, q_{\ell}, k_{\ell}; i_{\ell}, j_{\ell})^* \rrbracket,$$

where n , p_i , and m_r are positive integers, q_r and k_r are non-zero integers satisfying the following conditions.

- (i) For $1 \leq i \leq s$, each D_i is a cyclic data set of degree $n(D_i)$.
- (ii) For $1 \leq r \leq \ell$, $p_{i_r} \leq p_{j_r}$, $\text{sgn } k_r = \text{sgn } q_r$, $k_r \mid q_r$, and $0 < |q_r| \leq n/2$.
- (iii) For $\ell_2 + 1 \leq r \leq \ell_3$, $i_r = j_r$.
- (iv) For $1 \leq r \leq \ell_1$ or $\ell_2 + 1 \leq r \leq \ell_3$, following holds.

- (a) If $p_{j_r} = 1$, then either $n(D_{i_r}) = m_r = n(D_{j_r})$ or each of D_{i_r} and D_{j_r} have compatible orbits of size m_r with twist factor k_r .
- (b) If $1 = p_{i_r} < p_{j_r}$, then $m_r = p_{j_r}$ and $D_{i_r}^{p_{j_r}}$ has m_r fixed points, corresponding to an orbit of size m_r of D_{i_r} , each of which is compatible to a fixed point of D_{j_r} with twist factor k_r .
- (c) If $p_{i_r}, p_{j_r} > 1$, then $p_{j_r} = m_r = p_{j_r}$, and each of D_{i_r} and D_{j_r} have a fixed point which are compatible with twist factor k_r .
- (v) For $\ell_3 + 1 \leq r \leq \ell$, $p_{i_r} = 1$, $m_r = 1$, $i_r = j_r$, and $D_{i_r}^2$ has 2 fixed points, corresponding to an orbit of size 2 of D_{i_r} , which are compatible with twist factor k_r .
- (vi) For $\ell_1 + 1 \leq r \leq \ell_2$, $p_{i_r} = 2$, $m_r = 1$, $i_r = j_r$, and D_{i_r} has a fixed point which is compatible to itself with twist factor k_r .
- (vii) For each $1 \leq r \leq \ell$, $0 < |k_r| \leq n_r/2$ and $p_{j_r} n_r = (nk_r)/q_r$, where

$$n_r := p_{i_r} \operatorname{lcm} \left(\frac{n(D_{i_r})}{p_{j_r}}, \frac{n(D_{j_r})}{p_{i_r}} \right).$$

The positive integer $\min\{|q_1|, \dots, |q_\ell|\}$ associated to the pseudo-periodic data set \mathcal{D} will be denoted by $q(\mathcal{D})$.

Definition 3.2. The pseudo-periodic data sets

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{D} = & \llbracket n; (D_1, p_1), \dots, (D_s, p_s); \langle m_1, q_1, k_1; i_1, j_1 \rangle, \dots, \langle m_{\ell_1}, q_{\ell_1}, k_{\ell_1}; i_{\ell_1}, j_{\ell_1} \rangle, \langle m_{\ell_1+1}, q_{\ell_1+1}, k_{\ell_1+1}; \\ & i_{\ell_1+1}, j_{\ell_1+1} \rangle^*, \dots, \langle m_{\ell_2}, q_{\ell_2}, k_{\ell_2}; i_{\ell_2}, j_{\ell_2} \rangle^*; \langle m_{\ell_2+1}, q_{\ell_2+1}, k_{\ell_2+1}; i_{\ell_2+1}, j_{\ell_2+1} \rangle, \dots, \langle m_{\ell_3}, q_{\ell_3}, k_{\ell_3}; \\ & i_{\ell_3}, j_{\ell_3} \rangle, \langle m_{\ell_3+1}, q_{\ell_3+1}, k_{\ell_3+1}; i_{\ell_3+1}, j_{\ell_3+1} \rangle^*, \dots, \langle m_\ell, q_\ell, k_\ell; i_\ell, j_\ell \rangle^* \rrbracket, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{D}' = & \llbracket n'; (D'_1, p'_1), \dots, (D'_{s'}, p'_{s'}); \langle m'_1, q'_1, k'_1; i'_1, j'_1 \rangle, \dots, \langle m'_{\ell'_1}, q'_{\ell'_1}, k'_{\ell'_1}; i'_{\ell'_1}, j'_{\ell'_1} \rangle, \langle m'_{\ell'_1+1}, q'_{\ell'_1+1}, k'_{\ell'_1+1}; \\ & i'_{\ell'_1+1}, j'_{\ell'_1+1} \rangle^*, \dots, \langle m'_{\ell'_2}, q'_{\ell'_2}, k'_{\ell'_2}; i'_{\ell'_2}, j'_{\ell'_2} \rangle^*; \langle m'_{\ell'_2+1}, q'_{\ell'_2+1}, k'_{\ell'_2+1}; i'_{\ell'_2+1}, j'_{\ell'_2+1} \rangle, \dots, \langle m'_{\ell'_3}, q'_{\ell'_3}, k'_{\ell'_3}; \\ & i'_{\ell'_3}, j'_{\ell'_3} \rangle, \langle m'_{\ell'_3+1}, q'_{\ell'_3+1}, k'_{\ell'_3+1}; i'_{\ell'_3+1}, j'_{\ell'_3+1} \rangle^*, \dots, \langle m'_{\ell'}, q'_{\ell'}, k'_{\ell'}; i'_{\ell'}, j'_{\ell'} \rangle^* \rrbracket, \end{aligned}$$

are said to be *equivalent* if they satisfy the following conditions.

- (i) $n = n'$, $s = s'$, $\ell = \ell'$, and $\ell_i = \ell'_i$ for $i = 1, 2, 3$.
- (ii) $\{(D_1, p_1), \dots, (D_s, p_s)\} = \{(D'_1, p'_1), \dots, (D'_{s'}, p'_{s'})\}$.
- (iii) $\{\langle m_1, q_1, k_1; i_1, j_1 \rangle, \dots, \langle m_{\ell_1}, q_{\ell_1}, k_{\ell_1}; i_{\ell_1}, j_{\ell_1} \rangle\} = \{\langle m'_{\ell'_1}, q'_{\ell'_1}, k'_{\ell'_1}; i'_{\ell'_1}, j'_{\ell'_1} \rangle, \dots, \langle m'_{\ell'_1}, q'_{\ell'_1}, k'_{\ell'_1}; i'_{\ell'_1}, j'_{\ell'_1} \rangle\}$.
- (iv) $\{\langle m_{\ell_1+1}, q_{\ell_1+1}, k_{\ell_1+1}; i_{\ell_1+1}, j_{\ell_1+1} \rangle^*, \dots, \langle m_{\ell_2}, q_{\ell_2}, k_{\ell_2}; i_{\ell_2}, j_{\ell_2} \rangle^*\} = \{\langle m'_{\ell'_1+1}, q'_{\ell'_1+1}, k'_{\ell'_1+1}; i'_{\ell'_1+1}, j'_{\ell'_1+1} \rangle^*, \dots, \langle m'_{\ell'_2}, q'_{\ell'_2}, k'_{\ell'_2}; i'_{\ell'_2}, j'_{\ell'_2} \rangle^*\}$.
- (v) $\{\langle m_{\ell_2+1}, q_{\ell_2+1}, k_{\ell_2+1}; i_{\ell_2+1}, j_{\ell_2+1} \rangle, \dots, \langle m_{\ell_3}, q_{\ell_3}, k_{\ell_3}; i_{\ell_3}, j_{\ell_3} \rangle\} = \{\langle m'_{\ell'_2+1}, q'_{\ell'_2+1}, k'_{\ell'_2+1}; i'_{\ell'_2+1}, j'_{\ell'_2+1} \rangle, \dots, \langle m'_{\ell'_3}, q'_{\ell'_3}, k'_{\ell'_3}; i'_{\ell'_3}, j'_{\ell'_3} \rangle\}$.
- (vi) $\{\langle m_{\ell_3+1}, q_{\ell_3+1}, k_{\ell_3+1}; i_{\ell_3+1}, j_{\ell_3+1} \rangle^*, \dots, \langle m_\ell, q_\ell, k_\ell; i_\ell, j_\ell \rangle^*\} = \{\langle m'_{\ell'_3+1}, q'_{\ell'_3+1}, k'_{\ell'_3+1}; i'_{\ell'_3+1}, j'_{\ell'_3+1} \rangle^*, \dots, \langle m'_{\ell'}, q'_{\ell'}, k'_{\ell'}; i'_{\ell'}, j'_{\ell'} \rangle^*\}$.

The following proposition is a complete generalization of the theory developed in [24].

Proposition 3.3. *There is a correspondence between equivalence classes of pseudo-periodic data sets and the conjugacy classes of pseudo-periodic mapping classes.*

Proof. In the following proof, we will follow the notations as in Definition 3.1. Let $G \in \operatorname{Mod}(S_g)$ be a root of the multitwist $F = T_{C_1}^{q_1} \cdots T_{C_\ell}^{q_\ell}$ of degree n , where C_r is an orbit of curves of size m_r under the action of G on $C = \sqcup_{r=1}^\ell C_r$ and T_{C_r} is the product of Dehn twists about the curves in the orbit C_r . Since $F = GFG^{-1}$, we have $T_{C_1}^{q_1} \cdots T_{C_\ell}^{q_\ell} = T_{h(C_1)}^{q_1} \cdots T_{h(C_\ell)}^{q_\ell}$. It follows from [7, Lemma 3.17] that $G(C) = C$. Let N be a closed regular neighborhood of C . We can

change G by isotopy so that $G(N) = N$. Then G acts on the components of N and $S_g(C)$, and induces a C_n -action on $S_g(C)$. Let G induce s orbits on the components of $S_g(C)$ and ℓ orbits on the components of N . Let $S_g(C) = \sqcup_{i=1}^s S_{g_i}(p_i)$, where $S_{g_i}(p_i)$ is a *surface orbit* under the action of G on $S_g(C)$. Then G induces a C_{u_i} -action on $S_{g_i}(p_i)$ for some positive integer u_i such that $u_i \mid n$. Let D_i be the cyclic data set corresponding to C_{u_i/p_i} -action (that is, the first return map) induced by C_{u_i} -action on S_{g_i} (see [24, Definition 5.6]). Let $N = \sqcup_{r=1}^{\ell} N_r$, where N_r is an orbit of annuli (that is, regular neighborhood of curves in C_r) under the action of G on N . Let the orbit N_r connects the surface orbits corresponding to the indices i_r and j_r , where $1 \leq i_r, j_r \leq s$. Without loss of generality, we assume that $p_{i_r} \leq p_{j_r}$.

The action of $F^{p_{j_r}}$ twists the annuli in the orbit N_r by $2\pi k_r/n_r$, for some non-zero integer k_r such that $0 < k_r \leq n_r/2$, where

$$n_r = p_{i_r} \operatorname{lcm} \left(\frac{n(D_{i_r})}{p_{j_r}}, \frac{n(D_{j_r})}{p_{i_r}} \right).$$

Since F^n twists the annuli in the orbit N_r by $2\pi q_r$, the action of $F^{p_{j_r}}$ must twist these annuli by $(2\pi q_r p_{j_r})/n$. Therefore, we have $q_r/k_r = n/(p_{j_r} n_r)$. It can be verified that $p_{j_r} n_r = \operatorname{lcm}(u_{i_r}, u_{j_r})$, and so it follows that $k_r \mid q_r$ and $p_{j_r} n_r = n k_r / q_r$.

First we assume that G preserves the sides of annuli in the orbit N_r . If $p_{j_r} = 1$, then D_{i_r} and D_{j_r} have distinguished orbits O_{i_r} and O_{j_r} , respectively, of size m_r induced by N_r . If $m_r = \operatorname{lcm}(n(D_{i_r}), n(D_{j_r}))$, then $n(D_{i_r}) = m_r = n(D_{j_r})$. Otherwise, the orbits O_{i_r} and O_{j_r} are compatible with twist factor k_r . If $p_{i_r} = 1$ and $p_{j_r} > 1$, then we must have $p_{j_r} = m_r$, and $D_{i_r}^{p_{j_r}}$ has m_r fixed points, corresponding to an orbit of size m_r of D_{i_r} , each of which is compatible to with a fixed point of D_{j_r} with twist factor k_r . If both $p_{i_r}, p_{j_r} > 1$, then we must have $p_{i_r} = p_{j_r} = m_r$, and each of D_{i_r} and D_{j_r} have a fixed point which are compatible with twist factor k_r . Now, we assume that G exchanges the sides of annuli in the orbit N_r . It can be seen that $m_r = 1$, $i_r = j_r$, and $p_{i_r} = 2$ (or 1) depending upon whether C_r is a part of some bounding submulticurve of C (or not). When $p_{i_r} = 1$, since G interchanges the two sides of N_r , D_{i_r} has an orbit of size 2 such that the corresponding fixed points of $D_{i_r}^2$ are compatible with twist factor k_r . When $p_{i_r} = 2$, since there are two copies of $S_{g(D_{i_r})}$, N_r induces a fixed point on each copy of $S_{g(D_{i_r})}$ which are compatible with twist factor k_r .

A pseudo-periodic data set \mathcal{D}_G will be constructed inductively as follows. A union of orbits of curves that disconnect the surface (but no strictly smaller union of orbits disconnect the surface) will be encoded by the tuple $(m_r, q_r, k_r; i_r, j_r)$ (resp. $(1, q_r, k_r; i_r, j_r)^*$) depending upon whether G preserves (resp. does not preserve) the sides of curves in the union, and we assume that the total number of such orbits are ℓ_1 (resp. $\ell_2 - \ell_1$). The remaining orbits of curves will be encoded by the tuple $(m_r, q_r, k_r; i_r, j_r)$ (resp. $(1, q_r, k_r; i_r, j_r)^*$) depending upon whether G preserves (resp. does not preserve) the sides of the curves, and we assume that the total number of such orbits are $\ell_3 - \ell_2$ (resp. $\ell - \ell_3$). The cyclic actions on the surface orbits will be encoded by the pair (D_i, p_i) . Hence, we obtain a pseudo-periodic data set \mathcal{D}_G associated with the mapping class G .

If $G' = HGH^{-1}$, then G and G' induce the same orbit structure on C and $S_g(C)$, and H maps the orbits induced by G to the orbits induced by G' . Thus, G and G' induce equivalent pseudo-periodic data sets.

Conversely, we assume that a pseudo-periodic data set \mathcal{D} is given. Let $G_i \in \operatorname{Mod}(S_{g(D_i)})$ be a periodic mapping class corresponding to the data set D_i of order $n(D_i)$. Consider the surface $\sqcup_{i=1}^s S_{g(D_i)}(p_i)$. For $1 \leq r \leq \ell$, let N_r (with associated twist factor k_r) be a disjoint union of annuli of size m_r . First we assume that $r \in \{1, \dots, \ell_1, \ell_2 + 1, \dots, \ell_3\}$. If $p_{j_r} = 1$, then we know that each of D_{i_r} and D_{j_r} has a distinguished orbit O_{i_r} and O_{j_r} of size m_r , respectively, which are either trivially compatible or compatible with twist factor k_r . Remove disjoint open disks around points in the orbits O_{i_r} and O_{j_r} , and attach the annuli from N_r between $S_{g(D_{i_r})}$ and $S_{g(D_{j_r})}$ with full k_r -twists (resp. with $2\pi k_r/n_r$ -twists) depending upon whether the orbits

were trivially compatible (resp. compatible with twist factor k_r). It must be noted that a positive (resp. negative) value of twist factor corresponds to a left-handed (resp. right-handed) twisting of the annuli. We perform a similar construction around compatible fixed points when $p_{j_r} > 1$, and when $r \in \{\ell_1 + 1, \dots, \ell_2, \ell_3 + 1, \dots, \ell\}$. After performing this construction for all $r \in \{1, \dots, \ell\}$, we obtain a pseudo-periodic mapping class G such that $\mathcal{D}_G = \mathcal{D}$.

Now, let G and G' be two mapping classes constructed (as described above) with equivalent pseudo-periodic data sets \mathcal{D}_G and $\mathcal{D}_{G'}$, respectively. Then the orbit structure of \mathcal{D}_G and $\mathcal{D}_{G'}$ are same, up to reordering. Therefore, without loss of generality, let $D_i = D'_i$, where the D_i and D'_i are periodic components of \mathcal{D}_G and $\mathcal{D}_{G'}$, respectively and $1 \leq i \leq s$. This implies that periodic mapping classes corresponding to D_i and D'_i are conjugate by some $H_i \in \text{Mod}(S_{g(D_i)})$ for $1 \leq i \leq s$. A reducible mapping class $H \in \text{Mod}(S_g)$ can be easily constructed having periodic components H_i such that $G' = HGH^{-1}$. Hence, up to conjugacy, $G^n = T_{C_1}^{q_1} \cdots T_{C_\ell}^{q_\ell}$, where C_r is a multicurve contained in the orbit N_r for $1 \leq r \leq \ell$. \square

From here on, the conjugacy class of a pseudo-periodic mapping class F will be represented by its corresponding pseudo-periodic data set \mathcal{D}_F . From Proposition 3.3, it follows that there exists a unique positive integer $q(F) = q(\mathcal{D}_F)$ associated to the pseudo-periodic mapping class F . Given two conjugacy classes \mathcal{D} and \mathcal{D}' of pseudo-periodic mapping classes, we say \mathcal{D} carries a root of \mathcal{D}' of degree n if there exists representatives F and G of \mathcal{D} and \mathcal{D}' respectively such that $F^n = G$ in $\text{Mod}(S_g)$. We will also need the following result from [6] that characterizes the primitivity of periodic mapping classes up to conjugacy.

Proposition 3.4 ([6, Proposition 5.1]). *For $g \geq 2$, let $F \in \text{Mod}(S_g)$ be a periodic mapping class with*

$$D_F = (n, g_0, r; (c_1, n_1), \dots, (c_\ell, n_\ell)).$$

Then F has a root G of degree m if and only if following conditions hold.

(i) *There exists a homeomorphism \mathcal{G} of S_{g_0} with*

$$D_G = (m, g', r'; (d_1, m_1), \dots, (d_k, m_k))$$

which induces an automorphism $\bar{\mathcal{G}}$ of \mathcal{O}_F such that the signature of the quotient orbifold $\mathcal{O}_F / \langle \bar{\mathcal{G}} \rangle$ is $(g'; n'_1, \dots, n'_l)$, where

$$n'_i \in \{n_1, \dots, n_\ell\} \cup \{m_i : \gcd(m_i, n) = 1\} \cup \{n_j m_i : \gcd(m_i, n) = 1\} \cup \{nm_j\} \text{ for all } i.$$

(ii) *$D_G = (mn, g', r''; (c'_1, n'_1), \dots, (c'_l, n'_l))$, where*

$$c'_i = \begin{cases} c_j, & \text{if } n'_i = n_j \text{ and} \\ c_j \pmod{n_j}, & \text{if } n'_i = n_j m_i \end{cases}$$

for all $1 \leq i \leq l$.

We will now derive equivalent conditions for the primitivity of pseudo-periodic mapping classes.

Theorem 3.5. *For $g \geq 2$, let $F \in \text{Mod}(S_g)$ be a pseudo-periodic mapping class with*

$$\mathcal{D}_F = \llbracket n'; (D'_1, p'_1), \dots, (D'_{s'}, p'_{s'}) ; (m'_1, q'_1, k'_1; i'_1, j'_1), \dots, (m'_{\ell'_1}, q'_{\ell'_1}, k'_{\ell'_1}; i'_{\ell'_1}, j'_{\ell'_1}), (m'_{\ell'_1+1}, q'_{\ell'_1+1}, k'_{\ell'_1+1}; i'_{\ell'_1+1}, j'_{\ell'_1+1})^*, \dots, (m'_{\ell'_2}, q'_{\ell'_2}, k'_{\ell'_2}; i'_{\ell'_2}, j'_{\ell'_2})^*; (m'_{\ell'_2+1}, q'_{\ell'_2+1}, k'_{\ell'_2+1}; i'_{\ell'_2+1}, j'_{\ell'_2+1}), \dots, (m'_{\ell'_3}, q'_{\ell'_3}, k'_{\ell'_3}; i'_{\ell'_3}, j'_{\ell'_3}), (m'_{\ell'_3+1}, q'_{\ell'_3+1}, k'_{\ell'_3+1}; i'_{\ell'_3+1}, j'_{\ell'_3+1})^*, \dots, (m'_{\ell'}, q'_{\ell'}, k'_{\ell'}; i'_{\ell'}, j'_{\ell'})^* \rrbracket,$$

Then F has a root G of degree m with

$$\mathcal{D}_G = \llbracket n; (D_1, p_1), \dots, (D_s, p_s); (m_1, q_1, k_1; i_1, j_1), \dots, (m_{\ell_1}, q_{\ell_1}, k_{\ell_1}; i_{\ell_1}, j_{\ell_1}), (m_{\ell_1+1}, q_{\ell_1+1}, k_{\ell_1+1}; i_{\ell_1+1}, j_{\ell_1+1})^*, \dots, (m_{\ell_2}, q_{\ell_2}, k_{\ell_2}; i_{\ell_2}, j_{\ell_2})^*; (m_{\ell_2+1}, q_{\ell_2+1}, k_{\ell_2+1}; i_{\ell_2+1}, j_{\ell_2+1}), \dots, (m_{\ell_3}, q_{\ell_3}, k_{\ell_3}; i_{\ell_3}, j_{\ell_3}), (m_{\ell_3+1}, q_{\ell_3+1}, k_{\ell_3+1}; i_{\ell_3+1}, j_{\ell_3+1})^*, \dots, (m_{\ell}, q_{\ell}, k_{\ell}; i_{\ell}, j_{\ell})^* \rrbracket,$$

if and only if following conditions hold.

- (i) For each $i \in \{1, \dots, s\}$, there exist $i' \in \{1, \dots, s'\}$ and a positive divisor u_i of m such that $p_i = p_{i'}$, $\gcd(p_i, u_i)$ and D_i carries a root of $D_{i'}$ of degree u_i .
- (ii) $n = mn'$ and $\{q_1, \dots, q_\ell\} = \{q'_1, \dots, q'_{\ell'}\}$.
- (iii) $\sum_{i=1}^s p_i = \sum_{i=1}^{s'} p_{i'}$, $\sum_{r=1}^{\ell} m_r = \sum_{r'=1}^{\ell'} m_{r'}$, and $\sum_{i=1}^s \gcd(p_i, u_i) = s'$.

Proof. A cyclic data set D induces a $C_{n(D)}$ -action $\sigma_p \circ D$ on the surface $S_{g(D)}(p)$, where σ_p is a cyclic permutation of components of $S_{g(D)}(p)$ (see [24, Definition 5.6]). We observe that the cyclic action $(\sigma_p \circ D)^u$ decomposes into $\gcd(p, u)$ -copies of the action $\sigma_{p/\gcd(p, u)} \circ D'$, where D carries a root of D' of degree u . Hence, a root of F can be constructed from roots of its periodic components. If \mathcal{D}_G carries a root of \mathcal{D}_F of degree m , then for each $i \in \{1, \dots, s\}$ there exist $i' \in \{1, \dots, s'\}$ and a positive divisor u_i of m such that the cyclic action $(\sigma_{p_i} \circ D_i)^{u_i}$ decomposes into $\gcd(p_i, u_i)$ -copies of the cyclic action $(\sigma_{p_{i'}} \circ D_{i'})$, where D_i carries a root of $D_{i'}$ of degree u_i and $p_{i'} = p_i / \gcd(p_i, u_i)$. Since \mathcal{D}_G carries a root of \mathcal{D}_F of degree m and correspond to roots of the same multitwist about $\mathcal{C}(F)$, we have $n = mn'$ and $\{q_1, \dots, q_\ell\} = \{q'_1, \dots, q'_{\ell'}\}$. Other necessary conditions follow from a simple counting argument by tallying the number of orbits induced by the actions of F and G on $S_g(\mathcal{C}(F))$ and $\mathcal{C}(F)$. Conversely, by reversing our arguments, we can see that if \mathcal{D}_F and \mathcal{D}_G satisfy the given conditions, then \mathcal{D}_G carries a root of \mathcal{D}_F of degree m . \square

All possible roots of pseudo-periodic mapping classes can be constructed up to conjugacy by using Theorem 3.5.

3.2. Primitivity of arbitrary mapping classes. For $g \geq 2$, let $F \in \text{Mod}(S_g)$ be a reducible mapping class. From the Nielsen-Thurston classification [26], it follows that

$$(2) \quad F = G \prod_{i=1}^r F_i,$$

where G is a pseudo-periodic mapping class, and each F_i is a pseudo-Anosov mapping class on a surface orbit. It follows that F is primitive if and only if at least one of G or the F_i 's is primitive, and a root of F can be constructed as a product of roots of G and F_i 's, where the degree of the root will be the least common multiple of individual degrees. Given an arbitrary mapping class $F \in \text{Mod}(S_g)$ expressed as a product of Dehn twists, we will give an efficient theoretical algorithm that determines whether F is primitive and computes all possible roots up to conjugacy, if they exist. For identifying the type of the mapping class, we will use the Bestvina-Handel algorithm [1]. For determining the primitivity of pseudo-periodic mapping classes and computation of roots, we will use the Theorem 3.5.

Fehrenbach and Los [8] have developed an algorithm for deciding the primitivity of a pseudo-Anosov homeomorphism that fixes a point and computation of roots, if they exist. Consider the Birman exact sequence [2] given by

$$1 \longrightarrow \pi_1(S_g, p) \xrightarrow{\text{Push}} \text{Mod}(S_g \setminus p) \xrightarrow{\text{Forget}} \text{Mod}(S_g) \longrightarrow 1,$$

where p is a marked point on the surface S_g . Let $F \in \text{Mod}(S_g)$ be a nontrivial mapping class, and let $\text{Forget}(G) = F$ for some mapping class $G \in \text{Mod}(S_g \setminus p)$. Then the map Forget preserves primitivity of mapping classes. Further, if G is not primitive in $\text{Mod}(S_g \setminus p)$, then the roots of G cannot lie in $\ker(\text{Forget})$ as this would imply that F is trivial. Hence, a root of G in $\text{Mod}(S_g \setminus p)$ gives rise to a root of F in $\text{Mod}(S_g)$. By assuming up to isotopy that every pseudo-Anosov homeomorphism fixes a marked point, Fehrenbach-Los algorithm can be easily adapted to our setting (by forgetting the marked point), to determine the roots of pseudo-Anosovs in $\text{Mod}(S_g)$. The algorithm described below is expected to be considerably more efficient than any brute-force algorithm.

Algorithm 3.6. For $g \geq 2$, let $F \in \text{Mod}(S_g)$ be a mapping class given as a word in Lickorish generators.

Step 1: Apply Bestvina-Handel algorithm for determining the type of F (i.e. periodic, reducible or pseudo-Anosov).

Step 2: If F is periodic, then proceed to Step 3. If F is pseudo-Anosov, then proceed to Step 4. If F is infinite order reducible, then proceed to Step 5.

Step 3: Construct the cyclic data set (see Remark 3.7) D_F and use Proposition 3.4 to determine the primitivity of F and computation of roots, if they exists.

Step 4: Use the Fehrenbach-Los algorithm to determine the primitivity of F' relative to p and computation of roots, if they exists.

Step 5: Compute $\mathcal{C}(F)$ using the Bestvina-Handel algorithm. Write the mapping class F in the canonical form as in Equation (2).

Step 5a: Determine the primitivity of pseudo-periodic component from Step 6.

Step 5b: Determine the primitivity of first return map corresponding to pseudo-Anosov components from Step 4.

Step 6: Construct the pseudo-periodic data set \mathcal{D}_G (see Remark 3.7) and use Theorem 3.5 to determine the primitivity of \mathcal{D}_G and computation of roots, if they exists.

If a mapping class is non-primitive, then an upper bound on the degree of roots can be derived using Proposition 4.1 and Lemma 4.7.

Remark 3.7. Note that Algorithm 3.6 implicitly assumes that given a pseudo-periodic mapping class F written as a word in Dehn twists, it is possible to determine \mathcal{D}_F and vice versa. However, these processes can be made explicit by an appropriate application of Theorem 3.3, the Bestvina-Handel algorithm, the Alexander method [7, Chapter 2], the algorithms developed in [5], and by understanding the orbits of carefully chosen curves (that either lie in the reduction systems or outside). A simple computation shows that these processes have complexity that is bounded by $\mathcal{O}(kp(g))$, where p is a polynomial in g of degree g . For brevity and clarity of exposition, we abstain from giving any further details in this paper.

Furthermore, assuming that the complexity of Bestvina-Handel algorithm (resp. Fehrenbach-Los algorithm) is $\mathcal{O}(f_1(g, k))$ (resp. $\mathcal{O}(f_2(g, k))$), Algorithm 3.6 can be shown to have complexity at most $\max\{\mathcal{O}(f_1(g, k)), \mathcal{O}(f_2(g, k)), \mathcal{O}(kp(g))\}$. Thus, it is expected to be considerably more efficient than any brute-force algorithm.




FIGURE 2. Simple closed curves on S_2 .

Example 3.8. For simple closed curves shown in Figure 2, let $F = (T_{a_1}T_{b_1})^3(T_{a_2}T_{b_2})^4T_c^{-1} \in \text{Mod}(S_2)$. Since $(T_{a_1}T_{b_1})^6 = T_c$, we have $F^6 = T_c$. Hence, F is a pseudo-periodic mapping class with $\mathcal{C}(F) = \{c\}$. The periodic components of F are $(T_{a_1}T_{b_1})^3$ and $(T_{a_2}T_{b_2})^4$ in $\text{Mod}(S_1)$. The pseudo-periodic data set corresponding to conjugacy class of F is given as

$$\mathcal{D}' = \llbracket 6; (D_1, 1), (D_2, 1); (1, 1, 1; 1, 2); - \rrbracket,$$

where $D_1 = (2, 0; (1, 2), (1, 2), (1, 2), (1, 2)_1)$, $D_2 = (3, 0; (2, 3), (2, 3), (2, 3)_1)$, and the fixed points with the same subscripts are compatible with twist factor 1. The mapping class F is not primitive and has a root of degree 2 given by the pseudo-periodic data set

$$\mathcal{D} = \llbracket 12; (E_1, 1), (E_2, 1); \langle 1, 1, 1; 1, 2 \rangle; - \rrbracket,$$

where $E_1 = (4, 0; (1, 2), (1, 4), (1, 4)_1)$, $E_2 = (6, 0; (1, 2), (2, 3), (5, 6))$ and the fixed points with the same subscript are compatible with twist factor 1. For $i = 1, 2$, we observe that E_i carries a root of D_i of degree 2. It can be verified that D is the only conjugacy class which carries a root of D' . For the mapping class $G = T_{a_1}^2 T_{b_1} (T_{a_2} T_{b_2})^5 T_c^{-1} \in \text{Mod}(S_2)$, we have \mathcal{D}_G and \mathcal{D} are equivalent. Further, it can be verified that G^2 is conjugate to F .

4. REALIZABLE BOUNDS ON THE PRIMITIVITY OF PSEUDO-PERIODIC MAPPING CLASSES

In this section, we apply Proposition 3.3 to derive realizable bounds on the degrees of roots of pseudo-periodics in $\text{Mod}(S_g)$, the Torelli group $\mathcal{I}(S_g)$, the level- m subgroup $\text{Mod}(S_g)[m]$, and the commutator subgroup of $\text{Mod}(S_2)$.

4.1. Bounds in the mapping class group. This subsection derives realizable bounds on the degrees of the roots of pseudo-periodic mapping classes in $\text{Mod}(S_g)$.

Proposition 4.1. *For $g \geq 2$, let $F \in \text{Mod}(S_g)$ be a pseudo-periodic mapping class which has a root G of degree n .*

- (i) *If $\mathcal{C}(F)$ is nonseparating, then $3 \leq n \leq q(F)(2g - 1)$.*
- (ii) *If $\mathcal{C}(F)$ is separating, then $2 \leq n \leq 3q(F)(g + 1)(g + 2)$.*

Further, these upper bounds are always realized by the roots of the powers of Dehn twists.

Proof. It suffices to consider the case of a multitwist, that is, $m = 1$. First consider the case when $\mathcal{C}(F)$ is nonseparating. Then

$$\mathcal{D}_G = \llbracket n; (D_1, 1); -; (m_1, q_1, k_1; 1, 1), \dots, (m_{\ell_1}, q_{\ell_1}, k_{\ell_1}; 1, 1), \\ (m_{\ell_1+1}, q_{\ell_1+1}, k_{\ell_1+1}; 1, 1)^*, \dots, (m_\ell, q_\ell, k_\ell; 1, 1)^* \rrbracket.$$

We have $n = (q_r/k_r)n(D_1)$ for every r . If there exist some r' such that $m_{r'} = n(D_1)$, then

$$n \leq q(F)m_{r'} \leq q(F)m \leq q(F)g.$$

Therefore, we assume that $m_r < n$ for every r . For $d = \gcd(k_1, n(D_1))$, it is known [23] (see Corollaries 2.6 and 2.9, and Remark 3.6) that

$$3 \leq n(D_1) \leq \begin{cases} 2g - 1, & \text{if } \ell = 1 = \ell_1, m_1 = 1, d = 1, \\ 4g - 4, & \text{if } \ell = 1 = \ell_1, m_1 = 1, d > 1, \text{ and} \\ 4g - 2, & \text{if } \ell_1 = 0. \end{cases}$$

When $n(D_1) = 4g - 4$, since $d > 1$, we have $k_1 \geq 2$. It was shown in [17] that Dehn twist cannot have side exchanging roots. Consequently, when $n(D_1) = 4g - 2$, we have $k_1 \geq 2$. Now it follows that

$$(3) \quad 3 \leq n \leq q(F)(2g - 1).$$

From the Riemann-Hurwitz formula, it can be shown that

$$n(D_1) \leq \begin{cases} g - 1, & \text{if } \ell_1 = \ell > 1 \text{ and} \\ 2(g - 1), & \text{if } \ell = 1, m_1 > 1. \end{cases}$$

From Equation (1), it can be shown that if $m_r > 1$, then $m_r \mid k_r$. Hence, $n \leq q(F)(g - 1)$.

Now consider the case when $\mathcal{C}(F)$ is separating. We provide details when $\mathcal{C}(F)$ is bounding. If G preserves the sides of curves of $\mathcal{C}(F)$, then

$$\mathcal{D}_G = \llbracket n; (D_1, 1), (D_2, 1); \langle m_1, q_1, k_1; 1, 2 \rangle, \dots, \langle m_\ell, q_\ell, k_\ell; 1, 2 \rangle; - \rrbracket.$$

We have

$$n = (q_r/k_r) \text{lcm}(n(D_1), n(D_2)) \leq q(F)n(D_1)n(D_2).$$

First we assume that $\ell = 1$ and $m_1 = 1$, that is, $\mathcal{C}(F)$ contains a single separating curve. Each D_i has a distinguished fixed point induced by the separating curve in $\mathcal{C}(F)$. It is well-known [11] that $n(D_i) \leq 4g(D_i) + 2$. From the Riemann-Hurwitz formula, it follows that $n(D_i) \leq 3g(D_i) + 3$ when $n(D_i)$ is odd. If both of $n(D_i)$'s are even, then

$$n \leq 2q(F)(4g(D_1)g(D_2) + 2g + 1) \leq 6q(F)(2g(D_1)g(D_2) + 2g(D_1) + g(D_2) + 1).$$

If at least one of $n(D_i)$ is odd then

$$n \leq 6q(F)(2g(D_1)g(D_2) + 2g(D_1) + g(D_2) + 1).$$

It can be verified that $2g(D_1)g(D_2) + 2g(D_1) + g(D_2) + 1$ attains its maximum value at $g(D_1) = g(D_2) = g/2$ subject to the conditions that $g(D_1) + g(D_2) = g$ and $g(D_i)$ is a positive integer. Hence,

$$(4) \quad 2 \leq n \leq q(F)(3g^2 + 9g + 6) = 3q(F)(g + 1)(g + 2).$$

Similarly, from the Riemann-Hurwitz formula, it can be shown that

$$n \leq \begin{cases} q(F)(g^2 - 1), & \text{if } \ell = 1, m_1 > 1 \text{ and} \\ 3q(F)g(g - 2), & \text{if } \ell > 1. \end{cases}$$

If G exchanges the sides of curves of $\mathcal{C}(F)$, then

$$\mathcal{D}_G = \llbracket n; (D_1, 2); \langle m_1, q_1, k_1; 1, 1 \rangle^*, \dots, \langle m_\ell, q_\ell, k_\ell; 1, 1 \rangle^*; - \rrbracket.$$

Thus, we have

$$n = 2(q_r/k_r)n(D_1) \leq 2q(F)n(D_1) \leq 4q(F)(2g(D_1) + 1) \leq 4q(F)(g + 1),$$

where maximum value of $(2g(D_1) + 1)$ was attained at $g(D_1) = g/2$ and $\ell = 1$ subject to the conditions that $g(D_1) + g(D_2) = g$ and $g(D_i)$ is a positive integer. If $\mathcal{C}(F)$ is not a bounding multicurve and atleast one of p_{i_r} or p_{j_r} is greater than 1, then it can be shown as above that

$$n \leq (3/2)q(F)(g + 1)(g - 3) \leq (3/2)q(F)g(g - 2).$$

For a positive integer q , the upper bound obtained in Equation (3) is realized by

$$\mathcal{D}_G = \llbracket q(2g - 1); (D_1, 1); -; (1, q, 1; 1, 1)^* \rrbracket,$$

which corresponds to a conjugacy class of a root of $F = T_c^q$ of degree $q(2g - 1)$, where

$$D_1 = (2g - 1, 0; (-4, 2g - 1), (2, 2g - 1)_1, (2, 2g - 1)_1),$$

fixed points with same subscript are compatible with twist factor 1, and c is a nonseparating curve in S_g . For realization of the upper bound obtained in Equation (4), let $g = 12s$ and we take $g_1 = g_2 = 6s$, where s is a positive integer. Consider the cyclic data sets

$$\begin{aligned} D_1 &= (4g_1 + 2, 0; (a_1, 2), (a_1g_1, 2g_1 + 1), (a_1, 4g_1 + 2)) \text{ and} \\ D_2 &= (3g_2 + 3, 0; (2a_2, 3), (a_2(g_2/3), g_2 + 1), (a_2, 3g_2 + 3)). \end{aligned}$$

Taking $n_1 = 4g_1 + 2$ and $n_2 = 3g_2 + 3$, we observed that $\gcd(n_1, n_2) = 1$, consequently $\text{lcm}(n_1, n_2) = n_1n_2 = 3(g + 1)(g + 2) = n$ (say). Since both n_i 's are not odd and $\gcd(n/n_1, n/n_2) = 1$, from [17, Lemma 8.1], it is possible to choose integers p_1 and p_2 such that $\gcd(p_i, n_i) = 1$ and

$$\frac{n}{n_1}p_1 + \frac{n}{n_2}p_2 \equiv 1 \pmod{n}.$$

For $a_i = p_i^{-1} \pmod{n_i}$, we observe that the fixed points of D_1 and D_2 are compatible with twist factor 1, and hence,

$$\mathcal{D}_G = \llbracket 3q(g + 1)(g + 2); (D_1, 1), (D_2, 1); \langle 1, q, 1; 1, 2 \rangle; - \rrbracket$$

corresponds to a conjugacy class of a root of $F = T_c^q$ of degree $3q(g+1)(g+2)$, where $q = q(F)$ and c is a separating curve in S_g of genus $[g/2]$. \square

4.2. Bounds in the Torelli and level- m -subgroups. This subsection derives realizable bounds on the degrees of roots of pseudo-periodic mapping classes in the Torelli group and the level- m -subgroup of $\text{Mod}(S_g)$.

Proposition 4.2. *For $g \geq 3$ and $m \geq 2$, let $F \in \text{Mod}(S_g)[m]$ be a pseudo-periodic mapping class which has a root $G \in \text{Mod}(S_g)$ of degree n such that $\mathcal{C}(F)$ does not contain any separating curves.*

- (i) *If $F \in \mathcal{I}(S_g)$, then $2 \leq n \leq q(F)g(g-2)$.*
- (ii) *If $F \in \text{Mod}(S_g)[m] \setminus \mathcal{I}(S_g)$, then $m \leq n \leq 3q(F)g(g-2)$.*

These upper bounds are realized when $F = (T_{c_1} T_{c_2}^k)^q$ for some integers $q > 0$ and $k \neq 0$, where $\{c_1, c_2\}$ is a bounding pair of genus $([g/2] - 1)$ ($k = -1$ when $F \in \mathcal{I}(S_g)$). In particular, $g(g-2)$ is the highest degree of a root of a bounding pair map.

Proof. We observe that $\mathcal{C}(F)$ is a disjoint union of bounding multicurves and a nonseparating multicurve. In the proof of Proposition 4.1, we have seen that the highest degree of a root is achieved when $\mathcal{C}(F)$ is a bounding pair (as $\mathcal{C}(F)$ does not contain separating curves). Therefore, for deriving bounds on the degrees of roots of F , it suffices to consider $F = T_{c_1}^{k_1} T_{c_2}^{k_2}$ and

$$D_G = \llbracket n; (D_1, 1), (D_2, 1); (1, k_1, k_1; 1, 2), (1, k_2, k_2; 1, 2); - \rrbracket.$$

We observe that $F \in \text{Mod}(S_g)[m]$ (resp. $F \in \mathcal{I}(S_g)$) if and only if $m \mid k_1 + k_2$ (resp. $k_1 + k_2 = 0$). Since each D_i has at least two distinguished fixed points, from Riemann-Hurwitz formula, it can be shown that $n(D_i) \leq 4g(D_i)$, and $n(D_i) \leq 3g(D_i)$ when $n(D_i)$ is odd. We have

$$n = \text{lcm}(n(D_1), n(D_2)) \leq n(D_1)n(D_2) \leq 12g(D_1)g(D_2) \leq 3g(g-2),$$

where $g(D_1)g(D_2)$ attains its maximum value at $g(D_1) = g/2$ and $g(D_2) = g/2 - 1$ subject to the conditions that $g = g(D_1) + g(D_2) + 1$, $g(D_1) \neq g(D_2)$, and $g(D_i)$ is a positive integer.

The upper bound $3g(g-2) = n$ (say) is realized if and only if g is even, $n(D_1) = 2g = n_1$ (say) and $n(D_2) = (3/2)(g-2) = n_2$ (say), where $\text{gcd}(n(D_1), n(D_2)) = 1$. The periodic components of the bound-realizing roots are given by the data sets

$$\begin{aligned} D_1 &= (n_1, 0; (a_1, n_1)_1, (a_2, n_1)_2, (1, 2)) \text{ and} \\ D_2 &= (n_2, 0; (b_1, n_2)_1, (b_2, n_2)_2, (1, 3)), \end{aligned}$$

where $a_1 + a_2 \equiv g \pmod{n_1}$ and $b_1 + b_2 \equiv (g-2) \pmod{n_2}$. Compatibility of the fixed points (associated with pairs having the same subscripts in the D_i) implies that

$$(5) \quad (3/2)g(g-2)a_1^{-1}a_2^{-1} + 2g(g-2)b_1^{-1}b_2^{-1} \equiv k_1 + k_2 \pmod{n}.$$

From Equation (5), it follows that if $m \mid (g/2)(g-2)$, then $F \in \text{Mod}(S_g)[m]$ which has a root of degree $3g(g-2)$. Again, from Equation (5), it can be seen that if $k_1 + k_2 = 0$, then either of 2 or 3/2 divide 1, which is impossible. Similarly, it can be shown that if $\text{gcd}(n(D_1), n(D_2)) = 1$ and either $n(D_1) > g$ or $n(D_2) > (g-2)$, then the bounding pair maps cannot have a root of degree $n = \text{lcm}(n(D_1), n(D_2))$.

Now, we find a realizable bound on the degree of a root of a bounding pair map. As seen before, if $\text{gcd}(n(D_1), n(D_2)) = 1$, then

$$n \leq n(D_1)n(D_2) \leq g(g-2).$$

When $\text{gcd}(n(D_1), n(D_2)) > 1$, since $n(D_i) \leq 4g(D_i)$, we have

$$n \leq 4g(D_1)g(D_2) \leq g(g-2),$$

where $g(D_1)g(D_2)$ attains its maximum value when $(g(D_1), g(D_2)) = (g/2, g/2 - 1)$. In general, if $F \in \mathcal{I}(S_g)$, then

$$(6) \quad 2 \leq n \leq q(F)g(g-2),$$

and if $F \in \text{Mod}(S_g)[m] \setminus \mathcal{I}(S_g)$, then

$$(7) \quad m \leq n \leq 3q(F)g(g-2).$$

We will now construct roots that realize the upper bounds obtained in Equation (6) and (7). For $g = 2s$, let $g_1 = s$ and $g_2 = s - 1$, where s is a positive integer. Consider the following data sets

$$\begin{aligned} D_1 &= (4s, 0; (1, 2), (-1, 4s)_1, (2s+1, 4s)_2) \text{ and} \\ D_2 &= (4s-4, 0; (1, 2), (1, 4s-4)_1, (2s-3, 4s-4)_2). \end{aligned}$$

It can be verified that $\text{lcm}(4s, 4s-4) = g(g-2)$ and the fixed points with the same subscripts are compatible with twist factor ± 1 , and hence,

$$\mathcal{D}_G = \llbracket qq(g-2); (D_1, 1), (D_2, 1); (1, q, 1; 1, 2), (1, -q, -1; 1, 2); - \rrbracket$$

corresponds to a conjugacy class of a root of $F = (T_{c_1}T_{c_2}^{-1})^q$ of degree $qq(g-2)$, where $q = q(F)$. Now, we consider the following cyclic data sets

$$\begin{aligned} D_1 &= (4g_1, 0; (a_1, 2), (a_1, 4g_1)_1, (a_1(2g_1-1), 4g_1)_2) \text{ and} \\ D_2 &= (3g_2, 0; (a_2, 3), (a_2, 3g_2)_1, (a_2(2g_2-1), 3g_2)_2). \end{aligned}$$

Taking $n_1 = 4g_1$ and $n_2 = 3g_2$, it can be seen that $\text{gcd}(n_1, n_2) = 1$, and so we have

$$\text{lcm}(n_1, n_2) = 12s(s-1) = 3g(g-2) = n \text{ (say)}.$$

For a non-negative integer r taking $s = 12r + 10$, we choose a_i such that $a_1^{-1} = 4r + 3 = (s-1)/3$ and $a_2^{-1} = -(3r+2) = -(s-2)/4$. We observe that $(2g_1-1)^{-1} \equiv 2g_1-1 \pmod{n_1}$ and $(2g_2-1)^{-1} \equiv g_2-1 \pmod{n_2}$. It can be verified that the fixed points corresponding to pairs with the same subscripts are compatible with twist factor 1 and $(1/8)g^2(g-2) - 1 = k$ (say). Hence,

$$\mathcal{D}_G = \llbracket 3qq(g-2); (D_1, 1), (D_2, 1); (1, q, 1; 1, 2), (1, kq, k; 1, 2); - \rrbracket$$

corresponds to a root of $F = T_{c_1}^q T_{c_2}^{qk}$ of degree $3qq(g-2)$, where $q = q(F)$. If m divide either q or $(g/2)(g-2)$, then $F \in \text{Mod}(S_g)[m]$. \square

For $m \geq 3$, it is known [12, Corollary 1.8] that any pseudo-periodic mapping class in $\text{Mod}(S_g)[m]$ is a multitwist. The following corollary now follows from Proposition 4.2.

Corollary 4.3. *For $g, m \geq 3$, let $F \in \text{Mod}(S_g)[m]$ be a pseudo-periodic mapping class. Assume that $\mathcal{C}(F)$ does not contain any separating curves. If $m > 3g(g-2)$, then either F is primitive or F is a nontrivial power of a multitwist in $\text{Mod}(S_g)[m]$.*

4.3. Bounds in the commutator subgroup of $\text{Mod}(S_2)$. For $g \geq 3$, it is known [10, 21] that $\text{Mod}(S_g)$ is perfect. A surjective homomorphism from the braid group B_5 onto $\text{Mod}(S_2)$ can be constructed, which maps the derived series of B_5 onto the derived series of $\text{Mod}(S_2)$. For $n \geq 5$, it is known [9] that the commutator subgroup of B_n is perfect. We denote the commutator subgroup of $\text{Mod}(S_2)$ by $\text{Mod}(S_2)^{(1)}$. It follows that $\text{Mod}(S_2) \triangleright \text{Mod}(S_2)^{(1)}$ is the derived series of $\text{Mod}(S_2)$.

Proposition 4.4. *Let $F = T_{c_1}^{q_1} T_{c_2}^{q_2} T_{c_3}^{q_3} \in \text{Mod}(S_2)$ be a multitwist, where c_1 and c_3 are non-separating curves. Then $F \in \text{Mod}(S_2)^{(1)}$ if and only if either $q_1 + q_2 + q_3 \equiv 0 \pmod{10}$ (resp.*

$q_1 + 2q_2 + q_3 \equiv 0 \pmod{10}$) depending upon whether c_2 is a nonseparating (resp. separating) curve. Now we assume that $F \in \text{Mod}(S_2)^{(1)}$ has a root in $\text{Mod}(S_2)$ of degree n . Then

$$2q(F) \leq n \leq \begin{cases} 3q(F), & c_2 \text{ is nonseparating,} \\ 12q(F), & c_2 \text{ is separating.} \end{cases}$$

Further, these bounds are realized when $F = T_{c_2}^q$ having irreducible Type 1 canonical components, where q is a positive integer such that 5 divides q .

Proof. It is known [3] that $\text{Mod}(S_2)/\text{Mod}(S_2)^{(1)} \cong \mathbb{Z}_{10}$. Let $\pi : \text{Mod}(S_g) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_{10}$ be the natural projection onto the abelianization of $\text{Mod}(S_2)$. The map π maps a nonseparating Dehn twist to 1 and a separating Dehn twist to 2. Now it follows that $F \in \text{Mod}(S_2)^{(1)}$ if and only if either $q_1 + q_2 + q_3 \equiv 0 \pmod{10}$ (resp. $q_1 + 2q_2 + q_3 \equiv 0 \pmod{10}$) depending upon whether c_2 is a nonseparating (resp. separating) curve. The verification of the realizable bounds is straightforward as the least common multiple of orders of periodic components induced by the roots on the surface $S_2(\mathcal{C}(F))$ can be at most 12. \square

The following corollary is a direct consequence of Proposition 4.4.

Corollary 4.5. *Let $F \in \text{Mod}(S_2)^{(1)}$ be a pseudo-periodic mapping class having a root in $\text{Mod}(S_2)$ of degree n . Then*

$$2q(F) \leq n \leq 12q(F).$$

Further, the bounds are realized when $F = T_c^q$, where q is a positive integer such that 5 divides q and c is a separating curve in S_2 .

The following corollary follows from Propositions 4.1 - 4.4.

Corollary 4.6. *The upper bound realizing roots of pseudo-periodic mapping classes in $\text{Mod}(S_g)$ are primitive pseudo-periodic mapping classes that decompose canonically into irreducible Type 1 periodic mapping classes. Any primitive pseudo-periodic mapping class must be the highest degree root of a multitwist.*

The following lemma gives an upper bound on the degree of roots of pseudo-Anosov mapping classes using a result on bounds on the smallest stretch factor for pseudo-Anosovs in $\text{Mod}(S_g)$ due to Penner [20].

Lemma 4.7. *For $g \geq 2$, let $F \in \text{Mod}(S_g)$ be a pseudo-Anosov mapping class with stretch factor λ which has a root of degree n . Then*

$$n \leq \frac{12(g-1) \log \lambda}{\log 2}.$$

Proof. The stretch factor of the root of F must be $\lambda^{\frac{1}{n}}$, and therefore, $\log \lambda \geq n \log \lambda_g$, where λ_g denotes the smallest stretch factor for a pseudo-Anosov in $\text{Mod}(S_g)$. By [20]

$$\log \lambda_g \geq \frac{\log 2}{12(g-1)} \text{ from which}$$

our assertion follows. \square

5. NORMAL CLOSURE OF PSEUDO-PERIODIC MAPPING CLASSES

This section discusses the normal generation of $\text{Mod}(S_g)$ by a single pseudo-periodic mapping class. Using the theory developed in [19], we obtain a generalization of the result due to Margalit-Lanier [14, Theorem 1.1] for pseudo-periodic mapping classes. In [19], it was shown that an irreducible Type 1 cyclic action can be realized as a rotation of a canonical polygon [19, Theorem 2.7]. Further, any Type 2 cyclic action can be constructed from certain compatibilities on irreducible Type 1 actions [19, Theorem 2.24]. These results allow us to take an alternative

approach which bypasses the usages of [14, Lemma 3.2]. However, we will use the *well-suited curve criterion* from [14].

Lemma 5.1 ([14, Lemmas 2.2-2.4]). *For $g \geq 3$, let $F \in \text{Mod}(S_g)$, c be a nonseparating curve, and d be a separating curve in S_g . The geometric intersection number between isotopy classes of two simple closed curves c_1 and c_2 will be denoted by $i(c_1, c_2)$. If one of the following conditions hold, then F normally generates $\text{Mod}(S_g)$.*

- (i) $i(c, F(c)) = 1$.
- (ii) $i(c, F(c)) = 0$ and $[c] \neq [F(c)]$ in homology (ignoring the orientation of curves).
- (iii) $i(d, F(d)) \leq 2$.

Proposition 5.2. *For $g \geq 3$, let $F \in \text{Mod}(S_g)$ be a pseudo-periodic mapping class with at least one nontrivial periodic component F' that is not a hyperelliptic involution. Then $\text{Mod}(S_g)$ is normally generated by F .*

Proof. If F' is a rotational cyclic action, then it is always possible to find a nonseparating curve c in $S_{g(D_{F'})}$ such that $i(c, F'(c)) = i(c, F(c)) = 1$ and $[c] \neq [F(c)]$ (as D is not the hyperelliptic involution). The assertion now follows from Lemma 5.1. Suppose that F' is a non-rotational cyclic action. First, we consider the case when $D_{F'}$ is an irreducible Type 1 action. From [19, Theorem 2.7], $D_{F'}$ can be realized as a $2\pi k/n(D_{F'})$ -rotation of a canonical hyperbolic polygon. Let c be the line segment joining the mid-points of two sides which are identified. Then c is an essential simple closed curve in $S_{g(D_{F'})}$. Since $D_{F'}$ is an irreducible Type 1 action, we have $n(D_{F'}) > 2$. Therefore, c and $F'(c)$ are two distinct essential simple closed curves. We observe that $i(c, F'(c)) = i(c, F(c)) \leq 1$. If either c is separating or $i(c, F'(c)) = 1$, then the result follows from Lemma 5.1. If c is nonseparating and $i(c, F'(c)) = 0$, then it can be shown that $[c] \neq [F(c)]$, and therefore, the result follows from Lemma 5.1.

Now, we assume that $D_{F'}$ is of Type 2. It follows from [19, Theorem 2.24] that $D_{F'}$ can be constructed from certain compatibilities involving irreducible Type 1 periodics. Now, the result follows by considering any irreducible Type 1 component of $D_{F'}$. \square

It is not hard to verify that most multitwists do not normally generate $\text{Mod}(S_g)$. For example, given a simple closed curve c and a bounding pair $\{a, b\}$ in S_g , the mapping classes T_c^k when $|k| > 1$ and $T_a T_b^{-1}$ do not generate $\text{Mod}(S_g)$ normally. However, we will show that these mapping classes always have roots that normally generate $\text{Mod}(S_g)$.

Corollary 5.3. *For $g \geq 3$, let c be a simple closed curve, and let $\{a, b\}$ be a bounding pair in S_g . There are roots of T_c^k for $k > 1$ and $T_a T_b^{-1}$ that normally generate $\text{Mod}(S_g)$.*

Proof. In the case of a nonseparating curve c , the pseudo-periodic data set

$$D_1 = \llbracket 4(g-1); (D_1, 1); -; (1, 2(g-1), 2(g-1); 1, 1) \rrbracket$$

corresponds to a conjugacy class of a root of $T_c^{2(g-1)}$ of degree $4(g-1)$, where

$$D_1 = (4(g-1), 0; (1, 4(g-1)), (2g-3, 4(g-1)), (1, 2)).$$

Now we consider the case when c is a separating curve in S_g which separates the surface into two subsurfaces of positive genus g_1 and g_2 . Consider the cyclic data sets

$$\begin{aligned} D_1 &= (4g_1, 0; (a_1, 2), (a_1(2g_1-1), 4g_1), (a_1, 4g_1)_1) \text{ and} \\ D_2 &= (4g_2+2, 0; (a_2, 2), (a_2g_2, 2g_2+1), (a_2, 4g_2+2)_2). \end{aligned}$$

Taking $n_1 = 4g_1$, $n_2 = 4g_2 + 2$, and $n = \text{lcm}(n_1, n_2)$, since both n_i 's are not odd and $\text{gcd}(n/n_1, n/n_2) = 1$, it follows from [17, Lemma 8.1] that there are integers p_1 and p_2 such that $\text{gcd}(p_i, n_i) = 1$ and

$$\frac{n}{n_1}p_1 + \frac{n}{n_2}p_2 \equiv k \pmod{n}, \text{ where } \text{gcd}(k, n) = 1.$$

For $a_i = p_i^{-1} \pmod{n_i}$ the fixed points of D_i with same subscript are compatible with twist factor k . Thus, the pseudo-periodic data set

$$\mathcal{D}_2 = \llbracket n; (D_1, 1), (D_2, 1); \langle 1, k, k; 1, 2 \rangle; - \rrbracket$$

corresponds to a conjugacy class of a root of T_c^k of degree n .

Now let $C = \{a, b\}$ be a bounding pair in S_g . In the proof of Proposition 4.2, a root of bounding pair map $T_a T_b^{-1}$ of degree $g(g-2)$ has been constructed on surfaces of even genus g . Here we construct a root of a bounding pair map on the surfaces of odd genus. Let s be a positive integer. When $g = 3$, we consider the following data sets

$$\begin{aligned} D_1 &= (4, 1; (1, 4)_1, (3, 4)_2) \text{ and} \\ D_2 &= (2, 0; (1, 2)_1, (1, 2)_2, (1, 2), (1, 2)). \end{aligned}$$

When $g = 4s + 3$, for $g_1 = 2s + 2$ and $g_2 = 2s$, we consider the following data sets

$$\begin{aligned} D_1 &= (4g_1, 0; (1, 2), (1, 4g_1)_1, (2g_1 - 1, 4g_1)_2) \text{ and} \\ D_2 &= (4g_2, 0; (1, 2), (-1, 4g_2)_1, (2g_2 + 1, 4g_2)_2). \end{aligned}$$

When $g = 4s + 1$ and s is even, for $g_1 = 2s$ and $g_2 = 2s$, we consider the following data sets

$$\begin{aligned} D_1 &= (g_1, 1; (-s + 1, g_1)_1, (s - 1, g_1)_2) \text{ and} \\ D_2 &= (2, 0; (1, 2)_1, (1, 2)_2, \underbrace{(1, 2), \dots, (1, 2)}_{(2g_2)\text{-times}}). \end{aligned}$$

When $g = 4s + 1$ and s is odd, for $g_1 = 2s + 2$ and $g_2 = 2s - 2$, we consider the following data sets

$$\begin{aligned} D_1 &= (g_1, 1; (1, g_1)_1, (-1, g_1)_2) \text{ and} \\ D_2 &= (g_2, 1; (-1, g_2)_1, (1, g_2)_2). \end{aligned}$$

In the above mentioned cases, the fixed points with the same subscripts are compatible with twist factor ± 1 , and hence, the pseudo-periodic data set

$$\mathcal{D}_3 = \llbracket n; (D_1, 1), (D_2, 1); \langle 1, 1, 1; 1, 2 \rangle, \langle 1, -1, -1; 1, 2 \rangle; - \rrbracket$$

corresponds to a root of $T_a T_b^{-1}$ of degree n , where $n = \text{lcm}(n(D_1), n(D_2))$. From Proposition 5.2, it follows that any pseudo-periodic mapping class conjugate to \mathcal{D}_1 , \mathcal{D}_2 , and \mathcal{D}_3 normally generate $\text{Mod}(S_g)$. \square

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

The authors would like to thanks Prof. Dan Margalit for inspiring the pursuit of this problem and also for sharing some helpful comments on the manuscript.

REFERENCES

- [1] M. Bestvina and M. Handel. Train-tracks for surface homeomorphisms. *Topology*, 34(1):109–140, 1995.
- [2] J. S. Birman. Mapping class groups and their relationship to braid groups. *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.*, 22:213–238, 1969.
- [3] J. S. Birman and H. M. Hilden. On the mapping class groups of closed surfaces as covering spaces. In *Advances in the theory of Riemann surfaces (Proc. Conf., Stony Brook, N.Y., 1969)*, pages 81–115. Ann. of Math. Studies, No. 66, 1971.
- [4] J. S. Birman, A. Lubotzky, and J. McCarthy. Abelian and solvable subgroups of the mapping class groups. *Duke Math. J.*, 50(4):1107–1120, 1983.
- [5] N. K. Dhanwani, A. K. Nair, and K. Rajeevsarathy. Factoring periodic maps into dehn twists. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2010.11161*, 2020.
- [6] N. K. Dhanwani and K. Rajeevsarathy. Commuting conjugates of finite-order mapping classes. *Geom. Dedicata*, 209:69–93, 2020.

- [7] B. Farb and D. Margalit. *A primer on mapping class groups*, volume 49 of *Princeton Mathematical Series*. Princeton University Press, Princeton, NJ, 2012.
- [8] J. Fehrenbach. *Quelques aspects géométriques et dynamiques du mapping class group*. Theses, Université Nice Sophia Antipolis, Jan. 1998.
- [9] E. A. Gorin and V. J. Lin. Algebraic equations with continuous coefficients, and certain questions of the algebraic theory of braids. *Mat. Sb. (N.S.)*, 78 (120):579–610, 1969.
- [10] J. Harer. The second homology group of the mapping class group of an orientable surface. *Invent. Math.*, 72(2):221–239, 1983.
- [11] W. J. Harvey. Cyclic groups of automorphisms of a compact Riemann surface. *Quart. J. Math. Oxford Ser. (2)*, 17:86–97, 1966.
- [12] N. V. Ivanov. *Subgroups of Teichmüller modular groups*, volume 115 of *Translations of Mathematical Monographs*. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 1992. Translated from the Russian by E. J. F. Primrose and revised by the author.
- [13] S. P. Kerckhoff. The Nielsen realization problem. *Ann. of Math. (2)*, 117(2):235–265, 1983.
- [14] J. Lanier and D. Margalit. Normal generators for mapping class groups are abundant. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1805.03666*, 2018.
- [15] D. Margalit and S. Schleimer. Dehn twists have roots. *Geom. Topol.*, 13(3):1495–1497, 2009.
- [16] Y. Matsumoto and J. M. Montesinos-Amilibia. *Pseudo-periodic maps and degeneration of Riemann surfaces*, volume 2030 of *Lecture Notes in Mathematics*. Springer, Heidelberg, 2011.
- [17] D. McCullough and K. Rajeevsarathy. Roots of Dehn twists. *Geom. Dedicata*, 151:397–409, 2011.
- [18] N. Monden. On roots of Dehn twists. *Rocky Mountain J. Math.*, 44(3):987–1001, 2014.
- [19] S. Parsad, K. Rajeevsarathy, and B. Sanki. Geometric realizations of cyclic actions on surfaces. *J. Topol. Anal.*, 11(4):929–964, 2019.
- [20] R. C. Penner. Bounds on least dilatations. *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 113(2):443–450, 1991.
- [21] J. Powell. Homeomorphisms of S^3 leaving a Heegaard surface invariant. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 257(1):193–216, 1980.
- [22] K. Rajeevsarathy. Roots of Dehn twists about separating curves. *J. Aust. Math. Soc.*, 95(2):266–288, 2013.
- [23] K. Rajeevsarathy. Fractional powers of Dehn twists about nonseparating curves. *Glasg. Math. J.*, 56(1):197–210, 2014.
- [24] K. Rajeevsarathy and P. Vaidyanathan. Roots of Dehn twists about multicurves. *Glasg. Math. J.*, 60(3):555–583, 2018.
- [25] W. P. Thurston. The geometry and topology of three manifolds. <http://library.msri.org/books/gt3m/>.
- [26] W. P. Thurston. On the geometry and dynamics of diffeomorphisms of surfaces. *Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. (N.S.)*, 19(2):417–431, 1988.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, INDIAN INSTITUTE OF SCIENCE EDUCATION AND RESEARCH BHOPAL, BHOPAL BYPASS ROAD, BHAURI, BHOPAL 462 066, MADHYA PRADESH, INDIA

Email address: pankaj18@iiserb.ac.in

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, INDIAN INSTITUTE OF SCIENCE EDUCATION AND RESEARCH BHOPAL, BHOPAL BYPASS ROAD, BHAURI, BHOPAL 462 066, MADHYA PRADESH, INDIA

Email address: kashyap@iiserb.ac.in

URL: <https://home.iiserb.ac.in/~kashyap/>