

Vertex-primitive s -arc-transitive digraphs admitting a Suzuki or Ree group

Lei Chen Michael Giudici Cheryl E. Praeger

Department of Mathematics and Statistics
The University of Western Australia
35 Stirling Highway, Perth WA 6009
Australia

Lei.Chen@research.uwa.edu.au

Michael.Giudici@uwa.edu.au

Cheryl.Praeger@uwa.edu.au

Abstract

The investigation of s -arc-transitivity of digraphs can be dated back to 1989 when the third author showed that s can be arbitrarily large if the action on vertices is imprimitive. However, the situation is completely different when the digraph is vertex-primitive and not a directed cycle. In 2017 the second author, Li and Xia constructed the first infinite family of G -vertex-primitive 2-arc-transitive examples, and asked if there is an upper bound on s for G -vertex-primitive s -arc-transitive digraphs that are not directed cycles. In 2018 the second author and Xia showed that if there is a largest such value of s then it will occur when G is almost simple. So far it has been shown that $s \leq 2$ for almost simple groups whose socle is an alternating group or a projective special linear group. The contribution of this paper is to prove that $s \leq 1$ in the case of the Suzuki groups and the small Ree groups. We give constructions with $s = 1$ to show that the bound is sharp.

1 Introduction

The property of s -arc-transitivity has been well-studied for many years. Weiss [15] proved that finite undirected graphs that are not cycles can be at most 7-arc-transitive. On the other hand, the third author [13] showed that for each s there are infinitely many finite s -arc-transitive digraphs that are not $(s + 1)$ -arc-transitive.

However, vertex-primitive s -arc-transitive digraphs for large s seem rare. Though extensive attempts had been made to find a vertex-primitive s -arc-

transitive digraph for $s \geq 2$, no such examples were found until 2017 when the second author, with Li and Xia, constructed an infinite family of 2-arc transitive examples in [6]. They also asked:

Question 1.1. *Is there an upper bound on s for vertex-primitive s -arc-transitive digraphs that are not directed cycles?*

A group G is said to be an *almost simple group* if it has a unique *minimal normal subgroup* T such that T is a nonabelian simple group. This implies (identifying T with the group of inner automorphisms of T) that $T \triangleleft G \leq \text{Aut}(T)$.

We believe that the answer to Question 1.1 is yes. Proving this and determining the value of the upper bound has been reduced in [8, Corollary 1.6] to the case where the vertex-primitive automorphism group is almost simple. This has motivated the study of Question 1.1 for various families of almost simple groups. It has been shown that $s \leq 2$ if $G = S_m$ or A_m [3, 12], or if $\text{PSL}_n(q) \leq G \leq \text{Aut}(\text{PSL}_n(q))$ [7].

This paper determines an upper bound s for vertex-primitive s -arc-transitive digraphs whose automorphism groups are almost simple Ree or Suzuki groups, thus settling Question 1.1 for these two families of almost simple groups.

Theorem 1.2. *Let s be a non-negative integer and let Γ be a G -vertex-primitive (G, s) -arc-transitive digraph, where G is almost simple with socle ${}^2\text{G}_2(3^{2n+1})$ or $\text{Sz}(2^{2n+1})$. Then $s \leq 1$.*

We juxtapose the Suzuki groups and the Ree groups in this paper as many similarities can be found between these two kinds of exceptional simple groups: (1) the Suzuki groups $\text{Sz}(2^{2n+1})$ bear a relation to the symplectic groups $\text{Sp}_4(2^{2n+1})$ similar to that of the Ree groups ${}^2\text{G}_2(3^{2n+1})$ to $\text{G}_2(3^{2n+1})$; (2) the maximal subgroup types of the Suzuki groups and the Ree groups are fairly similar; (3) the only outer automorphisms of the two groups are field automorphisms. Hence we are able to apply similar arguments to both.

We now remind readers of some terms mentioned above. A *digraph* Γ is a pair (V, \rightarrow) such that V is the set of vertices and \rightarrow is an anti-symmetric and irreflexive relation on V . For a non-negative integer s , we call a sequence v_0, v_1, \dots, v_s in V an *s -arc* if $v_i \rightarrow v_{i+1}$ for each $i \in \{0, 1, \dots, s-1\}$. Note that a 1-arc is simply called an *arc*. For $G \leq \text{Aut}(\Gamma)$, we say that Γ is a (G, s) -arc-transitive digraph if G acts transitively on the set of s -arcs of Γ . We note that an $(s+1)$ -arc-transitive digraph is naturally s -arc-transitive if every s -arc extends to an $(s+1)$ -arc. A transitive subgroup $G \leq \text{Sym}(\Omega)$ is said to be primitive if it does not preserve any non-trivial partition of Ω . For $G \leq \text{Aut}(\Gamma)$, we say that Γ is *G -vertex-primitive* if G acts primitively on V . A digraph is said to be *finite* if $|V|$ is finite and all the digraphs we consider in this paper will be finite.

2 Preliminaries

2.1 Notation

We begin by defining some group theoretic notation:

For a group X , we denote by $\text{Soc}(X)$ the socle of X , and by $\Pi(X)$ the set of prime divisors of $|X|$. For a prime number p and an integer n , we denote by n_p the p -part of n , which is the largest power of p dividing n .

The expression n or C_n denotes a cyclic group of order n while $[n]$ denotes an unspecified group of order n . The expression p^n denotes an elementary abelian group of order p^n , that is, a direct product of n copies of C_p .

Extensions of groups are written in one of the following ways: $A \times B$ denotes a direct product of A and B ; also $A : B$ denotes a semidirect product of A by B ; and $A.B$ denotes an unspecified extension of A by B .

For groups A and B such that $B \leq A$, we denote by $N_A(B)$ the normaliser of B in A , and $C_A(B)$ the centraliser of B in A .

Lemma 2.1. [7, Lemma 2.1] *For any positive integer n and prime p , we have $(n!)_p < p^{\frac{n}{p-1}}$.*

Definition 2.2. Given integers $a, m \geq 2$, a prime r is said to be a *primitive prime divisor* of $a^m - 1$ if r divides $a^m - 1$ and does not divide $a^i - 1$ for any $i < m$.

For r a primitive prime divisor of $a^m - 1$, we conclude by Fermat's Little Theorem that $r \equiv 1 \pmod{m}$, and therefore $r > m$.

Lemma 2.3. [1, Theorem IX.8.3] *For $a, m \geq 2$, there exists a primitive prime divisor of $a^m - 1$ except when $(a, m) = (2, 6)$, or $a + 1$ is a power of 2 and $m = 2$.*

2.2 Group factorisations

A factorisation of a group G is an expression of G as the product of two subgroups A and B of G , where A and B are called factors. A proper group factorisation occurs when neither A nor B equals G .

Definition 2.4. A factorisation $G = AB$ is called a *homogeneous factorisation* of G if it is proper and A is isomorphic to B .

We now give two technical lemmas, which will be useful later.

Lemma 2.5. *Suppose that $G = \langle H, x \rangle$ with $H \triangleleft G$, and let m be the smallest positive integer such that $x^m \in H$. Suppose that $K \leq G$ and $K = AB$ is a homogeneous factorisation such that $B = A^t$ for some $t \in G$, and let $\pi : G \rightarrow G/H$ denote the natural projection map. Then $\pi(A) = \pi(B) = \pi(K)$.*

Proof. Note that $G/H = \langle Hx \rangle$ has order m by the minimality of m . Since $\pi(A) = AH/H \leq G/H$ and G/H is cyclic, we conclude that $\pi(A) = \langle Hx^j \rangle$ for some divisor j of m . So there exists $a \in A$ such that $\pi(a) = Hx^j$. Thus $Ha = Hx^j$, so $a = hx^j$ for some $h \in H$.

As $B = A^t$ with $t \in G$, we have $a^t \in B$ and $\pi(a^t) = \pi(t^{-1})\pi(a)\pi(t) = \pi(a) = Hx^j$ since G/H is abelian. Hence $\pi(B) \geq \pi(A)$. The same argument with A and B interchanged and t replaced by t^{-1} , gives that $\pi(A) \geq \pi(B)$. Hence $\pi(A) = \pi(B)$, and so $\pi(K) = \pi(A)\pi(B) = \pi(A) = \pi(B)$. \square

Lemma 2.6. *Suppose that $G = AB$ with $G = \text{PSL}_2(8) : 3$ such that A, B are proper subgroups of G . Then $|A| \neq |B|$.*

Proof. Suppose for a contradiction that there exists a factorisation $G = AB$ with $G = \text{PSL}_2(8) : 3$ and $|A| = |B|$. Then we deduce that $|A|_p^2 = |B|_p^2 \geq |G|_p$ for any prime p . In particular, $|A|_2 \geq 2^2$, $|A|_3 \geq 3^2$ and $|A|_7 = 7$. On the other hand, since $A = A \cap \text{PSL}_2(8)$ or $A = (A \cap \text{PSL}_2(8)).3$. We therefore conclude that $|A \cap \text{PSL}_2(8)|$ is divisible by 2, 3 and 7. By [1, Corollary 5 and Table 10.7] there are no proper subgroups of $\text{PSL}_2(8)$ with order divisible by 2, 3 and 7, and hence $A \cap \text{PSL}_2(8) = \text{PSL}_2(8)$. Similarly, we conclude that $B \cap \text{PSL}_2(8) = \text{PSL}_2(8)$. However, since $|A| = |B|$, we must have that $A = B = G$, which contradicts the fact that A and B are proper subgroups of G . \square

2.3 Arc-transitivity

We say that a group G acts on a digraph Γ if $G \leq \text{Aut}(\Gamma)$. Here are two results in [7] and [8] that reveal some important properties for an s -arc-transitive digraph Γ where $s \geq 2$.

Lemma 2.7. [8, Lemma 2.2] *Let Γ be a digraph, and $v_0 \rightarrow v_1 \rightarrow v_2$ be a 2-arc of Γ . Suppose that G acts arc-transitively on Γ . Then G acts 2-arc-transitively on Γ if and only if $G_{v_1} = G_{v_0v_1}G_{v_1v_2}$. Moreover, there exists some $t \in G$ such that $(G_{v_0v_1})^t = G_{v_1v_2}$.*

Lemma 2.8. [7, Lemma 2.14] *Let Γ be a connected G -arc-transitive digraph with arc $v \rightarrow w$. Let $g \in G$ such that $v^g = w$. Then g normalises no proper nontrivial normal subgroup of G_v .*

We now set out the following hypothesis that we will use throughout the paper.

Hypothesis 2.9. *Let Γ be a vertex-primitive (G, s) -arc-transitive digraph for some $s \geq 2$, and let $u \rightarrow v \rightarrow w$ be a 2-arc and $g \in G$ such that $(u, v)^g = (v, w)$. Then by Lemma 2.7, $(G_{uv})^g = G_{vw}$ and $G_v = G_{uv}G_{vw}$ is a homogeneous factorisation.*

Note that necessary conditions for a digraph Γ to be G -vertex-primitive and $(G, 2)$ -arc-transitive are that G_v is a maximal core-free subgroup of G and

that G_v admits a homogeneous factorisation. Therefore, to disprove the 2-arc-transitivity of G it suffices for us to show that a maximal core-free subgroup G_v does not have a homogeneous factorisation.

We have the following corollary to Lemma 2.8.

Corollary 2.10. *Suppose that Hypothesis 2.9 holds. Then, for each prime p dividing $|G_v|$, G_v has at least two subgroups of order p .*

Proof. Suppose for a contradiction that there exists a prime p such that G_v has a unique subgroup Q of order p . Then Q is normal in G_v . By Hypothesis 2.9, $G_v = AB$ where $A = G_{uv}$ and $B = G_{vw}$, so $|G_v|$ divides $|A| \cdot |B| = |A|^2$ and hence $|A|_p = |B|_p \geq p$. Hence both of A and B contain the unique subgroup Q of order p , and as $A^g = B$, we have $Q^g \leq B \leq G_v$ and therefore $Q^g = Q$, contradicting Lemma 2.8. \square

3 The small Ree groups

Suppose that Γ is a G -vertex-primitive, (G, s) -arc-transitive digraph such that $\text{Soc}(G) = {}^2G_2(q)$ with $q = 3^{2n+1}$, for some $n \geq 1$ and $s \geq 1$. Since the action of G on Γ is vertex-primitive, the vertex stabiliser G_v is maximal in G and does not contain ${}^2G_2(q)$. The following list of the maximal subgroups of ${}^2G_2(q)$ may be found in [17].

Theorem 3.1. [17, Theorem 4.2] *If $q = 3^{2n+1}$ with $n \geq 1$, then the maximal subgroups of ${}^2G_2(q)$ are (up to conjugacy):*

- (i) $[q^3] : C_{q-1}$,
- (ii) $2 \times \text{PSL}_2(q)$,
- (iii) $(2^2 \times D_{\frac{q+1}{2}}) : 3$,
- (iv) $C_{q-\sqrt{3q+1}} : 6$,
- (v) $C_{q+\sqrt{3q+1}} : 6$,
- (vi) ${}^2G_2(q_0)$, where $q = q_0^r$ and r is prime.

Since $\text{Aut}({}^2G_2(q)) = {}^2G_2(q) : (2n+1)$, and ${}^2G_2(3^{2n+1}) \leq G \leq \text{Aut}({}^2G_2(q))$, we have $G = {}^2G_2(q) : m$, for some divisor m of $2n+1$, and a vertex-stabiliser G_v is maximal in G and does not contain ${}^2G_2(q)$. The subgroups of G with these properties are the following:

Corollary 3.2. *For $G = {}^2G_2(3^{2n+1}) : m$, where m divides $2n+1$, the maximal subgroups of G not containing ${}^2G_2(3^{2n+1})$ are (up to conjugacy):*

- (i) $([q^3] : C_{q-1}) : m$,
- (ii) $(2 \times \text{PSL}_2(q)) : m$,
- (iii) $((2^2 \times D_{\frac{q+1}{2}}) : 3).m$,
- (iv) $(C_{q-\sqrt{3q+1}} : 6).m$,
- (v) $(C_{q+\sqrt{3q+1}} : 6).m$,
- (vi) ${}^2G_2(q_0) : m$, where $q = q_0^r$ and r is prime.

For the rest of this section we assume that $s \geq 2$, and hence Hypothesis 2.9 holds for $G = {}^2G_2(3^{2n+1}) : m$, where $q = 3^{2n+1} > 3$ and m divides $2n + 1$, and we let $L = \text{Soc}(G) = {}^2G_2(q)$. We consider separately each of the possibilities for the maximal subgroup G_v according to Corollary 3.2, and in each case derive a contradiction, hence proving that $s \leq 1$.

We let π be the natural projection map $\pi : G_v \rightarrow G_v/L_v$. Note that since $G = LG_v$ we have $\pi(G_v) \cong G/L \cong C_m$. We note in particular that, by Hypothesis 2.9, G_v has a homogeneous factorisation

$$G_v = AB \text{ where } A = G_{uv} \text{ and } B = G_{vw} \text{ with } A^g = B \text{ for some } g \in G. \quad (1)$$

This implies, first that $\Pi(A) = \Pi(B) = \Pi(G_v)$, and secondly, by Corollary 2.10, that for each prime p dividing $|G_v|$, G_v has at least two subgroups of order p . We use these facts several times in our arguments.

Lemma 3.3. *G_v is not a Type (ii) subgroup of G .*

Proof. Suppose to the contrary that G_v is a Type (ii) subgroup of G , and consider the homogeneous factorisation $G_v = AB$ in (1), so $\Pi(A) = \Pi(B) = \Pi(G_v)$. Let S and T denote the subgroups of $L_v = L \cap G_v$ isomorphic to 2 and $\text{PSL}_2(q)$, respectively. Then $L_v = S \times T$.

Note that, by Lemma 2.3, there exists $p \in \Pi(G_v)$ such that p is a primitive prime divisor of $3^{2(2n+1)} - 1$, which is greater than $2(2n + 1)$. Hence $|A|$ is divisible by p and, in particular, p divides the order of $A_1 := A \cap T = A \cap \text{PSL}_2(q)$. We also notice that

$$\frac{|A||B|}{|A \cap B|} = |AB| = |G_v|$$

and therefore, $|A|_3^2 \geq |G_v|_3 = |\text{PSL}_2(q)|_3 m_3 = 3^{2n+1} m_3$. Thus $|A|_3 \geq 3^{\frac{2n+1}{2}} m_3^{\frac{1}{2}}$. However, $m_3 \leq (2n + 1)_3 \leq 3^{\frac{2n+1}{3}} \leq 3^n$, and $|A|_3 = |A_1|_3 |\pi(A)|_3 \leq |A_1|_3 m_3$. Hence

$$|A_1|_3 \geq \frac{|A|_3}{m_3} \geq \frac{3^{\frac{2n+1}{2}} m_3^{\frac{1}{2}}}{m_3} = 3^{\frac{2n+1}{2}} m_3^{-\frac{1}{2}} \geq 3^{\frac{2n+1}{2}} 3^{-\frac{n}{2}} = 3^{\frac{n+1}{2}} > 1.$$

Thus $\{3, p\} \subseteq \Pi(A_1)$. By [11, Theorem 4 and Table 10.3], there are no proper subgroups of $\text{PSL}_2(q)$ with order divisible by both 3 and p , and hence $A_1 = \text{PSL}_2(q) = T$. On the other hand, since $A^g = B$, we have $T^g \leq A^g = B$. However, T is the unique subgroup in G_v isomorphic to $\text{PSL}_2(q)$, so this implies that $T^g = T$, which is a contradiction to Lemma 2.8. \square

Lemma 3.4. *G_v is not a Type (iii) subgroup of G .*

Proof. Suppose for a contradiction that G_v is a Type (iii) subgroup of G , and again consider the homogeneous factorisation $G_v = AB$ in (1) which implies that, for each p dividing $|G_v|$, G_v has more than one subgroup of order p . We denote by S and T the normal subgroups of $L_v = L \cap G_v$ isomorphic to 2^2 and $D_{\frac{q+1}{2}}$, respectively, so that $L_v = (S \times T) : 3$.

By Lemma 2.3 there exists a primitive prime divisor p of $3^{2(2n+1)} - 1 = q^2 - 1$. Note that $p \neq 3$, and also p divides $q + 1$, and p is odd (as p does not divide $q - 1$). Hence $p \in \Pi(D_{\frac{q+1}{2}}) \subseteq \Pi(G_v)$. Since $p > 2(2n + 1) \geq 2m$ and $p \neq 3$, any subgroup Q of G_v of order p must lie in T . Since $T = D_{\frac{q+1}{2}}$ is dihedral, this implies that Q is the unique subgroup of order p in T and hence in G_v . However, this contradicts Corollary 2.10 and therefore the result follows. \square

Lemma 3.5. *G_v is neither a Type (iv) subgroup nor a Type (v) subgroup of G .*

Proof. Suppose for a contradiction that G_v is a Type (iv) or (v) subgroup of G . Recall, as discussed above, that for each prime p dividing $|G_v|$, G_v has more than one subgroup of order p . We denote by S and T the (unique) cyclic subgroups of $L_v = L \cap G_v$ of order $q \pm \sqrt{3q} + 1$ and 6, respectively, so that $L_v = S : T$. Since $q \pm \sqrt{3q} + 1$ is not divisible by 2 or 3, we see that $|S|$ and $|T|$ are coprime.

By Lemma 2.5 we have that $\pi(A) = \pi(B) = \pi(G_v) = C_m$. Thus $|A \cap L_v| = |B \cap L_v|$. Let p be a prime dividing $|S|$. Then there is a unique subgroup $Q_p \leq S$ of order p . We note that since $|S|$ and $|T|$ are coprime, Q_p is the unique subgroup in L_v of order p .

Claim 1. $|A \cap L_v|_p = 1$.

Suppose for a contradiction that $|A \cap L_v|_p \geq p$. Then $A \cap L_p$ has a subgroup of order p . This subgroup must be Q_p as it is the unique subgroup of order p in L_v . On the other hand, since $|A \cap L_v| = |B \cap L_v|$, we find that $Q_p \leq B \cap L_v$ as well. We note that $A^g = B$, so $Q_p^g \leq (A \cap L_v)^g = B \cap L_w \leq B \cap L \leq G_v \cap L = L_v$. This implies that $Q_p^g = Q_p$. However, this contradicts Lemma 2.8 and therefore Claim 1 holds.

By Claim 1 we conclude that $|A \cap L_v| \leq 6$. This implies that $|A| = |A \cap L_v| |\pi(A)| \leq 6m$. Suppose first that $n = 1$. Then $m \leq 3$, $q = 3^3$ and $|A| \leq 18$. Thus $|G_v|$ is either divisible by $37 = q + \sqrt{3q} + 1$ or by $19 = q - \sqrt{3q} + 1$. However, $|A|$ is divisible by neither 37 nor 19 since $|A| \leq 18$. Hence G_v does not have a homogeneous factorisation when $n = 1$. Thus $n \geq 2$ and so

$$q - \sqrt{3q} + 1 = 3^{2n+1} - 3^{n+1} + 1 \geq 9(2n + 1).$$

Since $G_v = AB$, we have that $|A| \cdot |B| = |G_v| \cdot |A \cap B|$. However,

$$|G_v| \cdot |A \cap B| \geq (q \pm \sqrt{3q} + 1) \cdot 6m \geq 9(2n + 1) \cdot 6m > (6m)^2 \geq |A| \cdot |B|.$$

So we have a contradiction and the result follows. \square

Lemma 3.6. *G_v is not a Type (vi) subgroup of G .*

Proof. Suppose for a contradiction that G_v is a Type (vi) subgroup of G , so $G_v = H.m$, where $H = L_v = L \cap G_v = {}^2G_2(q_0)$, with $3^{2n+1} = q = q_0^r$ for some prime r , such that r, m both divide $2n + 1$. Now G_v has a homogeneous factorisation $G_v = AB$ where $A = G_{uv}$ and $B = G_{vw}$ with $B = A^g$ for some

$g \in G$. Let $X := A \cap L_v$ and $Y := B \cap L_v$. It follows from Lemma 2.5 that $\pi(A) = \pi(B) = C_m$. We divide the analysis into two cases:

Case 1: $2n + 1$ is not prime. In this case $q_0 = 3^{(2n+1)/r} > 3$. Let C be the centraliser of $H = {}^2G_2(q_0)$ in G_v . Then $\text{Aut}(H) \geq G_v/C = (AB)/C = (AC/C)(BC/C) \geq HC/C \cong {}^2G_2(q_0)$. All the core-free factorisations of an almost simple group with socle an exceptional group of Lie type are given in [16, Theorem B], and it follows that G_v/C does not have a core-free factorisation since $q_0 > 3$. Hence ${}^2G_2(q_0)$ is contained in one of AC/C or BC/C . Without loss of generality, we may assume that ${}^2G_2(q_0) \leq AC/C$. This together with the fact that $H \cap C = 1$ implies that $H \leq A$. On the other hand, $H^g \leq A^g = B$, and since H is the unique subgroup of G_v isomorphic to ${}^2G_2(q_0)$, we conclude that $H^g = H$, which contradicts Lemma 2.8.

Case 2: $2n + 1$ is prime. In this case, $m \in \{1, 2n + 1\}$ and $r = 2n + 1$, so $q_0 = 3$ and $H = L_v = H' : 3$ with $H' \cong L_2(8)$. If $m = 1$, then AB is a homogeneous factorisation for $H = {}^2G_2(3) = \text{PSL}_2(8) : 3$, but no such factorisation exists by Lemma 2.6. Hence $m = 2n + 1$ and we have $\Pi(A) = \Pi(G_v) = \Pi(H) \cup \{m\} = \{2, 3, 7, m\}$ (with possibly $m \in \{3, 7\}$).

Recall that $X = A \cap H = A \cap L_v$. Suppose that $\Pi(X \cap H') = \{2, 3, 7\}$. By [1, Corollary 5 and Table 10.7] there are no proper subgroups of $\text{PSL}_2(8)$ with order divisible by 2, 3 and 7, and hence $X \cap H' = H' \cong \text{PSL}_2(8)$ so $H' \leq A$. It follows that $(H')^g \leq A^g = B$, and since H' is the unique subgroup of G_v isomorphic to $\text{PSL}_2(8)$, we conclude that $(H')^g = H'$, contradicting Lemma 2.8. Thus $\Pi(X \cap H')$ is a proper subset of $\{2, 3, 7\}$.

Further, since $|G_v : H'| = 3m$ is odd, we have $|X \cap H'|_2 = |A|_2 \geq |G_v|_2^{1/2} = 2^{3/2}$, and hence $|X \cap H'|_2 \geq 2^2$, so $2 \in \Pi(X \cap H')$. If $\Pi(X \cap H') = \{2\}$ then, since $|A|/|X|$ divides $3m$, it follows that $\Pi(A) \subseteq \{2, 3, m\}$ and since $\Pi(A) = \{2, 3, 7, m\}$ we conclude that $m = 7$ and $3 = |A|_3 < 3^{3/2} = |G_v|_3^{1/2}$, which is a contradiction. Therefore $\Pi(X \cap H') = \{2, p\}$ for some $p \in \{3, 7\}$. If $p = 3$ then $X \cap H'$ is a subgroup of $H' = L_2(8)$ of order divisible by 12 and dividing 72. However there are no such subgroups, see for example [4, p. 6]. Therefore $\Pi(X \cap H') = \{2, 7\}$, and $X \cap H'$ is a subgroup of $H' = L_2(8)$ of order divisible by 28. It follows from [4, p. 6] that $X \cap H' = [2^3] : 7$ since this group has no subgroups of index 2. The same argument gives $Y \cap H' \cong [2^3] : 7$.

If the prime $m \neq 3$, then $|X|_3 = |A|_3 \geq |G_v|_3^{1/2} = 3^{3/2}$ so that $|X \cap H'|_3 \geq |X|_3/3 \geq 3$, which is a contradiction. Hence $m = 3$. In this case, $G_v = L_v \times \langle z \rangle \cong (\text{PSL}_2(8) : 3) \times 3$, where z is a field automorphism of order 3. Since $AB = G_v = L_v \times \langle z \rangle$, we have that $\text{PSL}_2(8) : 3 = L_v \cong G_v/\langle z \rangle = ((A\langle z \rangle/\langle z \rangle)(B\langle z \rangle/\langle z \rangle))$. Now $A\langle z \rangle/\langle z \rangle$ has a normal subgroup $(X \cap H')\langle z \rangle/\langle z \rangle \cong [2^3] : 7$, and similarly $B\langle z \rangle/\langle z \rangle$ has a normal subgroup $[2^3] : 7$. However, by [10, Theorem A], there are no such factorisations of $\text{PSL}_2(8) : 3$. This completes the proof. \square

Theorem 3.7. *Suppose that Γ is a G -vertex-primitive (G, s) -arc-transitive digraph such that $\text{Soc}(G) = {}^2G_2(q)$ with $q = 3^{2n+1}$, for some $n \geq 1$. Then $s \leq 1$.*

Proof. Suppose for a contradiction that $s \geq 2$. Then the conditions of Hypothesis 2.9 hold with $\text{Soc}(G) = {}^2G_2(q)$. Since G acts vertex-primitively on Γ , the vertex stabiliser G_v is a maximal subgroup of G and so is given by Corollary 3.2. By Lemmas 3.3, 3.4, 3.5 and 3.6, G_v cannot be of types (ii)–(vi). Hence G_v is of type (i). However, in this case, G acts 2-transitively on the set of right cosets of G_v in G , which implies that Γ is an undirected graph, contradicting it being a digraph. Hence the result follows. \square

4 Suzuki Groups

Again, since the action of G on Γ is vertex-primitive, a vertex stabiliser G_v is maximal in G . The following list of the maximal subgroups of $\text{Sz}(q)$ may be found in the book [2].

Theorem 4.1. [2, p 385] *If $q = 2^{2n+1}$ with $n \geq 1$, then the maximal subgroups of $\text{Sz}(q)$ are (up to conjugacy):*

- (i) $[q^2] : (q - 1)$,
- (ii) $D_{2(q-1)}$,
- (iii) $C_{q+\sqrt{2q}+1} : 4$,
- (iv) $C_{q-\sqrt{2q}+1} : 4$,
- (v) $\text{Sz}(q_0)$, where $q = q_0^r$, r is prime and $q_0 > 2$.

Since $\text{Aut}(\text{Sz}(q)) = \text{Sz}(q) : (2n + 1)$ and $\text{Sz}(q) \leq G \leq \text{Sz}(q) : (2n + 1)$, we have $G = \text{Sz}(q) : m$ for some divisor m of $2n + 1$, and a vertex stabiliser does not contain $\text{Sz}(q)$. The maximal such subgroups of G are the following:

Corollary 4.2. *For $G = \text{Sz}(q) : m$, where m divides $2n + 1$, the maximal subgroups of G not containing $\text{Sz}(q)$ are (up to conjugacy):*

- (i) $([q^2] : (q - 1)).m$,
- (ii) $D_{2(q-1)}.m$,
- (iii) $((q + \sqrt{2q} + 1) : 4).m$,
- (iv) $((q - \sqrt{2q} + 1) : 4).m$,
- (v) $\text{Sz}(q_0).m$, where $q = q_0^r$, r is prime, and $q_0 > 2$.

For the rest of this section we assume that Hypothesis 2.9 holds for $G = \text{Sz}(q) : m$, where $q = 2^{2n+1}$ and m divides $2n+1$, and we let $L = \text{Soc}(G) = \text{Sz}(q)$. Let $\pi : G_v \rightarrow G_v/L_v$ be the natural projection map. Note that since $G = LG_v$ we have that $\pi(G_v) \cong G/L \cong C_m$.

We consider separately each of the possibilities for the maximal subgroup G_v according to Corollary 4.2. We note in particular that, by Hypothesis 2.9, G_v has a homogeneous factorisation $G_v = AB$ where $A = G_{uv}$ and $B = G_{vw}$ with $A^g = B$ for some $g \in G$. This implies, by Corollary 2.10, that for each prime p dividing $|G_v|$, G_v has at least two subgroups of order p . We use these facts several times in our arguments.

Lemma 4.3. *Suppose that Hypothesis 2.9 holds with $\text{Soc}(G) = \text{Sz}(q)$. Then G_v is not a Type (ii) subgroup of G .*

Proof. Suppose to the contrary that G_v is a Type (ii) subgroup of G . Then $L_v \cong D_{2(q-1)}$. By Lemma 2.3 there exists a primitive prime divisor p of $2^{2n+1} - 1$, and as noted before Lemma 2.3, p satisfies $p > 2n + 1 \geq m$. Hence G_v has a unique subgroup Q_p of order p , which is a contradiction, as noted above. \square

Lemma 4.4. *Suppose that Hypothesis 2.9 holds with $\text{Soc}(G) = \text{Sz}(q)$. Then G_v is neither a Type (iii) subgroup nor a Type (iv) subgroup of G .*

Proof. Suppose to the contrary that G_v is a Type (iii) or Type (iv) subgroup of G . As above we have $G_v = AB$ with $A^g = B$ for some $g \in G$. It follows from Lemma 2.5 that $\pi(A) = \pi(B) = \pi(G_v) \cong C_m$, and hence $|A \cap L_v| = |B \cap L_v|$.

Let S and T denote cyclic subgroups of $L_v = L \cap G_v$ of orders $q \pm \sqrt{2q} + 1$ and 4, respectively, such that $L_v = S : T$. Since $q \pm \sqrt{2q} + 1$ is an odd integer, the orders $|S|$ and $|T|$ are coprime.

Let p be a prime dividing $|S|$, and note that the cyclic group S has a unique subgroup Q_p of order p , and that Q_p is the unique subgroup of order p in L_v . If p divides $|A \cap L_v|$, then $A \cap L_v$ contains Q_p , and since $|A \cap L_v| = |B \cap L_v|$, also $Q_p \leq B \cap L_v$. Moreover, since $A^g = B$, it follows that Q_p^g is also a subgroup of $B \cap L_v$ of order p , and so $Q_p^g = Q_p$. However, this contradicts Lemma 2.8, and therefore p does not divide $|A \cap L_v|$. Since this holds for all primes p dividing $|S|$, we conclude that $|A \cap L_v|$ divides $|T| = 4$.

Since $A/(A \cap L_v) \cong AL_v/L_v \leq G_v/L_v \cong C_m$, it follows that $|A|$ divides $4m$, and hence $G_v = AB$ has order dividing $|A| \cdot |B| = |A|^2$, which divides $16m^2$. On the other hand $|G_v| = 4m(q \pm \sqrt{2q} + 1)$, and hence the odd integer $q \pm \sqrt{2q} + 1$ divides m . This is impossible since $q \pm \sqrt{2q} + 1 \geq 2^{2n+1} - 2^{n+1} + 1 > 2n + 1 \geq m$, for all $n \geq 1$. This contradiction completes the proof. \square

Lemma 4.5. *Suppose that Hypothesis 2.9 holds with $\text{Soc}(G) = \text{Sz}(q)$. Then G_v is not a Type (v) subgroup of G .*

Proof. Suppose to the contrary that $G_v = \text{Sz}(q_0).m$, where $q = q_0^r$, for some prime r dividing $2n + 1$. As above we have $G_v = AB$ with $A^g = B$ for some $g \in G$. Let C denote the centraliser of $\text{Sz}(q_0)$ in G_v . Then

$$\text{Aut}(\text{Sz}(q_0)) \gtrsim G_v/C = (AB)/C = (AC/C)(BC/C) \gtrsim \text{Sz}(q_0).$$

However, G_v/C does not have a core-free factorisation by [11, Theorem B], and therefore one of the factors, say AC/C , contains $\text{Sz}(q_0)$. This, together with the fact that $L_v \cap C = 1$, implies that $\text{Sz}(q_0) = L_v \leq A$. Moreover, since $A^g = B$, we have $L_v^g \leq A^g = B$. However L_v is the only subgroup of G_v isomorphic to $\text{Sz}(q_0)$, and hence $L_v^g = L_v$. This contradicts Lemma 2.8, and completes the proof. \square

Now we can collect all these results to prove the following result.

Theorem 4.6. *Suppose that Γ is a G -vertex-primitive (G, s) -arc-transitive digraph such that $\text{Soc}(G) = \text{Sz}(q)$ with $q = 3^{2n+1}$ for some positive integer n . Then $s \leq 1$.*

Proof. Suppose for a contradiction that $s \geq 2$. Then the conditions of Hypothesis 2.9 hold with $\text{Soc}(G) = {}^2\text{Sz}(q)$. Since G acts vertex-primitively on Γ , the vertex stabiliser G_v is a maximal subgroup of G and so is given by Corollary 4.2. By Lemmas 4.3, 4.4 and 4.5, G_v is not of type (ii) – $-(v)$ and so G_v must be of type (i). However, in this case G acts 2-transitively on the set of right cosets of G_v and so Γ is an undirected graph, contradicting it being a digraph. Hence the result follows. \square

Theorem 1.2 follows immediately from Theorems 3.7 and 4.6.

5 Examples

In this final section, we construct examples of vertex-primitive $(G, 1)$ -arc-transitive digraphs with $\text{Soc}(G) = \text{Sz}(2^{2n+1})$ and ${}^2\text{G}_2(3^{2n+1})$, respectively. The following is the mechanism by which we construct the examples:

Let G be a group, H a subgroup of G which does not contain $\text{Soc}(G)$, $V := \{Hz : z \in G\}$, and let $g \in G$ such that $g^{-1} \notin HgH$. We define a binary relation \rightarrow on V by

$$Hx \rightarrow Hy \quad \text{if and only if} \quad yx^{-1} \in HgH \text{ for any } x, y \in G.$$

Then (V, \rightarrow) is a digraph, which we denote by $\text{Cos}(G, H, g)$. Since $yg(xg)^{-1} = ygg^{-1}x = yx^{-1}$, right multiplication by elements of G preserves the relation \rightarrow and hence induces automorphisms of (V, \rightarrow) , yielding a subgroup $\text{R}_H(G) \cong G$ of $\text{Aut}(\text{Cos}(G, H, g))$. Further the subgroup $\text{R}_H(H)$ of right multiplications by elements of H is the stabiliser in $\text{R}_H(G)$ of the vertex H of (V, \rightarrow) , and it follows from the definition of the relation \rightarrow that $\text{R}_H(H)$ acts transitively on the set of arcs (H, Hx) beginning with H , since these arcs are precisely those of the form (H, Hgh) for $h \in H$. Thus $\text{R}_H(G)$ acts arc-transitively on $\text{Cos}(G, H, g)$.

We aim to find a maximal subgroup $H \leq G$ and an element $g \in G$ such that $g^{-1} \notin HgH$ to obtain a 1-arc-transitive digraph $\text{Cos}(G, H, g)$, for $G = \text{Sz}(2^{2n+1})$ and $G = {}^2\text{G}_2(3^{n+1})$.

5.1 A one-arc transitive digraph admitting a Suzuki group

Here $G = \text{Sz}(q)$, where $q = 2^{2n+1}$ with $n \geq 1$. Let Ω denote a set of size $q^2 + 1$ on which G acts 2-transitively. Let $a, b \in \Omega$ with $a \neq b$. We define the following notation:

- (i) $L := G_a$, so $L \cong [q^2] : (q - 1)$;
- (ii) $K := G_a \cap G_b$, so $K = \langle \kappa \rangle \cong q - 1$, for some $\kappa \in G$;
- (iii) $Q = [q^2]$, the normal Sylow 2-subgroup of L , so $L = Q \rtimes K$;
- (iv) an involution $\tau \in N_G(K)$, so $N_G(K) = \langle \kappa, \tau \mid \kappa^{q-1} = \tau^2 = 1, \tau\kappa\tau = \kappa^{-1} \rangle$, see Theorem 4.1(ii);

(v) an element $\rho \in Q$ of order 4.

We use this notation throughout this subsection, and also the following results.

Lemma 5.1. [14, Proposition 1] *For any non-trivial element $x \in Q$, the centraliser $C_G(x) \leq Q$.*

Lemma 5.2. [14, p 108-109] *The element τ satisfies $b = a^\tau$ and $a = b^\tau$, so $\tau L\tau = G_b$, $L \cap \tau L\tau = K$, and $Q \cap \tau L\tau = \{1\}$.*

We now give our construction.

Lemma 5.3. *Let $H := N_G(K)$ and $g := \rho$. Then $g^{-1} \notin HgH$, and $\text{Cos}(G, H, g)$ is a $(G, 1)$ -arc-transitive digraph.*

Proof. As we explained above, if $g^{-1} \notin HgH$, then $\text{Cos}(G, H, g)$ is a $(G, 1)$ -arc-transitive digraph. So it is sufficient to prove that $g^{-1} \notin HgH$. Suppose that this is not the case, that is, there exist $x, y \in H = N_G(K)$ such that $\rho^{-1} = x\rho y$.

Note that $L = Q \rtimes K$ and $L \cap N_G(K) = K$, and also that $\rho \in Q < L$. Thus if $x \in K$ then $y = \rho^{-1}x^{-1}\rho \in L$ and hence $y \in L \cap N_G(K) = K$. Similarly if $y \in K$ then also $x \in K$. Thus x, y are either both in K , or both in $N_G(K) \setminus K$.

Suppose first that $x, y \in K$. Now $\rho \in Q$, and since $x \in K < L$ and Q is a normal subgroup of L , it follows that $x\rho x^{-1} \in Q$, and also $(x\rho x^{-1})(xy) = x\rho y = \rho^{-1} \in Q$. This implies that $xy \in Q$ and hence $xy \in K \cap Q = \{1\}$. Thus $y = x^{-1}$, and so $\rho^{-1} = x\rho x^{-1}$, which implies that $x^2 \in C_G(\rho)$. By Lemma 5.1, $C_G(\rho) \leq Q$, so $x^2 \in K \cap Q = \{1\}$. However, $x \in K$ and $|K| = q - 1$ is odd. Hence $x = 1$, so $\rho^{-1} = x\rho x^{-1} = \rho$, which contradicts the fact that ρ has order 4. Thus we must have $x, y \in N_G(K) \setminus K$, and hence $x = \kappa^i\tau$ and $y = \kappa^j\tau$, for some i, j . This implies that $\rho^{-1} = x\rho y = \tau(\kappa^{-i}\rho\kappa^j)\tau \in \tau L\tau$, and we also have $\rho^{-1} \in Q$. Thus $\rho^{-1} \in Q \cap \tau L\tau$ and so, by Lemma 5.2, $\rho^{-1} = 1$, which is a contradiction. This completes the proof. \square

5.2 A one-arc transitive digraph admitting a Ree group

Here $G = {}^2G_2(q)$, where $q = 3^{2n+1}$ with $n \geq 1$. Although several $(G, 2)$ -arc-transitive undirected graphs have been constructed, see [5], we are interested in constructing a $(G, 1)$ -arc-transitive digraph with G acting primitively on the vertex set. Our treatment follows Wilson's description of the group G given in his book [17, Section 4.5]. It is different from some other constructions for these groups, say in [9], which require knowledge of Lie algebras and algebraic groups. Wilson has an elementary approach developed in [18] and [16], and we use the detailed description given in [17, p 134-138].

Wilson [17] starts with a faithful 7-dimensional representation of the group $G = {}^2G_2(3^{2n+1})$ on a space V over a field \mathbf{F}_q of order q . The space V admits a G -invariant non-degenerate symmetric bilinear form f with an orthonormal basis $\mathcal{B} := \{u_0, u_1, \dots, u_6\}$. He defines a second basis $\mathcal{C} := \{v_1, v_2, \dots, v_7\}$ for

V by

$$\begin{aligned} v_1 &= u_3 + u_5 + u_6, & v_2 &= u_1 + u_2 + u_4, \\ v_3 &= -u_0 - u_3 + u_6, & v_4 &= u_2 - u_1, \\ v_5 &= -u_0 + u_3 - u_6, & v_6 &= -u_1 - u_2 + u_4, \\ v_7 &= -u_3 + u_5 - u_6. \end{aligned}$$

He shows that the maps γ and σ given by:

$$u_i^\gamma = \begin{cases} u_i, & i = 0, 1, 3 \\ -u_i, & i = 2, 4, 5, 6 \end{cases}$$

and

$$u_i^\sigma = \begin{cases} u_i, & i = 0, 4, 5 \\ -u_i, & i = 1, 2, 3, 6 \end{cases}$$

are commuting involutions lying in the group G . Thus G contains the subgroup $K = \langle \gamma, \sigma \rangle = \langle \gamma \rangle \times \langle \sigma \rangle \cong 2^2$. Moreover, we let $\delta := \sigma\gamma$, find that

$$u_i^\delta = \begin{cases} u_i, & i = 0, 2, 6 \\ -u_i, & i = 1, 3, 4, 5 \end{cases}$$

Let $T := N_G(K)$ and $H = C_G(\sigma)$. Note that by [5, Lemma 2.2], T and H are maximal subgroups of G and $T \cong (2^2 \times D_{\frac{q+1}{2}}) : 3$ and $H \cong 2 \times \text{PSL}_2(q)$. Let us denote by W, W_1, W_2 and W_3 the subspace $\langle u_1, \dots, u_6 \rangle, \langle u_1, u_3 \rangle, \langle u_2, u_6 \rangle$ and $\langle u_4, u_5 \rangle$, respectively. For a subspace U of V , we denote the setwise stabiliser in G of U by $\text{Stab}_G(U)$. We need the following properties of T .

Lemma 5.4. *The subgroup $T = \text{Stab}_G(\langle u_0 \rangle)$, and T leaves invariant the subspace $W := \langle u_1, u_2, \dots, u_6 \rangle$.*

Proof. Let $\text{Fix}(K)$ be the subspace of fixed points of K in V . Then $\text{Fix}(K) = \langle u_0 \rangle$, by the definitions of γ and σ , and since \mathcal{B} is an orthonormal basis it follows that $\text{Fix}(K)^\perp = W$. Since $T = N_G(K)$ normalises K , it follows that T leaves invariant both $\text{Fix}(K)$ and $\text{Fix}(K)^\perp$. Finally since T is maximal in G , it follows that T is equal to the full stabiliser of $\text{Fix}(K)$. \square

For any $x \in \{\delta, \gamma, \sigma\}$, let $C_W(x) = \{u \in W \mid u^x = u\}$.

Lemma 5.5. *With above notation, T permutes W_1, W_2 and W_3 . In particular, the action of T on $\{W_1, W_2, W_3\}$ is isomorphic to C_3*

Proof. Since T normalises $K = \langle \sigma, \gamma \rangle$, we see that T permutes σ, γ and δ . We also note that $C_W(\gamma) = W_1, C_W(\delta) = W_2$ and $C_W(\sigma) = W_3$. For $i \in \{1, 2, 3\}$, $W_i = C_W(x)$ for some $x \in \{\sigma, \gamma, \delta\}$. Since T leaves W invariant we have that $C_W(x)^t = C_W(x^t)$ for each x . Thus $W_i^t = C_W(x)^t = C_W(x^t)$. Since $x^t \in \{\sigma, \gamma, \delta\}$, we find that $W_i^t \in \{W_1, W_2, W_3\}$. Moreover, we find that $C_G(K) \cong 2^2 \times D_{\frac{q+1}{2}}$, so $[T : C_G(K)] = 3$. We note that $C_G(K)$ acts trivially on $\{W_1, W_2, W_3\}$. This implies the kernel of the action of T on $\{W_1, W_2, W_3\}$ is of index 3, so the action is isomorphic to C_3 . Hence the result follows. \square

In Wilson's description [17, p 136], G has a Borel subgroup B such that there exists $g \in B$ determined by its action on the basis \mathcal{C} as follows:

$$\begin{array}{ll} v_1 & \mapsto v_1 & v_2 & \mapsto v_2 \\ v_3 & \mapsto v_1 + v_3 & v_4 & \mapsto v_2 + v_4 \\ v_5 & \mapsto 2v_1 + v_5 & v_6 & \mapsto v_2 + 2v_4 + v_6 \\ v_7 & \mapsto v_1 + v_3 + 2v_5 + v_7. \end{array}$$

It is easily checked that g acts on u_i by:

$$\begin{array}{ll} u_0 & \mapsto u_0 & u_1 & \mapsto u_2 \\ u_2 & \mapsto u_4 & u_3 & \mapsto u_6 \\ u_4 & \mapsto u_1 & u_5 & \mapsto u_3 \\ u_6 & \mapsto u_5. \end{array}$$

In particular we find that $g \in T = N_G(K)$ and $W_i^g = W_{i+1}$ for all $i \in \{W_1, W_2, W_3\}$.

Lemma 5.6. *Let H and g be as above. Then $g^{-1} \notin HgH$, and $\text{Cos}(G, H, g)$ is a $(G, 1)$ -arc-transitive digraph.*

Proof. Let $g' = g^{-1}$. If $g' \notin HgH$, then $\text{Cos}(G, H, g)$ is a $(G, 1)$ -arc-transitive digraph. So it is sufficient to prove that $g' \notin HgH$. Suppose that this is not the case. that is, there exist $x, y \in H = C_G(\sigma)$ such that $g' = x^{-1}gy$, or equivalently, $xg = g'y$. Let us denote by E_1 and E_{-1} the eigenspaces of σ with eigenvalues 1 and -1 respectively. Indeed, $E_1 = \langle u_0, u_4, u_5 \rangle$ and $E_{-1} = \langle u_1, u_2, u_3, u_6 \rangle$.

Claim 2. *We have $u_0^x, u_0^y \in \langle u_0 \rangle$.*

First we note that since $x, y \in H$, $x\sigma = \sigma x$ and $y\sigma = \sigma y$. This implies that $u_0^{x\sigma} = u_0^{\sigma x} = u_0^x$. Thus $u_0^x \in E_1$, so that $u_0^x = \alpha_0 u_0 + \alpha_4 u_4 + \alpha_5 u_5$ for some $\alpha_i \in \mathbb{F}_q$. Similarly $u_0^y = \beta_0 u_0 + \beta_4 u_4 + \beta_5 u_5$ for some $\beta_i \in \mathbb{F}_q$. Now, we let $I = \{0, 4, 5\}$ and since $xg = g'y$, we have:

$$\sum_{i \in I} \beta_i u_i = u_0^y = u_0^{g'y} = u_0^{xg} = \sum_{i \in I} \alpha_i u_i^g = \beta_0 u_0 + \beta_4 u_1 + \beta_5 u_3. \quad (2)$$

This implies that $\alpha_0 = \beta_0$ and $\alpha_4 = \alpha_5 = \beta_4 = \beta_5 = 0$. Thus the claim is proved.

Let us consider the action of x and y on W_3 . For any $v \in W_3 = \langle u_4, u_5 \rangle$, we have that $v^{x\sigma} = v^{\sigma x} = v^x$ and $v^{y\sigma} = v^{\sigma y} = v^y$. Thus $v^x, v^y \in E_1 = \langle u_0, u_4, u_5 \rangle$. On the other hand, by Claim 2 we find that $x, y \in T$. By Lemma 5.5 $W_3^x, W_3^y \in \{W_1, W_2, W_3\}$. Hence $v^x, v^y \in E_1 \cap W_i$ for some $i \in \{1, 2, 3\}$. Since the only possible i such that $E_1 \cap W_i \neq \{0\}$ is 3, we deduce that $W_3^x = W_3^y = W_3$. Hence x and y either swap or fix W_1 and W_2 . If x and y swap them, then x, y acts as $(1, 2)$ on $\{W_1, W_2, W_3\}$. However, by Lemma 5.5, the action of T on $\{W_1, W_2, W_3\}$ is isomorphic to C_3 and does not have any element of order 2. Thus we deduce that $W_i^x = W_i^y = W_i$ for $i = 1, 2$.

Now let us consider the action of xg and $g'y$ on W_1 ,

$$W_1^{xg} = W_1^g = W_2 \quad (3)$$

while

$$W_1^{g'y} = W_3^y = W_3. \quad (4)$$

This is a contradiction to $xg = g'y$. Hence such x and y do not exist and the result follows. \square

References

- [1] N. Blackburn and B. Huppert, *Finite groups II*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin-New York, 1982.
- [2] J. N. Bray, D. F. Holt and C. M. Roney-Dougal, *The maximal subgroups of the low-dimensional finite classical groups*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2013.
- [3] J. Chen, J. J. Li and B. Xia, Bounding s for vertex-primitive s -arc-transitive digraphs of alternating and symmetric groups, preprint (2021) <https://arxiv.org/abs/2111.06579>
- [4] J. H. Conway, R. T. Curtis, S. P. Norton, R. A. Parker, and R. A. Wilson, *Atlas of Finite Groups*, Clarendon Press, Oxford, 1985.
- [5] X. G. Fang and C. E. Praeger, Finite two-arc transitive graphs admitting a ree simple group, *Comm. Algebra*, 27 (1999) 3755–3769 .
- [6] M. Giudici, C.H. Li and B. Xia, An infinite family of vertex-primitive 2-arc-transitive digraphs, *J. Combin. Theory Ser. B*, 127 (2017) 1–13.
- [7] M. Giudici, C.H. Li and B. Xia, Vertex-primitive s -arc-transitive digraphs of linear groups, *J. Math. Pure Appl.* 223 (2019) 5455–5483.
- [8] M. Giudici and B. Xia, Vertex-quasiprimitive 2-arc-transitive digraphs, *Ars Math. Contempt.*, 14 (2018) 67–82.
- [9] V. M. Levchuk and Y. N. Nuzhin, The structure of Ree groups, *Alg. i Log.*, 24 (1985) 26–41,122.
- [10] M. W. Liebeck, C. E. Praeger and J. Saxl, The maximal factorizations of the finite simple groups and their automorphism groups, *Mem. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 86 (1990), no.432.
- [11] M. W. Liebeck, C. E. Praeger and J. Saxl, Transitive subgroups of primitive groups, *J. Algebra* 234 (2000) 291–361.
- [12] J. Pan, C. Wu, F. Yin, Vertex-primitive s -arc-transitive digraphs of alternating and symmetric groups, *J. Algebra*, 544 (2020) 75–91.
- [13] C. E. Praeger, Highly arc-transitive digraphs, *European J. Combin.* 10 (1989) 281–292.

- [14] M. Suzuki, On a class of doubly transitive groups, *Ann. of Math.* 75 (1962) 105–145.
- [15] R. Weiss, The non-existence of 8-transitive graphs, *Combinatorica* 1 (1981) 309–311.
- [16] R. A. Wilson, A new construction of the ree groups of type 2G_2 , *Proc. Edinburgh Math. Soc.*, 53 (2010) 531–542.
- [17] R. A. Wilson, *The Finite simple groups*, Grad. Texts in Math., vol. 251, Springer-Verlag, London, 2009.
- [18] R. A. Wilson, Another new approach to the small Ree groups, *Arch. Math.* 94 (2010) 501–510.