LOCALIZATION OF A $KO^\ast(pt)$-VALUED INDEX AND THE ORIENTABILITY OF THE $Pin^-(2)$ MONOPOLE MODULI SPACE

JIN MIYAZAWA

ABSTRACT. It is known that the Dirac index of a $Spin^c$ structure is localized to the characteristic submanifold. We introduce the notion of $G^\pm(n, s^+, s^-)$ structure on a manifold as a common generalization of the $Spin^c$ structure and the $H_n(s)$ structure defined by D. Freed–M. Hopkins, and formulate a version of characteristic submanifold for the $G^\pm(n, s^+, s^-)$ structure. We show that the $KO^\ast(pt)$-valued index associated with the $G^\pm(n, s^+, s^-)$ structure is localized to the characteristic submanifold. As an application, we give a topological sufficient condition for the moduli space of $Pin^-(2)$ monopoles to be orientable.
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1. INTRODUCTION

In this paper, we introduce the notion of $G^\pm(n, s^+, s^-)$ structure, which is a generalization of the $Spin$ structure, the $Spin^c$ structure, the $Pin^\pm$ structure, and the $H_n(s)$ structure due to Freed and Hopkins [9]. We construct an elliptic differential operator associated with the $G^\pm(n, s^+, s^-)$ structure $s$, and we have its index $\text{ind}(s)$ with values in $KO^\ast-n-s^+(pt)$. The index $\text{ind}(s)$ is a generalization of the Atiyah-Milnor-Singer invariant which is defined by the Dirac type operator with the Clifford action for a $Spin$ manifold. The index $\text{ind}(s)$ is also a generalization of
the index of the $H_s(n)$ structure with values in $KO^{-n-s}(pt)$ defined by Freed and Hopkins.

Our main theorem is that the index above is localized to a certain submanifold which is a generalization of characteristic submanifold of the $Spin^c$ structure (Theorem 3.1). Many people give generalizations of the localization of the index of the $Spin^c$ structure to the characteristic submanifolds. For example, W. Zhang [29], J.L. Fast–S. Ochanine [8], M. Furuta–Y. Kametani [14], S. Hayashi [16]. The methods of the localization of the index in the works of [8] and in [16] are to localize the topological index by using the excision theorem of $K$ or $KO$ theory respectively. Our method of the localization is based on a version of the Witten deformation, which is introduced by E. Witten [28] in 1982. The Witten deformation is an analytical counterpart of the excision.

The main application of the main theorem is to give sufficient condition for the $Pin^-(2)$ monopole moduli space to be orientable, which enables us to refine the $Pin^-(2)$ monopole invariant. The $Pin^-(2)$ monopole invariant is a variant of the Seiberg-Witten invariant introduced by N. Nakamura (23, 24). The orientability of the moduli spaces in gauge theory was originally studied for instanton by Donaldson (6, 7). Donaldson’s argument can be applied in the case of the singular instantons introduced by P.B. Kronheimer–T.S. Mrowka [20] and in the case of the $U(n)$ instantons introduced by Kronheimer [19]. In these cases, the moduli spaces are orientable. On the other hand, we show that the $Pin^-(2)$ monopole moduli space may be non-orientable. Strictly speaking, we have an explicit example of a 4-manifold for which the determinant bundle on the ambient space of the moduli space is non-trivial (Corollary 5.20). We expect that our new method using the Witten deformation could be applied to other moduli spaces in gauge theory. Recently, Joyce, Tanaka and Upmeire [17] give a new framework to deal with the orientation of moduli spaces. It is an interesting problem to understand the relation between their argument and ours.

This paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we establish our conventions and define the index of the $G^+(n, s^+, s^-)$ structure. In Section 3, we first formulate the main theorem and give a proof in the rest of the section. The proof of the analytical detail of the key localization is postponed to Appendix. In Section 4, we describe two examples in details: Freed–Hopkins’ $H_s(n)$ structure, and the $G^+(5, 0, 4)$ structure which we use in the next section. In Section 5, as an application, we give a topological sufficient condition for the $Pin^-(2)$ monopole moduli space to be orientable and we give an example of a 4-manifold for which the determinant bundle on the ambient space which contains the $Pin^-(2)$ monopole moduli space is non-trivial.

1.1. Acknowledgements. This paper is based on the master thesis of the author. The author would like to show his deep appreciation to his adviser Mikio Furuta for helpful suggestions, useful discussions, and continuous encouragement during this work. The theme in this thesis is suggested by him. The author also thanks to Nobuhiro Nakamura for sending the note [25] to him and for for useful discussions. The author thanks to Kiyonori Gomi for noticing the paper of Freed–Hopkins and had a discussion with him. The author thanks to Hokuto Konno and Masaki Taniguchi for helpful suggestions and continuous encouragement. The author was supported by JSPS KAKENHI Grant Number 21J22979 and WINGS-FMSP program at the Graduate school of Mathematical Science, the University of Tokyo.
2. Definition of the Index

2.1. The $G^\pm(n, s^+, s^-)$ structures. Our purpose here is to establish our notations and conventions. We follow the notations of [22] for Clifford algebras and follow the definition of [4] for KO groups.

- Let $Q_r$ denote the standard metric on $\mathbb{R}^r$. Let $Q$ denote the quadratic form on $\mathbb{R}^l \oplus \mathbb{R}^m$ defined by

$$Q = Q_l - Q_m.$$

We will denote by $Cl_{(l,m)}$ the Clifford algebra generated by $\{v \in \mathbb{R}^l \oplus \mathbb{R}^m\}$ subject to $v^2 = Q(v)$. We abbreviate $Cl_{(n,0)}$ and $Cl_{(0,n)}$ to $Cl_n$ and $Cl_{-n}$ respectively. We write $\epsilon_1, \ldots, \epsilon_l, 1, \ldots, \epsilon_m$ for the standard generators of $Cl_{(l,m)}$. Here $\otimes$ denotes the $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ graded tensor product. Then there is an isomorphism $Cl_{(l_1,m_1)} \otimes Cl_{(l_2,m_2)} \cong Cl_{(l_1+l_2,m_1+m_2)}$.

- Let $(Cl_{(l,m)})^0$ denote the even part of $Cl_{(l,m)}$ and $(Cl_{(l,m)})^1$ the odd part of $Cl_{(l,m)}$.

- Let $X$ be a compact hausdorff space. A representative element of $KO^{(b,a)}(X)$ is given by a four-tuple:
  
  1. The Clifford algebra $Cl_{(b,a)}$.
  2. A separable Hilbert space with a left $Cl_{(b,a)}$ action.
  3. A continuous map $s: X \to \text{hom}(H, H)$ (the topology of $\text{hom}(H, H)$ is the operator norm) such that $s(x)$ is a bounded skew adjoint and Fredholm operator which anti-commutes with the $Cl_{(b,a)}$ action for all $x \in X$.
  4. A map $\epsilon: H \to H$ such that $\epsilon^2 = 1$ and anti-commutes with $s$ and the generators of $Cl_{(b,a)}$.

We will write $(s, \epsilon, Cl_{(b,a)}, H)$ for this four-tuple.

- We define $(s, \epsilon, Cl_{(b,a)}, H)$ and $(s', \epsilon', Cl_{(b,a)}, H')$ are equivalent if they satisfy the following properties:
  - There exist four tuples $(s_0, \epsilon_0, Cl_{(b,a)}, H_0)$ and $(s_1, \epsilon_1, Cl_{(b,a)}, H_1)$ such that $s_0, s_1$ are isomorphism.
  - There exists an isometric linear map $f: H \oplus H_0 \to H' \oplus H_1$ such that $f \circ (\epsilon \oplus \epsilon_0) f^{-1} = (\epsilon' \oplus \epsilon_1)$ and $f \circ (s \oplus s_0) \circ f^{-1}$ and $s' \oplus s_1$ are homotopic through continuous maps from $X$ to $\text{hom}(H' \oplus H_1, H' \oplus H_1)$ which anti-commute with $\epsilon' \oplus \epsilon_1$ and the $Cl_{(b,a)}$ action.
  - The homotopy above anti-commutes with $\epsilon' \oplus \epsilon_1$ and Clifford action.

- If $s$ is an unbounded skew-adjoint Fredholm operator and $\tilde{s} = \frac{s}{\sqrt{1 + ss^*}}$ satisfies the above properties, we write $(s, \epsilon, Cl_{(b,a)}, H)$ instead of $(\tilde{s}, \epsilon, Cl_{(b,a)}, H)$.

- We will denote by $(\rho_1, V_1)$ the $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ graded representation of $Cl_{(1,1)}$ which is given as follows. Let $V_1^0 = V_1^1 = \mathbb{R}, V_1 = V_1^0 \oplus V_1^1$ and

$$\rho(\epsilon) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 1 & -1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \rho(\epsilon^2) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -1 \\ -1 & 1 \end{pmatrix},$$

where $\epsilon, \epsilon(\epsilon^2 = 1, \epsilon^2 = -1)$ are the generators of $Cl_{(1,1)}$.

- We will denote by $V_n := V_1^{\otimes n}$ and define $(\rho_n, V_n)$ to be the representation introduced by the natural isomorphism $Cl_{(n,n)} \cong Cl_{(1,1)}^n$. Let $V_n^*$ denote
the dual space of $V_n$ which has a natural right $Cl_{(n,n)}$ module. We will denote by $e^n$ the grading operator.

**Lemma 2.1.** Assume $a \geq b$. Let $(s, e, Cl_{(b,a)}; H)$ be a representative element of $KO^{(b,a)}(X)$ Then there exists an element $(s', e', Cl_{(0,a-b)}; H')$ of $KO^{(0,a-b)}(X)$ which satisfies the following properties: There is a isomorphism between the Hilbert spaces

$$f: H \to H' \hat{\otimes} V_n^*$$

such that $s = f \circ s'$, $e = f \circ e'$, $f^{-1}$, $\epsilon = f \circ \epsilon' \circ f^{-1}$, $\epsilon_i = f \circ 1 \otimes \epsilon_i \circ f^{-1}$ (for $i = 1, \ldots, b$), $e_i = f \circ 1 \otimes e_i \circ f^{-1}$ (for $i = 1, \ldots, b$), and $e_{b+i} = f \circ e_i' \circ e^b \circ f^{-1}$ (for $i = 1, \ldots, b - 1$) where $e_1', \ldots, e_{a-b}'$ are the generators of $Cl_{(0,a-b)}$ and $e_1, \ldots, e_b, e_{b+1}, \ldots, e_a$ are the generators of $Cl_{(b,a)}$.

**Proof.** The subspace $H' \subset H$ is given by the intersection of $+1$-eigenspaces of $e_1 e_1, \ldots, e_b e_b$. The actions of $s$, $\epsilon$ and $Cl_{(a-b)}$ preserve $H'$. We write $s', e'$ for restriction of the actions of them to $H'$. Then the existence of $f$ is straightforward. \qed

**Definition 2.2.** We define a group $G^\pm(n, s^+, s^-)$ as 

$$G^\pm(n, s^+, s^-) = \{ g \in Cl^+ \otimes Cl(s^+, s^-) \mid g = v_i \ldots v_i, \nu_i, \nu_j \in \mathbb{R}^n \text{or } \mathbb{R}^{s^+} \text{ or } \mathbb{R}^{s^-}, |v_i| = 1 \}.$$ 

**Definition 2.3.** Let 

$$S(O(n) \times O(s^+) \times O(s^-)) = \{(A, B^+, B^-) \in O(n) \times O(s^+) \times O(s^-) \mid \det A \det B^+ \det B^- = 1 \}.$$ 

The two-to-one homomorphism 

$$p: G^\pm(n, s^+, s^-) \to S(O(n) \times O(s^+) \times O(s^-))$$

is defined by 

$$p(g)v := gvg^{-1}$$

for $g \in G^\pm(n, s^+, s^-), v \in \mathbb{R}^n \oplus \mathbb{R}^{s^+} \oplus \mathbb{R}^{s^-}$.

We will denote by $p_+^n, p_+^s$ and $p_-^n$ the compositions of $p$ with the projections from $S(O(n) \times O(s^+) \times O(s^-))$ to each component $O(n), O(s^+)$ and $O(s^-)$ respectively.

**Definition 2.4.** Let $Y$ be an $n$ dimensional Riemannian manifold. Let us denote by $P_Y$ the orthogonal frame bundle of $TY$. We call $(\hat{P}, P, \pi, o, E_+, E_-)$ a $G^\pm(n, s^+, s^-)$ structure if it satisfies the following properties:

- $E_\pm$ is an $s^\pm$ dimensional real vector bundle such that their structure group is $O(s^\pm)$. We will denote by $P_{E_\pm}$ its frame bundle. (Double-sign corresponds.)
- $o$ is an orientation of $TY \oplus E_+ \oplus E_-$. 
- $P$ is a principal $S(O(n) \times O(s^+) \times O(s^-))$ bundle defined as the subbundle of $P_Y \times_{Y} P_{E_+} \times_{Y} P_{E_-}$:
  
  $$P = \{(f_n, f_+, f_-) \in P_Y \times_{Y} P_{E_+} \times_{Y} P_{E_-} \mid f_n, f_+, f_- \text{ are compatible with the orientation } o \text{ in this order} \}.$$ 
- We denote by $\hat{P}$ a principal $G^\pm(n, s^+, s^-)$ bundle and $\pi: \hat{P} \to P$ is a smooth map such which satisfies the following commutative diagram

$$
\begin{array}{ccc}
\hat{P} & \xrightarrow{g} & \hat{P} \\
\downarrow{\pi} & & \downarrow{\pi} \\
P & \xrightarrow{p(g)} & P
\end{array}
$$
for all $g \in G^\pm(n, s^+, s^-)$.

**Definition 2.5.** Let $(\tilde{P}, P, \pi, o, E^+, E^-)$ and $(\tilde{P}', P', \pi', o', E'_+), E'_-)$ be $G^\pm(n, s^+, s^-)$ structures on $Y$. We define they are isomorphic if there exist isomorphisms of principal bundles $f: P \to P'$ and $\tilde{f} : \tilde{P} \to \tilde{P}'$ such that the following diagram commutes.

$$
\begin{array}{ccc}
\tilde{P} & \xrightarrow{f} & \tilde{P}' \\
\pi & \downarrow & \pi' \\
P & \xrightarrow{f} & P' \\
\end{array}
$$


$$
\begin{array}{ccc}
p_n & \downarrow & p_n \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow \\
P_Y & \xrightarrow{id} & P_Y \\
\end{array}
$$

2.2. Definition of spinor bundles.

**Definition 2.6.** A generalized $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ graded spinor representation of $G^\pm(n, s^+, s^-)$ is a pair $(\alpha, S)$ with the following properties:

- $S$ is a real vector space with a metric and a $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ grading $S = S_0 \oplus S_1$.
- $\alpha$ is a representation $\alpha: G^\pm(n, s^+, s^-) \to O(S)$ such that for all $g \in G^\pm(n, s^+, s^-), \alpha(g)$ preserves the $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ grading of $S$.
- The representation space $S$ has an $G^\pm(n, s^+, s^-)$ equivariant products

$$
c^+_n : \mathbb{R}^n \times S \to S, \\
c^+_s : \mathbb{R}^s_+ \times S \to S, \\
c^-_n : \mathbb{R}^n_- \times S \to S
$$

such that they are anti-commutative each other and odd. Here we define the action of $G^\pm(n, s^+, s^-)$ on $\mathbb{R}^n, \mathbb{R}^s_+, \mathbb{R}^s_-$ through the projections $p_n, p_+, p_-$.

- The multiplications above gives a $Cl_{\pm n} \otimes Cl_{(s^+, s^-)}$ module structure on $S$.

Moreover, if $S$ has an additional left Clifford action of $Cl_{(b, a)}$ and its generators anti-commutes with $c^+_n, c^+_s, c^-_n$, we call $(\alpha, S)$ a generalized $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ graded spinor representation with $Cl_{(b, a)}$ action.

**Definition 2.7.** We define $(\rho, Cl_{\pm n} \otimes Cl_{(s^+, s^-)})$ to be the generalized $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ graded spinor representation with left $Cl_{\pm n} \otimes Cl_{(s^+, s^-)}$ action as follows: Let $g \in G^\pm(n, s^+, s^-)$ and $\phi \in Cl_{\pm n} \otimes Cl_{(s^+, s^-)}$. We define $\rho(g) \phi := g \phi$ where the right hand side is a multiplication of $Cl_{\pm n} \otimes Cl_{(s^+, s^-)}$. The representation $\rho$ preserves the $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ grading of $Cl_{\pm n} \otimes Cl_{(s^+, s^-)}$. We define

$$
c^+_n : \mathbb{R}^n \times Cl_{\pm n} \otimes Cl_{(s^+, s^-)} \to Cl_{\pm n} \otimes Cl_{(s^+, s^-)}
$$

by $c^+_n(v) \phi = v \phi$. Replacing $\mathbb{R}^n$ with $\mathbb{R}^s_\pm$, we define $c^+_s, c^-_n$ similarly. We define the additional left $Cl_{\pm n} \otimes Cl_{(s^-, s^+_n)}$ action by the following way. Let $\epsilon$ be the $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ grading operator of $Cl_{\pm n} \otimes Cl_{(s^+, s^-)}$. We define $v \cdot \phi := (\epsilon \phi)v$ for $v \in \mathbb{R}^n \oplus \mathbb{R}^s_+ \oplus \mathbb{R}^s_- \otimes Cl_{\pm n} \otimes Cl_{(s^+, s^-)}$. This defines left $Cl_{\pm n} \otimes Cl_{(s^-, s^+_n)}$ because the right $Cl_{\pm n} \otimes Cl_{(s^+, s^-)}$ action is odd and $v$ anti-commutes with $\epsilon$. 
Definition 2.8. Let $s = (\tilde{P}, P, \pi, o, E_+, E_-)$ be a $G^\pm(n, s^+, s^-)$ structure on $Y$ and let $(\alpha, S)$ be a generalized $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ graded spinor representation of $G^+(n, s^+, s^-)$. Then they define the vector bundle $\mathcal{S} = \tilde{P} \times_o S$.

Let $\mathcal{S}_0$ and $\mathcal{S}_1$ are subbundle of $\mathcal{S}$ defined by $S_0$ and $S_1$ respectively. We define the Clifford multiplication on $\mathcal{S}$ using $c'_n, c'_{s^+}, c'_{s^-}$:

$$c_{TY}: TY \times \mathcal{S} \rightarrow \mathcal{S}, \quad c_{E_{s^\pm}}: E_{s^\pm} \times \mathcal{S} \rightarrow \mathcal{S}.$$ We call $\mathcal{S}$ a generalized $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ graded Spinor bundle. If $(\alpha, S)$ is a generalized $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ graded spinor representation with $Cl(\alpha, b, a)$ action, We call $\mathcal{S}$ a generalized $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ graded Spinor bundle with $Cl(\alpha, b, a)$ action.

Remark 2.9. If $\mathcal{S}$ have a left $Cl(\alpha, b, a)$ action, we have a right $Cl(\alpha, b, a)$ action which commutes with $c_{TY}, c_{E_{s^\pm}}$. Let $\epsilon$ be a $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ grading operator and $\phi \in \mathcal{S}$. For a generator $\epsilon \in Cl(\alpha, b, a)$, we define $\phi \cdot e^\pm = e^\pm (\epsilon \phi)$.

Definition 2.10. Let $s = (\tilde{P}, P, \pi, o, E_+, E_-)$ be a $G^\pm(n, s^+, s^-)$ structure on $Y$. We will denote by $\mathcal{S} = \mathcal{S}_0 \oplus \mathcal{S}_1$ the generalized $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ graded Spinor bundle with $Cl_{\tilde{p}} \oplus Cl(s^+, s^-)$ action defined by the generalized $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ graded spinor representation with $Cl_{\tilde{p}} \oplus Cl(s^+, s^-)$ action $(\rho, Cl_{\tilde{p}} \oplus Cl(s^+, s^-))$. We call $\mathcal{S}$ the standard Spinor bundle of $s$.

Definition 2.11. Let $s = (\tilde{P}, P, \pi, o, E_+, E_-)$ be a $G^\pm(n, s^+, s^-)$ structure on $Y$ and $\mathcal{S}$ the standard Spinor bundle of $s$. We define a Dirac type operator $\hat{D}$ on $\Gamma(\mathcal{S})$ by using the Clifford multiplication $c_{TY}$. We will denote by $\epsilon$ the $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ grading operator on $\mathcal{S}$.

Let us denote by $\text{ind}(s)$ the element in $KO^{-n \pm(s^- - s^+)}(pt)$ defined by the representative element

$$\langle \hat{D}, \epsilon, Cl_{\tilde{p}} \oplus Cl(s^-, s^+), L^2(Y, \mathcal{S}) \rangle.$$ We call $\text{ind}(s)$ the index of the $G^+(n, s^+, s^-)$ structure $s$.

Remark 2.12. The index defined above coincides the index of $Spin$ structures when $s^+ = s^- = 0$ so called Atiyah-Milnor-Singer invariant. This index is a generalization of the mod 2 index of $Spin$ structures on riemannian surface and the $\tilde{A}$ genus. This is defined explicitly by Lawson-Michaelson in [22], II, §7. In some cases, the index coincides with the index of $H_*^*(n)$ structure introduced in [9].

3. Proof of the Main Theorem

3.1. Statement of the Main Theorem. Now we can state the main theorem.

Theorem 3.1. [The main theorem] There exists a homomorphism $f$ such that the following diagram commutes:

$$\Omega_{n}^{G^+(n, s^+, s^-)}(pt) \xrightarrow{f} \Omega_{n-s^-}^{G^+(n-s^-, s^+, 0)}(pt) \xrightarrow{\text{KO}} KO^{-n-s^+ + s^-}(pt)$$

where the morphisms to the $KO$ group are defined by the indecies.
Let $\epsilon_1, \ldots, \epsilon_{n+s^+}$ be a standard basis of $\mathbb{R}^n \oplus \mathbb{R}^{s^+}$ and $\epsilon_0', \epsilon_0, \epsilon_1 \ldots, \epsilon_{n+s^++s^-}$ are the generators of $Cl(0,(n+1)+(s^+ + 1) + s^-)$. There is an embedding $Cl(n+s^+,s^-) \rightarrow Cl(0,(n+1)+(s^+ + 1) + s^-)$ given by mapping $\epsilon_1, \ldots, \epsilon_{n+s^+}$ to $\epsilon_0'\epsilon_0\epsilon_1, \ldots, \epsilon_0'\epsilon_0\epsilon_{n+s^+}$. Restricting this map, we have an embedding $G^+(n,s^+,s^-) \rightarrow Spin(1+n+s^++1+s^-)$. Let $\pi$ be the projection $Spin(1+n+s^++1+s^-) \rightarrow SO(1+n+s^++1+s^-)$. The image $\pi(G^+(n,s^+,s^-))$ is isomorphic to $S(O(n) \times O(s^+) \times O(s^-))$. This image gives the representation of $S(O(n) \times O(s^+) \times O(s^-))$ whose associated vector bundle is $\text{det}TY \otimes \text{det}E_+ \oplus TY \oplus E_+ \oplus \text{det}E_- \oplus E_-$. Here we define that $\langle e_0, \epsilon_1, \ldots, \epsilon_n \rangle, \langle \epsilon_{n+1}, \ldots, \epsilon_{n+s^+} \rangle, \langle \epsilon_0' \rangle$ and $\langle \epsilon_{n+s^++1}, \ldots, \epsilon_{n+s^++s^-} \rangle$ are the representations such that the associated vector bundle of the representations are $\text{det}TY \otimes \text{det}E_+, TY, E_+, \text{det}E_- \text{ and } E_-$ respectively. Then we construct a $Spin$ structure as desired. We have the latter half of the statement by reversing the proof above. \qed

We will prove some lemmas in a general setting. In the following three lemmas, let $M$ be a manifold and $F, E_0, E_1$ be oriented real vector bundles on $M$ with fiber metrics.

**Lemma 3.4.** An orientation preserving isometry $\alpha: E_0 \rightarrow E_1$ determines a canonical $Spin$ structure on $E_0 \oplus E_1$.

**Proof.** Let $n$ be the rank of $E_0$. The structure group of the subbundle
\[
\{ (f, \alpha(f)) \mid f \text{ is an oriented orthonormal frame of } E_0 \}
\]
of the frame bundle of $E_0 \oplus E_1$ is a subgroup of $SO(2n)$ which is the image of the diagonal embedding $j: SO(n) \rightarrow SO(n) \times SO(n) \hookrightarrow SO(2n)$. The map $j_*: \pi_1(SO(n)) \rightarrow \pi_1(SO(2n))$ is trivial, and therefore there exists a homomorphism $\tilde{j}: SO(n) \rightarrow Spin(2n)$ which covers $j$. Let $\{g_{\alpha \beta}\}$ be the transition functions of $E_0 \oplus E_1$. We define the $Spin$ structure on $E_0 \oplus E_1$ by using $\{\tilde{j}(g_{\alpha \beta})\}$ as transition functions. It is easy to check that this $Spin$ structure does not depend on how to take transition functions of $E_0 \oplus E_1$. \qed

**Lemma 3.5.** Let $F, F'$ denote oriented real vector bundles on $M$ with metrics. If there are $Spin$ structures on $F \oplus F'$ and $F'$, these $Spin$ structures determine a canonical $Spin$ structure on $F$. 

Proof. We will denote by \( \{g_{\alpha \beta}\}, \{g'_{\alpha \beta}\} \) transition functions of \( F \) and \( F' \) respectively. Let \( \{g'_{\alpha \beta}\} \) be the lifts of \( \{g'_{\alpha \beta}\} \) to the Spin group defined by the Spin structure on \( F' \). The lift of \( (g_{\alpha \beta}, g'_{\alpha \beta}) \) defined by the Spin structure of \( F \oplus F' \) is expressed by 
\[
[(g_{\alpha \beta}, g'_{\alpha \beta})] \in \text{Spin}(n) \times \text{Spin}(m)/\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}
\]
where \( \tilde{g}_{\alpha \beta} \) is a lift of \( g_{\alpha \beta} \). The lift \( g_{\alpha \beta} \) is unique because the second component is fixed to be \( \tilde{g}'_{\alpha \beta} \).

The lift of the transition functions \( \{\tilde{g}_{\alpha \beta}\} \) satisfy the cocycle condition because \( \{[(g_{\alpha \beta}, \tilde{g}'_{\alpha \beta})]\} \) and \( \{g'_{\alpha \beta}\} \) do. We define the Spin structure on \( F' \) by using \( \{\tilde{j}(g_{\alpha \beta})\} \) as transition functions. Again it is easy to check that this Spin structure does not depend on how to take transition functions of \( F \). \( \square \)

The lemma below is clear from Lemma 3.4 and Lemma 3.5.

**Lemma 3.6.** Let \( F \oplus E_0 \oplus E_1 \) be a vector bundle with orientation \( o \) and Spin structure \( \hat{P} \). We assume that \( E_1 \) is oriented and there is an isometry \( \phi : E_0 \to E_1 \). We will denote by \( o_E \) the orientation of \( E_0 \). Then \( F \) has a natural orientation and a Spin structure defined by \( o, o_E, \hat{P} \) and \( \phi \).

We use the lemmas above in our setting.

**Definition 3.7.** Let \( Y \) be an \( n \) dimensional Riemannian manifold and \( s = (\hat{P}, \pi, o, E_+, E_-) \) be a \( G^+(n, s^+, s^-) \) structure on \( Y \). We will denote by \( h \in \Gamma(E_-) \) a transverse section. Let \( C = h^{-1}(0) \). We call \( C \) a characteristic submanifold of \( s \).

The theorem below is a generalization of the theorem that is an existence of a canonical Spin structure on a characteristic submanifold of Spin$^c$ structure.

**Theorem 3.8.** Let \( Y \) be an \( n \) dimensional Riemannian manifold and \( s = (\hat{P}, \pi, o, E_+, E_-) \) be a \( G^+(n, s^+, s^-) \) structure on \( Y \) and \( C \) be a characteristic submanifold of \( s \). Then we have a natural \( G^+(n - s^-, s^+, 0) \) structure on \( C \).

**Proof.** We restrict the vector bundle
\[
\det TY \otimes \det E_+ \oplus TY \oplus E_+ \oplus \det E_- \oplus E_-
\]
to \( C \). This is naturally isomorphic to the vector bundle
\[
\det TY|_C \otimes \det E_+|_C \oplus TC \oplus N \oplus E_+|_C \oplus \det E_-|_C \oplus E_-|_C
\]
where \( N \) is the normal bundle of \( C \). We define the isomorphism \( \psi : N \to E_- \) by using \( dh \). By the definition of \( G^+(n, s^+, s^-) \) structure, there exists an isomorphism \( i : \det TY|_C \otimes \det E_+|_C \cong \det E_-|_C \). Let \( F = \det TY|_C \otimes \det E_+|_C \oplus N \oplus \det E_-|_C \oplus E_-|_C, E_0 = \det TY|_C \otimes \det E_+|_C \oplus N, E_1 = \det E_-|_C \oplus E_-|_C \) and \( \phi = i \oplus \psi \). They satisfy the assumption of Lemma 3.6 and hence we have the Spin structure of \( TC \oplus E_+ \) as desired. From the latter statement of Lemma 3.3 this Spin structure defines the \( G^+(n - s^-, s^+, 0) \) structure on \( C \) as desired. \( \square \)

**Lemma 3.9.** As an element of bordism group \( \Omega^{G^+(n - s^-, s^+, 0)}_n(pt), [(C, s_C(h))] \) is independent of \( h \).

**Proof.** Let \( h, h' \) be transverse sections of \( E_- \) and let \( C = h^{-1}(0), C' = h'^{-1}(0) \). We define a natural \( G^+(n + 1, s^+, s^-) \) structure on \( Y \times [0, 1] \) by using \( G^+(n, s^+, s^-) \) structure on \( Y \). Let \( \tilde{h} \) be a transverse section on \( Y \times [0, 1] \) such that \( \tilde{h}|_{Y \times \{0\}} = h, \tilde{h}|_{Y \times \{1\}} = h' \). Then \( \tilde{h}^{-1}(0) \) has a \( G^+(n + 1 - s^-, s^+, 0) \) structure by Theorem 3.8. This manifold with \( G^+(n + 1 - s^-, s^+, 0) \) structure gives the cobordism from \([((C, s_C(h)))]\) to \([((C', s_C'(h'))])\). \( \square \)
We now define the map $f$ of Theorem \[3.1\]

**Definition 3.10.** Let $Y$ be an $n$ dimensional closed manifold with $G^+(n, s^+, s^-)$ structure $s = (\mathcal{P}, P, \pi, o, E_+, E_-)$. Set

$$f([Y, s]) = [C, s_C].$$

3.2. Localization by Witten deformation. In this subsection, we will prove the commutativity of the diagram in Theorem \[3.1\]. We prove it by using Witten deformation. We prove some lemmas in a general setting rather than the setting in Theorem \[3.1\].

In the previous subsection, we define the $G^+(n - s^-, s^+, 0)$ structure $s_C$ on a characteristic submanifold $C = h^{-1}(0)$ from a $G^+(n, s^+, s^-)$ structure $s$ on $Y$ in Theorem \[3.8\]. Now we consider the relation between the standard spinor bundle of $C$ and the restriction of the standard spinor bundle $s$ of $C$. First, we consider $s|_C$, the restriction of the standard $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ graded spinor bundle of $s$ to $C$.

**Proposition 3.11.** Let $Y$ be an $n$ dimensional manifold with $G^+(n, s^+, s^-)$ structure $s$. We will denote by $\mathfrak{s}$ the standard $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ graded spinor bundle of $s$. Let $C = h^{-1}(0)$ and let $s_C$ be the $G^+(n - s^-, s^+, 0)$ structure on $C$ defined in Theorem \[3.8\]. We define a Clifford action on $s|_C$ by restricting the Clifford action $(c_{TY}, c_{E_+})$: $(TY \oplus E_+) \otimes \mathfrak{s} \to s|_C$ to the vector bundle $(TC \oplus E_+|_C) \otimes \mathfrak{s}|_C$ on $C$. We will denote by $(\tilde{c}_{TC}, \tilde{c}_{E_+})$ this restricted Clifford action. Note that the image of $(\tilde{c}_{TC}, \tilde{c}_{E_+})$ is $s|_C$. Then there exists an isomorphism $\Phi$ which has the following properties: Let $\mathfrak{s}_C$ be the standard $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ graded spinor bundle of $s_C$. The isomorphism

$$\Phi: s|_C \to Cl_+(N(C)) \otimes s_C \otimes V_{s^+}$$

preserves the Clifford action of $TC \oplus E_+|_C$ when we define a Clifford action to right hand side by

$$c_{TC}(x) \cdot \omega \otimes \phi \otimes v := (-1)^{|\omega|} \omega \otimes c_{TC}(x) \phi \otimes v,$$

$$c_{E_+}(w) \cdot \omega \otimes \phi \otimes v := (-1)^{|\omega|} \omega \otimes c_{E_+}(w) \phi \otimes v$$

for $\omega \otimes \phi \otimes v \in Cl_+(N(C)) \otimes s_C \otimes V_{s^+}$, $x \in TC$ and $w \in E_+|_C$. Moreover, $\Phi$ preserves the right $Cl_{(n+s^-,s^-)}$ action if we define the right $Cl_{(n+s^-,s^-)}$ action to $Cl_+(N(C)) \otimes s_C \otimes V_{s^+}$ by

$$\omega \otimes \phi \otimes v \cdot a \otimes b := (-1)^{|\omega||v|} \omega \otimes \phi \cdot a \otimes vb$$

for $\omega \otimes \phi \otimes v \in Cl_+(N(C)) \otimes s_C \otimes V_{s^+}$ and $a \otimes b \in Cl_{(n+s^-,s^-)}$. \[3.8\]

**Proof.** From the proof of Theorem \[3.8\] we see the structure group of the Spin structure of $\det N(C) \oplus \det E_+ \oplus N(C) \oplus E_-$ reduces the subgroup of $Spin(2s^- + 2)$ which is isomorphic to $O(s^-)$. If we write $O(s^-)$ for the subgroup, then the structure group of $s|_C$ is $G^+(n - s^-, s^+, 0) \times O(s^-)$. Write $G = G^+(n - s^-, s^+, 0) \times O(s^-)$.

Let us denote by $S = Cl_{(n+s^-,s^-)}$ a representation space whose associated vector bundle is $s$. We describe the action of $G$ on $S$. We define $g_o \in O(s^-)$ to be the natural lift of $g_o$ to $Spin(2s^- + 2)$ given in Lemma \[3.4\] where $g_o$ is the composition of the reflections of vectors $(e, 0, e, 0), (0, e, 0, 0) \in \det \mathbb{R}^s \oplus \mathbb{R}^s \oplus \det \mathbb{R}^s \oplus \mathbb{R}^s$ ($|e| = |e| = 1$). The element $g_o$ is independent of the choice of $e$. Elements in the group $O(s^-) \subset Spin(2s^- + 2)$ are the products of finitely many elements of the
form $\tilde{g}_v$, for some $v$ and $\pm 1$. The Clifford multiplications $c'_+, c'_-$ are $G$ equivariant and hence the action of $\tilde{g}_v$ is given by $\pm c'_n(v)c'_-(v)$.

The sign of $\tilde{g}_v$ is determined by how to embed the group $G^+(n, s^+, s^-)$ to a Spin group. In our convention of Lemma 3.3 the image of $c'_n(e_i)c'_-(e_i)$ of the embedding is $e_0e_i c'_n$ and this coincides with $\tilde{g}_v$, which is a lift of $g_v$ given in Lemma 3.4. Thus we have $\tilde{g}_v = c'_n(v)c'_-(v)$.

We will denote by $SC$ a subspace of $S$ which is the intersection of $+1$-eigenspaces of $\tilde{g}_v = c'_n(v)c'_-(v)$ for all $v \in S(\mathbb{R}^+)$). The subspace $SC$ coincides with the intersection of $+1$ eigenspaces of $c'_n(e_i)c'_-(e_i) (i = 1, \ldots, s^-)$ where $\{e_1, \ldots, e_{s^-}\}$ is an orthonormal basis of $\mathbb{R}^{s^-}$. Note that the action of $G^+(n - s^-, s^+, 0)$ preserves $SC$ because this action commutes with $c'_n(v)c'_-(v)$ for all $v$. The definition of $SC$ immediately implies that the action of $O(s^-)$ on $SC$ is trivial.

Let us show that $SC$ coincides with $Cl(n+s^-+s^-, 0) \subset S$. Recall that the standard spinor bundle $\mathcal{S}$ is the associated bundle with the representation $Cl(n+s^-, 0) = Cl(n-s^-+s^-, 0) \hat{\otimes} Cl(s-, s^-)$. An element $\tilde{g}_v = c'_n(v)c'_-(v)$ acts on $Cl(n-s^-+s^+, 0)$ trivially and on $Cl(s-, s^-)$ by left multiplication of $Cl(s-, s^-)$. We will denote by $\tilde{V}$ the intersection of the $+1$-eigenspaces of $c'_n(v)c'_-(v)$ in $Cl(s-, s^-)$ for all $v \in S(\mathbb{R}^+)$).

The dimension of $\tilde{V}$ is $2^{s^-}$. The action of $c'_n(v)c'_-(v)$ commutes with the right $Cl(s-, s^-)$ action. The only irreducible representation of $Cl(s-, s^-)$ is $V_{s^-}$ and its dimension is $2^{s^-}$. Hence there is a isomorphism $\psi: V_{s^-} \to \tilde{V}$. Using $\psi$, we have the isomorphism $Cl(n+s^-+s^-, 0) \hat{\otimes} V_{s^-} \to SC$ by $\xi \otimes v \mapsto \xi \psi(v)$. If we give the $G$ action on $Cl(s-, s^-)$ by $g: x \mapsto gxg^{-1}$, we have the $G$ equivariant isomorphism

$$\Psi: Cl(n+s^-, 0) \hat{\otimes} Cl(n+s^-, s^-) \otimes V_{s^-} \to Cl(n+s^+, s^-),$$

x \otimes \xi \otimes v \mapsto x \cdot \xi \cdot \psi(v).

The associated vector bundles of $Cl(s-, 0), Cl(n+s^-, s^-, 0)$ and $V_{s^-}$ is $Cl_+(N(C)) \hat{\otimes} Cl(s-, s^-)$ and the trivial bundle $V_{s^-}$ respectively. Thus we have the map

$$\Psi: Cl_+(N(C)) \hat{\otimes} Cl(s-, s^-) \otimes V_{s^-} \to \mathcal{S}|C.$$

We define the map $\Phi$ to be the inverse of the map $\Psi$. It is easy to check to see that $\Phi$ preserves the Clifford action of $TC \otimes E_+|C$ and right $Cl(n+s^-, s^-)$ action.

In this section we identifies $\mathcal{S}|C$ with $Cl_+(N(C)) \hat{\otimes} Cl(s-, s^-) \otimes V_{s^-}$ by the map $\Phi$.

Next we prove the localization of analytic index in Proposition 3.21. We identify a tubular neighborhood of $C$, denoted by $U(C)$, with the open disk bundle of the normal bundle of $C$, $B(N(C)) = \{v \in N(C) \mid |v| < 1\}$. We will denote by $\pi: N(C) \to C$ the projection of the normal bundle. Let us outline the proof of the localization.

Step.1 We first formulate the index of a Dirac type operator acting on the sections of the vector bundle $\pi^*(\mathcal{S}|C)$ over $N(C)$. Since $N(C)$ is not closed, we need behavior of its end. We will see the index of $N(C)$ coincides with the index of the $G^+(n, s^-, s^+)$ structure $S_C$ on $C$.

Step.2 The analytic index of the $G^+(n, s^-, s^+)$ structure $S$ on $Y$ coincides with the index of Step 1. In this argument we deform the Dirac type operator in Definition 2.11. We give prove some analytic lemmas and propositions of this step in the Appendix.
3.2.1. Step 1. We begin with Step 1. First, we consider the trivial $G^+(n,0,n)$ structure on $\mathbb{R}^n$. This structure appears in a fiberwise way when we consider the operator on $N(C)$. Let $\mathfrak{s}_0$ be a trivial $G^+(n,0,n)$ structure on $\mathbb{R}^n$. We will denote by $\tilde{\mathfrak{s}}_0$ a characteristic submanifold. From the Proposition 3.11, we identify $\mathfrak{s}_0$ to the subgroup $G \subset G^-(n,0,n)$ which is naturally isomorphic to $O(n)$ by using Lemma 3.13. Let $\mathfrak{S}_f$ denote the standard $\mathbb{Z}/2$ graded spinor bundle of $\mathfrak{s}_0$. Let $C = h^{-1}(0) = \{0\}$, be a characteristic submanifold. From the Proposition 3.11 we identify $\mathfrak{S}_f|_C$ with $Cl_+((\mathbb{R}^n) \otimes \mathbb{R} \otimes V^*_n)$. Note that $\mathfrak{S}_C \cong C \times \mathbb{R} = \mathbb{R}$ is a vector bundle on a point. Let $L$ be a trivial vector bundle on $\mathbb{R}^n$.

**Lemma 3.12.** We will denote by $S$ a set of rapidly decreasing sections of $\mathfrak{S}_f \otimes L$. We will denote by $D'_m$ a differential operator acting on sections of $\Gamma(\mathfrak{S}_f \otimes L)$ given by

$$D'_m = \sum_{i=1}^n \left( c_{E\mathbb{R}^n}((dx^i) \frac{\partial}{\partial x^i}) + mc_{E\mathbb{R}^n}(e_i)x^i \right).$$

The operator $D'_m$ is independent of the choice of the orthonormal basis of $\mathbb{R}^n$. This operator commutes with the right $Cl_{(n,n)}$ action, and there is an isomorphism between $\ker D'_m \cap S$ and $\mathbb{R} \otimes V^*_n \otimes L$ as right $Cl_{(n,n)}$ modules.

**Proof.** We see at once that $D'_m$ is independent of choice of the orthonormal basis of $\mathbb{R}^n$ by direct calculation.

Let $\phi$ be a rapidly decreasing section. We have $D'_m \phi = 0$ if and only if $(D'_m)^2 \phi = 0$ because $D'_m$ is a skew-symmetric operator. We have

$$(D'_m)^2 = \sum_{i=1}^n \left( \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial x^i} \right)^2 - m^2(x^i)^2 + mc_{E\mathbb{R}^n}(dx^i)c_{E\mathbb{R}^n}(e_i) \right)$$

$$= -H + \sum_{i=1}^n (mc_{E\mathbb{R}^n}(dx^i)c_{E\mathbb{R}^n}(e_i))$$

where $H$ is a harmonic oscillator acting on smooth functions $\mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}$. It is well known that $H$ has only discrete spectrum and each eigenspace is 1-dimensional. The eigenvalues are $nm, 2nm, 3nm, \ldots$ and each eigenfunction is rapidly decreasing. In particular, the eigenfunction of $nm$ is $e^{-m|x|^2/2}$ and this does not depend on the choice of orthonormal basis of $\mathbb{R}^n$. The eigenvalues of $mc_{E\mathbb{R}^n}(dx^i)c_{E\mathbb{R}^n}(e_i)$ are $\pm n$ for all $i$. Hence the kernel of $(D'_m)^2$ is in the intersection of the $+1$ eigenspace of $c_{E\mathbb{R}^n}(dx^i)c_{E\mathbb{R}^n}(e_i)$ and $nm$ eigenspace of $H$. The intersection of the $+1$ eigenspace of $c_{E\mathbb{R}^n}(dx^i)c_{E\mathbb{R}^n}(e_i)$ for all $i$ coincides with $\mathbb{R} \otimes V^*_n \otimes L$ from the construction of the map $\Phi$ on Proposition 3.11. Thus we have $\ker D'_m \cap S = \mathbb{R} \otimes V^*_n \otimes L \cdot e^{-m|x|^2/2}$. □

**Definition 3.13.** We will denote by $\tilde{u}_0$ a function on $\mathbb{R}^n$ given by

$$\frac{e^{-m|x|^2/2}}{\|e^{-m|x|^2/2}\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)}}.$$

**Definition 3.14.** We will follow the notation of the statement and the proof of Proposition 3.11. We deform a metric of $Y$ if necessary and we identify a tubular neighborhood $U(C)$ of $C$ with $B(N(C)) = \{v \in N(C) \mid |v| < 1\}$ as a Riemannian manifold. Let us denote by $\pi : N(C) \to C$ the projection. We define a $G^+(n,s^+,s^-)$ structure on $B(N(C))$ by restricting the $G^+(n,s^+,s^-)$ structure $\mathfrak{s}$ on $Y$. By abuse
of notation, we use the same letter $s$ for this $G^+(n, s^+, s^-)$ structure on $B(N(C))$ and we write $\mathfrak{G}$ instead of $\mathfrak{G}|_{U(C)}$. The structure group of $s$ reduces to $G = G^+(n - s^- , s^+, 0) \times O(s^-)$ by using the isomorphism $dh : N(C) \cong E_-$. Let $\mathcal{D}_m = \mathcal{D} + mc_-(h)$ where $\mathcal{D}$ is a Dirac operator of $\mathfrak{G}$. We abbreviate $c_-(h)$ to $h$. Note that $\mathcal{D}_m$ is an anti-symmetric operator. Let $A$ be a connection such that $c_{TY} \circ A = \mathcal{D}$.

Perturbing $A$, we may assume that $\pi^*(A|_{C}) = A$ on $B(N(C))$.

**Lemma 3.15.** Let $\mathcal{D}'_C$ be the Dirac operator defined by the Clifford action $c_{TY} : TC \otimes \mathfrak{G}|_C \to \mathfrak{G}|_C$. Then we have a decomposition

$$\mathcal{D} = D_C + D_f$$

with the following property:

- On $U \times \mathbb{R}^+$, which is a trivialization of $N(C)$,

$$D_C = \mathcal{D}'_C|_U, \quad D_f = \sum_{k=1}^{s^-} c_{TY}(d\xi_i) \frac{\partial}{\partial \xi_i}.$$  

Here $c_{TY}$ is the restriction of the Clifford multiplication of $s$ to $\pi^*N(C) \subset TN(C)$ and $(\xi_1, \ldots, \xi_{s^-})$ are coordinates of $\mathbb{R}^{s^-}$, which is a fiber of $N(C)$.

- $D_C$ and $D_f$ are anti-commutes.

- Both $D_C$ and $D_f$ are anti-symmetric with respect to the $L^2$ inner product on $N(C)$.

**Proof.** It is easy to see that we have the decomposition of $\mathcal{D}$ on each trivialization of $N(C)$. The operator

$$\sum_{k=1}^{s^-} c_{TY}(d\xi_i) \frac{\partial}{\partial \xi_i}$$

is $O(n)$ invariant. Hence the operator above on each trivialization coincides with the corresponding one on another trivialization. Thus we have the operator $D_f$ on the whole of $N(C)$. Let $D_C := \mathcal{D} - D_f$. On each trivialization, the operator $D_f$ coincides with $\sum_{k=1}^{s^-} c_{TY}(d\xi_i) \frac{\partial}{\partial \xi_i}$ and $D_C$ coincides with $\mathcal{D}'_C|_U$. We will see at once anti-commutativity of these operators on each trivialization on $N(C)$. We see these operators are anti-symmetric with respect to inner product $L^2$. It is sufficient to prove that $D_f$ is anti-symmetric because the operator $\mathcal{D}$ is anti-symmetric. To see this, we decompose an integral on $N(C)$ by $\int_{N(C)} = \int_C \int_{\text{fiber}}$ where $\int_{\text{fiber}}$ is the integration on each fiber. The operator $D_f$ is anti-symmetric with respect to the $L^2$ inner product on each fiber and we have $D_f$ is anti-symmetric with respect to the $L^2$ norm on $N(C)$.  

**Definition 3.16.** We will denote by $u_0$ the function on $N(C)$ whose restriction to each fiber of $N(C)$ coincides with the function $\hat{u}_0$.

**Lemma 3.17.** We will denote by $h \in \Gamma(\pi^*E_-)$ the tautological section of $\pi^*E_- \cong \pi^*N(C)$. Let $\mathcal{D}'_C$ be a Dirac operator on $\mathfrak{G}_C \otimes V^*_+$. Let $m$ be a positive real number and let $a \in \Gamma(\mathfrak{G}_C \otimes V^*_+)$.

We have

$$(\mathcal{D} + mh)^{\pi} a \cdot u_0 = \pi^*(\mathcal{D}'_C a) \cdot u_0.$$  

**Proof.** Recall the decomposition $\mathcal{D} = D_C + D_f$. The restriction of $D_f + mh$ to each trivialization of $N(C)$ coincides with the operator $D'_m$ in Lemma 3.12. Thus we have $(D_f + mh)(\pi^* a \cdot u_0) = 0$. It is sufficient to consider the operator $D_C$. The
operator $D_C$ coincides with the operator $\mathcal{D}_C'$ on each trivialization and this operator is a pullback of an operator on $C$. Hence we have $D_C(\pi^*a \cdot u_0) = \pi^* (\mathcal{D}_C a) \cdot u_0$. □

**Lemma 3.18.** Let $H = \{ \pi^*a \cdot u_0 \in \Gamma(\mathcal{S}) \mid a \in \Gamma(\mathcal{S}_C \hat{\otimes} V_s^*), \mathcal{D}_C a = 0 \}$. We will denote by $\lambda_C$ the minimum of the absolute value of non-zero eigenvalues of $\mathcal{D}_C$. Let $m$ be a positive number such that $m \geq |\lambda_C|$. Let $\phi \in \Gamma(N(C), \mathcal{S})$ be a section whose restriction to each fiber of $N(C)$ is rapidly decreasing function. If $\phi$ is orthogonal to $H$ in the $L^2$ inner product, We have $\|\mathcal{D}_m \phi\| \geq \lambda_C \|\phi\|$ where $\|\cdot\|$ is the $L^2$ norm on $N(C)$.

**Proof.** We decompose $\phi$ into $\phi = \phi_0 + \pi^*b \cdot u_0$ where $b \in \Gamma(\mathcal{S}_C \hat{\otimes} V_s^*)$ and $\phi_0$ is a fiberwise rapidly decreasing section such that $\int_{N(C)} (\phi_0, \pi^*a \cdot u_0) = 0$ for all $x \in C$, $a \in (\mathcal{S}_C \hat{\otimes} V_s^*)_x$. From Lemma 3.17 and $L$ to be $(\mathcal{S}_C)_x$, $\phi_0$ is orthogonal to the kernel of $D_f + mh$ on each fiber. The section $b$ is orthogonal to ker $\mathcal{D}_C$ in the $L^2$ inner product on $C$ because $\phi$ is orthogonal to $H$. From the above decomposition, we have

$$(\mathcal{D} + mh)\phi = (\mathcal{D} + mh)\phi_0 + \pi^*(\mathcal{D}_C b) \cdot u_0.$$ 

It is easy to see that $\int_{N(C)} (\mathcal{D} + mh)\phi_0, \pi^*(\mathcal{D}_C b) \cdot u_0) = 0$ and $\| (\mathcal{D} + mh)\phi_0 \|^2 = \| (\mathcal{D} + mh)\phi_0 \|^2 + \| \pi^*(\mathcal{D}_C b) \cdot u_0 \|^2$. It is straightforward to see $D_C$ and $D_f + mh$ are anti-commutative.

Thus we have

$$\int_{N(C)} \| (\mathcal{D} + mh)\phi_0 \|^2 = \int_{N(C)} \| D_C\phi_0 \|^2 + \int_{N(C)} \| (D_f + mh)\phi_0 \|^2$$

$$\geq \int_C \int_{\text{fiber}} \| (D_f + mh)\phi_0 \|^2$$

$$\geq \int_C m^2 \int_{\text{fiber}} \| \phi_0 \|^2$$

$$\geq \int_C \lambda_C^2 \int_{\text{fiber}} \| \phi_0 \|^2$$

and

$$\int_{N(C)} \| \pi^*(\mathcal{D}_C b) \cdot u_0 \|^2 = \int_{N(C)} \| \pi^*(\mathcal{D}_C b) \cdot u_0 \|^2$$

$$\geq \lambda_C^2 \int_{N(C)} \| \pi^* b \cdot u_0 \|^2.$$ 

Hence we have the inequality $\|\mathcal{D}_m \phi\| \geq \lambda_C \|\phi\|$ as desired. □

**Definition 3.19.** The finite-dimensional vector space $H$ has a natural $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ grading and a left $Cl_{(n+s^+, s^-)}$ action induced by $\mathcal{S}$. Let $e$ be the $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ grading operator. The four tuples $(0, e, Cl_{(n+s^+, s^-)}, H)$ defines an element of $KO^{s^- - n - s^+}(pt)$. We write $\text{ind}(N(C), \mathcal{S})$ for this element in $KO^{s^- - n - s^+}(pt)$.

**Remark 3.20.** By the definition of $H$ and from Lemma 2.1, we have

$$\text{ind}(\mathcal{S}_C) = [(\mathcal{D}_C, e, Cl_{(n+s^+, s^-)}, L^2(C, \mathcal{S}_C \hat{\otimes} V_s^*))] = \text{ind}(N(C), \mathcal{S}) \in KO^{s^- - n - s^+}(pt).$$
3.2.2. **Step 2.** In this step, we prove \( \text{ind}(s) \), an analytic index of \( G^+(n, s^+, s^-) \) structure on \( Y \), is equal to \( \text{ind}(N(C), \mathfrak{F}) \).

We introduce some notations.

- If necessary we perturb \( h \) so that \( h \) satisfies \( |h| < 1 \) on \( U(C) \) and \( |h| = 1 \) on the complement set of \( U(C) \).
- We will denote by \( \mathcal{H}^\lambda_m \) the direct sum of eigenspaces of \( D_m : \Gamma(Y, \mathcal{F}) \to \Gamma(Y, \mathcal{F}) \) such that the absolute value of each eigenvalue is less than \( \lambda^2 \). This is a finite-dimensional subspace of \( L^2(Y, \mathcal{F}) \). \( \mathcal{H}^\lambda_m \) has a natural \( \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} \) grading and a left \( Cl_{(n+s^+, s^-)} \) action.
- Let \( \rho \) be a smooth function on \( Y \) supported in \( U(C) \subset N(C) \) and monotone decreasing on \( 1/2 < |z| < 2/3 \) in \( |z| \) where \( |\cdot| \) is a norm of \( N(C) \). (We identify \( U(C) \subset N(C) \).

The following proposition is proved by the general theory of Witten deformation. We prove this proposition in the section of Appendix.

**Proposition 3.21.** Assume that a positive constant \( \lambda \) is smaller than a constant determined by the principal symbol of \( D \) and the differential of \( \rho \) and suppose that \( m > \lambda \). Let \( \Pi' \) be the orthogonal projection from \( L^2(N(C), \mathcal{F}) \) to \( \mathcal{H}^\lambda_m \). Then the map

\[
\mathcal{H}^\lambda_m \to H
\]

\[
\phi \mapsto \Pi'(\rho\phi)
\]

is isomorphic and preserving the \( \mathbb{Z}/2 \) grading and the \( Cl_{(n+s^+, s^-)} \) action.

Now we prove the main theorem.

**Proof of Theorem 3.1.** From the definition of the index of \( s \),

\[
\text{ind}(s) = [(\mathcal{P}_m, \epsilon, Cl_{(s^-, s^+ + n)}, \mathcal{H}^\lambda_m)]
\]

and we see

\[
[(0, \epsilon, Cl_{(s^-, s^+ + n)}, H)] = [(\mathcal{P}_m, \epsilon, Cl_{(s^-, s^+ + n)}, \mathcal{H}^\lambda_m)] \in KO^{s^--n-s^+}(pt)
\]

from Proposition 3.21. We have \( [(0, \epsilon, Cl_{(s^-, s^+ + n)}, H)] = \text{ind}(s_C) \) from Remark 3.20. Thus we have proved Theorem 3.1. \( \square \)

4. **Examples**

4.1. **Freed-Hopkins’** \( H_n(s) \). In this subsection, we consider a family of groups \( H_n(s) \) defined by Freed and Hopkins [9]. The group \( H_n(s) \) is given by the table below.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$s$</th>
<th>$H_n(s)$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>$Spin(n)$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$-1$</td>
<td>$Pin^+(n)$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$-2$</td>
<td>$Pin^+(n) \times_{\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}} U(1)$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$-3$</td>
<td>$Pin^-(n) \times_{\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}} SU(2)$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>$Spin(n) \times_{\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}} SU(2)$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>$Pin^+(n) \times_{\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}} SU(2)$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>$Pin^-(n) \times_{\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}} U(1)$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>$Pin^-(n)$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Lemma 4.1.** In the case $s = 0, 1, 2, 3$, we have $H_n(s) \cong G^+(n, s, 0)$ and in the case $s = -1, -2, -3$, we have $H_n(s) \cong G^+(n, 0, -s)$.

**Proof.** It is obvious for the case $s = 0$ therefore it is sufficient to prove the case of $|s| = 1, 2, 3$. First we consider the case $|s| = 3$. We use the identification $Spin(3) \cong SU(2)$ and $(Cl_{n+\mathbb{R}} \oplus \mathbb{R})_0 \cong Cl_{\pm n}$ where $\Gamma = e_1 e_2 e_3 \in Cl_n$ and $\pm$ is the sign of $s$. We have an isomorphism $G^+(n, s, 0)$ or $G^+(n, 0, -s)) \rightarrow H_n(s)$ given by

$$gu \mapsto \begin{cases} [g, u] & g \in Spin(n), u \in Spin(3) \\ [g \otimes \Gamma, \Gamma^{-1} u] & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

for $g \in Pin^+(n), u \in Pin^+(3)$.

We consider the case $|s| = 1, 2$. We will denote by $e$ a generator of $Cl_n$ with $|e| = 1$. We use the identification $Cl_{n+\mathbb{R}} \oplus \mathbb{R} \cong Cl_{\pm n}$ where $\pm$ is the sign of $s$. We have an isomorphism $G^+(n, s, 0)(or G^+(n, 0, -s)) \rightarrow H_n(s)$ given by

$$gu \mapsto \begin{cases} [g, u] & g \in Spin(n), u \in Spin(|s|) \\ [g \otimes e, e^{-1} u] & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

for $g \in Pin^+(n), u \in Pin^+(|s|)$. \hfill $\square$

**Remark 4.2.** An index of $H_n(s)$ structure is defined in [9]. From the lemma above, we see the index of $H_n(s)$ coincides with our index of $G^+(n, s, 0)$ (or $G^+(n, 0, -s)$) structure.

**Remark 4.3.** In the case $s = 4$, $H_n(4)$ is isomorphic to a subgroup of $G^+(n, 0, 4)$ and we can see the index of $H_n(4)$ structure defined in [9] coincides with our index if the structure group of a $G^+(n, 0, 4)$ structure reduces to $H_n(4)$.

We identify $Spin(4)$ with $SU(2) \times SU(2)$. We give an embedding of $H_n(4)$ to $G^+(n, 0, 4)$ by

$$Spin(n) \times_{\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}} SU(2) \ni [g, u] \rightarrow [g, \text{diag}(u, u)] \in Spin(n) \times_{\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}} Spin(4) \subset G^+(n, 0, 4).$$

The following proposition is a consequence of Theorem 3.1, Lemma 4.1 and the above remarks.
**Proposition 4.4.** We assume $s = -1, -2, -3, $ or $4$. Then there exists an isomorphism $f$ such that the following diagram commutes.

\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
\Omega^n_{H^s}(pt) & \xrightarrow{f} & \Omega^{Spin}_{n-|s|}(pt) \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow \\
KO^{n-s}(pt) & & \\
\end{array}
\]

**4.2. The case of $G^+(5, 0, 4)$ structure.** We consider the index of the $G^+(5, 0, 4)$ structure especially because it is important to see the orientability of $Pin^{-}(2)$ monopole moduli space in the next section. The following arguments can be easily generalized to the case of the index of the $G^+(8k + 5, 0, 4)$ structure. We use only the $k = 0$ case in the next section therefore we only consider this case to avoid complications.

**Definition 4.5.** Let $Z$ be a $5$ dimensional closed manifold and $s$ be a $G^+(5, 0, 4)$ structure on $Z$. Let $\tilde{G}$ be the standard spinor bundle of $s$. Note that $\tilde{G}$ has a natural right $Cl_{(5,4)}$ action. Let us denote by $e_0, e_1, \ldots, e_4$ the generators of $Cl_{+5}$ and by $e_1, \ldots, e_4$ the generators of $Cl_{-4}$. We will denote by $\tilde{S}$ a subbundle of $\tilde{G}$ such that the intersection of $+1$-eigenspaces of $e_1 e_1, \ldots, e_4 e_4$. We call $\tilde{S}$ the spinor bundle of $s$. The Clifford multiplication of $TZ$ and $E_-$ preserves $\tilde{S}$ because they commute with the right $Cl_{(5,4)}$ action. We define a skew-adjoint Dirac operator $\tilde{D}$ on $\tilde{S}$ by using Clifford action of $TZ$.

We have the following lemma from Lemma 2.1:

**Lemma 4.6.** The spinor bundle $\tilde{S}$ is a generalized $Z/2$ graded spinor bundle with left $Cl_{-4}$ action. The index $\text{ind}(s)$ of $s$ coincides with $(0, \epsilon, Cl_{-1}, \ker \tilde{D}) \in KO^{-1}(pt)$. Moreover, under the isomorphism $KO^{-1}(pt) \cong \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$, we have $\text{ind}(s) = \dim \ker(\tilde{D}|_{\tilde{S}^+}) \mod 2$ where $\tilde{S}^+$ is the even part of $\tilde{S}$.

We give the explicit definition of $\tilde{S}$ in the case that structure group of $s$. This definition is useful to consider the orientability of $Pin^{-}(2)$ monopole moduli space.

**Definition 4.7.** We will denote by $e_0, \ldots, e_4$ the generators of $Cl_{+5}$ and by $e_1, \ldots, e_4$ the generators of $Cl_{-4}$.

- Let $\mathbb{H}$ be the quantenion ring. We use the conventions that $ijk = 1$.
- Let $\mathbb{H}(2)$ be the matrix ring of $2 \times 2$ matrices with entries in the ring $\mathbb{H}$.
- We define an isomorphism $\alpha: Cl_{-4} \to \mathbb{H}(2)$ by

  \[
  e_1 \mapsto \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix},
  e_2 \mapsto \begin{pmatrix} 0 & i \\ i & 0 \end{pmatrix},
  e_3 \mapsto \begin{pmatrix} 0 & j \\ j & 0 \end{pmatrix},
  e_4 \mapsto \begin{pmatrix} 0 & k \\ k & 0 \end{pmatrix}.
  \]

- Let $\Gamma = e_1 e_2 e_3 e_4 \in Cl_{-4}$. Note that $\Gamma^2 = 1$ and $\alpha(\Gamma) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}$.
- We define an isomorphism $\beta: Cl_{+5} \to \mathbb{H}(2) \oplus \mathbb{H}(2)$ by

  $\epsilon_0 \mapsto (\alpha(\Gamma), -\alpha(\Gamma)),
  \epsilon_i \mapsto (\alpha(\Gamma)\alpha(e_i), -\alpha(\Gamma)\alpha(e_i)), \ i = 1, 2, 3, 4.$
We define an isomorphism \( f : \text{Cl}_{(5,4)} \to (\mathbb{H}(2) \oplus \mathbb{H}(2)) \otimes \mathbb{H}(2) \) by
\[
\epsilon_p \mapsto \beta(\epsilon_p) \otimes \alpha(\Gamma), \quad \epsilon_q \mapsto 1 \otimes \alpha(\epsilon_q)
\]
where \( p = 0, \ldots, 4, \quad q = 1, \ldots, 4 \).

- Using isomorphisms \( \alpha, \beta \), we define the spinor representations of \( \text{Cl}_{+5}, \text{Cl}_{-4} \) whose representation spaces are \( \mathbb{H}^2 \oplus \mathbb{H}^2 \), \( \mathbb{H}^2 \) respectively. By abuse of notation, we let \( \alpha, \beta \) stand for these spinor representations respectively.

Note that \( \beta(\epsilon_i) \cdot (\phi_0, \phi_1) = (\alpha(\Gamma)\alpha(\epsilon_i)\phi_0, -\alpha(\Gamma)\alpha(\epsilon_i)\phi_1) \) for \( i = 0, \ldots, 4 \).

**Remark 4.8.** In our notations, the \( \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} \) grading of \( \mathbb{H}(2) \oplus \mathbb{H}(2) \) induced by the isomorphism \( \beta \) is given as follows: The subspace \( \{(A, A) \mid A \in \mathbb{H}(2)\} \) is the even part and \( \{(A, -A) \mid A \in \mathbb{H}(2)\} \) is the odd part. The \( \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} \) grading operator is a map \( (A, B) \mapsto (B, A) \).

The \( \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} \) grading of \( \mathbb{H}(2) \) induced by the \( \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} \) grading of \( \text{Cl}_{-4} \) using the map \( \alpha \) is given by declaring the even part consists of diagonal matrices and the odd part of off-diagonal one. The \( \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} \) grading operator is given by \( A \mapsto \alpha(\Gamma)A\alpha(\Gamma)^{-1} = \text{Ad}(\alpha(\Gamma))(A) \).

**Definition 4.9.** We assume that the structure group of \( s \) reduces to the group \( \text{Spin}(5) \times \text{Spin}(4)/(\mathbb{Z}/2) \).

Let \( S = S_0 \oplus S_1, S_0 = S_1 = \mathbb{H}^2 \) be a representation space of the spinor representation \( \beta \) of \( \text{Cl}_{+5} \). We define the representation \( \rho \) by
\[
\rho([q, (u_0, u_1)]) = (\beta(q) \cdot (\phi_0 u_0^{-1}, \phi_1 u_1^{-1})
\]
for \( \phi = (\phi_0, \phi_1) \in S \cong \mathbb{H}^2 \oplus \mathbb{H}^2, \quad [q, (u_0, u_1)] \in \text{Spin}(5) \times (\text{Sp}(1) \times \text{Sp}(1))/(\mathbb{Z}/2) \cong \text{Spin}(5) \times \text{Spin}(4)/(\mathbb{Z}/2) \).

We denote by \( S' \) the associated vector bundle of the representation \( \rho \). We give a \( \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} \) grading on \( S' \oplus S' \) by declaring the subspace \( \{ (\varphi, \varphi) \in S' \oplus S' \} \) is the even part and the subspace \( \{ (\varphi, -\varphi) \in S' \oplus S' \} \) is the odd part. We give the following right \( \text{Cl}_{+1} \) action: let \( e_0 \) be the generator of \( \text{Cl}_{+1} \) and we define the right action of \( e_0 \) by \( (\varphi_0, \varphi_1) \cdot e_0 = (\varphi_0, -\varphi_1) \) for \( (\varphi_0, \varphi_1) \in S' \oplus S' \). The left \( \text{Cl}_{-1} \) action is given by \( e_0 \cdot \varphi := (e\varphi) \cdot e_0 \) where \( e \) is the \( \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} \) grading operator. We define the Clifford multiplication \( c_\rho \) by the left Clifford multiplication of \( \text{Cl}_{(5,4)} \) given by
\[
\epsilon_j \cdot (\varphi_0, \varphi_1) = (\beta(\epsilon_j)\varphi_0, -\beta(\epsilon_j)\varphi_1)
\]
for \( j = 0, \ldots, 4 \). Then \( S' \oplus S' \) is \( \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} \) graded generalized spinor bundle with left \( \text{Cl}_{-1} \) action of \( s \).

**Remark 4.10.** We can define the \( c_{E, -} \) Clifford action on \( S' \oplus S' \) such that that action is preserved by the isomorphism in the Lemma below. But we will not use \( c_{-} \) in section 5.

**Lemma 4.11.** As a \( \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} \) graded generalized spinor bundle with left \( \text{Cl}_{-1} \) action of \( s, S' \oplus S' \cong \tilde{S} \). In particular, \( S^+ \cong S' \).

**Proof.** We identify \( \text{Cl}_{(5,4)} \) with \( (\mathbb{H}(2) \oplus \mathbb{H}(2)) \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{H}(2) \) by using the isomorphism \( f \) in Definition 4.7. Let \( B \) be a map
\[
(\mathbb{H}(2) \oplus \mathbb{H}(2)) \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{H}(2) \ni (A_0, A_1) \otimes B \mapsto (A_0 B^*, A_1 \text{Ad}(\alpha(\Gamma))(B^*)) \in \mathbb{H}(2) \oplus \mathbb{H}(2)
\]
where \( B^* \) is transpose matrix of \( B \). (We will denote by \( \tilde{\cdot} \) the quaternion conjugate.) We define \( \text{Spin}(5) \times \text{Spin}(4)/(\mathbb{Z}/2) \) action on the space left hand side by
\[
[q, (u_0, u_1)](A_0, A_1) = (q A_0 \begin{pmatrix} u_0^{-1} & 0 \\ 0 & u_1^{-1} \end{pmatrix}, q A_1 \begin{pmatrix} u_0^{-1} & 0 \\ 0 & u_1^{-1} \end{pmatrix})
\]
for \([q, (u_0, u_1)] \in Spin(5) \times Spin(4)/(\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}) \cong Spin(5) \times (Sp(1) \times Sp(1))/(\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})\).

For this action, we see \(\Phi\) is a \(Spin(5) \times Spin(4)/(\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})\) equivariant map because \(\text{diag}(u_0^{-1}, u_1^{-1})\) commutes with \(\alpha(\Gamma)\).

The map \(\Phi\) is invariant under the right multiplication of \(f(\epsilon_i e_i)\) for \(i = 1, 2, 3, 4\). Therefore, the \(-1\)-eigenspaces of \(f(\epsilon_i e_i)\) for some \(i = 1, 2, 3, 4\) are the subspace of \(\ker \Phi\). We see at once \(\Phi\) is surjective and the dimension of \(H(2) \oplus H(2)\) is equal to the dimension of \(\tilde{S}\). Thus we have that the restriction of \(\Phi\) to the representation space \(\tilde{S}\) is a \(Spin(5) \times Spin(4)/(\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})\) equivariant isomorphism.

We see the \(Spin(5) \times Spin(4)/(\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})\) representation \(H(2) \oplus H(2)\) is equivalent to the representation \(S' \oplus S'\) through an isomorphism given by identifying each column of the matrix with an element of \(S'_0, S'_1\). The \(\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}\) grading of \(\tilde{S}\) is defined by the \(\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}\) grading of \(Cl(5,4)\). It is easy to see that this \(\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}\) grading of \(\tilde{S}\) coincides with the \(\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}\) grading of \(S' \oplus S'\). Moreover, we see at once \(\Phi\) preserves the Clifford multiplication \(c_{TZ}\) and \(f(\epsilon_0)\). This completes the proof. \(\square\)

**Remark 4.12.** If we change the basis of \(S' \oplus S'\) by the isomorphism \((\phi_0, \phi_1) \mapsto (\frac{1}{2}(\phi_0 + \phi_1), \frac{1}{2}(\phi_0 - \phi_1))\), we change the \(\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}\) grading of \(S' \oplus S'\) and the Clifford multiplication \(c_{TZ}\). The \(\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}\) grading is given by \(S' \oplus 0\) is even and \(0 \oplus S'\) is odd. The Clifford multiplication \(c_{TZ}\) and the right \(\epsilon_0\) action are given by the matrix

\[
c_{TZ}(\epsilon_j) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \beta(\epsilon_j) \\ \beta(\epsilon_j) & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \epsilon_0 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.
\]

Note that \(c_{TZ}\) and right \(\epsilon_0\) action commute.

### 5. The orientability of \(Pin^{-}(2)\) monopole moduli space

#### 5.1. The orientability and mod 2 indices

In section 4.1, we consider the Spinor bundle and index of the \(G^+(5,0,4)\) structure. In this section, we translate the orientability of the \(Pin^{-}(2)\) monopole moduli space into a mod2 index on a five dimensional manifold \(\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} \cong \{(1,1), (-1,-1)\} \in Spin(4) \times Pin^{-}(2)\).

**Definition 5.1.** We define a group \(Spin^{-}(4)\) by

\[Spin^{-}(4) := Spin(4) \times Pin^{-}(2)/(\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})\]

where \(\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} \cong \{(1,1), (-1,-1)\} \in Spin(4) \times Pin^{-}(2)\).

**Remark 5.2.** By the definition above, we see that \(Spin^{-}(4)\) is a subgroup of \(G^+(4,0,3)\) by using the natural embedding \(Spin(4) \subset Cl(+4)\).

**Definition 5.3.** We define a \(Spin^{-}\) structure on Riemannian 4-manifold \(X\) as a \(G^+(4,0,3)\) structure whose structure group reduces to \(Spin^c\).

**Definition 5.4.** Let \(X\) be a 4-manifold and \(\mathfrak{g}_X\) be a \(Spin^{-}\) structure on \(X\). We will denote by \(\tilde{P}\) a principal \(Spin^{-}(4)\) bundle given by the \(Spin^{-}\) structure \(\mathfrak{g}_X\).

---

1. Originally, Mikio Furuta translated the orientability of \(Pin^{-}(2)\) monopole moduli space into a mod2 index associated with a 3 dimensional submanifold with \(G^+(3,0,2)\) structure. Nobuhiro Nakamura wrote Furuta’s idea in the note 23. The argument in this note is the neck stretching argument. In this paper, we use Witten deformation to determine the orientability of \(Pin^{-}(2)\) monopole moduli space.
We identify $\mathbb{R}^4$ with $\mathbb{H}$. We define the representation of $\text{Spin}^c(4)$

$$\Delta^\pm: \text{Spin}^c(4) \to GL(4, \mathbb{R})$$

and

$$\rho: \text{Spin}^c(4) \to GL(4, \mathbb{R})$$

by

$$\Delta^\pm([q^+, q^-, u])\phi = q^\pm u^{-1}, \quad \rho([q^+, q^-, u])v = q^+ v(q^-)^{-1}.$$ We will denote by $S^\pm$ the associated vector bundle given by the representation $\Delta^\pm$ and the principal $\text{Spin}^c$ bundle $\tilde{P}$. The associated vector bundle given by the representation $\rho$ is $TX$.

We define a Clifford multiplication

$$c: TX \otimes S^+ \to S^-$$

by $c(v \otimes \phi) = \bar{v}\phi$. We will denote by $c(v)\phi$ this Clifford action. We define

$$c^*: TX \otimes S^- \to S^+$$

by $c^*(v \otimes \phi) = v\phi$. By abuse of notation, we use the same letter $c(v)\phi$. We have $c(v)^2 = |v|^2$ for $v \in T_x X$.

A connection $A$ on $\tilde{P}$ is $\text{Spin}^c$-connection if it satisfies

$$\nabla^A(c(X)\phi) = c(\nabla^{LC}X)\phi + c(X)\nabla^A\phi$$

for $X \in \Gamma(TX), \phi \in \Gamma(S^+)$ where $\nabla^{LC}$ is the Levi-Civita connection of $X$.

Let $A$ be a $\text{Spin}^c$-connection. We define a Dirac operator $D^A_X$ associated to $A$ by the composition of following maps:

$$\Gamma(S^+) \xrightarrow{\nabla^A} \Gamma(TX^* \otimes S^\pm) \cong \Gamma(TX \otimes S^\pm) \xrightarrow{c} \Gamma(S^+)$$

The notion of the $\text{Spin}^c$ structure is introduced by Nobuhiro Nakamura in [23], [24] to define the $\text{Pin}^-(2)$ monopole equations and the $\text{Pin}^-(2)$ monopole invariant. The $\text{Pin}^-(2)$ monopole invariant is a $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$-valued invariant. The matter when we determine the orientability of the $\text{Pin}^-(2)$ monopole moduli space is whether a gauge transformation preserves an orientation of $\text{ind}DA$.

To define a gauge transformation, we introduce some associated vector bundles and their Clifford actions.

**Definition 5.5.** Let $X$ be a 4-manifold and $s_X$ be a $\text{Spin}^c$ structure on $X$. Let $\tilde{P}$ be the principal $\text{Spin}^c(4)$ bundle given by the $\text{Spin}^c$ structure $s_X$.

- We identify $\mathbb{R}^2$ with the subspace $\{z \in \mathbb{H} \mid z = x + iy, x, y \in \mathbb{R}\} \cong \mathbb{C}$. We define the real $\text{Spin}^c(4)$ representation

  $$\rho_0': \text{Spin}^c(4) \to GL(2, \mathbb{R})$$

  by $\rho_0'([q^+, q^-, u])z = uzu^{-1}$ where the multiplication is that of $\mathbb{H}$.

- We identify $\mathbb{R}^2$ with the subspace $\{jw \in \mathbb{H} \mid w \in \mathbb{C}\}$. We define the real $\text{Spin}^c(4)$ representation

  $$\rho_1': \text{Spin}^c(4) \to GL(2, \mathbb{R})$$

  by $\rho_1'([q^+, q^-, u])jw = ujw^{-1}$ where the multiplication is that of $\mathbb{H}$.

It is easy to check the well-definedness of the above definition. We will denote by $\tilde{C}, E$ the associated vector bundles given by $\tilde{P}$ and the representations $\rho_0', \rho_1'$ respectively.
Corollary 5.10. If we have \( t\text{-ind}(s) \) and \( t\text{-ind}(u) \) dimensional elements, we define a skew-adjoint Dirac type operator \( D \) on \( V \) as follows:

\[ \phi \cdot v := \phi v \]

for \( v \in \mathbb{H} \) and \( \phi \in \mathbb{H}^2 \). This is a \( Spin(4) \) equivariant multiplication. This defines a multiplication \( S = S^+ \oplus S^- \) and \( \mathcal{C} \oplus E \). We define the multiplication \( (\mathcal{C} \oplus E) \otimes (\mathcal{C} \oplus E) \to \mathcal{C} \oplus E \) in the same way.

Remark 5.7. Regarding the \( Spin(4) \) structure \( s_X \) as a \( G^+(4,0,3) \) structure, the vector bundle \( \det E \oplus E \) is the vector bundle \( E_- \) associated with \( s_X \). (c.f Definition 2.4.) From the definition of \( \mathcal{C} \) and \( E \), we have \( \text{Im}(\mathcal{C}) \cong \det E \). From now on, we fix an isomorphism \( \text{Im}(\mathcal{C}) \cong \det E \) and identify \( \text{Im}(\mathcal{C}) \) with \( \det E \). If we take another isomorphism, arguments do not change.

Definition 5.8. We call an element of \( \{ u \in \Gamma(\mathcal{C}) \mid |u| = 1 \} \) a gauge transformation.

Now we state the topological method of evaluating the orientability of \( Pin^-(2) \) monopole space.

Theorem 5.9. Let \( u \) be a gauge transformation. We perturb \( u \) if necessary and we may assume that \(-1 \) is a regular value of \( u \). Let \( h \) be a section of \( E \) such that \( h \) is transverse to the zero section and its submanifold \( u^{-1}(-1) \subset X \). Then there is a natural \( Spin \) structure on \( h^{-1}(0) \cap u^{-1}(-1) \). This defines an element of 1 dimensional \( Spin \) bordism group \( \Omega^1_{Spin}(pt) \cong \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} \) and we denote by \( t\text{-ind}(s_X, u) \) this element. The following statements are equivalent: the gauge transformation \( u \) preserves the orientation the index bundle \( \{ \text{ind}(D_A) \}_A \) on the configuration space and \( t\text{-ind}(s_X, u) \) is trivial.

Corollary 5.10. If we have \( t\text{-ind}(s_X, u) = 0 \) for all gauge transformation \( u \), the \( Pin^-(2) \) monopole moduli space is orientable.

We begin the preparation of the proof of Theorem 5.9.

Definition 5.11. Let \( X \) be a 4-manifold and \( s_X \) be a \( Spin(4) \) structure on \( X \). Let \( S = S^+ \oplus S^- \) be the spinor bundle of \( s_X \) and \( u \) be a gauge transformation.

- We define the vector bundle \( L \) on \( X \times S^1 \) as follows. Let \( \pi: [0,1] \times X \to X \) be the projection. We introduce an equivalence relation on \( \pi^*\mathcal{C} \) by \( (0,z) \sim (1,izu) \) for \( z \in \mathcal{C} \) and \( L = \pi^*\mathcal{C} / \sim. \) Note that \( L \) has the canonical left \( \pi^*\mathcal{C} \) action.
- Let \( \hat{\pi}: S^1 \times X \to X \) be the projection. By abuse of notation, we use the same letter \( \mathcal{C} \) for \( \hat{\pi}^*\mathcal{C} \).
- \( V = V^+ \oplus V^- \) is the \( \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} \) graded vector bundle given by \( V = \hat{\pi}^*S \otimes_{\mathcal{C}} (L \oplus \mathcal{C}) \), \( V^+ = \hat{\pi}^*S \) and \( V^- = \hat{\pi}^*S \otimes_{\mathcal{C}} L \) where \( \otimes_{\mathcal{C}} \) is a tensor product of \( \mathcal{C} \) module.

Lemma 5.12. We define a skew-adjoint Dirac type operator \( D \) on \( V \) as follows:

- Let \( A \) be a \( Spin(4) \) connection on \( X \) and \( D_A \) is the Dirac operator on \( X \) given by \( A \).
- Let \( A_t \) be a one-parameter family of \( Spin(4) \) connection on \( X \) such that \( A_t = A \) for \( t < 1/3 \) and \( A_t = u^*A \) for \( t > 2/3 \).
- Let $\epsilon$ be the $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ grading operator of $S$. Let $\epsilon'$ be the operator on $L \oplus \tilde{C}$ given by $1 \oplus (-1)$.
- We define the Dirac type operator on $X \times S^1$ by
  \[ D = D_t \otimes pr_L + D_A \otimes pr_{\tilde{C}} + \epsilon \partial_t \otimes \epsilon' \]
  where $t$ is the coordinate of $S^1$ and $pr_L, pr_{\tilde{C}}$ are the projections to $L, \tilde{C}$ respectively.

Then the operator $D$ is well defined on $S^1 \times X$. It is equivalent that $u$ preserves an orientation of the index bundle $\{\text{ind}(D_A)\}$ on the configuration space and $\dim \ker D \mod 2 = 0$.

**Proof.** The four tuples $(D_t \otimes pr_L + D_A \otimes pr_{\tilde{C}}, \epsilon \otimes \epsilon', 0, L^2(X \times S^1, V))$ defines a family index in $KO^0(S^1, pt)$. This family index coincides with $\text{ind}(D_t) - \text{ind}(D_A)$ when we use the definition of $KO^0(S^1, pt)$ as the subgroup of the Grothendieck group of real vector bundles on $S^1$. We see at once the family index $\text{ind}(D_t) - \text{ind}(D_A)$ is trivial if and only if $u$ preserves an orientation of $\text{ind}(D_A)$ by the definition of $V$ and $D_t$.

We see that this family index coincides with $\dim \ker D \mod 2 \in \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} \cong KO^0(S^1, pt)$ from index theory.

**Definition 5.13.** We define the $G^+(5, 0, 4)$ structure $s_Z$ on $Z = X \times S^1$ as follows:

Let $\tilde{P}$ be the principal $Spin^c^-(4)$ bundle on $X$ given by $Spin^c^-$ structure $s_X$ and $\pi: [0, 1] \times X \to X$ be the projection. We will denote by $i$ an embedding $Spin^c^-(4) \cong Spin(4) \times_{\mathbb{Z}/2} Pin^-(2) \to Spin(5) \times_{\mathbb{Z}/2} Spin(4) \cong Spin(5) \times_{\mathbb{Z}/2} (Sp(1) \times Sp(1))$ given by $[q, u] \mapsto [i(q), (u', u')]$ where $i$ is a embedding $Spin(4) \to Spin(5)$ which is a lift of a map $A \mapsto \text{diag}(1, A)$. Let $u$ be a gauge transformation of $s_X$. We define a principal $Spin(5) \times_{\mathbb{Z}/2} Spin(4)$ bundle $\tilde{P}_Z$ on $Z$ by $\pi^*\tilde{P} \times_i (Spin(5) \times_{\mathbb{Z}/2} Spin(4))/\sim$ where $\sim$ is an equivalent relation given by

\[ (0, p) \sim (1, pu), \quad p \in \tilde{P} \times_i (Spin(5) \times_{\mathbb{Z}/2} Spin(4)). \]

It is easy to see that $\tilde{P}_Z$ defines a $Spin$ structure of $TZ \oplus L \oplus \pi^*E$. We will denote by $s_Z$ a $G^+(5, 0, 4)$ structure on $Z$ given by $\tilde{P}_Z$.

**Lemma 5.14.** The mod 2 index $\dim \ker D \mod 2$ of the skew-adjoint operator $D$ coincides with $\text{ind}(s_Z) \in KO^{-1}(pt) \cong \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ where $\text{ind}(s_Z)$ is the index of $s_Z$.

**Proof.** By the definition of $V$, we see that $V$ is a Spinor bundle $S'$ of $G^+(5, 0, 4)$ structure $s_Z$ given in section 4.2. This lemma follows from Lemma 4.11 and Remark 4.12.

We have the following proposition from Theorem 3.11.

**Proposition 5.15.** Let $X$ be a closed Riemannian 4-manifold and $s$ be a $Spin^c^-$ structure on $X$. We will denote by $u \in \Gamma(\tilde{C})$ a gauge transformation. The following statements are equivalent: $u$ preserves the orientation the index bundle $\{\text{ind}(D_A)\}$ and a $Spin$ structure induced on the zero locus of a transverse section of the vector bundle $L \oplus \pi^*E$ on $X \times S^1$ from Theorem 5.8 is trivial.

We consider the $Spin$ structure on the zero locus of the transverse section of $L \oplus \pi^*E$. 

Lemma 5.16. If it is necessary, we perturb \(u\) by homotopy and we may assume that \(-1\) is a regular value of \(u\). Let \(h\) be a section of \(E\) such that \(h\) is transverse to the zero section and its submanifold \(u^{-1}(-1) \subset X\). Let \(C = h^{-1}(0) \cap u^{-1}(-1)\) and \(U(C)\) is its tubular neighborhood. From Theorem 3.8 we have a spin structure \(\mathfrak{s}_C\) on \(C \subset U(C)\) introduced by the section \(\text{Im}(u) \oplus h \in \Gamma((\det E \oplus E)|_{U(C)}).\) Then there exists a transverse section of the vector bundle \(L \oplus \pi^*E\) whose zero locus is \((h^{-1}(0) \cap u^{-1}(-1)) \times \{1/2\} \subset X \times \{1/2\}\) and the Spin structure on \(C \times \{1/2\}\) given in Theorem 3.8 coincides with \(\mathfrak{s}_C\).

**Proof.** The transversality of \(\text{Im}(u) \oplus h \in \Gamma((\det E \oplus E)|_{U(C)}))\) follows from the assumption of \(u\) and \(h\). Then \(\mathfrak{s}_C\) is well defined.

To define a section of \(L \times \pi^*E\), it is sufficient to take a section \(s = (s_0, s_1) \in \Gamma((\mathbb{C} \oplus E) \times [0, 1])\) such that \(s_0(0) \cdot u = s_0(1)\). We define a section \(s = (s_0, s_1)\) as follows:

\[
\begin{align*}
    s_0(t) &= (1 - t) + tu, \\
    s_1(t) &= h.
\end{align*}
\]

From the definition of \(s\), we see that \(s^{-1}(0) = (h^{-1}(0) \cap u^{-1}(-1)) \times \{1/2\} \subset X \times \{1/2\}\) and \(s\) is transverse to the zero section. The normal bundle of \(s^{-1}(0)\) splits into the \([0, 1]\) direction and the \(X\) direction. The \([0, 1]\) direction is trivial rank one vector bundle, and the \(X\) direction is isomorphic to the vector bundle \(\det E \oplus E\). On \(s^{-1}(0)\), the real part of \(s_0\) is a section of a summand of the normal bundle which is the \([0, 1]\) direction, and \(\text{Im}(s_0) \oplus s_1 = \text{Im}(u)/2 \oplus h\) is a section of \(\det E \oplus E\). Then we have a Spin structure on \(s^{-1}(0)\) given by \(s\) and \(\mathfrak{s}_Z\) coincides with \(\mathfrak{s}_C\).

Now we prove Theorem 5.9.

**Proof of Theorem 5.9.** From Lemma 5.16, a gauge transformation \(u\) preserves the orientation the index bundle \(\{\text{ind}(DA)\}_A\) on the configuration space if and only if the index of the Spin structure \(\mathfrak{s}_C\) on \(h^{-1}(0) \cap u^{-1}(-1)\) is trivial. The index gives the isomorphism \(\Omega_1^{Spin}(pt) \cong \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}\). Thus we prove Theorem 5.9. \(\square\)

Remark 5.17. A slight change in the proof of Lemma 5.16 shows that there is a \(G^+(3, 0, 2)\) structure (\(\text{Pin}_+^\mathbb{C}\) structure) on \(Y := u^{-1}(-1) \subset X\) induced by \(\mathfrak{s}_X\). The vector bundle \(E|_Y\) coincides with \(E_-\) given this \(G^+(3, 0, 2)\) structure. Thus we have that the index of the Spin structure on \(h^{-1}(0) \cap Y\) coincides with the index of this \(G^+(3, 0, 2)\) structure on \(Y\) from Theorem 3.1. From Theorem 5.9, \(u\) preserves an orientation of moduli space if and only if the index of this \(G^+(3, 0, 2)\) structure on \(Y = u^{-1}(-1)\) is trivial. This is the statement proved by Mikio Furuta.

5.2. Examples. In this section, we give an example of four manifold with \(Spin^-\) such that there exists a gauge transformation which reverses an orientation of \(\{\text{ind}DA\}\).

Proposition 5.18. Let \(Y\) be a 3 dimensional closed Riemannian manifold and \(\mathfrak{s}\) be a \(G^+(3, 0, 2)\) structure (\(\text{Pin}_+^\mathbb{C}\) structure) on \(Y\) such that the index of \(\mathfrak{s}\) is non-trivial. We denote by \(X\) a four manifold given by gluing two copies of the disk bundle of \(\det TY\) along boundaries. There is a \(Spin^-\) structure on \(X\) and a gauge transformation which reverses the orientation of \(\{\text{ind}DA\}\).

**Proof.** First, we construct a \(Spin^-\) structure on \(X\).
Let $l = \det TY$ and $\pi : l \to Y$ be the projection. We will denote by $E$ a vector bundle $E_-$ associated with the $G^+(3, 0, 2)$ structure $s$. Note that $\det E \cong l$ and $s$ is given by a $Spin$ structure of $\det TY \oplus TY \oplus E \oplus E$.

We will denote by $s'$ a $Spin^c$-structure on the total space $l$ given by the $Spin$ structure of $\pi^*l \oplus TY \oplus \pi^l \oplus \pi^*E$ induced by $s$.

Let $D(l)$ be the disk bundle of $l$ and $S(l)$ be the sphere bundle of $l$. We choose a canonical trivialization of $\pi^*l$ on $S(l)$. Hence we have that $E$ and $TS(l)$ are orientable on $S(l)$. Thus the restriction of $s'$ to $S(l)$ induces a $Spin^c$ structure.

$S(l)$ is a double cover of $Y$ and the covering transformation $\tau$ reverses the orientation of $S(l)$. We glue two copies of $D(l)$ along $S(l)$ by the map $\tau$. Let $X = D(l) \cup \tau D(l)$. $X$ is an oriented closed manifold.

We glue $Spin^c$ structure on each $S(l)$ to give a $Spin^c$ structure $s_X$ on $X$ such that the restriction of $s_X$ to each $D(l)$ coincides with $s'$. Second, we give a gauge transformation $u$ which reverses the orientation of $\text{ind} D_A$.

We will denote by $f$ the tautological section of $\pi^*l$ on $l$.

On the open subset $l \setminus Y$, $\pi^*l$ have the canonical trivialization. In this trivialization, we have $f(v) = |v|$ for $v \in l \setminus Y$.

Deform $f'$ in the area $|v| \geq 1/2$ and we assume that $f(v) = 1$ for $|v| \geq 2/3$.

We define $s(v) = -\exp(i\pi f(v))$ as follows: There is the natural isomorphism $l \oplus l \cong \mathbb{R}$ and using this isomorphism we have $f^{2n} \in \mathbb{R}$, $f^{2n+1} \in l$. We define $\exp(i\pi f(v)) \in S(\mathbb{R} \oplus \sqrt{-1}l)$ using Taylor expansion of exponential function.

Note that $s = 1$ around $S(l)$ and we extend on $X$ by 1 on another $D(l)$. We have $s^{-1}(-1) = Y$.

The index of $s$ on $Y$ is nontrivial and from Theorem 5.9 and Remark 5.17 $u$ reverses the orientation of $\text{ind} D_A$.

We give the explicit example of $Y$ in Proposition 5.18.

**Lemma 5.19.** There is a $G^+(3, 0, 2)$ structure $s_0$ on $\mathbb{R}P^2 \times S^1$ whose index is non-trivial.

**Proof.** For simplicity of notations, we omit the notation of the pull-back of projections. From Lemma 5.4 we give a $Spin$ structure on the vector bundle

$$\det \mathbb{T} \mathbb{R}P^2 \oplus TS^1 \oplus \mathbb{T} \mathbb{R}P^2 \oplus \det \mathbb{R}P^2 \oplus \mathbb{R}P^2$$

and we give the $G^+(3, 0, 2)$ structure $s_0$ by Lemma 5.3. Note that $E_- = \mathbb{T} \mathbb{R}P^2$. We take a transverse section of $\mathbb{T} \mathbb{R}P^2$ on $\mathbb{R}P^2$ such that whose zero locus is a single point on $\mathbb{R}P^2$. By pulling back this section, we have a transverse section $h$ of $E_-$ whose zero locus is $S^1 \times \{pt\}$. We immediately see that the $Spin$ structure on $h^{-1}(0) \cong S^1$ induced by $h$ from Theorem 5.8 is given by the product $S^1 \times Spin(1)$. This is the nontrivial element in the 1-dimensional $Spin$ bordism group. From Theorem 5.11 we have that the index of $s_0$ is nontrivial.

From Proposition 5.18 and Lemma 5.19, we deduce following corollary.

**Corollary 5.20.** We set $X = (\mathbb{R}P^3 \times \mathbb{R}P^3) \times S^1$ and $s = s_0$. The determinant bundle on the ambient space of the moduli space is not orientable.
This manifold is diffeomorphic to $P \gamma \times S^1$ where $\gamma$ is the tautological bundle of $\mathbb{R} P^2$ and $P_\gamma$ is its projectivization.

**Remark 5.21.** The gluing construction in the proof of Lemma 5.18 can be generalized in the case of gluing two 4 dimensional $Spin^c$ manifolds with boundary. If the restrictions of the $Spin^c$ structures to their boundaries induce $Spin^c$ structures and their boundaries are diffeomorphic by a map which preserves the orientation and the $Spin^c$ structure, our construction works.

**Remark 5.22.** In the case $Y = \mathbb{R} P^2 \times S^1$ and $s = s_0$, we have $S(l) = S^2 \times S^1$. We cannot glue $D(l)$ by $D^3 \times S^1$ along $S(l)$ because the first Chern class of $Spin^c$ structure on $S(l)$ is the Euler class of $T S^2$ and this cannot be extended to $D^3 \times S^1$.

### 6. Appendix

In this section, we prove Proposition 3.21. We follow the notations of subsection 3.2.

**Lemma 6.1.** We assume that $\phi \in \Gamma(Y, \mathfrak{S})$ satisfies $\|\mathcal{D}_m \phi\| \leq \lambda \|\phi\|$. There are functions $A_h(m, \lambda), B_h(m, \lambda)$ of positive real numbers $m, \lambda$ depending on $h$ such that if we fix a value $\lambda$, they satisfy

$$A_h(m, \lambda) \to 0, \quad B_h(m, \lambda) \to 1$$

when $m \to \infty$. The functions $A_h(m, \lambda), B_h(m, \lambda)$ satisfy the following inequalities where $V = \{ z \in U(C) \approx B(N(C)) \mid |z| > 1/2 \} \cup U(C)^c$:

$$\int_V |\phi|^2 \leq A_h(m, \lambda) \int_Y |\phi|^2,$$

$$B_h(m, \lambda) \int_Y |\phi|^2 \leq \int_Y |\rho \phi|^2.$$

**Proof.** We have the following estimate:

$$\lambda^2 \int_Y |\phi|^2 \geq \int_Y |\mathcal{D}_m \phi|^2$$

$$= \int_Y \langle -\mathcal{D}_m^2 \phi, \phi \rangle$$

$$= \int_Y \langle -(\mathcal{D})^2 - m\{\mathcal{D}, h\} - m^2 h^2 \rangle \phi, \phi \rangle$$

$$= \int_Y m^2 |h \phi|^2 - \int_Y m\{\mathcal{D}, h\}, \phi \rangle$$

$$\geq \int_Y m^2 |h \phi|^2 - \int_Y m C_0 \|dh\|_\infty \|\phi\|^2$$

$$\geq \frac{m^2}{2} \int_Y |\phi|^2 - m C_0 \|dh\|_\infty \int_Y |\phi|^2.$$

We define $A_h(m, \lambda) = 2 \frac{m C_0 \|dh\|_\infty + \lambda^2}{m^2}$ and we have the first inequality where $C_0$ is a constant only depending on the principal symbol of $D$ (Clifford action). We have
the second inequality by the following estimate:

\[
\left( \int_Y |\rho \phi|^2 \right)^{1/2} \geq \left( \int_Y |\phi|^2 \right)^{1/2} - \left( \int_Y |(1 - \rho) \phi|^2 \right)^{1/2} \\
\geq \left( \int_Y |\phi|^2 \right)^{1/2} - \left( \int_Y |\phi|^2 \right)^{1/2} \\
\geq \left( \int_Y |\phi|^2 \right)^{1/2} - \left( A_h(m, \lambda) \int_Y |\phi|^2 \right)^{1/2} \\
= (1 - \sqrt{A_h(m, \lambda)}) \left( \int_Y |\phi|^2 \right)^{1/2}.
\]

Setting \( B_h(m, \lambda) = (1 - \sqrt{A_h(m, \lambda)})^2 \), the proof is completed. \( \square \)

A slight change of the proof of the above Lemma actually shows the following Lemma.

**Lemma 6.2.** We denote by \( \mathcal{S}(N(C), \mathfrak{S}) \) a set of rapidly decreasing sections of \( \mathfrak{S} \) on the total space of the vector bundle \( N(C) \). We assume a section \( \psi \in \mathcal{S}(N(C), \mathfrak{S}) \) satisfies \( \|D_m \phi\| \leq \lambda \|\phi\| \). There are functions \( A'(m, \lambda), B'(m, \lambda) \) of positive real numbers \( m, \lambda \) such that if we fix a value \( \lambda \), they satisfy

\[ A'(m, \lambda) \to 0, \quad B'(m, \lambda) \to 1 \]

when \( m \to \infty \). The functions \( A'(m, \lambda), B'(m, \lambda) \) satisfy the following inequalities where \( V' = \{ z \in N(C) \mid |z| > 1/2 \} \):

1. \( \int_{V'} |\phi|^2 \leq A'(m, \lambda) \int_{N(C)} |\phi|^2 \)
2. \( B'(m, \lambda) \int_{N(C)} |\phi|^2 \leq \int_{N(C)} |\rho \phi|^2 \).

Note that the perturbation term \( h \) of \( D_m \) on \( N(C) \) satisfies \( \|D(h, h)\| = 2 \).

**Lemma 6.3.** We assume that \( \lambda \) is smaller than a constant given by the principal symbol of \( D \) and the differentiation of \( \rho \). We assume that \( m \) is large enough. Let \( \Pi' \) be the orthogonal projection from \( L^2(N(C), \mathfrak{S}) \) to \( H \). Then the map

\[
\mathcal{H}^\lambda_m \to H \\
\psi \mapsto \psi \\
\phi \mapsto \Pi'(\rho \phi)
\]

is injective.

**Proof.** If a \( \phi \in \mathcal{H}^\lambda_m \) with its \( L^2 \) norm is 1 satisfies \( \Pi'(\rho \phi) = 0 \), we have

\[
\|D_m \rho \phi\|_{L^2(N(C), \mathfrak{S})} \geq \lambda_C \|\rho \phi\|_{L^2(N(C), \mathfrak{S})}
\]

from Lemma 3.18 and \( m \) is large enough. The support of the function \( \rho \) is contained in \( U = \{ z \in N(C) \mid |z| < 1 \} \) and we identify \( U \) with \( U(C) \subset Y \). We regard \( \rho \phi \) as a section on \( Y \) and we have

\[
\|D_m \rho \phi\|_{L^2(Y, \mathfrak{S})} \geq \lambda_C \|\rho \phi\|_{L^2(Y, \mathfrak{S})}.
\]
But we have the following estimate from Lemma 6.1:
\[
\int_Y |D_m \rho \phi|^2 \leq \int_Y |D \rho \phi|^2 + \int_Y |\rho D_m \phi|^2 \\
\leq C_0 ||d\rho||_\infty^2 \int_{U \cap V} |\phi|^2 + \int_Y |D_m \phi|^2 \\
\leq C_0 ||d\rho||_\infty^2 A_h(m, \lambda) \int_Y |\phi|^2 + \lambda^2 \int_Y |\phi|^2 \\
\leq C_0 ||d\rho||_\infty^2 (A_h(m, \lambda) + \lambda^2) B_h(m, \lambda)^{-1} \int_Y |\rho \phi|^2.
\]
Provided \( m \) is large enough, the coefficient of \( \int_Y |\rho \phi|^2 \) tends to \( C_0 ||d\rho||_\infty^2 \lambda^2 \). If this constant is smaller than \( \lambda C \), the above estimate contradicts the inequality \( ||D_m \rho \phi|| \geq \lambda C ||\rho \phi|| \). \( \Box \)

We fix the value \( \lambda \) so that \( 2C||d\rho||_\infty^2 \lambda^2 < \lambda C \).

**Lemma 6.4.** We assume that \( m \) is large enough. Let \( \Pi^\lambda_m \) be the orthogonal projection from \( L^2(Y, \mathcal{F}) \) to \( \mathcal{H}^\lambda_m \). The map
\[
H \rightarrow \mathcal{H}^\lambda_m \\
\psi \rightarrow \psi \rightarrow \Pi^\lambda_m(\rho \psi)
\]
is injective.

**Proof.** If the map above is not injective, we have
\[
||D_m \rho \psi||_{L^2(Y, \mathcal{F})} \geq \lambda ||\rho \psi||_{L^2(Y, \mathcal{F})}
\]
for some \( \psi \in H \). On the other hand, elements in \( H \) are rapidly decreasing sections hence we use Lemma 6.2. Thus we have the following estimate by a similar argument of the proof of the Lemma 6.3:
\[
\int_{N(C)} |D_m \rho \psi|^2 \leq C ||d\rho||_\infty^2 A'(m, 0) B'(m, 0)^{-1} \int_{N(C)} |\rho \psi|^2.
\]
If \( m \) is large enough, the above estimate contradicts the inequality \( ||D_m \rho \phi|| \geq \lambda ||\rho \phi|| \). \( \Box \)

Now we prove Proposition 3.21.

**Proof of 3.21.** We have \( \dim H \geq \dim \mathcal{H}^\lambda_m \) from Lemma 6.2 and we have \( \dim H \leq \dim \mathcal{H}^\lambda_m \) from Lemma 6.3. Thus we have the maps of Lemma 6.3 and Lemma 6.4 are isomorphisms. In particular, the map in Proposition 3.21 is the same as the map in Lemma 6.4 and it is an isomorphism. Moreover, it is easy to see that this map preserves the \( \mathbb{Z}/2 \) gradings and the left \( Cl(s_-, n_+, s_+) \) actions. This completes the proof. \( \Box \)
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