

SPACETIME SLICING INDEPENDENT APPARENT HORIZONS ARE NULL

PENGYU LE

ABSTRACT. We study the geometry of spacelike surfaces in a 4-dimensional spacetime using double null coordinate systems. We prove that a hypersurface, with the property that any spacelike section is marginally trapped, must be a null apparent horizon. This is equivalent to say that a spacetime slicing independent apparent horizon must be null. Moreover if the spacetime satisfies the null convergence condition, then the null apparent horizon is shear-free.

CONTENTS

1. Double null coordinate systems	1
2. Structure coefficients	3
3. Parametrisation and geometry of spacelike surfaces	4
4. Marginally trapped surfaces and null apparent horizons	9
Appendix A. Proof of proposition 3.1	12
References	14

1. DOUBLE NULL COORDINATE SYSTEMS

Let (M, g) be a 4-dimensional time oriented spacetime. Let C_0 and \underline{C}_0 be the two null hypersurfaces intersecting at a spacelike surface $\Sigma_{0,0}$. Assume that s is a function on \underline{C}_0 which decreases in future direction and \underline{s} is a function on C_0 which increases in future direction. We extend s and \underline{s} to be optical functions in a neighbourhood of C_0 and \underline{C}_0 , i.e. s and \underline{s} satisfy the following equations:

$$(1) \quad g(\nabla s, \nabla s) = g(\nabla \underline{s}, \nabla \underline{s}) = 0.$$

Let C_s and $\underline{C}_{\underline{s}}$ be the corresponding level sets of s, \underline{s} , which are all null hypersurfaces. We denote the intersection of C_s and $\underline{C}_{\underline{s}}$ by $\Sigma_{s,\underline{s}}$. We call $\{C_s\} \cup \{\underline{C}_{\underline{s}}\}$ a double null foliation in M .

We define the null vector fields L', \underline{L}' by

$$(2) \quad L' = 2\nabla s, \quad \underline{L}' = 2\nabla \underline{s},$$

and the function Ω by

$$(3) \quad 2\Omega^{-2} = g(L', \underline{L}').$$

We further define

$$(4) \quad L = \Omega^2 L', \quad \underline{L} = \Omega^2 \underline{L}',$$

Then we have

$$(5) \quad g(L, \underline{L}) = 2\Omega^2, \quad g(L, \underline{L}') = g(L', \underline{L}) = 2,$$


and

$$(6) \quad L_{\underline{s}} = 1, \quad \underline{L}_s = 1.$$

$\{L, \underline{L}'\}$ and $\{L', \underline{L}\}$ are both called conjugate null frames on $\Sigma_{s, \underline{s}}$. In general, a conjugate null frame on a spacelike surface Σ consists of two null normal vector field $\{L^\Sigma, \underline{L}^\Sigma\}$ along Σ satisfying the conjugate condition $g(L^\Sigma, \underline{L}^\Sigma) = 2$.

We describe how to extend a coordinate system from $\Sigma_{0,0}$ to a neighbourhood of $\Sigma_{0,0}$ via the integral curves of L and \underline{L} :

- (1) Let $\vartheta = (\theta^1, \theta^2) : U \subset \Sigma_{0,0} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^2$ be a coordinate system on $\Sigma_{0,0}$.
- (2) We extend ϑ from $\Sigma_{0,0}$ to \underline{C}_0 such that ϑ is constant along the integral curves of \underline{L} .
- (3) We extend ϑ from \underline{C}_0 to a neighbourhood of \underline{C}_0 such that ϑ is constant along the integral curves of L .
- (4) $\{s, \underline{s}, \vartheta\}$ is a coordinate system on M , which is called a double null coordinate system.



By the above construction, we have

$$(7) \quad \partial_{\underline{s}} = L.$$

We also have $\partial_s = \underline{L}$ on \underline{C}_0 , but the identity may not hold outside \underline{C}_0 . In general $\partial_s - \underline{L}$ is tangential to $\Sigma_{s, \underline{s}}$, therefore we define

$$(8) \quad \vec{b} = \underline{L} - \partial_s, \quad \underline{L} = \partial_s + \vec{b}.$$

$\{L, \underline{L}, \partial_1 = \partial_{\theta^1}, \partial_2 = \partial_{\theta^2}\}$ is a frame of the tangent space of M and $\{dL, d\underline{L}, d\theta^1_{L,\underline{L}}, d\theta^2_{L,\underline{L}}\}$ is the dual frame. Then the metric g can be expressed as

$$(9) \quad g = 2\Omega^2 (dL \otimes d\underline{L} + d\underline{L} \otimes dL) + \not{g}_{ab} d\theta^a_{L,\underline{L}} \otimes d\theta^b_{L,\underline{L}}.$$

$\{\partial_s, \partial_{\underline{s}}, \partial_1, \partial_2\}$ is the coordinate frame of $\{s, \underline{s}, \theta_1, \theta_2\}$ and $\{ds, d\underline{s}, d\theta^1, d\theta^2\}$ is the dual frame. Assume that $\vec{b} = b^1 \partial_1 + b^2 \partial_2$, then we have

$$(10) \quad dL = d\underline{s}, \quad d\underline{L} = ds, \quad d\theta^a_{L,\underline{L}} = d\theta^a - b^a ds,$$

thus the metric in the double null coordinate system $\{s, \underline{s}, \theta_1, \theta_2\}$ has the following expression

$$(11) \quad g = 2\Omega^2 (ds \otimes d\underline{s} + d\underline{s} \otimes ds) + \not{g}_{ab} (d\theta^a - b^a ds) \otimes (d\theta^b - b^b ds).$$

We denote the Levi-Civita connection of the surface $(\Sigma_{s,\underline{s}}, \not{g})$ by ∇ and the corresponding Christoffel symbols by Γ^k_{ij} .

2. STRUCTURE COEFFICIENTS

We define the structure coefficients associated with the double null foliation $\{C_s\} \cup \{\underline{C}_{\underline{s}}\}$.

Definition 2.1 (Structure coefficients). *Let X, Y be tangential vector fields on $\Sigma_{s,\underline{s}}$. We define that*

$$(12) \quad \begin{aligned} \underline{\omega} &= \underline{L} \log \Omega, & \omega &= L \log \Omega, \\ \underline{\chi}(X, Y) &= g(\nabla_X \underline{L}, Y), & \chi(X, Y) &= g(\nabla_X L, Y), \\ \underline{\chi}'(X, Y) &= g(\nabla_X \underline{L}', Y), & \chi'(X, Y) &= g(\nabla_X L', Y), \\ \underline{\eta}(X) &= \frac{1}{2} g(\nabla_X \underline{L}, L'), & \underline{\eta}(X) &= \frac{1}{2} g(\nabla_X L, \underline{L}'). \end{aligned}$$

$\underline{\chi}, \chi, \underline{\chi}', \chi'$ are the null second fundamental forms in the directions of $\underline{L}, L, \underline{L}', L'$ respectively. $\underline{\eta}, \eta$ are the torsions of the null frame $\{\underline{L}, L'\}$ and $\{\underline{L}', L\}$ respectively. We can decompose them into trace and trace-free parts with respect to the metric \not{g} ,

$$(13) \quad \begin{aligned} \underline{\chi} &= \hat{\underline{\chi}} + \frac{1}{2} \text{tr} \underline{\chi} \not{g}, & \chi &= \hat{\chi} + \frac{1}{2} \text{tr} \chi \not{g}, \\ \underline{\chi}' &= \hat{\underline{\chi}}' + \frac{1}{2} \text{tr} \underline{\chi}' \not{g}, & \chi' &= \hat{\chi}' + \frac{1}{2} \text{tr} \chi' \not{g}. \end{aligned}$$

The trace-free parts are called shears, and the traces are called null expansions.

Remark 2.2. *For any spacelike surface with a conjugate null frame, one can define the corresponding null second fundamental form and torsions by the above definition.*

The null expansion $\text{tr} \chi$ satisfies the Raychaudhuri equation

$$(14) \quad L \text{tr} \chi = 2\omega \text{tr} \chi - \frac{1}{2} |\text{tr} \chi|^2 - |\hat{\chi}|^2 - R_{LL},$$

where R_{LL} is the component $\text{Ric}(L, L)$ of the Ricci curvature.

We have the following proposition relating the covariant derivatives with the structure coefficients.

Proposition 2.3 ([C] chapter 1.2. equations (1.175)). *Let $\{e_1, e_2\}$ be a unit orthogonal frame on $\Sigma_{s, \underline{s}}$. We use the latin letters A, B to denote indices varying in $\{1, 2\}$.*

$$(15) \quad \begin{aligned} \nabla_{e_A} e_B &= -\frac{1}{2}\Omega^{-2}\chi_{AB}\underline{L} - \frac{1}{2}\Omega^{-2}\underline{\chi}_{AB}L + \nabla_{e_A} e_B, \\ \nabla_{e_A}\underline{L} &= \eta_A\underline{L} + \underline{\chi}_{AB}e_B, \\ \nabla_{e_A}L &= -\eta_AL + \chi_{AB}e_B, \\ \nabla_{\underline{L}}\underline{L} &= 2\omega\underline{L}, \quad \nabla_{\underline{L}}L = -2\Omega^2\eta_A e_A, \\ \nabla_L\underline{L} &= 2\omega L, \quad \nabla_L L = -2\Omega^2\underline{\eta}_A e_A. \end{aligned}$$

Remark 2.4. *From proposition 2.3, we can derive the following equation for \vec{b} ,*

$$(16) \quad \mathcal{L}_L \vec{b} = 2\Omega^2(\eta^\sharp - \underline{\eta}^\sharp),$$

where \mathcal{L} is the Lie derivative. The derivation of the equation is simple:

$$(17) \quad \mathcal{L}_L b = [L, \underline{L} - \partial_s] = [L, \underline{L}] - [\partial_s, \partial_s] = \nabla_L \underline{L} - \nabla_{\underline{L}} L = 2\Omega^2(\eta^\sharp - \underline{\eta}^\sharp),$$

here we use the standard musical isomorphisms \flat and \sharp to lower and raise the indices with respect to \dot{g} .

3. PARAMETRISATION AND GEOMETRY OF SPACELIKE SURFACES

Let Σ be a spacelike surface in (M, g) . If Σ can be parametrised as the graph of two functions f and \underline{f} in the double null coordinate system $\{s, \underline{s}, \vartheta\}$ by the following map:

$$(18) \quad \vartheta \mapsto (s, \underline{s}, \vartheta) = (f(\vartheta), \underline{f}(\vartheta), \vartheta) \in \Sigma,$$

then we call that Σ is parametrised by (f, \underline{f}) in the double null coordinate system $\{s, \underline{s}, \vartheta\}$. For such a parametrised surface Σ , we can construct conjugate null frames on it and calculate the corresponding structure coefficients.

We have the tangential coordinate frame $\{\dot{\partial}_1, \dot{\partial}_2\}$ where

$$(19) \quad \dot{\partial}_i = \partial_i + f_i \partial_s + \underline{f}_i \partial_{\underline{s}},$$

Recall that $L = \partial_{\underline{s}}, \underline{L} = \partial_s + b^i \partial_i$, thus the above is equivalent to

$$(20) \quad \dot{\partial}_i = (\delta_i^j - f_i b^j) \partial_j + f_i \underline{L} + \underline{f}_i L = B_i^j \partial_j + f_i \underline{L} + \underline{f}_i L,$$

where

$$(21) \quad B_i^j = \delta_i^j - f_i b^j.$$

The intrinsic metric $\dot{g} = g|_\Sigma$ on Σ is given by

$$(22) \quad \dot{g}_{ij} = \dot{g}(\dot{\partial}_i, \dot{\partial}_j) = g(\dot{\partial}_i, \dot{\partial}_j) = B_i^k B_j^l g_{kl} + 2\Omega^2 (f_i \underline{f}_j + f_j \underline{f}_i).$$

In order to find a null normal frame $\{\dot{\underline{L}}, \dot{L}\}$ on Σ , we assume that

$$(23) \quad \begin{cases} \dot{\underline{L}} = \underline{L} + \underline{\varepsilon}L + \underline{\varepsilon}^i \partial_i, \\ \dot{L} = L + \varepsilon \underline{L} + \varepsilon^i \partial_i. \end{cases}$$

Then we have the following system of equations

$$(24) \quad \begin{cases} g(\underline{\dot{L}}, \underline{\dot{L}}) = 4\Omega^2 \underline{\varepsilon} + \not{g}_{ij} \underline{\varepsilon}^i \underline{\varepsilon}^j = 0 \\ g(\dot{L}, \dot{L}) = 4\Omega^2 \varepsilon + \not{g}_{ij} \varepsilon^i \varepsilon^j = 0 \\ g(\underline{\dot{L}}, \dot{\partial}_i) = 2\Omega^2 \underline{f}_i + 2\Omega^2 \underline{\varepsilon} f_i + \not{g}_{jk} B_i^j \underline{\varepsilon}^k = 0 \\ g(\dot{L}, \dot{\partial}_i) = 2\Omega^2 f_i + 2\Omega^2 \varepsilon f_i + \not{g}_{jk} B_i^j \varepsilon^k = 0 \end{cases}$$

Let

$$(25) \quad e^k = -2\Omega^2 f_i (B^{-1})_j^i (\not{g}^{-1})^{jk}, \quad \underline{e}^k = -2\Omega^2 \underline{f}_i (B^{-1})_j^i (\not{g}^{-1})^{jk},$$

then we can rewrite the last two equations of (24) as follows

$$(26) \quad \underline{\varepsilon}^k = \underline{e}^k + \underline{\varepsilon} e^k, \quad \varepsilon^k = e^k + \varepsilon \underline{e}^k.$$

Substituting to the first two null condition equations of (24) we get

$$(27) \quad \begin{aligned} |e|^2 \underline{\varepsilon}^2 + (2e \cdot \underline{e} + 4\Omega^2) \underline{\varepsilon} + |\underline{e}|^2 &= 0, \\ |\underline{e}|^2 \varepsilon^2 + (2e \cdot \underline{e} + 4\Omega^2) \varepsilon + |e|^2 &= 0, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$(28) \quad |e|^2 = \not{g}_{ij} e^i e^j, \quad |\underline{e}|^2 = \not{g}_{ij} \underline{e}^i \underline{e}^j, \quad e \cdot \underline{e} = \not{g}_{ij} e^i \underline{e}^j.$$

Thus we solve equations to get

$$(29) \quad \begin{aligned} \underline{\varepsilon} &= \frac{-|\underline{e}|^2}{(2\Omega^2 + e \cdot \underline{e}) + \sqrt{(2\Omega^2 + e \cdot \underline{e})^2 - |e|^2 |\underline{e}|^2}}, \\ \varepsilon &= \frac{-|e|^2}{(2\Omega^2 + e \cdot \underline{e}) + \sqrt{(2\Omega^2 + e \cdot \underline{e})^2 - |e|^2 |\underline{e}|^2}}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence we obtain a null normal frame $\{\underline{\dot{L}}, \dot{L}\}$ on Σ . Associated with $\{\underline{\dot{L}}, \dot{L}\}$, we define the function $\hat{\Omega}$ by

$$(30) \quad 2\hat{\Omega}^2 = g(\underline{\dot{L}}, \dot{L}) = 2\Omega^2(1 + \varepsilon \cdot \underline{\varepsilon}) + \vec{\varepsilon} \cdot \vec{\underline{\varepsilon}}$$

where

$$\vec{\varepsilon} \cdot \vec{\underline{\varepsilon}} = \not{g}_{ij} \varepsilon^i \underline{\varepsilon}^j.$$

We define another two null vectors $\underline{\dot{L}}', \dot{L}'$ by

$$(31) \quad \underline{\dot{L}}' = \hat{\Omega}^{-2} \underline{\dot{L}}, \quad \dot{L}' = \hat{\Omega}^{-2} \dot{L},$$

therefore the null frames $\{\underline{\dot{L}}, \dot{L}'\}$ and $\{\underline{\dot{L}}', \dot{L}\}$ are conjugate null frames.

The structure coefficients associated with the null frame $\{\underline{\dot{L}}, \dot{L}'\}$ are given by

$$(32) \quad \begin{aligned} \underline{\dot{\chi}}_{ij} &= g(\nabla_{\dot{\partial}_i} \underline{\dot{L}}, \dot{\partial}_j), & \dot{\chi}_{ij} &= g(\nabla_{\dot{\partial}_i} \dot{L}, \dot{\partial}_j), \\ \dot{\eta}_i &= \frac{1}{2} g(\nabla_{\dot{\partial}_i} \underline{\dot{L}}, \dot{L}'), & \underline{\dot{\eta}}_i &= \frac{1}{2} g(\nabla_{\dot{\partial}_i} \dot{L}, \underline{\dot{L}}'). \end{aligned}$$

The formulae of $\underline{\dot{\chi}}, \dot{\chi}, \dot{\eta}$ are given by the following proposition.

Proposition 3.1. *Let Σ be a spacelike surface parametrised by $\{f, \underline{f}\}$ in the double null coordinate system $\{s, \underline{s}, \vartheta\}$. Then the structure coefficients of Σ have the following formulae.*

$$(33) \quad \begin{aligned} \dot{\underline{\chi}}_{ij} = & \underline{\chi}_{ij} + \underline{\varepsilon}\chi_{ij} + (\underline{\varepsilon} \cdot b - 2\Omega^2 \underline{\varepsilon}) \nabla_{ij}^2 f - 2\Omega^2 \nabla_{ij}^2 \underline{f} - 2\text{sym} \left\{ [\chi(\underline{\varepsilon}) + 2\Omega^2 \underline{\eta}] \otimes \dot{\underline{f}} \right\}_{ij} \\ & + 2\text{sym} \left\{ [\nabla b \cdot \underline{\varepsilon} - \underline{\chi}(\underline{\varepsilon}) - \underline{\varepsilon}\underline{\chi}(b) - 2\Omega^2 \underline{\varepsilon}\eta] \otimes \dot{\underline{f}} \right\}_{ij} \\ & + 2 [2\Omega^2 \eta \cdot \underline{\varepsilon} + \chi(b, \underline{\varepsilon}) + 2\Omega^2 b \cdot \eta] \text{sym}(\dot{\underline{f}} \otimes \dot{\underline{f}})_{ij} - 4\omega\Omega^2 (\dot{\underline{f}} \otimes \dot{\underline{f}})_{ij} \\ & + \left[2\underline{\chi}(b, \underline{\varepsilon}) + \underline{\chi}(b, b) + \underline{\varepsilon}\chi(b, b) + 4\Omega^2 \underline{\varepsilon}b \cdot \eta - \nabla_{bb} \cdot \underline{\varepsilon} + \frac{\partial b}{\partial s} \cdot \underline{\varepsilon} + 4\Omega^2 \underline{\varepsilon}\omega \right] \\ & \cdot (\dot{\underline{f}} \otimes \dot{\underline{f}})_{ij}, \end{aligned}$$

$$(34) \quad \begin{aligned} \dot{\chi}_{ij} = & \chi_{ij} + \varepsilon\underline{\chi}_{ij} + (\varepsilon \cdot b - 2\Omega^2) \nabla_{ij}^2 f - 2\Omega^2 \varepsilon \nabla_{ij}^2 \underline{f} \\ & - 2\text{sym} \left\{ [\chi(\varepsilon) + 2\Omega^2 \varepsilon \eta] \otimes \dot{\underline{f}} \right\}_{ij} \\ & + 2\text{sym} \left\{ [\nabla b \cdot \varepsilon - \underline{\chi}(\varepsilon) - \varepsilon\underline{\chi}(b) - \chi(b) - 2\Omega^2 \eta] \otimes \dot{\underline{f}} \right\}_{ij} \\ & + 2 [2\Omega^2 \eta \cdot \varepsilon + \chi(b, \varepsilon) + 2\Omega^2 \varepsilon b \cdot \eta] \text{sym}(\dot{\underline{f}} \otimes \dot{\underline{f}})_{ij} - 4\varepsilon\omega\Omega^2 (\dot{\underline{f}} \otimes \dot{\underline{f}})_{ij} \\ & + \left[2\underline{\chi}(b, \varepsilon) + \chi(b, b) + \varepsilon\underline{\chi}(b, b) + 4\Omega^2 b \cdot \eta - \nabla_{bb} \cdot \varepsilon + \frac{\partial b}{\partial s} \cdot \varepsilon + 4\Omega^2 \underline{\omega} \right] \\ & \cdot (\dot{\underline{f}} \otimes \dot{\underline{f}})_{ij}, \end{aligned}$$

$$(35) \quad \begin{aligned} \dot{\eta}_i = & [2\Omega^2(1 + \varepsilon\underline{\varepsilon}) + \varepsilon \cdot \underline{\varepsilon}]^{-1} \cdot \\ & \left\{ 2\Omega^2 \eta_i + 2\Omega^2 \varepsilon \underline{\varepsilon} \eta_i - \chi(\underline{\varepsilon})_i + \underline{\varepsilon}\chi(\varepsilon)_i + \underline{\chi}(\varepsilon)_i - \varepsilon\underline{\chi}(\underline{\varepsilon})_i \right. \\ & + f_i [4\Omega^2 \underline{\omega} - 2\Omega^2 b \cdot \eta - 2\Omega^2 \varepsilon \underline{\varepsilon} b \cdot \eta + 2\Omega^2 \underline{\varepsilon} \cdot \eta - 2\Omega^2 \underline{\varepsilon} \eta \cdot \varepsilon \\ & \quad \left. + \chi(b, \underline{\varepsilon}) - \underline{\varepsilon}\chi(b, \varepsilon) + \underline{\chi}(\underline{\varepsilon}, \varepsilon) - \underline{\chi}(b, \varepsilon) + \varepsilon\underline{\chi}(b, \underline{\varepsilon}) - (\nabla_{\underline{\varepsilon}} b) \cdot \varepsilon \right] \\ & \left. + \underline{f}_i [-2\Omega^2 \underline{\eta} \cdot \varepsilon + 2\Omega^2 \underline{\eta} \cdot \underline{\varepsilon} + 4\Omega^2 \varepsilon \underline{\varepsilon} \omega + \chi(\underline{\varepsilon}, \varepsilon)] + 2\Omega^2 \varepsilon (\dot{\underline{\varepsilon}})_i + (\nabla_i \underline{\varepsilon}) \cdot \varepsilon \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

In the above formulae, $\dot{\underline{f}}$ in $\dot{\underline{f}}, \dot{\underline{f}}, \dot{\underline{\varepsilon}}$ is the differential operator on Σ . ∇ in $\nabla_{ij}^2 f, \nabla_{ij}^2 \underline{f}, \nabla_i \underline{\varepsilon}$ is the pull back of the connection ∇ via the embedding of Σ , i.e. for any vector field V on Σ ,

$$(36) \quad \nabla_i V^k = \partial_i V^k + \Upsilon_{ij}^k V^j,$$

and more generally for any tensor field T on Σ ,

$$(37) \quad \nabla_i T_{i_1 \dots i_k}^{j_1 \dots j_l} = \partial_i T_{i_1 \dots i_k}^{j_1 \dots j_l} - \Upsilon_{i i_m}^r T_{i_1 \dots i_m \dots i_k}^{j_1 \dots j_l} + \Upsilon_{i s}^{j_n} T_{i_1 \dots i_k}^{j_1 \dots j_n \dots j_l}.$$

The inner products \cdot in $\underline{\underline{\varepsilon}} \cdot b$, $\nabla b \cdot \underline{\underline{\varepsilon}}$, $\nabla_b b \cdot \underline{\underline{\varepsilon}}$, $\frac{\partial b}{\partial s} \cdot \underline{\underline{\varepsilon}}$, $\underline{\underline{\varepsilon}} \cdot b$, $\nabla b \cdot \underline{\underline{\varepsilon}}$, $\nabla_b b \cdot \underline{\underline{\varepsilon}}$, $\underline{\underline{\varepsilon}} \cdot \underline{\underline{\varepsilon}}$, $(\nabla_i \underline{\underline{\varepsilon}}) \cdot \underline{\underline{\varepsilon}}$ are taken with respect to the metric $\underline{\underline{g}}$.

We give the proof of the above proposition in the appendix. In the following, we consider two special cases of proposition 3.1 for the rest of this section.

The first case is that Σ is embedded in the null hypersurface $C_{s=s_0}$. Then in this case, the parametrisation function f of Σ is constant, $f \equiv s_0$. Σ is parametrised by the following map:

$$(38) \quad \vartheta \mapsto (s, \underline{s}, \vartheta) = (s_0, \underline{f}(\vartheta), \vartheta).$$

The tangential coordinate frame $\{\dot{\partial}_1, \dot{\partial}_2\}$ of Σ is

$$(39) \quad \dot{\partial}_i = \partial_i + \underline{f}_i \partial_s = \partial_i + \underline{f}_i L.$$

The intrinsic metric $\dot{\underline{g}} = g|_\Sigma$ on Σ is

$$(40) \quad \dot{\underline{g}}_{ij} = \underline{g}_{ij}.$$

The null normal frame $\{\dot{\underline{L}}, \dot{L}\}$ is

$$(41) \quad \begin{cases} \dot{\underline{L}} = \underline{L} + \underline{\varepsilon} L + \underline{\varepsilon}^i \partial_i, \\ \dot{L} = L, \end{cases}$$

where

$$(42) \quad \underline{\varepsilon} = -\Omega^2 (\underline{g}^{-1})^{ij} \underline{f}_i \underline{f}_j = -\Omega^2 \left| \dot{\underline{f}} \right|_{\underline{g}}^2, \quad \underline{\varepsilon}^k = -2\Omega^2 \underline{f}_i (\underline{g}^{-1})^{ik}.$$

The inner product of $\dot{\underline{L}}$ and \dot{L} is

$$(43) \quad 2\dot{\Omega}^2 = g(\dot{\underline{L}}, \dot{L}) = 2\Omega^2 \Rightarrow \dot{\Omega} = \Omega,$$

thus

$$(44) \quad \dot{L}' = \dot{\Omega}^{-2} \dot{L} = \Omega^{-2} L = L',$$

and $\{\dot{\underline{L}}, \dot{L}' = L'\}$ is a conjugate null frame on Σ .

By proposition 3.1, we obtain the structure coefficients of Σ in the first case as follows.

Proposition 3.2. *Let Σ is a spacelike surface embedded in the null hypersurface $C_{s=s_0}$. Assume that Σ is parametrised by (s_0, \underline{f}) in the double null coordinate system $(s, \underline{s}, \vartheta)$. Then the structure coefficients of Σ have the following formulae.*

$$(45) \quad \begin{aligned} \dot{\underline{\chi}}_{ij} = & \underline{\chi}_{ij} - \Omega^2 \left| \dot{\underline{f}} \right|_{\underline{g}}^2 \chi_{ij} - 2\Omega^2 \nabla_{ij}^2 \underline{f} - 4\Omega^2 \text{sym} \left\{ \underline{\eta} \otimes \dot{\underline{f}} \right\}_{ij} - 4\omega \Omega^2 (\dot{\underline{f}} \otimes \dot{\underline{f}})_{ij} \\ & + 4\Omega^2 \text{sym} \left\{ \dot{\underline{f}} \otimes \chi(\nabla \underline{f}) \right\}_{ij}, \end{aligned}$$

$$(46) \quad \text{tr} \dot{\underline{\chi}} = \text{tr} \underline{\chi} - 2\Omega^2 \Delta \underline{f} - \Omega^2 \left| \dot{\underline{f}} \right|_{\underline{g}}^2 \text{tr} \underline{\chi} - 4\Omega^2 \underline{\eta} \cdot \dot{\underline{f}} - 4\Omega^2 \omega \left| \dot{\underline{f}} \right|_{\underline{g}}^2 + 4\Omega^2 \chi(\nabla \underline{f}, \nabla \underline{f}),$$

$$(47) \quad \dot{\chi}_{ij} = \chi_{ij}, \quad \text{tr} \dot{\chi} = \text{tr} \chi, \quad \dot{\eta}_i = \eta_i + \chi(\nabla \underline{f})_i.$$

In the above formulae, $\nabla^i \underline{f} = (\underline{g}^{-1})^{ij} \underline{f}_{,j}$, $\underline{\Delta} \underline{f} = (\underline{g}^{-1})^{ij} \nabla_{ij}^2 \underline{f}$. The inner product \cdot in $\underline{\eta} \cdot \underline{\dot{d}} \underline{f}$ is taken with respect to \underline{g} and tr in $\text{tr} \dot{\chi}$ is the trace operator with respect to $\underline{\dot{g}}$.

The second case is that Σ is embedded in the null hypersurface $\underline{C}_{\underline{s}=\underline{s}_0}$. This case was investigated in [KLR] [A] before. In this case, the parametrisation function \underline{f} of Σ is constant, $\underline{f} \equiv \underline{s}_0$. Σ is parametrised by the following map:

$$(48) \quad \vartheta \mapsto (s, \underline{s}, \vartheta) = (f(\vartheta), \underline{s}_0, \vartheta).$$

The tangential coordinate frame $\{\dot{\partial}_1, \dot{\partial}_2\}$ of Σ is

$$(49) \quad \dot{\partial}_i = \partial_i + f_i \partial_s = \partial_i + f_i (\underline{L} - b^j \partial_j) = B_i^j \partial_j + f_i \underline{L},$$

where

$$(50) \quad B_i^j = \delta_i^j - f_i b^j.$$

The intrinsic metric $\underline{\dot{g}} = g|_\Sigma$ is

$$(51) \quad \dot{g}_{ij} = B_i^k B_j^l g_{kl} = g_{ij} - (g_{il} b^l f_j + g_{jl} b^l f_i) + f_i f_j |b|_g^2.$$

The null normal frame $\{\underline{\dot{L}}, \dot{L}\}$ on Σ is

$$(52) \quad \begin{cases} \underline{\dot{L}} = \underline{L}, \\ \dot{L} = L + \varepsilon \underline{L} + \varepsilon^i \partial_i, \end{cases}$$

where

$$(53) \quad \varepsilon = -\Omega^2 \dot{g}^{ij} f_i f_j = -\Omega^2 g^{kl} (B^{-1})_k^i (B^{-1})_l^j f_i f_j, \quad \varepsilon^k = -2\Omega^2 g^{kl} (B^{-1})_l^i f_i.$$

The inner product of $\underline{\dot{L}}$ and \dot{L} is

$$(54) \quad 2\dot{\Omega}^2 = g(\underline{\dot{L}}, \dot{L}) = 2\Omega^2 \Rightarrow \dot{\Omega} = \Omega,$$

thus

$$(55) \quad \dot{L}' = \dot{\Omega}^{-2} \dot{L} = \Omega^{-2} (L + \varepsilon \underline{L} + \varepsilon^i \partial_i) = L' + \varepsilon' \underline{L} + \varepsilon'^i \partial_i,$$

where

$$(56) \quad \varepsilon' = \Omega^{-2} \varepsilon = -g^{kl} (B^{-1})_k^i (B^{-1})_l^j f_i f_j, \quad \varepsilon'^k = \Omega^{-2} \varepsilon^k = -2g^{kl} (B^{-1})_l^i f_i.$$

$\{\underline{\dot{L}}, \dot{L}'\}$ is a conjugate null frame on Σ .

By proposition 3.1, we obtain the structure coefficients on Σ associated with the conjugate null frame $\{\underline{\dot{L}}, \dot{L}'\}$ in the second case as follows.

Proposition 3.3. *Let Σ is a spacelike surface embedded in the null hypersurface $\underline{C}_{\underline{s}=\underline{s}_0}$. Assume that Σ is parametrised by (f, \underline{s}_0) in the double null coordinate system $(s, \underline{s}, \vartheta)$.*

Then the structure coefficients of Σ have the following formulae.

$$(57) \quad \dot{\chi}_{ij} = \underline{\chi}_{ij} - 2\text{sym} \left\{ \underline{\chi}(b) \otimes \dot{\mathfrak{d}} f \right\}_{ij} + \underline{\chi}(b, b) f_i f_j,$$

$$(58) \quad \begin{aligned} \dot{\chi}'_{ij} = & \chi'_{ij} + \varepsilon' \underline{\chi}_{ij} + (b \cdot \vec{\varepsilon}' - 2) \nabla_{ij}^2 f \\ & + 2\text{sym} \left\{ \left[\nabla b \cdot \vec{\varepsilon}' - \underline{\chi}(\vec{\varepsilon}') - \varepsilon' \underline{\chi}(b) - \chi'(b) - 2\eta \right] \otimes \dot{\mathfrak{d}} f \right\}_{ij} \\ & + \left[2\underline{\chi}(b, \vec{\varepsilon}') + \varepsilon' \underline{\chi}(b, b) + \chi'(b, b) + 4b \cdot \eta - \nabla_b b \cdot \vec{\varepsilon}' - \partial_s b \cdot \vec{\varepsilon}' - 4\underline{\omega} \right] f_i f_j, \end{aligned}$$

$$(59) \quad \dot{\eta}_i = \eta_i + \frac{1}{2} \underline{\chi}(\vec{\varepsilon}')_i + \left[2\underline{\omega} - b \cdot \eta - \frac{1}{2} \underline{\chi}(b, \vec{\varepsilon}') \right] f_i.$$

In the above formulae, the inner products \cdot in $b \cdot \vec{\varepsilon}'$, $\nabla b \cdot \vec{\varepsilon}'$, $b \cdot \eta$, $\nabla_b b \cdot \vec{\varepsilon}'$, $\partial_s b \cdot \vec{\varepsilon}'$ are taken with respect to the metric \mathfrak{g} .

4. MARGINALLY TRAPPED SURFACES AND NULL APPARENT HORIZONS

In a spacetime, a trapped surface is a spacelike surface where the area element decreases pointwise for any infinitesimal displacement along the future null normal direction. This concept is first introduced by Penrose in [P]. A closely related concept with trapped surfaces is the one called marginally trapped surface, defined as follows.

Definition 4.1 (Marginally trapped surfaces). Σ is called marginally trapped if on Σ , at least one of null expansions vanishes identically, i.e.

$$(60) \quad \text{tr}\chi_\Sigma \equiv 0 \quad \text{or} \quad \text{tr}\underline{\chi}_\Sigma \equiv 0.$$

The concept of marginally trapped surfaces is closely related to black holes in general relativity. For example, the event horizon of either Schwarzschild or Kerr black hole is foliated by marginally trapped surfaces. Roughly speaking the event horizon is the boundary of black holes. In general, the event horizon is not foliated by marginally trapped surfaces. Moreover the event horizon is a global concept, which can not be found without knowing the whole spacetime.

There is an appropriate sort of horizon called apparent horizon defined as a hypersurface foliated by marginally trapped surfaces. The apparent horizon has the nice property that it depends only on the local geometry of spacetime along the horizon. A method to construct an apparent horizon is slicing the spacetime into Cauchy hypersurfaces or null hypersurfaces, then find a marginally trapped surface in each slice, and eventually gluing them to get an apparent horizon, see [A], [HE]. The apparent horizon constructed this way could depend on how the spacetime is sliced. We shall prove that the necessary and sufficient condition of an apparent horizon not depending on how the spacetime is sliced is it being null.

Definition 4.2 (Null apparent horizon). Let C be a null hypersurface and L be the tangential null vector field on C . C is called a null apparent horizon if for any embedded spacelike surface Σ in C , its null expansion with respect to L is zero,

$$(61) \quad \text{tr}\chi_\Sigma \equiv 0.$$

Remark 4.3. *By definition, any embedded spacelike surface in C is marginally trapped. It is easy to show that for a null hypersurface C , if there exists a foliation by marginally trapped surface, then it must be a null apparent horizon. The key is that the null expansion $\text{tr}\chi$ of a null hypersurface is intrinsic, not depending on the specific spacelike section.*

By definition, a null apparent horizon does not depend on the way how the spacetime is sliced. We shall show the converse is also true, i.e. if any spacelike section of an apparent horizon is marginally trapped, then it must be a null apparent horizon.

Theorem 4.4. *Let (M, g) be a 4-dimensional spacetime and $\{s, \underline{s}, \vartheta\}$ be a double null coordinate system on (M, g) . Let \mathcal{H} be a smooth hypersurface containing $\Sigma_{0,0}$ and can be parametrised as the graph of a function h of independent variables $(\underline{s}, \vartheta)$,*

$$(62) \quad \mathcal{H} = \{(s, \underline{s}, \vartheta) = (h(\underline{s}, \vartheta), \underline{s}, \vartheta)\},$$

$$(63) \quad \psi_h : (\underline{s}, \vartheta) \mapsto (s, \underline{s}, \vartheta) = (h(\underline{s}, \vartheta), \underline{s}, \vartheta) \in \mathcal{H}.$$

If any spacelike section Σ of \mathcal{H} is marginally trapped with

$$(64) \quad \text{tr}\chi_\Sigma \equiv 0,$$

then \mathcal{H} is null which is equivalent to $h \equiv 0$, hence \mathcal{H} is a null apparent horizon.

Proof. We show that $h \equiv 0$. Consider the set N of points $(\underline{s}, \vartheta)$ where $\partial_{\underline{s}}h \neq 0$. N is an open set. We shall show that N is empty by contradiction.

If N is not empty, choose a point $(s_0, \vartheta_0) \in N$. By the implicit function theorem, there is a neighbourhood U_0 of the corresponding point $(h(\underline{s}_0, \vartheta_0), \underline{s}_0, \vartheta_0)$ in \mathcal{H} , such that \mathcal{H} can be parametrised by (s, ϑ) in U_0 .

More precisely, there exists a neighbourhood U_0 of the point $(h(\underline{s}_0, \vartheta_0), \underline{s}_0, \vartheta_0)$ in \mathcal{H} , a neighbourhood I_0 of the point $(h(\underline{s}_0, \vartheta_0), \vartheta_0)$ in the (s, ϑ) domain, and a function $\underline{h}(s, \vartheta)$ such that U_0 can be parametrised by the following map

$$(65) \quad \psi_{\underline{h}} : I_0 \rightarrow U_0, \quad (s, \vartheta) \mapsto (s, \underline{h}(s, \vartheta), \vartheta)$$

$$(66) \quad U_0 = \{(s, \underline{s}, \vartheta) = (s, \underline{h}(s, \vartheta), \vartheta), (s, \vartheta) \in I_0\}.$$

Consider the spacelike surface Σ_f embedded in U_0 parametrised by the following map

$$(67) \quad \varphi_f : \vartheta \mapsto (f(\vartheta), \vartheta) \xrightarrow{\psi_{\underline{h}}} (f(\vartheta), \underline{h}(f(\vartheta), \vartheta), \vartheta),$$


$$(68) \quad \Sigma_f = \{(s, \underline{s}, \vartheta) = (f(\vartheta), \underline{h}(f(\vartheta), \vartheta), \vartheta), (s, \vartheta) \in I_0\},$$

where f is a function of independent variable ϑ .

Define $\underline{f}(\vartheta) = \underline{h}(f(\vartheta), \vartheta)$, then Σ_f is parametrised by (f, \underline{f}) in the double null coordinate system $\{s, \underline{s}, \vartheta\}$.

$$(69) \quad \Sigma_f = \{(s, \underline{s}, \vartheta) = (f(\vartheta), \underline{f}(\vartheta), \vartheta)\}.$$

We have the freedom to choose f , and by the assumption any Σ_f is marginally trapped.



We can choose that $f \equiv s_0$. Then by proposition 3.2, the background null expansion $\text{tr}\chi$ with respect to L in the double null coordinate system $\{s, \underline{s}, \vartheta\}$ vanishes on $\Sigma_{f \equiv s_0}$,

$$(70) \quad \text{tr}\chi = 0 \text{ on } \Sigma_{f \equiv s_0}.$$

Let p_0 be the point $(s_0, \underline{h}_0(s_0, \vartheta_0), \vartheta_0)$ in $\Sigma_{f \equiv s_0}$. Consider another function \bar{f} such that $\bar{f}(\vartheta_0) = s_0$ and $\partial_\vartheta \bar{f}(\vartheta_0) = 0$. Then the spacelike surface $\Sigma_{\bar{f}}$ is tangential to Σ_f at the point p_0 , i.e. they have the same tangent space and normal space at p_0 .

$\Sigma_{\bar{f}}$ is parametrised by $(\bar{f}, \underline{\bar{f}})$ where $\underline{\bar{f}}(\vartheta) = \underline{h}(\bar{f}(\vartheta), \vartheta)$. Since $\partial_\vartheta \bar{f}(\vartheta_0) = 0$, by the equations (25) - (29), the corresponding $e^k, \varepsilon^k, \varepsilon$ of $\Sigma_{\bar{f}}$ are all equal to zero at p_0 . The intrinsic metric \bar{g} of $\Sigma_{\bar{f}}$ at p_0 is equal to g_{ij} . Thus applying proposition 3.1 to $\Sigma_{\bar{f}}$ at p_0 , we obtain the null expansion $\bar{\text{tr}}\bar{\chi}$ of $\Sigma_{\bar{f}}$ at p_0 is

$$(71) \quad \bar{\text{tr}}\bar{\chi}(p_0) = \text{tr}\chi(p_0) - 2\Omega^2(p_0) (\bar{g}^{-1})^{ij} \nabla_{ij}^2 \bar{f}(\vartheta_0).$$

By the assumption that $\bar{\text{tr}}\bar{\chi}(p_0) = 0$, we get $(\bar{g}^{-1})^{ij} \nabla_{ij}^2 \bar{f}(\vartheta_0) = 0$.

Hence we show that any \bar{f} , with $\bar{f}(\vartheta_0) = s_0, \partial_\vartheta \bar{f}(\vartheta_0) = 0$, must satisfy the equation

$$(72) \quad (\bar{g}^{-1})^{ij} \nabla_{ij}^2 \bar{f}(\vartheta_0) = 0.$$

This is impossible, thus we conclude that the set N where $\partial_{\underline{s}} h \neq 0$ is empty.

Therefore $h \equiv 0$ at least in a small neighbourhood of $\Sigma_{0,0}$, thus \mathcal{H} is null in a neighbourhood of $\Sigma_{0,0}$. To show that \mathcal{H} is null everywhere, we just apply the local result to any spacelike section of \mathcal{H} . Note that the double null coordinate can be constructed in a neighbourhood of any smooth spacelike surface, hence we can use the above local result to any smooth spacelike section of \mathcal{H} . Therefore \mathcal{H} is null and the theorem is proven. \square

A null apparent horizon is a null hypersurface with vanishing null expansions. We call the spacetime satisfying the null convergence condition if $R(X, X) \geq 0$ for any null vector X , see [HE]. For such a spacetime, we show that the null apparent horizon in it

is shear-free. This is a direct corollary of the Raychaudhuri equation (14), since

$$(73) \quad 0 = L \operatorname{tr} \chi = 2\omega \operatorname{tr} \chi - \frac{1}{2} |\operatorname{tr} \chi|^2 - |\hat{\chi}|^2 - R_{LL} \leq -|\hat{\chi}|^2 \quad \Rightarrow \quad |\hat{\chi}|^2 = 0.$$

APPENDIX A. PROOF OF PROPOSITION 3.1

Lemma A.1. *Let $\{s, \underline{s}, \vartheta\}$ is a double null coordinate system of (M, g) as constructed in section 1, then we have*

$$(74) \quad \nabla_{\partial_i} \partial_s = \left(\underline{\chi}_i^j - \nabla_i b^j \right) \partial_j + \left(\eta_i + \frac{1}{2} \Omega^{-2} \chi(b)_i \right) \underline{L} + \frac{1}{2} \Omega^{-2} \underline{\chi}(b)_i L,$$

$$(75) \quad \nabla_{\partial_i} \partial_{\underline{s}} = \eta_i L + \chi_i^j \partial_j,$$

$$(76) \quad \nabla_{\partial_s} \partial_{\underline{s}} = -2\Omega^2 \eta^\sharp - \chi(b)^\sharp - \underline{\eta}(b) \cdot L,$$

$$(77) \quad \nabla_{\partial_s} \partial_s = 2\omega L,$$

$$(78) \quad \nabla_{\partial_s} \partial_s = \left[2\underline{\omega} - 2\eta(b) - \frac{1}{2} \Omega^{-2} \chi(b, b) \right] \underline{L} - \frac{1}{2} \Omega^{-2} \underline{\chi}(b, b) L - 2\underline{\chi}(b)^\sharp + \nabla_b b - \partial_s b.$$

Proof. It is an immediate corollary of proposition 2.3 and $\partial_s = \underline{L} - \vec{b}$. \square

Lemma A.2. *Let Σ be a spacelike surface parametrised by (f, \underline{f}) in the coordinate system $\{s, \underline{s}, \vartheta\}$. $\{\dot{\partial}_1, \dot{\partial}_2\}$ is the tangential coordinate vector frame on Σ . We have*

$$(79) \quad \nabla_{\dot{\partial}_i} \dot{\partial}_j = \Pi_{ij}^k \partial_k + \Pi_{ij}^L L + \Pi_{ij}^{\underline{L}} \underline{L},$$

where

$$(80) \quad \Pi_{ij}^k = \mathbb{F}_{ij}^k - f_{ij} b^k + 2\operatorname{sym}(\dot{\mathcal{d}} f \otimes \underline{\chi})_{ij}^k - 2\operatorname{sym}(\dot{\mathcal{d}} f \otimes \nabla b)_{ij}^k + 2\operatorname{sym}(\dot{\mathcal{d}} \underline{f} \otimes \chi)_{ij}^k \\ + (\dot{\mathcal{d}} f \otimes \dot{\mathcal{d}} f)_{ij} \cdot [\nabla_b b - 2\underline{\chi}(b) - \partial_s b]^k,$$

$$(81) \quad \Pi_{ij}^L = \underline{f}_{ij} - \frac{1}{2} \Omega^{-2} \underline{\chi}_{ij} + \Omega^{-2} \operatorname{sym}(\dot{\mathcal{d}} f \otimes \underline{\chi}(b))_{ij} + 2\operatorname{sym}(\dot{\mathcal{d}} \underline{f} \otimes \underline{\eta})_{ij} \\ - \frac{1}{2} \Omega^{-2} \underline{\chi}(b, b) (\dot{\mathcal{d}} f \otimes \dot{\mathcal{d}} f)_{ij} + 2\omega (\dot{\mathcal{d}} \underline{f} \otimes \dot{\mathcal{d}} \underline{f})_{ij} - 2\eta(b) \operatorname{sym}(\dot{\mathcal{d}} f \otimes \dot{\mathcal{d}} \underline{f})_{ij},$$

$$(82) \quad \Pi_{ij}^{\underline{L}} = f_{ij} - \frac{1}{2} \Omega^{-2} \chi_{ij} + 2\operatorname{sym}(\dot{\mathcal{d}} f \otimes \eta)_{ij} + \Omega^{-2} \operatorname{sym}(\dot{\mathcal{d}} f \otimes \chi(b))_{ij} \\ + \left[2\underline{\omega} - 2\eta(b) - \frac{1}{2} \Omega^{-2} \chi(b, b) \right] (\dot{\mathcal{d}} f \otimes \dot{\mathcal{d}} f)_{ij},$$

where

$$(83) \quad \operatorname{sym}(P \otimes Q)_{ij} = \frac{1}{2} (P_i Q_j + P_j Q_i),$$

$$(84) \quad \operatorname{sym}(P \otimes T)_{ij}^k = \frac{1}{2} (P_i T_j^k + P_j T_i^k).$$

Proof.

$$\begin{aligned}
 (85) \quad \nabla_{\dot{\partial}_i} \dot{\partial}_j &= \nabla_{\dot{\partial}_i} \left(\partial_j + f_j \partial_s + \underline{f}_j \partial_{\underline{s}} \right) \\
 &= \nabla_{\dot{\partial}_i} \partial_j + f_j \nabla_{\dot{\partial}_i} \partial_s + \underline{f}_j \nabla_{\dot{\partial}_i} \partial_{\underline{s}} + f_{ij} \partial_s + \underline{f}_{ij} \partial_{\underline{s}} \\
 &= f_{ij} \partial_s + \underline{f}_{ij} \partial_{\underline{s}} + \nabla_{\dot{\partial}_i} \partial_j + (f_i \nabla_{\dot{\partial}_j} \partial_s + f_j \nabla_{\dot{\partial}_i} \partial_s) + \left(\underline{f}_i \nabla_{\dot{\partial}_j} \partial_{\underline{s}} + \underline{f}_j \nabla_{\dot{\partial}_i} \partial_{\underline{s}} \right) \\
 &\quad + f_i f_j \nabla_{\dot{\partial}_s} \partial_s + \underline{f}_i \underline{f}_j \nabla_{\dot{\partial}_{\underline{s}}} \partial_{\underline{s}} + (f_i \underline{f}_j + f_j \underline{f}_i) \nabla_{\dot{\partial}_s} \partial_{\underline{s}}.
 \end{aligned}$$

Then the lemma follows from proposition 2.3 and lemma A.1. \square

Lemma A.3. *Let Σ be a spacelike surface parametrised by (f, \underline{f}) in the coordinate system $\{s, \underline{s}, \vartheta\}$. $\{\dot{\partial}_1, \dot{\partial}_2\}$ is the tangential coordinate vector frame on Σ . $\{\dot{L}, \dot{\underline{L}}\}$ is the null normal frame on Σ as constructed in section 2. We have*

$$(86) \quad \nabla_{\dot{\partial}_i} \dot{L} = \Pi_{i\underline{L}}^k \partial_k + \Pi_{i\underline{L}}^{\underline{L}} \cdot \underline{L} + \Pi_{i\underline{L}}^L \cdot L$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
 (87) \quad \Pi_{i\underline{L}}^k &= \underline{\chi}_i^k + \underline{\varepsilon} \chi_i^k + f_i \left[-\underline{\chi}(b)^k + \underline{\chi}(\underline{\varepsilon}^k - 2\Omega^2 \underline{\varepsilon} \eta^k - \underline{\varepsilon} \chi(b)^k - \nabla_{\underline{\varepsilon}} b^k) \right] \\
 &\quad + \underline{f}_i \left[\chi(\underline{\varepsilon})^k - 2\Omega^2 \eta^k \right] + \nabla_{\dot{\partial}_i} \underline{\varepsilon}^k
 \end{aligned}$$

$$(88) \quad \Pi_{i\underline{L}}^{\underline{L}} = \eta_i - \frac{1}{2} \Omega^{-2} \chi(\underline{\varepsilon})_i + f_i \left[2\underline{\omega} - \eta(b) + \eta(\underline{\varepsilon}) + \frac{1}{2} \Omega^{-2} \chi(b, \underline{\varepsilon}) \right]$$

$$(89) \quad \Pi_{i\underline{L}}^L = \underline{\varepsilon} \eta_i - \frac{1}{2} \Omega^{-2} \underline{\chi}(\underline{\varepsilon})_i + f_i \left[-\underline{\varepsilon} \eta(b) + \frac{1}{2} \Omega^{-2} \underline{\chi}(b, \underline{\varepsilon}) \right] + \underline{f}_i [2\underline{\omega} \underline{\varepsilon} + \underline{\eta}(\underline{\varepsilon})] + \dot{\partial}_i \underline{\varepsilon}.$$

Proof.

$$(90) \quad \nabla_{\dot{\partial}_i} \dot{L} = \nabla_{\dot{\partial}_i} \underline{L} + \underline{\varepsilon} \nabla_{\dot{\partial}_i} L + \underline{\varepsilon}^k \nabla_{\dot{\partial}_i} \partial_k + \dot{\partial}_i \underline{\varepsilon} \cdot L + \dot{\partial}_i \underline{\varepsilon}^k \cdot \partial_k.$$

Then lemma follows from proposition 2.3 and

$$(91) \quad \dot{\partial}_i = \left(\delta_i^j - f_i b^j \right) \partial_j + f_i \underline{L} + \underline{f}_i L = B_i^j \partial_j + f_i \underline{L} + \underline{f}_i L.$$

\square

Now we can prove proposition 3.1.

Proof of Proposition 3.1.

$$\begin{aligned}
 (92) \quad \underline{\dot{\chi}}(\dot{\partial}_i, \dot{\partial}_j) &= -g \left(\nabla_{\dot{\partial}_i} \dot{\partial}_j, \dot{L} \right) \\
 &= -g \left(\Pi_{ij}^k \partial_k + \Pi_{ij}^{\underline{L}} L + \Pi_{ij}^L \underline{L} + \underline{\varepsilon} L + \underline{\varepsilon}^l \partial_l \right) \\
 &= -g_{kl} \Pi_{ij}^k \underline{\varepsilon}^l - 2\Omega^2 \Pi_{ij}^{\underline{L}} - 2\Omega^2 \Pi_{ij}^L \underline{\varepsilon}.
 \end{aligned}$$

Then the formula of $\underline{\dot{\chi}}_{ij}$ follows from lemma A.2. Note that in lemma A.2, it involves quantities $\nabla_{ij}^k, f_{ij}, \underline{f}_{ij}$ which are not tensorial. Thus to simplify the calculation for $\underline{\dot{\chi}}_{ij}$, we can choose a geodesic coordinate system where ∇_{ij}^k vanishes such that $f_{ij} = \nabla_{ij}^2 f$, $\underline{f}_{ij} = \nabla_{ij}^2 \underline{f}$ at one point, to get the tensorial formula of $\underline{\dot{\chi}}_{ij}$.

Similarly for $\dot{\chi}_{ij}$, where

$$\begin{aligned}
(93) \quad \dot{\chi}(\dot{\partial}_i, \dot{\partial}_j) &= -g\left(\nabla_{\dot{\partial}_i} \dot{\partial}_j, \dot{L}\right) \\
&= -g\left(\Pi_{ij}^k \partial_k + \Pi_{ij}^L L + \Pi_{ij}^{\underline{L}} \underline{L} + \varepsilon \underline{L} + \varepsilon^l \partial_l\right) \\
&= -g_{kl} \Pi_{ij}^k \varepsilon^l - 2\Omega^2 \Pi_{ij}^{\underline{L}} - 2\Omega^2 \Pi_{ij}^L \varepsilon.
\end{aligned}$$

For the torsion $\dot{\eta}_i$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
(94) \quad \dot{\eta}(\dot{\partial}_i) &= \frac{1}{2} \dot{\Omega}^{-2} g\left(\nabla_{\dot{\partial}_i} \dot{L}, \dot{L}\right) \\
&= \frac{1}{2} \dot{\Omega}^{-2} g\left(\Pi_{i\underline{L}}^k \partial_k + \Pi_{i\underline{L}}^{\underline{L}} \cdot \underline{L} + \Pi_{i\underline{L}}^L \cdot L + \varepsilon \underline{L} + \varepsilon^l \partial_l\right) \\
&= \frac{1}{2} \Omega^{-2} \left(g_{kl} \Pi_{i\underline{L}}^k \varepsilon^l + 2\Omega^2 \Pi_{i\underline{L}}^{\underline{L}} + 2\Omega^2 \varepsilon \Pi_{i\underline{L}}^L\right).
\end{aligned}$$

Then the formulae of $\dot{\chi}$, $\dot{\eta}$ follow from lemma A.3. □

REFERENCES

- [A] An, X. Emergence of Apparent Horizon in Gravitational Collapse, *Ann. PDE* **6**, 10 (2020).
- [C] Christodoulou, D. *The formation of black holes in general relativity*. EMS Monographs in Mathematics, EMS Publishing House, Zürich, 2009.
- [HE] Hawking, S. W. and Ellis, G. F. R. *The Large Scale Structure of Space-Time*, Cambridge Monographs on Mathematical Physics, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1973.
- [KLR] Klainerman, S., Luk J. and Rodnianski, I. A fully anisotropic mechanism for formation of trapped surfaces in vacuum. *Invent. Math.* **198**, 1-26 (2014).
- [P] Penrose, R. Gravitational collapse and space-time singularities, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **14**, 57-59 (1965).

YANQI LAKE BEIJING INSTITUTE OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES AND APPLICATIONS, BEIJING, CHINA
Email address: pengyu.le@bimsa.cn