

Relationship between the electronic polarization and the generalized winding number in non-Hermitian systems

Shohei Masuda¹ and Masaaki Nakamura¹

¹*Department of Physics, Ehime University Bunkyo-cho 2-5, Matsuyama, Ehime 790-8577, Japan*
(Dated: September 23, 2021)

We discuss an extension of the Resta's electronic polarization to non-Hermitian systems. We point out that there appears a finite region where the polarization becomes zero between two gapped regions, and that there is correspondence to the generalized winding number which may take half integers. We demonstrate this argument in the non-Hermitian Su-Schrieffer-Heeger model.

I. INTRODUCTION

So far, non-Hermitian quantum mechanics has been discussed for some specific situations [1–4]. In recent years, this field has attracted much attention in connection with topological phases [5–16] due to its relevance to the open quantum systems [17–20]. The application of topology in conventional Hermitian quantum systems has relied on the principle of bulk-edge correspondence [21] where the edge or surface states in open boundary systems can be characterized by topological invariants calculated under periodic boundary conditions. However, it has been pointed out that such a bulk-edge correspondence may not be established in non-Hermitian systems [6]. Therefore, the bulk-edge correspondence in non-Hermitian systems has been mainly discussed comparing the edge modes in open boundary conditions with topological numbers [11, 12] including winding numbers in several definitions [5–10].

In this paper, inspired by these studies, we discuss the electronic polarization in non-Hermitian systems. Throughout of this paper, we consider only periodic systems, since we need to know fundamental relationship between topological quantities, before proceeding to deal with open boundary systems. For conventional Hermitian quantum lattice systems, the electronic polarization has been introduced by Resta to evaluate the expectation value of the position operator in periodic systems as $z^{(q)} = \langle \Psi_0 | e^{i(2q\pi/L)\hat{X}} | \Psi_0 \rangle$, where $\hat{X} = \sum_{j=1}^L \hat{x}_j$ with \hat{x}_j being the position operator at j -th site, L is the number of lattices, and $|\Psi_0\rangle$ is the ground-state many-body wave function [22, 23]. This quantity can also be interpreted as the overlap between the ground state and a variational excited state appearing the argument of the Lieb-Schultz-Mattis (LSM) theorem [24–28]. The polarization $z^{(q)}$ has been calculated in various systems [29–31] and is shown to characterize topological phases and topological transitions in one-dimensional systems. Recently, an extension of the polarization to higher-dimensional systems has been proposed based on spiral boundary conditions [32].

As such a fundamental relation, in this paper, we will point out that the electronic polarization in non-Hermitian systems can be related to a generalized winding number which may take half integers [6–8]. We

will demonstrate this argument in the non-Hermitian Su-Schrieffer-Heeger (SSH) model [33, 34].

This paper is organized as follows: In Sec. II, we introduce the polarization and the generalized winding number. In Sec. III, we discuss the relationship between polarization and generalized winding number through the analysis of the non-Hermitian SSH model. Finally, a summary and discussion are given in Sec. IV.

II. POLARIZATION AND WINDING NUMBER

In this section, we first discuss the biorthogonal system. Next, we define and discuss the electronic polarization and the generalized winding number.

A. Biorthogonal system

The right and left eigenstates for a 1D quadratic non-Hermitian Hamiltonian in real space satisfy the following eigenvalue equations:

$$\sum_{j'} H_{jj'} |\psi_{k\mu}^{j',R}\rangle = E_{k\mu} |\psi_{k\mu}^{j,R}\rangle, \quad (1a)$$

$$\sum_{j'} H_{jj'}^\dagger |\psi_{k\mu}^{j',L}\rangle = E_{k\mu}^* |\psi_{k\mu}^{j,L}\rangle, \quad (1b)$$

respectively, where $|\psi_{k\mu}^{j,\alpha}\rangle = \mathcal{U}_{jk}^{-1} |u_{k\mu}^\alpha\rangle$ ($\alpha = R, L$), μ is a band index, $\mathcal{U}_{kj} = e^{-ikx_j}/\sqrt{L}$, and $|u_{k\mu}^\alpha\rangle$ with the normalization condition $\langle u_{k\mu}^L | u_{k\nu}^R \rangle = \delta_{\mu\nu}$, is the eigenstate of the non-Hermitian Bloch Hamiltonian $H(k) = \sum_{jj'} \mathcal{U}_{kj} H_{jj'} \mathcal{U}_{j'k}^{-1}$.

B. Electronic polarization

We define the following polarization in biorthogonal systems:

$$z^{(q)} = \langle \Psi_L | U^q | \Psi_R \rangle, \quad U = \exp \left[i \frac{2\pi}{L} \sum_{j=1}^L \hat{x}_j \right], \quad (2)$$

where $|\Psi_{R(L)}\rangle$ is the Slater determinant constructed by the occupied right (left) eigenstate, and $\hat{x}_j = jn_j$, where n_j is the number operator of the electron at the j -th unit cell. The definition (2) for $q = 1$ was first introduced by Lee, *et al.* [13]. They obtained the right and left many-body ground states by numerical diagonalization in real space and calculated $\text{Im} \ln z^{(1)}$ where the information of $z^{(1)}$ is reduced to two values 0 and π . In this approach, they could deal with small-size systems and the behavior of $z^{(q)}$ itself became unclear.

In order to improve this problem, we extend Resta's approach for Hermitian systems [23] to the polarization, so that we rewrite Eq. (2) in terms of the right and left one-particle eigenstates:

$$z^{(q)} = \det S^{(q)}, \quad (3)$$

$$S_{\mu,\nu}^{(q)}(k_s, k_{s'}) = \sum_{j=1}^L \langle \psi_{k_s\mu}^{j,L} | e^{i\frac{2q\pi}{L}x_j} | \psi_{k_{s'}\nu}^{j,R} \rangle, \quad (4)$$

where $k_s = (2\pi/L)s$ for $s = 0, 1, \dots, L-1$, and the determinant is restricted to the occupied states. Then the determinant is rewritten as a product of the biorthogonal overlaps:

$$z^{(q)} = (-1)^{qN/L} \prod_{s=0}^{L-1} \prod_{\mu \in \text{occupied}} \langle u_{k_{s+q}\mu}^L | u_{k_s\mu}^R \rangle, \quad (5)$$

where N is the number of electrons. The factor $(-1)^{qN/L}$ stems from the antisymmetry of the determinant [32]. Since Eq. (5) is a simple product of overlaps between Bloch states with the wave vectors that differ by k_q , $z^{(q)}$ can be calculated for large system sizes and the behavior of $z^{(q)}$ in the thermodynamic limit will be clarified.

C. Generalized winding number

We consider a two-band non-Hermitian system with chiral symmetry $\tau_3 H(k) \tau_3 = -H(k)$. In this case, the Hamiltonian is generally written as

$$H(k) = h_+(k)\tau_+ + h_-(k)\tau_-, \quad (6)$$

where $\tau_{\pm} = (\tau_1 \pm i\tau_2)/2$. Here, we define Q matrix:

$$Q(k) = \frac{H(k)}{\sqrt{h_+(k)h_-(k)}} = \begin{pmatrix} & q(k) \\ q^{-1}(k) & \end{pmatrix}, \quad (7)$$

which also has chiral symmetry. Then we introduce the generalized winding number as follows:

$$\nu = \frac{i}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} dk q^{-1} \frac{\partial}{\partial k} q. \quad (8)$$

For Hermitian systems, q^{-1} becomes q^* , and ν can only take 0 or 1. However, for non-Hermitian systems, the generalized winding number ν can be half-integers [14]. The non-Bloch winding number introduced in Ref.9 is different from Eq. (8), and we discuss the winding number in Appendix A.




FIG. 1. The non-Hermitian SSH model (10). The dotted boxes indicate the unit cells.

D. Relationship between polarization and generalized winding number

We find that, for the two-band model in the thermodynamic limit, the phase of the polarization is related to the generalized winding number. Using Eqs. (5) and (8), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \ln z^{(1)} &= i\pi + \sum_{s=0}^{L-1} \ln \langle u_{k_s}^L | u_{k_{s-1}}^R \rangle \\ &\simeq i\pi - \int_0^{2\pi} dk \langle u_k^L | \partial_k u_k^R \rangle \\ &= i\pi + \frac{1}{2} \int_0^{2\pi} dk q^{-1} \partial_k q \\ &= i(1 - \nu)\pi. \end{aligned} \quad (9)$$

III. NON-HERMITIAN SSH MODEL

In this section, we first introduce the non-Hermitian Su-Schrieffer-Heeger (SSH) model [33, 34]. Next, we study the polarization and the generalized winding number in the model.

A. model Hamiltonian

We consider the non-Hermitian SSH model as illustrated in Fig.1. The Hamiltonian in real space is given by

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{H} &= t_1 \sum_i c_{i,A}^\dagger c_{i,B} + t_2 \sum_i c_{i,B}^\dagger c_{i+1,A} + \text{H.c.} \\ &+ \gamma \sum_i c_{i,A}^\dagger c_{i,B} - \text{H.c.}, \end{aligned} \quad (10)$$

where $c_{i,\alpha}$ ($c_{i,\alpha}^\dagger$) denotes the annihilation (creation) operator of a fermion in sublattice α at the i -th unit cell. When $\gamma = 0$, this model is Hermitian, and phase transitions occur at $t_1 = \pm t_2$. This Hamiltonian can be written as the quadratic form:

$$\mathcal{H} = \sum_{ij,\alpha\beta} c_{i,\alpha}^\dagger H_{ij,\alpha\beta} c_{j,\beta}, \quad (11)$$

so that it is diagonalized by the Fourier transformation $c_{k,\alpha} = \sum_j \mathcal{U}_{kj} c_{j,\alpha}$ as follows:

$$\mathcal{H} = \sum_{k,\alpha\beta} c_{k,\alpha}^\dagger H_{\alpha\beta}(k) c_{k,\beta}, \quad (12)$$

$$H(k) = \sum_{\mu=1}^2 (d_\mu + i f_\mu) \tau_\mu, \quad (13)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} d_1 &= t_1 + t_2 \cos k, & f_1 &= 0, \\ d_2 &= t_2 \sin k, & f_2 &= \gamma, \end{aligned} \quad (14)$$

and τ_μ ($\mu = 1, 2, 3$) is Pauli matrices. This model has chiral symmetry, so that the energy eigenvalues are symmetric about zero energy. The square of the energy eigenvalue is given by

$$E^2(k) = t_1^2 + t_2^2 - \gamma^2 + 2t_1 t_2 \cos k + i 2t_2 \gamma \sin k. \quad (15)$$

The energy gap closes at the exceptional points (EPs) $(d_1, d_2) = (-f_2, f_1)$ or $(f_2, -f_1)$, which leads to $t_1 = -t_2 \pm \gamma$ for $k = 0$ or $t_1 = t_2 \pm \gamma$ for $k = \pi$. For $k = 0$ and π , the energy eigenvalues are $E = \pm \sqrt{(t_1 + t_2)^2 - \gamma^2}$ and $\pm \sqrt{(t_1 - t_2)^2 - \gamma^2}$, respectively, so that they are pure imaginaries when t_1 satisfies $-t_2 - \gamma < t_1 < -t_2 + \gamma$ or $t_2 - \gamma < t_1 < t_2 + \gamma$, respectively [see Fig. 2(a)].

B. Polarization and generalized winding number

For the non-Hermitian systems, we introduce the following parity symmetry:

$$\mathcal{P}H(k)\mathcal{P}^{-1} = H^\dagger(k). \quad (16)$$

The Bloch Hamiltonian (13) in the non-Hermitian SSH model has this symmetry, and $\mathcal{P} = \tau_1 \mathcal{K}$, where \mathcal{K} is a conjugate operator. Moreover, this parity symmetry leads to

$$z^{(q)} = \langle \Psi_R | \mathcal{P} U^q \mathcal{P}^{-1} | \Psi_L \rangle = e^{i2q\pi N/L} [z^{(q)}]^\dagger. \quad (17)$$

Therefore, we should choose $q = 1$, because $N = L$ in the non-Hermitian SSH model. Then Eq. (5) is reduced to

$$z^{(1)} = (-1) \prod_{s=0}^{L-1} \langle u_{k_{s+1}-}^L | u_{k_s-}^R \rangle, \quad (18)$$

where $|u_{k_s-}^{\text{R(L)}}\rangle$ is the right (left) eigenstate of the occupied band. For $t_2 + \gamma < t_1$, the electrons of the system are located on unit cells, so that we find that $z^{(1)} = -1$ for even L because $(2\pi/L) \sum_{x_j=1}^L x_j = \pi(L+1)$. Similarly, for $-t_2 + \gamma < t_1 < t_2 - \gamma$, the electrons of the system are located on between a unit cell and the neighbor one, so that we find that $z^{(1)} = 1$ for even L because of $(2\pi/L) \sum_{x_j=1}^L (2x_j+1)/2 = \pi(L+2)$. On the other hand, for $-t_2 - \gamma < t_1 < -t_2 + \gamma$ or




FIG. 2. (a) The real part of energy eigenvalues, (b) the polarization and (c) the generalized winding number of the non-Hermitian SSH model (10) with $t_2 = 1$ and $\gamma = 0.5$. The eigenvalues are computed for $L = 32$, and the polarization is calculated for $L = 32, 256$ and 8192 .

$t_2 - \gamma < t_1 < t_2 + \gamma$, the electrons of the system are located homogeneously, so that $z^{(1)} = 0$ for even L because $(2\pi/L) \sum_{x_j=1}^L (2x_j+1)/2 = \pi(2L+3)/2$. Here, we have used the fact that $z^{(1)}$ is always real, since Eq. (17) leads to $z^{(1)} = [z^{(1)}]^\dagger$ in the non-Hermitian SSH model.

The numerical results of the polarization $z^{(1)}$ for different system sizes are shown in Fig. 2(b), and $z^{(1)}$ distinguishes three topologically distinct phases $z^{(1)} = -1, 0, +1$, as expected. Here, we have used anti-periodic boundary conditions $c_{i+L,\alpha} = -c_{i,\alpha}$ to prevent divergences at EPs, at which phase transitions occur. If

the regions with $\text{Re } E = 0$ are interpreted as gapless phases, the results are consistent with LSM theorem. Note that $z^{(1)}$ in a finite-size system has a finite value, so that $(2\pi)^{-1}\text{Im} \ln z^{(1)}$ is not a good quantity to determine topologically different phases, especially for $z^{(1)} = 0$ regions.

Using Eq. (8), we obtain the following generalized winding number of the non-Hermitian SSH model:

$$\nu = \int_0^{2\pi} \frac{dk}{2\pi} \frac{t_2(t_1 \cos k + i\gamma \sin k + t_2)}{E^2}, \quad (19)$$

which is well defined except at EPs, where E^2 is given by Eq. (15). The winding number is equal to the half of the number of times that, in d_1 - d_2 plane, EPs are surrounded by the closed curve when k ranges from 0 to 2π [14].

The numerical results of the winding number ν are shown in Fig. 2(c). Comparing them with the results of the polarization $z^{(1)}$, we find that there are one-to-one correspondences between $\nu = 0, 1/2, 1$ and $z^{(1)} = -1, 0, +1$ for the topologically different phases.

IV. SUMMARY AND DISCUSSION

We have studied an extension of the Resta's electronic polarization [23] to non-Hermitian systems with chiral symmetry and the relationship with the winding numbers. We have calculated the polarization using the reduction formalism (5) which enables us to deal with large systems close to the thermodynamic limit.

We have analyzed the non-Hermitian SSH model, and shown numerically that the polarization in the thermodynamic limit takes three different values $z^{(1)} = -1, 0, +1$ for the topologically distinct phases. We have also found that there is one-to-one correspondence with the generalized winding number $\nu = 0, 1/2, 1$ defined in Refs. 6 and 14. Furthermore, this relationship has been shown analytically as Eq. (9). Here we should be careful to take the thermodynamic limit of $z^{(1)}$: depending on how to take the thermodynamic limit, the region with $\nu = 1/2$ may be lost [13].

The topological phases in non-Hermitian systems have also been discussed by a winding number in a different definition [9] from the present one (see Appendix A). This winding number takes only two values and the "transition point" where the winding number changes its value appears to be different. Therefore the present study may contribute to define topological transitions in non-Hermitian systems in more precise way. There is another topological number "biorthogonal polarization" defined in open systems [11, 12]. This quantity is considered to characterize the number of edge modes [12]. Therefore,

despite having a similar appearance, there is no direct correspondence with the present non-Hermitian polarization.

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

M. N. acknowledges the Visiting Researcher's Program of the Institute for Solid State Physics, The University of Tokyo, and the research fellow position of the Institute of Industrial Science, The University of Tokyo. M. N. is supported partly by MEXT/JSPS KAKENHI Grant No. JP20K03769.

Appendix A: Non-Bloch winding number

Here, we discuss the non-Bloch winding number introduced in Ref. 9. Since the non-Bloch Hamiltonian is obtained by the replacement $e^{ik} \rightarrow \beta \in \mathbb{C}$, the Hamiltonian (6) and the Q matrix (7) are reduced to functions of β . The eigenvalue equation is given by

$$h_+(\beta)h_-(\beta) = E^2, \quad (A1)$$

which is the algebraic equation for β .

We consider the non-Hermitian SSH model (13). Then Eq. (A1) leads to

$$(t_2\beta^{-1} + t_1 + \gamma)(t_2\beta + t_1 - \gamma) = E^2, \quad (A2)$$

which has following two solutions:

$$\beta_{1,2}(E) = \frac{E^2 + \gamma^2 - t_1^2 - t_2^2 \pm \sqrt{D}}{2t_2(t_1 + \gamma)}, \quad (A3)$$

$$D = (E^2 + \gamma^2 - t_1^2 - t_2^2)^2 - 4t_2^2(t_1^2 - \gamma^2),$$

where $+$ ($-$) corresponds to β_1 (β_2). The condition $|\beta_1| = |\beta_2|$ introduced in Ref. 9 determines the "generalized Brillouin zone", which is a loop on $\text{Re } \beta$ - $\text{Im } \beta$ plane, and leads to

$$|\beta_{1,2}| = \sqrt{\left| \frac{t_1 - \gamma}{t_1 + \gamma} \right|}. \quad (A4)$$

The non-Bloch winding number is written as

$$W = \frac{i}{2\pi} \int_{C_\beta} dq q^{-1}, \quad (A5)$$

which is defined on the "generalized Brillouin zone" C_β , where q and q^{-1} are given by Eq. (7). Since the non-Bloch winding number can only take integers, it has less information than the generalized winding number (8).

[1] H. Feshbach, Unified theory of nuclear reactions, *Ann. Phys. (NY)* **5**, 357 (1958).

[2] N. Hatano and D. R. Nelson, Localization Transitions

- in Non-Hermitian Quantum Mechanics, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **77**, 570 (1996).
- [3] N. Hatano and D. R. Nelson, Vortex pinning and non-Hermitian quantum mechanics, *Phys. Rev. B* **56**, 8651 (1997).
- [4] C. M. Bender and S. Boettcher, Real Spectra in Non-Hermitian Hamiltonians Having \mathcal{PT} Symmetry, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **80**, 5243 (1998).
- [5] K. Esaki, M. Sato, K. Hasebe, and M. Kohmoto, Edge states and topological phases in non-Hermitian systems, *Phys. Rev. B* **84**, 205128 (2011).
- [6] T. E. Lee, Anomalous Edge State in a Non-Hermitian Lattice, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **116**, 133903 (2016).
- [7] D. Leykam, K. Y. Bliokh, C. Huang, Y. D. Chong, and F. Nori, Edge Modes, Degeneracies, and Topological Numbers in Non-Hermitian Systems, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **118**, 040401 (2017).
- [8] H. Shen, B. Zhen, and L. Fu, Topological Band Theory for Non-Hermitian Hamiltonians, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **120**, 146402 (2018).
- [9] S. Yao and Z. Wang, Edge States and Topological Invariants of Non-Hermitian Systems, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **121**, 086803 (2018).
- [10] K. Yokomizo and S. Murakami, Non-Bloch Band Theory of Non-Hermitian Systems, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **123**, 066404 (2019).
- [11] F. K. Kunst, E. Edvardsson, J. C. Budich, and E. J. Bergholtz, Biorthogonal Bulk-Boundary Correspondence in Non-Hermitian Systems, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **121**, 026808 (2018).
- [12] E. Edvardsson, F. K. Kunst, T. Yoshida, and E. J. Bergholtz, Phase transitions and generalized biorthogonal polarization in non-Hermitian systems, *Phys. Rev. Research* **2**, 043046 (2020).
- [13] E. Lee, H. Lee, and B.-J. Yang, Many-body approach to non-Hermitian physics in fermionic systems, *Phys. Rev. B* **101**, 121109 (2020).
- [14] C. Yin, H. Jiang, L. Li, R. Lü, and S. Chen, Geometrical meaning of winding number and its characterization of topological phases in one-dimensional chiral non-Hermitian systems, *Phys. Rev. A* **97**, 052115 (2018).
- [15] K. Imura and Y. Takane, Generalized bulk-edge correspondence for non-Hermitian topological systems, *Phys. Rev. B* **100**, 165430 (2019).
- [16] K. Kawabata, N. Okuma, M. Sato, Non-Bloch band theory of non-Hermitian Hamiltonians in the symplectic class, *Phys. Rev. B* **101**, 195147 (2020).
- [17] T. Ozawa, H. M. Price, A. Amo, N. Goldman, M. Hafezi, L. Lu, M. C. Rechtsman, D. Schuster, J. Simon, O. Zeitler, and I. Carusotto, Topological photonics, *Rev. Mod. Phys.* **91**, 015006 (2019).
- [18] L. Lu, J. D. Joannopoulos, and M. Soljacic, Topological photonics, *Nat. Photonics* **8**, 821 (2014).
- [19] R. El-Ganainy, K. G. Makris, M. Khajavikhan, Z. H. Musslimani, S. Rotter, and D. N. Christodoulides, Non-Hermitian physics and \mathcal{PT} symmetry, *Nat. Phys.* **14**, 11 (2018).
- [20] S. Longhi, Parity-time symmetry meets photonics: A new twist in non-Hermitian optics, *EPL* **120**, 64001 (2017).
- [21] S. Ryu, A. P. Schnyder, A. Furusaki, and A.W. Ludwig, Topological insulators and superconductors: tenfold way and dimensional hierarchy, *New J. Phys.* **12**, 065010 (2010).
- [22] R. Resta, Macroscopic polarization in crystalline dielectrics: the geometric phase approach, *Rev. Mod. Phys.* **66**, 899 (1994).
- [23] R. Resta, Quantum-Mechanical Position Operator in Extended Systems, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **80**, 1800 (1998).
- [24] E. Lieb, T. Schultz, and D. Mattis, Two soluble models of an antiferromagnetic chain, *Ann. Phys. (NY)* **16**, 407 (1961).
- [25] I. Affleck and E. H. Lieb, A proof of part of Haldane's conjecture on spin chains, *Lett. Math. Phys.* **12**, 57 (1986).
- [26] I. Affleck, Spin gap and symmetry breaking in CuO_2 layers and other antiferromagnets, *Phys. Rev. B* **37**, 5186 (1988).
- [27] M. Oshikawa, M. Yamanaka, and I. Affleck, Magnetization Plateaus in Spin Chains: "Haldane Gap" for Half-Integer Spins, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **78**, 1984 (1997).
- [28] M. Yamanaka, M. Oshikawa, and I. Affleck, Nonperturbative Approach to Luttinger's Theorem in One Dimension, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **79**, 1110 (1997).
- [29] R. Resta and S. Sorella, Electron Localization in the Insulating State, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **82**, 370 (1999).
- [30] M. Nakamura and J. Voit, Lattice twist operators and vertex operators in sine-Gordon theory in one dimension, *Phys. Rev. B* **65**, 153110 (2002).
- [31] M. Nakamura and S. Todo, Order Parameter to Characterize Valence-Bond-Solid States in Quantum Spin Chains, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **89**, 077204 (2002).
- [32] M. Nakamura, S. Masuda, and S. Nishimoto, Characterization of topological insulators based on the electronic polarization with spiral boundary conditions, [arXiv:2109.02242](https://arxiv.org/abs/2109.02242).
- [33] W. P. Su, J. R. Schrieffer, and A. J. Heeger, Solitons in Polyacetylene, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **42**, 1698 (1979).
- [34] W. P. Su, J. R. Schrieffer, and A. J. Heeger, Soliton excitations in polyacetylene, *Phys. Rev. B* **22**, 2099 (1980).