CHERN CHARACTER, SEMI-REGULARITY MAP AND OBSTRUCTIONS
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Abstract. Using Chern character, we construct a natural transformation from the local Hilbert functor to a functor of Artin rings defined from Hochschild homology, which allows us to reconstruct the semi-regularity map and the infinitesimal Abel-Jacobi map.

Combining that construction of the semi-regularity map with obstruction theory of functors of Artin rings, we verify the semi-regularity conjecture: the semi-regularity map annihilates every obstructions to embedded deformations of a closed subvariety which is locally complete intersection.

1. Introduction

This paper is devoted to studying the semi-regularity map and its relation with obstructions to embedded deformations of a closed subvariety. Let $X$ be a smooth projective variety over a field $k$ of characteristic zero and let $Y \subset X$ be a closed subvariety, which is a locally complete intersection of codimension $p$, we verify the semi-regularity conjecture by Bloch:

Conjecture 1.1. The semi-regularity map

$$H^1(N_{Y/X}) \rightarrow H^{p+1}(\Omega^{p-1}_{X/k})$$

annihilates every obstructions to embedded deformations of $Y$ in $X$, where $N_{Y/X}$ is the normal bundle.

The semi-regularity map had been studied by Severi [24] (for curves on a surface) and Kodaira-Spencer [15] (for divisors on a projective variety). Bloch [2] generalized Kodaira-Spencer’s definition of the semi-regularity map to locally complete intersections. For small extensions (see Definition 2.2)

$$e : 0 \rightarrow M \rightarrow B \rightarrow A \rightarrow 0$$

such that the differential $M \rightarrow \Omega_{B/k} \otimes_B A$ is injective (this includes curvilinear extensions), Bloch [2] (implicitly) proved Conjecture 1.1.
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and further proved that injectivity of the semi-regularity map implies that obstructions to embedded deformations of $Y$ vanish.

The relation between the semi-regularity map and obstructions to deformations of subvarieties had been further studied by Clemens [6], Manetti [17], Ran [20, 21] and others. Using cotangent complexes, Buchweitz and Flenner [5] generalized Bloch’s construction of the semi-regularity map to perfect complexes on arbitrary complex spaces.

In the hope of relating both the infinitesimal Abel-Jacobi map
\[ H^0(N_{Y/X}) \to H^p(X, \Omega_{X/k}^{p-1}) \]
and the semi-regularity map with a natural transformation of two deformation functors, one is interested in the following question (see page 138 of [5]):

**Question 1.2.** Is it possible to find two deformation functors $F_1$ and $F_2$, and then construct a natural transformation of them such that

(I) the infinitesimal Abel-Jacobi map maps the tangent space of $F_1$ to the tangent space of $F_2$;

(II) the semi-regularity map maps the obstruction space of $F_1$ to the obstruction space of $F_2$?

This question provides an approach to Conjecture 1.1. It is obvious that a natural transformation from one deformation functor to a smooth deformation functor induces a map of obstruction spaces, which annihilates every obstructions. This is the idea used by Iacono and Manetti [13], in which they proved Conjecture 1.1 under an additional assumption on the existence of extendable normal bundle. Two deformation functors used by Iacono and Manetti [13] are constructed from differential graded Lie algebras (via Maurer-Cartan equations) and the natural transformation of them is induced by a morphism of differential graded Lie algebras. The guiding principle of this construction is that a deformation problem over a field of characteristic zero is governed by a differential graded Lie algebra, which is known to Deligne, Drinfeld, Kontsevich, Lurie, Manetti, Pridham and others. It is noted that an interesting contribution to Conjecture 1.1 had been made by Pridham [19].

Since Conjecture 1.1 is important in deformation theory, we are interested in proving it. Deformation functors are functors of Artin rings satisfying certain Schlessinger type conditions, see Definition 5.1 in appendix. Instead of considering deformation functors, we consider functors of Artin rings and modify Question 1.2 as following:

**Question 1.3.** Is it possible to find two functors of Artin rings $F_1$ and $F_2$, and then construct a natural transformation of them such that
(I) the infinitesimal Abel-Jacobi map maps the tangent space of $F_1$ to the tangent space of $F_2$;

(II) the semi-regularity map maps the obstruction space of $F_1$ to the obstruction space of $F_2$?

While the functor $F_1$ is expected to be the local Hilbert functor, the search of the functor $F_2$ is open.

Guided by Question 1.3, this paper is organized as follows. Obstruction theory of functors of Artin rings is recalled in section 2. In the third section, we use Hochschild homology to introduce a functor of Artin rings $HH^{(p)}$ in Definition 3.16. Moreover, we construct a natural transformation $T^{(p)}$ from the local Hilbert functor to the functor $HH^{(p)}$ in Lemma 3.20. Explicit computations of Hochschild homology have also been done.

In section 4, we use the natural transformation $T^{(p)}$ to reconstruct the infinitesimal Abel-Jacobi map and the semi-regularity map. Moreover, we answer affirmatively (after slight modification) Question 1.3 in Theorem 4.5 and Theorem 4.11, and prove Conjecture 1.1 in Theorem 4.13. In appendix, we explain that the functor of Artin rings $HH^{(p)}$ is not a deformation functor.

The functor $HH^{(p)}$ in Definition 3.16 and the natural transformation $T^{(p)}$ in Lemma 3.20 play a key role in this paper. We record that their constructions are inspired by works of Green-Griffiths [11] and Weibel [29] as follows.

(1). Green-Griffiths asked the following question in section 7.2 of [11] (see also Question 1.2 in [30]):

**Question 1.4.** Let $X$ be a smooth projective variety over a field $k$ of characteristic zero and let $Y \subset X$ be a closed subvariety of codimension $p$, is it possible to define a map from the tangent space $T_Y \text{Hilb}^p(X)$ of the Hilbert scheme at the point $Y$ to the tangent space of the cycle group $TZ^p(X)$

$$T_Y \text{Hilb}^p(X) \rightarrow TZ^p(X)$$

For $p = \dim(X)$, this question has been answered by Green-Griffiths [11]; for general $p$, it has been answered by the author [30]. This question guides us to consider Chern character from Grothendieck group to negative cyclic homology.

(2). According to Weibel [29] (see Chern characters 3.8 on page 11), the composition of the Chern character from Grothendieck group to negative cyclic homology $K_0(X) \rightarrow HN_0(X)$ with the natural map from negative cyclic homology to Hochschild homology $HN_0(X) \rightarrow HH_0(X)$ yields Dennis trace map, which completely determines the
classical Chern character of $X$ (see Proposition 4.5 of \cite{29}). This highlights the importance of Hochschild homology and guides us to consider the natural map from Grothendieck group to Hochschild homology, which is the main ingredient of constructing the natural transformation $\mathcal{T}^{(p)}$ in Lemma 3.20.

**Notation**

1. K-theory used in this paper is Thomason-Trobaugh K-theory.
2. Hochschild homology and negative cyclic homology are defined over a field $k$ of characteristic zero, if not stated otherwise.
3. $k[[\varepsilon]]$ is the ring of dual numbers.
4. For $F$ a quasi-coherent sheaf, $H^*_Y(F)$ denotes local cohomology sheaf, which is obtained by localizing local cohomology $H^*_Y(F)$.

2. **Obstruction theory of Functors of Artin rings**

Functors of Artin rings introduced by Schlessinger \cite{22} is an important tool in deformation theory. In this section, we recall obstruction theory of a functor of Artin rings, which is a special case of relative obstruction theory for a morphism of functors of Artin ring developed by Fantechi-Manetti \cite{9}.

To fix notations, $k$ is a field of characteristic zero. $\text{Art}_k$ denotes the category of local artinian $k$-algebra with residue field $k$, the morphisms are local homomorphisms; $\text{Set}_*$ denotes the category of pointed sets. For an element $V \in \text{Set}_*$, $\ast$ denotes the chosen point of $V$; when $V$ is a vector space, the chosen point is zero.

**Definition 2.1.** A functor of Artin rings is a covariant functor $F : \text{Art}_k \to \text{Set}_*$ such that $F(k) = \ast$.

**Definition 2.2** (Definition 1.0 of \cite{9}). A small extension $e$ in $\text{Art}_k$ is a short exact sequence

$$e : 0 \to M \to B \to A \to 0,$$

where $B \to A$ is a morphism in $\text{Art}_k$ and $M$ is an ideal of $B$ annihilated by the maximal ideal $m_B$ (this implies that $M$ is a vector space over $k = B/m_B$).

If $M$ has dimension 1, then $e$ is called a principal small extension. It has the form

$$e : 0 \to (\eta) \to B \to A \to 0,$$

where $(\eta)$ is a one-dimensional $k$-vector space ($\eta$ satisfies that $\eta^2 = 0$). Since $(\eta) \cong k$, the above principal small extension $e$ can be also written as

$$e : 0 \to k \to B \to A \to 0.$$
A principal small extension is called curvilinear, if it has the form

\[ 0 \to (t^n) \to k[t]/(t^{n+1}) \to k[t]/(t^n) \to 0, \]

where \( n \) is some positive integer.

**Definition 2.3** (Definition 3.1 of [9]). Let \( F \) be a functor of Artin rings. An obstruction theory \((V, v_e)\) for \( F \) is the data of an obstruction space \( V \in \text{Set}_* \) and, for every small extension

\[ e : 0 \to M \to B \to A \to 0, \]

of an obstruction map \( v_e : F(A) \times \tilde{M} \to V \), where \( \tilde{M} \) is the dual space of the \( k \)-vector space \( M \). The obstruction map \( v_e \) must satisfy the following two conditions:

1. \( v_e(*, 1) = *, \) where \( 1 \in \tilde{M} \) is the identity,
2. (base change) for every morphism of small extensions \( e \to e' \),

which is a commutative diagram

\[
\begin{array}{cccccc}
0 & \longrightarrow & M & \longrightarrow & B & \longrightarrow & A & \longrightarrow & 0 \\
\gamma_1 & & \gamma_2 & & \gamma_3 & & & & \\
0 & \longrightarrow & M' & \longrightarrow & B' & \longrightarrow & A' & \longrightarrow & 0,
\end{array}
\]

the following diagram commutes

\[
F(A) \times \tilde{M}' \longrightarrow F(A') \times \tilde{M}' \qquad v_{e'}
\]

\[
F(A) \times \tilde{M} \longrightarrow \quad v_e \longrightarrow \quad V.
\]

An obstruction theory \((V, v_e)\) of \( F \) is determined by \( v_e(a, f) \), where \( e : 0 \to M \to B \to A \to 0 \) is any small extension, \( a \in F(A) \) and \( f \in \tilde{M} = \text{Hom}_k(M, k) \). The morphism \( f \) induces a morphism of small extensions \( f_* : e \to \tilde{e} \) (we refer to the proof of Lemma 1.1 of [9] for the definition of \( \tilde{e} \))

\[
\begin{array}{cccccc}
0 & \longrightarrow & M & \longrightarrow & B & \longrightarrow & A & \longrightarrow & 0 \\
f & & & & & & & & =
\end{array}
\]

\[
\begin{array}{cccccc}
0 & \longrightarrow & k & \longrightarrow & B' & \longrightarrow & A & \longrightarrow & 0.
\end{array}
\]

By base change, there exists a commutative diagram

\[
\begin{array}{cccccc}
F(A) \times \tilde{k} & \longrightarrow & F(A) \times \tilde{k} \\
\downarrow & & & & \downarrow v_{e'}
\end{array}
\]

\[
\begin{array}{cccccc}
F(A) \times \tilde{M} & \longrightarrow & \quad v_e \longrightarrow \quad V.
\end{array}
\]
This shows that $v_\tilde{e}(a, g) = v_\tilde{e}(a, g \circ f)$, where $a \in F(A)$ and $g \in \tilde{k} = \text{Hom}_k(k, k)$. In particular, let $g = id$ be the identity map, one sees that $v_\tilde{e}(a, id) = v_\tilde{e}(a, f)$. Noting that $\tilde{e}$ is a principal small extension, one obtains the following:

**Remark 2.4** (see Remark 4.3 of [18]). Every obstruction theory of a functor of Artin rings $F$ is determined by its behavior on principal small extensions.

The following proposition explains the name “obstruction theory”.

**Proposition 2.5** (Proposition 3.3 of [9]). Let $F$ be a functor of Artin rings and $(V, v_\tilde{e})$ be an obstruction theory for $F$. For every small extension

$$e : 0 \to M \to B \to A \to 0,$$

let $a \in F(A)$, if $a$ is contained in the image of $F(B)$, then $v_\tilde{e}(a, f) = *$ for every $f \in \tilde{M}$.

Two important properties of an obstruction theory are completeness and linearity.

**Definition 2.6** (Definition 4.1 of [9]). An obstruction theory $(V, v_\tilde{e})$ for a functor of Artin rings $F$ is called complete if for any small extension

$$e : 0 \to M \to B \to A \to 0,$$

an element $a \in F(A)$ lifts to $F(B)$ if and only if for every $f \in \tilde{M}$, $v_\tilde{e}(a, f) = *$.

**Definition 2.7** (Definition 4.7 of [9]). An obstruction theory $(V, v_\tilde{e})$ for a functor of Artin rings $F$ is called linear if $V$ is a $k$-vector space and for any small extension

$$e : 0 \to M \to B \to A \to 0,$$

there is a map $v : F(A) \to V \otimes_k M$ such that the induced map

$$F(A) \times \tilde{M} \xrightarrow{(v, 1)} (V \otimes_k M) \times \tilde{M} \xrightarrow{\tilde{v}} V,$$

where $\tilde{v}(x \otimes m, f) = f(m)x$, is the obstruction map $v_\tilde{e}$.

In Lemma 2.16 below, we explain that the local Hilbert functor has a complete and linear obstruction theory.

**Remark 2.8.** When $(V, v_\tilde{e})$ is a complete and linear obstruction theory, the vector space $V$ is the obstruction space in the usual sense. In this case, for $a \in F(A)$, the image $v(a) \in V \otimes_k M$ is often called the obstruction of $a$. See Definition 4.7 of [9].
A morphism \((V,v_e) \to (W,w_e)\) of two obstruction theories of a functor of Artin rings \(F\) is a morphism \(f : V \to W\) such that \(w_e = f \circ v_e\) for every small extension \(e\).

**Definition 2.9** (see page 549 of [9]). Let \(F\) be a functor of Artin rings. An obstruction theory \((O_F, ob_e)\) for \(F\) is called universal if for every obstruction theory \((V,v_e)\) for \(F\), there exists a unique morphism \((O_F, ob_e) \to (V,v_e)\). In this case, \(O_F\) is called the universal obstruction space.

**Theorem 2.10** (Theorem 3.2 of [9]). Let \(F\) be a functor of Artin rings, there exists a unique universal obstruction theory \((O_F, ob_e)\) for \(F\).

Fantechi-Manetti constructed the universal obstruction space \(O_F\) as a quotient of a set modulo the equivalence relation from base change (condition (2) in Definition 2.3). To be precise, we denote by \(\hat{O}\) the set \(\hat{O} = \bigcup_e F(A) \times \hat{M}\), where \(e\) is any small extension \(0 \to M \to B \to A \to 0\). For a morphism of small extensions \(e \to e'\) (see (2.1) on page 5), there is a set-theoretic map

\[ F(A) \times \hat{M'} \to (F(A) \times \hat{M}) \times (F(A') \times \hat{M'}) \]

defined as

\[(a, f) \to (a, f \circ \gamma_1) \times (\tilde{\gamma}_3(a), f),\]

where \(\gamma_3 : F(A) \to F(A')\) is the map induced by \(\gamma_3\). One defines an equivalence relation \(\sim\) on \(\hat{O}\) to be

\[(a, f \circ \gamma_1) \sim (\tilde{\gamma}_3(a), f).\]

The universal obstruction space \(O_F\) is defined to the quotient of the set \(\hat{O}\) by the equivalence relation \(\sim\).

It follows from Remark 2.4 that the universal obstruction space \(O_F\) can be constructed from principal small extensions. Concretely, let \(\tilde{O}\) be the set

\[\tilde{O} = \{(e, a)|e : 0 \to (\eta) \to B \to A \to 0, a \in F(A)\},\]

where \(e\) is any principal small extension and \(a\) is any element of \(F(A)\).

**Remark 2.11.** Let \(1_\eta : (\eta) \to k\) be the \(k\)-linear morphism mapping \(\eta\) to 1, the set \(\tilde{O}\) can be identified with a subset of \(\hat{O}\) by the map \((e, a) \to (e, a, 1_\eta)\).

Let \(\approx\) be the restriction of \(\sim\) to \(\tilde{O}\), the quotient of \(\tilde{O}\) by the equivalence relation \(\approx\) is isomorphic to the universal obstruction space \(O_F\).
(see Remark on page 550 of [9]). This gives another description of universal obstruction space $O_F$, which will be used below.

**Definition 2.12.** An obstruction theory $(V, v_e)$ for a functor of Artin rings $F$ is called trivial, if $V = \{\ast\}$.

A trivial obstruction theory is clear linear in the sense of Definition 2.7.

**Definition 2.13.** A functor of Artin rings $F$ is smooth, if $F(B) \to F(A)$ is surjective for every small extension $e : 0 \to M \to B \to A \to 0$.

**Lemma 2.14** (Remark on page 552 of [9]). If a functor of Artin rings $F$ is smooth, then the universal obstruction theory for $F$ is trivial, which is complete and linear.

To give an example illustrating the above definitions, we recall the local Hilbert functor. Let $X$ be a smooth projective variety over a field $k$ of characteristic zero and let $Y \subset X$ be a closed subvariety, which is a locally complete intersection of codimension $p$. For any $A \in \text{Art}_k$, we denote by $X \times A$ the fibre product $X \otimes_{\text{Spec}(k)} \text{Spec}(A)$. An infinitesimal embedded deformation of $Y$ in $X \times A$ is a closed subscheme $Y' \subset X \times A$ such that $Y'$ is flat over $\text{Spec}(A)$ and $Y' \otimes_{\text{Spec}(A)} \text{Spec}(k) \cong Y$.

**Definition 2.15.** The local Hilbert functor $\text{Hilb}$ is defined to be $\text{Hilb} : \text{Art}_k \to \text{Set}_*$

$$A \mapsto \text{Hilb}(A),$$

where $\text{Hilb}(A)$ denotes the set of infinitesimal embedded deformations of $Y$ in $X \times A$.

The functor $\text{Hilb}$ is a well-known example of functor of Artin rings and its obstruction theory had been intensively studied in literatures. We briefly recall obstruction theory of $\text{Hilb}$ and refer to [12, 23, 27] for details.

Since $Y \subset X$ is a locally complete intersection of codimension $p$, there exists a finite open affine covering $\{U_i\}_{i \in I}$ of $X$ such that $Y \cap U_i$ is given by a regular sequence $f_{i1}, \ldots, f_{ip}$ of $O_X(U_i)$. By Remark 2.4, it suffices to consider the obstructions arising from principal small extensions. For any principal small extension $e : 0 \to (\eta) \to B \to A \to 0$,

---

1 The functor $\text{Hilb}$ can be defined without assuming that $X$ is smooth projective or $Y$ is a locally complete intersection, see section 3.2 of [23] for details.
and for any \( Y' \in \mathbb{H} \text{Hilb}(A) \), \( Y' \) is still a locally complete intersection. In fact, \( Y' \cap U_i \) is given by a regular sequence \( f_{i1}^A, \ldots, f_{ip}^A \) of \( O_X(U_i) \otimes_k A \) (=\( O_X(U_i) \)).

The regular sequence \( f_{i1}^A, \ldots, f_{ip}^A \) can be lifted to a regular sequence \( f_{i1}^B, \ldots, f_{ip}^B \) of \( O_X(U_i) \otimes_k B \). On the intersection \( U_{ij} = U_i \cap U_j \), two liftings \( f_{i1}^B, \ldots, f_{ip}^B \) and \( f_{j1}^B, \ldots, f_{jp}^B \) satisfy that \( f_{il}^B - f_{jl}^B = \eta h_{ijl} \), where \( h_{ijl} \in O_X(U_{ij}) \) and \( l = 1, \ldots, p \).

Let \( \mathcal{I} \) be the ideal sheaf of \( Y \) in \( X \), the normal bundle \( N_{Y/X} \) is defined to be \( \mathcal{H} \text{om}_{O_Y}(\mathcal{I}/\mathcal{I}^2, O_Y) \). The image of \( h_{ijl} \) in \( O_Y(U_{ij}) \), denoted \( h'_{ijl} \), defines a morphism

\[
\mu_{ij}: \mathcal{I}(U_{ij}) \rightarrow O_Y(U_{ij})
\]

\[
f_{il} \rightarrow h'_{ijl}.
\]

Using \( \mathcal{H} \text{om}_{O_X}(\mathcal{I}, O_Y) = \mathcal{H} \text{om}_{O_Y}(\mathcal{I}/\mathcal{I}^2, O_Y) \), we consider \( \mu_{ij} \) as an element of \( \Gamma(U_{ij}, N_{Y/X}) \), which forms a Čech 1-cocycle \((\mu_{ij})_{i,j}\).

A different lifting of \( f_{i1}^A, \ldots, f_{ip}^A \) has the form \( f_{i1}^B + \eta h_{i1}, \ldots, f_{ip}^B + \eta h_{ip} \), where \( h_{i1}, \ldots, h_{ip} \in O_X(U_i) \). For \( l = 1, \ldots, p \), the image of \( h_{il} \) in \( O_Y(U_{ij}) \), denoted \( h'_{il} \), defines a morphism \( \mu_i \) in a similar way as \( \mu_{ij} \) above, which is an element of \( \Gamma(U_i, N_{Y/X}) \). On the intersection \( U_{ij} \), the difference \( (f_{il}^B + \eta h_{il}) - (f_{jl}^B + \eta h_{jl}) = \eta(h_{ijl} + h_{il} - h_{jl}) \) induces a Čech 1-cocycle \((\mu_{ij} + \mu_i - \mu_j)_{i,j}\) in a similar way as \( (\mu_{ij})_{i,j} \) above. Since \( (\mu_i - \mu_j)_{i,j} \) is a 1-coboundary, the Čech 1-cocycles \((\mu_{ij})_{i,j}\) and \((\mu_{ij} + \mu_i - \mu_j)_{i,j}\) define the same cohomology class of \( H^1(X, N_{Y/X}) \), denoted \( \{\mu_{ij}\}_{i,j} \), which is the obstruction to lifting \( Y' \).

In summary, for any principal small extension \( e \) as above, there exists a set-theoretic map

\[
v: \mathbb{H} \text{Hilb}(A) \rightarrow H^1(X, N_{X/Y}) \otimes_k (\eta)
\]

\[
Y' \rightarrow \{\mu_{ij}\}_{i,j} \otimes \eta,
\]

where the class \( \{\mu_{ij}\}_{i,j} \) vanishes if and only if \( Y' \) can be lifted to an element of \( \mathbb{H} \text{Hilb}(B) \).

The map \( v \) induces a set-theoretic map

\[
(2.2) \quad v_e: \mathbb{H} \text{Hilb}(A) \times (\tilde{\eta}) \rightarrow H^1(X, N_{X/Y}),
\]

which is defined to be the composition

\[
\mathbb{H} \text{Hilb}(A) \times (\tilde{\eta}) \xrightarrow{(v,1)} (H^1(X, N_{X/Y}) \otimes_k (\eta)) \times (\tilde{\eta}) \xrightarrow{\tilde{v}} H^1(X, N_{X/Y}),
\]

where \((\tilde{\eta})\) is the dual space of the \( k \)-vector space \((\eta)\) and \( \tilde{v} \) is defined by \( \tilde{v}(x \otimes \eta, f) = f(\eta)x \). Concretely, for \( Y' \in \mathbb{H} \text{Hilb}(A) \) and \( g \in (\tilde{\eta}) \),

\[
v_e(Y', g) = g(\eta)\{\mu_{ij}\}_{i,j}.
\]
Now, we show that $v_e$ is an obstruction map in the sense of Definition 2.3. It suffices to check that $v_e$ satisfies base change. For every morphism of principal small extensions $e \to e'$

$$
e : 0 \longrightarrow (\eta) \longrightarrow B \longrightarrow A \longrightarrow 0$$

$$\gamma_1 \downarrow \quad \gamma_2 \downarrow \quad \gamma_3 \downarrow$$

$$e' : 0 \longrightarrow (\eta') \longrightarrow B' \longrightarrow A' \longrightarrow 0,$$

the morphisms $\gamma_3$ and $\gamma_2$ induce maps $\tilde{\gamma}_3 : \mathbb{H}ilb(A) \to \mathbb{H}ilb(A')$ and $\tilde{\gamma}_2 : \mathbb{H}ilb(B) \to \mathbb{H}ilb(B')$ respectively.

For $Y' \in \mathbb{H}ilb(A)$ which is locally on $U_i$ given by $f_{i1}^A, \cdots, f_{ip}^A$, $\tilde{\gamma}_3(Y') \in \mathbb{H}ilb(A')$ is locally on $U_i$ given by the sequence $1 \otimes \gamma_3(f_{i1}^A), \cdots, 1 \otimes \gamma_3(f_{ip}^A)$, where $1 \otimes \gamma_3 : O_{U_i}(U_i) \otimes_k A \to O_{U_i}(U_i) \otimes_k A'$ is the morphism induced by $\gamma_3$. Since $f_{i1}^B, \cdots, f_{ip}^B$ is a lifting of $f_{i1}^A, \cdots, f_{ip}^A$, $1 \otimes \gamma_2(f_{i1}^B), \cdots, 1 \otimes \gamma_2(f_{ip}^B)$ is a lifting of $1 \otimes \gamma_3(f_{i1}^A), \cdots, 1 \otimes \gamma_3(f_{ip}^A)$, where $1 \otimes \gamma_2 : O_{U_i}(U_i) \otimes_k B \to O_{U_i}(U_i) \otimes_k B'$ is the morphism induced by $\gamma_2$.

On the intersection $U_{ij}$, the difference of two liftings $1 \otimes \gamma_2(f_{i1}^B), \cdots, 1 \otimes \gamma_2(f_{ip}^B)$ and $1 \otimes \gamma_2(f_{i1j}^B), \cdots, 1 \otimes \gamma_2(f_{ipj}^B)$ satisfies that

$$1 \otimes \gamma_2(f_{i1}^B) - 1 \otimes \gamma_2(f_{i1j}^B) = 1 \otimes \gamma_2(f_{i1}^B - f_{i1j}^B) = 1 \otimes \gamma_2(h_{ij}\eta) = h_{ij}\tilde{\gamma}_2(\eta) = h_{ij}\gamma_1(\eta).$$

For any $g \in (\eta')$, by the definition of $v_{e'}$ (see (2.2)), one sees that $v_e(\tilde{\gamma}_3(Y'), g) = g(\gamma_1(\eta))\{\mu_{ij}\}_{i,j}$. On the other hand, $g \circ \gamma_1 \in (\eta)$, one checks that $v_e(Y', g \circ \gamma_1) = g \circ \gamma_1(\eta)\{\mu_{ij}\}_{i,j} = g(\gamma_1(\eta))\{\mu_{ij}\}_{i,j}$. This implies the commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
(Y', g) & \xrightarrow{(\tilde{\gamma}_3, 1)} & (\tilde{\gamma}_3(Y'), g) \\
(1, \gamma_1) \downarrow & & \downarrow v_e \\
(Y', g \circ \gamma_1) & \xrightarrow{v_e} & v_e(\tilde{\gamma}_3(Y'), g),
\end{array}$$

where $\tilde{\gamma}_1 : (\eta') \to (\eta)$. The map $v_e$ is an obstruction theory of the local Hilbert functor $\mathbb{H}ilb$.

For $Y' \in \mathbb{H}ilb(A)$, $Y'$ lifts to $\mathbb{H}ilb(B)$ if and only if the obstruction class $\{\mu_{ij}\}_{i,j}$ is trivial, this is equivalent to $v_e(Y', f) = f(\eta)\{\mu_{ij}\}_{i,j} = 0$ for every $f \in (\eta)$. Hence, $(H^1(X, N_{X/Y}), v_e)$ is complete in the sense of Definition 2.6. Moreover, $H^1(X, N_{X/Y})$ is a $k$-vector space and the obstruction map $v_e$ is induced by $v : \mathbb{H}ilb(A) \to H^1(X, N_{X/Y} \otimes_k (\eta))$. 

Hence, \((H^1(X, N_{X/Y}), v_e)\) is linear in the sense of Definition \[2.7\]. In summary,

**Lemma 2.16.** With notation as above, the local Hilbert functor \(\text{Hilb}\) has a complete and linear obstruction theory \((H^1(X, N_{X/Y}), v_e)\).

By Remark \[2.11\] an element of the universal obstruction space \(O_{\text{Hilb}}\) of the local Hilbert functor \(\text{Hilb}\) is an equivalent class \([[(e, Y')]\), where \(e\) is a principal small extension \(0 \to (\eta) \to B \to A \to 0\) and \(Y' \in \text{Hilb}(A)\). The obstruction map \(v_e\) \((2.2)\) induces a set-theoretic map

\[
[v_e] : O_{\text{Hilb}} \to H^1(Y, N_{Y/X})
\]

\([[(e, Y')]\) \(\mapsto v_e(Y', 1_\eta)\),

where \(1_\eta : (\eta) \to k\) is the morphism mapping \(\eta\) to 1 (see Remark \[2.11\]) and \(v_e(Y', 1_\eta) = 1_\eta(\eta)\{\mu_{ij}\}_{i,j} = 1\{\mu_{ij}\}_{i,j} = \{\mu_{ij}\}_{i,j}\).

Fibred products exist on the category \(\text{Art}_k\). Given morphisms \(C \xrightarrow{f} A\) and \(B \xrightarrow{g} A\) in \(\text{Art}_k\), there exists a commutative diagram

\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
B \times_A C & \longrightarrow & C \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow f \\
B & \xrightarrow{g} & A,
\end{array}
\]

where \(B \times_A C = \{(b, c) | g(b) = f(c) \in A\}\) is also in the category \(\text{Art}_k\).

Let \(F\) be a functor of Artin rings, the above diagram induces a natural map

\[
(2.4) \quad S : F(B \times_A C) \to F(B) \times_{F(A)} F(C).
\]

**Definition 2.17** (see Definition 2.7 of \[9\]). Let \(F\) be a functor of Artin rings, the followings are called Schlessinger conditions:

(H1) Map \(S\) is surjective if \(C \to A\) is a small extension.

(H2) Map \(S\) is bijective if \(A = k\), and \(C = k[\varepsilon]\) is the ring of dual numbers.

(H3) Conditions (H1) and (H2) hold and the dimension of \(F(k[\varepsilon])\) is finite\(^2\).

(H4) Map \(S\) is bijective if \(C \to A\) is a small extension.

The following theorem shows that, for certain functors of Artin rings, the universal obstruction spaces carry structures of vector space.

\(^2\)The condition (H2) guarantees that the set \(F(k[\varepsilon])\) carries a structure of \(k\)-vector space, so it makes sense to speak about the dimension of \(F(k[\varepsilon])\) in (H3).
Theorem 2.18 (Theorem 6.6 of [9]). Assume that a functor of Artin rings $F$, which satisfies the Schlessinger conditions (H1) and (H2), has a complete and linear obstruction theory $(V,v_e)$. Then there exists a unique structure of vector space on $O_F$ such that the universal obstruction theory $(O_F, ob_e)$ is linear in the sense of Definition 2.7. Moreover, the induced map $O_F \rightarrow V$ is a linear monomorphism of vector spaces.

It is well known that the local Hilbert functor $\mathbb{H}ilb$ in Definition 2.15 satisfies the Schlessinger conditions (H1), (H2), (H3) and (H4). Combing Lemma 2.16 with Theorem 2.18, one sees that

Corollary 2.19. The universal obstruction space $O_{\mathbb{H}ilb}$ of the local Hilbert functor $\mathbb{H}ilb$ is a vector space and the set-theoretic map (2.3)

$$[v_e] : O_{\mathbb{H}ilb} \rightarrow H^1(Y, N_Y/X),$$

is a linear monomorphism of k-vector spaces.

From the definition of the map $[v_e]$ (2.3), one sees that the image of $[v_e]$ is obstructions to embedded deformations of $Y$ in $X$. Hence, an alternative way to state Conjecture 1.1 is as following.

Conjecture 2.20. The composition of morphisms of k-vector spaces

$$O_{\mathbb{H}ilb} \xrightarrow{[v_e]} H^1(N_Y/X) \rightarrow H^{p+1}(\Omega^{p-1}_X/k)$$

is trivial, where $H^1(N_Y/X) \rightarrow H^{p+1}(\Omega^{p-1}_X/k)$ is the semi-regularity map.

3. Chern character and Hochschild homology

In this section, we recall background on Hochschild homology in 3.1 and do explicit computations of Hochschild homology in 3.2. Then we introduce a functor $\mathbb{H}H^{(p)}$ in Definition 3.16 and construct a natural transformation from the local Hilbert functor to the functor $\mathbb{H}H^{(p)}$ in Lemma 3.20.

3.1. K-theory and Hochschild homology. For $X$ a noetherian scheme of finite type over a field $k$ of characteristic zero, the Hochschild homology complex $HH(X)$ and the negative cyclic homology complexes $HN(X)$ and $HN^Q(X)$ (over $\mathbb{Q}$) are defined from a localization pair. Let $Y \subset X$ be closed, the Hochschild homology complex $HH(X \text{ on } Y)$ and the negative cyclic homology complex $HN(X \text{ on } Y)$ can be defined in a similar way. We refer to Example 2.7 and 2.8 of [8] for details.
Following the convention in section 2 of [8], we define the Hochschild homology with support $HH_i(X \text{ on } Y)$ to be the homology of the complex $HH(X \text{ on } Y)$ with cohomological notation
\begin{equation}
HH_i(X \text{ on } Y) := H^{-i}(HH(X \text{ on } Y)).
\end{equation}

**Definition 3.1.** With notation as above, one defines $\mathcal{H}H_0(O_X \text{ on } Y)$ to be the sheaf associated to the presheaf
\[ U \mapsto HH_0(U \text{ on } Y \cap U), \]
where $U \subseteq X$ is open and $HH_0(U \text{ on } Y \cap U)$ is defined in (3.1).

**Definition 3.2.** With notation as above, one defines $\mathcal{K}_0(O_X \text{ on } Y)$ to be the sheaf associated to the presheaf
\[ U \mapsto K_0(U \text{ on } Y \cap U), \]
where $U \subseteq X$ is open and $K_0(U \text{ on } Y \cap U)$ is Grothendieck group of derived category obtained from the exact category of perfect complexes of $O_U$-modules supported on $Y \cap U$.

Let $\mathcal{H}H(X)$, $\mathcal{H}N(X)$ and $\mathcal{H}N^\mathbb{Q}(X)$ be the Eilenberg-Mac Lane spectra associated to the complexes $HH(X)$, $HN(X)$ and $HN^\mathbb{Q}(X)$ respectively. According to Cortiñas, Haesemeyer, Schlichting and Weibel [8] (page 565), there exists a Chern character $\mathcal{K}(X) \to \mathcal{H}N^\mathbb{Q}(X)$, where $\mathcal{K}(X)$ is the non-connective K-theory spectrum of perfect complexes on $X$. Composing $\mathcal{K}(X) \to \mathcal{H}N^\mathbb{Q}(X)$ with the maps $\mathcal{H}N^\mathbb{Q}(X) \to \mathcal{H}N(X) \to \mathcal{H}H(X)$, one obtains a map of spectra $\mathcal{K}(X) \to \mathcal{H}H(X)$, which induces a map
\begin{equation}
\mathcal{K}(X \text{ on } Y) \to \mathcal{H}H(X \text{ on } Y),
\end{equation}
where $\mathcal{K}(X \text{ on } Y)$ is the non-connective K-theory spectrum of perfect complexes of $O_X$-modules supported on $Y$ and $\mathcal{H}H(X \text{ on } Y)$ is the Eilenberg-Mac Lane spectrum associated to the Hochschild homology complex $HH(X \text{ on } Y)$.

From now on, $X$ is a smooth projective variety over a field $k$ of characteristic zero and $Y \subset X$ is a closed subvariety of codimension $p$, which is a locally complete intersection. The following setting will be used repeatedly below.

**Setting 3.3.** Let $\{U_i\}_{i \in I}$ be an open affine covering of $X$ such that $Y \cap U_i$ is defined by a regular sequence $f_{i1}, \ldots, f_{ip}$. For any $A \in \text{Art}_k$ and any element $Y' \in \text{Hilb}(A)$, $Y' \cap U_i$ is also given by a regular sequence, denoted $f_{i1}^A, \ldots, f_{ip}^A$.

For any $A \in \text{Art}_k$, we abbreviate $X \otimes_{\text{Spec}(k)} \text{Spec}(A)$ to $X \times A$. 
The element $Y' \in \text{Hilb}(A)$ gives an element of $H^0(X, \mathcal{K}_0(O_{X \times A} \text{ on } Y))$ as follows. Let $L_{i,A}^\bullet$ be the Koszul complex of the regular sequence $f_1^A, \ldots, f_p^A$, we consider $L_{i,A}^\bullet$ as an element of $\Gamma(U_i, \mathcal{K}_0(O_{X \times A} \text{ on } Y))$. The restriction of $L_{i,A}^\bullet$ on the intersection $U_{ij} = U_i \cap U_j$, denoted $L_{i,A}^\bullet|_{U_{ij}}$, satisfies that
\[ L_{i,A}^\bullet|_{U_{ij}} = L_{i,A}^\bullet|_{U_{ij}} \in \Gamma(U_i \cap U_j, \mathcal{K}_0(O_{X \times A} \text{ on } Y)),\]
since both of them are the resolution of $Y' \cap U_{ij}$. Therefore, $Y'$ gives rise to an element of $H^0(X, \mathcal{K}_0(O_{X \times A} \text{ on } Y))$, which is represented by $(L_{i,A}^\bullet)_i$.

**Definition 3.4.** With notation as above, one defines a set-theoretic map
\[
(3.3) \quad \alpha_A : \text{Hilb}(A) \rightarrow H^0(X, \mathcal{K}_0(O_{X \times A} \text{ on } Y))
\]
\[ Y' \rightarrow (L_{i,A}^\bullet)_i. \]

The sheaf version of the map (3.2)
\[
\mathcal{K}(O_{X \times A} \text{ on } Y) \rightarrow \mathcal{H}\mathcal{H}(O_{X \times A} \text{ on } Y)
\]
duces a map of groups
\[
(3.4) \quad \text{ch}_A : H^0(X, \mathcal{K}_0(O_{X \times A} \text{ on } Y)) \rightarrow H^0(X, \mathcal{H}\mathcal{H}_0(O_{X \times A} \text{ on } Y)).
\]

**Definition 3.5.** One defines $\mathcal{H}\mathcal{H}_0(O_{X \times A} \text{ on } Y)$ to be the kernel of the morphism (induced by the augmentation map $A \rightarrow k$)
\[
\mathcal{H}\mathcal{H}_0(O_{X \times A} \text{ on } Y) \rightarrow \mathcal{H}\mathcal{H}_0(O_{X} \text{ on } Y).
\]

Since the morphism $\mathcal{H}\mathcal{H}_0(O_{X \times A} \text{ on } Y) \rightarrow \mathcal{H}\mathcal{H}_0(O_{X} \text{ on } Y)$ is split by $\mathcal{H}\mathcal{H}_0(O_{X} \text{ on } Y) \rightarrow \mathcal{H}\mathcal{H}_0(O_{X \times A} \text{ on } Y)$, it follows that
\[
\mathcal{H}\mathcal{H}_0(O_{X \times A} \text{ on } Y) = \mathcal{H}\mathcal{H}_0(O_{X} \text{ on } Y) \oplus \mathcal{H}\mathcal{H}_0(O_{X \times A} \text{ on } Y).
\]

Hence, there is a natural projection map
\[
(3.5) \quad r_A : H^0(X, \mathcal{H}\mathcal{H}_0(O_{X \times A} \text{ on } Y)) \rightarrow H^0(X, \mathcal{H}\mathcal{H}_0(O_{X \times A} \text{ on } Y)).
\]

**Definition 3.6.** With notation as above, one defines a set-theoretic map
\[
\text{Hilb}(A) \rightarrow H^0(X, \mathcal{H}\mathcal{H}_0(O_{X \times A} \text{ on } Y))
\]
to be the composition
\[
\text{Hilb}(A) \xrightarrow{\alpha_A(3.3)} H^0(X, \mathcal{K}_0(O_{X \times A} \text{ on } Y)) \xrightarrow{\text{ch}_A(3.4)} H^0(X, \mathcal{H}\mathcal{H}_0(O_{X \times A} \text{ on } Y)) \xrightarrow{r_A(3.5)} H^0(X, \mathcal{H}\mathcal{H}_0(O_{X \times A} \text{ on } Y)).
\]

We use the group $H^0(X, \mathcal{H}\mathcal{H}_0(O_{X \times A} \text{ on } Y))$ to define a functor.
Definition 3.7. One defines a functor
\[ \mathbb{HH} : \text{Art}_k \rightarrow \text{Set}_* \]
\[ A \rightarrow H^0(X, \mathcal{H}H_0(O_{X \times A} \text{ on } Y)), \]
where one forgets the group structure of \( H^0(X, \mathcal{H}H_0(O_{X \times A} \text{ on } Y)) \) and considers it as a set (the chosen point \(* \) is zero).

Lemma 3.8. The functor \( \mathbb{HH} \) is a functor of Artin rings.

Proof. It is obvious that \( \mathbb{HH}(k) = 0 \). The Hochschild homology is covariant, so is the functor \( \mathbb{HH} \). Hence, the functor \( \mathbb{HH} \) is a functor of Artin rings. \( \square \)

Let \( f : B \rightarrow A \) be a morphism in the category \( \text{Art}_k \), there exists a commutative diagram of sets
\[
\begin{array}{cccc}
\text{Hilb}(B) & \xrightarrow{\alpha_B} & H^0(X, K_0(O_{X \times B} \text{ on } Y)) \\
f_{\text{Hilb}} & & f_{K_0} \\
\text{Hilb}(A) & \xrightarrow{\alpha_A} & H^0(X, K_0(O_{X \times A} \text{ on } Y)),
\end{array}
\]
(3.6)
where \( f_{\text{Hilb}}, f_{K_0} \) are the maps induced by \( f \) respectively. To check the commutativity, for \( Y' \in \text{Hilb}(B) \), \( f_{\text{Hilb}}(Y') = Y' \times_{\text{Spec}(B)} \text{Spec}(A) \in \text{Hilb}(A) \). In notation of Definition 3.4, one sees that \( \alpha_B(Y') = (L^i_{i,B})_i \) and
\[
f_{K_0} \circ \alpha_B(Y') = (f^*L^i_{i,B})_i = (L^i_{i,B} \otimes_B A)_i,
\]
where \( f^* : K_0(O_{X \times B}(U_i) \text{ on } Y \cap U_i) \rightarrow K_0(O_{X \times A}(U_i) \text{ on } Y \cap U_i) \) is the morphism induced by \( f \), see (3.14) on page 317 of [26].

Let \( \tilde{f} : O_{X \times B}(U_i) \rightarrow O_{X \times A}(U_i) \) be the morphism induced by \( f \), then \( (Y' \times_{\text{Spec}(B)} \text{Spec}(A)) \cap U_i \) is given by the sequence \( \tilde{f}(f^B_{1,i}), \cdots, \tilde{f}(f^B_{p,i}) \) whose Koszul complex is \( L^i_{i,B} \otimes_B A \). Hence, there is a commutative diagram
\[
\begin{array}{cccc}
Y' & \xrightarrow{\alpha_B} & (L^i_{i,B})_i & \\
\downarrow f_{\text{Hilb}} & & \downarrow f_{K_0} & \\
Y' \times_{\text{Spec}(B)} \text{Spec}(A) & \xrightarrow{\alpha_A} & (L^i_{i,B} \otimes_B A)_i,
\end{array}
\]
which shows the commutativity of (3.6).

There also exists a commutative diagram
\[
\begin{array}{cccc}
H^0(X, K_0(O_{X \times B} \text{ on } Y)) & \xrightarrow{ch_B} & H^0(X, \mathcal{H}H_0(O_{X \times B} \text{ on } Y)) & \xrightarrow{r_B} & H^0(X, \mathcal{H}H_0(O_{X \times B} \text{ on } Y)) \\
\downarrow f_{K_0} & & \downarrow f_{\mathcal{H}H} & & \downarrow T_{\mathcal{H}H} \\
H^0(X, K_0(O_{X \times A} \text{ on } Y)) & \xrightarrow{ch_A} & H^0(X, \mathcal{H}H_0(O_{X \times A} \text{ on } Y)) & \xrightarrow{r_A} & H^0(X, \mathcal{H}H_0(O_{X \times A} \text{ on } Y)),
\end{array}
\]
(3.7)
where $f_{HH}$ and $\overline{f}_{HH}$ are the maps induced by $f$ respectively. The commutativity of the left square follows from the naturality of the Chern character and the commutativity of the right square is obvious.

The diagrams (3.6) and (3.7) imply the commutative diagram

\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
\text{Hilb}(B) & \overset{r_{B,\text{ch}B,\alpha_{B}}}{\longrightarrow} & \text{HH}(B) \\
\downarrow f_{HH} & & \downarrow \overline{f}_{HH} \\
\text{Hilb}(A) & \overset{r_{A,\text{ch}A,\alpha_{A}}}{\longrightarrow} & \text{HH}(A).
\end{array}
\]

This shows that

**Lemma 3.9.** With notation as above, there exists a natural transformation of functors of Artin rings,

\[\mathcal{T} : \text{Hilb} \rightarrow \text{HH},\]

which is defined to be, for $A \in \text{Art}_k$,

\[\mathcal{T}(A) = r_A \circ \text{ch}_A \circ \alpha_A : \text{Hilb}(A) \rightarrow \text{HH}(A).\]

**3.2. Computation of Hochschild homology.** In this subsection, we compute the sheaf $\mathcal{H}_0(\mathcal{O}_{X \times A} \text{ on } Y)$.

**Theorem 3.10.** With notation as in Setting 3.3, there is an isomorphism of sheaves

\[\mathcal{H}_0(\mathcal{O}_{X \times A} \text{ on } Y) = \bigoplus_{j_1 + j_2 = p} \mathcal{H}_Y^p(\Omega_{X/k}^{j_1}) \otimes_k HH_{j_2}(A),\]

where $HH_{j_2}(A)$ is the Hochschild homology (see section 9.1 of [28]) and $\mathcal{H}_Y^p(\Omega_{X/k}^{j_1})$ is the local cohomology sheaf.

**Proof.** It suffices to check the isomorphism locally. We drop the subscript $i$ and let $U = \text{Spec}(R) \subset X$ be open affine such that $Y \cap U$ is given by a regular sequence $f_1, \ldots, f_p$, which generates an ideal $J \subset R$. We need to prove that

\[HH_0(R \otimes_k A \text{ on } J) = \bigoplus_{j_1 + j_2 = p} H^p_{j_1}(R, \Omega_{X/k}^{j_1}) \otimes_k HH_{j_2}(A).\]

Since Hochschild homology satisfies Zariski descent, we can identify $HH_0(R \otimes_k A \text{ on } J)$ with the hypercohomology $\mathbb{H}_f^0(R, HH(R \otimes_k A))$, where $HH(R \otimes_k A)$ is the Hochschild homology complex of $R \otimes_k A$. It reduces to proving that

\[\mathbb{H}_f^0(R, HH(R \otimes_k A)) = \bigoplus_{j_1 + j_2 = p} H^p_{j_1}(R, \Omega_{X/k}^{j_1}) \otimes_k HH_{j_2}(A).\]
There exists a spectral sequence
\[ E_{2}^{i,j} = H^{i}_{j}(R, H^{j}(HH(R \otimes_{k} A))) \implies \mathbb{H}_{j}^{0}(R, HH(R \otimes_{k} A)), \]
where \( i + j = 0 \). Since we use cohomological notation (see (3.1)), \( H^{j}(HH(R \otimes_{k} A)) = HH_{-j}(R \otimes_{k} A) \), the above spectral sequence can be rewritten as
\[ (3.8) \quad E_{2}^{i,j} = H^{i}_{j}(R, HH_{j}(R \otimes_{k} A)) \implies \mathbb{H}_{j}^{0}(R, HH(R \otimes_{k} A)), \]
where \( i \) and \( j \) are non-negative integers and \( i = j \).

By Künneth formula (see section 9.4 of [28]), for each integers \( j \geq 0 \), one has
\[ HH_{j}(R \otimes_{k} A) = \bigoplus_{j_{1} + j_{2} = j} HH_{j_{1}}(R) \otimes_{k} HH_{j_{2}}(A). \]

It is known that \( R \) can be written as a direct limit of smooth \( k \)-algebras \( \tilde{R} \)'s. The Hochschild-Konstant-Rosenberg theorem says that
\[ HH_{j_{1}}(\tilde{R}) \cong \Omega_{\tilde{R}/k}^{j_{1}}. \]
Both the Hochschild homology and \( \Omega_{\tilde{R}/k}^{j_{1}} \) commutes with limit, so it follows that
\[ HH_{j_{1}}(R) \cong \Omega_{R/k}^{j_{1}}. \]
This yields that
\[ HH_{j}(R \otimes_{k} A) = \bigoplus_{j_{1} + j_{2} = j} \Omega_{R/k}^{j_{1}} \otimes_{k} HH_{j_{2}}(A). \]

Passing to local cohomology,
\[ H^{i}_{j}(R, HH_{j}(R \otimes_{k} A)) = H^{i}_{j}(R, \bigoplus_{j_{1} + j_{2} = j} \Omega_{R/k}^{j_{1}} \otimes_{k} HH_{j_{2}}(A)) \]
\[ = \bigoplus_{j_{1} + j_{2} = j} H^{i}_{j}(R, \Omega_{R/k}^{j_{1}} \otimes_{k} HH_{j_{2}}(A)) \]
\[ = \bigoplus_{j_{1} + j_{2} = j} H^{i}_{j}(R, \Omega_{R/k}^{j_{1}}) \otimes_{k} HH_{j_{2}}(A). \]

Since the \( J \)-depth of \( \Omega_{R/k}^{j_{1}} \) is \( p \), \( H^{i}_{j}(R, \Omega_{R/k}^{j_{1}}) = 0 \) unless \( i = p \). This shows that there is only one non-zero term \( H^{p}_{j}(R, HH_{p}(R \otimes_{k} A)) \) in the spectral sequence (3.8). Therefore, one has
\[ \mathbb{H}_{j}^{0}(R, HH(R \otimes_{k} A)) = \bigoplus_{j_{1} + j_{2} = p} H^{p}_{j}(R, \Omega_{R/k}^{j_{1}}) \otimes_{k} HH_{j_{2}}(A). \]
\[ \square \]
Lambda operations $\lambda^m$ and Adams operations $\psi^m$ are defined for Hochschild homology $HH_*(R \otimes_k A)$. In fact, the Hochschild homology complex $HH(R \otimes_k A)$ naturally splits into direct sum of sub-complexes $HH^{(l)}(R \otimes_k A)$, this results in $\lambda$-decomposition of Hochschild homology. We refer to section 4.5 of [16] and section 9.4.3 of [28] for details.

Using the identification $HH_0(R \otimes_k A \text{ on } J) = H^0_0 J(R, HH_0(R \otimes_k A))$, we can extend Adams operations $\psi^m$ to $HH_0(R \otimes_k A \text{ on } J)$. Let $l$ be a non-negative integer, the eigenspace $HH^{(l)}_0(R \otimes_k A \text{ on } J)$ of $\psi^m = ml + 1$ is the hypercohomology $H^0_0 J(R, HH^{(l)}_0(R \otimes_k A))$. We can also define Adams operations $\psi^m$ for the sheaf $HH_0(R \otimes_k A \text{ on } Y)$ and denote by $HH^{(l)}_0(O_{X \times A} \text{ on } Y)$ the eigenspace of $\psi^m = ml + 1$.

**Theorem 3.11.** With notation as above, there is an isomorphism of sheaves

$$HH^{(l)}_0(O_{X \times A} \text{ on } Y) = \bigoplus_{j_1 + j_2 = p \atop j_1 + l_2 = l} H^p_Y(\Omega^{j_1}_{X/k} \otimes_k HH^{(l_2)}_j(A),$$

where $HH^{(l_2)}_j(A) \subset HH_j(A)$ denotes the eigenspace of $\psi^m = ml_2 + 1$.

We need to prove that

$$H^0_0(R, HH^{(l)}(R \otimes_k A)) = \bigoplus_{j_1 + j_2 = p \atop j_1 + l_2 = l} H^p_j(R, \Omega^{j_1}_{R/k} \otimes_k HH^{(l_2)}_j(A).$$

Since the proof is similar to that of Theorem 3.10, we sketch it briefly.

**Proof.** There exists a spectral sequence

$$E_2^{i,j} = H^i_j(R, H^j(HH^{(l)}(R \otimes_k A))) \Rightarrow H^0_0(R, HH^{(l)}(R \otimes_k A)),$$

where $i + j = 0$. Since $H^j(HH^{(l)}(R \otimes_k A))) = HH^{(l)}_j(R \otimes_k A)$, the above spectral sequence can be rewritten as

$$(3.9) \quad E_2^{i,j} = H^i_j(R, HH_j^{(l)}(R \otimes_k A)) \Rightarrow H^0_0(R, HH^{(l)}(R \otimes_k A)),$$

where $i = j$.

It has been explained in the proof of Theorem 3.10 that $HH_{j_1}(R) \cong \Omega^{j_1}_{R/k}$, On the other hand, $HH^{(j_1)}_{j_1}(R) = \Omega^{j_1}_{R/k}$ (see Theorem 4.5.12 on page 145 of [16]). This implies that $HH^{(l)}_{j_1}(R) = 0$, if $i \neq j_1$. 

By Künneth formula for Hochschild homology in [14], one has

\[ HH_j^l(R \otimes_k A) = \bigoplus_{j_1 + j_2 = j, \ l_1 + l_2 = l} HH_{j_1}^{(l_1)}(R) \otimes_k HH_{j_2}^{(l_2)}(A) \]

which implies that

\[ H^i_j(R, HH_j^l(R \otimes_k A)) = H^i_j(R, \bigoplus_{j_1 + j_2 = j, \ l_1 + l_2 = l} \Omega_{R/k}^{j_1} \otimes_k HH_{j_2}^{(l_2)}(A)) \]

Since \( H^i_j(R, \Omega_{R/k}^{j_1}) = 0 \) unless \( i = p \), there is only one non-zero term

\[ H^0_j(R, HH_j^l(R \otimes_k A)) \]

in the spectral sequence (3.9). So \( HH_j^0(R, HH_j^l(R \otimes_k A)) = HH_j^p(R, HH_j^l(R \otimes_k A)) \). This shows that

\[ HH_j^0(R, HH_j^l(R \otimes A)) = HH_j^p(R, HH_j^l(R \otimes k A)) \]

When \( l = p \), then \( l_2 = l - j_1 = p - j_1 = j_2 \). This implies that

\[ HH_{j_2}^{(l_2)}(A) = HH_{j_2}^{(j_2)}(A) = \Omega_{A/k}^{j_2} \].

This shows that

**Theorem 3.12.** Let \( l = p \) in Theorem 3.11, there is an isomorphism of sheaves

\[ \mathcal{H}H_0^{(p)}(O_{X \times A} \text{ on } Y) = \bigoplus_{j_1 + j_2 = p} \mathcal{H}H(Y, \Omega_{X/k}^{j_1} \otimes_k \Omega_{A/k}^{j_2}). \]
Remark 3.13. Using the isomorphism
\begin{equation}
\Omega^p_{X \times A/k} = \bigoplus_{j_1 + j_2 = p} \Omega^{j_1}_{X/k} \otimes_k \Omega^{j_2}_{A/k},
\end{equation}
one sees that there is an isomorphism of local cohomology sheaves
\[ \mathcal{H}^p_Y(\Omega^p_{X \times A/k}) = \bigoplus_{j_1 + j_2 = p} \mathcal{H}^p_Y(\Omega^{j_1}_{X/k}) \otimes_k \Omega^{j_2}_{A/k}. \]

By Theorem 3.12, there is an isomorphism of sheaves
\[ \mathcal{H}^0(X, \mathcal{O}_{X \times A}) \cong \mathcal{H}^p_Y(\Omega^p_{X \times A/k}). \]

3.3. Chern character. In notation of Setting 3.3, let \( L^i,A \) be the Koszul complex of the regular sequence \( f^1_1, \ldots, f^p_{i_p} \), we consider \( L^i,A \) as an element of \( \Gamma(U_i, \mathcal{K}_0(\mathcal{O}_{X \times A} \text{ on } Y)) \).

Adams operations \( \psi^m \) for K-theory of perfect complexes is defined in [10].

Lemma 3.14 (Prop 4.12 of [10]). The Adams operations \( \psi^m \) on the Koszul complex \( L^i,A \) satisfy that
\[ \psi^m(L^i,A) = m^p L^i,A. \]

Let \( \mathcal{K}_0^{(p)}(\mathcal{O}_{X \times A} \text{ on } Y) \) be the eigenspace of \( \psi^m = m^p \). It follows from Lemma 3.14 that \( L^i,A \in \Gamma(U_i, \mathcal{K}_0^{(p)}(\mathcal{O}_{X \times A} \text{ on } Y)) \). The image of \( Y' \) under the map \( \alpha_A \) (3.3), which is represented by \( (L^i,A)_i \), lies in \( H^0(X, \mathcal{K}_0^{(p)}(\mathcal{O}_{X \times A} \text{ on } Y)) \). Hence, \( \alpha_A \) has the form
\[ \alpha_A : \text{Hilb}(A) \to H^0(X, \mathcal{K}_0^{(p)}(\mathcal{O}_{X \times A} \text{ on } Y)). \]

According to Cortiñas, Haesemeyer and Weibel [7], Chern character from K-theory to negative cyclic homology respects Adams operations. The natural map from negative cyclic homology to Hochschild homology also respects Adams operations, so the map \( ch_A \) (3.4) respects Adams operations
\begin{equation}
ch_A : H^0(X, \mathcal{K}_0^{(p)}(\mathcal{O}_{X \times A} \text{ on } Y)) \to H^0(X, \mathcal{H}_0^{(p)}(\mathcal{O}_{X \times A} \text{ on } Y)).
\end{equation}

Let \( \mathcal{H}_0^{(p)}(\mathcal{O}_{X \times A} \text{ on } Y) \) be the kernel of the map (induced by augmentation \( A \to k \))
\[ \mathcal{H}_0^{(p)}(\mathcal{O}_{X \times A} \text{ on } Y) \to \mathcal{H}_0^{(p)}(\mathcal{O}_{X \times A} \text{ on } Y). \]
The natural projection \( r_A \) (3.5) respects Adams operations
\begin{equation}
r_A : H^0(X, \mathcal{H}_0^{(p)}(\mathcal{O}_{X \times A} \text{ on } Y)) \to H^0(X, \mathcal{H}_0^{(p)}(\mathcal{O}_{X \times A} \text{ on } Y)).
\end{equation}

To summarize,
Lemma 3.15. With notation as above, the set-theoretic map $r_A \circ ch_A \circ \alpha : \text{Hilb}(A) \to H^0(X, \overline{\mathcal{H}_0}(O_{X \times A} \text{ on } Y))$ defined in Definition 3.6 has the form

$$\text{Hilb}(A) \xrightarrow{\alpha} H^0(X, \mathbb{K}_0(p)(O_{X \times A} \text{ on } Y)) \xrightarrow{ch_A} H^0(X, \mathcal{H}_0^p(O_{X \times A} \text{ on } Y)) \xrightarrow{r_A} H^0(X, \overline{\mathcal{H}_0}(O_{X \times A} \text{ on } Y)).$$

We use the group $H^0(X, \overline{\mathcal{H}_0}(O_{X \times A} \text{ on } Y))$ to define a functor.

Definition 3.16. One defines a functor

$$\mathbb{H}^p : \text{Art}_k \to \text{Set}_*$$

$$A \to H^0(X, \overline{\mathcal{H}_0}(O_{X \times A} \text{ on } Y)),$$

where one forgets the group structure of $H^0(X, \overline{\mathcal{H}_0}(O_{X \times A} \text{ on } Y))$ and considers it as a set (with zero as the chosen point).

Lemma 3.17. The functor $\mathbb{H}^p$ is a functor of Artin rings.

The proof of this Lemma is similar to that of Lemma 3.8, so we omit it here.

By Theorem 3.12, the morphism $\mathcal{H}_0^p(O_{X \times A} \text{ on } Y) \to \mathcal{H}_0^p(O_X \text{ on } Y)$ has the form

$$\bigoplus_{j_1 + j_2 = p} \mathcal{H}_Y^p(\Omega_{X/k}^{j_1} \otimes_k \Omega_{A/k}^{j_2} \to \mathcal{H}_Y^p(\Omega_{X/k}^{p} \otimes_k k).$$

When $j_2 = 0$, $\Omega_{A/k}^{0} = \Omega_{A/k}^{0} = A = k \oplus m_A$ (as $k$-vector spaces), where $m_A$ is the maximal ideal of $A$. It follows that

$$\mathcal{H}_Y^p(\Omega_{X/k}^{p} \otimes_k \Omega_{A/k}^{0} = (\mathcal{H}_Y^p(\Omega_{X/k}^{p} \otimes_k k) \bigoplus (\mathcal{H}_Y^p(\Omega_{X/k}^{p} \otimes_k m_A).$$

This implies that

Lemma 3.18. With notation as above, $\overline{\mathcal{H}_0}(O_{X \times A} \text{ on } Y)$ is

$$(\mathcal{H}_Y^p(\Omega_{X/k}^{p} \otimes_k m_A) \bigoplus_{j_1 + j_2 = p} \mathcal{H}_Y^p(\Omega_{X/k}^{j_1} \otimes_k \Omega_{A/k}^{j_2}).$$

Consequently, $\mathbb{H}^p(A)$ is

$$(H^0(X, \mathcal{H}_Y^p(\Omega_{X/k}^{p}) \otimes_k m_A) \bigoplus_{j_1 + j_2 = p} H^0(X, \mathcal{H}_Y^p(\Omega_{X/k}^{j_1}) \otimes_k \Omega_{A/k}^{j_2}).$$
Remark 3.19. Let $\Omega^p_{X \times A/k}$ denote the kernel of the morphism $\Omega^p_{X \times A/k} \to \Omega^p_{X/k}$ induced by the augmentation map $A \to k$. Using the isomorphism (3.10), one sees that

$$\Omega^p_{X \times A/k} = (\Omega^p_{X/k} \otimes_k m_A) \bigoplus \left( \bigoplus_{l_1 + l_2 = p} \Omega^l_{X/k} \otimes_k \Omega^{l_2}_{A/k} \right).$$

By Lemma 3.18, $\mathcal{H}^p_{\mathcal{H}_0}(O_{X \times A} \text{ on } Y)$ is isomorphic to the local cohomology sheaf $\mathcal{H}^p_Y(\Omega^p_{X \times A/k})$ and there is an isomorphism

$$\mathcal{H}^p_H(A) \cong H^0(X, \mathcal{H}^p_Y(\Omega^p_{X \times A/k})).$$

Let $f : B \to A$ be a morphism in the category $\text{Art}_k$, there exists a commutative diagram (following from commutative diagrams (3.6) and (3.7) on page 15)

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
\text{Hilb}(B) & \xrightarrow{r_{\text{Roch}}B \circ \text{ch}B} & \mathcal{H}^p_H(B) \\
\downarrow f_{\text{Hilb}} & & \downarrow \mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{H}^p_H} \\
\text{Hilb}(A) & \xrightarrow{r_A \circ \text{ch}A \circ \alpha_A} & \mathcal{H}^p_H(A).
\end{array}$$

This shows that

Lemma 3.20. With notation as above, there exists a natural transformation between functors of Artin rings

$$\mathcal{T}^p : \text{Hilb} \to \mathcal{H}^p_H,$$

which is defined to be, for $A \in \text{Art}_k$,

$$\mathcal{T}^p(A) = r_A \circ \text{ch}_A \circ \alpha_A : \text{Hilb}(A) \to \mathcal{H}^p_H(A).$$

A natural transformation between two functors of Artin rings induces a map of the universal obstruction spaces (see the Remark on page 550 of [9]). Hence, the above natural transformation $\mathcal{T}^p$ induces a map of the universal obstruction spaces (as pointed sets)

$$O_{\text{Hilb}} \to O_{\mathcal{H}^p_H}.$$

Lemma 3.21. Let $e : 0 \to M \to B \xrightarrow{f} A \to 0$ be any small extension, the map $\mathcal{H}^p_H(B) \to \mathcal{H}^p_H(A)$ induced by $f$ is surjective.

Proof. Since $f : B \to A$ is surjective, for each integer $i \geq 0$, the induced map $\Omega^i_{B/k} \to \Omega^i_{A/k}$ is a surjective morphism of $k$-vector spaces. Since $f$ is a local morphism, the induced map $m_B \to m_A$ is surjective.

It follows from Lemma 3.18 that $\mathcal{H}^p_H(B) \to \mathcal{H}^p_H(A)$ is surjective. \qed
One immediately sees that

**Theorem 3.22.** The functor of Artin rings $\mathbb{H}^{(p)}$ is smooth in the sense of Definition 2.13.

It follows from Lemma 2.14 that the universal obstruction theory of the functor $\mathbb{H}^{(p)}$ is trivial, which is complete and linear.

**Corollary 3.23.** The universal obstruction space $O_{\mathbb{H}^{(p)}}$ is a trivial space.

By Corollary 2.19, $O_{\text{Hilb}}$ is a vector space. Hence, the map (3.13) is a trivial morphism of vector spaces. In summary,

**Theorem 3.24.** The natural transformation $T^p(A)$ is a trivial morphism of the universal obstruction spaces

(3.14)

$$O_{\text{Hilb}} \to O_{\mathbb{H}^{(p)}};$$

where both $O_{\text{Hilb}}$ and $O_{\mathbb{H}^{(p)}}$ are vector spaces.

By Remark 3.13 and Remark 3.19, the map $r_A \circ ch_A \circ \alpha_A$ in Lemma 3.15 can be written as

(3.15) $\text{Hilb}(A) \xrightarrow{\alpha_A} H^0(X, K_0^{(p)}(O_{X \times A} \text{ on } Y)) \xrightarrow{ch_A} H^0(X, \mathcal{H}_Y^p(\Omega^p_{X \times A/k})) \xrightarrow{r_A} H^0(X, \mathcal{H}_Y^p(\Omega^p_{X \times A/k})).$

For later purpose, we need to describe the map $r_A \circ ch_A \circ \alpha_A$.

We first describe the map $ch_A$, which can be done by a construction of Angéniol and Lejeune-Jalabert [1]. An element of $H^0(X, K_0^{(p)}(O_{X \times A} \text{ on } Y))$ is a Čech 0-cycle $(M_i)_i$, where $M_i \in \Gamma(U_i, K_0^{(p)}(O_{X \times A} \text{ on } Y))$ is represented by a strict perfect complex $L_i^\bullet$.

$$0 \longrightarrow L_n \xrightarrow{M_n} L_{n-1} \xrightarrow{M_{n-1}} \ldots \xrightarrow{M_2} L_1 \xrightarrow{M_1} L_0 \longrightarrow 0,$$

whose homology supported on $Y \cap U_i$. Here each $L_i$’s are free $O_{X \times A}(U_i)$-modules of finite rank and $M_i$’s are matrices with entries in $O_{X \times A}(U_i)$.

**Definition 3.25** (Page 24 in [1]). Let $p$ be any positive integer, the local fundamental class attached to this perfect complex $L_i^\bullet$ is defined to be the following collection

$$[L_i^\bullet]_{\text{loc}} = \{\frac{1}{p!}dM_l \circ dM_{l+1} \circ \cdots \circ dM_{l+p-1}\}, l = 1, 2, \cdots,$$

where $d = d_k$ and each $dM_l$ is the matrix of differentials. In other words,

$$dM_l \in \text{Hom}(F_l, F_{l-1} \otimes \Omega^1_{O_{X \times A}(U_i/k)}).$$
By Lemma 3.1.1 (on page 24) and Definition 3.4 (on page 29) in [1], the local fundamental class \([L^i_\bullet]_{loc}\) defines a cycle of the complex \(\mathcal{H}om(L^i_\bullet, \Omega^{p}_{O_{X \times A}(U_i)/k} \otimes L^i_\bullet)\) and its image (still denoted \([L^i_\bullet]_{loc}\)) in \(\mathcal{E}XT^p(L^i_\bullet, \Omega^{p}_{O_{X \times A}(U_i)/k} \otimes L^i_\bullet)\), which is the \(p\)-th cohomology of the complex \(\mathcal{H}om(L^i_\bullet, \Omega^{p}_{O_{X \times A}(U_i)/k} \otimes L^i_\bullet)\), does not depend on the choice of the basis of \(L^i_\bullet\).

Since \(L^i_\bullet\) is supported on \(Y \cap U_i\), by the discussion after Definition 2.3.1 on page 98-99 in [1], there exists a trace map \(\text{Tr} : \mathcal{E}XT^p(L^i_\bullet, \Omega^{p}_{O_{X \times A}(U_i)/k} \otimes L^i_\bullet) \to \Gamma(U_i, \mathcal{H}^p_Y(\Omega^{p}_{X \times A/k}))\).

**Definition 3.26** (Definition 2.3.2 on page 99 in [1]). The image of \([L^i_\bullet]_{loc}\) under the above trace map \(\text{Tr}\), denoted \(V_{L^i_\bullet}\), is called Newton class.

The Grothendieck group of a triangulated category is the monoid of isomorphism objects modulo the submonoid formed from distinguished triangles.

**Lemma 3.27** (Proposition 4.3.1 on page 113 in [1]). The Newton class \(V_{L^i_\bullet}\) is well-defined on the Grothendieck group \(K_0(O_{X \times A}(U_i) on Y \cap U_i)).

**Definition 3.28.** One uses Newton class \(V_{L^i_\bullet}\) to defines a morphism

\[
\rho_i : \Gamma(U_i, \mathcal{K}^{0(p)}_{(O_{X \times A} on Y)}) \to \Gamma(U_i, \mathcal{H}^p_Y(\Omega^{p}_{X \times A/k}))
\]

\[
L^i_\bullet \quad \to \quad V_{L^i_\bullet}
\]

These morphisms \(\rho_i\)'s patch to give a morphism, which describes the map \(ch_A\) in (3.15).

**Remark 3.29.** Let \(X\) be a noetherian scheme and \(Y \subset X\) be a closed subscheme with ideal sheaf \(J\), for \(\mathcal{F}\) a quasi-coherent \(O_X\)-module, there is an isomorphism

\[
H^p_Y(X, \mathcal{F}) = \lim_{n \to \infty} Ext^p(O_X/J^n, \mathcal{F}).
\]

It follows that there exists a morphism

\[
\iota : Ext^p(O_X/J, \mathcal{F}) \to H^p_Y(X, \mathcal{F}).
\]

For any element \(\beta \in Ext^p(O_X/J, \mathcal{F})\), we denote by \([\beta]\) the image \(\iota(\beta)\) and call \([\beta]\) the limit of \(\beta\).

Now, we are ready to give an description of the composition (3.15). In notations of Setting 3.3 for any element \(Y' \in \mathbb{H}_{ilb}(A)\), \(Y' \cap U_i\) is given by a regular sequence \(f_{i1}^A, \ldots, f_{ip}^A\) of \(O_{X \times A}(U_i)\). Let \(L^i_{\bullet, A}\) be the
Koszul complex of the regular sequence \( f_{i_1}^A, \ldots, f_{i_p}^A \). By Definition 3.4, \( \alpha_A(Y') = (L^i_{i,A})_i \).

Let \( L_i^A \) denote the Koszul complex associated to the regular sequence \( f_{i_1}, \ldots, f_{i_p} \), which is a resolution of \( O_X(U_i)/(f_{i_1}, \ldots, f_{i_p}) \) and has the form

\[
L_i^A : 0 \to L_p^i \to \cdots \to L_0^i \to 0,
\]

where each \( L_i^j \) is defined as usual. In particular, \( L_p^i = \wedge^p(O_X(U_i)^{\oplus p}) \cong O_X(U_i) \) and \( L_0^i = O_X(U_i) \).

Let \( \omega_i^A = df_{i_1}^A \wedge \cdots \wedge df_{i_p}^A \), the following diagram (denoted \( \beta_i^A \)),

\[
\begin{aligned}
L^i & \longrightarrow O_X(U_i)/(f_{i_1}, \ldots, f_{i_p}) \longrightarrow 0 \\
L_p^i & \longrightarrow \omega_i^A \longrightarrow L_0^i \otimes \Omega_X^{p, A}(U_i),
\end{aligned}
\]
defines an element in \( Ext^p(O_X(U_i)/(f_{i_1}, \ldots, f_{i_p}), \Omega_X^{p, A}(U_i)) \). The limit \( [\beta_i^A] \in \Gamma(U_i, \mathcal{H}_Y^p(\Omega_X^{p, A}(U_i))) \) (see Remark 3.19) of \( \beta_i^A \) is the Newton class \( \mathcal{U}_{L_i^A,A} \) attached to the Koszul complex \( L_i^A \), i.e., \( ch_A(L_i^A) = [\beta_i^A] \).

Let \( \overline{\omega}_i^A \) be the image of \( \omega_i^A \) under the morphism \( \Gamma(U_i, \Omega_X^{p, A}(U_i)) \to \Gamma(U_i, \overline{\Omega}_X^{p, A}(U_i)) \), where \( \overline{\Omega}_X^{p, A}(U_i) \) is the kernel of \( \Omega_X^{p, A}(U_i) \to \Omega_X^{p, A}(U_i) \) as in Remark 3.19. Concretely, \( \overline{\omega}_i^A = \omega_i^A - df_{i_1} \wedge \cdots \wedge df_{i_p} \). The following diagram (denoted \( \mathcal{U}_{L_i^A,A} \),

\[
\begin{aligned}
L^i & \longrightarrow O_X(U_i)/(f_{i_1}, \ldots, f_{i_p}) \longrightarrow 0 \\
L_p^i & \longrightarrow \overline{\omega}_i^A \longrightarrow L_0^i \otimes \overline{\Omega}_X^{p, A}(U_i),
\end{aligned}
\]
defines an element in \( Ext^p(O_X(U_i)/(f_{i_1}, \ldots, f_{i_p}), \overline{\Omega}_X^{p, A}(U_i)) \). The limit \( [\overline{\beta}_i^A] \in \Gamma(U_i, \mathcal{H}_Y^p(\overline{\Omega}_X^{p, A}(U_i))) \) of \( \overline{\beta}_i^A \) is \( r_A([\beta_i^A]) \), i.e., \( r_A \circ ch_A(L_i^{A}) = [\overline{\beta}_i^A] \).

**Lemma 3.30.** With notation as above, for any \( Y' \in \text{Hilb}(A) \), \( r_A \circ ch_A \circ \alpha_A(Y') \) can be given by a Čech 0-cocycle:

\[
r_A \circ ch_A \circ \alpha_A(Y') = ([\overline{\beta}_i^A])_i.
\]

4. Semi-regularity map and obstructions

In this section, we reconstruct the semi-regularity map and prove Conjecture 1.1. We keep the notation of Setting 3.3 and also write \( U_i \times k[\varepsilon] = U_i \times \text{Spec}(k) \text{ Spec}(k[\varepsilon]) \).
4.1. **Reconstruct semi-regularity map.** The following Lemma will be used below.

**Lemma 4.1** (page 59 of [2]). For each integer \( m \geq 0 \), there is an isomorphism

\[
H^m(X, \mathcal{H}_Y^p(\Omega_{Y/X}^{p-1})) = H^p(X, \Omega_{X/k}^{p-1}).
\]

where \( \mathcal{H}_Y^p(\Omega_{X/k}^{p-1}) \) is the local cohomology sheaf.

Both the infinitesimal Abel-Jacobi map and the semi-regularity map can be described in terms of local cohomology. Let \( \mathcal{I} \) be the ideal sheaf of \( Y \) in \( X \), the normal bundle \( N_{Y/X} \) is defined to be \( \mathcal{H}om_Y(\mathcal{I}/\mathcal{I}^2, \mathcal{O}_Y) \). According to Bloch [2] (page 61), there is a morphism of sheaves

\[
\phi: N_{Y/X} \to \mathcal{H}_Y^p(\Omega_{X/k}^{p-1})
\]

defined by contraction with the cycle class. Concretely, given \( \mu \in \Gamma(U_i, N_{Y/X}) \), the morphism \( \phi(\mu) \) can be described as (see equality (3) on page 201 of [5])

\[
\phi(\mu) = \sum_{l=1}^{l=p} (-1)^{l-1} \tilde{\mu}(f_{il}) df_{i1} \wedge \cdots \wedge df_{ip},
\]

where \( \tilde{\mu}(f_{il}) \in O_X(U_i) \) is a lifting of \( \mu(f_{il}) \in O_Y(U_i) \) and \( df_{il} \) means to omit \( df_{il} \). It can be checked that \( \phi(\mu) \) gives a well-defined class in \( \Gamma(U_i, \mathcal{H}_Y^p(\Omega_{X/k}^{p-1})) \).

For each integer \( m \geq 0 \), the morphism \( \phi \) induces maps (still denoted \( \phi \)) on cohomology groups

\[
H^m(N_{Y/X}) \xrightarrow{\phi} H^m(X, \mathcal{H}_Y^p(\Omega_{X/k}^{p-1})).
\]

Composing \( \phi \) with the natural map \( H^{p+m}(X, \Omega_{X/k}^{p-1}) \xrightarrow{L} H^{p+m}(X, \Omega_{X/k}^{p-1}) \), one obtains the composition

\[
H^m(N_{Y/X}) \xrightarrow{\phi} H^m(X, \mathcal{H}_Y^p(\Omega_{X/k}^{p-1})) \xrightarrow{L} H^{p+m}(X, \Omega_{X/k}^{p-1}).
\]

When \( m = 0 \) and \( 1 \), the above composition gives the infinitesimal Abel-Jacobi map and the semi-regularity map respectively.

We reconstruct the semi-regularity map by reconstructing the morphism \( \phi \) (4.2) above. The first step is to identify \( \Gamma(U_i, N_{Y/X}) \) with first order infinitesimal embedded deformations of \( Y \cap U_i \) in \( U_i \times k[\varepsilon] \).

**Lemma 4.2** (Theorem 2.4 in [12]). The elements of \( \Gamma(U_i, N_{Y/X}) \) are naturally one-to-one correspondence with first order infinitesimal embedded deformations of \( Y \cap U_i \) in \( U_i \times k[\varepsilon] \).

\footnote{Here we use the isomorphism (4.1).}
The one-to-one correspondence in Lemma 4.2 can be described as follows. An element of $\Gamma(U_i, N_{Y/X})$ is given by a morphism $\mu : \mathcal{I}(U_i) \to O_Y(U_i)$, which is determined by its images on $f_{i_1}, \ldots, f_{i_p}$. Let $\tilde{\mu}(f_{i_l}) \in O_X(U_i)$ be a lifting of $\mu(f_{i_l})$, where $l = 1, \ldots, p$, then the regular sequence $f_{i_1} + \varepsilon \tilde{\mu}(f_{i_1}), \ldots, f_{i_p} + \varepsilon \tilde{\mu}(f_{i_p})$ gives a first order infinitesimal embedded deformation $(Y \cap U_i)^\prime$.

If $\tilde{\mu}(f_{i_l}) \in O_X(U_i)$ is a different lifting $\mu(f_{i_l})$, the regular sequence $f_{i_1} + \varepsilon \tilde{\mu}(f_{i_1}), \ldots, f_{i_p} + \varepsilon \tilde{\mu}(f_{i_p})$ gives the same infinitesimal embedded deformation $(Y \cap U_i)^\prime$. Hence, there is a well-defined map

$$\psi : \Gamma(U_i, N_{Y/X}) \to \mathbb{H}ilb^U_{Y \cap U_i}(k[\varepsilon]),$$

where $\mathbb{H}ilb^U_{Y \cap U_i}(k[\varepsilon])$ is the set of the first order infinitesimal embedded deformation of $Y \cap U_i$ in $U_i \times k[\varepsilon]$.

Composing the map $\psi$ with the following maps (see Lemma 3.15)

$$\mathbb{H}ilb^U_{Y \cap U_i}(k[\varepsilon]) \xrightarrow{\alpha_{k[\varepsilon]}} \Gamma(U_i, \mathfrak{k}_0^{(p)}(O_{X \times k[\varepsilon]} \text{ on } Y)) \xrightarrow{\mathfrak{ch}_{k[\varepsilon]}} \Gamma(U_i, \mathcal{H}_0^{(p)}(O_{X \times k[\varepsilon]} \text{ on } Y)) \xrightarrow{r_{k[\varepsilon]}} \Gamma(U_i, \mathcal{H}_{0}^{(p)}(O_{X \times k[\varepsilon]} \text{ on } Y)),$$

one obtains a set-theoretic map

$$\pi_i : \Gamma(U_i, N_{Y/X}) \to \Gamma(U_i, \mathcal{H}_{0}^{(p)}(O_{X \times k[\varepsilon]} \text{ on } Y)).$$

Let $A = k[\varepsilon]$ in (3.15), one sees that the map $\pi_i$ has the form

$$\pi_i : \Gamma(U_i, N_{Y/X}) \to \Gamma(U_i, \mathcal{H}_{Y}^{(p)}(\Omega_{X \times k[\varepsilon]/k}^{p})), $$

which is the composition

$$\Gamma(U_i, N_{Y/X}) \xrightarrow{\psi} \mathbb{H}ilb^U_{Y \cap U_i}(k[\varepsilon]) \xrightarrow{\alpha_{k[\varepsilon]}} \Gamma(U_i, \mathfrak{k}_0^{(p)}(O_{X \times k[\varepsilon]} \text{ on } Y)) \xrightarrow{\mathfrak{ch}_{k[\varepsilon]}} \Gamma(U_i, \mathcal{H}_{0}^{(p)}(O_{X \times k[\varepsilon]/k}))).$$

Here $\Omega_{X \times k[\varepsilon]/k}^{p}$ is the direct sum

$$\Omega_{X/k}^{p} \otimes_k (\varepsilon) \oplus (\Omega_{X/k}^{p-1} \otimes_k \Omega_{k[\varepsilon]/k}^{1}),$$

and $(\varepsilon)$ is the maximal ideal of $k[\varepsilon]$.

**Lemma 4.3.** The map $\pi_i : \Gamma(U_i, N_{Y/X}) \to \Gamma(U_i, \mathcal{H}_{Y}^{(p)}(\Omega_{X \times k[\varepsilon]/k}^{p}))$ is a homomorphism of abelian groups.

**Proof.** Given an element $\mu \in \Gamma(U_i, N_{Y/X})$, $\psi(\mu)$ is a deformation of $Y \cap U_i$ given by the regular sequence $f_{i_1} + \varepsilon \tilde{\mu}(f_{i_1}), \ldots, f_{i_p} + \varepsilon \tilde{\mu}(f_{i_p})$. In
notation of Lemma 3.30, \( \pi_i(\mu) = r_{k[\varepsilon]} \circ c_{k[\varepsilon]} \circ \alpha_{k[\varepsilon]}(\psi(\mu)) \) is the limit of the following diagram

\[
\begin{cases}
L_i^* & \longrightarrow & O_X(U_i)/(f_{i_1} \cdots f_{i_p}) & \longrightarrow & 0 \\
L_i^p & \overset{\omega_1 + \omega_2 \varepsilon}{\longrightarrow} & L_0^i \otimes \Omega_{X \times k[\varepsilon]/k}^p(U_i),
\end{cases}
\]

where \( \omega_1 \) and \( \omega_2 \) are as follows

\[
\omega_1 = \sum_{l=1}^{l=p} df_{i_1} \wedge \cdots \wedge df_{i(l-1)} \wedge d\mu(f_{i_l}) \wedge df_{i(l+1)} \wedge \cdots \wedge df_{i_p},
\]

\[
\omega_2 = \sum_{l=1}^{l=p} (-1)^{p-1} \hat{\mu}(f_{i_l}) df_{i_1} \wedge \cdots \wedge \hat{\mu}(f_{i_l}) \wedge \cdots \wedge df_{i_p}.
\]

It immediately follows that \( \pi_i \) is a homomorphism of abelian groups. \( \square \)

These morphisms \( \pi_i \)'s patch to give a morphism of sheaves,

\[
\pi : N_{Y/X} \to \mathcal{H}_Y^p(\Omega_{X \times k[\varepsilon]/k}^p).
\]

The contraction map \( \Omega_{k[\varepsilon]/k}^1 \to k \) induces a morphism

\[
\| : \mathcal{H}_Y^p(\Omega_{X \times k[\varepsilon]/k}^p) \to \mathcal{H}_Y^p(\Omega_{X/k}^{p-1}).
\]

**Corollary 4.4.** The composition of morphisms of sheaves

\[
N_{Y/X} \overset{\pi}{\to} \mathcal{H}_Y^p(\Omega_{X \times k[\varepsilon]/k}^p) \overset{\|}{\to} \mathcal{H}_Y^p(\Omega_{X/k}^{p-1})
\]

agrees with the morphism \( N_{Y/X} \overset{\phi(4.2)}{\to} \mathcal{H}_Y^p(\Omega_{X/k}^{p-1}) \) in the sense that

\[\| \circ \pi = (-1)^{p-1}\phi.\]

In other words, when \( p \) is odd, \( \| \circ \pi = \phi \); when \( p \) is even, \( \| \circ \pi = (-1)\phi \).

**Proof.** In notation of the proof of Lemma 4.3, the composition \( \| \circ \pi(\mu) \) locally on \( U_i \) is given by the following diagram

\[
\begin{cases}
L_i^* & \longrightarrow & O_X(U_i)/(f_{i_1} \cdots f_{i_p}) & \longrightarrow & 0 \\
L_i^p & \overset{\omega_2}{\longrightarrow} & L_0^i \otimes \Omega_{O_X(U_i)/k}^{p-1}.
\end{cases}
\]

Comparing with the description of \( \phi \) (on page 26), one sees that \( \| \circ \pi = (-1)^{p-1}\phi. \)

Since the infinitesimal Abel-Jacobi map is the composition

\[
H^0(Y, N_{Y/X}) \overset{\phi}{\to} H^0(X, \mathcal{H}_Y^p(\Omega_{X/k}^{p-1})) \overset{L}{\to} H^p(X, \Omega_{X/k}^{p-1}),
\]
it follows from Corollary 4.4 that the infinitesimal Abel-Jacobi map agrees with the composition
\[ H^0(Y, N_{Y/X}) \cong H^0(X, \mathcal{H}_Y^p(\Omega_{X/k}^p)) \xrightarrow{\pi} H^0(X, \mathcal{H}_Y^p(\Omega_{X/k}^{p-1})) \xrightarrow{\tau} H^p(X, \Omega_{X/k}^{p-1}). \]

It is well known that the Zariski tangent space \( \mathcal{H}ilb(k[\varepsilon]) \) of the local Hilbert functor \( \mathcal{H}ilb \) can be identified with \( H^0(Y, N_{Y/X}) \). Moreover, it follows from Lemma 3.18 and Remark 3.19 that the tangent space \( \mathcal{H}ilb^{(p)}(k[\varepsilon]) \) of the functor \( \mathcal{H}ilb^{(p)} \) is \( H^0(X, \mathcal{H}_Y^p(\Omega_{X/k}^p)) \), where \( \Omega_{X/k}^p \) is (4.3). Hence, the morphism \( \pi \) is a morphism between tangent spaces of functors of Artin rings.

To summarize, we have proved the following theorem which answers (after slight modification) part (I) of Question 1.3.

**Theorem 4.5.** The infinitesimal Abel-Jacobi map agrees with the composition
\[ H^0(Y, N_{Y/X}) \cong H^0(X, \mathcal{H}_Y^p(\Omega_{X/k}^p)) \xrightarrow{\pi} H^0(X, \mathcal{H}_Y^p(\Omega_{X/k}^{p-1})) \xrightarrow{\tau} H^p(X, \Omega_{X/k}^{p-1}), \]
where \( \pi \) is a map between tangent spaces of two functors of Artin rings \( \mathcal{H}ilb \) and \( \mathcal{H}ilb^{(p)} \).

The morphism \( \pi \circ \tau \) (4.5) induces morphisms on sheaf cohomologies
\[ H^1(Y, N_{Y/X}) \xrightarrow{\pi} H^1(X, \mathcal{H}_Y^p(\Omega_{X/k}^p)) \xrightarrow{\tau} H^1(X, \mathcal{H}_Y^p(\Omega_{X/k}^{p-1})). \]

Since the semi-regularity map is the composition
\[ H^1(Y, N_{Y/X}) \xrightarrow{\phi} H^1(X, \mathcal{H}_Y^p(\Omega_{X/k}^p)) \xrightarrow{\tau} H^{p+1}(X, \Omega_{X/k}^{p-1}), \]

it follows from Corollary 4.4 that

**Lemma 4.6.** The semi-regularity map agrees with the composition
\[ H^1(Y, N_{Y/X}) \xrightarrow{\pi} H^1(X, \mathcal{H}_Y^p(\Omega_{X/k}^p)) \xrightarrow{\tau} H^1(X, \mathcal{H}_Y^p(\Omega_{X/k}^{p-1})) \xrightarrow{\tau} H^{p+1}(X, \Omega_{X/k}^{p-1}). \]

We have seen that \( H^1(Y, N_{Y/X}) \) is an obstruction space in Lemma 2.16. To interpret \( H^1(X, \mathcal{H}_Y^p(\Omega_{X/k}^p)) \) as an obstruction space of the functor \( \mathcal{H}ilb^{(p)} \), we study obstruction theory of \( \mathcal{H}ilb^{(p)} \).

4.2. **Obstruction space.** The notation of Setting 3.3 is still used in this subsection. By Remark 2.4, every obstruction theory of the functor \( \mathcal{H}ilb^{(p)} \) is determined by its behavior on principal small extensions. Let \( e \) be a principal small extension
\[ e : 0 \to (\eta) \to B \xrightarrow{f} A \to 0, \]
where \( \eta^2 = 0 \) (in other words, \( (\eta) \cong k \)). By Definition 3.16, an element \( \alpha^A \in \mathcal{H}ilb^{(p)}(A) \) has the form \( \alpha^A = (\alpha^A_i)_i \), where \( \alpha^A_i \) is an element of...
\(\Gamma(U, \HH^{(p)}_0(O_{X_A} \text{ on } Y))\) satisfying that \(\alpha^A_i = \alpha^A_j\) over the intersection \(U_{ij} = U_i \cap U_j\).

By mimicking the proof of Lemma 3.21 one sees that the morphism \(\Gamma(U, \HH^{(p)}_0(O_{X_B} \text{ on } Y)) \rightarrow \Gamma(U, \HH^{(p)}_0(O_{X_A} \text{ on } Y))\) induced by \(f\) is surjective. Hence, one can lift \(\alpha^A_i\) to \(\alpha^B_i \in \Gamma(U_i, \HH^{(p)}_0(O_{X_B} \text{ on } Y))\).

The kernel of the morphism (induced by \(f\)) \(m_B \rightarrow m_A\) is \((\eta)\). For a positive integer \(j_2\) satisfying \(0 < j_2 \leq p\), let \(W_{j_2}\) denote the kernel of morphism of \(k\)-vector spaces \(\Omega^{j_2}_{B/k} \rightarrow \Omega^{j_2}_{A/k}\) and let \(W\) be the sheaf

\[
W = (\Omega^p_{X/k} \otimes_k (\eta)) \bigoplus_{j_2 > 0} \left( \Omega^{i_k}_{X/k} \otimes_k W_{j_2} \right).
\]

Let \(\bar{f} : \Gamma(U_{i,j}, \HH^{(p)}_0(O_{X \times B} \text{ on } Y)) \rightarrow \Gamma(U_{i,j}, \HH^{(p)}_0(O_{X \times A} \text{ on } Y))\) be the morphism induced by \(f\), it follows from Lemma 3.18 that the kernel of \(\bar{f}\) is \(\Gamma(U_{i,j}, \mathcal{H}^p_Y(W))\), where \(\mathcal{H}^p_Y(W)\) denotes the local cohomology sheaf.

The difference of two liftings \(\alpha^B_i\) and \(\alpha^B_j\) over the intersection \(U_{i,j}\) satisfies that

\[
\bar{f}(\alpha^B_i - \alpha^B_j) = \alpha^A_i - \alpha^A_j = 0.
\]

This shows that \(\alpha^B_i - \alpha^B_j\) lies in the kernel of \(\bar{f}\), i.e., \((\alpha^B_i - \alpha^B_j) \in \Gamma(U_{i,j}, \mathcal{H}^p_Y(W))\). On the intersection \(U_{ijk} = U_i \cap U_j \cap U_k\),

\[
\alpha^B_i - \alpha^B_k = (\alpha^B_i - \alpha^B_j) + (\alpha^B_j - \alpha^B_k),
\]

where \(\alpha^B_k \in \Gamma(U_k, \HH^{(p)}_0(O_{X \times B} \text{ on } Y))\) is a lifting of \(\alpha^A_k\). This shows that \((\alpha^B_i - \alpha^B_j)\) forms a Čech 1-cocycle \((\alpha^B_i - \alpha^B_j)_{i,j}\).

If \(\alpha'^B_i \in \Gamma(U_i, \HH^{(p)}_0(O_{X \times B} \text{ on } Y))\) is a different lifting of \(\alpha^A_i\), on the intersection \(U_i \cap U_j\),

\[
\alpha^B_i - \alpha^B_j = (\alpha^B_i - \alpha'^B_i) + (\alpha'^B_i - \alpha'^B_j) - (\alpha^B_j - \alpha'^B_j).
\]

Since \(((\alpha^B_i - \alpha'^B_i) - (\alpha^B_j - \alpha'^B_j))_{i,j}\) is a 1-coboundary, the Čech 1-cocycles \((\alpha^B_i - \alpha^B_j)_{i,j}\) and \((\alpha'^B_i - \alpha'^B_j)_{i,j}\) define the same cohomology class of \(H^1(X, \mathcal{H}^p_Y(W))\), denoted \(\{\alpha^B_i - \alpha^B_j\}_{i,j}\). This shows that the cohomology class \(\{\alpha^B_i - \alpha^B_j\}_{i,j}\) is independent of the choice of lifting \(\alpha^B_i\).

**Definition 4.7.** With notation as above, one defines a map\(^5\)

\[
\delta_1 : \HH^{(p)}(A) \rightarrow H^1(X, \mathcal{H}^p_Y(W))
\]

\[
(\alpha^A_i) \rightarrow \{\alpha^B_i - \alpha^B_j\}_{i,j}.
\]

\(^5\)We will show that this is a trivial map below.
It is obvious that $d\eta$ lies in the kernel of the morphism $\Omega^1_{X/k} \to \Omega^1_{\Lambda/k}$, i.e., $d\eta \in W_1$. The subspace generated by $d\eta$ is a one-dimensional subspace of $W_1$, which can be identified with $\Omega^1_{k[\eta]/k}$ (as a $k$-vector space).

Let $h : W_1 \to \Omega^1_{k[\eta]/k}$ be the projection of $k$-vector spaces. The morphisms $(\eta) \xrightarrow{\sim} (\eta)$ and $W_1 \xrightarrow{h} \Omega^1_{k[\eta]/k}$ induce a morphism of sheaves

\[(4.8) \quad \mathcal{W} \to (\Omega^p_{X/k} \otimes_k (\eta)) \oplus (\Omega^p_{X/k} \otimes_k \Omega^1_{k[\eta]/k}).\]

Since both $\Omega^1_{k[\eta]/k}$ and $(\eta)$ are one dimensional $k$-vector spaces, one identifies $\Omega^1_{k[\eta]/k}$ with $(\eta)$ and sees that there is a morphism of sheaves

\[\mathcal{W} \to V \otimes_k (\eta),\]

where $V = \Omega^p_{X/k} \bigoplus \Omega^p_{X/k}$, which induces a morphism on cohomology

\[\delta_2 : H^1(X, \mathcal{H}^p_Y(W)) \to H^1(X, \mathcal{H}^p_Y(V)) \otimes_k (\eta).\]

For $k[\varepsilon]$ the ring of dual numbers, both $\Omega^1_{k[\varepsilon]/k}$ and the maximal ideal $(\varepsilon)$ are one dimensional $k$-vector spaces. There is an isomorphism of sheaves $V \cong \mathcal{H}^p_{X \times k[\varepsilon]/k}$, where $\mathcal{H}^p_{X \times k[\varepsilon]/k}$ is (4.3), which induces an isomorphism on cohomology

\[i : H^1(X, \mathcal{H}^p_Y(V)) \otimes_k (\eta) \to H^1(X, \mathcal{H}^p_Y(\mathcal{H}^p_{X \times k[\varepsilon]/k})) \otimes_k (\eta).\]

**Definition 4.8.** With notation as above, one defines a map

\[(4.9) \quad \delta_\varepsilon : \mathcal{H}\mathcal{H}((p) (A) \times (\tilde{\eta}) \to H^1(X, \mathcal{H}^p_Y(\mathcal{H}^p_{X \times k[\varepsilon]/k})))\]

to be the composition

\[\mathcal{H}\mathcal{H}((p) (A) \times (\tilde{\eta}) \xrightarrow{(\text{id}_A \otimes \delta_2)_{(1)}} H^1(X, \mathcal{H}^p_Y(\mathcal{H}^p_{X \times k[\varepsilon]/k})) \otimes_k (\eta)) \times (\eta) \xrightarrow{\tilde{\eta}} H^1(X, \mathcal{H}^p_Y(\mathcal{H}^p_{X \times k[\varepsilon]/k})),\]

where $(\tilde{\eta})$ is the dual space of the $k$-vector space $(\eta)$ and $\tilde{\delta}(x \otimes \eta, g) = g(\eta)x$.

**Lemma 4.9.** There exists the following commutative diagram of sets

\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
\mathcal{H}\text{Hilb}(A) \times (\tilde{\eta}) & \xrightarrow{(\mathcal{T}((p) (A))_1)} & \mathcal{H}\mathcal{H}((p) (A) \times (\tilde{\eta}) \\
\downarrow v_\varepsilon & & \downarrow \delta_\varepsilon \\
H^1(Y, N_{Y/X}) & \xrightarrow{\pi_{(4.6)}} & H^1(X, \mathcal{H}^p_Y(\mathcal{H}^p_{X \times k[\varepsilon]/k})),
\end{array}
\]

where $T((p) (A) = r_A \circ ch_A \circ \alpha_A$, see Lemma 3.20.

**Proof.** For $Y' \in \mathcal{H}\text{Hilb}(A)$ and $g \in (\tilde{\eta})$, we need to prove that $\pi \circ v_\varepsilon(Y', g) = \delta_\varepsilon \circ (T((p) (A), 1)(Y', g))$. 


In notation of Setting 3.3, the regular sequence $f_{A1}^i, \ldots, f_{Ap}^i$ can be lifted to a regular sequence $f_{B1}^i, \ldots, f_{Bp}^i$ of $O_X(U_i) \otimes_k B$. On the intersection $U_{ij} = U_i \cap U_j$, two liftings $f_{A1}^i, \ldots, f_{Ap}^i$ and $f_{B1}^j, \ldots, f_{Bp}^j$ satisfy that $f_{B1}^i - f_{B1}^j = \eta h_{ij},$ where $h_{ij} \in O_X(U_{ij})$ and $l = 1, \ldots, p$.

Let $h_{ijl}'$ be the image of $h_{ijl}$ in $O_Y(U_{ij})$. By the definition of $v_e(2.2)$, $v_e(Y', g)$ is given by a Čech 1-cocycle formed from $g(\eta)\mu_{ij}$, where $\mu_{ij} \in \Gamma(U_{ij}, \mathcal{N}_{Y/X})$ is the morphism mapping $f_{ij}$ to $h_{ijl}'$, see page 9 for details.

Let $L_{ij}^p$ be the Koszul resolution of $O_X(U_{ij})/(f_{i1}, \ldots, f_{ip})$, which has the form

$$L_{ij}^p : 0 \rightarrow L_{ip}^p \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow L_{ij}^0 \rightarrow 0.$$ 

The description of $\pi \circ v_e(Y', g)$ has been essentially given in the proof of Lemma 4.3. Concretely, it is trivial that $h_{ij}$ is a lifting of $\mu_{ij}(f_{ij}) = h_{ijl}'$ to $O_X(U_{ij})$, let $\omega_{ij}^1$ and $\omega_{ij}^2$ be as follows

$$(4.10) \quad \omega_{ij}^1 = \sum_{l=1}^{l=p} df_{i1} \wedge \cdots \wedge df_{i(l-1)} \wedge dh_{ijl} \wedge df_{i(l+1)} \wedge \cdots \wedge df_{ip},$$

$$(4.11) \quad \omega_{ij}^2 = \sum_{l=1}^{l=p} (-1)^{p-l} h_{ijl} df_{i1} \wedge \cdots \wedge df_{il} \wedge \cdots \wedge df_{ip}.$$ 

The following diagram (denoted $\overline{\beta}_{ij}^{|e|}$),

$$\begin{cases} L_{ij}^p \rightarrow L_{ij}^p \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow L_{ij}^0 \rightarrow 0, \\ L_{ij}^p \leftarrow g(\eta)(\omega_{ij}^1 + \omega_{ij}^2 \cdot \epsilon) \rightarrow O_X(U_{ij})/(f_{i1}, \ldots, f_{ip}) \rightarrow 0, \\ L_{ij}^p \otimes \overline{\Omega}_{X \times k[|e|]/k}(U_{ij}), \\ \end{cases}$$

defines an element in $\text{Ext}^p(\mathcal{O}_X(U_{ij})/(f_{i1}, \ldots, f_{ip}), \overline{\Omega}_{X \times k[|e|]/k}(U_{ij}))$. The Čech 1-cocycles formed from the limit $[\beta_{ij}^{|e|}] \in \Gamma(U_{ij}, \mathcal{H}^1_Y(\overline{\Omega}_{X \times k[|e|]/k}))$ of $\overline{\beta}_{ij}^{|e|}$ (see Remark 3.29) defines a cohomology class of $H^1(X, \mathcal{H}^1_Y(\overline{\Omega}_{X \times k[|e|]/k}))$, which is $\pi \circ v_e(Y', g)$.

In notation of Lemma 3.30 let $\overline{\omega}^A = df_{i1}^A \wedge \cdots \wedge df_{ip}^A - df_{i1} \wedge \cdots \wedge df_{ip}$, $\overline{T}(\cdot)(Y') = ([\overline{\omega}^A])_i$, where $[\overline{\omega}^A]$ is the limit of the following diagram

$$\begin{cases} L_i^p \rightarrow O_X(U_i)/(f_{i1}, \ldots, f_{ip}) \rightarrow 0, \\ L_i^p \otimes \overline{\Omega}_{O_X \otimes A(U_i)/k}. \\ \end{cases}$$

Let $L_{ij}^B$ be the Koszul complex associated to the regular sequence $f_{B1}^i, \ldots, f_{Bp}^i$. Let $B = A$ in Lemma 3.30, the following diagram (denoted
from Remark 3.19 that the kernel of the morphism $r$

$\text{kernel of the morphism } \Gamma(\mathcal{W})$

is the sheaf $\mathcal{W}$ where $\omega$

It is obvious that $\beta_i^B$ of $\beta_i^B$ is $\beta_i^B$.

The morphisms (4.8) induces a morphism $\eta d \eta$

$\delta_1 \circ \mathcal{T}(p)(A)(Y')$

The morphisms (4.8) induces a morphism $Q : \Gamma(U_{ij}, \mathcal{W}) \to \Gamma(U_{ij}, \Omega^p_{X/k} \otimes_k \eta) \oplus (\Omega^p_{X/k} \otimes_k \Omega^1_{k[\eta]/k})$.

We want to describe the image $Q(\omega_i^B - \omega_j^B)$. Since $f_{il} - f_{jl} = \eta h_{ijl}$, where $l = 1, \ldots, p$, it follows that $df_{il}^B - df_{jl}^B = \eta dh_{ijl} + h_{ijl} d\eta$. Since $\eta^2 = 0$, $\eta d\eta = 0$. It follows that

$\omega_i^B = df_{i1}^B \land \cdots \land df_{ip}^B - df_{1} \land \cdots \land df_{p}$

$= (df_{i1}^B + \eta dh_{i1} + h_{i1} d\eta) \land \cdots \land (df_{ip}^B + \eta dh_{ip} + h_{ip} d\eta) - df_{1} \land \cdots \land df_{p}$

$= df_{j1}^B \land \cdots \land df_{jp}^B + \sum_{l=1}^{\mid p \mid} \sum_{l'=1}^{\mid p \mid} \sum_{l''=1}^{\mid p \mid} \sum_{l'''} \mid p \mid df_{il}^B \land df_{il'}^B \land \cdots \land df_{ii'''}^B \eta$

$+ \sum_{l=1}^{\mid p \mid} \sum_{l'=1}^{\mid p \mid} \eta h_{ijl} df_{il}^B \land \cdots \land \eta h_{ii''} df_{ii''}^B \land df_{ii'''}^B \eta - df_{i1} \land \cdots \land df_{ip}$

$= \omega_j^B + \sum_{l=1}^{\mid p \mid} \sum_{l'=1}^{\mid p \mid} \eta h_{ijl} df_{il}^B \land \cdots \land \eta h_{ii''} df_{ii''}^B \land df_{ii'''}^B \eta$

This shows that

$Q(\omega_i^B - \omega_j^B) = \omega_{ij}^1 \eta + \omega_{ij}^2 d\eta$,

where $\omega_{ij}^1$ is (4.10) and $\omega_{ij}^2$ is (4.11).
Let \( V = \Omega^p_{X/k} \oplus \Omega^{p-1}_{X/k} \) as on page 30. The following diagram (denoted \( \beta_{ij}^e \)),
\[
\begin{array}{c}
\begin{array}{c}
L^i_{ij} \\
L^i_p
\end{array}
\end{array}
\xrightarrow{egin{array}{c}
(\omega_{ij}^1 + \omega_{ij}^2) \eta
\end{array}}
\begin{array}{c}
\begin{array}{c}
O_X(U_{ij})/(f_{i1}, \ldots, f_{ip})
\end{array}
\end{array}
\rightarrow
\begin{array}{c}
\begin{array}{c}
O_X(U_{ij})/(f_{i1}, \ldots, f_{ip})
\end{array}
\end{array}
\rightarrow
0
\end{array}
\]
defines an element in \( \text{Ext}^p(O_X(U_{ij})/(f_{i1}, \ldots, f_{ip}), V(U_{ij}) \otimes (\eta)) \). The limit \( [\beta_{ij}^e] \) of \( \beta_{ij}^e \) is in \( \Gamma(U_{ij}, \mathcal{H}_Y^p(V) \otimes (\eta)) \). The Čech 1-cocycle formed by \( [\beta_{ij}^e] \) defines a class of \( H^1(X, \mathcal{H}_Y^p(V) \otimes (\eta)) \), which is \( \delta_2 \circ \delta_1((\mathbb{T}^p(A))(Y')) \).

The isomorphism \( V \cong \mathcal{O}_{X \times k[e]/k} \) maps \( \omega_{ij}^1 + \omega_{ij}^2 \) to \( \omega_{ij}^1 \varepsilon + \omega_{ij}^2 d\varepsilon \), so \( i \circ \delta_2 \circ \delta_1((\mathbb{T}^p(A))(Y')) \) is given by the Čech 1-cocycle formed by the limit of the diagram
\[
\begin{array}{c}
\begin{array}{c}
L^i_{ij} \\
L^i_p
\end{array}
\end{array}
\xrightarrow{egin{array}{c}
(\omega_{ij}^1, \varepsilon + \omega_{ij}^2 d\varepsilon) \eta
\end{array}}
\begin{array}{c}
\begin{array}{c}
O_X(U_{ij})/(f_{i1}, \ldots, f_{ip})
\end{array}
\end{array}
\rightarrow
\begin{array}{c}
\begin{array}{c}
O_X(U_{ij})/(f_{i1}, \ldots, f_{ip})
\end{array}
\end{array}
\rightarrow
0
\end{array}
\]
The following diagram (denoted \( \beta_{ij}^k \)),
\[
\begin{array}{c}
\begin{array}{c}
L^i_{ij} \\
L^i_p
\end{array}
\end{array}
\xrightarrow{egin{array}{c}
g(\eta)/(\omega_{ij}^1, \varepsilon + \omega_{ij}^2 d\varepsilon)
\end{array}}
\begin{array}{c}
\begin{array}{c}
O_X(U_{ij})/(f_{i1}, \ldots, f_{ip})
\end{array}
\end{array}
\rightarrow
\begin{array}{c}
\begin{array}{c}
O_X(U_{ij})/(f_{i1}, \ldots, f_{ip})
\end{array}
\end{array}
\rightarrow
0
\end{array}
\]
defines an element in \( \text{Ext}^p(O_X(U_{ij})/(f_{i1}, \ldots, f_{ip}), \mathcal{O}_{X \times k[e]/k}(U_{ij})) \), whose limit \( [\beta_{ij}^k] \) is in \( \Gamma(U_{ij}, \mathcal{H}_Y^p(\mathcal{O}_{X \times k[e]/k})(U_{ij})) \). The 1-cocycle formed by \( [\beta_{ij}^k] \) defines a class of \( H^1(X, \mathcal{H}_Y^p(\mathcal{O}_{X \times k[e]/k})) \), which is \( \delta_e \circ (\mathbb{T}^p(A), 1)(Y', g) \).

Since the diagram \( \beta_{ij}^e \) agrees with the diagram \( \beta_{ij}^k \) (on page 32), it follows that
\[
\pi \circ v_e(Y', g) = \delta_e \circ (\mathbb{T}^p(A), 1)(Y', g).
\]

In fact, the map \( \delta_1 \) is a trivial map. By Lemma 3.21, for any element \( \alpha_A = (\alpha_i^A)_i \in \mathbb{HH}^p(A) \), one can lift \( \alpha_A \) to \( \alpha_B^A \in \mathbb{HH}^p(B) \). The restriction of \( \alpha_B^A \) on each \( U_i \), denoted \( \alpha_i^B \), is a lifting of \( \alpha_i^A \). Over the intersection \( U_{ij} \), it is obvious that \( \alpha_i^B - \alpha_j^B = 0 \). This shows that the map \( \delta_1 \) is a trivial map. Consequently, the map
\[
\delta_e : \mathbb{HH}^p(A) \times (\eta) \rightarrow H^1(X, \mathcal{H}_Y^p(\mathcal{O}_{X \times k[e]/k})),
\]
is a trivial map. It is easy to check that \( (H^1(X, \mathcal{H}_Y^p(\mathcal{O}_{X \times k[e]/k})), \delta_e) \) is a linear obstruction theory of the functor \( \mathbb{HH}^p \) in the sense of Definition 2.7. By Theorem 3.22, the functor \( \mathbb{HH}^p \) is smooth, so \( (H^1(X, \mathcal{H}_Y^p(\mathcal{O}_{X \times k[e]/k})), \delta_e) \) is complete in the sense of Definition 2.6.
Lemma 4.10. The functor $\text{HH}_p$ has a complete and linear obstruction theory $(H^1(X, \mathcal{H}_Y^p(\overline{\Omega}^p_{X \times k[e]/k}))$, $\delta_e)$, where $\delta_e$ is the trivial obstruction map.

Combining Lemma 2.16 and Lemma 4.6 with Lemma 4.10, one has

Theorem 4.11. The semi-regularity map is the composition

$$H^1(Y, N_{Y/X}) \xrightarrow{\pi} H^1(X, \mathcal{H}_Y^p(\overline{\Omega}^p_{X \times k[e]/k})) \xrightarrow{\delta_e} H^1(X, \mathcal{H}_Y^p(\Omega_{X/k}^{p-1})) \xrightarrow{\pi} H^{p+1}(X, \Omega_{X/k}^{p-1}),$$

where $\pi$ is a map between obstruction spaces of two functors of Artin rings $\text{Hilb}$ and $\text{HH}_p$.

The map $\delta_e$ induces a trivial map from the universal obstruction spaces $O_{\text{HH}_p}$ to $H^1(X, \mathcal{H}_Y^p(\overline{\Omega}^p_{X \times k[e]/k}))$.

$$[\delta_e] : O_{\text{HH}_p} \to H^1(X, \mathcal{H}_Y^p(\overline{\Omega}^p_{X \times k[e]/k})).$$

We use the description of the universal obstruction spaces in Remark 2.11 and combine Corollary 2.19 and Corollary 3.23 with Lemma 4.9 to obtain that

Corollary 4.12. There exists the commutative diagram of $k$-vector spaces

$$
\begin{array}{ccc}
O_{\text{Hilb}} & \xrightarrow{\text{(3.14)}} & O_{\text{HH}_p} \\
|v_e| \downarrow \text{(2.3)} & & |\delta_e| \downarrow \text{(4.12)} \\
H^1(Y, N_{Y/X}) & \xrightarrow{\pi} & H^1(X, \mathcal{H}_Y^p(\overline{\Omega}^p_{X \times k[e]/k})).
\end{array}
$$

Since both the morphisms (3.14) and $[\delta_e]$ are trivial, the composition

$$O_{\text{Hilb}} \xrightarrow{|v_e|} H^1(Y, N_{Y/X}) \xrightarrow{\pi} H^1(X, \mathcal{H}_Y^p(\overline{\Omega}^p_{X \times k[e]/k}))$$

is trivial. It immediately implies that the composition

$$O_{\text{Hilb}} \xrightarrow{|v_e|} H^1(Y, N_{Y/X}) \xrightarrow{\pi} H^1(X, \mathcal{H}_Y^p(\overline{\Omega}^p_{X \times k[e]/k}))$$

$$\downarrow H^1(X, \mathcal{H}_Y^p(\Omega_{X/k}^{p-1})) \xrightarrow{\pi} H^{p+1}(X, \Omega_{X/k}^{p-1})$$

is trivial, where $L \circ \pi$ is the semi-regularity map. We have proved that

---

6 In fact, all the obstruction maps of $\text{HH}_p$ are trivial. This follows from Theorem 3.22 which implies that the universal obstruction theory of the functor $\text{HH}_p$ is trivial.
Theorem 4.13. The composition of morphisms of $k$-vector spaces

$$O_{\text{hilb}} \xrightarrow{[v]} H^1(Y, N_{Y/X}) \to H^{p+1}(X, \Omega^{p-1}_{X/k})$$

is trivial, where $H^1(Y, N_{Y/X}) \to H^{p+1}(X, \Omega^{p-1}_{X/k})$ is the semi-regularity map.

This verifies Conjecture 2.20 and Conjecture 1.1.

5. Appendix: Deformation functor

Let $F$ be a functor of Artin rings. There is a natural map (see (2.4) on page 11)

$$S : F(B \times_A C) \to F(B) \times_{F(A)} F(C).$$

Definition 5.1 (see Definition 3.4 of [18]). A functor of Artin rings $F$ is called a deformation functor if:

1. Map $S$ is surjective if $C \to A$ is surjective,
2. Map $S$ is bijective if $A = k$.

The local Hilbert functor $\text{Hilb}$ is an example of deformation functor. We explain that the functor of Artin rings $\mathbb{H}^p$ in Definition 3.16 is not a deformation functor. For simplicity, let $p = 1$ in Lemma 3.18.

$$\mathbb{H}^p(A) = H^1_Y(\Omega^1_{X/k}) \otimes_k m_A + H^1_Y(O_X) \otimes_k \Omega^1_{A/k}.$$ 

Let $A = k$, $B = k[x]/(x^2)$ and $C = k[y]/(y^2)$, then $B \times_A C = \{(a + bx, a + cy) | a \in k, b \in k, c \in k\}$ and there is an isomorphism of $k$-algebras

$$B \times_A C \cong k[x, y]/(x^2, xy, y^2),$$

which implies that $\Omega^1_{B \times_A C/k}$ is a 3-dimensional $k$-vector space.

Since $\Omega^1_{A/k} = 0$, $\Omega^1_{B/k} \times_{\Omega^1_{A/k}} \Omega^1_{C/k} = \Omega^1_{B/k} \times \Omega^1_{C/k}$ is a 2-dimensional $k$-vector space. It is obvious that

$$\Omega^1_{B \times_A C/k} \neq \Omega^1_{B/k} \times_{\Omega^1_{A/k}} \Omega^1_{C/k}. \quad (5.1)$$

On the other hand, it is clear that

$$m_{B \times_A C} = m_B \times_{m_A} m_C, \quad (5.2)$$

where $m_A$ is the maximal ideal of $A$.

It follows from (5.1) and (5.2) that

$$\mathbb{H}^p(B \times_A C) \neq \mathbb{H}^p(B) \times_{\mathbb{H}^p(A)} \mathbb{H}^p(C).$$

7The artinian $k$-algebra $k[x, y]/(x^2, xy, y^2)$ had been studied by experts, for example, see Bloch [3] (example 6.2.7), Manetti [18] (section 5) and Stienstra [25] (the proof of Proposition 2.4).
In conclusion, the functor $\mathbb{H}^{(p)}$ does not satisfy the condition (2) in Definition 5.1 so it is not a deformation functor.
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