

CHARACTERIZATIONS OF SOME GROUPS IN TERMS OF CENTRALIZERS

SEKHAR JYOTI BAISHYA

ABSTRACT. A group G is said to be n -centralizer if its number of element centralizers $|\text{Cent}(G)| = n$, an F-group if every non-central element centralizer contains no other element centralizer and a CA-group if all non-central element centralizers are abelian. For any $n > 11$, we prove that if G is an n -centralizer group, then $|\frac{G}{Z(G)}| \leq 2(n-4)^{\log_2(n-4)}$, which improves an earlier result (it is known that $|\frac{G}{Z(G)}| \leq (n-2)^2$ for $n \leq 11$). We also prove that if G is an arbitrary n -centralizer F-group, then $\gcd(n-2, |\frac{G}{Z(G)}|) \neq 1$. For a finite F-group G , we show that $|\text{Cent}(G)| \geq \frac{|G|}{2}$ iff $G \cong A_4, D_{2n}$ (where n is odd) or an extraspecial 2-group. Among other results, for a finite group G with non-trivial center, it is proved that $|\text{Cent}(G)| = \frac{|G|}{2}$ iff G is an extraspecial 2-group. We give a family of F-groups which are not CA-groups and extend an earlier result.

1. INTRODUCTION

A group G is said to be an F-group if for every $x, y \in G \setminus Z(G)$, $C(x) \leq C(y)$ implies that $C(x) = C(y)$, where $C(x)$ and $C(y)$ are centralizers of x and y respectively. In 1953, Ito [16] introduced the notion of the class of finite F-groups and since then the influence of the element centralizers on the structure of groups has been studied extensively. An interesting subclass of finite F-groups is the class of I-groups, consisting of groups in which all centralizers of non-central elements are of same order. Ito in [16] proved that I-groups are nilpotent and direct product of an abelian group and a group of prime power order. Later on in 2002, Ishikawa [14] proved that I-groups are of class at most 3. In 1971, Rebmann [21] investigated and classified F-groups.

In 1970, Schmidt [22] introduced the notion of CA-groups (another important subclass of F-groups) consisting of groups in which all centralizers of non-central elements are abelian. He classified the finite CA-groups, whose structure is very much similar to that of finite F-groups. In 1973, the author [18] studied finite groups in which the centralizer of every non-central element is a maximal subgroup. In 2009, the authors [10] introduced and characterised CH-groups, consisting of finite groups G in which for every $x, y \in G \setminus Z(G)$, $xy = yx$ implies that $|C(x)| = |C(y)|$.

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 20D60, 20D99.

Key words and phrases. Finite group, Centralizer, Partition of a group.

Recently, in 2017 the author [9] studied finite groups in terms of central intersections of element centralizers. More recently, the present author in [5] used the influence of centralizers to characterise capable groups of order p^2q , $p \neq q$ are primes (recall that a group is said to be capable if it is the central factor of some group).

Starting with Belcastro and Sherman [8] in 1994, the characterization of groups in terms of the number of element centralizers (denoted by $|\text{Cent}(G)|$) have been considered by many researchers (see for example [3, 5, 7, 17, 27–29] for finite groups and [30] for infinite groups). In this paper, for any $n > 11$, we prove that if G is an n -centralizer group, then $|\frac{G}{Z(G)}| \leq 2(n-4)^{\log_2(n-4)}$, which improves [28, Theorem B] (it is known that $|\frac{G}{Z(G)}| \leq (n-2)^2$ for $n \leq 11$). Among other results, we prove that if G is an n -centralizer F-group, then $\gcd(n-2, |\frac{G}{Z(G)}|) \neq 1$. For a finite group G with trivial center and largest prime divisor q , we prove that $|\text{Cent}(G)| \geq q+2$, with equality iff $G = C_q \rtimes C_n$ is a Frobenius group. For a finite F-group G , we prove that $|\text{Cent}(G)| \geq \frac{|G|}{2}$ iff $G \cong A_4, D_{2n}$ (where n is odd) or an extraspecial 2-group. It is also proved that if G is a finite group with non-trivial center, then $|\text{Cent}(G)| \leq \frac{|G|}{2}$, with equality iff G is an extraspecial 2-group. Finally, we conclude the paper with a family of F-groups which are not CA-groups and extend an earlier result.

Throughout this paper, G is a non-abelian group with center $Z(G)$, commutator subgroup G' and the set of element centralizers $\text{Cent}(G)$. We write $Z(x)$ to denote the center of the proper centralizer $C(x)$ and C_n to denote the cyclic group of order n .

2. THE MAIN RESULTS

We begin with the following elementary Lemma.

Lemma 2.1. *Let G be a group and $x, y \in G$. Then $C(x) \subseteq C(y)$ iff $Z(y) \subseteq Z(x)$.*

Proof. Suppose $C(x) \subseteq C(y)$. If $a \in Z(y)$, then $a \in C(x)$ and consequently, $a \in Z(x)$. Conversely, if $Z(y) \subseteq Z(x)$, then $y \in Z(x)$ and so $C(x) \subseteq C(y)$. \square

Corollary 2.2. *Let G be a group and $x, y \in G$. Then $y \in Z(x)$ iff $Z(y) \subseteq Z(x)$.*

Corollary 2.3. *Let G be a group such that $|C(x)| = p$, (p a prime) for some $x \in G$. Then $C(x) \subsetneq C(y)$ implies $C(y) = G$ for any $y \in G$.*

Proof. If $C(x) \subsetneq C(y)$, then by Lemma 2.1, $Z(y) \subsetneq Z(x)$, forcing $y \in Z(G)$. \square

Lemma 2.4. *Let G be a finite group and $x \in G \setminus Z(G)$. Then $|\frac{C(x)}{Z(G)}| \leq |C(xZ(G))| \leq |C(x)|$, where $C(xZ(G))$ is the centralizer of $xZ(G)$ in $\frac{G}{Z(G)}$.*

Proof. The result follows from [12, Lemma 1], noting that $\frac{C(x)}{Z(G)} \leq C(xZ(G))$. \square

For a group G and any $g, x \in G$, it is well known that $g^{-1}C(x)g = C(g^{-1}xg)$. The following is an analog to this result.

Lemma 2.5. *Let G be a group and $x \in G \setminus Z(G)$. Then $g^{-1}Z(x)g = Z(g^{-1}xg)$ for any $g \in G$.*

Proof. Let $g^{-1}ag \in g^{-1}Z(x)g$. Then $a \in Z(x)$ and so $ab = ba$ for any $b \in C(x)$. Consequently, $g^{-1}agg^{-1}bg = g^{-1}bgg^{-1}ag$ for any $g^{-1}bg \in g^{-1}C(x)g = C(g^{-1}xg)$. Hence $g^{-1}ag \in Z(g^{-1}xg)$, noting that $g^{-1}ag \in g^{-1}C(x)g = C(g^{-1}xg)$.

Next, suppose $g^{-1}ag \in Z(g^{-1}xg) \subseteq C(g^{-1}xg) = g^{-1}C(x)g$. Then clearly, $a \in C(x)$. Let $b \in C(x)$ be any element. Then $g^{-1}bg \in g^{-1}C(x)g = C(g^{-1}xg)$. Consequently, $g^{-1}agg^{-1}bg = g^{-1}bgg^{-1}ag$ and so $ab = ba$. Therefore $a \in Z(x)$ and hence $g^{-1}ag \in g^{-1}Z(x)g$. \square

The following key result gives a characterization of an n -centralizer F-group. Recall that a group G is said to be n -centralizer if its number of element centralizers $|\text{Cent}(G)| = n$. A collection Π of non-trivial subgroups of a group G is called a partition if every non-trivial element of G belongs to a unique subgroup in Π . A partition Π of a group G is said to be non-trivial if $|\Pi| \neq 1$ and normal if $g^{-1}Xg \in \Pi$ for every $X \in \Pi$ and $g \in G$.

Proposition 2.6. *A group G is an n -centralizer F-group if and only if $\Pi = \{ \frac{Z(x_i)}{Z(G)} \mid 1 \leq i \leq n-1 \}$ is a normal partition of $\frac{G}{Z(G)}$.*

Proof. Let G be an n -centralizer F-group. Suppose $x, y \in G \setminus Z(G)$ such that $C(x) \neq C(y)$. Let $z \in (Z(x) \cap Z(y)) \setminus Z(G)$. Then $C(x), C(y) \subseteq C(z)$ and consequently, $C(x) = C(z) = C(y)$, which is a contradiction. Hence Π is a partition of $\frac{G}{Z(G)}$. In the present scenario, in view of Lemma 2.5, we have $g^{-1}Z(G)\frac{Z(x_i)}{Z(G)}gZ(G) = \frac{g^{-1}Z(x_i)g}{Z(G)} = \frac{Z(g^{-1}x_i g)}{Z(G)}$ for every $\frac{Z(x_i)}{Z(G)} \in \Pi$ and any $gZ(G) \in \frac{G}{Z(G)}$. Hence Π is a normal partition.

Conversely, suppose Π is a partition of $\frac{G}{Z(G)}$. Then $Z(x_i) \not\subseteq Z(x_j)$ for any $i, j \in \{1, \dots, n-1\}$, $i \neq j$. Therefore using Lemma 2.1, G is an F-group. \square

As an immediate application to the above result, we also have the following characterization of an arbitrary F-group.

Proposition 2.7. *If G is an n -centralizer F-group, then $\gcd(n-2, |\frac{G}{Z(G)}|) \neq 1$.*

Proof. Let $C(x_1), C(x_2), \dots, C(x_{n-1})$ be the proper centralizers of G . In view of [23, Theorem 1.2 (Baer)], $\frac{G}{Z(G)}$ is finite, noting that $G = Z(x_1) \cup \dots \cup Z(x_{n-1})$. Moreover, since G is an F-group, using Proposition 2.6, $\{ \frac{Z(x_i)}{Z(G)} \mid 1 \leq i \leq n-1 \}$ is a normal partition of $\frac{G}{Z(G)}$. Therefore by [11, Theorem 4.1], we have the result. \square

Corollary 2.8. *Let G be an n -centralizer nilpotent F-group. Then $p \mid (n-2)$, where $|\frac{G}{Z(G)}| = p^k$, p a prime.*

Proof. In view of [23, Theorem 1.2 (Baer)], $\frac{G}{Z(G)}$ is finite, noting that $G = Z(x_1) \cup \cdots \cup Z(x_{n-1})$. In the present scenario, by Proposition 2.6 and [11, Theorem 1.3], we have $\frac{G}{Z(G)}$ is a p -group. Now, the result follows from Proposition 2.7. \square

Following Ito [16], a finite group G is said to be of conjugate type $(m, 1)$ if every proper centralizer of G is of index m . He proved that a group of conjugate type $(m, 1)$ is nilpotent and $m = p^k$ for some prime p . Moreover, he also proved that a group of conjugate type $(p^k, 1)$ is a direct product of a p -group of the same type and an abelian group. The author in [15] classified finite p -groups of conjugate type $(p, 1)$ and $(p^2, 1)$ upto isoclinism (for basic notions of isoclinism see [19, 30]). As an application to Proposition 2.7, we also have the following result:

Corollary 2.9. *Let G be a finite n -centralizer group of conjugate type $(m, 1)$. Then $p \mid (n - 2)$, where $m = p^k$, p a prime.*

Proof. In view of Ito [16], $\frac{G}{Z(G)}$ is a p group. Now, the result follows from Proposition 2.7, noting that G is an F-group. \square

The author in [28, Theorem B] proved that every arbitrary n -centralizer group is center-by-(finite of order $\leq \max \{(n - 2)^2, 2(n - 3)^{\log_2^{(n-3)}}\}$). We improve this result as follows: [note that $(n - 4)^{\log_2^{(n-4)}} < (n - 3)^{\log_2^{(n-3)}}$].

Theorem 2.10. *Let G be an n -centralizer group.*

- (a) *If G is an F-group, then $|\frac{G}{Z(G)}| \leq (n - 2)^2$. In particular, if $|\frac{Z(x)}{Z(G)}| < \sqrt{|\frac{G}{Z(G)}|}$ for all $x \in G \setminus Z(G)$, then $|\frac{G}{Z(G)}| < (n - 2)^2$.*
- (b) *If G is not an F-group, then $|\frac{G}{Z(G)}| \leq \{(n - 3)^2, 2(n - 4)^{\log_2^{(n-4)}}\}$.*

Proof. Let $C(x_1), C(x_2), \dots, C(x_{n-1})$ be the proper centralizers of G . In view of [23, Theorem 1.2 (Baer)], $\frac{G}{Z(G)}$ is finite, noting that $G = Z(x_1) \cup \cdots \cup Z(x_{n-1})$.

a) Now, if G is an F-group, using Proposition 2.6, $\{\frac{Z(x_i)}{Z(G)} \mid 1 \leq i \leq n - 1\}$ is a partition of $\frac{G}{Z(G)}$. Therefore by [13, Corollary 1], $|\frac{G}{Z(G)}| \leq (n - 2)^2$. Second part follows from the proof of [13, Corollary 1].

b) If G is not an F-group, then in view of Lemma 2.1, $Z(x_i) \subsetneq Z(x_j)$ for some $i, j \in \{1, \dots, n - 1\}$, $i \neq j$. Consequently, G is covered by $\leq (n - 2)$ abelian subgroups. In the present scenario, one can verify that G has an irredundant covering by $\leq (n - 2)$ maximal abelian subgroups. Therefore by [23, Theorem 4.2] we have the result. \square

Corollary 2.11. *Every arbitrary n -centralizer group is*

$$\text{center - by - (finite of order } \leq \max\{(n - 2)^2, 2(n - 4)^{\log_2^{(n-4)}}\}).$$

Corollary 2.12. *Let G be a finite n -centralizer group of conjugate type $(p, 1)$, p a prime. Then $n - 2 = p$ iff $\frac{G}{Z(G)} \cong C_p \times C_p$ (compare with [4, Lemma 3.1]).*

Proof. In view of Theorem 2.10, we have $\frac{G}{Z(G)} \cong C_p \times C_p$. Conversely, if $\frac{G}{Z(G)} \cong C_p \times C_p$, then $n = p + 2$, noting that in the present scenario $C(a) \cap C(b) = Z(G)$ for any $a, b \in G \setminus Z(G)$ with $ab \neq ba$ and each proper centralizer of G contains exactly p distinct right cosets of $Z(G)$. \square

The following result improves [3, Theorem 3.3].

Proposition 2.13. *Let G be a finite n -centralizer group of conjugate type $(p^2, 1)$, p a prime. Then $n - 2 = p^2$ iff $\frac{G}{Z(G)} \cong C_p \times C_p \times C_p \times C_p$.*

Proof. In view of Theorem 2.10, [5, Proposition 2.14] and [7, Proposition 3.9], we have $\frac{G}{Z(G)} \cong C_p \times C_p \times C_p \times C_p$.

Conversely, if $|\frac{G}{Z(G)}| = p^4$, then $n = p^2 + 2$, noting that in the present scenario $C(a) \cap C(b) = Z(G)$ for any $a, b \in G \setminus Z(G)$ with $ab \neq ba$ and each proper centralizer of G contains exactly p^2 distinct right cosets of $Z(G)$. \square

We now have the following result which improves [28, Theorem B]. Note that for any $n \leq 11$, if G is an n -centralizer group, then $|\frac{G}{Z(G)}| \leq (n - 2)^2$ (see [23, Pp. 857]). In particular, $|\frac{G}{Z(G)}|$ is well known for any $n(n \leq 10)$ -centralizer group (see [30, Theorem 3.5] and use its arguments in the proof to main Theorems in [2,6]).

Theorem 2.14. *For any $n > 11$, if G is an n -centralizer group, then $|\frac{G}{Z(G)}| \leq 2(n - 4)^{\log_2(n-4)}$.*

Proof. The result follows from Corollary 2.11, noting that $(n - 2)^2 < 2(n - 4)^2 < 2(n - 4)^{\log_2(n-4)}$ for any $n > 11$. \square

As a consequence of Theorem 2.10 we also have the next two results:

Proposition 2.15. *Let G be a finite n -centralizer group of conjugate type $(p, 1)$, p a prime. Then $|\frac{G}{Z(G)}| \leq (n - 2)^2$, with equality iff $\frac{G}{Z(G)} \cong C_p \times C_p$.*

Proof. Note that G is an F-group and using Proposition 2.6, $\{\frac{Z(x_i)}{Z(G)} \mid 1 \leq i \leq n - 1\}$ is a partition of $\frac{G}{Z(G)}$. Therefore in view of Theorem 2.10, we have $|\frac{G}{Z(G)}| \leq (n - 2)^2$.

Now, suppose $|\frac{G}{Z(G)}| = (n - 2)^2$. Note that using [20, Proposition 1], we have $|\frac{Z(x_i)}{Z(G)}| = p$ for any $1 \leq i \leq n - 1$. Moreover, by Theorem 2.10, we have $|\frac{Z(x_j)}{Z(G)}| \geq \sqrt{|\frac{G}{Z(G)}|}$ for some $1 \leq j \leq n - 1$. Consequently, we have $\frac{G}{Z(G)} \cong C_p \times C_p$. Conversely, if $\frac{G}{Z(G)} \cong C_p \times C_p$, then $n = p + 2$ (i.e., $|\frac{G}{Z(G)}| = (n - 2)^2$), noting that in the present scenario $C(a) \cap C(b) = Z(G)$ for any $a, b \in G \setminus Z(G)$ with $ab \neq ba$ and each proper centralizer of G contains exactly p distinct right cosets of $Z(G)$. \square

Proposition 2.16. *Let G be a finite n -centralizer group of conjugate type $(p^2, 1)$, p a prime. Then $|\frac{G}{Z(G)}| \leq (n-2)^2$, with equality iff $\frac{G}{Z(G)} \cong C_p \times C_p \times C_p \times C_p$.*

Proof. Note that G is an F-group and using Proposition 2.6, $\{\frac{Z(x_i)}{Z(G)} \mid 1 \leq i \leq n-1\}$ is a partition of $\frac{G}{Z(G)}$. Therefore in view of Theorem 2.10, we have $|\frac{G}{Z(G)}| \leq (n-2)^2$.

Now, suppose $|\frac{G}{Z(G)}| = (n-2)^2$. Note that using [20, Proposition 1], we have $|\frac{Z(x_i)}{Z(G)}| \leq p^2$ for any $1 \leq i \leq n-1$. Moreover, by Theorem 2.10, we have $|\frac{Z(x_j)}{Z(G)}| \geq \sqrt{|\frac{G}{Z(G)}|}$ for some $1 \leq j \leq n-1$. Consequently, we have $|\frac{G}{Z(G)}| \leq p^4$. In the present scenario, using [5, Proposition 2.14], we have $|\frac{G}{Z(G)}| = p^4$, noting that G is of conjugate type $(p^2, 1)$. Now, using [7, Proposition 3.9], we have $\frac{G}{Z(G)} \cong C_p \times C_p \times C_p \times C_p$.

Conversely, suppose $|\frac{G}{Z(G)}| = p^4$. Then $n = p^2 + 2$ (i.e., $|\frac{G}{Z(G)}| = (n-2)^2$), noting that in the present scenario $C(a) \cap C(b) = Z(G)$ for any $a, b \in G \setminus Z(G)$ with $ab \neq ba$ and each proper centralizer of G contains exactly p^2 distinct right cosets of $Z(G)$. \square

A finite p -group (p a prime) G is said to be a special p -group of rank k if $G' = Z(G)$ is elementary abelian of order p^k and $\frac{G}{G'}$ is elementary abelian. Furthermore, a finite group G is extraspecial if G is a special p -group and $|G'| = |Z(G)| = p$. A p -group (p a prime) G is semi-extraspecial, if G satisfies the property for every maximal subgroup N of $Z(G)$ that $\frac{G}{N}$ is an extraspecial group. In view of [7, Remark 3.13], we note that every semi-extraspecial group is a special group and for such groups $|C(x)|$ is equal to the index $[G : G']$ for all $x \in G \setminus G'$. A group G is said to be ultraspecial if G is semi-extraspecial and $|G'| = \sqrt{|G : G'|}$. It is known that for each prime p there are $p+3$ ultraspecial groups of order p^6 and all of the ultraspecial groups of order p^6 (including for $p=2$) are isoclinic.

In view of the above discussions, using Proposition 2.7 and Theorem 2.10, we have the following characterization of a semi-extraspecial p -group.

Proposition 2.17. *Let G be a finite n -centralizer semi-extraspecial p -group, p a prime. Then $p \mid (n-2)$ and $|\frac{G}{Z(G)}| \leq (n-2)^2$.*

Remark 2.18. For $n \leq 10$, Tomkinson in [23, pp. 857] showed that if G is covered by n abelian subgroups, then $|\frac{G}{Z(G)}| \leq (n-1)^2$. He noted that the bound $(n-1)^2$ is attained by the non-abelian groups of order p^3 , p a prime. Moreover he mentioned that he did not have examples for other values of n . It may be mentioned here that any group G with $\frac{G}{Z(G)} \cong C_p \times C_p$ can be covered by $p+1$ abelian subgroups. Moreover, in view of Proposition 2.16, we can see that if G is a finite $(n+1)$ -centralizer group of conjugate type $(p^2, 1)$, p a prime and $|\frac{G}{Z(G)}| = p^4$, then G is covered by n abelian subgroups (note that in this case G is a CA-group) and $|\frac{G}{Z(G)}| = (n-1)^2$. For example, if G is any ultraspecial group of order p^6 , p a prime

, then G is of conjugate type $(p^2, 1)$ and $|\frac{G}{Z(G)}| = p^4$. Therefore from the proof of Proposition 2.16, G is covered by $n(= p^2 + 1)$ abelian subgroups (centralizers) and $|\frac{G}{Z(G)}| = (n - 1)^2$.

Recall that a group G is semi-simple if G has no non-trivial normal abelian subgroup. The author in [29, Proposition 2.5] proved that if G is a semi-simple n -centralizer group, then $|G| \leq (n - 1)!$. Using Corollary 2.11, we can improve this result as follows: [note that $\max\{(n - 2)^2, 2(n - 4)^{\log_2(n-4)}\} < (n - 1)!$ (see [28])].

Corollary 2.19. *If G is an n -centralizer group, then $|\frac{G}{Z(G)}| < (n - 1)!$.*

We now give the following result concerning bounds of $|\text{Cent}(G)|$ for a finite centerless group. For the bounds of $|\text{Cent}(G)|$ of an arbitrary finite group, see ([7, Theorem 3.3]). In the following result $\alpha(I(G))$ denotes the number of centralizers produced by the elements of $I(G)$, where $I(G) = \{x \in G \mid x = x^{-1}\}$.

Proposition 2.20. *Let G be a finite centerless group and q be the largest prime divisor of its order. Then*

- (a) $|\text{Cent}(G)| \geq q + 2$, with equality iff $G = C_q \rtimes C_n$ is a Frobenius group.
- (b) $|\text{Cent}(G)| \leq |G| - 1$, with equality iff $G \cong S_3$.

Proof. a) Suppose $x \in G$ be an element of order q and let $a \in G \setminus C(x)$. Clearly, $ax^i \in G \setminus C(x)$ for any i . Consider the set $A = \{x, a, ax, ax^2, \dots, ax^{q-1}\}$. Observe that if $ax^i ax^j = ax^j ax^i$ for some $0 \leq i < j \leq q - 1$, then $a \in C(x^{j-i}) = C(x)$, a contradiction (noting that $\gcd((j - i), o(x)) = 1$). Therefore A is a set of pairwise non-commuting elements of G and $|A| = q + 1$. Hence $|\text{Cent}(G)| \geq q + 2$.

Now, suppose $|\text{Cent}(G)| = q + 2$. Then the proper centralizers of G are precisely the centralizers given by the elements of A . Let $C(u)$ be non abelian for some $u \in A$. Let $v \in C(u) \setminus Z(u)$. Then $C(v) = C(w)$ for some $w \in A$ and consequently, $u \in C(v) = C(w)$, which is a contradiction. Therefore G is a CA-group. Now, if G is non-solvable, then by [1, Lemma 3.9], $G \cong PSL(2, 2^m)$, $2^m > 3$. But then using [27, Theorem 1.1], $|\text{Cent}(G)| \neq q + 2$. Therefore G is solvable and consequently, by [25, Proposition 3.1.1, Proposition 1.2.4], G is a Frobenius group with cyclic complement. In the present scenario, the number of Frobenius complements is q and consequently, by [24], the Frobenius kernel is C_q . Hence the result follows. Conversely, if $G = C_q \rtimes C_n$ is a Frobenius group, then G has trivial center. Moreover, by the property of Frobenius group, q is the largest prime divisor of $|G|$ and $|\text{Cent}(G)| = q + 2$.

b) Clearly, G must have an element x such that $x \neq x^{-1}$. Therefore $|\text{Cent}(G)| \leq |G| - 1$, noting that $C(x) = C(x^{-1})$.

Now, suppose $|\text{Cent}(G)| = |G| - 1$. Since $C(x) = C(xz)$ for any $x \in G$ and any $z \in Z(G)$, therefore we have, $|Z(G)| = 1$. Now, if $|G|$ is odd, then $o(x) = p > 3$ for some $x \in G \setminus Z(G)$ and some prime p . But then $|\text{Cent}(G)| < \frac{|G|}{2} + 1 < |G| - 1$,

a contradiction. Hence $|G|$ is even. In the present scenario, it is easy to see that $|G \setminus I(G)| = 2k$ for some k and consequently, we have $|I(G)| = |G| - 2k$. Since $C(x) = C(x^{-1})$ for any $x \in G$, therefore we have

$$|\text{Cent}(G)| \leq \frac{|G \setminus I(G)|}{2} + |I(G)| = |G| - k \leq |G| - 1.$$

It now follows that $k = 1$ and hence $|I(G)| = |G| - 2 = \alpha(I(G))$. In the present scenario, it is easy to verify that $C(x) = \langle x \rangle$ for any $x \in G \setminus Z(G)$. Hence $G \cong S_3$. Conversely, we have $|\text{Cent}(S_3)| = |S_3| - 1$. \square

Let q be the largest prime divisor of the order of a group G . The authors in [8, Theorem 6] proved that $\frac{|\text{Cent}(G)|}{|G|} \leq \frac{1}{2}$, if $q = 2$ and $\frac{|\text{Cent}(G)|}{|G|} \leq \frac{3}{4} + \frac{1}{4q}$ otherwise. Accordingly, they raised the question whether or not there exists a finite group other than Q_8 and D_{2p} (p a prime) such that $\frac{|\text{Cent}(G)|}{|G|} \geq \frac{1}{2}$. In [3], the author answered negatively that question by showing that $|\text{Cent}(D_{2n})| = n + 2$, if n is odd. In this connection, we prove the following result which gives a characterization of extraspecial 2-group. This also gives yet another two families of counterexamples to the above question.

Recall that a finite group G is extraspecial if G is a special p -group and $|G'| = |Z(G)| = p$. It is well known that every extraspecial p -group has order p^{2a+1} for some positive integer a and for every positive integer a , there exists, upto isomorphism, exactly two extraspecial groups of order p^{2a+1} .

Proposition 2.21. *If G is a finite group with non-trivial center, then $|\text{Cent}(G)| \leq \frac{|G|}{2}$, with equality iff G is an extraspecial 2-group.*

Proof. Since $C(x) = C(xz)$ for any $x \in G$ and any $z \in Z(G)$, therefore $|\text{Cent}(G)| \leq \frac{|G|}{2}$.

Now, suppose $|\text{Cent}(G)| = \frac{|G|}{2}$. Then we have $|Z(G)| = 2$, noting that $C(x) = C(xz)$ for any $x \in G$ and any $z \in Z(G)$. In the present scenario, using [7, Theorem 3.2], we have $\frac{G}{Z(G)}$ is an elementary abelian 2-group. Therefore $G' = Z(G)$ and consequently, G is an extraspecial 2-group. Conversely, if G is an extraspecial 2-group, then by [7, Proposition 3.10], $|\text{Cent}(G)| = \frac{|G|}{2}$. \square

It may be mentioned here that the alternating group A_4 of degree 4 has trivial center and $|\text{Cent}(A_4)| = \frac{|A_4|}{2}$. In the present scenario, the following problem is worth mentioning:

Problem 2.1. *Classify the finite groups G with $|\text{Cent}(G)| = \frac{|G|}{2}$.*

We now classify finite F-groups with $|\text{Cent}(G)| \geq \frac{|G|}{2}$:

Theorem 2.22. *G is a finite F-group with $|\text{Cent}(G)| \geq \frac{|G|}{2}$ iff $G \cong A_4, D_{2n}$ (with n odd) or an extraspecial 2-group.*

Proof. Let G be a finite F-group. Suppose $|\text{Cent}(G)| = \frac{|G|}{2}$. Now, if $|Z(G)| > 1$, then by Proposition 2.21, G is an extraspecial 2-group. On the other hand if $|Z(G)| = 1$, then by [26, pp.578], G is a CA-group and consequently, we must have $|C(x)| = 3$ or 4 for any $x \in G \setminus Z(G)$, noting that in the present scenario $|C(x)| > 2$. Therefore $|G| = 12$ and hence $G \cong A_4$.

Next, suppose $|\text{Cent}(G)| > \frac{|G|}{2}$. We have $C(x) = C(xz)$ for any $x \in G$ and any $z \in Z(G)$ and consequently, $|Z(G)| = 1$. Therefore by [26, pp.578], G is a CA-group. Now, if G is non-solvable, then by [1, Lemma 3.9], $G \cong PSL(2, 2^m)$, $2^m > 3$ and using [27, Theorem 1.1], $|\text{Cent}(G)| < \frac{|G|}{2}$, which is a contradiction. Therefore G is solvable and consequently, by [25, Proposition 3.1.1, Proposition 1.2.4], $G \cong K \rtimes H$ is a Frobenius group with abelian kernel K and cyclic complement H . In the present scenario, from the properties of Frobenius groups $H \cong C_2$ and consequently, $G \cong D_{2n}$, where n is odd. Conversely, if $G \cong A_4, D_{2n}$ (with n odd) or an extraspecial 2-group, then G is an F-group (see [26, pp.578]). Clearly, $|\text{Cent}(A_4)| = 6$ and $|\text{Cent}(D_{2n})| = n + 2$, if n is odd. Moreover, if G is an extraspecial 2-group, then by Proposition 2.21, $|\text{Cent}(G)| = \frac{|G|}{2}$. \square

Corollary 2.23. *G is a finite CA-group with $|\text{Cent}(G)| \geq \frac{|G|}{2}$ iff $G \cong A_4, Q_8, D_8$ or D_{2n} (with n odd).*

Proof. The result follows from Theorem 2.22, noting that if G is an extraspecial 2-group of order > 8 , then G is not a CA-group (see [26, pp.578]). \square

In view of Theorem 2.10 and Theorem 2.22, we now have the following result:

Proposition 2.24. *If G is a finite n -centralizer F-group, then $|\frac{G}{Z(G)}| \leq (n-2)^2 \leq \frac{|G|^2}{4}$.*

There exists finite groups, for example, say $G = S_3 \times S_3$ such that $|\text{Cent}(G)| > \frac{|G|}{2} + 2$. However, it follows from Theorem 2.22 that for any finite F-group G , we have $|\text{Cent}(G)| \leq \frac{|G|}{2} + 2$, with equality iff $G \cong D_{2n}$, where n is odd. It may be mentioned here that $|\text{Cent}(S_4)| = \frac{|S_4|}{2} + 2$ but S_4 is not an F-group. In this connection it is natural to study the following problem:

Problem 2.2. *Classify the finite groups G with $|\text{Cent}(G)| = \frac{|G|}{2} + 2$.*

Let H be any subgroup of a group G . It is easy to see that $|\text{Cent}(H)| \leq |\text{Cent}(G)|$. The authors in [17] studied some conditions for the equality and among other results they showed that ([17, Proposition 3.6]) if G is a finite group such that $\frac{G}{Z(G)}$ is isomorphic to a simple group, then G and G' are isoclinic groups and consequently, $|\text{Cent}(G)| = |\text{Cent}(G')|$ (for basic notions of isoclinism see [19, 30]). Here we give a generalization of this result (a group G is called perfect if $G = G'$):

Proposition 2.25. *Let G be a finite group such that $\frac{G}{Z(G)}$ is perfect. Then G and G' are isoclinic groups and $|\text{Cent}(G)| = |\text{Cent}(G')|$.*

Proof. Suppose $\frac{G}{Z(G)}$ is perfect. Then $\frac{G}{Z(G)} = (\frac{G}{Z(G)})' = \frac{G'Z(G)}{Z(G)}$ and consequently, $G'Z(G) = G$. Therefore in view of [19, Lemma 2.7], G is isoclinic to G' and hence, by [30, Lemma 3.2] we have $|\text{Cent}(G)| = |\text{Cent}(G')|$. \square

Finally, we conclude the paper with a family of groups which are F-groups but not CA-groups. In this connection, we want to point out that the author in [9, Proposition 1.3] proved that an extraspecial p -group (p a prime) of order $> p^3$ is an F-group which is not a CA-group. However, this is already a known result which was initially obtained by Zappa (see [26, pp. 578]). The following Proposition extends this result and gives a large family of F-groups which are not CA-groups. Note that every extraspecial p -group is of conjugate type $(p, 1)$.

Proposition 2.26. *Let G be a finite p -group of conjugate type $(p^k, 1)$ for some prime p . If $|\frac{G}{Z(G)}| > p^{2k}$, then $C(x)$ is non-abelian for any $x \in G \setminus Z(G)$.*

Proof. In view of [20, Proposition 1], we have $|\frac{Z(x)}{Z(G)}| \leq p^k$ for any $x \in G \setminus Z(G)$. Hence the result follows. \square

REFERENCES

- [1] A. Abdollahi, S. Akbari and H. R. Maimani, *Non-commuting graph of a group*, J. Algebra, **298** (2006), 468–492.
- [2] S. M. J. Amiri, H. Madadi and H. Rostami, *Groups with exactly ten centralizers*, Bull. Iran. Math. Soc., **44** (2018), 1163–1170.
- [3] A. R. Ashrafi, *On finite groups with a given number of centralizers*, Algebra Colloq., **7** (2) (2000), 139–146.
- [4] A. R. Ashrafi, *Counting the centralizers of some finite groups*, Korean J. Comput. Appl. Math., **7** (1) (2000), 115–124.
- [5] S. J. Baishya, *On capable groups of order p^2q* , Comm. Alg., **48** (6) (2020), 2632–2638.
- [6] S. J. Baishya, *On finite groups with nine centralizers*, Boll. Unione Mat. Ital., **9** (2016) 527–531.
- [7] S. J. Baishya, *Counting centralizers and z -classes of some F-groups*, arXiv:2011.14071v2 [math.GR] 3 Dec 2020.
- [8] S. M. Belcastro and G. J. Sherman, *Counting centralizers in finite groups*, Math. Magazine, **67** (5) (1994), 366–374.
- [9] J. Brough, *Central intersections of element centralizers*, Asian-European J. Math., **11** (5) (2018), (11 pages).
- [10] S. Dolfi, M. Herzog and E. Jabara, *Finite groups whose noncentral commuting elements have centralizers of equal size*, Bull. Aust. Math Soc., **82** (2010), 293–304.
- [11] M. Farrokhi D. G., *Some results on the partitions of groups*, Rend. Sem. Mat. Univ. Padova, **125** (2011), 119–146.
- [12] P. Fong, *On orders of finite groups and centralizers of p -elements*, Osaka J. Math., **13** (1976), 483–489.
- [13] M. Garonzi, M. L. Dias, *Group partitions of minimal size*, J. Algebra, **531** (2019), 01–18.
- [14] K. Ishikawa, *On finite p -groups which have only two conjugacy lengths*, Israel J. Math., **129** (2002), 119–123.

- [15] K. Ishikawa, *Finite p -groups upto isoclinism, Which have only two conjugacy lengths*, J. Algebra, **220** (1999), 333–345.
- [16] N. Ito, *On finite groups with given conjugate type, I*, Nagoya J. Math., **6** (1953), 17–28.
- [17] K. Khoramshahi and M. Zarrin, *Groups with the same number of centralizers*, J. Algebra Appl. <https://doi.org/10.1142/S0219498821500122>.
- [18] L. F. Kosvintsev, *Finite groups with maximal element centralizers*, Mathematical Notes of the Academy of Sciences of USSR **13** (1973), 349–350.
- [19] P. Lescot, *Isoclinism classes and commutativity degrees of finite groups*, J. of Algebra, **177** (1995), 847–869.
- [20] A. Mann, *Extreme elements of finite p -groups*, Rend. Sem. Mat. Univ. Padova, **83** (1990), 45–54.
- [21] J. Rebmann, *F-gruppen*, Arch. Math., **22** (1971), 225–230.
- [22] R. Schmidt, *Zentralisatorverbände endlicher Gruppen*, Rend. Sem. Mat. Univ. Padova, **44** (1970), 97–131.
- [23] M. J. Tomkinson, *Groups covered by finitely many cosets or subgroups*, Comm. Algebra, **15** (4) (1987), 854–859.
- [24] R. H. Schulz, *Transversal designs and partitions associated with Frobenius groups*, J. Reine. Angew. Math., **355** (1985), 153–162.
- [25] E. Wilcox, *New Approaches to Suzuki’s CA-Proof (Thesis submitted to The Faculty of the Graduate College of The University of Vermont)*, (2008).
- [26] G. Zappa, *Partitions and other coverings of finite groups*, Illinois. J. Math. , **47** (1/2) (2003), 571–580.
- [27] M. Zarrin, *On element centralizers in finite groups*, Arch. Math., **93** (2009), 497–503.
- [28] M. Zarrin, *Derived length and centralizers of groups*, J. Algebra Appl., **14** (8) (2015), 01–04.
- [29] M. Zarrin, *On solubility of groups with finitely many centralizers*, Bull. Iran. Math. Soc., **39** (3) (2013), 517–521.
- [30] M. Zarrin, *On non-commuting sets and centralizers in infinite groups*, Bull. Aust. Math. Soc. **93** (2016), 42–46.

S. J. BAISHYA, DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, PANDIT DEENDAYAL UPADHYAYA ADARSHA MAHAVIDYALAYA, BEHALI, BISWANATH-784184, ASSAM, INDIA.

Email address: sekharnehu@yahoo.com