

Analytic Continuation of Stochastic Mechanics

Folkert Kuipers^{1*}

¹*Department of Physics and Astronomy, University of Sussex,
Brighton, BN1 9QH, United Kingdom*

September 23, 2021

Abstract

We study a (relativistic) Brownian motion on a complexified (pseudo-)Riemannian manifold. Using Nelson's stochastic quantization, we derive three equivalent descriptions for this problem. If the process has a purely real pseudo-variance, we obtain the ordinary dissipative Brownian motion. In this case, the result coincides with the Feynman-Kac formula. On the other hand, for a purely imaginary pseudo-variance, we obtain a conservative Brownian motion, which provides a description of a quantum particle on a curved spacetime.

arXiv:2109.10710v1 [math-ph] 22 Sep 2021

*E-mail: F.Kuipers@sussex.ac.uk

1 Introduction

Brownian motion has been at the forefront of physics research ever since the phenomenon, first observed by Brown, was described by Einstein. Moreover, it has attracted much attention in the mathematics literature, since the early works of Wiener, Kolmogorov and Lévy, and it plays a major role in the stochastic calculus developed by Itô and Stratonovich.

Nowadays the literature on Brownian motion is rich and extends far beyond its original purpose of describing the motion of pollen suspended in water. In particular, since the introduction of the path integral by Feynman, it has become an important tool in quantum physics. This is mainly due to the Feynman-Kac theorem [1], which made use of the relation between the Euclidean path integral and the Wiener integral. This result became one of the cornerstones of the mathematical foundations of Euclidean quantum field theory, and has been used by several authors as a starting point in attempts to develop a mathematically consistent formulation of Lorentzian quantum field theory, cf. e.g. Refs [2,3] for reviews.

Later, Parisi and Wu exploited the relation between Brownian motion and Euclidean quantum field theory to develop a framework called stochastic quantization [4,5], which became a very useful computational tool in Euclidean quantum field theory. In recent years, this framework has also been used to relate various string theory inspired models [6–9].

Before the work of Parisi and Wu, the notion of stochastic quantization was used by Nelson in the theory of stochastic mechanics [10]. This theory, originally proposed by Fényes [11], serves as an interpretation of quantum mechanics in which quantum mechanics is generated by a time reversible Nelson process. However, later studies of stochastic mechanics were also motivated by the fact that it can be used as a computational framework in quantum theories [12] or as a mathematical tool in constructive quantum field theory [13].

Both the Nelsonian and the Parisi-Wu framework quantize a theory by bringing it in contact with a stochastic background field. However in the Parisi-Wu framework this is done using an ordinary (dissipative) Brownian motion, while the Nelsonian approach makes use of a Nelson process, which can be regarded as a time-reversible or conservative Brownian motion. As the Parisi-Wu framework uses an ordinary Brownian motion, it can only establish an equivalence between a Euclidean quantum theory and the equilibrium limit of the stochastic theory. The Nelsonian approach, on the other hand, allows to establish a full equivalence between quantum theories and stochastic theories. However, as the Nelson process is more complicated than the ordinary Brownian motion, its field theoretic formulation is not as far evolved as the Parisi-Wu formalism.

Since the early work by Fényes and Nelson, the theory of stochastic mechanics and its associated stochastic quantization scheme have been extended to include spin [13–15], to describe processes on (pseudo-)Riemannian manifolds [13,14,16–20], and to relativistic theories [12,21–28]. Furthermore, field theoretic extensions have been made [12,21,25,26,29–34], but this theory is still in its infancy.

In this paper, we focus on stochastic mechanics of a single particle on a manifold. However, we will do this by reformulating the Nelson process as a complexified Brownian motion. We will thus study a Brownian motion of a (relativistic)¹ spinless test particle on a complexified (pseudo-)Riemannian manifold. We then find that for a real pseudo-variance the ordinary real Brownian motion is obtained, while for a purely imaginary pseudo-variance the Nelson process is obtained.

This paper is organized as follows: in the next section we review the ideas governing stochastic mechanics and the complex generalization discussed in this paper; in section 3, we review the connections between stochastic mechanics and generalizations of the Feynman-Kac theorem; in

¹We implement relativity following Refs. [23,28].

sections 4 and 5, we introduce the relativistic stochastic process considered in this paper and the manifold on which this process is defined; in section 6, we discuss the variational equations that govern the stochastic process; in sections 7, 8 and 9, we then derive three different formulations for the diffusion problem; finally, in section 10, we conclude. Furthermore, in appendix A, we summarize our results for the non-relativistic case; in appendix B, we review the basics of stochastic integration and appendix C contains calculations of conditional expectations that are necessary to derive our results. Throughout the paper we work in Planck units, i.e. $\hbar = 1$, $c = 1$, $G = 1$ and $k_B = 1$. Moreover, we work in the $(-+++)$ signature convention.

2 Stochastic Mechanics

In order to illustrate the ideas governing stochastic mechanics, we will start with the discussion of a single scalar non-relativistic particle with mass m moving on \mathbb{R}^n .

In classical mechanics the motion of such a particle is governed by the Euler-Lagrange equations that can be derived using a variational principle from an action

$$S = \int_0^T L(x, v) dt. \quad (2.1)$$

with Lagrangian L . Given some initial conditions $(x, v)(0) = (x_0, v_0)$ one then obtains a unique solution $x(t)$ on $\mathcal{T} = [0, T]$.

We will now make the additional assumption that the particle moves through some randomly fluctuating background field. In order to introduce the stochastic fluctuations from this background field, we must promote the trajectory $\{x(t) : t \in \mathcal{T}\}$ to a semi-martingale process $\{X_t : t \in \mathcal{T}\}$. We then impose, as before, that this process must satisfy the Euler-Lagrange equations, which should now be interpreted as stochastic differential equations. On top of this, we will fix the stochastic law of the background field. The simplest way to do so is by imposing a condition on the quadratic variation. For example, a Brownian motion satisfies the structure relation

$$d[[X^i, X^j]]_t = \alpha \delta^{ij} dt, \quad (2.2)$$

where α is a positive definite constant. If, on the other hand, we were to consider a Poisson process, the quadratic variation would be given by

$$d[[X^i, X^j]]_t = \alpha \delta^{ij} dt + \delta^{ij} c_k dX_t^k, \quad (2.3)$$

where c_k is a constant covector.

Many stochastic processes are completely determined by such a structure relation. It is thus expected that, if quantum mechanics allows for a stochastic description, there exists a quantum structure relation. In fact, the canonical commutation relations

$$[X^i, P_j] = i \delta_j^i \quad (2.4)$$

suggest that such a structure relation must be of the form

$$m d[[X^i, X^j]]_t = i \delta^{ij} d\tau. \quad (2.5)$$

However, since the right hand side is not a positive definite tensor, there does not exist a real semi-martingale X satisfying this relation.

In stochastic mechanics this issue is circumvented by promoting the process X to a bidirectional stochastic process that is adapted to both a future and a past filtration. One then

introduces two time generators d_+ and d_- . The first is the forward generator, which is adapted to the past filtration and generates the future. The second is the backward generator, is adapted to the future and generates the past. This allows to construct the Nelson process X that satisfies the condition

$$\begin{aligned} m d_+[[X^i, X^j]]_t &= \delta^{ij} dt, \\ m d_-[[X^i, X^j]]_t &= \delta^{ij} dt. \end{aligned} \quad (2.6)$$

The stochastic Euler-Lagrange equations supplemented with these structure relation can then be solved within the framework of stochastic optimal control theory. It is well-established that this process indeed generates quantum mechanics of a spin-0 particle with mass m , cf. e.g. [12, 13, 35] for reviews.

In this paper, we advocate a slightly different route. Instead of introducing the notion of a bidirectional process and two time generators d_\pm , we will analytically continue our space \mathbb{R}^n to the complex space \mathbb{C}^n . We can then impose a condition on the quadratic variation of the form

$$m d[[Z^i, Z^j]]_t = \alpha \delta^{ij} dt. \quad (2.7)$$

where $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}$. As the right hand side now determines a pseudo-variance, it no longer has to be positive definite. Similarly, for the complex conjugate process \bar{Z} , we impose

$$m d[[\bar{Z}^i, \bar{Z}^j]]_t = \bar{\alpha} \delta^{ij} dt, \quad (2.8)$$

$$m d[[Z^i, \bar{Z}^j]]_t = (|\alpha| + \beta) \delta^{ij} dt \quad (2.9)$$

with $\beta \in [0, \infty)$. We note that this last expression is positive definite as required for the existence of Z_t . Furthermore, we remark that β determines the conformal part of the process, i.e., if $\alpha = 0$, Z is a conformal martingale.

If we use polar coordinates $\alpha = \rho e^{i\phi}$ and set $Z = X + iY$, we find

$$m d[[X^i, X^j]]_t = \frac{\beta + \rho(1 + \cos \phi)}{2} \delta^{ij} dt, \quad (2.10)$$

$$m d[[Y^i, Y^j]]_t = \frac{\beta + \rho(1 - \cos \phi)}{2} \delta^{ij} dt, \quad (2.11)$$

$$m d[[X^i, Y^j]]_t = \frac{\rho \sin \phi}{2} \delta^{ij} dt. \quad (2.12)$$

It is then easy to see that for $(\alpha, \beta) = (1, 0)$, we recover the Gaussian process defined by eq. (2.2). Moreover, the case $(\alpha, \beta) = (i, 0)$ suggested in eq. (2.5) is now well-defined.

We will set $\beta = 0$ and in analogy with the ordinary Brownian motion defined by eq. (2.2), we call the process defined by eq. (2.7) a complex Brownian motion. Furthermore, we refer to the special case $\alpha = \pm i$ as a conservative Brownian motion. This is due to fact that its stochastic dynamics is symmetric under the time reversal operation. As a consequence the conservative Brownian motion is at all times in a statistical equilibrium with the background field. This is in stark contrast with the real Brownian motion obtained for $\alpha = 1$, which is known to be a dissipative process.

In the remainder of the paper, we study the complex Brownian motion defined by the structure relation (2.7) for a general $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}$ in more detail. We will do this in the more complicated setting where the particle is relativistic and moves on a curved spacetime.

3 Stochastic Mechanics and the Feynman-Kac Theorem

Using Nelson's stochastic quantization, we will in section 9 derive a complex diffusion equation that governs the process described in the previous section. This result is closely tied to the Feynman-Kac theorem [1], which we review in this section.

The Feynman-Kac theorem states² that given the real diffusion equation

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \Psi(x, t) = - \left[\frac{\alpha}{2} \delta^{ij} \partial_i \partial_j + v^i(x, t) \partial_i - \mathfrak{U}(x, t) \right] \Psi(x, t) \quad (3.1)$$

with $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and $t \in [0, T]$ subjected to the terminal condition

$$\Psi(x, T) = u(x) \quad (3.2)$$

The solution can be written as the conditional expectation

$$\Psi(x, t) = \mathbb{E} \left[\exp \left(- \int_t^T \mathfrak{U}(X_s, s) ds \right) u(X_T) \middle| X_t = x \right] \quad (3.3)$$

for the Itô process defined by

$$\begin{aligned} dX_t^i &= v^i(X_t) dt + dM_t^i, \\ d[[X^i, X^j]]_t &= \alpha \delta^{ij} dt, \end{aligned} \quad (3.4)$$

with $\alpha \geq 0$, M a local martingale and $[[X, X]]$ denotes the quadratic variation. The process X thus describes a real Brownian motion with drift.

It was suggested by Gelfand and Yaglom [36], that a similar relation could exist for the Schrödinger equation

$$i \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \Psi(x, t) = - \left[\frac{\alpha}{2} \delta^{ij} \partial_i \partial_j + v^i(x, t) \partial_i - \mathfrak{U}(x, t) \right] \Psi(x, t). \quad (3.5)$$

However soon after, it was pointed out by Cameron and Daletskii [3,37,38] that a straightforward generalization does not exist, as the complex measure necessary to construct such an equivalence will have an infinite total variation.

Later Pavon [39] showed that, if one considers, instead of the process (3.4), a bidirectional Nelson process defined by

$$\begin{aligned} d_+ X_t^i &= v_+^i(X_t) dt + d_+ M_t^i, \\ d_+ [[X^i, X^j]]_t &= \alpha \delta^{ij} dt \end{aligned} \quad (3.6)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} d_- X_t^i &= v_-^i(X_t) dt + d_- M_t^i, \\ d_- [[X^i, X^j]]_t &= \alpha \delta^{ij} dt. \end{aligned} \quad (3.7)$$

such a relation could still be established.

In deriving this result Pavon build on earlier work [40–42], where the forward and backward velocity were combined into a single complex velocity

$$v_q = v - i u, \quad (3.8)$$

²We present an elementary form of the Theorem. Extension beyond the formula presented here are known.

with the current velocity v and osmotic velocity u given by

$$v = \frac{1}{2}(v_+ + v_-), \quad (3.9)$$

$$u = \frac{1}{2}(v_+ - v_-). \quad (3.10)$$

In contrast to the earlier works [36–38], Pavon did not only complexify the measure, but also the underlying degrees of freedom. In this case, the velocity of the process. In this work we go one step further and also complexify the position of the process. As pointed in the previous section, we can then replace the bidirectional Nelson process by a complex process satisfying

$$\begin{aligned} dZ_t^i &= w^i(Z_t) dt + dM_t^i, \\ d[[Z^i, Z^j]]_t &= \alpha \delta^{ij} dt \end{aligned} \quad (3.11)$$

with $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}$.

4 The Geometry

We will generalize the discussion in previous sections to the context of relativistic particles on Lorentzian manifolds. For this, we consider a set $\mathcal{T} = [0, T]$, a real $(n = d + 1)$ -dimensional Lorentzian manifold (\mathcal{M}, g) , and trajectories $x(\tau) : \mathcal{T} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}$.

We intend to superpose stochastic dynamics on these trajectories. However, stochastic dynamic violates the Leibniz rule, as stochastic processes have a non-vanishing quadratic variation. As a consequence, diffeomorphism invariance of stochastic theories defined on this manifold is broken. In this paper, we resolve this issue using the second order geometry framework as developed by Schwartz, Meyer and Emery [43–45].

The most important aspect of the second order geometry framework is that all tangent spaces $T_x\mathcal{M}$ are extended to second order tangent spaces $T_{2,x}\mathcal{M}$. In a local coordinate chart, second order vectors can be expressed as³

$$v = v^\mu \partial_\mu + \frac{1}{2} v^{\mu\nu} \partial_\mu \partial_\nu, \quad (4.1)$$

where $v^\mu \partial_\mu \in T_x\mathcal{M} \subset T_{2,x}\mathcal{M}$ represents the first order part and $v^{\mu\nu} \partial_\mu \partial_\nu$ the second order part. This second order part can be mapped bijectively onto a symmetric bilinear first order tensor, which in turn can be mapped bijectively onto the quadratic variation of the process X_t .⁴

When regarded as part of a second order vector, the first order vector $v^\mu \partial_\mu \in T_x\mathcal{M}$ no longer transforms in a covariant manner. However, one can construct the objects

$$\hat{v}^\mu = v^\mu + \frac{1}{2} \Gamma_{\sigma\kappa}^\mu v^{\sigma\kappa}, \quad (4.2)$$

$$\hat{v}^{\nu\rho} = v^{\nu\rho}, \quad (4.3)$$

which both transform covariantly. Diffeomorphism invariance of the physical theory can then be restored by replacing all vectors v^μ with their covariant expression \hat{v}^μ .

For a more complete exposition of the material, we refer to the works of Schwartz, Meyer and Emery [43–45]. We note that the construction of a diffeomorphism invariant theory of stochastic

³We slightly deviate from Refs. [20, 45], as we have introduced a factor $\frac{1}{2}$ in the second order part of the vector.

⁴cf. Theorem 3.8 and Proposition 6.13 in Ref. [45]

mechanics was already studied extensively, cf. e.g. Refs. [13,16,17]. Recently, we have translated and extended parts of these results into the second order geometry language [20].

As a final step, we will need to analytically continue the manifold to the complexified manifold $\mathcal{M}^{\mathbb{C}}$. Similarly the tangent spaces are analytically continued, such that we obtain a first and second order tangent bundle

$$(T\mathcal{M})^{\mathbb{C}} = T\mathcal{M} \otimes \mathbb{C} = T^{1,0}\mathcal{M} \oplus T^{0,1}\mathcal{M}, \quad (4.4)$$

$$(T_2\mathcal{M})^{\mathbb{C}} = T_2\mathcal{M} \otimes \mathbb{C} = T_2^{1,0}\mathcal{M} \oplus T_2^{0,1}\mathcal{M}. \quad (4.5)$$

5 The Stochastic Process

In order to introduce stochastic dynamics, we must promote the complex manifold to a measurable space $(\mathcal{M}^{\mathbb{C}}, \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{M}^{\mathbb{C}}))$ with Borel sigma algebra. Moreover we introduce the probability space $(\Omega, \Sigma, \mathbb{P})$ and study random variables $Z : (\Omega, \Sigma, \mathbb{P}) \rightarrow (\mathcal{M}^{\mathbb{C}}, \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{M}^{\mathbb{C}}), \mu)$ with $\mu = \mathbb{P} \circ Z^{-1}$. More precisely, we study stochastic processes, i.e. families of random variables $\{Z_\tau : \tau \in \mathcal{T}\}$. We will therefore introduce a filtration $\{\mathcal{F}_\tau\}_{\tau \in \mathcal{T}}$, which is by definition an ordered set that is increasing, i.e. $\emptyset \subseteq \mathcal{F}_s \subseteq \mathcal{F}_t \subseteq \Sigma \quad \forall s < t \in \mathcal{T}$, and right-continuous, i.e. $\mathcal{F}_\tau = \bigcap_{\epsilon > 0} \mathcal{F}_{\tau+\epsilon}$.

We assume the stochastic processes Z_τ to be a continuous semi-martingale processes adapted to a filtration. We remind the reader that continuous manifold valued semi-martingales are processes such that for every every coordinate chart $\chi : U \rightarrow V$ with $U \subset \mathcal{M}^{\mathbb{C}}$ and $V \subset \mathbb{C}^n$ the processes $Z^\mu = \chi^\mu(Z)$ are continuous semi-martingales, i.e they can locally be decomposed uniquely as

$$Z_\tau = C_\tau + M_\tau, \quad (5.1)$$

where C_τ is a continuous local càdlàg process with finite variation and M_τ is a continuous local martingale satisfying the martingale property

$$\mathbb{E}[M_\tau | \mathcal{F}_t] = M_t \quad \forall t < \tau \in \mathcal{T}. \quad (5.2)$$

In the remainder of the paper, we will also use a shorthand notation for conditional expectation values:

$$\mathbb{E}_t[Z_\tau] := \mathbb{E}[Z_\tau | \mathcal{F}_t]. \quad (5.3)$$

Using this notation, one can define the second order velocity of the process by the conditional expectation⁵

$$w^\mu(Z_\tau, \tau) = \lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \frac{1}{h} \mathbb{E}_\tau [Z_{\tau+h}^\mu - Z_\tau^\mu], \quad (5.4)$$

$$w^{\mu\nu}(Z_\tau, \tau) = \lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \frac{1}{h} \mathbb{E}_\tau \left[\left(Z_{\tau+h}^\nu - Z_\tau^\nu \right) \left(Z_{\tau+h}^\rho - Z_\tau^\rho \right) \right]. \quad (5.5)$$

The object $(w^\mu, w^{\nu\rho})$ is a second order vector field. As discussed in the previous section these objects are not covariant. However, one can obtain a covariant formulation given by

$$\hat{w}^\mu = w^\mu + \frac{1}{2} \Gamma_{\sigma\kappa}^\mu w^{\sigma\kappa}, \quad (5.6)$$

$$\hat{w}^{\nu\rho} = w^{\nu\rho}. \quad (5.7)$$

⁵We note that the definition for the second order part deviates by a factor 2 compared to the definition used in our previous works [20, 28], see also previous footnote.

Moreover, using these velocities, one can reconstruct the Càdlàg process as

$$C_\tau^\mu - C_0^\mu = \lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \int_0^\tau \frac{1}{h} \mathbb{E}_s [Z_{s+h}^\mu - Z_s^\mu] ds \quad (5.8)$$

and the angle bracket process

$$\begin{aligned} C_\tau^{\mu\nu} - C_0^{\mu\nu} &:= \langle\langle Z^\mu, Z^\nu \rangle\rangle_\tau - \langle\langle Z^\mu, Z^\nu \rangle\rangle_0 \\ &= \lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \int_0^\tau \frac{1}{h} \mathbb{E}_s \left[\left(Z_{s+h}^\mu - Z_s^\mu \right) \left(Z_{s+h}^\nu - Z_s^\nu \right) \right] ds, \end{aligned} \quad (5.9)$$

which is the compensator for the quadratic variation, i.e. the process

$$M_\tau^{\mu\nu} := [[Z^\mu, Z^\nu]]_\tau - \langle\langle Z^\mu, Z^\nu \rangle\rangle_\tau \quad (5.10)$$

is a local martingale.

The process Z can be lifted to the tangent bundle, yielding a process (Z_τ, W_τ) that is a continuous semi-martingale on the second order holomorphic tangent bundle $T_2^{1,0} \mathcal{M}$. This process can be decomposed into the real processes X_τ, Y_τ and V_τ, U_τ such that

$$Z_\tau = X_\tau + i Y_\tau, \quad (5.11)$$

$$W_\tau = V_\tau + i U_\tau. \quad (5.12)$$

As discussed in section 2, we will fix the quadratic variation of the processes by

$$d[[Z^\mu, Z^\nu]]_\tau = W_\tau^{\mu\nu} = \alpha \lambda g^{\mu\nu}(Z_\tau) d\tau, \quad (5.13)$$

where $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}$ and λ is a dimensionful constant characterizing the particle. Moreover,⁶

$$\hat{w}^{\mu\nu}(Z_\tau, \tau) = w^{\mu\nu}(Z_\tau, \tau) = \mathbb{E}_\tau [W_\tau^{\mu\nu}] = \alpha \lambda g^{\mu\nu}(Z_\tau). \quad (5.14)$$

6 Variational Equations

Having specified the geometry and the stochastic dynamics, we can derive equations of motion for the stochastic particle. For this, we assume the geometry to be non-dynamical, and thus the metric to be a fixed symmetric bilinear form $g_{\mu\nu}(z)$. Consequently, the processes $(Z_\tau^\mu, W_\tau^\nu, W_\tau^{\rho\sigma})$ defined on the $\frac{n(n+5)}{2}$ -dimensional second order holomorphic tangent bundle $T_2^{1,0} \mathcal{M}$ are restricted to (Z_τ^μ, W_τ^ν) defined on the $2n$ -dimensional slice $T^{1,0} \mathcal{M} \subset T_2^{1,0} \mathcal{M}$. The Lagrangian for these processes is a complex function on the holomorphic tangent bundle, i.e.

$$L : T^{1,0} \mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}, \quad (6.1)$$

and the action is given by

$$S = \mathbb{E} \left[\int L(Z, W) d\tau \right]. \quad (6.2)$$

⁶Note that $\hat{w}^{\mu\nu}$ is the second order part of a second order vector field, while $g^{\mu\nu}$ is a bilinear first order tensor. Therefore, the two cannot be equated straightforwardly. However, there exists a unique smooth and invertible linear map \mathbf{H} from bilinear first order forms to second order forms, cf. Proposition 6.13 in Ref. [45] or eq. (2.15) in Ref. [20]. Using this mapping, which is notationally suppressed in equation (5.14), one can equate the two objects.

The stochastic Euler-Lagrange equations are given by

$$\int \frac{\partial}{\partial Z^\mu} L(Z, W) d\tau = \int \circ d \frac{\partial}{\partial W^\mu} L(Z, W), \quad (6.3)$$

which is a stochastic differential equation in the sense of Stratonovich. One can also construct a stochastic Hamiltonian function

$$H(Z, P) = P_\mu W^\mu - L(Z, W), \quad (6.4)$$

where P_μ is the conjugate momentum process, i.e.

$$P_\mu = \frac{\partial}{\partial W^\mu} L(Z, W). \quad (6.5)$$

In addition, we define Hamilton's principal function by

$$S(z, \tau) = \mathbb{E} \left[\int_0^\tau L(Z, W) ds \mid Z_\tau = z \right]. \quad (6.6)$$

The corresponding stochastic Hamilton-Jacobi equations are given by

$$\nabla_\mu S(z, \tau) = \mathbb{E}_\tau [P_\mu], \quad (6.7)$$

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial \tau} S(z, \tau) = \mathbb{E}_\tau [-H(Z, P)]. \quad (6.8)$$

Finally, we remark that our relativistic theory is invariant under rescalings of the proper time parameter, which imposes

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial \tau} S(z, \tau) = 0. \quad (6.9)$$

7 Stochastic Euler-Lagrange Equations

We consider a classical real Lagrangian $L : T\mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ of the form

$$L(x, v) = \frac{1}{2\lambda} g_{\mu\nu}(x) v^\mu v^\nu - \frac{\lambda m^2}{2} + q A_\mu(x) v^\mu, \quad (7.1)$$

where λ is an einbein field along the worldline of the particle with charge q . For massive theories we gauge fix $\lambda = m^{-1}$, while for massless theories we gauge fix $\lambda = 1$ in the equations of motion. We consider the stochastic analytic continuation of this Lagrangian given by $L : T^{1,0}\mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ such that

$$L(Z, W) = \frac{1}{2\lambda} g_{\mu\nu}(Z) W^\mu W^\nu - \frac{\lambda m^2}{2} + q A_\mu(Z) W^\mu. \quad (7.2)$$

The Euler-Lagrange equations for this Lagrangian become

$$\int \int g_{\mu\nu} \circ (d^2 Z^\nu + \Gamma_{\rho\sigma}^\nu dZ^\rho dZ^\sigma) = \int \int \lambda q (\nabla_\mu A_\nu - \nabla_\nu A_\mu) \circ dZ^\nu d\tau, \quad (7.3)$$

which is a complex second order stochastic differential equation in the sense of Stratonovich. This equation must be supplemented with the relativistic constraint equation

$$\mathbb{E}_\tau [g_{\mu\nu} \circ dZ^\mu dZ^\nu + \lambda^2 m^2 d\tau^2] = 0 \quad (7.4)$$

that follows from the variation of the action with respect to λ . In addition, it must be supplemented with the condition on the quadratic variation

$$d[[Z^\mu, Z^\nu]] = \alpha \lambda g^{\mu\nu}(Z) d\tau. \quad (7.5)$$

We note that in the limit $\alpha \rightarrow 0$, one obtains the classical results: the Euler-Lagrange equations become ordinary differential equations

$$g_{\mu\nu} \left(\frac{d^2 Z^\nu}{d\tau^2} + \Gamma_{\rho\sigma}^\nu \frac{dZ^\rho}{d\tau} \frac{dZ^\sigma}{d\tau} \right) = \lambda q \left(\nabla_\mu A_\nu - \nabla_\nu A_\mu \right) \frac{dZ^\nu}{d\tau} \quad (7.6)$$

with constraint

$$g_{\mu\nu} \frac{dZ^\mu}{d\tau} \frac{dZ^\nu}{d\tau} = -\lambda^2 m^2 \quad (7.7)$$

and the quadratic variation vanishes.

8 Field Equations

Although the equations of motion derived in the previous section can be written down formally, for practical purposes it may be easier to solve a system of first order stochastic differential equations in the sense of Itô. In this section, we will therefore derive an equivalent system of stochastic differential equations in the Itô formulation using the Hamilton-Jacobi formalism.

The Hamilton-Jacobi equations for the Lagrangian introduced in previous section yield

$$\nabla_\mu S(z, \tau) = \lambda^{-1} g_{\mu\nu} \hat{w}^\nu + q A_\mu. \quad (8.1)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial}{\partial \tau} S(z, \tau) &= -\mathbb{E}_\tau \left[\frac{1}{2\lambda} g_{\mu\nu}(Z) W^\mu W^\nu + \frac{\lambda m^2}{2} \right] \\ &= -\frac{1}{2\lambda} g_{\mu\nu} \hat{w}^\mu \hat{w}^\nu - \frac{\alpha}{2} \nabla_\mu \hat{w}^\mu + \frac{\alpha^2 \lambda}{12} \mathcal{R} - \frac{\lambda m^2}{2}, \end{aligned} \quad (8.2)$$

where we used the results from appendix C. We can combine these two equations by taking a covariant derivative of the second equation and plugging in the first equation. This yields

$$\frac{1}{\lambda} \hat{w}^\nu \nabla_\mu \hat{w}_\nu + \frac{\alpha}{2} \nabla_\mu \nabla_\nu \hat{w}^\nu - \frac{\alpha^2 \lambda}{12} \nabla_\mu \mathcal{R} = 0, \quad (8.3)$$

where we applied the relativistic constraint $\partial_\tau S = 0$. Then using that

$$\nabla_\mu \hat{w}_\nu = \nabla_\nu \hat{w}_\mu - \lambda q H_{\mu\nu}, \quad (8.4)$$

$$\nabla_\mu \nabla_\nu \hat{w}^\nu = \square \hat{w}_\mu - \lambda q \nabla^\nu H_{\mu\nu} - \mathcal{R}_{\mu\nu} \hat{w}^\nu \quad (8.5)$$

with the field strength defined by

$$H_{\mu\nu} := \nabla_\mu A_\nu - \nabla_\nu A_\mu, \quad (8.6)$$

we find

$$\left[\frac{1}{\lambda} g_{\mu\nu} \hat{w}^\rho \nabla_\rho - q H_{\mu\nu} + \frac{\alpha}{2} (g_{\mu\nu} \square - \mathcal{R}_{\mu\nu}) \right] \hat{w}^\nu = \frac{\alpha \lambda}{2} \left(q \nabla^\nu H_{\mu\nu} + \frac{\alpha}{6} \nabla_\mu \mathcal{R} \right), \quad (8.7)$$

which can be solved for the velocity field $\hat{w}^\mu(z)$ under the relativistic constraint

$$g_{\mu\nu}\hat{w}^\mu\hat{w}^\nu + \alpha\lambda\nabla_\mu\hat{w}^\mu - \frac{\alpha^2\lambda^2}{6}\mathcal{R} = -\lambda^2 m^2. \quad (8.8)$$

The solution can then be plugged into the first order stochastic differential equation in the sense of Itô

$$dZ_\tau^\mu = w^\mu(Z_\tau) d\tau + dM_\tau^\mu, \quad (8.9)$$

$$d[[Z^\mu, Z^\nu]]_\tau = \alpha\lambda g^{\mu\nu}(Z_\tau) d\tau. \quad (8.10)$$

where we note that $\hat{w}^\mu = w^\mu + \frac{\alpha\lambda}{2}\Gamma^\mu$. This system can be solved for the appropriate boundary conditions, yielding a stochastic process Z_τ . The moments of this process can be calculated using the characteristic and moment generating functional

$$\Phi_Z(J) = \mathbb{E} \left[e^{i \int J_\mu Z^\mu d\tau} \right], \quad (8.11)$$

$$M_Z(J) = \mathbb{E} \left[e^{\int J_\mu Z^\mu d\tau} \right]. \quad (8.12)$$

9 Diffusion Equation

In this section, we derive a diffusion equation governing the stochastic process described in previous sections.

The Hamilton-Jacobi equations (8.1) and (8.2) can be combined such that

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial\tau}S = -\frac{\lambda}{2} \left(\nabla_\mu S \nabla^\mu S + \alpha \square S - 2q A_\mu \nabla^\mu S - \alpha q \nabla_\mu A^\mu + q^2 A_\mu A^\mu - \frac{\alpha^2}{6}\mathcal{R} + m^2 \right). \quad (9.1)$$

If we then define the wave function

$$\Psi(z, \tau) = \exp \left\{ \frac{1}{\alpha} \left[S(z, \tau) + \frac{\lambda m^2}{2} \tau \right] \right\}, \quad (9.2)$$

we find that eq. (9.1) is equivalent to the diffusion equation

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial\tau}\Psi = -\frac{\alpha\lambda}{2} \left[\left(\nabla_\mu - \frac{q}{\alpha} A_\mu \right) \left(\nabla^\mu - \frac{q}{\alpha} A^\mu \right) - \frac{1}{6}\mathcal{R} \right] \Psi. \quad (9.3)$$

Moreover, we have

$$|\Psi(z, \tau)|^2 = \exp \left[\frac{2}{\rho} \left(\cos(\phi) \left\{ \text{Re}[S(z, \tau)] + \frac{\lambda m^2}{2} \tau \right\} + \sin(\phi) \text{Im}[S(z, \tau)] \right) \right]. \quad (9.4)$$

We note that this equation should be interpreted as a backward equation, i.e. subjected to a terminal condition.

We will now set $\rho = |\alpha| = 1$ and consider several special cases. As anticipated in sections 2 and 3, for $\phi \in \{0, \pi\}$ we obtain the heat equation

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial\tau}\Psi = \mp \frac{\lambda}{2} \left[\left(\nabla_\mu \mp q A_\mu \right) \left(\nabla^\mu \mp q A^\mu \right) - \frac{1}{6}\mathcal{R} \right] \Psi \quad (9.5)$$

with

$$\Psi(z, \tau) = \exp \left\{ \pm \left[S(z, \tau) + \frac{\lambda m^2}{2} \tau \right] \right\}, \quad (9.6)$$

$$|\Psi(z, \tau)|^2 = \exp \left(\pm \left\{ 2 \operatorname{Re}[S(z, \tau)] + \lambda m^2 \tau \right\} \right). \quad (9.7)$$

On the other hand for $\phi \in \{-\frac{\pi}{2}, \frac{\pi}{2}\}$, we obtain the Schrödinger equation

$$i \frac{\partial}{\partial \tau} \Psi = \mp \frac{\lambda}{2} \left[\left(\nabla_\mu \mp i q A_\mu \right) \left(\nabla^\mu \mp i q A^\mu \right) - \frac{1}{6} \mathcal{R} \right] \Psi \quad (9.8)$$

with

$$\Psi(z, \tau) = \exp \left\{ \pm i \left[S(z, \tau) + \frac{\lambda m^2}{2} \tau \right] \right\}, \quad (9.9)$$

$$|\Psi(z, \tau)|^2 = \exp \left\{ \mp 2 \operatorname{Im}[S(z, \tau)] \right\}. \quad (9.10)$$

Furthermore, we note that the relativistic constraint imposes $S(z, \tau) = S(z)$, which allows to solve eq. (9.3) by separation of variables. We then obtain

$$\Psi(z, \tau) = \Phi(z) \exp \left(\frac{m \tau}{2 \alpha} \right), \quad (9.11)$$

where $\Phi(z) = \exp[\alpha^{-1} S(z)]$ solves the Klein-Gordon equation

$$\left[\left(\nabla_\mu - \frac{q}{\alpha} A_\mu \right) \left(\nabla^\mu - \frac{q}{\alpha} A^\mu \right) - \frac{1}{6} \mathcal{R} + \frac{m^2}{\alpha^2} \right] \Phi = 0. \quad (9.12)$$

10 Conclusion

In this paper we have derived three equivalent descriptions for the diffusion of a single scalar relativistic particle on a complexified Lorentzian manifold charged under a vector potential. The first is as a second order stochastic differential equation in the sense of Stratonovich; the second is a system of first order stochastic differential equations in the sense of Itô and the third is as the Kolmogorov backward equation associated to the process. In addition, we have presented the results for the non-relativistic particle in appendix A.

In fact, this result is well known for non-relativistic diffusion processes on \mathbb{R}^n with a real variance, and is given by the Feynman-Kac formula. In this paper, we have used Nelson's stochastic quantization scheme to generalize this result to the case of (relativistic) diffusion processes on (pseudo-)Riemannian manifolds with a complex pseudo-variance. We should emphasize, however, that we have derived our results under the assumption of the existence of unique solutions to the given formulations. A mathematically rigorous proof of our results will be left for future work.

It is worth pointing out the similarities and differences of the rotation of the pseudo-variance around the angle ϕ studied in this paper and the Wick rotation. Both rotations transform a heat-type equation into a Schrödinger-type equation. This is due to the fact that both rotations act on the proper time parameter. However, there is also an important difference, as the rotation discussed in this paper also acts on all coordinates. As a consequence it preserves the (k, l, m) signature of the (pseudo-)Riemannian manifold. In contrast the Wick rotation only acts on

the time-like coordinates, and therefore transforms a pseudo-Riemannian manifold with (k, l, m) signature into a Riemannian manifold with $(k + l, 0, m)$ signature.

Furthermore, it is worth noticing that the diffusion equation (9.3) contains a term proportional to the Ricci scalar. This term comes with a prefactor $\frac{1}{6}$ that results from a Taylor expansion, cf. appendix C. On the other hand, it is well known that for a prefactor given by $\frac{n-2}{4(n-1)}$ the diffusion equation is conformally invariant. Interestingly, the two prefactors coincide in 4 dimensions.

Finally, as the description given in this paper requires the complexification of spacetime, we are forced to give a physical interpretation to the imaginary part of position and velocity vectors. Here, Pavon's formulation in terms of bidirectional processes and complex velocities as discussed in section 2 might provide an answer: Pavon considered a velocity $v_q = v - i u$, where v is the current velocity associated to the particle itself and u is the osmotic velocity associated to the motion of the background field through which the particle propagates. In our formulation in terms of unidirectional complex processes, we can give the same interpretation to the velocity field.

We will thus interpret $\text{Re}(W) = V$ as the velocity of the particle and $\text{Im}(W) = U$ as the velocity of the background field. Consequently, we must also associate $\text{Re}(Z) = X$ to the position of the particle and $\text{Im}(Z) = Y$ to the position of an associated particle in the background field. We conclude that both matter and the background field move under evolution of the proper time. Interestingly, for $\alpha \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \mathbb{R}$ the stochastic dynamics of the particle and the background field are coupled and therefore they cannot be treated independently. This is particularly true for pure quantum systems where $\alpha \in i\mathbb{R}$, but is in stark contrast with the real Brownian motion with $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$. In this latter case, the motion of the background field and matter are completely decoupled, which allows to neglect the motion of the background field.

We conclude that our results further illustrate the close connection between Brownian motion and quantum physics and open up new avenues to tackle quantum problems using the theory of stochastic differential equations. In addition, our results reaffirm the central result of stochastic mechanics that quantum physics can be understood in terms of stochastic processes.

Acknowledgments

This work is supported by a doctoral studentship of the Science and Technology Facilities Council, award number: 2131791.

A Non-Relativistic Theories

In this paper, we have presented a stochastic formulation of relativistic diffusion processes. In this appendix we present the results for non-relativistic diffusion processes, which can be derived in a similar fashion.

We consider a set $\mathcal{T} = [0, T]$, a real $(n = d)$ -dimensional Riemannian manifold and trajectories $x(t) : \mathcal{T} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}$. We consider a classical non-relativistic theory of the form

$$L(x, v, t) = \frac{m}{2} g_{ij}(x) v^i v^j + q A_i(x, t) v^i - \mathfrak{U}(x, t). \quad (\text{A.1})$$

The stochastic analytic continuation is then given by

$$L(Z, W, t) = \frac{m}{2} g_{ij}(Z) W^i W^j + q A_i(Z, t) W^i - \mathfrak{U}(Z, t) \quad (\text{A.2})$$

and the stochastic Euler-Lagrange equations are

$$m g_{ij} \circ \left(d^2 Z^j + \Gamma_{kl}^j dZ^k dZ^l \right) = q \left(\nabla_i A_j - \nabla_j A_i \right) \circ dZ^j dt - \left(q \partial_t A_i + \nabla_i \mathfrak{U} \right) dt^2, \quad (\text{A.3})$$

which must be supplemented with the condition on the quadratic variation

$$d[[Z^i, Z^j]] = \frac{\alpha}{m} g^{ij}(Z) dt. \quad (\text{A.4})$$

On the other hand, in the Itô formulation, we find that the velocity field is governed by the equation

$$\left[m g_{ij} \left(\partial_t + \hat{w}^k \nabla_k \right) - q H_{ij} + \frac{\alpha}{2} \left(g_{ij} \square - \mathcal{R}_{ij} \right) \right] \hat{w}^j = \frac{\alpha q}{2m} \nabla^j H_{ij} - q \partial_t A_i - \nabla_i \mathfrak{U} + \frac{\alpha^2}{12m} \nabla_i \mathcal{R}. \quad (\text{A.5})$$

As in the relativistic case the solution $w^i(z, t)$ can be plugged into the first order stochastic differential equation in the sense of Itô:

$$dZ_t^i = w^i(Z_t, t) dt + dM_t^i, \quad (\text{A.6})$$

$$d[[Z^i, Z^j]]_t = \frac{\alpha}{m} g^{ij}(Z_t) dt, \quad (\text{A.7})$$

where we note that $\hat{w}^i = w^i + \frac{\alpha}{2m} \Gamma^i$.

Furthermore, we can define the wave function

$$\Psi(z, t) = \exp \left[\frac{S(z, t)}{\alpha} \right] \quad (\text{A.8})$$

for which we find that eq. (9.1) is equivalent to the complex diffusion equation

$$\alpha \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \Psi = - \left\{ \frac{\alpha^2}{2m} \left[\left(\nabla_i - \frac{q}{\alpha} A_i \right) \left(\nabla^i - \frac{q}{\alpha} A^i \right) - \frac{1}{6} \mathcal{R} \right] + \mathfrak{U} \right\} \Psi. \quad (\text{A.9})$$

If there is no explicit time dependence, i.e. $\mathfrak{U}(x, t) = \mathfrak{U}(x)$ and $A_i(x, t) = A_i(x)$, this can be solved by separation of variables, such that

$$\Psi(z, t) = \sum_k \Phi_k(z) \exp \left[\frac{E_k}{\alpha} t \right], \quad (\text{A.10})$$

where $\Phi_k(z)$ solves the wave equation

$$\left\{ \frac{\alpha^2}{2m} \left[\left(\nabla_i - \frac{q}{\alpha} A_i \right) \left(\nabla^i - \frac{q}{\alpha} A^i \right) - \frac{1}{6} \mathcal{R} \right] + \mathfrak{U} + E_k \right\} \Psi_k = 0. \quad (\text{A.11})$$

B Stochastic Integration

In this appendix, we review some notions from stochastic integration on manifolds. Let us first review the definition of stochastic integrals on \mathbb{R}^n . The *Stratonovich integral* is defined as

$$\int_0^T f(X_\tau) \circ dX_\tau^\mu := \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{[\tau_i, \tau_{i+1}] \in \pi_k} \frac{1}{2} [f(X_{\tau_i}) + f(X_{\tau_{i+1}})] [X_{\tau_{i+1}}^\mu - X_{\tau_i}^\mu], \quad (\text{B.1})$$

where π_k is a partition of $[0, T]$. The *Itô integral* is defined by

$$\int_0^T f(X_\tau) dX_\tau^\mu := \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{[\tau_i, \tau_{i+1}] \in \pi_k} f(X_{\tau_i}) [X_{\tau_{i+1}}^\mu - X_{\tau_i}^\mu] \quad (\text{B.2})$$

and the integral over the quadratic variation is given by

$$\int f(X_\tau) d[[X^\mu, X^\nu]]_\tau := \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{[\tau_i, \tau_{i+1}] \in \pi_k} f(X_{\tau_i}) [X_{\tau_{i+1}}^\mu - X_{\tau_i}^\mu] [X_{\tau_{i+1}}^\nu - X_{\tau_i}^\nu] \quad (\text{B.3})$$

By a straightforward calculation, one can then derive a relation between the three integrals:

$$\int_0^T f(X_\tau) dX_\tau^\mu = \int_0^T f(X_\tau) dX_\tau^\mu + \frac{1}{2} \int \partial_\nu f(X_\tau) d[[X^\mu, X^\nu]]_\tau \quad (\text{B.4})$$

The Stratonovich integral has the advantage that it obeys the Leibniz rule:

$$\circ d(X^\mu Y^\nu) = X^\mu \circ dY^\nu + Y^\nu \circ dX^\mu, \quad (\text{B.5})$$

while the Itô integral satisfies a modified Leibniz rule given by

$$d(X^\mu Y^\nu) = X^\mu dY^\nu + Y^\nu dX^\mu + d[[X^\mu, Y^\nu]]. \quad (\text{B.6})$$

On the other hand, the Itô integral has the advantage that for any martingale M_τ

$$\mathbb{E}_\tau \left[\int_\tau^T f(X_s) dM_s^\mu \right] = 0. \quad (\text{B.7})$$

All these integrals can be extended to smooth manifolds with a connection. As usual this must be done using differential forms. We will express a first order form $\omega \in T^*\mathcal{M}$ in a local coordinate chart as

$$\omega = \omega_\mu \circ dx^\mu. \quad (\text{B.8})$$

The Stratonovich integral is then defined by

$$\int_{X_\tau} \omega := \int_0^T \omega_\mu(X_\tau) \circ dX_\tau^\mu. \quad (\text{B.9})$$

The right hand side can be calculated using the definition (B.1) in a local coordinate chart.

The construction of the Itô integral on the other hand, requires the construction of second order forms $\Omega \in T_2^*\mathcal{M}$. These can be expressed in a local coordinate chart as⁷

$$\omega = \omega_\mu dx^\mu + \frac{1}{2} \partial_\nu \omega_\mu d[[x^\mu, x^\nu]] \quad (\text{B.10})$$

Expressions of the form

$$\int_0^T \omega_\mu(X_\tau) dX_\tau^\mu \quad \text{and} \quad \int_0^T \omega_{\mu\nu}(X_\tau) d[[X^\mu, X^\nu]]_\tau$$

⁷Note that we deviate here from the notation used in Refs. [20, 45], where first order forms are expressed as $\omega = \omega_\mu dx^\mu$ and second order forms as $\omega = \omega_\mu d_2 x^\mu + \omega_{\mu\nu} dx^\mu \cdot dx^\nu$. The notation used in Refs. [20, 45] is the standard notation in the geometry literature, while the notation adapted in this paper is closer to the stochastic literature.

can then be calculated in a local coordinate chart using definitions (B.2) and (B.3) respectively. Moreover, the second expression represents the integral over the quadratic variation on a manifold. The first, however, does not define an Itô integral on manifolds, as it is not covariant. Instead, the Itô integral is defined by the covariant expression

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\underline{X}_\tau} \omega &:= \int_0^T \omega_\mu(X_\tau) d\hat{X}_\tau^\mu \\ &:= \int_0^T \omega_\mu(X_\tau) dX_\tau^\mu + \frac{1}{2} \int_0^T \omega_\mu(X_\tau) \Gamma_{\nu\rho}^\mu(X_\tau) d[[X^\nu, X^\rho]]_\tau. \end{aligned} \quad (\text{B.11})$$

The relation between the Stratonovich and Itô integral on a manifold is then given by

$$\int_0^T \omega_\mu(X_\tau) \circ dX_\tau^\mu = \int_{\underline{X}_\tau} \omega_\mu(X_\tau) d\hat{X}_\tau^\mu + \frac{1}{2} \int_0^T \nabla_\nu \omega_\mu(X_\tau) d[[X^\mu, X^\nu]]_\tau. \quad (\text{B.12})$$

C Calculation of conditional expectations

In this appendix we derive the following expressions

$$\mathbb{E}_\tau[\mathfrak{U}] = \mathfrak{U}, \quad (\text{C.1})$$

$$\mathbb{E}_\tau[g_{\mu\nu} W^{\mu\nu}] = n \alpha \lambda, \quad (\text{C.2})$$

$$\mathbb{E}_\tau[A_\mu W^\mu] = A_\mu \hat{w}^\mu + \frac{\alpha \lambda}{2} \nabla_\mu A^\mu, \quad (\text{C.3})$$

$$\mathbb{E}_\tau[g_{\mu\nu} W^\mu W^\nu] = g_{\mu\nu} \hat{w}^\mu \hat{w}^\nu + \alpha \lambda \nabla_\mu \hat{w}^\mu - \frac{\alpha^2 \lambda^2}{6} \mathcal{R}. \quad (\text{C.4})$$

The proof of the first equality is immediate by “taking out what is known”:

$$\mathbb{E}_\tau[\mathfrak{U}(Z_\tau)] = \mathfrak{U}(z). \quad (\text{C.5})$$

For the second equality we find

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}_\tau \left[\int_\tau^{\tau+d\tau} g_{\mu\nu}(Z_s) W_s^{\mu\nu} ds \right] &= \mathbb{E}_\tau \left[\int g_{\mu\nu}(Z_s) d[[Z^\mu, Z^\nu]]_s \right] \\ &= \mathbb{E}_\tau \left[\alpha \lambda \int g_{\mu\nu}(Z_s) g^{\mu\nu}(Z_s) ds \right] \\ &= \mathbb{E}_\tau[n \alpha \lambda d\tau] \\ &= n \alpha \lambda d\tau, \end{aligned} \quad (\text{C.6})$$

In the limit $d\tau \rightarrow 0$ we then obtain the result (C.2).

For the third equality, we find

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{E}_\tau \left[\int_\tau^{\tau+d\tau} A_\mu(Z_s) W_s^\mu ds \right] &= \mathbb{E}_\tau \left[\int A_\mu(Z_s) \circ dZ_s^\mu \right] \\
&= \mathbb{E}_\tau \left[\int A_\mu(Z_s) dZ_s^\mu + \frac{1}{2} \int \partial_\nu A_\mu(Z_s) d[[Z^\mu, Z^\nu]]_s \right] \\
&= \mathbb{E}_\tau \left[\int \left(A_\mu(Z_s) w^\mu(Z_s) + w^{\mu\nu}(Z_s) \partial_\nu A_\mu(Z_s) \right) ds + \int A_\mu(Z_s) dM_s^\mu \right] \\
&= \mathbb{E}_\tau \left[\left(A_\mu(Z_\tau) w^\mu(Z_\tau) + w^{\mu\nu}(Z_\tau) \partial_\nu A_\mu(Z_\tau) \right) d\tau + o(d\tau) \right] \\
&= \mathbb{E}_\tau \left[\left(A_\mu(Z_\tau) \hat{w}^\mu(Z_\tau) + \hat{w}^{\mu\nu}(Z_\tau) \nabla_\nu A_\mu(Z_\tau) \right) d\tau + o(d\tau) \right] \\
&= \left(A_\mu \hat{w}^\mu + \frac{\alpha \lambda}{2} \nabla_\mu A^\mu \right) d\tau + o(d\tau), \tag{C.7}
\end{aligned}$$

where we rewrote the Stratonovich integral as an Itô integral, such that the martingale property (B.7) can be applied on the stochastic integral dM . In the limit $d\tau \rightarrow 0$, we then obtain eq. (C.3).

C.1 Quadratic in Velocity

The calculation of the conditional expectation of a term quadratic in the velocity process is slightly more involved. This calculation was first performed by Guerra and Nelson in Ref. [13]. Here, we reproduce their result using a slightly different presentation.

We first notice that

$$g_{\mu\nu}(Z_\tau) \circ dZ_\tau^\mu dZ_\tau^\nu = g_{\mu\nu}(Z_\tau) W_\tau^{\mu\nu} d\tau + g_{\mu\nu}(Z_\tau) W_\tau^\mu W_\tau^\nu d\tau^2 + o(d\tau^2), \tag{C.8}$$

where the left hand side is a Stratonovich integral. In order to calculate the conditional expectation of this expression, we will need to rewrite this into an Itô integral. For this, we note that⁸

$$\begin{aligned}
d^2 f &= d \left(\partial_\mu f dZ^\mu + \frac{1}{2} \partial_\mu \partial_\nu f d[[Z^\mu, Z^\nu]] \right) \\
&= \partial_\mu f d^2 Z^\mu + \partial_\nu \partial_\mu f dZ^\mu dZ^\nu + \partial_\rho \partial_\nu \partial_\mu f dZ^\mu d[[Z^\nu, Z^\rho]] \\
&\quad + \frac{1}{4} \partial_\sigma \partial_\rho \partial_\nu \partial_\mu f d[[Z^\mu, Z^\nu]] d[[Z^\rho, Z^\sigma]] \\
&= \partial_\mu f d^2 Z^\mu + \partial_\nu \partial_\mu f dZ^\mu dZ^\nu + \frac{1}{3} \partial_\rho \partial_\nu \partial_\mu f dZ^\mu dZ^\nu dZ^\rho \\
&\quad + \frac{1}{12} \partial_\sigma \partial_\rho \partial_\nu \partial_\mu f dZ^\mu dZ^\nu dZ^\rho dZ^\sigma, \tag{C.9}
\end{aligned}$$

where we introduced the notation

$$dZ^\mu dZ^\nu dZ^\rho = dZ^\mu d[[Z^\nu, Z^\rho]] + dZ^\nu d[[Z^\mu, Z^\rho]] + dZ^\rho d[[Z^\mu, Z^\nu]], \tag{C.10}$$

$$dZ^\mu dZ^\nu dZ^\rho dZ^\sigma = d[[Z^\mu, Z^\nu]] d[[Z^\rho, Z^\sigma]] + d[[Z^\mu, Z^\rho]] d[[Z^\nu, Z^\sigma]] + d[[Z^\mu, Z^\sigma]] d[[Z^\nu, Z^\rho]]. \tag{C.11}$$

⁸We make use of the that Brownian motion is completely determined by its quadratic moment: all even moment can be expressed in terms of the quadratic moment and all odd moments vanish.

This expression can be rewritten into an explicitly covariant form:

$$\begin{aligned}
d^2 f = & \nabla_\mu f \left[dZ^\mu + \Gamma_{\nu\rho}^\mu dZ^\nu dZ^\rho + \frac{1}{3} \left(\partial_\nu \Gamma_{\rho\sigma}^\mu + \Gamma_{\nu\kappa}^\mu \Gamma_{\rho\sigma}^\kappa \right) dZ^\nu dZ^\rho dZ^\sigma \right. \\
& + \frac{1}{12} \partial_\kappa \left(\partial_\nu \Gamma_{\rho\sigma}^\mu + \Gamma_{\nu\lambda}^\mu \Gamma_{\rho\sigma}^\lambda \right) dZ^\nu dZ^\rho dZ^\sigma dZ^\kappa \\
& \left. + \frac{1}{12} \Gamma_{\kappa\lambda}^\mu \left(\partial_\nu \Gamma_{\rho\sigma}^\lambda + \Gamma_{\nu\alpha}^\lambda \Gamma_{\rho\sigma}^\alpha \right) dZ^\nu dZ^\rho dZ^\sigma dZ^\kappa \right] \\
& + \nabla_\nu \nabla_\mu f \left[dZ^\mu dZ^\nu + \frac{2}{3} \Gamma_{\rho\sigma}^\mu dZ^\nu dZ^\rho dZ^\sigma + \frac{1}{3} \Gamma_{\rho\sigma}^\nu dZ^\mu dZ^\rho dZ^\sigma \right. \\
& + \frac{1}{4} \Gamma_{\rho\sigma}^\mu \Gamma_{\kappa\lambda}^\nu dZ^\rho dZ^\sigma dZ^\kappa dZ^\lambda + \frac{1}{4} \left(\partial_\kappa \Gamma_{\rho\sigma}^\mu + \Gamma_{\kappa\lambda}^\mu \Gamma_{\rho\sigma}^\lambda \right) dZ^\nu dZ^\rho dZ^\sigma dZ^\kappa \\
& \left. + \frac{1}{12} \left(\partial_\kappa \Gamma_{\rho\sigma}^\nu + \Gamma_{\kappa\lambda}^\nu \Gamma_{\rho\sigma}^\lambda \right) dZ^\mu dZ^\rho dZ^\sigma dZ^\kappa \right] \\
& + \frac{1}{3} \nabla_\rho \nabla_\nu \nabla_\mu f \left(dZ^\mu dZ^\nu dZ^\rho + \frac{3}{4} \Gamma_{\sigma\kappa}^\mu dZ^\nu dZ^\rho dZ^\sigma dZ^\kappa \right. \\
& \left. + \frac{1}{2} \Gamma_{\sigma\kappa}^\nu dZ^\mu dZ^\rho dZ^\sigma dZ^\kappa + \frac{1}{4} \Gamma_{\sigma\kappa}^\rho dZ^\mu dZ^\nu dZ^\sigma dZ^\kappa \right) \\
& + \frac{1}{12} \nabla_\sigma \nabla_\rho \nabla_\nu \nabla_\mu f dZ^\mu dZ^\nu dZ^\rho dZ^\sigma, \tag{C.12}
\end{aligned}$$

and therefore

$$\begin{aligned}
d^2 f = & \nabla_\mu f \left[dZ^\mu + \Gamma_{\nu\rho}^\mu dZ^\nu dZ^\rho + \frac{1}{3} \left(\partial_\nu \Gamma_{\rho\sigma}^\mu + \Gamma_{\nu\kappa}^\mu \Gamma_{\rho\sigma}^\kappa \right) dZ^\nu dZ^\rho dZ^\sigma \right. \\
& + \frac{1}{12} \partial_\kappa \left(\partial_\nu \Gamma_{\rho\sigma}^\mu + \Gamma_{\nu\lambda}^\mu \Gamma_{\rho\sigma}^\lambda \right) dZ^\nu dZ^\rho dZ^\sigma dZ^\kappa \\
& + \frac{1}{12} \Gamma_{\kappa\lambda}^\mu \left(\partial_\nu \Gamma_{\rho\sigma}^\lambda + \Gamma_{\nu\alpha}^\lambda \Gamma_{\rho\sigma}^\alpha \right) dZ^\nu dZ^\rho dZ^\sigma dZ^\kappa \\
& \left. + \frac{1}{12} \Gamma_{\rho\sigma}^\lambda \mathcal{R}^\mu_{\nu\lambda\kappa} dZ^\nu dZ^\rho dZ^\sigma dZ^\kappa \right] \\
& + \nabla_{(\nu} \nabla_{\mu)} f \left[dZ^\mu dZ^\nu + \frac{1}{2} \Gamma_{\rho\sigma}^\mu dZ^\nu dZ^\rho dZ^\sigma + \frac{1}{2} \Gamma_{\rho\sigma}^\nu dZ^\mu dZ^\rho dZ^\sigma \right. \\
& + \frac{1}{4} \Gamma_{\rho\sigma}^\mu \Gamma_{\kappa\lambda}^\nu dZ^\rho dZ^\sigma dZ^\kappa dZ^\lambda + \frac{1}{6} \left(\partial_\kappa \Gamma_{\rho\sigma}^\mu + \Gamma_{\kappa\lambda}^\mu \Gamma_{\rho\sigma}^\lambda \right) dZ^\nu dZ^\rho dZ^\sigma dZ^\kappa \\
& \left. + \frac{1}{6} \left(\partial_\kappa \Gamma_{\rho\sigma}^\nu + \Gamma_{\kappa\lambda}^\nu \Gamma_{\rho\sigma}^\lambda \right) dZ^\mu dZ^\rho dZ^\sigma dZ^\kappa \right] \\
& + \frac{1}{3} \nabla_{(\rho} \nabla_\nu \nabla_{\mu)} f \left(dZ^\mu dZ^\nu dZ^\rho + \frac{1}{2} \Gamma_{\sigma\kappa}^\mu dZ^\nu dZ^\rho dZ^\sigma dZ^\kappa \right. \\
& \left. + \frac{1}{2} \Gamma_{\sigma\kappa}^\nu dZ^\mu dZ^\rho dZ^\sigma dZ^\kappa + \frac{1}{2} \Gamma_{\sigma\kappa}^\rho dZ^\mu dZ^\nu dZ^\sigma dZ^\kappa \right) \\
& + \frac{1}{12} \nabla_{(\sigma} \nabla_\rho \nabla_\nu \nabla_{\mu)} f dZ^\mu dZ^\nu dZ^\rho dZ^\sigma. \tag{C.13}
\end{aligned}$$

By reading of the term proportional to $\nabla_\mu \nabla_\nu f$, we conclude

$$g_{\mu\nu} \circ dZ_\tau^\mu dZ_\tau^\nu = g_{\mu\nu} \left[dZ_\tau^\mu dZ_\tau^\nu + \Gamma_{\rho\sigma}^\mu dZ_\tau^\rho dZ_\tau^\sigma + \frac{1}{4} \Gamma_{\rho\sigma}^\mu \Gamma_{\kappa\lambda}^\nu dZ_\tau^\rho dZ_\tau^\sigma dZ_\tau^\kappa dZ_\tau^\lambda + \frac{1}{3} \left(\partial_\kappa \Gamma_{\rho\sigma}^\mu + \Gamma_{\kappa\lambda}^\mu \Gamma_{\rho\sigma}^\lambda \right) dZ_\tau^\nu dZ_\tau^\rho dZ_\tau^\sigma dZ_\tau^\kappa \right]. \quad (\text{C.14})$$

where the Itô differential is given by

$$\begin{aligned} dZ_\tau^\mu &= Z_{\tau+d\tau}^\mu - Z_\tau^\mu \\ &= \int_\tau^{\tau+d\tau} w^\mu(Z_s) ds + dM_\tau^\mu \end{aligned} \quad (\text{C.15})$$

We can now calculate the conditional expectation of this expression. We find

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{E}_\tau \left[dZ_\tau^\mu dZ_\tau^\nu \right] &= \mathbb{E}_\tau \left[dM_\tau^\mu dM_\tau^\nu + dM_\tau^\mu \int_\tau^{\tau+d\tau} w^\nu(Z_s) ds + dM_\tau^\nu \int_\tau^{\tau+d\tau} w^\mu(Z_s) ds \right. \\
&\quad \left. + \int_\tau^{\tau+d\tau} w^\mu(Z_s) ds \int_\tau^{\tau+d\tau} w^\nu(Z_r) dr + o(d\tau^2) \right] \\
&= \mathbb{E}_\tau \left[\int_\tau^{\tau+d\tau} w^{\mu\nu}(Z_s) ds + dM_\tau^\mu \int_\tau^{\tau+d\tau} w^\nu(Z_s) ds + dM_\tau^\nu \int_\tau^{\tau+d\tau} w^\mu(Z_s) ds \right. \\
&\quad \left. + \int_\tau^{\tau+d\tau} w^\mu(Z_s) ds \int_\tau^{\tau+d\tau} w^\nu(Z_r) dr + o(d\tau^2) \right] \\
&= \mathbb{E}_\tau \left[w^{\mu\nu}(Z_\tau) \int_\tau^{\tau+d\tau} ds + \partial_\rho w^{\mu\nu}(Z_\tau) \int_\tau^{\tau+d\tau} (M_s^\rho - M_\tau^\rho) ds \right. \\
&\quad \left. + \partial_\rho w^{\mu\nu}(Z_\tau) \int_\tau^{\tau+d\tau} \int_\tau^s w^\rho(Z_r) dr ds \right. \\
&\quad \left. + \frac{1}{2} \partial_\rho \partial_\sigma w^{\mu\nu}(Z_\tau) \int_\tau^{\tau+d\tau} (M_s^\rho - M_\tau^\rho) (M_s^\sigma - M_\tau^\sigma) ds \right. \\
&\quad \left. + w^\nu(Z_\tau) dM_\tau^\mu \int_\tau^{\tau+d\tau} ds + \partial_\rho w^\nu(Z_\tau) dM_\tau^\mu \int_\tau^{\tau+d\tau} (M_s^\rho - M_\tau^\rho) ds \right. \\
&\quad \left. + w^\mu(Z_\tau) dM_\tau^\nu \int_\tau^{\tau+d\tau} ds + \partial_\rho w^\mu(Z_\tau) dM_\tau^\nu \int_\tau^{\tau+d\tau} (M_s^\rho - M_\tau^\rho) ds \right. \\
&\quad \left. + w^\mu(Z_\tau) w^\nu(Z_\tau) \int_\tau^{\tau+d\tau} ds \int_\tau^{\tau+d\tau} dr + o(d\tau^2) \right] \\
&= \mathbb{E}_\tau \left[w^{\mu\nu}(Z_\tau) d\tau + w^\rho(Z_\tau) \partial_\rho w^{\mu\nu}(Z_\tau) \int_\tau^{\tau+d\tau} (s - \tau) ds \right. \\
&\quad \left. + \frac{1}{2} \partial_\rho \partial_\sigma w^{\mu\nu}(Z_\tau) \int_\tau^{\tau+d\tau} \int_\tau^s w^{\rho\sigma}(Z_r) dr ds \right. \\
&\quad \left. + \partial_\rho w^\nu(Z_\tau) \int_\tau^{\tau+d\tau} \int_\tau^s w^{\mu\rho}(Z_r) dr ds + \partial_\rho w^\mu(Z_\tau) \int_\tau^{\tau+d\tau} \int_\tau^s w^{\nu\rho}(Z_r) dr ds \right. \\
&\quad \left. + w^\mu(Z_\tau) w^\nu(Z_\tau) d\tau^2 + o(d\tau^2) \right] \\
&= w^{\mu\nu}(Z_\tau) d\tau + \frac{1}{2} w^\rho(Z_\tau) \partial_\rho w^{\mu\nu}(Z_\tau) d\tau^2 + \frac{1}{4} w^{\rho\sigma}(Z_\tau) \partial_\rho \partial_\sigma w^{\mu\nu}(Z_\tau) d\tau^2 \\
&\quad + \frac{1}{2} w^{\mu\rho}(Z_\tau) \partial_\rho w^\nu(Z_\tau) d\tau^2 + \frac{1}{2} w^{\nu\rho}(Z_\tau) \partial_\rho w^\mu(Z_\tau) d\tau^2 \\
&\quad + w^\mu(Z_\tau) w^\nu(Z_\tau) d\tau^2 + o(d\tau^2), \tag{C.16}
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{E}_\tau \left[dZ_\tau^\nu dZ_\tau^\rho dZ_\tau^\sigma \right] &= \mathbb{E}_\tau \left[dM_\tau^\nu dM_\tau^\rho \int_\tau^{\tau+d\tau} w^\sigma(Z_s) ds + dM_\tau^\nu dM_\tau^\sigma \int_\tau^{\tau+d\tau} w^\rho(Z_s) ds \right. \\
&\quad \left. + dM_\tau^\rho dM_\tau^\sigma \int_\tau^{\tau+d\tau} w^\nu(Z_s) ds + dM_\tau^\nu dM_\tau^\rho dM_\tau^\sigma + o(d\tau^2) \right] \\
&= \mathbb{E}_\tau \left[\int_\tau^{\tau+d\tau} w^\nu(Z_s) ds \int_\tau^{\tau+d\tau} w^{\rho\sigma}(Z_r) dr + dM_\tau^\nu \int_\tau^{\tau+d\tau} w^{\rho\sigma}(Z_s) ds \right. \\
&\quad + \int_\tau^{\tau+d\tau} w^\rho(Z_s) ds \int_\tau^{\tau+d\tau} w^{\nu\sigma}(Z_r) dr + dM_\tau^\rho \int_\tau^{\tau+d\tau} w^{\nu\sigma}(Z_s) ds \\
&\quad \left. + \int_\tau^{\tau+d\tau} w^\sigma(Z_s) ds \int_\tau^{\tau+d\tau} w^{\nu\rho}(Z_r) dr + dM_\tau^\sigma \int_\tau^{\tau+d\tau} w^{\nu\rho}(Z_s) ds \right] + o(d\tau^2) \\
&= \mathbb{E}_\tau \left[w^\nu(Z_\tau) w^{\rho\sigma}(Z_\tau) d\tau^2 + w^\rho(Z_\tau) w^{\nu\sigma}(Z_\tau) d\tau^2 + w^\sigma(Z_\tau) w^{\nu\rho}(Z_\tau) d\tau^2 \right. \\
&\quad + w^{\rho\sigma}(Z_\tau) dM_\tau^\nu \int_\tau^{\tau+d\tau} ds + \partial_\kappa w^{\rho\sigma}(Z_\tau) dM_\tau^\nu \int_\tau^{\tau+d\tau} (M_s^\kappa - M_\tau^\kappa) ds \\
&\quad + w^{\nu\sigma}(Z_\tau) dM_\tau^\rho \int_\tau^{\tau+d\tau} ds + \partial_\kappa w^{\nu\sigma}(Z_\tau) dM_\tau^\rho \int_\tau^{\tau+d\tau} (M_s^\kappa - M_\tau^\kappa) ds \\
&\quad \left. + w^{\nu\rho}(Z_\tau) dM_\tau^\sigma \int_\tau^{\tau+d\tau} ds + \partial_\kappa w^{\nu\rho}(Z_\tau) dM_\tau^\sigma \int_\tau^{\tau+d\tau} (M_s^\kappa - M_\tau^\kappa) ds \right] + o(d\tau^2) \\
&= \mathbb{E}_\tau \left[w^\nu(Z_\tau) w^{\rho\sigma}(Z_\tau) d\tau^2 + w^\rho(Z_\tau) w^{\nu\sigma}(Z_\tau) d\tau^2 + w^\sigma(Z_\tau) w^{\nu\rho}(Z_\tau) d\tau^2 \right. \\
&\quad + \partial_\kappa w^{\rho\sigma}(Z_\tau) \int_\tau^{\tau+d\tau} \int_\tau^s w^{\nu\kappa}(Z_r) dr ds + \partial_\kappa w^{\nu\sigma}(Z_\tau) \int_\tau^{\tau+d\tau} \int_\tau^s w^{\rho\kappa}(Z_r) dr ds \\
&\quad \left. + \partial_\kappa w^{\nu\rho}(Z_\tau) \int_\tau^{\tau+d\tau} \int_\tau^s w^{\sigma\kappa}(Z_r) dr ds \right] + o(d\tau^2) \\
&= \frac{1}{2} \left[w^{\nu\kappa}(Z_\tau) \partial_\kappa w^{\rho\sigma}(Z_\tau) + w^{\rho\kappa}(Z_\tau) \partial_\kappa w^{\nu\sigma}(Z_\tau) + w^{\sigma\kappa}(Z_\tau) \partial_\kappa w^{\nu\rho}(Z_\tau) \right] d\tau^2 \\
&\quad + \left[w^\nu(Z_\tau) w^{\rho\sigma}(Z_\tau) + w^\rho(Z_\tau) w^{\nu\sigma}(Z_\tau) + w^\sigma(Z_\tau) w^{\nu\rho}(Z_\tau) \right] d\tau^2 + o(d\tau^2)
\end{aligned} \tag{C.17}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{E}_\tau \left[dZ_\tau^\mu dZ_\tau^\nu dZ_\tau^\rho dZ_\tau^\sigma \right] &= \mathbb{E}_\tau \left[dM_\tau^\mu dM_\tau^\nu dM_\tau^\rho dM_\tau^\sigma + o(d\tau^2) \right] \\
&= \mathbb{E}_\tau \left[\int_\tau^{\tau+d\tau} w^{\mu\nu}(Z_s) ds \int_\tau^{\tau+d\tau} w^{\rho\sigma}(Z_r) dr \right. \\
&\quad + \int_\tau^{\tau+d\tau} w^{\mu\rho}(Z_s) ds \int_\tau^{\tau+d\tau} w^{\nu\sigma}(Z_r) dr \\
&\quad \left. + \int_\tau^{\tau+d\tau} w^{\mu\sigma}(Z_s) ds \int_\tau^{\tau+d\tau} w^{\nu\rho}(Z_r) dr \right] + o(d\tau^2) \\
&= \left[w^{\mu\nu}(Z_\tau) w^{\rho\sigma}(Z_\tau) + w^{\mu\rho}(Z_\tau) w^{\nu\sigma}(Z_\tau) + w^{\mu\sigma}(Z_\tau) w^{\nu\rho}(Z_\tau) \right] d\tau^2 \\
&\quad + o(d\tau^2).
\end{aligned} \tag{C.18}$$

If we then use that $w^{\mu\nu} = \alpha \lambda g^{\mu\nu}$, we find

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{E}_\tau \left[g_{\mu\nu} \circ dZ_\tau^\mu dZ_\tau^\nu \right] &= g_{\mu\nu} w^{\mu\nu} d\tau \\
&+ g_{\mu\nu} \left(w^\mu w^\nu + \frac{1}{2} w^\rho \partial_\rho w^{\mu\nu} + \frac{1}{2} w^{\mu\rho} \partial_\rho w^\nu + \frac{1}{2} w^{\nu\rho} \partial_\rho w^\mu + \frac{1}{4} w^{\rho\sigma} \partial_\rho \partial_\sigma w^{\mu\nu} \right) d\tau^2 \\
&+ g_{\mu\nu} \Gamma_{\rho\sigma}^\mu \left(w^\nu w^{\rho\sigma} + w^\rho w^{\nu\sigma} + w^\sigma w^{\nu\rho} \right) d\tau^2 \\
&+ \frac{1}{2} g_{\mu\nu} \Gamma_{\rho\sigma}^\mu \left(w^{\nu\kappa} \partial_\kappa w^{\rho\sigma} + w^{\rho\kappa} \partial_\kappa w^{\nu\sigma} + w^{\sigma\kappa} \partial_\kappa w^{\nu\rho} \right) d\tau^2 \\
&+ \frac{1}{4} g_{\mu\nu} \Gamma_{\rho\sigma}^\mu \Gamma_{\kappa\lambda}^\nu \left(w^{\rho\sigma} w^{\kappa\lambda} + w^{\rho\kappa} w^{\sigma\lambda} + w^{\rho\lambda} w^{\sigma\kappa} \right) d\tau^2 \\
&+ \frac{1}{3} g_{\mu\nu} \left(\partial_\kappa \Gamma_{\rho\sigma}^\mu + \Gamma_{\kappa\lambda}^\mu \Gamma_{\rho\sigma}^\lambda \right) \left(w^{\nu\kappa} w^{\rho\sigma} + w^{\nu\rho} w^{\sigma\kappa} + w^{\nu\sigma} w^{\rho\kappa} \right) d\tau^2 + o(d\tau^2) \\
&= n \alpha \lambda d\tau + g_{\mu\nu} w^\mu w^\nu d\tau^2 + \alpha \lambda \left(\partial_\mu w^\mu - \Gamma_{\mu\nu}^\mu w^\nu \right) d\tau^2 \\
&+ \frac{\alpha^2 \lambda^2}{2} g^{\rho\sigma} \left(g_{\mu\nu} g^{\kappa\lambda} \Gamma_{\rho\kappa}^\mu \Gamma_{\sigma\lambda}^\nu + \Gamma_{\rho\nu}^\mu \Gamma_{\mu\sigma}^\nu - \partial_\rho \Gamma_{\mu\sigma}^\mu \right) d\tau^2 \\
&+ \alpha \lambda \left(g_{\mu\nu} g^{\rho\sigma} \Gamma_{\rho\sigma}^\mu w^\nu + 2 \Gamma_{\mu\nu}^\mu w^\nu \right) d\tau^2 \\
&- \alpha^2 \lambda^2 g^{\rho\sigma} \left(g_{\mu\nu} g^{\kappa\lambda} \Gamma_{\rho\kappa}^\mu \Gamma_{\sigma\lambda}^\nu + 2 \Gamma_{\rho\nu}^\mu \Gamma_{\mu\sigma}^\nu \right) d\tau^2 \\
&+ \frac{\alpha^2 \lambda^2}{4} g_{\mu\nu} g^{\rho\sigma} g^{\kappa\lambda} \left(\Gamma_{\rho\sigma}^\mu \Gamma_{\kappa\lambda}^\nu + 2 \Gamma_{\rho\kappa}^\mu \Gamma_{\sigma\lambda}^\nu \right) d\tau^2 \\
&+ \frac{\alpha^2 \lambda^2}{3} g^{\rho\sigma} \left(\partial_\mu \Gamma_{\rho\sigma}^\mu + 2 \partial_\rho \Gamma_{\mu\sigma}^\mu + \Gamma_{\mu\nu}^\mu \Gamma_{\rho\sigma}^\nu + 2 \Gamma_{\rho\nu}^\mu \Gamma_{\mu\sigma}^\nu \right) d\tau^2 + o(d\tau^2) \\
&= n \alpha \lambda d\tau + g_{\mu\nu} \hat{w}^\mu \hat{w}^\nu d\tau^2 + \alpha \lambda \nabla_\mu \hat{w}^\mu d\tau^2 - \frac{\alpha^2 \lambda^2}{6} \mathcal{R} d\tau^2 + o(d\tau^2). \quad (\text{C.19})
\end{aligned}$$

Plugging this result into eq. (C.8) then yields eq. (C.4).

References

- [1] M. Kac, “On Distribution of Certain Wiener Functionals,” *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **65**, 1-13 (1949).
- [2] J. Glimm and A. M. Jaffe, “Quantum Physics: a functional integral point of view,” Springer-Verlag, New York, USA (1987).
- [3] S.A. Albeverio, R.J. Høegh-Krohn and S. Mazzucchi, “Mathematical Theory of Feynman Path Integrals,” *Lecture Notes in Mathematics* **523**, Springer-Verlag (2008).
- [4] G. Parisi and Y.S. Wu, “Perturbation Theory Without Gauge Fixing,” *Sci. Sin.* **24**, 483 (1981).
- [5] P. H. Damgaard and H. Huffel, “Stochastic Quantization,” *Phys. Rept.* **152**, 227 (1987).
- [6] R. Dijkgraaf, D. Orlando and S. Reffert, “Relating Field Theories via Stochastic Quantization,” *Nucl. Phys. B* **824**, 365-386 (2010).
- [7] D. Orlando and S. Reffert, “On the Renormalizability of Horava-Lifshitz-type Gravities,” *Class. Quant. Grav.* **26**, 155021 (2009).

- [8] D. S. Mansi, A. Mauri and A. C. Petkou, “Stochastic Quantization and AdS/CFT,” *Phys. Lett. B* **685**, 215-221 (2010).
- [9] N. Heller and V. Sahakian, “On Strongly Coupled Matrix Theory and Stochastic Quantization: A New Approach to Holographic Dualities,” [arXiv:2108.08872 [hep-th]] (2021).
- [10] E. Nelson, “Derivation of the Schrodinger equation from Newtonian mechanics,” *Phys. Rev.* **150**, 1079-1085 (1966).
- [11] I. Fényes, “Eine Wahrscheinlichkeitstheoretische Begründung und Interpretation der Quantenmechanik,” *Zeitschrift für Physik* **132**, 81 (1952).
- [12] F. Guerra, “Structural Aspects of Stochastic Mechanics and Stochastic Field Theory,” *Phys. Rept.* **77**, 263-312 (1981).
- [13] E. Nelson, “Quantum Fluctuations,” Princeton University Press (1985).
- [14] T. G. Dankel, “Mechanics on manifolds and the incorporation of spin into Nelson’s stochastic mechanics,” *Arch. Rational. Mech. Anal.* **37**, 192 (1971).
- [15] L. Fritsche and M. Haugk, “Stochastic Foundation of Quantum Mechanics and the Origin of Particle Spin,” arXiv:0912.3442 [physics.gen-ph] (2009).
- [16] D. Dohrn and F. Guerra, “Nelson’s stochastic mechanics on Riemannian manifolds,” *Lett. Nuovo Cimento* **22**, no.4, 121 (1978).
- [17] D. Dohrn and F. Guerra, “Geodesic correction to stochastic parallel displacement of tensors” in “Stochastic Behavior in Classical and quantum Hamiltonian Systems,” *Lecture Notes in Physics* **93**, 241-249, Springer-Verlag (1979).
- [18] F. Guerra and L. M. Morato, “Quantization of Dynamical Systems and Stochastic Control Theory,” *Phys. Rev. D* **27**, 1774 (1983).
- [19] T. Koide and T. Kodama “Novel effect induced by spacetime curvature in quantum hydrodynamics,” *Phys. Lett. A* **383**, 2713-2718 (2019).
- [20] F. Kuipers, “Stochastic Quantization on Lorentzian Manifolds,” *JHEP* **05**, 028 (2021).
- [21] F. Guerra and P. Ruggiero, “New interpretation of the Euclidean-Markov field in the framework of physical Minkowski space-time,” *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **31**, 1022-1025 (1973).
- [22] F. Guerra and P. Ruggiero, “A note on relativistic Markov processes,” *Lett. Nuovo Cimento* **23**, 528 (1978).
- [23] D. Dohrn and F. Guerra, “Compatibility between the Brownian metric and the kinetic metric in Nelson stochastic quantization,” *Phys. Rev. D* **31**, 2521-2524 (1985).
- [24] R. Marra and M. Serva, “Variational principles for a relativistic stochastic mechanics,” *Ann. Inst. H. Poincare Phys. Theor.* **53**, 97-108 (1990).
- [25] L. M. Morato and L. Viola, “Markov diffusions in comoving coordinates and stochastic quantization of the free relativistic spinless particle,” *J. Math. Phys.* **36**, 4691-4710 (1995) [erratum: *J. Math. Phys.* **37**, 4769 (1996)].
- [26] P. Garbaczewski, J. R. Klauder and R. Olkiewicz, “The Schrodinger problem, Levy processes and all that noise in relativistic quantum mechanics,” *Phys. Rev. E* **51**, 4114-4131 (1995).

- [27] M. Pavon, “On the stochastic mechanics of the free relativistic particle,” *J. Math. Phys.* **42**, 4846 (2001).
- [28] F. Kuipers, “Stochastic Quantization of Relativistic Theories,” [arXiv:2103.02501 [gr-qc]] (2021).
- [29] F. Guerra and M. I. Loffredo, “Stochastic equations for the Maxwell field,” *Lett. Nuovo Cimento* **27**, 41-45 (1980).
- [30] E. Nelson, “Construction of quantum fields from Markoff fields,” *J. Funct. Anal.* **12**, no.1, 97 (1973).
- [31] E. Nelson, “Stochastic mechanics of relativistic fields,” *J. Phys. Conf. Ser.* **504**, 012013 (2014)
- [32] T. Kodama and T. Koide, “Variational Principle of Hydrodynamics and Quantization by Stochastic Process,” arXiv:1412.6472 [quant-ph] (2014).
- [33] T. Koide and T. Kodama “Stochastic variational method as quantization scheme: Field quantization of the complex Klein–Gordon equation,” *Prog. Theor. Exp. Phys.* **2015**, 9, 093A03 (2015).
- [34] J. Erlich, “Stochastic Emergent Quantum Gravity,” *Class. Quant. Grav.* **35**, no.24, 245005 (2018).
- [35] J.C. Zambrini, “Stochastic Dynamics: A Review of Stochastic Calculus,” *Int. J. Theor. Phys.* **24**, no.3, 277 (1985).
- [36] I.M. Gelfand and A.M. Yaglom, “Integration in Functional Spaces and its Applications in Quantum Physics,” *J. Math. Phys.* **1**, 48 (1960).
- [37] R.H. Cameron, “A Family of Integrals Serving to Connect the Wiener and Feynman Integrals,” *J. Math. and Phys.* **39**, p. 126-140 (1960).
- [38] Yu. L. Daletskii, “Functional integrals connected with operator evolution equations” *Russ. Math. Surv.* **17**, no. 5, 1-107 (1962).
- [39] M. Pavon, “Stochastic mechanics and the Feynman integral,” *J. Math. Phys.* **41**, 6060 (2000).
- [40] M. Pavon, “A new formulation of stochastic mechanics,” *Phys. Lett. A* **209**, 143-149 (1995).
- [41] M. Pavon, “Hamilton’s principle in stochastic mechanics,” *J. Math. Phys.* **36**, 6774 (1995).
- [42] M. Pavon, “Lagrangian dynamics for classical, Brownian and quantum mechanical particles,” *J. Math. Phys.* **37**, 3375 (1996).
- [43] L. Schwartz, “Semi-Martingales and their Stochastic Calculus on Manifolds,” Presses de l’Université de Montréal (1984).
- [44] P. A. Meyer, “A differential geometric formalism for the Itô calculus. Stochastic Integrals.” *Lecture Notes in Mathematics* **851**, Springer (1981).
- [45] M. Emery, “Stochastic Calculus in Manifolds,” Springer-Verlag (1989).