

ALTERNATING SUMS OVER π -SUBGROUPS

GABRIEL NAVARRO AND BENJAMIN SAMBALE

ABSTRACT. Dade’s conjecture predicts that if p is a prime, then the number of irreducible characters of a finite group of a given p -defect is determined by local subgroups. In this paper we replace p by a set of primes π and prove a π -version of Dade’s conjecture for π -separable groups. This extends the (known) p -solvable case of the original conjecture and relates to a π -version of Alperin’s weight conjecture previously established by the authors.

1. INTRODUCTION

One of the most general local-global counting conjecture for irreducible complex characters of finite groups is due to E. C. Dade [D]. For a finite group G , a prime p and an integer $d > 0$, the conjecture asserts that the number of irreducible characters of G of p -defect d can be computed by an alternating sum over chains of p -subgroups. (In this paper, we only deal with the group-wise ordinary conjecture; see [N, Conjecture 9.25].) Dade [D] already showed that his conjecture implies Alperin’s weight conjecture. The first author has proved that McKay’s conjecture is also a consequence of Dade’s conjecture (see [N, Theorem 9.27]). Dade’s conjecture is known to be true for p -solvable groups by work of G. R. Robinson [R] (see also Turull [T17]), and a reduction of it to simple groups has been recently conducted by B. Späth [Sp].

In previous work by Isaacs–Navarro [IN] and the present authors [NS], we have replaced p by a set of primes π in order to prove variants of Alperin’s weight conjecture for π -separable groups. In this paper, we are interested in chains of π -subgroups and alternating sums: that is, we look for a π -version of Dade’s conjecture and for possible applications. For instance: if $\mathcal{C}(G)$ is the set of chains of π -subgroups of G , G_C is the stabilizer in G of the chain C , and $k(G_C)$ is the number of conjugacy classes of G_C , does the number

$$\mu_\pi(G) = \sum_{C \in \mathcal{C}(G)} (-1)^{|C|} \frac{|G_C|}{|G|} k(G_C)$$

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 20C15; Secondary 20C20.

Key words and phrases. Dade’s Conjecture, Alternating Sums, π -subgroups.

The research of the first author supported by Ministerio de Ciencia e Innovación PID2019-103854GB-I00 and FEDER funds. The second author thanks the German Research Foundation (projects SA 2864/1-2 and SA 2864/3-1).

have any group-theoretical meaning? (Notice that $\mu_\pi(G)$ is an integer, since the factor $|G_C|/|G|$ disappears when we sum over G -conjugacy classes of chains.)

If $\pi = \{p\}$, then the Alperin weight conjecture (with the Knörr–Robinson [KR] reformulation) asserts that $\mu_p(G)$ is the number of p -defect zero characters of G . In particular, this is the case for p -solvable groups. If $G = \mathrm{PSL}_2(11)$ and $\pi = \{2, 3\}$, say, then $\mu_\pi(G) = 0$, while G has 2 irreducible characters with π -defect zero (i. e. p -defect zero for every $p \in \pi$). So, whatever the meaning of $\mu_\pi(G)$ is, certainly it is not the number of π -defect zero characters of G .

For an integer $d \geq 1$, we let $k_d(G)$ to be the number of irreducible characters $\chi \in \mathrm{Irr}(G)$ such that $|G|_\pi = d\chi(1)_\pi$, where $n_\pi = \prod_{p \in \pi} n_p$, and n_p is the largest power of p dividing the positive integer n . (Notice that this deviates slightly from the usual notation for $\pi = \{p\}$.)

Our main result is a natural generalization of Dade’s conjecture for p -solvable groups:¹

THEOREM A. *Let G be a π -separable group, and let $d > 1$. Then*

$$\sum_{C \in \mathcal{C}(G)} (-1)^{|C|} |G_C| k_d(G_C) = 0.$$

Unlike the original conjecture in the case $\pi = \{p\}$, we cannot restrict ourselves to so-called *normal* chains in Theorem A (see [N, Theorem 9.16]). In fact, $G = \mathbf{S}_3$ with $\pi = \{2, 3\}$ is already a counterexample. (This is related to the fact that π -subgroups are not in general nilpotent!) For this reason, the known proofs of the p -solvable case cited above cannot be carried over to π . We will obtain Theorem A as a special case of a more general projective statement with respect to normal subgroups.

In this paper, let $l(G)$ be the number of conjugacy classes of π' -elements in G . Recall that $\chi \in \mathrm{Irr}(G)$ has π -defect zero if $\chi(1)_p = |G|_p$ for all $p \in \pi$. The number of those characters is $k_1(G)$, using the notation above.

COROLLARY B. *Let G be a π -separable group. Then*

$$\sum_{C \in \mathcal{C}(G)} (-1)^{|C|} \frac{|G_C|}{|G|} k(G_C) = \sum_{C \in \mathcal{C}(G)} (-1)^{|C|} \frac{|G_C|}{|G|} l(G_C)$$

is the number of π -defect zero characters of G .

2. PROOFS

We fix a set of primes π for the rest of the paper. If G is a finite group, we consider chains C of π -subgroups in G of the form $1 = P_0 < P_1 < \dots < P_n$ where $n = 0$ is allowed (the trivial chain). Let $|C| = n$ and let

$$G_C = \mathbf{N}_G(P_0) \cap \dots \cap \mathbf{N}_G(P_n)$$

¹Theorem A was proposed as a conjecture in the second author’s Oberwolfach talk in 2019.

be the stabilizer of C in G . The set of all such chains of G is denoted by $\mathcal{C}(G)$.

For a normal subgroup N of G and $\theta \in \text{Irr}(N)$, let $k_d(G|\theta)$ be the number of irreducible characters χ of G lying over θ with $|G|_\pi = d\chi(1)_\pi$. We denote by G_θ the stabilizer of θ in G . By the Clifford correspondence, notice that

$$k_d(G|\theta) = k_d(G_\theta|\theta).$$

We start with the following.

Lemma 2.1. *Let G be a finite group, and let f be a real-valued function on the set of subgroups of G . If $\mathbf{O}_\pi(G) > 1$, then*

$$\sum_{C \in \mathcal{C}(G)} (-1)^{|C|} |G_C| f(G_C) = 0.$$

Proof. Let $C : 1 = P_0 < \dots < P_n$ be a chain in $\mathcal{C}(G)$. If $N = \mathbf{O}_\pi(G) \not\subseteq P_n$, we obtain $C^* \in \mathcal{C}(G)$ from C by adding NP_n at the end which is still a π -group. Otherwise let $N \subseteq P_k$ and $N \not\subseteq P_{k-1}$. If $P_{k-1}N = P_k$, then we delete P_k , otherwise we add $P_{k-1}N$ between P_{k-1} and P_k . It is easy to see that in all cases $|C^*| = |C| \pm 1$, $(C^*)^* = C$ and $G_C = G_{C^*}$. Hence, the map $C \mapsto C^*$ is a bijection on $\mathcal{C}(G)$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{C \in \mathcal{C}(G)} (-1)^{|C|} |G_C| f(G_C) &= \sum_{C \in \mathcal{C}(G)} (-1)^{|C^*|} |G_{C^*}| f(G_{C^*}) \\ &= - \sum_{C \in \mathcal{C}(G)} (-1)^{|C|} |G_C| f(G_C) = 0. \quad \square \end{aligned}$$

It is obvious that G acts by conjugation on $\mathcal{C}(G)$. The set of G -orbits is denoted by $\mathcal{C}(G)/G$ in the following. If $K \triangleleft G$, notice that G also acts on $\mathcal{C}(G/K)$.

Lemma 2.2. *Let G be a finite group with a normal π' -subgroup K . Let $\overline{H} := HK/K$ for $H \leq G$.*

(a) *The map $\mathcal{C}(G) \mapsto \mathcal{C}(\overline{G})$ given by*

$$C : P_0 < \dots < P_n \mapsto \overline{C} : \overline{P}_0 < \dots < \overline{P}_n$$

induces a bijection $\mathcal{C}(G)/G \rightarrow \mathcal{C}(\overline{G})/\overline{G}$.

(b) *For $\overline{C} \in \mathcal{C}(\overline{G})$, we have that $\overline{G}_{\overline{C}} = G_{\overline{C}}/K = G_C K/K$.*

(c) *Let f be a real-valued function on the set of subgroups of G such that $f(H) = f(H^g)$ for all $H \leq G$ and $g \in G$. Then*

$$\sum_{C \in \mathcal{C}(G)} (-1)^{|C|} |G_C| f(G_C K) = \sum_{\overline{C} \in \mathcal{C}(\overline{G})} (-1)^{|\overline{C}|} |G_{\overline{C}}| f(G_{\overline{C}}).$$

Proof. First, we notice that the map $\mathcal{C}(G) \rightarrow \mathcal{C}(\overline{G})$ given by $C \mapsto \overline{C}$ is surjective. Indeed, suppose that $\overline{C} : 1 = U_0/K < \dots < U_n/K$ is a chain of π -subgroups of G/K . By the Schur–Zassenhaus theorem, we have that $U_n = KP_n$ for some π -subgroup P_n

of G . Then $U_i = K(U_i \cap P_n)$, and therefore the chain $1 = U_0 \cap P_n < \dots < P_n$ maps to \overline{C} .

If chains $C : P_0 < \dots < P_n$ and $D : Q_0 < \dots < Q_n$ are conjugate in G , then \overline{C} and \overline{D} are obviously conjugate in \overline{G} . Suppose conversely that \overline{C} and \overline{D} are \overline{G} -conjugate. Without loss of generality, we may assume that $P_i K = Q_i K$ for $i = 0, \dots, n$. Again by the Schur–Zassenhaus theorem (this time relying on the Feit–Thompson theorem), P_n is conjugate to Q_n by some $x \in K$. We still have $P_i^x K = Q_i K$ for $i = 0, \dots, n$. Since $P_i^x, Q_i \leq Q_n$ it follows that $P_i^x = Q_i$ for $i = 0, \dots, n$. Hence, C and D are G -conjugate. This proves (a).

Suppose that $P_1 < P_2$ are π -subgroups of G . We claim that

$$\mathbf{N}_G(P_1)K \cap \mathbf{N}_G(P_2)K = (\mathbf{N}_G(P_1) \cap \mathbf{N}_G(P_2))K.$$

If $x \in \mathbf{N}_G(P_1)K \cap \mathbf{N}_G(P_2)K$, then $P_2^x = P_2^k$ for some $k \in K$. Therefore $xk^{-1} \in \mathbf{N}_G(P_2) \cap \mathbf{N}_G(P_1)K$. Since $P_1 K \cap P_2 = P_1$, we have that $xk^{-1} \in \mathbf{N}_G(P_1)$, and therefore $x \in (\mathbf{N}_G(P_1) \cap \mathbf{N}_G(P_2))K$. This proves the claim.

Suppose now that $C : P_0 < \dots < P_n$ is a chain of π -subgroups of G . By the Frattini argument, $\overline{\mathbf{N}_G(P_i)} = \overline{\mathbf{N}_{\overline{G}}(P_i)}$ and therefore $\overline{G_C} = \overline{G_{\overline{C}}}$, using the last paragraph. Regarding the action of G on $\mathcal{C}(\overline{G})$ we also have $G_{\overline{C}}/K = \overline{G_{\overline{C}}}$.

Finally, we prove (c). The G -orbit of C has size $|G : G_C|$, while the \overline{G} -orbit of \overline{C} has size $|\overline{G} : \overline{G_{\overline{C}}}| = |G : G_{\overline{C}}|$. Let C_1, \dots, C_k be representatives for $\mathcal{C}(G)/G$, so that $\overline{C}_1, \dots, \overline{C}_k$ are representatives for $\mathcal{C}(\overline{G})/\overline{G}$. Then

$$\sum_{C \in \mathcal{C}(G)} (-1)^{|C|} |G_C| f(G_C K) = |G| \sum_{i=1}^k (-1)^{|C_i|} f(G_{C_i} K) = \sum_{\overline{C} \in \mathcal{C}(\overline{G})} (-1)^{|\overline{C}|} |G_{\overline{C}}| f(G_{\overline{C}}). \quad \square$$

The deep part of our results comes from the “above Glauberman–Isaacs correspondence” theory. If A is a solvable finite group, acting coprimely on G , recall that Glauberman discovered a natural bijection $*$ from $\text{Irr}_A(G)$, the set of A -invariant irreducible characters of G , and $\text{Irr}(\mathbf{C}_G(A))$, the irreducible characters of the fixed-point subgroup. The case where A is a p -group is fundamental in the local/global counting conjectures. If A is not solvable, an important case in this paper, then G has odd order by the Feit–Thompson theorem. In this case, Isaacs [I] proved that there is also a natural bijection $\text{Irr}_A(G) \rightarrow \text{Irr}(\mathbf{C}_G(A))$. T. R. Wolf [Wo] proved that both correspondences agree in the intersection of their hypotheses.

Theorem 2.3. *Let G be a finite group with a normal π' -subgroup K . Let $C \in \mathcal{C}(G)$ with last subgroup $P_C = P_{|C|}$. Let $\tau \in \text{Irr}(K)$ be P_C -invariant, and let $\tau^* \in \text{Irr}(\mathbf{C}_K(P_C))$ be its Glauberman–Isaacs correspondent. Then*

$$k_d(G_C K | \tau) = k_d(G_C | \tau^*)$$

for every integer d .

Proof. Let $U = K(P_C G_C)$. Notice that $G_C \cap K = \mathbf{C}_K(P_C)$. Also, $K P_C \triangleleft U$. Thus $U = K \mathbf{N}_U(P_C)$, by the Frattini argument and the Schur–Zassenhaus theorem. Also,

$$\mathbf{N}_U(P_C) = \mathbf{N}_G(P_C) \cap (P_C G_C) K = (P_C G_C) \mathbf{N}_K(P_C) = P_C G_C \mathbf{C}_K(P_C).$$

Since G_C normalizes P_C , we have that G_C commutes with the P_C -Glauberman–Isaacs correspondence. In particular,

$$(G_C)_{\tau^*} = (G_C)_{\tau}.$$

Hence, by using the Clifford correspondence, we may assume that τ is G_C -invariant (and therefore U -invariant) and that τ^* is G_C -invariant too.

Now, we claim that the character triples (U, K, τ) and $(\mathbf{N}_U(P_C), \mathbf{C}_K(P_C), \tau^*)$ are isomorphic. If P_C is solvable, this is a well-known fact which follows from the Dade–Puig theory. (A comprehensive proof is given in [T08].) If P_C is not solvable, then $|K|$ is odd, by the Feit–Thompson theorem. Then the claim follows from the theory developed by Isaacs in [I]. (A proof is given in the last paragraphs of [L].)

Since the character triples (U, K, τ) and $(\mathbf{N}_U(P_C), \mathbf{C}_K(P_C), \tau^*)$ are isomorphic, it follows from the definition that the sub-triples $(G_C K, K, \tau)$ and $(G_C, \mathbf{C}_K(P_C), \tau^*)$ are isomorphic too. This yields a bijection $\text{Irr}(G_C K | \tau) \rightarrow \text{Irr}(G_C | \tau^*)$, $\chi \mapsto \chi^*$ such that $\chi(1)/\tau(1) = \chi^*(1)/\tau^*(1)$ (see [N, p. 87]). In particular, $k_d(G_C K | \tau) = k_d(G_C | \tau^*)$ (if $d_\pi \neq d$, both numbers are 0). \square

Theorem A is the special case $N = 1$ of the following projective version.

Theorem 2.4. *Let G be a π -separable group with a normal π' -subgroup N . Let $\theta \in \text{Irr}(N)$ be G -invariant and $d > 1$. Then*

$$\sum_{C \in \mathcal{C}(G)} (-1)^{|C|} |G_C| k_d(G_C N | \theta) = 0.$$

Proof. We may assume that $d_\pi = d$. We argue by induction on $|G : N|$. Let $\overline{G} = G/N$. By Lemma 2.2, we may sum over $\overline{C} \in \mathcal{C}(\overline{G})$ by replacing G_C with $G_{\overline{C}}$. Recall that a character triple isomorphism $(G, N, \theta) \rightarrow (G^*, N^*, \theta^*)$ induces an isomorphism $\overline{G} \cong G^*/N^*$ and a bijection $\text{Irr}(G | \theta) \rightarrow \text{Irr}(G^* | \theta^*)$, $\chi \mapsto \chi^*$ such that $\chi(1)/\theta(1) = \chi^*(1)/\theta^*(1)$. Thus, $k_d(G_{\overline{C}} | \theta) = k_d(G_{\overline{C}^*}^* | \theta^*)$ and the numbers $|G_{\overline{C}}|$, $|G_{\overline{C}^*}^*|$ differ only by a factor independent of C . This allows us to replace N by N^* . Using [N, Corollary 5.9], we assume that N is a central π' -subgroup in the following. Now using Lemma 2.2 in the opposite direction, we sum over $C \in \mathcal{C}(G)$ again and note that $N \subseteq G_C$. Thus, it suffices to show that

$$\sum_{C \in \mathcal{C}(G)} (-1)^{|C|} |G_C| k_d(G_C | \theta) = 0.$$

By Lemma 2.1, we may assume that $\mathbf{O}_\pi(G) = 1$. Let $K = \mathbf{O}_{\pi'}(G)$. If $K = N$, then $N = G$ by the Hall–Higman 1.2.3 lemma. In this case, the theorem is correct because $d > 1$. So we may assume that $K > N$. Let P_C be the last member of

$C \in \mathcal{C}(G)$. Observe that $G_C \cap K = \mathbf{C}_K(P_C)$. Each $\psi \in \text{Irr}(G_C|\theta)$ lies over some $\mu \in \text{Irr}(\mathbf{C}_K(P_C)|\theta)$. But ψ lies also over μ^g for every $g \in G_C$. Therefore,

$$\sum_{C \in \mathcal{C}(G)} (-1)^{|C|} |G_C| |k_d(G_C|\theta)| = \sum_{C \in \mathcal{C}(G)} (-1)^{|C|} |G_C| \left(\sum_{\mu \in \text{Irr}(\mathbf{C}_K(P_C)|\theta)} \frac{k_d(G_C|\mu)}{|G_C : G_{C,\mu}|} \right)$$

where $G_{C,\mu} = G_C \cap G_\mu$. According to Theorem 2.3, we replace $\text{Irr}(\mathbf{C}_K(P_C)|\theta)$ by $\text{Irr}_{P_C}(K|\theta)$ and $k_d(G_C|\mu)$ by $k_d(G_C K|\mu)$. By the Clifford correspondence, $k_d(G_C K|\mu) = k_d(G_{C,\mu} K|\mu)$. Moreover, $\mu \in \text{Irr}_{P_C}(K|\theta)$ implies $P_C \leq G_\mu$. Thus, for a fixed μ we only need to consider chains in G_μ . Hence,

$$\sum_{C \in \mathcal{C}(G)} (-1)^{|C|} |G_C| |k_d(G_C|\theta)| = \sum_{\mu \in \text{Irr}(K|\theta)} \left(\sum_{C \in \mathcal{C}(G_\mu)} (-1)^{|C|} |G_{C,\mu}| k_d(G_{C,\mu} K|\mu) \right).$$

Since $|G_\mu : K| < |G : N|$, the inner sum vanishes for every μ by induction. Hence, we are done. \square

Finally, we come to our second result.

Proof of Corollary B. Let $C : P_0 < \dots < P_n$ in $\mathcal{C}(G)$ such that $n > 0$. Then $P_1 \trianglelefteq G_C$. Let $\chi \in \text{Irr}(G_C)$ and $\theta \in \text{Irr}(P_1)$ under χ . By Clifford theory, $\chi(1)/\theta(1)$ divides $|G_C/P_1|$ (see [N, Theorem 5.12]). Since $\theta(1) < |P_1|$, it follows that $\chi(1)_\pi < |G_C|_\pi$ and $k_1(G_C) = 0$. Summing over $d \geq 1$ in Theorem A yields

$$\sum_{C \in \mathcal{C}(G)} (-1)^{|C|} \frac{|G_C|}{|G|} k(G_C) = k_1(G).$$

The second equality follows from a straight-forward generalization of the Knörr–Robinson argument. In fact, the proofs of [N, 9.18–9.23] go through word by word (replacing p by π , of course). \square

Given the proof above, we take the opportunity to point out that a theorem of Webb [We] (see also [N, Corollary 9.20]) remains true in the π -setting:

Theorem 2.5. *Let G be an arbitrary finite group, and let π be a set of primes. Then the generalized character*

$$\sum_{C \in \mathcal{C}(G)} (-1)^{|C|} |G_C| (1_{G_C})^G$$

vanishes on all elements of G whose order is divisible by a prime in π .

Unlike the case where $\pi = \{p\}$, Alperin’s weight conjecture cannot be deduced from Corollary B. As a matter of fact, for π -separable groups G , we can prove that there is no formula of the form

$$l(G) = \sum_P \alpha_P k_1(\mathbf{N}_G(P)/P)$$

where P runs through the G -conjugacy classes of π -subgroups and the coefficients $\alpha_P \geq 0$ depend only on the isomorphism type of P .

It is interesting to speculate on variations of Theorem A, that is projective versions of Dade's conjecture, that might be even true for arbitrary normal subgroups of any finite group G , whenever $\pi = \{p\}$. Outside π -separable groups, we do not know what is the meaning, if any, of the number $\mu_\pi(G)$. In fact, this number can even be negative in groups with a Hall π -subgroup. We have not attempted a block version of Theorem A. Although π -block theory is well-developed in π -separable groups (see [Sl], for instance), Brauer's block induction does not behave well if Hall π -subgroups are not nilpotent.

Computations with chains are almost impossible to do by hand. The results of this paper would not have been discovered without the help of [GAP].

REFERENCES

- [D] E. C. Dade, *Counting characters in blocks, I*, Invent. Math. **109**, (1992), 187–210.
- [GAP] The GAP group, 'GAP - groups, algorithms, and programming', Version 4.11.0, 2020, <http://www.gap-system.org>.
- [I] I. M. Isaacs, *Characters of solvable and symplectic groups*, Amer. J. Math. **85** (1973), 594–635.
- [IN] I. M. Isaacs, G. Navarro, *Weights and vertices for characters of π -separable groups*, J. Algebra **177** (1995), 339–366.
- [KR] R. Knörr, G. R. Robinson, *Some remarks on a conjecture of Alperin*, J. London Math. Soc. **39** (1989), 48–60.
- [L] M. L. Lewis, *Characters, coprime actions, and operator groups*, Arch. Math. **69** (1997), 455–460.
- [N] G. Navarro, *Character theory and the McKay conjecture*, Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics, **175**, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2018.
- [NS] G. Navarro, B. Sambale, *Weights and nilpotent subgroups*, Int. Math. Res. Not. **2021** (2021), 2526–2538.
- [R] G. R. Robinson, *Dade's projective conjecture for p -solvable groups*, J. Algebra **229** (2000), 234–248.
- [Sl] M. C. Slattery, *Pi-blocks of π -separable groups. I*, J. Algebra **102** (1986), 60–77.
- [Sp] B. Späth, *A reduction theorem for Dade's projective conjecture*, J. Eur. Math. Soc. **19** (2017), 1071–1126.
- [T08] A. Turull, *Above the Glauberman correspondence*, Adv. in Math. **217** (2008), 2170–2205.
- [T17] A. Turull, *Refinements of Dade's Projective Conjecture for p -solvable groups*, J. Algebra **474** (2017), 424–465.
- [We] P. J. Webb, *Subgroup complexes*, Proc. Sympos. Pure Math. **47** (1987), 349–365.
- [Wo] T. R. Wolf, *Character correspondences in solvable groups*, Illinois J. Math. **22** (1978), 327–340.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITAT DE VALÈNCIA, 46100 BURJASSOT, VALÈNCIA,
SPAIN

Email address: `gabriel@uv.es`

INSTITUT FÜR ALGEBRA, ZAHLENTHEORIE UND DISKRETE MATHEMATIK, LEIBNIZ UNIVER-
SITÄT HANNOVER, WELFENGARTEN 1, 30167 HANNOVER, GERMANY

Email address: `sambale@math.uni-hannover.de`