

# RICCI FLOW OF $W^{2,2}$ -METRICS IN FOUR DIMENSIONS

TOBIAS LAMM AND MILES SIMON

ABSTRACT. In this paper we construct solutions to Ricci DeTurck flow in four dimensions on closed manifolds which are instantaneously smooth but whose initial values  $g$  are (possibly) non-smooth Riemannian metrics whose components in smooth coordinates belong to  $W^{2,2}$  and satisfy  $\frac{1}{a}h \leq g \leq ah$  for some  $1 < a < \infty$  and some smooth Riemannian metric  $h$  on  $M$ . A Ricci flow related solution is constructed whose initial value is isometric in a weak sense to the initial value of the Ricci DeTurck solution. Results for a related non-compact setting are also presented. Various  $L^p$  estimates for Ricci flow, which we require for some of the main results, are also derived. As an application we present a possible definition of scalar curvature  $\geq k$  for  $W^{2,2}$  metrics  $g$  on closed four manifolds which are bounded in the  $L^\infty$  sense by  $\frac{1}{a}h \leq g \leq ah$  for some  $1 < a < \infty$  and some smooth Riemannian metric  $h$  on  $M$ .

## CONTENTS

1. Introduction	1
2. Main results	3
3. Outline of the paper	8
4. $L^\infty$ - and $C^1$ -estimates of the Ricci DeTurck flow	9
5. Preservation of smallness of the $W^{2,2}$ Energy and $W^{2,2}$ continuity of $g$ in time	15
6. Existence and regularity	23
7. Ricci flow estimates	27
8. The Ricci flow related solution	33
9. Metric convergence in Sobolev spaces	41
10. Distance convergence in Sobolev spaces	47
11. Uniqueness	50
12. An application	53
Appendix A. Short time existence of smooth bounded data	55
Appendix B. Geometry Lemmata	57
Appendix C. Estimates for ordinary differential equations	60
Appendix D. Metric norm comparisons	61
References	64

## 1. INTRODUCTION

In this paper we construct solutions to Ricci flow and Ricci DeTurck flow which are instantaneously smooth but whose initial values are (possibly) non-smooth Riemannian metrics whose components, in smooth coordinates, belong to certain Sobolev spaces.

For a given smooth Riemannian manifold  $(M, h)$ , and an interval  $I \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ , a smooth family  $g(t)_{t \in I}$  of Riemannian metrics on  $M$  is a solution to Ricci DeTurck  $h$  Flow if

$$(1.1) \quad \begin{aligned} \frac{\partial}{\partial t} g_{ij} = & g^{ab} ({}^h \nabla_a {}^h \nabla_b g_{ij}) - g^{kl} g_{ip} h^{pq} R_{jkql}(h) - g^{kl} g_{jp} h^{pq} R_{ikql}(h) \\ & + \frac{1}{2} g^{ab} g^{pq} ({}^h \nabla_i g_{pa} {}^h \nabla_j g_{qb} + 2 {}^h \nabla_a g_{jp} {}^h \nabla_q g_{ib} - 2 {}^h \nabla_a g_{jp} {}^h \nabla_b g_{iq} \\ & - 2 {}^h \nabla_j g_{pa} {}^h \nabla_b g_{iq} - 2 {}^h \nabla_i g_{pa} {}^h \nabla_b g_{jq}), \end{aligned}$$

in the smooth sense on  $M \times I$ , where here, and in the rest of the paper,  ${}^h\nabla$  refers to the covariant derivative with respect to  $h$ . A smooth family  $\ell(t)_{t \in I}$  of Riemannian metrics on  $M$  is a solution to Ricci flow if

$$(1.2) \quad \frac{\partial \ell}{\partial t} = -2\text{Rc}(\ell)$$

in the smooth sense on  $M \times I$ . The Ricci flow was first introduced and studied by R. Hamilton in [14]. Shortly after that, the Ricci DeTurck flow was introduced and studied by D. DeTurck in [10]. Ricci DeTurck flow and Ricci flow in the smooth setting are closely related : given a Ricci DeTurck flow  $g(t)_{t \in I}$  on a compact manifold and an  $S \in I$  there is a smooth family of diffeomorphisms  $\Phi(t) : M \rightarrow M$ ,  $t \in I$  with  $\Phi(S) = Id$  such that  $\ell(t) = (\Phi(t))^*g(t)$  is a smooth solution to Ricci flow. The diffeomorphisms  $\Phi(t)$  solve the following ordinary differential equation:

$$(1.3) \quad \begin{aligned} \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \Phi^\alpha(x, t) &= V^\alpha(\Phi(x, t), t), \quad \text{for all } (x, t) \in M^n \times I, \\ \Phi(x, S) &= x. \end{aligned}$$

where  $V^\alpha(y, t) := -g^{\beta\gamma} (g\Gamma_{\beta\gamma}^\alpha - {}^h\Gamma_{\beta\gamma}^\alpha)(y, t)$

There are a number of papers on solutions to Ricci DeTurck flow and Ricci flow starting from non-smooth Riemannian metric/distance spaces which immediately become smooth : Given a non-smooth starting space  $(M, g_0)$  or  $(M, d_0)$ , it is possible in some settings, to find smooth solutions  $g(t)_{t \in (0, T)}$  to (1.1), respectively  $\ell(t)_{t \in (0, T)}$  to (1.2) defined for some  $T > 0$ , where the initial values are achieved in some weak sense. Here is a non-exhaustive list of papers, where examples of this type are constructed : [30, 15, 17, 20, 31, 33, 27, 8, 3, 16, 23, 36, 35]. The initial non-smooth data considered in these papers has certain structure, which when assumed in the smooth setting, leads to a priori estimates for solutions, which are then used to construct solutions in the class being considered. In some papers this initial structure comes from geometric conditions, in others from regularity conditions on the initial function space of the metric components in smooth coordinates. In the second instance, this is usually in the setting, that one has some  $C^0$  control of the metric. That is, the metric is close in the  $L^\infty$  sense to the standard euclidean metric in smooth coordinates:  $(1 - \varepsilon)\delta \leq g(0) \leq (1 + \varepsilon)\delta$  for a sufficiently small  $\varepsilon$ . In the current paper, the structure of the initial metric  $g(0)$  comes from the assumption, in the four dimensional compact setting, that the components in coordinates are in  $W^{2,2}$ , and uniformly bounded from above and below :  $\frac{1}{c}\delta \leq g(0) \leq c\delta$  for some constant  $c$ . Closeness of the metric to  $\delta$  is not assumed. With this initial structure, we show that a solution to Ricci DeTurck flow exists. In the non-compact setting, we further require a uniform local *smallness* bound on the  $W^{2,2}$  norm and a global uniform bound from above and below in the  $L^\infty$  sense, both with respect to a geometrically controlled background metric. We also investigate the question of how the initial values are achieved, in the metric and distance sense, as time goes back to zero. See Theorem 2.2 in the next section for details.

Using this solution to Ricci DeTurck flow, we show without much trouble, that there is a Ricci flow related solution. The Ricci flow solution is related to the Ricci DeTurck solution through a smooth family of isometries  $(\Phi(t))_{t \in (0, T)}$  defined for a positive time interval, and having the property that  $\Phi(S) = Id$  for some  $S > 0$ . The convergence as time goes back to zero in the distance and metric sense is investigated for this Ricci Flow solution. We require some new estimates on convergence in the  $L^p$  sense for solutions to Ricci flow, in order to show that there is indeed a limiting weak Riemannian metric, as time approaches to zero. We also show that the initial metric value of the Ricci flow that is achieved is isometric, in a weak sense, to the initial value  $g(0)$  of the Ricci DeTurck flow solution. See Theorem 2.3 in the next section for details.

Section 12 contains an application of the results obtained in the sections preceding it. We present a possible definition of 'the scalar curvature of  $g$  is bounded from below by

$k \in \mathbb{R}'$  for a metric  $g \in W^{2,2} \cap L^\infty$  with  $\frac{1}{a}h \leq g \leq ah$  for some  $1 < a < \infty$  and some smooth Riemannian metric  $h$  on a closed manifold  $M$ .

We conclude this introduction by noting, that there are metrics  $g_0 \in W^{2,\frac{n}{2}}(M) \cap L^\infty(M)$  on compact  $n$ -dimensional manifolds, which satisfy  $\frac{1}{a}h \leq g_0 \leq ah$  for some  $0 < a < \infty$  and some smooth fixed Riemannian metric  $h$  on  $M$ , but are not continuous. In particular,  $g_0 \in W^{2,2}(M) \cap L^\infty(M)$  and  $\frac{1}{a}h \leq g_0 \leq ah$  but  $g_0$  is not continuous when  $n = 4$ . In the example we present below, there is a point  $p \in M$ , such that the values  $\frac{1}{a}h$  and  $ah$  are achieved by  $g_0$  infinitely often for **every** neighbourhood of  $p$ .

Let  $(M, h)$  be a smooth compact  $n$ -dimensional manifold,  $U \subseteq M$  open, and  $\varphi : U \rightarrow \varphi(U) = \mathbb{B}_1(0)$  be coordinates and  $\tilde{h} := \varphi_*h$ , the push forward of  $h$  with  $\varphi$  to  $\mathbb{B}_1(0)$ . For  $\varepsilon, r > 0$ ,  $c \in \mathbb{R}$ , let  $f = f_{\varepsilon, r, c} : \mathbb{B}_1(0) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be the  $W^{2,\frac{n}{2}}(\mathbb{B}_1(0))$  function defined by  $f(x) = r(\frac{1}{\varepsilon}(1 + \varepsilon + \sin(c + \log(\log(\frac{2+\varepsilon}{|x|}))))))$  for  $x \neq 0$  and  $f(0) = 0$ . Then  $f$  is bounded from above and from below by  $f(\cdot) \in [r, r(\frac{2+\varepsilon}{\varepsilon})]$  and the values  $r$  and  $r(\frac{2+\varepsilon}{\varepsilon})$  are both achieved infinitely many times on any neighbourhood of  $0 \in \mathbb{B}_1(0)$ , and consequently we see that  $f$  is also not continuous. Now we set  $\tilde{g}(x) = (1 - \eta(x))\tilde{h}(x) + \eta(x)\tilde{g}(x)$  where  $\eta$  is a smooth cut-off function  $\eta \in [0, 1]$  with support in  $\mathbb{B}_{\frac{1}{2}}(0)$ , and  $\tilde{g}_{ij}(x) = f_{\varepsilon_i, r_i, c_i}(x)\delta_{ij}$ , where  $\varepsilon_i, r_i, c_i \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $\varepsilon_i, r_i > 0$ . Then the metric  $g$  defined by  $g = \varphi^*(\tilde{g})$  on  $U$ , and  $\tilde{g} = h$  on  $M \setminus U$  is a metric on  $M$  with  $g \in W^{2,\frac{n}{2}}(M) \cap L^\infty(M)$ ,  $\frac{1}{a}h \leq g \leq ah$  for some  $1 < a < \infty$ , and  $g$  is not continuous.

## 2. MAIN RESULTS

The assumptions we make on the smooth background metric are as follows

(2.1)  $(M, h)$  is a smooth, connected, complete manifold without boundary such that

$$\nu_i := \sup_M |{}^h\nabla^i \text{Rm}(h)| < \infty \text{ for all } i \in \mathbb{N}_0, \text{ and}$$

$$\text{inj}(M, h) \geq i_0 > 0$$

Such manifolds always satisfy a local uniform Sobolev inequality: there exist constants  $0 < r_0(n, h), C_S(n) < \infty$  such that  $(\int_M f^{\frac{2n}{n-2}} dh)^{\frac{n-2}{2}} \leq C_S(n) \int_M |{}^h\nabla f|^2 dh$  and  $(\int_M f^n dh)^{\frac{1}{2}} \leq C_S(n) \int_M |{}^h\nabla f|^{\frac{n}{2}} dh$  for all smooth  $f$  whose support is contained in a ball of radius  $r_0(n, h) > 0$ . For the readers convenience, we have included a proof in the Appendix B : See Lemma B.1 and Remark B.2.

Ultimately we would like to construct solutions to (1.1) on four manifolds starting with initial data  $g_0$  which are uniformly bounded from above and below by a multiple of  $h$ ,  $g_0$  is locally in  $W^{2,2}$ , and for which the homogeneous  $W^{2,2}$  energy of  $g_0$  is uniformly bounded,  $E(g_0) := \int_M (|{}^h\nabla g_0|^2 + |{}^h\nabla^2 g_0|^2) dh < \infty$ . That is, we assume that there exists an  $a > 0$  such that

$$(2.2) \quad \frac{1}{a}h \leq g_0 \leq ah,$$

$$E(g_0) := \int_M (|{}^h\nabla g_0|^2 + |{}^h\nabla^2 g_0|^2) dh < \infty.$$

In this setting we show, the following

**Theorem 2.1.** *Let  $1 < a < \infty$  and  $(M^4, h)$  be a four dimensional smooth Riemannian manifold satisfying (2.1), and  $g_0$  be a  $W^{2,2} \cap L^\infty$  Riemannian metric, not necessarily smooth, which satisfies*

$$(a) \quad \frac{1}{a}h \leq g_0 \leq ah$$

and

$$\int_M (|{}^h\nabla g_0|^2 + |{}^h\nabla^2 g_0|^2) dh < \infty.$$

Then for any  $0 < \varepsilon < 1$  there exist constants  $0 < T = T(g_0, h, a, \varepsilon)$ ,  $r = r(g_0, h, a, \varepsilon)$ ,  $c_j = c_j(h, a, \varepsilon) < \infty$  for all  $j \in \mathbb{N}_0$  and a smooth solution  $(g(t))_{t \in (0, T]}$  to (1.1), where  $g(t)$  satisfies

$$(a_t) \quad \frac{1}{400a} h \leq g(t) \leq 400ah$$

$$(b_t(r)) \quad \int_{B_r(x)} (|{}^h\nabla g(\cdot, t)|^2 + |{}^h\nabla^2 g(\cdot, t)|^2) dh \leq \varepsilon$$

$$(c_t) \quad |{}^h\nabla^j g(\cdot, t)|^2 \leq \frac{c_j}{t^j}$$

for all  $j \in \mathbb{N}_0$ ,  $x \in M$ , for all  $t \in (0, T]$ , where  $c_j(h, a, \varepsilon) \rightarrow 0$  as  $\varepsilon \searrow 0$  and

$$(d_t) \quad \int_{B_1(x_0)} (|g_0 - g(t)|^2 + |{}^h\nabla(g_0 - g(t))|^2 + |{}^h\nabla^2(g_0 - g(t))|^2) dh \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } t \searrow 0$$

and for all  $2 \geq R > 1$  there exists a constant  $V(a, R)$  such that

$$(e_t) \quad \sup_{x \in B_1(x_0)} |{}^h\nabla^j g(\cdot, t)|^2 t^j \rightarrow 0 \text{ for } t \rightarrow 0$$

$$(f_t) \quad \begin{aligned} & \int_{B_1(x_0)} (|{}^h\nabla g(\cdot, t)|^2 + |{}^h\nabla^2 g(\cdot, t)|^2) dh \\ & \leq \int_{B_R(x_0)} (|{}^h\nabla g_0(\cdot)|^2 + |{}^h\nabla^2 g_0(\cdot)|^2) dh + V(a, R)t \end{aligned}$$

for all  $x_0 \in M$ , for all  $t \leq T$ . Furthermore, there exists  $\varepsilon_0 = \varepsilon_0(g_0, h, a)$  such that if  $\varepsilon \leq \varepsilon_0$  then the solution is unique in the class of solutions which satisfy (a<sub>t</sub>), (b<sub>t</sub>(r)), (c<sub>t</sub>), (d<sub>t</sub>).

*Proof.* See Theorem 6.5 of Section 6 : The proof is given there.  $\square$

Assume (2.1) and (2.2) and that  $M$  is four dimensional. Then for any  $\varepsilon > 0$ , we can find an  $r > 0$  such that

$$(2.3) \quad \begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{a} h \leq g_0 \leq ah, \\ & \sup_{x \in M} \int_{B_r(x)} (|{}^h\nabla g_0|^4 + |{}^h\nabla^2 g_0|^2) dh < \varepsilon, \end{aligned}$$

see Theorem B.3 in Appendix B for a proof. After scaling  $h$  and  $g_0$  once, and still calling the resulting metrics  $g_0$  and  $h$ , we may assume

$$(2.4) \quad \begin{aligned} & \sup_M |{}^h\nabla^i \text{Rm}(h)| < \infty \text{ for all } i \in \mathbb{N}_0 \\ & \sum_{i=0}^4 \sup_M |{}^h\nabla^i \text{Rm}(h)| \leq \delta_0(a) \\ & \text{inj}(M, h) \geq 100, \end{aligned}$$

for a small positive constant  $\delta_0(a)$  of our choice, in place of the assumptions (2.1),  $\frac{1}{a} h \leq g_0 \leq ah$  and  $\sup_{x \in M} \int_{B_1(x)} (|{}^h\nabla g_0|^4 + |{}^h\nabla^2 g_0|^2) dh < \frac{\varepsilon}{2}$ , and hence, using Hölder's inequality,

$$(2.5) \quad \begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{a} h \leq g_0 \leq ah, \\ & \sup_{x \in M} \int_{B_1(x)} (|{}^h\nabla g_0|^2 + |{}^h\nabla^2 g_0|^2) dh < \varepsilon. \end{aligned}$$

Note further, if we assume (2.1), then (2.3) is a stronger assumption than (2.2): (2.1) and (2.2)  $\implies$  : for any  $\varepsilon > 0$  there exists an  $r > 0$  such that (2.3) holds, but for any given  $\varepsilon > 0$  there are  $g_0$  and  $h$  and  $r > 0$  for which (2.1) and (2.3) hold, but  $E(g_0) := \infty$ .

The main estimates required for the construction of solutions to (1.1) in the  $W^{2,2}$  setting in this paper are proved in this setting, that is under the assumptions (2.5) and (2.4), and we also prove an existence result in this setting:

**Theorem 2.2.** *For any  $1 < a < \infty$  there exists a constant  $\varepsilon_1 = \varepsilon_1(a) > 0$  with the following properties. Let  $(M^4, h)$  be a smooth four dimensional Riemannian manifold which satisfies (2.4). Let  $g_0$  be a  $W_{loc}^{2,2} \cap L^\infty$  Riemannian metric, not necessarily smooth, which satisfies*

$$(a) \quad \frac{1}{a}h \leq g_0 \leq ah$$

$$(b) \quad \int_{B_2(x)} (|{}^h\nabla g_0|^2 + |{}^h\nabla^2 g_0|^2)dh \leq \varepsilon \quad \text{for all } x \in M,$$

where  $\varepsilon \leq \varepsilon_1$ . Then there exists a constant  $T = T(a, \varepsilon) > 0$  and a smooth solution  $(g(t))_{t \in (0, T]}$  to (1.1) such that

$$(a_t) \quad \frac{1}{400a}h \leq g(t) \leq 400ah$$

$$(b_t) \quad \int_{B_1(x)} (|{}^h\nabla g(\cdot, t)|^2 + |{}^h\nabla^2 g(\cdot, t)|^2)dh \leq 2\varepsilon$$

$$(c_t) \quad |{}^h\nabla^j g(\cdot, t)|^2 \leq \frac{c_j(h, a, \varepsilon)}{t^j}$$

for all  $x \in M$ ,  $t \in [0, T]$ , where  $c_j(h, \varepsilon, a) \rightarrow 0$  as  $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ , and

$$(d_t) \quad \int_{B_1(x)} (|g_0 - g(t)|^2 + |{}^h\nabla(g_0 - g(t))|^2 + |{}^h\nabla^2(g_0 - g(t))|^2)dh \rightarrow 0$$

as  $t \searrow 0$  for all  $x \in M$

The solution is unique in the class of solutions which satisfy (a<sub>t</sub>), (b<sub>t</sub>), (c<sub>t</sub>), and (d<sub>t</sub>). The solution also satisfies the local estimates

$$(e_t) \quad \sup_{x \in B_1(x_0)} |{}^h\nabla^j g(\cdot, t)|^2 t^j \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{for } t \rightarrow 0$$

$$(f_t) \quad \int_{B_1(x_0)} (|{}^h\nabla g(\cdot, t)|^2 + |{}^h\nabla^2 g(\cdot, t)|^2)dh$$

$$\leq \int_{B_R(x_0)} (|{}^h\nabla g_0(\cdot)|^2 + |{}^h\nabla^2 g_0(\cdot)|^2)dh + V(a, R)t$$

for all  $x_0 \in M$ ,  $2 \geq R > 1$  for all  $t \leq T$ .

*Proof.* The Theorem follows from Theorem 6.3 and Remark 6.4 of Section 6. □

With a solution of this type at hand, we can without much trouble now construct a solution to Ricci flow  $(\ell(t))_{t \in (0, T)} = ((\Phi(t))^* g(t))_{t \in (0, T)}$  with  $\ell(S) = g(S)$  and  $\Phi(S) = Id$  for any given fixed  $S > 0$ . After some work it becomes clear, that the Ricci Flow solution has initial starting data corresponding in some weak isometric sense to the starting data  $g_0$  of the Ricci DeTurck flow solution. More specifically, we show for all  $p \in [1, \infty)$ , that there is a weak limit  $\ell_0 := \lim_{t \searrow 0} \ell(t)$  in the  $L_{loc}^p$  sense and that  $\ell_0$  is isometric to  $g_0$  with the help of a  $W^{1,p}$  isometry, and that there is a uniform limit  $d_0 := \lim_{t \searrow 0} d_t$  for  $d_t := d(g(t))$ , where  $d_0$  can be explicitly calculated from the starting data  $g_0$ . These facts, and more details, are contained in the following theorem.

**Theorem 2.3.** *Let  $1 < a < \infty$ ,  $M = M^4$  be a four dimensional manifold, and  $g_0$  and  $h$  satisfy the assumptions (2.4), (a) and (b), with  $\varepsilon \leq \varepsilon_1$  where  $\varepsilon_1 = \varepsilon_1(a) > 0$  is the constant coming from Theorem 2.2, and let*

$(M, g(t))_{t \in (0, T]}$  be the smooth solution to (1.1) constructed in Theorem 2.2. Then

- (i) *there exists a constant  $c(a)$  and a smooth solution  $\Phi : M \times (0, T] \rightarrow M$  to (1.3) with  $\Phi(T/2) = Id$  such that  $\Phi(t) := \Phi(\cdot, t) : M \rightarrow M$  is a diffeomorphism and  $d_h(\Phi(t)(x), \Phi(s)(x)) \leq c(a)\sqrt{|t-s|}$  for all  $x \in M$ . The metrics  $\ell(t) := (\Phi(t))^*g(t)$ ,  $t \in (0, T]$  solve the Ricci flow equation. Furthermore there are well defined limit maps  $\Phi(0) : M \rightarrow M$ ,  $\Phi(0) := \lim_{t \searrow 0} \Phi(t)$ , and  $W(0) : M \rightarrow M$ ,  $W(0) := \lim_{t \searrow 0} W(t)$ , where  $W(t)$  is the inverse of  $\Phi(t)$  and these limits are obtained uniformly on compact subsets, and  $\Phi(0), W(0)$  are homeomorphisms inverse to one another.*
- (ii) *For the Ricci flow solution  $\ell(t)$  from (i), there is a value  $\ell_0(\cdot) = \lim_{t \searrow 0} \ell(\cdot, t)$  well defined up to a set of measure zero, where the limit exists in the  $L^p_{loc}$  sense, for any  $p \in [1, \infty)$ , such that,  $\ell_0$  is positive definite, and in  $W^{1,2}_{loc}$  and for any  $x_0 \in M$  and  $0 < s < t \leq T$  we have*

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{B_1(x_0)} |\ell(s) - \ell_0|_{\ell(t)}^p d\ell(t) &\leq c(g_0, h, p, x_0)s \\ \int_{\Omega} |(\ell(0))^{-1} - (\ell(s))^{-1}|_{\ell(t)}^p d\ell(t) &\leq c(g_0, h, p, x_0)|s|^{\frac{1}{4}} \\ \int_{B_1(x_0)} |\nabla \ell_0|_{\ell(t)}^2 d\ell(t) &\leq c(g_0, h, p, x_0)t^\sigma \\ \int_{B_1(x_0)} |\text{Rm}(\ell)|^2(x, t) d\ell(x, t) + \int_0^t \int_{B_{\ell(s)}(x_0, 1)} |\nabla \text{Rm}(\ell)|^2(x, s) d\ell(x, s) ds &\leq c(g_0, h, p, x_0) \\ \sup_{B_1(x_0)} |\nabla^j \text{Rc}(\ell(t))|^2 t^{j+2} &\rightarrow 0 \text{ as } t \searrow 0 \text{ for all } j \in \mathbb{N}_0 \end{aligned}$$

for a universal constant  $\sigma > 0$ , where  $\nabla$  refers to the gradient with respect to  $\ell(t)$ ,  $c(g_0, h, p, x_0)$  is a constant depending on  $g_0, h, p, x_0$  but not on  $t$  or  $s$ .

- (iii) *The limit maps  $\Phi(0) : M \rightarrow M$ ,  $\Phi(0) := \lim_{t \searrow 0} \Phi(t)$ , and  $W(0) : M \rightarrow M$ ,  $W(0) := \lim_{t \searrow 0} W(t)$ , from (i) are also obtained in the  $W^{1,p}_{loc}$  sense for  $p \in [1, \infty)$ . Furthermore, for any smooth coordinates  $\varphi : U \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ , and  $\psi : V \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$  with  $W(0)(V) \subset \subset U$ , the functions  $(\ell_0)_{ij} \circ W(0) : V \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  are in  $L^p_{loc}$  for all  $p \in [1, \infty)$  and  $(g_0)_{\alpha\beta} : V \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  and  $(\ell_0)_{ij} : U \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  are related by the identity*

$$(g_0)_{\alpha\beta} = D_\alpha(W(0))^i D_\beta(W(0))^j ((\ell_0)_{ij} \circ W(0))$$

In particular:  $\ell_0$  is isometric to  $g_0$  almost everywhere through the map  $W(0)$  which is in  $W^{1,p}_{loc}$ , for all  $p \in [1, \infty)$ .

- (iv) *We define  $d_t(x, y) = d(g(t))(x, y)$  and  $\tilde{d}_t(p, q) = d(\ell(t))(p, q)$ , for all  $x, y, p, q \in M$ ,  $t \in (0, T)$ . There are well defined limit metrics  $d_0, \tilde{d}_0 : M \times M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_0^+$ ,  $d_0(x, y) = \lim_{t \searrow 0} d_t(x, y)$ , and  $\tilde{d}_0 := M \times M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_0^+$ ,  $\tilde{d}_0(p, q) = \lim_{t \searrow 0} \tilde{d}_t(p, q)$ , and they satisfy  $\tilde{d}_0(x, y) = d_0(\Phi(0)(x), \Phi(0)(y))$ . That is,  $(M, \tilde{d}_0)$  and  $(M, d_0)$  are isometric to one another through the map  $\Phi(0)$ .*

*The metric  $d_0$  satisfies  $d_0(x, y) := \liminf_{\varepsilon \searrow 0} \inf_{\gamma \in C_{\varepsilon, x, y}} L_{g_0}(\gamma)$ , where  $C_{\varepsilon, x, y}$  is the space of  $\varepsilon$ -approximative Lebesgue curves between  $x$  and  $y$  with respect to  $g_0$ : This space is defined/examined in Definition 8.2.*

*Proof.* See Theorem 8.3 of Section 8: The proof is given there.  $\square$

**Remark 2.4.** An attempt to construct a Ricci flow solution  $\ell(t)$  with  $\Phi(0) = Id$  and  $\ell(0) = \Phi(0)^*g(0) = g(0)$ , using similar methods to those we use to construct the Ricci flow solution in Theorem 2.3, could lead to a non-smooth Ricci flow solution, which does not immediately become smooth (we say the solution is in a *non-smooth gauge*), as we now

explain. The solutions  $g(t)$  constructed in Theorem 2.1 are limits of solutions  $g_i(t)$  with initial data  $g_i(0)$  where  $g_i(0) \rightarrow g(0)$  in  $W_{loc}^{2,2}$ . For  $M = \mathbb{T}^4$ , the four dimensional Torus, whose circles have radius 10, with  $h$  the standard flat metric on  $\mathbb{T}^4$ , let  $g_i(0) = \varphi(i)^*h$ , where  $\varphi(i) : \mathbb{T}^4 \rightarrow \mathbb{T}^4$  are diffeomorphisms, equal to the identity outside a ball  $\mathbb{B}_1(0)$  of radius one (which we identify with the standard euclidean ball of radius one), and  $\varphi(i)|_{\mathbb{B}_1(0)} : \mathbb{B}_1(0) \rightarrow \varphi(i)(\mathbb{B}_1(0)) = \mathbb{B}_1(0)$  are uniformly Bi-Lipschitz diffeomorphisms,  $\frac{1}{B}|x - y| \leq |\varphi(i)(x) - \varphi(i)(y)| \leq B|x - y|$  for all  $x, y \in \mathbb{B}_1(0)$ , with  $\varphi_i(0) \rightarrow \psi$  as  $i \rightarrow \infty$  in the  $W^{3,2}$  sense. Assume that  $\psi$  is not smooth. For example we can take  $\varphi(i)(x) = x(1 + \eta\sigma f_i(x))$  with  $f_i(x) := (2 + \sin(\log(\log(\frac{2}{\sqrt{|x|^2 + \frac{1}{i}}}}))))$ ,  $\sigma$  a small positive constant, and  $\eta$  a smooth cut-off function with  $\eta = 1$  on  $\mathbb{B}_{1/2}(0)$ ,  $\eta = 0$  on  $(\mathbb{B}_{3/4}(0))^c$ . Then  $\varphi(i) \rightarrow \psi$  in the  $W^{3,2}$  sense, with  $\psi(x) = x(1 + \eta\sigma f(x))$ ,  $f(x) := (2 + \sin(\log(\log(\frac{2}{|x|}}))))$  for  $x \neq 0$ ,  $f(0) := 0$ ,  $g_i(0) \rightarrow g(0)$  in the  $W^{2,2}$  sense, but  $g(0)$  is not smooth, and there exists an  $1 < a = a(B, K) < \infty$  such that  $\frac{1}{a}h \leq g_i(0) \leq ah$ . Hence, Theorem 2.1 is applicable and a limit solution  $g(t)_{t \in (0, T)} = \lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} g_i(t)_{t \in (0, T)}$  exists with  $g(t) \rightarrow g(0)$  in the  $W^{2,2}$  sense as  $t \searrow 0$ . However, the Ricci-Flow of  $\ell_i(0) = g_i(0)$  is  $\ell_i(t) = \ell_i(0)$ , as the metric  $g_i(0)$  is flat. Hence  $\ell_i \rightarrow \ell$  in the  $W^{2,2}$  sense where  $\ell(t) = \ell(0) = g(0)$  for all  $t \in (0, T)$ : By construction  $g(0)$  is non-smooth. We avoid these non-smooth Gauges by choosing  $\Phi(S) = Id$  for some  $S > 0$  in Theorem 2.3.

In order to prove the relationships of Theorem 2.3, in particular the existence of the limit  $\ell_0$ , we require some new estimates which hold for solutions to Ricci flow of the type constructed here, and for a more general class. The theorems, lemmata that we use to prove these estimates are contained in Section 7.

The existence of the weak metric  $\ell_0$  is achieved with the following theorem

**Theorem 2.5.** *For all  $p \in [2, \infty)$  and  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  there exists an  $\alpha_0(n, p) > 0$  such that the following holds. Let  $\Omega$  be a smooth  $n$ -dimensional manifold and  $(\Omega^n, \ell(t))_{t \in (0, T]}$  be a smooth solution to Ricci flow satisfying*

$$\int_{\Omega} |\text{Rc}(\ell(t))| d\ell(t) \leq \varepsilon$$

$$|\text{Rc}(\ell(t))| \leq \frac{\varepsilon}{t} \quad \text{on } \Omega$$

for all  $t \in (0, T]$ , where  $\varepsilon \leq \alpha_0$ . Then there exists a unique, positive definite, symmetric two tensor  $\ell_0 \in L^p$  such that  $\ell(s) \rightarrow \ell_0$  in  $L^p(\Omega)$  as  $s \searrow 0$  where  $\ell_0$ , and  $\ell^{-1}(s) \rightarrow (\ell_0)^{-1}$  in  $L^p(\Omega)$  as  $s \searrow 0$ .

*Proof.* See Theorem 7.1 of Section 7: The proof is given there. □

The proof of the existence of a homeomorphism  $\Phi(0)$  at time zero in Theorem 2.3 can also be applied with no change, to the setting of a Ricci DeTurck flow coming out of a  $C^0$  metric on an  $n$ -dimensional Riemannian manifold, respectively for the Ricci flow related solution. This fact is stated in the following theorem.

**Theorem 2.6.** *For any  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  there exists an  $\delta_0(n) > 0$  such that the following holds. Let  $(M^n, h)$  be a smooth  $n$ -dimensional manifold satisfying the assumptions (2.4), where now  $\delta_0 = \delta_0(n)$  is a small constant of our choice, and assume  $g_0$  is a  $C^0$  metric satisfying  $(1 - \delta_0(n))h \leq g_0 \leq (1 + \delta_0(n))h$ . Let  $(M, g(t))_{t \in (0, T)}$  be the solution to (1.1), where  $g(t) \rightarrow g_0$  as  $t \searrow 0$  in the  $C_{loc}^0$  sense, constructed in [30] or [17], and let  $\Phi : M \times (0, T) \rightarrow M$  be the solution to (1.3), with  $\Phi(\cdot, T/2) = Id(\cdot)$ . Then there exists a homeomorphism  $\Phi(0) : M \rightarrow M$  such that  $\Phi(t) \rightarrow \Phi(0)$  locally uniformly, and  $d(g(t)) \rightarrow d(g(0)) =: d_0$  locally uniformly and  $d(\ell(t)) \rightarrow \tilde{d}_0$  locally uniformly as  $t \searrow 0$ , where  $\tilde{d}_0(\tilde{x}, \tilde{y}) = d_0(\Phi(0)(\tilde{x}), \Phi(0)(\tilde{y}))$  for all  $\tilde{x}, \tilde{y} \in M$ .*

*Proof.* The solutions constructed in [30] respectively [17] satisfy  ${}^h\nabla g|_h^2(t) + {}^h\nabla^2 g|_h(t) \leq \frac{c(\delta_0, n)}{t}$  where  $c(\delta_0, n) \rightarrow 0$  as  $\delta_0 \rightarrow 0$ . These facts are required in the proof of (i) of Theorem 8.3. We may now copy and paste the proof of (i) of Theorem 8.3 given in Section 8 to here and in doing so we obtain the existence of a homeomorphism  $\Phi(0)$  which is obtained locally uniformly as the limit, in the  $C^0$  norm, of  $\Phi(t)$  with  $t \rightarrow 0$ .

Also, the solutions constructed in [30] respectively [17] satisfy  $g(t) \rightarrow g(0)$  locally uniformly in the  $C^0$  norm as  $t \rightarrow 0$  and hence  $d(g(t)) \rightarrow d(g(0))$  locally uniformly, and consequently,  $d(\ell(t)) = (\Phi(t))^*(d(g(t))) \rightarrow \tilde{d}_0 = (\Phi(0))^*(d_0)$  locally uniformly.  $\square$

In Section 12 we prove the following Theorem, Theorem 2.8, which is an application of the above results. Compare the paper [4] : There, sequences of smooth Riemannian metrics with scalar curvature bounded from below which approach a  $C^0$  metric with respect to the  $C^0$  norm are considered. We consider  $W^{2,2}$  metrics which have scalar curvature bounded from below in the following weak sense:

**Definition 2.7.** Let  $M$  be a four dimensional smooth closed manifold and  $g$  be a  $W^{2,2}$  Riemannian metric (positive definite everywhere) and let  $k \in \mathbb{R}$ . Locally the scalar curvature may be written

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{R}(g) &= g^{jk}(\partial_i \Gamma(g)_{jk}^i - \partial_j \Gamma(g)_{ik}^i \\ &\quad + \Gamma(g)_{ip}^i \Gamma(g)_{jk}^p - \Gamma(g)_{jp}^i \Gamma(g)_{ik}^p) \end{aligned}$$

where  $\Gamma(g)_{ij}^m = \frac{1}{2}g^{mk}(\partial_i g_{jk} + \partial_j g_{ik} - \partial_k g_{ij})$ , and hence  $\mathbf{R}(g)$  is well defined in the  $L^2$  sense for a  $W^{2,2}$  Riemannian metric. Let  $k \in \mathbb{R}$ . We say the scalar curvature  $\mathbf{R}(g)$  is weakly bounded from below by  $k$ ,  $\mathbf{R}(g) \geq k$ , if this is true almost everywhere, for all local smooth coordinates.

**Theorem 2.8.** *Let  $(M, h)$  be four dimensional closed and satisfy (2.4). Assume that  $(M, g_0)$  is a  $W^{2,2}$  metric such that  $\frac{1}{a}h \leq g_0 \leq ah$  for some  $\infty > a > 1$  and  $\mathbf{R}(g_0) \geq k$  in the weak sense of definition (2.7). Then the solution  $g(t)_{t \in (0, T)}$  to Ricci DeTurck flow respectively  $\ell(t)_{t \in (0, T)}$  to Ricci Flow constructed in Theorem 8.3, with initial value  $g(0) = g_0$ , has  $\mathbf{R}(g(t)) \geq k$  and  $\mathbf{R}(\ell(t)) \geq k$  for all  $t \in (0, T)$ .*

*Proof.* See Theorem 12.2 : The proof is given there.  $\square$

**Remark 2.9.** From this theorem we see that for a metric  $g_0 \in L^\infty \cap W^{2,2}(M^4)$  with  $\frac{1}{a}h \leq g_0 \leq ah$  for some positive constant  $a > 0$  :  $g_0$  has scalar curvature  $\geq k$  in the weak sense of Definition 2.7  $\iff$  there exists a sequence of smooth Riemannian metrics  $g_{i,0}$  with  $\frac{1}{b}h \leq g_{i,0} \leq bh$  for some  $1 < b < \infty$  and  $\mathbf{R}(g_{i,0}) \geq k$  and  $g_{i,0} \rightarrow g_0 \in W^{2,2}(M^4)$  as  $i \rightarrow \infty \iff$  the Ricci DeTurck flow of  $g_0$  constructed in Theorem 2.1 has  $\mathbf{R}(g(t)) \geq k$  for all  $t \in (0, T)$ .

### 3. OUTLINE OF THE PAPER

The paper contains twelve sections and four appendices, A,B,C and D. Section one is an introduction and Section two contains statements of the main results, and this section gives an outline of the paper. In Section 4 we prove a priori  $C^1$  and  $L^\infty$  estimates for smooth solutions to the Ricci DeTurck flow. The  $L^\infty$  estimates we are concerned with in this paper take the form  $\frac{1}{b}h \leq g \leq bh$  for some constant  $1 < b < \infty$ , for the fixed background metric  $h$  which is used to define the Ricci DeTurck flow in (1.1). In particular we show in Theorem 4.2, that smooth compact solutions which a priori have small local  $W^{2,2}$  energy along the flow and satisfy an initial  $L^\infty$  estimate must also satisfy  $L^\infty$  and  $C^1$  estimates along the flow. In the non-compact setting, we require further that the smooth solution satisfies a regularity condition in order to obtain the same result : see Theorem 4.2. In Section 5 we prove various local estimates for integral quantities, assuming our solution satisfies an  $L^\infty$  bound and has small local  $W^{2,2}$  energy: See Theorem 5.1. This also leads to estimates on the convergence as time goes back to



zero of the solution, as explained in, for example, Theorem 5.8 and Corollary 5.7. Section 6 uses the a priori estimates of the previous sections with well known existence theory for parabolic equations to show that solutions in the classes considered in those sections exist, even when the initial data is non-smooth. That is, solutions to Ricci DeTurck flow exist, if the initial metric is locally in  $W^{2,2}$  and has small local initial energy and satisfies an  $L^\infty$  bound with respect to  $h$ . The solutions obtained continue to have small local energy and satisfy an  $L^\infty$  bound. In Section 7 estimates are proved for solutions to Ricci flow in a setting which includes the class of Ricci flows we construct using the Ricci DeTurck flow of Section 6. In particular, it is shown in the setting of Section 7, that a weak initial value of the Ricci flow exists.

In Section 8 a Ricci flow is constructed from the Ricci DeTurck flow of Section 6 and in Theorem 8.3, the relationship between the two solutions is investigated. In particular, relations between the distance and the weak Riemannian metrics at time zero, as well as the convergence properties as time goes to zero are stated. Further necessary Lemmata, Theorems, etc., that we require to prove this theorem are contained in Sections 7, 9 and 10.

Section 9 is concerned with convergence properties of Riemannian metrics in certain Sobolev spaces, and Section 10 is concerned with a definition of distance/respectively convergence properties of distances for Riemannian metrics defined in certain Sobolev spaces. Theorem 11.2 in Section 11 proves uniqueness of the Ricci DeTurck solutions in a class which includes the class of solutions that are constructed in this paper.

In Section 12 we present an application for  $W^{2,2} \cap L^\infty$  metrics with scalar curvature bounded from below in the weak sense.

Appendix A,B,C,D are technical appendices containing certain estimates, statements, the calculation/verification of which, are not included in the other sections of the paper, in order to facilitate reading.

Appendix A contains a short time existence result for Ricci DeTurck flow, using the method of W.-X. Shi. In Appendix B we state and prove some facts about Sobolev inequalities and norms thereof adapted to the setting of the paper. Appendix C contains estimates for ordinary differential equations which are required at many points in the paper.

Appendix D contains statements which compare pointwise norms and  $L^p$  norms of different Riemannian metrics. The estimates contained in the statements are also used at many points of the paper.

#### 4. $L^\infty$ - AND $C^1$ -ESTIMATES OF THE RICCI DETURCK FLOW

In this section we derive an a priori  $L^\infty$  time independent bound on the evolving metric  $g$ , and show that the gradient thereof is bounded by  $\frac{1}{\sqrt{t}}$  under the a priori assumptions that: we have an  $L^\infty$  bound  $\frac{1}{a}h \leq g_0 \leq ah$  at time zero, the  $W^{2,2}$  norm of the solution restricted to balls of radius one are *small*, and the time interval being considered has *small* length, where here the notion of *small* depends on  $n$  and  $a$ .

As a first Lemma, we show that if we already have a  $L^\infty$  and a time dependent gradient bound, then all other derivatives may be estimated.

**Lemma 4.1.** *Let  $(M, h)$  be  $n$  dimensional and satisfy (2.4) and  $g(\cdot, t)_{t \in [0, T]}$ ,  $T \leq 1$  be a smooth family of metrics which solves (1.1) and satisfies the a priori bounds*

$$(4.1) \quad \begin{aligned} \frac{1}{a}h &\leq g(t) \leq ah \\ \sup_{x \in M} |{}^h\nabla g|^2(x, t) &\leq \frac{b}{t}, \end{aligned}$$

for all  $t \in [0, T)$  and some  $1 < a, b < \infty$ . Then for all  $i \in \mathbb{N}$  there exist constants  $N_i = N_i(a, b, n, h)$  such that

$$(4.2) \quad \sup_{x \in M} |{}^h\nabla^i g|^2(x, t) \leq \frac{N_i}{t^i}$$

for all  $t \in [0, T)$ .

*Proof.* We start with the case  $i = 2$ . Let  $g(\cdot, t)_{t \in [0, T]}$ ,  $T \leq 1$  be a solution to (1.1) which satisfies (4.1). Let  $N_2 \in \mathbb{N}$  be large (to be determined in the proof) and assume that (4.2) doesn't hold. That is, for  $N := N_2$ , there exists a  $0 < t_0 < T$  and an  $x_0 \in M$  such that  $|{}^h\nabla^2 g|^2(x_0, t_0) > \frac{N}{t_0^2}$ . Define  $\tilde{g}(x, t) := cg(x, \frac{t}{c})$  and  $\tilde{h}(y) = ch(y)$  for a  $c > 0$  to be chosen. Then  $\tilde{g}(t)$  solves the  $\tilde{h}$ -flow for  $t \in [0, Tc)$  and we have the scaling relations

$$|{}^{\tilde{h}}\nabla^i \tilde{g}|^2(x, t) = c^{-i} |{}^h\nabla^i g|^2(x, \frac{t}{c}).$$

By choosing  $c = \frac{\sqrt{N}}{\sqrt{V}t_0}$ , we get a solution  $\tilde{g}$  which has

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{a}\tilde{h} &\leq \tilde{g}(t) \leq a\tilde{h} \\ \sup_{x \in M} |{}^{\tilde{h}}\nabla \tilde{g}|^2(x, t) &\leq \frac{b}{t} \\ |{}^{\tilde{h}}\nabla^2 \tilde{g}|^2(x_0, \sqrt{\frac{N}{V}}) &\geq V \end{aligned}$$

for all  $t \in [0, t_0c] = [0, \sqrt{\frac{N}{V}}]$ . This implies  $|{}^{\tilde{h}}\nabla \tilde{g}|^2(t) \leq \frac{b}{t} \leq \frac{b}{\sqrt{\frac{N}{V}-10}} \leq \varepsilon$  for  $t \in (-10 + \sqrt{\frac{N}{V}}, \sqrt{\frac{N}{V}}]$  for any  $\varepsilon > 0$  as long as  $N = N(b, V, n, \varepsilon)$  is chosen large enough. In that which follows we use once again  $g$  to denote the solution  $\tilde{g}$  and  $h$  to denote  $\tilde{h}$ . That is, we have a smooth solution  $g(t)_{t \in [0, \sqrt{\frac{N}{V}}]}$  of the Ricci DeTurck flow with

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{a}h &\leq g(t) \leq ah \\ \sup_{x \in M} |{}^h\nabla g|^2(x, t) &\leq \varepsilon \\ |{}^h\nabla^2 g|^2(x_0, \sqrt{\frac{N}{V}}) &\geq V \end{aligned}$$

for all  $t \in (-10 + \sqrt{\frac{N}{V}}, \sqrt{\frac{N}{V}}]$ . As shown in [28], the evolution for  $|{}^h\nabla^m g|^2$  is given by

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial}{\partial t} |{}^h\nabla^m g|^2(x, t) &= g^{ij}(x, t) {}^h\nabla_{ij}^2 |{}^h\nabla^m g|^2(x, t) - 2g^{ij}(x, t) ({}^h\nabla_i {}^h\nabla^m g, {}^h\nabla_j {}^h\nabla^m g)_h(x, t) \\ &+ \sum_{\substack{0 \leq k_1, k_2, \dots, k_{m+2} \leq m+1, \\ k_1 + \dots + k_{m+2} \leq m+2}} {}^h\nabla^{k_1} g(x, t) * {}^h\nabla^{k_2} g(x, t) * \dots * {}^h\nabla^{k_{m+2}} g(x, t) * {}^h\nabla^m g * P(h)_{k_1 k_2 \dots k_{m+2}}(x, t) \end{aligned}$$

where

$$P(h)_{k_1, \dots, k_{m+2}}(x, t) = P(h)_{k_1, \dots, k_{m+2}}(x, t)(g, g^{-1}, \text{Rm}(h), {}^h\nabla \text{Rm}(h), \dots, {}^h\nabla^m \text{Rm}(h))$$

is a polynomial in the terms appearing in the brackets, and

$$g^{ij} ({}^h\nabla_i T, {}^h\nabla_j T) = g^{ij} h^{s_1 r_1} h^{s_2 r_2} \dots h^{s_m r_m} {}^h\nabla_i T_{s_1 \dots s_m} {}^h\nabla_j T_{r_1 \dots r_m}$$

for a  $(0 \ m)$  tensor  $T$ . We have  $|P(h)|^2(x, t) \leq c(a, m, n)$  since without loss of generality, the norm of the curvature of  $h$  ( after scaling ) and all its derivatives up to order  $m$  are bounded by a constant ( see (2.4) ). In particular, for  $m = 1$  we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
& \frac{\partial}{\partial t} |{}^h\nabla g|^2(x, t) - g^{ij}(x, t) {}^h\nabla_{ij}^2 |{}^h\nabla g|^2(x, t) \leq -2g^{ij}(x, t) ({}^h\nabla_i {}^h\nabla g, {}^h\nabla_j {}^h\nabla g)_h(x, t) \\
& + \sum_{\substack{0 \leq k_1, k_2, k_3 \leq 2, \\ k_1 + k_2 + k_3 \leq 3}} {}^h\nabla^{k_1} g(x, t) * {}^h\nabla^{k_2} g(x, t) * {}^h\nabla^{k_3} g(x, t) * {}^h\nabla g * P(h)_{k_1 k_2 k_3}(x, t) \\
& \leq -2g^{ij}(x, t) ({}^h\nabla_i {}^h\nabla g, {}^h\nabla_j {}^h\nabla g)(x, t) \\
(4.3) \quad & + c(n, a) |{}^h\nabla g| (|{}^h\nabla^2 g| |{}^h\nabla g| + |{}^h\nabla^2 g| + |{}^h\nabla g|^3 + |{}^h\nabla g|^2 + |{}^h\nabla g| + c(n, a)).
\end{aligned}$$

Here  $c(n, a)$  denotes a constant which may change from line to line but only depends on  $n$  and  $a$ . Combinations of constants involving  $b, a, n$  multiplied by  $\varepsilon$  shall sometimes be written as  $\varepsilon$ . In what follows, we restrict ourselves to the region  $t \in (-10 + \sqrt{\frac{N}{V}}, \sqrt{\frac{N}{V}}]$ .

Using  $\sup_{x \in M} |{}^h\nabla g|(x, t) \leq \varepsilon \leq 1$  for all  $t \in (-10 + \sqrt{\frac{N}{V}}, \sqrt{\frac{N}{V}}]$  and  $\frac{1}{a}h \leq g \leq ah$  we get

$$\begin{aligned}
& \frac{\partial}{\partial t} |{}^h\nabla g|^2(x, t) - g^{ij}(x, t) {}^h\nabla_{ij}^2 |{}^h\nabla g|^2(x, t) \leq -\frac{2}{a} |{}^h\nabla^2 g|^2(x, t) \\
& + c(n, a) \varepsilon (|{}^h\nabla^2 g| \varepsilon + |{}^h\nabla^2 g| + 3\varepsilon + c(n, a)) \\
& \leq -\frac{2}{a} |{}^h\nabla^2 g|^2(x, t) + c(n, a) \varepsilon |{}^h\nabla^2 g| + c(n, a) \varepsilon \\
& \leq -\frac{1}{a} |{}^h\nabla^2 g|^2(x, t) + c(n, a) \varepsilon
\end{aligned}$$

Similarly we estimate

$$\begin{aligned}
& \frac{\partial}{\partial t} |{}^h\nabla^2 g|^2(x, t) - g^{ij}(x, t) {}^h\nabla_{ij}^2 |{}^h\nabla^2 g|^2(x, t) \\
& \leq -\frac{2}{a} |{}^h\nabla^3 g|^2 + \sum_{\substack{0 \leq k_1, k_2, k_3, k_4 \leq 3, \\ k_1 + \dots + k_4 \leq 4}} {}^h\nabla^{k_1} g(x, t) * \dots * {}^h\nabla^{k_4} g(x, t) * {}^h\nabla^2 g * P(h)_{k_1 k_2 k_3 k_4}(x, t) \\
(4.4) \quad & \leq -\frac{2}{a} |{}^h\nabla^3 g|^2 + c(n, a) |{}^h\nabla^2 g| (|{}^h\nabla^3 g| (|{}^h\nabla g| + c(n, a)) + |{}^h\nabla^2 g| (|{}^h\nabla^2 g| + |{}^h\nabla g|^2 + |{}^h\nabla g| + c(n, a)) \\
& \quad + |{}^h\nabla g| (|{}^h\nabla g|^3 + |{}^h\nabla g|^2 + |{}^h\nabla g| + c(n, a)) + c(n, a)) \\
& \leq -\frac{2}{a} |{}^h\nabla^3 g|^2 + c(n, a) |{}^h\nabla^2 g| (|{}^h\nabla^3 g| c(n, a) + |{}^h\nabla^2 g|^2 + c(n, a)) \\
& \leq -\frac{1}{a} |{}^h\nabla^3 g|^2 + c(n, a) |{}^h\nabla^2 g| (|{}^h\nabla^3 g| c(n, a) + |{}^h\nabla^2 g|^2 + c(n, a)) \\
& \leq -\frac{1}{a} |{}^h\nabla^3 g|^2 + c(n, a) |{}^h\nabla^2 g| + c(n, a) |{}^h\nabla^2 g|^3 \\
& \leq -\frac{1}{a} |{}^h\nabla^3 g|^2 + c(n, a) |{}^h\nabla^2 g|^3 + c(n, a)
\end{aligned}$$

Combining these two evolution inequalities, we see that  $f = (|{}^h\nabla g|^2 + 1)(|{}^h\nabla^2 g|^2)$  satisfies

$$\begin{aligned}
& \frac{\partial}{\partial t} f - g^{ij} {}^h\nabla_{ij}^2 f \\
& \leq -\frac{1}{a} |{}^h\nabla^2 g|^4 + \varepsilon |{}^h\nabla^2 g|^2 \\
& + (|{}^h\nabla g|^2 + 1) (-\frac{1}{a} |{}^h\nabla^3 g|^2 + c(n, a) |{}^h\nabla^2 g|^3 + c(n, a)) \\
& - 2g^{ij} {}^h\nabla_i (|{}^h\nabla g|^2 + 1) {}^h\nabla_j (|{}^h\nabla^2 g|^2) \\
& \leq -\frac{1}{2a} |{}^h\nabla^2 g|^4 - \frac{1}{a} |{}^h\nabla^3 g|^2 + c(n, a) (1 + |{}^h\nabla g|^2) (1 + |{}^h\nabla^2 g|^3) + c(n, a) |{}^h\nabla g| |{}^h\nabla^2 g|^2 |{}^h\nabla^3 g|
\end{aligned}$$

for the  $t$  that we are considering.

Now using once again that  $\sup_{x \in M} |{}^h \nabla g|(x, t) \leq \varepsilon$ , we see that

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t} f - g^{ijh} \nabla_{ij}^2 f \leq -(1/4a)f^2 + c(n, a).$$

Standard techniques (cut off function and a Bernstein type argument: see [29] or [30]) now show that  $f \leq c_1(n, a)$  at  $t = \sqrt{\frac{N}{V}}$  which implies that  $|{}^h \nabla^2 g|^2 \leq c_1(n, a)$  at  $t = \sqrt{\frac{N}{V}}$  and this contradicts the estimate  $|{}^h \nabla^2 g|^2(x_0, \sqrt{\frac{N}{V}}) \geq V$  if  $V = \max(100c_1(n, a), r(h, m))$ , where  $r(h, m)$  is chosen large so that the curvature of  $h$  and all of its covariant derivatives up to order  $m = 2$  are bounded by one after scaling (which was used in the proof).

For the readers convenience, we explain the Bernstein type argument in more detail. By translating in time, we may assume that the time  $\sqrt{\frac{N}{V}}$  corresponds to time 10 and the time  $\sqrt{\frac{N}{V}} - 10$  corresponds to time zero. We multiply  $f$  by a cut off function  $\eta$  in space (with support in a Ball  $B_1(y_0)$  ball around any point  $y_0$ ) and such that  $\frac{|{}^h \nabla \eta|^2}{\eta} \leq C$ . Next we consider a point  $(x_0, t_0) \in B_1(0) \times [0, 10]$  where  $t\eta f$  achieves its positive maximum (assuming  $f$  is not identically zero). The point  $x_0$  must be in the interior of  $B_1(0)$ , since the support of  $\eta$  is contained in  $B_1(0)$ , and  $t_0$  must be larger than zero, since  $t\eta f = 0$  for  $t = 0$ , and hence, by calculating at  $(x_0, t_0)$ , we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &\leq \frac{\partial}{\partial t}(t\eta f) \\ &\leq g^{ijh} \nabla_{ij}^2(t\eta f) - \frac{1}{4a}t^2\eta - 2g^{ijh} \nabla_i(t\eta f) {}^h \nabla_j \eta \\ &\quad - (t\eta f) g^{ijh} \nabla_{ij}^2 \eta + tc(n, a)\eta + f\eta \\ &\leq -\frac{1}{4a} \frac{(t\eta f)^2}{\eta t} - \frac{2g^{ijh} \nabla_i(t\eta f) {}^h \nabla_j \eta}{\eta} + c(n, a)t\eta \frac{|{}^h \nabla \eta|^2}{\eta} + c(n, a) \frac{f t \eta}{\eta} + c(n, a) + f\eta \\ &\leq -\frac{1}{4a} \frac{(\eta t f)^2}{\eta t} + c(n, a) \frac{f t \eta}{\eta t} + c(n, a). \end{aligned}$$

Multiplying by  $t\eta$ , we see that  $f(x_0, t_0)\eta(x_0, t_0)t_0 \leq c(n, a)\eta(x_0, t_0)t_0$  which implies  $f(x_0, t_0) \leq c(n, a)$ .

Next we assume by induction that for  $i \geq 2$

$$\sup_{x \in M} |{}^h \nabla^m g|^2(x, t) \leq \frac{N_m}{t^m}$$

for all  $m \leq i$ ,  $t \in [0, T)$  and we want to show that there exists a constant  $N_{i+1}$  so that

$$\sup_{x \in M} |{}^h \nabla^{i+1} g|^2(x, t) \leq \frac{N_{i+1}}{t^{i+1}}$$

for all  $t \in [0, T)$ . Again we argue by contradiction. For this we assume that there is a large constant  $N$  (to be determined later) and  $x_0 \in M$  resp.  $0 < t_0 < T$  so that

$$|{}^h \nabla^{i+1} g|^2(x_0, t_0) > N/t_0^{i+1}.$$

Using the same scaling argument as above we can arrange that we obtain a solution  $g$  of the  $h$ -flow so that

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{a}h \leq g \leq ah, \\ \sup_{x \in M} |{}^h \nabla^m g|^2(x, t) \leq \varepsilon \quad \forall t \in (-10 + \sqrt[{}^{i+1}]{\frac{N}{V}}, \sqrt[{}^{i+1}]{\frac{N}{V}}], \quad m \leq i \\ |{}^h \nabla^{i+1} g|^2(x_0, \sqrt[{}^{i+1}]{\frac{N}{V}}) \geq V. \end{aligned}$$

As before, resp. as in the paper of Shi (proof of Lemma 4.2), we now obtain

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t} |{}^h\nabla^i g|^2 \leq g^{jkh} \nabla_{jk}^2 |{}^h\nabla^i g|^2 - \frac{1}{2a} |{}^h\nabla^{i+1} g|^2 + c(i, n, a)$$

and

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t} |{}^h\nabla^{i+1} g|^2 \leq g^{jkh} \nabla_{jk}^2 |{}^h\nabla^{i+1} g|^2 - \frac{1}{2a} |{}^h\nabla^{i+2} g|^2 + c(i, n, a) |{}^h\nabla^{i+1} g|^2 + c(i, n, a).$$

for all  $t \in (-10 + \sqrt[{}^{i+1}]{\frac{N}{V}}, \sqrt[{}^{i+1}]{\frac{N}{V}}]$ : The first estimates  $|{}^h\nabla g|^2(\cdot, t) + |{}^h\nabla^2 g|^2(\cdot, t) \leq c/t$  simplify the calculation for general  $i \geq 2$ . Calculating as before we thus obtain for  $f := |{}^h\nabla^{i+1} g|^2(1 + |{}^h\nabla^i g|^2)$  that

$$\partial_t f - g^{jk} ({}^h\nabla_{jk}^2 f) \leq -\frac{1}{4a} f^2 + c(i, n, a)$$

for all  $t \in (-10 + \sqrt[{}^{i+1}]{\frac{N}{V}}, \sqrt[{}^{i+1}]{\frac{N}{V}}]$  and we obtain the same contradiction as before.  $\square$

Now we show that if we have  $L^\infty$  control on our initial metric and the  $L^2$  norm of the gradient and the second gradient of  $g$  remain locally, uniformly small, then we have an estimate on the  $L^\infty$  norm of the evolving metric (and its inverse) and a time dependent gradient estimate.

**Theorem 4.2.** *For every  $1 \leq a \in \mathbb{R}$   $n \in \mathbb{N}$  there exist (small)  $\varepsilon_0(a, n), S_1(a, n) > 0$  such that the following holds. Let  $g_0$  be smooth and satisfy*

$$(4.5) \quad \frac{1}{a} h \leq g_0 \leq ah$$

where  $(M, h)$  is an  $n$ -dimensional manifold satisfying (2.4), and assume that we have a smooth solution  $g$  to (1.1) on  $[0, T]$  which satisfies

$$(4.6) \quad \int_{B_1(x)} (|{}^h\nabla g|^{\frac{n}{2}} + |{}^h\nabla^2 g|^{\frac{n}{2}})(t) dh \leq \varepsilon_0$$

for all  $x \in M$  for all  $t \in [0, T]$  where  $T < 1$ . We also assume  $\sup_{M \times [0, T]} |{}^h\nabla g|^2 + |{}^h\nabla^2 g|^2 + |{}^h\nabla^3 g|^2 + F + \varphi < \infty$ , where  $\varphi(x, t) := g^{ij}(x, t) h_{ij}(x)$  and  $F(x, t) := g_{ij}(x, t) h^{ij}(x)$ . Then

$$(4.7) \quad \frac{1}{20na} h < g(t) < 20nah$$

$$(4.8) \quad \sup_{x \in M} |{}^h\nabla g|^2(x, t) < \frac{1}{t}$$

for all  $t \leq S_1(n, a)$ .

**Remark 4.3.** The functions  $\varphi(x, t) := g^{ij}(x, t) h_{ij}(x)$  and  $F(x, t) := g_{ij}(x, t) h^{ij}(x)$  are both well defined smooth functions. The assumption that

$$(4.9) \quad \sup_{M \times [0, T]} |{}^h\nabla g|^2 + |{}^h\nabla^2 g|^2 + |{}^h\nabla^3 g|^2 + F + \varphi < \infty$$

is always satisfied on a compact manifold due to smoothness and compactness.

We will use this result in the proof of Theorem 6.1 and in that situation this condition is satisfied.

*Proof.* We may replace the condition (4.6), by the scale invariant condition

$$(4.10) \quad \int_{B_1(x)} (|{}^h\nabla g|^n + |{}^h\nabla^2 g|^{\frac{n}{2}}) dh \leq \varepsilon_0$$

for all  $x \in M$  for all  $t \in [0, T]$  in view of (v) in Lemma B.1 of Appendix B, after replacing  $c(n)\varepsilon_0$  by  $\varepsilon_0$ . Let  $\delta = \delta(n, a) = \frac{1}{(an)^{100}} \ll 1$  (we are assuming  $n \geq 2$ ). Let

$$S_1 = \sup\{s \in [0, T] \mid \frac{1}{20na}h \leq g(t) \leq 20nah \text{ and } \sup_{x \in M} |{}^h\nabla g|^2(x, t) \leq \frac{\delta}{t} \text{ hold on } [0, s]\}.$$

We have  $S_1 > 0$  due to the inequality (4.9) and the fact that  $g$  satisfies (1.1). Next we want to show that  $S_1$  can be bounded from below by a constant depending only on  $n$  and  $a$ .

For this we argue by contradiction and we assume that  $S_1$  is extremely small, so that if we rescale the background metric  $h$  by  $1/S_1$ , then the resulting Riemannian manifold is as close to the standard euclidean space  $\mathbb{R}^n$  on balls as large as we like in the  $C^k$  norm ( $k \in \mathbb{N}$  chosen as we please) in geodesic coordinates, due to the conditions on  $h$ , as we explained at the beginning of the paper.

Let us now scale  $g$  and  $h$  via  $\tilde{g}(x, t) = cg(x, \frac{t}{c})$ ,  $\tilde{h} = ch$  with  $c = 1/S_1 \gg 1$ . We denote  $\tilde{g}$  and  $\tilde{h}$  once again by  $g$  resp.  $h$ . We have for the rescaled solution that  $1 = S_1 = \sup\{s \in [0, T] \mid (4.7) \text{ and } (4.8) \text{ hold on } [0, s]\}$  and (4.10) still holds, and hence, (4.6) holds, in view of Hölder's inequality, after replacing  $c(n)\varepsilon_0$  by  $\varepsilon_0$ . Due to the definition of  $S_1 (= 1)$ , the smoothness of all metrics and (4.9) we see that,

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{20na}h &\leq g \leq 20nah \\ \sup_{x \in M} |{}^h\nabla g|^2(x, t) &\leq \frac{\delta}{t} \end{aligned}$$

for all  $t \in [0, 1]$ , and there must exist a point  $x_0 \in M$  with either

$$\begin{aligned} (a) \quad &g(x_0, 1)(v, v) < \frac{1}{10na}h(x_0)(v, v) = \frac{1}{10na} \\ &\text{for some } h \text{ length one vector } v, \text{ or} \\ (b) \quad &g(x_0, 1)(v, v) > 10nah(v, v) = 10na \\ &\text{for some } h \text{ length one vector } v, \text{ or} \\ (c) \quad &|{}^h\nabla g|^2(x_0, 1) > \frac{\delta}{2}, \end{aligned}$$

otherwise, using the the smoothness of  $g$  and (4.9), we get a contradiction to the definition of  $S_1 = 1$ .

We rule out the case (c) first. We argue by contradiction and by the smoothness Lemma 4.1, we know that  $|{}^h\nabla g|^2(\cdot, 1) \geq \frac{\delta}{4}$  on a ball of radius  $R(n, a, \delta) = R(n, a) > 0$  around  $x_0$ , and hence

$$\varepsilon_0 \geq \int_{B_1(x_0)} (|{}^h\nabla g|^2(y, 1) dh(y)) \geq \frac{\delta(n, a)}{8} \omega_n (R(n, a, \delta))^n$$

which leads to a contradiction if  $\varepsilon_0 = \varepsilon_0(n, a)$  is chosen small enough. Note that here we used that the manifold is very close to the euclidean space. This contradiction shows that (c) doesn't occur.

Now we rule out (a) and (b). Note that in our case

$$(4.11) \quad \int_{B_1(x)} \varphi(y, 0) dh(y) \leq \int_{B_1(x)} na dh(y) \leq \frac{3}{2} \omega_n na \quad \text{resp.}$$

$$(4.12) \quad \int_{B_1(x)} F(y, 0) dh(y) \leq \int_{B_1(x)} na dh(y) \leq \frac{3}{2} \omega_n na,$$

where we have used the initial conditions (4.5). From the evolution equation for  $g$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \int_{B_1(x)} \varphi dh &\leq c(n, a) \int_{B_1(x)} (|{}^h\nabla g|^2 + |{}^h\nabla^2 g| + |\text{Rm}(h)|) dh \leq C_S(n) c(n, a) (\varepsilon_0)^{\frac{2}{n}} \\ \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \int_{B_1(x)} F dh &\leq c(n, a) \int_{B_1(x)} (|{}^h\nabla g|^2 + |{}^h\nabla^2 g| + |\text{Rm}(h)|) dh \leq C_S(n) c(n, a) (\varepsilon_0)^{\frac{2}{n}} \end{aligned}$$

and thus

$$\begin{aligned} \left( \int_{B_1(x_0)} \varphi(x, 1) dh(x) \right) &\leq \int_{B_1(x_0)} \varphi_0 dh + C_S(n) c(n, a) (\varepsilon_0)^{\frac{2}{n}} \\ &\leq (3/2) \omega_n n r a + c(n, a) (\varepsilon_0)^{\frac{2}{n}} \\ &\leq 2 \omega_n n a \\ \left( \int_{B_1(x_0)} F(x, 1) dh(x) \right) &\leq \int_{B_1(x_0)} F_0 dh + c(n, a) (\varepsilon_0)^{\frac{2}{n}} \\ &\leq (3/2) \omega_n n a + c(n, a) (\varepsilon_0)^{\frac{2}{n}} \leq 2 \omega_n n a \end{aligned}$$

if  $\varepsilon_0(n, a)$  is sufficiently small. Here we used the initial conditions (4.11) and (4.12), and the Hölder inequality. In particular, there must be a point  $y_0$  in  $B_1(x_0)$  with  $\varphi(y_0, 1) \leq 4na$  and a point  $y_1$  in  $B_1(x_0)$  with  $F(y_1, 1) \leq 4na$ . First we consider  $\varphi$ . At  $y_0$  we choose a basis so that  $h_{ij}(y_0) = \delta_{ij}$  and  $g_{ij}(y_0, 1) = \lambda_i \delta_{ij}$  is diagonal. Then we see that  $\varphi(y_0, 1) \leq 4na$  implies that  $\lambda_i \geq \frac{1}{4na}$  for each  $i \in 1, \dots, n$  and hence  $g(y_0, 1) \geq \frac{1}{4na} h(y_0)$ . Using the fact that  $|{}^h\nabla g| \leq \delta$  and that  $(M, h)$  is very close to the standard  $\mathbb{R}^n$ , in particular  $|\Gamma(h)_{jk}^i(x)| \leq \eta_0$  in geodesic coordinates on a ball of radius 10 centred at  $x_0$  where  $\eta_0$  as small as we like, we get  $|\partial_i g_{kl}| \leq \delta + \sigma(\eta_0, a, n)$  with  $\sigma(\eta_0, a, n) \rightarrow 0$  as  $\eta_0 \rightarrow 0$  and hence, without loss of generality,  $\sigma(\eta_0, a, n) \leq \delta$ , that is  $|\partial_i g_{kl}| \leq 2\delta = \frac{2}{(an)^{100}}$  on  $B_1(x_0)$  in geodesic coordinates (for  $h$ ). This combined with  $g(y_0, 1) \geq \frac{1}{4na} h(y_0)$ , and the fact that  $(1 - \eta_0)\delta_{ij} \leq h_{ij} \leq (1 + \eta_0)\delta_{ij}$  ( $\eta_0$  as small as we like) leads to  $g_{ij}(y, 1) \geq (\frac{1}{4na} - \frac{4}{(an)^{100}}) h_{ij}(y) \geq \frac{1}{8na} h_{ij}(y)$  for all  $y \in B_1(x_0)$  which contradicts the fact that  $g(x_0, 1)(v, v) < \frac{1}{10na} h(x_0)(v, v) = \frac{1}{10na}$ . Hence (a) doesn't occur. The argument to show that (b) doesn't occur is essentially the same.  $\square$

## 5. PRESERVATION OF SMALLNESS OF THE $W^{2,2}$ ENERGY AND $W^{2,2}$ CONTINUITY OF $g$ IN TIME

In this section we consider smooth four dimensional solutions to the Ricci DeTurck flow which satisfy  $\frac{1}{a}h \leq g(t) \leq ah$  for some uniform constant  $a$  and our fixed background metric  $h$ , and whose initial  $W^{2,2}$ -energy is locally small. Under these assumptions, we prove an estimate on the growth of the local  $W^{2,2}$ -energy, which shows that this smallness is preserved under the flow, if the time interval being considered is small enough. We see, in Theorem 5.6 and Theorem 5.8, that these estimates also imply estimates on the modulus of continuity of the local  $L^2$  and  $W^{2,2}$ -energy of a solution, respectively limits of smooth solutions to (1.1).

**Theorem 5.1.** *Let  $(M, h)$  be four dimensional and satisfy (2.4). For all  $0 < a \in \mathbb{R}$ , there exists a  $\delta = \delta(a) > 0$  such that for any smooth solution  $g \in C^\infty(M \times [0, T])$  of the Ricci-DeTurck flow with*

$$\begin{aligned} \sup_{x \in M} \int_{B_1(x)} (|{}^h\nabla g(\cdot, t)|^2 + |{}^h\nabla^2 g(\cdot, t)|^2) dh &\leq \delta \quad \text{and} \\ \frac{1}{a} h(\cdot) &\leq g(\cdot, t) \leq ah(\cdot) \end{aligned}$$

for all  $t \in [0, T)$ , the following holds : For every  $\frac{1}{2} \leq R_0 < R_1 \leq 2$  there exists an  $V(R_0, R_1, a) > 0$  such that

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{B_{R_0}(x_0)} (|{}^h\nabla g(\cdot, t)|^2 + |{}^h\nabla^2 g(\cdot, t)|^2) dh \\ & \leq \int_{B_{R_1}(x_0)} (|{}^h\nabla g(\cdot, 0)|^2 + |{}^h\nabla^2 g(\cdot, 0)|^2) dh + V(R_0, R_1, a)t \end{aligned}$$

for any  $x_0 \in M$ , for all  $t \in [0, T)$ .

**Remark 5.2.** The condition  $\sup_{x \in M} \int_{B_1(x)} (|{}^h\nabla g(\cdot, t)|^2 + |{}^h\nabla^2 g(\cdot, t)|^2) dh \leq \delta$  means we restrict to the class of solutions which stay locally small in  $W^{2,2}$ . Later we will see that this is not a restriction for the solutions that we construct, starting with initial data which is locally sufficiently small in  $W^{2,2}$ , as they do indeed satisfy this condition.

**Remark 5.3.** The constant  $V(R_0, R_1, a) \rightarrow \infty$  for  $R_0 \nearrow R_1$ .

**Corollary 5.4.** Let  $(M, h)$  be four dimensional and satisfy (2.4). For all  $0 < b \in \mathbb{R}$ , there exists a  $\delta = \delta(b) > 0$  and universal constant  $c_0 > 0$  such that the following holds. For every  $\varepsilon > 0$  there exists an  $S_2 = S_2(b, \varepsilon) > 0$  such that if  $g \in C^\infty(M \times [0, T))$  is a smooth solution of the Ricci-DeTurck flow with initial data  $g_0$  which satisfies

$$\begin{aligned} & \sup_{x \in M} \int_{B_1(x)} (|{}^h\nabla g(\cdot, t)|^2 + |{}^h\nabla^2 g(\cdot, t)|^2) dh \leq \delta \quad \text{and} \\ & \frac{1}{b} h(\cdot) \leq g(\cdot, t) \leq b h(\cdot) \end{aligned}$$

for all  $t \in [0, T)$ , and assume for some  $x_0 \in M$  that we have

$$\int_{B_2(x_0)} (|{}^h\nabla g_0|^2 + |{}^h\nabla^2 g_0|^2) dh \leq \varepsilon.$$

Then

$$\int_{B_1(x_0)} (|{}^h\nabla g(\cdot, t)|^2 + |{}^h\nabla^2 g(\cdot, t)|^2) dh \leq \frac{3}{2}\varepsilon,$$

for all  $t \in [0, S_2) \cap [0, T)$ .

*Proof of Corollary 5.4.* Theorem 5.1 implies

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{B_1(x_0)} (|{}^h\nabla g(\cdot, t)|^2 + |{}^h\nabla^2 g(\cdot, t)|^2) dh \\ & \leq \int_{B_2(x_0)} (|{}^h\nabla g(\cdot, 0)|^2 + |{}^h\nabla^2 g(\cdot, 0)|^2) dh + V(R_0, R_1, b)t \\ & \leq \varepsilon + V(1, 2, b)t \\ & \leq \frac{3}{2}\varepsilon \end{aligned}$$

for  $t \leq \frac{\varepsilon}{2V(1, 2, b)} =: S_2$  □

Before proving Theorem 5.1 we need a version of the Gagliardo-Nirenberg inequality.

**Lemma 5.5.** Let  $(M, h)$  be four dimensional and satisfy (2.4),  $\frac{1}{2} \leq R_0 < R_1 \leq 2$  and  $g$  be a smooth metric on  $M$  satisfying  $\frac{1}{a}g \leq h \leq ag$ ,  $x \in M$  and  $\eta \in C_c^\infty(B_{R_1}(x_0))$  be a standard cut-off function which is equal to 1 on  $B_{R_0}(x)$  and equal to zero outside of  $B_{\frac{R_1+R_0}{2}}(x_0)$  : We choose  $\eta$  so that  $\sqrt{\eta} \in C^\infty(B_{R_1}(x))$  with  $|{}^h\nabla \eta| \leq c(R_1, R_0)$  for some



constant  $c(R_1, R_0)$ . Then there exists a  $C = C(a, R_0, R_1)$  and a  $B = B(a)$  such that

$$\begin{aligned} \int_M \eta^4 |{}^h \nabla^2 g|^3 dh &\leq \left( \int_{B_{R_1}(x)} |{}^h \nabla^2 g|^2 dh \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left( \int_{B_{R_1}(x)} (B\eta^4 |{}^h \nabla^3 g|^2 + C|{}^h \nabla^2 g|^2) dh \right) \\ \int_M \eta^4 |{}^h \nabla g|^6 dh &\leq B \left( \int_{B_{R_1}(x)} |{}^h \nabla^2 g|^2 dh \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left( \int_{B_{R_1}(x)} \eta^4 |{}^h \nabla^3 g|^2 dh \right) \\ &\quad + C \left( \int_{B_{R_1}(x)} |{}^h \nabla g|^2 dh \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left( \int_{B_{R_1}(x)} (|{}^h \nabla^2 g|^2 + |{}^h \nabla g|^2) dh \right) \\ &\quad + C \left( \int_{B_{R_1}(x)} |{}^h \nabla^2 g|^2 dh \right)^{\frac{3}{2}}. \end{aligned}$$

*Proof of Lemma 5.5.* In the following  $C$  refers to a constant which depends on  $a, R_0, R_1$  and  $B$  refers to a constant which only depends on  $a$ . Both constants may vary from line to line, but continue to be denoted by  $C$  respectively  $B$ . Using Hölder's inequality and the Sobolev inequality with  $f = \eta^2 |{}^h \nabla^2 g|$ , we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \int_M \eta^4 |{}^h \nabla^2 g|^3 dh &\leq \left( \int_{B_{R_1}(x)} |{}^h \nabla^2 g|^2 dh \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left( \int_M \eta^8 |{}^h \nabla^2 g|^4 dh \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ (5.1) \quad &\leq \left( \int_{B_{R_1}(x)} |{}^h \nabla^2 g|^2 dh \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left( \int_{B_{R_1}(x)} (B\eta^4 |{}^h \nabla^3 g|^2 + C\eta^2 |{}^h \nabla^2 g|^2) dh \right), \end{aligned}$$

which is the first estimate.

For the second estimate we integrate by parts and use Hölder's inequality, to get

$$\begin{aligned} \int_M \eta^4 |{}^h \nabla g|^6 dh &\leq B \int_M \left( \eta^4 |g| |{}^h \nabla g|^4 |{}^h \nabla^2 g| + C\eta^3 |g| |{}^h \nabla g|^5 \right) dh \\ &\leq B \left( \int_M \eta^4 |{}^h \nabla g|^6 dh \right)^{\frac{2}{3}} \left( \left( \int_M \eta^4 |{}^h \nabla^2 g|^3 dh \right)^{\frac{1}{3}} + C \left( \int_M \eta |{}^h \nabla g|^3 dh \right)^{\frac{1}{3}} \right) \end{aligned}$$

which implies,

$$\int_M \eta^4 |{}^h \nabla g|^6 dh \leq B \int_M \eta^4 |{}^h \nabla^2 g|^3 dh + C \int_M \eta |{}^h \nabla g|^3 dh.$$

Using (5.1) to estimate the first term of the right hand side of this inequality, and the Sobolev inequality to estimate the second term, we conclude

$$\begin{aligned} \int_M \eta^4 |{}^h \nabla g|^6 dh &\leq B \int_M \eta^4 |{}^h \nabla^2 g|^3 dh + C \int_M \eta |{}^h \nabla g|^3 dh \\ &\leq B \left( \int_{B_{R_1}(x)} |{}^h \nabla^2 g|^2 dh \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left( \int_{B_{R_1}(x)} (\eta^4 |{}^h \nabla^3 g|^2 + C|{}^h \nabla^2 g|^2) dh \right) \\ &\quad + C \left( \int_{B_{R_1}(x)} |{}^h \nabla g|^2 dh \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left( \int_{B_{R_1}(x)} (|{}^h \nabla^2 g|^2 + |{}^h \nabla g|^2) dh \right), \end{aligned}$$

as required.  $\square$

In the following proof,  $C$  will once again be a constant which may change from line to line and depends on  $a, R_0, R_1$ , and  $B$  denotes a constant which can change from line to line but only depends on  $a$ .

*Proof of Theorem 5.1.* Using equation (4.3), and Young's inequality, we see that

$$\begin{aligned}
& \frac{\partial}{\partial t} |{}^h\nabla g|^2 - g^{ij} {}^h\nabla_{ij}^2 |{}^h\nabla g|^2 + \frac{2}{a} |{}^h\nabla^2 g|^2 \\
& \leq B |{}^h\nabla g| \left( |{}^h\nabla^2 g| |{}^h\nabla g| + |{}^h\nabla^2 g| + |{}^h\nabla g|^3 + |{}^h\nabla g|^2 + |{}^h\nabla g| + 1 \right) \\
(5.2) \quad & \leq \frac{1}{2a} |{}^h\nabla^2 g|^2 + B(|{}^h\nabla g|^4 + 1)
\end{aligned}$$

Integration by parts (once), and Young's inequality yields

$$\begin{aligned}
& \left| \int_M \eta^4 g^{ij} {}^h\nabla_{ij}^2 |{}^h\nabla g|^2 dh \right| \leq B \int_M \eta^4 |{}^h\nabla g|^2 |{}^h\nabla^2 g| dh + C \int_M \eta^3 |{}^h\nabla g| |{}^h\nabla^2 g| dh, \\
(5.3) \quad & \leq \frac{1}{2a} \int_M \eta^4 |{}^h\nabla^2 g|^2 + C \int_M \eta^2 (|{}^h\nabla g|^4 + 1) dh,
\end{aligned}$$

for  $\eta$  a standard cut-off function as in Lemma 5.5. Multiplying the above differential inequality (5.2) with  $\eta^4$ , integrating and using the inequality (5.3), we get

$$\begin{aligned}
& \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \left( \int_M \eta^4 |{}^h\nabla g|^2 dh \right) + \frac{2}{a} \int_M \eta^4 |{}^h\nabla^2 g|^2 dh \\
& \leq \frac{1}{2a} \int_M \eta^4 |{}^h\nabla^2 g|^2 dh + C \int_M \eta^2 (|{}^h\nabla g|^4 + 1) dh \\
& \leq \frac{1}{2a} \int_M \eta^4 |{}^h\nabla^2 g|^2 dh + C \left( \int_{B_{R_1}(x_0)} (|{}^h\nabla^2 g|^2 + |{}^h\nabla g|^2 + 1) dh \right)^2,
\end{aligned}$$

where we used the Sobolev inequality and  $|{}^h\nabla\sqrt{\eta}|^2 = \frac{|{}^h\nabla\eta|^2}{4\eta} \leq C$  in the last step. Absorbing the first term on the right hand side into the left hand side and integrating from 0 to  $S$  we conclude

$$\int_M \eta^4 |{}^h\nabla g|^2(\cdot, S) dh \leq \int_M \eta^4 |{}^h\nabla g_0|^2 dh + CS(\delta + 1)^2$$

for all  $S \in [0, T]$ . Now we turn to the corresponding estimate for the second derivatives. Recalling (4.4) and using Young's inequality, we see that

$$\begin{aligned}
& \frac{\partial}{\partial t} |{}^h\nabla^2 g|^2(x, t) - g^{ij}(x, t) {}^h\nabla_{ij}^2 |{}^h\nabla^2 g|^2 + \frac{2}{a} |{}^h\nabla^3 g|^2(x, t) \\
& \leq B |{}^h\nabla^2 g| \left( |{}^h\nabla^3 g| (|{}^h\nabla g| + 1) \right. \\
& \quad \left. + |{}^h\nabla^2 g| (|{}^h\nabla^2 g| + |{}^h\nabla g|^2 + |{}^h\nabla g| + 1) \right. \\
& \quad \left. + |{}^h\nabla g| (|{}^h\nabla g|^3 + |{}^h\nabla g|^2 + |{}^h\nabla g| + 1) + 1 \right) \\
& \leq \frac{1}{2a} |{}^h\nabla^3 g|^2 + B(|{}^h\nabla^2 g|^3 + |{}^h\nabla^2 g|^2 + |{}^h\nabla g|^6 + |{}^h\nabla g|^2 + 1)
\end{aligned}$$

holds. As above, we note that integration by parts (once) followed by applications of Young's inequality yields

$$\begin{aligned}
& \left| \int_M \eta^4 g^{ij}(x, t) {}^h\nabla_{ij}^2 |{}^h\nabla^2 g|^2(x, t) dh \right| \leq B \int_M \eta^4 |{}^h\nabla g| |{}^h\nabla^2 g| |{}^h\nabla^3 g| dh \\
& \quad + C \int_M \eta^3 |{}^h\nabla^2 g| |{}^h\nabla^3 g| dh, \\
& \leq \int_M \frac{\eta^4}{4a} |{}^h\nabla^3 g|^2 + B\eta^4 |{}^h\nabla g|^2 |{}^h\nabla^2 g|^2 dh \\
& \quad + C \int_M \eta^2 |{}^h\nabla^2 g|^2 dh, \\
& \leq \int_M \frac{\eta^4}{4a} |{}^h\nabla^3 g|^2 + B\eta^4 |{}^h\nabla^2 g|^3 + B\eta^4 |{}^h\nabla g|^6 + C\eta^2 |{}^h\nabla^2 g|^2 dh
\end{aligned}$$

Multiplying the differential inequality for  $|{}^h\nabla^2 g|^2$  again with  $\eta^4$ , integrating and using the above two estimates, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \left( \int_M \eta^4 |{}^h\nabla^2 g|^2 dh \right) + \frac{1}{a} \int_M \eta^4 |{}^h\nabla^3 g|^2 dh \\ & \leq \int_{B_{R_1}} B \eta^4 (|{}^h\nabla^2 g|^3 + |{}^h\nabla g|^6) dh + C(|{}^h\nabla^2 g|^2 + |{}^h\nabla g|^2 + 1) dh. \end{aligned}$$

Using the estimates from Lemma 5.5, we see that this implies

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \left( \int_M \eta^4 |{}^h\nabla^2 g|^2 \right) + \frac{1}{4a} \int_M \eta^4 |{}^h\nabla^3 g|^2 dh \\ & \leq C \int_{B_{R_1}} (|{}^h\nabla^2 g|^2 + |{}^h\nabla g|^2 + 1) + C \left( \int_{B_{R_1}(x)} |{}^h\nabla^2 g|^2 dh \right)^{\frac{3}{2}} \\ & \quad + C \left( \int_{B_{R_1}} |{}^h\nabla g|^2 dh \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left( \int_{B_{R_1}} |{}^h\nabla^2 g|^2 + |{}^h\nabla g|^2 dh \right) \\ & \quad + B \left( \int_{B_{R_1}(x)} |{}^h\nabla^2 g|^2 dh \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left( \int_{B_{R_1}} \eta^4 |{}^h\nabla^3 g|^2 dh \right) \\ & \leq C \left( \int_{B_{R_1}} |{}^h\nabla^2 g|^2 + |{}^h\nabla g|^2 + 1 dh \right) + C \left( \int_{B_{R_1}} |{}^h\nabla^2 g|^2 + |{}^h\nabla g|^2 + 1 dh \right)^2 \\ & \quad + B\delta \int_{B_{R_1}} \eta^4 |{}^h\nabla^3 g|^2 dh \end{aligned}$$

and hence

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \left( \int_M \eta^4 |{}^h\nabla^2 g|^2 dh \right) + \frac{1}{8a} \int_M \eta^4 |{}^h\nabla^3 g|^2 dh \leq C(\delta + 1)^2$$

if  $B(a)\delta \leq \frac{1}{10a}$ . Integration in time from 0 to  $S$  gives

$$\int_M \eta^4 |{}^h\nabla^2 g|^2(\cdot, S) dh \leq \int_M \eta^4 |{}^h\nabla^2 g_0|^2 dh + CS(\delta + 1)^2$$

as required.  $\square$

**Lemma 5.6.** *Let  $(M, h)$  be  $n$ -dimensional and satisfy (2.4),  $g$  be a smooth solution to (1.1) on  $M \times (0, T]$ ,  $T \leq 1$  and assume that there exist  $0 < a \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $\delta \in \mathbb{R}$  so that*

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{a} h \leq g(\cdot, t) \leq ah \quad \text{and} \\ & \sup_{x \in M} \int_{B_1} |{}^h\nabla g(\cdot, t)|^2 dh \leq \delta \quad \forall t \in (0, T], \\ & K_0 := \sup_{x \in M} |\text{Rm}(h)| \leq 1 \end{aligned}$$

Then there exists a  $B = B(n, a)$  such that

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{B_1(x)} |g(\cdot, t) - g(\cdot, s)|^2 dh \leq B(\delta + K_0)|t - s|, \\ & \int_{B_1(x)} |g^{-1}(\cdot, t) - g^{-1}(\cdot, s)|^2 dh \leq B(\delta + K_0)|t - s|, \\ & \left| \int_{B_1(x)} |g(\cdot, t)|^2 - |g(\cdot, s)|^2 dh \right| \leq B(\delta + K_0)|t - s|, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\left| \int_{B_1(x)} |g^{-1}(\cdot, t)|^2 - |g^{-1}(\cdot, s)|^2 dh \right| \leq B(\delta + K_0)|t - s|,$$

for all  $x \in M$  for all  $t, s \in (0, T]$  and for all  $x \in M$ .

**Corollary 5.7.** *Let  $(M, h)$  be  $n$ -dimensional and satisfy (2.4),  $g$  be a smooth solution to (1.1) on  $M \times [0, T]$ ,  $T \leq 1$  and assume that there exist  $0 < a \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $\delta \in \mathbb{R}$  so that*

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{a}h \leq g(\cdot, t) \leq ah \quad \text{and} \\ \sup_{x \in M} \int_{B_1} |{}^h\nabla g(\cdot, t)|^2 dh \leq \delta \quad \forall t \in [0, T], \\ K_0 := \sup_{x \in M} |\text{Rm}(h)| \leq 1 \end{aligned}$$

Then there exists a  $B = B(n, a)$  such that

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{B_1(x)} |g(\cdot, t) - g(\cdot, 0)|^2 dh &\leq B(\delta + K_0)t, \\ \int_{B_1(x)} |g^{-1}(\cdot, t) - g^{-1}(\cdot, 0)|^2 dh &\leq B(\delta + K_0)t, \\ \left| \int_{B_1(x)} |g(\cdot, t)|^2 - |g(\cdot, 0)|^2 dh \right| &\leq B(\delta + K_0)t \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\left| \int_{B_1(x)} |g^{-1}(\cdot, t)|^2 - |g^{-1}(\cdot, 0)|^2 dh \right| \leq B(\delta + K_0)t,$$

for all  $t \in [0, T]$  and for all  $x \in M$ .

*Proof of Corollary 5.7.* For any sequence  $t_i \rightarrow 0$  and any  $x \in M$ , we have  $\int_{B_1(x)} |g(\cdot, t) - g(\cdot, t_i)|^2 dh \leq B(\delta + 1)|t - t_i|$ . Letting  $i \rightarrow \infty$  implies the first estimate view of the smoothness of the solution. The other estimates follow with an almost identical argument.  $\square$

*Proof of Lemma 5.6:* We calculate for a standard cut-off function  $\eta$  with  $\eta = 1$  on  $B_1(x)$  and  $\eta = 0$  on  $(B_2(x))^c$  and  $|{}^h\nabla\eta|^2 \leq c(n)|\eta|$  that

$$\begin{aligned} &\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \left( e^{-B(n,a)t} \int_M \eta |g(\cdot, t) - g(\cdot, s)|^2 dh \right) \\ &= e^{-B(n,a)t} \int_M \eta \frac{\partial}{\partial t} h^{ik} h^{jl} (g(t)_{ij} - g(s)_{ij}) ((g(t)_{kl} - g(s)_{kl})) dh \\ &\quad - B(n, a) e^{-B(n,a)t} \int_M \eta |g(\cdot, t) - g(\cdot, s)|^2 dh \\ &= e^{-B(n,a)t} \int_M 2\eta h^{ik} h^{jl} \frac{\partial}{\partial t} g(t)_{ij} ((g(t)_{kl} - g(s)_{kl})) dh \\ &\quad - B(n, a) e^{-B(n,a)t} \int_M \eta |g(\cdot, t) - g(\cdot, s)|^2 dh \\ &= e^{-B(n,a)t} \int_M 2\eta h^{ik} h^{jl} \mathcal{L}(g(t), h)_{ij} (g(t)_{kl} - g(s)_{kl}) dh \\ &\quad - B(n, a) e^{-B(n,a)t} \int_M \eta |g(\cdot, t) - g(\cdot, s)|^2 dh \\ &\leq e^{-B(n,a)t} B(n, a) \int_{B_2(x)} (|{}^h\nabla g(t)|^2 + K_0 + |{}^h\nabla g(s)|^2) dh \\ &\quad + e^{-B(n,a)t} B(n, a) \int_M \eta |g(\cdot, t) - g(\cdot, s)|^2 dh \\ &\quad - B(n, a) e^{-B(n,a)t} \int_M \eta |g(\cdot, t) - g(\cdot, s)|^2 dh \\ &\leq e^{-B(n,a)t} B(n, a) (\delta + K_0), \end{aligned}$$

where  $\mathcal{L}(g(t), h)_{ij}$  is the right hand side of the equation (1.1), and we used integration by parts in the second to last step, and a covering of  $B_2(x)$  by  $c(n)$  balls of radius one in the last step. Integrating from  $s$  to  $t$  implies the first estimate. Also,

$$\begin{aligned}
& \frac{\partial}{\partial t} (e^{-B(n,a)t} \int_M \eta |g^{-1}(\cdot, t) - g^{-1}(\cdot, s)|^2 dh) \\
&= e^{-B(n,a)t} \int_M \eta \frac{\partial}{\partial t} h_{ik} h_{jl} (g(t)^{ij} - g(s)^{ij}) (g(t)^{kl} - g(s)^{kl}) dh \\
&\quad - B(n, a) e^{-B(n,a)t} \int_M \eta |g^{-1}(\cdot, t) - g^{-1}(\cdot, s)|^2 dh \\
&= e^{-B(n,a)t} \int_M 2\eta h^{ik} h^{jl} g^{iv} g^{jw} \frac{\partial}{\partial t} g(t)_{vw} ((g(t)^{kl} - g(s)^{kl})) dh \\
&\quad - B(n, a) e^{-B(n,a)t} \int_M \eta |g^{-1}(\cdot, t) - g^{-1}(\cdot, s)|^2 dh \\
&= e^{-B(n,a)t} \int_M 2\eta h^{ik} h^{jl} g^{iv} g^{jw} \mathcal{L}(g(t), h)_{vw} ((g(t)^{kl} - g(s)^{kl})) dh \\
&\quad - B(n, a) e^{-B(n,a)t} \int_M \eta |g^{-1}(\cdot, t) - g^{-1}(\cdot, s)|^2 dh \\
&\leq e^{-B(n,a)t} B(n, a) \int_{B_2(x)} (|{}^h \nabla g(t)|^2 + K_0 + |{}^h \nabla g(s)|^2) dh \\
&\quad + e^{-B(n,a)t} B(n, a) \int_M \eta |g^{-1}(\cdot, t) - g^{-1}(\cdot, s)|^2 dh \\
&\quad - B(n, a) e^{-B(n,a)t} \int_M \eta |g^{-1}(\cdot, t) - g^{-1}(\cdot, s)|^2 dh \\
&\leq e^{-B(n,a)t} B(n, a) (\delta + K_0).
\end{aligned}$$

Integrating from  $s$  to  $t$  implies the second estimate.

Also,

$$\begin{aligned}
& \frac{\partial}{\partial t} (e^{-B(n,a)t} \int_M \eta |g(\cdot, t)|^2 dh) \\
&= e^{-B(n,a)t} \int_M \eta \frac{\partial}{\partial t} h^{ik} h^{jl} g(t)_{ij} g(t)_{kl} dh - B e^{-B(n,a)t} \int_M \eta |g(\cdot, t)|^2 dh \\
&= e^{-B(n,a)t} \int_M 2\eta h^{ik} h^{jl} \frac{\partial}{\partial t} g(t)_{ij} g(t)_{kl} dh - B e^{-B(n,a)t} \int_M \eta |g(\cdot, t)|^2 dh \\
&= e^{-B(n,a)t} \int_M 2\eta h^{ik} h^{jl} \mathcal{L}(g(t), h)_{ij} g(t)_{kl} dh - B e^{-B(n,a)t} \int_M \eta |g(\cdot, t)|^2 dh \\
&\leq e^{-B(n,a)t} B(n, a) \int_{B_2(x)} (|{}^h \nabla g(t)|^2 + K_0) dh + B e^{-B(n,a)t} B(n, a) \int_M \eta |g(\cdot, t)|^2 dh \\
&\quad - B e^{-B(n,a)t} \int_M \eta |g(\cdot, t)|^2 dh \\
&\leq e^{-B(n,a)t} B(n, a) (\delta + K_0),
\end{aligned}$$

where we used integration by parts in the second to last step. Integrating from  $s$  to  $t$  implies the third estimate. The fourth estimate follows similarly.  $\square$

The previous Lemma showed us that solutions which are smooth on  $M \times [0, T]$  and whose  $W^{1,2}$  energy is bounded on balls of radius one by  $\delta$ , and which are uniformly (independent of time) equivalent to  $h$ ,  $\frac{1}{a}h \leq g(t) \leq ah$ , converge strongly in the  $L^2$  norm back to  $g_0$  as time goes to zero, and the rate of convergence depends only on  $a, n$  and  $\delta$ . If we only assume that the solution is smooth on  $M \times (0, T)$ , then the previous Lemma shows us that the solution is Cauchy in the  $L^2(B_1(x))$  norm in time, and hence there exists a well defined  $L^2_{loc}$  limit,  $g_0$  at time  $t = 0$  on  $M$ . In the four dimensional setting, the

assumption that the solution is bounded in  $W^{2,2}(B_1(x))$  for all  $x \in M$  means that there must be a sequence of times  $t_i$ , such that  $g(t_i)$  converge weakly in  $H := W^{2,2}(B_1(x))$  back to  $g_0 \in H$  (the details are given in the proof of Theorem 5.8.). The estimates of Theorem 5.5, show us that in fact the convergence is also strong in  $W_{loc}^{2,2}$ , if the solution is a limit of smooth solutions, whose initial data converge locally strongly in  $W^{2,2}$ , as is explained in the following theorem. Note that this is precisely the situation which we study in the next section.

**Theorem 5.8.** *For all  $0 < a \in \mathbb{R}$  there exists  $\delta = \delta(a)$  so that the following holds. Let  $(M, h)$  be four dimensional and satisfy (2.4) and  $(M, g(t), p)_{t \in (0, T]}$  be the smooth limit, on compact subsets of  $M \times (0, T]$ , of  $(M, g(i)(t), p_i)_{t \in (0, T]}$  as  $i \rightarrow \infty$  of a sequence of smooth solutions  $g(i)$  to (1.1) defined on  $M \times [0, T]$  which satisfy*

$$(5.4) \quad \frac{1}{a}h \leq g(i)(\cdot, t) \leq ah \quad \text{and}$$

$$(5.5) \quad \sup_{x \in M} \int_{B_1(x)} (|{}^h\nabla g(i)(\cdot, t)|^2 + |{}^h\nabla^2 g(i)(\cdot, t)|^2) dh \leq \delta$$

for all  $t \in [0, T]$ . Assume further that the initial data  $g(i)(\cdot, 0)$  converge strongly locally in  $W_{loc}^{2,2}$  to some  $g_0 \in W_{loc}^{2,2}$  as  $i \rightarrow \infty$ , that is  $\|g(i)(0) - g_0\|_{W^{2,2}(K)} \rightarrow 0$  for all compact sets  $K \subseteq M$  as  $i \rightarrow \infty$ . Then,  $g(t) \rightarrow g_0$  as  $t \searrow 0$  locally strongly in the  $W^{2,2}$  norm, that is

$$(5.6) \quad \int_{B_1(x)} |g(\cdot, t) - g_0(\cdot)|^2 dh + \int_{B_1(x)} |{}^h\nabla(g(\cdot, t) - g_0(\cdot))|^2(\cdot, t) dh \\ + \int_{B_1(x)} |{}^h\nabla^2(g(\cdot, t) - g_0(\cdot))|^2(\cdot, t) dh \rightarrow 0$$

as  $t \searrow 0$ , for any  $x \in M$ .

*Proof.* The solutions  $g(i)$  defined on  $M \times [0, T]$  are smooth and satisfy the hypotheses of Theorem 5.1. Without loss of generality  $T \leq 1$ . Hence, the conclusions of that theorem hold and we get

$$\int_{B_{R_0}(x_0)} (|{}^h\nabla g(i)(\cdot, t)|^2 + |{}^h\nabla^2 g(i)(\cdot, t)|^2) dh \\ \leq \int_{B_{R_1}(x_0)} (|{}^h\nabla g(i)(\cdot, 0)|^2 + |{}^h\nabla^2 g(i)(\cdot, 0)|^2) dh + V(R_0, R_1, a)t$$

for any  $x_0 \in M$  and for all  $t \in [0, T]$ . The second estimate of Corollary 5.7 implies additionally for any  $x_0 \in M$  and all  $t \in [0, T]$ , (remembering  $T \leq 1$ )

$$\int_{B_{R_0}(x_0)} |g(i)(\cdot, t)|^2 dh \leq \int_{B_{R_1}(x_0)} |g(i)(\cdot, 0)|^2 dh + V(R_0, R_1, a)t.$$

Letting  $i \rightarrow \infty$  for fixed  $t \in (0, T)$ , and using that the solutions converges smoothly locally away from  $t = 0$  and in the  $W_{loc}^{2,2}$  norm at time zero, we see that the limit solution also satisfies

$$(5.7) \quad \int_{B_{R_0}(x_0)} (|{}^h\nabla g(\cdot, t)|^2 + |{}^h\nabla^2 g(\cdot, t)|^2 + |g(t)|^2) dh \\ \leq \int_{B_{R_1}(x_0)} (|{}^h\nabla g_0|^2 + |{}^h\nabla^2 g_0|^2 + |g_0|^2) dh + V(R_0, R_1, a)t$$

for any  $x_0 \in M$ , for all  $t \in (0, T)$ , that is

$$(5.8) \quad \|g(t)\|_{W^{2,2}(B_{R_0}(x))}^2 \leq \|g_0\|_{W^{2,2}(B_{R_1}(x))}^2 + V(R_0, R_1, a)t$$

for any  $x_0 \in M$ , for all  $t \in (0, T)$ , where  $\|T\|_{W^{2,2}(B_{R_0}(x))}^2 := \int_{B_{R_0}(x_0)} (|T|_h^2 + |{}^h\nabla T|^2 + |{}^h\nabla^2 T|^2) dh$  for any zero-two tensor defined on  $B_{R_0}(x_0)$  whose components are in  $W^{2,2}$ . Furthermore, we have  $\int_{B_1(x)} |g(t) - g_0|^2 dh \rightarrow 0$  for  $t \searrow 0$  in view of Corollary 5.7, and the fact that  $g(i)(0) \rightarrow g_0$  as  $i \rightarrow \infty$  in the  $W_{loc}^{2,2}$  norm.

Fixing  $x_0 \in M$ , and  $R_0 := 1$  we define the Hilbert space  $H := W^{2,2}(B_1(x))$  to be the space of zero-two tensors whose components are in  $W^{2,2}(B_1(x))$  and whose scalar product is defined by  $(T, S)_H := \int_{B_1(x_0)} (T, S)_h + ({}^h\nabla T, {}^h\nabla S)_h + ({}^h\nabla^2 T, {}^h\nabla^2 S)_h dh$ . Using this notation, we may write (5.7) as

$$(5.9) \quad (g(t), g(t))_H \leq \|g_0\|_{W^{2,2}(B_{R_1}(x))}^2 + V(R_0, R_1, a)t$$

for all  $t \in (0, T)$ , for any  $2 > R_1 > R_0 = 1$ . We are going to show that every sequence  $(g(t_i))_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$  with  $t_i \searrow 0$  contains a strongly converging subsequence with limit  $g_0$  in  $H$ . This then clearly implies that  $g(s) \rightarrow g_0$  in  $H$  as  $s \searrow 0$  since otherwise we can find a sequence  $t_i \rightarrow 0$ , and a  $\delta > 0$  s.t.  $\|g(t_i) - g_0\|_H > \delta$ , and hence no subsequence of  $g(t_i)$  will converge to  $g_0$  in  $H$ , which would be a contradiction.

Now to the details. For any sequence of times  $0 < t_i \rightarrow 0$  as  $i \rightarrow \infty$ , there exists a subsequence,  $g(t_{i_j}) =: g_{i_j}$  of  $g(t_i)$  such that  $g_{i_j} \rightarrow z$  as  $j \rightarrow \infty$  for some  $z \in H$ , in view of the definition of a Hilbert space and weak convergence.

But  $g_{i_j}$  must then converge strongly to  $z$  in  $L^2(B_1(x))$  and hence  $z = g_0$ . Note that this shows that  $g_0 \in H := W^{2,2}(B_1(x))$  for every  $x \in M$ . Setting  $r_j := t_{i_j}$  this means we have  $g(r_j) \rightarrow g_0$  strongly in  $L^2(B_1(x))$  and  $g(r_j) \rightarrow g_0$  weakly in  $H$ . It remains to show that  $g(r_j) \rightarrow g_0$  strongly in  $H = W^{2,2}(B_1(x))$  for all  $x \in M$ .

Assume that this is not true for some  $x \in M$ . Then we can find a subsequence  $s_k := r_{i_k}$ ,  $k \in \mathbb{N}$  of  $(r_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$  and a  $\delta > 0$  such that  $\|g(s_k) - g_0\|_H^2 \geq \delta > 0$  for all  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ . But then

$$\begin{aligned} \delta &\leq (g(s_k) - g_0, g(s_k) - g_0)_H \\ &= (g(s_k), g(s_k))_H + (g_0, g_0)_H - 2(g(s_k), g_0)_H \\ &= \|g(s_k)\|_H^2 + \|g_0\|_H^2 - 2(g(s_k), g_0)_H \end{aligned}$$

for all  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ , and hence, using (5.9),

$$(5.10) \quad \delta \leq \|g_0\|_{W^{2,2}(B_{R_1}(x))}^2 + \|g_0\|_H^2 - 2(g(s_k), g_0)_H + V(1, R_1, a)s_k.$$

Since  $g_0$  in  $W^{2,2}(B_2(x))$  (here we use the covering argument from Lemma B.1), there must exist a  $1 < R_1 < 2$  such that

$$\|g_0\|_{W^{2,2}(B_{R_1}(x))}^2 \leq \|g_0\|_H^2 + \frac{\delta}{8}$$

and hence we obtain

$$\delta \leq 2\|g_0\|_H^2 - 2(g(s_k), g_0)_H + \frac{\delta}{8} + V(1, R_1, a)s_k$$

for this choice of  $R_1$  independent of  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ . Letting  $k \rightarrow \infty$ , we obtain a contradiction, since  $2\|g_0\|_H^2 - 2(g(s_k), g_0)_H \rightarrow 0$  as  $k \rightarrow \infty$ .  $\square$

## 6. EXISTENCE AND REGULARITY

In this section we prove the main results for the Ricci DeTurck flow of data which is initially  $W^{2,2}$ .

**Theorem 6.1.** *Let  $1 < a < \infty$  and  $(M, h)$  be four dimensional and satisfy (2.4). Then there exists a constant  $\varepsilon_1 = \varepsilon_1(a) > 0$  with the following properties. Assume  $g_0$  is a smooth Riemannian metric on  $M$  which is uniformly bounded in  $W_{\text{loc}}^{2,2} \cap L^\infty$  in the following sense:*

$$\begin{aligned} \text{(a)} \quad & \frac{1}{a}h \leq g_0 \leq ah \\ \text{(b)} \quad & \int_{B_2(x)} (|{}^h\nabla g_0|^2 + |{}^h\nabla^2 g_0|^2) dh \leq \varepsilon \quad \text{for all } x \in M, \end{aligned}$$

where  $\varepsilon \leq \varepsilon_1(a)$ , and  $g_0$  satisfies

$$\sup_M |{}^h\nabla^i g_0|^2 < \infty$$

for all  $i \in N$ . Then there exists constants  $T = T(a, \varepsilon) > 0$  and  $c_j = c_j(a, h) > 0$ , and a smooth solution  $(g(t))_{t \in [0, T]}$  to (1.1) such that

$$(a_t) \quad \frac{1}{400a}h \leq g(t) \leq 400ah$$

$$(b_t) \quad \int_{B_1(x)} (|{}^h\nabla g(\cdot, t)|^2 + |{}^h\nabla^2 g(\cdot, t)|^2) dh < 2\varepsilon \quad \text{for all } x \in M, t \in [0, T]$$

$$(c_t) \quad |{}^h\nabla^j g(\cdot, t)|^2 \leq \frac{c_j(a, h)}{t^j}$$

and

$$\sup_M |{}^h\nabla^j g(t)|^2 < \infty$$

for all  $j \in N$  for all  $t \in [0, T]$ .

*Proof.* Using the existence theory for Parabolic equations, for example the method of Shi, Theorem [29] Section 3 and 4 (which in turn uses Theorem 7.1, Section VII of [19]) we see that we have a solution to (1.1) for a short time  $[0, V]$  for some  $V > 0$  and  $\sup_{M \times [0, V]} |{}^h\nabla^j g|^2 < \infty$  for all  $j \in \mathbb{N}$ : See Theorem A.1. We assume, without loss of generality, that  $\varepsilon_1(a) \leq \frac{\varepsilon_0}{4} = \frac{\varepsilon_0(a, 4)}{4}$ , where  $\varepsilon_0$  is the constant from Theorem 4.2. Let  $\hat{S} := \sup\{t \in [0, V] \mid (b_t) \text{ holds for } t \leq \hat{S}\}$ :  $\hat{S} > 0$  due to smoothness (and boundedness of covariant derivatives of  $g$ ). We have  $|{}^h\nabla g(\cdot, t)| \leq \frac{1}{t}$  and  $\frac{1}{80a}h \leq g(t) \leq 80ah$ , for  $t \leq \min(\hat{S}, S_1(4, a))$ , in view of Theorem 4.2, where  $S_1(4, a)$  is the constant from that theorem. Hence, for such  $t \leq \min(S_1(4, a), \hat{S})$ , we have  $|{}^h\nabla^i g(\cdot, t)|^2 \leq \frac{N_i(80a, 1, 4, h)}{t^i}$  in view of Lemma 4.1. Also, using Corollary 5.4 with  $b = 80a$ , we can improve the estimate (b<sub>t</sub>) to  $\int_{B_1(x)} (|{}^h\nabla g|^2 + |{}^h\nabla^2 g|^2) dh \leq \frac{3}{2}\varepsilon < 2\varepsilon$ , for  $t \leq \min(S_1(4, a), \hat{S}, S_2(b = 80a, \varepsilon))$ , where  $S_2(b, \varepsilon) > 0$  is the constant from that Corollary, since  $2\varepsilon \leq 2\varepsilon_1(a) < \varepsilon_0$  and without loss of generality,  $\varepsilon_0 \leq \delta = \delta(80a)$ , where  $\delta$  is the constant appearing in that corollary. Hence  $\hat{S} \geq \min(S_1(4, a), V, S_2(b = 80a, \varepsilon))$ , and (a<sub>t</sub>), (b<sub>t</sub>) and (c<sub>t</sub>) hold for  $t \leq \min(S_1(4, a), V, S_2(b = 80a, \varepsilon))$ , with  $c_1 = 2$ ,  $c_i = N_i(80a, 1, 4, h)$  for all  $i \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $i \geq 2$ . Applying Theorem A.1 and repeating this argument as often as necessary, we may extend this solution to a smooth solution  $(g(t))_{t \in [0, T]}$  satisfying (a<sub>t</sub>), (b<sub>t</sub>) and (c<sub>t</sub>) for  $t \leq T := \min(S_1(4, a), S_2(b = 80a, \varepsilon))$ . The estimates  $\sup_{M \times [0, V]} |{}^h\nabla^j g|^2 < \infty$  for all  $j \in \mathbb{N}$  and (c<sub>t</sub>) guarantee that  $\sup_{M \times [0, T]} |{}^h\nabla^j g|^2 < \infty$  for all  $j \in \mathbb{N}$ . □

**Remark 6.2.** In the proof of Theorem 6.1 we obtained  $\int_{B_1(x)} (|{}^h\nabla g(\cdot, t)|^2 + |{}^h\nabla^2 g(\cdot, t)|^2) dh < \frac{3}{2}\varepsilon$  for all  $x \in M$ ,  $t \in [0, T]$ , and  $\frac{1}{80a}hg(t) \leq 80ah$  for  $t \in [0, T]$ : we will use these facts in the proof of the next theorem.

**Theorem 6.3.** *Let  $(M, h)$  be four dimensional and satisfy (2.4) and  $\infty > a > 1$ . Assume  $g_0$  is a  $W_{\text{loc}}^{2,2} \cap L^\infty$  Riemannian metric, not necessarily smooth, which satisfies (a) and (b), where  $\varepsilon \leq \frac{\varepsilon_1(2a)}{2}$  and  $\varepsilon_1$  is the constant from Theorem 6.1.*

*Then there exists a constant  $S = S(a, \varepsilon) > 0$  and a smooth solution  $(g(t))_{t \in (0, S]}$  to (1.1), where  $g(t)$  satisfies (a<sub>t</sub>), (b<sub>t</sub>) and (c<sub>t</sub>) for all  $x \in M$ , for all  $t \in (0, S]$ , and*

$$(d_t) \quad \int_{B_1(x)} (|g_0 - g(t)|^2 + |{}^h\nabla(g_0 - g(t))|^2 + |{}^h\nabla^2(g_0 - g(t))|^2) dh \rightarrow 0$$



as  $t \searrow 0$  for all  $x \in M$ . The solution is unique in the class of solutions satisfying  $(\mathbf{a}_t), (\mathbf{b}_t)$ ,  $(\mathbf{c}_t)$  and  $(\mathbf{d}_t)$ . The solution also satisfies the local estimates

$$(e_t) \quad \sup_{x \in B_1(x_0)} |{}^h \nabla^j g(\cdot, t)|^2 t^j \rightarrow 0 \text{ for } t \rightarrow 0$$

$$(f_t) \quad \int_{B_1(x_0)} (|{}^h \nabla g(\cdot, t)|^2 + |{}^h \nabla^2 g(\cdot, t)|^2) dh$$

$$\leq \int_{B_R(x_0)} (|{}^h \nabla g_0(\cdot)|^2 + |{}^h \nabla^2 g_0(\cdot)|^2) dh + V(a, R)t$$

for all  $x_0 \in M$ ,  $2 > R > 1$  for all  $t \leq T$ .

*Proof.* Let  $R > 0$  be given, and  $\eta : M \rightarrow [0, 1] \subseteq \mathbb{R}$  be a smooth cut-off function as in (iv) of Lemma B.1:  $\eta = 1$  on  $B_R(x_0)$ ,  $\eta = 0$  on  $M \setminus (B_{CR}(x_0))$ ,  $|{}^h \nabla^2 \eta| + |{}^h \nabla \eta|^2 / \eta \leq \frac{C}{R^2}$  on  $M$  (here  $n = 4$ ),  $|{}^h \nabla^i \eta|^2 \leq c_i(h)$  for all  $i \in \mathbb{N}$ . We mollify the metric  $g_0$  everywhere locally, to obtain a metric  $\hat{g}_0$ , which is smooth and then define  $g_{0,R}(\cdot) := \eta(\cdot) \hat{g}_0(\cdot) + (1 - \eta(\cdot))h(\cdot)$ . This metric still satisfies (a), and (b) up to a factor of  $\frac{10}{9}$ , if we mollify appropriately and  $R > 0$  is large enough. That is we have

$$(\tilde{\mathbf{a}}) \quad \frac{9}{10a}h \leq g_{0,R} \leq \frac{10}{9}ah$$

$$(\tilde{\mathbf{b}}) \quad \int_{B_2(x)} (|{}^h \nabla g_{0,R}|^2 + |{}^h \nabla^2 g_{0,R}|^2) dh \leq \frac{10}{9}\varepsilon \quad \text{for all } x \in M$$

Furthermore  $g_{0,R} = h$  outside of  $B_{CR}(x_0)$  and so  $\sup_M |{}^h \nabla^j g_{0,R}|^2 < \infty$  for all  $j \in \mathbb{N}$ .

Theorem 6.1, with  $a$  replaced by  $\frac{10}{9}a$  and  $\varepsilon$  by  $\frac{10}{9}$ , and Remark 6.2, guarantee the existence of a solution  $(g_R(t))_{t \in [0, T]}$  with  $T = T(a, \varepsilon) > 0$  to (1.1) satisfying  $(\mathbf{a}_t), (\mathbf{b}_t)$  and  $(\mathbf{c}_t)$  for all  $x \in M$ , for all  $t \in [0, T]$ , with  $g_R(0) = g_{0,R}$ : Note that the constants  $c_j(\frac{10}{9}a, h)$  of  $(\mathbf{c}_t)$  do not depend on  $R$ . Hence there exists a limit, taking the sequence of radii  $R(i) = i \rightarrow \infty$ , solution for  $t > 0$ ,  $(M, g(t))_{t \in (0, T]}$  which satisfies  $(\mathbf{a}_t), (\mathbf{b}_t)$  and  $(\mathbf{c}_t)$  for all  $x \in M$ , for all  $t \in (0, T]$ . Theorem 5.1 applied to each  $g_{R(i)}$  implies  $(\mathbf{f}_t)$ , and Theorem 5.8 implies  $(\mathbf{d}_t)$ , for the limit solution  $g(t)_{t \in (0, T]}$ . Note without loss of generality, that  $\varepsilon_1(2a)$  from Theorem 6.1 is less than  $\frac{\delta(400a)}{c(a, n)}$ , where  $\delta$  is the constant from Theorem 5.1, and  $c(a, n)$  is a large constant of our choice. Hence, without loss of generality, the scale invariant condition

$$(6.1) \quad \left( \int_{B_1(x)} |{}^h \nabla g(\cdot, t)|^4 dh \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} + \int_{B_1(x)} |{}^h \nabla^2 g(\cdot, t)|^2 dh \leq \frac{\delta(400a)}{c(a, n)}$$

holds for all  $x \in M$ , for all  $t \in (0, T]$ , in view of (v) from Lemma B.1. For any  $x \in M$  we claim that

$$\sup_{B_1(x)} (t |{}^h \nabla g(\cdot, t)|^2) \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } t \searrow 0.$$

Assume that this is not the case for some  $x \in M$ . Then we obtain a sequence of points  $y_j \in B_1(x) \subseteq M$  and  $0 < t_j \rightarrow 0$  for  $j \in \mathbb{N}, j \rightarrow \infty$  and an  $r > 0$  such that  $t_j |{}^h \nabla g(y_j, t_j)|^2 \geq r > 0$ . Taking a subsequence we see  $y_j \rightarrow y \in M$  and hence  $\int_{B_{2\sqrt{t_j}}(y_j)} (|{}^h \nabla g_0|^4 + |{}^h \nabla^2 g_0|^2) dh \rightarrow 0$  as  $j \rightarrow \infty$ . Scale the solution to time 1: that is we define  $g_j(\cdot, \tilde{t}) = \frac{1}{t_j} g(\cdot, t_j \tilde{t})$ ,  $h_j(\cdot) = \frac{1}{t_j} h(\cdot)$  and  $g_{j,0} = \frac{1}{t_j} g_0$ . Now we have  $|{}^{h_j} \nabla g_j(y_j, 1)|^2 \geq r > 0$  and  $\int_{B_2(y_j)} (|{}^h \nabla g_{j,0}|^4 + |{}^h \nabla^2 g_{j,0}|^2) dh \rightarrow 0$  as  $j \rightarrow \infty$ , and  $\frac{1}{400a} h_j(\cdot) \leq g_j(\cdot, t) \leq 400a h_j(\cdot)$  for all  $t$  where the solution is defined. Theorem 5.1, and the fact that (6.1) also holds for the scaled solutions, now implies that  $\int_{B_1(y_j)} |{}^{h_j} \nabla g(\cdot, 1)|^2 + |{}^{h_j} \nabla^2 g(\cdot, 1)|^2 dh_j \rightarrow 0$  as  $j \rightarrow \infty$ , and  $\frac{1}{400a} h_j(\cdot) \leq g_j(\cdot, t) \leq 400a h_j(\cdot)$  for all  $t$  where the solution is defined. But these estimates combined with  $(\mathbf{c}_t)$  then imply that  $|{}^{h_j} \nabla^k g_j(y_j, 1)|^2 \rightarrow 0$  for all  $k \in \mathbb{N}$  as  $j \rightarrow \infty$ , which is a contradiction: To see this, one can write all quantities in geodesic coordinates with respect

to the metric  $h_j$  centred at  $y_j$ . The estimate  $\sup_{B_1(x)}(t^j |{}^h \nabla^j g(\cdot, t)|^2) \rightarrow 0$  as  $t \searrow 0$  for the other  $j \in \mathbb{N}$  follow from an almost identical argument. That is  $(e_t)$  also holds.  $\ddot{a}$  The uniqueness of the solution in the class of solutions satisfying  $(a_t), (b_t), (c_t)$  and  $(d_t)$  follows immediately from Theorem 11.2.

□

**Remark 6.4.** In fact the constants  $c_j(h, a)$  in  $(c_t)$  of Theorem 6.3 can be replaced by  $c_j(h, a, \varepsilon)$  where  $c_j(h, a, \varepsilon) \rightarrow 0$  as  $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$  :

Assume  $g_i(t)_{t \in (0, T(\frac{1}{i}, a)]}$  are solutions obtained in Theorem 6.3 with  $\varepsilon$  in  $(b_t)$  given by  $\varepsilon = \frac{1}{i}$  and assume  $|{}^h \nabla g_i(x_i, t_i)|^2 \geq \frac{\alpha}{t_i} > 0$  for a  $t_i \in (0, T(\frac{1}{i}, a)]$  for some  $\alpha > 0$ . From Theorem B.1 (v), we have  $\int_{B_1(x)} |{}^h \nabla g_i(\cdot, t)|^4 + |{}^h \nabla g_i^2(\cdot, t)|^2 \leq 2\frac{1}{t_i}$  for all  $t \in (0, T(\frac{1}{i}, a)]$ . Scaling the solutions by  $\hat{g}_i(t) := \frac{1}{t_i} g_i(tt_i)$ , we obtain smooth solution defined on  $(0, 1]$  which satisfying  $|{}^{h_i} \nabla^j \hat{g}_i(\cdot, 1)|^2 \leq c_j$  for all  $j \in \mathbb{N}$  in view of  $(c_t)$ , and  $|{}^{h_i} \nabla \hat{g}_i(x_i, 1)|^2 \geq \alpha$  and  $\int_{B_1(x)} (|{}^{h_i} \nabla \hat{g}_i(\cdot, 1)|^4 + |{}^{h_i} \nabla \hat{g}_i^2(\cdot, 1)|^2) dh_i(x) \leq 2\frac{1}{t_i}$  for all  $x \in M$ , where we denote the scaled metric  $\frac{1}{t_i} h$  by  $h_i$ . But this means  $|{}^{h_i} \nabla^j \hat{g}_i(\cdot, 1)|^2 \rightarrow 0$  for all  $j \in \mathbb{N}$  as  $i \rightarrow 0$ , as can be seen by writing all quantities in geodesic coordinates with respect to  $h_i$  at  $x_i$ . This contradicts  $|{}^{h_i} \nabla \hat{g}_i(x_i, 1)|^2 \geq \alpha > 0$  for all  $i \in \mathbb{N}$ . Hence  $c_1(a, h) = c_1(h, a, \varepsilon) \rightarrow 0$  as  $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ . An almost identical argument shows that  $c_j(h, a)$  in  $(c_t)$  of Theorem 6.3 can be replaced by  $c_j(h, a, \varepsilon)$  where  $c_j(h, a, \varepsilon) \rightarrow 0$  as  $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ .

In the case that the energy is bounded uniformly, then a scaling argument leads to the setting of the previous theorem, and hence we may find a solution to the equation (1.1) for a short time, which satisfies the conclusions of the previous theorems, for any  $\varepsilon > 0$ , if we shorten the length of the time interval.

**Theorem 6.5.** *Let  $(M, h)$  be four dimensional and satisfy (2.1), and  $1 < a < \infty$ , and  $g_0$  be a  $W^{2,2} \cap L^\infty$  Riemannian metric, not necessarily smooth, which satisfies (a) and*

$$(6.2) \quad \int_M (|{}^h \nabla g_0|^2 + |{}^h \nabla^2 g_0|^2) dh < \infty.$$

*Then for any  $\varepsilon > 0$  there exists a constant  $T = T(g_0, a, \varepsilon) > 0$ , a  $C = C(g_0, a, \varepsilon)$  and a smooth solution  $(g(t))_{t \in (0, T]}$  to (1.1), such that after scaling the solution and the background metric  $h$  once,  $(a_t), (b_t), (c_t), (d_t), (e_t)$  and  $(f_t)$  hold, and  $(g(t))_{t \in (0, T]}$  is the unique solution in the class of solutions satisfying the conditions  $(a_t), (b_t), (c_t), (d_t)$ . The constants  $c_j(h, a)$  in  $(c_t)$  can be replaced by  $c_j(h, a, \varepsilon)$  where  $c_j(h, a, \varepsilon) \rightarrow 0$  as  $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$*

**Remark 6.6.** In this setting, we cannot expect

$$(6.3) \quad \int_M (|{}^h \nabla g(t)|^2 + |{}^h \nabla^2 g(t)|^2) dh < \infty$$

for any  $t > 0$  as the example, Example 6.7, below shows.

*Proof.* As explained in the introduction : By scaling the initial data  $g_0$  and the background metric  $h$  once, we can guarantee that the new initial data and background metric, which we also call  $g_0$  and  $h$ , satisfy (a) and (b), where  $\varepsilon \leq \frac{\varepsilon_1(2a)}{2}$  and  $\varepsilon_1$  is the constant from Theorem 6.1, and that  $h$  satisfies (2.4). Using Theorem 6.3, we obtain a solution  $g(t)$ ,  $t > 0$   $t \in [0, T]$  which satisfies  $(a_t), (b_t), (c_t), (d_t), (e_t)$  and  $(f_t)$ . The uniqueness of the solution in the class of solutions satisfying  $(a_t), (b_t), (c_t)$  and  $(d_t)$  follows immediately from Theorem 11.2. The fact that the  $c_j(h, a)$  in  $(c_t)$  can be replaced by  $c_j(h, a, \varepsilon)$  where  $c_j(h, a, \varepsilon) \rightarrow 0$  as  $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$  follows from Remark 6.4. □

**Example 6.7.** Let  $n = 2$  and  $g_0$  be a smooth metric on

$C_1(0) := \{(x_1, x_2) \in \mathbb{R}^2 \mid \max(|x_1|, |x_2|) < 1\}$  such that  $(1 - \varepsilon)\delta \leq g_0 \leq (1 + \varepsilon)\delta$ ,  $0 < \varepsilon \ll 1$  and  $g_0 = \delta$  on  $C_1(0) \setminus C_{\frac{1}{2}}(0)$ , and so that the curvature of  $g_0$  is a constant  $\sigma_1 > 0$  on  $C_{\sigma_2}(0)$ , for two small constants  $\sigma_1, \sigma_2 > 0$ , where  $\delta$  is the standard metric

on  $\mathbb{R}^2$ . We extend this metric to all of  $\mathbb{R}^2$  through symmetry,  $g_0(x) = g_0(x + p)$  for all  $p \in \mathbb{Z}^2 = \{(z_1, z_2) \mid z_1, z_2 \in \mathbb{Z}\}$ , and in doing so obtain a smooth Riemannian metric  $g_0$  on  $\mathbb{R}^2$  satisfying  $(1 - \varepsilon)\delta \leq g_0 \leq (1 + \varepsilon)\delta$ , with  $\psi_p^* g_0 = g_0$  for any  $p \in \mathbb{Z}^2$ , where  $\psi_p(x) = x + p$ . Let  $T_i^2$  refers to the standard 2-torus whose circles have radius  $i \in \mathbb{N}$ .  $T_i^2 := \mathbb{R}^2 / \Gamma(i)$ , where  $\Gamma(i) := \{T_y : \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^2 \mid y \in \mathbb{Z}^2, \text{ where } T_y(x) = x + iy, \text{ for all } x \in \mathbb{R}^2\}$ . That is  $T_i^2 = \{[x] \mid x \in \mathbb{R}^2\}$  where  $[x] = [z]$  if and only if  $T(x) = z$  for some  $T \in \Gamma(i)$ . We give  $T_i^2$  the unique metric  $g_0(i)$  such that  $\pi^*(g_0(i)) = g_0$  where  $\pi : \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow T_i^2$  is the standard projection,  $\pi(x) = [x]$ .

From the work of Shi, [29], there exists a unique smooth solution  $(T_i^2, g(i)(t))_{t \in [0, T]}$  to (1.1) with  $h = g_0(i)$ ,  $g(i)(0) = g_0(i)$ ,  $\sup_{t \in [0, T]} |{}^h \nabla^j g(i)(t)|^2 < c_j(g_0)$  for all  $j \in \mathbb{N}$ , and all  $t \in [0, T]$  and  $(1 - \varepsilon_0)g_0(i) \leq g(i)(t) \leq (1 + \varepsilon_0)g_0(i)$  for all  $t \in [0, T]$ . Defining  $\varphi_p : T_i^2 \rightarrow T_i^2$  by  $\varphi_p([x]) = [p + x]$ , where  $p \in \mathbb{Z}^2$ , we see that  $\varphi_p^*(g_0(i)) = g_0(i)$  by construction, and hence it is an isometry with respect to  $g_0(i)$ . Setting  $\tilde{g}(i)(t) := (\varphi_p)^*(g(i)(t))$ , we see that it is also a solution to (1.1), with  $\tilde{g}(i)(0) = g_0(i)$  and  $h = g_0(i)$ . Uniqueness of such solutions, which can be seen by applying the maximum principle to the function  $f(\cdot, t) := |g_1(\cdot, t) - g_2(\cdot, t)|^2$ , shows us that  $(\varphi_p)^* g(i)(t) = g(i)(t)$  for all  $t \in [0, T]$ .

Taking a subsequence and then a limit  $i \rightarrow \infty$ , we obtain a solution  $g(t)$  to (1.1) with  $g(0) = g_0$ ,  $\sup_{t \in [0, T]} |{}^h \nabla^j g(t)|^2 < c_j(g_0) < \infty$  for all  $j \in \mathbb{N}$ , and all  $t \in [0, T]$ , and such that  $(\psi_p)^* g(t) = g(t)$ ,  $\psi_p^* h = h$  where  $\psi_p(x) = x + p$  and  $p \in \mathbb{Z}^2$ . Furthermore  $|{}^h \nabla^j g_0| = 0$  for all  $j \in \mathbb{N}$ , since  $g_0 = h$ .

Using a Taylor expansion in time for  $g(t)$ , and the fact that  $g(t)$  is a smooth solution to (1.1) with  $g(0) = h$ , we see that  $g(x, t) - h(x) = g(x, t) - \delta = O(t^2)$  for small  $t$  for  $x \in C_{\frac{4}{5}}(0) \setminus C_{\frac{3}{4}}(0)$  and  $g(y, t) - h(y) = -2\sigma t h(y) + O(t^2)$  for  $y = 0$ . If  ${}^h \nabla g(t) = 0$  holds for all  $t \in [0, P]$  then, for all  $t \in [0, P]$  with  $P > 0$  small enough, we would have  $g(t) = h$  on  $C_{\frac{4}{5}}(0) \setminus C_{\frac{3}{4}}(0)$  and  $g(y, t) - h(y) \neq 0$  at  $y = 0$ . But taking any two vectors  $v, w$  at a point  $p$  in  $C_{\frac{4}{5}}(0) \setminus C_{\frac{3}{4}}(0)$  we have  $g(p, t)(v, w) = h(p)(v, w)$ . Parallel transporting the vectors along a geodesic (with respect to  $h$ ) from  $p$  to 0, we would have  $\frac{\partial}{\partial r} g(\gamma(r), t)(v(r), w(r)) = 0 = \frac{\partial}{\partial r} h(\gamma(r))(v(r), w(r))$  and hence  $g(0, t)(v(r), w(r)) = h(0)(v(r), w(r))$ . Since the vectors were arbitrary, we see  $g(0, t) = h(0)$  for all  $t \in [0, P]$  : a contradiction. By smoothness of the solution, there exists an  $s_t > 0$  such that  $\int_{C_1(0)} (|{}^h \nabla g(t)|^2 + |{}^h \nabla^2 g(t)|^2) dh \geq s_t > 0$  for some  $t > 0$ . Using the isometry  $\psi_p$ , we see that this means  $\int_{C_1(p)} (|{}^h \nabla g(t)|^2 + |{}^h \nabla^2 g(t)|^2) dh \geq s_t > 0$ , for all  $p \in \mathbb{Z}^2$ , hence  $\int_M (|{}^h \nabla g(t)|^2 + |{}^h \nabla^2 g(t)|^2) dh = \infty$  for this  $t$ . To obtain an example in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  with  $n \in \mathbb{N}, n > 2$  we simply take  $\hat{g}_0 = \hat{h} = g_0 \oplus \delta_{\mathbb{R}^k}$  on  $\mathbb{R}^{k+2} = \mathbb{R}^n$  where  $\delta_{\mathbb{R}^k}$  is the standard metric on  $\mathbb{R}^k$ .

## 7. RICCI FLOW ESTIMATES

The results of the previous sections are in the setting of the Ricci DeTurck flow. As mentioned at the beginning of the paper, in certain settings, for example the closed smooth setting, there is a Ricci flow related solution, which can be written as  $\ell(t) := (\varphi_t)^* g(t)$  where  $\varphi_t : M \rightarrow M$ ,  $t \in [0, T]$ , or possibly only  $t \in (0, T]$ , is a smooth family of diffeomorphisms, and  $g(t)_{t \in [0, T]}$  is a solution to Ricci DeTurck flow. We say in this case, that the Ricci flow solution  $\ell(t)$  comes from the Ricci DeTurck solution  $g(t)$ , and we call  $\ell(t)$  a *Ricci Flow related solution to  $g(t)$* . In the next section we construct and analyse the behaviour of solutions  $\ell(t)$  coming from a Ricci DeTurck solution  $g(t)$  constructed in the previous sections. In order to do this, we require various estimates for solutions to local Ricci flows. The statements and proofs thereof are contained in this section. The results of this section are written in a local setting assuming various geometric bounds, which we know will hold if the local Ricci flow solution comes from a Ricci DeTurck flow

solution constructed in the previous sections of this paper. However, it is not necessary to assume that the local Ricci flow solutions we consider are constructed in this manner.

**Theorem 7.1.** *For all  $p \in [2, \infty)$  there exists a  $\alpha_0 = \alpha_0(p, n) > 0$  such that the following holds. Let  $\Omega$  be a smooth  $n$ -dimensional manifold and  $(\Omega^n, \ell(t))_{t \in (0, T]}$  be a smooth solution to Ricci flow satisfying*

$$(7.1) \quad \int_{\Omega} |\text{Rc}(\ell(t))| d\ell(t) \leq \varepsilon$$

$$|\text{Rc}(\ell(t))| \leq \frac{\varepsilon}{t} \quad \text{on } \Omega$$

for all  $t \in (0, T]$ , where  $\varepsilon \leq \alpha_0$ . Then there exist  $\delta(n, p, \varepsilon), c(n, p) > 0$ , with the property that  $\delta(n, p, \varepsilon) \rightarrow 0$  as  $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ , such that

$$(7.2) \quad \int_{\Omega} |\ell(t) - \ell(s)|_{\ell(t)}^p d\ell(t) \leq \delta(n, p, \varepsilon) |t - s|$$

$$(7.3) \quad \int_{\Omega} |(\ell(t))^{-1} - (\ell(s))^{-1}|_{\ell(t)}^p d\ell(t) \leq \delta(n, p, \varepsilon) |t - s|$$

for all  $t, s \in (0, T]$  with  $s < t$ . Furthermore,

$$(7.4) \quad \int_{\Omega} |\ell(s)|_{\ell(t)}^p d\ell(t) \leq c(n, p) (\text{Vol}(\Omega, \ell(t)) + |t - s|)$$

$$(7.5) \quad \int_{\Omega} |\ell^{-1}(s)|_{\ell(t)}^p d\ell(t) \leq c(n, p) (\text{Vol}(\Omega, \ell(t)) + |t - s|)$$

$$(7.6) \quad \int_{\Omega} |\ell(r) - \ell(s)|_{\ell(t)}^p d\ell(t) \leq c(n, p) (\text{Vol}(\Omega, \ell(t)) + t)^{\frac{3}{4}} |r - s|^{\frac{1}{4}}$$

$$(7.7) \quad \int_{\Omega} |(\ell(r))^{-1} - (\ell(s))^{-1}|_{\ell(t)}^p d\ell(t) \leq c(n, p) (\text{Vol}(\Omega, \ell(t)) + t)^{\frac{3}{4}} |r - s|^{\frac{1}{4}}$$

for all  $r, s, t \in (0, T]$  with  $r, s < t$ .

**Remark 7.2.** This Lemma is true for *any smooth Ricci flow* satisfying (7.1): Completeness, compactness, volume bounds, Sobolev inequalities, are not assumed.

*Proof.* We write  $v := \ell(s)$ , and  $\ell$  for  $\ell(t)$ , for  $t \in (s, T]$ , and  $\text{Rc}$  for  $\text{Rc}(\ell(t))$  and we calculate for

$$h(t) := \int_{\Omega} |\ell(t) - \ell(s)|_{\ell(t)}^p d\ell(t),$$

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial}{\partial t} h(t) &= \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \int_{\Omega} |\ell(t) - v|_{\ell(t)}^p d\ell(t) \\ &= \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \int_{\Omega} (\ell^{ik} \ell^{jm} (\ell_{ij} - v_{ij}) (\ell_{km} - v_{km}))^{\frac{p}{2}} d\ell \\ &= \int_{\Omega} -R(\ell) |\ell - v|_{\ell}^p + \frac{p}{2} |\ell - v|_{\ell}^{p-2} \left( 2\ell^{is} \ell^{kz} \text{Rc}(\ell)_{sz} \ell^{jm} (\ell_{ij} - v_{ij}) (\ell_{km} - v_{km}) \right. \\ &\quad \left. + 2\ell^{ik} \ell^{js} \ell^{mz} \text{Rc}(\ell)_{sz} (\ell_{ij} - v_{ij}) (\ell_{km} - v_{km}) \right. \\ &\quad \left. - 2\ell^{ik} \ell^{jm} \text{Rc}(\ell)_{ij} (\ell_{km} - v_{km}) - 2\ell^{ik} \ell^{jm} ((\ell_{ij} - v_{ij}) \text{Rc}_{km}(\ell)) \right) \\ &\leq c(n)p \int_{\Omega} (|\text{Rc}|_{\ell} |\ell - v|_{\ell}^p + |\text{Rc}|_{\ell} |\ell - v|_{\ell}^{p-1}) d\ell \\ &\leq \frac{c(n)p\varepsilon}{t} h(t) + c(n)p \int_{\Omega} |\text{Rc}|_{\ell}^{\frac{1}{p}} (|\text{Rc}|_{\ell}^{\frac{p-1}{p}} |\ell - v|_{\ell}^{p-1}) d\ell \\ &\leq \frac{c(n)p\varepsilon}{t} h(t) + c(n)p \int_{\Omega} (|\text{Rc}|_{\ell} + |\text{Rc}|_{\ell} |\ell - v|_{\ell}^p) d\ell \\ &\leq \frac{c(n)p\varepsilon}{t} h(t) + c(n)p\varepsilon \end{aligned}$$

for some  $c(n) \geq 1$  depending only on  $n$ . Hence the function  $f(t) = h(s+t)$  also satisfies

$$(7.8) \quad \begin{aligned} \frac{\partial}{\partial t} f(t) &\leq \frac{\beta_0}{s+t} f(t) + \beta_0 \\ &\leq \frac{\beta_0}{t} f(t) + \beta_0 \end{aligned}$$

for all  $t \in (0, T-s]$ , where  $\beta_0 := c(n)p\varepsilon$ . The assumptions on  $\varepsilon$  guarantee that  $\beta_0 = c(n)p\varepsilon \leq \frac{1}{2}$ , and hence, using the ODE Lemma C.2, we see that  $f(t) \leq 2\beta_0 t$  for all  $t \in (0, T-s]$ . In particular, we obtain  $h(t) = f(t-s) \leq 2\beta_0(t-s) = c(n)p\varepsilon(t-s)$  for all  $t \in (s, T]$ , that is (7.2) holds.

The estimate (7.3) is proved in an almost identical way. We calculate for  $y(t) := \int_{\Omega} |(\ell^{-1} - v^{-1})|_{\ell(t)}^p d\ell(t)$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial}{\partial t} y(t) &= \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \int_{\Omega} |\ell^{-1} - v^{-1}|_{\ell}^p d\ell \\ &= \int_{\Omega} -R(\ell) |\ell^{-1} - v^{-1}|_{\ell}^p + \frac{p}{2} |\ell^{-1} - v^{-1}|_{\ell}^{p-2} \left( -2\text{Rc}(\ell)_{ik} \ell_{jm} (\ell^{ij} - v^{ij}) (\ell^{km} - v^{km}) \right. \\ &\quad \left. - 2\ell_{ik} \text{Rc}(\ell)_{jm} (\ell^{ij} - v^{ij}) (\ell^{km} - v^{km}) \right. \\ &\quad \left. + 2\ell_{ik} \ell_{jm} \ell^{ip} \ell^{jq} \text{Rc}(\ell)_{pq} (\ell^{km} - v^{km}) \right. \\ &\quad \left. + 2\ell_{ik} \ell_{jm} (\ell^{ij} - v^{ij}) \text{Rc}(\ell)_{pq} \ell^{kp} \ell^{mq} \right) d\ell \\ &\leq c(n)p \left( \int_{\Omega} |\text{Rc}(\ell)|_{\ell} |\ell^{-1} - v^{-1}|_{\ell}^p + |\text{Rc}(\ell)|_{\ell} |\ell^{-1} - v^{-1}|_{\ell}^{p-1} d\ell \right) \\ &\leq \frac{c(n)p\alpha_0}{t} \int_{\Omega} |\ell^{-1} - v^{-1}|_{\ell}^p d\ell + c(n)p \int_{\Omega} |\text{Rc}(\ell)|_{\ell} |\ell^{-1} - v^{-1}|_{\ell}^{p-1} d\ell \\ &\leq \frac{2c(n)p\alpha_0}{t} \ell(t) + c(n)p\alpha_0 \\ &\leq \frac{\beta_0 y(t)}{t} + \beta_0. \end{aligned}$$

The inequality (7.3) now follows as before from Lemma C.2. The inequalities (7.4), (7.5), (7.6) and (7.7) follow from the Hölder and triangle inequalities, as we now show. First we show (7.4):

$$(7.9) \quad \begin{aligned} \int_{\Omega} |\ell(s)|_{\ell(t)}^p d\ell(t) &= \int_{\Omega} |\ell(s) - \ell(t) + \ell(t)|_{\ell(t)}^p d\ell(t) \\ &\leq c(n,p) \int_{\Omega} |\ell(s) - \ell(t)|_{\ell(t)}^p d\ell(t) + c(n,p) \int_{\Omega} |\ell(t)|_{\ell(t)}^p d\ell(t) \\ &\leq c(n,p)|t-s| + c(n,p) \text{Vol}(\Omega, \ell(t)). \end{aligned}$$

Similarly,

$$(7.10) \quad \begin{aligned} \int_{\Omega} |\ell^{-1}(s)|_{\ell(t)}^p d\ell(t) &= \int_{\Omega} |\ell^{-1}(s) - \ell^{-1}(t) + \ell^{-1}(t)|_{\ell(t)}^p d\ell(t) \\ &\leq c(n,p) \int_{\Omega} |\ell^{-1}(s) - \ell^{-1}(t)|_{\ell(t)}^p d\ell(t) + c(n,p) \int_{\Omega} |\ell^{-1}(t)|_{\ell(t)}^p d\ell(t) \\ &\leq c(n,p)|t-s| + c(n,p) \text{Vol}(\Omega, \ell(t)). \end{aligned}$$

Thus we see that (7.4), (7.5) hold. To show (7.6) and (7.7) hold, we will use the estimates of Appendix D, which show that certain general inequalities hold which relate the  $L^p$  norms of a tensor taken with respect to different metrics.

For any two tensor  $T = T_{ij}$  we have, using Corollary D.2,

$$(7.11) \quad \begin{aligned} \int_{\Omega} |T|_{\ell(t)}^p d\ell(t) &\leq c(n,p) \left( \int_{\Omega} |\ell(s)|_{\ell(t)}^{2p} d\ell(t) \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left( \int_{\Omega} |T|_{\ell(s)}^{4p} d\ell(s) \right)^{\frac{1}{4}} \left( \int_{\Omega} |\ell(t)|_{\ell(s)}^{\frac{n}{2}} d\ell(t) \right)^{\frac{1}{4}} \\ &= c(n,p) \left( \int_{\Omega} |\ell(s)|_{\ell(t)}^{2p} d\ell(t) \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left( \int_{\Omega} |T|_{\ell(s)}^{4p} d\ell(s) \right)^{\frac{1}{4}} \left( \int_{\Omega} |\ell^{-1}(s)|_{\ell(t)}^{\frac{n}{2}} d\ell(t) \right)^{\frac{1}{4}} \end{aligned}$$

For  $T = (\ell(r) - \ell(s))$  in (7.11) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\Omega} |\ell(r) - \ell(s)|_{\ell(t)}^p d\ell(t) \\ & \leq c(n, p) \left( \int_{\Omega} |\ell(s)|_{\ell(t)}^{2p} d\ell(t) \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \cdot \left( \int_{\Omega} |\ell(s) - \ell(r)|_{\ell(s)}^{4p} d\ell(s) \right)^{\frac{1}{4}} \cdot \left( \int_{\Omega} |\ell^{-1}(s)|_{\ell(t)}^{\frac{n}{2}} d\ell(t) \right)^{\frac{1}{4}} \\ & \leq c(n, p) (\text{Vol}(\Omega, \ell(t)) + t)^{\frac{3}{4}} \cdot |r - s|^{\frac{1}{4}} \end{aligned}$$

in view of the estimates (7.2), (7.4) and (7.5). For any two tensor  $N = N^{ij}$  we have, using Corollary D.2,

$$\begin{aligned} (7.12) \quad \int_{\Omega} |N|_{\ell(t)}^p d\ell(t) & \leq c(n, p) \left( \int_{\Omega} |\ell(t)|_{\ell(s)}^{2p} d\ell(t) \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left( \int_{\Omega} |N|_{\ell(s)}^{4p} d\ell(s) \right)^{\frac{1}{4}} \left( \int_{\Omega} |\ell(t)|_{\ell(s)}^{\frac{n}{2}} d\ell(t) \right)^{\frac{1}{4}} \\ & = c(n, p) \left( \int_{\Omega} |\ell^{-1}(s)|_{\ell(t)}^{2p} d\ell(t) \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left( \int_{\Omega} |N|_{\ell(s)}^{4p} d\ell(s) \right)^{\frac{1}{4}} \left( \int_{\Omega} |\ell^{-1}(s)|_{\ell(t)}^{\frac{n}{2}} d\ell(t) \right)^{\frac{1}{4}} \end{aligned}$$

For  $N = (\ell^{-1}(r) - \ell^{-1}(s))$  in (7.12) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\Omega} |\ell^{-1}(r) - \ell^{-1}(s)|_{\ell(t)}^p d\ell(t) & \leq c(n, p) \left( \int_{\Omega} |\ell^{-1}(s)|_{\ell(t)}^{2p} d\ell(t) \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \cdot \left( \int_{\Omega} |\ell^{-1}(s) - \ell^{-1}(r)|_{\ell(s)}^{4p} d\ell(s) \right)^{\frac{1}{4}} \\ & \quad \cdot \left( \int_{\Omega} |\ell^{-1}(s)|_{\ell(t)}^{\frac{n}{2}} d\ell(t) \right)^{\frac{1}{4}} \\ & \leq c(n, p) (\text{Vol}(\Omega, \ell(t)) + t)^{\frac{3}{4}} \cdot |r - s|^{\frac{1}{4}} \end{aligned}$$

in view of the estimates (7.3), (7.4) and (7.5). That is, the inequalities (7.6) and (7.7) hold.  $\square$

The previous Lemma shows that for  $p \in [2, \infty)$  and  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , a solution  $(\Omega, \ell(t))_{t \in (0, T]}$  which satisfies the conditions of the Lemma, that is  $\int_{\Omega} |\text{Rc}(\ell(t))| d\ell(t) \leq \varepsilon$  and  $|\text{Rc}(\ell(t))| \leq \frac{\varepsilon}{t}$  on  $\Omega$  for all  $t \in (0, T]$ , where  $\varepsilon \leq \alpha_0 = \alpha_0(n, p)$ , must have a uniquely well defined starting value  $\ell_0 \in L^p(\Omega)$  which is a symmetric two tensor, whose inverse exists almost everywhere:

**Corollary 7.3.** *For all  $p \in [2, \infty)$  and  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  there exists an  $\alpha_0(n, p) > 0$  such that the following holds. Let  $\Omega$  be a smooth  $n$ -dimensional manifold and  $(\Omega^n, \ell(t))_{t \in (0, T]}$  be a smooth solution to Ricci flow satisfying*

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\Omega} |\text{Rc}(\ell(t))| d\ell(t) & \leq \varepsilon \\ |\text{Rc}(\ell(t))| & \leq \frac{\varepsilon}{t} \quad \text{on } \Omega \end{aligned}$$

for all  $t \in (0, T]$ , where  $\varepsilon \leq \alpha_0$ . Then there exists a unique two tensor  $\ell_0 \in L^p$  such that  $\ell(s) \rightarrow \ell_0$  in  $L^p(\Omega)$  as  $s \searrow 0$  where  $\ell_0$  is positive definite (except for a measure zero set), and  $\ell^{-1}(s) \rightarrow (\ell_0)^{-1}$  in  $L^p(\Omega)$  as  $s \searrow 0$ .

*Proof.* From (7.6) and (7.7) we see that  $\ell(s)_{s \in (0, T]}$  and  $\ell^{-1}(s)_{s \in (0, T]}$  are Cauchy w.r.t to  $s$  in  $L^{2p}(\Omega, \ell(t))$  for fixed  $t > 0$ , so there exists  $\ell_0, r_0 \in L^{2p}(\Omega, \ell(t))$  such that  $\ell(s) \rightarrow \ell_0$  and  $\ell^{-1}(s) \rightarrow r_0$  as  $s \searrow 0$  in the  $L^{2p}$  norm. Furthermore  $\delta_j^i = \ell^{ik}(s) \ell_{jk}(s)$  and so we have, for  $\|\cdot\|_{L^q} = \|\cdot\|_{L^q(\Omega, \ell(t))}$  for some fixed  $t > 0$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} & \|\delta_j^i - (\ell_0)_{jk} r_0^{ik}\|_{L^p} \\ & = \|\ell^{ik}(s) \ell_{jk}(s) - (\ell_0)_{jk} r_0^{ik}\|_{L^p} \\ & = \|(\ell^{ik}(s) - r_0^{ik}) \ell_{jk}(s) - r_0^{ik} ((\ell_0)_{jk} - \ell_{jk}(s))\|_{L^p} \\ & \leq \|(\ell^{ik}(s) - r_0^{ik}) \ell_{jk}(s)\|_{L^p} + \|r_0^{ik} ((\ell_0)_{jk} - \ell_{jk}(s))\|_{L^p} \\ & \leq \|\ell^{ik}(s) - r_0^{ik}\|_{L^{2p}} \|\ell_{jk}(s)\|_{L^{2p}} + \|r_0^{ik}\|_{L^{2p}} \|(\ell_0)_{jk} - \ell_{jk}(s)\|_{L^{2p}} \\ & \rightarrow 0 \end{aligned}$$

as  $s \searrow 0$  in view of (7.4), (7.5), (7.6) and (7.7). Hence  $r_0 = (\ell_0)^{-1}$  almost everywhere. At points  $x$  in the set of measure zero, where  $\ell(0)(x)$  is degenerate, we replace  $\ell(0)(x)$  by  $h(x)$ . The convergence result still holds, but now  $\ell(0)$  is positive definite everywhere.  $\square$

**Theorem 7.4.** *For any  $A > 0$  and  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  there exist  $\alpha_1, \beta, S > 0$  such that the following holds. Let  $(M^n, \ell(t))_{t \in (0, T]}$  be a smooth solution to Ricci flow, with  $B_{\ell(t)}(x_0, 10) \Subset M$ ,  $T \leq 1$ , satisfying a uniform Sobolev inequality for all  $t \in (0, T]$  :*

$$\left( \int_{B_{\ell(t)}(x_0, 2)} |f|^4 d\ell(t) \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \leq A \left( \int_{B_{\ell(t)}(x_0, 2)} |\nabla f|^2 d\ell(t) + \int_{B_{\ell(t)}(x_0, 2)} |f|^2 d\ell(t) \right)$$

for any  $f$  compactly contained in  $B_{\ell(t)}(x_0, 2)$  for any  $t \in (0, T]$ , where  $\nabla$  refers to the covariant derivative with respect to  $\ell(t)$ . We further assume

$$(7.13) \quad \int_{B_{\ell(t)}(x_0, 2)} |\text{Rm}(\ell(t))|^2 d\ell(t) + \int_{B_{\ell(t)}(x_0, 2)} |\text{Rm}(\ell(t))| d\ell(t) \leq \alpha_1$$

$$|\text{Rc}(\ell(t))| + |\nabla \text{Rc}(\ell(t))|^{\frac{2}{3}} \leq \frac{\alpha_1}{t} \quad \text{on } B_{\ell(t)}(x_0, 2)$$

for all  $t, s \in (0, T]$ . Then we have

$$(7.14) \quad \int_{B_{\ell(t)}(x_0, \frac{1}{2})} |\nabla(\ell(t) - \ell(s))|_{\ell(t)}^2 d\ell(t) \leq |t - s|^\beta$$

for all  $t, s \in (0, T] \cap (0, S]$ , with  $s < t$ , where  $\nabla$  refers to the covariant derivative with respect to  $\ell(t)$ .

*Proof.* We first prove that the space time integral of  $|\nabla \text{Rc}|^2$  can be locally, uniformly bounded in the setting we are considering. This estimate shall in turn be used to prove the  $L^2$  gradient estimate (7.14).

Let  $\eta : M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$  be a Perelman cutoff-function, with  $\eta(\cdot, t) = e^{-ct}$  on  $B_{\ell(t)}(x, 1)$  and  $\eta(\cdot, t) = 0$  on  $M - B_{\ell(t)}(x, \frac{5}{4})$ ,  $\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \eta \leq \Delta_{\ell(t)} \eta$ ,  $|\nabla \eta|^2 \leq c(n) \eta$  (see [32] section 7 for details of the construction). Then, using the Sobolev inequality, Hölder's inequality, and the fact that  $\int_{B_{\ell(t)}(x_0, 2)} \eta^2(t) |\text{Rm}|^2(t) d\ell(t) \leq \alpha_1$ , we see that

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \int_M \eta^2(\cdot, t) |\text{Rm}|^2(\cdot, t) d\ell(t) \\ &= \int_M (|\text{Rm}|^2 \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \eta^2 + \eta^2 \frac{\partial}{\partial t} (|\text{Rm}|^2) - \eta^2 \text{R} |\text{Rm}|^2) d\ell(t) \\ &\leq \int_M (|\text{Rm}|^2 \Delta(\eta^2) + \eta^2 \Delta(|\text{Rm}|^2) - 2\eta^2 |\nabla \text{Rm}|^2 + c(n) \eta^2 |\text{Rm}|^3) d\ell(t) \\ &= \int_M (\eta \langle \text{Rm} * \nabla \text{Rm}, \nabla \eta \rangle_\ell - 2\eta^2 |\nabla \text{Rm}|^2 + c(n) \eta^2 |\text{Rm}|^3) d\ell(t) \\ &\leq \int_{B_{\ell(t)}(x, 2)} (-\eta^2 |\nabla \text{Rm}|^2 + c(n) |\text{Rm}|^2 + c(n) \eta^2 |\text{Rm}|^3) d\ell(t) \\ &= \int_{B_{\ell(t)}(x, 2)} \left( -\frac{1}{2} \eta^2 |\nabla \text{Rm}|^2 - \frac{1}{2} [|\nabla(\eta \text{Rm})|^2 - |\nabla \eta|^2 |\text{Rm}|^2 - 2\eta \langle \text{Rm} \nabla \eta, \nabla \text{Rm} \rangle] \right. \\ &\quad \left. + c(n) |\text{Rm}|^2 + c(n) \eta^2 |\text{Rm}|^3 \right) d\ell(t) \\ &\leq \alpha_1 c(n) + \int_{B_{\ell(t)}(x_0, 2)} \left( -\frac{1}{4} |\nabla(\eta \text{Rm})|^2 + c(n) (\eta |\text{Rm}|)^2 |\text{Rm}| \right) d\ell(t) \\ &\leq \alpha_1 c(n) - \int_{B_{\ell(t)}(x_0, 2)} \frac{1}{4} |\nabla(\eta \text{Rm})|^2 d\ell(t) \\ &\quad + c(n) \left( \int_{B_{\ell(t)}(x_0, 2)} |\eta \text{Rm}|^4 d\ell(t) \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left( \int_{B_{\ell(t)}(x_0, 2)} |\text{Rm}|^2 d\ell(t) \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &\leq \alpha_1 c(n) + (c(n) A \sqrt{\alpha_1} - \frac{1}{4}) \int_{B_{\ell(t)}(x_0, 2)} |\nabla(\eta \text{Rm})|^2 d\ell(t) \\ &\leq \alpha_1 c(n) - \frac{1}{8} \int_{B_{\ell(t)}(x_0, 2)} |\nabla(\eta \text{Rm})|^2 d\ell(t) \end{aligned}$$

if  $\alpha_1$  is small enough. Hence, integrating from  $s$  to  $t$  we see that

$$(7.15) \quad \begin{aligned} \int_s^t \int_{B_{\ell(r)}(x_0, 1)} |\nabla \text{Rm}|^2(r) dx dr &\leq c(n) \int_M \eta^2 |\text{Rm}|^2(\cdot, s) + \alpha_1 c(n) t \\ &\leq \alpha_1 c(n) \end{aligned}$$

with  $\alpha_1 c(n) \leq 1$  without loss of generality. We now turn to the proof of the integral gradient estimate, (7.14). This is similar to the  $L^p$  estimate obtained for  $\ell(t)$  in (7.1), but uses the space-time  $L^2$  bound on the gradient of the Ricci curvature that we just derived, instead of the bound on the Riemannian curvature. In the following  $|\cdot|$  refers to  $|\cdot|_{\ell(t)}$ , and  $\text{Rc}$  to  $\text{Rc}(\ell(t))$ . Defining  $\Omega := B_{\ell(t_0)}(x, \frac{1}{2})$ , we see that  $\Omega \subseteq B_{\ell(r)}(x, 1)$  for all  $r \in (0, t_0)$  if  $t_0 \leq 1$  in view of Corollary 3.3 of [32] and the fact that the condition (7.13) holds. Differentiating the function  $f(t) := \int_{\Omega} |\nabla(\ell(t) - \ell(s))|_{\ell(t)}^2 d\ell(t)$ , for  $s < t \leq t_0$  we get

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial}{\partial t} f(t) &= \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \int_{\Omega} |\nabla(\ell(t) - \ell(s))|_{\ell(t)}^2 d\ell(t) \\ &\leq \int_{\Omega} (c(n) |\text{Rc}| |\nabla(\ell(t) - \ell(s))|^2 + 2 \langle \nabla \text{Rc}, \nabla(\ell(t) - \ell(s)) \rangle_{\ell(t)}) d\ell(t) \\ &\quad + c(n) \int_{\Omega} |\ell(t) - \ell(s)| |\nabla \text{Rc}| |\nabla(\ell(t) - \ell(s))| d\ell(t) \\ &\leq \frac{c(n) \alpha_1}{t} f(t) + c(n) \int_{\Omega} |\nabla \text{Rc}| |\nabla(\ell(t) - \ell(s))| d\ell(t) \\ &\quad + c(n) \int_{\Omega} |\ell(t) - \ell(s)| |\nabla \text{Rc}| |\nabla(\ell(t) - \ell(s))| d\ell(t) \\ &\leq \frac{c(n) \alpha_1}{t} f(t) + \frac{c(n) \alpha_1}{t-s} f(t) + \frac{c(n)}{\alpha_1} (t-s) \int_{\Omega} |\nabla \text{Rc}|^2 d\ell(t) \\ &\quad + c(n) \left( \int_{\Omega} |\ell(t) - \ell(s)|^2 |\nabla \text{Rc}|^{\frac{4}{3}} d\ell(t) \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} (\alpha_1 t^{-1} f(t))^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &\leq \frac{c(n) \alpha_1}{t-s} f(t) + \frac{c(n)}{\alpha_1} (t-s) \int_{\Omega} |\nabla \text{Rc}|^2 d\ell(t) + c(n) \int_{\Omega} |\ell(t) - \ell(s)|^2 |\nabla \text{Rc}|^{\frac{4}{3}} d\ell(t) \\ &\leq \frac{c(n) \alpha_1}{t-s} f(t) + \frac{c(n)}{\alpha_1} (t-s) \int_{\Omega} |\nabla \text{Rc}|^2 d\ell(t) \\ &\quad + c(n) \left( \int_{\Omega} |\ell(t) - \ell(s)|^6 d\ell(t) \right)^{\frac{1}{3}} \left( \int_{\Omega} |\nabla \text{Rc}|^2 d\ell(t) \right)^{\frac{2}{3}} \\ &\leq \frac{c(n) \alpha_1}{t-s} f(t) + \frac{c(n)}{\alpha_1} (t-s) \int_{\Omega} |\nabla \text{Rc}|^2 d\ell(t) + c(n) (t-s)^{\frac{1}{3}} \left( \int_{\Omega} |\nabla \text{Rc}|^2 d\ell(t) \right)^{\frac{2}{3}} \\ &\leq \frac{c(n) \alpha_1}{t-s} f(t) + \frac{c(n)}{\alpha_1} (t-s)^{\frac{1}{3}} \left( \int_{\Omega} |\nabla \text{Rc}|^2 d\ell(t) + 1 \right) \end{aligned}$$

since  $\int_{\Omega} |\ell(t) - \ell(s)|^6 \leq c(n) (t-s)$  for sufficiently small  $\alpha_1$ , in view of Theorem 7.1, that is

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t} f(t) \leq \frac{\alpha_2}{t-s} f(t) + Z(t)$$

for  $\alpha_2 := c(n) \alpha_1$  and  $Z(t) := c(n) \alpha_2^{-1} (t-s)^{\frac{1}{3}} \left( \int_{\Omega} |\nabla \text{Rc}(t)|^2 d\ell(t) + 1 \right)$  for  $t \leq S(n, \alpha_1) \leq 1$ . Hence,  $F(t) := f(t+s)$  for  $t \in (0, S-s)$  then satisfies

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t} F(t) \leq \frac{\alpha_2}{t} F(t) + \tilde{Z}(t)$$

where  $\tilde{Z}(r) = c(n) \alpha_2^{-1} r^{\frac{1}{3}} \left( \int_{\Omega} |\nabla \text{Rc}(\ell(s+r))|^2 d\ell(s+r) + 1 \right)$ , for  $r \in (0, T-s)$ . Thus, for  $\alpha_2 \leq \frac{1}{6}$ , we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} F(t) &\leq t^{\alpha_2} \int_0^t \frac{\tilde{Z}(r)}{r^{\alpha_2}} dr \\ &= c(n) \alpha_2^{-1} t^{\alpha_2} \int_0^t r^{\frac{1}{3} - \alpha_2} \left( \int_{\Omega} |\nabla \text{Rc}(\ell(r+s))|^2 d\ell(r+s) + 1 \right) dr \end{aligned}$$



$$\begin{aligned}
&\leq c(n)\alpha_2^{-1}t^{\alpha_2} \int_0^t \left( \int_{\Omega} |\nabla \text{Rc}(\ell(r+s))|^2 d\ell(r+s) dr + 1 \right) \\
&= c(n)\alpha_2^{-1}t^{\alpha_2} \left( \int_s^{s+t} \int_{\Omega} |\nabla \text{Rc}(\ell(r))|^2 d\ell(r) dr + 1 \right) \\
&\leq c(n)t^{\alpha_2} (\alpha_2^{-1} \int_s^{s+t} \int_{B_{\ell(r)}(x_0,1)} |\nabla \text{Rc}(\ell(r))|^2 d\ell(r) dr + 1) \\
&\leq c(n)t^{\alpha_2}
\end{aligned}$$

for  $t \in (0, S-s)$  in view of Lemma C.1. That is  $f(t) \leq c(n)(t-s)^{\alpha_2}$  for  $t \in (s, S)$ . By choosing  $\beta = \frac{\alpha_2}{2}$ , we obtain  $f(t) = \int_{\Omega} |\nabla(\ell(t) - \ell(s))|_{\ell(t)}^2 d\ell(t) \leq (t-s)^{\beta}$  for  $t \in (s, S)$  as required.  $\square$

## 8. THE RICCI FLOW RELATED SOLUTION

In the sections before Section 7, we constructed a solution  $g(t)_{t \in (0, T]}$  to the Ricci-DeTurck flow coming out of  $W^{2,2}$  initial data  $g_0$  on a four dimensional manifold, and we proved estimates for such solutions. In this section we construct a Ricci flow related solution  $\ell(t)_{t \in (0, T]}$  coming from the Ricci DeTurck flow solution constructed in the sections preceding Section 7. We show in the setting we are considering, that the Ricci flow related solution  $\ell(t)$  converges back to some starting value  $\ell_0$  locally in the  $W^{1,2}$  norm, as  $t \rightarrow 0$ . We shall see that the tensor  $\ell_0$  is non-negative definite, up to a set of measure zero. Note that since,  $g_0$  and  $\ell_0$  are only defined up to a measure zero, we can arbitrarily change distance induced by  $g_0$  respectively by  $\ell_0$  by changing  $g_0$  respectively  $\ell_0$  on a set of measure zero, if we try and use the usual definition of distance with respect to a Riemannian metric, as the following example shows.

**Example 8.1.** Let  $g, h$  be smooth Riemannian metrics on  $M = \mathbb{B}_1(0) \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ ,  $r > 0$  small so that  $B_h(0, r) \Subset \mathbb{B}_1(0)$  and  $x \neq y, x, y \in B_h(0, r/4)$  and let  $\gamma : [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{B}_1(0)$  be a smooth length minimising geodesic with respect to  $h$  from  $x$  to  $y$ . We define a new metric  $\tilde{g}$ , which is the same as  $g$  except on the line  $\gamma$ . On  $\gamma$  we define  $\tilde{g}(\gamma(s)) = b^2 h(\gamma(s))$  for all  $s \in [0, 1]$ , for some  $b \in \mathbb{R}, b > 0$ .  $\tilde{g}$  is still a well defined Riemannian metric, with  $\frac{1}{N}\delta \leq \tilde{g} \leq N\delta$  for some  $N > 0, N \in \mathbb{R}$ , in view of the smoothness of  $g$  and the definition of  $\tilde{g}$ . This ensures then that  $\tilde{g}_{ij}$  is a Borel-measurable function, since  $g_{ij}$  is smooth and  $g = \tilde{g}$  almost everywhere. Using the fact that  $\frac{1}{N}\delta \leq \tilde{g} \leq N\delta$  for some  $N > 0$ , we see then that  $\tilde{g}$  is in  $L^p$  for any  $p \in (0, \infty]$ . We also have, for any piecewise smooth  $\sigma : [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{B}_1(0)$  with  $\sigma(0) \neq \sigma(1)$  that  $\tilde{g}_{ij} \circ \sigma : I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is Borel measurable, since both  $\tilde{g}_{ij}$  and  $\sigma$  are, and  $\frac{1}{N^2}\delta_{ij} \leq \tilde{g}_{ij} \circ \sigma \leq N^2\delta_{ij}$ , since this is true for  $\tilde{g}_{ij}$ . This means  $\ell : [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ ,  $\ell(s) := \sqrt{\tilde{g}_{ij}(\sigma(s))\partial_s\sigma^i(s)\partial_s\sigma^j(s)}$  is a well defined  $L^1$  function and  $L_{\tilde{g}}(\sigma) := \int_0^1 \sqrt{\tilde{g}_{ij}(\sigma(s))\partial_s\sigma^i(s)\partial_s\sigma^j(s)} ds$  satisfies  $0 < \frac{1}{N}L_{\delta}(\sigma) \leq L_{\tilde{g}}(\sigma) < NL_{\delta}(\sigma) < \infty$  for all such  $\sigma$ . If we define  $d(\tilde{g})(p, q) := \inf_{\sigma \in B_{p,q}} L_{\tilde{g}}(\sigma)$  for all  $p, q \in M$  where  $B_{p,q}$  refers to the space of continuous, piecewise smooth curves between  $p$  and  $q$  in  $M = \mathbb{B}_1(0)$ , then we see that  $(\mathbb{B}_1(0), d(\tilde{g}))$  is a well defined metric space, that is  $d(\tilde{g})$  is symmetric, satisfies the triangle inequality, and  $d(\tilde{g})(p, q) \geq 0$  for all  $p, q \in M$  with equality if and only if  $p = q$ . Furthermore  $d(\tilde{g})(x, y) \leq L_{\tilde{g}}(\gamma)(x, y) = L_{bh}(x, y) = bd(h)(x, y) < d(g)(x, y)$  if  $b > 0$  is chosen small enough, and hence  $d(\tilde{g})(x, y) < d(g)(x, y)$  if  $b > 0$  is chosen small enough.

That is, *if we use the usual definition for distance with respect to a Riemannian metric, distance can change if we change the Riemannian metric on a set of measure zero.*

In particular, this example shows that we cannot be sure that  $d(g(t))(x, y) \rightarrow d(g_0)(x, y)$  everywhere, as  $t \searrow 0$ , in the case that we have a family of smooth metrics  $g(t)$  which converges in the  $L^1$  sense (or another weak sense) to a  $g_0 \in L^1$ , if we define  $d(g_0)$  in the usual way,  $d(g_0)(x, y) = \inf_{\sigma \in B_{x,y}} L_{g_0}(\sigma)$ , where  $B_{x,y}$  is the set of smooth curves going from  $x$  to  $y$ : if  $g_0$  is bounded from above and below by a smooth metric, we can

change  $g_0$  on a smooth curve between two given points  $x$  and  $y$  (as in the example above), so that  $d(g(t))(x, y)$  doesn't converge to  $d(g_0)(x, y)$ , but we still have  $g(t) \rightarrow g_0$  in  $L^1$  as  $t \searrow 0$ .

Nevertheless, we will see for solutions  $g(t)$  to the Ricci DeTurck flow constructed in the previous sections, that  $d(g(t))(x, y)$  does converge to some metric  $d_0(x, y)$  as  $t \searrow 0$ , where  $d_0$  is defined in a similar fashion to the usual definition of  $d(g_0)$ , but it is necessary to restrict further the class of admissible curves  $B_{x,y}$  between  $x$  and  $y$  to the class  $C_{\varepsilon,x,y}$  of so called  $\varepsilon$ -approximate Lebesgue curves between  $x$  and  $y$ , and then to take a limit inferior as  $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$  of the lengths.

**Definition 8.2.** i) For  $p \in [1, \infty)$  we say  $g$  is an  $L^p$ , if the following holds.  $g$  is a Riemannian metric, that is  $g(x) : T_x M \times T_x M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is defined, symmetric, positive-definite, for all  $x \in M$  and locally, writing  $\tilde{g}_{ij}(\tilde{x}) := g(x)(\frac{\partial}{\partial_i}(x), \frac{\partial}{\partial_j}(x))$  for any smooth coordinates  $\varphi : U \rightarrow \varphi(U) = \tilde{U} \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ ,  $\tilde{g}_{vv} : \tilde{U} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is in  $L^p(\tilde{U})$  for all  $v \in \mathbb{R}^n$ , where  $v$  is any fixed length one (w.r.t to  $\delta$ ) vector in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ , and  $\tilde{g}_{vv}(x) := \tilde{g}_{ij}(x)v^i v^j$ .

ii) For  $x, y \in M$  we define the set  $C_{\varepsilon,x,y}(g)$  of  $\varepsilon$ -approximate Lebesgue curves with respect to  $g$  from  $x$  to  $y$  in  $M$  to be the set of paths  $\gamma : [a, b] \rightarrow M$  which can be written as the union of finitely many so called *parameterised Lebesgue lines*  $\gamma_i : [a_{i-1}, a_i] \rightarrow M$ ,  $i \in \{1, \dots, N\}$ ,  $a_0 = a$ ,  $a_N = b$ ,  $\gamma = \gamma_1 \cup \gamma_2 \cup \gamma_3 \cup \dots \cup \gamma_N$ , that is  $\gamma(s) := \gamma_i(s)$  if  $s \in [a_{i-1}, a_i]$ , and

$$\begin{aligned} & d_h(x, \gamma_1(a)) + d_h(\gamma_1(a_1), \gamma_2(a_1)) + d_h(\gamma_2(a_2), \gamma_3(a_2)) \\ & + \dots + d_h(\gamma_{N-1}(a_{N-1}), \gamma_N(a_{N-1})) + d_h(\gamma_N(b), y) \leq \varepsilon, \end{aligned}$$

and a *parameterised Lebesgue line* is defined as follows: A *parameterised Lebesgue line* for an  $L^1$  metric  $g$  on  $M$ , is a smooth curve  $\ell : [b, c] \rightarrow M$  with  $|b - c| \leq \frac{1}{4}$ , such that there exist smooth coordinates  $\varphi : \Omega \rightarrow \varphi(\Omega) = \mathbb{B}_1(0)$  for some  $p \in M$ , such that  $\ell([b, c]) \subseteq \Omega$  and the curve  $\sigma := \varphi \circ \ell : [b, c] \rightarrow \mathbb{B}_1(0)$  in these coordinates is a line in the direction  $e_1$ , with speed one,  $\sigma(s) = -\frac{(b+c)}{2}e_1 + se_1$ ,  $\sigma(b) = -k$ ,  $\sigma(c) = k$ , where  $k = \frac{(c-b)}{2}$  and for  $f(s) := \sqrt{\tilde{g}_{11}(\sigma(s))}$ , we have  $f \in L^1([b, c])$  and  $\int_b^c f(s)ds = \lim_{\alpha \rightarrow 0} \frac{\int_{T_\alpha(\sigma)} \sqrt{\tilde{g}_{11}(x)} dx}{\omega_{n-1} \alpha^{n-1}}$ , where here  $T_\alpha(\sigma)$  refers to an  $\alpha$  tubular neighbourhood of  $\sigma$  with respect to  $\delta$ ,  $T_\alpha(\sigma) = \{se_1 + \alpha v \mid |v| = 1, \langle v, e_1 \rangle = 0, s \in (-\frac{(c-b)}{2}, \frac{(c-b)}{2})\}$ . Note that if  $g$  is smooth then  $\int_b^c f(s)ds = \lim_{\alpha \rightarrow 0} \frac{\int_{T_\alpha(\sigma)} \sqrt{\tilde{g}_{11}(x)} dx}{\omega_{n-1} \alpha^{n-1}}$  always holds. Also, an  $\varepsilon$ -approximate Lebesgue curve  $\gamma$  is the union of finitely smooth curves, but may itself be discontinuous, and hence non-smooth.

In the setting that a Riemannian metric is  $L^\infty$  (or weaker) there are various notions of distance and convergence of distance which may be defined, and there are many papers in this area investigating the properties thereof, their relation to one another and to the underlying measures. For one overview, as well as independent results/proofs thereof, we refer to the paper [5]. Further notions and convergence results may be found in the papers [2],[11], [1], [6], [18], [22], as well as the papers cited in these papers. Earlier works can be found in [7]. In our setting it is sufficient to define distance by considering the class of  $\varepsilon$ -approximate Lebesgue curves instead of the class of piecewise smooth curves or continuous curves, and then to take the liminf as  $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$  of the lengths: see (iv) of (8.3) below.

**Theorem 8.3.** *Let  $(M, h)$  be a smooth four dimensional Riemannian manifold satisfying (2.4)  $1 < a < \infty$  and  $g_0$  satisfy the assumptions of Theorem 6.3, and let  $(M, g(t))_{t \in (0, T]}$ ,  $T \leq 1$  be the smooth solution to (1.1) appearing in the conclusions of Theorem 6.3. Then*

- (i) *there exists a constant  $c(a)$  and a smooth solution  $\Phi : M \times (0, T] \rightarrow M$  to (1.3) with  $\Phi(T/2) = Id$  such that  $\Phi(t) := \Phi(\cdot, t) : M \rightarrow M$  is a diffeomorphism and  $d_h(\Phi(t)(x), \Phi(s)(x)) \leq c(a, n)\sqrt{|t - s|}$  for all  $x \in M$ . The metrics  $\ell(t) :=$*

$(\Phi(t))^*g(t), t \in (0, T]$  solve the Ricci flow equation. Furthermore there are well defined limit maps  $\Phi(0) : M \rightarrow M$ ,  $\Phi(0) := \lim_{t \searrow 0} \Phi(t)$ , and  $W(0) : M \rightarrow M$ ,  $W(0) := \lim_{t \searrow 0} W(t)$ , where  $W(t)$  is the inverse of  $\Phi(t)$  and these limits are obtained uniformly on compact subsets, and  $\Phi(0), W(0)$  are homeomorphisms inverse to another.

- (ii) For the Ricci flow solution  $\ell(t)$  from (i), there is a value  $\ell_0(\cdot) = \lim_{t \searrow 0} \ell(\cdot, t)$  well defined up to a set of measure zero, where the limit exists in the  $L^p$  sense, for any  $p \in [1, \infty)$ , such that,  $\ell_0$  is positive definite, and in  $W_{loc}^{1,2}$  and for any  $y_0 \in M$  and  $0 < s < t$  we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{B_1(y_0)} |\ell(s) - \ell_0|_{\ell(t)}^p d\ell(t) &\leq c(g_0, h, p, y_0) s \\ \int_{B_1(y_0)} |(\ell(0))^{-1} - (\ell(s))^{-1}|_{\ell(t)}^p d\ell(t) &\leq c(g_0, h, p, y_0) |s|^{\frac{1}{4}} \\ \int_{B_1(y_0)} |\nabla \ell_0|_{\ell(t)}^2 d\ell(t) &\leq c(g_0, h, p, y_0) t^\sigma \\ \int_{B_1(y_0)} |\text{Rm}(\ell)|^2(x, t) d\ell(x, t) + \int_0^t \int_{B_{\ell(s)}(y_0, 1)} |\nabla \text{Rm}(\ell)|^2(x, s) d\ell(x, s) ds &\leq c(g_0, h, p, y_0) \\ \sup_{B_1(y_0)} |\nabla^j \text{Rc}(\ell(t))|^2 t^{j+2} &\rightarrow 0 \text{ as } t \searrow 0 \text{ for all } j \in \mathbb{N}_0 \end{aligned}$$

where  $\sigma > 0$  is a universal constant,  $c(g_0, h, p, y_0)$  is a constant depending on  $g_0, h, p, y_0$  but not on  $t$ , and  $\nabla$  refers to the gradient with respect to  $\ell(t)$ .

- (iii) The limit maps  $\Phi(0) : M \rightarrow M$ ,  $\Phi(0) := \lim_{t \searrow 0} \Phi(t)$ , and  $W(0) : M \rightarrow M$ ,  $W(0) := \lim_{t \searrow 0} W(t)$ , from (i) are also obtained in the  $W_{loc}^{1,p}$  sense for  $p \in [1, \infty)$ . Furthermore, for any smooth coordinates  $\varphi : U \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ , and  $\psi : V \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$  and open sets  $\tilde{U} \subset\subset U$  and  $\tilde{V} \subset\subset V$  with  $W(s)(\tilde{V}) \subset\subset U$  and  $\Phi(s)(\tilde{U}) \subset\subset V$  for all  $s \in [0, \hat{T}]$ , for some  $0 < \hat{T} < T$  the functions  $(\ell_0)_{ij} \circ W(0) : \tilde{V} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  are in  $L_{loc}^p$  for all  $p \in [1, \infty)$  and  $(g_0)_{\alpha\beta} : \tilde{V} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  and  $(\ell_0)_{ij} : W(0)(\tilde{V}) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  are related by the identity

$$(g_0)_{\alpha\beta} = D_\alpha(W(0))^i D_\beta(W(0))^j ((\ell_0)_{ij} \circ W(0))$$

on  $\tilde{V}$ . In particular:  $\ell_0$  is isometric to  $g_0$  almost everywhere through the map  $W(0)$  which is in  $W_{loc}^{1,p}$ , for all  $p \in [1, \infty)$ .

- (iv) We define  $d_t = d(g(t))$  and  $\tilde{d}_t = d(\ell(t)) = \Phi(t)^* d_t$ . There are well defined limit metrics  $d_0, \tilde{d}_0 : M \times M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_0^+$ ,  $d_0(x, y) = \lim_{t \searrow 0} d_t(x, y)$ , and  $\tilde{d}_0 := M \times M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_0^+$ ,  $\tilde{d}_0(p, q) = \lim_{t \searrow 0} \tilde{d}_t(p, q)$ , and they satisfy  $\tilde{d}_0(x, y) = d_0(\Phi(0)(x), \Phi(0)(y))$ . That is,  $(M, \tilde{d}_0)$  and  $(M, d_0)$  are isometric to one another through the map  $\Phi(0)$ . The metric  $d_0$  satisfies  $d_0(x, y) := \liminf_{\varepsilon \searrow 0} \inf_{\gamma \in C_{\varepsilon, x, y}} L_{g_0}(\gamma)$ , where  $C_{\varepsilon, x, y}$  is the space of Lebesgue curves between  $x$  and  $y$  with respect to  $g_0$ .

*Proof.* (i):

For  $r \in (0, T)$  we define  $\psi_r : M \times (0, T] \rightarrow M$  to be the solution to

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \psi_r(y, t) &= V(\psi_r(y, t), t), \\ \psi_r(y, r) &= y, \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{where } V(y, t) &:= g^{ij}(\Gamma_{ij}^k(g) - \Gamma(h)_{ij}^k)(y, t) \frac{\partial}{\partial x^k}(y) \\ \forall y \in M, t \in (0, T) \end{aligned}$$

The Fundamental Theorem of Time Dependent Flows (see [21], Thm. 9.4.8) tells us that the  $\psi_r(\cdot, s) : M \rightarrow M$  are smooth diffeomorphisms for all  $r, s \in (0, T]$  and that  $\psi_{t_1}(\psi_{t_0}(p, t_1), s) = \psi_{t_0}(p, s)$  for all  $t_0, t_1, s \in (0, T]$  and in particular that  $\psi_{t_1}(\psi_{t_0}(\cdot, t_1), t_0) = Id(\cdot)$  for all  $t_0, t_1 \in (0, T]$ . We shall use these facts freely in the following. The maps  $\Phi(s), W(s) : M \rightarrow M$  are defined by  $\Phi(s)(x) := \psi_{T/2}(x, s)$ , and  $W(s)(x) := \psi_s(x, T/2)$  for  $s \in (0, T]$  and  $\Phi, W : M \times (0, T] \rightarrow M$  are defined by  $\Phi(x, s) = \Phi(s)(x)$ , and

$W(x, s) = W(s)(x)$  for  $s \in (0, T]$ . From the fact that  $\psi_{t_1}(\psi_{t_0}(\cdot, t_1), t_0) = Id(\cdot)$ , we have  $W(s) \circ \Phi(s)(\cdot) = \psi_s(\Phi(s)(\cdot), T/2) = \psi_s(\psi_{T/2}(\cdot, s), T/2) = Id(\cdot)$  for all  $s \in (0, T]$ . Defining

$$\ell(s) := (\Phi(s))^* g(s),$$

for  $s \in (0, T]$  we obtain a smooth solution to Ricci flow with  $\ell(T/2) = g(T/2)$ .

We define  $\Phi(0)$  by  $\Phi(0)(x) := \lim_{s_i \searrow 0} \Phi(s_i)(x) = \lim_{s_i \searrow 0} \psi_{T/2}(x, s_i)$  and  $W(0) : M \rightarrow M$  by  $W(0)(x) := \lim_{t_i \searrow 0} W(t_i)(x) = \lim_{t_i \searrow 0} \psi_{t_i}(x, T/2)$ : In the following we show that these limits exist, and are independent of the sequences  $t_i \searrow 0$  and  $s_i \searrow 0$  chosen.

We have  $|\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \psi_{T/2}(x, t)|_h = |V(\psi_{T/2}(x, t), t)|_h \leq \frac{\varepsilon}{\sqrt{t}}$ .

Hence  $d_h(\Phi(s)(x), \Phi(t)(x)) = d_h(\psi_{T/2}(x, s), \psi_{T/2}(x, t)) \leq 2\varepsilon|\sqrt{t} - \sqrt{s}| \leq 2\varepsilon\sqrt{|t-s|}$  for all  $t, s \in (0, T]$  which shows that  $\Phi(0) : M \rightarrow M$  is obtained uniformly and is well defined:  $d_h(\Phi(0)(x), \psi_{T/2}(x, t)) = d_h(\Phi(0)(x), \Phi(t)(x)) \leq c\sqrt{t}$  for all  $x \in M$ .

We now turn to the construction and properties of  $W$ . We can estimate  $d_h(\psi_{t_i}(x, s), x) \leq \varepsilon\sqrt{s}$  for all  $s \in (t_i, T/2]$ , for all  $x \in M$  in view of the fact that  $|\frac{\partial}{\partial s}(\psi_{t_i}(x, s))|_h \leq \frac{\varepsilon}{\sqrt{s}}$ . In particular writing everything w.r.t to fixed coordinates,  $\varphi$  where  $\frac{99}{100}\delta \leq h \leq \frac{101}{100}\delta$  and  $|Dh|^2 + |D^2h|^2 \leq \varepsilon$ , on a large ball of radius 1000 and centre point  $x$ , we have  $\psi_{t_i}(x, s), \psi_{t_j}(x, s)$  stays in this ball : We write  $x, h, \dots$  for  $\varphi(x), \varphi_*(h), \dots$

For  $s \geq s_0 := \max(t_j, t_i)$

$$\begin{aligned} |\psi_{t_i}(x, s) - \psi_{t_j}(x, s)| &\leq |\psi_{t_i}(x, s) - x| + |x - \psi_{t_j}(x, s)| \\ &\leq 4\varepsilon\sqrt{s}. \end{aligned}$$

We also have for such  $s$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial}{\partial s} |\psi_{t_i}(x, s) - \psi_{t_j}(x, s)|^2 &= |\psi_{t_i}(x, s) - \psi_{t_j}(x, s)| |V(\psi_{t_i}(x, s), s) - V(\psi_{t_j}(x, s), s)| \\ &\leq 2|DV(m, s)| |\psi_{t_i}(x, s) - \psi_{t_j}(x, s)|^2 \\ &\leq c(a) \sup_{y \in \mathbb{B}_{1000}(x)} (|{}^h\nabla^2 g| + |{}^h\nabla g|^2 + \varepsilon) |\psi_{t_i}(x, s) - \psi_{t_j}(x, s)|^2 \\ &\leq \frac{\varepsilon}{s} |\psi_{t_i}(x, s) - \psi_{t_j}(x, s)|^2 \end{aligned}$$

where  $m$  is some value lying on the line between  $\psi_{t_i}(x, s)$  and  $\psi_{t_j}(x, s)$  in the euclidean ball  $\mathbb{B}_{1000}(x)$ . Hence, writing  $f(s) := |\psi_{t_i}(x, s) - \psi_{t_j}(x, s)|^2$  we have  $\frac{\partial}{\partial s} f(s) \leq \frac{\varepsilon}{s} f(s)$  for  $s \geq \max(t_i, t_j) = s_0$ , which implies  $\frac{\partial}{\partial s} (s^{-\varepsilon} f(s)) \leq 0$ , and hence  $f(s) \leq s^\varepsilon ((s_0)^{-\varepsilon} f(s_0))$  for all  $s \in [s_0 = \max(t_i, t_j), T/2]$ . But

$$\begin{aligned} (s_0)^{-\varepsilon} f(s_0) &\leq (s_0)^{-\varepsilon} 2\varepsilon s_0 \\ &\leq 2\varepsilon (s_0)^{1-\varepsilon} \\ &= 2\varepsilon (\max(t_i, t_j))^{1-\varepsilon} \rightarrow 0 \end{aligned}$$

as  $\max(t_j, t_i) \rightarrow 0$ , and hence

$$\begin{aligned} f(s) &= |\psi_{t_i}(x, s) - \psi_{t_j}(x, s)|^2 \\ &\leq 2s^\varepsilon \varepsilon (\max(t_i, t_j))^{1-\varepsilon} \\ &\leq 2T^\varepsilon \varepsilon (\max(t_i, t_j))^{1-\varepsilon} \rightarrow 0 \end{aligned}$$

as  $t_i, t_j \rightarrow 0$ , for all  $s \in (\max(t_i, t_j), T/2]$ , for all  $x \in M$ . This shows,  $(\psi_{t_i}(x, s))_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$  with  $t_i \searrow 0$  is Cauchy and hence  $\lim_{t_i \searrow 0} \psi_{t_i}(x, s)$  exists for all  $s \in (0, T]$ , and in particular,  $W(0)(x) := \lim_{t \searrow 0} \psi_t(x, T/2) = \lim_{t \searrow 0} W(t)(x)$  is well defined, and achieved uniformly,

$$(8.2) \quad d_h(W(0)(x), W(t)(x)) = d_h(W(0)(x), \psi_t(x, T/2)) \leq \sqrt{2} T^{\frac{\varepsilon}{2}} \varepsilon(t)^{\frac{1-\varepsilon}{2}} \rightarrow 0$$

for  $t \searrow 0$ .

We show now that  $\Phi(0)$  is the inverse of  $W(0)$ .  $\Phi(0)$  and  $W(0)$  are continuous, by construction, and are the uniform limits of continuous functions,  $\sup_{z \in M} d_h(\Phi(0)(z), \psi_{T/2}(z, t_i)) \rightarrow 0$  as  $i \rightarrow \infty$ , and  $\sup_{x \in M} d_h(W(0)(x), \psi_{t_i}(x, T/2)) \rightarrow 0$  as  $i \rightarrow \infty$ . For  $x \in M$ , for

any  $\sigma > 0$  if  $i$  is large enough, we have  $d_h(\Phi(0)(W(0)(x)), \Phi(0)(\psi_{t_i}(x, T/2))) \leq \sigma$ , and  $d_h(\Phi(0)(z), \psi_{T/2}(z, t_i)) \leq \sigma$  for all  $z \in M$ . This implies:

$$\begin{aligned} d_h(\Phi(0)(W(0)(x)), x) &\leq d_h(\Phi(0)(W(0)(x)), \Phi(0)(\psi_{t_i}(x, T/2))) + d_h(\Phi(0)(\psi_{t_i}(x, T/2)), x) \\ &= d_h(\Phi(0)(W(0)(x)), \Phi(0)(\psi_{t_i}(x, T/2))) \\ &\quad + d_h(\Phi(0)(\psi_{t_i}(x, T/2)), \psi_{T/2}(\psi_{t_i}(x, T/2), t_i)) \\ &\leq 2\sigma \end{aligned}$$

Hence  $\Phi(0)(W(0)(x)) = x$ , as  $x \in M$  and  $\sigma > 0$  were arbitrary. Similarly, for  $z \in M$ , for any  $\sigma > 0$  if  $i$  is large enough, we have  $d_h(W(0)(\Phi(0)(z)), W(0)(\psi_{T/2}(z, t_i))) \leq \sigma$  and  $d_h(W(0)(x), \psi_{t_i}(x, T/2)) \leq \sigma$  for all  $x \in M$ , and hence

$$\begin{aligned} d_h(W(0)(\Phi(0)(z)), z) &\leq d_h(W(0)(\Phi(0)(z)), W(0)(\psi_{T/2}(z, t_i))) + d_h(W(0)(\psi_{T/2}(z, t_i)), z) \\ &= d_h(W(0)(\Phi(0)(z)), W(0)(\psi_{T/2}(z, t_i))) \\ &\quad + d_h(W(0)(\psi_{T/2}(z, t_i)), \psi_{t_i}(\psi_{T/2}(z, t_i), T/2)) \\ &\leq 2\sigma \end{aligned}$$

Hence  $W(0)(\Phi(0)(z)) = z$  for all  $z \in M$ , as  $z \in M$  and  $\sigma > 0$  were arbitrary.

That is,  $W(0)$  is the inverse of  $\Phi(0)$ .

We further have that  $\Phi(s)(B_{1-\varepsilon}(x_0)) \subseteq \Phi(0)(B_{1-\varepsilon/4}(\tilde{x}_0))$  for all  $s = s(\varepsilon) > 0$  small enough, as we now explain, where  $\tilde{x}_0 = W(0) \circ \Phi(s)(x_0) : W(0) \circ \Phi(s) \rightarrow Id$  uniformly as  $s \searrow 0$ , as was shown above. This means  $W(0) \circ \Phi(s)(B_{1-\varepsilon}(x_0)) \subseteq B_{1-\frac{3\varepsilon}{4}}(x_0) \subseteq B_{1-\frac{\varepsilon}{4}}(\tilde{x}_0)$  for  $s$  small enough, and hence taking  $\Phi(0)$  of both sides, the claim follows.

This is (i).

(ii) Let  $y_0 \in M$  and  $p \in [0, \infty)$  and  $\varepsilon \leq \alpha_0(p, n = 4)$  be the constant from Theorem 7.1, Corollary 7.3, and assume also that  $\varepsilon \leq \alpha_1(4, C_S(4))$  where  $\alpha_1(n, A)$  is the constant from Theorem 7.4 with  $n = 4$  and  $A = C_S(4)$ , and  $C_S(n)$  is the Sobolev constant from Theorem B.1. The construction of our solution, see Theorem 6.1, Theorem 6.3 and the Tensor Sobolev inequality, Theorem B.1 (v) guarantee, that, without loss of generality,  $\int_{B_2(x)} (|{}^h\nabla g(t)|^4 + |{}^h\nabla^2 g(t)|^2) dh \leq \delta^4(a)$  for all  $x \in M$  and  $\delta(a)$  is the constant from Corollary 5.4. We also have, without loss of generality,

$$|{}^h\nabla^3 g|^2 t^3 + |{}^h\nabla^2 g|^2 t^2 + |{}^h\nabla g|^2 t \leq \varepsilon^2$$

on  $\overline{B_{200}(y_0)}$  for  $t \in (0, T)$  in view of  $(e_t)$ , and hence

$$(8.3) \quad |\text{Rm}(g(t))| + |{}^{g(t)}\nabla \text{Rm}|^{\frac{2}{3}} \leq \frac{\varepsilon}{t}$$

on  $\overline{B_{200}(y_0)}$  for  $t \in (0, T)$ , after reducing the time interval if necessary.

By choosing  $R_1 = R_1(y_0, g_0) > 0$  small enough, we can guarantee that  $\int_{B_{R_1}(x_0)} (|{}^h\nabla g_0|^4 + |{}^h\nabla^2 g_0|^2) dh \leq \frac{\varepsilon}{2}$  for all  $x_0$  in the compact set  $\overline{B_{100}(y_0)}$  in view of Lemma B.3. By scaling once, we have for all such  $x_0$  that  $\int_{B_8(x_0)} (|{}^h\nabla g_0|^2 + |{}^h\nabla^2 g_0|^2) dh \leq \frac{\varepsilon}{2}$ , and  $\int_{B_{20}(x)} (|{}^h\nabla g(t)|^4 + |{}^h\nabla^2 g(t)|^2) dh \leq \delta^4(a)$  for all  $x \in M$  and all  $x_0$  in  $\overline{B_{100}(y_0)}$  which implies  $\int_{B_{20}(x)} (|{}^h\nabla g(t)|^2 + |{}^h\nabla^2 g(t)|^2) dh \leq \delta(a)$  for all  $x \in M$ , in view of Hölder's inequality and the fact that  $\delta(a)$  is without loss of generality less than  $\text{Vol}_h(B_{20}(x))$  for all  $x \in M$ . Using Corollary 5.4, we see  $\int_{B_4(x_0)} (|{}^h\nabla^2 g(t)|^2 + |{}^h\nabla g(t)|^2) dh \leq \varepsilon$  for all  $x_0$  in

$\overline{B_{100}(y_0)}$  and hence, using the fact that without loss of generality  $|\text{Rm}(h)| \leq \varepsilon$ ,

$$\int_{B_4(x_0)} |\text{Rm}(g(t))|_{g(t)}^2 dg(t) \leq 2\varepsilon,$$

for all  $t \leq T$ , after reducing the time interval if necessary. This estimate with (8.3) show that the Ricci flow related solution  $\ell$  restricted to  $\Omega = B_4(x_0)$  for any such  $x_0$  satisfies all the conditions of Theorem 7.1, Corollary 7.3 and Theorem 7.4 (after scaling once more by a factor 5), and hence the estimates obtained there hold. These estimates change at most by a factor when we scale the solution back to the original solution, the constant depending on the scaling factor,  $h$  and  $x_0, g_0$  and  $p$ . These scaled estimates are (ii) for the given  $p$ . As  $p \in [0, \infty)$  was arbitrary, (ii) holds.

(iii) From the definition of  $\ell$ , in local coordinates we have

$$\begin{aligned} \ell_{ij}(s)(x) &= D_i \Phi^\alpha(s)(x) D_j \Phi^\beta(s)(x) g_{\alpha\beta}(s)(\Phi(s)(x)) \\ &\quad \text{and} \\ g_{\alpha\beta}(s)(\Phi(s)(x)) &= D_\alpha W^i(s)(\Phi(s)(x)) D_\beta W^j(s)(\Phi(s)(x)) \ell_{ij}(x, s) \\ &\quad \text{and} \\ g_{\alpha\beta}(s)(y) &= D_\alpha W^i(s)(y) D_\beta W^j(s)(y) \ell_{ij}(W(s)(y), s), \end{aligned} \tag{8.4}$$

where we have chosen smooth coordinates as in the statement of the claim of (iii) of this Theorem,  $y \in \tilde{V}, x \in \tilde{U}$ . Notice that  $g(t) \rightarrow g(0)$  and  $g(t)^{-1} \rightarrow g(0)^{-1}$  in the  $L_{loc}^p$  sense for all  $p \in [1, \infty)$ , in view of Corollary 5.7. Hence, we may apply Theorem 9.1, and we see that (iii) holds.

(iv): For  $x, y \in M$ , we define  $d_0(x, y) := \liminf_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \inf_{\gamma \in C_{\varepsilon, x, y}} L_{g_0}(\gamma)$ , where  $C_{\varepsilon, x, y}$  is the space of  $\varepsilon$ -approximative Lebesgue curves with respect to  $g_0$  joining  $x$  and  $y$ , defined in Definition 8.2. Let  $x, y \in B_{\frac{R}{c(a)}}(x_0)$  for some fixed  $x_0 \in M$ , where  $c(a)$  is a large constant to be determined in the proof. Since  $g_0 \in W^{2,2}(B_{2R}(x_0))$  we know, from Lemma B.3 of Appendix B that for any  $\sigma > 0$  there exists a  $r(\sigma, R, a) > 0$  such that  $\int_{B_{2r}(z)} (|{}^h\nabla^2 g_0|^2 + |{}^h\nabla g_0|^4) dh \leq \sigma^4$ , for all  $z \in B_R(x_0)$ .

Scaling  $g_0$  and  $h$  once, by the same large constant  $K$ , and still calling the new scaled metrics  $g_0, h$ , and the new radius  $\sqrt{K}R$  will still be denoted by  $R$ , we have  $\int_{B_{2(z)}} (|{}^h\nabla^2 g_0|^2 + |{}^h\nabla g_0|^4) dh \leq \sigma^4$  for all  $z \in B_R(x_0)$  and without loss of generality  $\sup_M \sum_{i=1}^4 |{}^h\nabla^i \text{Rm}(h)| \leq \sigma^4$ . Hence, using Lemma 5.4, Hölder's inequality and Lemma B.1 (v),

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{B_{2(z)}} (|{}^h\nabla^2 g(t)|^2 + |{}^h\nabla g(t)|^4) dh &\leq \sigma, \\ \sum_{i=1}^4 |{}^h\nabla^i \text{Rm}(h)| &\leq \sigma \\ \frac{1}{400a} h &\leq g(t) \leq 400ah, \\ |{}^h\nabla^j g(t)| &\leq \frac{c(j, a)}{t^j}, \end{aligned} \tag{8.5}$$

for all  $j \in \mathbb{N}$  for all  $z \in B_R(x_0)$  and for all  $0 < t \leq S_2(400a, \sigma)$ , and after scaling once more by  $\frac{1}{2S_2}$ , for all  $t \in (0, 2]$ .

$$(8.6) \quad \text{We first show that} \quad d_0(x, y) \leq \liminf_{t \rightarrow 0} d_t(x, y)$$

Let  $\varepsilon > 0$  given. Taking any  $0 < t \leq \varepsilon^4$  and scaling by  $\hat{g}(s) = \frac{1}{t}g(st)$ , and denoting the new radius by  $\hat{R}$ , that is  $\hat{R} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{t}}R$ , and  $\hat{h} = \frac{1}{t}h$ ,  $\hat{g}_0 = \frac{1}{t}g_0$ , we see, in view of (8.5), that we obtain a new solution  $\hat{g}(s)$ ,  $s \in [0, 2]$ , such that

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\hat{B}_2(z)} (|{}^h\nabla^2\hat{g}(s)|^2 + |{}^h\nabla\hat{g}(s)|^4)dh &\leq 2\sigma, \\ \frac{1}{400a}\hat{h} &\leq \hat{g}(s) \leq 400a\hat{h}, \\ |{}^h\nabla^j\hat{g}(s)| &\leq \frac{c(j,a)}{s^j}, \\ \sum_{i=1}^4 |{}^h\nabla^i\text{Rm}(\hat{h})| &\leq \sigma \\ |{}^h\nabla^j\hat{g}(1)| &\leq c(j,a,\sigma) \end{aligned}$$

for all  $j \in \mathbb{N}$  for all  $z \in \hat{B}_{\hat{R}}(x_0)$  and for all  $s \leq 1$ , where  $c(j, a, \sigma) \rightarrow 0$  for  $\sigma \rightarrow 0$ , where  $\hat{B}_s(m)$  refers to a ball of radius  $m$  with respect to  $\hat{h}$ . For later use note that  $\hat{R} \geq \frac{1}{\varepsilon^2}$ . Let  $\gamma$  be a length minimising geodesic between  $x$  and  $y$  with respect to  $\hat{g}(1)$ . Writing  $\hat{g}(1)$  in geodesic coordinates at any  $z \in \hat{B}_{\hat{R}}(x_0)$  on a ball of radius one, we have

$$\begin{aligned} (1 - |\alpha(\sigma, a)|)\delta &\leq \hat{g}(1) \leq (1 + |\alpha(\sigma, a)|)\delta \text{ on } \mathbb{B}_{10}(0) \\ \frac{1}{400a}\hat{h} &\leq \hat{g}(s) \leq 400a\hat{h} \text{ for } s \in [0, 2] \end{aligned}$$

where  $\alpha(\sigma, a) \rightarrow 0$  as  $\sigma \rightarrow 0$ .

In the following, any constant  $c(\sigma, a)$  with  $c(\sigma, a) \rightarrow 0$  as  $\sigma \rightarrow 0$ , shall be denoted by  $\alpha(\sigma, a)$  although it may differ from the one just defined.  $\alpha(\sigma, a)$  is not necessarily larger than zero.

We can break  $\gamma$  up into  $N$  pieces,  $\gamma_1 = \gamma|_{[0,1]}$ ,  $\gamma_2 = \gamma|_{[1,2]}$ ,  $\gamma_{N-1} = \gamma|_{[N-2, N-1]}$ ,  $\gamma_N = \gamma|_{[N-1, B]}$  each with length one with respect to  $\hat{g}(1)$ , except for the last piece which has length less than or equal to one. Due to  $\frac{1}{400a}\hat{h} \leq \hat{g}(1) \leq 400a\hat{h}$ , we have  $N \leq c(a)\hat{R}$ . After rotating once, we may assume that any length one piece of  $\gamma$ , going from  $\gamma(i)$  to  $\gamma(i+1)$ ,  $i \in \{0, 2, \dots, N-2\}$ , in geodesic coordinates, with respect to  $\hat{g}(1)$  centred at  $z = \gamma(i + \frac{1}{2})$  lies in  $\mathbb{B}_2(0)$ , and is (in these coordinates) the line segment  $v : [-\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}] \rightarrow \mathbb{B}_2(0)$ ,  $v(s) = se_1$ . We ignore the last piece of  $\gamma$  for the moment.

Using Corollary 5.7 and  $|\text{Rm}(\hat{h})| \leq \sigma$  we have  $\int_{\mathbb{B}_1(0)} |\hat{g}(t) - \hat{g}_0|^2 dh \leq 2\sigma t$  for all  $t \leq 1$  and hence

$$(8.7) \quad \int_{\mathbb{B}_1(0)} |\delta - \hat{g}_0|^2 \leq \alpha(\sigma, a).$$

Let  $\varepsilon > 0$  be given. Using Lemma 10.2 we see, by choosing  $\sigma = \sigma(\varepsilon) > 0$  small enough, that there exists an  $x \in \mathbb{B}_\varepsilon^{n-1}(0)$  such that  $\sqrt{\hat{g}_{11}(0)}(\cdot, x) : [-\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$  is measurable,  $\ell : [-\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ ,  $\ell(t) = (t, x)$  is a Lebesgue line between  $(-\frac{1}{2}, x)$  and  $(\frac{1}{2}, x)$  and

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{-\frac{1}{2}}^{\frac{1}{2}} \sqrt{\hat{g}_{11}(0)}(s, x) ds &\leq 1 + \varepsilon &= (1 + \varepsilon)d_\delta((-\frac{1}{2}, x), (\frac{1}{2}, x)) \\ &\leq (1 + 2\varepsilon)d_{\hat{g}(1)}((-\frac{1}{2}, x), (\frac{1}{2}, x)) \end{aligned}$$

which tells us for the original curve  $\gamma|_{[0, N-1]}$  that there exists Lebesgue curves  $v_i : [i-1, i] \rightarrow \hat{B}_{\hat{R}}(x_0)$  for all  $i \in \{1, \dots, N-1\}$  w.r.t. to  $\hat{g}(0)$  such that  $d_{\hat{h}}(v_i(i-1), \gamma(i-1)) \leq c(a)\varepsilon$  and  $d_{\hat{h}}(v_i(i), \gamma(i)) \leq c(a)\varepsilon$  and  $L_{\hat{g}_0}(v_i) \leq (1 + \varepsilon)d_{\hat{g}(1)}(\gamma(i-1), \gamma(i))$  for all  $i \in \{1, \dots, N-1\}$ . Adding up all the curve segments we have

$$\sum_{i=1}^{N-2} d_{\hat{h}}(v_i(i), v_{i+1}(i)) \leq N\varepsilon c(a) \leq \hat{R}\varepsilon c(a)$$

and hence

$$\sum_{i=1}^{N-2} d_{\hat{h}}(v_i(i), v_{i+1}(i)) + d_h(x, v_1(0)) + d_h(y, v_{N-1}(N-1)) \leq \hat{R}\varepsilon c(a) + 2$$

and also

$$\sum_{i=1}^{N-1} L_{\hat{g}_0}(v_i) \leq (1 + \varepsilon)d_{\hat{g}(1)}(x, \gamma(N-1)) \leq (1 + \varepsilon)d_{\hat{g}(1)}(x, y)$$

as  $\gamma$  was a length minimising, with respect to  $\hat{g}(1)$ , geodesic between  $x$  and  $y$ . Scaling back the solution we had at the beginning of this proof of this claim, (8.6), that is defining  $g(s) = tg(s\frac{1}{t})$ , for the  $t$  we chose there, we see at time  $t$  that

$$\sum_{i=1}^{N-1} L_{g_0}(v_i) \leq (1 + \varepsilon)d_{g(t)}(x, y)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{i=1}^{N-2} d_h(v_i(i), v_{i+1}(i)) + d_h(x, v_1(0)) + d_h(y, v_{N-1}(N-1)) \\ & \leq R\varepsilon c(a) + 2\sqrt{t} \\ & \leq R\varepsilon c(a) + 2\varepsilon \end{aligned}$$

in view of the choice of  $t \leq \varepsilon^4$ . That is  $v = \cup_{i=1}^{N-1} v_i$  is an  $Rc(a)\varepsilon$ -approximative Lebesgue curve and  $L_{g_0}(v) \leq (1 + \varepsilon)d_t(x, y)$ . Hence  $\inf_{\gamma \in C_{Rc(a)\varepsilon, x, y}} L_{g_0}(\gamma) \leq (1 + \varepsilon)d_t(x, y)$  for all  $t \leq T(\varepsilon, a, g_0, x, y, R, h)$ , and this shows  $d_0(x, y) = \liminf_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \inf_{\gamma \in C_{\varepsilon, x, y}} L_{g_0}(\gamma) \leq \liminf_{t \rightarrow 0} d_t(x, y)$  for all  $x, y \in M$ .

Now we show that

$$d_0(x, y) := \liminf_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \inf_{\gamma \in C_{\varepsilon, x, y}} L_{g_0}(\gamma) \geq \limsup_{t \searrow 0} d_t(x, y) \text{ for all } x, y \in M.$$

From the definition of  $C_{\varepsilon, x, y}$ ,  $\gamma \in C_{\varepsilon, x, y}$ , may be written as  $\gamma = \cup_{i=1}^N \gamma_i$  where each  $\gamma_i : [a_i, b_i] \rightarrow M$  is a parametrised Lebesgue line. Let  $\sigma : [c_i, d_i] \rightarrow \mathbb{B}_2(x)$  be one of the segments  $\gamma_i$  written in smooth coordinates, so that  $\sigma(t) = te_1$ . Since the coordinates are smooth, and  $\frac{1}{400a}h \leq g(t) \leq 400ah$ , we see that there exists a constant  $C$  depending possibly on the coordinates and  $a$ , such that  $\frac{1}{C}\delta \leq h \leq C\delta$  and  $\frac{1}{C}\delta \leq g(t) \leq C\delta$  in these coordinates.

Using Corollary 5.7, the inequalities of (8.5) and  $|\text{Rm}(h)| \leq \sigma \leq 1$ , we see that we have

$$\int_{\mathbb{B}_2(0)} |g(x, t) - g_0(x)|_\delta^2 dx \leq ct$$

with respect to these coordinates for all  $t \leq 1$  for some constant  $c = c(C)$ .

Using Lemma 10.1, we see that : For all  $\varepsilon > 0$  there exists a  $t_0 > 0$  such that

$$L_{g_0}(\sigma) := \int_{c_i}^{d_i} \sqrt{g(0)_{11}(s, 0)} \geq (1 - \varepsilon)d(g(t))(\sigma(c_i), \sigma(d_i))$$

for all  $t \in (0, t_0)$  in these coordinates.

That is  $L_{g_0}(\gamma_i) \geq (1 - \varepsilon)d(g(t))(\gamma_i(a_i), \gamma_i(b_i))$ .



Hence, estimating on each Lebesgue line  $\gamma_i$  in this way, we see (setting  $\gamma_{N+1}(a_{N+1}) := y$  that, there exists a  $s_0$  such that

$$\begin{aligned}
L_{g_0}(\gamma) &\geq \sum_{i=1}^N (1 - \varepsilon) d_t(\gamma_i(a_i), \gamma_i(b_i)) \\
&\geq \sum_{i=1}^N (1 - \varepsilon) d_t(\gamma_i(a_i), \gamma_{i+1}(a_{i+1})) - \sum_{i=1}^N d_t(\gamma_i(b_i), \gamma_{i+1}(a_{i+1})) \\
&\geq \sum_{i=1}^N (1 - \varepsilon) d_t(\gamma_i(a_i), \gamma_{i+1}(a_{i+1})) - c \sum_{i=1}^N d_h(\gamma_i(b_i), \gamma_{i+1}(a_{i+1})) \\
&\geq (1 - \varepsilon) d_t(\gamma_1(a_1), \gamma_{N+1}(a_{N+1}) = y) - c\varepsilon \\
&\geq (1 - \varepsilon) d_t(x, y) - 2c\varepsilon
\end{aligned}$$

for  $t \in (0, s_0)$ . That is, for fixed  $x, y \in M$  and any  $\varepsilon > 0$  we have  $d_0(x, y) \geq \limsup_{t \searrow 0} d_t(x, y)$ . Combining the lower and upper bound proved for  $d_0(x, y)$ , we have

$$d_0(x, y) := \liminf_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \inf_{\gamma \in C_{\varepsilon, x, y}} L_{g_0}(\gamma) = \lim_{t \searrow 0} d_t(x, y)$$

as claimed.

The property  $\tilde{d}_0 = \lim_{t \searrow 0} \tilde{d}_t$  now follows easily from the definitions and the fact that  $\Phi(t)$  converges uniformly to  $\Phi(0)$  as  $t \searrow 0$ :

$$\begin{aligned}
&\tilde{d}_0(x, y) \\
&:= d_0(\Phi(0)(x), \Phi(0)(y)) \\
&= d_t(\Phi(0)(x), \Phi(0)(y)) + \varepsilon(x, y, t) \\
&\leq (\geq) d_t(\Phi(0)(x), \Phi(t)(y)) \\
&\quad + (-) d_t(\Phi(t)(y), \Phi(0)(y)) + \varepsilon(x, y, t) \\
&\leq (\geq) d_t(\Phi(t)(x), \Phi(t)(y)) + (-) d_t(\Phi(t)(x), \Phi_0(x)) \\
&\quad + (-) d_t(\Phi(t)(y), \Phi(0)(y)) + \varepsilon(x, y, t) \\
&\leq (\geq) d_t(\Phi(t)(x), \Phi(t)(y)) + (-) c(n, a) d_h(\Phi(t)(x), \Phi(0)(x)) \\
&\quad + (-) c(n, a) d_h(\Phi(t)(y), \Phi(0)(y)) + \varepsilon(x, y, t) \\
&= \tilde{d}_t(x, y) + (-) c(n, a) d_h(\Phi(t)(x), \Phi(0)(x)) \\
&\quad + (-) c(n, a) d_h(\Phi(t)(y), \Phi(0)(y)) + \varepsilon(x, y, t)
\end{aligned}$$

where  $\varepsilon(x, y, t) \rightarrow 0$  for  $t \searrow 0$ . d.h.  $\tilde{d}_t(x, y) \rightarrow \tilde{d}_0(x, y)$  for  $t \searrow 0$ .

□

## 9. METRIC CONVERGENCE IN SOBOLEV SPACES

**Theorem 9.1.** *Let  $(M, h)$  be a four dimensional Riemannian manifold satisfying (2.4). We assume that there are continuous maps  $W(0), \Phi(0) : M \rightarrow M$  inverse to one another such that for all compact sets  $K \subseteq M$ ,  $\sup_{x \in K} d_h(\Phi(r)(x), \Phi(0)(x)) \rightarrow 0$  as  $r \rightarrow 0$ ,  $\sup_{y \in K} d_h(W(r)(y), W(0)(y)) \rightarrow 0$  as  $r \rightarrow 0$ , where  $\Phi, W : M \times (0, T) \rightarrow M$ , are smooth maps such that  $W(s) : M \rightarrow M, \Phi(s)$  are smooth diffeomorphisms inverse to one another, for all  $s \in (0, T)$ . Let  $\ell(s)_{s \in (0, T)}, g(s)_{s \in (0, T)}$  be smooth families of Riemannian metrics isometric to one another through the smooth maps  $\Phi(s)$  and  $W(s) : \ell(s) = \Phi(s)^*(g(s)), g(s) = W(s)^*\ell(s)$ . Assume that we have chosen smooth coordinates  $\varphi : U \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ , and  $\psi : V \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$  and open sets  $\tilde{U} \subset\subset U$  and  $\tilde{V} \subset\subset V$  with  $W(s)(\tilde{V}) \subset\subset U$  and  $\Phi(s)(\tilde{U}) \subset\subset V$  for all  $s \in [0, S]$ ,  $W(s)(V) \subset\subset U$  for all  $s \in [0, S]$  for some  $0 < S < T$ . That is, in these*

coordinates we have

$$(9.1) \quad \ell_{ij}(s)(x) = D_i \Phi^\alpha(s)(x) D_j \Phi^\beta(s)(x) g_{\alpha\beta}(s)(\Phi(s)(x))$$

and

$$g_{\alpha\beta}(s)(\Phi(s)(x)) = D_\alpha W^i(s)(\Phi(s)(x)) D_\beta W^j(s)(\Phi(s)(x)) \ell_{ij}(x, s)$$

and

$$g_{\alpha\beta}(s)(y) = D_\alpha W^i(s)(y) D_\beta W^j(s)(y) \ell_{ij}(W(s)(y), s)$$

for  $x \in \tilde{U}$ ,  $y \in \tilde{V}$ . Assume further, that there exist Riemannian metrics  $\ell(0)$  and  $g(0)$  whose inverse exists almost everywhere, so that  $g(0), \ell(0), g^{-1}(0), \ell^{-1}(0) \in L^p_{loc}$  for all  $p \in [1, \infty)$  such that

- (i)  $\ell(s) \rightarrow \ell(0), \ell^{-1}(s) \rightarrow \ell^{-1}(0), g(s) \rightarrow g(0), g^{-1}(s) \rightarrow g^{-1}(0)$  as  $s \rightarrow 0$  locally in  $L^p$  for all  $p \in [1, \infty)$ ,
- (ii) For any compact set  $K \subseteq M$ , for all  $s \in (0, T), p \in [1, \infty)$ , there exists a constant  $c(K, h, p)$  such that  $\|\ell(s)\|_{W^{1,2}(K)} + \|g(s)\|_{W^{1,4}(K)} \leq c(K, h, p)$ ,
- (iii) There is a constant  $a \geq 1$  such that  $\frac{1}{a}h \leq g(s) \leq ah$  for all  $s \in (0, T)$ .

Then:  $D\Phi(s)$  is bounded in  $L^p(\tilde{U})$  and  $DW(s)$  is bounded in  $L^p(\tilde{V})$  uniformly independent of  $s \in (0, T)$ . Furthermore,  $\Phi(s) \rightarrow \Phi(0)$  and  $W(s) \rightarrow W(0)$  locally in  $W^{1,p}$  for any  $p \in [1, \infty)$ ,  $\ell(0) \circ W(0)$  is in  $L^p_{loc}$  for all  $p \in [1, \infty)$ , and  $\ell(0)$  and  $g_0$  are isometric to one another through the map  $W(0)$ , which is in  $W^{1,p}_{loc}$ , for all  $p \in [1, \infty)$ :

$$(g_0)_{\alpha\beta} = D_\alpha(W(0))^i D_\beta(W(0))^j ((\ell_0)_{ij} \circ W(0))$$

on  $\tilde{V}$  in the  $L^p$  sense for all  $p \in [1, \infty)$ .

*Proof.* We consider in the following only  $s \in (0, S)$ . The first identity of (9.1) implies:

$$\begin{aligned} h^{ij}(x) \ell_{ij}(s)(x) &= h^{ij}(x) D_i \Phi^\alpha(s)(x) D_j \Phi^\beta(s)(x) g_{\alpha\beta}(s)(\Phi(s)(x)) \\ &\geq \frac{1}{a} h^{ij}(x) D_i \Phi^\alpha(s)(x) D_j \Phi^\beta(s)(x) h_{\alpha\beta}(\Phi(s)(x)), \end{aligned}$$

and hence using the fact that  $h^{ij} \ell_{ij}(s)$  is locally uniformly bounded in  $L^p$  independent of  $s$ , we see that  $D\Phi(s)$  is locally uniformly bounded in  $L^p$ , that is independent of  $s$ , for any  $p \in [1, \infty)$ :

$$\int_{\tilde{U}} |h^{ij}(x) D_i (\Phi(s))^\alpha(x) D_j (\Phi(s))^\beta(x) h_{\alpha\beta}(\Phi(s)(x))|^p d_h(x) \leq c(p, \dots) < \infty,$$

where  $c(p, \dots)$  is a constant depending on  $p, \tilde{U}, a, \ell, h$ . Constants which only depend on  $p, \tilde{U}, \tilde{V}, \varphi, \psi, \Phi, W, a, \ell, h, g, \ell^{-1}, h^{-1}, g^{-1}$  and importantly *don't depend on  $s$*  shall be denoted by  $c(p, \dots)$ , although the value may differ from line to line. In view of the uniform convergence of  $\Phi(s)$  and  $W(s)$  we may assume, by choosing geodesic coordinates with respect to  $h$  around  $m$  and  $\Phi(0)(m)$  without loss of generality, that  $\tilde{U} = B_r(m) =: B$  and  $\tilde{V} = B_v(\Phi(0)(m)) =: \hat{B}$  so that  $\frac{1}{2}\delta_{\alpha\beta} \leq h_{\alpha\beta} \leq 2\delta_{\alpha\beta}$  on  $\tilde{V}$  and  $\frac{1}{2}\delta_{ij} \leq h_{ij} \leq 2\delta_{ij}$  on  $\tilde{U}$ , and  $\tilde{B} = B_{\tilde{r}}(m) \subseteq W(s)(\hat{B}) \subseteq B = B_r(m)$  for  $s$  sufficiently small. With respect to these coordinates we have

$$(9.2) \quad \int_B \sum_{i,\alpha=1}^n |D_i \Phi^\alpha(s)(x)|^p dx \leq c(p, \dots)$$

for  $s$  sufficiently small. Using the third identity of (9.1) and these coordinates we see that

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_{\hat{B}} \left( \sum_{\alpha,i=1}^n (D_\alpha W^i(s)(y))^2 \right)^p dy \\ &= \int_{\hat{B}} |\delta^{\alpha\beta} D_\alpha W^i(s)(y) D_\beta W^j(s)(y) \delta_{ij}|^p dy \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\leq c(p, \dots) \int_{\hat{B}} |h^{\alpha\beta}(y) D_\alpha \bar{W}^i(s)(y) D_\beta W^j(s)(y) h_{ij}(W(s)(y), s)|^p dy \\
&= c(p, \dots) \int_{\hat{B}} |DW(s)|_{h, h(W(s))}^{2p}(y) dy \\
&\leq c(p, \dots) \int_{\hat{B}} |DW(s)|_{h, \ell(W(s), s)}^{2p}(y) (1 + |h \circ W(s)|_{\ell(W(s), s)}^{2p}(y)) dy \\
&\quad [\text{in view of (D.1) of Theorem D.1}] \\
&= c(p, \dots) \int_{\hat{B}} |h^{\alpha\beta} g_{\alpha\beta}(s)|^p(y) (1 + |h|_{\ell(s)}^{2p} \circ W(s))(y) dy \\
&\leq c(p, \dots) \left( \int_{\hat{B}} |h^{\alpha\beta} g_{\alpha\beta}(s)(y)|^{2p} dy \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left( \int_{\hat{B}} (1 + |h|_{\ell(s)}^{4p} \circ W(s)(y)) dy \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\
&\leq c(p, \dots) \left( 1 + \left( \int_{\hat{B}} |h|_{\ell(s)}^{4p} \circ W(s)(y) dy \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \right) \\
&= c(p, \dots) \left( 1 + \left( \int_{\hat{B}} |h|_{\ell(s)}^{4p} \circ W(s)(y) \|DW(s)\| (y) \|D\Phi(s) \circ W(s)\| (y) dy \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \right) \\
&\quad [\text{since } DW(s) \cdot D\Phi(s) \circ W(s) = ID] \\
&\leq c(p, \dots) \left( 1 + \left( \int_{W(s)(\hat{B}) \subseteq B_r(m)} |h|_{\ell(s)}^{4p}(x) \|D\Phi(s)(x)\| dx \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \right) \\
&\leq c(p, \dots)
\end{aligned}$$

since  $(\ell)^{-1}$ ,  $g$  and  $D\Phi(s)$  are bounded locally in  $L^p$  independently of  $s$ , for  $s$  sufficiently small, and we have used the transformation formula, and the notation  $\|A\|$  to represent  $\det(A)$ .

We also have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_{\hat{B}} |D(g_s \circ \Phi(s))|^{4-\varepsilon}(x) dx \\
&\leq \int_{W(s)(\hat{B})} |D(g_s \circ \Phi(s))|^{4-\varepsilon}(x) dx \\
&= \int_{W(s)(\hat{B})} |((Dg_s) \circ \Phi(s))(x) \cdot D\Phi(s)(x)|^{4-\varepsilon} dx \\
&\leq \left( \int_{W(s)(\hat{B})} |(Dg_s) \circ \Phi(s)|^{4-\frac{\varepsilon}{2}}(x) dx \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \left( \int_{W(s)(\hat{B})} |D\Phi(s)|^{r(4-\varepsilon)}(x) dx \right)^{\frac{1}{r}} \\
&\quad [\text{where } q = \frac{4 - (\varepsilon/2)}{4 - \varepsilon}, r = \frac{1}{1 - (1/q)}] \\
&\leq c(p(\varepsilon), \dots) \left( \int_{W(s)(\hat{B})} |Dg_s|^{4-\frac{\varepsilon}{2}} \circ \Phi(s)(x) dx \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \\
&= c(p(\varepsilon), \dots) \left( \int_{W(s)(\hat{B})} |Dg_s|^{4-\frac{\varepsilon}{2}} \circ \Phi(s)(x) \cdot \|DW(s) \circ \Phi(s)(x)\| \|D\Phi(s)(x)\| dx \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \\
&\quad [\text{since } I = D(W(s) \circ \Phi(s)) = (DW(s) \circ \Phi(s)) \cdot D\Phi(s)] \\
&= c(p(\varepsilon), \dots) \left( \int_{\hat{B}} |Dg_s|^{4-\frac{\varepsilon}{2}}(y) \|DW(s)\| (y) dy \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \\
&\leq c(p(\varepsilon), \dots) \left( \int_{\hat{B}} |Dg_s|^4(y) dy \right)^{\frac{1}{qv}} \left( \int_{\hat{B}} \|DW(s)\|^u dy \right)^{\frac{1}{qv}} \\
&\quad [\text{where } v = \frac{4}{4 - \frac{\varepsilon}{2}}, u = \frac{1}{1 - \frac{1}{v}}] \\
&\leq c(p(\varepsilon), \dots)
\end{aligned}$$

for sufficiently small  $s > 0$ , where  $c(p(\varepsilon), \dots)$  is independent of  $s$ , and  $2 > \varepsilon > 0$  is arbitrary. Hence for any sequence  $t_i > 0$  with  $t_i \rightarrow 0$  as  $i \rightarrow \infty$ , we can find a subsequence  $s_i$  with  $s_i \searrow 0$  such that  $(g_{s_i})_{\alpha\beta} \circ \Phi(s_i)$  converges strongly in  $L^p$  to some  $Z_{\alpha\beta}$  on  $\tilde{B}$  for all  $p$  as  $s_i$  goes to zero, in view of the Sobolev-Embedding theorems (see for example Theorem 7.26 in [13]).  $Z$  satisfies  $\frac{1}{C(a)} \delta_{\alpha\beta} \leq Z_{\alpha\beta} \leq C(a) \delta_{\alpha\beta}$  on  $\tilde{B}$  since

$$\frac{1}{2} \delta_{\alpha\beta} \leq h_{\alpha\beta}(\cdot) \leq 2 \delta_{\alpha\beta} \text{ on } \varphi(s)(\tilde{B}) \subseteq \tilde{V} \text{ and } \frac{1}{a} h \leq g(s) \leq ah.$$

For a sequence  $0 < s_i \searrow 0$ , we write  $g(i) = g(s_i)$  and  $\Phi(i) = \Phi(s_i)$  and  $\ell(i) := \ell(s_i)$ .

Using (9.1) we see

$$\delta_s^k = \ell(i)^{rk}(x) D_s \Phi(i)^\alpha(x) D_r \Phi(i)^\beta(x) g(i)_{\alpha\beta} \circ \Phi(i)(x)$$

and hence

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= \ell(i)^{rs}(x) D_s \Phi(i)^\alpha(x) D_r \Phi(i)^\beta(x) g(i)_{\alpha\beta} \circ \Phi(i)(x) \\ &\quad - \ell(j)^{rs}(x) D_s \Phi(j)^\alpha(x) D_r \Phi(j)^\beta(x) g(j)_{\alpha\beta} \circ \Phi(j)(x) \\ &= \ell_0^{rs}(x) Z_{\alpha\beta}(x) (D_s \Phi(i)^\alpha(x) D_r \Phi(i)^\beta(x) \\ &\quad - D_s \Phi(j)^\alpha(x) D_r \Phi(j)^\beta(x)) + \text{err}(i, j)(x) \\ &= |D\Phi(i) - D\Phi(j)|_{\ell_0, Z}^2(x) + \text{err}(i, j)(x) \end{aligned}$$

where  $\text{err}(i, j)$  is an error term which goes to 0 in the  $L^p$  sense, on  $\tilde{B}$  for any  $p \in [2, \infty)$  as  $i, j \rightarrow \infty$ , and hence, using (D.2) of Theorem D.1, we see

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_{\tilde{B}} |D\Phi(i) - D\Phi(j)|_{\delta, \delta}^p(x) dx \\ &\leq c(p, \dots) \int_{\tilde{B}} |D\Phi(i) - D\Phi(j)|_{h, Z}^p(x) dx \\ &\leq c(p, \dots) \int_{\tilde{B}} (1 + |\ell_0|_h^2)^{p/2}(x) |D\Phi(i) - D\Phi(j)|_{\ell_0, Z}^p(x) dx \\ &\leq c(p, \dots) \left( \int_{\tilde{B}} (1 + |\ell_0|_h^2)^p(x) dx \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left( \int_{\tilde{B}} |D\Phi(i) - D\Phi(j)|_{\ell_0, Z}^{2p}(x) dx \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &= c(p, \dots) \left( \int_{\tilde{B}} (\text{err}(i, j))^{2p}(x) dx \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \end{aligned}$$

where  $\int_{\tilde{B}} |\text{err}(i, j)|^{2p} \rightarrow 0$  as  $i, j \rightarrow \infty$ . That is  $D\Phi(i)|_{\tilde{B}}$  is Cauchy in  $L^p(\tilde{B})$ . Since  $\Phi(i) \rightarrow \Phi(0)$  in  $L^p$  locally, we see  $\Phi(i) \rightarrow \Phi(0)$  in  $W^{1,p}(\tilde{B})$  as  $i \rightarrow \infty$ . In fact  $\Phi(s) \rightarrow \Phi(0)$  in  $W^{1,p}(\tilde{B})$  as  $s \searrow 0$ . If this were not the case, then we could find a sequence of times  $t_i \rightarrow 0$  such that  $|\Phi(t_i) - \Phi(0)|_{W^{1,p}(\tilde{B})} \geq \sigma > 0$ . Repeating the above argument, we see that a subsequence  $\Phi(s_i)$  of  $\Phi(t_i)$  converges to  $\Phi(0)$  in  $W^{1,p}(\tilde{B})$ , which contradicts  $|\Phi(t_i) - \Phi(0)|_{W^{1,p}(\tilde{B})} \geq \sigma > 0$ .

We now show that a subsequence of  $\ell_{t_i} \circ W(t_i)$  converges in  $L^p$  locally for any sequence  $t_i \searrow 0$ . For  $0 < 4\delta < 3$  we have (where here the norm,  $|\cdot|$  refers to the euclidean norm)

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\tilde{B}} |D(\ell_s \circ W(s))|^{1+\delta} dy &= \int |D\ell \circ W(s) DW(s)|^{1+\delta} dy \\ &\leq \int_{\tilde{B}} |D\ell|^{1+2\delta} \circ W(s) dy + \int_{\tilde{B}} |DW(s)|^v dy \\ &\quad \left[ \text{with } v = \frac{1}{1 - (1/q)}, q = \frac{1 + 2\delta}{1 + \delta} \right] \\ &\leq \int_{\tilde{B}} |D\ell|^{1+2\delta} \circ W(s) dy + c(v, \delta, \dots) \\ &= \int_{\tilde{B}} (|D\ell|^{1+2\delta} \circ W(s)) \|D\Phi(s) \circ W(s)\| \|DW(s)\| dy + c(v, \delta, \dots) \\ &= \int_{W(s)(\tilde{B})} |D\ell|^{1+2\delta} dx \|D\Phi(s)\| + c(v, \delta, \dots) \\ &\leq \int_{W(s)(\tilde{B})} |D\ell|^{1+4\delta} dx + \int_{W(s)(\tilde{B})} \|D\Phi(s)\|^{\hat{p}} dx + c(v, \delta, \dots) \\ &\quad \left[ \text{with } \hat{p} = \frac{1}{1 - (1/\hat{q})}, \hat{q} = \frac{1 + 4\delta}{1 + 2\delta} \right] \\ &\leq c(v, \delta, \dots) \end{aligned}$$

where  $c(v(\delta), \dots)$  is independent of  $s$ . Hence a subsequence  $(s_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$  of  $(t_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$  satisfies  $\ell_{jk}(s_i) \circ W(s_i) \rightarrow R_{jk}$  in  $L^{\alpha(\delta)}(\hat{B})$  for some  $R \in L^\alpha(\hat{B})$  from the Sobolev embedding Theorems (see for example Theorem 7.26 in [13]). In particular  $\ell_{jk}(s_i) \circ W(s_i) \rightarrow R_{jk}$  almost everywhere on  $\hat{B}$ . On the other hand, the transformation formula for smooth diffeomorphisms shows us that

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\hat{B}} |\ell_s \circ W(s)|^p dy &= \int_{\hat{B}} |\ell_s \circ W(s)|^p \|D\Phi(s)\| \circ W(s) \|DW(s)\| dy \\ &= \int_{W(s)(\hat{B})} |\ell_s|^p \|D\Phi(s)\| dx \\ &\leq c(p, \dots) \end{aligned}$$

in view of Hölder's Theorem, since  $\ell_s$  and  $D\Phi(s)$  are uniformly bounded in  $L^p(B)$  for all  $p \in [1, \infty)$ . This shows us that  $\ell(s_i) \circ W(s_i) \rightarrow R$  in  $L^p(\hat{B})$  for all  $p \in [1, \infty)$  and that  $R \in L^p(\hat{B})$ . Similarly  $\ell(s_i)^{-1} \circ W(s_i) \rightarrow R^{-1}$  with  $R^{-1}$  in  $L^p$  for all  $p \in [1, \infty)$  after taking a subsequence:  $|D(\ell^{ij}(s) \circ W(s))|^{1+\delta} = |\ell^{ik}(s) \ell^{jl}(s) D\ell_{kl}(s) \circ W(s)|^{1+\delta}$  and hence a subsequence of  $\ell^{ij}(s_k) \circ W(s_k)$  converges in  $L^{\alpha(\delta)}$  to some  $H^{ij}$  in  $L^{\alpha(\delta)}$ , and we also have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\hat{B}} |\ell_s^{-1} \circ W(s)|^p dy &= \int_{\hat{B}} |\ell_s^{-1} \circ W(s)|^p \|D\Phi(s)\| \circ W(s) \|DW(s)\| dy \\ &= \int_{W(s)(\hat{B})} |\ell_s^{-1}|^p \|D\Phi(s)\| dx \\ &\leq c(p, \dots) \end{aligned}$$

and so,  $\ell^{ij}(s_{k_r}) \circ W(s_{k_r}) \rightarrow H^{ij}$  in  $L^p(\hat{B})$  for all  $p \in [1, \infty)$ , where  $(s_{k_r})_{r \in \mathbb{N}}$  is a subsequence of  $(s_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ . We also have  $\delta_m^j = \ell^{jk}(s_{i_r}) \circ W(s_{i_r}) \ell_{km}(s_{i_r}) \circ W(s_{i_r}) \rightarrow H^{jk} R_{km}$  in  $L^p(\hat{B})$  and hence almost everywhere, and hence  $H$  is the inverse of  $R$  almost everywhere, and after changing the function  $H$  on a set of measure zero,  $H$  is the inverse of  $R$  everywhere.

Using (9.1) we see

$$\delta_\beta^\gamma = g^{\gamma\alpha}(y)(s) D_\alpha W^i(s)(y) D_\beta W^j(s)(y) \ell_{ij}(W(s)(y), s),$$

and writing  $W(k) := W(s_{i_k}), g(k) := g(s_{i_k}), \dots$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= g(k)^{\beta\alpha}(y) D_\alpha W^i(k)(y) D_\beta W^j(k)(y) \ell(k)_{ij}(W(k)(y)) \\ &\quad - g(l)^{\beta\alpha}(y) D_\alpha W^i(l)(y) D_\beta W^j(l)(y) \ell(l)_{ij}(W(l)(y)) \\ &= g(0)^{\beta\alpha}(y) (D_\alpha W^i(k)(y) D_\beta W^j(k)(y) - D_\alpha W^i(l)(y) D_\beta W^j(l)(y)) R_{ij}(y) \\ &\quad + \text{err}(k, l)(y) \\ &= |DW(k) - DW(l)|_{g(0), R}^2 + \text{err}(k, l), \end{aligned}$$

where  $\text{err}(k, l) \rightarrow 0$  in  $L^p(\hat{B})$  for all  $p \in [1, \infty)$ . Hence, using (D.1) of Theorem D.1 we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_{\hat{B}} |DW(k) - DW(l)|_{\delta, \delta}^{2p} dy \\ &\leq c(p, \dots) \int_{\hat{B}} |DW(k) - DW(l)|_{g(0), h}^{2p} dy \\ &\leq c(p, \dots) \int_{\hat{B}} (|DW(k) - DW(l)|_{g(0), R}^{2p} (1 + |h|_R^{2p})) dy \\ &\leq c(p, \dots) \left( \int_{\hat{B}} |DW(k) - DW(l)|_{g(0), R}^{4p} dy \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &= c(p, \dots) \left( \int_{\hat{B}} |\text{err}(k, l)|^{4p} dy \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \end{aligned}$$

and hence  $W(k)$  is Cauchy in  $W^{1,p}(\hat{B})$  and hence converges. Here we used that  $\int_{\hat{B}} |h|_R^{4p} dy$  is bounded which follows from the fact that  $R^{-1} = H \in L^q$  for all  $q \in [1, \infty)$ . Using a similar argument to the one we used for  $\Phi$ , we see that  $W(s) \rightarrow W(0)$  in  $W^{1,p}(\hat{B})$  as  $s \searrow 0$ , i.e. that the convergence  $W(t_i) \rightarrow W(0)$  in  $W^{1,p}(\hat{B})$  holds for all sequences  $0 < t_i \rightarrow 0$ .

We saw that  $\ell(s) \circ W(s)$  converges in  $L^p(\hat{B})$  for all  $p \in [1, \infty)$  as  $s \searrow 0$ . We would like to further show that the limit function is  $\ell(0) \circ W(0)$ .

Using the change of variable formula for smooth diffeomorphisms, we see for the same coordinates from above, for any  $B_r(y_0) \subset\subset \hat{B}$  and any cut off smooth non-negative function  $\eta$  with support in  $B_{r-2\varepsilon}(y_0)$  that

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{B_r(y_0)} \ell_s \circ W(s) \cdot \eta dy &= \int_{B_{r-2\varepsilon}(y_0)} \ell_s \circ W(s) \cdot \eta \circ \Phi(s) \circ W(s) \cdot \|D\Phi(s)\| \circ W(s) \|DW(s)\| dy \\ &= \int_{W(s)(B_{r-2\varepsilon}(y_0))} \ell_s \cdot \eta \circ \Phi(s) \cdot \|D\Phi(s)\| dx \\ &= \int_{W(\delta)(B_{r-\varepsilon/4}(y_0))} \ell_0 \cdot \eta \circ \Phi(0) \cdot \|D\Phi(0)\| dx + \text{err}(s) \end{aligned}$$

for any  $\delta \leq s$ , where  $\text{err}(s) \rightarrow 0$  in  $L^p_{loc}$  as  $s \searrow 0$  since  $D\Phi(s) \rightarrow D\Phi(0)$  in  $L^p_{loc}$  and  $\Phi(s) \rightarrow \Phi(0)$  uniformly as  $s \searrow 0$  and  $\ell_s \rightarrow \ell_0$  in  $L^p_{loc}$  as  $s \searrow 0$ , and  $\eta \circ \Phi(s)$  has compact support in  $W(s)(B_{r-2\varepsilon}(y_0)) \subseteq W(\delta)(B_{r-\varepsilon/4}(y_0))$  for  $s, \delta$  sufficiently small.  $\Phi(0), W(0)$  are homeomorphisms which are continuous representatives of  $W^{1,p}_{loc}$  functions with  $p > n$  and so they both satisfy the *Lusin N-Property* (see Corollary B, [25]) and hence the change of area formula is valid for  $\Phi(0)$  and  $W(0)$  (see Proposition 1.1 of [24]) :

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_{W(\delta)(B_{r-\varepsilon/4}(y_0))} \ell_0 \cdot \eta \circ \Phi(0) \cdot \|D\Phi(0)\| dx + \text{err}(s) \\ &= \int_{\Phi(0)(W(\delta)(B_{r-\varepsilon/4}(y_0)))} \ell_0 \circ W(0) \cdot \eta dy + \text{err}(s) \\ &\rightarrow \int_{B_r} \ell_0 \circ W(0) \cdot \eta dy \end{aligned}$$

as  $s \searrow 0$ . As this is true for any continuous  $\eta$  and ball  $B_r(y_0)$  of this type, we see that  $\ell(s) \circ W(s) \rightarrow R = \ell_0 \circ W(0)$  almost everywhere and in  $L^p_{loc}$ , since  $\ell(s) \circ W(s)$  converges in  $L^p_{loc}$  for  $s \searrow 0$ , for all  $p \in [1, \infty)$  :  $\int \eta \ell(s) \circ W(s) dy \rightarrow \int \eta R dy = \int \eta \ell(0) \circ W(0) dy$ , and hence  $\int \eta (R - \ell(0) \circ W(0)) dy = 0$  for all non-negative cut off functions of this type, and hence, using the *Fundamental lemma of the calculus of variations*, we have ,  $R - \ell(0) \circ W(0) = 0$  in  $L^1(\hat{B})$  and hence  $R = \ell(0) \circ W(0)$  almost everywhere in  $B_r(y_0)$ . Returning to the last identity in (9.1), we see that  $g(0)_{\alpha\beta} = D_\alpha W^i(0) D_\beta W^j(0) \ell_{ij}(0) \circ W(0)$  almost everywhere and in the  $L^p$  sense. An almost identical argument shows that  $g_{\alpha\beta}(s) \circ \Phi(s)$  converges to  $g_{\alpha\beta}(0) \circ \Phi(0)$  in  $L^p$ , as we now explain. Let  $C = W(0)(B_r(y_0))$  and  $\eta$  a cut off function with compact support in  $W(0)(B_{r-4\varepsilon}(y_0))$ . Then  $\eta = 0$  outside of  $W(s)(B_{r-2\varepsilon}(y_0))$  and  $W(0)(B_{r-4\varepsilon}(y_0)) \subseteq W(s)(B_{r-2\varepsilon}(y_0))$  for sufficiently small  $s > 0$ . Hence

$$\begin{aligned} \int_C g(s) \circ \Phi(s) \eta dx &= \int_{W(0)(B_{r-4\varepsilon}(y_0))} g(s) \circ \Phi(s) \eta dx \\ &= \int_{W(s)(B_{r-2\varepsilon}(y_0))} g(s) \circ \Phi(s) \eta dx \\ &= \int_{W(s)(B_{r-2\varepsilon}(y_0))} g(s) \circ \Phi(s) \cdot \eta \circ W(s) \circ \Phi(s) \cdot \|DW(s)\| \circ \Phi(s) \|D\Phi(s)\| dx \\ &= \int_{B_{r-2\varepsilon}(y_0)} g(s) \cdot \eta \circ W(s) \cdot \|DW(s)\| dy \\ &= \int_{B_{r-2\varepsilon}(y_0)} g(0) \cdot \eta \circ W(0) \cdot \|DW(0)\| dy + \text{err}(s) \\ &= \int_{W(0)(B_{r-2\varepsilon}(y_0))} g(0) \circ \Phi(0) \cdot \eta dx + \text{err}(s) \\ &\rightarrow \int_C g(0) \circ \Phi(0) \eta dx \end{aligned}$$

as  $s \searrow 0$  where we have once again used that the change of variables formula is valid for  $\Phi(0)$  and  $W(0)$ . Hence, since  $g(s) \circ \Phi(s) \rightarrow Z$  in  $L^p_{loc}$  we have  $g(s) \circ \Phi(s) \rightarrow Z = g(0) \circ \Phi(0)$

in  $L^p(C)$  as  $s \searrow 0$  for all  $p \in [1, \infty)$ , in view of the *Fundamental lemma of the calculus of variations*. Hence  $g(s) \circ \Phi(s) \rightarrow g(0) \circ \Phi(0)$  in  $L^p_{loc}$  as  $s \searrow 0$ , for all  $p \in [1, \infty)$ . Returning to the first identity in (9.1), we see that this implies

$$\ell_{ij}(0)(x) = D_i \Phi^\alpha(0)(x) D_j \Phi^\beta(0)(x) g_{\alpha\beta}(0)(\Phi(0)(x))$$

almost everywhere and in the  $L^p$  sense. □

## 10. DISTANCE CONVERGENCE IN SOBOLEV SPACES

**Lemma 10.1.** *In the following  $\mathbb{B}_r^k(0)$  is a  $k$ -dimensional ball of radius  $r > 0$  and middle-point 0. Let  $c > 1$  and  $g : \mathbb{B}_2^n(0) \times [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$  be a family of non-negative two-tensors, such that*

$$(10.1) \quad \int_{\mathbb{B}_2^n(0)} |g(t)(z) - g(0)(z)|_\delta^2 dz \leq ct$$

$$(10.2) \quad \frac{1}{c} \delta \leq g(t) \leq c\delta$$

for all  $t \in [0, 1)$ , where  $g(t)$  are smooth for all  $t > 0$  and  $g(0)$  is in  $L^2$ .

Let  $\sigma : [-1, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{B}_2^n(0)$ ,  $\sigma(s) = se_1$  be a Lebesgue line with respect to  $g(0)$ , that is the function  $\sqrt{g(0)_{11}(\cdot, \cdot)} : [-1, 1] \times \mathbb{B}_1^{n-1}(0) \subseteq \mathbb{B}_2^n(0) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_0^+$  is measurable,  $\sqrt{g(0)_{11}(s, 0)} : \mathbb{B}_1^{n-1}(0) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_0^+$  is measurable,  $\sqrt{g(0)_{11}(s, \cdot)} : \mathbb{B}_1^{n-1}(0) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_0^+$  is measurable for almost all  $s \in [-1, 1]$ ,  $\sqrt{g(0)_{11}(\cdot, x)} : [-1, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_0^+$  is measurable for almost all  $x \in \mathbb{B}_1^{n-1}(0)$  and

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{-1}^1 \sqrt{g(0)_{11}(s, 0)} ds &= \lim_{\alpha \rightarrow 0} \frac{1}{\omega_{n-1} \alpha^{n-1}} \int_{-1}^1 \int_{\mathbb{B}_\alpha^{n-1}(0)} \sqrt{g(0)_{11}(s, x)} dx ds \\ &= \lim_{\alpha \rightarrow 0} \frac{1}{\omega_{n-1} \alpha^{n-1}} \int_{T_\alpha(\sigma)} \sqrt{g(0)_{11}(z)} dz, \end{aligned}$$

where  $dx$  is  $n - 1$  dimensional Lebesgue measure, and  $dz$  is  $n$  dimensional Lebesgue measure,  $T_\alpha(\sigma) := \{\sigma(s) + \beta(0, v) \mid s \in [-1, 1], v \in \mathbb{R}^{n-1}, |v| = 1, \beta \in \mathbb{R}, |\beta| \leq \alpha\}$  is an  $\alpha$  tubular neighbourhood of  $\sigma$ ,  $\omega_{n-1} \alpha^{n-1}$  is the (Lebesgue)  $n - 1$  dimensional volume of  $T_\alpha(\sigma(s)) := \{\sigma(s) + \beta(0, v) \mid v \in \mathbb{R}^{n-1}, |v| = 1, \beta \in \mathbb{R}, |\beta| \leq \alpha\}$ . Then: For all  $\varepsilon > 0$  there exists a  $t_0 > 0$  such that

$$(10.3) \quad L_{g_0}(\sigma) := \int_{-1}^1 \sqrt{g(0)_{11}(s, 0)} ds \geq (1 - \varepsilon) d(g(t))(\sigma(-1), \sigma(1))$$

for all  $t \in (0, t_0)$ .

*Proof.* We calculate

$$\begin{aligned}
L_{g_0}(\sigma) &= \int_{-1}^1 \sqrt{g(0)_{11}(s, 0)} ds \\
&\geq \frac{\int_{y \in T_\alpha(\sigma)} \sqrt{g(0)_{11}(y)} dy}{\text{Vol}(\mathbb{B}_\alpha^{n-1}(0))} - R(\alpha) \\
&= \frac{\int_{y \in T_\alpha(\sigma)} (\sqrt{g(0)_{11}(y)} - \sqrt{g(t)_{11}(y)}) + \sqrt{g(t)_{11}(y)} dy}{\text{Vol}(\mathbb{B}_\alpha^{n-1}(0))} - R(\alpha) \\
&\geq \frac{\int_{y \in T_\alpha(\sigma)} -|\sqrt{g(0)_{11}(y)} - \sqrt{g(t)_{11}(y)}| + \sqrt{g(t)_{11}(y)} dy}{\text{Vol}(\mathbb{B}_\alpha^{n-1}(0))} - R(\alpha) \\
&\quad [\text{ using } |\sqrt{a} - \sqrt{b}| \leq \sqrt{|a - b|} \text{ for } a, b \in \mathbb{R}^+ ] \\
&\geq \frac{\int_{y \in T_\alpha(\sigma)} -\sqrt{|g(0)_{11}(y) - g(t)_{11}(y)|} + \sqrt{g(t)_{11}(y)} dy}{\text{Vol}(\mathbb{B}_\alpha^{n-1}(0))} - R(\alpha) \\
(10.4) \quad &\geq -\frac{(\int_{y \in T_\alpha(\sigma)} |g(0)_{11}(y) - g(t)_{11}(y)|^2 dy)^{\frac{1}{4}}}{\text{Vol}(\mathbb{B}_\alpha^{n-1}(0))} (2\text{Vol}(\mathbb{B}_\alpha^{n-1}(0)))^{\frac{3}{4}} \\
&\quad + \frac{\int_{y \in T_\alpha(\sigma)} \sqrt{g(t)_{11}(y)} dy}{\text{Vol}(\mathbb{B}_\alpha^{n-1}(0))} - R(\alpha) \\
&\geq \frac{-2ct^{\frac{1}{4}} (\text{Vol}(\mathbb{B}_\alpha^{n-1}(0)))^{\frac{3}{4}}}{\text{Vol}(\mathbb{B}_\alpha^{n-1}(0))} + \frac{\int_{x \in \mathbb{B}_\alpha^{n-1}(0)} \int_{-1}^1 \sqrt{g(t)_{11}(s, x)} ds dx}{\text{Vol}(\mathbb{B}_\alpha^{n-1}(0))} - R(\alpha) \\
&\geq \frac{-ct^{\frac{1}{4}}}{(\text{Vol}(\mathbb{B}_\alpha^{n-1}(0)))^{\frac{1}{4}}} + \frac{\int_{x \in \mathbb{B}_\alpha^{n-1}(0)} L_{g(t)}(\sigma_x) dx}{\text{Vol}(\mathbb{B}_\alpha^{n-1}(0))} - R(\alpha) \\
&\geq \frac{-ct^{\frac{1}{4}}}{(\text{Vol}(\mathbb{B}_\alpha^{n-1}(0)))^{\frac{1}{4}}} + \inf_{x \in \mathbb{B}_\alpha^{n-1}(0)} L_{g(t)}(\sigma_x) - R(\alpha) \\
(10.5) \quad &\geq \frac{-ct^{\frac{1}{4}}}{(\text{Vol}(\mathbb{B}_\alpha^{n-1}(0)))^{\frac{1}{4}}} + \inf\{d_t((-1, x), (1, x)) \mid x \in \overline{\mathbb{B}_\alpha^{n-1}(0)}\} - R(\alpha),
\end{aligned}$$

where  $R(\alpha)$  is independent of  $t$  and  $R(\alpha) \rightarrow 0$  as  $\alpha \searrow 0$ , and  $\sigma_x : [-a, a] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$  is  $\sigma_x(s) = (s, x)$ . Now using the equivalence of  $g(t)$  to  $\delta$  with the constant  $c$  we see that

$$\begin{aligned}
&\inf\{d_t((-1, x), (1, x)) \mid x \in \overline{\mathbb{B}_\alpha^{n-1}(0)}\} \\
&\geq d_t((-1, 0), (1, 0)) - \sup_{x \in \overline{\mathbb{B}_\alpha^{n-1}(0)}} d_t((-1, 0), (-1, x)) - \sup_{x \in \overline{\mathbb{B}_\alpha^{n-1}(0)}} d_t((1, 0), (1, x)) \\
&\geq d_t((-1, 0), (1, 0)) - c|\alpha|
\end{aligned}$$

independently of  $t$ , and hence choosing  $t = \alpha^{200n}$  and  $\alpha = \alpha(\varepsilon)$  small enough, we see that

$$(10.6) \quad L_{g_0}(\sigma) \geq (1 - \varepsilon)d_t((-1, 0), (1, 0))$$

in view of (10.5), and the fact that  $d_t((-1, 0), (1, 0)) \geq \frac{1}{\sqrt{c}} > 0$  (since  $g(t) \geq \frac{1}{c}\delta$ ).  $\square$

**Lemma 10.2.** *For all  $\varepsilon > 0$  there exists a  $\alpha > 0$  such that if  $g$  is an  $L^2$  metric on  $\mathbb{B}_1(0)$  with  $\int_{\mathbb{B}_1(0)} |g - \delta|^2 \leq \alpha$ , then there exists an  $x \in \mathbb{B}_\varepsilon^{n-1}(0)$  such that  $\sqrt{g_{11}}(\cdot, x) : [-\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$  is measurable,  $\sigma : [-\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ ,  $\sigma(t) = x + e_1 t$ , is a Lebesgue line between  $(-\frac{1}{2}, x)$  and  $(\frac{1}{2}, x)$  and*

$$\int_{-\frac{1}{2}}^{\frac{1}{2}} \sqrt{g_{11}(s, x)} ds \leq 1 + \varepsilon = d_\delta((-\frac{1}{2}, x), (\frac{1}{2}, x)) + \varepsilon$$



*Proof.* Fubini's Theorem tells us that the function  $f : \mathbb{B}_{\frac{1}{4}}^{n-1}(0) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_0^+$ ,  $f(x) := \int_{-\frac{1}{2}}^{\frac{1}{2}} \sqrt{g_{11}(s, x)} ds$  is well defined for almost all  $x \in \mathbb{B}_{\frac{1}{4}}^{n-1}(0)$  and defines an  $L^1$  function, also denoted by  $f$ , and the function  $\hat{f} : [-\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_0^+$   $\hat{f}(s) := \int_{\mathbb{B}_{\frac{1}{4}}^{n-1}(0)} \sqrt{g_{11}(s, x)} dx$  is well defined for almost all  $s \in [-\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}]$  and defines an  $L^1$  function, and  $\int_{\mathbb{B}_{\frac{1}{4}}^{n-1}(0)} f(x) dx = \int_{-\frac{1}{2}}^{\frac{1}{2}} \int_{\mathbb{B}_{\frac{1}{4}}^{n-1}(0)} \sqrt{g_{11}(s, x)} dx ds = \int_{\mathbb{B}_{\frac{1}{4}}^{n-1}(0)} \int_{-\frac{1}{2}}^{\frac{1}{2}} \sqrt{g_{11}(s, x)} ds dx = \int_{\mathbb{B}_{\frac{1}{4}}^{n-1}(0) \times [-\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}]} \sqrt{g_{11}(z)} dz$ . This also implies that almost every  $x \in \mathbb{B}_{\frac{1}{4}}^{n-1}(0)$  is a Lebesgue point of  $f$ , that is

$$\frac{\int_{\mathbb{B}_r^{n-1}(x)} |f(y) - f(x)| dy}{\omega_{n-1} r^{n-1}} \rightarrow 0$$

as  $r \searrow 0$ , for almost every  $x \in \mathbb{B}_{\frac{1}{4}}^{n-1}(0)$  (see Corollary 1 of Section 1.7 of [12]), and as a consequence

$$\frac{\int_{\mathbb{B}_r^{n-1}(x)} f(y) dy}{\omega_{n-1} r^{n-1}} \rightarrow f(x)$$

as  $r \searrow 0$ , for almost every  $x \in \mathbb{B}_{\frac{1}{4}}^{n-1}(0)$

That is, almost every curve  $v_x : [-\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}] \rightarrow \mathbb{B}_1(0)$ ,  $v_x(s) := (s, x)$  for  $x \in \mathbb{B}_{\frac{1}{4}}^{n-1}(0)$  is a parametrised Lebesgue line.

We wish to estimate the measure  $m$  of the set  $Z \subseteq \mathbb{B}_{\frac{1}{4}}^{n-1}(0)$  of  $x \in \mathbb{B}_{\frac{1}{4}}^{n-1}(0)$  such that  $\int_{-\frac{1}{2}}^{\frac{1}{2}} \sqrt{g_{11}(s, x)} ds$  is well defined and for which  $\int_{-\frac{1}{2}}^{\frac{1}{2}} \sqrt{g_{11}(s, x)} ds \geq 1 + \hat{\alpha}$  where  $\hat{\alpha} := (\alpha)^{\frac{1}{8}}$  ( $\rightarrow 0$  as  $\alpha \rightarrow 0$ ). We will see that  $m \leq a(n)\alpha^{\frac{1}{8}}$ . Using  $|\sqrt{a} - \sqrt{b}| \leq \sqrt{|a - b|}$  for  $a, b \in \mathbb{R}^+$ , we see that

$$\begin{aligned}
\alpha &\geq \int_{\mathbb{B}_{\frac{1}{4}}^{n-1}(0)} \int_{-\frac{1}{2}}^{\frac{1}{2}} |g_{11}(s, x) - 1|^2 ds dx \\
&= \int_{\mathbb{B}_{\frac{1}{4}}^{n-1}(0)} \int_{-\frac{1}{2}}^{\frac{1}{2}} \left( \sqrt{|g_{11}(s, x) - 1|} \right)^4 ds dx \\
&\geq \int_{\mathbb{B}_{\frac{1}{4}}^{n-1}(0)} \int_{-\frac{1}{2}}^{\frac{1}{2}} |\sqrt{g_{11}(s, x)} - 1|^4 ds dx \\
&\geq \frac{\left( \int_{\mathbb{B}_{\frac{1}{4}}^{n-1}(0)} \int_{-\frac{1}{2}}^{\frac{1}{2}} |\sqrt{g_{11}(s, x)} - 1| ds dx \right)^4}{\left( \text{Vol}(\mathbb{B}_{\frac{1}{4}}^{n-1}(0) \times [-\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}]) \right)^3} \\
&= \frac{1}{c(n)} \left( \int_{\mathbb{B}_{\frac{1}{4}}^{n-1}(0)} \int_{-\frac{1}{2}}^{\frac{1}{2}} |\sqrt{g_{11}(s, x)} - 1| ds dx \right)^4 \\
&\geq \frac{1}{c(n)} \left( \int_{\mathbb{B}_{\frac{1}{4}}^{n-1}(0)} \left| \int_{-\frac{1}{2}}^{\frac{1}{2}} (\sqrt{g_{11}(s, x)} - 1) ds \right| dx \right)^4 \\
&\geq \frac{1}{c(n)} \left( \int_Z \left| \int_{-\frac{1}{2}}^{\frac{1}{2}} (\sqrt{g_{11}(s, x)} - 1) ds \right| dx \right)^4 \\
&= \frac{1}{c(n)} \left( \int_Z \left| \int_{-\frac{1}{2}}^{\frac{1}{2}} \sqrt{g_{11}(s, x)} ds - 1 \right| dx \right)^4 \\
&= \frac{1}{c(n)} \left( \int_Z \int_{-\frac{1}{2}}^{\frac{1}{2}} \sqrt{g_{11}(s, x)} ds - 1 dx \right)^4 \\
&\geq \frac{1}{c(n)} \left( \int_Z \hat{\alpha} dx \right)^4 \\
&\geq \frac{1}{c(n)} m^4 (\hat{\alpha})^4
\end{aligned}$$

which implies  $m^4 \leq \frac{c(n)\alpha}{\hat{\alpha}^4} = c(n)\alpha^{\frac{1}{2}}$ , that is,  $m = \mathcal{L}^{n-1}(Z) \leq (c(n))^{\frac{1}{4}}\alpha^{\frac{1}{8}} \leq \alpha^{\frac{1}{20}}$  for  $\alpha \leq \frac{1}{(c(n))^4}$ . For  $\varepsilon > 0$  given, we now choose  $\alpha = \varepsilon^{100n}$ , so that  $m \leq \varepsilon^{5n}$ . But then  $\mathcal{L}^{n-1}(Z^c \cap \mathbb{B}_{\varepsilon}^{n-1}(0)) > 0$ : Otherwise,  $\mathcal{L}^{n-1}(Z^c \cap \mathbb{B}_{\varepsilon}^{n-1}(0)) = 0$  that is  $\mathcal{L}^{n-1}(Z \cap \mathbb{B}_{\varepsilon}^{n-1}(0)) = \omega_{n-1}\varepsilon^n$  and as a consequence  $\varepsilon^{5n} \geq m = \mathcal{L}^{n-1}(Z) \geq \mathcal{L}^{n-1}(Z \cap \mathbb{B}_{\varepsilon}^{n-1}(0)) = \omega_{n-1}\varepsilon^n$  which is a contradiction.

Using this, with the fact that for almost every  $x \in \mathbb{B}_{\varepsilon}^{n-1}(0)$  the curve  $v_x : [-\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}] \rightarrow \mathbb{B}_1(0)$   $v_x(s) := (s, x)$  is a parametrised Lebesgue line, we see that it is possible to choose an  $x \in \mathbb{B}_{\varepsilon}^{n-1}(0)$  such that  $\int_{-\frac{1}{2}}^{\frac{1}{2}} \sqrt{g(0)_{11}(s, x)} ds \leq 1 + \hat{\alpha} = 1 + \varepsilon^{\frac{100n}{8}} \leq 1 + \varepsilon$  and so that  $v_x$  is a parametrised Lebesgue line, as claimed.  $\square$

## 11. UNIQUENESS

**Lemma 11.1** (*L<sup>2</sup>-Lemma*). *(cf. Lemma 6.1 in proof of [9]). Let  $M$  be  $n$ -dimensional and  $g_1, g_2$  be two smooth solutions on  $M \times [0, T]$  to the  $h$ -Ricci-DeTurck flow, and let  $\ell := g_1 - g_2$ ,  $\tilde{\ell}^{ab} := \frac{1}{2}(g_1^{ab} + g_2^{ab})$  and  $\hat{\ell}^{ab} := \frac{1}{2}(g_1^{ab} - g_2^{ab})$ . Then the quantity  $|\ell|_h^2$  satisfies the evolution equation:*

$$\begin{aligned}
(11.1) \quad \frac{\partial}{\partial t} |\ell|^2 &= \tilde{\ell}^{abh} \nabla_a^h \nabla_b^h |\ell|^2 - 2 |{}^h \nabla \ell|_{\tilde{\ell}, h}^2 + \ell * \hat{\ell} * {}^h \nabla^2 (g_1 + g_2) \\
&+ * \ell * \hat{\ell} * g_1^{-1} * {}^h \nabla g_1 * {}^h \nabla g_1 + \ell * g_2^{-1} * \hat{\ell} * {}^h \nabla g_1 * {}^h \nabla g_1 \\
&+ \ell * g_2^{-1} * g_2^{-1} * {}^h \nabla \ell * {}^h \nabla g_1 + \ell * g_2^{-1} * g_2^{-1} * {}^h \nabla g_2 * {}^h \nabla \ell \\
&+ \ell * \hat{\ell} * g_1 * \text{Rm}(h) + \ell * \ell * (g_2)^{-1} * \text{Rm}(h),
\end{aligned}$$

where  $T * S$  refers to contractions of the tensors  $T$  and  $S$  involving  $h^{-1}$  and  $|Z|_{g, h}^2 = g^{ij} h^{ks} h^{vr} Z_{ikv} Z_{jsr}$  for a zero-three tensor  $Z$ .

*Proof.* The formula was proved in [9] for the case that  $h = \delta$  is the standard metric on a euclidean ball  $B_1(0)$ , and hence the curvature  $\text{Rm}(h) = 0$ . We carry out a similar argument to the one given there, explaining why the term arising from the curvature  $\text{Rm}(h)$  in the evolution equation of  $|\ell|^2$  in this setting can be written as  $\ell * \hat{\ell} * g_1 * \text{Rm}(h) + \ell * \ell * (g_2)^{-1} * \text{Rm}(h)$ .

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \ell &= g_1^{abh} \nabla_a^h \nabla_b^h g_1 + g_1^{-1} * g_1^{-1} * {}^h \nabla g_1 * {}^h \nabla g_1 + \\
&- (g_1)^{kl} (g_1)_{ip} h^{pq} R_{jkql}(h) - (g_1)^{kl} (g_1)_{jp} h^{pq} R_{ikql}(h) \\
&- g_2^{abh} \nabla_a^h \nabla_b^h g_2 - g_2^{-1} * g_2^{-1} * {}^h \nabla g_2 * {}^h \nabla g_2 \\
&+ (g_2)^{kl} (g_2)_{ip} h^{pq} R_{jkql}(h) + (g_2)^{kl} (g_2)_{jp} h^{pq} R_{ikql}(h) \\
&= \frac{1}{2} (g_1^{ab} + g_2^{ab}) {}^h \nabla_a^h \nabla_b^h \ell + \frac{1}{2} (g_1^{ab} - g_2^{ab}) {}^h \nabla_a^h \nabla_b^h (g_1 + g_2) \\
&+ (g_1^{-1} - g_2^{-1}) * g_1^{-1} * {}^h \nabla g_1 * {}^h \nabla g_1 + g_2^{-1} * (g_1^{-1} - g_2^{-1}) * {}^h \nabla g_1 * {}^h \nabla g_1 \\
&+ g_2^{-1} * g_2^{-1} * {}^h \nabla \ell * {}^h \nabla g_1 + g_2^{-1} * g_2^{-1} * {}^h \nabla g_2 * {}^h \nabla \ell, \\
&- \hat{\ell}^{kl} (g_1)_{ip} R_{ikql}(h) - \hat{\ell}^{kl} (g_1)_{jp} R_{jkql}(h) \\
&- (g_2)^{kl} \ell_{ip} h^{pq} R_{jkql}(h) + (g_2)^{kl} \ell_{jp} h^{pq} R_{ikql}(h)
\end{aligned}$$

which we can write as

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \ell &= \tilde{\ell}^{ab} {}^h \nabla_a^h \nabla_b^h \ell + \hat{\ell}^{abh} \nabla_a^h \nabla_b^h (g_1 + g_2) \\
&+ \hat{\ell} * g_1^{-1} * {}^h \nabla g_1 * {}^h \nabla g_1 + g_2^{-1} * \hat{\ell} * {}^h \nabla g_1 * {}^h \nabla g_1 \\
&+ g_2^{-1} * g_2^{-1} * {}^h \nabla \ell * {}^h \nabla g_1 + g_2^{-1} * g_2^{-1} * {}^h \nabla g_2 * {}^h \nabla \ell \\
&+ \hat{\ell} * g_1 * \text{Rm}(h) + \ell * (g_2)^{-1} * \text{Rm}(h).
\end{aligned}$$

The formula now follows from this equality, combined with the facts that  $\frac{\partial}{\partial t} |\ell|_h^2 = 2(\ell, \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \ell)_h$  and  $2(\ell, \tilde{\ell}^{ab} {}^h \nabla_a^h \nabla_b^h \ell)_h = \tilde{\ell}^{ab} {}^h \nabla_a^h \nabla_b^h |\ell|_h^2 - 2 |{}^h \nabla \ell|_{\tilde{\ell}, h}^2$ .  $\square$

Using the previous evolution equation for the difference between two solutions of the  $h$ -Ricci-DeTurck flow we are now able to show that the solution constructed in Theorem 6.1 is unique among all solutions satisfying  $(a_t)$ ,  $(b_t)$  and  $(c_t)$  with  $\varepsilon$  sufficiently small. The proof below slightly resembles the argument used by Struwe to prove a uniqueness result for the harmonic map flow in two dimensions, see the argument given in the proof of uniqueness in the proof of Theorem 6.6, Chapter III in [34].

**Theorem 11.2.** *Let  $M$  be four dimensional and  $g(t)$ ,  $0 \leq t \leq S$ , be a solution of the  $h$ -Ricci-DeTurck flow with initial condition  $g_0 \in W_{loc}^{2,2} \cap W_{loc}^{1,\infty}$  satisfying (a) and (b). Assume additionally that  $g(t)$  satisfies the estimates  $(a_t)$ ,  $(b_t)$   $(c_t)$  and  $(d_t)$  from Theorem 6.3 for all  $0 \leq t \leq S$ . Then there exists a time  $T_{\max} = T_{\max}(n, a) \in (0, S)$  so that the solution is unique for all  $0 \leq t \leq T_{\max}$ .*

*Proof.* We let  $g_1, g_2$  be two solutions and as above we let  $l = g_1 - g_2$ . Next we multiply (11.1) with  $\eta^4$ , where  $\eta$  is a cut-off function which is equal to one on  $B_{\frac{1}{2}}(x)$  and zero outside of  $B_{\frac{3}{4}}(x)$ , and integrating by parts, and using Youngs and Hölder inequality, we obtain the estimate

$$\begin{aligned}
\partial_t \int_M \eta^4 |l|^2 + 2 \int_M \eta^4 |{}^h \nabla l|_{\tilde{\ell}, h}^2 &\leq C \int_M \eta^3 (\eta |l| |{}^h \nabla \tilde{l}| |{}^h \nabla l| + |{}^h \nabla \eta| |\tilde{l}| |l| |{}^h \nabla l|) + \int_M \eta^4 |{}^h \nabla l|_{\tilde{\ell}, h}^2 \\
&+ C \left( \int_M \eta^4 (|l|^4 + |\hat{l}|^4) \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left( \int_{B_1(x)} |{}^h \nabla^2 (g_1 + g_2)|^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\
&+ C \left( \int_M \eta^4 (|l|^4 + |\hat{l}|^4) \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left( \int_M \eta^4 |{}^h \nabla (g_1 + g_2)|^4 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\
(11.2) \quad &+ C \int_M \eta^4 (|l|^2 + |\hat{l}|^2).
\end{aligned}$$

Using the Sobolev embedding Theorem, see Theorem B.1, and the assumption  $(b_t)$  it follows that

$$\int_{B_1(x)} |{}^h \nabla^2 (g_1 + g_2)|^2 + \left( \int_M \eta^4 |{}^h \nabla (g_1 + g_2)|^4 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \leq C\varepsilon.$$

Using the estimate  $|\hat{l}| \leq C|l|$  and again the Sobolev inequality we conclude

$$(11.3) \quad \left( \int_M \eta^4 (|\hat{l}|^4 + |l|^4) \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \leq C \int_{B_1(x)} (|{}^h \nabla l|^2 + |l|^2),$$

and hence

$$\begin{aligned}
\partial_t \int_M \eta^4 |l|^2 + \int_M \eta^4 |{}^h \nabla l|_{\tilde{\ell}, h}^2 &\leq C \int_M \eta^3 (\eta |l| |{}^h \nabla \tilde{l}| |{}^h \nabla l| + |{}^h \nabla \eta| |\tilde{l}| |l| |{}^h \nabla l|) \\
(11.4) \quad &+ C\sqrt{\varepsilon} \int_{B_1(x)} (|{}^h \nabla l|^2 + |l|^2) + C \int_M \eta^4 (|l|^2 + |\hat{l}|^2).
\end{aligned}$$

From  $(b_t)$  we also obtain

$$\left( \int_M \eta^4 (|{}^h \nabla l|^4 + |{}^h \nabla \tilde{l}|^4) \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \leq C\varepsilon.$$

and this, together with Youngs inequality, (11.3) and  $(a_t)$ , implies

$$\int_M \eta^3 (\eta |l| |{}^h \nabla \tilde{l}| |{}^h \nabla l| + |{}^h \nabla \eta| |\tilde{l}| |l| |{}^h \nabla l|) \leq \frac{1}{4} \int_M \eta^4 |{}^h \nabla l|_{\tilde{\ell}, h}^2 + C \int_{B_1(x)} |l|^2 + C\varepsilon \int_{B_1(x)} |{}^h \nabla l|^2.$$

Inserting all these estimates into (11.4), we obtain

$$\partial_t \int_M \eta^4 |l|^2 + \frac{1}{ca} \int_M \eta^4 |{}^h \nabla l|^2 \leq C \int_{B_1(x)} |l|^2 + C\sqrt{\varepsilon} \int_{B_1(x)} |{}^h \nabla l|^2.$$

After integrating in time we obtain for every  $x \in M$

$$(11.5) \quad \int_{B_{\frac{1}{2}}(x)} |l|^2(t) + \frac{1}{ca} \int_0^t \int_{B_{\frac{1}{2}}(x)} |{}^h \nabla l|^2 ds \leq C \int_0^t \int_{B_1(x)} |l|^2(s) ds + C\sqrt{\varepsilon} \int_0^t \int_{B_1(x)} |{}^h \nabla l|^2 ds.$$

Next we let  $1 > \sigma > 0$  be arbitrary and we conclude from Corollary 5.7 that

$$\sup_{x \in M} \int_{B_1(x)} |l|^2(t) < \sigma$$

for every  $0 \leq t \leq C\sigma$  where  $C$  is a constant only depending on  $n$  and  $a$ . In the following we let  $T_{\max}$  be the smallest time so that

$$\sup_{x \in M} \int_{B_1(x)} |l|^2(T_{\max}) = \sigma.$$

For any  $x \in M$  we can cover the ball  $B_1(x)$  by finitely many balls  $B_{\frac{1}{2}}(x_i)$ ,  $1 \leq i \leq N = N(h)$  (see Appendix B). We conclude from (11.5) that for  $t \leq T_{\max}$

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{B_1(x)} |l|^2(t) + \frac{1}{ca} \int_0^t \int_{B_1(x)} |{}^h \nabla l|^2 &\leq N \sup_i (C \int_0^t \int_{B_{\frac{1}{2}}(x_i)} |l|^2(t) + C\sqrt{\varepsilon} \int_0^t \int_{B_{\frac{1}{2}}(x_i)} |{}^h \nabla l|^2) \\ &\leq CNt\sigma + CN\sqrt{\varepsilon} \sup_i \int_0^t \int_{B_1(x_i)} |{}^h \nabla l|^2 \end{aligned}$$

and hence

$$\begin{aligned} &\sup_{x \in M} \left( \int_{B_1(x)} |l|^2(t) + \frac{1}{ca} \int_0^t \int_{B_1(x)} |{}^h \nabla l|^2 \right) \\ &\leq CNt\sigma + CN\sqrt{\varepsilon} \sup_{x \in M} \int_0^t \int_{B_1(x)} |{}^h \nabla l|^2 \\ &\leq CNt\sigma + \frac{1}{2} \sup_{x \in M} \left( \int_{B_1(x)} |l|^2(t) + \frac{1}{ca} \int_0^t \int_{B_1(x)} |{}^h \nabla l|^2 \right) \end{aligned}$$

if  $\varepsilon > 0$  is sufficiently small. Hence

$$\sup_{x \in M} \left( \int_{B_1(x)} |l|^2(t) + \frac{1}{ca} \int_0^t \int_{B_1(x)} |{}^h \nabla l|^2 \right) \leq 2CNt\sigma \leq \frac{\sigma}{2}$$

for all  $0 \leq t \leq \frac{1}{4CN}$  which implies that  $T_{\max} \geq \frac{1}{4CN}$  and since  $\sigma > 0$  was arbitrary this finishes the proof of the theorem.  $\square$

## 12. AN APPLICATION

Here we present an application for  $W^{2,2} \cap L^\infty$  metrics on four dimensional manifolds in the setting that scalar curvature is weakly bounded from below. For the case that the metric is  $C^0$  we refer to the paper of [4] for related results.

**Definition 12.1.** Let  $M$  be a four dimensional smooth closed manifold and  $g$  be a  $W^{2,2}$  Riemannian metric (positive definite everywhere) and let  $k \in \mathbb{R}$ . Locally the scalar curvature may be written

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{R}(g) &= g^{jk} (\partial_i \Gamma(g)_{jk}^i - \partial_j \Gamma(g)_{ik}^i \\ &\quad + \Gamma_{ip}^i \Gamma_{jk}^p - \Gamma_{jp}^i \Gamma_{ik}^p) \end{aligned}$$

where  $\Gamma(g)_{ij}^m = \frac{1}{2} g^{mk} (\partial_i g_{jk} + \partial_j g_{ik} - \partial_k g_{ij})$ , and hence  $\mathbf{R}(g)$  is well defined in the  $L^2$  sense for a  $W^{2,2}$  Riemannian metric. Let  $k \in \mathbb{R}$ . We say the scalar curvature  $\mathbf{R}(g)$  is weakly bounded from below by  $k$ ,  $\mathbf{R}(g) \geq k$ , if this is true almost everywhere, for all local smooth coordinates.

**Theorem 12.2.** *Let  $(M, h)$  be four dimensional closed and satisfy (2.4). Assume that  $(M, g_0)$  is a  $W^{2,2}$  metric such that  $\frac{1}{a}h \leq g_0 \leq ah$  for some  $\infty > a > 1$  and  $\mathbf{R}(g_0) \geq k$  in the weak sense of Definition 12.1. Then the solution  $g(t)_{t \in (0, T)}$  to Ricci DeTurck flow respectively  $\ell(t)_{t \in (0, T)}$  to Ricci Flow constructed in Theorem 8.3, with initial value  $g(0) = g_0$ , has  $\mathbf{R}(g(t)) \geq k$  and  $\mathbf{R}(\ell(t)) \geq k$  for all  $t \in (0, T)$ .*

*Proof.* The solution  $g(t)$  to Ricci DeTurck flow constructed in the main theorem is smooth for all  $t > 0$  and satisfies  $g(t) \rightarrow g_0$  in the  $W^{2,2}$  sense and  $\frac{1}{400a}h \leq g(t) \leq 400ah$  for all  $t \in (0, T)$ . Hence  $\mathbf{R}(g(t)) \rightarrow \mathbf{R}(g_0)$  as  $t \searrow 0$  in the  $L^2$  sense, and in the pointwise sense almost everywhere, where  $\mathbf{R}(g_0)$  is the  $L^2$  quantity defined above. This means  $(\mathbf{R}(g(t)) + k)_- \rightarrow 0$  in the  $L^2$  sense as  $t \searrow 0$ , and hence  $\varphi(t) := \int_M (\mathbf{R}(g(t)) + k)_-^2 dg(t) = \int_M (\mathbf{R}(\ell(t)) + k)_-^2 d\ell(t) \rightarrow 0$  as  $t \searrow 0$ .

The Integrand  $V(t) := (\mathbf{R}(\ell(t)) + k)_-^2$  is differentiable in space and time for all  $t > 0$  and this yields that  $\varphi$  is differentiable in time for all  $t > 0$ . The derivative of  $V$  is zero for all  $(x, t) \in M \times (0, T)$  with  $\mathbf{R}(\ell)(x, t) + k \geq 0$ .

By Sard's theorem (see Section 2 of [26]), we know, for almost all  $k$ , that the sets  $\{x \in M \mid \mathbf{R}(x, g(t)) + k < 0\}$  have smooth boundary for almost every  $t > 0$ : Sard applied once to  $\mathbf{R}$  yields that  $W_k := \{(x, t) \in M \times (0, T) \mid \mathbf{R}(x, t) = -k\}$  is smooth for almost all  $k \in \mathbb{R}$  and then Sard applied to  $\Psi_k : W_k \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ ,  $\Psi_k(x, t) = t$  (for such  $k$ ) yields that  $\{x \in M \mid \mathbf{R}(x, t) = -k\}$  is smooth for almost all  $t \in (0, T)$ . Let  $Z \subseteq \mathbb{R}$  denote the set of such  $k \in \mathbb{R}$ . For such  $k \in Z$  we define  $U_k(t) := \{x \in M \mid \mathbf{R}(x, g(t)) + k < 0\}$  if  $t \in (0, T)$  is a time such that  $\{x \in M \mid \mathbf{R}(x, g(t)) + k < 0\}$  has smooth boundary, and we define  $U_k(t) := \emptyset$  for all other  $t \in (0, T)$ . Using the Fundamental Theorem of Calculus for  $0 < t_1 < t_2$  we compute

$$\begin{aligned}
& \psi(t_2) - \psi(t_1) \\
&= e^{-kt_2} \varphi(t_2) - e^{-kt_1} \varphi(t_1) \\
&= \int_{t_1}^{t_2} \frac{d}{d\tau} \int_M e^{-k\tau} V(\tau) d\ell(\tau) d\tau \\
&= \int_{t_1}^{t_2} e^{-k\tau} \int_M \left( \frac{d}{d\tau} V(\tau) - \mathbf{R}(\tau) V(\tau) - kV(\tau) \right) d\ell(\tau) d\tau \\
&= \int_{t_1}^{t_2} \int_{U_k(\tau)} e^{-k\tau} \left( 2 \frac{\partial}{\partial \tau} (-\mathbf{R}(\tau) - k)(-\mathbf{R}(\tau) - k) - (\mathbf{R}(\tau) + k)^2 \mathbf{R}(\tau) \right) d\ell(\tau) d\tau \\
&\quad - \int_{t_1}^{t_2} \int_{U_k(\tau)} k e^{-k\tau} V(\tau) d\ell(\tau) d\tau \\
&= \int_{t_1}^{t_2} \int_{U_k(\tau)} e^{-k\tau} (2\Delta_{\ell(\tau)}(\mathbf{R}(\tau) + k)(\mathbf{R}(\tau) + k) + 4(\mathbf{R}(\tau) + k)|\mathbf{Rc}(\tau)|^2 \\
&\quad - \int_{t_1}^{t_2} \int_{U_k} e^{-k\tau} (\mathbf{R}(\tau) + k)^3 d\ell(\tau) d\tau \\
&\quad + k \int_{t_1}^{t_2} \int_{U_k(\tau)} e^{-k\tau} (\mathbf{R}(\tau) + k)^2 - k \int_{t_1}^{t_2} \int_{U_k(\tau)} e^{-k\tau} V(\tau) d\ell(\tau) d\tau \\
&\leq \int_{t_1}^{t_2} \int_{U_k(\tau)} e^{-k\tau} (2\Delta_{\ell(\tau)}(\mathbf{R}(\tau) + k)(\mathbf{R}(\tau) + k) - \int_{t_1}^{t_2} \int_{U_k(\tau)} e^{-k\tau} (\mathbf{R}(\tau) + k)^3 d\ell(\tau) d\tau \\
&\quad [ \text{since } (\mathbf{R}(\tau) + k)(\tau) < 0 \text{ on } U_k(\tau) \text{ and } V = (\mathbf{R}(\tau) + k)^2 ] \\
&= \int_{t_1}^{t_2} e^{-k\tau} \int_{U_k(\tau)} -2|\nabla(\mathbf{R}(\ell(\tau) + k)|^2 d\ell(\tau) d\tau \\
&\quad + \int_{t_1}^{t_2} e^{-k\tau} \int_{\partial U_k(\tau)} (\mathbf{R}(\tau) + k)\ell(\tau)(\nu(\ell(\tau)), \nabla(\mathbf{R}(\tau) + k)) d\sigma(\tau) d\tau \\
&\quad + \int_{t_1}^{t_2} \int_{U_k(\tau)} e^{-k\tau} (|(\mathbf{R}(\tau) + k)_-|^3) d\ell(\tau) d\tau \\
&= \int_{t_1}^{t_2} \int_{U_k(\tau)} -2e^{-k\tau} |\nabla(\mathbf{R}(\tau) + k)_-|^2 d\ell(\tau) d\tau \\
&\quad + \int_{t_1}^{t_2} \int_{U_k(\tau)} e^{-k\tau} (|(\mathbf{R}(\tau) + k)_-|^3) d\ell(\tau) d\tau
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \int_{t_1}^{t_2} -2e^{-k\tau} \int_M |\nabla(\mathbf{R}(\tau) + k)_-|^2 d\ell(\tau) d\tau \\
&+ \int_{t_1}^{t_2} e^{-k\tau} \int_M |(\mathbf{R}(\tau) + k)_-|^3 \\
&\leq -A(M, h) \int_{t_1}^{t_2} e^{-k\tau} \left( \int_M |(\mathbf{R}(\tau) + k)_-|^4 d\ell(\tau) \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} d\tau \\
&\quad + \int_{t_1}^{t_2} e^{-k\tau} \left( \int_M |(\mathbf{R}(\tau) + k)_-|^4 d\ell(\tau) \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left( \int_M |(\mathbf{R}(\tau) + k)_-|^2 d\ell(\tau) \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} d\tau \\
&\leq \int_{t_1}^{t_2} e^{-k\tau} (-A(M) + A(M)/2) \left( \int_M |(\mathbf{R}(\tau) + k)_-|^2 d\ell(\tau) \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} d\tau \\
&\quad [\text{for sufficiently small } t_2] \\
&\leq 0,
\end{aligned}$$

where we used that  $\int_M |(\mathbf{R}(\tau) + k)_-|^2 d\ell(\tau) \leq A(M)/2$  for  $\tau \leq t_2$  and  $t_2$  sufficiently small, since  $\int_M |(\mathbf{R}(t) + k)_-|^2 d\ell(t) \rightarrow 0 = \int_M |(\mathbf{R}(t) + k)_-|^2 dg(t) \rightarrow 0$  as  $t \searrow 0$ . Hence, since  $\psi(0) = 0$ ,  $\psi(t) = 0$  for all  $t \in [0, T)$ . That is  $\mathbf{R}(\ell(t)) \geq k$  for all  $t \in (0, T)$  in the smooth sense.  $\mathbf{R}(g(t)) \geq k$  for all  $t \in (0, T)$  follows from the fact that  $(M, \ell(t))$  and  $(M, g(t))$  are isometric to one another. For general  $k \in \mathbb{R}$  we can take a sequence  $(k_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$  with  $k_i \rightarrow k$  and  $k_i \in \mathbb{Z}$ .

□

**Remark 12.3.** From this theorem we see that for a metric  $g_0 \in L^\infty \cap W^{2,2}(M^4)$  with  $\frac{1}{a}h \leq g_0 \leq ah$  for some positive constant  $a > 0$ :  $g_0$  has scalar curvature  $\geq k$  in the weak sense of Definition 12.1  $\iff$  there exists a sequence of smooth Riemannian metrics  $g_{i,0}$  with  $\frac{1}{b}h \leq g_{i,0} \leq bh$  for some  $1 < b < \infty$  and  $\mathbf{R}(g_{i,0}) \geq k$  and  $g_{i,0} \rightarrow g_0 \in W^{2,2}(M^4)$   $\iff$  the Ricci DeTurck flow of  $g_0$  constructed in Theorem 6.5 has  $\mathbf{R}(g(t)) \geq k$  for all  $t \in (0, T)$ .

#### APPENDIX A. SHORT TIME EXISTENCE OF SMOOTH BOUNDED DATA

We present here a standard existence result for Ricci-DeTurck flows with smooth bounded initial data, based on the method of Shi [29].

**Theorem A.1.** *Let  $(M, h)$  be  $n$ -dimensional and satisfy (2.4). We assume there are constants  $1 < a < \infty$  and  $0 < c_j < \infty$  for all  $j \in \mathbb{N}$ , and  $g_0$  is a smooth metric on  $M$  satisfying*

$$\begin{aligned}
&\frac{1}{a}h \leq g_0 \leq ah \\
&\sup_M |{}^h\nabla^j g_0| \leq c_j < \infty
\end{aligned}$$

*Then there exists a smooth solution  $(M, g(t))_{t \in [0, \hat{T}]}$  to (1.1) for some  $\hat{T} > 0$ , and constants  $b_j(g_0, h, S) < \infty$  for all  $S \leq \hat{T}$  such that  $\sup_M |{}^h\nabla^j g(\cdot, t)| \leq b_j(g_0, h, S) < \infty$  for all  $t \in [0, S]$ .*

*Proof.* We will construct a short time solution to, (1.1), that is

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{\partial}{\partial t} g_{ij} &= g^{ab} ({}^h\nabla_a {}^h\nabla_b g_{ij}) - g^{kl} g_{ip} h^{pq} R_{jkql}(h) - g^{kl} g_{jp} h^{pq} R_{ikql}(h) \\
&\quad + \frac{1}{2} g^{ab} g^{pq} ({}^h\nabla_i g_{pa} {}^h\nabla_j g_{qb} + 2 {}^h\nabla_a g_{jp} {}^h\nabla_q g_{ib} - 2 {}^h\nabla_a g_{jp} {}^h\nabla_b g_{iq} \\
&\quad - 2 {}^h\nabla_j g_{pa} {}^h\nabla_b g_{iq} - 2 {}^h\nabla_i g_{pa} {}^h\nabla_b g_{jq}), \\
\end{aligned} \tag{A.1}$$

$$= g^{ab} ({}^h\nabla_a {}^h\nabla_b g_{ij}) + (g^{-1} * g * \text{Rm}(h) * h)_{ij} + (g^{-1} * g^{-1} * {}^h\nabla g * {}^h\nabla g)_{ij}$$

with  $g(0) = g_0$ . The method is essentially the one given in [29], with some minor modifications.

We choose radii  $R(i) \rightarrow \infty$  such that  $B_i = B_{R(i)}(p)$  have smooth boundary, and  $M = \cup_{i=1}^{\infty} B_i$ . For fixed  $R = R(i) \geq 1$  we modify  $g_0$  to  $g_{0,R} = \eta g_0 + (1-\eta)h$  where  $\eta$  is a smooth cut off function with  $\eta = 0$  outside of  $B_{R/2}(p)$  and  $\eta = 1$  on  $B_{R/4}(p)$ ,  $|{}^h\nabla^k \eta|^2 \leq c(k, h)$  (see (iv) of Theorem B.1 for the existence of  $\eta$ ). We still have

$$\begin{aligned}
\sup_M |{}^h\nabla^j g_{0,R}| &\leq \hat{c}_j(c_1, \dots, c_j, h, n, a) < \infty \\
\frac{1}{a} h &\leq g_{0,R} \leq ah
\end{aligned} \tag{A.2}$$

for some constants  $0 < \hat{c}_j(c_1, \dots, c_j, h, n, a) < \infty$  which don't depend on  $R$ . Equation (A.1) is strictly parabolic and  $h$  and  $g_{0,R}$  are smooth and so we obtain a smooth solution  $g_R(t)_{t \in [0, T]}$  to the Dirichlet problem associated to (A.1) with  $g_R(0) = g_{0,R}$  and  $g_R(t)|_{\partial B_R(p)} = (g_{0,R})|_{\partial B_R(p)} = h|_{\partial B_R(p)}$  for a  $T = T(B_R, g_{0,R}, h) > 0$  using the methods of [29] Section 3 and 4 (which in turn uses Theorem 7.1, Section VII of [19]). Using the argument of Lemma 3.1 of [29] we see, as long as a smooth solution exists and  $|g_R(t) - g_{0,R}|_h^2 \leq \varepsilon(g_0, a, h)$ , then

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{1}{2a} h &< g_R(t) < 2ah \\
\sup_{B_R(p)} |{}^h\nabla^j g_R(t)| &\leq r(R, g_{0,R}, h, j, S) < \infty
\end{aligned}$$

for all  $t \leq S$  for constants  $r(R, g_{0,R}, h, j, S) < \infty$ . On the other hand, as long as  $|g_R(t) - g_{0,R}|_h^2 \leq \varepsilon(g_0, a, h) \leq 1$  we have (we write  $g(t)$  for  $g_R(t)$  and  $g_0$  for  $g_{0,R}$  for ease of reading):

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{\partial}{\partial t} |g(t) - g_0|_h^2 &= g^{ab} ({}^h\nabla_a {}^h\nabla_b) |g(t) - g_0|_h^2 - 2 |{}^h\nabla g|_{g,h}^2 \\
&\quad + 2h^{ij} h^{kl} g^{ab} ({}^h\nabla_a {}^h\nabla_b g_0)_{ik} (g(t) - g_0)_{jl} \\
&\quad + 2h^{ij} h^{kl} (g(t) - g_0)_{ik} (g^{-1} * g * \text{Rm}(h) * h)_{ij} h^{ij} \\
&\quad + h^{ij} h^{kl} (g(t) - g_0)_{ik} ({}^h\nabla g * {}^h\nabla g * g^{-1} * g^{-1})_{jl} \\
&\leq g^{ab} ({}^h\nabla_a {}^h\nabla_b) |g(t) - g_0|_h^2 - |{}^h\nabla g|_{g,h}^2 + c(\hat{c}_2, a, n)
\end{aligned}$$

where  $\hat{c}_2$  is the constant defined above in (A.2), and is independent of  $R$ . Hence,  $|g_R(t) - g_{0,R}|^2 \leq c(\hat{c}_2, a, n)t \leq \varepsilon(g_0, a, h)$  remains true for  $t \leq \hat{T} := \frac{\varepsilon(g_0, a, h)}{c(\hat{c}_2, a, n)}$  in view of the maximum principle. Hence, we may extend the solution smoothly to time  $\hat{T} := \frac{\varepsilon(g_0, h)}{c(\hat{c}_2, a, n)} \leq 1$ . As long as  $|g_R(t) - g_{0,R}|_h^2 \leq \varepsilon(g_0, a, h)$ , we also have, using the arguments of Lemma 4.1 and 4.2 in [29] and the fact that  $\sup_M |{}^h\nabla^j g_{0,R}| \leq \hat{c}_j < \infty$  for constants  $\hat{c}_j$  which do not depend on  $R$ , interior estimates:

$$\sup_{B_1(x_0) \times [0, S]} |{}^h\nabla^m g_R|^2 \leq b_m = c(m, \hat{c}_1, \dots, \hat{c}_m, a, S, h) \tag{A.3}$$

for all  $x_0 \in B_{\frac{R}{10}}(p)$  for all  $S \leq \hat{T}$ . Building the limit of the solutions  $g_{R(i)}$  as  $i \rightarrow \infty$ , after taking a subsequence if necessary, we obtain a smooth solution  $g(t)_{t \in [0, \hat{T}]}$  to (A.1) with  $g(0) = g_0$ , in view of the Theorem of Arzelà-Ascoli and the fact that  $R(i) \rightarrow \infty$  as  $i \rightarrow \infty$ , satisfying  $\sup_{M \times [0, S]} |{}^h\nabla^m g|^2 \leq b_m$  for all  $S \leq \hat{T}$  as required.  $\square$



## APPENDIX B. GEOMETRY LEMMATA

**Lemma B.1.** *Let  $(M^n, h)$  satisfy (2.4):  $(M, h)$  is a smooth, connected, complete Riemannian manifold, without boundary, satisfying*

$$(B.1) \quad \begin{aligned} & \sup_M |{}^h \nabla^i \text{Rm}(h)| < \infty \text{ for all } i \in \mathbb{N}_0 \\ & \sum_{i=0}^3 \sup_M |{}^h \nabla^i \text{Rm}(h)| \leq \delta_0(n) \\ & \text{inj}(M, h) \geq 100 \end{aligned}$$

where  $\delta_0(n)$  is a sufficiently small constant. Then there exist constants  $C_S(n) > 0$  and a constant  $c_0(n)$  such that :

(i)

$$\left( \int_M f^{\frac{2n}{n-2}} dh \right)^{\frac{n-2}{n}} \leq C_S(n) \int_M |{}^h \nabla f|^2 dh$$

and

$$\left( \int_M f^n dh \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \leq C_S(n) \int_M |{}^h \nabla f|^{\frac{n}{2}} dh$$

for any  $f$  which is smooth and whose support has diameter less than 4

- (ii) there exists a  $c_0(n)$  such that any ball  $B_2(x)$  of radius 2 can be covered by  $c_0(n)$  balls,  $(B_{\frac{1}{2}}(y_i))_{i=1}^{c_0(n)}$ .
- (iii) there exists a covering of  $M$ ,  $(B_1(x_i))_{i=1}^{\infty}$ , by balls of  $M$  such that for any  $i \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $\sharp\{j \in \mathbb{N} \mid x_j \in B_4(x_i)\} \leq c_0(n)$ , where  $\sharp C$  denotes the number of elements in the set  $C$ , and is defined to be infinity if  $C$  has infinitely many elements
- (iv) For every  $R > 1$ ,  $x_0 \in M$ , there exists a cut off function  $\eta : M \rightarrow [0, 1] \subseteq \mathbb{R}$  such that  $\eta = 1$  on  $B_R(x_0)$ ,  $\eta = 0$  on  $M \setminus (B_{C(n)R}(x_0))$ ,  $|{}^h \nabla^2(\eta)| + \frac{|{}^h \nabla \eta|^2}{\eta} \leq \frac{C(n)}{R^2}$  on  $M$  and  $|{}^h \nabla^k \eta| \leq c(k, h)$  on  $M$  for all  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ .
- (v) Let  $\varepsilon > 0$  be given, and  $T$  a smooth zero two tensor satisfying  $\int_{B_1(x)} |{}^h \nabla T|^{\frac{n}{2}} + |{}^h \nabla^2 T|^{\frac{n}{2}} \leq \varepsilon$  for all  $x \in M$ . Then  $(\int_{B_1(x)} |{}^h \nabla T|^n)^{\frac{1}{2}} \leq c(n)C_S(n)\varepsilon$

**Remark B.2.** If the conditions B.1 are replaced by

$$\begin{aligned} & \sup_M |{}^h \nabla^i \text{Rm}(h)| < \infty \text{ for all } i \in \mathbb{N}_0 \\ & \text{inj}(M, h) > 0 \end{aligned}$$

then, scaled versions of the statements (i)-(v) hold, as we now explain. If we scale  $h$  by a large constant  $c(h)$ , we obtain a new metric which satisfies B.1, and hence (i)-(v) hold for this new metric. Scaling back, we obtained scaled versions of the statements (i)-(v). For example, part one of (i) would be replaced by: there exists an  $r_0 > 0$  such that

$$\left( \int_M f^{\frac{2n}{n-2}} dh \right)^{\frac{n-2}{n}} \leq C_S(n) \int_M |{}^h \nabla f|^2 dh$$

for any  $f$  which is smooth and whose support has diameter less than  $r_0$ .

*Proof.* We can always find local geodesic coordinates for any  $p_0 \in M$  on the ball  $B_{50}(p_0)$  such that in these coordinates  $\frac{99}{100}\delta \leq h \leq \frac{101}{100}\delta$  if  $\delta_0(n)$  is sufficiently small. This implies that the first two statements hold in these coordinates, and hence on the manifold. The third statement is proved as follows. First we construct a maximal set of disjoint balls  $(B_{\frac{1}{2}}(x_i))_{i=1}^{\infty}$  for  $M$ , maximal in the sense that any ball  $B_{\frac{1}{2}}(p)$  for an arbitrary  $p \in M$  must intersect one of these balls. This construction is carried out as follows: first choose disjoint balls  $B_{\frac{1}{2}}(x_1), \dots, B_{\frac{1}{2}}(x_{n(R)})$  with centres in  $B_R(p_0)$ , such that any newly chosen ball  $B_{\frac{1}{2}}(y)$  with  $y \in B_R(p_0)$  intersects one of the balls  $B_{\frac{1}{2}}(x_1), \dots, B_{\frac{1}{2}}(x_{n(R)})$ . In the next step, choose balls  $B_{\frac{1}{2}}(x_{n(R)}), \dots, B_{\frac{1}{2}}(x_{n(2R)})$ , with centres in  $B_{2R}(p_0)$  such that

the collection  $B_{\frac{1}{2}}(x_1), \dots, B_{\frac{1}{2}}(x_{n(2R)})$ , is disjoint, and any newly chosen ball  $B_{\frac{1}{2}}(y)$  with  $y \in B_{2R}(p_0)$  intersects one of the balls  $B_{\frac{1}{2}}(x_1), \dots, B_{\frac{1}{2}}(x_{n(2R)})$ . Continuing in this way, we obtain a collection of disjoint balls  $(B_{\frac{1}{2}}(x_i))_{i=1}^{\infty}$  which are maximal.

This then implies that  $(B_1(x_i))_{i=1}^{\infty}$  covers  $M$ : if  $y \in M$  satisfies  $y \notin \cup_{i=1}^{\infty} B_1(x_i)$ , then  $B_{\frac{1}{2}}(y) \cap B_{\frac{1}{2}}(x_i)$  for all  $i \in \mathbb{N}$ , which contradicts the maximality, and hence  $y \in \cup_{i=1}^{\infty} B_1(x_i)$ . In geodesic coordinates  $\varphi : B_{50}(x_i) \rightarrow \mathbb{B}_{50}(0)$ , there can be at most  $c_1(n)$  euclidean balls  $\mathbb{B}_{\frac{1}{4}}(\tilde{x}_{k(j)})_{j=1}^{c_1(n)}$ ,  $\varphi(x_{k(j)}) = \tilde{x}_{k(j)}$ , which are disjoint, and contained in  $\mathbb{B}_{40}(0)$ . Hence there are at most  $c_1(n)$  points,  $(x_{k(j)})_{j=1}^{c_1(n)}$ , which are contained in  $B_{30}(x_i)$ , and this implies (iii).

Statement (iv) is proved with the help of an *exhaustion function*. For  $R > 1$  given, let  $\eta(x) := \tilde{\eta}(\frac{f(x)}{R})$ , for a smooth cut off function  $\tilde{\eta} : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow [0, 1] \subseteq \mathbb{R}$  with  $\tilde{\eta}(x) = 1$  for  $|x| \leq 1$  and  $\tilde{\eta}(x) = 0$  for  $|x| \geq 2$ , where  $f : M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$  is a smooth so called *exhaustion function*, satisfying  $\frac{1}{C(n)}d(x, x_0) \leq f(x) \leq \frac{1}{2}(d(x, x_0) + 1)$ ,  $|{}^h\nabla f| \leq C(n)$ ,  $|{}^h\nabla^2 f| \leq C(n)$ , the existence of which is, for example, guaranteed by Theorem 3.6 of Shi, [28]. By slightly modifying  $f$  on geodesic balls of radius 1 we can also achieve  $|{}^h\nabla^k f| \leq C(k, h)$ .

Differentiating  $\eta$  we see that  $\frac{|{}^h\nabla\eta|^2}{\eta} + |{}^h\nabla^2\eta| \leq \frac{1}{R^2}(\frac{|{}^h\nabla\tilde{\eta}|^2 \circ f}{\tilde{\eta} \circ f} |{}^h\nabla f|^2 + |{}^h\nabla\tilde{\eta}| \circ f |{}^h\nabla^2 f| + |{}^h\nabla^2\tilde{\eta}| \circ f |{}^h\nabla f|^2) \leq \frac{C(n)}{R^2}$ , as, without loss of generality,  $\frac{|{}^h\nabla\tilde{\eta}|^2}{\tilde{\eta}} \leq c$  for some universal constant  $c$ . Similarly  $|{}^h\nabla^k\eta|^2 \leq c(k, h)$ . This finishes (iv).

We now prove (v). Let  $\eta : M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be a smooth cut off function with  $\eta = 1$  on  $B_1(x)$  and  $\eta = 0$  outside of  $B_{4/3}(x)$ , and  $B_1(x_1), \dots, B_1(x_{c_0(n)})$  a covering of  $B_2(x)$ , which exists in view of (ii). Then

$$\begin{aligned} \left(\int_{B_1(x)} |{}^h\nabla T|^n\right)^{1/2} &\leq \left(\int_{B_2(x)} (|{}^h\nabla T|\eta)^n\right)^{1/2} \leq C_S \int_{B_2(x)} |{}^h\nabla(\eta|{}^h\nabla T)|^{\frac{n}{2}} \\ &\leq c(n)C_S \int_{B_2(x)} |{}^h\nabla\eta|^{\frac{n}{2}} |{}^h\nabla T|^{\frac{n}{2}} + \eta^{\frac{n}{2}} |{}^h\nabla^2 T|^{\frac{n}{2}} \\ &\leq \sum_{i=1}^{c_0(n)} 2c(n)C_S \int_{B_1(x_i)} |{}^h\nabla T|^{\frac{n}{2}} + |{}^h\nabla^2 T|^{\frac{n}{2}} \\ &\leq C_S c(n)c_0(n)\varepsilon \end{aligned}$$

as required. □

**Lemma B.3.** *Let  $(M^n, h)$  be a smooth, connected, complete Riemannian manifold, without boundary, satisfying*

$$\begin{aligned} \nu(3) &:= \sum_{i=1}^3 \sup_M |{}^h\nabla^i \text{Rm}(h)| < \infty \text{ for all} \\ \text{inj}(M, h) &\geq i_0 > 0. \end{aligned}$$

and let  $g_0$  be in  $W_{loc}^{2, \frac{n}{2}}$  and satisfy

$$\frac{1}{a}h \leq g_0 \leq ah.$$

Then for any  $\varepsilon > 0, R > 1$  there exists an  $r_1$  such that

$$\sup_{x \in B_R(x_0)} \left(\int_{B_{r_1}(x)} (|{}^h\nabla g_0|^n + |{}^h\nabla^2 g_0|^{\frac{n}{2}})\right) < \varepsilon$$

In the case that  $\int_M (|{}^h\nabla g_0|^n + |{}^h\nabla^2 g_0|^{\frac{n}{2}}) < \infty$ , then for any  $\varepsilon > 0$ , there exists an  $r_1$  such that

$$\sup_{x \in M} \int_{B_{r_1}(x)} (|{}^h\nabla g_0|^n + |{}^h\nabla^2 g_0|^{\frac{n}{2}}) < \varepsilon$$

*Proof.* As the conclusion is a scale invariant conclusion, it suffices to prove it after scaling  $g_0$  and  $h$  by the same constant. We scale  $g_0$  and  $h$  once so that  $h$  satisfies (2.4), hence the statements (i) - (v) from Lemma B.1 hold for the new metrics, which we also denote by  $g_0$  and  $h$ .

Using the covering from (iii), we consider only those  $x_i$  with  $x_i \in B_{2R}(x_0)$   $i = 1, \dots, C(n, R)$  and cut off functions  $\eta_i : M \rightarrow [0, 1] \subseteq \mathbb{R}$  with  $\text{supp}(\eta_i) \subseteq B_{\frac{3}{2}}(x_i)$ ,  $\eta_i = 1$  on  $B_1(x_i)$ ,  $|{}^h\nabla \eta_i|^2 \leq c(n)\eta_i$ , we see using the Sobolev-inequality

$$\begin{aligned} \left( \int_{B_{2R}(x_0)} |{}^h\nabla g_0|^n \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} &\leq \left( \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \int_{B_1(x_i)} |{}^h\nabla g_0|^n \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &\leq \sum_{i=1}^{C(n,R)} \left( \int_{B_1(x_i)} |{}^h\nabla g_0|^n \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &\leq \sum_{i=1}^{C(n,R)} \left( \int_M (\eta_i |{}^h\nabla g_0|^n) \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &\leq \sum_{i=1}^{C(n,R)} \int_M |{}^h\nabla(\eta_i |{}^h\nabla g_0)|^{\frac{n}{2}} \\ &\leq \sum_{i=1}^{C(n,R)} \int_M c(a, n) |{}^h\nabla \eta_i|^{\frac{n}{2}} |{}^h\nabla g_0|^{\frac{n}{2}} + c(n, a) |\eta_i|^{\frac{n}{2}} |{}^h\nabla^2 g_0|^{\frac{n}{2}} \\ &\leq c(n, a) \sum_{i=1}^{C(n,R)} \int_{B_2(x_i)} |{}^h\nabla g_0|^{\frac{n}{2}} + |{}^h\nabla^2 g_0|^{\frac{n}{2}} \\ &= c(n, a) \sum_{i=1}^{C(n)} \int_M \chi_{B_2(x_i)} (|{}^h\nabla g_0|^{\frac{n}{2}} + |{}^h\nabla^2 g_0|^{\frac{n}{2}}) \\ &= c(n, a) \int_M \left( \sum_{i=1}^{C(n,R)} \chi_{B_2(x_i)} \right) (|{}^h\nabla g_0|^{\frac{n}{2}} + |{}^h\nabla^2 g_0|^{\frac{n}{2}}) \\ &\leq c(n, a) c_0(n) \left( \int_{B_{2R}(x_0)} |{}^h\nabla g_0|^{\frac{n}{2}} + |{}^h\nabla^2 g_0|^{\frac{n}{2}} \right) = K(n, a, R) < \infty, \end{aligned}$$

where we used  $\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \chi_{B_2(x_i)}(\cdot) \leq c_0(n)$  in the last inequality, which follows from (iii). We claim : For any  $\alpha > 0$  there exists  $r = r(\alpha) > 0$  such that  $\int_{B_r(x)} |{}^h\nabla g_0|^n + |{}^h\nabla^2 g_0|^{\frac{n}{2}} < \varepsilon$  for all  $x \in B_R(x_0)$ , as we now show. Assume there are points  $x_i \in \overline{B_R(x_0)}$   $i \in \mathbb{N}$  and radii  $r(i) > 0$ ,  $r(i) \rightarrow 0$  as  $i \rightarrow \infty$ , such that  $\int_{B_{r(i)}(x_i)} |{}^h\nabla g_0|^n + |{}^h\nabla^2 g_0|^{\frac{n}{2}} \geq \varepsilon$ . Taking a subsequence, we see that  $x_i \rightarrow x$  as  $i \rightarrow \infty$ , and hence  $\int_{B_\sigma(x)} |{}^h\nabla g_0|^n + |{}^h\nabla^2 g_0|^{\frac{n}{2}} \leq \frac{\varepsilon}{2}$  for  $\sigma > 0$  small enough, in view of the fact that  $\int_{B_{2R}(x_0)} |{}^h\nabla g_0|^n + |{}^h\nabla^2 g_0|^{\frac{n}{2}} < \infty$ :  $f_j := \chi_{B_{\frac{1}{j}}(x)} |{}^h\nabla g_0|^n + |{}^h\nabla^2 g_0|^{\frac{n}{2}} \leq g := |{}^h\nabla g_0|^n + |{}^h\nabla^2 g_0|^{\frac{n}{2}}$ , is in  $L_1$   $f_j \rightarrow 0$  almost everywhere as  $j \rightarrow \infty$ , and  $\int_{B_{2R}(x_0)} g < \infty$  implies  $\int_{\chi_{B_{\frac{1}{j}}(x)}} |{}^h\nabla g_0|^n + |{}^h\nabla^2 g_0|^{\frac{n}{2}} = \int_{B_{2R}(x_0)} f_j \rightarrow 0$  in view of the dominated convergence theorem. But for  $i$  large enough,  $B_{r(i)}(x_i) \subset B_\sigma(x)$  which leads to a contradiction. Hence there exists an  $r > 0$  such that  $\int_{B_r(x)} (|{}^h\nabla g_0|^n + |{}^h\nabla^2 g_0|^{\frac{n}{2}}) < \varepsilon$  for all  $x \in B_R(x_0)$ . In the case that

$\int_M (|{}^h\nabla g_0|^n + |{}^h\nabla^2 g_0|^{\frac{n}{2}}) < \infty$ , choose  $R > 0$  so that  $\int_{(B_{R/10}(x_0))^c} (|{}^h\nabla g_0|^n + |{}^h\nabla^2 g_0|^{\frac{n}{2}}) < \frac{\varepsilon}{2}$ . This implies  $\int_{B_\sigma(x)} (|{}^h\nabla g_0|^n + |{}^h\nabla^2 g_0|^{\frac{n}{2}}) < \frac{\varepsilon}{2}$  for all  $x \in (B_{R/2}(x_0))^c$  for any  $0 < \sigma < 1$ . Repeating the argument above, we find a  $\sigma > 0$  such that  $\int_{B_\sigma(x)} (|{}^h\nabla g_0|^n + |{}^h\nabla^2 g_0|^{\frac{n}{2}}) < \frac{\varepsilon}{2}$  for all  $x \in (B_R(x_0))$ . Hence,  $\int_{B_\sigma(x)} (|{}^h\nabla g_0|^n + |{}^h\nabla^2 g_0|^{\frac{n}{2}}) < \frac{\varepsilon}{2}$  for all  $x \in M$ , as required.  $\square$

### APPENDIX C. ESTIMATES FOR ORDINARY DIFFERENTIAL EQUATIONS

**Lemma C.1.** *Let  $\varepsilon < 1$  and  $f : [0, T] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_0^+$ ,  $Z : (0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_0^+$  be smooth, and satisfy*

$$\begin{aligned} f(0) &= 0 \\ \frac{\partial}{\partial t} f(t) &\leq \frac{\varepsilon}{t} f(t) + Z(t). \end{aligned}$$

Then

$$f(t) \leq t^\varepsilon \lim_{t_0 \rightarrow 0} \int_{t_0}^t \frac{Z(s)}{s^\varepsilon} ds.$$

*Proof.*  $F(t) := t^{-\varepsilon} f(t)$  satisfies

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial}{\partial t} F(t) &\leq -\varepsilon t^{-1-\varepsilon} f(t) + t^{-\varepsilon} \frac{\varepsilon}{t} f(t) + t^{-\varepsilon} Z(t) \\ \text{(C.1)} \quad &\leq t^{-\varepsilon} Z(t) \end{aligned}$$

Using that  $f$  is smooth and hence  $f(t) \leq Ct$  for small  $t > 0$  and some constant  $C$ , we see  $F(t) \leq Ct^{-\varepsilon+1} \rightarrow 0$  as  $t \searrow 0$ . Integrating (C.1) from  $t_0 > 0$  to  $t$ , we see  $F(t) \leq F(t_0) + \int_{t_0}^t \frac{Z(s)}{s^\varepsilon} ds \rightarrow \lim_{t_0 \rightarrow 0} \int_{t_0}^t \frac{Z(s)}{s^\varepsilon} ds$ , as  $t_0 \searrow 0$  and hence, from the definition of  $F(t)$ ,

$$\text{(C.2)} \quad f(t) \leq t^\varepsilon \lim_{t_0 \rightarrow 0} \int_{t_0}^t \frac{Z(s)}{s^\varepsilon} ds. \quad \square$$

**Lemma C.2.** *Let  $\varepsilon < 1$  and  $f : [0, T] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_0^+$ , be smooth, and satisfy*

$$\begin{aligned} f(0) &= 0 \\ \frac{\partial}{\partial t} f(t) &\leq \frac{\varepsilon}{t} f(t) + c \end{aligned}$$

Then

$$f(t) \leq \frac{c}{1-\varepsilon} t.$$

*Proof.* For  $Z(s) = c$  we have

$$\lim_{t_0 \rightarrow 0} \int_{t_0}^t \frac{Z(s)}{s^\varepsilon} ds = \lim_{t_0 \rightarrow 0} \int_{t_0}^t \frac{c}{s^\varepsilon} ds = c \frac{1}{1-\varepsilon} t^{1-\varepsilon}$$

and so  $t^\varepsilon \lim_{t_0 \rightarrow 0} \int_{t_0}^t \frac{Z(s)}{s^\varepsilon} ds = t^\varepsilon \lim_{t_0 \rightarrow 0} \int_{t_0}^t \frac{c}{s^\varepsilon} ds = \frac{c}{1-\varepsilon} t$   $\square$

## APPENDIX D. METRIC NORM COMPARISONS

We compare the norms of tensor with respect to different metrics.

**Theorem D.1.** *Let  $\ell = (\ell_{ij})_{i,j \in \{1, \dots, n\}}$ ,  $g = (g_{ij})_{i,j \in \{1, \dots, n\}}$ ,  $h = (h_{\alpha\beta})_{\alpha, \beta \in \{1, \dots, n\}}$ ,  $(u_{\alpha\beta})_{\alpha, \beta \in \{1, \dots, n\}}$  be positive definite symmetric matrices and  $(\ell)^{-1} = (\ell^{ij})_{i,j \in \{1, \dots, n\}}$ ,  $(g)^{-1} = (g^{ij})_{i,j \in \{1, \dots, n\}}$ ,  $(h)^{-1} = (h^{\alpha\beta})_{\alpha, \beta \in \{1, \dots, n\}}$ ,  $(u^{-1}) = (u^{\alpha\beta})_{\alpha, \beta \in \{1, \dots, n\}}$  the inverses thereof. Let  $S = (S^i_{\alpha})_{i, \alpha \in \{1, \dots, n\}}$ ,  $T = (T_{ij})_{i,j \in \{1, \dots, n\}}$ ,  $N = (N^{ij})_{i,j \in \{1, \dots, n\}}$  be matrices in  $\mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ .*

Then the following estimates hold for any  $\varepsilon > 0$  :

$$(D.1) \quad \begin{aligned} |S|_{h, \ell}^2 &:= h^{\alpha\beta}(y) S^i_{\alpha} S^j_{\beta} \ell_{ij} \\ &\leq c(n) |S|_{h, g}^2 (1 + |\ell|_g^2) \end{aligned}$$

$$(D.2) \quad |S|_{h, \ell}^2 \leq c(n) |S|_{u, \ell}^2 (1 + |u|_h^2)$$

where  $|\ell|_g^2 = g^{ij} g^{kl} \ell_{ik} \ell_{jl} = |g^{-1}|_{\ell}^2$  and  $|u|_h^2 = h^{\alpha\gamma} h^{\beta\gamma} u_{\alpha\beta} u_{\gamma\sigma} = |h^{-1}|_u^2$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} |T|_g^2 &:= g^{ik} g^{jl} T_{ij} T_{kl} \\ &\leq c(n) |T|_{\ell}^2 |\ell|_g^2 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} |N|_g^2 &:= g_{ik} g_{jl} N^{ij} N^{kl} \\ &\leq c(n) |N|_{\ell}^2 |g|_{\ell}^2 \end{aligned}$$

$$\frac{\det(g)}{\det(\ell)} \leq |g|_{\ell}^n$$

where  $|g|_{\ell}^2 = (\ell^{ij} \ell^{kl} g_{ik} g_{jl})$

*Proof.* We regard  $g, \ell$  as positive definite symmetric linear maps from  $V \otimes V$  to  $\mathbb{R}$  where  $V = \mathbb{R}^n$  and  $h, u$  as positive definite symmetric linear maps from  $Y \otimes Y$  to  $\mathbb{R}$  for another copy of  $Y := \mathbb{R}^n$ .  $g, \ell : V \otimes V \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ ,  $h, u : Y \otimes Y \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ ,  $g(v^i e_i, v^j e_j) = v^i v^j g_{ij}$ ,  $\ell(w^i e_i, w^j e_j) = w^i w^j \ell_{ij}$ ,  $h(z^{\alpha} e_{\alpha}, z^{\beta} e_{\beta}) = z^{\alpha} z^{\beta} h_{\alpha\beta}$ ,  $u(z^{\alpha} e_{\alpha}, z^{\beta} e_{\beta}) = z^{\alpha} z^{\beta} u_{\alpha\beta}$ , and we regard  $S, T$ , and  $N$  as linear map  $S : Y^* \times V \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ ,  $T : V \times V \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ ,  $N : V^* \times V^* \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ ,  $S(w_{\alpha} e^{\alpha}, v^i e_i) = S^i_{\alpha} w_{\alpha} v^i$ . From the theory of tensors,  $|S|_{h, \ell}^2, |S|_{u, \ell}^2, |\ell^{-1}|_g^2, |T|_g^2, \frac{\det(g)}{\det(\ell)}$ ,  $|N|_{\ell}^2, |g|_{\ell}^2$  etc. are all quantities which are independent of coordinates: if  $(\tilde{e}_i)_{i \in \{1, \dots, n\}}$ ,  $(\hat{e}_{\alpha})_{\alpha \in \{1, \dots, n\}}$  are bases, and  $\tilde{\ell}_{ij} = \ell(\tilde{e}_i, \tilde{e}_j)$ ,  $\tilde{g}_{ij} = g(\tilde{e}_i, \tilde{e}_j)$ ,  $\hat{h}_{\alpha\beta} = h(\hat{e}_{\alpha}, \hat{e}_{\beta})$ ,  $\hat{u}_{\alpha\beta} = u(\hat{e}_{\alpha}, \hat{e}_{\beta})$  with inverses given by  $\tilde{\ell}^{ij}, \tilde{g}^{ij}, \hat{h}^{\alpha\beta}, \hat{u}^{\alpha\beta}$  then the quantities defined above as  $|S|_{\ell, h}^2, |S|_{u, \ell}^2, |T|_g^2, \frac{\det(g)}{\det(\ell)}, |\ell^{-1}|_g^2$  calculated using  $\tilde{g}_{ij}, \tilde{g}^{ij}, \hat{h}_{\alpha\beta}, \hat{u}_{\alpha\beta}, \hat{h}^{\alpha\beta}, \hat{u}^{\alpha\beta}, \tilde{\ell}_{ij}, \tilde{\ell}^{ij}, \tilde{T}_{ij}, \tilde{S}^i_{\alpha}$  in place of  $g_{ij}, g^{ij}, h_{\alpha\beta}, h^{\alpha\beta}, \ell_{ij}, \ell^{ij}, T_{ij}, S^i_{\alpha}$  then the result is the same : see for example [14].

We can always choose a basis for  $Y$  such that  $\hat{h}_{\alpha\beta} = \delta_{\alpha\beta}$ ,  $\hat{u}_{\alpha\beta} = r_{\alpha} \delta_{\alpha\beta}$  and a basis for  $V$  such that  $\tilde{g}_{ij} = \lambda_i \delta_{ij}$ ,  $\tilde{\ell}_{ij} = \sigma_i \delta_{ij}$ . That is without loss of generality, we have  $h_{\alpha\beta} = \delta_{\alpha\beta}$ ,  $u_{\alpha\beta} = r_{\alpha} \delta_{\alpha\beta}$  and  $g_{ij} = \lambda_i \delta_{ij}$ ,  $\ell_{ij} = \sigma_i \delta_{ij}$ .

$$\begin{aligned} &|T|_g^2 \\ &= \left( \sum_{i,j=1}^n \frac{1}{\lambda_i} \frac{1}{\lambda_j} T_{ij} T_{ij} \right) \\ &= \left( \sum_{i,j=1}^n \frac{1}{\sigma_i} \frac{1}{\sigma_j} \frac{\sigma_i}{\lambda_i} \frac{\sigma_j}{\lambda_j} T_{ij} T_{ij} \right) \\ &\leq c(n) \left( \sup_{i,j \in \{1, \dots, n\}} \frac{1}{\sigma_i \sigma_j} T_{ij} T_{ij} \right) \cdot \left( \sup_{i \in \{1, \dots, n\}} \frac{\sigma_i^2}{\lambda_i^2} \right) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\leq c(n) \left( \sum_{i,j=1}^n \frac{1}{\sigma_i} \frac{1}{\sigma_j} T_{ij} T_{ij} \right) \left( \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{\sigma_i^2}{\lambda_i} \right) \\
&= c(n) |T|_{\ell}^2 (g^{ij} g^{kl} \ell_{ik} \ell_{jl}) \\
&= c(n) |T|_{\ell}^2 |\ell|_g^2 \\
& \\
&|N|_g^2 \\
&= \left( \sum_{i,j=1}^n \lambda_i \lambda_j N^{ij} N^{ij} \right) \\
&= \left( \sum_{i,j=1}^n \sigma_i \sigma_j \frac{\lambda_i}{\sigma_i} \frac{\lambda_j}{\sigma_j} N^{ij} N^{ij} \right) \\
&\leq c(n) \left( \sup_{i,j \in \{1, \dots, n\}} \sigma_i \sigma_j N^{ij} N^{ij} \right) \cdot \left( \sup_{i \in \{1, \dots, n\}} \frac{\lambda_i^2}{\sigma_i^2} \right) \\
&\leq c(n) \left( \sum_{i,j=1}^n \sigma_i \sigma_j N^{ij} N^{ij} \right) \left( \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{\lambda_i^2}{\sigma_i^2} \right) \\
&= c(n) |N|_{\ell}^2 (g_{ij} g_{kl} \ell^{ik} \ell^{jl}) \\
&= c(n) |N|_{\ell}^2 |g|_{\ell}^2
\end{aligned}$$

Similarly

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{\det(g)}{\det(\ell)} &= \frac{\lambda_1 \lambda_2 \dots \lambda_n}{\sigma_1 \sigma_2 \dots \sigma_n} \\
&= \frac{\lambda_1}{\sigma_1} \cdot \frac{\lambda_2}{\sigma_2} \dots \frac{\lambda_n}{\sigma_n} \\
&\leq \left( \sup_{i \in \{1, \dots, n\}} \frac{\lambda_i^2}{\sigma_i^2} \right)^{\frac{n}{2}} \\
&\leq \left( \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{\lambda_i^2}{\sigma_i^2} \right)^{\frac{n}{2}} \\
&= |g|_{\ell}^n
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
|S|_{h,\ell}^2 &:= h^{\alpha\beta}(y) S_{\alpha}^i S_{\beta}^j \ell_{ij} \\
&= \sum_{\alpha,i=1}^n S_{\alpha}^i S_{\alpha}^i \sigma_i \\
&= \sum_{\alpha,i=1}^n S_{\alpha}^i S_{\alpha}^i \lambda_i \frac{\sigma_i}{\lambda_i} \\
&\leq c(n) \left( \sup_{\alpha,i \in \{1, \dots, n\}} S_{\alpha}^i S_{\alpha}^i \lambda_i \right) \sup_{i \in \{1, \dots, n\}} \frac{\sigma_i}{\lambda_i} \\
&\leq c(n) \left( \sup_{\alpha,i \in \{1, \dots, n\}} S_{\alpha}^i S_{\alpha}^i \lambda_i \right) \left( 1 + \sup_{i \in \{1, \dots, n\}} \frac{\sigma_i^2}{\lambda_i^2} \right) \\
&\leq c(n) \left( \sum_{\alpha,i=1}^n S_{\alpha}^i S_{\alpha}^i \lambda_i \right) \left( 1 + \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{\sigma_i^2}{\lambda_i^2} \right) \\
&= c(n) |S|_{h,g}^2 \left( 1 + \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{\sigma_i^2}{\lambda_i^2} \right) \\
&= c(n) |S|_{h,g}^2 \left( 1 + |\ell|_g^2 \right)
\end{aligned}$$

Similarly

$$\begin{aligned}
|S|_{h,\ell}^2 &:= h^{\alpha\beta}(y) S_{\alpha}^i S_{\beta}^j \ell_{ij} \\
&= \sum_{\alpha,i=1}^n S_{\alpha}^i S_{\alpha}^i \sigma_i
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \sum_{\alpha, i=1}^n r_\alpha \left( \frac{1}{r_\alpha} S_\alpha^i S_\alpha^i \sigma_i \right) \\
&\leq c(n) \left( \sup_{\alpha \in \{1, \dots, n\}} r_\alpha \right) \left( \sup_{\alpha, i \in \{1, \dots, n\}} \frac{1}{r_\alpha} S_\alpha^i S_\alpha^i \sigma_i \right) \\
&\leq c(n) \left( \sum_{\alpha=1}^n r_\alpha \right) \left( \sum_{\alpha, i=1}^n \frac{1}{r_\alpha} S_\alpha^i S_\alpha^i \sigma_i \right) \\
&= c(n) \left( \sum_{\alpha=1}^n r_\alpha \right) |S|_{u, \ell}^2 \\
&\leq c(n) \left( 1 + \sum_{\alpha=1}^n r_\alpha^2 \right) |S|_{u, \ell}^2 \\
&\leq c(n) \left( 1 + |u|_h^2 \right) |S|_{u, \ell}^2
\end{aligned}$$

□

**Corollary D.2.** *Let  $T = (T_{ij})$ , respectively  $N = (N^{ij})$  be a zero-two respectively two-zero tensor defined on a manifold  $\Omega$ , and  $g, \ell$  metrics on  $\Omega$ . Then for all  $p \in [1, \infty)$  there exists a  $c(n, p)$  such that*

$$(D.3) \quad \int_{\Omega} |T|_g^p dg \leq c(n, p) \left( \int_{\Omega} |\ell|_g^{2p} dg \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left( \int_{\Omega} |T|_{\ell}^{4p} d\ell \right)^{\frac{1}{4}} \left( \int_{\Omega} |g|_{\ell}^{\frac{n}{2}} dg \right)^{\frac{1}{4}}$$

and

$$(D.4) \quad \int_{\Omega} |N|_g^p dg \leq c(n, p) \left( \int_{\Omega} |g|_{\ell}^{2p} dg \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left( \int_{\Omega} |N|_{\ell}^{4p} d\ell \right)^{\frac{1}{4}} \left( \int_{\Omega} |g|_{\ell}^{\frac{n}{2}} dg \right)^{\frac{1}{4}}.$$

*Proof.*

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_{\Omega} |T|_g^p dg \\
&\leq c(n, p) \int_{\Omega} |\ell|_g^p |T|_{\ell}^p dg \\
&\leq c(n, p) \left( \int_{\Omega} |\ell|_g^{2p} dg \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left( \int_{\Omega} |T|_{\ell}^{2p} dg \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\
&= c(n, p) \left( \int_{\Omega} |\ell|_g^{2p} dg \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left( \int_{\Omega} |T|_{\ell}^{2p} \frac{dg}{d\ell} d\ell \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\
&\leq c(n, p) \left( \int_{\Omega} |\ell|_g^{2p} dg \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left( \int_{\Omega} |T|_{\ell}^{4p} d\ell \right)^{\frac{1}{4}} \left( \int_{\Omega} \left( \frac{dg}{d\ell} \right)^2 d\ell \right)^{\frac{1}{4}} \\
&\leq c(n, p) \left( \int_{\Omega} |\ell|_g^{2p} dg \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left( \int_{\Omega} |T|_{\ell}^{4p} d\ell \right)^{\frac{1}{4}} \left( \int_{\Omega} \frac{dg}{d\ell} dg \right)^{\frac{1}{4}} \\
&\leq c(n, p) \left( \int_{\Omega} |\ell|_g^{2p} dg \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left( \int_{\Omega} |T|_{\ell}^{4p} d\ell \right)^{\frac{1}{4}} \left( \int_{\Omega} |g|_{\ell}^{\frac{n}{2}} dg \right)^{\frac{1}{4}}
\end{aligned}$$

Analog:

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_{\Omega} |N|_g^p dg \\
&\leq c(n, p) \int_{\Omega} |g|_{\ell}^p |N|_{\ell}^p dg \\
&\leq c(n, p) \left( \int_{\Omega} |g|_{\ell}^{2p} dg \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left( \int_{\Omega} |N|_{\ell}^{2p} dg \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\
&= c(n, p) \left( \int_{\Omega} |g|_{\ell}^{2p} dg \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left( \int_{\Omega} |N|_{\ell}^{2p} \frac{dg}{d\ell} d\ell \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\
&\leq c(n, p) \left( \int_{\Omega} |g|_{\ell}^{2p} dg \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left( \int_{\Omega} |N|_{\ell}^{4p} d\ell \right)^{\frac{1}{4}} \left( \int_{\Omega} \left( \frac{dg}{d\ell} \right)^2 d\ell \right)^{\frac{1}{4}} \\
&\leq c(n, p) \left( \int_{\Omega} |g|_{\ell}^{2p} dg \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left( \int_{\Omega} |N|_{\ell}^{4p} d\ell \right)^{\frac{1}{4}} \left( \int_{\Omega} \frac{dg}{d\ell} dg \right)^{\frac{1}{4}} \\
&\leq c(n, p) \left( \int_{\Omega} |g|_{\ell}^{2p} dg \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left( \int_{\Omega} |N|_{\ell}^{4p} d\ell \right)^{\frac{1}{4}} \left( \int_{\Omega} |g|_{\ell}^{\frac{n}{2}} dg \right)^{\frac{1}{4}}
\end{aligned}$$

□

## REFERENCES

- [1] Clara L. Aldana, Gilles Carron, and Samuel Tapie.  $A_\infty$  weights and compactness of conformal metrics under  $L^{n/2}$  curvature bounds. *arXiv e-prints*, arXiv:1810.05387, October 2018.
- [2] Brian Allen. From  $L^p$  Bounds To Gromov-Hausdorff Convergence Of Riemannian Manifolds. *arXiv e-prints*, arXiv:2106.14231, June 2021.
- [3] Richard H. Bamler, Esther Cabezas-Rivas, and Burkhard Wilking. The Ricci flow under almost non-negative curvature conditions. *Invent. Math.*, 217(1):95–126, 2019.
- [4] Paula Burkhardt-Guim. Defining pointwise lower scalar curvature bounds for  $C^0$ . *SIGMA Symmetry Integrability Geom. Methods Appl.*, 16:Paper No. 128, 10 pages, 2020.
- [5] Paul Creutz and Eleferios Soultanis. Maximal metric surfaces and the Sobolev-to-Lipschitz property. *Calc. Var. Partial Differential Equations*, 59(5):Paper No. 177, 34, 2020.
- [6] Guy David and Stephen Semmes. Strong  $A_\infty$  weights, Sobolev inequalities and quasiconformal mappings. In *Analysis and partial differential equations*, volume 122 of *Lecture Notes in Pure and Appl. Math.*, pages 101–111. Dekker, New York, 1990.
- [7] Giuseppe De Cecco and Giuliana Palmieri. Length of curves on Lip manifolds. *Atti Accad. Naz. Lincei Cl. Sci. Fis. Mat. Natur. Rend. Lincei (9) Mat. Appl.*, 1(3):215–221, 1990.
- [8] Alix Deruelle. Smoothing out positively curved metric cones by ricci expanders. *Geom. Funct. Anal.*, 26:188–249, 2016.
- [9] Alix Deruelle, Felix Schulze, and Miles Simon. On the regularity of Ricci flows coming out of metric spaces. *arXiv e-prints*, arXiv:1904.11870, April 2019.
- [10] Dennis M. DeTurck. Deforming metrics in the direction of their Ricci tensors. *J. Differential Geom.*, 18(1):157–162, 1983.
- [11] Conghan Dong, Yuxiang Li, and Ke Xu.  $W^{1,p}$ -metrics and conformal metrics with  $L^{n/2}$ -bounded scalar curvature. *arXiv e-prints*, arXiv:1907.12464, July 2019.
- [12] Lawrence C. Evans and Ronald F. Gariepy. *Measure theory and fine properties of functions*. Studies in Advanced Mathematics. CRC Press, Boca Raton, FL, 1992.
- [13] D. Gilbarg and N. Trudinger. *Elliptic partial differential equations of second order*. Classics in mathematics. Springer, reprint of the third edition edition, 2001.
- [14] Richard S. Hamilton. Three-manifolds with positive Ricci curvature. *J. Differential Geometry*, 17(2):255–306, 1982.
- [15] Raphael Hochard. Short-time existence of the Ricci flow on complete, non-collapsed 3-manifolds with Ricci curvature bounded from below. *arXiv e-prints*, page arXiv:1603.08726, March 2016.
- [16] Shaochuang Huang and Luen-Fai Tam. Kähler-Ricci flow with unbounded curvature. *Amer. J. Math.*, 140(1):189–220, 2018.
- [17] Herbert Koch and Tobias Lamm. Geometric flows with rough initial data. *Asian J. Math.*, 16(2):209–235, 2012.
- [18] Riikka Korte and Outi Elina Kansanen. Strong  $A_\infty$ -weights are  $A_\infty$ -weights on metric spaces. *Rev. Mat. Iberoam.*, 27(1):335–354, 2011.
- [19] O. A. Ladyženskaja, V. A. Solonnikov, and N. N. Uralceva. *Linear and quasilinear equations of parabolic type*. Translated from the Russian by S. Smith. Translations of Mathematical Monographs, Vol. 23. American Mathematical Society, Providence, R.I., 1968.
- [20] Yi Lai. Ricci flow under local almost non-negative curvature conditions. *Adv. Math.*, 343:353–392, 2019.
- [21] John M. Lee. *Introduction to smooth manifolds*, volume 218 of *Graduate Texts in Mathematics*. Springer, New York, second edition, 2013.
- [22] Man-Chun Lee, Aaron Naber, and Robin Neumayer.  $d_p$  convergence and  $\varepsilon$ -regularity theorems for entropy and scalar curvature lower bounds. *arXiv e-prints*, arXiv:2010.15663, 2020.
- [23] Man-Chun Lee and Luen-Fai Tam. On existence and curvature estimates of ricci flow. *arXiv e-prints*, arXiv:1702.02667, 2017.
- [24] Jan Malý. The area formula for  $W^{1,n}$ -mappings. *Comment. Math. Univ. Carolin.*, 35(2):291–298, 1994.
- [25] Jan Malý and Olli Martio. Lusin’s condition (N) and mappings of the class  $W^{1,n}$ . *J. Reine Angew. Math.*, 458:19–36, 1995.
- [26] John W. Milnor. *Topology from the differentiable viewpoint*. Princeton Landmarks in Mathematics. Princeton University Press, Princeton, NJ, 1997. Based on notes by David W. Weaver, Revised reprint of the 1965 original.
- [27] Felix Schulze and Miles Simon. Expanding solitons with non-negative curvature operator coming out of cones. *Mathematische Zeitschrift*, 275, 2010.
- [28] Wan-Xiong Shi. Deforming the metric on complete Riemannian manifolds. *J. Differential Geom.*, 30(1):223–301, 1989.
- [29] Wan-Xiong Shi. Ricci deformation of the metric on complete noncompact Riemannian manifolds. *J. Differential Geom.*, 30(2):303–394, 1989.



- [30] Miles Simon. Deformation of  $C^0$  Riemannian metrics in the direction of their Ricci curvature. *Comm. Anal. Geom.*, 10(5):1033–1074, 2002.
- [31] Miles Simon. Ricci flow of non-collapsed three manifolds whose Ricci curvature is bounded from below. *J. Reine Angew. Math.*, 662:59–94, 2012.
- [32] Miles Simon and Peter M. Topping. Local control on the geometry in 3d ricci flow. *Arxiv Preprints*, arxiv: 1611.06137, 2017.
- [33] Miles Simon and Peter M. Topping. Local mollification of Riemannian metrics using Ricci flow, and Ricci limit spaces. *Geom. Topol.*, 25(2):913–948, 2021.
- [34] Michael Struwe. *Variational methods*, volume 34 of *Ergebnisse der Mathematik und ihrer Grenzgebiete. 3. Folge. A Series of Modern Surveys in Mathematics [Results in Mathematics and Related Areas. 3rd Series. A Series of Modern Surveys in Mathematics]*. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, fourth edition, 2008. Applications to nonlinear partial differential equations and Hamiltonian systems.
- [35] Peter M. Topping and Hao Yin. Smoothing a measure on a riemannian surface using ricci flow. *Arxiv preprints*, arXiv: 2107.14686, 2021.
- [36] Guoyi Xu. Short-time existence of the Ricci flow on noncompact Riemannian manifolds. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 365(11):5605–5654, 2013.

(T. Lamm) INSTITUTE FOR ANALYSIS, KARLSRUHE INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY, ENGLERSTR. 2, 76131 KARLSRUHE, GERMANY

*Email address:* tobias.lamm@kit.edu

(M. Simon) INSTITUT FÜR ANALYSIS UND NUMERIK, UNIVERSITÄT MAGDEBURG, UNIVERSITÄTSPLATZ 2, 39106 MAGDEBURG, GERMANY

*Email address:* msimon@ovgu.de