

# The probability of spanning a classical space by two non-degenerate subspaces of complementary dimensions

S.P. Glasby<sup>a,\*</sup>, Alice C. Niemeyer<sup>a,b</sup>, Cheryl E. Praeger<sup>a</sup>

<sup>a</sup>*Centre for the Mathematics of Symmetry and Computation, University of Western Australia, 35 Stirling Highway, Perth, 6009, Australia.*

<sup>b</sup>*Chair for Algebra and Representation Theory, RWTH Aachen University, Pontdriesch 10-16, Aachen, 52062, Germany.*

---

## Abstract

Let  $n, n'$  be positive integers and let  $V$  be an  $(n+n')$ -dimensional vector space over a finite field  $\mathbb{F}$  equipped with a non-degenerate alternating, hermitian or quadratic form. We estimate the proportion of pairs  $(U, U')$ , where  $U$  is a non-degenerate  $n$ -subspace and  $U'$  is a non-degenerate  $n'$ -subspace of  $V$ , such that  $U + U' = V$  (usually such spaces  $U$  and  $U'$  are not perpendicular). The proportion is shown to be at least  $1 - c/|\mathbb{F}|$  for some constant  $c < 2$  in the symplectic or unitary cases, and  $c < 3$  in the orthogonal case.

*Keywords:* non-degenerate subspace, complement, finite classical group

---

## 1. Introduction

Let  $n, n'$  be positive integers and let  $V$  be an  $(n + n')$ -dimensional vector space over a finite field  $\mathbb{F}$ . The proportion of pairs of subspaces  $U, U'$  of dimensions  $n$  and  $n'$ , respectively, that intersect trivially, and hence satisfy  $U + U' = V$ , is close to 1 if  $|\mathbb{F}|$  is large and in all cases is greater than  $1 - 3/(2|\mathbb{F}|)$ , see Lemma 2.1. Our aim is to estimate this proportion in the case where  $V$  is a symplectic, hermitian or orthogonal space and both  $U$  and  $U'$  are non-degenerate. Such an analogue of Lemma 2.1 seems not to have been considered before.

---

\*Corresponding author

*Email addresses:* `Stephen.Glasby@uwa.edu.au` (S.P. Glasby),  
`Alice.Niemeyer@mathb.rwth-aachen.de` (Alice C. Niemeyer),  
`Cheryl.Praeger@uwa.edu.au` (Cheryl E. Praeger)

Configurations involving complementary subspaces in vector spaces arise in a number of different ways; for example, they have been studied graph theoretically [4, 15, 18], geometrically [13], and algorithmically [17]. For different applications the types of subspaces considered are restricted. In representation theory they are usually submodules as in [20]. Often the finite vector space  $V$  is endowed with a *classical form*, that is, a non-degenerate sesquilinear or quadratic form, and in finite geometry the subspaces are usually totally isotropic while in computational group theory they are frequently non-degenerate.

The problem that we address in this paper arises from algorithmic considerations connected with computations in finite classical groups [8, 9]. In order to show that two isometries, each leaving invariant a non-degenerate proper subspace, generate a classical group with high probability (see for example [5, 17]), a fundamental problem arises. Show that, with high probability, for a vector space  $V$  endowed with a classical form, two random non-degenerate subspaces whose dimensions sum to  $\dim(V)$ , are complements of each other, that is, they intersect trivially and span  $V$ . Our aim is to solve this problem, and in fact to prove that the probability approaches 1 as  $|\mathbb{F}|$  approaches  $\infty$ . We emphasize that, for algorithmic applications, an explicit lower bound for this probability, rather than an asymptotic expression, is required.

**Theorem 1.1.** *Let  $n, n'$  be positive integers, let  $V = \mathbb{F}^{n+n'}$  be equipped with a non-degenerate alternating, hermitian or quadratic linear form, and let  $c$  be a constant, with the type of form,  $|\mathbb{F}|$  and  $c$  as in Table 1. Then the proportion of pairs  $(U, U')$  of non-degenerate subspaces of dimensions  $n, n'$  respectively (of given type as in Table 1 in the orthogonal case) that span  $V$  is positive and at least  $1 - c/|\mathbb{F}|$ .*

Instead of proving a lower bound for the pairs of subspaces we seek, our strategy is to determine an upper bound for the pairs of subspaces that intersect non-trivially. In fact, many authors have considered problems concerning families of subspaces which pairwise intersect non-trivially, for example, generalisations of the Erdős-Ko-Rado theorem for sets, or the Hilton-Milnor Theorem which gives upper bounds for the sizes of such families of subspaces (see [2, 10, 12] or related references). Unfortunately we cannot exploit these results to prove our theorem: usually our families of subspaces do not have the pairwise intersecting property, and our families are orbits under a finite classical group. Moreover, it is essential that the subspace dimensions sum

to  $\dim(V)$ . A result [3, Lemma 4] most closely related in spirit to ours determines the proportion of pairs of subspaces  $(U, U')$  of a hermitian space  $V$ , such that  $U$  is totally isotropic of dimension  $\dim(V)/2$ ,  $U'$  is non-degenerate of given dimension at most  $\dim(V)/2$ , and  $U \cap U' = \{0\}$ . Other authors [11] have considered orbits of subspaces of finite classical groups but study different aspects. In our proof we exploit the symmetry of classical geometry and employ the combinatorial technique of “double counting”, see Section 3.

Table 1: The constant  $c$  in Theorem 1.1.

Case	Form	$ \mathbb{F} $	$c$	Conditions
unitary	hermitian	$q^2$	$\frac{9}{5}$	$q \geq 2, n, n' \geq 1, (n, n', q) \neq (1, 1, 2)$ ,
symplectic	alternating	$q$	$\frac{5}{3}$	$q \geq 2$ , and $n, n' \geq 2$ are even,
orthogonal	quadratic	$q$	$\frac{43}{16}$	$q \geq 3, n, n' \geq 1, (n, n', q) \neq (1, 1, 3)$ , if one of $n, n'$ is odd, then $q$ is odd.

In the orthogonal case we require that  $U$  and  $U'$  lie in specified orbits under the isometry group. Notation for the “type” of an orbit is given in Remark 6.1. Our methods are not strong enough to prove Theorem 1.1 in the orthogonal case when  $|\mathbb{F}| = 2$  with a sufficiently small value of the constant  $c$ . However, even though it is not covered by Theorem 1.1, extensive computer experimentation suggests that the result holds in this case.

**Problem 1.2.** Show that Theorem 1.1 holds in the orthogonal case with  $|\mathbb{F}| = 2$  (for some constant  $c < 2$ ).

Theorem 1.1 is a direct consequence of Theorems 4.1, 5.1, and 6.2.

## 2. Notation and strategy for proving Theorem 1.1

In this section we establish the notation and hypotheses used throughout this paper. First, we prove the analogue of Theorem 1.1 mentioned in Section 1.

**Lemma 2.1.** *Let  $q$  be a power of a prime and let  $n, n'$  be positive integers. Let  $V = (\mathbb{F}_q)^{n+n'}$  be a vector space and let  $\binom{V}{n}$  denote the set of  $n$ -subspaces of  $V$ . Then the proportion  $\rho$  of pairs  $(U, U')$  in  $\binom{V}{n} \times \binom{V}{n'}$  with  $U \cap U' = 0$  satisfies  $\rho = \prod_{i=1}^{n'} \frac{1-q^{-i}}{1-q^{-n-i}} > 1 - 3/(2q)$ .*

*Proof.* As  $\text{GL}(V)$  is transitive on  $\binom{V}{n}$ , we fix some  $U \in \binom{V}{n}$ , and then  $\rho$  is the proportion of  $U' \in \binom{V}{n'}$  satisfying  $U \cap U' = 0$ . There are  $q^{nn'}$  complements  $U' \in \binom{V}{n'}$  to  $U$  and

$$\left| \binom{V}{n'} \right| = \frac{|\text{GL}_{n+n'}(q)|}{|\text{GL}_n(q)| |\text{GL}_{n'}(q)| q^{nn'}} = q^{nn'} \prod_{i=1}^{n'} \frac{1-q^{-n-i}}{1-q^{-i}}.$$

Hence  $\rho = q^{nn'} / \left| \binom{V}{n'} \right| = \prod_{i=1}^{n'} \frac{1-q^{-i}}{1-q^{-n-i}}$ . Therefore  $\rho \geq \prod_{i=1}^{n'} (1 - q^{-i}) > \prod_{i=1}^{\infty} (1 - q^{-i})$ , and so  $\rho > 1 - q^{-1} - q^{-2} \geq 1 - 3/(2q)$  by [16, Lemma 3.5].  $\square$

Let  $n, n'$  be positive integers and let  $q$  be a power of a prime  $p$ . Let  $V$  be an  $(n+n')$ -dimensional classical space over a field  $\mathbb{F}$ , that is, a non-degenerate symplectic or orthogonal space over  $\mathbb{F} = \mathbb{F}_q$ , or a non-degenerate hermitian space over  $\mathbb{F} = \mathbb{F}_{q^2}$ .

The isometry type of a subspace of  $V$  depends only on its dimension in the symplectic and unitary cases, but is more complicated to describe in the orthogonal case, see Remark 6.1. In the symplectic and unitary cases, let  $\mathcal{U} = \left[ \begin{smallmatrix} V \\ n \end{smallmatrix} \right]$  (resp.  $\mathcal{U}' = \left[ \begin{smallmatrix} V \\ n' \end{smallmatrix} \right]$ ) denote the set of non-degenerate  $n$ -subspaces (resp.  $n'$ -subspaces) of  $V$ . In the case of an orthogonal space of type  $\varepsilon$ , let  $\mathcal{U} = \left[ \begin{smallmatrix} V \\ n \end{smallmatrix} \right]_{\sigma}^{\varepsilon}$  and  $\mathcal{U}' = \left[ \begin{smallmatrix} V \\ n' \end{smallmatrix} \right]_{\sigma'}^{\varepsilon}$  denote the set of non-degenerate  $n$ - and  $n'$ -subspaces of  $V$  with subspace type  $\sigma$  and  $\sigma'$ , respectively, as in Remark 6.1.

We will show that the proportion of pairs  $(U, U') \in \mathcal{U} \times \mathcal{U}'$  satisfying  $U \cap U' = 0$  is bounded away from zero, and is close to 1 if  $q$  is large. The isometry group  $G(V)$  leaves invariant the set of the pairs  $(U, U') \in \mathcal{U} \times \mathcal{U}'$  satisfying  $U \cap U' = 0$ , and by Witt's Theorem,  $G(V)$  is transitive on  $\mathcal{U}$ . We henceforth fix a subspace  $U \in \mathcal{U}$  and note that

$$\frac{|\{(U, U') \in \mathcal{U} \times \mathcal{U}': U \cap U' = 0\}|}{|\mathcal{U} \times \mathcal{U}'|} = \frac{|\{U' \in \mathcal{U}': U \cap U' = 0\}|}{|\mathcal{U}'|}.$$

Rather than showing that the above proportion is bounded away from zero, we find an upper bound, less than 1, for the quantity

$$(1) \quad \varphi := \frac{|\{U' \in \mathcal{U}': U \cap U' \neq 0\}|}{|\mathcal{U}'|}.$$

We use the following strategy to bound  $\varphi$ . If  $U \cap U'$  is not trivial, it must contain a 1-subspace. Thus

$$\{U' \in \mathcal{U}' : U \cap U' \neq 0\} = \bigcup_W \{U' \in \mathcal{U}' : W \leq U \cap U'\},$$

where  $W$  ranges over the 1-subspaces of  $U$ . A 1-subspace  $W$  either lies in the set  $\mathcal{N}_1(U)$  of non-degenerate 1-subspaces of  $U$ , or the set  $\mathcal{P}_1(U)$  of totally isotropic/singular 1-subspaces of  $U$ . (We say that  $W$  is *totally isotropic* in the symplectic and unitary cases if  $W \leq W^\perp$  and *totally singular* in the orthogonal case if  $Q(W) = 0$ , which implies  $W \leq W^\perp$ . Since  $\dim(W) = 1$  we sometimes omit the adjective ‘‘totally’’.) For  $W \leq U$ , let

$$\mathcal{U}'(W) = \{U' \in \mathcal{U}' : W \leq U'\}.$$

Thus we have

$$\{U' \in \mathcal{U}' : U \cap U' \neq 0\} = \left( \bigcup_{W \in \mathcal{P}_1(U)} \mathcal{U}'(W) \right) \cup \left( \bigcup_{W \in \mathcal{N}_1(U)} \mathcal{U}'(W) \right).$$

Hence we have the upper bound

$$(2) \quad \varphi = \frac{|\{U' \in \mathcal{U}' : U \cap U' \neq 0\}|}{|\mathcal{U}'|} \leq \frac{|\bigcup_{W \in \mathcal{P}_1(U)} \mathcal{U}'(W)|}{|\mathcal{U}'|} + \frac{|\bigcup_{W \in \mathcal{N}_1(U)} \mathcal{U}'(W)|}{|\mathcal{U}'|}.$$

In the symplectic case the set  $\mathcal{N}_1(U)$  is empty. Given an arbitrary element  $U'$  of  $\mathcal{U}$ , we prove in Lemma 5.2 that

$$(3) \quad \varphi = \frac{|\bigcup_{W \in \mathcal{P}_1(U)} \mathcal{U}'(W)|}{|\mathcal{U}'|} \leq \frac{|\mathcal{P}_1(U)||\mathcal{P}_1(U')|}{|\mathcal{P}_1(V)|}$$

and moreover that  $\varphi < 1.5/q$  if  $q \geq 3$ . The exceptional case when  $q = 2$  is handled in the remainder of Section 5, completing the proof of Theorem 5.1 that  $\varphi < 5/(3q)$  for  $n, n', q \geq 2$ .

We deal with the unitary and orthogonal cases in Sections 4 and 6 respectively. In both cases the isometry group  $G(V)$  is transitive on the isotropic/singular 1-subspaces of  $V$  and we show, in the proof of Theorem 4.1 (see Equation (10)) and Lemma 6.9 respectively, that

$$(4) \quad \frac{|\bigcup_{W \in \mathcal{P}_1(U)} \mathcal{U}'(W)|}{|\mathcal{U}'|} \leq \frac{|\mathcal{P}_1(U)||\mathcal{P}_1(U')|}{|\mathcal{P}_1(V)|} =: \frac{c_2}{q|\mathbb{F}|}$$

obtaining an explicit estimate for  $c_2$ . In the unitary case  $G(V)$  is also transitive on the non-degenerate 1-subspaces of  $V$  and we show in the proof of Theorem 4.1 (see Equation (9)), that

$$(5) \quad \frac{\left| \bigcup_{W \in \mathcal{N}_1(U)} \mathcal{U}'(W) \right|}{|\mathcal{U}'|} \leq \frac{|\mathcal{N}_1(U)| |\mathcal{N}_1(U')|}{|\mathcal{N}_1(V)|} =: \frac{c_{1,\mathbf{U}}}{|\mathbb{F}|}$$

and find an explicit estimate for  $c_{1,\mathbf{U}}$ .

The orthogonal case requires a more delicate analysis because the isometry group  $G(V)$  has at most two orbits on non-degenerate 1-subspaces of  $V$ . For this reason, the definition (5) of  $c_{1,\mathbf{U}}$  is adapted in the orthogonal case:

$$(6) \quad \frac{\left| \bigcup_{W \in \mathcal{N}_1(U)} \mathcal{U}'(W) \right|}{|\mathcal{U}'|} \leq \frac{\sum_{W \in \mathcal{N}_1(U)} |\mathcal{U}'(W)|}{|\mathcal{U}'|} =: \frac{c_{1,\mathbf{O}}}{|\mathbb{F}|}.$$

An intricate proof in Lemma 6.10 shows that  $c_{1,\mathbf{O}}$  is bounded by explicit functions in  $n, n', q$ . Thus in both the unitary and orthogonal cases we obtain

$$(7) \quad \varphi \leq \frac{c_{1,\mathbf{U}}}{|\mathbb{F}|} + \frac{c_2}{q|\mathbb{F}|} \quad \text{and} \quad \varphi \leq \frac{c_{1,\mathbf{O}}}{|\mathbb{F}|} + \frac{c_2}{q|\mathbb{F}|}.$$

This allows us to prove that  $\varphi < c/|\mathbb{F}|$  for a small constant  $c$ , see Theorems 4.1 and 6.2. In the orthogonal case, our proof needs  $q > 2$  in order to obtain  $c < |\mathbb{F}|$  and hence  $\varphi < 1$ . (In the unitary and orthogonal sections, namely Sections 4 and 6, we write  $c_1$  rather than  $c_{1,\mathbf{U}}$  and  $c_{1,\mathbf{O}}$  as the meaning is clear.)

### 3. Double counting lemma

The following simple result is surprisingly effective in our numerous different contexts when solving estimation problems. Let  $\mathcal{W}$  and  $\mathcal{U}'$  be sets of subspaces of  $V$ . Define

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{U}'(W) &= \{U' \in \mathcal{U}' \mid W \leq U'\} \quad \text{for fixed } W \in \mathcal{W}, \text{ and} \\ \mathcal{W}(U') &= \{W \in \mathcal{W} \mid W \leq U'\} \quad \text{for fixed } U' \in \mathcal{U}'. \end{aligned}$$

**Lemma 3.1** (Double counting lemma). *Let  $G \leq \text{GL}(V)$  and let  $\mathcal{W}$  and  $\mathcal{U}'$  be  $G$ -orbits on the set of all subspaces of  $V$ . For fixed  $W \in \mathcal{W}$ ,  $U' \in \mathcal{U}'$  and  $\mathcal{U}'(W), \mathcal{W}(U')$  as above, we have*

$$|\mathcal{W}| \cdot |\mathcal{U}'(W)| = |\mathcal{W}(U')| \cdot |\mathcal{U}'|.$$

*Proof.* Let  $\Omega = \{(W, U') \in \mathcal{W} \times \mathcal{U}' \mid W \leq U'\}$ . Observe that

$$\{(W, U') \mid W \in \mathcal{W}, U' \in \mathcal{U}'(W)\} = \Omega = \{(W, U') \mid U' \in \mathcal{U}', W \in \mathcal{W}(U')\}.$$

Since  $\mathcal{W}$  and  $\mathcal{U}'$  are  $G$ -invariant, it is easy to show for all  $g \in G$  and fixed  $(W, U') \in \mathcal{W} \times \mathcal{U}'$  that  $\mathcal{U}'(W)^g = \mathcal{U}'(W^g)$  and  $\mathcal{W}(U')^g = \mathcal{W}((U')^g)$ . Thus we have  $|\mathcal{U}'(W^g)| = |\mathcal{U}'(W)|$  and  $|\mathcal{W}((U')^g)| = |\mathcal{W}(U')|$  for all  $g \in G$ . It follows from the above display that  $|\mathcal{W}| \cdot |\mathcal{U}'(W)| = |\Omega| = |\mathcal{W}(U')| \cdot |\mathcal{U}'|$  for any chosen  $(W, U') \in \mathcal{W} \times \mathcal{U}'$ , as claimed.  $\square$

#### 4. The unitary case

Let  $V = (\mathbb{F}_{q^2})^{n+n'}$  be a non-degenerate hermitian space where  $n, n' \geq 1$ , and let  $\mathcal{U} = \begin{bmatrix} V \\ n \end{bmatrix}$  and  $\mathcal{U}' = \begin{bmatrix} V \\ n' \end{bmatrix}$ , as in Section 2. In this section we prove Theorem 1.1 in the unitary case by establishing the following result which determines an upper bound for the proportion  $\varphi$  in (1).

**Theorem 4.1.** *Suppose that  $n, n' \geq 1$  and  $V = (\mathbb{F}_{q^2})^{n+n'}$  is a non-degenerate hermitian space. Let  $\mathcal{U} = \begin{bmatrix} V \\ n \end{bmatrix}$  and  $\mathcal{U}' = \begin{bmatrix} V \\ n' \end{bmatrix}$ , and fix  $U \in \mathcal{U}$ . Then*

$$\varphi = \frac{|\{U' \in \mathcal{U}' \mid U \cap U' \neq 0\}|}{|\mathcal{U}'|} \leq \frac{|\mathcal{N}_1(U)||\mathcal{N}_1(U')|}{|\mathcal{N}_1(V)|} + \frac{|\mathcal{P}_1(U)||\mathcal{P}_1(U')|}{|\mathcal{P}_1(V)|} \leq \frac{9}{5q^2}$$

holds if  $(n, n', q) \neq (1, 1, 2)$ , and  $\varphi \leq \frac{2}{q^2}$  always holds.

We need the following technical lemma which estimates certain polynomials in  $-q^{-1}$ .

**Lemma 4.2.** *Suppose  $\zeta_n := \zeta_n(q) = (1 - (-q)^{-n+1})(1 - (-q)^{-n})$  and  $q \geq 2$ . Then*

$$(a) \quad 0 = \zeta_1 < \zeta_3 < \zeta_5 < \cdots < 1 < \cdots < \zeta_6 < \zeta_4 < \zeta_2 = (1 + q^{-1})(1 - q^{-2}),$$

$$(b) \quad \zeta_{2i+2}\zeta_{2j} < \zeta_{2i}\zeta_{2j+2} \text{ for } 1 \leq i < j,$$

$$(c) \quad \zeta_n\zeta_{n'}\zeta_4 \leq \zeta_2^2\zeta_{n+n'} \text{ for } n, n' \geq 1.$$

*Proof.* (a) Suppose that  $i \geq 1$ . By definition, the inequality  $\zeta_{2i-1} < \zeta_{2i+1}$  is

$$(1 - q^{-2i+2})(1 + q^{-2i+1}) < (1 - q^{-2i})(1 + q^{-2i-1}).$$

After subtracting 1 from both sides, multiplying by  $q^{4i+1}$ , and rearranging, this is equivalent to

$$q^{2i+2} + q^{2i+1} + 1 < q^{2i+3} + q^{2i} + q^4.$$

The stronger inequality  $q^{2i+2} + q^{2i+1} + 1 < q^{2i+3}$  is true for  $q \geq 2$ . Therefore  $\zeta_{2i-1} < \zeta_{2i+1}$  holds, and since  $\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \zeta_{2i+1} = 1$  we see that  $0 = \zeta_1 < \zeta_3 < \zeta_5 < \dots < 1$  holds.

Similarly, by definition, the inequality  $\zeta_{2i+2} < \zeta_{2i}$  is

$$(1 + q^{-2i-1})(1 - q^{-2i-2}) < (1 + q^{-2i+1})(1 - q^{-2i}),$$

and after subtracting 1 from both sides, multiplying by  $q^{4i+3}$ , and rearranging, this is equivalent to

$$q^{2i+3} + q^{2i+2} + q^4 < q^{2i+4} + q^{2i+1} + 1.$$

Since,  $i \geq 1$  and  $q \geq 2$ , we have

$$q^{2i+3} + q^{2i+2} + q^4 \leq q^{2i}(q^3 + q^2 + q^2) \leq q^{2i+4} < q^{2i+4} + q^{2i+1} + 1.$$

Hence  $\zeta_{2i+2} < \zeta_{2i}$  holds, and since  $\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \zeta_{2i} = 1$  we see that  $1 < \dots < \zeta_6 < \zeta_4 < \zeta_2 = (1 + q^{-1})(1 - q^{-2})$  holds, proving part (a).

(b) Observe first that  $\zeta_{2i} = q^{-4i+1}(q^{2i-1} + 1)(q^{2i} - 1)$ . Replacing  $i$  with  $i+1$  gives  $\zeta_{2i+2} = q^{-4i-3}(q^{2i+1} + 1)(q^{2i+2} - 1)$ . Hence  $\zeta_{2i}\zeta_{2j+2} - \zeta_{2i+2}\zeta_{2j}$  equals  $q^{-4i-4j-2}x$  where  $x$  is the following difference

$$(q^{2i-1}+1)(q^{2i}-1)(q^{2j+1}+1)(q^{2j+2}-1) - (q^{2i+1}+1)(q^{2i+2}-1)(q^{2j-1}+1)(q^{2j}-1).$$

MATHEMATICA [21] shows that  $x = q^{-1}(q^2 - 1)(q^{2j} - q^{2i})y$  where

$$y = q^{2i+2j+2} - q^{2i+2j+1} - q^{2j+2} - q^{2j} - q^{2i+2} - q^{2i} - q + 1.$$

Suppose that  $1 \leq i < j$ . Proving  $\zeta_{2i}\zeta_{2j+2} - \zeta_{2i+2}\zeta_{2j} > 0$  is equivalent to proving  $x > 0$ , which is equivalent to proving  $y > 0$ . If the exponents of  $q$  are distinct, that is if  $i+1 < j$ , then this is clear. If  $i+1 = j$ , then  $y = q^{2i+2j+2} - q^{2i+2j+1} - q^{2j+2} - 2q^{2j} - q^{2i} - q + 1$  and  $2q^{2j} \leq q^{2j+1}$  and it is also clear that  $y > 0$ . Consequently,  $\zeta_{2i+2}\zeta_{2j} < \zeta_{2i}\zeta_{2j+2}$  as claimed.

(c) If  $n$  is even and  $n'$  is odd, then part (a) shows that  $\zeta_n \leq \zeta_2$ ,  $\zeta_{n'} < \zeta_{n+n'}$ ,  $\zeta_4 < \zeta_2$  and hence  $\zeta_n\zeta_{n'}\zeta_4 \leq \zeta_2^2\zeta_{n+n'}$ . The case when  $n$  is odd and  $n'$  is even is proved similarly. If both  $n$  and  $n'$  are odd, then  $\zeta_{n'} < \zeta_2$ ,  $\zeta_n < \zeta_{n+n'}$

and  $\zeta_4 < \zeta_2$  by part (a), and hence  $\zeta_n \zeta_{n'} \zeta_4 \leq \zeta_2^2 \zeta_{n+n'}$ . Finally, suppose that both  $n$  and  $n'$  are even. By symmetry, we may assume that  $n \leq n'$ . The inequality holds trivially if  $n = n' = 2$ , so we may assume in addition that  $n' \geq 4$ . Then  $\zeta_4 \zeta_{n'} \leq \zeta_2 \zeta_{n'+2}$  by part (b) (with  $i = 1$  and  $j = n'/2$ ). Hence  $\zeta_n \zeta_{n'} \zeta_4 \leq \zeta_2^2 \zeta_{n+n'}$  holds when  $n = 2$ . Henceforth assume that  $4 \leq n \leq n'$ . Then  $\zeta_n \zeta_{n'+2} < \zeta_{n-2} \zeta_{n'+4} < \cdots < \zeta_2 \zeta_{n+n'}$  by repeated application of part (b). Multiplying  $\zeta_n \zeta_{n'+2} \leq \zeta_2 \zeta_{n+n'}$  and  $\zeta_4 \zeta_{n'} \leq \zeta_2 \zeta_{n'+2}$  and cancelling  $\zeta_{n'+2}$  gives  $\zeta_n \zeta_4 \zeta_{n'} \leq \zeta_2^2 \zeta_{n+n'}$  as claimed.  $\square$

*Proof of Theorem 4.1.* Let  $V = (\mathbb{F}_{q^2})^{n+n'}$ ,  $\mathcal{U} = \begin{bmatrix} V \\ n \end{bmatrix}$ ,  $\mathcal{U}' = \begin{bmatrix} V \\ n' \end{bmatrix}$  and fix  $U \in \mathcal{U}$ . The isometry group  $G = G(V)$  has two orbits on the 1-subspaces of  $V$ , namely the set of isotropic 1-subspaces  $\mathcal{P}_1(V)$  and the set of non-degenerate 1-subspaces  $\mathcal{N}_1(V)$ . Similarly,  $G_U$  has two orbits on the 1-subspaces of  $U$ , namely  $\mathcal{P}_1(U)$  and  $\mathcal{N}_1(U)$ . Fix  $W_{\mathcal{N}} \in \mathcal{N}_1(U)$  and  $W_{\mathcal{P}} \in \mathcal{P}_1(U)$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \bigcup_{W \in \mathcal{N}_1(U) \cup \mathcal{P}_1(U)} \mathcal{U}'(W) \right| &\leq \left| \bigcup_{W \in \mathcal{N}_1(U)} \mathcal{U}'(W) \right| + \left| \bigcup_{W \in \mathcal{P}_1(U)} \mathcal{U}'(W) \right| \\ &\leq |\mathcal{N}_1(U)| \cdot |\mathcal{U}'(W_{\mathcal{N}})| + |\mathcal{P}_1(U)| \cdot |\mathcal{U}'(W_{\mathcal{P}})|. \end{aligned}$$

We apply the double counting lemma (Lemma 3.1) with  $G = G(V)$  and a fixed  $U' \in \mathcal{U}' = \begin{bmatrix} V \\ n' \end{bmatrix}$ , first with  $\mathcal{W} = \mathcal{N}_1(V)$  to obtain  $|\mathcal{N}_1(V)| \cdot |\mathcal{U}'(W_{\mathcal{N}})| = |\mathcal{N}_1(U')| \cdot |\mathcal{U}'|$ , and then with  $\mathcal{W} = \mathcal{P}_1(V)$  to obtain  $|\mathcal{P}_1(V)| \cdot |\mathcal{U}'(W_{\mathcal{P}})| = |\mathcal{P}_1(U')| \cdot |\mathcal{U}'|$ . Hence, substituting into the above display yields

$$\varphi = \frac{\left| \bigcup_{W \in \mathcal{N}_1(U) \cup \mathcal{P}_1(U)} \mathcal{U}'(W) \right|}{|\mathcal{U}'|} \leq \frac{|\mathcal{N}_1(U)| |\mathcal{N}_1(U')|}{|\mathcal{N}_1(V)|} + \frac{|\mathcal{P}_1(U)| |\mathcal{P}_1(U')|}{|\mathcal{P}_1(V)|}.$$

A formula for  $|\mathcal{P}_1(U)|$  is given in [19, 10.4, p. 117] and since  $|\mathcal{N}_1(U)| + |\mathcal{P}_1(U)|$  equals the number  $(q^{2n} - 1)/(q^2 - 1)$  of 1-subspaces of  $V$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} |\mathcal{N}_1(U)| &= \frac{q^{2n-2}(1 - (-q)^{-n})}{1 + q^{-1}} \quad \text{and} \\ |\mathcal{P}_1(U)| &= \frac{q^{2n-3}(1 - (-q)^{-n+1})(1 - (-q)^{-n})}{1 - q^{-2}}. \end{aligned}$$

Using the convenient notation  $\vartheta_n = 1 - (-q)^{-n}$  and  $\zeta_n = \vartheta_{n-1} \vartheta_n$ , these expressions become

$$(8) \quad |\mathcal{N}_1(U)| = \frac{q^{2n-2} \vartheta_n}{1 + q^{-1}}, \quad \text{and} \quad |\mathcal{P}_1(U)| = \frac{q^{2n-3} \zeta_n}{1 - q^{-2}}.$$

Thus

$$(9) \quad \frac{|\cup_{W \in \mathcal{N}_1(U)} \mathcal{U}'(W)|}{|\mathcal{U}'|} \leq \frac{|\mathcal{N}_1(U)| \cdot |\mathcal{N}_1(U')|}{|\mathcal{N}_1(V)|} =: \frac{c_1}{q^2} \quad \text{where by (8),}$$

$$c_1 = \frac{\vartheta_n \vartheta_{n'}}{(1 + q^{-1}) \vartheta_{n+n'}},$$

and

$$(10) \quad \frac{|\cup_{W \in \mathcal{P}_1(U)} \mathcal{U}'(W)|}{|\mathcal{U}'|} \leq \frac{|\mathcal{P}_1(U)| \cdot |\mathcal{P}_1(U')|}{|\mathcal{P}_1(V)|} =: \frac{c_2}{q^3} \quad \text{where by (8),}$$

$$c_2 = \frac{\zeta_n \zeta_{n'}}{(1 - q^{-2}) \zeta_{n+n'}}.$$

Thus  $\varphi \leq (c_1 + c_2/q)q^{-2}$ . We now bound  $c_1 + c_2/q$ .

First suppose that  $n = 1$ . Then  $\vartheta_1 = 1 + q^{-1}$  and  $\zeta_1 = 0$  implies that  $c_1 = \vartheta_{n'}/\vartheta_{n'+1}$  by (9) and  $c_2 = 0$  by (10). Therefore, when  $n = 1$ ,

$$c_1 + \frac{c_2}{q} = c_1 = \frac{\vartheta_{n'}}{\vartheta_{n'+1}}.$$

If  $n'$  is even then  $\theta_{n'} < 1 < \theta_{n'+1}$  so  $c_1 < 1 < \frac{9}{5}$ . On the other hand if  $n'$  is odd, then  $c_1 = \frac{1+q^{-n'}}{1-q^{-n'-1}} \leq \frac{1+q^{-1}}{1-q^{-2}} = \frac{1}{1-q^{-1}} < \frac{9}{5}$  if  $q \geq 3$ . If  $(n, n', q) = (1, 1, 2)$ , we have  $c_1 + \frac{c_2}{q} = \frac{1+2^{-1}}{1-2^{-2}} = 2$ . Hence, for  $n = 1$ ,  $c_1 + \frac{c_2}{q} \leq \frac{9}{5}$  when  $(n, n', q) \neq (1, 1, 2)$ , and  $c_1 + \frac{c_2}{q}$  is always at most 2, so Theorem 4.1 is proved in this case. As the expressions for  $c_1$  and  $c_2$  are symmetric in  $n, n'$ , it follows that Theorem 4.1 is also proved when  $n' = 1$ .

Thus we may (and will) assume henceforth that  $n, n' \geq 2$ . We first prove that  $c_1 < 1$  using the expression in (9). Since  $n, n' \geq 2$ , we have

$$\frac{3}{4} \leq 1 - q^{-2} = \vartheta_2 < \vartheta_4 < \vartheta_6 < \dots < 1 < \dots < \vartheta_5 < \vartheta_3 = 1 + q^{-3} \leq \frac{9}{8},$$

and hence  $1 - q^{-2} \leq \vartheta_n, \vartheta_{n'} \leq 1 + q^{-3}$ . If  $n, n'$  have different parities, then

$$c_1 < \frac{1 \cdot \vartheta_3}{(1 + q^{-1}) \cdot 1} = \frac{1 + q^{-3}}{1 + q^{-1}} = 1 - q^{-1} + q^{-2} < 1.$$

If  $n, n'$  are both even, then

$$c_1 < \frac{1^2}{(1 + q^{-1}) \cdot \vartheta_4} = \frac{1}{(1 + q^{-1})(1 - q^{-4})} < 1.$$

If  $n, n'$  are both odd, then both  $n, n' \geq 3$ , and so

$$c_1 \leq \frac{\vartheta_3^2}{(1+q^{-1}) \cdot \vartheta_6} = \frac{(1+q^{-3})^2}{(1+q^{-1}) \cdot (1-q^{-6})} = \frac{1-q^{-1}+q^{-2}}{1-q^{-3}} < 1.$$

In summary, for  $n, n' \geq 2$ , we have  $c_1 < 1$  as claimed.

We now show that  $c_2 \leq \frac{8}{5}$  when  $n, n' \geq 2$ . By (10) and Lemma 4.2(c),

$$\begin{aligned} c_2 &= \frac{\zeta_n \zeta_{n'}}{(1-q^{-2})\zeta_{n+n'}} \leq \frac{\zeta_2^2}{(1-q^{-2})\zeta_4} = \frac{(1+q^{-1})\zeta_2}{\zeta_4} \\ &= \frac{(1+q^{-1})^2(1-q^{-2})}{(1+q^{-3})(1-q^{-4})} = \frac{1+q^{-1}}{(1-q^{-1}+q^{-2})(1+q^{-2})}. \end{aligned}$$

The last expression on the right above is a decreasing function of  $q$ . Setting  $q = 2$  shows  $c_2 \leq \frac{8}{5}$ . Thus  $c_1 + c_2/q < 1 + 4/5 = 9/5$  when  $n, n' \geq 2$ .

Thus we have proved, for all  $n, n' \geq 1$ ,  $q \geq 2$  and  $(n, n', q) \neq (1, 1, 2)$ , that

$$\varphi \leq \frac{|\mathcal{N}_1(U)| \cdot |\mathcal{N}_1(U')|}{|\mathcal{N}_1(V)|} + \frac{|\mathcal{P}_1(U)| \cdot |\mathcal{P}_1(U')|}{|\mathcal{P}_1(V)|} = \frac{c_1}{q^2} + \frac{c_2}{q^3} = \frac{c_1 + c_2/q}{q^2} \leq \frac{9}{5q^2},$$

and when  $(n, n', q) = (1, 1, 2)$ , then  $\varphi \leq \frac{2}{q^2}$ . This completes the proof.  $\square$

## 5. The symplectic case

Let  $V = (\mathbb{F}_q)^{n+n'}$  be a non-degenerate symplectic space where  $n, n'$  are even, and let  $\mathcal{U} = \begin{bmatrix} V \\ n \end{bmatrix}$  and  $\mathcal{U}' = \begin{bmatrix} V \\ n' \end{bmatrix}$ , as in Section 2. In this section we prove Theorem 1.1 in the symplectic case by establishing the following result.

**Theorem 5.1.** *Suppose that  $n, n'$  are even and  $V = (\mathbb{F}_q)^{n+n'}$  is a non-degenerate symplectic space. Let  $\mathcal{U} = \begin{bmatrix} V \\ n \end{bmatrix}$  and  $\mathcal{U}' = \begin{bmatrix} V \\ n' \end{bmatrix}$ , and fix  $U \in \mathcal{U}$ . Then*

$$\varphi := \frac{|\{U' \in \mathcal{U}' : U \cap U' \neq 0\}|}{|\mathcal{U}'|} < \frac{5}{3q} \quad \text{for all } n, n', q.$$

Furthermore if either  $q \geq 3$  or  $\min\{n, n'\} = 2$ , then  $\varphi < \frac{3}{2q}$ .

As every 1-subspace of  $U$  is totally isotropic,  $\mathcal{N}_1(U)$  is empty. Lemma 5.2 below proves Equation (3), and so justifies the last sentence of Theorem 5.1. In particular it proves Theorem 5.1 for  $q \geq 3$ .

**Lemma 5.2.** *Let  $V, n, n', \mathcal{U}, \mathcal{U}'$  and  $\varphi$  be as in Theorem 5.1. Then*

$$\varphi \leq \frac{|\mathcal{P}_1(U)| \cdot |\mathcal{P}_1(U')|}{|\mathcal{P}_1(V)|} < \frac{1 - q^{-\min\{n, n'\}}}{q - 1},$$

for all  $U \in \mathcal{U}$  and  $U' \in \mathcal{U}'$ . Moreover, if  $q \geq 3$ , or if  $\min\{n, n'\} = 2$ , then  $\varphi < \frac{3}{2q} < \frac{5}{3q}$ .

*Proof.* As all the 1-subspaces of  $V$  are isotropic,  $|\mathcal{P}_1(V)| = (q^{n+n'} - 1)/(q - 1)$  and  $\varphi = |\bigcup_{W' \in \mathcal{P}_1(U)} \mathcal{U}'(W')|/|\mathcal{U}'|$ . Since the restriction of  $G_U$  to  $U$  is  $G(U)$ , and  $G(U)$  is transitive on  $\mathcal{P}_1(U)$ , we have, for a chosen  $W \in \mathcal{P}_1(U)$ ,

$$\varphi \cdot |\mathcal{U}'| = \left| \bigcup_{W' \in \mathcal{P}_1(U)} \mathcal{U}'(W') \right| \leq |\mathcal{P}_1(U)| \cdot |\mathcal{U}'(W)|.$$

Applying Lemma 3.1 with  $G$  the isometry group  $\text{Sp}(V)$  of  $V$ ,  $\mathcal{U}' = \left[ \begin{smallmatrix} V \\ n' \end{smallmatrix} \right]$ ,  $\mathcal{W} = \mathcal{P}_1(V)$  and fixed  $U' \in \mathcal{U}'$ ,  $W \in \mathcal{W}$ , we obtain  $|\mathcal{P}_1(V)| \cdot |\mathcal{U}'(W)| = |\mathcal{P}_1(U')| \cdot |\mathcal{U}'|$ . Thus

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi &\leq \frac{|\mathcal{P}_1(U)| \cdot |\mathcal{U}'(W)|}{|\mathcal{U}'|} = \frac{|\mathcal{P}_1(U)| \cdot |\mathcal{P}_1(U')|}{|\mathcal{P}_1(V)|} = \frac{(q^n - 1)(q^{n'} - 1)}{(q - 1)(q^{n+n'} - 1)} \\ &= \frac{(1 - q^{-n})(1 - q^{-n'})}{(q - 1)(1 - q^{-n-n'})} < \frac{1 - q^{-\min\{n, n'\}}}{q - 1}. \end{aligned}$$

If  $q \geq 3$ , then  $\varphi < \frac{1}{q-1} \leq \frac{3}{2q} < \frac{5}{3q}$ . Finally, if  $\min\{n, n'\} = 2$ , then

$$\varphi < \frac{1 - q^{-2}}{q - 1} = \frac{1 - q^{-2}}{q(1 - q^{-1})} = \frac{1 + q^{-1}}{q} \leq \frac{3}{2q} < \frac{5}{3q}. \quad \square$$

### 5.1. The symplectic case with $q = 2$

In order to prove Theorem 5.1 for  $q = 2$  we require a much more delicate argument. Henceforth assume that the hypotheses of Theorem 5.1 hold, that  $q = 2$  and that  $\min\{n, n'\} \geq 4$ . For a subspace  $W$  of  $V$  recall that  $\mathcal{U}'(W) = \{U' \in \mathcal{U}' \mid W \leq U'\}$  as in Lemma 3.1, and for a fixed  $U \in \mathcal{U}$  write

$$(11) \quad \mathcal{T} = \bigcup_{W \in \mathcal{P}_1(U)} \mathcal{U}'(W).$$

We need to improve the upper bound for  $\varphi = |\mathcal{T}|/|\mathcal{U}'|$  given in Lemma 5.2. For a positive integer  $k$ , let  $\mathcal{P}_k(U)$  denote the set of totally isotropic  $k$ -subspaces of  $U$ . (Clearly  $|\mathcal{P}_k(U)| = 0$  for  $k > \frac{1}{2} \dim(U)$ .) It follows from [19, Exercise 8.1(ii)] that

$$(12) \quad |\mathcal{P}_k(U)| = \prod_{i=0}^{k-1} \frac{q^{\dim(U)-2i} - 1}{q^{k-i} - 1}.$$

To estimate  $|\mathcal{T}|$  we use the facts that the symplectic group  $G(U)$  on  $U$  (which is induced by  $G(V)_U$ ) has two orbits on the set of 2-subspaces of  $U$ , namely  $\mathcal{P}_2(U)$  and  $\begin{bmatrix} U \\ 2 \end{bmatrix}$ , and that  $G(U)$  is transitive on the set of 3-subspaces of  $U$  which are not totally isotropic (the latter assertion is justified after (13)).

The goal of the next result (Lemma 5.3) is to establish a new upper bound  $|\mathcal{T}| \leq T_1 - T_2 + T_3$ , with the  $T_k$  as in (15), using the inclusion-exclusion principle. We compute  $T_1$  directly, and compute  $T_2$  and  $T_3$  in terms of the quantities  $S_2$  and  $S_3$  defined in (14). Then we determine  $S_2$  and  $S_3$  using the double counting Lemma 3.1. Before proceeding, we need some additional notation.

Let  $\binom{V}{k}$  denote the set of all  $k$ -subspaces of  $V$ . Consider the partition

$$(13) \quad \binom{V}{k} = \mathcal{P}_k(V) \dot{\cup} \mathcal{R}_k(V) \quad \text{where } \mathcal{R}_k(V) = \binom{V}{k} \setminus \mathcal{P}_k(V).$$

Note in particular that  $\mathcal{R}_2(V) = \begin{bmatrix} V \\ 2 \end{bmatrix}$ . Each  $W \in \mathcal{R}_3(V)$  is 3-dimensional and hence is degenerate. Since  $W \not\leq W^\perp$  and  $W/(W \cap W^\perp)$  is non-degenerate, we have  $W \cap W^\perp = \langle f' \rangle$  and  $W$  is isometric to  $\langle e, f, f' \rangle$  where  $\langle e, f \rangle \in \begin{bmatrix} V \\ 2 \end{bmatrix}$ . Hence  $\mathcal{R}_3(V)$  is an orbit under  $G := \text{Sp}(V)$ . Also each of  $\mathcal{R}_2(V)$ ,  $\mathcal{P}_2(V)$ , and  $\mathcal{P}_3(V)$  is a  $G$ -orbit. Applying (13) to  $\binom{U}{k}$  gives, for  $k \in \{2, 3\}$ ,

$$(14) \quad S_k := \sum_{W \in \binom{U}{k}} |\mathcal{U}'(W)| = S_{\mathcal{P},k} + S_{\mathcal{R},k} \quad \text{where}$$

$$S_{\mathcal{P},k} := \sum_{W \in \mathcal{P}_k(U)} |\mathcal{U}'(W)|, \quad \text{and} \quad S_{\mathcal{R},k} := \sum_{W \in \mathcal{R}_k(U)} |\mathcal{U}'(W)|.$$

**Lemma 5.3.** *Assume that  $\min\{n, n'\} \geq 4$  and  $q = 2$ . Given a positive integer  $k$ , let*

$$(15) \quad T_k = \sum_{\{W_1, \dots, W_k\}} |\mathcal{U}'(W_1 + \dots + W_k)|,$$

where the sum is over all  $k$ -element subsets  $\{W_1, \dots, W_k\}$  of  $\mathcal{P}_1(U)$ .

(a) Then  $|\mathcal{T}| \leq T_1 - T_2 + T_3$  and, for  $W \in \mathcal{P}_1(U)$ ,

$$T_1 = |\mathcal{P}_1(U)| \cdot |\mathcal{U}'(W)| \quad \text{and satisfies} \quad \frac{T_1}{|\mathcal{U}'|} = \frac{(1 - 2^{-n})(1 - 2^{-n'})}{1 - 2^{-n-n'}} < 1.$$

(b) Let  $k \in \{2, 3\}$ . Then  $\mathcal{R}_k \neq \emptyset$  for all  $n \geq 4$ , and  $\mathcal{P}_k \neq \emptyset$  if and only if  $(n, k) \neq (4, 3)$ . Further,  $S_k = S_{\mathcal{P},k} + S_{\mathcal{R},k}$  as in (14) where  $S_{\mathcal{P},k} = 0$  if  $(n, k) = (4, 3)$ , and otherwise

$$S_{\mathcal{P},k} = |\mathcal{P}_k(U)| \cdot |\mathcal{U}'(W_{\mathcal{P},k})|, \quad S_{\mathcal{R},k} = |\mathcal{R}_k(U)| \cdot |\mathcal{U}'(W_{\mathcal{R},k})|,$$

for chosen  $W_{\mathcal{P},k} \in \mathcal{P}_k(U)$  and  $W_{\mathcal{R},k} \in \mathcal{R}_k(U)$ . Moreover,

$$|\mathcal{T}| \leq T_1 - 2S_2 + 28S_3.$$

*Proof.* (a) It is straightforward to see that, for  $W_1, \dots, W_k \in \mathcal{P}_1(U)$ ,

$$(16) \quad \mathcal{U}'(W_1) \cap \dots \cap \mathcal{U}'(W_k) = \mathcal{U}'(W) \quad \text{where} \quad W = W_1 + \dots + W_k.$$

By the inclusion-exclusion principle, see for example [6, III.7.4], we have

$$|\mathcal{T}| = \sum_{k \geq 1} (-1)^{k-1} M_k \quad \text{where} \quad M_k = \sum_{\{W_1, \dots, W_k\}} |\mathcal{U}'(W_1) \cap \dots \cap \mathcal{U}'(W_k)|$$

and the sum for  $M_k$  is over all  $k$ -element subsets of  $\mathcal{P}_1(U)$ . It follows from (16) that  $M_k = T_k$  as in (15), and that  $T_k = 0$  if  $k > |\mathcal{P}_1(U)| = (q^n - 1)/(q - 1)$ . Finally, we truncate the summation to obtain upper/lower bounds for  $|\mathcal{T}|$  such as  $T_1 - T_2 \leq T_1 - T_2 + T_3 - T_4 \leq \dots \leq |\mathcal{T}| \leq \dots \leq T_1 - T_2 + T_3 \leq T_1$ . In particular,  $|\mathcal{T}| \leq T_1 - T_2 + T_3$ .

Since the restriction of  $G(V)_U$  to  $U$  is  $G(U)$ , and  $G(U)$  is transitive on  $\mathcal{P}_1(U)$ , it follows that  $T_1 = |\mathcal{P}_1(U)| \cdot |\mathcal{U}'(W)|$  for a fixed 1-subspace  $W$  of  $U$ . It was shown in the proof of Lemma 5.2 that

$$\frac{|\mathcal{P}_1(U)| \cdot |\mathcal{U}'(W)|}{|\mathcal{U}'|} = \frac{(1 - q^{-n})(1 - q^{-n'})}{(q - 1)(1 - q^{-n-n'})}.$$

Since we are assuming  $q = 2$ , substituting  $q = 2$  gives the expression for  $T_1/|\mathcal{U}'|$  in part (a).

(b) Suppose that  $k \in \{2, 3\}$ . Since  $U$  is non-degenerate, it follows from (13) that  $\mathcal{R}_k(U) \neq \emptyset$ . Observe that  $\mathcal{P}_k(U) = \emptyset$  if and only if  $k > n/2$ .

Hence, since  $n \geq 4$ ,  $\mathcal{P}_k(U) = \emptyset$  if and only if  $(n, k) = (4, 3)$ , and so  $S_{\mathcal{P},k} = 0$  if  $(n, k) = (4, 3)$ . For  $(n, k) \neq (4, 3)$ , in the sums  $S_k = S_{\mathcal{P},k} + S_{\mathcal{R},k}$ , the contribution  $|\mathcal{U}'(W)|$  for a subspace  $W$  of  $U$  is the same for each  $G_U$ -image of  $W$ , and as we noted above there are at most two non-empty  $G_U$ -orbits on  $k$ -subspaces of  $U$  for  $k \in \{2, 3\}$ . Thus using the partition  $\binom{U}{k} = \mathcal{P}_k(U) \cup \mathcal{R}_k(U)$  in (13), and choosing subspaces  $W$  from each non-empty  $G_U$ -orbit we obtain the expressions for  $S_{\mathcal{P},k}$  and  $S_{\mathcal{R},k}$  given in part (b).

Finally we prove the upper bound for  $|\mathcal{T}|$ . As  $q = 2$ , each 2-subspace  $W$  of  $U$  arises

as  $W = W_1 + W_2$  for exactly three pairs  $\{W_1, W_2\}$  from  $\mathcal{P}_1(U)$  and hence  $T_2 = 3S_2$  with  $S_2$  as in (14). Similarly the definition of  $T_3$  involves a sum over unordered triples  $\{W_1, W_2, W_3\}$  from  $\mathcal{P}_1(U)$ . If  $W = W_1 + W_2 + W_3$  is a 2-subspace, then  $W$  arises exactly once and the contribution to  $T_3$  of such triples is precisely  $S_2$ . On the other hand, if  $W$  is a 3-subspace, then  $W$  arises once for each of its  $|\mathrm{GL}_3(2)|/3! = 28$  spanning unordered triples of 1-subspaces. Thus  $T_3 = S_2 + 28S_3$  with  $S_2, S_3$  as in (14). Thus by part (a),  $|\mathcal{T}| \leq T_1 - T_2 + T_3 = T_1 - 2S_2 + 28S_3$ , as in part (b).  $\square$

**Lemma 5.4.** *Suppose that  $q = 2$ ,  $\min\{n, n'\} \geq 4$  and  $k \in \{2, 3\}$ . Then*

$$(a) \quad \frac{|S_k|}{|\mathcal{U}'|} = \frac{S_{\mathcal{P},k}}{|\mathcal{U}'|} + \frac{S_{\mathcal{R},k}}{|\mathcal{U}'|}, \text{ where}$$

$$\frac{S_{\mathcal{P},k}}{|\mathcal{U}'|} = \frac{|\mathcal{P}_k(U)| \cdot |\mathcal{P}_k(U')|}{|\mathcal{P}_k(V)|} \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{S_{\mathcal{R},k}}{|\mathcal{U}'|} = \frac{|\mathcal{R}_k(U)| \cdot |\mathcal{R}_k(U')|}{|\mathcal{R}_k(V)|};$$

$$(b) \quad \frac{S_{\mathcal{P},2}}{|\mathcal{U}'|} = \frac{1}{12} \prod_{i=0}^1 \frac{(1 - 2^{-n+2i})(1 - 2^{-n'+2i})}{1 - 2^{-n-n'+2i}}; \text{ and}$$

$$(c) \quad \frac{S_{\mathcal{P},3}}{|\mathcal{U}'|} < \frac{1}{112} \cdot \frac{S_{\mathcal{P},2}}{|\mathcal{U}'|}, \quad \frac{S_{\mathcal{R},2}}{|\mathcal{U}'|} = \frac{1}{12} \cdot \frac{T_1}{|\mathcal{U}'|}, \quad \frac{S_{\mathcal{R},3}}{|\mathcal{U}'|} = \frac{1}{16} \cdot \frac{S_{\mathcal{P},2}}{|\mathcal{U}'|}.$$

*Proof.* (a) The first formula holds since  $S_k = S_{\mathcal{P},k} + S_{\mathcal{R},k}$  by (14). By Lemma 5.3(b),  $S_{\mathcal{P},k} = 0$  if and only if  $(n, k) = (4, 3)$ , and in this case  $\mathcal{P}_k(U) = \emptyset$  and the formula for  $S_{\mathcal{P},k}/|\mathcal{U}'|$  holds trivially. Suppose that  $(n, k) \neq (4, 3)$  and hence  $S_{\mathcal{P},k} \neq 0$ . Then by Lemma 5.3(b),  $S_{\mathcal{P},k} = |\mathcal{P}_k(U)| \cdot |\mathcal{U}'(W)|$  for a chosen  $W \in \mathcal{P}_k(U)$ . Applying Lemma 3.1 with  $\mathcal{W} = \mathcal{P}_k(V)$ , so  $W \in \mathcal{W} \cap U$  and for a chosen  $U' \in \mathcal{U}'$ , gives  $|\mathcal{P}_k(V)| \cdot |\mathcal{U}'(W)| = |\mathcal{P}_k(U')| \cdot |\mathcal{U}'|$ . Thus,

$$\frac{S_{\mathcal{P},k}}{|\mathcal{U}'|} = \frac{|\mathcal{P}_k(U)| \cdot |\mathcal{U}'(W)|}{|\mathcal{U}'|} = \frac{|\mathcal{P}_k(U)| \cdot |\mathcal{P}_k(U')|}{|\mathcal{P}_k(V)|}.$$

A similar argument verifies the formula for  $S_{\mathcal{R},k}/|\mathcal{U}'|$ . This proves part (a).

(b) Setting  $q = 2$  in (12) gives

$$(17) \quad |\mathcal{P}_k(U)| = \prod_{i=0}^{k-1} \frac{2^{n-2i} - 1}{2^{k-i} - 1} = 2^{k(n-k) - \binom{k}{2}} \prod_{i=0}^{k-1} \frac{1 - 2^{-n+2i}}{1 - 2^{-k+i}},$$

with analogous expressions for  $|\mathcal{P}_k(U')|$  and  $|\mathcal{P}_k(V)|$ . These expressions with  $x := (k(n-k) - \binom{k}{2}) + (k(n'-k) - \binom{k}{2}) - (k(n+n'-k) - \binom{k}{2})$  yield

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{S_{\mathcal{P},k}}{|\mathcal{U}'|} &= 2^x \prod_{i=0}^{k-1} \frac{1 - 2^{-n+2i}}{1 - 2^{-k+i}} \cdot \frac{1 - 2^{-n'+2i}}{1 - 2^{-k+i}} \cdot \frac{1 - 2^{-k+i}}{1 - 2^{-n-n'+2i}} \\ &= 2^{-k(3k-1)/2} \prod_{i=0}^{k-1} \frac{(1 - 2^{-n+2i})(1 - 2^{-n'+2i})}{(1 - 2^{-k+i})(1 - 2^{-n-n'+2i})}. \end{aligned}$$

Moreover  $2^{k(3k-1)/2} \prod_{i=0}^{k-1} (1 - 2^{-k+i})$  equals 12 if  $k = 2$ , and 1344 if  $k = 3$ . For  $k = 2$ , this verifies the stated formula for  $S_{\mathcal{P},2}/|\mathcal{U}'|$ , proving part (b), and for  $k = 3$ , we have

$$\frac{S_{\mathcal{P},3}}{|\mathcal{U}'|} = \frac{1}{1344} \prod_{i=0}^2 \frac{(1 - 2^{-n+2i})(1 - 2^{-n'+2i})}{1 - 2^{-n-n'+2i}}.$$

(c) First we relate  $S_{\mathcal{P},3}/|\mathcal{U}'|$  to  $S_{\mathcal{P},2}/|\mathcal{U}'|$ .

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{S_{\mathcal{P},3}}{|\mathcal{U}'|} &= \frac{1}{112 \cdot 12} \left( \prod_{i=0}^1 \frac{(1 - 2^{-n+2i})(1 - 2^{-n'+2i})}{1 - 2^{-n-n'+2i}} \right) \frac{(1 - 2^{-n+4})(1 - 2^{-n'+4})}{1 - 2^{-n-n'+4}} \\ &< \frac{1}{112} \frac{S_{\mathcal{P},2}}{|\mathcal{U}'|}. \end{aligned}$$

We next apply Lemma 3.1 with  $\mathcal{W} = \mathcal{R}_2(U) = \begin{bmatrix} V \\ 2 \end{bmatrix}$  and note that the subspace  $W_{\mathcal{R},2}$  of Lemma 5.3(b) lies in  $\mathcal{W}$ . Then  $|\begin{bmatrix} V \\ 2 \end{bmatrix}| \cdot |\mathcal{U}'(W_{\mathcal{R},2})| = |\begin{bmatrix} U' \\ 2 \end{bmatrix}| \cdot |\mathcal{U}'|$  by Lemma 3.1. Thus, by Lemma 5.3(b),

$$\frac{S_{\mathcal{R},2}}{|\mathcal{U}'|} = \frac{|\begin{bmatrix} U \\ 2 \end{bmatrix}| \cdot |\mathcal{U}'(W_{\mathcal{R},2})|}{|\mathcal{U}'|} = \frac{|\begin{bmatrix} U \\ 2 \end{bmatrix}| \cdot |\begin{bmatrix} U' \\ 2 \end{bmatrix}|}{|\begin{bmatrix} V \\ 2 \end{bmatrix}|}.$$

The number of non-degenerate 2-subspaces of  $U$  is

$$(18) \quad |\mathcal{R}_2(U)| = \left| \begin{bmatrix} U \\ 2 \end{bmatrix} \right| = \frac{|\mathrm{Sp}_n(2)|}{|\mathrm{Sp}_2(2)| |\mathrm{Sp}_{n-2}(2)|} = \frac{2^{2(n-1)}(1 - 2^{-n})}{3},$$

with analogous expressions for  $U', V$ , and these yield

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{S_{\mathcal{R},2}}{|\mathcal{U}'|} &= \frac{2^{2(n-1)}(1-2^{-n})}{3} \cdot \frac{2^{2(n'-1)}(1-2^{-n'})}{3} \cdot \frac{3}{2^{2(n+n'-1)}(1-2^{-n-n'})} \\ &= \frac{(1-2^{-n})(1-2^{-n'})}{12(1-2^{-n-n'})} = \frac{1}{12} \cdot \frac{T_1}{|\mathcal{U}'|}, \end{aligned}$$

where the last equality follows from Lemma 5.3(a).

It remains to prove the formula for  $S_{\mathcal{R},3}/|\mathcal{U}'|$ . Since  $n \geq 4$ , both  $S_{\mathcal{R},3}$  and  $S_{\mathcal{P},2}$  are nonzero. We use Lemma 3.1 with  $G = \text{Sp}(V)$  and  $\mathcal{W} = \mathcal{R}_3(V)$  the  $G$ -orbit of 3-subspaces of  $V$  which are not totally isotropic. The subspace  $W_{\mathcal{R},3}$  of Lemma 5.3(b) lies in  $\mathcal{W}$  and Lemma 3.1 implies that  $|\mathcal{W}| \cdot |\mathcal{U}'(W_{\mathcal{R},3})| = |\mathcal{W}(U')| \cdot |\mathcal{U}'|$ . Since  $\mathcal{W}(U') = \mathcal{R}_3(U')$ , Lemma 5.3(b) yields

$$\frac{S_{\mathcal{R},3}}{|\mathcal{U}'|} = \frac{|\mathcal{R}_3(U)| \cdot |\mathcal{U}'(W_{\mathcal{R},3})|}{|\mathcal{U}'|} = \frac{|\mathcal{R}_3(U)| \cdot |\mathcal{R}_3(U')|}{|\mathcal{R}_3(V)|}.$$

We evaluate  $|\mathcal{R}_3(U)|$  as follows: for  $W \in \mathcal{R}_3(U)$ , its radical  $R = W \cap W^\perp$  is 1-dimensional and is determined by  $W$ , and  $W/R$  is a non-degenerate 2-subspace of  $R^\perp/R$ . Thus the number of such subspaces  $W$  contained in  $U$  equals the number  $2^n - 1$  of choices for  $R$  in  $U$  times the number of non-degenerate 2-subspaces of the non-degenerate  $(n-2)$ -space  $(R^\perp \cap U)/R$ , which by (18) equals  $2^{2(n-3)}(1-2^{-n+2})/3$ . Thus

$$|\mathcal{R}_3(U)| = (2^n - 1) \frac{2^{2(n-3)}(1-2^{-n+2})}{3} = \frac{2^{3(n-2)}}{3} \prod_{i=0}^1 (1-2^{-n+2i})$$

with analogous expressions for  $\mathcal{R}_3(U'), \mathcal{R}_3(V)$ . These yield

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{S_{\mathcal{R},3}}{|\mathcal{U}'|} &= \frac{2^{3(n-2)} \prod_{i=0}^1 (1-2^{-n+2i}) \cdot 2^{3(n'-2)} \prod_{i=0}^1 (1-2^{-n'+2i})}{3 \cdot 2^{3(n+n'-2)} \prod_{i=0}^1 (1-2^{-n-n'+2i})} \\ &= \frac{1}{2^6 \cdot 3} \cdot \prod_{i=0}^1 \frac{(1-2^{-n+2i})(1-2^{-n'+2i})}{1-2^{-n-n'+2i}} = \frac{1}{16} \cdot \frac{S_{\mathcal{P},2}}{|\mathcal{U}'|}, \end{aligned}$$

where the last equality follows from part (b). This completes the proof.  $\square$

*Proof of Theorem 5.1.* By Lemma 5.2, we have  $\varphi < 1/(q-1)$  for all  $n, n', q$ , and  $\varphi < \frac{3}{2}q^{-1}$  if either  $q \geq 3$ , or  $\min\{n, n'\} = 2$ . It remains to consider the case  $q = 2$  with  $\min\{n, n'\} \geq 4$ . By Lemma 5.3(b),

$$|\mathcal{T}| \leq T_1 - 2S_2 + 28S_3 = T_1 - 2(S_{\mathcal{P},2} + S_{\mathcal{R},2}) + 28(S_{\mathcal{P},3} + S_{\mathcal{R},3}).$$

Next, applying Lemma 5.4(c) gives

$$\varphi = \frac{|\mathcal{T}|}{|\mathcal{U}'|} < \frac{T_1}{|\mathcal{U}'|} - \frac{2 \cdot S_{\mathcal{P},2}}{|\mathcal{U}'|} - \frac{2 \cdot T_1}{12 \cdot |\mathcal{U}'|} + \frac{28 \cdot S_{\mathcal{P},2}}{112 \cdot |\mathcal{U}'|} + \frac{28 \cdot S_{\mathcal{P},2}}{16 \cdot |\mathcal{U}'|} = \frac{5}{6} \frac{T_1}{|\mathcal{U}'|}.$$

Finally,  $T_1/|\mathcal{U}'| < 1$  by Lemma 5.3(a), and hence  $|\mathcal{T}|/|\mathcal{U}'| < \frac{5}{6} = \frac{5}{3q}$ .  $\square$

## 6. The orthogonal case

Let  $V = (\mathbb{F}_q)^{n+n'}$  be a non-degenerate orthogonal space endowed with a non-degenerate quadratic form  $Q: V \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_q$ . We assume that  $V$  is elliptic, hyperbolic or parabolic, and we denote its type by  $\varepsilon$  where  $\varepsilon = -, +, \circ$ , respectively.

Let  $\beta: V \times V \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_q$  denote the corresponding polar form:

$$\beta(u, v) = Q(u + v) - Q(u) - Q(v).$$

The adjective “non-degenerate” can be applied to  $Q$  or  $\beta$  and it means that  $\text{QRad}(V) = \{0\}$  or  $\text{BRad}(V) = \{0\}$  where

$$\begin{aligned} \text{QRad}(V) &= \{u \in V \mid Q(u) = 0 \text{ and } \beta(u, v) = 0 \text{ for all } v \in V\}, \text{ and} \\ \text{BRad}(V) &= \{u \in V \mid \beta(u, v) = 0 \text{ for all } v \in V\}. \end{aligned}$$

It is clear that  $\text{QRad}(V) \subseteq \text{BRad}(V)$ . Indeed, equality holds unless both  $q$  is even and  $\dim(V)$  is odd (see, for example, [14, Proposition 2.5.1]). We shall consider non-degenerate subspaces  $U, U'$  of  $V$  of dimensions  $n$  and  $n'$ , that is to say,  $U$  and  $U'$  endowed with the restricted forms  $Q|_U$  and  $Q|_{U'}$  satisfy  $\text{QRad}(U) = \text{QRad}(U') = \{0\}$ . In this section we shall additionally assume that  $\text{BRad}(V) = \text{BRad}(U) = \text{BRad}(U') = \{0\}$ . This means that, if  $q$  is even, then both  $n$  and  $n'$  must be even. Thus if at least one of  $n, n'$  is odd then we assume that  $q$  is odd. This explains the entries in Tables 1 and 2.

Recall that in Theorem 1.1,  $\mathcal{U}$  and  $\mathcal{U}'$  are orbits of subspaces under the isometry group of  $V$ . Not all subspaces of a given odd dimension are equivalent under isometries of  $V$  and as discussed in Section 2, we give the required details in Remark 6.1 below.

**Remark 6.1.** The *isometry type*  $\varepsilon \in \{+, -, \circ\}$  where

$$+ = \text{hyperbolic}, \quad - = \text{elliptic}, \quad \circ = \text{parabolic}$$

Table 2: The subspace type  $\sigma$  and the intrinsic type  $\tau$  of a subspace  $U$  of  $V$ . When  $\dim(U)\dim(U^\perp)$  is odd, we identify  $-1, 1$  with  $-, +$ , respectively.

$\dim(U)$	$\dim(U^\perp)$	$q$	$\sigma$	$\tau$	comment
even	all	all	$\{-, +\}$	$\sigma$	$\sigma =$ isometry type of $Q _U$
odd	even	odd	$\{-, +\}$	$\circ$	$\sigma =$ isometry type of $Q _{U^\perp}$
odd	odd	odd	$\{-, +\}$	$\circ$	$\sigma = \delta(U)$ see (19)

of a non-degenerate orthogonal space  $V$  (or its quadratic form  $Q$ ) is defined as usual in terms of the Witt index of the space, see for example [19, p. 139]. However, there are two possibilities for the type of a non-degenerate subspace  $U$  of  $V$ . We call one the *intrinsic type of  $U$*  (we denote it by  $\tau$ ). It is related to the restricted quadratic form  $Q|_U$  which makes  $U$  into a non-degenerate space. The other is the *subspace type of  $U$*  (we denote it by  $\sigma$ ) and it depends on how  $U$  embeds into  $V$ . To be completely unambiguous, it should be called the “type of  $U$  as a subspace of  $V$ ”, but when the parent space  $V$  is unambiguous we sometimes abbreviate this to “subspace type” or simply “type”. When the product  $\dim(U)\dim(U^\perp)$  is odd, and hence  $q$  is odd, the subspace type depends on a function  $\delta(U)$  defined in (19) depending on the *discriminant*  $\text{Disc}(U)$  of  $U$ . (We give an explicit instance of such subspaces in Example 6.3.) The discriminant is  $\square$  or  $\boxtimes$ , according as a Gram matrix for the polar form of  $Q|_U$  has square or non-square determinant, respectively, see [14, p. 32]. Define

$$(19) \quad \delta(U) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } \text{Disc}(U) = \square, \\ -1 & \text{if } \text{Disc}(U) = \boxtimes. \end{cases}$$

- The *intrinsic type*  $\tau \in \{-, \circ, +\}$  of  $U$  is the isometry type of  $Q|_U$ .
- The *subspace type*  $\sigma \in \{-, +\}$  of  $U$  (or more precisely, the type of  $U$  as a subspace of  $V$ ) is described in Table 2 and satisfies the following:
  - (i)  $\sigma$  is the isometry type of  $Q|_{U^\perp}$  if  $\dim(U)$  is odd and  $\dim(U^\perp)$  is even i.e. if the product  $\dim(V)\dim(U)$  is odd,
  - (ii)  $\sigma$  is the isometry type of  $Q|_U$  if  $\dim(U)$  is even, and
  - (iii)  $\sigma$  is  $\delta(U)$  if both  $\dim(U)$  and  $\dim(U^\perp)$  are odd.

Recall that if one of  $\dim(U)$  and  $\dim(U^\perp)$  is odd, then we assume that  $q$  is odd, so  $\delta(U)$  is defined in (iii). The intrinsic type of  $U$  is relevant when determining “internal” properties of  $U$ , such as the number of totally singular 1-subspaces, while the subspace type of  $U$  is relevant when considering “embedding” properties such as the number of subspaces of  $V$  isometric to  $U$ . We use subspace type in defining  $\mathcal{U}$  and  $\mathcal{U}'$ .

In this section we prove Theorem 1.1 in the orthogonal case by establishing the following result.

**Theorem 6.2.** *Suppose that  $n, n' \geq 1$  and  $V = (\mathbb{F}_q)^{n+n'}$  is a non-degenerate orthogonal space of isometry type  $\varepsilon$ , such that  $q \geq 3$ , and  $q$  is odd if at least one of  $n, n'$  is odd. Let  $\mathcal{U} = \left[ \begin{smallmatrix} V \\ n \end{smallmatrix} \right]_\sigma^\varepsilon$  and  $\mathcal{U}' = \left[ \begin{smallmatrix} V \\ n' \end{smallmatrix} \right]_{\sigma'}^\varepsilon$ , where  $\sigma$  and  $\sigma'$  are subspace types as in Table 2, and fix  $U \in \mathcal{U}$ . Then either*

$$\varphi = \frac{|\{U' \in \mathcal{U}' \mid U \cap U' \neq 0\}|}{|\mathcal{U}'|} \leq \frac{43}{16q},$$

or  $(n, n', q, \varepsilon) = (1, 1, 3, +)$ ,  $\sigma = \sigma'$ , and  $\varphi = 1$ .

The first result Lemma 6.4 shows that, if  $n$  is even and  $q$  is odd, then the discriminant  $\text{Disc}(U)$  determines the intrinsic type of  $U$ , and conversely. This is not always the case when  $nq$  is odd, see line 3 of Table 2 where subspaces with the same intrinsic type  $\circ$  may have different discriminants (and subspace types), see Example 6.3.

**Example 6.3.** Suppose  $q$  is odd and  $V = \langle e_1, f_1, e_2, f_2, e_3, f_3 \rangle = (\mathbb{F}_q)^6$  is endowed with the hyperbolic quadratic form  $Q: V \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_q$  defined by

$$Q(x_1e_1 + y_1f_1 + x_2e_2 + y_2f_2 + x_3e_3 + y_3f_3) = x_1y_1 + x_2y_2 + x_3y_3.$$

As  $q$  is odd, we can choose  $\lambda \in \mathbb{F}_q^\times$  such that  $\lambda$  is a non-square. Restricting to  $U = \langle e_1, f_1, e_3 - \frac{\lambda}{2}f_3 \rangle$  and  $U' = \langle e_2, f_2, e_3 - \frac{1}{2}f_3 \rangle$  gives

$$\begin{aligned} Q(xe_1 + yf_1 + z(e_3 - \frac{\lambda}{2}f_3)) &= xy - \frac{\lambda}{2}z^2, \quad \text{and} \\ Q(xe_2 + yf_2 + z(e_3 - \frac{1}{2}f_3)) &= xy - \frac{1}{2}z^2. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore  $U$  and  $U'$  are non-degenerate parabolic 3-subspaces. The restricted forms  $Q|_U$  and  $Q|_{U'}$  have polar forms  $\beta_U$  and  $\beta_{U'}$ . The (symmetric) bilinear

forms and Gram matrices defining  $\beta_U$  and  $\beta_{U'}$  are

$$x_1y_2 + x_2y_1 - \lambda z_1z_2 \quad \text{and} \quad x_1y_2 + x_2y_1 - z_1z_2$$

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -\lambda \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

The Gram matrices have determinants  $\lambda, 1$  so  $\text{Disc}(U) = \boxtimes, \text{Disc}(U') = \square$ . Hence  $U$  and  $U'$  are non-isometric with intrinsic type  $\circ$ , and subspace types  $\boxtimes$  and  $\square$ , respectively. Moreover,  $V = U \oplus U'$  holds as  $\lambda \neq 1$ , and  $U^\perp \neq U'$ .

**Lemma 6.4.** *Suppose that  $V$  is a non-degenerate orthogonal space over  $\mathbb{F}_q$  where  $q$  is odd. Let  $W$  be a non-degenerate subspace of  $V$ , and define*

- (a) *Then  $\delta(V) = \delta(W)\delta(W^\perp)$  where  $\delta$  is defined in (19); and*
- (b) *If  $\dim(W) = 2k$  is even and  $W$  has intrinsic type  $\tau \in \{-, +\}$ , then  $\delta(W) = \tau \cdot (-1)^{\dim(W)(q-1)/4} = \tau \cdot (-1)^{k(q-1)/2}$ .*

*Proof.* (a) It follows from [14, Proposition 2.5.11(i)] that  $\delta(V) = \delta(W)\delta(W^\perp)$ .

(b) Suppose now that  $n := \dim(W)$  is even and the isometry group of  $W$  is  $O_n^\tau(q)$ . Then paraphrasing [14, Proposition 2.5.10, p. 33] shows that

$$\delta(W) = 1 \text{ if and only if } \text{Disc}(W) = \square \text{ if and only if } \tau = (-1)^{n(q-1)/4}.$$

Hence we also have

$$\delta(W) = -1 \text{ if and only if } \text{Disc}(W) = \boxtimes \text{ if and only if } \tau = -(-1)^{n(q-1)/4}.$$

Combining these cases gives  $\delta(W) = \tau(-1)^{n(q-1)/4}$ .  $\square$

Note that  $\mathcal{U} := [n]_\sigma^\varepsilon$  and  $\mathcal{U}' := [n']_{\sigma'}^\varepsilon$  are orbits under the isometry group  $G(V)$ . Our convention is that  $U \in [n]_\sigma^\varepsilon$  has intrinsic type  $\tau$  and  $U' \in [n']_{\sigma'}^\varepsilon$  has intrinsic type  $\tau'$ . If precisely one of  $n$  and  $n'$  is odd (and hence  $q$  is odd), then we will interchange the roles of  $U$  and  $U'$ , if necessary, and assume that  $n'$  is odd.

### 6.1. Orbits on 1-subspaces

Let  $U$  have intrinsic type  $\tau$ , and recall from Table 2 that  $\tau = \sigma$  if  $n$  is even and  $\tau = \circ$  if  $n$  is odd. It is convenient to identify the possible symbols  $-, \circ, +$  for  $\tau$  with the numbers  $-1, 0, 1$  respectively. It follows from [19, Theorem 11.5] that the number of non-zero singular vectors of  $U$  is

$$q^{n-1} - \tau q^{n/2-1} + \tau q^{n/2} - 1 = q^{n-1}(1 - \tau q^{-n/2} + \tau q^{-n/2+1} - q^{-n+1}).$$

If  $n$  is odd, then  $\tau = \circ$  and the middle two terms disappear. Thus we define a function  $\gamma_n^\tau(q)$  as follows

$$(20) \quad \gamma_n^\tau(q) := 1 - \tau q^{-n/2} + \tau q^{-n/2+1} - q^{-n+1} \quad \text{for } \tau \in \{-, \circ, +\}.$$

Dividing the number of (non-zero) singular vectors by  $q-1 = q(1-q^{-1})$  gives the number of (totally) singular 1-subspaces. Thus the above equations show

$$(21) \quad |\mathcal{P}_1(U)| = \frac{q^{n-2}\gamma_n^\tau(q)}{1-q^{-1}} = q^{n-2} \left( \frac{1-q^{-n+1}}{1-q^{-1}} + \tau q^{-n/2+1} \right).$$

Using Equation (21) and  $|\mathcal{N}_1(U)| = (q^n - 1)/(q - 1) - |\mathcal{P}_1(U)|$  yields

$$(22) \quad |\mathcal{N}_1(U)| = q^{n-1}(1 - \tau q^{-n/2}) = q^{n-1}(1 - \tau q^{-\lfloor n/2 \rfloor})$$

where  $\tau = 0$  if  $n$  is odd.

Before proceeding, we bound the quantity  $\gamma_n^\tau(q)$  in (20).

**Lemma 6.5.** *If  $\tau \in \{-, +\}$ , then  $\gamma_n^\tau(q) = (1 + \tau q^{-n/2+1})(1 - \tau q^{-n/2})$ , and if  $k \geq 1$  and  $q > 1$ , then*

$$1 - q^{-k+1} < \gamma_{2k}^-(q) < \gamma_{2k+1}^\circ(q) < 1 < \gamma_{2k}^+(q) < (1 - q^{-1}) \left( \frac{1}{1 - q^{-1}} + q^{-k+1} \right).$$

*Proof.* If  $\tau \in \{-, +\}$ , then  $\gamma_n^\tau(q) = (1 + \tau q^{-n/2+1})(1 - \tau q^{-n/2})$  because we identify  $\pm$  with  $\pm 1$  and then  $\tau^2 = 1$ . The flanking inequalities are

$$\begin{aligned} \gamma_{2k}^+(q) &= 1 + q^{-k+1} - q^{-k} - q^{-2k+1} \\ &< 1 + (1 - q^{-1})q^{-k+1} = (1 - q^{-1}) \left( \frac{1}{1 - q^{-1}} + q^{-k+1} \right), \end{aligned}$$

and  $1 - q^{-k+1} < (1 - q^{-k+1})(1 + q^{-k}) = \gamma_{2k}^-(q)$ . The remaining inequalities  $\gamma_{2k}^-(q) < \gamma_{2k+1}^\circ(q) < 1 < \gamma_{2k}^+(q)$  are immediate since  $\gamma_{2k+1}^\circ(q) = 1 - q^{-2k}$ .  $\square$

If  $q$  is even then  $G(U)$  is transitive on  $\mathcal{N}_1(U)$ . However, if  $q$  is odd and  $n = \dim(U) > 1$ , then  $G(U)$  has two orbits in  $\mathcal{N}_1(U)$  which we denote  $\mathcal{N}_1^\alpha(U)$  where  $\alpha \in \{-, +\}$ . The definition of  $\mathcal{N}_1^\alpha(U)$  depends on  $\alpha$ , the parity of  $n$ , and the function  $\delta$  in (19) as follows:

$$(23) \quad \mathcal{N}_1^\alpha(U) = \begin{cases} \{W \in \mathcal{N}_1(U) \mid \delta(W) = \alpha\} & \text{if } n \text{ is even,} \\ \{W \in \mathcal{N}_1(U) \mid W^\perp \cap U \text{ has type } \alpha\} & \text{if } n > 1 \text{ is odd.} \end{cases} \quad \begin{matrix} (23a) \\ (23b) \end{matrix}$$

We comment on the word ‘type’ in (23b). If  $W \in \mathcal{N}_1(U)$  then  $W^\perp \geq U^\perp$ , and since  $U \cap U^\perp = 0$  we see that  $W^\perp \cap U$  is a hyperplane of  $U$ . Therefore in (23b), where  $n$  is odd,  $\dim(W^\perp \cap U)$  is even so  $\alpha$  is both the intrinsic type and subspace type of  $W^\perp \cap U$  (see line 1 of Table 2). The next result determines the subset of subspaces in  $\mathcal{N}_1^\alpha(V)$  which are contained in  $U$ .

Table 3: Values of  $\mathcal{N}_1^\alpha(V) \cap \mathcal{N}_1(U)$  as a function of  $\dim(U)$  and  $\dim(U^\perp)$ .

$\dim(U)$	$\dim(U^\perp)$	$\mathcal{N}_1^\alpha(V) \cap \mathcal{N}_1(U)$	definitions
$2m$	$2m'$	$\mathcal{N}_1^\alpha(U)$	$m := \lfloor n/2 \rfloor, m' := \lfloor n'/2 \rfloor$
$2m$	$2m' + 1$	$\mathcal{N}_1^{\alpha\beta}(U)$	$\beta := \delta(V)(-1)^{(m+m')(q-1)/2}$
$2m + 1$	$2m'$	$\mathcal{N}_1^{\alpha\gamma}(U)$	$\gamma := \delta(U^\perp)(-1)^{m'(q-1)/2}$
$2m + 1$	$2m' + 1$	$\mathcal{N}_1^{\alpha\eta}(U)$	$\eta := \delta(U)(-1)^{m(q-1)/2}$

**Lemma 6.6.** *Suppose that  $q$  is odd and  $U$  is a non-degenerate  $n$ -subspace of  $V$  with  $n > 1$ . For  $\alpha \in \{-, +\}$ , let  $\mathcal{N}_1^\alpha(V)$  be as in (23). Then  $\mathcal{N}_1^\alpha(V) \cap \mathcal{N}_1(U)$  is as in Table 3.*

*Proof.* If  $\dim(U) = 2m$  and  $\dim(U^\perp) = 2m'$ , then it follows from (23a) that  $\mathcal{N}_1^\alpha(V) \cap \mathcal{N}_1(U) = \mathcal{N}_1^\alpha(U)$ . This proves the first line of Table 3.

Suppose next that  $\dim(V)$  is odd as in lines 2 or 3 of Table 3. If  $W \in \mathcal{N}_1^\alpha(V)$ , then  $\dim(W^\perp) = 2(m + m')$  and  $W^\perp$  has intrinsic type  $\alpha$  by (23b). Thus, by Lemma 6.4(b),  $\delta(W^\perp) = \alpha(-1)^{(m+m')(q-1)/2}$ , and so by Lemma 6.4(a), and with  $\beta$  as in Table 3, we have

$$(24) \quad \delta(W) = \delta(V)\delta(W^\perp) = \delta(V) \cdot \alpha(-1)^{(m+m')(q-1)/2} = \alpha\beta.$$

We use the symbol  $\oplus$  to denote ‘perpendicular direct sum’. Since  $V = U \oplus U^\perp$  and  $U = W \oplus (W^\perp \cap U)$ , it follows from Lemma 6.4(a) that  $\delta(U) = \delta(V)\delta(U^\perp)$

and  $\delta(W^\perp \cap U) = \delta(W)\delta(U)$ . Then, by (24), and with  $\gamma$  as in Table 3,

$$(25) \quad \begin{aligned} \delta(W^\perp \cap U) &= \delta(W)\delta(V)\delta(U^\perp) = \alpha\beta\delta(V)\delta(U^\perp) \\ &= \alpha(-1)^{(m+m')(q-1)/2}\delta(U^\perp) = \alpha\gamma(-1)^{m(q-1)/2}. \end{aligned}$$

Suppose that  $\dim(U) = 2m$  and  $\dim(U^\perp) = 2m' + 1$ . Let  $W \in \mathcal{N}_1^\alpha(V) \cap \mathcal{N}_1(U)$ . Then  $\delta(W) = \alpha\beta$  by (24), and so  $W \in \mathcal{N}_1^{\alpha\beta}(U)$  by (23a). Hence  $\mathcal{N}_1^\alpha(V) \cap \mathcal{N}_1(U) \subseteq \mathcal{N}_1^{\alpha\beta}(U)$ . Conversely, if  $W \in \mathcal{N}_1^{\alpha\beta}(U)$ , then  $\delta(W) = \alpha\beta$  by (23a), and by Lemma 6.4(a) and the definition of  $\beta$  we have  $\delta(W^\perp) = \delta(V)\delta(W) = \alpha(-1)^{(m+m')(q-1)/2}$ . It then follows from Lemma 6.4(b) that  $W^\perp$  has intrinsic type  $\alpha$ . Hence, by (23b),  $W \in \mathcal{N}_1^\alpha(V) \cap \mathcal{N}_1(U)$  and the reverse inclusion  $\mathcal{N}_1^{\alpha\beta}(U) \subseteq \mathcal{N}_1^\alpha(V) \cap \mathcal{N}_1(U)$  holds. This proves line 2 of Table 3.

Suppose next that  $\dim(U) = 2m + 1$  and  $\dim(U^\perp) = 2m'$ , and let  $W \in \mathcal{N}_1^\alpha(V) \cap \mathcal{N}_1(U)$ . Then  $\delta(W^\perp \cap U) = \alpha\gamma(-1)^{m(q-1)/2}$  by (25). Now  $\dim(W^\perp \cap U) = 2m$ , and so by Lemma 6.4(b),  $W^\perp \cap U$  has intrinsic type  $\alpha\gamma$ , and hence  $W \in \mathcal{N}_1^{\alpha\gamma}(U)$  by (23b). Hence  $\mathcal{N}_1^\alpha(V) \cap \mathcal{N}_1(U) \subseteq \mathcal{N}_1^{\alpha\gamma}(U)$ . Conversely, if  $W \in \mathcal{N}_1^{\alpha\gamma}(U)$ , then  $W^\perp \cap U$  has intrinsic type  $\alpha\gamma$  by (23b), and hence  $\delta(W^\perp \cap U) = \alpha\gamma(-1)^{m(q-1)/2}$  by Lemma 6.4(b). Applying Lemma 6.4(a) three times gives

$$\delta(W^\perp) = \delta(V)\delta(W) = \delta(V)\delta(U)\delta(W^\perp \cap U) = \delta(U^\perp)\delta(W^\perp \cap U).$$

Then, since  $\delta(W^\perp \cap U) = \alpha\gamma(-1)^{m(q-1)/2}$ , and using the definition of  $\gamma$ , we conclude that  $\delta(W^\perp) = \alpha(-1)^{(m+m')(q-1)/2}$ , and hence that  $W^\perp$  has intrinsic type  $\alpha$  by Lemma 6.4(b). Thus  $W \in \mathcal{N}_1^\alpha(V)$  by (23b) and the reverse inclusion  $\mathcal{N}_1^{\alpha\gamma}(U) \subseteq \mathcal{N}_1^\alpha(V) \cap \mathcal{N}_1(U)$  holds. This proves line 3 of Table 3.

Finally, suppose that  $\dim(U) = 2m + 1$  and  $\dim(U^\perp) = 2m' + 1$ , and let  $W \in \mathcal{N}_1^\alpha(V) \cap \mathcal{N}_1(U)$ . Then  $\dim(V)$  is even and  $\delta(W) = \alpha$  by (23a). Furthermore,  $U = W \oplus (W^\perp \cap U)$  so, by Lemma 6.4(a), and with  $\eta$  as in Table 3, we have

$$\delta(W^\perp \cap U) = \delta(W)\delta(U) = \alpha\delta(U) = \alpha\eta(-1)^{m(q-1)/2}.$$

Thus  $W^\perp \cap U$  has intrinsic type  $\alpha\eta$  by Lemma 6.4(b), and hence  $W \in \mathcal{N}_1^{\alpha\eta}(U)$  by (23b) and  $\mathcal{N}_1^\alpha(V) \cap \mathcal{N}_1(U) \subseteq \mathcal{N}_1^{\alpha\eta}(U)$  holds. Conversely, if  $W \in \mathcal{N}_1^{\alpha\eta}(U)$ , then  $W^\perp \cap U$  has intrinsic type  $\alpha\eta$  by (23b), and so  $\delta(W^\perp \cap U) = \alpha\eta(-1)^{m(q-1)/2}$  by Lemma 6.4(b). Hence  $\delta(W) = \delta(U)\delta(W^\perp \cap U) = \alpha$  and  $\mathcal{N}_1^{\alpha\eta}(U) \subseteq \mathcal{N}_1^\alpha(V) \cap \mathcal{N}_1(U)$  holds. This proves line 4 of Table 3.  $\square$

Now we obtain a formula for  $|\mathcal{N}_1^\alpha(U)|$ , for  $\alpha \in \{+, -\}$ . If  $n = 2m$  is even, then  $|\begin{bmatrix} U \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}_\boxtimes^\sigma| = |\begin{bmatrix} U \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}_\square^\sigma|$ , and so  $|\mathcal{N}_1^\pm(U)| = \frac{1}{2}|\mathcal{N}_1(U)|$ . Thus, by (22),  $|\mathcal{N}_1^\alpha(U)| = q^{n-1}(1 - \tau q^{-m})/2$  by (22) where  $\tau$  is the intrinsic type of  $U$ . If  $\dim(U) = 2m + 1$  is odd, then by (23) we have

$$|\mathcal{N}_1^\alpha(U)| = \left| \begin{bmatrix} U \\ n-1 \end{bmatrix}_\alpha^\circ \right| = \frac{|\mathrm{GO}_{2m+1}^\circ(q)|}{|\mathrm{GO}_1^\circ(q) \times \mathrm{GO}_{2m}^\alpha(q)|} = \frac{q^{2m}(1 + \alpha q^{-m})}{2}.$$

In summary,

$$(26) \quad |\mathcal{N}_1^\alpha(U)| = \begin{cases} \frac{q^{n-1}(1 - \tau q^{-m})}{2} & \text{if } n = 2m \text{ and } U \text{ has type } \tau, \quad (26a) \\ \frac{q^{n-1}(1 + \alpha q^{-m})}{2} & \text{if } n = 2m + 1. \quad (26b) \end{cases}$$

Note that  $\tau$  in (26a) is both the subspace type and the intrinsic type of  $U$ .

### 6.2. Proof of Theorem 6.2 for $\min\{n, n'\} = 1$

We have sufficient information to deal with the case of Theorem 6.2 where one of  $n$  or  $n'$  is equal to 1. Here we come across the exceptional case where the general bound on the parameter  $\varphi$  in (1) fails to hold.

**Lemma 6.7.** *Suppose that the hypotheses of Theorem 6.2 hold with  $q$  odd and  $\min\{n, n'\} = 1$ . Then either  $\varphi \leq \frac{5}{2q}$ , or  $(n, n', q, \varepsilon) \neq (1, 1, 3, +)$ ,  $\sigma = \sigma'$ , and  $\varphi = 1$ . Moreover, Theorem 6.2 holds if  $\min\{n, n'\} = 1$ .*

*Proof.* Since  $5/2 < 43/16$  it is sufficient to prove the first assertion. Suppose first that  $n = n' = 1$ . If  $U \in \mathcal{U}$ , then

$$|U^{\mathrm{GO}_2(q)}| = \frac{|\mathrm{GO}_2(q)|}{|\mathrm{GO}_1(q) \times \mathrm{GO}_1(q)|} = \frac{2(q - \varepsilon \cdot 1)}{2 \cdot 2} = \frac{q - \varepsilon \cdot 1}{2}.$$

Thus  $|\mathcal{U}| = |\mathcal{U}'| = (q - \varepsilon \cdot 1)/2$ . If  $\sigma \neq \sigma'$ , then  $\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{U}'$  are disjoint sets and hence  $\varphi = 0 < \frac{5}{2q}$ . So suppose that  $\sigma = \sigma'$ . Then  $U \in \mathcal{U}$  and  $U' \in \mathcal{U}'$  satisfy  $U \cap U' \neq \emptyset$  precisely when  $U = U'$ , so

$$\varphi = \frac{1}{|\mathcal{U}'|} = \frac{2}{q - \varepsilon \cdot 1} \leq \frac{2}{q - 1} = \frac{2}{q} \left( 1 + \frac{1}{q - 1} \right).$$

If  $q \geq 5$  then  $\varphi \leq (2/q) \cdot (5/4) = 5/(2q)$ , as required, so assume that  $q = 3$ . If  $\varepsilon = -$  then  $\varphi = 2/4 < 5/(2q)$ . This leaves the exceptional case where  $\varepsilon = +$

and  $q = 3$ . Here the space  $V$  has exactly two non-degenerate 1-subspaces, one of each type. Since  $\sigma = \sigma'$ , we see that  $\mathcal{U} = \mathcal{U}' = \{U\} = \{U'\}$ , and  $\varphi = 1$ .

Suppose now that  $n + n' > 2$ . By symmetry, we can (and will) assume that  $n' = 1$ , so  $\mathcal{U}' = \begin{bmatrix} V \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}_{\sigma'}^{\varepsilon}$  and  $n \geq 2$ . Thus  $\mathcal{U}' = \mathcal{N}_1^{\alpha}(V)$  as in (23) for some  $\alpha \in \{+, -\}$ . Also  $n' = 2m' + 1$  with  $m' = 0$ , and  $n = 2m$  or  $2m + 1$  with  $m \geq 1$ . Choose  $U \in \mathcal{U} = \begin{bmatrix} V \\ n \end{bmatrix}_{\sigma}^{\varepsilon}$ . Then by (1),

$$\varphi \cdot |\mathcal{N}_1^{\alpha}(V)| = |\{U' \in \mathcal{N}_1^{\alpha}(V) \mid U' \cap U \neq 0\}| = |\mathcal{N}_1^{\alpha}(V) \cap \mathcal{N}_1(U)|.$$

By Lemma 6.6,

$$\mathcal{N}_1^{\alpha}(V) \cap \mathcal{N}_1(U) = \begin{cases} \mathcal{N}_1^{\alpha\beta}(U) & \text{if } n = 2m, \text{ where } \beta = \delta(V)(-1)^{m(q-1)/2}, \\ \mathcal{N}_1^{\alpha\eta}(U) & \text{if } n = 2m + 1, \text{ where } \eta = \delta(U)(-1)^{m(q-1)/2}. \end{cases}$$

If  $n = 2m$  then the intrinsic type of  $U$  is equal to  $\sigma$  by Table 2, so by (26),

$$\varphi = \frac{|\mathcal{N}_1^{\alpha\beta}(U)|}{|\mathcal{N}_1^{\alpha}(V)|} = \frac{q^{2m-1}(1 - \sigma q^{-m})/2}{q^{2m}(1 + \alpha q^{-m})/2} \leq \frac{1}{q} \cdot \frac{1 + q^{-m}}{1 - q^{-m}} \leq \frac{1}{q} \cdot \frac{1 + 3^{-1}}{1 - 3^{-1}} = \frac{2}{q}.$$

Similarly, if  $n = 2m + 1$  then the intrinsic type of  $V$  is  $\varepsilon \in \{-, +\}$ , so by (26),

$$\varphi = \frac{|\mathcal{N}_1^{\alpha\eta}(U)|}{|\mathcal{N}_1^{\alpha}(V)|} = \frac{q^{2m}(1 + \alpha q^{-m})/2}{q^{2m+1}(1 - \varepsilon q^{-m})/2} \leq \frac{1}{q} \cdot \frac{1 + q^{-m}}{1 - q^{-m}} \leq \frac{2}{q}.$$

Thus in both cases  $\varphi \leq 2/q < 5/(2q)$ . This completes the proof.  $\square$

### 6.3. Strategy for the proof of Theorem 6.2 for $\min\{n, n'\} \geq 2$

As in the hypothesis of Theorem 6.2,  $\mathcal{U} = \begin{bmatrix} V \\ n \end{bmatrix}_{\sigma}^{\varepsilon}$  and  $\mathcal{U}' = \begin{bmatrix} V \\ n' \end{bmatrix}_{\sigma'}^{\varepsilon}$ , and we choose  $U \in \mathcal{U}$  and  $U' \in \mathcal{U}'$ . By Lemma 6.7, we may (and will) assume that  $\min\{n, n'\} \geq 2$ . For this general case we follow the strategy described in Section 2 to obtain an upper bound for  $\varphi$  as in (1) of the form given in (7) in terms of quantities  $c_1, c_2$  given in (4) and (6).

In order to estimate  $c_1$  we determine  $|\mathcal{U}'(W)|$  when  $W$  is a nonsingular 1-subspace of  $U$ , that is  $W \in \mathcal{N}_1(U)$ , and to estimate  $c_2$  we determine  $|\mathcal{U}'(W)|$  when  $W$  is a singular 1-subspace of  $U$ , that is  $W \in \mathcal{P}_1(U)$ . Here we use the notation  $\mathcal{U}'(W), \mathcal{W}(U)$ , etc from Section 3. The following lemma is key.

**Lemma 6.8.** *Let  $U \in \mathcal{U}$  and let  $m = \lfloor n/2 \rfloor$ ,  $m' = \lfloor n'/2 \rfloor$ . For each set  $\mathcal{W}(U)$  in row 2 of Table 4, row 3 lists the quantity  $|\mathcal{U}'(W)|/|\mathcal{U}'|$ , where  $(W, U') \in \mathcal{W}(U) \times \mathcal{U}'$ , depending on the parities of  $n, n'$  and  $q$ .*

Table 4: Possibilities for  $\mathcal{W}(U)$  and  $|\mathcal{U}'(W)|/|\mathcal{U}'|$ , where  $\alpha \in \{-, +\}$ ,  $\beta, \eta$  as in Table 3 and  $\gamma' = \delta((U')^\perp)(-1)^{m(q-1)/2}$ ,  $\eta' = \delta(U')(-1)^{m'(q-1)/2}$ .

$(n, n', q)$	(all, all, all)	(even, even, all)	(even, odd, odd)	(odd, odd, odd)
$\mathcal{W}(U)$	$\mathcal{P}_1(U)$	$\mathcal{N}_1(U)$	$\mathcal{N}_1^{\alpha\beta}(U)$	$\mathcal{N}_1^{\alpha\eta}(U)$
$\frac{ \mathcal{U}'(W) }{ \mathcal{U}' }$	$\frac{ \mathcal{P}_1(U') }{ \mathcal{P}_1(V) }$	$\frac{ \mathcal{N}_1(U') }{ \mathcal{N}_1(V) }$	$\frac{ \mathcal{N}_1^{\alpha\gamma'}(U') }{ \mathcal{N}_1^\alpha(V) }$	$\frac{ \mathcal{N}_1^{\alpha\eta'}(U') }{ \mathcal{N}_1^\alpha(V) }$

Table 5: Values of  $\mathcal{W}(U), \mathcal{W}(U')$  depending on  $G, \mathcal{W}$  where  $\beta, \gamma', \eta, \eta'$  are as in Table 4.

	Case 1	Case 2	Case 3	Case 4
$(n, n', q)$	(all, all, all)	(even, even, all)	(even, odd, odd)	(odd, odd, odd)
$G$	$G(V)$	$\text{CO}(V)$	$G(V)$	$G(V)$
$\mathcal{W}$	$\mathcal{P}_1(V)$	$\mathcal{N}_1(V)$	$\mathcal{N}_1^\alpha(V)$	$\mathcal{N}_1^\alpha(V)$
$\mathcal{W}(U)$	$\mathcal{P}_1(U)$	$\mathcal{N}_1(U)$	$\mathcal{N}_1^{\alpha\beta}(U)$	$\mathcal{N}_1^{\alpha\eta}(U)$
$\mathcal{W}(U')$	$\mathcal{P}_1(U')$	$\mathcal{N}_1(U')$	$\mathcal{N}_1^{\alpha\gamma'}(U')$	$\mathcal{N}_1^{\alpha\eta'}(U')$

*Proof.* Let  $G(V)$  and  $\text{CO}(V)$  denote the isometry group, and the conformal orthogonal group of  $V$ , respectively. We use Lemma 3.1 for the  $G$ -orbits  $\mathcal{U} = \left[ \begin{smallmatrix} V \\ n \end{smallmatrix} \right]_\sigma^\varepsilon$  and  $\mathcal{W}$  for the various choices of  $G$ , and  $\mathcal{W}$  described in the first two rows of Table 5. In each of the four cases, the elements of  $\mathcal{W}$  are 1-subspaces of  $V$  and the set  $\mathcal{W}(U)$  is non-empty. For  $W \in \mathcal{W}(U)$ , the set  $\mathcal{U}'(W)$  defined before Lemma 3.1 satisfies

$$\mathcal{U}'(W) = \{U' \in \mathcal{U}' \mid W \leq U'\} = \{U' \in \mathcal{U}' \mid W \leq U' \cap U\},$$

and by Lemma 3.1,

$$(27) \quad |\mathcal{W}| \cdot |\mathcal{U}'(W)| = |\mathcal{W}(U')| \cdot |\mathcal{U}'| \quad \text{for all } (W, U') \in \mathcal{W}(U) \times \mathcal{U}'.$$

We next justify the values of  $\mathcal{W}(U)$  and  $\mathcal{W}(U')$  in rows 3 and 4 of Table 5.

CASES 1,2. In Case 1 of Table 5,  $\mathcal{W} = \mathcal{P}_1(V)$  and the parities of  $(n, n', q)$  are arbitrary. Further,  $\mathcal{W}(U)$  equals  $\{W \in \mathcal{P}_1(V) \mid W \leq U\} = \mathcal{P}_1(U)$ , and  $\mathcal{W}(U') = \mathcal{P}_1(U')$ . In Case 2 of Table 5,  $\mathcal{W} = \mathcal{N}_1(V)$  and similar reasoning shows that  $\mathcal{W}(U) = \mathcal{N}_1(U)$  and  $\mathcal{W}(U') = \mathcal{N}_1(U')$ . Here  $\mathcal{W}$  is a  $\text{CO}(V)$ -orbit, and not a  $\text{GO}(V)$ -orbit, so our use of Lemma 3.1 is valid.

CASE 3. Here  $n = \dim(U)$  is even, and both  $n' = \dim(U')$  and  $q$  are odd. Now  $\mathcal{W} = \mathcal{N}_1^\alpha(V)$  is a  $G(V)$ -orbit. The definitions of  $\mathcal{W}(U)$  and  $\mathcal{W}(U')$

show that  $\mathcal{W}(U) = \mathcal{N}_1^\alpha(V) \cap \mathcal{N}_1(U)$  and  $\mathcal{W}(U') = \mathcal{N}_1^\alpha(V) \cap \mathcal{N}_1(U')$ . Thus, by Lemma 6.6 and lines 2 and 3 of Table 3, the entries for  $\mathcal{W}(U)$  and  $\mathcal{W}(U')$  in Table 5 are valid.

CASE 4. In this case  $n = 2m + 1$ ,  $n' = 2m' + 1$  and  $q$  are odd, and  $\mathcal{W} = \mathcal{N}_1^\alpha(V)$  is a  $G(V)$ -orbit. By definition,  $\mathcal{W}(U) = \mathcal{N}_1^\alpha(V) \cap \mathcal{N}_1(U)$  and  $\mathcal{W}(U') = \mathcal{N}_1^\alpha(V) \cap \mathcal{N}_1(U')$ . By Lemma 6.6 and line 4 of Table 3, the entries for  $\mathcal{W}(U)$  and  $\mathcal{W}(U')$  in Table 5 are correct.

Thus all entries of Table 5 are valid. Substituting the values for  $\mathcal{U}'(W)$  and  $\mathcal{W}(U')$  from Table 5 into Equation (27) implies that  $|\mathcal{U}'(W)|/|\mathcal{U}'| = |\mathcal{W}(U')|/|\mathcal{W}|$  holds for all  $(W, U') \in \mathcal{W}(U) \times \mathcal{U}'$  as claimed. This justifies all the entries of Table 4 completing the proof.  $\square$

#### 6.4. Singular 1-subspaces and a formula for $c_2$

In Lemma 6.9 we establish the inequality in Equation (4) involving the quantity  $c_2$  and derive a formula for  $c_2$ .

**Lemma 6.9.** *Suppose that  $n, n' \geq 2$ , and let  $U \in \mathcal{U}$  and  $U' \in \mathcal{U}'$  have intrinsic types  $\tau$  and  $\tau'$ , respectively. Then*

$$\frac{\left| \bigcup_{W \in \mathcal{P}_1(U)} \mathcal{U}'(W) \right|}{|\mathcal{U}'|} \leq \frac{|\mathcal{P}_1(U)| |\mathcal{P}_1(U')|}{|\mathcal{P}_1(V)|} = \frac{c_2}{q^2} \quad \text{where } c_2 := \frac{\gamma_n^\tau(q) \gamma_{n'}^{\tau'}(q)}{(1 - q^{-1}) \gamma_{n+n'}^\varepsilon(q)},$$

and  $\gamma_n^\tau(q)$  is defined by (20). Moreover,

$$\frac{c_2}{q} \leq X_2 := \frac{(1 - q^{-1}) \left( \frac{1}{1 - q^{-1}} + q^{-\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor + 1} \right) \left( \frac{1}{1 - q^{-1}} + q^{-\lfloor \frac{n'}{2} \rfloor + 1} \right)}{q(1 - q^{-\lfloor \frac{n+n'}{2} \rfloor + 1})}.$$

Note that  $\tau = \sigma$  if  $n$  is even and  $\tau = \circ$  if  $n$  is odd. Similarly,  $\tau' = \sigma'$  if  $n'$  is even and  $\tau' = \circ$  if  $n'$  is odd.

*Proof.* Suppose that  $G = G(V)$ . The stabiliser  $G_U$  of  $U$  induces  $G(U)$  on  $U$  and so acts transitively on the set  $\{\mathcal{U}'(W) \mid W \in \mathcal{P}_1(U)\}$ . Thus  $|\mathcal{U}'(W)|$  is independent of the choice of  $W \in \mathcal{P}_1(U)$ . Hence

$$(28) \quad \left| \bigcup_{W \in \mathcal{P}_1(U)} \mathcal{U}'(W) \right| \leq |\mathcal{P}_1(U)| |\mathcal{U}'(W)| \quad \text{for a chosen } W \in \mathcal{P}_1(U).$$

It follows from Lemma 6.8 that  $|\mathcal{U}'(W)|/|\mathcal{U}'| = |\mathcal{P}_1(U')|/|\mathcal{P}_1(V)|$  for all  $W \in \mathcal{P}_1(U)$ . The first assertion now follows from equation (21) since

$$|\mathcal{P}_1(U)| = \frac{q^{n-2}\gamma_n^\tau(q)}{1-q^{-1}}, \quad |\mathcal{P}_1(U')| = \frac{q^{n'-2}\gamma_{n'}^{\tau'}(q)}{1-q^{-1}} \quad \text{and} \quad |\mathcal{P}_1(V)| = \frac{q^{n+n'-2}\gamma_{n+n'}^\varepsilon(q)}{1-q^{-1}}.$$

Finally, note that, using Lemma 6.5, and setting  $m = \lfloor n/2 \rfloor$  and  $m' = \lfloor n'/2 \rfloor$ ,

$$\frac{c_2}{q} := \frac{q|\mathcal{P}_1(U)||\mathcal{P}_1(U')|}{|\mathcal{P}_1(V)|} = \frac{\gamma_n^\tau(q)\gamma_{n'}^{\tau'}(q)}{q(1-q^{-1})\gamma_{n+n'}^\varepsilon(q)} \leq \frac{\gamma_{2m}^+(q)\gamma_{2m'}^+(q)}{q(1-q^{-1})\gamma_{2\lfloor \frac{n+n'}{2} \rfloor}^-(q)},$$

and now the upper bound for  $c_2/q$  follows immediately from Lemma 6.5.  $\square$

### 6.5. Nonsingular 1-subspaces and the quantity $c_1$

Here we tackle the second term in the upper bound for  $\varphi$  in (2); we write this term as  $c_1/q$ , and obtain an explicit upper bound in terms of  $n, n', q$  which holds for all isometry types for  $V$  and all subspaces types of  $U$  and  $U'$ . Our aim in this subsection is to prove the following lemma.

**Lemma 6.10.** *Suppose that  $n, n' \geq 2$ , and  $\mathcal{U} := [n]_\sigma^\varepsilon$ ,  $\mathcal{U}' := [n']_{\sigma'}^\varepsilon$ , and that  $U \in \mathcal{U}$ . If  $m = \lfloor n/2 \rfloor$  and  $m' = \lfloor n'/2 \rfloor$ , then*

$$\frac{\left| \bigcup_{W \in \mathcal{N}_1(U)} \mathcal{U}'(W) \right|}{|\mathcal{U}'|} = \frac{c_1}{q} \quad \text{where} \quad c_1 \leq X_1 := \frac{(1+q^{-m})(1+q^{-m'})}{1-q^{-\lfloor (n+n')/2 \rfloor}}.$$

Moreover, if  $n = 2m$  and  $n' = 2m' + 1$ , then  $c_1 \leq Y_1$ , and if  $n = 2m + 1$  and  $n' = 2m' + 1$ , then  $c_1 \leq Z_1$ , where

$$Y_1 := \frac{(1+q^{-m})(1+q^{-m-2m'})}{1-q^{-2m-2m'}} \quad \text{and} \quad Z_1 := \frac{1+q^{-m-m'}}{1-q^{-m-m'-1}}.$$

*Proof.* Write  $c_1/q := |\bigcup_{W \in \mathcal{N}_1(U)} \mathcal{U}'(W)|/|\mathcal{U}'|$ . We divide the proof into three cases depending on the parities of  $n$  and  $n'$ . We write  $n = 2m$  or  $2m + 1$ , and  $n' = 2m'$  or  $2m' + 1$ , depending on their parities, where  $m, m'$  are positive integers. As mentioned above, if precisely one of  $n, n'$  is odd (and hence also  $q$  is odd) then we may assume that  $n'$  is odd. Thus our cases are: (i)  $n, n'$  both even; (ii)  $n$  even and  $n', q$  odd; and (iii)  $n, n', q$  all odd.

Case (i):  $n = 2m, n' = 2m'$ . We prove that  $c_1 \leq X_1$ .

*Proof of Case (i):* Here the conformal orthogonal group  $\text{CO}(V)$  acts transitively on  $\mathcal{N}_1(V)$ , and the stabiliser  $\text{CO}(V)_U$  induces a transitive group on  $\mathcal{N}_1(U)$ . Hence  $|\cup_{W \in \mathcal{N}_1(U)} \mathcal{U}'(W)| \leq |\mathcal{N}_1(U)| \cdot |\mathcal{U}'(W)|$  for a chosen  $W \in \mathcal{N}_1(U)$ . Moreover, by Lemma 6.8,  $|\mathcal{U}'(W)|/|\mathcal{U}'| = |\mathcal{N}_1(U')|/|\mathcal{N}_1(V)|$ , and hence  $c_1/q \leq |\mathcal{N}_1(U)| \cdot |\mathcal{N}_1(U')|/|\mathcal{N}_1(V)|$ . Using (22) three times gives

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{c_1}{q} &\leq \frac{q^{n-1}(1 - \sigma q^{-m})q^{n'-1}(1 - \sigma' q^{-m'})}{q^{n+n'-1}(1 - \varepsilon q^{-m+m'})} \\ &= \frac{q^{-1}(1 - \sigma q^{-m})(1 - \sigma' q^{-m'})}{1 - \varepsilon q^{-m+m'}} \leq \frac{(1 + q^{-m})(1 + q^{-m'})}{q(1 - q^{-m+m'})} = \frac{X_1}{q}. \end{aligned}$$

Since the approaches for Cases (ii) and (iii) are similar, we begin by considering them together. In both cases,  $n' = 2m' + 1$  and  $q$  is odd, and for  $G = G(V)$ , the stabiliser  $G_U$  induces  $G(U)$  on  $U$  (by Witt's Theorem), and hence  $G_U$  has two orbits  $\mathcal{N}_1^\xi(U)$  on  $\mathcal{N}_1(U)$ , where  $\xi \in \{-, +\}$ . For each  $\xi$ , the cardinality of  $\mathcal{U}'(W)$  is independent of the choice of  $W \in \mathcal{N}_1^\xi(U)$ , and we choose  $W_\xi \in \mathcal{N}_1^\xi(U)$ . Then

$$(29) \quad \frac{c_1}{q} \leq \sum_{\xi \in \{-, +\}} \frac{|\cup_{W \in \mathcal{N}_1^\xi(U)} \mathcal{U}'(W)|}{|\mathcal{U}'|} \leq \sum_{\xi \in \{-, +\}} \frac{|\mathcal{N}_1^\xi(U)| \cdot |\mathcal{U}'(W_\xi)|}{|\mathcal{U}'|}.$$

For each  $\xi$ ,  $|\mathcal{N}_1^\xi(U)|$  is given by (26), and we use Lemma 6.8 to determine  $|\mathcal{U}'(W_\xi)|/|\mathcal{U}'|$ , but for clarity we now continue with Cases (ii) and (iii) separately.

Case (ii):  $n = 2m, n' = 2m' + 1, q$  odd. We prove that  $c_1 \leq Y_1 \leq X_1$ .

*Proof of Case (ii):* Now  $U$  has intrinsic type  $\sigma$  by Table 2, and so  $|\mathcal{N}_1^\xi(U)| = q^{n-1}(1 - \sigma q^{-m})/2$ , by (26a), for each  $\xi$ . Let  $\beta = \delta(V)(-1)^{(m+m')(q-1)/2}$ , with  $\delta$  as in (19), and write  $\xi = \alpha\beta$ , so  $\alpha \in \{-, +\}$ . Then it follows from Lemma 6.8 that  $|\mathcal{U}'(W_{\alpha\beta})|/|\mathcal{U}'| = |\mathcal{N}_1^{\alpha\gamma'}(U')|/|\mathcal{N}_1^\alpha(V)|$ , with  $\gamma'$  as in the caption for Table 4. Hence by (29), and using (26a) and (26b),  $c_1/q$  is at

most

$$\begin{aligned}
\sum_{\alpha \in \{-, +\}} \frac{|\mathcal{N}_1^{\alpha\beta}(U)| \cdot |\mathcal{N}_1^{\alpha\gamma'}(U')|}{|\mathcal{N}_1^\alpha(V)|} &= \sum_{\alpha \in \{-, +\}} \frac{q^{n-1}(1 - \sigma q^{-m})q^{n'-1}(1 + \alpha\gamma'q^{-m'})}{2q^{n+n'-1}(1 + \alpha q^{-m-m'})} \\
&= \frac{q^{-1}(1 - \sigma q^{-m})}{2} \sum_{\alpha \in \{-, +\}} \frac{1 + \alpha\gamma'q^{-m'}}{1 + \alpha q^{-m-m'}} \\
&= \frac{q^{-1}(1 - \sigma q^{-m})(1 - \gamma'q^{-m-2m'})}{1 - q^{-2m-2m'}} \\
&\leq \frac{(1 + q^{-m})(1 + q^{-m-2m'})}{q(1 - q^{-2m-2m'})} \\
&\leq \frac{(1 + q^{-m})(1 + q^{-m'})}{q(1 - q^{-m-m'})},
\end{aligned}$$

where the last inequality is equivalent to  $1 + q^{-m-2m'} \leq (1 + q^{-m})(1 + q^{-m-m'})$ , and this is clearly true for all positive integers  $m, m'$ . Hence  $c_1 \leq Y_1 \leq X_1$ .

*Case (iii):*  $n = 2m + 1, n' = 2m' + 1, q$  odd. We prove that  $c_1 \leq Z_1 \leq X_1$ .

*Proof of Case (iii):* For each  $\xi \in \{-, +\}$ , we have  $|\mathcal{N}_1^\xi(U)| = q^{n-1}(1 + \xi q^{-m})/2$ , by (26b). Let  $\eta, \eta'$  be as in the caption for Table 4, and let  $\xi = \alpha\eta$ , so  $\alpha \in \{-, +\}$ . Then it follows from Lemma 6.8 that  $|\mathcal{U}'(W_{\alpha\eta})|/|\mathcal{U}'| = |\mathcal{N}_1^{\alpha\eta'}(U')|/|\mathcal{N}_1^\alpha(V)|$ . Hence, by (29), and using (26a) for  $|\mathcal{N}_1^\alpha(V)|$  and (26b) for  $|\mathcal{N}_1^{\alpha\eta'}(U')|$ ,

$$\begin{aligned}
c_1/q &\leq \sum_{\alpha \in \{-, +\}} \frac{|\mathcal{N}_1^{\alpha\eta}(U)| \cdot |\mathcal{N}_1^{\alpha\eta'}(U')|}{|\mathcal{N}_1^\alpha(V)|} \\
&= \sum_{\alpha \in \{-, +\}} \frac{q^{n-1}(1 + \alpha\eta q^{-m})q^{n'-1}(1 + \alpha\eta'q^{-m'})}{2q^{n+n'-1}(1 - \varepsilon q^{-m-m'-1})} \\
&= \frac{1}{2q(1 - \varepsilon q^{-m-m'-1})} \sum_{\alpha \in \{-, +\}} (1 + \alpha\eta q^{-m})(1 + \alpha\eta'q^{-m'}) \\
&= \frac{(1 + \eta\eta'q^{-m-m'})}{q(1 - \varepsilon q^{-m-m'-1})} \leq \frac{(1 + q^{-m-m'})}{q(1 - q^{-m-m'-1})} \leq \frac{(1 + q^{-m})(1 + q^{-m'})}{q(1 - q^{-m-m'-1})},
\end{aligned}$$

where the last inequality holds because  $1 + q^{-m-m'} \leq (1 + q^{-m})(1 + q^{-m'})$ , for all positive integers  $m, m'$ . Hence  $c_1 \leq Z_1 \leq X_1$ .  $\square$

### 6.6. Proof of Theorem 6.2

We use the following lemma, which is easy to verify.

**Lemma 6.11.** *Let  $q, x, y, \ell \in \mathbb{Z}$  satisfy  $q \geq 2$ ,  $0 \leq x \leq y$  and  $0 \leq \ell \leq x$ . If  $a$  is a positive real number, then*

$$(a + q^{-x})(a + q^{-y}) \leq (a + q^{-x+\ell})(a + q^{-y-\ell}).$$

*Proof.* Clearly, the result holds for  $\ell = 0$ . Suppose that  $\ell \geq 1$ . As  $a > 0$ , the claimed inequality is equivalent to  $q^{-x} + q^{-y} \leq q^{-x+\ell} + q^{-y-\ell}$  which is equivalent to  $q^y + q^x \leq q^{y+\ell} + q^{x-\ell}$ . This is true when  $x = y$  since  $2 \leq q^\ell + q^{-\ell}$  holds for  $q \geq 2$ . It also holds for  $x < y$ , since  $q^y + q^x < q^{y+1} < q^{y+\ell} + q^{x-\ell}$ .  $\square$

*Proof of Theorem 6.2.* If  $n$  or  $n'$  equals 1, then  $q$  is odd and the result follows from Lemma 6.7. Suppose now that  $n, n' \geq 2$ . Let  $m = \lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor$  and  $m' = \lfloor \frac{n'}{2} \rfloor$ , so that  $m, m' \geq 1$  and recall that  $q \geq 3$  by assumption. Then Equation (2), together with Lemmas 6.9 and 6.10, shows that  $\varphi \leq (X_1 + X_2)/q$  where

$$X_1 = \frac{(1 + q^{-m})(1 + q^{-m'})}{1 - q^{-\lfloor (n+n')/2 \rfloor}},$$

$$X_2 = \frac{(1 - q^{-1})(\frac{1}{1-q^{-1}} + q^{-m+1})(\frac{1}{1-q^{-1}} + q^{-m'+1})}{q(1 - q^{-\lfloor \frac{n+n'}{2} \rfloor + 1})}.$$

We will show first that  $c := X_1 + X_2 \leq \frac{43}{16}$  whenever  $m + m' \geq 3$ , and consider smaller dimensional cases later in the proof. Recall that  $q \geq 3$ .

Applying Lemma 6.11 with  $x = m$ ,  $y = m'$ ,  $\ell = m - 1$  and  $a = 1$  gives

$$(1 + q^{-m})(1 + q^{-m'}) \leq (1 + q^{-1})(1 + q^{-m-m'+1}),$$

and applying Lemma 6.11 with  $x = m - 1$ ,  $y = m' - 1$ ,  $\ell = m - 1$  and  $a = \frac{1}{1-q^{-1}}$  yields

$$(a + q^{-m+1})(a + q^{-m'+1}) \leq (a + 1)(a + q^{-m-m'+2}).$$

Thus, if  $m + m' \geq 3$ , so that  $\lfloor \frac{n+n'}{2} \rfloor \geq 3$ , then

$$c = X_1 + X_2 \leq \frac{(1 + q^{-1})(1 + q^{-2})}{1 - q^{-3}} + \frac{(1 - q^{-1})(\frac{1}{1-q^{-1}} + 1)(\frac{1}{1-q^{-1}} + q^{-1})}{q(1 - q^{-2})}$$

$$\leq \frac{20}{13} + \frac{55}{48} < \frac{43}{16}.$$

We may therefore assume that  $m = m' = 1$ , so  $n, n' \in \{2, 3\}$ .

Consider the case  $n = n' = 3$ . By Equation (2) and Lemmas 6.5, 6.9 and 6.10 we have

$$\begin{aligned} q\varphi &\leq c_1 + \frac{c_2}{q} \leq Z_1 + \frac{\gamma_3^\circ(q)^2}{q(1-q^{-1})\gamma_6^-(q)} \\ &= \frac{1+q^{-2}}{1-q^{-3}} + \frac{(1-q^{-2})^2}{q(1-q^{-1})(1-q^{-2})(1+q^{-3})} \\ &= \frac{1+q^{-2}}{1-q^{-3}} + \frac{1+q^{-1}}{q(1+q^{-3})} \leq \frac{15}{13} + \frac{3}{7} < \frac{43}{16}. \end{aligned}$$

Next suppose that  $n = 2$  and  $n' = 3$ . Lemmas 6.5, 6.9 and 6.10 give

$$\begin{aligned} q\varphi &\leq c_1 + \frac{c_2}{q} \leq Y_1 + \frac{\gamma_2^+(q)\gamma_3^\circ(q)}{q(1-q^{-1})\gamma_5^\circ(q)} \\ &= \frac{(1+q^{-1})(1+q^{-3})}{1-q^{-4}} + \frac{2(1-q^{-1})(1-q^{-2})}{q(1-q^{-1})(1-q^{-4})} \\ &= \frac{1+q^{-3}}{(1-q^{-1})(1+q^{-2})} + \frac{2}{q(1+q^{-2})} \leq \frac{7}{5} + \frac{3}{5} < \frac{43}{16}. \end{aligned}$$

A similar argument handles that case  $n = 3$  and  $n' = 2$ .

Finally, suppose that  $n = n' = 2$ . If  $q \geq 4$ , then Lemmas 6.5, 6.9 and 6.10 show that

$$\begin{aligned} q\varphi &\leq c_1 + \frac{c_2}{q} \leq X_1 + \frac{\gamma_2^+(q)^2}{q(1-q^{-1})\gamma_4^-(q)} \\ &= \frac{(1+q^{-1})^2}{1-q^{-2}} + \frac{4(1-q^{-1})^2}{q(1-q^{-1})(1-q^{-1})(1+q^{-2})} \leq \frac{5}{3} + \frac{16}{17} < \frac{43}{16}. \end{aligned}$$

For the remaining case  $(n, n', q) = (2, 2, 3)$  the proportion  $\varphi$  can be shown by using GAP [1, 7] to (easily) satisfy the bound  $\varphi < 43/16$ .  $\square$

**Remark 6.12.** When both  $m = \lfloor n/2 \rfloor$  and  $m' = \lfloor n'/2 \rfloor$  are large, the expressions for  $X_1$  and  $X_2$  in Lemmas 6.9 and 6.10 satisfy  $\lim_{m, m' \rightarrow \infty} X_1 = 1$  and  $\lim_{m, m' \rightarrow \infty} X_2 = \frac{1}{q-1}$ , and hence  $\lim_{m, m' \rightarrow \infty} (X_1 + X_2) = 1/(1-q^{-1})$ . Hence, with the level of approximation we use for our estimates it is impossible to bound  $1/(1-q^{-1})$  away from  $q$  when  $q = 2$ . To obtain the result for  $q = 2$  in the orthogonal case, we would need the next level of approximation embarked on for the symplectic case in Subsection 5.1 (and many more pages of argument as different isometry types must be considered).  $\diamond$

*Proof of Theorem 1.1.* The proof follows readily from the strategy outlined in Section 2 and Theorems 5.1, 4.1 and 6.2.  $\square$

## Acknowledgements

We thank Jesse Lansdown for his help with computational investigations using the FinInG package [1] in GAP. The second and third authors thank the Hausdorff Research Institute for Mathematics, University of Bonn, for its hospitality during the International Workshop on Logic and Algorithms in Group Theory in 2018. We thank Gabriele Nebe for helpful discussions during the workshop. The authors gratefully acknowledge Australian Research Council Discovery Project Grant DP190100450. The second author acknowledges funding by the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft (DFG, German Research Foundation) - Project 286237555 - TRR 195. We are grateful to the two referees for their helpful suggestions.

## References

- [1] J. Bamberg, A Betten, J. De Beule, P. Cara, M. Lavrauw, and M. Neunhoffer, *FinInG, Finite Incidence Geometry, Version 1.4.1*, 2018. Refereed GAP package. [↑33](#), [34](#)
- [2] A. Blokhuis, A. E. Brouwer, A. Chowdhury, P. Frankl, T. Mussche, B. Patkós, and T. Szőnyi, *A Hilton-Milner theorem for vector spaces*, *Electron. J. Combin.* **17** (2010), no. 1, Research Paper 71, 12. [↑2](#)
- [3] M. De Boeck and P. Vandendriessche, *On the dual code of points and generators on the Hermitian variety  $\mathcal{H}(2n+1, q^2)$* , *Adv. Math. Commun.* **8** (2014), no. 3, 281–296. [↑3](#)
- [4] W. Edwin Clark, *Matching subspaces with complements in finite vector spaces*, *Bull. Inst. Combin. Appl.* **6** (1992), 33–38. [↑2](#)
- [5] Heiko Dietrich, C. R. Leedham-Green, Frank Lübeck, and E. A. O’Brien, *Constructive recognition of classical groups in even characteristic*, *J. Algebra* **391** (2013), 227–255. [↑2](#)
- [6] Philippe Flajolet and Robert Sedgewick, *Analytic combinatorics*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2009. [↑14](#)
- [7] The GAP Group, *GAP – Groups, Algorithms, and Programming, Version 4.11.1*, 2021. [↑33](#)
- [8] S.P. Glasby, Alice C. Niemeyer, and Cheryl E. Praeger, *Random generation of direct sums of finite non-degenerate subspaces*, *Linear Algebra Appl.* (to appear). [↑2](#)

- [9] S.P. Glasby, Frank Lübeck, Alice C. Niemeyer, and Cheryl E. Praeger, *The probability that two elements with large 1-eigenspaces generate a classical group*. In preparation. [↑2](#)
- [10] Chao Gong, Benjian Lv, and Kaishun Wang, *The Hilton-Milner theorem for the distance-regular graphs of bilinear forms*, Linear Algebra Appl. **515** (2017), 130–144. [↑2](#)
- [11] Jun Guo and Kaishun Wang, *Lattices generated by two orbits of subspaces under finite classical groups*, Finite Fields Appl. **15** (2009), 236–245. [↑3](#)
- [12] W. N. Hsieh, *Intersection theorems for systems of finite vector spaces*, Discrete Math. **12** (1975), 1–16. [↑2](#)
- [13] Ilse C. F. Ipsen and Carl D. Meyer, *The angle between complementary subspaces*, Amer. Math. Monthly **102** (1995), no. 10, 904–911. [↑2](#)
- [14] Peter Kleidman and Martin Liebeck, *The subgroup structure of the finite classical groups*, London Mathematical Society Lecture Note Series, vol. 129, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1990. [↑18](#), [19](#), [21](#)
- [15] Yotsanan Meemark and Songpon Sriwongsa, *Orthogonal graphs over finite commutative rings of odd characteristic*, Finite Fields Appl. **40** (2016), 26–45. [↑2](#)
- [16] Peter M. Neumann and Cheryl E. Praeger, *Cyclic matrices over finite fields*, J. London Math. Soc. (2) **52** (1995), no. 2, 263–284. [↑4](#)
- [17] Cheryl E. Praeger, Ákos Seress, and Şükrü Yalçınkaya, *Generation of finite classical groups by pairs of elements with large fixed point spaces*, J. Algebra **421** (2015), 56–101. [↑2](#)
- [18] Nader Jafari Rad and Sayyed Heidar Jafari, *A note on the intersection graph of subspaces of a vector space*, Ars Combin. **125** (2016), 401–407. [↑2](#)
- [19] Donald E. Taylor, *The geometry of the classical groups*, Sigma Series in Pure Mathematics, vol. 9, Heldermann Verlag, Berlin, 1992. [↑9](#), [13](#), [19](#), [22](#)
- [20] Ergün Yaraneri, *Intersection graph of a module*, J. Algebra Appl. **12** (2013), no. 5, 1250218, 30. [↑2](#)
- [21] Stephen Wolfram, *The Mathematica<sup>®</sup> book*, 4th ed., Wolfram Media, Inc., Champaign, IL; Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1999. [↑8](#)