Abstract. Let \( R \) be a regular semilocal integral domain containing a field \( k \). Assume that \( k \) is infinite or there is a homomorphism \( R \to k \) left inverse to the inclusion. Let \( f \in R \) be an element such that for all maximal ideals \( m \) of \( R \) we have \( f \notin m^2 \). Let \( G \) be a reductive group scheme over \( R \). Under an isotropy assumption on \( G \) we show that a \( G \)-torsor over \( R_f \) is trivial, provided it is rationally trivial. We show that it is not true without the isotropy assumption. The first statement is derived from its abstract version concerning Nisnevich sheaves satisfying some properties. The counterexample is constructed by providing a torsor over a local family of affine lines that cannot be extended to the projective lines. The latter is accomplished using the technique of affine Grassmannians.

1. Introduction and main results

Let \( R \) be a regular local ring, let \( G \) be a reductive group scheme over \( R \), and let \( E \) be a \( G \)-torsor over \( R \). The famous Grothendieck–Serre conjecture predicts that \( E \) is trivial, if it is trivial over the fraction field \( K \) of \( R \). This has been proved in the case, when \( R \) contains a field \([FP, Pan2]\). In fact, a generalization of this conjecture to semilocal regular integral domains has been proved. The conjecture is still wide open in the mixed characteristic case, though some significant progress has been obtained \([Fed2, Ces]\).

The conjecture \([Nis, Conj. 1.3]\), formulated by Yevsey Nisnevich in 1989, is a generalization of the above conjecture: let \( R \) and \( G \) be as before, let \( f \in R \) be such that \( f \notin m^2 \), where \( m \) is the maximal ideal of \( R \), and let \( E \) be a \( G \)-torsor over \( R_f \), then \( E \) is trivial, if it is trivial over \( K \). This conjecture reduces to the Grothendieck–Serre conjecture if \( f = 1 \). Very little has been known about this conjecture so far. The conjecture is obvious, if \( R \) is a discrete valuation ring; Nisnevich in \([Nis]\) proved the conjecture in dimension two. Note that Nisnevich’s conjecture is non-trivial even for \( G = GL_n \), as opposed to the Grothendieck–Serre conjecture. In the \( GL_n \) case the conjecture was proved by Dorin Popescu \([Pop2, Thm. 1]\) (see also \([BR]\)), assuming that \( R \) contains a field. Ning Guo proved a version of this conjecture for the rings of formal power series over valuation rings \([Guo, Cor. 7.5]\).

In this article, if the regular local ring contains an infinite field (or a finite field whose embedding admits a left inverse), we prove the conjecture under some isotropy condition on \( G \). In fact, under these assumptions, we prove the generalization of the conjecture to semilocal regular integral domains. Then we show that the conjecture does not hold in general.

1.1. Notations and conventions. If \( C \) is a category, we denote by \( \text{Ob}(C) \) its class of objects. If \( X \) is an integral scheme, we denote by \( K(X) \) its function field. If \( x \) is a schematic point of \( X \), we denote by \( \mathcal{O}_{X,x} \) its local ring and by \( m_x \subset \mathcal{O}_{X,x} \) the corresponding maximal ideal. More generally, if \( x \subset X \) is a set of schematic points, we denote by \( \mathcal{O}_{X,x} \) the corresponding semilocal ring.
We often work over a fixed base field \(k\), in that case the product is the product over \(k\): \(\times := \times_k\).

Let \(U\) be a scheme and \(G\) be a \(U\)-group scheme. A \(U\)-scheme \(E\) with a left action \(act: G \times E \to E\) is called \(G\)-torsor over \(U\) if \(E\) is faithfully flat and quasi-compact over \(U\) and the action is simply transitive, that is, the morphism \((act, p_2): G \times_U E \to E \times_U E\) is an isomorphism (see [FGA I, Sect. 6]). A \(G\)-torsor \(E\) over \(U\) is trivial if \(E\) is isomorphic to \(G\) as a \(U\)-scheme with an action of \(G\). This is well-known to be equivalent to the projection \(E \to U\) having a section. If \(T\) is a \(U\)-scheme, we will use the term “\(G\)-torsor over \(T\)” to mean a “\(G_T\)-torsor over \(T\)”.

The pointed set of isomorphism classes of \(G\)-torsors over \(T\) is the Nisnevich's conjecture. Indeed, let \(G\) be a reductive group scheme over a connected scheme and a generically trivial \(\mathcal{G}\)-torsor over \(A\). Let \(Z\) be a reductive group scheme over \(A\), and a generically trivial \(\mathcal{G}\)-torsor over \(A\). We would get an extension of \(\mathcal{G}\) to \(A\).

Theorem 1. Assume that \(R\) is a regular semilocal integral domain containing a field \(k\). Assume that \(k\) is infinite or there is a homomorphism \(R \to k\) left inverse to the inclusion. Let \(K = K(R)\) be the quotient field of \(R\). Let \(f \in R\) be such that \(f \notin m^2\) for any maximal ideal \(m\) of \(R\). Let \(G\) be a strongly locally isotropic reductive group scheme over \(R\). Let \(E\) be a \(G\)-torsor over \(R_f\) such that its pullback to \(K\) is trivial. Then \(E\) is trivial.

In other words, the natural map \(H^1(R_f, G) \to H^1(K, G)\) has a trivial kernel. We will axiomatize the properties of the first non-abelian cohomology functor needed to prove the statement (see Theorem 1 below). We derive the above theorem from its “abstract” version in Section 3.2.

The Nisnevich’s conjecture is not true if \(G\) fails to be strongly locally isotropic:

Theorem 2. There is a regular local ring \(R\) containing an infinite field, a simple simply-connected group scheme \(G\) over \(R\), and a generically trivial \(G\)-torsor \(E\) over \(A_R^1\) that cannot be extended to \(\mathbb{P}^1_R\).

This theorem, proved in Section 3.2 below, contradicts Nisnevich’s conjecture. Indeed, let \(u\) be the closed point of \(\text{Spec } R\). Were Nisnevich’s conjecture true, we could apply it to the local ring of \(\infty \times u\) on \(\mathbb{P}^1_R\) to find a Zariski neighborhood \(W\) of the infinity divisor \(\infty \times \text{Spec } R\) such that \(E|_{W-(\infty \times \text{Spec } R)}\) is trivial. Then, gluing \(E\) with the trivial torsor over \(W\), we would get an extension of \(E\) to \(\mathbb{P}^1_R\).
1.3. **Grothendieck–Serre and Nisnevich conjectures for Nisnevich sheaves.** Colliot–Thélène and Ojanguren in [CTO, Thm 1.1] proved the conjecture of Grothendieck and Serre for group schemes coming from the base field, assuming additionally that the base field is infinite. Roughly speaking, they proved the conjecture for any Nisnevich sheaf of pointed sets that commutes with filtered limits and is homotopy invariant. Then they have shown that the sheaf of first non-abelian cohomology satisfies these properties.

In 2012 Jean-Pierre Serre asked whether it is possible to recast the proof in [FP] in the same way. We answer this question positively in Section 1.3.3. Let us introduce the relevant terminology.

1.3.1. **Essentially smooth morphisms.**

**Definition 2.** Let $X$ be a Noetherian scheme. We say that a Noetherian $X$-scheme $Y$ is **essentially smooth over** $X$ if it is a filtered inverse limit of smooth $X$-schemes with transition morphisms being open affine embeddings.

For a discussion of this notion, see Section 1.4. We denote by $\text{Sm}'_X$ the full subcategory of the category of $X$-schemes whose objects are Noetherian schemes essentially smooth over $X$. We emphasize that the morphisms in $\text{Sm}'_X$ are arbitrary morphisms of schemes.

An important example of an essentially smooth scheme is the following: let $X$ be an affine scheme, let $x$ be a finite set of schematic points of $X$, let $O_{X,x}$ be the semilocal ring of $x$, then $\text{Spec} O_{X,x}$ is an object of $\text{Sm}'_X$.

**Lemma 1.1.** (i) Let $X$, $Y$, and $Z$ be Noetherian schemes such that $Y$ be essentially smooth over $X$ and $Z$ be essentially smooth over $Y$. Then $Z$ is essentially smooth over $X$.

(ii) A base change of an essentially smooth morphism is essentially smooth.

**Sketch of a proof.** (i) Consider first the case, when $Z$ is smooth over $Y$. By definition of essentially smooth morphisms, we can write $Y = \lim \leftarrow Y_\alpha$, where each $Y_\alpha$ is smooth over $X$ and the transition morphisms are open affine embeddings. We can find $\alpha$ and a $Y_\alpha$-scheme $Z_\alpha$ such that $Z = Z_\alpha \times_{Y_\alpha} Y$. For $\beta \succeq \alpha$, set $Z_\beta := Z_\alpha \times_{Y_\alpha} Y_\beta$. Then $Z = \lim \leftarrow Z_\beta$. Note that there is $\gamma$ such that for $\beta \succeq \gamma$ the scheme $Z_\beta$ is smooth over $Y_\beta$. Then $Z_\beta$ is also smooth over $X$, and we see that $Z$ is essentially smooth over $X$.

Now consider the general case. Write $Z = \lim \leftarrow Z_\alpha$, where $Z_\alpha$ are smooth over $Y$ and the transition morphisms are open affine embeddings. Fix some $\alpha_0$ and set $Z' := Z_{\alpha_0}$. We may assume that all $Z_\alpha$ are open subschemes of $Z'$. By the already proved, $Z'$ is essentially smooth over $X$, so we can write $Z' = \lim \leftarrow Z'_\beta$, where $Z'_\beta$ are smooth over $X$ and the morphisms are open affine embeddings. Again, we may assume that all $Z'_\beta$ are open subschemes of a fixed $Z''$ smooth over $X$. For each $\alpha$, since $Z_\alpha$ is open in $Z'$, we can find $\beta(\alpha)$ and an open subscheme $W_\alpha \subset Z'_\beta(\alpha)$ such that

$$Z_\alpha = \lim_{\beta \succeq \beta(\alpha)} W_\alpha \cap Z'_\beta.$$  

(Indeed, we reduce to the case, when $Z''$ is affine, in which case $Z'_\beta$, $Z'$, and $Z_\alpha$ are affine as well.) Now $Z$ is the limit of the filtered system $W_\alpha \cap Z'_\beta$, and we see that it is essentially smooth over $X$.

(ii) is easy and is left to the reader. 

□
1.3.2. **Nisnevich sheaves.**

**Definition 3.** An *elementary distinguished square* is a Cartesian diagram of schemes:

\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
U \times_X V & \longrightarrow & V \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow^p \\
U & \longrightarrow & X,
\end{array}
\]

where \( p \) is étale, \( j \) is an open embedding, and \( p^{-1}(X - U) \to X - U \) is an isomorphism, where we equip the corresponding closed subsets with the reduced scheme structure.

**Definition 4.** Let \( X \) be a Noetherian scheme and \( F \) be a presheaf of pointed sets on \( \text{Sm}'/X \). We say that \( F \) is a *Nisnevich sheaf of pointed sets* if for any elementary distinguished square \( \text{(2)} \) in \( \text{Sm}'/X \) the corresponding diagram

\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
F(U \times_X V) & \longleftarrow & F(V) \\
\uparrow & & \uparrow^{p^*} \\
F(U) & \longleftarrow & F(X)
\end{array}
\]

is Cartesian.

**Remarks 1.2.** (i) If \( X = X_1 \cup X_2 \) is a Zariski cover of \( X \), then

\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
X_1 \cap X_2 & \longrightarrow & X_1 \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow \\
X_2 & \longrightarrow & X
\end{array}
\]

is an elementary distinguished square. Thus, every Nisnevich sheaf is also a sheaf in the Zariski topology.

(ii) Our definition of Nisnevich sheaf is similar to [MV, Ch. 3, Def. 1.3] except that our schemes are essentially smooth rather than smooth over \( X \), and we consider sheaves of pointed sets rather than sets. Alternatively, one can equip \( \text{Sm}'/X \) with the Nisnevich topology and consider sheaves on this site. It seems plausible that in this way we get an equivalent definition of a Nisnevich sheaf (cf. [MV, Ch. 3, Prop. 1.4], where this is proved for the category of schemes smooth over \( X \)).

1.3.3. **A Grothendieck-Serre type theorem for Nisnevich sheaves.** Let \( X \) be a smooth integral affine \( k \)-scheme, where \( k \) is a field. We will formulate the properties under which \( F \) satisfies the Grothendieck–Serre type statement.

**(Lim)** \( F \) commutes with filtered inverse limits, provided that the transition morphisms are open embeddings.

The next property is a version of a local triviality of \( F \). If \( \pi : Y \to X \) is an essentially smooth morphism used to view \( Y \) as an object of \( \text{Sm}'/X \), then we denote the value of \( F \) on \( Y \) by \( F(Y, \pi) \), when we need to emphasize the dependence on \( \pi \).

**(LT)** Assume that \( W \) is an affine integral semilocal \( k \)-scheme such that all its closed points are finite over \( k \), and let \( U \subset W \) be a closed subscheme. Assume that we are given two essentially smooth \( k \)-morphisms \( p_1, p_2 : W \to X \) such that \( p_1|_U = p_2|_U \), and this morphism is essentially smooth. Then
there is a finite étale $k$-morphism $\pi : W' \to W$ with a section $\Delta : U \to W'$ and an isomorphism of sheaves $(p_1 \circ \pi)^* F \approx (p_2 \circ \pi)^* F$ restricting to the identity isomorphism on $U$.

This property requires some explanation. First of all, $p_i \circ \pi$ are essentially smooth by Lemma 1.1, so the pullbacks of sheaves make sense (again, by Lemma 1.1). The isomorphism amounts to compatible bijections for any essentially smooth $\psi : T \to W'$:

$$F(T, p_1 \circ \pi \circ \psi) \overset{\simeq}{\to} F(T, p_2 \circ \pi \circ \psi).$$

Finally, the condition that this isomorphism restricts to the identity on $U$ amounts to having for every $\psi$ as above a commutative diagram

$$
\begin{array}{ccc}
F(T, p_1 \circ \pi \circ \psi) & \overset{\simeq}{\to} & F(T, p_2 \circ \pi \circ \psi) \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow \\
F(T \times_{W'} U, p_1 \circ \pi \circ \psi \circ pr_1) & \overset{\simeq}{\to} & F(T \times_{W'} U, p_2 \circ \pi \circ \psi \circ pr_1),
\end{array}
$$

where $pr_1$ denotes the projection $T \times_{W'} U \to T$. Here the bottom identification comes from the fact that both morphisms are equal:

$$p_1 \circ \pi \circ \psi \circ pr_1 = p_1 \circ \pi \circ \Delta \circ pr_2 = (p_1|_U) \circ pr_2.$$

(In particular, this morphism is essentially smooth.)

The last property we need is a “Section Property”, which is weaker, than homotopy invariance (at least, if the field $k$ is infinite).

(\textbf{Sec}) Let $U \in \text{Ob}(\text{Sm}'/X)$ be an affine integral semilocal scheme such that all its closed points are finite over $k$. Assume that $Z$ is a closed subscheme of $\mathbb{A}^1_U$, finite over $U$. Let

$$\mathcal{E} \in \text{Ker}(F(\mathbb{A}^1_U) \to F(\mathbb{A}^1_U - Z)),$$

then for every section $\Delta : U \to \mathbb{A}^1_U$ of the projection $\mathbb{A}^1_U \to U$ we have $\Delta^* \mathcal{E} = \ast$.

\textbf{Theorem 3.} Let $X$ be a smooth integral affine $k$-scheme, where $k$ is a field. Let $F$ be a Nisnevich sheaf on $\text{Sm}'/X$ satisfying properties (Lim), (LT), and (Sec) above. Then for any finite set of schematic points $y \subset X$ the map $F(\mathcal{O}_{X,y}) \to F(K(X))$, where $K(X)$ is the fraction field of $X$, has a trivial kernel.

We prove this theorem in Section 2.5 after some preliminaries. The utility of working with functors is demonstrated by the following important corollary. Consider a family version of property (Sec):

(\textbf{SecF}) Let $U \in \text{Ob}(\text{Sm}'/X)$ be an integral affine semilocal scheme such that all its closed points are finite over $k$. Assume that $Z$ is a closed subscheme of $\mathbb{A}^1_U$, finite over $U$. Let $Y \in \text{Ob}(\text{Sm}'/k)$ be an affine scheme, and

$$\mathcal{E} \in \text{Ker}(F(\mathbb{A}^1_U \times_k Y) \to F((\mathbb{A}^1_U - Z) \times_k Y)),$$

then for every section $\Delta : U \times_k Y \to \mathbb{A}^1_U \times_k Y$ of the projection $\mathbb{A}^1_U \times_k Y \to U \times_k Y$ we have $\Delta^* \mathcal{E} = \ast$.

\textbf{Corollary 1.3.} In the notation of the theorem assume that the Nisnevich sheaf $F$ satisfies properties (Lim), (LT), and (SecF) above. Then for any finite set of schematic points $y \subset X$ and any affine scheme $Y \in \text{Ob}(\text{Sm}'/k)$ the map

$$F(\mathcal{O}_{X,y} \otimes_k k[Y]) \to F(K(X) \otimes_k k[Y]),$$
where \( K(X) \) is the fraction field of \( X \), has a trivial kernel.

**Proof.** Consider the functor \( F' \) sending a scheme \( Z \in \text{Ob}(\text{Sm}'/X) \) to \( F(Z \times_k Y) \) (we note that \( Z \times_k Y \) is essentially smooth over \( X \) by Lemma 1.1). It is clear that it is a Nisnevich sheaf satisfying properties (Lim), (LT), and (Sec). □

This corollary should be compared to [PSV, Thm. 1.1], [Pan1, Thm. 7.1], and [Fed3, Thm. 1].

1.3.4. Nisnevich conjecture for Nisnevich sheaves. The following is one of the main results of this paper.

**Theorem 4.** Let \( X \) be a smooth integral affine \( k \)-scheme, where \( k \) is a field. Let \( F \) be a Nisnevich sheaf on \( \text{Sm}'/X \) satisfying properties (Lim), (LT), and (SecF) above as well as:

(A1F) Let a \( k[X] \)-algebra \( K \) be a field such that \( \text{Spec} \, K \in \text{Sm}'/X \). Then the map \( F(K[t]) \rightarrow F(K(t)) \) has a trivial kernel.

Let \( y \subset X \) be a finite set of schematic points, let \( f \in k[X] \) be such that for any \( y \in y \) we have \( f \notin m_y^2 \). Assume additionally that \( k \) is infinite or one of the points \( y \in y \) is \( k \)-rational. Then the map

\[
F((\mathcal{O}_{X,y})_f) \rightarrow F(K(X)),
\]

where \( K(X) \) is the fraction field of \( X \), has a trivial kernel.

The notation “(A1F)” stands for “affine lines over fields”.

1.4. Variations. Our definition of “essentially smooth” and the decision to work on \( \text{Sm}'/X \) are somewhat ad hoc. We discuss possible variations here.

Some authors would define “essentially smooth” as the limit of smooth schemes, where transition morphisms are étale. This definition would work for us as well. In fact, we can work with any larger category. For example, we can start with a Nisnevich sheaf \( F \) on the category of all schemes over \( X \). The reason is that torsors are defined over any scheme. On the other hand, that would make our Theorems 3 and 4 weaker.

We can work with just affine essentially smooth \( X \)-schemes. That would require additional checks. For example, we would have to make sure that the schemes \( Y \) and \( Z \) in Section 2.2 have affine complements. This is possible but is not very convenient. If we follow this “affine” approach, we can also assume that \( U \) in Definition 4 is a principal open subset of \( X \), that is, of the form \( X_f \) for \( f \in k[X] \). The required gluing property for torsors follows then from [CTO, Prop. 2.6(iv)].

In principle, we can work with functors defined on \( X \)-schemes of finite type. In this case, we must either extend \( F \) to essentially smooth schemes using (Lim) for definition, or repeatedly shrink our schemes. Note that even the formulation of Theorem 3 should be changed to the following: if \( E \in F(X) \) is such that for some non-empty open subscheme \( X' \subset X \) we have \( E|_{X'} = \ast \), then there is an open subscheme \( X'' \subset X \) containing \( y \) such that \( E|_{X''} = \ast \). Theorem 4 should be changed similarly.

We can replace \( \mathcal{O}_{X,Y} \) in Theorems 3 and 4 by arbitrary integral semilocal domains geometrically regular over \( X \) at the price of strengthening (Lim) and using Popescu’s Theorem.
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2. **Proof of Theorem 3 and Theorem 4**

In Sections 2.1–2.4 we reduce the theorems to questions about affine lines over semilocal rings. This is quite standard and follows the usual strategy of the proof of the Grothendieck–Serre conjecture. Some care is needed because we work with abstract functors and we also have the hypersurface \(\{f = 0\}\). The proof of Theorem 3 is an easy consequence of this. To prove Theorem 4 we need an additional result Proposition 2.6 which seems to be totally new. This is where we need additional assumptions that \(k\) is infinite or \(x\) contains a \(k\)-rational point. It is curious that in the proof of Proposition 2.6 we are using Corollary 1.3 of Theorem 3.

### 2.1. Fibrations into curves

The main goal of this section is to prove the following proposition.

**Proposition 2.1.** Let \(X\) be an integral affine scheme smooth over a field \(k\), let \(x \subset X\) be a finite set of closed points, let \(f \in k[X]\) be such that \(f \neq 0\) and the hypersurface \(\{f = 0\}\) is smooth over \(k\), and let \(Z \subseteq X\) be a closed subscheme. Then there are:

(i) An open affine subscheme \(S \subset \mathbb{A}^{\dim X - 1}_k\).

(ii) An open affine subscheme \(X' \subset X\) containing \(x\) and a smooth morphism \(X' \rightarrow S\) of pure relative dimension one such that \(\{f|_{X'} = 0\}\) is \(S\)-étale and \(S\)-finite, \(Z \cap X'\) is \(S\)-finite.

If the field \(k\) is algebraically closed, it goes back to Artin [SGA IV$_3$, Exp. XI, Prop. 3.3]. Some new ideas are needed if \(k\) is finite, or if the residue fields of the points of \(x\) are not separable over \(k\). We follow Fed2.

**Proposition 2.2.** Let \(k\) be a field and let \(\overline{X} \subset \mathbb{P}^n_k\) be a closed subscheme of pure dimension \(n\), let \(X \subset \overline{X}\) be an open subscheme smooth over \(k\) and let \(x \subset X\) be a finite set of closed points. Let \(T_1\) and \(T_2\) be closed subsets of \(\overline{X}\) of dimensions at most \(n - 1\) and \(n - 2\) respectively such that \(x \cap T_2 = \emptyset\). Let \(Y\) be a closed codimension one subset of \(\overline{X}\) such that \(Y \cap X\) is smooth over \(k\). For an integer \(r\) consider the \(r\)-fold Veronese embedding \(\mathbb{P}^n_k \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^{N_r}_k\) and identify \(\overline{X}\) with a closed subscheme of \(\mathbb{P}^{N_r}_k\), using this embedding. Then there are a positive integer \(r\) and sections \(\sigma_0 \in H^0(\mathbb{P}^{N_r}_k, \mathcal{O}(1))\), \(\sigma_1 \in H^0(\mathbb{P}^{N_r}_k, \mathcal{O}(l_1))\), \(\ldots\), \(\sigma_{n-1} \in H^0(\mathbb{P}^{N_r}_k, \mathcal{O}(l_{n-1}))\) for some positive integers \(l_i\) such that for all \(x \in x\) we have

(i) \(\sigma_0(x) \neq 0\).

(ii) Let \(\phi: \mathbb{P}^{N_r}_k \rightarrow \mathbb{P}(1, l_1, \ldots, l_{n-1})\) be the rational morphism defined by the sections \(\sigma_i\). Then the subscheme \(\phi^{-1}(\phi(x)) \cap X\) is smooth of dimension one over \(k(\phi(x))\), while \(\phi^{-1}(\phi(x)) \cap X \cap Y\) is étale over \(k(\phi(x))\).

(iii) \(\phi^{-1}(\phi(x)) \cap T_1\) is finite.

(iv) \(\phi^{-1}(\phi(x)) \cap T_2 = \emptyset\).

(v) \(\{\sigma_0 = \sigma_1 = \cdots = \sigma_{n-1} = 0\} \cap T_i = \emptyset\) for \(i = 1, 2\).

**Proof.** If \(x\) consists of a single point and \(Y = \emptyset\), then this follows from Proposition [Fed2 Prop. 3.13], where \(X\) was denoted by \(X\) and \(X\) by \(X^{sm}\). The proof in our case is just a minor modification. \(\square\)
Proof of Proposition 2.2. We may assume that $X$ is a closed subscheme of $\mathbb{A}_k^{N_1}$ for some $N_1 > 0$. Let $\overline{X}$ be the closure of $X$ in $\mathbb{P}_k^{N_1}$. Set $Y := \{f = 0\}$ and let $\overline{Y}$ be the closure of $Y$ in $\overline{X}$. Let $\overline{Z}$ be the closure of $Z$ in $\overline{X}$.

Apply Proposition 2.2 with $T_1 := \overline{Y} \cup \overline{Z}$, and $T_2 := (\overline{Y} - Y) \cap (\overline{Z} - Z)$. Let $\sigma_i$ be the sections provided by Proposition 2.2. These sections give a rational morphism to a weighted projective space $\psi: \overline{X} \rightarrow \mathbb{P}_k(1, \ldots, l_{n-1})$. We identify the coordinate chart of $\mathbb{P}_k(1, \ldots, l_{n-1})$ given by the condition that the first homogeneous coordinate is non-zero with $\mathbb{A}^{n-1}_k$. By Proposition 2.2, $\psi(x) \in \mathbb{A}^{n-1}_k$.

By Proposition 2.2, $\psi$ is defined on $\overline{Y} \cup \overline{Z}$, and $\psi|_{\overline{Y} \cup \overline{Z}}$ is a projective morphism. Using Proposition 2.2 and semicontinuity of fiber dimensions, we find a neighborhood $S$ of $\psi(x)$ in $\mathbb{A}^{n-1}_k$ such that $\psi^{-1}(S) \cap (\overline{Y} \cup \overline{Z})$ is quasi-finite over $S$. Since a quasi-finite projective morphism is finite, we see that $\psi^{-1}(S) \cap (\overline{Y} \cup \overline{Z})$ is finite over $S$.

Using Proposition 2.2 and (ix), we see that we can shrink the neighborhood $S$ in such a way that $\psi^{-1}(S) \cap X$ is smooth of dimension 1 over $S$, $\psi^{-1}(S) \cap Y$ is étale over $S$, and $\psi^{-1}(S) \cap (\overline{Y} \cup \overline{Z}) = \psi^{-1}(S) \cap (Y \cup \overline{Z})$. It remains to take $X':=\psi^{-1}(S) \cap X$.

\[\square\]

2.2. Nice Triples. The goal of this section is to prove Proposition 2.3. The data $(Y \subset Z \subset C \rightarrow \text{Spec} \mathcal{O}_{X,x}, s)$ constructed below is similar to nice triples in [PSV, Pan1, Fed2].

Proposition 2.3. Let $X$ be an integral affine scheme smooth over a field $k$, let $x \subset X$ be a finite set of closed points and set $R:= \mathcal{O}_{X,x}$. Let $F$ be a presheaf of pointed sets on $\text{Sm}^l/X$ satisfying property (Lim) of Section 1.3.3 and let $f \in k[X]$ be such that $f \neq 0$ and the hypersurface $\{f = 0\}$ is smooth over $k$. Consider

\[\mathcal{E} \in \text{Ker}\left(F(R_f) \rightarrow F(K(X))\right).\]

Then there are:

(i) a smooth affine $R$-scheme $C$ of pure relative dimension one;
(ii) a section $s \in C(R);
(iii) $R$-finite closed subschemes $Y \subset Z \subset C$ such that $Y$ is $R$-étale and $f|_{s^{-1}(Y)} = 0$;
(iv) an essentially smooth morphism $\phi: C \rightarrow X$ such that $\phi \circ s: \text{Spec} R \rightarrow X$ is the canonical morphism and $\phi^{-1}\{\{f = 0\}\} \subset Y$;
(v) an element

\[\mathcal{E}' \in \text{Ker}\left(F(C - Y) \rightarrow F(C - Z)\right)\]

such that $(s|_{R_f})^*\mathcal{E}' = \mathcal{E}$, where we view $C - Y$ as an $X$-scheme via $C - Y \hookrightarrow C \xrightarrow{\phi} X$.

Moreover, if $f = 1$, then $Y = \emptyset$.

Some comments are in order. The condition $f|_{s^{-1}(Y)} = 0$ ensures that $s(\text{Spec} R_f) \subset C - Y$. Thus the condition $(s|_{R_f})^*\mathcal{E}' = \mathcal{E}$ makes sense.

Finally, note that $\text{Spec} R$ has two structures of an $X$-scheme: the obvious one and the one obtained via the composition $\text{Spec} R \xrightarrow{s} C \xrightarrow{\phi} X$. The condition on $\phi \circ s$ ensures that these two structures coincide.
Proof. Applying property (Lim) of \( F \) we may find an affine open subscheme \( X'' \) of \( X \) containing \( x \), a closed subscheme \( Z'' \subset X'' \) and an object \( \mathcal{E}'' \in F(X''_f) \) such that \( \mathcal{E}''|_{X''_f-Z''} = * \) and \( \mathcal{E}''|_{R_f} = \mathcal{E} \). In more detail, we have

\[
\text{Spec } R_f = \lim (X_\alpha)_f,
\]

where \( X_\alpha \) is the inverse system of all affine neighborhoods of \( x \). By (Lim)

\[
F(R_f) = \lim F((X_\alpha)_f).
\]

Using explicit description of limits in the category of pointed sets, we find \( \alpha \) and \( \mathcal{E}_\alpha \in F((X_\alpha)_f) \) such that \( \mathcal{E}_\alpha|_{R_f} = \mathcal{E} \).

Next, we have \( \text{Spec } K(X) = \lim (X'_\beta)_f \), where \( X'_\beta \) is the inverse system of all non-empty affine open subschemes of \( X_\alpha \). Using (Lim) again, we get

\[
F(K(X)) = \lim F((X'_\beta)_f).
\]

Since \( \mathcal{E}_\alpha|_{K(X)} = * \), using again explicit description of limits in the category of pointed sets, we see that \( \mathcal{E}_\alpha|_{(X'_\beta)_f} = * \) for some \( \beta \). Now we can take \( X'' := X_\alpha, Z'' := (X_\alpha - X'_\beta)_{\text{red}}, \) and \( \mathcal{E}'' := \mathcal{E}_\alpha \).

Let \( S \subset \mathbb{A}^d_X \) and an \( S \)-scheme \( X' \) be obtained by applying Proposition 2.1 to \( X'', x, f|_{X''}, \) and \( Z'' \). Set \( C := X' \times_S \text{Spec } R \). Let can: \( \text{Spec } R \to X' \) be the canonical morphism and \( s := (\text{can}, \text{Id}) \) be the diagonal section. Let \( Y := \{ f|_{X'} = 0 \} \times_S \text{Spec } R \) and

\[
Z := (\{ f|_{X'} = 0 \} \cup (Z'' \cap X')) \times_S \text{Spec } R,
\]

let \( \phi \) be the composition of the projection to \( X' \) and the open embedding \( X' \hookrightarrow X \). Set \( \mathcal{E}' := (\phi|_{C-Y})^* \mathcal{E}'' \). It is clear that all the conditions are satisfied. \( \square \)

2.3. Preparation to descend. In this section we improve the data constructed in Proposition 2.3 so that \( \mathcal{E}' \) can be descended to \( \mathbb{A}^d_R \), where \( R := \mathcal{O}_{X,X} \).

Proposition 2.4. Let \( X \) be an integral affine scheme smooth over a field \( k \), let \( x \subset X \) be a set of closed points and set \( R := \mathcal{O}_{X,x} \). Let \( F \) be a presheaf of pointed sets on \( Sm'/X \) satisfying properties (Lim) and (LT) of Section 1.3.3. Assume that \( f \in k[X] \) is such that \( f \neq 0 \) and the hypersurface \( \{ f = 0 \} \) is smooth over \( k \). Consider

\[
\mathcal{E} \in \text{Ker} \left( F(R_f) \to F(K(X)) \right).
\]

Then there are:

(i) a smooth affine \( R \)-scheme \( C \) of pure relative dimension one;
(ii) a section \( s \in C(R) \);
(iii) \( R \)-finite closed subschemes \( Y \subset Z \subset C \) such that \( Y \) is \( R \)-étale and \( f|_{s^{-1}(Y)} = 0 \);
(iv) an element

\[
\mathcal{E}' \in \text{Ker} \left( F(C-Y) \to F(C-Z) \right).
\]
Proposition 2.3 implies that compositions of the natural embedding \( k \times X \rightarrow X \) with the natural embedding into this isomorphism, we can view \( V \) required. Set

\[
\text{Proof.}
\]

\[\text{Consider the data provided by Proposition 2.3. We will "improve" this data to obtain the data required. Set } V := s(\text{Spec } R). \text{ Replacing } Z \text{ with } Z \cup V, \text{ we may assume that } s \text{ factors through } Z. \text{ Let } Z_0 \text{ be the connected component of } Z \text{ containing } V. \text{ Replacing } C \text{ with an affine neighborhood of } Z_0, Z \text{ with } Z_0, \text{ and } Y \text{ with } Z_0 \cap Y, \text{ we may assume that } C \text{ is connected.}
\]

Let \( y \) be the set of all closed points of \( Z \). Then \( y \) is a finite set of closed points of \( C \). Put \( W := \text{Spec } \mathcal{O}_{C,Y} \). Then \( Z \) is a closed subscheme of \( W \). So \( W \) is also a closed subscheme of \( W \). Note that \( C \) has two essentially smooth morphisms to \( X \): \( \phi \) and the composition of the projection to \( \text{Spec } R \) with the natural embedding into \( X \); denote the latter morphism by \( \phi_2 \). Let \( p_1, p_2: W \rightarrow X \) be the compositions of the natural embedding \( W \rightarrow C \) with \( \phi \) and \( \phi_2 \) respectively. The condition \( (\mathbf{X}) \) of Proposition 2.3 implies that \( p_1|_Y = p_2|_Y \), so we can use property (LT) of \( F \) to find a finite étale \( k \)-morphism \( \pi: W' \rightarrow W \), a section \( \Delta: V \rightarrow W' \), and an isomorphism \( (p_1 \circ \pi)^* \mathcal{F} \simeq (p_2 \circ \pi)^* \mathcal{F} \). Using this isomorphism, we can view

\[
\mathcal{E}'' := (\pi|_{W' - W' \times_W Y})^*(\mathcal{E}'|_{W - Y})
\]

as an object of \( \mathcal{F}(W' - W' \times_W Y) \), where \( W' - W' \times_W Y \) is viewed as an \( X \)-scheme via the composition

\[
W' - W' \times_W Y \rightarrow W' \rightarrow W \rightarrow C \rightarrow \text{Spec } R \rightarrow X.
\]

Set \( s' := \Delta \circ s: \text{Spec } R \rightarrow W' \). The condition \( (s|_{R'})^* \mathcal{E}' = \mathcal{E} \) together with diagram (3) tell us that

\[
(s'|_{R'}^*) \mathcal{E}'' = \mathcal{E}.
\]

We can find an affine open subscheme \( C_1 \) of \( C \) containing \( Z \) and a finite étale morphism \( C'_1 \rightarrow C_1 \) such that \( W' = W \times_{C_1} C'_1 \). We can write \( W = \lim\limits_{\leftarrow} C_\alpha \), where \( C_\alpha \) are open affine subschemes of \( C_1 \) containing \( Z \). Then \( W' = \lim\limits_{\leftarrow} C'_\alpha \), where \( C'_\alpha := C_\alpha \times_{C_1} C'_1 \).

Set \( Z' := Z \times_{C_1} C'_1 \) and \( Y' := Y \times_{C_1} C'_1 \). Note that \( Z' \) and \( Y' \) are closed subschemes of each \( C'_\alpha \) finite over \( R \).

Using property (Lim) of \( F \), we can find \( \alpha \) and \( \mathcal{E}_\alpha \in \mathcal{F}(C_\alpha - Y') \) such that \( \mathcal{E}_\alpha|_{W' - Y'} = \mathcal{E}'' \) and \( \mathcal{E}_\alpha \) restricts to \( \star \) on \( C'_\alpha - Z' \) (this is similar to the beginning of the proof of Proposition 2.3).

Renaming \( C'_\alpha \mapsto C, s' \mapsto s, Y' \mapsto Y, Z' \mapsto Z, \) and \( \mathcal{E}_\alpha \mapsto \mathcal{E}' \), we satisfy properties (\( \mathbf{I} \))-(\( \mathbf{V} \)) of the proposition.

To arrange property (\( \mathbf{X} \)), we argue as in the proof of \( \text{[Ces, Prop. 4.1.5]} \). Let us give more details. By construction, \( s \) factors through \( Z \). Applying \( \text{[Ces, Lm. 4.1.1]} \) to the closed subscheme \( Z \) of \( C \), we see that we can change the data so we can assume that for all \( x \in x \) we have

\[
\# \{ z \in Z_x : [k(z) : k(x)] = d \} < \# \{ z \in \mathbb{A}_x^1 : [k(z) : k(x)] = d \} \text{ for every } d \geq 1.
\]
Now, applying [Čes, Lm. 4.1.3] with \( Y = \emptyset \), we get a flat morphism \( C \to \mathbb{A}^1_R \) such that \( Z \) maps isomorphically onto a closed subscheme \( Z' \subset \mathbb{A}^1_R \) and such that \( \mathbb{A} \) is satisfied. This morphism is étale in a neighborhood of \( Z \), so, shrinking \( C \), we obtain the desired morphism.

\[ \square \]

2.4. Descend to the affine line.

**Proposition 2.5.** Let \( X \) be an integral affine scheme smooth over a field \( k \), let \( \mathbf{x} \subset X \) be a finite set of closed points. Let \( F \) be a Nisnevich sheaf of pointed sets on \( \text{Sm}'/X \) satisfying properties \((\text{Lim})\) and \((\text{LT})\) of Section 1.3.3 and let \( f \in k[X] \) be such that \( f \neq 0 \) and the hypersurface \( \{ f = 0 \} \) is smooth over \( k \). Consider

\[ E \in \text{Ker} \left( F(O_X, y) \to F(K(X)) \right). \]

Set \( R := O_{X, \mathbf{x}} \). Then there are:

(i) A section \( s \in \mathbb{A}^1_R(R) \);

(ii) \( R \)-finite closed subschemes \( Y \subset Z \subset \mathbb{A}^1_R \) such that \( Y \) is \( R \)-étale and \( f|s^{-1}(Y) = 0 \);

(iii) an element

\[ E' \in \text{Ker} \left( F(\mathbb{A}^1_R - Y) \to F(\mathbb{A}^1_R - Z) \right), \]

such that \( (s|_{R_f})^* E' = E \).

Moreover, if \( f = 1 \), then \( Y = \emptyset \).

**Proof.** Consider the data provided by Proposition 2.4. Since the morphism \( C \to \mathbb{A}^1_R \) maps \( Z \) isomorphically onto \( Z' \), it maps \( Y \) isomorphically onto a closed subscheme \( Y' \subset Z' \). The square

\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
C - Z & \longrightarrow & C - Y \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow \\
\mathbb{A}^1_R - Z' & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{A}^1_R - Y'
\end{array}
\]

is an elementary distinguished square as in Definition 3. Since \( F \) is a Nisnevich sheaf, by Definition 4, \( E' \) can be descended to an element \( E'' \in F(\mathbb{A}^1_R - Y') \) whose restriction to \( \mathbb{A}^1_R - Z' \) is \( * \). Let \( s' \) be the composition of \( s \) and the morphism \( C \to \mathbb{A}^1_R \).

It remains to rename \( s' \mapsto s \), \( Y' \mapsto Y \), \( Z' \mapsto Z \), \( E'' \mapsto E' \). \( \square \)

2.5. **Proof of Theorem 3.** We are now ready to finish the proof of Theorem 3. Consider

\[ E \in \text{Ker} \left( F(O_{X,y}) \to F(K(X)) \right). \]

Using property \((\text{Lim})\) of \( F \), we find an open affine neighborhood \( X' \) of \( y \) in \( X \) and \( E' \in F(X') \) such that \( E'|_{O_{X,y}} = E \). For any \( y \in X \) choose a closed point \( x \in X' \) such that \( x \) is in the closure of \( y \). Let \( x \) be the set of these closed points and set \( R := O_{X, x} \). It is enough to show that \( E'' := E'|_R = * \) (because \( E''|_{O_{X,y}} = E \)). Note that \( E''|_{K(X)} = * \).
Applying Proposition 2.5 with \( f = 1 \) to \( \mathcal{E}'' \), we find

(i) a section \( s \in \mathbb{A}^1_R(R) \);
(ii) an \( R \)-finite closed subscheme \( Z \subset \mathbb{A}^1_R \);
(iii) an element

\[
\mathcal{E}'' \in \text{Ker} \left( F(\mathbb{A}^1_R) \to F(\mathbb{A}^1_R - Z) \right)
\]

such that \( s^*\mathcal{E}'' = \mathcal{E}'' \). It remains to use property (Sec) of \( F \). \( \square \)

2.6. Open subschemes of affine lines.

**Proposition 2.6.** Let \( X \) be a smooth affine \( k \)-scheme. Let \( F \) be a Nisnevich sheaf of pointed sets on \( Sm/X \) satisfying properties (Lim), (LT), (SecF), and (A1F) of Section 1.3.3. Let \( x \) be a finite set of closed points of \( X \) and put \( R := \mathcal{O}_{X,x} \). Assume additionally that \( k \) is infinite, or \( x \) contains a \( k \)-rational point. Let \( Y \subset Z \subset \mathbb{A}^1_R \) be closed subschemes finite over \( R \) such that \( Y \) is étale over \( R \). Then the map \( F(\mathbb{A}^1_R - Y) \to F(\mathbb{A}^1_R - Z) \) has a trivial kernel.

**Proof.** We may assume that \( X \) is connected (thus, integral). Let

\[
\mathcal{E} \in \text{Ker} \left( F(\mathbb{A}^1_R - Y) \to F(\mathbb{A}^1_R - Z) \right)
\]

Case 1. Assume that \( Y = Y_0 \times_k \text{Spec} R \), where \( Y_0 \) is a closed subscheme of \( \mathbb{A}^1_k \). Since

\[
\mathbb{A}^1_R - Y = (\mathbb{A}^1_k - Y_0) \times_k \text{Spec} R,
\]

and the scheme \( \mathbb{A}^1_k - Y_0 \) is affine and \( k \)-smooth, we can apply Corollary 1.3.3. Thus we only need to check that \( \mathcal{E}_K := \mathcal{E}|_{\mathbb{A}^1_k - Y_K} = \ast \), where \( K \) is the fraction field of \( R \). Note that \( Y_K \) and \( Z_K \) are finite subschemes of \( \mathbb{A}^1_K \). Let \( T_K \) denote the set theoretic difference \( Z_K - Y_K \). Recall from Remark 1.2(i) that \( F \) is a sheaf in the Zariski topology. Since \( \mathcal{E}|_{\mathbb{A}^1_k - Z_K} = \ast \), we can glue \( \mathcal{E}_K \) with \( \ast \in F(\mathbb{A}^1_k - T_K) \) to obtain \( \mathcal{E}' \in F(\mathbb{A}^1_k) \). It follows from (A1F) that \( \mathcal{E}' = \ast \). Since \( \mathcal{E}'|_{\mathbb{A}^1_k - Y_K} = \mathcal{E}_K \), we are done.

Case 2. Assume that we have \( d \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0} \) such that \( Y = \bigsqcup_{i=1}^d Y_i \), where for each \( i \) the restriction of the projection \( \mathbb{A}^1_R \to \text{Spec} R \) to \( Y_i \) is an isomorphism.

By the previous case, we may assume that \( Y \neq \emptyset \).

**Lemma 2.7.** There is a Zariski neighborhood \( W \) of \( Z \) in \( \mathbb{A}^1_R \) and an étale \( R \)-morphism \( \phi: W \to \mathbb{A}^1_R \) such that \( \phi \) maps \( Z \) isomorphically onto a closed subscheme \( Z' \subset \mathbb{A}^1_R \) such that \( \phi^{-1}(Z') = Z \), and \( \phi(Y) \) is of the form \( Y_0 \times_k \text{Spec} R \).

**Proof.** First of all, we claim that \( d \leq |k| \). If \( k \) is infinite, this condition is vacuous. Otherwise, we assumed that \( \text{Spec} R \) has a \( k \)-rational point. Denote this point by \( x \), then

\[
d = |Y_x(k)| \leq |\mathbb{A}^1_x(k)| = |k|.
\]

Let \( t_1, \ldots, t_d \) be distinct elements of \( k \). Note that for any extension \( k' \) of \( k \), \( t_i \) gives rise to a \( k' \)-point of \( \mathbb{A}^1_{k'} \). We abuse notation denoting this point by \( t_i \).
We claim that there is a closed $R$-embedding $\iota: Z(2) \hookrightarrow \mathbb{A}^1_R$, where $Z(2)$ is the first infinitesimal neighborhood of $Z$ in $\mathbb{A}^1_R$, such that $\iota(Y_i) = t_i \times_k \text{Spec} \, R$. Indeed, take $x \in \mathbf{x}$ and consider the finite scheme $(Z(2))_x \subset \mathbb{A}^1_x$. We can write

$$(Z(2))_x = \bigsqcup_{i=1}^n Z_{x,i},$$

where the underlying set of $Z_{x,i}$ consists of a single point $z_{x,i}$. Consider a bijection $\alpha: k(x) \to k(x)$ such that for $i = 1, \ldots, d$ we have $\alpha((Y_i)_x) = t_i$.

We define the morphism $\iota_x: (Z(2))_x \to \mathbb{A}^1_x$ as follows. If $z_{x,i}$ is not $k(x)$-rational, then the restriction of $\iota_x$ to $Z_{x,i}$ is the original embedding. Otherwise, it is the composition of this embedding with the shift by $-z_{x,i} + \alpha(z_{x,i})$. Since $\iota_x$ is injective on the closed points of $(Z(2))_x$, it is a closed embedding. By construction $\iota_x((Y_i)_x) = t_i$. An $R$-morphism from any $R$-scheme to $\mathbb{A}^1_R$ is given by a global function. Thus, by a version of the Chinese Remainder Theorem, we can extend $\bigsqcup_{x\in \mathbf{x}} \iota_x$ to an embedding $\iota$ with required properties.

Now we extend $\iota$ to $\phi: \mathbb{A}^1_R \to \mathbb{A}^1_R$. This morphism is non-constant on the closed fibers $\mathbb{A}^1_x$ for $x \in \mathbf{x}$, so, by semicontinuity of fiber dimensions, it is quasi-finite. Since $\mathbb{A}^1_R$ is regular, $\phi$ is flat (see [Mat, Thm. 23.1]). Since $\phi|_{Z(2)}$ is a closed embedding, $\phi$ is unramified on a neighborhood $W'$ of $Z$. Thus, $\phi$ is étale on $W'$. Next, $\phi$ induces an isomorphism $Z \to \phi(Z)$, so it has a section over $\phi(Z)$. Since $\phi|_{W'}$ is étale, we get $\phi^{-1}(\phi(Z)) \cap W' = Z \sqcup Z_1$ for a closed subscheme $Z_1$ of $W'$, and we take $W$ to be a Zariski neighborhood of $Z$ in $W' - Z_1$. Finally, we have

$$\phi(Y) = \{t_1, \ldots, t_d\} \times_k \text{Spec} \, R.$$ 

We return to the proof of Case 2 of Proposition 2.6. The square

$$
\begin{array}{ccc}
W - Z & \longrightarrow & W - Y \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow \\
\mathbb{A}^1_R - Z' & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{A}^1_R - Y_0 \times_k \text{Spec} \, R
\end{array}
$$

is an elementary distinguished square. Since $\mathbf{F}$ is a Nisnevich sheaf, $\mathcal{E}|_{W - Y}$ can be descended to an element $\mathcal{E}' \in \mathbf{F}(\mathbb{A}^1_R - Y_0 \times_k \text{Spec} \, R)$ whose restriction to $\mathbb{A}^1_R - Z'$ is $\ast$. By the previous case, we have $\mathcal{E}' = \ast$, so $\mathcal{E}|_{W - Y} = \ast$. Consider the Zariski cover

$$\mathbb{A}^1_R = (\mathbb{A}^1_R - Y) \cup W.$$ 

We can glue $\mathcal{E}$ with $\ast$ to obtain $\mathcal{E}'' \in \mathbf{F}(\mathbb{A}^1_R)$ such that $\mathcal{E}''|_{\mathbb{A}^1_R - Z} = \ast$. Using the previous case with $Y = \emptyset$, we see that $\mathcal{E}'' = \ast$. Thus, $\mathcal{E} = \mathcal{E}''|_{\mathbb{A}^1_R - Y} = \ast$.

**Case 3. General case.** For an $R$-quasi-finite scheme $Y$, let $\deg^*_R Y$ denote the length of the generic fiber of $Y \to \text{Spec} \, R$, and let $d(Y/\text{Spec} \, R)$ be the difference between $\deg^*_R Y$ and the number of connected components of $Y$. In other words, for a connected and quasi-finite over $R$ scheme $Y$ we set $d(Y/\text{Spec} \, R) = \deg^*_R Y - 1$, and then we extend this definition to disconnected schemes by additivity. We induct on $d(Y/\text{Spec} \, R)$. If $d(Y/\text{Spec} \, R) = 0$, then all connected components of $Y$ are rational over $\text{Spec} \, R$, so we are in the previous case. Assume that the statement is proved for smaller values of $d(Y/\text{Spec} \, R)$. Let $V$ be a connected component of $Y$ of degree at least two over $R$. Set $Y' := Y \times_R V$,
over $k$ scheme over $R$ by Popescu’s Theorem (see [Pop1], [Swa], [Spi]), we can write $E$ that $G$ can find a reductive group scheme $\alpha$.

3.1. Let $\alpha$ from Theorem 4.

Then we derive such that $\alpha$ such that $\phi^{-1}(V) \cap W = \Delta$. Then the square

\begin{align*}
W - Y' & \longrightarrow W - (Y' - \Delta) \\
\downarrow & \\
\mathbb{A}^1_R - Y & \longrightarrow \mathbb{A}^1_R - (Y - V)
\end{align*}

is an elementary distinguished square. Note that $E|_{W - Y'} = E'|_{W - Y'} = \ast|_{W - Y'} = \ast$.

Thus, since $F$ is a Nisnevich sheaf, we can glue $E$ with $\ast \in F(W - (Y' - \Delta))$ to obtain an element $E' \in F(\mathbb{A}^1_R - (Y - V))$ whose restriction to $\mathbb{A}^1_R - Y$ is $E$. Since $\deg_R V \geq 2$, we have $d((Y - V)/\text{Spec } R) < d(Y/\text{Spec } R)$, we can apply the induction hypothesis to conclude that $E' = \ast$. Thus $E = \ast$. $\square$

2.7. Proof of Theorem 4. We are now ready to finish the proof of Theorem 4. Consider $E \in \text{Ker}(F((\mathcal{O}_{X,Y})_f) \rightarrow F(K(X)))$.

Using property (Lim) of $F$, we find an open affine neighborhood $X'$ of $Y$ in $X$ and $E' \in F(X'_f)$ such that $E'|_{(\mathcal{O}_{X,Y})_f} = E$. For any $y \in Y$ choose a closed point $x \in X'$ such that $x$ is in the closure of $y$. Let $X$ be the set of these closed points. It is enough to show that $E'' := E'|_{X_f} = \ast$ (because $E''|_{(\mathcal{O}_{X,Y})_f} = E$).

Note that $E''|_{K(X)} = \ast$.

Applying Proposition 2.6, we find

(i) a section $s \in \mathbb{A}^1_R(R)$;

(ii) $R$-finite closed subschemes $Y \subset Z \subset \mathbb{A}^1_R$ such that $Y$ is $R$-étale and $f|_{s^{-1}(Y)} = 0$;

(iii) an element $E'' \in \text{Ker}(F(\mathbb{A}^1_R - Y) \rightarrow F(\mathbb{A}^1_R - Z))$ such that $s^*E'' = E''$. It remains to use Proposition 2.6 $\square$

3. Application to torsors

For completeness we start with deriving the (already known) conjecture of Grothendieck and Serre for torsors over regular semilocal integral domains containing fields from Theorem 4. Then we derive Theorem 1 from Theorem 4

3.1. Let $R$ be a regular semilocal integral domain containing a field $k$ and let $G$ be a reductive group scheme over $R$. We may assume that $k$ is the prime field of $R$ so, in particular, $k$ is perfect. Now, by Popescu’s Theorem (see [Pop1], [Swa], [Spi]), we can write $R = \lim R_\alpha$, where each $R_\alpha$ is smooth over $k$. Changing the direct system $R_\alpha$, we may assume that the rings are integral. For some $\alpha$ we can find a reductive group scheme $G_\alpha$ over $R_\alpha$ such that $G_\alpha|_R = G$ and a $G_\alpha$-torsor $E_\alpha$ over $R_\alpha$ such that $E_\alpha|_R = E$ and $E_\alpha|_{K(R_\alpha)}$ is trivial.
Set \( X := \text{Spec} \, R \), and let \( Y \subset X \) be the image of the set of all closed points of Spec \( R \) in \( X \). Then Spec \( R \to X \) factors through Spec \( O_{X,Y} \). Set \( \mathcal{E}' := \mathcal{E}_0|_{O_{X,Y}} \). Since \( \mathcal{E}'|_R = \mathcal{E} \), it is enough to show that \( \mathcal{E}' \) is trivial.

Consider the functor \( F \) on \( Sm'/X \) given by \( F(Y) := H^1(Y, G_\alpha) \). It is enough to show that the map \( F(O_{X,Y}) \to F(K(X)) \) has a trivial kernel. This will follow from Theorem \( 3 \) as soon as we verify the hypothesis.

Note that torsors satisfy the étale descend. Thus, \( F \) is an étale sheaf. It follows that \( F \) is a Nisnevich sheaf (cf. Definition \( 4 \) and the first part of the proof of \( [MV, \text{Ch. 3, Prop. 1.4}] \)). It is clear that \( F \) satisfies property (Sec), and (A1F). Property (Sec) follows from \( [Fed1, \text{Thm. 5}] \). Finally, (A1F) follows of \( B \) of \( \text{Spec} \, R \) with \( m \sim \) the prime field \( k \). Since \( k \) is perfect, by Popescu's Theorem (see \( [Pop1, \text{Swa}, \text{Spi}] \)) we can write \( k = \lim R_\alpha \), where \( R_\alpha \) are rings smooth over \( k' \). We may assume that these rings are integral. Denote the canonical morphism \( R_\alpha \to R \) by \( \psi_\alpha \).

Then for some \( \alpha \) we can find an \( R_\alpha \)-group scheme \( G_\alpha \), an element \( f_\alpha \in R_\alpha \), and a \( G_\alpha \)-torsor \( \mathcal{E}_\alpha \) over \( (R_\alpha)_{f_\alpha} \), such that \( G_\alpha \) is strongly locally isotropic, \( G_\alpha|_R = G \), \( \psi_\alpha(f_\alpha) = f \), and \( \mathcal{E}_\alpha|_{R_{f'}} \approx \mathcal{E} \). The morphism \( \psi_\alpha \) decomposes as

\[
R_\alpha \to R_\alpha \otimes_{k'} k' \overset{\phi}{\to} R.
\]

Set \( Y := \text{Spec}(R_\alpha \otimes_{k'} k) \) and \( G' := G \times_{k'} \text{Spec} \, k' \). Then \( G' \) is strongly locally isotropic.

Consider the functor \( F \) on \( Sm'/X \) given by \( F(Y) := H^1(Y, G') \). We have explained above that \( F \) is a Nisnevich sheaf satisfying properties \( (\text{Lim}) \) and \( (LT) \) of \( \text{Section 1.3.3} \). Let us verify that it satisfies properties \( (\text{Sec}) \), and \( (A1F) \). Property \( (\text{Sec}) \) follows from \( [Fed1, \text{Thm. 5}] \). Finally, \( (A1F) \) follows from \( [Gil2, \text{Cor. 3.10(a)}] \) and \( [Gil3, \text{Thm. 5}] \).

Set \( Y := \phi^{-1}(\{p_1, \ldots, p_n\}) \subset X \). By assumption, if \( k \) is finite, then one of the points \( p_i \in \text{Spec} \, R \) is \( k \)-rational. The corresponding point \( \phi^{-1}(p_i) \in Y \) is \( k \)-rational as well.

Set \( f' := f_\alpha \otimes_{k'} k \in k[X] \). Since \( \phi(f') = f \), it follows from the assumption on \( f \) that \( f' \) does not belong to \( m_y^2 \) for any \( y \in y \). Now it follows from Theorem \( 3 \) that \( \mathcal{E}' := \mathcal{E}_\alpha|_{(O_{X,Y})_{f'}} \) is trivial. However, \( \mathcal{E}'|_R \approx \mathcal{E} \) and we are done. \( \square \)

4. PROOF OF THEOREM \( 2 \)

Theorem \( 2 \) will be derived from the following proposition.

**Proposition 4.1.** Let \( B \) be an integral Noetherian local ring that is not a field. Assume that \( B \) contains an infinite field. Let \( G \) be a semisimple \( B \)-group scheme anisotropic over the fraction field of \( B \). Then there is a maximal ideal \( m \subset B[t] \) and a \( G \)-torsor \( \mathcal{E} \) over \( k_B|_{B[t]} \) that cannot be extended to \( \text{Spec} \, B[t] \).

**Remark 4.2.** It is likely that the assumption that \( B \) contains a field is not necessary. It is probably also enough to assume that \( G \) is reductive. However, we want to use the results of \( [Fed3] \), where these assumptions were maid.
Derivation of Theorem II from Proposition [4.1]. In [Fed3] Example 2.4] for any algebraically closed field \( k \) we constructed a connected smooth variety \( X \) over \( k \) and a Spin(7)-torsor \( F \) over \( X \) such that \( F \) cannot be reduced to a proper parabolic subgroup of Spin(7) over the generic point of \( X \).

Let \( G := \text{Aut}(F) \) be the group scheme of automorphisms of \( F \) as a Spin(7)-torsor. Then \( G \) is a simple simply-connected group scheme (a strongly inner form of Spin(7)) such that its generic fiber is anisotropic by [Fed3] Prop. 3.2. It is easy to see that \( X \) is not a point. Let \( y \in X \) be a closed point. Then by Proposition 4.4 applied to \( B := O_{X,y} \) there is a \( G \)-torsor on \( \mathbb{A}^1_R \), where \( R \) is the local ring of a point on \( \mathbb{A}^1_X \), that cannot be extended to \( \mathbb{P}^1_R \).

Recall that in [Fed3] Sect. 5] for a connected affine scheme \( U \) and a semisimple \( U \)-group scheme \( G \) we defined the affine Grassmannian \( Gr_G \). This is an ind-projective ind-scheme over \( U \). We will use these results here.

4.1. Proof of Proposition 4.1. The following proposition is crucial for the proof. The proposition asserts that, in the anisotropic situation, the possibility of extending a torsor over \( \mathbb{A}^1_k \times X \) to \( \mathbb{P}^1_k \times X \) can be verified locally over \( X \).

**Proposition 4.3.** (i) Let \( X \) be an integral Noetherian \( k \)-scheme. Assume that \( H \rightarrow X \) is a reductive group scheme such that \( H_{k(X)} \) is anisotropic. Let \( E \) be a generically trivial \( H \)-torsor over \( \mathbb{A}^1_k \times X \). Then \( E \) can be extended to \( \mathbb{P}^1_k \times X \) if and only if for all schematic points \( x \in X \) the restriction of \( E \) to \( \mathbb{A}^1_k \times \text{Spec} \, \mathcal{O}_{X,x} \) can be extended to \( \mathbb{P}^1_k \times \text{Spec} \, \mathcal{O}_{X,x} \).

(ii) The extension from part (i) is unique (if it exists) in the following sense. Let \( E_1 \) and \( E_2 \) be \( H \)-torsors over \( \mathbb{P}^1_k \times X \) and let \( \tau_i : E_i \rightarrow E_i |_{\mathbb{A}^1_k \times X} \) be isomorphisms. Then there is a unique isomorphism of \( H \)-torsors \( \phi : E_1 \rightarrow E_2 \) such that \( \phi |_{\mathbb{A}^1_k \times X} = \tau_2 \circ \tau_1^{-1} \).

**Proof.** Since \( E |_{\mathbb{A}^1_k \times \text{Spec} \, k(X)} \) is generically trivial, it is trivial by [Gill] Cor. 3.10(a)] (see also [Gill2]); we choose a trivialization. Thus the extensions of \( E |_{\mathbb{A}^1_k \times X} \) to \( \mathbb{P}^1_k \times X \) are parameterized by sections of \( Gr_{H_{k(X)}} \) (see [Fed3] Prop. 5.1]). Since \( H_{k(X)} \) is anisotropic, there is a unique such section (see [Fed3] Sect. 6]) and thus a unique extension.

Hence, the isomorphism \( \tau_2 \circ \tau_1^{-1} \) can be extended to the generic point of the infinity divisor \( \infty \times X \subset \mathbb{P}^1_k \times X \). Since \( E_i \) are affine over \( X \), by Hartogs’ Theorem \( \tau_2 \circ \tau_1^{-1} \) can be extended to an isomorphism \( \phi : E_1 \rightarrow E_2 \). Uniqueness of the extension follows because \( E_i \) are affine and thus separated over \( X \).

The condition is obviously necessary. Conversely, assume that it is satisfied and choose a trivialization of \( E \) on \( \mathbb{A}^1_k \times \text{Spec} \, k(X) \) as above. Consider \( x \in X \). Using our assumption, we can find a Zariski neighbourhood \( U_x \) of \( x \) and an extension of \( E |_{\mathbb{A}^1_k \times U_x} \) to \( E |_{\mathbb{P}^1_k \times U_x} \). By part (i), for any points \( x, y \in X \) the corresponding extensions are isomorphic on the intersection \( \mathbb{P}^1_k \times (U_x \cap U_y) \) (in the sense made precise above). Note that these isomorphisms agree on triple intersections, by the uniqueness part of (i). Thus the extensions glue together.

We need another lemma.

**Lemma 4.4.** Let \( R \) be a Noetherian ring that is not Artinian. Then there is a morphism \( \mathbb{A}^1_R \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1_R \) that cannot be extended to \( \mathbb{P}^1_R \).
Proof. Write $\mathbb{A}^1_R = \text{Spec } R[t]$. Since $R$ is not Artinian, there is a non-maximal prime ideal $p \subset R$. Then there is $x \in R$ such that its image in $R/p$ is neither zero, nor invertible. Consider the morphism $\mathbb{A}^1_R \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1_R$ given by $(tx+1):1$. We claim that it cannot be extended to $\mathbb{P}^1_R$. It is enough to show that its restriction to $\mathbb{A}^1_{R/p}$ cannot be extended to $\mathbb{P}^1_{R/p}$. Thus, replacing $R$ with $R/p$, we may assume that $R$ is integral and $x$ is a non-zero non-invertible element. Further, replacing $R$ with its normalization (note that a non-invertible elements remains non-invertible in the normalization), we may assume that $R$ is normal. Replacing $R$ with the localization at a maximal ideal containing $x$, we can further assume that $R$ is a normal local integral domain.

Let us identify $\mathbb{P}^1_R - (0 \times \text{Spec } R)$ with $\text{Spec } R[s]$, where $s = 1/t$. In this chart, our morphism is defined on $\mathbb{A}^1_R - (0 \times \text{Spec } R)$ and is given by $(x+s):s$. It is enough to show that it cannot be extended to $\mathbb{A}^1_R$. Since $R$ is a normal integral domain, by [EGA IV.3, Cor. 2.14.11] Pic$(\mathbb{A}^1_R) = 0$. Therefore, such an extension would be of the form $(a:b)$, where $a, b \in R[s]$, $aR[s] + bR[s] = R[s]$, and $b(x+s) = as$. Since $bx = s(a-b)$ and $x \neq 0$, we can write $b = sb'$ for some $b' \in R[s]$. Then $b'(x+s) = a$ and

$$aR[s] + bR[s] \subset xR[s] + sR[s] \subset R[s].$$

This contradiction completes the proof. □

Proof of Proposition 4.4. There is a finite étale cover $Spec B' \rightarrow Spec B$ and a $k$-group scheme $G$ such that $G_{B'} \simeq G \times_k Spec B$. Indeed, if $G$ is a split $k$-group scheme of the same type as $G$, then the scheme of group scheme isomorphisms $\text{Iso}(G \times_k Spec B, G)$ is an $\text{Aut}(G)$-torsor (see discussion and references in [Fed3, Sect. 5.2]). Since $B$ is local, this torsor trivializes on a finite étale cover of $B$ (see the proof of [Fed3, Prop. 3.1]). The claim follows. Fix such $B'$ and an isomorphism $G_{B'} \simeq G \times_k Spec B$.

Fix a closed $B$-embedding $\text{Spec } B' \rightarrow \mathbb{A}^1_B$ (existing because $B$ is local and $B'$ is $B$-finite and $B$-étale). Since $B$ contains an infinite field, we may assume that this embedding factors through $\mathbb{A}^1_B - (0 \times \text{Spec } B)$.

For a scheme $S$, we denote the set of $S$-morphisms between $S$-ind-schemes $X_1$ and $X_2$ by $\text{Mor}_S(X_1, X_2)$. We abbreviate $\text{Mor}(X_1, X_2) := \text{Mor}_{Spec\, k}(X_1, X_2)$. We need one more lemma.

Lemma 4.5. We have a bijection between $\text{Mor}(\mathbb{A}^1_{B'}, Gr_G)$ and the set of pairs $(\mathcal{E}, \tau)$, where $\mathcal{E}$ is a $G$-torsor over $\mathbb{A}^1_k \times \mathbb{P}^1_{B'}$, $\tau$ is a trivialization on $\mathbb{A}^1_k \times (\mathbb{P}^1_{B'} - \text{Spec } B')$.

Similarly, we have a bijection between $\text{Mor}(\mathbb{P}^1_{B'}, Gr_G)$ and the set of pairs $(\mathcal{E}, \tau)$, where $\mathcal{E}$ is a $G$-torsor over $\mathbb{P}^1_k \times \mathbb{P}^1_B$, $\tau$ is a trivialization on $\mathbb{P}^1_k \times (\mathbb{P}^1_B - \text{Spec } B')$. These two bijections are compatible in the obvious sense.

Proof. Recall that we have fixed a closed $B$-embedding $\text{Spec } B' \rightarrow \mathbb{A}^1_B - (0 \times \text{Spec } B)$. Now [Fed3, Prop. 5.1] gives for any affine $B$-scheme $T$ a bijection

$$(5) \quad \text{Mor}_T(\text{Spec } B' \times_B T, Gr_G) \simeq \{(\mathcal{E}, \tau): \mathcal{E} \text{ is a } G\text{-torsor over } T \times_B \mathbb{P}^1_{B'}, \tau \text{ is its trivialization on } T \times_B (\mathbb{P}^1_{B'} - \text{Spec } B')\}.$$ 

This is, in fact, an isomorphism of presheaves of sets on the category of affine $B$-schemes. Since both presheaves are actually sheaves in the Zariski topology, this isomorphism extends to an isomorphism of similar presheaves on the category of all $B$-schemes. We have

$$\text{Mor}(\mathbb{A}^1_{B'}, Gr_G) = \text{Mor}_{B'}(\mathbb{A}^1_{B'}, Gr_{Gr_{B'}}) = \text{Mor}_{B}(\mathbb{A}^1_{B'}, Gr_G).$$
Taking $T := \mathbb{A}^1_B$ in (6) above, we see that the elements of $\text{Mor}_B(\mathbb{A}^1_B, Gr_G)$ correspond to pairs $(\mathcal{E}, \tau)$, where $\mathcal{E}$ is a $G$-torsor over $\mathbb{A}^1_B \times_B \mathbb{P}^1_B = \mathbb{A}^1_k \times \mathbb{P}^1_B$, $\tau$ is a trivialization on
\[ \mathbb{A}^1_B \times_B (\mathbb{P}^1_B - \text{Spec} B') = \mathbb{A}^1_k \times (\mathbb{P}^1_B - \text{Spec} B'). \]

The second bijection is constructed similarly. The compatibility follows because our bijections come from an isomorphism of presheaves. 

We can now complete the proof of Proposition 4.1. We claim that the affine Grassmannian $Gr_G$ contains a closed subscheme isomorphic to $\mathbb{P}^1_k$. Indeed, let $P$ be a proper parabolic subgroup of $G$. Then $Gr_G$ contains a closed subscheme isomorphic to $G/P$ (use, e.g., the proof of [Fed3, Lm. 5.9]). It is clear that $G/P$ contains a closed subscheme isomorphic to $\mathbb{P}^1_k$ and the statement follows.

Clearly, $B'$ is not Artinian. By Lemma 4.4 there is a morphism $\mathbb{A}^1_{B'} \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1_{B'}$ that cannot be extended to $\mathbb{P}^1_{B'}$. The corresponding $k$-morphism $\mathbb{A}^1_{B'} \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1_k$ cannot be extended to $\mathbb{P}^1_{B'}$ either. Let $\phi: \mathbb{A}^1_{B'} \rightarrow Gr_G$ be the composition of this morphism with the constructed above closed embedding $\mathbb{P}^1_k \rightarrow Gr_G$. By construction, $\phi$ cannot be extended to $\mathbb{P}^1_{B'}$. We claim that for some $x$ the $G$-torsor $\mathcal{E}|_{\mathbb{A}^1_x}$ cannot be extended to $\mathbb{P}^1_{x}$. Assume the converse. Applying Proposition 4.3(i) to $X = \mathbb{P}^1_B$, $H = G_{\mathbb{P}^1_B}$, we get an extension of $\mathcal{E}$ to a $G$-torsor $\tilde{\mathcal{E}}$ over $\mathbb{P}^1_{\mathbb{P}^1_B}$. The trivial $G$-torsor $\mathcal{E}|_{\mathbb{A}^1_{\mathbb{P}^1_x} \times (\mathbb{P}^1_{B'} - \text{Spec} B')}$ can obviously be extended to a trivial torsor on $\mathbb{P}^1_x \times (\mathbb{P}^1_{B'} - \text{Spec} B')$. Applying Proposition 4.3(ii) to $X = \mathbb{P}^1_B - \text{Spec} B'$, $H = G_{\mathbb{P}^1_{B'} - \text{Spec} B'}$, we see that this trivialization gives an extension $\tilde{\tau}$ of the trivialization $\tau$. The pair $(\tilde{\mathcal{E}}, \tilde{\tau})$ gives an extension of $\phi$ to $\mathbb{P}^1_{B'}$. However, $\phi$ cannot be extended to $\mathbb{P}^1_{B'}$, and we get a contradiction. Without loss of generality the point $x$ belongs to $\mathbb{A}^1_B \subset \mathbb{P}^1_B$, the proposition is proved. 
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