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Abstract. Let $H_0$ and $V$ be self-adjoint operators such that $V$ admits a factorisation $V = F^*JF$ with bounded self-adjoint $J$ and $|H_0|^{1/2}$ compact $F$. Flow of singular spectrum of the path of self-adjoint operators $H_0 + rV, r \in \mathbb{R}$, — also called spectral flow, through a point $\lambda$ outside the essential spectrum of $H_0$ is well studied, and appears in such diverse areas as differential geometry and condensed matter physics.

Inside the essential spectrum the spectral flow through $\lambda$ for such a path is well-defined if the norm limit
$$\lim_{y \to 0^+}F(H_0 + rV - \lambda - iy)^{-1}F^*$$
extists for at least one value of the coupling variable $r \in \mathbb{R}$. This raises the question: given a self-adjoint operator $H_0$ and $|H_0|^{1/2}$ compact operator $F$, for which real numbers $\lambda$ there exists a bounded self-adjoint operator $J$ such that the limit above exists? Real numbers $\lambda$ for which this statement is true we call essentially regular or semi-regular and the operator $V = F^*JF$ we call a regular direction for $H_0$ at $\lambda$.

In this paper we prove that $\lambda$ is semi-regular for $H_0$ if and only if the direction $F^*F$ is regular.

1. Introduction

Flow of eigenvalues of a norm-continuous path of self-adjoint operators,
$$H_r = H_0 + rV,$$
which share the common essential spectrum, $\sigma_{ess}$, through a point $\lambda$ outside the essential spectrum is well studied. The resulting integer number is also called spectral flow, which has independent origins in operator theory [Kr] and differential geometry [APS] and since then appeared in such areas as index theory and condensed matter physics, see e.g. [C].

For $\lambda$ inside the essential spectrum the spectral flow, whether it is flow of eigenvalues or more generally flow of singular spectrum, is not well-defined, due to well-known extreme volatility of singular spectrum embedded in the essential spectrum. The spectral shift function (SSF) $\xi(\lambda)$ could have been considered as an analogue of spectral flow, however it is not integer-valued in $\sigma_{ess}$. It was found in [Az] and [AD] that SSF admits a natural decomposition into the sum of so-called absolutely continuous (ACSSF), $\xi^{(a)}$, and singular (SSSF), $\xi^{(s)}$, spectral shift functions, with $\xi^{(s)}(\lambda)$ being integer-valued for a.e. $\lambda \in \sigma_{ess}$, provided $H_0$ and $V$ obey a certain trace class condition. Later $\xi^{(s)}(\lambda)$ was given other descriptions, most notably, as
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total resonance index (TRI), see \[Az2\] and \[AD\]. TRI is integer-valued for a.e. \(\lambda\), and coincides with the classical spectral flow outside \(\sigma_{ess}\), see \[Az3\].

In order to define TRI one does not need a trace class condition, — it suffices to assume the limiting absorption principle (LAP), see e.g. \[AMG\] and \[Y\] for more information on LAP. LAP admits many interpretations. We shall outline one which we will use.

Let \(H_0\) be a self-adjoint operator on a Hilbert space \(\mathcal{H}\) and \(F: \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathcal{K}\) be a closed \(|H_0|^{1/2}\)-compact operator, which we call a rigging in \(\mathcal{H}\). Then the pair \(H_0\) and \(F\) is said to obey LAP if there exists the norm limit, \(T_{\lambda+i0}(H_0)\), of the operator

\[
T_{\lambda+iy}(H_0) := FR_{\lambda+iy}(H_0)F^* := F(H_0 - \lambda - iy)^{-1}F^*
\]

for a.e. \(\lambda \in \mathbb{R}\). TRI is well-defined at \(\lambda\) for a pair of operators \(H_0\) and \(V = F^*JF\), where \(J \in B_{sa}(\mathcal{K})\), if the norm limit \(T_{\lambda+i0}(H_r)\) exists for at least one value of the coupling variable \(r\), in which case it automatically exists for all \(r\) except a discrete set. We say that a real number \(\lambda\) is essentially regular or semi-regular for \(H_0\) if there exists at least one \(J \in B_{sa}(\mathcal{K})\) such that the norm limit \(T_{\lambda+i0}(H_0 + rF^*JF)\) exists for at least one \(r\). In this case we also say that \(V = F^*JF\) is a regular direction for \(H_0\) at \(\lambda\).

For more motivation for this paper I refer to papers \[Az2\], \[Az3\], \[AD\], \[AD2\] and their introductions.

The main result of this paper is the following theorem.

**Theorem 1.1.** Let \(H_0\) be a self-adjoint operator and \(F\) be a closed \(|H_0|^{1/2}\)-compact operator. If \(\lambda\) is semi-regular for \(H_0\), then \(F^*F\) is a regular direction.

Theorem 1.1 is simple but important, as it gives a natural choice of a regular direction. It also allows to simplify the definition of a semi-simple point \(\lambda\) of a s.-a. operator \(H_0\) as follows: if \(F^*F\) is a regular direction for \(H_0\) at \(\lambda\) then \(\lambda\) is semi-simple. Finally, Theorem 1.1 shows that semi-simplicity of a point \(\lambda\) depends on a relationship between a self-adjoint operator \(H_0\) and a rigging \(F\) only.

2. **Proof of Theorem 1.1**

The premise means by definition that there exists a regular direction \(V = F^*JF\) at \(H_0\), that is, \(T_{\lambda+i0}(H_0 + rV)\) exists for all real numbers \(r\) except a discrete set. We need to show that for some real number \(r\) the norm limit

\[
T_{\lambda+i0}(H_0 + rF^*F) =: T_{\lambda+i0}(\hat{H}_r)
\]

also exists. The second resolvent identity applied to the operator

\[
\hat{H}_s = H_r + r(sF^*F - V),
\]

where \(s \in \mathbb{R}\), gives

\[
T_z(\hat{H}_s) = T_z(H_r + rF^*(s - J)F) = \left[1 + rT_z(H_r)(s - J)\right]^{-1}T_z(H_r).
\]

Thus, for some real number \(s\) the norm limit \(T_{\lambda+i0}(\hat{H}_s)\) exists if and only if the operator

\[
1 + rT_{\lambda+i0}(H_r)(s - J)
\]
is invertible and this is what we will prove. Assume the contrary. Then, since 
\( T_{\lambda+0}(H_r)(s-J) \) is compact, by Fredholm alternative for some non-zero analytic vector-valued function \( \varphi_s \) we have for all real numbers \( s \)
\[
|1 + rT_{\lambda+0}(H_r)(s-J)|\varphi_s = 0.
\]
We can assume that \( s > \|J\| \). Thus, the equality above means that \(-1\) is an eigenvalue of \( r\sqrt{s-J}T_{\lambda+0}(H_r)\sqrt{s-J} \) for all \( s > \|J\| \), so, for non-zero vector function \( \psi_s \) we have
\[
r\sqrt{s-J}T_{\lambda+0}(H_r)\sqrt{s-J}\psi_s = -\psi_s.
\]
Taking the scalar product of both sides of this equality by \( \psi_s \) and then taking the imaginary part of both sides we get
\[
\langle \psi_s, \sqrt{s-J} \Im T_{\lambda+0}(H_r) \sqrt{s-J}\psi_s \rangle = 0,
\]
and since \( \Im T_{\lambda+0}(H_r) \geq 0 \) from this we find
\[
\Im T_{\lambda+0}(H_r) \sqrt{s-J}\psi_s = 0.
\]
Therefore, the equality (1) turns into
\[
r\sqrt{s-J} \Re T_{\lambda+0}(H_r) \sqrt{s-J}\psi_s = -\psi_s.
\]
Recall that \( s \) is large enough for the operator \( s-J \) to be invertible. Now we use a well-known lemma: for an analytic path of self-adjoint operators \( N_s \) the eigenvalue equation
\[
N_s\varphi_s = \lambda(s)\varphi_s
\]
implies
\[
(N_s^*\varphi_s, \varphi_s) = \lambda(s)(\varphi_s, \varphi_s).
\]
Applying this lemma to (2) gives
\[
(\sqrt{s-J}^{-1} \Re T_{\lambda+0}(H_r) \sqrt{s-J}\psi_s, \psi_s) + (\sqrt{s-J} \Re T_{\lambda+0}(H_r) \sqrt{s-J}^{-1}\psi_s, \psi_s) = 0.
\]
Combining this with (2) implies
\[
(\psi_s, (s-J)^{-1}\psi_s) = 0.
\]
Now since for large \( s \) the operator \( (s-J)^{-1} \) is positive definite, it follows that for such \( s \) we have \( \psi_s = 0 \). Which is clearly impossible. This contradiction completes the proof.

**Corollary 2.1.** If \( V = F^*JF \) is a regular direction then so is \( F^*|J|F \).

**Proof.** This corollary is a consequence of the proof of Theorem 1. In the proof we need to replace \( s-J \) by \( s|J|-J \) and assume that \( s > 1 \). There is one slight difficulty, as the operator \( \sqrt{s|J|-J} \) is not necessarily invertible, but it can be easily overcome: clearly \( \psi_s \) belongs to the closure of the range of \( |J| \) and so we can restrict the eigenvalue equation to this subspace on which the operator \( \sqrt{s|J|-J} \) is invertible.

An argument used in the proof of Theorem 1 also allows to prove

**Corollary 2.2.** Suppose \( \lambda \) is a semi-regular point for \( H_0 \). If \( J > 0 \) and the direction \( V = F^*JF \) is \( \lambda \)-regular at \( H_0 \) then so is the direction \( F^*J\tilde{F} \) for any \( \tilde{J} \geq J \).

**Proof.** Proof follows verbatim that of Theorem 1 with some obvious changes such as replacing \( s-J \) by \( s\tilde{J} - J \).
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