

THE POINTWISE BEHAVIOR OF RIEMANN'S FUNCTION

FREDERIK BROUCKE AND JASSON VINDAS

ABSTRACT. We present a new and simple method for the determination of the pointwise Hölder exponent of Riemann's function $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{-2} \sin(\pi n^2 x)$ at every point of the real line. In contrast to earlier approaches, where wavelet analysis and the theta modular group were needed for the analysis of irrational points, our method is direct and elementary, being only based on the following tools from number theory and complex analysis: the evaluation of quadratic Gauss sums, the Poisson summation formula, and Cauchy's theorem.

1. INTRODUCTION

According to an account of Weierstrass, Riemann would have suggested the function

$$f(x) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\sin(n^2 \pi x)}{n^2}$$

as an example of a function which is continuous but nowhere differentiable. In 1916, Hardy [6] proved, based on earlier work by him and Littlewood [7], that Riemann's function f is not differentiable in a certain subset that contains every irrational point. This seemed to confirm the nowhere differentiability conjecture, but, on the contrary, Gerver [4] showed in 1970 that f is actually differentiable at any rational number of the form $(2r + 1)/(2s + 1)$. His results [4, 5] in combination with Hardy's ones imply that Riemann's function is not differentiable at any other real number. Gerver's proofs are elementary, but difficult and long. Simpler proofs were found later by Smith in 1972 [16] and Itatsu in 1981 [9] (see also [8, 12, 14]). They provided more precise information about the pointwise behavior of Riemann's function, which in particular gives the pointwise Hölder exponent [11] at any rational point. This left open the determination of the exact pointwise regularity of Riemann's function at the irrationals.

Duistermaat [3] used a variant of Itatsu's approach to exhibit explicit dependence of the O -constants on the analyzed rational point in the Smith-Itatsu asymptotic formulas. His error terms were strong enough to enable him to find an upper bound for the pointwise Hölder exponent at every irrational point. His upper bound depends on approximation properties of the irrational number by certain continued fractions. The problem of finding the pointwise Hölderian regularity of Riemann's function at irrational points was finally solved by Jaffard [10] in 1996, who showed that Duistermaat's upper bound was sharp, that is, it is exactly equal to the pointwise Hölder exponent. Jaffard's proof is indirect and non-elementary. It is of Tauberian nature and makes use of wavelet analysis and the theta modular group.

2020 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 26A16, 42A16, 42A55; Secondary 11J70; 26A27.

Key words and phrases. Riemann "non-differentiable" function; pointwise Hölder exponent; quadratic Gaussian sums.

F. Broucke was supported by the Ghent University BOF-grant 01J04017.

J. Vindas was partly supported by Ghent University through the BOF-grant 01J04017 and by the Research Foundation–Flanders through the FWO-grant G067621N.

The purpose of this paper is to provide a new and self-contained approach for the determination of the pointwise Hölder exponent of Riemann's function at every point. Our arguments are direct and lead to completely elementary and fairly short proofs that only rely on the following tools: the evaluation of quadratic Gauss sums, the Poisson summation formula, and Cauchy's theorem.

Our method can be sketched as follows. For the sake of convenience, we work with a rescaled and complex version of Riemann's function, namely,

$$\phi(z) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2\pi i n^2} e(n^2 z)$$

where we use the notation $e(z)$ for $e^{2\pi i z}$ and $z = x + iy$ with $y \geq 0$. The pointwise properties of Riemann's original function can easily be deduced from those of ϕ . We are interested in the computation of the pointwise Hölder exponent

$$(1.1) \quad \alpha(x) = \sup\{\alpha > 0 \mid \phi(x+h) = \phi(x) + O_x(|h|^\alpha)\}.$$

Restricting the complex variable z to the upper half-plane, one has

$$(1.2) \quad \phi'(z) = \frac{1}{2}(\theta(z) - 1),$$

where θ stands for the Jacobi theta function, namely, $\theta(z) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} e(n^2 z)$. Therefore, for each $x \in \mathbb{R}$, we obtain the basic identity

$$(1.3) \quad \phi(x+h) - \phi(x) + \frac{1}{2}h = \frac{1}{2} \lim_{y \rightarrow 0^+} \int_{iy}^{h+iy} \theta(x+z) dz,$$

a formula that was already employed by Itatsu for $x = 0$.

We will exploit the formula (1.3) for the analysis of both rational and irrational numbers x . Itatsu and Duistermaat used (1.3) at $x = 0$ and then transformation properties (under the theta modular group) to study all rational points. We take a different path, in the spirit of Smith, and use the Poisson summation formula to study the boundary behavior of $\theta(x+z)$. This directly gives an exact expression for the limit of the integral in (1.3) when x is rational that yields an asymptotic series and that we shall discuss in Section 3. Approximating x by the n^{th} convergent $r_n = p_n/q_n$ in its continued fraction expansion when x is irrational and using our exact formula for $\theta(r_n + z)$, one generates sufficiently good bounds for $\theta(x+z)$. The next key step in our method is to use Cauchy theorem to transform (1.3) into

$$(1.4) \quad \phi(x+h) - \phi(x) + \frac{1}{2}h = -\frac{1}{2} \int_{\Gamma} \theta(x+z) dz,$$

where Γ is the part of the counterclockwise oriented boundary of the rectangle with vertices 0 , h , $i|h|$, and $h + i|h|$ that lies in the (open) upper half-plane. In Section 4 we shall combine (1.4) with our bounds for $\theta(x+z)$ to give a lower bound for $\alpha(x)$, and hence to obtain a new and simpler proof of Jaffard's theorem.

2. PRELIMINARIES: QUADRATIC GAUSS SUMS

The following exponential sums naturally arise in the analysis of ϕ at rational points.

Definition 2.1. Let q, p, m be integers with $(p, q) = 1$. The quadratic Gauss sum $S(q, p)$ and the generalized quadratic Gauss sum $S(q, p, m)$ are defined as

$$S(q, p) = \sum_{j=1}^q e\left(\frac{pj^2}{q}\right) \quad \text{and} \quad S(q, p, m) = \sum_{j=1}^q e\left(\frac{pj^2 + mj}{q}\right).$$

The quadratic Gauss sums were already evaluated by Gauss (see e.g. [1, Section 9.10] or [13, Section 9.3]):

Theorem 2.2. Suppose p and q are positive integers with $(p, q) = 1$. For odd n , define

$$\varepsilon_n = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } n \equiv 1 \pmod{4}, \\ i & \text{if } n \equiv 3 \pmod{4}. \end{cases}$$

Then

$$S(q, p) = \begin{cases} \varepsilon_q \left(\frac{p}{q}\right) \sqrt{q} & \text{if } q \text{ is odd,} \\ 0 & \text{if } q \equiv 2 \pmod{4}, \\ (1+i)\overline{\varepsilon_p} \left(\frac{q}{p}\right) \sqrt{q} & \text{if } q \equiv 0 \pmod{4}. \end{cases}$$

Here, $\left(\frac{p}{q}\right)$ is the Jacobi symbol (see [1, 13]).

The generalized quadratic Gauss sums $S(q, p, m)$ can be related to $S(q, p)$ as follows. Let p^* be the multiplicative inverse of $p \pmod{q}$. Suppose first that $m \equiv 2m' \pmod{q}$ for some m' . Then we can complete the square to get

$$(2.1) \quad S(q, p, m) = \sum_{j=1}^q e\left(\frac{p(j + p^*m')^2}{q}\right) e\left(-\frac{p^*m'^2}{q}\right) = e\left(-\frac{p^*m'^2}{q}\right) S(q, p).$$

If there is no such m' , then q is even and m odd. In this case we have

$$S(4q, p) = \sum_{j=1}^{2q} e\left(\frac{p(2j + p^*m)^2}{4q}\right) + \sum_{j=1}^{2q} e\left(\frac{p(2j)^2}{4q}\right) = 2e\left(\frac{p^*m^2}{4q}\right) S(q, p, m) + 2S(q, p),$$

since $2j + p^*m$ runs over all odd residues mod $4q$ when j runs over $\{1, \dots, 2q\}$. Therefore,

$$(2.2) \quad S(q, p, m) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{2} e\left(-\frac{p^*m^2}{4q}\right) S(4q, p) & \text{if } q \equiv 2 \pmod{4}, \\ 0 & \text{if } q \equiv 0 \pmod{4}. \end{cases}$$

3. BEHAVIOR AT RATIONAL POINTS

In this section we deduce an asymptotic expansion for ϕ at every rational number. We first prove a simple but crucial lemma that basically describes the behavior of θ near rationals. This lemma will be used again in Section 4 to derive bounds for θ near irrational points. For a complex number $z \neq 0$, we define $z^{-1/2}$ via the principal branch of the logarithm continuously extended to negative real axis from the upper half-plane, i.e. $\arg(z) \in (-\pi, \pi]$. Accordingly, our convention is thus $t^{1/2} = i|t|^{1/2}$ for $t < 0$, which simplifies the writing of some formulas below.

Lemma 3.1. *Suppose $1 \leq p \leq q$, $(p, q) = 1$ and $y = \text{Im } z > 0$. Then*

$$\theta\left(\frac{p}{q} + z\right) = \frac{e^{\pi i/4}}{q\sqrt{2}} z^{-1/2} \left(S(q, p) + 2 \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} S(q, p, m) \exp\left(-\frac{i\pi m^2}{2q^2 z}\right) \right).$$

Proof. Rearranging terms according to their value mod q , we write

$$\theta\left(\frac{p}{q} + z\right) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} e\left(\frac{pn^2}{q}\right) e(n^2 z) = \sum_{j=1}^q e\left(\frac{pj^2}{q}\right) \sum_{n \in j+q\mathbb{Z}} e(n^2 z).$$

For fixed z , the function $f_z : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{C} : t \mapsto e(zt^2)$ has Fourier transform

$$\hat{f}_z(u) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f_z(t) e^{-2\pi i u t} dt = \frac{e^{\pi i/4}}{\sqrt{2}} z^{-1/2} \exp\left(-\frac{i\pi u^2}{2z}\right).$$

An application of the well-known Poisson summation formula then yields

$$\begin{aligned} \theta\left(\frac{p}{q} + z\right) &= \frac{e^{\pi i/4}}{q\sqrt{2}} z^{-1/2} \sum_{j=1}^q e\left(\frac{pj^2}{q}\right) \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}} e\left(\frac{mj}{q}\right) \exp\left(-\frac{i\pi m^2}{2q^2 z}\right) \\ &= \frac{e^{\pi i/4}}{q\sqrt{2}} z^{-1/2} \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}} S(q, p, m) \exp\left(-\frac{i\pi m^2}{2q^2 z}\right) \\ &= \frac{e^{\pi i/4}}{q\sqrt{2}} z^{-1/2} \left(S(q, p) + 2 \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} S(q, p, m) \exp\left(-\frac{i\pi m^2}{2q^2 z}\right) \right). \end{aligned}$$

□

Define the “twisted” ϕ -function

$$\phi_{q,p}(z) = \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{S(q, p, m)}{2\pi i m^2} e(m^2 z).$$

Lemma 3.1 allows us to give a short proof of the following theorem, essentially due to Smith [16] and Itatsu [9] (cf. [2, 3, 8]).

Theorem 3.2. *Let p and q be integers, $q \geq 1$, $(p, q) = 1$. Then*

$$\phi(p/q + h) = \phi(p/q) + C_{p/q}^- |h|_-^{1/2} + C_{p/q}^+ |h|_+^{1/2} - h/2 + R_{q,p}(h),$$

where $C_{p/q}^{\pm}$ are given by

$$(3.1) \quad C_{p/q}^- = \frac{e^{3\pi i/4}}{q\sqrt{2}} S(q, p) \quad \text{and} \quad C_{p/q}^+ = \frac{e^{\pi i/4}}{q\sqrt{2}} S(q, p)$$

and $R_{q,p}(h)$ satisfies the estimate $R_{q,p}(h) \ll q^{3/2} |h|^{3/2}$. Furthermore, $C_{p/q}^- = C_{p/q}^+ = 0$ (and hence ϕ is differentiable at p/q) if and only if $q \equiv 2 \pmod{4}$.

Proof. Suppose $y > 0$. By equation (1.3),

$$\phi\left(\frac{p}{q} + h + iy\right) = \phi\left(\frac{p}{q} + iy\right) + \frac{1}{2} \int_{iy}^{h+iy} \theta\left(\frac{p}{q} + \zeta\right) d\zeta - \frac{1}{2} h.$$

Using Lemma 3.1,

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{iy}^{h+iy} \theta\left(\frac{p}{q} + \zeta\right) d\zeta &= \frac{e^{\pi i/4}}{q\sqrt{2}} \left(S(q, p) \left[2\zeta^{1/2} \right]_{iy}^{h+iy} + 2 \int_{iy}^{h+iy} \zeta^{-1/2} (4q^2 \zeta^2) \left(\phi_{q,p} \left(-\frac{1}{4q^2 \zeta} \right) \right)' d\zeta \right) \\ &= \frac{2e^{\pi i/4}}{q\sqrt{2}} \left(S(q, p) \left[\zeta^{1/2} \right]_{iy}^{h+iy} + \left[4q^2 \zeta^{3/2} \phi_{q,p} \left(-\frac{1}{4q^2 \zeta} \right) \right]_{iy}^{h+iy} \right. \\ &\quad \left. - 6q^2 \int_{iy}^{h+iy} \zeta^{1/2} \phi_{q,p} \left(-\frac{1}{4q^2 \zeta} \right) d\zeta \right). \end{aligned}$$

All the occurring functions have continuous extensions to \mathbb{R} . Letting $y \rightarrow 0^+$ we obtain the desired result, with the constants $C_{p/q}^\pm$ as in (3.1) and with

$$(3.2) \quad R_{q,p}(h) = -4q \frac{e^{-3\pi i \operatorname{sgn} h/4}}{\sqrt{2}} \phi_{q,p} \left(-\frac{1}{4q^2 h} \right) |h|^{3/2} - 6q \frac{e^{\pi i/4}}{\sqrt{2}} \int_0^h t^{1/2} \phi_{q,p} \left(-\frac{1}{4q^2 t} \right) dt.$$

The fact that the coefficients $C_{p/q}^\pm$ are both zero if and only if $q \equiv 2 \pmod{4}$ is an immediate consequence of Theorem 2.2. The bound $R_{q,p}(h) \ll q^{3/2} |h|^{3/2}$ easily follows since $\phi_{q,p} \ll \sqrt{q}$, in view of (2.1), (2.2), and Theorem 2.2. \square

Iterating the integration by parts procedure, we obtain a full asymptotic series for the remainder $R_{q,p}$. Indeed, for any $K \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$\begin{aligned} R_{q,p}(h) &= -\frac{e^{-3\pi i \operatorname{sgn} h/4}}{\sqrt{2}} \sum_{k=0}^K a_k q^{2k+1} \phi_{q,p}^{(-k)} \left(-\frac{1}{4q^2 h} \right) e^{k\pi i(1-\operatorname{sgn} h)/2} |h|^{k+3/2} \\ &\quad - \frac{e^{\pi i/4}}{\sqrt{2}} (K+3/2) a_K q^{2K+1} \int_0^h t^{K+1/2} \phi_{q,p}^{(-K)} \left(-\frac{1}{4q^2 t} \right) dt, \end{aligned}$$

where¹

$$a_k = (-1)^k 4^{k+1} \prod_{j=1}^k (j+1/2)$$

and $\phi_{q,p}^{(-k)}$ stands for the k^{th} -order primitive

$$\phi_{q,p}^{(-k)}(x) = \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{S(q, p, m)}{(2\pi i m^2)^{k+1}} e(m^2 x).$$

A similar asymptotic series was obtained by Duistermaat in [3] via a different method.

Inspecting the k^{th} term in this asymptotic series, we see that it is of the form $|h|^{3/2+k} g_k^\pm(|h|^{-1})$, where $\pm = \operatorname{sgn} h$ and where the functions g_k^\pm are $4q^2$ -periodic with zero mean and global Hölder regularity $1/2 + k$. One readily verifies that $R_{q,p}$ is a so-called *trigonometric chirp* at 0 of type $(3/2, 1)$ and of regularity $1/2$. The latter refines a theorem of Jaffard and Meyer [11, Theorem 7.1] for Riemann's function; see [11, p. 73] for the precise definition of a trigonometric chirp. The prototypical example of a trigonometric chirp at 0 of type (α, β) , $\alpha > -1$, $\beta > 0$ is the function $|x|^{-\alpha} \sin(|x|^{-\beta})$.

¹For $k = 0$, the product equals 1 in accordance with the empty product convention.

TABLE 1. Behavior of $\operatorname{Re}(\phi(p/q + h) - \phi(p/q))$

$q \bmod 4$	$p \bmod 4$	$h < 0$	$h > 0$
1	any	$-\left(\frac{p}{q}\right) \frac{1}{2\sqrt{q}} \sqrt{ h } + O_q(h)$	$\left(\frac{p}{q}\right) \frac{1}{2\sqrt{q}} \sqrt{h} + O_q(h)$
3	any	$-\left(\frac{p}{q}\right) \frac{1}{2\sqrt{q}} \sqrt{ h } + O_q(h)$	$-\left(\frac{p}{q}\right) \frac{1}{2\sqrt{q}} \sqrt{h} + O_q(h)$
2	any	$-\frac{1}{2}h + O(q^{3/2} h ^{3/2})$	$-\frac{1}{2}h + O(q^{3/2}h^{3/2})$
0	1	$-\left(\frac{q}{p}\right) \frac{1}{\sqrt{q}} \sqrt{ h } + O_q(h)$	$-\frac{1}{2}h + O(q^{3/2}h^{3/2})$
0	3	$-\frac{1}{2}h + O(q^{3/2} h ^{3/2})$	$\left(\frac{q}{p}\right) \frac{1}{\sqrt{q}} \sqrt{h} + O_q(h)$

Using the explicit expression for $S(q, p)$ given by Theorem 2.2, we can exhibit the behavior of $\operatorname{Re}(\phi(p/q + h) - \phi(p/q))$ in a precise fashion, which we summarize in Table 1. Note that at some rational points the function $\operatorname{Re} \phi$ has a (finite) left (resp. right) derivative, but an infinite right (resp. left) derivative. By rescaling by a factor $1/2$, we obtain the well known regularity of Riemann's function f at rational points.

Corollary 3.3. *Suppose $r = p/q$ is rational. If p and q are both odd, then f is differentiable at r ; otherwise the Hölder exponent of f at r equals $1/2$.*

4. BEHAVIOR AT IRRATIONAL POINTS

We now investigate the behavior of ϕ at irrational points ρ . Unlike in the rational case, we will not be able to derive an asymptotic formula for ϕ near ρ . Instead, we will determine the Hölder exponent $\alpha(\rho)$, introduced in (1.1).

We need some preparation in order to state the formula for $\alpha(\rho)$. Denote the n^{th} convergent in the continued fraction expansion of ρ by $r_n = p_n/q_n$, where $(p_n, q_n) = 1$. The quality of the approximation of ρ by r_n is quantified by the number τ_n , which is defined via the relation

$$|\rho - r_n| = \left(\frac{1}{q_n}\right)^{\tau_n}.$$

Let $(r_{n_k})_k$ be the subsequence² of approximants r_{n_k} with $q_{n_k} \not\equiv 2 \pmod{4}$, and set

$$(4.1) \quad \tau(\rho) := \limsup_{k \rightarrow \infty} \tau_{n_k}.$$

Theorem 4.1. *Let ρ be irrational. The Hölder exponent $\alpha(\rho)$ of ϕ at ρ is given by*

$$(4.2) \quad \alpha(\rho) = \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2\tau(\rho)}.$$

²Using a basic property of continued fractions (see (4.3) below), it is readily seen that this is an infinite subsequence.

The same result also holds for the Hölder exponent at ρ of $\operatorname{Re} \phi$ and $\operatorname{Im} \phi$.

The rest of this section is devoted to the proof of Theorem 4.1, which consists of two parts, namely, establishing the two inequalities \geq and \leq in (4.2). Let us first recall some basic properties of continued fractions (we refer to [15] for proofs and more advanced properties). The continued fractions have the following properties: for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $\tau_n > 2$, consecutive convergents r_n and r_{n+1} lie on different sides of ρ , $|\rho - r_{n+1}| < |\rho - r_n|$, and

$$(4.3) \quad p_{n+1}q_n - p_nq_{n+1} = (-1)^n.$$

Since $|r_n - r_{n+1}| = 1/(q_nq_{n+1})$, we have

$$|\rho - r_n| \leq \frac{1}{q_nq_{n+1}} \leq 2|\rho - r_{n+1}|,$$

so

$$(4.4) \quad \left(\frac{1}{q_n}\right)^{\tau_n-1} \leq \frac{1}{q_{n+1}} \leq 2\left(\frac{1}{q_n}\right)^{\tau_n-1}.$$

Note also that in view of (4.3), we have that q_n and q_{n+1} are never both $\equiv 2 \pmod{4}$.

4.1. The lower bound for $\alpha(\rho)$. The lower bound for $\alpha(\rho)$ was first found by Jaffard [10] by means of Tauberian arguments involving the continuous wavelet transform. To estimate the wavelet transform, Jaffard deduced bounds for the theta function near the irrational number ρ . We will present a simple proof of these bounds, using Lemma 3.1. Furthermore, we will show how these bounds directly furnish the lower bound for $\alpha(\rho)$, without needing to pass through the wavelet transform.

Comparing the sum with an integral, we immediately obtain the following estimate,

$$(4.5) \quad \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} e(n^2z) \ll y^{-1/2},$$

for $z = x + iy$ with $y > 0$.

Proposition 4.2. *Suppose $z = x + iy$ with $y > 0$. For each $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists a $\delta > 0$ such that for $|z| < \delta$, $y > 0$ the following bound holds:*

$$(4.6) \quad \theta(\rho + z) \ll |z|^{\frac{1}{2\tau(\rho)} - \varepsilon - \frac{1}{2}} + y^{-1/2}|z|^{\frac{1}{2\tau(\rho)} - \varepsilon}.$$

Proof. We first derive bounds for θ near a rational p/q with $(p, q) = 1$. By Lemma 3.1,

$$\theta\left(\frac{p}{q} + \zeta\right) = \frac{e^{\pi i/4}}{q\sqrt{2}} \zeta^{-1/2} \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}} S(q, p, m) \exp\left(-\frac{\pi i}{2q^2\zeta} m^2\right).$$

By the results obtained in Section 2, we have that $S(q, p, m) \ll \sqrt{q}$. Estimating via (4.5),

$$(4.7) \quad \theta\left(\frac{p}{q} + \zeta\right) \ll \frac{|S(q, p)|}{q|\zeta|^{1/2}} + \frac{\sqrt{q}|\zeta|^{1/2}}{(\operatorname{Im} \zeta)^{1/2}}.$$

Let N be such that $n \geq N$ implies $\tau_n \leq \tau(\rho) + \varepsilon'$ whenever $q_n \not\equiv 2 \pmod{4}$, and where ε' is such that $1/(2\tau(\rho) + 2\varepsilon') = 1/(2\tau(\rho)) - \varepsilon$. Set $\delta := 2|\rho - r_N|$. For z with $|z| \leq \delta$, let n be the unique integer $\geq N$ such that $2|\rho - r_{n+1}| < |z| \leq 2|\rho - r_n|$, and set $\zeta = z + (\rho - r_{n+1})$. Then

$$(4.8) \quad \frac{1}{2}|z| \leq |\zeta| \leq \frac{3}{2}|z|, \quad \operatorname{Im} \zeta = \operatorname{Im} z = y.$$

Suppose first that $q_n \not\equiv 2 \pmod{4}$. We then apply (4.7) with $p = p_{n+1}$, $q = q_{n+1}$. For the second term, we use (4.4) to see that

$$\sqrt{q_{n+1}} \leq q_n^{\frac{\tau_n-1}{2}} = |\rho - r_n|^{\frac{1}{2\tau_n} - \frac{1}{2}} \leq \sqrt{2}|z|^{\frac{1}{2\tau_n} - \frac{1}{2}}.$$

Since $1/(2\tau_n) \geq 1/(2\tau(\rho)) - \varepsilon$ (because $q_n \not\equiv 2 \pmod{4}$) and $|\zeta| \asymp |z|$, this second term is of the desired order. The first term vanishes if $q_{n+1} \equiv 2 \pmod{4}$, while otherwise we have

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{q_{n+1}}} = |\rho - r_{n+1}|^{\frac{1}{2\tau_{n+1}}} \leq |z|^{\frac{1}{2\tau(\rho)} - \varepsilon},$$

so this first term is also of the desired order.

Suppose now that $q_n \equiv 2 \pmod{4}$. We when apply (4.7) with $p = p_n$, $q = q_n$ and get

$$\begin{aligned} \theta(\rho + z) &= \theta\left(\frac{p_n}{q_n} + \left(\zeta + \frac{p_{n+1}}{q_{n+1}} - \frac{p_n}{q_n}\right)\right) \ll \frac{\sqrt{q_n}}{\sqrt{y}} \left|\zeta + \frac{(-1)^n}{q_{n+1}q_n}\right|^{1/2} \\ &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{q_{n+1}}\sqrt{y}} |\zeta q_n q_{n+1} + (-1)^n|^{1/2} \ll \frac{1}{\sqrt{q_{n+1}}\sqrt{y}}. \end{aligned}$$

In the first estimate we employed (4.3), while in the last estimate we used that $|\zeta| \ll |\rho - r_n| \leq 1/(q_n q_{n+1})$. Since $q_n \equiv 2 \pmod{4}$, we have that $q_{n+1} \not\equiv 2 \pmod{4}$ (by (4.3)), so that we can bound $(q_{n+1})^{-1/2}$ like before. \square

Using this bound for θ near ρ , we now deduce the lower bound for $\alpha(\rho)$. Pick $\varepsilon > 0$ arbitrary and use Proposition 4.2 to find a $\delta > 0$ such that the bound (4.6) holds for $|z| < \delta$. Suppose $|h| < \delta/\sqrt{2}$. We use again (1.3), so that

$$\phi(\rho + h) - \phi(\rho) = -\frac{1}{2}h + \frac{1}{2} \lim_{y \rightarrow 0^+} \int_{iy}^{h+iy} \theta(\rho + z) dz.$$

By Cauchy's theorem, the limit of this integral equals

$$\int_0^{i|h|} \theta(\rho + z) dz + \int_{i|h|}^{h+i|h|} \theta(\rho + z) dz - \int_h^{h+i|h|} \theta(\rho + z) dz =: I_1 + I_2 + I_3.$$

Using the bounds (4.6), we get

$$\begin{aligned} I_1 &\ll \int_0^{|h|} y^{-\frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2\tau(\rho)} - \varepsilon} \ll |h|^{\frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2\tau(\rho)} - \varepsilon}, \\ I_2 &\ll |h|^{-\frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2\tau(\rho)} - \varepsilon} \cdot |h| = |h|^{\frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2\tau(\rho)} - \varepsilon}, \\ I_3 &\ll |h|^{-\frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2\tau(\rho)} - \varepsilon} \cdot |h| + |h|^{\frac{1}{2\tau(\rho)} - \varepsilon} \int_0^{|h|} y^{-1/2} dy \ll |h|^{\frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2\tau(\rho)} - \varepsilon}. \end{aligned}$$

Since ε was arbitrary, $\alpha(\rho) \geq 1/2 + 1/(2\tau(\rho))$. A fortiori, this lower bound also holds for the Hölder exponent at ρ of the real and imaginary part of ϕ .

4.2. The upper bound for $\alpha(\rho)$. An upper bound for the Hölder exponent at ρ can be obtained from the expansion of ϕ at rationals, and was first done by Duistermaat [3, Proposition 5.2]. For the sake of being self-contained, we repeat his proof here.

Let $\varepsilon > 0$ be arbitrary, and let $(r_l)_l$ be a subsequence of $(r_n)_n$ with the properties that $q_l \not\equiv 2 \pmod{4}$ and that $\tau_l \geq \tau(\rho) - \varepsilon$. We will construct a sequence of points $(h_l)_l$ such that

$h_l \rightarrow 0$ and $\phi(\rho+h_l) - \phi(\rho)$ is bounded from below by a constant multiple of $|h_l|^{1/2+1/(2(\tau(\rho)-\varepsilon))}$. We will do this by exploiting the square root behavior of ϕ in r_l . Set

$$(4.9) \quad x_l = \lambda|\rho - r_l|,$$

where λ is a fixed positive constant, independent of l , to be determined later. Using Theorem 3.2 and Theorem 2.2 we see that

$$|\phi(r_l + x_l) - \phi(r_l)| \geq \frac{x_l^{1/2}}{\sqrt{2q_l}} - \frac{1}{2}x_l - Cq_l^{3/2}x_l^{3/2},$$

where C is an absolute constant (independent of q_l). By equation (4.9), and using that $|\rho - r_l| \leq q_l^{-2}$, this is

$$\geq \frac{\sqrt{\lambda}}{\sqrt{q_l}}|\rho - r_l|^{1/2} \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} - \frac{1}{2\sqrt{q_l}}\sqrt{\lambda} - C\lambda \right).$$

Now fix a λ with $0 < \lambda < 1/(\sqrt{2}C)$. If q_l is sufficiently large, then

$$|\phi(r_l + x_l) - \phi(r_l)| \geq \delta \frac{|\rho - r_l|^{1/2}}{\sqrt{q_l}},$$

for some fixed δ with $0 < \delta < \sqrt{\lambda}(1/\sqrt{2} - C\lambda)$. Using that $q_l^{-(\tau(\rho)-\varepsilon)} \geq |\rho - r_l|$, we get

$$|\phi(r_l + x_l) - \phi(r_l)| \geq \delta|\rho - r_l|^{\frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2(\tau(\rho)-\varepsilon)}} = \delta'|x_l|^{\frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2(\tau(\rho)-\varepsilon)}}.$$

Finally, since $|\phi(\rho) - \phi(r_l)|$ and $|\phi(\rho) - \phi(r_l + x_l)|$ are not both smaller than $|\phi(r_l + x_l) - \phi(r_l)|/2$, we can take $h_l = r_l - \rho$ or $h_l = r_l + x_l - \rho$, such that $|\phi(\rho) - \phi(\rho + h_l)|$ is maximal, and we get

$$|\phi(\rho) - \phi(\rho + h_l)| \geq \delta''|h_l|^{\frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2(\tau(\rho)-\varepsilon)}}, \quad h_l \rightarrow 0.$$

Since ε was arbitrary, this shows that $\alpha(\rho) \leq 1/2 + 1/(2\tau(\rho))$.

With a small modification, the above argument shows that the same upper bound also holds for the Hölder exponent at ρ of the real and imaginary part of ϕ . Indeed, using the same notation as above, we now define x_l by setting $|x_l| = \lambda|\rho - r_l|$ and by choosing the sign of x_l so that $r_l + x_l$ lies on the side where the square root behavior is present (see Table 1 for $\text{Re } \phi$; for $\text{Im } \phi$ one can make a similar table).

REFERENCES

- [1] Apostol, T. M.: Introduction to Analytic Number Theory. Springer-Verlag, New York-Heidelberg (1976)
- [2] Chamizo, F., Ubis, A.: Some Fourier series with gaps. J. Anal. Math. 101, 179–197 (2007)
- [3] Duistermaat, J. J.: Selfsimilarity of “Riemann’s nondifferentiable function”. Nieuw Arch. Wisk. (4) 9, 303–337 (1991)
- [4] Gerver, J.: The differentiability of the Riemann function at certain rational multiples of π . Amer. J. Math. 92, 33–55 (1970)
- [5] Gerver, J.: More on the differentiability of the Riemann function. Amer. J. Math. 93, 33–41 (1971)
- [6] Hardy, G. H.: Weierstrass’s non-differentiable function. Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 17, 301–325 (1916)
- [7] Hardy, G. H., Littlewood, J. E.: Some problems of diophantine approximation (II). Acta Math. 37, 193–239 (1914)
- [8] Holschneider, M., Tchamitchian, Ph.: Pointwise analysis of Riemann’s “nondifferentiable” function. Invent. Math. 105, 157–175 (1991)
- [9] Itatsu, S.: Differentiability of Riemann’s function. Proc. Japan Acad. Ser. A Math. Sci. 57, 492–495 (1981)
- [10] Jaffard, S.: The spectrum of singularities of Riemann’s function. Rev. Mat. Iberoamericana 12, 441–460 (1996)

- [11] Jaffard, S., Meyer, Y.: Wavelet methods for pointwise regularity and local oscillations of functions. Mem. Amer. Math. Soc. 123, x+110 pp. (1996)
- [12] Mohr, E.: Wo ist die Riemannsche Funktion $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{-2} \sin n^2 x$ nichtdifferenzierbar? Ann. Mat. Pura Appl. (4) 123, 93–104 (1980)
- [13] Montgomery, H. L., Vaughan, R. C.: Multiplicative Number Theory. I. Classical Theory. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge (2006)
- [14] Queffélec, H.: Dérivabilité de la fonction de Riemann en certains points. D’après Joseph Gerver (Amer. J. Math. 92 (1970), 33–55). In: Séminaire d’Analyse Harmonique (année 1968/1969), Exp. No. 9, 8 pp. Publications Mathématiques d’Orsay, No. 425, Faculté des Sciences, Université de Paris, Orsay (1969)
- [15] Schmidt, W. M.: Diophantine Approximation. Springer, Berlin (1980)
- [16] Smith, A.: The differentiability of Riemann’s function. Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 34, 463–468 (1972)

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS: ANALYSIS, LOGIC AND DISCRETE MATHEMATICS, GHENT UNIVERSITY, KRIJGSLAAN 281, 9000 GENT, BELGIUM

Email address: `fabrouck.broucke@UGent.be`

Email address: `jasson.vindas@UGent.be`