

ON THE EFFECTIVE VERSION OF SERRE'S OPEN IMAGE THEOREM

JACOB MAYLE AND TIAN WANG

ABSTRACT. Let E/\mathbb{Q} be an elliptic curve without complex multiplication. By Serre's open image theorem, the mod ℓ Galois representation of E is surjective for each prime number ℓ that is sufficiently large. Under GRH, we give an upper bound on the largest non-surjective prime, in terms of the conductor of E , that makes effective a bound of the same asymptotic quality due to Larson–Vaintrob.

1. INTRODUCTION

Let E be an elliptic curve defined over \mathbb{Q} . For a prime number ℓ , let $E[\ell]$ denote the ℓ -torsion subgroup of $E(\overline{\mathbb{Q}})$ and let $T_\ell(E)$ denote the ℓ -adic Tate module of E . Recall that $E[\ell]$ and $T_\ell(E)$ are free modules of rank two over \mathbb{F}_ℓ and \mathbb{Z}_ℓ , respectively, where \mathbb{F}_ℓ denotes the finite field with ℓ elements and \mathbb{Z}_ℓ denotes the ring of ℓ -adic integers. Fixing bases, we obtain the isomorphisms

$$E[\ell] \cong \mathbb{F}_\ell \oplus \mathbb{F}_\ell \quad \text{and} \quad T_\ell(E) \cong \mathbb{Z}_\ell \oplus \mathbb{Z}_\ell.$$

The absolute Galois group $\text{Gal}(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}/\mathbb{Q})$ acts coordinate-wise on $E[\ell]$ and on $T_\ell(E)$. Noting the above isomorphisms, these actions induce the *mod* ℓ and *ℓ -adic Galois representations* of E , respectively,

$$\bar{\rho}_{E,\ell} : \text{Gal}(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}/\mathbb{Q}) \longrightarrow \text{GL}_2(\mathbb{F}_\ell) \quad \text{and} \quad \rho_{E,\ell} : \text{Gal}(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}/\mathbb{Q}) \longrightarrow \text{GL}_2(\mathbb{Z}_\ell).$$

The above Galois representations carry a considerable amount of information about E . For instance, consider the reduction E_p of the curve E at a prime number p that is distinct from ℓ . The well-known Néron–Ogg–Shafarevich criterion gives that E has good reduction at p if and only if $\rho_{E,\ell}$ is unramified at p . Further, if E has good reduction at p , then $\text{tr } \rho_{E,\ell}(\text{Frob}_p) = a_p(E)$ and $\det \rho_{E,\ell}(\text{Frob}_p) = p$, where $\text{Frob}_p \in \text{Gal}(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}/\mathbb{Q})$ denotes a Frobenius automorphism associated with p (see, e.g., [31, p. 2]) and $a_p(E)$ is defined by the equation $\#E_p(\mathbb{F}_p) = p + 1 - a_p(E)$.

Suppose from now on that E is without complex multiplication. A landmark theorem of Serre [26], known as the open image theorem, establishes that if ℓ is sufficiently large, then $\bar{\rho}_{E,\ell}$ is surjective. Let $c(E)$ denote the least positive integer such that if $\ell > c(E)$, then $\bar{\rho}_{E,\ell}$ is surjective. Serre asked if $c(E) \leq 37$ holds for each elliptic curve E/\mathbb{Q} without complex multiplication. This is known as Serre's uniformity question and, following theoretical advances and vast numerical evidence, is now articulated in precise conjectures of Sutherland [30, Conjecture 1.1] and Zywinia [33, Conjecture 1.12]. There is progress toward Serre's question in understanding the image of $\bar{\rho}_{E,\ell}$, which we shall discuss in §1.1. In addition, there are bounds on $c(E)$ in terms of invariants of E , such as the height h_E or conductor N_E of E , which we address in this paper.

Serre's original proof of the open image theorem is ineffective and does not give a bound on $c(E)$. The first unconditional bound on $c(E)$ is due to Masser and Wüstholz [17] who proved in 1993 that there exist absolute, computable constants C_1 and γ such that

$$c(E) \leq C_1 h_E^\gamma.$$

This bound has subsequently been improved and made explicit in [14, 21]. Under the now superfluous assumption that E is modular [3], in 1995 Kraus [10] bounded $c(E)$ in terms of the conductor

Date: September 20, 2021.

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 11G05; Secondary 11F80.

of E ,

$$c(E) \leq 68 \operatorname{rad}(N_E)(1 + \log \log \operatorname{rad} N_E)^{1/2},$$

where $\operatorname{rad} n := \prod_{p|n} p$ denotes the radical of an integer n . In 2005, Cojocaru [5, Theorem 2] proved independently using a similar approach that

$$c(E) \leq \frac{4\sqrt{6}}{3} N_E \prod_{p|N_E} \left(1 + \frac{1}{p}\right)^{1/2}.$$

Considerably better bounds for $c(E)$ are known under the assumption of the generalized Riemann hypothesis (GRH). Assuming GRH, Serre gave an elegant proof in 1981 [27, Théorème 22] that there exists an absolute, computable constant C_2 such that

$$c(E) \leq C_2 (\log \operatorname{rad} N_E) (\log \log \operatorname{rad} 2N_E)^3. \quad (1)$$

Serre mentioned without proof in [25, Note 632.6] that the “log log” term in (1) may be removed. In 2014, Larson and Vaintrob [13, Theorem 1] gave a proof that under GRH, there exists an absolute constant C_3 such that

$$c(E) \leq C_3 \log N_E. \quad (2)$$

Not only is their bound linear in $\log N_E$, it also holds over an arbitrary number field K (with $C_3 = C_{3,K}$ depending on K). However, even over \mathbb{Q} , it is ineffective in the sense that no method is presently known for computing C_3 . In order to compute C_3 via the proof in [13], one would need to understand the rational points on the modular curve $X_{ns}(\ell)$ for some prime $\ell \geq 53$.

The main result of this paper is an explicit conditional bound on $c(E)$ of the same asymptotic quality as (2). Specifically, we shall prove the following.

Theorem 1. *Assume GRH. If E/\mathbb{Q} is an elliptic curve without complex multiplication, then*

$$c(E) \leq 1279.626 \log \operatorname{rad}(2N_E) + 8007.988,$$

where $\operatorname{rad} n := \prod_{p|n} p$ denotes the radical of an integer n .

Our proof of Theorem 1 follows the strategy set forth by Serre in [27, Théorème 22] and [25, Note 632.6]. The key improvement comes from the following sharpening of his conditional bound in the effective version of Faltings’s isogeny theorem for elliptic curves [27, Théorème 21].

Theorem 2. *Assume GRH. Let E_1/\mathbb{Q} and E_2/\mathbb{Q} be elliptic curves without complex multiplication. Suppose that E_1 and E_2 are not \mathbb{Q} -isogenous. Then there exists a prime number p of good reduction for E_1 and E_2 such that $a_p(E_1) \neq a_p(E_2)$ satisfying the inequality*

$$p \leq (639.813 \log \operatorname{rad}(2N_{E_1}N_{E_2}) + 4003.994)^2.$$

The structure of our paper is as follows. In §2, we give a variant of the effective Chebotarev density theorem. We use this tool, together with a general result of Serre on ℓ -adic Galois representations, to prove Theorem 2 in §3. With this result in hand, in §4, we follow in the footsteps of Serre’s elegant proof of (1) to complete our proof of Theorem 1. Afterward, we illustrate our result with a numerical example in §4.3. Throughout the way, we include as many details as practicable to make this paper reasonably self-contained.

1.1. Progress toward Serre’s uniformity question. The most significant progress toward a resolution of Serre’s uniformity question comes via studying rational points on certain modular curves. By doing so, one limits the possibilities for $G_E(\ell) := \operatorname{im} \bar{\rho}_{E,\ell}$, i.e., the image of $\bar{\rho}_{E,\ell}$. As $G_E(\ell)$ is a subgroup of $\operatorname{GL}_2(\mathbb{F}_\ell)$, in order to describe what is known in this direction, we first state Dickson’s well-known classification of subgroups of $\operatorname{GL}_2(\mathbb{F}_\ell)$ and give some necessary terminology.

Let ℓ be an odd prime number and fix a quadratic non-residue $\varepsilon \in \mathbb{F}_\ell^\times$. The *split Cartan subgroup* and *non-split Cartan subgroup* of $\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{F}_\ell)$ are, respectively,

$$\mathcal{C}_s(\ell) := \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} a & 0 \\ 0 & d \end{pmatrix} : a, d \in \mathbb{F}_\ell^\times \right\} \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{C}_{ns}(\ell) := \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} a & \varepsilon c \\ c & a \end{pmatrix} : a, c \in \mathbb{F}_\ell \text{ and } (a, c) \neq (0, 0) \right\}.$$

Let $\mathcal{C}_s^+(\ell)$ and $\mathcal{C}_{ns}^+(\ell)$ denote the normalizer of $\mathcal{C}_s(\ell)$ and $\mathcal{C}_{ns}(\ell)$, respectively. One may show that

$$\mathcal{C}_s^+(\ell) = \mathcal{C}_s(\ell) \cup \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \mathcal{C}_s(\ell) \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{C}_{ns}^+(\ell) = \mathcal{C}_{ns}(\ell) \cup \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix} \mathcal{C}_{ns}(\ell). \quad (3)$$

Let $\mathcal{B}(\ell)$ denote the *Borel subgroup* of $\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{F}_\ell)$, i.e., the subgroup of upper triangular matrices,

$$\mathcal{B}(\ell) := \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ 0 & d \end{pmatrix} : a, d \in \mathbb{F}_\ell^\times \text{ and } b \in \mathbb{F}_\ell \right\}.$$

Let A_n and S_n denote the alternating and symmetric groups, respectively, on n elements. Finally, for a subgroup G of $\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{F}_\ell)$, let \overline{G} denote the image of G in the projective linear group $\mathrm{PGL}_2(\mathbb{F}_\ell)$. With notation set, we now state Dickson's classification [7] (see also [26, §2]).

Proposition 3. *Let ℓ be an odd prime. If $G \subseteq \mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{F}_\ell)$ is a subgroup, then*

- (1) G contains $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{F}_\ell)$,
- (2) G is conjugate to a subgroup of $\mathcal{B}(\ell)$,
- (3) G is conjugate to a subgroup of $\mathcal{C}_{ns}(\ell)$,
- (4) G is conjugate to a subgroup of $\mathcal{C}_s^+(\ell)$ but not to any subgroup of $\mathcal{C}_s(\ell)$,
- (5) G is conjugate to a subgroup of $\mathcal{C}_{ns}^+(\ell)$ but not to any subgroup of $\mathcal{C}_{ns}(\ell)$, or
- (6) \overline{G} is isomorphic to A_4 , S_4 , or A_5 .

Returning to the world of elliptic curves, recall that by the Weil pairing on E , the composition

$$\det \circ \rho_{E, \ell} : \mathrm{Gal}(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}/\mathbb{Q}) \longrightarrow \mathbb{Z}_\ell^\times$$

is the ℓ -adic cyclotomic character and hence is surjective. In particular, if $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{F}_\ell) \subseteq G_E(\ell)$, then in fact $G_E(\ell) = \mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{F}_\ell)$. Consequently, in order to prove that $\overline{\rho}_{E, \ell}$ is surjective for a particular prime number ℓ , it suffices to rule out possibilities (2) through (6) of Proposition 3 for the group $G_E(\ell)$. At the present, for a non-CM elliptic curve, all but (5) are ruled out for each prime number $\ell > 37$.

Theorem 4 (Serre, Mazur, Bilu–Parent–Rebolledo). *Let E/\mathbb{Q} be an elliptic curve without complex multiplication. If ℓ is a prime number such that $\ell > 37$, then either $\overline{\rho}_{E, \ell}$ is surjective or $G_E(\ell)$ is conjugate to a subgroup of $\mathcal{C}_{ns}^+(\ell)$ but is not conjugate to any subgroups of $\mathcal{C}_{ns}(\ell)$.*

Proof. Serre ruled out (3) for $\ell > 2$ [26, §5.2] and (6) for $\ell > 13$ [27, Lemme 18]. Mazur ruled out (2) for $\ell > 37$ [18, Theorem 3]. Bilu–Parent–Rebolledo ruled out (4) for $\ell > 13$ [2, Corollary 1.2]. \square

2. THE EFFECTIVE CHEBOTAREV DENSITY THEOREM

In this section, we extend a variant of the effective Chebotarev density theorem that is given in [1]. This extension will serve as a crucial tool in our proof of Theorem 1. First let us fix some notation.

Let K be a number field with absolute discriminant d_K and ring of integers \mathcal{O}_K . For a prime ideal $\mathfrak{p} \subseteq \mathcal{O}_K$, we write $\mathfrak{p} \mid p$ to indicate that \mathfrak{p} lies above a prime number p . Let $v_{\mathfrak{p}} : K \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ denote the normalized \mathfrak{p} -adic valuation on K . We write $N(\mathfrak{p})$ for the ideal norm of \mathfrak{p} , which extends multiplicatively to arbitrary ideals of \mathcal{O}_K . One has that $v_{\mathfrak{p}}(p) = e_{\mathfrak{p}}$ and $N(\mathfrak{p}) = p^{f_{\mathfrak{p}}}$, where $e_{\mathfrak{p}}$ and $f_{\mathfrak{p}}$ are the ramification index and inertia index of \mathfrak{p} , respectively.

Assume that K/\mathbb{Q} is Galois. For a prime number p that is unramified in K/\mathbb{Q} , write $\left(\frac{p}{K/\mathbb{Q}}\right)$ to denote the Artin symbol of K/\mathbb{Q} at p . Let C be a subset of the Galois group $\text{Gal}(K/\mathbb{Q})$ that is closed under conjugation. Associated with C , consider the counting function

$$\pi_C(x) = \#\left\{p \leq x : p \text{ is unramified in } K/\mathbb{Q} \text{ and } \left(\frac{p}{K/\mathbb{Q}}\right) \subseteq C\right\}.$$

The Chebotarev density theorem states that

$$\pi_C(x) \sim \frac{\#C}{\#\text{Gal}(K/\mathbb{Q})} \pi(x), \quad (4)$$

where $\pi(x)$ and “ \sim ” have their usual meanings in analytic number theory.

Assuming GRH, Lagarias and Odlyzko [11] gave an effective version of the Chebotarev density theorem that provides an error term in (4). Moreover, they showed that there exists an absolute constant k such that the least prime number p with

$$\left(\frac{p}{K/\mathbb{Q}}\right) \subseteq C \quad (5)$$

satisfies the inequality $p \leq k(\log d_K)^2$. Oesterlé [20] stated that the absolute constant k may be taken to be 70. Subsequently, Bach and Sorenson offered the following improvement.

Theorem 5. *Assume GRH. Let K be a Galois number field and let $C \subseteq \text{Gal}(K/\mathbb{Q})$ be a nonempty subset that is closed under conjugation. Then there exists a prime number p that is unramified in K/\mathbb{Q} for which (5) holds that satisfies the inequality*

$$p \leq (a \log d_K + b[K : \mathbb{Q}] + c)^2,$$

where a, b , and c are absolute constants that may be taken to be 4, 2.5, and 5, respectively, or may be taken to be the improved values given in [1, Table 3] associated with K .

Proof. See [1, Theorem 5.1]. □

For our application, we need an extension of Theorem 5 that allows for avoidance of certain primes.

Corollary 6. *Assume GRH. Let K be a Galois number field, let m be a positive integer, and set $\tilde{K} := K(\sqrt{m})$. Let $C \subseteq \text{Gal}(K/\mathbb{Q})$ be a nonempty subset that is closed under conjugation. Then there exists a prime number p not dividing m that is unramified in K/\mathbb{Q} for which (5) holds that satisfies the inequality*

$$p \leq (\tilde{a} \log d_{\tilde{K}} + \tilde{b}[\tilde{K} : \mathbb{Q}] + \tilde{c})^2, \quad (6)$$

where $\tilde{a}, \tilde{b}, \tilde{c}$ are absolute constants that may be taken to be 4, 2.5, and 5, respectively, or may be taken to be the improved values given in [1, Table 3] associated with \tilde{K} .

Proof. Notice that \tilde{K} is Galois over \mathbb{Q} since both K and $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{m})$ are Galois over \mathbb{Q} . If $\tilde{K} = K$, then each prime number dividing m is ramified in K/\mathbb{Q} , so Theorem 5 provides the desired result. Thus, we assume that $\tilde{K} \neq K$. Then $K \cap \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{m}) = \mathbb{Q}$, so by [12, Theorem VI 1.14], we have that

$$\text{Gal}(\tilde{K}/\mathbb{Q}) \cong \text{Gal}(K/\mathbb{Q}) \times (\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}).$$

Let $\pi_1 : \text{Gal}(\tilde{K}/\mathbb{Q}) \rightarrow \text{Gal}(K/\mathbb{Q})$ denote the restriction map and consider the subset $\tilde{C} := \pi_1^{-1}(C)$ of $\text{Gal}(\tilde{K}/\mathbb{Q})$. Since C is closed under conjugation in $\text{Gal}(K/\mathbb{Q})$, \tilde{C} is also closed under conjugation in $\text{Gal}(\tilde{K}/\mathbb{Q})$. By applying Theorem 5 to \tilde{K} and \tilde{C} , we obtain a prime number p that is unramified in \tilde{K} for which (5) holds that satisfies the inequality (6). Note that \tilde{K} is ramified at the ramified primes of K and at the prime divisors of m (and possibly at 2). Thus p is unramified

in K and does not divide m . Finally, because $\left(\frac{p}{K/\mathbb{Q}}\right) \subseteq \tilde{C}$ and $\pi_1\left(\left(\frac{p}{K/\mathbb{Q}}\right)\right) = \left(\frac{p}{K/\mathbb{Q}}\right)$, we have that $\left(\frac{p}{K/\mathbb{Q}}\right) \subseteq C$. \square

In the corollary, we see that p is bounded above in terms of $[\tilde{K} : \mathbb{Q}]$ and $\log d_{\tilde{K}}$. In our application, the degree $[\tilde{K} : \mathbb{Q}]$ will be absolutely bounded. Thus, it will remain to bound $\log d_{\tilde{K}}$. For this purpose, we employ the following lemma, which can be found in [27, Proposition 6].

Lemma 7. *If K/\mathbb{Q} is a finite Galois extension, then*

$$\left(\frac{1}{2} \log 3\right)[K : \mathbb{Q}] \leq \log d_K \leq ([K : \mathbb{Q}] - 1) \log \text{rad}(d_K) + [K : \mathbb{Q}] \log([K : \mathbb{Q}]).$$

Proof. The left-hand inequality follows from the Minkowski bound for the discriminant [27, p. 139]. For the right-hand inequality, let $\mathfrak{D}_K \subseteq \mathcal{O}_K$ denote the different ideal of K and note that

$$d_K = N(\mathfrak{D}_K) = \prod_{p|d_K} p^{v_p(N(\mathfrak{D}_K))}.$$

By taking logarithms, we obtain

$$\log d_K = \sum_{p|d_K} v_p(N(\mathfrak{D}_K)) \log p = \sum_{p|d_K} \sum_{\mathfrak{p}|p} f_{\mathfrak{p}} v_{\mathfrak{p}}(\mathfrak{D}_K) \log p. \quad (7)$$

For each prime ideal $\mathfrak{p} \subseteq \mathcal{O}_K$ lying above p , we have that

$$v_{\mathfrak{p}}(\mathfrak{D}_K) = e_{\mathfrak{p}} - 1 + s_{\mathfrak{p}}$$

for some integer $s_{\mathfrak{p}}$ satisfying $0 \leq s_{\mathfrak{p}} \leq v_{\mathfrak{p}}(e_{\mathfrak{p}})$ (see, e.g., [19, Theorem 2.6, p. 199]). Thus

$$\sum_{\mathfrak{p}|p} f_{\mathfrak{p}} v_{\mathfrak{p}}(\mathfrak{D}_K) = \sum_{\mathfrak{p}|p} f_{\mathfrak{p}}(e_{\mathfrak{p}} - 1) + \sum_{\mathfrak{p}|p} f_{\mathfrak{p}} s_{\mathfrak{p}} \leq [K : \mathbb{Q}] - 1 + \sum_{\mathfrak{p}|p} f_{\mathfrak{p}} v_{\mathfrak{p}}(e_{\mathfrak{p}}). \quad (8)$$

Since K/\mathbb{Q} is Galois, $e_{\mathfrak{p}}$ divides $[K : \mathbb{Q}]$. Thus $v_p(e_{\mathfrak{p}}) \leq v_p([K : \mathbb{Q}])$. Hence

$$\sum_{\mathfrak{p}|p} f_{\mathfrak{p}} v_{\mathfrak{p}}(e_{\mathfrak{p}}) = \sum_{\mathfrak{p}|p} f_{\mathfrak{p}} e_{\mathfrak{p}} v_p(e_{\mathfrak{p}}) \leq v_p([K : \mathbb{Q}]) \sum_{\mathfrak{p}|p} f_{\mathfrak{p}} e_{\mathfrak{p}} = v_p([K : \mathbb{Q}])[K : \mathbb{Q}]. \quad (9)$$

Observe that

$$\sum_{p|d_K} v_p([K : \mathbb{Q}])[K : \mathbb{Q}] \log p \leq [K : \mathbb{Q}] \log [K : \mathbb{Q}]. \quad (10)$$

Applying (8), (9) and (10) to (7), we obtain

$$\log d_K \leq ([K : \mathbb{Q}] - 1) \sum_{p|d_K} \log p + [K : \mathbb{Q}] \log [K : \mathbb{Q}].$$

The claimed inequality now follows by noting that $\sum_{p|d_K} \log p = \log \text{rad}(d_K)$. \square

3. AN EFFECTIVE ISOGENY THEOREM FOR ELLIPTIC CURVES

The objective of this section is to provide an improved conditional bound on the effective version of Faltings's isogeny theorem for elliptic curves. We begin in §3.1 with some preliminaries.

3.1. Ramified primes. Let A/\mathbb{Q} be an abelian variety. For a positive integer m , let $\mathbb{Q}(A[m])$ denote the m th division field of A , i.e., the field obtained by adjoining to \mathbb{Q} the coordinates of all points of $A(\overline{\mathbb{Q}})$ of order dividing m . For a prime number ℓ , we write

$$\mathbb{Q}(A[\ell^\infty]) := \bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} \mathbb{Q}(A[\ell^k]).$$

In this subsection, we note which primes ramify in the infinite degree algebraic extension $\mathbb{Q}(A[\ell^\infty])/\mathbb{Q}$.

Assuming the reader is familiar with the notion of ramification in number fields, let us recall what it means for a prime number p to ramify in an infinite algebraic extension K/\mathbb{Q} . A *chain of subfields* of K is a sequence $(K_n)_{n=1}^{\infty}$ of subfields of K , each of finite degree, for which

$$\mathbb{Q} \subseteq K_1 \subseteq K_2 \subseteq \cdots \subseteq K \quad \text{and} \quad K = \bigcup_{n=0}^{\infty} K_n.$$

The extension K/\mathbb{Q} is *unramified at p* if there exists a chain of subfields (K_n) of K such that for each n , the extension K_n/\mathbb{Q} is unramified at p . Otherwise, we say that p *ramifies* in K/\mathbb{Q} . From this definition, it is straightforward to show that K/\mathbb{Q} is unramified at p if and only if every subfield of K that is a finite extension of \mathbb{Q} is unramified at p .

We now recall Serre and Tate's extension of the criterion of Néron–Ogg–Shafarevich to abelian varieties, from which our desired description of ramified primes in $\mathbb{Q}(A[\ell^\infty])/\mathbb{Q}$ readily follows.

Theorem 8. *Let A/\mathbb{Q} be an abelian variety. For a prime number p , the following are equivalent:*

- (1) *A has good reduction at p ,*
- (2) *$\mathbb{Q}(A[m])/\mathbb{Q}$ is unramified at p for each positive integer m , not divisible by p , and*
- (3) *$\mathbb{Q}(A[m])/\mathbb{Q}$ is unramified at p for infinitely many positive integers m , not divisible by p .*

Proof. See [28, Theorem 1]. □

Corollary 9. *Let B_A be the product of ℓ and the primes of bad reduction for A . The extension $\mathbb{Q}(A[\ell^\infty])/\mathbb{Q}$ is ramified at exactly the prime divisors of B_A .*

Proof. First, we note that ℓ is ramified in $\mathbb{Q}(A[\ell^\infty])/\mathbb{Q}$. Indeed, let $\zeta_{\ell^2} \in \overline{\mathbb{Q}}$ be a primitive ℓ^2 -root of unity. By the exercise in [24, p. 55], we have that

$$\mathbb{Q} \subseteq \mathbb{Q}(\zeta_{\ell^2}) \subseteq \mathbb{Q}(A[\ell^2]) \subseteq \mathbb{Q}(A[\ell^\infty]).$$

Because ℓ ramifies in $\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_{\ell^2})/\mathbb{Q}$, it follows that ℓ ramifies in $\mathbb{Q}(A[\ell^\infty])/\mathbb{Q}$.

Let p be a prime number such that $p \nmid B_A$. Then A has good reduction at p , so it follows from the equivalence of (1) and (2) in Theorem 8 that in the chain of subfields

$$\mathbb{Q} \subseteq \mathbb{Q}(A[\ell]) \subseteq \mathbb{Q}(A[\ell^2]) \subseteq \cdots \subseteq \mathbb{Q}(A[\ell^\infty]),$$

the prime p is unramified in $\mathbb{Q}(A[\ell^n])/\mathbb{Q}$ for each $n \geq 0$. Thus p is unramified in $\mathbb{Q}(A[\ell^\infty])/\mathbb{Q}$. Now assume that $p \neq \ell$ is unramified in $\mathbb{Q}(A[\ell^\infty])/\mathbb{Q}$. Then in fact p is unramified in $\mathbb{Q}(A[\ell^n])/\mathbb{Q}$ for each $n \geq 0$. By Theorem 8 (1) and (3), p is a prime of good reduction of A so $p \nmid B_A$. □

Remark 10. Equivalently, $\rho_{A,\ell}$ is ramified at exactly the prime divisors of B_A .

Remark 11. Let $A = E_1 \times E_2$ be the product of two elliptic curves E_1 and E_2 , with conductors N_{E_1} and N_{E_2} , respectively. It follows from Theorem 8 that A has good reduction at a prime ℓ if and only if E_1 and E_2 both have good reduction at ℓ . Thus $\text{rad}(B_A) = \text{rad}(\ell N_{E_1} N_{E_2})$.

3.2. Effective isogeny theorem. In 1968, Serre proved the isogeny theorem for elliptic curves with non-integral j -invariant [23, p. IV-14]. This was famously generalized by Faltings for arbitrary abelian varieties [9, Korollar 2, p. 361]. For elliptic curves E_1/\mathbb{Q} and E_2/\mathbb{Q} , the isogeny theorem gives that E_1 and E_2 are \mathbb{Q} -isogenous if and only if for each prime $p \nmid N_{E_1}N_{E_2}$, one has that

$$a_p(E_1) = a_p(E_2). \quad (11)$$

Provided that E_1 and E_2 are without complex multiplication and not \mathbb{Q} -isogenous, Serre proved in [27, Théorème 21] that under GRH, the least prime number $p \nmid N_{E_1}N_{E_2}$ for which equality in (11) fails to hold satisfies the inequality

$$p \leq C_4(\log \text{rad}(N_{E_1}N_{E_2}))^2(\log \log \text{rad}(2N_{E_1}N_{E_2}))^{12}, \quad (12)$$

for some absolute, computable constant C_4 .

In this section, we improve upon the bound in (12), removing the “log log” factor. In order to do so, we first recall a powerful, though seemingly little-known, proposition due to Serre. Serre mentioned this result, without proof, in [25, Note 632.6]. Much later, Serre communicated an elegant proof that appears in [4, Theorem 4.7]. We state the proposition below and provide a proof that is essentially the same as the one appearing in [4], though with some details expanded upon.

Proposition 12. *Let ℓ be a prime number and r be a positive integer. Let Γ be a group and $\rho_1, \rho_2 : \Gamma \rightarrow \text{GL}_r(\mathbb{Z}_\ell)$ be group homomorphisms. Suppose that there exist an element $\gamma \in \Gamma$ such that $\text{tr } \rho_1(\gamma) \neq \text{tr } \rho_2(\gamma)$. Then there exists a quotient G of Γ and a subset $C \subseteq G$ for which*

- (1) *the order of G is at most $\ell^{2r^2} - 1$,*
- (2) *the set C is nonempty and closed under conjugation in G , and*
- (3) *if the image in G of an element $\gamma \in \Gamma$ belongs to C , then $\text{tr } \rho_1(\gamma) \neq \text{tr } \rho_2(\gamma)$.*

Proof. Let M denote the \mathbb{Z}_ℓ -algebra of $r \times r$ matrices with coefficients in \mathbb{Z}_ℓ . Let A denote the \mathbb{Z}_ℓ -subalgebra of $M \times M$ generated by the image of Γ under the product map

$$\rho_1 \times \rho_2 : \Gamma \rightarrow \text{GL}_r(\mathbb{Z}_\ell) \times \text{GL}_r(\mathbb{Z}_\ell).$$

Let G be the image of Γ under $\rho_1 \times \rho_2$ in $A/\ell A$. The rank of A as a \mathbb{Z}_ℓ -module is at most $2r^2$. Thus the rank of $A/\ell A$ as a $\mathbb{Z}_\ell/\ell\mathbb{Z}_\ell \cong \mathbb{Z}/\ell\mathbb{Z}$ -module is at most $2r^2$. As G is a group contained in $A/\ell A$,

$$|G| \leq \ell^{2r^2} - 1.$$

Let m be the largest nonnegative integer such that for each $\gamma \in \Gamma$, one has that

$$\text{tr } \rho_1(\gamma) \equiv \text{tr } \rho_2(\gamma) \pmod{\ell^m}.$$

As A is a \mathbb{Z}_ℓ -algebra generated by the image of Γ , it follows that $\text{tr } x_1 \equiv \text{tr } x_2 \pmod{\ell^m}$ holds for each $(x_1, x_2) \in A$. Thus we may consider the \mathbb{Z}_ℓ -module homomorphism $\lambda : A \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_\ell$ given by

$$\lambda(x_1, x_2) = \ell^{-m}(\text{tr } x_1 - \text{tr } x_2).$$

Since $\lambda(\ell A) \subseteq \ell\mathbb{Z}_\ell$, we may consider the induced $\mathbb{Z}/\ell\mathbb{Z}$ -module homomorphism $\bar{\lambda} : A/\ell A \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}/\ell\mathbb{Z}$.

Let C be the set difference $G \setminus \ker \bar{\lambda}$. By the definition of m , there exists a $\gamma_0 \in \Gamma$ such that

$$\text{tr } \rho_1(\gamma_0) \not\equiv \text{tr } \rho_2(\gamma_0) \pmod{\ell^{m+1}}.$$

Note that the image of $(\rho_1 \times \rho_2)(\gamma_0)$ in G is contained in C , so C is nonempty. Further, C is closed under conjugation because trace is invariant under conjugation. Finally, suppose that $\gamma \in \Gamma$ is such that the image of γ in G is contained in C . Then $\lambda((\rho_1 \times \rho_2)(\gamma)) \notin \ell\mathbb{Z}_\ell$, and in particular $\text{tr } \rho_1(\gamma) \neq \text{tr } \rho_2(\gamma)$. \square

We now employ the above proposition, together with the effective Chebotarev density theorem in the form of Corollary 6 to give an improvement on the bound in (12), as recorded in Theorem 2.

Proof of Theorem 2. Let $A := E_1 \times E_2$ and apply Proposition 12 with $\ell := 2$, $r := 2$, $\Gamma := \text{Gal}(\mathbb{Q}(A[2^\infty])/\mathbb{Q})$, and $\rho_i := \rho_{E_i,2}$ for each $i = 1, 2$. Let G and C be as in the conclusion of Proposition 12. By the fundamental theorem of infinite Galois theory, there exists a Galois number field K that is a subfield of $\mathbb{Q}(A[2^\infty])$ for which $\text{Gal}(K/\mathbb{Q}) = G$. We have that $[K : \mathbb{Q}] \leq 2^8 - 1 = 255$ by Proposition 12 and, by Remark 11, K/\mathbb{Q} is unramified outside of the prime divisors of $m := 2N_{E_1}N_{E_2}$. Applying Corollary 6 to K , C , and m just described, we obtain a prime number p not dividing m such that $(\frac{p}{K/\mathbb{Q}}) \subseteq C$ which satisfies inequality (6). As $\text{Frob}_p|_K = (\frac{p}{K/\mathbb{Q}})$, it follows from Proposition 12 that

$$\text{tr } \rho_{E_1,2}(\text{Frob}_p) \neq \text{tr } \rho_{E_2,2}(\text{Frob}_p).$$

Consequently, $a_p(E_1) \neq a_p(E_2)$. It remains to show that p satisfies the claimed bound.

As in the statement of Corollary 6, let $\tilde{K} := K(\sqrt{m})$. We have that

$$[\tilde{K} : \mathbb{Q}] \leq 2[K : \mathbb{Q}] \leq 2 \cdot 255 = 510. \quad (13)$$

Thus by Corollary 6,

$$p \leq \left(\tilde{a} \log d_{\tilde{K}} + 510\tilde{b} + \tilde{c} \right)^2. \quad (14)$$

If $\log d_{\tilde{K}} \leq 1000$, then by reviewing [1, Table 3] with (13) in mind, we find that

$$\tilde{a} \log d_{\tilde{K}} + 510\tilde{b} + \tilde{c} \leq 1.755 \cdot 1000 + 510 \cdot 0 + 5.7 = 1760.7.$$

On the other hand, if $\log d_{\tilde{K}} > 1000$, then again reviewing [1, Table 3], we find that

$$\tilde{a} \log d_{\tilde{K}} + 510\tilde{b} + \tilde{c} \leq 1.257 \log d_{\tilde{K}} + 7.3.$$

Thus in either case,

$$\tilde{a} \log d_{\tilde{K}} + 510\tilde{b} + \tilde{c} \leq \max(1760.7, 1.257 \log d_{\tilde{K}} + 7.3). \quad (15)$$

As \tilde{K} is the compositum of K and $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{m})$, the primes that ramify in \tilde{K} are precisely those that ramify in K or in $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{m})$. Thus, since $\text{rad}(d_{\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{m})}) = \text{rad}(2N_{E_1}N_{E_2})$ and $\text{rad}(d_K) \mid \text{rad}(2N_{E_1}N_{E_2})$,

$$\text{rad } d_{\tilde{K}} = \text{rad}(d_K d_{\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{m})}) = \text{rad}(2N_{E_1}N_{E_2}).$$

Hence by Lemma 7,

$$\log d_{\tilde{K}} \leq 509 \log \text{rad}(2N_{E_1}N_{E_2}) + 510 \log(510).$$

Thus by (15), we have

$$\tilde{a} \log d_{\tilde{K}} + 510\tilde{b} + \tilde{c} \leq \max(1760.7, 1.257(509 \log \text{rad}(2N_{E_1}N_{E_2}) + 510 \log(510)) + 7.3). \quad (16)$$

Using the trivial inequality $2N_{E_1}N_{E_2} \geq 2$, we observe that

$$1.257(509 \log \text{rad}(2N_{E_1}N_{E_2}) + 510 \log(510)) + 7.3 \geq 1760.7. \quad (17)$$

Considering (14), (16), and (17), we conclude that

$$p \leq (1.257(509 \log \text{rad}(2N_{E_1}N_{E_2}) + 510 \log(510)) + 7.3)^2.$$

Partially expanding the right-hand side above gives the claimed bound for p . \square

4. A BOUND ON THE LARGEST NON-SURJECTIVE PRIME

We begin in §4.1 with some necessary background on quadratic Galois characters and quadratic twists of elliptic curves. In §4.2, we put together the pieces to complete the proof of Theorem 1. Finally we present a numerical example in §4.3 that illustrates the theorem.

4.1. **Quadratic twists.** By a *quadratic Galois character*, we mean a surjective group homomorphism

$$\chi : \text{Gal}(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}/\mathbb{Q}) \longrightarrow \{\pm 1\}.$$

Since $\ker \chi$ is an index two subgroup of $\text{Gal}(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}/\mathbb{Q})$, there exists a nonzero integer D such that $\ker \chi = \text{Gal}(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}/\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{D}))$. Consequently χ factors through $\text{Gal}(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}/\mathbb{Q})/\ker \chi \cong \text{Gal}(\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{D})/\mathbb{Q})$,

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \text{Gal}(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}/\mathbb{Q}) & \xrightarrow{\chi} & \{\pm 1\} \\ & \searrow \text{res}_D & \nearrow \sim \\ & \text{Gal}(\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{D})/\mathbb{Q}) & \end{array}$$

where res_D denotes the restriction homomorphism. Thus, for each $\sigma \in \text{Gal}(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}/\mathbb{Q})$,

$$\chi(\sigma) = \begin{cases} 1 & \sigma(\sqrt{D}) = \sqrt{D} \\ -1 & \sigma(\sqrt{D}) = -\sqrt{D}. \end{cases} \quad (18)$$

We write χ_D to denote the quadratic Galois character described by (18). Note that each quadratic Galois character may be written as χ_D for a unique squarefree integer D .

For a prime number p , let I_p and $I_p(D)$ denote the inertia subgroups of $\text{Gal}(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}/\mathbb{Q})$ and $\text{Gal}(\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{D})/\mathbb{Q})$, respectively. One says that χ_D is *unramified* at p if $I_p \subseteq \ker \chi_D$. From the description of χ_D given in (18) and upon noting that $\text{res}_D(I_p) = I_p(D)$, we see that

$$\chi_D \text{ is unramified at } p \iff \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{D})/\mathbb{Q} \text{ is unramified at } p. \quad (19)$$

If $p \nmid D$, then $\text{Frob}_p(\sqrt{D}) = \left(\frac{D}{p}\right) \sqrt{D}$ by [15, p. 88], where $\left(\frac{D}{p}\right)$ denotes the Legendre symbol of D with respect to p . Thus, from (18), we have that

$$\chi_D(\text{Frob}_p) = \left(\frac{D}{p}\right). \quad (20)$$

Now consider an elliptic curve E/\mathbb{Q} given by a Weierstrass equation

$$y^2 + a_1xy + a_3y = x^3 + a_2x^2 + a_4x + a_6. \quad (21)$$

The *quadratic twist* of E by χ_D (or, equivalently, by D) is the elliptic curve E_D/\mathbb{Q} given by

$$y^2 + a_1xy + a_3y = x^3 + \left(a_2D + a_1^2 \frac{D-1}{4}\right) x^2 + \left(a_4D^2 + a_1a_3 \frac{D^2-1}{2}\right) x + a_6D^3 + a_3^2 \frac{D^3-1}{4}. \quad (22)$$

See, e.g., [29, X.2] or [6, §4.3] for details. By taking (21) to be a minimal model for E , upon computing and comparing the discriminants of (21) and (22), we find that

$$\text{rad}(N_{E_D}) \text{ divides } \text{rad}(DN_E). \quad (23)$$

Further, if p is a prime number such that $p \nmid DN_E$, then by [32, Exercise 4.10] and (20),

$$a_p(E) = \chi_D(\text{Frob}_p) a_p(E_D). \quad (24)$$

We conclude with a lemma about nontrivial quadratic twists (see, e.g., [27, p. 199]).

Lemma 13. *If $D \neq 1$ is squarefree and E/\mathbb{Q} is without complex multiplication, then E and E_D are not \mathbb{Q} -isogenous.*

Proof. The natural density of primes p for which $\chi_D(\text{Frob}_p) = -1$ is $\frac{1}{2}$. For such a p , if $p \nmid DN_E$, then $a_p(E) = -a_p(E_D)$ by (24). Thus either $a_p(E) \neq a_p(E_D)$ or $a_p(E) = 0$. The density of primes p for which $p \nmid DN_E$ and $a_p(E) = 0$ is 0 by [27, p. 123], [8, p. 131]. Thus there exists a prime $p \nmid DN_E$ such that $a_p(E) \neq a_p(E_D)$. As such, E and E_D are not \mathbb{Q} -isogenous. \square

4.2. Completing the proof. We now turn to the problem of bounding $c(E)$. Suppose that ℓ is an odd prime such that $G_E(\ell)$ satisfies (5) of Proposition 3. With an appropriate choice of \mathbb{F}_ℓ -basis of $E[\ell]$ in defining $\bar{\rho}_{E,\ell}$, we may assume that $G_E(\ell) \subseteq \mathcal{C}_{ns}^+(\ell)$ and $G_E(\ell) \not\subseteq \mathcal{C}_{ns}(\ell)$. Following Serre, we consider the quadratic Galois character given by the composition

$$\epsilon_\ell : \text{Gal}(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}/\mathbb{Q}) \xrightarrow{\bar{\rho}_{E,\ell}} G_E(\ell) \longrightarrow \frac{\mathcal{C}_{ns}^+(\ell)}{\mathcal{C}_{ns}(\ell)} \xrightarrow{\sim} \{\pm 1\}. \quad (25)$$

We list some basic properties of ϵ_ℓ , which are previously noted in [26, p. 317] and [5, p. 18].

Lemma 14. *With the above notation and assumptions, ϵ_ℓ satisfies the following properties.*

- (1) For each prime $p \nmid N_E$, ϵ_ℓ is unramified at p .
- (2) One has that $\epsilon_\ell = \chi_D$ for some integer $D \mid N_E$.
- (3) For each prime $p \nmid N_E$, if $\epsilon_\ell(\text{Frob}_p) = -1$, then $a_p(E) \equiv 0 \pmod{\ell}$.

Proof. (1) If $p \nmid \ell N_E$, then by Remark 10, $\rho_{E,\ell}$ is unramified at p . In particular, ϵ_ℓ is unramified at p . When $\ell \nmid N_E$ and $p = \ell$, the claimed property follows by a more delicate analysis; see [26, p. 295, Lemme 2].

- (2) Let D be the squarefree integer such that $\epsilon_\ell = \chi_D$. It follows from the previous part and (19) that $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{D})/\mathbb{Q}$ is unramified outside of the prime divisors of N_E . Thus, $D \mid N_E$.
- (3) For $p \nmid N_E$, since $\epsilon_\ell(\text{Frob}_p) = -1$, we have that $\bar{\rho}_{E,\ell}(\text{Frob}_p) \in \mathcal{C}_{ns}^+(\ell) \setminus \mathcal{C}_{ns}(\ell)$. From (3), we see that $\text{tr } \gamma = 0$ for each $\gamma \in \mathcal{C}_{ns}^+(\ell) \setminus \mathcal{C}_{ns}(\ell)$. Thus $a_p(E) \equiv \text{tr}(\bar{\rho}_{E,\ell}(\text{Frob}_p)) \equiv 0 \pmod{\ell}$. \square

We are now in a position to prove the main theorem.

Proof of Theorem 1. Let ℓ be a prime number such that $\ell > 37$ and $\bar{\rho}_{E,\ell}$ is non-surjective. By Theorem 4, we know that up to conjugation, $G_E(\ell) \subseteq \mathcal{C}_{ns}^+(\ell)$ yet $G_E(\ell) \not\subseteq \mathcal{C}_{ns}(\ell)$. Consider the quadratic Galois character ϵ_ℓ defined in (25). Let D be the squarefree integer such that $\epsilon_\ell = \chi_D$ and consider the quadratic twist E_D of E . By Lemma 14(2) and (3), we have that

$$\text{rad}(N_E N_{E_D}) \text{ divides } \text{rad}(N_E). \quad (26)$$

For each prime number $p \nmid N_E$, by (24), we have that

$$a_p(E) = \epsilon_\ell(\text{Frob}_p) a_p(E_D). \quad (27)$$

By Lemma 13, E and E_D are not \mathbb{Q} -isogenous. Thus there exists a prime number $p \nmid N_E$ such that

$$a_p(E) \neq a_p(E_D). \quad (28)$$

Let p_0 be the least prime number such that $p_0 \nmid N_E$ and the inequality (28) holds. Applying Theorem 2 to E and E_D and noting (26), we find that

$$p_0 \leq (639.813 \log \text{rad}(2N_E) + 4003.994)^2.$$

Considering (27) and (28), we see that $\epsilon_\ell(\text{Frob}_{p_0}) = -1$. Thus by Lemma 14(3), ℓ divides $a_{p_0}(E)$, and so $\ell \leq |a_{p_0}(E)|$. The Hasse bound [29, Theorem V.1.1] gives that $|a_{p_0}(E)| \leq 2\sqrt{p_0}$. Thus

$$\ell \leq 2\sqrt{p_0} \leq 2(639.813 \log(\text{rad}(2N_E)) + 4003.994).$$

Expanding the right-hand side, one obtains the claimed bound. \square

4.3. An example. We illustrate Theorem 1 with a concrete example. Consider the elliptic curve E/\mathbb{Q} with LMFDB [16] label 76204800.ut1, given by the Weierstrass equation

$$y^2 = x^3 - 198450x - 27783000.$$

This elliptic curve is without complex multiplication and has conductor

$$N_E = 76204800 = 2^8 \cdot 3^5 \cdot 5^2 \cdot 7^2.$$

Assuming the generalized Riemann hypothesis, Theorem 1 tells us that

$$c(E) \leq 1279.626 \log(2 \cdot 3 \cdot 5 \cdot 7) + 8007.988 \approx 14850.29.$$

In about one second total, SageMath's [22] built-in `is_surjective` command confirms that $\bar{\rho}_{E,\ell}$ is surjective for each prime number $\ell \leq 14850$. Thus, conditional on GRH, $\bar{\rho}_{E,\ell}$ is surjective for all primes ℓ . Calling Zywin's `ExceptionalSet` function [34] on E confirms this unconditionally.

REFERENCES

- [1] E. Bach and J. Sorenson, Explicit bounds for primes in residue classes, *Math. Comp.* **65** (1996), 1717–1735.
- [2] Y. Bilu, P. Parent, and M. Rebolledo, Rational points on $X_0^+(p^r)$, *Ann. Inst. Fourier (Grenoble)* **63** (2013), 957–984.
- [3] C. Breuil, B. Conrad, F. Diamond, and R. Taylor, On the modularity of elliptic curves over \mathbb{Q} : wild 3-adic exercises, *J. Amer. Math. Soc.* **14** (2001), 843–939.
- [4] A. Bucur and K. S. Kedlaya, An application of the effective Sato-Tate conjecture, in *Frobenius Distributions: Lang-Trotter and Sato-Tate Conjectures (D. Kohel and I. Shparlinski, eds.)*, *Contemp. Math.* **663** (2016), 45–56.
- [5] A. C. Cojocaru, On the surjectivity of the Galois representations associated to non-CM elliptic curves, with an appendix by E. Kani, *Canad. Math. Bull.* **48** (2005), 16–31.
- [6] I. Connell, *Elliptic Curve Handbook*, Online notes, McGill University, 1999.
- [7] L. E. Dickson, *Linear Groups with an Exposition of Galois Field Theory*, B. G. Teubner, 1901.
- [8] N. Elkies, Distribution of supersingular primes, *Astérisque* **198–200** (1991), 127–132.
- [9] G. Faltings, Endlichkeitssätze für abelsche varietäten über Zahlkörpern, *Invent. Math.* **73** (1983), 349–366.
- [10] A. Kraus, Une remarque sur les points de torsion des courbes elliptiques, *C. R. Math. Acad. Sci. Paris* **321** (1995), 1143–1146.
- [11] J. C. Lagarias and A. M. Odlyzko, Effective versions of the Chebotarev density theorem, in *Algebraic Number Fields, L-Functions and Galois Properties (A. Fröhlich, ed.)*, Academic Press, 1977, 409–464.
- [12] S. Lang, *Algebra*, Springer, 2005.
- [13] E. Larson and D. Vaintrob, On the surjectivity of Galois representations associated to elliptic curves over number fields, *Bull. Lond. Math. Soc.* **46** (2013), 197–209.
- [14] S. Le Fourn, Surjectivity of Galois representations associated with quadratic \mathbb{Q} -curves, *Math. Ann.* **365** (2016), 173–214.
- [15] F. Lemmermeyer, *Reciprocity Laws: From Euler to Eisenstein*, Springer, 2013.
- [16] The LMFDB Collaboration, The L-functions and modular forms database, 2021. Available at <https://www.lmfdb.org/>.
- [17] D. W. Masser and G. Wüstholz, Galois properties of division fields of elliptic curves, *Bull. Lond. Math. Soc.* **25** (1993), 247–254.
- [18] B. Mazur, Rational isogenies of prime degree (with an appendix by D. Goldfeld), *Invent. Math.* **44** (1978), 129–162.
- [19] J. Neukirch, *Algebraic Number Theory*, Springer-Verlag, 1999.
- [20] J. Oesterlé, Versions effectives du théorème de Chebotarev sous l'hypothèse de Riemann généralisée, *Astérisque* **61** (1979), 165–167.
- [21] F. Pellarin, Sur une majoration explicite pour un degré d'isogénie liant deux courbes elliptiques, *Acta Arith.* **100** (2001), 203–243.
- [22] The Sage Developers, SageMath, The Sage Mathematics Software System (Version 9.0), 2020. Available at <https://www.sagemath.org>.
- [23] J.-P. Serre, *Abelian l -adic Representations and Elliptic Curves*, A.K. Peters, 1998.
- [24] ———, *Lectures on the Mordell–Weil theorem*, Springer Fachmedien Wiesbaden, 1997.
- [25] ———, *Œuvres Collected Papers, Vol. III*, Springer-Verlag, 1986.
- [26] ———, Propriétés galoisiennes des points d'ordre fini des courbes elliptiques, *Invent. Math.* **15** (1972), 259–331.
- [27] ———, Quelques applications du théorème de densité de Chebotarev, *Publ. Math. Inst. Hautes Études Sci.* **54** (1981), 123–201.

- [28] J.-P. Serre and J. Tate, Good reduction of abelian varieties, *Ann. of Math. (2)* **88** (1968), 492–517.
- [29] J. H. Silverman, *The Arithmetic of Elliptic Curves*, Springer, 2009.
- [30] A. V. Sutherland, Computing images of Galois representations attached to elliptic curves, *Forum Math. Sigma* **4** (2016), 1–79.
- [31] ———, Sato-Tate distributions, in *Analytic Methods in Arithmetic Geometry (A. Bucur and D. Zureick-Brown, eds.)*, *Contemp. Math.* **740** (2019), 197–248.
- [32] L. C. Washington, *Elliptic Curves: Number Theory and Cryptography*, CRC Press, 2003.
- [33] D. Zywina, On the possible images of the mod ℓ representations associated to elliptic curves over \mathbb{Q} , *Preprint*, arXiv:1508.07660 (2015), 1–47.
- [34] ———, On the surjectivity of mod ℓ representations associated to elliptic curves, *Preprint*, arXiv:1508.07661 (2015), 1–14.