

# A GUTZWILLER TRACE FORMULA FOR DIRAC OPERATORS ON A STATIONARY SPACETIME

ONIRBAN ISLAM

ABSTRACT. A Duistermaat-Guillemin-Gutzwiller trace formula for Dirac-type operators on a globally hyperbolic spatially compact standard stationary spacetime is achieved by generalising the recent construction by A. Strohmaier and S. Zelditch [Adv. Math. **376**, 107434 (2021)] to a vector bundle setting. We have analysed the spectrum of the Lie derivative with respect to the Killing vector field on the solution space of the Dirac equation and found that it consists of discrete real eigenvalues. The distributional trace of the Cauchy evolution operator has singularities at the periods of induced Killing flow on the space of lightlike geodesics. This gives rise to the Weyl law asymptotic at the vanishing period.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

The Gutzwiller trace formula [21] (see also, e.g. [31, Thm. 3] and the exposition [46, Sec. 2]) states that the expectation value of smoothed-out quantum mechanical density of states in the semiclassical limit can be expressed as the summation over periodic geodesics and in terms of classical invariants: action integral, primitive period, and Maslov index. Mathematically speaking, it connects asymptotic spectral data of a pseudodifferential operator with the underlying geometry. In particular, if  $Q$  is a first-order, elliptic and positive scalar pseudodifferential operator on a closed Riemannian manifold  $(\Sigma, h)$  then the singular support of  $\text{Tr}(e^{-itQ})$  is contained in the set of periods of periodic bicharacteristic strips of the principal symbol of  $Q$  [12, 16]. Moreover, the analysis of singularity of this half-wave group at  $t = 0$  gives rise to the half-wave trace invariants and the celebrated Weyl law [22]. Remainder estimation for this Weyl law can be slightly sharpened under the assumption that all bicharacteristic curves of  $Q$  are periodic with the same period [16, Thm. 3.5]. Furthermore, singularity expansion around the nonzero periods of the Fourier transform of this half-wave trace results in the Gutzwiller trace formula for  $Q$ . Similar investigations have been performed for first-order elliptic systems [14] and in particular for Dirac-type operators [11, 30, 40]. Dirac-type operators are arguably the most fundamental first-order differential operators in geometric analysis as studied in the context of the celebrated Atiyah-Singer index theorem. They differ from wave-type operators in that their spectrum is the entire real line, whereas it is the positive/negative reals for the wave-type operators. This is the underlying reason behind a number of topological effects for fermions and reflects in several phenomenological aspects (see, e.g., [18, Ch. 6]) of fermionic quantum field theory. These deep results (and many more as available in the compendiums [2, 25, 27, 30], for instance) on Riemannian spectral geometry are essentially achieved by investigating the solution operator of either the half-wave equation or the Dirac equation on an ultrastatic Lorentzian manifold with closed Cauchy hypersurface.

However, neither the half-wave operator nor the Riemannian Dirac operator together with their solution operators are relativistically invariant so it seems more natural to instead work

with ones that are. Such relativistic operators allow for the study of (asymptotic) Lorentzian spectral geometry and their natural appearance in the context of quantum field theory in curved spacetimes allows us to study the semiclassical limit of quantum field theoretic observables interacting with classical gravity<sup>1</sup>.

The first step towards this direction has been taken up recently for the d'Alembert operator  $\square$  on a globally hyperbolic spacetime  $(M, g, \Xi)$  having a boundary-less compact Cauchy hypersurface  $\Sigma$  and endowed with a complete Killing flow  $\Xi$ . In the relativistic setting, the classical phase space is the contact manifold  $\mathcal{N}$  of lightlike geodesic strips of  $(M, g)$  in contrast to the cotangent bundle  $T^*\Sigma$  as in the nonrelativistic scenario. The trace  $\text{Tr } U_t$  of the quantum dynamics, governed by the solution operator  $U_t$  of  $\square$ , is the trace  $\text{Tr } \Xi^*|_{\ker \square}$  of the translation operator  $\Xi^*$  induced by the Killing flow  $\Xi$  on the finite energy solution space  $\ker \square$  [41, (25)].

This article is devoted to an investigation of the Duistermaat-Guillemin-Gutzwiller trace formula for Dirac-type operators on any spatially compact standard stationary spacetime. Physically, stationary spacetimes admit a canonical flow of time but no preferred time coordinate and they are interesting because a couple of exact solutions of Einstein equation: the Schwarzschild and the Kerr black holes belong to this class. Geometrically, this category can be taken as the starting point of Lorentzian spectral geometry and without any loss of generalities, one can restrict to the standard stationary case because this subclass encompasses all possible globally hyperbolic stationary spacetimes in the sense that the latter are isometrically diffeomorphic to the former [38, Prop. 3.3]. Whilst, spin- or spin<sup>c</sup>-Dirac operators are desirable from a physics viewpoint, we prefer to work on more general Dirac-type operators because they do not enforce any topological restrictions on a spacetime manifold  $M^2$  unlike the spin<sup>3</sup>-Dirac operators. Furthermore, some higher-spin operators, for instance, the Rarita-Schwinger operator on an Einstein spin manifold is of Dirac-type.

**1.1. Primary results.** Let  $E \rightarrow M$  be a 1-parameter group  $\mathbb{R}$ -equivariant vector bundle over a  $d \geq 2$  dimensional globally hyperbolic standard stationary spacetime  $(M, g, \Xi)$  having a compact Cauchy hypersurface  $(\Sigma, h)$  without boundary. This means that the following diagram commutes

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \Xi_t^* E & \xrightarrow{\hat{\Xi}_t} & E \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ M & \xrightarrow{\Xi_t} & M \end{array}$$

FIGURE 1. Group actions on the vector bundle and the spacetime.

where the spacetime isometry  $M \ni x \mapsto \Xi_t(x) \in M$  and the fibrewise canonical isomorphism  $\hat{\Xi}_t : (\Xi_t^* E)_x \rightarrow E_{\Xi_t(x)}$  are defined for all  $t \in \mathbb{R}$ , and that the spacetime manifold  $M := \mathbb{R} \times \Sigma$  and the spacetime metric  $g$  splits non-uniquely as

$$g_{(x', x'')} := \beta^2 dx''^2 - h(dx'' + \alpha dx', dx'' + \alpha dx'), \quad \forall (x', x'') \in \mathbb{R} \times \Sigma \quad (1)$$

<sup>1</sup>We do not require Einstein's field equations to be satisfied.

<sup>2</sup>Global existence of a Lorentz metric depends on the topology of  $M$ . In particular, such a metric exists in all non-compact manifolds and compact manifolds with vanishing Euler characteristics.

<sup>3</sup>A spin-structure always exists locally but its global existence depends on some higher orientability property of the base manifold. For example, spin<sup>c</sup> and spin structures exist if and only if the third integral and the second Stiefel-Whitney class of spacetime vanish, respectively.

where  $h$  is a Riemannian metric on the compact Cauchy hypersurface  $\Sigma$ ,  $x'$  is a Cauchy temporal function,  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  are shift and lapse functions.

We consider any Dirac-type operators  $D$  on  $E$  subjected to the following assumptions

- (a)  $E$  is endowed with a sesquilinear form  $(\cdot|\cdot)$  such that  $D$  is symmetric;
- (b) Given an arbitrary but fixed future directed and timelike covector field  $\zeta$  on  $M$ , there exists a  $\zeta$ -dependent fibrewise positive-definitive hermitian form

$$\langle \cdot | \cdot \rangle := (\sigma_D(\zeta) \cdot | \cdot) \quad (2)$$

on the Clifford module bundle  $(E \rightarrow M, (\cdot|\cdot), \sigma_D)$  induced by the principal symbol  $\sigma_D$  of  $D$ ;

- (c)  $D$  commutes with  $\Xi_t^*$  on any stationary spacetime  $(M, g, \Xi)$ .

Our first finding is that the distributional trace  $\text{Tr } U_t$  of the Cauchy evolution operator  $U_t$  of  $D$  determines the Lorentzian length spectrum in the sense described below.

**Proposition 1.1.** *Let  $(E \rightarrow M, (\cdot|\cdot), \sigma_D)$  be a bundle of Clifford module over a spatially compact globally hyperbolic spacetime  $(M, g, \Xi)$ , endowed with a sesquilinear form  $(\cdot|\cdot)$  on  $E$ , and  $\sigma_D$  is the principal symbol of any Dirac-type operator  $D$  acting on the smooth sections of  $E$ . If  $U_t$  is the Cauchy evolution operator of  $D$  then under the aforementioned assumptions, the trace  $\text{Tr } U_t$  is a distribution on  $\mathbb{R}$  and its singular support is contained in the set  $\{0\} \cup \mathcal{P}$  where  $\mathcal{P}$  is the set of periodic orbits of the Killing flow  $\Xi$ .*

The following result provides the precise characterisation of  $\text{Tr } U_t$  in terms of Lagrangian distributions (see (18) and references therein) and its singularity structure at trivial periods.

**Theorem 1.2.** *As in the set up of Proposition 1.1: let  $d-1$  be the dimension of any Cauchy hypersurface  $(\Sigma, h)$  and  $\mathcal{N}_K$  is the constant  $K$  energy surface of the Hamiltonian  $H$  (see (36)) on lightlike geodesic strips. Then  $\text{Tr } U_t$  is the Lagrangian distribution  $I^{d-7/4}(\mathbb{R}, C_{\text{Tr } U_T})$  where the canonical relation is given by*

$$C_{\text{Tr } U_t} := \left\{ (t, \tau) \in \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}_{<0} \mid \exists \gamma \in \mathcal{N}_{\text{unp}} : \Xi_t^{\mathcal{N}_{\text{unp}}}(\gamma) = \gamma, \tau = -H(\gamma) \right\} \quad (3)$$

where  $\Xi_t^{\mathcal{N}_{\text{unp}}}$  is the induced Killing flow on the space of unparametrised lightlike geodesic strips  $\mathcal{N}_{\text{unp}}$ . Furthermore,

$$(\text{Tr } U_0)(t) = u_0(t) + v_0(t) \quad (4)$$

where  $v_0$  is a distribution which is smooth in the vicinity of  $t = 0$  and  $u_0$  is a homogeneous Lagrangian distribution admitting the following singularity expansion around  $t = 0$ :

$$u_0(t) \sim (d-1)r \frac{\text{vol}(\mathcal{N}_{\leq 1})}{(2\pi)^{d-1}} \mu_{d-1}(t) + c_2 \mu_{d-2}(t) + \dots, \quad r := \text{rk } E \int_{\Sigma} g_x(\eta, \zeta) \, \text{d}v_h(x) \quad (5)$$

for any  $\eta \in \dot{T}_0^* M_{\Sigma}$  and  $\zeta$  as in Assumption (b) restricted to  $\Sigma$ . Here,  $c_2$  is some constant and the oscillatory integral  $\mu_k$  is defined by

$$\mu_k(t) := \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{-it\tau} |\tau|^{k-1} \, \text{d}\tau. \quad (6)$$

In order to investigate the asymptotic behaviour of an orbit in the vicinity of a closed orbit we introduce the Poincaré map  $P_{(x, \xi)}$  on a local symplectic section  $S \subset \mathcal{N}_K$  transversal to  $\Xi_T^{\mathcal{N}}$  at any given  $(x_0, \xi^0)$  belonging to the set of periodic points of  $\Xi_t^{\mathcal{N}_{\text{unp}}}$ . Let  $W$  be an open neighbourhood of  $(x_0, \xi^0)$  in the returning subset of  $S$  and,  $\tau : W \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$  and  $\Theta : W \rightarrow S$

are the first return time function and the first return mapping associated with  $S$ . Then the Poincaré mapping is defined as the differential of the first return map at  $(x_0, \xi^0)$ :

$$P_{(x_0, \xi^0)} := d\Theta_{(x_0, \xi^0)} : T_{(x_0, \xi^0)}W \rightarrow T_{(x_0, \xi^0)}S. \quad (7)$$

Since the conjugacy class of the Poincaré map is independent of  $K$  and  $(x_0, \xi^0)$ , we set (see e.g. [41])

**Definition 1.3.** The Poincaré map of any periodic orbit  $\gamma$  is defined by

$$\det(I - P_\gamma) := \det(I - P_{(x_0, \xi^0)}), \quad \forall (x_0, \xi^0) \in \gamma. \quad (8)$$

An orbit  $\gamma$  is called non-degenerate if 1 is not an eigenvalue of its Poincaré map, i.e., when  $\det(I - P_\gamma)$  is non-zero.

To state our next finding, recall that  $D$  and  $g$  determine a unique Weitzenböck connection  $\nabla$  on  $E$  (see e.g. [6, Prop. 3.1]), which in turn induces a covariant derivative on the induced bundle over the cotangent manifold along the Hamiltonian vector field generated by  $\sigma_D$ . The respective connection is known as the Dencker connection which has been geometrically formalised by the notion of the  $D$ -compatible connection [26].

**Definition 1.4.** Let  $(E \rightarrow M, \nabla)$  be a vector bundle endowed with a connection  $\nabla$  and let  $\pi : \dot{T}^*M \rightarrow M$  be the punctured cotangent bundle over a manifold  $M$ . Suppose that  $P$  is a pseudodifferential operator on  $E$  whose principal symbol  $p$  is considered as an element of  $C^\infty(\dot{T}^*M; \text{End}(\pi^*E))$  and that the Hamiltonian vector field  $X_p$  generated by  $p$  is non-zero. A connection  $\nabla$  will be called  $P$ -compatible if and only if

$$\sigma_P^{\text{sub}}(x, \xi) = \Gamma(\pi_*(X_p))(x, \xi), \quad \forall (x, \xi) \in \text{Char}(P), \quad (9)$$

where  $\sigma_P^{\text{sub}}$  and  $\text{Char}(P)$  are the subprincipal symbol and the characteristic set of  $P$ , respectively and  $\Gamma$  is the connection 1-form of  $\nabla$ . In other words,  $\nabla$  induces the covariant derivative

$$\nabla_{X_p}^{\text{End}(\pi^*E)} = X_p + i\Gamma(\pi_*(X_p)) \quad (10)$$

on the bundle  $\text{End}(\pi^*E) \rightarrow \dot{T}^*M$  along  $X_p$ .

By  $\text{Hol}_{(x, \xi)} := C_{\text{Hol}}^\infty(\dot{T}^*M; \text{End}(\pi^*E))$ , we will denote the sections of  $\text{End}(\pi^*E) \rightarrow \dot{T}^*M$  invariant under the holonomy group with the base point  $(x, \xi) \in \dot{T}^*M$  of parallel transporters  $\mathcal{T}_{(x, \xi)}$  corresponding a  $D$ -compatible Weitzenböck connection on  $E \rightarrow M$ .

With all these preparations, our analysis on the singularity structure of the Duistermaat-Guillemin-Gutzwiller trace formula for non-trivial periods yields

**Theorem 1.5.** *As in the set up of Proposition 1.1 and Theorem 1.2: if the set  $\mathcal{P}_T$  (see (37b)) of periodic points of  $\Xi_T^{\mathcal{N}_{\text{unp}}}$  having discrete periods  $T$  is a finite union of non-degenerate periodic orbits  $\gamma$  then*

$$\text{Tr } U_T = \sum_{\gamma: T_\gamma=T} u_\gamma(t) + v_T \quad (11)$$

where  $v_T$  is a distribution that is smooth in the vicinity of  $t = T$  and  $u_\gamma$  are Lagrangian distributions having singularities at  $t = T$  with the asymptotic expansion

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow T} u_\gamma(t) \sim \frac{1}{2\sqrt{i}} \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{\frac{3}{4}}} \left( \frac{|\tau|}{2\pi i} \right)^{d-2} \int_{F_T} \text{tr} \left( \sigma_D(x, \xi) \mathcal{T}_{(x, \xi)} \sigma_D(x, \zeta) \right) \frac{e^{-i\pi \frac{m}{2}} |dT| \otimes |d\tau|^{\frac{1}{2}}}{\sqrt{|\det(I - P_\gamma)|}} + \dots \quad (12)$$

where  $\mathbf{m}$  and  $P_\gamma$  are the Maslov index and the Poincaré map of  $\gamma$ ,  $\mathcal{T}_{(x,\xi)} \in \text{Hol}_{(x,\xi)}$ , and  $F_T$  is the fixed point set of the induced Killing flow

$$F_T := \left\{ \gamma \in \mathcal{N}_{\text{unp}} \mid \Xi_T^{\mathcal{N}_{\text{unp}}}(\gamma) = \gamma \right\}. \quad (13)$$

Note, the Maslov index  $\mathbf{m}$  is essentially the Conley-Zehnder index of  $\gamma$  [32] and the factor  $e^{-i\pi\mathbf{m}/2}$  is often known as the Maslov factor.

As a consequence, we report a Weyl law for the growth of the spectrum of  $L$  in  $\text{Char}(D)$  by introducing the Weyl eigenvalue counting function<sup>4</sup>

$$\mathbf{N}(\lambda) := \#\{n \mid 0 \leq \lambda_n \leq \lambda\}. \quad (14)$$

**Corollary 1.6** (Weyl law). *As in the setup of Proposition 1.1: the Weyl eigenvalue counting function has the asymptotics*

$$\mathbf{N}(\lambda) = \frac{\lambda^{d-1}}{(2\pi)^{d-1}} \frac{r}{2} \text{vol}(\mathcal{N}_{\leq 1}) + O(\lambda^{d-2}) \quad (15)$$

as  $\lambda \rightarrow \infty$ . Here  $r$  and  $\mathcal{N}_K$  are as defined in Theorem 1.2.

In the future, several generalisations have been planned. For example, we intend to address the spectral asymptotics on stationary black holes and explore the semi-classical regime. We also wish to extend the study for Hodge-d'Alambertians and connect with interesting applications on relativistic quantum chaos on curved spacetimes.

**1.2. Convention.** Throughout the paper,  $M$  denotes a smooth manifold and  $(M, g)$  a spacetime, i.e., an oriented and time-oriented smooth manifold with a Lorentzian metric  $g$  with signature  $+\dots-$ . Following standard convention  $\Xi_t : M \rightarrow M$  will be termed as the Killing flow on a stationary spacetime  $(M, g, \Xi)$ . On any product manifold  $M \times M$ ,  $\Delta M$  is to be understood as the diagonal to  $M \times M$ . Let  $\mathbb{T}^*M$  be the cotangent bundle of  $M$ . Then its zero-covector removed part, i.e., the punctured cotangent bundle is denoted by  $\dot{\mathbb{T}}^*M$ . By  $\dot{\mathbb{T}}_0^*M$ , we mean the bundle of lightlike covectors on any spacetime  $(M, g)$ . Given smooth local coordinates  $(U, (x^1, \dots, x^d))$  on  $U \subset M$ ,  $(\mathbb{T}U, (x^1, \dots, x^d, e_1, \dots, e_d))$  and  $(\mathbb{T}^*U, (x^1, \dots, x^d, \varepsilon^1, \dots, \varepsilon^d))$  denote frames on the tangent and the cotangent bundles. If  $E \rightarrow M$  be a vector bundle of rank  $\text{rk } E$  over a smooth manifold  $M$  then  $E_\Sigma \rightarrow \Sigma$  is its restriction to any submanifold  $\Sigma$  of  $M$ ; unless stated otherwise  $E$  is always assumed to be complex and smooth. Any sesquilinear form  $(\cdot | \cdot)$  on  $E$  is presumed to be anti-linear in its first argument.

Smooth and compactly supported smooth sections of  $E$  are denoted by  $C^\infty(M; E)$  and  $C_c^\infty(M; E)$ , and their topological duals are given by  $\mathcal{E}'(M; E)$  and  $\mathcal{D}'(M; E)$ , respectively. On a non-metric manifold  $M$ , sections of a vector bundle  $E \rightarrow M$  have to be understood as halfdensity-valued objects, i.e.:  $C^\infty(M; E) := C^\infty(M; \Omega^{1/2} \otimes E)$  and  $\mathcal{D}'(M; E) := \mathcal{D}'(M; \Omega^{1/2} \otimes E) := (C^\infty(M; \Omega^{1/2} \otimes E^*))'$  where  $\Omega^{1/2} \rightarrow M$  is the bundle of halfdensities. We will use the natural Lorentzian volume element  $\text{dv}_g$  to identify sections of  $E$  with sectional densities when  $(M, g)$  is a spacetime. In this case, additionally we define the space of spatially compact smooth section  $C_{\text{sc}}^\infty(M; E)$  as the set of all  $u \in C^\infty(M; E)$  for which there exists a compact subset  $K$  of  $M$  such that  $\text{supp}(u) \subset J(K)$  where  $J(K) := J^+(K) \cup J^-(K)$  and  $J^\pm(K)$  are the causal future(past) of  $K$ ; as a vector space  $C_{\text{sc}}^\infty(M; E) \subset C^\infty(M; E)$  [3, Not. 3.4.5].  $C^\infty(M)$  denotes the set of complex-valued smooth functions on  $M$ , unless stated otherwise.

<sup>4</sup>One can define it with negative eigenvalues as well.

Our Hamiltonian of the geodesic flow  $\Phi_s$  is defined with a  $1/2$  factor<sup>5</sup>, i.e.,

$$H_g : C^\infty(M; T^*M) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}, \quad (x, \xi) \mapsto H_g(x, \xi) := \frac{1}{2}g_x(\xi, \xi). \quad (16)$$

By abuse of notation, the restriction of the geodesic flow on the set of lightlike covectors  $\mathring{T}_0^*M$  is denoted by the same symbol  $\Phi_s$ .

The principal symbol of a normally hyperbolic operator  $\square$  on  $E$  is given by  $\sigma_\square(\xi) := g(\xi, \xi) \mathbb{1}_{\text{End}(E)}$  for any covector field  $\xi$  on  $M$ , i.e., after local trivialisation and coordination:

$$\square = -g^{ij} \partial_i \partial_j + \text{lower order terms}, \quad i, j = 1, 2, \dots, \dim M \quad (17)$$

where Einstein's summation convention has been used. We will only use polyhomogeneous symbol class for Fourier integral operators and use the convention<sup>6</sup> as in Hörmander's treatises [24, 25]. That is, a Fourier integral operator  $A : C_c^\infty(N; F) \rightarrow \mathcal{D}'(M; E)$  of order  $m \in \mathbb{R}$  associated with any closed-conic canonical relation (see, e.g. [24, Def. 21.2.12])  $C \subset \mathring{T}^*(M \times N)$  is a continuous linear operator whose Schwartz kernel  $\mathbf{A}$  is the Lagrangian distribution  $I^m(M \times N, C'; \text{Hom}(F, E))$  where  $F \rightarrow N$  is a smooth complex vector bundle over a smooth manifold  $N$  (see, e.g. [25, Def. 25.2.1]). Locally,  $\mathbf{A} = \sum_\alpha \mathbf{A}^\alpha$  with locally finite  $\text{supp}(\mathbf{A}^\alpha)$  and for each  $\alpha$ ,  $\mathbf{A}^\alpha$  can be expressed as an  $\text{rk } E \times \text{rk } F$  matrix of elements  $\mathbf{A}_k^r$  given by the oscillatory integral (see, e.g. [25, Prop. 25.1.5'])

$$\mathbf{A}_k^r = (2\pi)^{-(\dim M + \dim N + 2n - 2e)/4} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} d\theta e^{i\varphi(x, y, \theta)} \mathbf{a}_k^r(x, y, \theta) \quad (18)$$

where  $\varphi$  is a clean phase function (see, e.g. [24, Def. 21.2.15]) with excess  $e$  and  $\mathbf{a}_k^r$  is a symbol of order  $m + (\dim M + \dim N - 2n - 2e)/4$  such that (see e.g. [24, Def. 18.1.5])

$$\mathbf{a}_k^r \sim a_k^r \chi + \sum_{l \in \mathbb{N}} a_{k,l}^r \chi_l \quad (19)$$

where  $\sim$  denotes the asymptotic summation (see, e.g. [24, Prop. 18.1.3]),  $a$  and  $a_l$  are  $\text{rk } E \times \text{rk } F$  matrices of positively homogeneous functions of  $\theta$  of top-degree and degree  $m + (\dim M + \dim N - 2n - 2e)/4 - l$ , respectively when  $|\theta| > 1$ , and  $\chi, \chi_l$  are smooth functions of  $\theta$  vanishing identically near  $\theta = 0$  with  $\chi(\theta) = \chi_l(\theta) = 1$  if  $|\theta| \geq 1$ . The principal symbol  $\sigma_\mathbf{A}$  of  $\mathbf{A}$  is locally the matrix of elements (see, e.g. [25, Prop. 25.1.5])

$$\sigma_{\mathbf{A}_k^r} = a_k^r \frac{e^{i\pi \text{sgn} \Phi / 4}}{\sqrt{|\det \Phi|}} \sqrt{|\text{dv}_C|} \otimes |\text{dv}_\mathbb{M}|, \quad \Phi := \begin{pmatrix} \frac{\partial^2 \varphi}{\partial x \partial x} & \frac{\partial^2 \varphi}{\partial x \partial y} & \frac{\partial^2 \varphi}{\partial x \partial \theta} \\ \frac{\partial^2 \varphi}{\partial y \partial x} & \frac{\partial^2 \varphi}{\partial y \partial y} & \frac{\partial^2 \varphi}{\partial y \partial \theta} \\ \frac{\partial^2 \varphi}{\partial \theta \partial x} & \frac{\partial^2 \varphi}{\partial \theta \partial y} & \frac{\partial^2 \varphi}{\partial \theta \partial \theta} \end{pmatrix} \quad (20)$$

when  $\varphi$  is a non-degenerate phase function,  $\text{dv}_C$ , and  $\text{dv}_\mathbb{M}$  are natural densities on  $C$  and on the Keller-Maslov bundle  $\mathbb{M} \rightarrow C$ ; for a clean phase function the expression is a bit complicated and available in, for instance [25, (25.1.4')]. We refer the exposition [26, Sec. 2.1 and App. A] for details. In the context of principal symbols,  $\equiv$  is meant to be modulo the Keller-Maslov

<sup>5</sup>This has been chosen so that the relativistic Hamiltonian flow is identical to the geodesic flow. As a consequence, the principal symbol for a d'Alembertian differs by a factor of  $1/2$  from that computed by Duistermaat-Hörmander [17, Thm. 6.6.1] (see, e.g. [41, Rem. 7.2]).

<sup>6</sup>In particular, our convention is identical to that used by Strohmaier-Zelditch [41, Sec. 7] but slightly different from Duistermaat-Guillemin [16, Sec. 7].

density, i.e.,  $\sigma_\lambda \equiv a e^{i\pi \operatorname{sgn} \Phi/4} / |\det \Phi|^{1/2} |\operatorname{dv}_C|^{1/2}$  (cf. (20)). The Fourier transform  $\mathcal{F}(u)$  of any  $u \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^d, dx)$  is

$$\mathcal{F}(u) := \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} e^{-ix \cdot \theta} u \, dx \quad \text{and} \quad u = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^d} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} e^{ix \cdot \theta} \mathcal{F}(u) \, d\theta \quad (21)$$

whenever  $\mathcal{F}(u)$  is integrable and here  $\cdot$  is either the Euclidean or the Minkowski inner product depending on the context.

We will use  $[\cdot, \cdot]_+$  for the anticommutator bracket and  $[\cdot, \cdot]_-$  for the commutator.

**1.3. Proof strategy.** We divide the description in several steps to give a panorama view.

**1.3.1. Trace formula.** We begin with the existence of Cauchy restriction map (see (42)) to make use of the fact that any smooth global solution (see (45)) of the Dirac equation can be obtained from an initial data on any spacelike Cauchy hypersurface. This is obtained by the causal propagator  $G$  of the Dirac-type operator  $D$  (see Lemma 2.2).

Next, the global Killing (time) flow  $\Xi_t$  is exploited to foliate  $M$  by Cauchy hypersurfaces  $\Sigma_t$ . The Cauchy evolution map  $U_t$  (see (48)) then relates the Cauchy data on  $\Sigma := \Sigma_0$  to that on  $\Sigma_t$ . Presuming the global commutativity of the induced Killing flow  $\Xi_t^*$  with  $D$  (see Assumption (c)), we pull-back the Cauchy data on  $\Sigma_t$  to  $\Sigma$  via  $\Xi_{-t}^*$  and identify  $U_t$  with this evolution which allows us to represent its Schwartz kernel  $\mathbf{U}_t(x, y) \, dt \otimes \sqrt{\operatorname{dv}_h}(x) \otimes \sqrt{\operatorname{dv}_h}(y)$  as a Lagrangian distribution (see (63)) deploying  $G$  and the restriction operator (see Appendix B) corresponding to the inclusion  $\Sigma \hookrightarrow M$ .

Finally, we consider smoothed-out Cauchy evolution map  $U_\rho := \int_{\mathbb{R}} U_t \rho(t) dt$  whose Schwartz kernel  $\mathbf{U}_\rho(x, y) \sqrt{\operatorname{dv}_h}(x) \otimes \sqrt{\operatorname{dv}_h}(y)$  is smooth for any compactly supported function  $\rho$  on  $\mathbb{R}$ , and set

$$\operatorname{Tr} U_\rho := \int_{\Sigma} \operatorname{tr} (\mathbf{U}_\rho(x, x)) \, \operatorname{dv}_h(x) \quad (22)$$

to accomplish Theorem 3.1, where  $\operatorname{tr}$  denotes the endomorphism trace, i.e., pointwise trace of  $\mathbf{U}_\rho(x, x) \in \operatorname{End}(E)_x$ .

**1.3.2. Spectral theory.** The kernel of  $D$  admits a Hilbert space structure ( $\ker D$ , (43)) so the spectral analysis of the modified Lie derivative  $L$  (35) on  $\ker D$  is essentially the standard spectral theory of a compact selfadjoint operator on a Hilbert space.

**1.3.3. Principal symbol of  $\operatorname{Tr}(U_t)$ .** In order to compute the principal symbol  $\sigma_{\operatorname{Tr}(U_t)}$  of  $\operatorname{Tr} U_t$ , we express the mapping  $\mathbf{U}_t(x, y) \mapsto \mathbf{U}_t(x, x)$  as the pull-back  $\Delta^*$  of the diagonal embedding  $\Delta : \mathbb{R} \times \Sigma$  followed by the push-forward  $\pi_*$  of the Cartesian projector  $\pi : \mathbb{R} \times \Sigma \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ , representing integration over the Cauchy hypersurface  $\Sigma$ . The composition of Fourier integral operators then results  $\sigma_{\operatorname{Tr}(U_t)}$  when the clean intersection condition is fulfilled.

**1.3.4. Weyl law.** There are a number of approaches to derive the Weyl law (see e.g. the review [27]). Amongst those, we will use the Fourier-Tauberian argument [22] (see also [36] and references therein). The key idea is to relate the Weyl counting function with  $\operatorname{Tr} U_t$  via the distributional Fourier transform

$$\frac{dN}{d\lambda} = \mathcal{F}_{t \rightarrow \lambda}^{-1}(\operatorname{Tr} U_t) \quad (23)$$

and compute the right hand side using the express for  $\operatorname{Tr} U_0$  from Theorem 1.2.

**1.4. Organisation of the paper.** We fix conventions once and for all in the next subsection and then accumulate pertinent literature. Section 2 provides the geometric setup of this article where we have briefly collected the rudimentary backgrounds on globally hyperbolic stationary spacetime and on Dirac-type operators with its causal propagator and Cauchy problem. Derivation of  $\text{Tr} U_t$  and the spectral theory of  $L$  have been presented in Section 3 and in Section 4, respectively. The announced results on singularity analysis of  $\text{Tr}(U_t)$  have been proven in Section 5 where we have computed the principal symbol of  $\text{Tr}(U_t)$  for trivial and for periodic orbits. The key technical tool of this computation is the composition of Fourier integral operators which has been reviewed in Appendix A for the convenience of readers. To maintain a smooth flow of the main text, we have placed the restriction map on a vector bundle in Appendix B and the causal propagator of a Dirac-type operator in Appendix C.

**1.5. Literature and novelty of the proof.** The field of semiclassical trace formulae stems from the study of Green's operator of a Schrödinger operator in the limit of vanishing Planck's constant by Gutzwiller [21]. This seminal work suffers from rigour; see for instance, the review [34] for a scrutinised discussion of his original idea in quantum field theoretic setting. A number of mathematically diligent proofs (see e.g., the expository articles [46, 47] and references therein) have been reported since then. Amongst these, Chazarain [12, 13] and Duistermaat-Guillemin [16] deployed global Fourier integral operators to derive the complete singularity structure of the wave-trace in the ultrastatic spacetime. We refer the monographs [25, Ch. XXIX] and [20, Chap. 11] for details.

Generalisation of Gutzwiller's work for spin Dirac operators on Minkowski spacetime is due to Bolte and Keppeler [9, 10] (see also the elucidating articles [7, 8] and references therein for chronological developments). In contemporary to their reports, mathematical rigour analysis has been reported by Sandoval [40] promoting the Duistermaat-Guillemin framework for Dirac-type operators on a closed Riemannian manifold. These studies are closely related to the evaluation of the residues of the eta-invariant by Branson and Gilkey [11] and the behaviour of eigenfunctions of Laplace-type and Dirac-type operators in the high energy limit by Jakobson and Strohmaier [28]. We also refer the work by Chervova *et al.* [14] for an asymptotic spectral analysis of a general elliptic first-order system (see Section 11 of this article for a bibliographic review) and mention the review by Avetisyan *et al.* [2] who have discussed massless Dirac operators on a three dimensional closed Riemannian spin-manifold in the same vein. An in depth investigation of different spectral coefficients of a selfadjoint Laplace-type operator on a hermitian vector bundle over a closed Riemannian manifold has been performed by Li and Strohmaier [30]. In particular, they have obtained the relevant coefficients for Dirac-type operators with a more general bundle endomorphism than in Sandoval [40] (identity endomorphism) and in Branson-Gilkey [11] (scalar endomorphism), and bridged the results by Chervova *et al.* [14] (and their follow up works as mentioned in [30]) with the known heat-trace invariants.

The main and common ingredient of [9, 10, 40] is to determine the time evolution operator by solving the transport equations order by order. Bolte-Keppeler have also identified terms responsible for spin-magnetic and spin-orbit interactions in the semiclassical expression. However, they have finally considered regularised truncated time evolution operator by introduced an energy localisation in order to deal with the continuous spectrum of Dirac Hamiltonian arising due to the non-compact Minkowski spacetime. Such restrictions were absent in the rest of the aforementioned references as they considered closed Riemannian manifolds and utilised the full power of Fourier integral operator theory in contrast to Bolte-Keppeler who



have worked on oscillatory integrals. A novel feature in the lines of research by Vassiliev and his collaborators [2, 14] is the second term of the Weyl law (see the references cited in these papers for earlier works) for which they have deployed the global phase function approach of Fourier integral operators. Analogous results have been achieved by Li-Strohmaier [30] employing different spectral analysis.

Relativistic generalisation of Duistermaat-Guillemin-Gutzwiller trace formula has been initiated by Strohmaier and Zelditch [41, 42] who have considered a globally hyperbolic standard stationary spacetime having compact Cauchy hypersurfaces without boundary and studied d'Alembertian operators commuting with induced Killing flow (see also the review [43]). Their crucial step was to set up a general relativistic description of the classical and the quantum dynamics and advance the Duistermaat-Guillemin framework accordingly. In particular, they have computed the principal symbol of the Cauchy evolution operator using Guillemin's symplectic residue approach at the zero period and tailored the original Duistermaat-Guillemin computation for the non-zero period. Consequently, the Weyl law in the space of lightlike geodesic strips has been reported by them using the standard Fourier-Tauberian argument.

The present article (Theorem 1.2, Theorem 1.5 and Corollary 1.6) can be seen as a Lorentzian generalisation of the investigations by Sandoval [40, Thm. 2.2, Cor. 2.3, Thm. 2.8] and a bundle generalisation of those by Strohmaier-Zelditch [41, Thm. 1.3, Thm. 1.4, Cor. 1.5]. Sandoval has put forwarded Duistermaat-Guillemin [16] in a vector bundle setting whereas Strohmaier-Zelditch have advanced it in the Lorentzian regime. Hence, expressing the Cauchy evolution operator and its trace as Fourier integral operators are the central tools in all these studies - an idea originally due to Duistermaat-Guillemin. However, the stationary spacetime metric (1) mixes space and time coordinates in contrast to the ultrastatic spacetime metric and our proofs are of more geometric fashion than those of Sandoval. Specifically, he has utilised the idea of microlocal diagonalisation (see, e.g. [40, Def. 3.1]) to boil down vector operators as a system of scalar operators to show that the Dirac-wave-kernel is a Lagrangian distribution [40, Thm. 3.2] and computed its principal symbol by solving the system of transport equations order by order, first for the compatible Dirac-type operator and then for the non-compatible one [40, Prop. 5.3] (in particular, his compatible operator is the operator  $\tilde{D}$  for vanishing  $\tilde{U}$  as in Remark 2.1). In contrast, we have deployed the well-posedness of the Cauchy problem to construct the Cauchy evolution operator as a Fourier integral operator (Theorem 3.1) and solved the transport equations for the general Dirac-type operator at one stroke (Appendix C) by employing the  $D$ -compatible connection (Definition 1.4). On the spectral side, both studies enjoy the standard theory of compact operators on Hilbert space but, unlike Sandoval, we have potential and dimension-dependent grading of the spectrum due to the different nature of Majorana conjugation in Lorentzian signature (Theorem 4.1). In a nutshell, the methods pursued in this article are in the vein of their scalar cousins used by Strohmaier-Zelditch [41, 42] yet there are three pivotal differences, as summarised below.

The foremost distinction arises from the bundle structure required by the Dirac-type operators. This affects the principal symbol computation. In particular, the Weyl law gives information about the rank of the vector bundle in addition to the volume law and the holonomy group comes into play for the non-trivial periodic trajectories (Section 5.2), unlike the scalar case.

The next structural difference is due to the nature of sesquilinear forms on  $\ker \square$  and  $\ker D$ , which influences the spectral theory substantially. In general, there is no  $\Xi_t^*$ -invariant positive-definite inner product on  $\ker \square$  and, at best, it is possible to define an invariant

sesquilinear form, finally resulting in a symplectic structure on a Pontryagin space (see, e.g. [41, Sec. 3.2] and references therein). In contrast,  $\ker D$  can be naturally given a hermitian structure by equipping with an invariant positive-definite hermitian form  $\langle \cdot | \cdot \rangle$  ((43)) induced from the sesquilinear form  $(\cdot | \cdot)$  on  $E \rightarrow M$  and existence of a future-directed global timelike covector field on spacetime manifold  $M$ . It is noteworthy that the non-definiteness of  $(\cdot | \cdot)$  is a characteristic feature of any Lorentzian spin-manifold: a positive-definite  $(\cdot | \cdot)$  invariant under the spin group only exists for the Riemannian case.

Finally, a bundle conjugation is intricate than the complex conjugation for scalars because a complex vector bundle admits a conjugation operator if and only if it is the complexification of a real vector bundle. Therefore, it is only possible to study the spectrum under such a conjugation when one considers certain spin-Dirac operators.

#### ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

The author is indebted to Alexander Strohmaier for suggesting and supervising this problem as his PhD project. He also acknowledges Benjamin Sharp for commenting on the presentation of this manuscript and Yan-Long Fang for his comments on [2]. OI is supported by the Leeds International Doctoral Studentship.

## 2. THE SETUP

**2.1. Globally hyperbolic stationary spacetime.** Let  $(M, g)$  be a  $d \geq 2$  dimensional globally hyperbolic spacetime. This means that  $M$  is topologically isomorphic to the product manifold  $\mathbb{R} \times \Sigma$  where  $\Sigma$  is a Cauchy hypersurface, and geometrically  $g$  is isomorphic to  $\beta dx'^2 - h_t$  where  $\beta \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R} \times \Sigma, \mathbb{R}_{>0})$  is a lapse function,  $x' \in C^\infty(M, \mathbb{R})$  is a Cauchy temporal function, and  $(h_t)_t$  is a 1-parameter of smooth Riemannian matrices on  $\Sigma$ . A stationary spacetime  $(M, g, \mathcal{E})$ , by definition, is an oriented and a timeoriented Lorentzian manifold  $(M, g)$  admitting a global timelike Killing flow  $\mathcal{E} : \mathbb{R} \times M \rightarrow M$ . This flow allows a non-unique canonical local splitting of spacetime metric; cf. (1). However, not all stationary spacetimes are globally hyperbolic. We refer review [39] and the original references therein for different equivalent characterisations of global hyperbolicity and the necessary and sufficient conditions for a stationary spacetime to be globally hyperbolic.

**2.2. Dirac-type operators.** Suppose that  $(E \rightarrow M, (\cdot | \cdot))$  is a vector bundle endowed with a sesquilinear form  $(\cdot | \cdot)$  over a spacetime  $(M, g)$  and that  $D$  is a Dirac-type operator on  $E$ , symmetric with respect to  $(\cdot | \cdot)$  (cf. Section 1.1 Assumption (a)). In other words,  $D$  is a first order linear differential operator densely defined on  $C^\infty(M; E)$  whose principal symbol  $\sigma_D$  satisfies the Clifford relation  $\sigma_D(\xi)^2 = g(\xi, \xi) \mathbb{1}_{\text{End}(E)}$  for any covector field  $\xi$  on  $M$  and is selfadjoint with respect to  $(\cdot | \cdot)$ . Therefore,  $D^2$  is a normally hyperbolic operator and by the polarisation identity (see e.g. [4, Rem. 2.19])

$$[\sigma_D(x, \xi), \sigma_D(x, \eta)]_+ = 2g_x(\xi, \eta) \mathbb{1}_{\text{End}(E)_x}, \quad \forall \xi, \eta \in C^\infty(M; T^*M). \quad (24)$$

Moreover,  $\sigma_D$  defines a Clifford action of  $T^*M$  on  $E$  by

$$\sigma_D(df) := i[D, f]_-, \quad \forall f \in C^\infty(M) \quad (25)$$

which turns  $(E \rightarrow M, (\cdot | \cdot))$  into a bundle of Clifford module  $(E \rightarrow M, (\cdot | \cdot), \sigma_D)$ . Furthermore, (25) gives the Leibniz rule for  $D$  and the Clifford mapping (24) defines the (pointwise) Clifford multiplication

$$c(\xi \otimes u) := \sigma_D(\xi)(u), \quad \forall \xi \in C^\infty(M; T^*M), \forall u \in C^\infty(M; E). \quad (26)$$

By the Weitzenböck formula (see, e.g. [6, Prop. 3.1]), given a Dirac-type operator  $D$  on any vector bundle  $(E \rightarrow M, (\cdot|\cdot))$ , there exists a unique  $(\cdot|\cdot)$ -compatible connection  $\nabla$  on  $E$ , called the Weitzenböck connection, and a unique potential  $V \in C^\infty(M; \text{End}(E))$  such that

$$D^2 = \square + V, \quad \square := -\text{tr}_g((\nabla^{\text{LC}} \otimes \mathbb{1}_E + \mathbb{1}_{T^*M} \otimes \nabla) \circ \nabla), \quad (27)$$

where  $\square$  is the connection-d'Alembertian,  $\text{tr}_g : C^\infty(M; T^*M \otimes T^*M) \rightarrow C^\infty(M)$  denotes the metric trace:  $\text{tr}_g((x, \xi) \otimes (x, \eta)) := g_x(\xi, \eta)$  and  $\nabla^{\text{LC}}$  is the Levi-Civita connection on  $M$ . The most general Dirac-type operator on a Clifford module  $(E \rightarrow M, (\cdot|\cdot), \sigma_D)$  then has the form

$$D = -i\text{c} \circ \nabla + U \quad (28)$$

where the potential term  $U \in C^\infty(M; \text{End}(E))$  is defined by the preceding equation. Our assumption (cf. Section 1.1 Assumption (a)) entails that  $-i\nabla_X$  and  $U$  are symmetric with respect to  $(\cdot|\cdot)$ .

Let  $\pi : T^*M \rightarrow M$  be the cotangent bundle. Then  $\nabla$  induces a connection  $\nabla^{\text{End}(\pi^*E)}$  on the algebra bundle  $\text{End}(\pi^*E) \rightarrow T^*M$ , which is not, in general, a Clifford connection as can be seen readily by taking covariant derivative of (24) with respect to this connection and properties of the Levi-Civita covariant derivative. In particular, one can always locally choose an orthonormal frame  $\{e_i\}$  and its coframe  $\{\varepsilon^i\}$  on  $M$ , and express  $D = -i\mathcal{V} + U$  where  $\mathcal{V} := \sigma_D(\varepsilon^i)\nabla_{e_i}$ . A straightforward computation yields

$$D^2 = \square + V - \left( \mathcal{V}(\sigma_D(\varepsilon^j)) + \mathcal{V}^j + i[U, \sigma_D(\varepsilon^j)]_+ \right) \nabla_{e_j}, \quad V := -\frac{1}{2}\mathcal{R} - i\mathcal{V}(U) + U^2 \quad (29)$$

where  $\mathcal{R}$  and  $\mathcal{V}$  are the Riemann curvature and the Levi-Civita connection 1-form on  $M$  contracted with  $\sigma_D(\varepsilon^i)\sigma_D(\varepsilon^j)$ , respectively. But  $\nabla$  is a Weitzenböck connection, therefore (see [11, Lem. 2.3] in Riemannian setting)

$$\mathcal{V}(\sigma_D(\varepsilon^j)) = -\mathcal{V}^j - i[U, \sigma_D(\varepsilon^j)]_+, \quad \forall j = 1, \dots, d. \quad (30)$$

**Remark 2.1.** A Clifford connection  $\tilde{\nabla}^{\text{End}(\pi^*E)}$ , characterised by

$$[\tilde{\nabla}_X^{\text{End}(\pi^*E)}, \sigma_D(\eta)]_- = \sigma_D(\nabla_X^{\text{LC}}\eta), \quad \forall X \in T_X TM, \forall X \in T_x M, \forall \eta \in T_x^*M \quad (31)$$

always exists and then the Clifford module  $(E \rightarrow M, (\cdot|\cdot), \sigma_D, \tilde{\nabla})$  is called the Dirac module where the most general Dirac-type operator has the form  $\tilde{D} := -i\text{c} \circ \tilde{\nabla} + \tilde{U}$  for all  $\tilde{U} \in C^\infty(M; \text{End}(E))$  such that (cf. (30))

$$[\tilde{U}, \sigma_D(\cdot)]_- = 0. \quad (32)$$

$\tilde{D}$  has the same principal symbol as  $D$  so that they differ only by a smooth term. Since  $\tilde{\nabla}$  is compatible with the Clifford multiplication (26),  $\tilde{D}$  is also sometimes called compatible Dirac-type operator. Note, the spin connections induced by the Levi-Civita connection are examples of a Clifford connection and the corresponding massive spin-Dirac (see e.g. [4, Exm. 2.21]) and twisted spin-Dirac operators (see e.g. [4, Exm. 2.22]) are indeed compatible Dirac-type operators where  $\tilde{U}$  models the mass term.

**2.3. Lie derivative on  $C^\infty(M; E)$ .** Since our spacetime  $(M, g, \Xi)$  has been assumed to equip with a complete Killing flow  $\Xi_t$ , the cotangent lift of  $\Xi_t$  naturally induces a  $\mathbb{R}$  action  $(t; y, \eta) \mapsto (\text{d}\Xi_t)^*(y, \eta) := (\Xi_{-t}(y), \eta \circ (\text{d}\Xi_t)_{\Xi_{-t}(y)})$  on  $T^*M$ .

Furthermore, if  $\hat{\Xi} \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R} \times M, \text{Hom}(\Xi^*E, E))$  be the fibrewise canonical isomorphism along the orbits of  $\Xi$  induced by  $\nabla$  then the pullback  $\Xi^*$  of a section of  $E$  by the morphism

$(\Xi, \hat{\Xi})$  is a Fourier integral operator whose Schwartz kernel (cf. [15, (2.4.4), (2.4.22)] and [24, p. 27])

$$\Xi \in I^{-1/4}(\mathbb{R} \times M \times M, \Gamma'; \text{Hom}(E, \Xi^*E)), \quad (33a)$$

$$\Gamma := \{(t, \tau; x, \xi; y, -\eta) \in \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R} \times \dot{T}^*M \times \dot{T}^*M \mid \tau = -\xi(Z), (x, \xi) = (d\Xi_t)^*(y, \eta)\}, \quad (33b)$$

$$\sigma_{\Xi} = (2\pi)^{1/4} \mathbb{1}_{\text{Hom}(E, \Xi^*E)} |dv_{\Gamma}|^{1/2} \otimes |dv_{\mathbb{L}_{\Gamma}}|. \quad (33c)$$

Here  $dv_{\Gamma}$  is the global density on the graph  $\Gamma$  of  $(d\Xi_t)^*$  obtained from the symplectic form [23, p. 168] on  $\Gamma$ . This density is invariant under the flow  $\Psi_t$  of the vector field generated by the extended Hamiltonian  $\tau + \xi(Z)$  and given by  $dv_{\Gamma} = dt \otimes dx \wedge d\xi$  in the parametrisation (33b). Note,  $\Psi_t$  sweeps out  $\{(0, \tau)\} \times (\Delta \dot{T}^*M)'$  to  $\Gamma$  and the Keller-Maslov bundle  $\mathbb{L}_0$  over any conormal bundle is trivial [16, p. 66]. Then one can construct the Keller-Maslov bundle  $\mathbb{L}_{\Gamma} \rightarrow \Gamma$  by parallelly transporting the sections of  $\mathbb{L}_0$  along the orbits of  $\Psi_t$  (see also, e.g., [31, Sec. 4] and [24, p. 25] for details).

More generally,  $\Xi^*$  can be extended to a sequentially continuous linear map on  $I^m(M, \mathcal{L}; E)$  by

$$\Xi^* : I^m(M, \mathcal{L}; E) \rightarrow I^{m-1/4}(\mathbb{R} \times M, \Gamma' \circ \mathcal{L}; \Xi^*E), \quad \sigma_{\Xi^*u} \equiv \sigma_{\Xi} \circ \sigma_u \quad (34)$$

for any  $u \in I^m(M, \mathcal{L}; E)$ . The composition  $\circ$  of principal symbols is defined in (92) and the equation for principal symbol is in the sense of modulo Keller-Maslov densities.

$\Xi^*$  paves the way to define the Lie derivative  $\mathcal{L}_Z$  on  $E$  with respect to the Killing vector field  $Z$ . We use a slightly modified version of Lie derivative,  $L$ , for convenience:

$$L := -i\mathcal{L}_Z, \quad \mathcal{L}_Z u := \left. \frac{d}{dt} \right|_{t=0} \Xi_t^* u. \quad (35)$$

Note,  $\mathcal{L}_Z$  is essentially a generalisation of the Lichnerowicz spinor Lie derivative for stationary spacetimes which do not necessarily admit a spin structure.

**2.4. Classical dynamics.** The primary tenet of this semiclassical analysis is to connect the relativistic trace formula with its classical dynamics on the characteristic variety of  $D$ ,  $\text{Char}(D)$  which is the lightcone bundle  $\dot{T}_0^*M \rightarrow M$  because Clifford multiplication only by a lightlike covector is nilpotent. On a globally hyperbolic manifold  $(M, g)$ ,  $\dot{T}_0^*M$  is a conic contact manifold where the Hamiltonian  $H_g$  (16) vanishes and its Hamiltonian reduction is the space of scaled-lightlike geodesic strips  $\mathcal{N}$  [35, pp. 10-12], [29, Thm. 2.1]. Speaking in the language of geometric quantisation, the Hilbert space  $\ker D$  is the quantisation of the non-compact conic symplectic manifold  $\mathcal{N}$ . Since both  $\text{Char}(D)$  and  $\text{Char}(\square)$  are actually  $\dot{T}_0^*M$ , the notions of geodesic flow, periodic orbits of the Killing flow and the Poincaré map required for this article are analogous to those constructed for d'Alembertian in [41, p. 5-6] which are adopted here. Owing to the fact that the cotangent lift of Killing flow commutes with the geodesic flow  $\Phi_t$ , it induces a Hamiltonian flow  $\Xi_t^{\mathcal{N}}$  on  $\mathcal{N}$  with the Hamiltonian [41, Lem. 1.1]

$$H : \mathcal{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}, \quad \gamma \mapsto H(\gamma) := \xi(Z), \quad \gamma = \{\Phi_s(x, \xi), \forall s \in \mathbb{R}\}, \quad (36)$$

where the value  $\xi(Z)$  is independent of the cotangent lift  $(x, \xi)$  of any lightlike geodesic on  $M$ . This Hamiltonian is positive and homogeneous of degree 1 as the Killing vector field on spacetime is timelike. Hence, the space of unparametrised lightlike geodesic  $\mathcal{N}_{\text{unp}}$  obtained by taking the quotient of  $\mathcal{N}$  by the  $R_{>0}$ -group action generated by the Euler covector field, can be identified with the constant energy  $K$  surface  $\mathcal{N}_K := \{\gamma \in \mathcal{N} \mid H(\gamma) =: K\}$  for any positive  $K$ . Since,  $\Phi_s^{\mathcal{N}}$  is the  $H$ -flow, it preserves the level sets of  $H$  and thus also acts on  $\mathcal{N}_K$ . Let us

denote the induced geodesic flow on  $\mathcal{N}_{\text{unp}}$  by  $\Phi_s^{\mathcal{N}_{\text{unp}}}$  and define the periods and periodic points of  $\Xi_t^{\mathcal{N}_{\text{unp}}}$  by (see, e.g. [41, (6)])

$$\mathcal{P} := \{T \in \dot{\mathbb{R}} \mid \exists (x_0, \xi^0) \in \mathcal{N}_{\text{unp}} : \Xi_T^{\mathcal{N}_{\text{unp}}}(x_0, \xi^0) = (x_0, \xi^0)\}, \quad (37a)$$

$$\mathcal{P}_T := \{(x_0, \xi^0) \in \mathcal{N}_{\text{unp}} \mid \Xi_T^{\mathcal{N}_{\text{unp}}}(x_0, \xi^0) = (x_0, \xi^0)\}. \quad (37b)$$

Each periodic point  $(x_0, \xi^0)$  of period  $T$  has an associated orbit  $\bigcup_T \Xi_T^{\mathcal{N}_{\text{unp}}}(x_0, \xi^0)$ . We will call such an orbit together with the period  $T$  a periodic orbit  $\gamma$  with period  $T$ . Thus, a periodic orbit  $\gamma$  has an associated period  $T_\gamma$  and an associated primitive period  $T_\gamma^\#$ . If  $(x_0, \xi^0) \in \gamma(\cdot)$  then the primitive period is by definition the smallest non-negative  $T^\#$  such that  $\Xi_{T^\#}^{\mathcal{N}_{\text{unp}}}(x_0, \xi^0) = (x_0, \xi^0)$  and the period  $T$  is an integer multiple of the  $T^\#$ .

Recall that on a standard stationary spacetime, a geodesic  $\gamma : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \times \Sigma$  can be expressed as  $\gamma(s) = (x^1(s), \gamma_\Sigma(s))$  and then a periodic one with period  $T$  means

$$\gamma_\Sigma(s + T) = \gamma_\Sigma(s), \quad \forall s \in \mathbb{R}. \quad (38)$$

The cotangent lift of such periodic curves on  $\mathcal{N}_{\text{unp}}$  are vital for the Duistermaat-Guillemin-Gutzwiller trace formula. As evident, these are periodic lightlike geodesic strips of length  $T$ . We note that the set of  $T$ -periodic curves on  $\mathcal{N}_{\text{unp}}$  is precisely the length spectrum of  $(\Sigma, h)$  for an ultrastatic spacetime. For details, see, for instance [5, 37] and the earlier references cited therein.

**2.5. Green's operators.** A Dirac-type operator  $D$  admits a unique advanced  $G^{\text{adv}}$  and a unique retarded  $G^{\text{ret}}$  Green operators on any globally hyperbolic spacetime  $(M, g)$  [33, Thm. 1] and their antisymmetric combination  $G := G^{\text{ret}} - G^{\text{adv}} : C_c^\infty(M; E) \rightarrow C_{\text{sc}}^\infty(M; E)$  defines the Pauli-Jordan-Lichnerowicz operator, also known as the *causal propagator*. Assumption (a) entails that

$$(G^{\text{adv, ret}} u | v) = (u | G^{\text{adv, ret}} v), \quad \forall u, v \in C_c^\infty(M; E). \quad (39)$$

From Appendix C, we read off

**Lemma 2.2.** *Let  $(E \rightarrow M, (\cdot | \cdot), \sigma_D)$  be a bundle of Clifford module endowed with a sesquilinear form  $(\cdot | \cdot)$  and  $\pi : \dot{\mathbb{T}}^*M \rightarrow M$  be the punctured cotangent bundle, both over a globally hyperbolic spacetime  $(M, g)$  and  $D$  a Dirac-type operator on  $E$  whose principal symbol is denoted by  $\sigma_D$ . The Schwartz kernel of the causal propagator  $G$  of  $D$  is then*

$$\mathbf{G} \in I^{-1/2}(M \times M, \Delta \dot{\mathbb{T}}^*M \cup C'; \text{Hom}(E, E)), \quad (40a)$$

$$C := \{(x, \xi; y, \eta) \in \dot{\mathbb{T}}_0^*M \times \dot{\mathbb{T}}_0^*M \mid \exists! s \in \mathbb{R} : (x, \xi) = \Phi_s(y, \eta)\}, \quad (40b)$$

$$\sigma_G = i \sqrt{\frac{\pi}{2}} w |dv_C|^{1/2} \otimes |dv_{\mathbb{L}_C}|, \quad (40c)$$

where  $\Delta \dot{\mathbb{T}}^*M$  is the diagonal to  $\dot{\mathbb{T}}^*M \times \dot{\mathbb{T}}^*M$  where  $w \in C^\infty(C; \text{Hom}(\pi^*E, \pi^*E)_C)$  is  $\sigma_D$  and off-diagonally it is covariantly constant with respect to the  $D$ -compatible connection (Definition 1.4):

$$\nabla_{X_{\sigma_D}}^{\text{Hom}(\pi^*E, \pi^*E)} w = 0. \quad (41)$$

The natural densities  $dv_C$  on  $C$  and  $dv_{\mathbb{L}_C}$  on the Keller-Maslov bundle  $\mathbb{L}_C \rightarrow C$  are as constructed in Appendix A.1.

If  $(E \rightarrow M, (\cdot|\cdot), \sigma_D, \tilde{\nabla})$  is a Dirac module with the corresponding Dirac-type operator  $\tilde{D}$  then (40) hold true with the replacement of  $\nabla^{\text{End}(\pi^*E)}$  by the  $\tilde{D}$ -compatible connection  $\tilde{\nabla}^{\text{End}(\pi^*E)}$  induced by the Clifford connection  $\tilde{\nabla}$  in (41).

**Remark 2.3.** By Definition 1.4,  $X_{\sigma_D}$  acts on  $C^\infty(\dot{T}^*M; \text{End}(\pi^*E))$ . So (41) has to be interpreted in the sense of the induced Hamiltonian vector field on  $C$  by the vector field  $(X_{\sigma_D}, 0)$  on  $(T^*M \times T^*M)$  [17, Rem. 1, p. 216] (see also [25, Rem. 1, p. 69]).

**2.6. Cauchy problem.** Since  $D^2$  is a normally hyperbolic operator on any globally hyperbolic spacetime, the Cauchy problem for  $D$  is well-posed [33, Thm. 2]. In other words, for an arbitrary but fixed compact Cauchy hypersurface  $\Sigma_t$  of a globally hyperbolic spacetime  $(M, g)$ , the mapping

$$\mathcal{R}_t : \ker D \rightarrow C^\infty(\Sigma_t; E_{\Sigma_t}), \quad u \mapsto \mathcal{R}_t(u) := u|_{\Sigma_t}, \quad \text{supp}(u) \subset J(\text{supp}(u|_{\Sigma_t})) \quad (42)$$

is a homeomorphism, where  $J$  is as defined in Section 1.2. Employing this topological isomorphism,  $\ker D$  can be equipped with a positive definite hermitian inner product (see e.g. [4, Lem. 3.17]):

$$\langle u|v \rangle := \int_{\Sigma} (\mathbf{c}(\zeta \otimes u|_{\Sigma})|v|_{\Sigma})_x \, \text{d}v_h(x) \quad (43)$$

where  $\text{d}v_h$  is the Riemannian volume element on  $(\Sigma, h)$ . We remark that  $\langle u|v \rangle$  is independent of chosen Cauchy hypersurface  $\Sigma$  due to the Green-Stokes formula (see e.g. [4, (9)])

$$\int_{x \in \mathcal{O}} ((Du|v)_x - (u|Dv)_x) \, \text{d}v_g(x) = -i \int_{x' \in \partial \mathcal{O}} (\sigma_D(x', \zeta) u|v)_{x'} \, \text{d}v_{\partial \mathcal{O}}(x') \quad (44)$$

where  $\mathcal{O} \subsetneq M$  having smooth boundary  $\partial \mathcal{O}$  with outward unit normal covector field  $\zeta$  and volume element  $\text{d}v_{\partial \mathcal{O}}$ . Thus,  $(E \rightarrow M, \langle \cdot|\cdot \rangle)$  is a hermitian vector bundle where the hermitian form  $\langle \cdot|\cdot \rangle$  of course depends on  $\zeta$ .

We infer from the preceding equation that, given an initial data  $k$  at any Cauchy hypersurface  $\iota : \Sigma \hookrightarrow M$ , any smooth solution  $u$  of the Dirac equation can be expressed as

$$(u|v) = (-iG \circ (\iota^*)^{-1} \mathbf{c}(\zeta \otimes k)|v), \quad (45)$$

because all the maps in the exact complex

$$0 \rightarrow C_c^\infty(M; E) \xrightarrow{D} C_c^\infty(M; E) \xrightarrow{G} C_{\text{sc}}^\infty(M; E) \xrightarrow{D} C_{\text{sc}}^\infty(M; E) \quad (46)$$

are sequentially continuous as a consequence of  $D$  being a local operator and the following exact complex being sequentially continuous (see e.g. [3, Prop. 3.4.8])

$$0 \rightarrow C_c^\infty(M; E) \xrightarrow{D^2} C_c^\infty(M; E) \xrightarrow{F} C_{\text{sc}}^\infty(M; E) \xrightarrow{D^2} C_{\text{sc}}^\infty(M; E) \quad (47)$$

where  $F, G$  are causal propagators of  $D^2, D$ , respectively and  $\iota^* : C_c^\infty(M; E) \rightarrow C_c^\infty(\Sigma; E_\Sigma)$  is the restriction operator (discussed elaborately in Appendix B).

**2.7. Cauchy evolution map.** On a spatially compact globally hyperbolic spacetime this mapping is defined by

$$U_{t', t} := \mathcal{R}_t \circ (\mathcal{R}_{t'})^{-1} : C^\infty(\Sigma_{t'}; E_{\Sigma_{t'}}) \rightarrow C^\infty(\Sigma_t; E_{\Sigma_t}) \quad (48)$$

which is a homeomorphism and extends to an unitary operator (denoted by the same symbol) on the space of square integrable sections on Cauchy hypersurfaces, i.e.,

$$U_{t', t} : L^2(\Sigma_{t'}; E_{\Sigma_{t'}}) \rightarrow L^2(\Sigma_t; E_{\Sigma_t}) \quad (49)$$

is an isometry.

## 3. TRACE FORMULA

In this section we are going to define the trace of the Cauchy evolution operator (48) of a Dirac-type operator  $D$  on a stationary spacetime in the sense of a distribution following the strategy outlined in Section 1.3. Since it has been assumed that  $D$  commutes with the induced Killing flow (Section 1.1 Assumption (c)), the (modified) Lie derivative (Section 2.3) commutes with  $D$  and

$$L : \ker D \rightarrow \ker D. \quad (50)$$

Thus, the eigensections  $u$  of  $L$  in  $\ker D$  are joint eigensections of

$$Du = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad Lu = \lambda u \quad (51)$$

and the Hilbert space  $(\ker D, (43))$  is invariant under the induced Killing flow and the trace of the Cauchy evolution operator  $U_t$ ,

$$\text{Tr } U_t = \text{Tr } e^{itL} \Big|_{(\ker D, (43))} \quad (52)$$

is defined in

**Theorem 3.1.** *Let  $(M, g, \Xi)$  be a globally hyperbolic stationary spacetime with a compact Cauchy hypersurface  $(\Sigma, h)$ . Suppose that  $(E \rightarrow M, (\cdot, \cdot), \sigma_D)$  is a Clifford module bundle equipped with a sesquilinear form  $(\cdot, \cdot)$  and that  $D$  is a Dirac-type operator on it whose principal symbol and causal propagator's Schwartz kernel are  $\sigma_D$  and  $\mathbb{G}$ , respectively. Then, under the assumptions mentioned in Section 1.1, the smoothed-out Cauchy evolution*

$$U_\rho := \int_{\mathbb{R}} U_t \rho(t) dt : \mathcal{K} \rightarrow \mathcal{K}, \quad \forall \rho \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}) \quad (53)$$

is a trace-class operator on the Hilbert space  $\mathcal{K} := (\ker D, (43))$  and its trace is given by

$$\text{Tr}(U_\rho) = -i \int_{\Sigma} \text{tr} \int_{\mathbb{R}} ((\Xi_{-t}^* \mathbb{G})(x, y) \sigma_D(y', \zeta)) \rho(t) dt|_{x=y} dv_h(x) \quad (54)$$

where  $\Xi_{-t}^* : C^\infty(\mathbb{R} \times \Sigma_t, E) \rightarrow C^\infty(\mathbb{R} \times \Sigma, E)$  is the induced Killing flow and  $\zeta$  is any future-directed timelike covector field on  $M$ . In this article,  $\Xi_{-t}^* \mathbb{G}$  is meant to be the pull-back of  $\mathbb{G}(\cdot, \cdot)$  in the first argument via  $\Xi_{-t}^*$ .

*Proof.* Assumption (c) implies that the hermitian form (43) on  $\ker D$ , the retarded  $G^{\text{ret}}$ , the advanced  $G^{\text{adv}}$  propagators and consequently the causal propagator  $G$ , all are preserved under the action of  $\Xi_t^*$ . In other words, if  $u \in \ker D$  having initial data on any  $\Sigma$  then the same holds true for  $\Xi_t^* u \in \ker D$ . Let us now fix a  $\Sigma$  which picks a global time coordinate  $t$  on  $M$ . Then, the generator of Killing flow  $\Xi_t$  is given by  $\partial_t$  and any other Cauchy hypersurface  $\Sigma_t = \Xi|_{\Sigma_t} \Sigma$  is obtained by the induced Killing flow action  $\Xi|_{\Sigma_t}$  on  $\Sigma_t$ . Thus,  $U_t$  is identified with the evolution of Cauchy data via the induced Killing flow:

$$U_t = \mathcal{R} \circ \Xi_{-t}^* \circ \mathcal{R}^{-1}. \quad (55)$$

We read off  $\mathcal{R}^{-1} = -iG \circ (\iota^*)^{-1} \sigma_D(\zeta)$  by (45) and observe that the wavefront set (40b) of  $\mathbb{G}$  contains only lightlike covectors. Therefore, integration over  $t$  results a smooth Schwartz kernel  $U_\rho(x, y)$  and the expression of  $\text{tr}(U_\rho)$  entails from (22).  $\square$

4. SPECTRAL THEORY OF  $L$  ON  $\ker D$ 

Recall that, by the Stone's theorem, every strongly continuous one parameter unitary group  $\{U_t\}_{t \in \mathbb{R}}$  on a Hilbert space  $\mathcal{H}$  has a unique generator  $A$ , i.e.,  $U_t = e^{-itA}$ . If

$$U(\rho) := \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{-itA} \rho(t) dt, \quad \forall \rho \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}) \quad (56)$$

is a compact operator then the spectrum of  $A$  is discrete and consists of eigenvalues  $\lambda_i$  of finite algebraic multiplicities  $m_i$ . Moreover, if  $U_\rho$  is trace-class then

$$\mathrm{Tr} U_\rho = \sum_i m_i (\mathcal{F}\rho)(\lambda_i) \quad (57)$$

where  $\mathcal{F}\rho$  is the Fourier transform of  $\rho$ .

**Theorem 4.1.** *As in the set up of Proposition 1.1, the spectrum of  $L$  (35) on the Hilbert space  $(\ker D, \langle \cdot | \cdot \rangle)$  (43) is purely discrete and consists of infinitely many real eigenvalues.*

*Proof.* Since  $D$  commutes with induced Killing flow on  $C^\infty(M; E)$  for the entire range of flow parameter and  $U_t$  is the solution operator of  $D$ , the discreteness of the spectrum of  $L$  follows from the fact that  $U(\rho) := \int \exp(itL) \rho(t) dt$  is a trace-class operator for any  $\rho \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R})$  and the real eigenvalues are the consequence of selfadjointness of  $L$  on  $\ker D$ .  $\square$

## 5. PROOF OF THEOREM 1.5

As outlined in Section 1.3, we recall that  $\int \mathrm{tr} (U_t(x, x)) dv_h(x)$  can be expressed as a composition of two Fourier integral operators as described below. We pick an arbitrary but fixed codimension one hypersurface  $\Sigma$  of a manifold  $M$  and let  $\Delta(t; x) := (t; x, x)$  be the diagonal embedding on  $\mathbb{R} \times \Sigma$  with a corresponding fibre map. Then  $\Delta^*$  is a homogeneous Fourier integral operator of order  $(d-1)/4$  associated with the canonical relation (cf. [16, (1.20)])

$$C_{\Delta^*} := \{(t, \tau; x, \xi + \eta; t, \tau; x, \xi; x, \eta) \in \dot{\mathrm{T}}^*\mathbb{R} \times \dot{\mathrm{T}}^*\Sigma \times \dot{\mathrm{T}}^*\mathbb{R} \times \dot{\mathrm{T}}^*\Sigma \times \dot{\mathrm{T}}^*\Sigma\}. \quad (58)$$

Next, we consider the Cartesian projection  $\pi : \mathbb{R} \times \Sigma \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  with the corresponding bundle projection. Then the fibre-integration over  $E_\Sigma$  is equal to the pushforward  $\pi_*$ , a Fourier integral operator of order  $-(d-1)/4$  associated with the canonical relation (cf. [16, (1.22)])

$$C_{\pi^*} := \{(t, \tau; t, \tau; x, 0) \in \dot{\mathrm{T}}^*\mathbb{R} \times \dot{\mathrm{T}}^*\mathbb{R} \times \mathrm{T}^*\Sigma\}. \quad (59)$$

The composition of these two Fourier integral operators is another Fourier integral operator, as summarised in (see [16, Lem. 6.3] for a scalar version)

**Lemma 5.1.** *Let  $E \rightarrow M$  be a smooth complex vector bundle over a manifold  $M \cong \mathbb{R} \times \Sigma$  where  $\Sigma$  is a codimension one submanifold of  $M$ . Suppose that  $\Delta : \mathbb{R} \times \Sigma \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \times \Sigma \times \Sigma$  be the diagonal embedding and that  $\hat{\Delta} : E_{\mathbb{R}} \boxtimes E_\Sigma \boxtimes E_\Sigma \rightarrow E_{\mathbb{R}} \boxtimes E_\Sigma$  a fibrewise Cartesian projector. Let us consider another fibrewise Cartesian projector  $\hat{\pi} : E_{\mathbb{R}} \boxtimes E_\Sigma \rightarrow E_{\mathbb{R}}$  whose base projection is  $\pi : \mathbb{R} \times \Sigma \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ . Then the composition between the pushforward by  $(\pi, \hat{\pi})$  and the pullback by  $(\Delta, \hat{\Delta})$ ,  $\pi_* \circ \Delta^* : C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R} \times \Sigma \times \Sigma; E_{\mathbb{R}} \boxtimes E_\Sigma \boxtimes E_\Sigma) \rightarrow C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}; E_{\mathbb{R}})$  is a zero-order Fourier integral operator. In other words, its Schwartz kernel*

$$\mathbb{K} \in I^0(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R} \times \Sigma \times \Sigma, C_{\pi_* \Delta^*}; \mathrm{Hom}(E_{\mathbb{R}} \boxtimes E_\Sigma \boxtimes E_\Sigma, E_{\mathbb{R}})), \quad (60a)$$

$$C_{\pi_* \circ \Delta^*} = \{(t, \tau; t, \tau; x, \xi; x, -\xi) \in \dot{\mathrm{T}}^*\mathbb{R} \times \dot{\mathrm{T}}^*\mathbb{R} \times \dot{\mathrm{T}}^*\Sigma \times \dot{\mathrm{T}}^*\Sigma\}, \quad (60b)$$

$$\sigma_{\mathbb{K}} = \mathrm{pr}^*(|dt \wedge d\tau \wedge dx \wedge d\xi|^{1/2}) \mathbb{1}_{\mathrm{Hom}(E_{\mathbb{R}} \boxtimes E_\Sigma \boxtimes E_\Sigma, E_{\mathbb{R}})} dv_{\mathbb{T}}. \quad (60c)$$



Here  $C_{\pi_* \circ \Delta^*}$  can be identified with  $(\Delta(\mathbb{R} \times \Sigma))^{\perp*}$ ,  $\text{pr}$  is the projector  $C_{\pi_* \Delta^*} \ni (t, \tau; x, \xi; x, -\xi; t, -\tau) \mapsto (t, \tau; x, \xi) \in \dot{\mathbb{T}}^* \mathbb{R} \times \dot{\mathbb{T}}^* \Sigma$ , and  $\text{dv}_{\mathbb{T}}$  is the natural density on the Keller-Maslov bundle  $\mathbb{T} \rightarrow C_{\pi_* \circ \Delta^*}$  constructed from the phase function  $(x - y) \cdot \xi + (t - s)\tau$ .

Therefore, the trace formula (54) implies that  $\text{Tr } U_t$  exists as a distribution in  $\mathcal{D}'(\mathbb{R})$ :

$$\text{Tr } U_t = \int_{E_{\Sigma_x}} \text{tr} \left( (\Xi_{-t}^* \mathbf{G})(x, y) \sigma_D(y, \zeta) \Big|_{x=y} \right) \text{dv}_h(x). \quad (61)$$

With the preceding preparations in hand we can express the trace formula above as a composition of Fourier integral operators as follows. If  $\Delta$  is transversal to  $\text{WF}'(U_t)$  and since  $\tau$  in  $C_{\Delta^*}$  is nonzero,  $\Delta^*(U_t)$  is a well-defined distribution on  $\mathbb{C} \boxtimes E_{\Sigma_t}$ . Applying this argument once again, we arrive at (cf. [16, p. 44] and [41, (53)])

$$\text{Tr } U_t = \pi_* \circ \Delta^* \circ \text{tr} \left( (\iota_x^* \boxtimes \iota_y^*) \left( (\Xi_{-t}^* \mathbf{G})(x, y) \sigma_D(y, \zeta) \right) \right) \quad (62)$$

for a fixed but arbitrary  $t \in \mathbb{R}$  and any  $x, y \in M$ . To compute (62), hence, our next task is to calculate the canonical relation and the principal symbol of

$$\mathbf{G}_t := (\iota_x^* \boxtimes \iota_y^*) \left( (\Xi_{-t}^* \mathbf{G})(x, y) \right) \quad (63)$$

where the pullback  $\Xi_{-t}^*$  via the Killing flow is taken with respect to the first variable of the causal propagator  $\mathbf{G}(\cdot, \cdot)$  and  $\iota_x^* \boxtimes \iota_y^*$  is the restriction operator to the Cauchy hypersurface passing through both  $x$  and  $y$ .

To begin with, we first compute the pull-back of the causal propagator via the Killing flow,  $\Xi_{-t}^* \mathbf{G}$  employing (33), (34), (40), and Appendix A.

**Lemma 5.2.** *Suppose that  $E \rightarrow M$  be a smooth complex vector bundle over a globally hyperbolic stationary spacetime  $(M, g, \Xi)$  and that  $\mathbf{G}$  is the Schwartz kernel of the causal propagator of any Dirac-type operator  $D$  on  $E$ . Then the pullback (with respect to the first argument) of  $\mathbf{G}$  by the Killing flow,*

$$\Xi^* \mathbf{G} \in I^{-3/4}(\mathbb{R} \times M \times M, \Gamma' \circ C; \text{Hom}(E, \Xi^* E)), \quad (64a)$$

$$\Gamma' \circ C = \left\{ (t, -\xi(Z); x, \xi; y, \eta) \in \mathbb{R} \times \dot{\mathbb{R}} \times \dot{\mathbb{T}}_0^* M \times \dot{\mathbb{T}}_0^* M \mid (x, \xi) = (\text{d}\Xi_t)^* (\Phi_s(y, \eta)) \right\}, \quad (64b)$$

$$\sigma_{\Xi^* \mathbf{G}} \equiv \frac{i}{2} (2\pi)^{\frac{3}{4}} w \left( (\text{d}\Xi_t)^* \Phi_s(y, \eta), (y, \eta) \right) \left| \text{dt} \right|^{\frac{1}{2}} \otimes \left| \text{dv}_C \left( (\text{d}\Xi_t)^* \Phi_s(y, \eta), (y, \eta) \right) \right|^{\frac{1}{2}}, \quad (64c)$$

where the principal symbol is modulo Keller-Maslov densities,  $Z$  is the timelike complete Killing vector field on  $M$ , and all other symbols are as defined in Lemma 2.2 and (33b).

We now compute the restriction of  $\Xi^* \mathbf{G}$  on  $\Sigma \times \Sigma$ .

**Lemma 5.3.** *As in the settings of Lemma 5.2:  $M \cong \mathbb{R} \times \Sigma$  is a globally hyperbolic stationary spacetime where  $\Sigma$  is a Cauchy hypersurface. Then the distribution (63)*

$$\mathbf{G}_t \in I^{-1/4} \left( \mathbb{R} \times \Sigma \times \Sigma, \mathcal{C}_t; \text{Hom}(E_{\Sigma}, (\Xi^* E)|_{\Sigma}) \right), \quad (65a)$$

$$\mathcal{C}_t := \left\{ ((t, -\xi(Z)), (x, \xi)|_{\mathbb{T}\Sigma}, (y, \eta)|_{\mathbb{T}\Sigma}) \in \dot{\mathbb{T}}^* \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{T}^* \Sigma \times \mathbb{T}^* \Sigma \mid (x, \xi) = (\text{d}\Xi_t)^* \Phi_s(y, \eta) \right\} \quad (65b)$$

$$\sigma_{\mathbf{G}_t} \equiv \frac{i}{2} (2\pi)^{\frac{1}{4}} w \left( (\text{d}\Xi_t)^* \Phi_s(y, \eta)|_{\mathbb{T}\Sigma}, (y, \eta)|_{\mathbb{T}\Sigma} \right) \left| \text{dt} \right|^{\frac{1}{2}} \otimes \left| \text{dv}_C \left( (\text{d}\Xi_t)^* \Phi_s(y, \eta)|_{\mathbb{T}\Sigma}, (y, \eta)|_{\mathbb{T}\Sigma} \right) \right|^{\frac{1}{2}} \quad (65c)$$

where the principal symbol is modulo Keller-Maslov density and expressed in the parametrisation of  $\mathcal{C}_t$ .

*Proof.* The first two assertions are immediate from (95a), (95b), (64a), and (64b). To compute the principal symbol we note that  $\mathrm{d}v_{\dot{T}_0^*M}(y, \eta)$  induces a volume element  $\mathrm{d}v_{\dot{T}_0^*M_\Sigma}(y, \eta) := \mathrm{d}v_{\dot{T}_0^*M}/\mathrm{d}y^1$  on  $\dot{T}_0^*M_\Sigma$  when  $\Sigma$  is parametrised by  $y^1 = \text{cst}$  and then  $\mathrm{d}v_{\dot{T}_0^*M_\Sigma}(y, \eta) = \mathrm{d}\eta_1 \wedge \mathrm{d}y' \wedge \mathrm{d}\eta'$  in the adapted coordinate system  $(y^1, y', \eta_1, \eta')$  on  $T^*M$ . Finally, the claim follows from (95c), (64c), (96) and transporting  $(y, \eta)$  to  $(x, \xi)$  by the geodesic flow and the Killing flow.  $\square$

Therefore,  $U_t(x, y) = G_t(x, y) \sigma_D(y, \zeta) \in I^{-1/4}(\mathbb{R} \times \Sigma \times \Sigma, \mathcal{C}_t; \mathbb{C} \boxtimes \text{End}(E_\Sigma))$ . If the composition  $C'_{\pi_*\Delta^*} \circ \mathcal{C}_t$  is clean with excess  $e$  then [16, Thm. 5.4]

$$\text{Tr } U_t \in I^{-1/4+e/2}, \quad \sigma_{\text{Tr } U_t} \equiv (2\pi i)^{-e/2} \int_{F_t} \sigma_{\mathcal{K}} \circ \sigma_{U_t}. \quad (66)$$

But, in general,

$$C'_{\pi_*\Delta^*} \circ \mathcal{C}_t = \{(t, \tau) \in \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R} \mid \tau = -\xi(Z), (x, \xi)|_{T\Sigma} = ((\mathrm{d}\Xi_t)^* \Phi_s(y, \eta))|_{T\Sigma}\} \quad (67)$$

may not be clean because (cf. Appendix A.2) the fibres of  $C'_{\pi_*\Delta^*} \star \mathcal{C}_t \rightarrow C'_{\pi_*\Delta^*} \circ \mathcal{C}_t$  can be identified with the fibres over  $(t, \tau) \in T_t^*\mathbb{R}$ , i.e., the set of periodic geodesics corresponding to  $(t, \tau)$ :

$$F_t := \{\gamma \in \mathcal{N}_{\text{unp}} \mid \tau = -H(\gamma), \Xi_t^{\mathcal{N}_{\text{unp}}}(\gamma) = \gamma\} \quad (68)$$

where we have used (36) and the fact that, given any Cauchy hypersurface  $\Sigma$  of  $M$ , there exists a homogeneous symplectic diffeomorphism from  $\mathcal{N}$  to  $\dot{T}^*\Sigma$  (see, e.g. [41, Prop. 2.1]). Then, even if  $\{F_t\}$  happen to be manifolds, the chances of  $\dim F_t$  is a constant for all  $t$  is very low; for instance, if all the orbits of  $\Xi_t^{\mathcal{N}_{\text{unp}}}$  are periodic with the same period  $t = T$ , then the composition is clean (see, e.g. [31, p. 289]). At this point, let us record

$$\begin{aligned} \dim M = d, \dim(T^*M) = 2d, \dim(\dot{T}_0^*M) = 2d - 1, \dim \mathcal{N} = 2d - 2, \dim \mathcal{N}_{\text{unp}} = 2d - 3, \\ \dim \Sigma = d - 1, \dim(T^*\Sigma) = 2d - 2 = \dim(\dot{T}_0^*M_\Sigma). \end{aligned} \quad (69)$$

**5.1. Principal symbol at  $T = 0$ .** We begin with the trivial periodic orbits where a big singularity is expected as the Cauchy evolution map (48) reduces to an identity operator in this situation. To describe the principal symbol one requires the notion of symplectic residue [19, Def. 6.1], introduced by Guillemin to derive Weyl's law in the context of Weyl algebra quantising a symplectic cone. By construction (cf. (36)),  $H^{1-d}$  is a homogeneous function of degree  $1 - d$ . Then its symplectic residue is defined by  $\text{res}(H^{1-d}) := \int_{\mathcal{N}_{\text{unp}}} \vartheta_{H^{1-d}}$  where  $\vartheta$  is the interior multiplication of the symplectic volume form  $\mathrm{d}v_{\mathcal{N}}$  on  $\mathcal{N}$  by the Euler vector field on it and  $\vartheta_{H^{1-d}}$  is the pull-back of  $H^{1-d}\vartheta$  on  $\mathcal{N}_{\text{unp}}$ . Homogeneity of  $H$  entails (cf. [19, Proof of Lemma 6.3])

$$\text{res}(H^{1-d}) = (d - 1) \text{vol}(\mathcal{N}_{\leq 1}) \quad (70)$$

and, on a standard stationary spacetime [41, (15)]:

$$\text{vol}(\mathcal{N}_{\leq 1}) = \text{vol}(\mathbb{B}^{d-1}) \int_{\Sigma} \beta(x) (\beta^2(x) - \|\alpha\|_h^2)^{-d/2} \mathrm{d}v_h(x) \quad (71)$$

where  $\text{vol}(\mathbb{B}^{d-1})$  is the volume of the unit ball  $\mathbb{B}^{d-1} \subset \mathbb{R}^{d-1}$  and  $\beta, \alpha$  are the lapse and shift functions appearing in spacetime metric (1), respectively.

**Lemma 5.4.** *As in the setting of Theorem 3.1: on a  $d$ -dimensional globally hyperbolic standard stationary spacetime with closed Cauchy hypersurface  $(\Sigma, h)$ , the Cauchy evolution operator  $U_t$  is a Fourier integral operator of order  $-1/4$  associated with the canonical relation  $\mathcal{C}_t$  (65b) and principal symbol  $\sigma_{\mathcal{C}_t}(\cdot; y, \eta) \sigma_D(y, \zeta)$  (modulo Keller-Maslov density) where  $\sigma_{\mathcal{C}_t}$  is given by (65c),  $\eta \in \dot{T}_0^*M_\Sigma$ , and  $\zeta$  is as in Assumption (b) restricted to  $\Sigma$ . Furthermore,  $\text{Tr } U_t$  is a Lagrangian distribution on  $\mathbb{R}$  of order  $d - 7/4$  associated with the canonical relation  $\mathcal{C}_{\text{Tr } U_t}$  (3) whose principal symbol at  $t = 0$  is given by*

$$\sigma_{\text{Tr } U_0}(\tau) \equiv \frac{r}{2} \frac{d-1}{(2\pi^{d-1})} \text{vol}(\mathcal{N}_{\leq 1}) |\tau|^{d-2} \sqrt{|\text{d}\tau|}, \quad r := \text{rk}(E) \int_{\Sigma} g_y(\eta, \zeta) \text{d}v_h(y) \quad (72)$$

where  $\mathcal{N}_{\leq 1}$  is the  $K \leq 1$ -energy surface of the Hamiltonian (36) on the space of scaled lightlike geodesic strips.

*Proof.* We begin with the identification of  $\mathcal{N}$  with  $\dot{T}_0^*M_\Sigma$  and so  $|\text{d}v_{\mathcal{N}}|$  with  $|\text{d}v_{\dot{T}_0^*M_\Sigma}|$ . If  $K$  is a regular value of  $H$  then  $\mathcal{N}_K$  is a codimension one  $X_H|_{\mathcal{N}_K}$  invariant embedded submanifold of  $\mathcal{N}$ , which inherits a natural volume form  $\text{d}v_{\mathcal{N}_K}$  from  $\text{d}v_{\mathcal{N}}$  invariant under the action of  $X_H|_{\mathcal{N}_K}$ :  $\text{d}v_{\mathcal{N}} = \text{d}v_{\mathcal{N}_K} \wedge \text{d}H / \|\text{grad}H\|$  [1, Thm. 3.4.12]. Hence, the excess of  $C'_{\pi_*\Delta^*} \circ \mathcal{C}_t$  is  $e = \dim \mathcal{N}_K$  (see, e.g. [31, p. 289]) implying  $\text{Tr } U_T \in I^{d-7/4}(\mathbb{R}, C_{\text{Tr } U_T})$ .

To express  $\text{Tr } U_0$  as an oscillatory integral we observe that at  $t = 0$ ,  $(\text{d}\Xi_0)^* \Phi_0(y, \eta) = (y, \eta)$  and  $C = \Delta \dot{T}_0^*M$  so that  $C'_0 = \{(0, -\xi(Z))\} \times \Delta \dot{T}_0^*M|_{T\Sigma \times T\Sigma}$ ,  $|\text{d}v_C(y, \eta; y, \eta)|_{T\Sigma \times T\Sigma}^{1/2} = |\text{d}v_{\mathcal{N}}(y, \eta)|$  and after using Lemma 2.2 we compute

$$\text{tr}(\sigma_D(y, \eta) \sigma_D(y, \zeta)) = g_y(\eta, \zeta) \text{rk}(E) \quad (73)$$

using the cyclicity of trace and (24).

Furthermore, (19) and (20) entail that  $\sigma_{\text{Tr } U_0}$  is a homogeneous function of order  $(e-1)/2$  and using the symplectic residue of  $H^{1-d}$  we obtain (cf. (58))  $\sigma_{\text{Tr } U_0}(\tau) \equiv (2\pi)^{1-d} r \text{res}(H^{1-d}) |\tau|^{d-2} \sqrt{|\text{d}\tau|}/2$ . Finally, (18) implies that

$$(\text{Tr } U_0)(t) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{\frac{3}{4}}} \int_{\mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}} e^{-it\tau} \mathbf{a}(\tau) \text{d}\tau, \quad \mathbf{a} := r \frac{\text{res}(H^{1-d})}{(2\pi)^{d-1}} |\tau|^{d-2} + c_1 |\tau|^{d-3} + \dots \quad (74)$$

□

**5.2. Principal symbol at  $t = T \in \mathcal{P}$ .** This is the scenario corresponding to the non-trivial periodic orbits when  $C_{\pi_*\Delta^*} \circ \mathcal{C}_T$  is clean or equivalently the set of fixed points  $F_T$  is clean (in the sense of [16, Def. 4.1]). Recall, the fixed point sets of  $\Xi^{\mathcal{N}_{\text{unp}}}$  are the union of periodic orbits  $\gamma$  of  $\Xi^{\mathcal{N}_{\text{unp}}}$  which depends substantially on manifold  $(M, g)$  and we are only concerned about nondegenerate orbits as briefly defined below (details are available in [16, Sec. 4]). Let  $\sigma$  is the symplectic form on  $T^*M$ . Then its restriction to  $\mathcal{N}_K$  for any  $K$  satisfies  $\sigma|_{\mathcal{N}_K}(X_H, \cdot) = 0$  and by the *non-degeneracy* [41, p. 44] of  $\gamma$ , it is meant that the nullspace of  $\sigma|_{\mathcal{N}_K}$  is spanned by  $X_H$ . This condition implies that  $\ker(I - (\text{d}\Xi_t^{\mathcal{N}_K})_\gamma) = \mathbb{R}X_H$  and  $\text{img}(I - (\text{d}\Xi_t^{\mathcal{N}_K})_\gamma) = \mathcal{N}_K/\mathbb{R}X_H$  where  $(\text{d}\Xi_t^{\mathcal{N}_K})_\gamma : T_\gamma(\mathcal{N}_{-\tau}) \rightarrow T_\gamma(\mathcal{N}_{-\tau})$  and  $\ker(I - (\text{d}\Xi_t^{\mathcal{N}_K})_\gamma)$  is to be understood as the kernel of  $I - (\text{d}\Xi_t^{\mathcal{N}_K})_\gamma$  on  $\mathcal{N}_K$ . In this setting, the Poincaré map  $P_\gamma$  is defined as

$$I - P_\gamma : \mathcal{N}_K / \ker(I - (\text{d}\Xi_t^{\mathcal{N}_K})_\gamma) \rightarrow \mathcal{N}_K / \ker(I - (\text{d}\Xi_t^{\mathcal{N}_K})_\gamma) \quad (75)$$

the linear symplectic quotient map induced by  $I - (\text{d}\Xi_t^{\mathcal{N}_K})_\gamma$ .

**Lemma 5.5.** *As in the setting of Theorem 1.5: let  $d$  is the dimension of the spacetime. If the periodic orbits  $\gamma$  of the Killing flow on the space of unparametrised lightlike geodesic strips are non-degenerate, then the principal symbol of  $\text{Tr } U_t$  at  $t = T \in \mathcal{P}$  is*

$$\sigma_{\text{Tr } U_T}(\tau) = \frac{(2\pi i)^{2-d}}{2\sqrt{i}} \int_{F_T} \text{tr}(\sigma_D(x, \xi) \mathcal{T}_{(x, \xi)} \sigma_D(x, \zeta)) \frac{e^{-i\pi m/2}}{\sqrt{|\det(I - P_\gamma)|}} |dT| \otimes \sqrt{|d\tau|} \quad (76)$$

where  $m$  and  $P_\gamma$  are the Maslov index and the Poincaré map of  $\gamma$ ,  $\mathcal{T}_{(x, \xi)} \in \text{Hol}_{(x, \xi)}$ , and  $F_T$  is the fixed point (13).

*Proof.* We begin with the fact that non-degenerate  $\gamma$  belongs to a 2-dimensional cylinder, transversally intersecting the energy hypersurfaces [1, p. 576] which implies that one can use  $t = -H(\gamma)$  as a coordinate for fibre over  $(T, \tau)$ . Then the halfdensity valued density at  $(T, \tau)$  is given by [16, pp. 60 - 61] (see also, e.g. [20, Thm. 6.1.1] for elaboration)

$$|dT| \otimes \sqrt{\frac{|d\tau|}{|\det(I - P_\gamma)|}} \quad (77)$$

where  $|dT|$  is the density on  $\gamma$  induced by the flow. Modulo the density on  $\mathbb{M}$ ,  $\sigma_{\text{Tr } U_T}$  is given by (cf. (66) and Lemma 5.4)

$$\sigma_{\text{Tr } U_T} \equiv (2\pi i)^{-(2d-3)/2} \int_{F_T} \sigma_\kappa \circ \sigma_{U_T} \quad (78)$$

where  $F_T$  is given by (13),  $\sigma_\kappa$  is as described in Lemma 5.1 and using Lemma 2.2 and Lemma 5.3 we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma_{U_T}(x, \xi; y, \eta) &\equiv \sqrt{\frac{\pi}{2}} \sigma_D(x, \xi) w(x, \xi; y, \eta) \sigma_D(y, \zeta) |dT|^{1/2} \otimes |dv_C(x, \xi; y, \eta)|^{1/2} \\ &= \sqrt{\frac{\pi}{2}} \sigma_D(x, \xi) \mathcal{T}_{(y, \eta)}^{(x, \xi)} \sigma_D(y, \zeta) |dT|^{1/2} \otimes |dv_C(x, \xi; y, \eta)|^{1/2} \end{aligned} \quad (79)$$

because the set of parallel sections  $w$  of  $\text{Hom}(E, E)|_C$  corresponds bijectively to the holonomy invariant sections  $\text{Hol}$  and here  $\mathcal{T}_{(y, \eta)}^{(x, \xi)} : \pi^*(E)_{(y, \eta)} \rightarrow \pi^*(E)_{(x, \xi)}$  is the parallel transporter induced by  $\nabla^{\text{Hom}(\pi^*E, \pi^*E)}$  along the image of  $\Phi_s$  on  $\dot{\mathbb{T}}_0^* M_\Sigma$ .

We are now left with the density contribution from  $\mathbb{M}$  which has been computed in [16, (6.16)]. For completeness, we briefly outline the main steps following a more streamlined version in [32, Sec. 3]. Since we have  $\mathbb{T} \rightarrow C_{\pi^* \Delta^*}$  from Lemma 5.1 and  $\mathbb{L} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}_T$  constructed from  $\mathbb{L}_C, \mathbb{L}_I$  and  $\mathbb{L}_A$  by (89), let  $\varphi$  and  $\phi$  are respectively generating functions of  $C_{\pi^* \Delta^*}$  and  $\mathcal{C}_T$ . Then  $\mathbb{M}$  can be constructed by the clean phase function  $\psi := \varphi + \phi$  as described in Appendix A.3. The Maslov index arises from the signature difference of Hessians, see, e.g. [31, (5)] and we get the Maslov factor  $e^{-i\pi m/2}$ . Finally

$$\begin{aligned} (\text{Tr } U_T)(t) &= \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{3/4}} \int_{\mathbb{R}_{\leq 0}} e^{i(t-T)\tau} \mathbf{a}(\tau) d\tau, \\ \mathbf{a} &:\sim \left(\frac{|\tau|}{2\pi i}\right)^{d-2} \int_{F_T} \text{tr}(\sigma_D(x, \xi) \mathcal{T}_{(x, \xi)} \sigma_D(x, \zeta)) |dT| \otimes \frac{e^{-i\pi m/2}}{|\det(I - P_\gamma)|^{1/2}} + \dots \end{aligned} \quad (80)$$

□

## 6. PROOF OF WEYL LAW

By (23),  $\mathbf{N}$  is related with  $\mathrm{Tr} U_t$  via the distributional Fourier transform. As shown in Theorem 1.2 and Theorem 1.5 that  $\mathrm{Tr} U_t$  has singularities at  $t = 0$  and  $t = T$ , respectively. Our aim is to only cut the  $t = 0$  singularity for which we introduce a positive cutoff function  $\chi \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R})$  whose support lies in a small neighbourhood of zero and  $\mathrm{supp}(\mathcal{F}\chi)$  is compact with  $\mathcal{F}(\chi)(0) = 1$ . Then employing expressions of  $\mathrm{Tr} U_0$  from Theorem 1.2, the support properties of  $\mathcal{F}\chi$  and (70), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{F}_{t \rightarrow \lambda}^{-1}((\mathcal{F}\chi)(t) \mathrm{Tr} U_t) &\sim \int_{\mathbb{R}} d\tau \delta(\tau - \lambda) \frac{r \operatorname{res}(H^{1-d})}{2 (2\pi)^{d-1}} |\tau|^{d-2} + \dots \\ &= (d-1) \frac{r \operatorname{vol}(\mathcal{N}_{\leq 1})}{2 (2\pi)^{d-1}} \lambda^{d-2} + \dots \end{aligned} \quad (81)$$

That means,  $\chi * d\mathbf{N}(\lambda) = r(d-1) \operatorname{vol}(\mathcal{N}_{\leq 1}) \lambda^{d-2} / (2(2\pi)^{d-1}) + O(\lambda^{d-3})$  where  $*$  denotes the convolution. But,  $\mathbf{N}(\lambda+1) - \mathbf{N}(\lambda) = O(\lambda^{d-1})$  by the Tauberian argument (see e.g. [36, Lem. 1.2]). Finally the result follows from  $\int_0^\lambda (\chi * d\mathbf{N}/d\lambda)(\mu) d\mu = (\chi * \mathbf{N})(\lambda)$ .

## APPENDIX A. COMPOSITION OF BUNDLE VALUED DENSITIES ON CANONICAL RELATIONS

In this appendix we accumulate some well-known facts about halfdensities on a canonical relation and their composition, used extensively in the principal symbol computation. We begin with the fact that the cotangent bundle  $\mathbb{T}^*M$  of a manifold  $M$  naturally carries the canonical symplectic form  $\sigma_M$ . If  $N$  is a manifold not necessarily of the same dimension  $d$  as  $M$ , then  $(\mathbb{T}^*M \times \mathbb{T}^*N, \sigma^\pm := \operatorname{pr}_M^* \sigma_M \pm \operatorname{pr}_N^* \sigma_N)$  are symplectic manifolds where  $\operatorname{pr}_M, \operatorname{pr}_N : M \times N \rightarrow M, N$  are the Cartesian projectors. Throughout the article, only the symplectic form  $\sigma^-$  has been used, i.e., by a canonical relation  $C \subset \mathbb{T}^*M \times \mathbb{T}^*N$  from  $N$  to  $M$ , it is meant that  $C$  is a Lagrangian submanifold of  $\mathbb{T}^*M \times \mathbb{T}^*N$  with respect to  $\sigma^-$ . If all  $\mathbb{T}^*M, \mathbb{T}^*N$  and  $C$  are conic then  $C$  is called a homogeneous canonical relation [23, Def. 4.1.2] (see also [24, Def. 21.2.12]).

**A.1. Natural volume form on a canonical relation.** A homogeneous canonical relation  $C \subset \mathbb{T}^*(M \times N) \cong \mathbb{T}^*M \times \mathbb{T}^*N$  can always be locally parametrised by a nondegenerate phase function [24, Thm. 21.2.16]  $\varphi(x, y; \theta) : C = \{(x, d_x \varphi; y, -d_y \varphi) \in \mathbb{T}^*M \times \mathbb{T}^*N\}$ . The fibre-critical set  $\mathcal{C} := \{(x_0, y_0, \theta^0) \mid d_\theta \varphi(x_0, y_0, \theta^0) = 0\} \subset M \times N \times \mathbb{R}^n, n \geq \dim M + \dim N$  of  $\varphi$  admits a natural volume form  $dv_\varphi := \delta(d_\theta \varphi)$ , i.e., taking quotient of the volume on  $M \times N \times \mathbb{R}^n$  by the pullback of the volume on  $\mathbb{R}^n$  by  $d_\theta \varphi$ . Then, we equip  $C$  with the natural volume form  $dv_C$  by transferring  $dv_\varphi$  from  $\mathcal{C}$  to  $C$  via the diffeomorphism  $(x, y; \theta) \rightarrow (x, d_x \varphi; y, -d_y \varphi)$  in a sufficiently small conic neighbourhood of  $(x_0, y_0; \theta^0)$  [23, Prop. 4.1.3] (see also, e.g., [15, p. 91] or [45, pp. 439-440] for details). Thus, we have the bundle  $\Omega^{1/2} C \rightarrow C$  of halfdensities over a homogeneous canonical relation  $C$  whose local sections are  $|dv_C|^{1/2}$ .

**A.2. Composition of halfdensities.** In order to describe the clean composition [16, Sec. 5] (for details, see the monographs [24, App. C.3, Thm. 21.2.14, and Prop. 21.2.19] and [45, pp. 457-458]) between canonical relations  $C \subset \mathbb{T}^*(M \times O)$  and  $A \subset \mathbb{T}^*(O \times N)$  where  $O$  is a manifold whose dimension is not necessarily equals to that of either  $M$  or  $N$ , we introduce the notation

$$C \star A := (C \times A) \cap (\mathbb{T}^*M \times \Delta(\mathbb{T}^*O) \times \mathbb{T}^*N) \quad (82)$$

and the Cartesian projector  $\Pi : \mathbb{T}^*M \times \Delta(\mathbb{T}^*O) \times \mathbb{T}^*N \rightarrow \mathbb{T}^*M \times \mathbb{T}^*N$ . Then

$$C \circ \Lambda := \Pi(C \star \Lambda), \quad (83a)$$

$$= \{(x, \xi; y, \eta) \in \mathbb{T}^*M \times \mathbb{T}^*N \mid \exists \zeta \in \mathbb{T}^*O : (x, \xi; z, \zeta) \in C_1, (z, \zeta; y, \eta) \in C_2\} \quad (83b)$$

whose excess is the dimension  $e$  of the fibres of

$$\Pi : C \star \Lambda \rightarrow C \circ \Lambda. \quad (84)$$

In terms of phase functions, if  $\varphi$  and  $\phi$  are nondegenerate generating functions of  $C$  and  $\Lambda$  in a neighbourhood of  $(x_0, z_0; \theta^0)$  and  $(z_0, y_0; \vartheta^0)$ , respectively, then  $\psi(x, z, y; \theta, \vartheta) := \varphi(x, z; \theta) + \phi(z, y; \vartheta)$  is a clean phase function with excess  $e$  which parametrises  $C \circ \Lambda$  in the neighbourhood of  $(x_0, z_0, y_0; \theta^0, \vartheta^0)$ .

Our aim is to define a halfdensity on  $C \circ \Lambda$  given halfdensities on closed conic canonical relations  $C$  and  $\Lambda$  to describe the principal symbol of the composition of two Lagrangian distributions  $\mathbf{A}$  and  $\mathbf{B}$  such that  $\text{WF}'(\mathbf{A}) \subseteq C$ ,  $\text{WF}'(\mathbf{B}) \subseteq \Lambda$  and  $\text{WF}'(\mathbf{A} \circ \mathbf{B}) \subseteq C \circ \Lambda$ . To guarantee so, it is sufficient (see e.g. [25, Thm. 25.2.3]; an exhaustive list of original references is available in [26, Sec. 2.1.1]) to assume that  $C \circ \Lambda$  is clean, proper<sup>7</sup> and connected<sup>8</sup>. The mapping (84) is then a smooth fibration with compact connected fibres

$$\{F_{(x,\xi;y,\eta)} := \Pi^{-1}(x, \xi; y, \eta)\}_{(x,\xi;y,\eta) \in C \circ \Lambda} \quad (85)$$

over  $(x, \xi; y, \eta) \in C \circ \Lambda$  (see e.g. [20, Thm. 4.2.2]), parametrised by  $\psi$  in the  $e$  variables (see e.g. [24, Prop. 21.2.19]). Thus, we have the isomorphism [16, Lem. 5.2] (see also, the monographs [20, Thm. 7.1.1 and (7.4)] or [24, Thm. 21.6.7] for details)

$$\Omega_{(x,\xi;z,\zeta)}^{1/2} C \otimes \Omega_{(z,\zeta;y,\eta)}^{1/2} \Lambda \cong \Omega_{(x,\xi;z,\zeta;y,\eta)}^{1/2} F_{(x,\xi;y,\eta)} \otimes \Omega_{(x,\xi;y,\eta)}^{1/2} (C \circ \Lambda). \quad (86)$$

This entails that by taking tensor product between halfdensities on  $C$  and  $\Lambda$  followed by intersecting with the diagonal gives a halfdensity on  $F$  times a halfdensity on  $C \circ \Lambda$  and therefore, integrating over the fibre  $F$  we achieved the desired composition of halfdensities on canonical relations [16, p. 64]:

$$\begin{aligned} C^\infty(C; \Omega^{1/2} C) \times C^\infty(\Lambda; \Omega^{1/2} \Lambda) &\rightarrow C^\infty(C \circ \Lambda; \Omega^{1/2}(C \circ \Lambda)), \\ (\mu, \nu) &\mapsto \mu \circ \nu(x, \xi; y, \eta) := \int_{F_{(x,\xi;y,\eta)}} \mu(x, \xi; \cdot) \nu(\cdot; y, \eta). \end{aligned} \quad (87)$$

If the excess of the composition  $C \circ \Lambda$  vanishes then the isomorphism (86) reduces to  $\Omega^{1/2} C \otimes \Omega^{1/2} \Lambda \cong \Omega^{1/2}(C \circ \Lambda)$  (see, e.g. [20, (7.3)]) and hence the composition (87) simply becomes the pointwise evaluation

$$\mu \circ \nu(x, \xi; y, \eta) = \sum_{(z,\zeta) \text{ as in (83b)}} \mu(x, \xi; z, \zeta) \nu(z, \zeta; y, \eta), \quad (88)$$

as considered for the product of Fourier integral operators associated with the transverse composition of canonical relations [23, p. 179]; see, e.g. [15, (2.4.13) and (4.2.10)] for a description in terms of phase functions.

We will call the pairs  $(x, \xi), (y, \eta)$  and the pair  $(z, \zeta)$  in the preceding equation as the output variables and input variable of the composition, respectively, following the terminology from [41, Lem. 8.4].

<sup>7</sup>This ensures that  $C \circ \Lambda$  is closed in  $\mathring{\mathbb{T}}^*(M \times N)$ .

<sup>8</sup>This implies no self-intersection

**A.3. Composition of Keller-Maslov bundle valued halfdensities.** The principal symbol of a scalar Lagrangian distribution associated with the canonical relation  $C$  is some Keller-Maslov bundle  $\mathbb{L} \rightarrow C$  valued density on  $C$  (see, e.g. [25, Sec. 25.1] and the original references cited therein). Hence, we are compelled to extend the composition (87) on sections of  $\mathbb{L} \otimes \Omega^{1/2}C$ . The first step, thus is to construct the Keller-Maslov bundle  $\mathbb{M} \rightarrow C \circ A$  given  $\mathbb{L} \rightarrow C$  and  $\mathbb{T} \rightarrow A$ . This is achieved by employing the phase function  $\varphi, \phi, \psi$  for  $\mathbb{L}, \mathbb{T}, \mathbb{M}$ , respectively [23, Sec. 3.3]; for details, see, e.g., the monographs [45, pp. 408-412], [20, pp. 132-138]. In particular,  $\mathbb{L}$  is the complex-trivial-line bundle with structure group  $\mathbb{Z}/4\mathbb{Z}$  whose defining sections are  $\{l_i\}, l_i := \exp(i\pi \text{Hess}(\varphi_i)/4)$  with  $l_i = c_{ij}l_j$  for some constant  $c_{ij} \in \mathbb{C}$  such that  $|c_{ij}| = 1$ , where  $\text{Hess}(\varphi)$  denotes the Hessian of  $\varphi$ . Moreover [23, p. 181], [16, (5.7)] (see also the monograph [20, (5.29)]),

$$\Pi_C^* \mathbb{L} \otimes \Pi_A^* \mathbb{T} \cong \Pi^* \mathbb{M}, \quad (89)$$

where  $\Pi_C, \Pi_A : C \star A \rightarrow C, A$  are the projections. Furthermore, the preceding isomorphism gives the desired extension of (87):

$$C^\infty(C; \mathbb{L} \otimes \Omega^{1/2}C) \times C^\infty(A; \mathbb{T} \otimes \Omega^{1/2}A) \rightarrow C^\infty(C \circ A; \mathbb{M} \otimes \Omega^{1/2}(C \circ A)). \quad (90)$$

**A.4. Composition of vector bundle valued halfdensities.** Finally, we consider Lagrangian distributions (see the monograph [25, Sec. 25.1])  $A \in I^m(M \times O, C'; \Omega^{1/2}(M \times O) \otimes \text{Hom}(G, E)), B \in I^n(O \times N, A'; \Omega^{1/2}(O \times N) \otimes \text{Hom}(F, G))$  on any smooth complex vector bundles  $E \rightarrow M, G \rightarrow O, F \rightarrow N$ . Here,  $\text{Hom}(G, E) \cong E \boxtimes G^* \rightarrow M \times O$  is a vector bundle whose fibres are the  $\mathbb{C}$ -linear maps  $G_z \rightarrow E_x$  at any  $(x, z) \in M \times O$  and by  $\widetilde{\text{Hom}}(G, E)$  we denote the pullback of  $\text{Hom}(G, E)$  to  $\widetilde{\text{T}}^*(O \times M)$  followed by restriction to  $C$ . Then, given  $a \in C^\infty(C; \widetilde{\text{Hom}}(G, E))$  and  $b \in C^\infty(A; \widetilde{\text{Hom}}(F, G))$ , we define the mapping

$$C \circ A \ni (x, \xi; y, \eta) \mapsto a \diamond b(x, \xi; y, \eta) := a(x, \xi; \cdot)(b(\cdot; y, \eta)) \in \widetilde{\text{Hom}}(F, E) \quad (91)$$

and extend (90) by tensoring with  $\widetilde{\text{Hom}}(G, E), \widetilde{\text{Hom}}(F, G), \widetilde{\text{Hom}}(F, E)$ , respectively to achieve our final composition rule [25, (25.2.10)]

$$\begin{aligned} & C^\infty\left(C; \mathbb{L} \otimes \Omega^{\frac{1}{2}}C \otimes \widetilde{\text{Hom}}(G, E)\right) \times C^\infty\left(A; \mathbb{T} \otimes \Omega^{\frac{1}{2}}A \otimes \widetilde{\text{Hom}}(F, G)\right) \\ & \rightarrow C^\infty\left(C \circ A; \mathbb{M} \otimes \Omega^{\frac{1}{2}}(C \circ A) \otimes \widetilde{\text{Hom}}(F, E)\right), \\ & (\mu, \nu) \mapsto \mu \circ \nu(x, \xi; y, \eta) := \int_{F(x, \xi; y, \eta)} \mu \diamond \nu(x, \xi; y, \eta). \end{aligned} \quad (92)$$

## APPENDIX B. RESTRICTION MAP ON A VECTOR BUNDLE

In this section we will consider a vector bundle  $E \rightarrow M$  over a generic manifold  $M$  and show that the restriction operator  $\iota^* : C_c^\infty(M; E) \rightarrow C_c^\infty(\Sigma; E_\Sigma)$  to a codimension one submanifold  $(\Sigma, \iota)$  of  $M$  is a Fourier integral operator. This result is well-known for scalar case [15, Sec. 5.1] and translates in a straightforward way for vector bundles yet briefly presented here to set up the notations. Let  $\iota : \Sigma \hookrightarrow M$  be a inclusion map and  $\hat{\iota} \in C^\infty(\Sigma; \text{End}(E_\Sigma))$  the restriction of identity mapping on  $E$  to  $E_\Sigma \rightarrow \Sigma$ . Then the restriction operator  $\iota^*$  is defined as the pullback of the morphism  $(\iota, \hat{\iota})$ . To express its Schwartz kernel  $\mathbf{R}$  as an oscillatory integral, we use an adapted coordinate system  $(x^1, x^2, \dots, x^d)$  in a tabular neighbourhood

of  $\Sigma = \{(x^1 = 0; x^2, \dots, x^d) \in \mathbb{R}^d\}$ , that is, the inclusion is locally given by  $\Sigma \ni x' = (x^2, \dots, x^d) \hookrightarrow (x^1 = 0, x^2, \dots, x^d) = x \in M$  and hence

$$\mathbf{R}(x', y) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} e^{i(\iota(x') - y) \cdot \eta} \frac{d\eta}{(2\pi)^d}. \quad (93)$$

The phase function  $\varphi(x', y; \eta) := (\iota(x') - y) \cdot \eta = (x' - y')\eta' - y^1\eta_1$  is linear and nondegenerate whose fibre-critical set is  $\mathcal{C} = \{(y', \eta'; 0, y', \eta)\}$ . Thus  $\mathbf{R}$  is a Lagrangian distribution of order  $1/4$  associated with the canonical relation  $A' := \{(x', \xi; y, \eta) \in \mathbb{T}^*\Sigma \times \dot{\mathbb{T}}^*M_\Sigma \mid y = \iota(x'), \xi = \eta|_{\mathbb{T}_{x', M}}\}$  (see [15, (2.4.4), (2.4.22), (5.1.2)] for scalar version).

As evident,  $A \subset \mathbb{T}^*\Sigma \times \dot{\mathbb{T}}^*M_\Sigma$  is not a homogeneous canonical relation (in the sense of [23, Def. 4.1.2]) because it is not guaranteed that restriction of all elements in  $\dot{\mathbb{T}}^*M$  are nonzero. In other words, the complication arises from the elements of the conormal bundle  $M^{\perp*} \subset \dot{\mathbb{T}}^*M$ . To circumvent this difficulty, we temporarily introduce a cutoff function  $\chi$  on  $M^{\perp*}$  and set [44, Sec. 5.2]

$$\mathbf{R}_\chi(x', y) := \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} e^{i(\iota(x') - y) \cdot \eta} (1 - \chi(y, \eta)) \frac{d\eta}{(2\pi)^d} \quad (94)$$

so that such elements do not occur in the support of  $1 - \chi$ , and then the respective operator  $\iota_\chi$  is a homogeneous Fourier integral operator of order  $1/4$  associated with the homogeneous canonical relation  $A \subset \dot{\mathbb{T}}^*\Sigma \times \dot{\mathbb{T}}^*M_\Sigma$ .

In order to describe the principal symbol of  $\mathbf{R}_\chi$  we observe that the phase function  $\varphi$  is the same as that for  $\mathbf{R}$  and hence the identical fibre-critical set  $\mathcal{C}$ . Also, note that the canonical symplectic form  $\sigma$  on  $\mathbb{T}^*M$  is given in this adapted coordinates by  $\sigma = dx^1 \wedge d\xi_1 + dx' \wedge d\xi'$  so that  $\sigma/dx^1 = d\xi_1 \wedge dx' \wedge d\xi'$  is the induced density on  $\mathbb{T}^*M_\Sigma$  and on  $\mathcal{C}$ , called the Leray measure. This enables us to obtain the natural density  $dv_A$  on  $A \rightarrow \Sigma \times M$  (see Appendix A.1). Moreover, we construct the Keller-Maslov bundle  $\mathbb{L}_A \rightarrow A$  and the natural density  $dv_{\mathbb{L}_A}$  on it employing  $\varphi$  as in Appendix A.3. Summarising all together

$$\mathbf{R}_\chi \in I^{1/4}(\Sigma \times M, A'; \text{Hom}(E, E_\Sigma)), \quad (95a)$$

$$A' := \{(x', \xi'; x, \xi) \in \mathbb{T}^*\Sigma \times \dot{\mathbb{T}}^*M_\Sigma \mid x = \iota(x'), \xi' = \xi|_{\mathbb{T}_{x', M_\Sigma}}\}, \quad (95b)$$

$$\sigma_{\mathbf{R}_\chi}|_{M^{\perp*} \setminus \text{supp}(\chi)}(x', \xi'; x, -\xi) = (2\pi)^{-\frac{1}{4}} \mathbb{1}_{\text{Hom}(E, E_\Sigma)} |dv_A(x', \xi'; x, -\xi)|^{\frac{1}{2}} \otimes |dv_{\mathbb{L}_A}|. \quad (95c)$$

Equivalently, one can say that  $\iota^*$  is not a homogeneous Fourier integral operator due to plausible occurrence of conormal vectors in its canonical relation and the cutoff above can be emulated by composing with a pseudodifferential operator  $P$  on  $E$  whose wavefront set  $\text{WF}(P)$  is disjoint from the conormal bundle. The principal symbol of  $\mathbf{R}$  is then  $(2\pi)^{-1/4} |dv_A|^{1/2} \otimes |dv_{\mathbb{L}_A}|$  over  $\text{WF}(P)$  (see, e.g. [41, Lem. 8.3] for a scalar version).

Any distribution on  $E$  can be restricted to  $E_\Sigma$  when its wavefront set is disjoint with  $M^{\perp*}$ . In particular, if  $u \in I^m(M, \mathcal{L}; E)$  then the restriction operator can be extended to a sequentially continuous linear operator

$$\iota^* : I^m(M, \mathcal{L}; E) \rightarrow I^{m+1/4}(\Sigma, \mathcal{L}|_{\mathbb{T}\Sigma}; E_\Sigma), \quad \sigma_{\iota^* u}(x', \xi') \equiv (2\pi)^{-\frac{1}{4}} \sigma_u(x', \xi'). \quad (96)$$

### APPENDIX C. THE CAUSAL PROPAGATOR OF A DIRAC-TYPE OPERATOR

It is well-known that the causal propagator  $G$  of a Dirac-type operator  $D$  on any globally hyperbolic spacetime  $(M, g)$  is a Fourier integral operator. Our aim is to compute the principal symbol of this propagator which requires the following facts about the causal propagator's



Schwartz kernel  $F$  of the normally hyperbolic operator  $D^2$  on  $E \rightarrow M$  (see e.g. [26, Rem. 2.15]):

$$F \in I^{-3/2}(M \times M, \Delta \dot{T}^*M \cup C'; \text{Hom}(E, E)), \quad (97a)$$

$$\sigma_F = \frac{i}{2} \sqrt{2\pi w} |\text{dv}_C|^{1/2} \otimes |\text{dv}_{\mathbb{L}_C}|, \quad (97b)$$

$$\text{Char}(F) \cap C = \emptyset. \quad (97c)$$

where  $C$  is the geodesic relation (40b),  $\text{dv}_C, \text{dv}_{\mathbb{L}_C}$  are the natural densities on  $C$  and on the Keller-Maslov bundle  $\mathbb{L}_C \rightarrow C$  as discussed in Appendix A, respectively, and  $w$  is the unique section of the vector bundle  $\text{Hom}(\pi^*E, \pi^*E)|_C \rightarrow C$ , which is the identity endomorphism on diagonal and off-diagonally parallel with respect to the  $D^2$ -compatible connection (Definition 1.4)  $\nabla^{\text{Hom}(\pi^*E, \pi^*E)}$  on  $\text{Hom}(E, E)|_C$  induced by the Weitzenböck connection  $\nabla$  of  $D$ :

$$\nabla_{X_g}^{\text{Hom}(\pi^*E, \pi^*E)} w = 0 \quad (98)$$

where  $\pi : \dot{T}^*M \rightarrow M$  is the canonical projection and  $X_g$  is the Hamiltonian vector field (Remark 2.3) generated by the principal symbol  $g$  of  $D^2$ .

Since  $M$  is connected, we use the bijective correspondence between the space such parallel sections and the space  $C_{\text{Hol}}^\infty(C; \text{Hom}(\pi^*E, \pi^*E))$  of sections of  $\text{Hom}(\pi^*E, \pi^*E)|_C$  invariant under the holonomy group  $\text{Hol}(\nabla^{\text{Hom}(\pi^*E, \pi^*E)})$  with respect to the connection  $\nabla^{\text{Hom}(\pi^*E, \pi^*E)}$  to conclude that  $w \in C_{\text{Hol}}^\infty(C; \text{Hom}(\pi^*E, \pi^*E))$ .

$D$  being a first-order differential operator, the Schwartz kernel  $G(x, \cdot) = D_x F(x, \cdot)$  of  $G$  is the Lagrangian distribution  $I^{-1/2}(M \times M, C'; \text{Hom}(E, E))$  whose principal symbol is

$$\sigma_G(x, \xi; y, \eta) = i \sqrt{\frac{\pi}{2}} \sigma_D \circ w(x, \xi; y, \eta) |\text{dv}_C(x, \xi; y, \eta)|^{1/2} \otimes |\text{dv}_{\mathbb{L}_C}| \quad (40c)$$

where  $\sigma_D \circ w(x, \xi; y, \eta) = \sigma_D(x, \xi)(w(x, \xi; y, \eta))$  is simply the composition between endomorphisms (cf. Appendix A.2). But  $\sigma_D$  vanishes identically on  $\dot{T}_0^*M$  whereas  $d\sigma_D$  does not. Hence, the principal symbol of  $G$  is given by (see, e.g. [26, Thm. E.1])

$$\left( -i \mathcal{L}_{X_{\sigma_D}} + \sigma_D^{\text{sub}} \right) w = 0 \quad (99)$$

where  $\mathcal{L}_{X_{\sigma_D}}$  is the Lie derivative with respect to the Hamiltonian vector field  $X_{\sigma_D}$  and we have used  $\mathcal{L}_{X_{\sigma_D}}(\text{dv}_C) = 0$  with Remark 2.3. In other words, on the diagonal to  $\dot{T}^*M \times \dot{T}^*M$ , by construction

$$\sigma_G(x, \xi; x, \xi) = i \sqrt{\frac{\pi}{2}} \sigma_D(x, \xi) |\text{dv}_C(x, \xi, x, \xi)|^{1/2} \otimes |\text{dv}_{\mathbb{L}_C}| \quad (100)$$

and off-diagonally  $w$  is covariantly constant:

$$\nabla_{X_{\sigma_D}}^{\text{Hom}(\pi^*E, \pi^*E)} w = 0. \quad ((41))$$

Note, every solution of the preceding equation also satisfies (98) because  $\nabla_{X_g}^{\text{Hom}(\pi^*E, \pi^*E)} = 2 \sigma_D \nabla_{X_{\sigma_D}}^{\text{Hom}(\pi^*E, \pi^*E)}$ .

## REFERENCES

- [1] R. Abraham and J. E. Marsden, *Foundations of Mechanics*, 2nd, Vol. 364, AMS Chelsea Publishing, USA, 1978; AMS reprint 2008.
- [2] Z. Avetisyan, Y.-L. Fang, and D. Vassiliev, *Spectral asymptotics for first order systems*, J. Spectr. Theory **6** (2016), no. 4, 695 – 715, available at [arXiv:1512.06281](https://arxiv.org/abs/1512.06281) [math.SP].
- [3] C. Bär, N. Ginoux, and F. Pfäffle, *Wave Equations on Lorentzian Manifolds and Quantization*, ESI Lectures in Mathematics and Physics, European Mathematical Society, Germany, 2007.
- [4] C. Bär and N. Ginoux, *Classical and Quantum Fields on Lorentzian Manifolds*, Global Differential Geometry (C. Bär, J. Lohkamp, and M. Schwarz, eds.), Springer, Berlin, Heidelberg, 2012, pp. 359 – 400, available at [arXiv:1104.1158](https://arxiv.org/abs/1104.1158) [math-ph].
- [5] R. Bartolo, *Periodic trajectories on stationary Lorentzian manifolds*, Nonlinear Anal. Theory Methods Appl. **43** (2001), no. 7, 883-903.
- [6] H. Baum and I. Kath, *Normally hyperbolic operators, the Huygens property and conformal geometry*, Ann. Glob. Anal. Geom. **14** (1996), no. 4, 315 – 371.
- [7] J. Bolte, *Semiclassical Expectation Values for Relativistic Particles with Spin 1/2*, Found. Phys. **31** (2001), no. 2, 423 – 444, available at [arXiv:0009052](https://arxiv.org/abs/0009052) [nlin.CD].
- [8] J. Bolte and R. Glaser, *Zitterbewegung and semiclassical observables for the Dirac equation*, J. Phys. A: Math. Gen. **37** (2004), no. 24, 6359 – 6373, available at [arXiv:0402154](https://arxiv.org/abs/0402154) [quant-ph].
- [9] J. Bolte and S. Keppeler, *Semiclassical Time Evolution and Trace Formula for Relativistic Spin-1/2 Particles*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **81** (1998), 1987 – 1991, available at [arXiv:9805041](https://arxiv.org/abs/9805041) [quant-ph].
- [10] ———, *A Semiclassical Approach to the Dirac Equation*, Ann. Phys. **274** (1999), no. 1, 125 – 162, available at [arXiv:9811025](https://arxiv.org/abs/9811025) [quant-ph].
- [11] T. P. Branson and P. B. Gilkey, *Residues of the eta function for an operator of Dirac type*, J. Funct. Anal. **108** (1992), no. 1, 47 – 87.
- [12] J. Chazarain, *Formule de Poisson pour les variétés riemanniennes*, Invent. math **24** (1974), no. 1, 65–82.
- [13] ———, *Spectre D’Un hamiltonien quantique et mecanique classique*, Commun. Partial Differ. Equ. **5** (1980), no. 6, 595 – 644.
- [14] O. Chervova, R. Downes, and D. Vassiliev, *The spectral function of a first order elliptic system*, J. Spectr. Theory **3** (2013), no. 3, 317 – 360, available at [arXiv:1208.6015](https://arxiv.org/abs/1208.6015) [math.SP].
- [15] J. J. Duistermaat, *Fourier Integral Operators*, Modern Birkhäuser Classics, Birkhäuser, New York, 2011.
- [16] J. J. Duistermaat and V. W. Guillemin, *The spectrum of positive elliptic operators and periodic bicharacteristics*, Invent. math. **29** (1975), no. 1, 39 – 79.
- [17] J. J. Duistermaat and L. Hörmander, *Fourier integral operators. II*, Acta Math. **128** (1972), 183 – 269.
- [18] G. Esposito, *Dirac Operators and Spectral Geometry*, Cambridge Lecture Notes in Physics, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1998.
- [19] V. Guillemin, *A new proof of Weyl’s formula on the asymptotic distribution of eigenvalues*, Adv. Math. **55** (1985), no. 2, 131 – 160.
- [20] V. Guillemin and S. Sternberg, *Semi-Classical Analysis*, International Press of Boston, Inc., US, 2013.
- [21] M. C. Gutzwiller, *Periodic Orbits and Classical Quantization Conditions*, J. Math. Phys. **12** (1971), no. 3, 343 – 358.
- [22] L. Hörmander, *The spectral function of an elliptic operator*, Acta Math. **121** (1968), no. 1, 193 – 218.
- [23] ———, *Fourier integral operators. I*, Acta Math. **127** (1971), 79 – 183.
- [24] ———, *The Analysis of Linear Partial Differential Operators III: Pseudo-Differential Operators*, Classics in Mathematics, Springer-Verlag Berlin Heidelberg, Germany, 2007.
- [25] ———, *The Analysis of Linear Partial Differential Operators IV: Fourier Integral Operators*, Classics in Mathematics, Springer-Verlag Berlin Heidelberg, Germany, 2009.
- [26] O. Islam and A. Strohmaier, *On microlocalization and the construction of Feynman propagators for normally hyperbolic operators* (2020), available at [arXiv:2012.09767](https://arxiv.org/abs/2012.09767) [math.AP].
- [27] V. Ivrii, *100 years of Weyl’s law*, Bull. Math. Sci. **6** (2016), 379 – 452, available at [arXiv:1608.03963](https://arxiv.org/abs/1608.03963) [math.SP].
- [28] D. Jakobson and A. Strohmaier, *High Energy Limits of Laplace-Type and Dirac-Type EigenFunctions and Frame Flows*, Commun. Math. Phys. **270** (2007), no. 3, 813 – 833, available at [arXiv:0607616v1](https://arxiv.org/abs/0607616v1) [math.SP].
- [29] B. Khesin and S. Tabachnikov, *Pseudo-Riemannian geodesics and billiards*, Adv. Math. **221** (2009), no. 4, 1364 – 1396, available at [arXiv:math/0608620](https://arxiv.org/abs/math/0608620) [math.DG].

- [30] L. Li and A. Strohmaier, *The local counting function of operators of Dirac and Laplace type*, J. Geom. Phys. **104** (2016), 204 – 228, available at [arXiv:1509.00198\[math.SP\]](#).
- [31] E. Meinrenken, *Semiclassical principal symbols and Gutzwiller’s trace formula*, Rep. Math. Phys. **31** (1992), no. 3, 279 – 295.
- [32] ———, *Trace formulas and the Conley-Zehnder index*, J. Geom. Phys. **13** (1994), no. 1, 1 – 15.
- [33] R. Mühlhoff, *Cauchy Problem and Green’s Functions for First Order Differential Operators and Algebraic Quantization*, J. Math. Phys. **52** (2011), 022303, available at [arXiv:1001.4091\[math-ph\]](#).
- [34] P. Muratore-Ginanneschi, *Path integration over closed loops and Gutzwiller’s trace formula*, Phys. Rep. **383** (2003), no. 5, 299 – 397, available at [arXiv:0210047\[nlin-cd\]](#).
- [35] R. Penrose, *On the nature of quantum geometry*, Magic Without Magic (J. R. Klauder, ed.), W. H. Freeman, San Francisco, 1972.
- [36] Y. Safarov, *Fourier Tauberian Theorems and Applications*, J. Funct. Anal. **185** (2001), no. 1, 111 – 128, available at [arXiv:0003014\[math.SP\]](#).
- [37] M. Sánchez, *Timelike periodic trajectories in spatially compact Lorentz manifolds*, Proc. Am. Math. Soc. **127** (1999), no. 10, 3057–3066.
- [38] ———, *On the geometry of static spacetimes*, Nonlinear Anal. Theory Methods. Appl. **63** (2005), no. 5, e455 – e463, available at [arXiv:0406332\[math.DG\]](#).
- [39] ———, *Recent Progress on the Notion of Global Hyperbolicity*, Advances in Lorentzian Geometry: Proceedings of the Lorentzian Geometry Conference in Berlin (M. Plaue, A. Rendall, and M. Scherfner, eds.), AMS/IP Studies in Advanced Mathematics, vol. 49, American Mathematical Society and International Press, USA, 2011, pp. 105 – 124, available at [arXiv:0712.1933\[gr-qc\]](#).
- [40] M. R. Sandoval, *Wavetrace asymptotics for operators of Dirac type*, Commun. Partial Differ. Equ. **24** (1999), no. 9-10, 1903-1944.
- [41] A. Strohmaier and S. Zelditch, *A Gutzwiller trace formula for stationary space-times*, Adv. Math. **376** (2021), 107434, available at [arXiv:1808.08425\[math.AP\]](#).
- [42] ———, *Semi-classical mass asymptotics on stationary spacetimes*, Indag. Math. **32** (2021), no. 1, 323–363, available at [arXiv:2002.01055\[math-ph\]](#).
- [43] ———, *Spectral asymptotics on stationary space-times*, Rev. Math. Phys. **33** (2021), no. 01, 2060007.
- [44] J. A. Toth and S. Zelditch, *Quantum Ergodic Restriction Theorems: Manifolds Without Boundary*, Geom. Funct. Anal. **23** (2013), 715 – 775, available at [arXiv:1104.4531\[math.SP\]](#).
- [45] J.-F. Treves, *Introduction to Pseudodifferential and Fourier Integral Operators: Fourier Integral Operators*, University Series in Mathematics, vol. 2, Plenum Press, New York, 1980; Second Printing 1982.
- [46] A. Uribe, *Trace Formulae*, First Summer School in Analysis and Mathematical Physics: Quantization, the Segal-Bargmann Transform and Semiclassical Analysis (Cuernavaca Morelos, Mexico) (S. Pérez-Esteve and C. Villegas-Blas, eds.), Contemporary Mathematics, vol. 260, American Mathematical Society, 2000, pp. 61 – 90.
- [47] Y. Colin de Verdière, *Spectrum of the Laplace operator and periodic geodesics: thirty years after*, Ann. Inst. Fourier **57** (2007), no. 7, 2429 – 2463.

SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF LEEDS, LEEDS, LS2 9JT, UK  
 Email address: [mmoi@leeds.ac.uk](mailto:mmoi@leeds.ac.uk)