

UNIFORM RESOLVENT ESTIMATES FOR CRITICAL MAGNETIC SCHRÖDINGER OPERATORS IN 2D

LUCA FANELLI, JUNYONG ZHANG, AND JIQIANG ZHENG

ABSTRACT. We study the $L^p - L^q$ -type uniform resolvent estimates for 2D-Schrödinger operators in scaling-critical magnetic fields, involving the Aharonov-Bohm model as a main example. As an application, we prove localization estimates for the eigenvalue of some non self-adjoint zero-order perturbations of the magnetic Hamiltonian.

1. INTRODUCTION

In dimension $n = 2$, let us consider a scaling invariant magnetic Schrödinger operator of the form

$$\mathcal{L}_{\mathbf{A},a} = \left(i\nabla + \frac{\mathbf{A}(\hat{x})}{|x|} \right)^2 + \frac{a(\hat{x})}{|x|^2}, \quad (1.1)$$

where $\hat{x} = \frac{x}{|x|} \in \mathbb{S}^1$, $a \in W^{1,\infty}(\mathbb{S}^1, \mathbb{R})$ and $\mathbf{A} \in W^{1,\infty}(\mathbb{S}^1; \mathbb{R}^2)$ satisfies the transversality condition

$$\mathbf{A}(\hat{x}) \cdot \hat{x} = 0, \quad \text{for all } x \in \mathbb{R}^2. \quad (1.2)$$

We recently started a program involving the above operators. In [14], the authors proved the Strichartz estimates for wave; and later in [19], together with Gao and Yin, the last two authors showed the Strichartz estimates for Klein-Gordon by constructing the spectral measure of the operator $\mathcal{L}_{\mathbf{A},0}$. In [18], we studied L^2 -weighted resolvent estimates. In this paper, based on the resolvent kernel constructed in [19], we aim to study the $L^p \rightarrow L^q$ type uniform resolvent inequalities for the purely magnetic operator $\mathcal{L}_{\mathbf{A},0}$ of the form

$$\|(\mathcal{L}_{\mathbf{A},0} - \sigma)^{-1}\|_{L^q(\mathbb{R}^2) \rightarrow L^p(\mathbb{R}^2)} \leq C|\sigma|^{\frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{q} - 1}, \quad (1.3)$$

where $\sigma \in \mathbb{C} \setminus [0, \infty)$ and the constant C is independent of σ .

The zero-energy and general dimension version of estimates (1.3) are related to the classical Hardy-Littlewood-Sobolev inequality, which by Fourier transform is equivalent to the Sobolev embedding

$$\|(-\Delta)^{-\frac{s}{2}} u\|_{L^q(\mathbb{R}^n)} \lesssim \|u\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)}, \quad \frac{1}{p} = \frac{1}{q} + \frac{s}{n}, \quad 1 < q, r < \infty, \quad 0 < s < n, \quad (1.4)$$

or equivalently

$$\|u\|_{L^q(\mathbb{R}^n)} \lesssim \|(-\Delta)^{\frac{s}{2}} u\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)}.$$

Here the symbol \lesssim means as usual that in the right-hand side of the inequality a multiplicative constant is hidden, which does not depend on u . In particular, when $s = 2$, this inequality can be regarded as the following resolvent estimate

$$\|(-\Delta - \sigma)^{-1} u\|_{L^q(\mathbb{R}^n)} \leq C(\sigma) \|u\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)}$$

at the zero energy $\sigma = 0$, where $C(\sigma)$ is positive dimensional constant depending on σ . For non-zero energies, we have the following bound

$$\|(-\Delta - \sigma)^{-1}u\|_{L^q(\mathbb{R}^n)} \leq C|\sigma|^{\frac{n}{2}(\frac{1}{p}-\frac{1}{q})-1}\|u\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)}, \quad \sigma \in \mathbb{C} \setminus [0, \infty), \quad (1.5)$$

where $n \geq 3$ and (p, q) satisfies

$$\frac{2}{n+1} \leq \frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{q} \leq \frac{2}{n}, \quad \frac{2n}{n+3} < p < \frac{2n}{n+1}, \quad \frac{2n}{n-1} < q < \frac{2n}{n-3}.$$

In this free context $\mathbf{A} \equiv 0$, the above result appears first in the seminal paper by Kenig-Ruiz-Sogge [30] (in the case $q = p'$, $n \geq 3$), and later in Gutierrez for the complete range of p, q , and again $n \geq 3$ (we also mention the paper [8, 23], as well as the well known paper by Kato-Yajima [29] and the references therein for a more general picture). Later, Frank noticed in [15] that the same holds in dimension $n = 2$, away from the endpoint, and used this to prove eigenvalue bounds for zero-order perturbations. Again in dimension $n = 2$, more recently Evéquoz [12] applied the method in [23] to show the uniform resolvent estimate (1.5) provided that $(1/p, 1/q)$ is contained in the pentagon (see Figure 1)

$$\{(x, y) : 2/3 \leq x - y < 1, 3/4 < x \leq 1, 0 \leq y < 1/4\}. \quad (1.6)$$




Figure 1. Here $A = (\frac{3}{4}, 0)$, $B = (\frac{3}{4}, \frac{1}{12})$, and A', B' are dual points of A, B , respectively.

By the scaling $u(z) \mapsto u(|\sigma|^{1/2}z)$, we see that to prove (1.5) it is sufficient to prove the case $|\sigma| = 1, \sigma \neq 1$. In the case $\frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{q} = \frac{2}{n}$, the constant of the estimate is uniform in σ , and (1.5) is equivalent to

$$\|u\|_{L^q(\mathbb{R}^n)} \leq C\|(-\Delta - \sigma)u\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)}, \quad |\sigma| = 1, \sigma \neq 1. \quad (1.7)$$

This is why, when $\frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{q} = \frac{2}{n}$, (1.5) is usually called the *uniform Sobolev inequality*. Notice that in dimension $n = 2$, which is the one studied in this manuscript, the uniform Sobolev inequality (as well as the Sobolev inequality) fails (it is the low-right corner $\frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{q} = 1$ in Figure 1), due to the logarithmic singularity in the kernel of the Green function. We also stress that (1.6) is known to be sharp, see [23, 30, 31].

It is a quite natural problem to ask whether the analogous uniform resolvent estimates hold for the Schrödinger operator with magnetic or electric potentials. There is an extensive literature (see e.g. [28, 38] and the references therein for an obviously incomplete picture) on the resolvent estimates of the Schrödinger operator with purely electric potential

$$\mathcal{L}_V = -\Delta + V(x). \quad (1.8)$$

It was observed that the uniform resolvent estimates play a fundamental role in the establishment of time-decay estimates or Strichartz estimates, we refer to [22, 26–28, 37]. For electromagnetic or magnetic cases, there are some results proved by Garcia [20, 21] and Cuenin-Kenig [11]. In all the above papers, the properties of the potentials about the smooth regularity and decay conditions at infinity are key to this problem. On one hand, the potentials can usually be quite rough, but have sufficient decay at infinity. On the other hand, unbounded potentials are allowed but they are usually assumed to be smooth. The general philosophy to prove resolvent estimates in L^p spaces is to combine the L^p estimates for the free resolvent with weighted L^2 estimates.

In this paper, we focus on purely magnetic potentials that are at critical with respect to the scaling of the free operator. It worths to remark that most of results [11, 20, 21] needed that the electric potential decays at infinity as $|x|^{-2-\epsilon}$ and the magnetic potential $|x|^{-1-\epsilon}$ with $\epsilon > 0$. The inverse-square potential $V(x) = a(\hat{x})|x|^{-2}$ with $\hat{x} = \frac{x}{|x|}$ is a typical scaling-critical electric potential; and any homogeneous vector field of degree -1 is a scaling critical magnetic potential. In [7], Burq-Planchon-Stalker-Tahvildar-Zadeh proved the L^2 -weighted resolvent estimates

$$\| |x|^{-1} ((-\Delta + a(\hat{x})|x|^{-2}) - \sigma)^{-1} |x|^{-1} \|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n) \rightarrow L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)} \leq C$$

hold uniformly in σ , and then proved local smoothing estimates and Strichartz estimates. Recently, Bouclet-Mizutani [6] proved the weighted resolvent estimates $\|w(\mathcal{L}_V - \sigma)^{-1} w^*\|_{L^2 \rightarrow L^2}$ uniformly in σ where w is in a large class of weight functions in Morrey-Campanato spaces. The assumption on potential $V(x)$ in [6] covers the scaling critical inverse-square type potentials $a|x|^{-2}$. Later, Mizutani [35] generalized the L^2 weighted resolvent estimates to the $L^p - L^q$ type uniform Sobolev estimates (1.5), but one needs that $V \in L^{\frac{n}{2}}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ with $n \geq 3$ which does not include the inverse-square potential $a|x|^{-2}$. Very recently, Mizutani and the last two authors [36] proved the uniform resolvent estimates (1.5) which recovers the scaling critical inverse-square type potentials and the dimension $n \geq 3$. The new ingredient of [36] was to prove a generalized L^2 weighted resolvent estimates

$$\| |x|^{-s} ((-\Delta + a(\hat{x})|x|^{-2}) - \sigma)^{-1} |x|^{-(2-s)} \|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n) \rightarrow L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)} \leq C,$$

hold for some s , hence one can obtain $L^p - L^q$ type uniform resolvent estimates. The general philosophy, mentioned above, combining the resolvent estimates of $-\Delta$ in L^p spaces and weighted L^2 estimates works for $n \geq 3$ in [36] but fails when $n = 2$.

In [18], Gao, Wang and the last two author considered the electromagnetic operator $\mathcal{L}_{\mathbf{A},a}$ in \mathbb{R}^2 given by (1.1) and prove the following weighted L^2 -estimates

$$\| |x|^{-s} (\mathcal{L}_{\mathbf{A},a} - \sigma)^{-1} |x|^{-(2-s)} \|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^2) \rightarrow L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)} \leq C, \quad (1.9)$$

where $s \in (1 - \mu_0, 1 + \mu_0)$ with μ_0 depending on \mathbf{A} and a . In particular, the diamagnetic phenomenon allows to consider negative electric potential which can be singular in the same fashion as the inverse-square potential. It is worth pointing out that the model $\mathcal{L}_{\mathbf{A},a}$ is in dimension two in which (1.9) fails even for $s = 1$ when $\mathbf{A} = 0$ and $a = 0$ since the resonance occurs at zero energy in this case.

We focus on the purely magnetic case and we study the validity of the uniform resolvent estimates (1.5) for the magnetic Schrödinger operator $\mathcal{L}_{\mathbf{A},0}$ in \mathbb{R}^2 . The main example is given by the Aharonov-Bohm potential

$$a \equiv 0, \quad \mathbf{A}(\hat{x}) = \alpha \left(-\frac{x_2}{|x|}, \frac{x_1}{|x|} \right), \quad \alpha \in \mathbb{R}, \quad (1.10)$$

introduced in [1], as an well known model describing some scattering phenomenon arising in regions where the magnetic field is not present. Notice that the singularity of the Aharonov-Bohm potential is not locally in L^2 , which makes the analysis of this operator peculiar, compared to the usual theory for magnetic Schrödinger Hamiltonians (see [37]). On the other hand, the scaling invariance helps from the point of view of Fourier Analysis and permits to perform explicit calculus for generalized eigenfunctions, as we shall see in the sequel.

Our first main result is the following.

Theorem 1.1. *Let $1 \leq p < \frac{4}{3}$ and $4 < q \leq \infty$ satisfy $\frac{2}{3} \leq \frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{q} < 1$ and let $\mathcal{L}_{\mathbf{A},0}$ be (1.1) with $a \equiv 0$. Then, for $\sigma \in \mathbb{C} \setminus [0, +\infty)$, there exists a constant $C = C(p, q) > 0$ such that*

$$\| (\mathcal{L}_{\mathbf{A},0} - \sigma)^{-1} f \|_{L^q(\mathbb{R}^2)} \leq C |\sigma|^{\frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{q} - 1} \| f \|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^2)}. \quad (1.11)$$

In particular, if $6 \leq q < \infty$, then (1.11) holds for $q = p'$.

Remark 1.1. *It would be interesting to prove (1.11) for $\mathcal{L}_{\mathbf{A},a}$. However, the resolvent representation was constructed in [19] to capture both the oscillation and decay properties only for the case with pure magnetic potential, that is, $a \equiv 0$. It would be a bit delicate to recover the effect of the electric potential $a(\hat{x})|x|^{-2}$ in the explicitly construction.*

Remark 1.2. *The perturbation argument in [36] to treat the inverse-square potential breaks down since the uniform Sobolev inequality $\frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{q} = 1$ in \mathbb{R}^2 fails, as in the free case. This is due to the presence of a logarithmic singularity in the kernel of the Green function, which also appear in the Aharonov-Bohm case (see (2.13) and (4.2) below).*

The proof of Theorem 1.1 follows from the ideas of Gutiérrez [23] and Kenig-Ruiz-Sogge [30]. But we need to establish the Stein-Tomas restriction estimates associated with the variable coefficient operator $\mathcal{L}_{\mathbf{A},0}$ (perturbated by scaling critical magnetic potentials) which has its own independent interesting. Since the operator $\mathcal{L}_{\mathbf{A},0}$ is conically singular, we are in spirit of [3] (in which Blair-Ford-Marzuola studied the cluster

estimates for a conical singular Schrödinger operator) to prove the Stein-Tomas restriction estimates. Based on the kernel of resolvent constructed in [19], due to the diffractive effect, the kernel is more singular and different from the kernel in the Euclidean setting. In contrast to the estimates in weight L^2 space, the establishment of $L^p \rightarrow L^q$ -type estimates needs to efficiently exploit the oscillation behavior of the kernel, and hence we have to modify the celebrated oscillatory integral theory of Stein [42] and Hörmander [25] to adapt to the singular kernel.

The most usual applications of results like Theorem 1.1 are concerned with spectral theory. Uniform resolvent estimates are a fundamental tool in order to understand the point spectrum of Hamiltonians of the form

$$\mathcal{L}_{\mathbf{A},V} := \mathcal{L}_{\mathbf{A},0} + V, \quad (1.12)$$

being $V : \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ a (complex valued) measurable function. These non self-adjoint perturbations of self-adjoint Hamiltonians has been intensively studied in the last decades, due to their interest in Quantum Mechanics (see e.g. [2, 39]). In our case, we consider the Aharonov-Bohm Schrödinger operator $\mathcal{L}_{\mathbf{A},0}$ as the free Hamiltonian, and V as the perturbation. A first natural question is concerned with the absence of eigenvalues for $\mathcal{L}_{\mathbf{A},V} := \mathcal{L}_{\mathbf{A},0} + V$, when V is sufficiently small in some suitable sense. Notice that in the magnetic-free case the question is critical in dimension $n = 2$, due to the lack of Hardy's inequality. In other words, even a compactly supported potential V can create eigenvalues in the spectrum of $-\Delta + V$. On the other hand, due to the presence of the Aharonov-Bohm field, a Hardy inequality holds when the circulation α is not an integer number (see [34]), hence the above question makes sense. An answer to this question is given in [9, 13], where weighted L^2 estimates come into play. In our play, we would like to use the same arguments as in Theorem 2 by [15], but unfortunately they strongly rely on the uniform Sobolev estimate, which in our case is false, as remarked above.

On the other hand, another natural problem is concerned with localization estimates for eigenvalues of $\mathcal{L}_{\mathbf{A},V}$, in terms of integral norms of V . Following Frank (cfr. [15, Theorem 1]), we prove the following application of Theorem 1.1.

Theorem 1.2. *Let $0 < \gamma \leq \frac{1}{2}$, and let $\lambda \in \mathbb{C} \setminus [0, \infty)$ be an eigenvalue of $\mathcal{L}_{\mathbf{A},V}$ given in (1.12). Then, if the complex potential $V \in L^{\gamma+1}(\mathbb{R}^2)$, the following estimate*

$$|\lambda|^\gamma \leq C_\gamma \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |V(x)|^{\gamma+1} dx \quad (1.13)$$

holds, with a positive constant C_γ only depending on γ .

Remark 1.3. *Inequality (1.13) is related to Lieb-Thirring inequalities, which are usually simpler to prove when V is real, since the self-adjoint setting provides useful variational characterizations for the eigenvalues (see e.g. [5] and the references therein). At our knowledge, the case $V \in \mathbb{C}$ was still not known. Very recently, Bögli and Cuenin showed in [4] that the bound from above $\gamma \leq \frac{1}{2}$ is sharp for the magnetic-free case, disproving a conjecture by Laptev and Safronov [33].*

Remark 1.4. *In the magnetic-free case, Frank and Simon obtained in [17] that the bound can be improved to $0 < \gamma \leq 2$, if one involves a stronger norm for V in the*

radial direction. The argument, which is again perturbative, also works in magnetic operators as above. We omit here further details and we address the reader to [17].

Remark 1.5. By interpolation between (1.11) and the trivial estimate

$$\|(\mathcal{L}_{\mathbf{A},0} - \sigma)^{-1}f\|_{L^2} \leq \text{dist}(\sigma, \mathbb{R}^+) \|f\|_{L^2},$$

one can obtain bounds for the resolvent (and hence for the eigenvalues) for any value of γ , as showed in [16] in the magnetic-free case. Recently, Kwon and Lee showed in [31] that the resolvent bounds are sharp, while Böglie and Cuenin showed the sharpness of the corresponding eigenvalue bounds in [4].

The proof of Theorem 1.2 is identical to the one of Theorem 1 in [15]. Indeed, the only ingredients which come into play are the resolvent estimates (1.11) and the Birman-Schwinger Principle, which in this case holds true (cfr. [24, Theorems 6,7]). Therefore, we omit here further details of the proof.

The paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, as a preliminaries, we recall the resolvent and spectral measure kernel of the operator $\mathcal{L}_{\mathbf{A},0}$ and some basic lemmas about the oscillatory integrals. In Section 3, we reduce the proof of Theorem 1.1 to the limitaton absorbing theorem, i.e, Theorem 3.1 below, which will be shown in Section 4. Finally, in appendix section, we verify some conditions used in Section 4.

Acknowledgement. The authors wish to express their gratitude to Rupert Frank for several useful remarks about Theorem 1.2.

2. PRELIMINARIES

In this section, we recall the resolvent and spectral measure kernel of the operator $\mathcal{L}_{\mathbf{A},0}$ constructed in [19] and some basic lemmas about the oscillatory integrals. These preliminaries will be used in the next section.

2.1. Some notations. We recall some notations in [14, 19] which are associated with the operator $\mathcal{L}_{\mathbf{A},0}$. From (1.1) with $a = 0$, we write

$$\mathcal{L}_{\mathbf{A},0} = -\partial_r^2 - \frac{1}{r}\partial_r + \frac{L_{\mathbf{A},0}}{r^2}, \quad (2.1)$$

where the operator

$$\begin{aligned} L_{\mathbf{A},0} &= (i\nabla_{\mathbb{S}^1} + \mathbf{A}(\hat{x}))^2, \quad \hat{x} \in \mathbb{S}^1 \\ &= -\Delta_{\mathbb{S}^1} + (|\mathbf{A}(\hat{x})|^2 + i \operatorname{div}_{\mathbb{S}^1} \mathbf{A}(\hat{x})) + 2i\mathbf{A}(\hat{x}) \cdot \nabla_{\mathbb{S}^1}. \end{aligned} \quad (2.2)$$

Let $\hat{x} = (\cos \theta, \sin \theta)$, then

$$\partial_\theta = -\hat{x}_2 \partial_{\hat{x}_1} + \hat{x}_1 \partial_{\hat{x}_2}, \quad \partial_\theta^2 = \Delta_{\mathbb{S}^1}.$$

Define $\alpha(\theta) : [0, 2\pi] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that

$$\alpha(\theta) = \mathbf{A}(\cos \theta, \sin \theta) \cdot (-\sin \theta, \cos \theta), \quad (2.3)$$

then by (1.2), we can write

$$\mathbf{A}(\cos \theta, \sin \theta) = \alpha(\theta)(-\sin \theta, \cos \theta), \quad \theta \in [0, 2\pi].$$

Thus, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} L_{\mathbf{A},0} &= -\Delta_{\mathbb{S}^1} + (|\mathbf{A}(\hat{x})|^2 + i \operatorname{div}_{\mathbb{S}^1} \mathbf{A}(\hat{x})) + 2i\mathbf{A}(\hat{x}) \cdot \nabla_{\mathbb{S}^1} \\ &= -\partial_\theta^2 + (|\alpha(\theta)|^2 + i\alpha'(\theta)) + 2i\alpha(\theta)\partial_\theta \\ &= (i\partial_\theta + \alpha(\theta))^2. \end{aligned} \quad (2.4)$$

For simplicity, we define the constant α to be

$$\alpha = \Phi_{\mathbf{A}} = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \alpha(\theta) d\theta.$$

2.2. Resolvent kernel. To prove Theorem 1.1, we need the representation of the resolvent kernel of the operator $\mathcal{L}_{\mathbf{A},0}$. Let $\lambda > 0$, we define the resolvent

$$(\mathcal{L}_{\mathbf{A},0} - (\lambda^2 \pm i0))^{-1} = \lim_{\epsilon \searrow 0} (\mathcal{L}_{\mathbf{A},0} - (\lambda^2 \pm i\epsilon))^{-1}. \quad (2.5)$$

Proposition 2.1 (Resolvent kernel, [19]). *Let $x = r_1(\cos \theta_1, \sin \theta_1)$ and $y = r_2(\cos \theta_2, \sin \theta_2)$, then we have the expression of resolvent kernel*

$$(\mathcal{L}_{\mathbf{A},0} - (\lambda^2 \pm i0))^{-1} = \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \frac{e^{-i(x-y)\cdot\xi}}{|\xi|^2 - (\lambda^2 \pm i0)} d\xi A_\alpha(\theta_1, \theta_2) \quad (2.6)$$

$$\begin{aligned} &+ \frac{1}{\pi} \int_0^\infty \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \frac{e^{-i\mathbf{n}\cdot\xi}}{|\xi|^2 - (\lambda^2 \pm i0)} d\xi B_\alpha(s, \theta_1, \theta_2) ds \\ &:= G(r_1, \theta_1; r_2, \theta_2) + D(r_1, \theta_1; r_2, \theta_2), \end{aligned} \quad (2.7)$$

where $\mathbf{n} = (r_1 + r_2, \sqrt{2r_1r_2(\cosh s - 1)})$ and where

$$A_\alpha(\theta_1, \theta_2) = \frac{e^{i \int_{\theta_1}^{\theta_2} \alpha(\theta') d\theta'}}{4\pi^2} (\mathbb{1}_{[0,\pi]}(|\theta_1 - \theta_2|) + e^{-i2\pi\alpha} \mathbb{1}_{[\pi,2\pi]}(|\theta_1 - \theta_2|)) \quad (2.8)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} B_\alpha(s, \theta_1, \theta_2) &= -\frac{1}{4\pi^2} e^{-i\alpha(\theta_1 - \theta_2) + i \int_{\theta_2}^{\theta_1} \alpha(\theta') d\theta'} \left(\sin(|\alpha|\pi) e^{-|\alpha|s} \right. \\ &\left. + \sin(\alpha\pi) \frac{(e^{-s} - \cos(\theta_1 - \theta_2 + \pi)) \sinh(\alpha s) - i \sin(\theta_1 - \theta_2 + \pi) \cosh(\alpha s)}{\cosh(s) - \cos(\theta_1 - \theta_2 + \pi)} \right). \end{aligned} \quad (2.9)$$

The above integral in ξ -variable in (2.6) is connected with the Euclidean resolvent kernel which is known as Hankel function, for example, see [10, Chapter 3.4] or [32, Eq. (5.16.3)].

Lemma 2.1. *The integral*

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \frac{e^{ix\cdot\xi}}{|\xi|^2 - (1 \pm i0)} d\xi = \pm \frac{i}{4} H_0^\pm(|x|) \quad (2.10)$$

where H_0^\pm are the Hankel functions of order zero with $H_0^- = \overline{H_0^+}$ and

$$H_0^+(r) = e^{ir} r^{-1/2} a(r) + b(r). \quad (2.11)$$

Here

$$|a^{(k)}(r)| \lesssim r^{-k}, \quad \forall k \geq 0, \quad a(r) = 0, \quad \forall 0 < r < \frac{1}{2}, \quad (2.12)$$

and $b(r) = 0$ for all $r > \frac{3}{4}$, with

$$|b(r)| \lesssim |\log r|, \quad |b^{(k)}(r)| \lesssim r^{-k} \quad \forall k \geq 1. \quad (2.13)$$

2.3. Spectral measure kernel. According to Stone's formula, the spectral measure is related to the resolvent

$$dE_{\sqrt{\mathcal{L}_{\mathbf{A},0}}}(\lambda) = \frac{d}{d\lambda} E_{\sqrt{\mathcal{L}_{\mathbf{A},0}}}(\lambda) d\lambda = \frac{\lambda}{i\pi} (R(\lambda + i0) - R(\lambda - i0)) d\lambda \quad (2.14)$$

where the resolvent

$$R(\lambda \pm i0) = (\mathcal{L}_{\mathbf{A},0} - (\lambda^2 \pm i0))^{-1} = \lim_{\epsilon \searrow 0} (\mathcal{L}_{\mathbf{A},0} - (\lambda^2 \pm i\epsilon))^{-1}.$$

Proposition 2.2 (Spectral measure kernel [19]). *Let $x = r_1(\cos \theta_1, \sin \theta_1)$ and $y = r_2(\cos \theta_2, \sin \theta_2)$ in $\mathbb{R}^2 \setminus \{0\}$. Define*

$$d(r_1, r_2, \theta_1, \theta_2) = \sqrt{r_1^2 + r_2^2 - 2r_1r_2 \cos(\theta_1 - \theta_2)} = |x - y|, \quad (2.15)$$

and

$$d_s(r_1, r_2, \theta_1, \theta_2) = |\mathbf{n}| = \sqrt{r_1^2 + r_2^2 + 2r_1r_2 \cosh s}, \quad s \in [0, +\infty). \quad (2.16)$$

Then the Schwartz kernel of the spectral measure

$$\begin{aligned} dE_{\sqrt{\mathcal{L}_{\mathbf{A},0}}}(\lambda; x, y) &= \frac{\lambda}{\pi} \sum_{\pm} \left(a_{\pm}(\lambda|x-y|) e^{\pm i\lambda|x-y|} A_{\alpha}(\theta_1, \theta_2) \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \int_0^{\infty} a_{\pm}(\lambda|\mathbf{n}|) e^{\pm i\lambda|\mathbf{n}|} B_{\alpha}(s, \theta_1, \theta_2) ds \right), \end{aligned} \quad (2.17)$$

where $A_{\alpha}(\theta_1, \theta_2)$ and $B_{\alpha}(s, \theta_1, \theta_2)$ are given in (2.8) and (2.9) and $a_{\pm} \in C^{\infty}([0, +\infty))$ satisfies

$$|\partial_r^k a_{\pm}(r)| \leq C_k (1+r)^{-\frac{1}{2}-k}, \quad k \geq 0. \quad (2.18)$$

2.4. Some basic lemmas. In this subsection, we recall two basic lemmas about the oscillatory integrals, see Stein [42].

Lemma 2.2. *Let ϕ and ψ be smooth functions so that ψ has compact support in (a, b) , and $\phi'(x) \neq 0$ for all $x \in [a, b]$. Then,*

$$\left| \int_a^b e^{i\lambda\phi(x)} \psi(x) dx \right| \lesssim (1+\lambda)^{-K}, \quad (2.19)$$

for all $K \geq 0$.

We also need the following Van der Corput lemma.

Lemma 2.3 (Van der Corput, [42]). *Let ϕ be real-valued and smooth in (a, b) , and that $|\phi^{(k)}(x)| \geq 1$ for all $x \in (a, b)$. Then*

$$\left| \int_a^b e^{i\lambda\phi(x)} \psi(x) dx \right| \leq c_k \lambda^{-1/k} \left(|\psi(b)| + \int_a^b |\psi'(x)| dx \right) \quad (2.20)$$

holds when (i) $k \geq 2$ or (ii) $k = 1$ and $\phi'(x)$ is monotonic. Here c_k is a constant depending only on k .

3. THE PROOF OF THEOREM 1.1

In this section, we prove Theorem 1.1 based on Proposition 2.1, Proposition 2.2 and Lemma 2.1. By the scaling invariant of the operator $\mathcal{L}_{\mathbf{A},0}$, it suffices to prove (1.11) when $|\sigma| = 1$ with $\sigma \neq 1$, that is,

$$\|(\mathcal{L}_{\mathbf{A},0} - \sigma)^{-1} f\|_{L^q(\mathbb{R}^2)} \leq C \|f\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^2)}, \quad |\sigma| = 1, \sigma \neq 1, \quad (3.1)$$

where p, q are in Theorem 1.1. More precisely, for fixed $\sigma \neq 0$, from Proposition 2.2 with $\lambda \rightarrow |\sigma|^{1/2} \lambda$,

$$\begin{aligned} (\mathcal{L}_{\mathbf{A},0} - \sigma)^{-1} &= \int_0^\infty \frac{1}{\lambda^2 - \sigma} dE_{\sqrt{\mathcal{L}_{\mathbf{A},0}}}(\lambda; x, y) \\ &= |\sigma|^{-1} \int_0^\infty \frac{1}{\lambda^2 - \sigma |\sigma|^{-1}} dE_{\sqrt{\mathcal{L}_{\mathbf{A},0}}}(\lambda; \sqrt{|\sigma|}x, \sqrt{|\sigma|}y), \end{aligned} \quad (3.2)$$

thus we see that (1.11) is reduce to (3.1).

To prove (3.1), let $\sigma = \pm\sqrt{1 - \delta^2} + i\delta$ with $\delta \in (-1, 0) \cup (0, 1)$, we consider three cases: (i) $\sigma = -\sqrt{1 - \delta^2} + i\delta$, (ii) $\sigma = \sqrt{1 - \delta^2} + i\delta$ and $|\delta| \geq \epsilon$, and (iii) $\sigma = \sqrt{1 - \delta^2} + i\delta$ and $|\delta| \leq \epsilon$, where $0 < \epsilon \ll 1$.

3.1. Case (i): $\sigma = -\sqrt{1 - \delta^2} + i\delta$. Recall (2.17), in this case, we have

$$\begin{aligned} (\mathcal{L}_{\mathbf{A},0} - \sigma)^{-1} &= \int_0^\infty \frac{\lambda}{\lambda^2 + \sqrt{1 - \delta^2} - i\delta} \sum_{\pm} \left(a_{\pm}(\lambda|x-y|) e^{\pm i\lambda|x-y|} A_{\alpha}(\theta_1, \theta_2) \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \int_0^\infty a_{\pm}(\lambda|n|) e^{\pm i\lambda|n|} B_{\alpha}(s, \theta_1, \theta_2) ds \right) d\lambda. \end{aligned} \quad (3.3)$$

Lemma 3.1. *Let a_{\pm} satisfy (2.18), then there exists a constant C such that*

$$\left| \int_0^\infty \frac{\lambda}{\lambda^2 + \sqrt{1 - \delta^2} - i\delta} a_{\pm}(\lambda r) e^{\pm i\lambda r} d\lambda \right| \leq C \times \begin{cases} -\log r, & r < 3/4; \\ r^{-1}, & r \geq 3/4. \end{cases} \quad (3.4)$$

Proof. We first consider the case that $r < 3/4$. From (2.18), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} &\left| \int_0^\infty \frac{\lambda}{\lambda^2 + \sqrt{1 - \delta^2} - i\delta} a_{\pm}(\lambda r) e^{\pm i\lambda r} d\lambda \right| \\ &\lesssim \int_0^1 \lambda d\lambda + \int_1^{\frac{1}{r}} \lambda^{-1} d\lambda + r^{-\frac{1}{2}} \int_{\frac{1}{r}}^\infty \lambda^{-\frac{3}{2}} d\lambda \leq C |\log r|. \end{aligned} \quad (3.5)$$

Next we consider the case that $r \geq 3/4$. From (2.18) again, and by integration by parts, we obtain

$$\left| \int_0^\infty \frac{\lambda}{\lambda^2 + \sqrt{1 - \delta^2} - i\delta} a_{\pm}(\lambda r) e^{\pm i\lambda r} d\lambda \right| \lesssim r^{-1} \int_0^\infty \frac{1}{\lambda^2 + 1} d\lambda \leq Cr^{-1}. \quad (3.6)$$

Thus, we conclude the proof of Lemma 3.1. \square

By direct computation, we have

$$|A_{\alpha}(\theta_1, \theta_2)| + \int_0^\infty |B_{\alpha}(s, \theta_1, \theta_2)| ds \leq C. \quad (3.7)$$

This together with (3.8) and the fact $|\mathbf{n}| \geq |x - y|$ gives

$$|(\mathcal{L}_{\mathbf{A},0} - \sigma)^{-1}| \lesssim |\log|x - y||\chi_{|x-y| \leq 3/4} + |x - y|^{-1}\chi_{|x-y| \geq 3/4}. \quad (3.8)$$

On the other hand, it is easy to see that for any $1 \leq p < +\infty$

$$\begin{aligned} \|\log|x|\|_{L^p(|x| \leq \frac{3}{4})}^p &= C \int_0^{\frac{3}{4}} |\log r|^p r \, dr \\ &= C \int_{\frac{4}{3}}^{+\infty} |\log s|^p \frac{ds}{s^3} < +\infty \end{aligned} \quad (3.9)$$

which implies

$$|\log|x||\chi_{|x| \leq 3/4} + |x|^{-1}\chi_{|x| \geq 3/4} \in L^{(1+\frac{1}{q}-\frac{1}{p})^{-1}}(\mathbb{R}^2),$$

for $\frac{2}{3} \leq \frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{q} < 1$ or $0 < 1 + \frac{1}{q} - \frac{1}{p} \leq \frac{1}{3}$. Hence, (3.1) follows by Young's inequality.

3.2. Case (ii): $\sigma = \sqrt{1 - \delta^2} + i\delta$ and $|\delta| \geq \epsilon$. In this case, we use the same argument of Case (i) to prove (3.1) if we could show

Lemma 3.2. *Let a_{\pm} satisfy (2.18) and $1 \geq |\delta| \geq \epsilon$, then there exists a constant $C_{\epsilon} > 0$ such that*

$$\left| \int_0^{\infty} \frac{\lambda}{\lambda^2 - \sqrt{1 - \delta^2} - i\delta} a_{\pm}(\lambda r) e^{\pm i\lambda r} \, d\lambda \right| \leq C_{\epsilon} \times \begin{cases} -\log r, & r < 3/4; \\ r^{-1}, & r \geq 3/4. \end{cases} \quad (3.10)$$

Proof. We first consider the case that $r < 3/4$. From (2.18) and $|\delta| \geq \epsilon$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} &\left| \int_0^{\infty} \frac{\lambda}{\lambda^2 - \sqrt{1 - \delta^2} - i\delta} a_{\pm}(\lambda r) e^{\pm i\lambda r} \, d\lambda \right| \\ &\lesssim_{\epsilon} \int_0^1 \lambda \, d\lambda + \int_1^{\frac{1}{r}} \lambda^{-1} \, d\lambda + r^{-\frac{1}{2}} \int_{\frac{1}{r}}^{\infty} \lambda^{-\frac{3}{2}} \, d\lambda \leq C_{\epsilon} |\log r|. \end{aligned} \quad (3.11)$$

Next we consider the case that $r \geq 3/4$. From (2.18) again, and by Van der Corput lemma, we obtain

$$\left| \int_0^{\infty} \frac{\lambda}{\lambda^2 - \sqrt{1 - \delta^2} - i\delta} a_{\pm}(\lambda r) e^{\pm i\lambda r} \, d\lambda \right| \lesssim_{\epsilon} r^{-1} \int_0^{\infty} \frac{1}{|\lambda^2 - \sqrt{1 - \delta^2}| + \delta} \, d\lambda \leq C_{\epsilon} r^{-1}. \quad \square$$

3.3. Case (iii): $\sigma = \sqrt{1 - \delta^2} + i\delta$ and $|\delta| \leq \epsilon$. In this case, we will prove

Theorem 3.1. *Let $1 \leq p < \frac{4}{3}$ and $4 < q \leq \infty$ satisfy $\frac{2}{3} \leq \frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{q} < 1$ and let $\mathcal{L}_{\mathbf{A},0}$ be (1.1) with $a \equiv 0$. Then, for $\lambda > 0$, there exists a constant $C = C(p, q) > 0$ such that*

$$\|(\mathcal{L}_{\mathbf{A},0} - (\lambda \pm i0))^{-1} f\|_{L^q(\mathbb{R}^2)} \leq C \lambda^{\frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{q} - 1} \|f\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^2)}, \quad (3.12)$$

where $(\mathcal{L}_{\mathbf{A},0} - (\lambda \pm i0))^{-1} := \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0^+} (\mathcal{L}_{\mathbf{A},0} - (\lambda \pm i\epsilon))^{-1}$. In particular, if $6 \leq q < \infty$, then (1.11) holds for $q = p'$.

We postpone the proof of the key theorem in next section. If taking ϵ small enough, we can use Theorem 3.1 with $\lambda = (1 - \delta^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}$ to obtain

$$\|(\mathcal{L}_{\mathbf{A},0} - \sigma)^{-1} f\|_{L^q(\mathbb{R}^2)} \leq C(1 - \delta^2)^{\left(\frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{q} - 1\right)} \|f\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^2)} \leq C\|f\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^2)}. \quad (3.13)$$

4. THE PROOF OF THEOREM 3.1

In this section, we prove the critical theorem about the limitaton absorbing theorem, that is, Theorem 3.1. By the scaling invariant of the operator $\mathcal{L}_{\mathbf{A},0}$ again, it suffices to prove (3.12) when $\lambda = 1$, that is,

$$\|(\mathcal{L}_{\mathbf{A},0} - (1 \pm i0))^{-1} f\|_{L^q(\mathbb{R}^2)} \leq C\|f\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^2)}. \quad (4.1)$$

From Proposition 2.1 and Lemma 2.1, we obtain

$$(\mathcal{L}_{\mathbf{A},0} - (1 \pm i0))^{-1}(x, y) = \frac{i}{4\pi}(G_1 + G_2 + D_1 + D_2)(r_1, \theta_1; r_2, \theta_2), \quad (4.2)$$

where the kernels are defined by

$$\begin{aligned} G_1(r_1, \theta_1; r_2, \theta_2) &= e^{i|x-y|} |x-y|^{-1/2} a(|x-y|) A_\alpha(\theta_1, \theta_2), \\ G_2(r_1, \theta_1; r_2, \theta_2) &= b(|x-y|) A_\alpha(\theta_1, \theta_2), \end{aligned} \quad (4.3)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} D_1(r_1, \theta_1; r_2, \theta_2) &= \int_0^\infty e^{i|\mathbf{n}|} |\mathbf{n}|^{-1/2} a(|\mathbf{n}|) B_\alpha(s, \theta_1, \theta_2) ds, \\ D_2(r_1, \theta_1; r_2, \theta_2) &= \int_0^\infty b(|\mathbf{n}|) B_\alpha(s, \theta_1, \theta_2) ds. \end{aligned} \quad (4.4)$$

Here $A_\alpha(\theta_1, \theta_2)$, $B_\alpha(s, \theta_1, \theta_2)$ are as in Proposition 2.1 and the functions a and b are as in Lemma 2.1. Hence, we write that

$$\begin{aligned} &(\mathcal{L}_{\mathbf{A},0} - (1 \pm i0))^{-1} f(x) \\ &= \frac{i}{4\pi}(T_{G_1} f + T_{G_2} f + T_{D_1} f + T_{D_2} f)(x), \end{aligned}$$

where

$$T_K f(x) = \int_0^\infty \int_0^{2\pi} K(r_1, \theta_1; r_2, \theta_2) f(r_2, \theta_2) d\theta_2 r_2 dr_2.$$

Thus, to prove (4.1), it suffices to prove that there exists a constant C such that

$$\|T_K\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^2) \rightarrow L^q(\mathbb{R}^2)} \leq C, \quad K \in \{G_1, G_2, D_1, D_2\}, \quad (4.5)$$

where p, q are in Theorem 3.1.

4.1. The estimations of T_{G_2} and T_{D_2} . We first consider the two easy ones T_{G_2} and T_{D_2} . By (3.9), (2.13) and the Young's inequality, we deduce that for $1 \leq q, p \leq \infty$ and $0 \leq \frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{q} < 1$

$$\begin{aligned} \|T_{G_2} f\|_{L^q(\mathbb{R}^2)} &\leq \left\| \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |b(|x-y|)| |f(y)| dy \right\|_{L^q(\mathbb{R}^2)} \\ &\leq \left\| \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |\log|x-y|| \chi_{|x-y| \leq \frac{3}{4}} |f(y)| dy \right\|_{L^q(\mathbb{R}^2)} \leq C\|f\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^2)}. \end{aligned} \quad (4.6)$$

And so it follows (4.5) with $K = G_2$.

Next, we turn to estimate the contribution from the term T_{D_2} . We claim that

$$|D_2(r_1, \theta_1; r_2, \theta_2)| \lesssim |\log(r_1 + r_2)| \chi_{r_1+r_2 \leq \frac{3}{4}}. \quad (4.7)$$

Using this claim and the fact that $|x - y| \leq r_1 + r_2$, we obtain (4.5) with $K = D_2$ by the same argument as (4.6). It remains to prove the claim (4.7). Since $|\mathbf{n}|^2 = r_1^2 + r_2^2 + 2r_1r_2 \cosh s \geq (r_1 + r_2)^2$, from Proposition 2.1 and Lemma 2.1, one has

$$\begin{aligned} |D_2(r_1, \theta_1; r_2, \theta_2)| &= \frac{1}{\pi} \left| \int_0^\infty b(|\mathbf{n}|) B_\alpha(s, \theta_1, \theta_2) ds \right| \\ &\leq C \int_0^\infty |\log(|\mathbf{n}|)| \chi_{|\mathbf{n}| \leq 1} \left(|\sin(|\alpha|\pi)| e^{-|\alpha|s} \right. \\ &\quad \left. + |\sin(\alpha\pi)| \cdot \left| \frac{(e^{-s} - \cos(\theta_1 - \theta_2 + \pi)) \sinh(\alpha s) - i \sin(\theta_1 - \theta_2 + \pi) \cosh(\alpha s)}{\cosh(s) - \cos(\theta_1 - \theta_2 + \pi)} \right| \right) ds. \end{aligned}$$

Note that $D_2(r_1, \theta_1, r_2, \theta_2) = 0$ when $\alpha = 0$. We always assume $\alpha \neq 0$. Thus

$$\begin{aligned} &|D_2(r_1, \theta_1; r_2, \theta_2)| \\ &\leq C |\log(r_1 + r_2)| \chi_{r_1+r_2 \leq \frac{3}{4}} \int_0^\infty \left(|e^{-|\alpha|s} \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \left| \frac{(e^{-s} - \cos(\theta_1 - \theta_2 + \pi)) \sinh(\alpha s) - i \sin(\theta_1 - \theta_2 + \pi) \cosh(\alpha s)}{\cosh(s) - \cos(\theta_1 - \theta_2 + \pi)} \right| \right) ds \\ &\leq C |\log(r_1 + r_2)| \chi_{r_1+r_2 \leq \frac{3}{4}}, \end{aligned}$$

where we have used the facts (proved in [14]) that for $\alpha \in (-1, 1) \setminus \{0\}$

$$\int_0^\infty e^{-|\alpha|s} ds \lesssim 1, \quad (4.8)$$

$$\int_0^\infty \left| \frac{(e^{-s} - \cos(\theta_1 - \theta_2 + \pi)) \sinh(\alpha s)}{\cosh(s) - \cos(\theta_1 - \theta_2 + \pi)} \right| ds \lesssim 1, \quad (4.9)$$

$$\int_0^\infty \left| \frac{\sin(\theta_1 - \theta_2 + \pi) \cosh(\alpha s)}{\cosh(s) - \cos(\theta_1 - \theta_2 + \pi)} \right| ds \lesssim 1. \quad (4.10)$$

Hence, we show the claim (4.7). Therefore, we obtain (4.5) with $K = D_2$.

4.2. The estimation of T_{G_1} . In this subsection, we prove

$$\|T_{G_1}\|_{L^p \rightarrow L^q} \leq C. \quad (4.11)$$

To consider the T_{G_1} which is more complicated, we have to exploit the oscillation property. To this end, recall $A_\alpha(\theta_1, \theta_2)$ in (2.8), it suffices to prove

$$\left\| \int_0^\infty \int_0^{2\pi} K_G(r_1, r_2; \theta_1 - \theta_2) f(r_2, \theta_2) r_2 dr_2 d\theta_2 \right\|_{L^q(\mathbb{R}^2)} \leq C \|f\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^2)} \quad (4.12)$$

where

$$K_G(r_1, r_2; \theta_1 - \theta_2) = e^{i|x-y|} |x-y|^{-1/2} a(|x-y|) \mathbb{1}_I(|\theta_1 - \theta_2|), \quad (4.13)$$

and $I = [0, \pi]$ or $[\pi, 2\pi]$. Note that $|x-y| = \sqrt{r_1^2 + r_2^2 - 2r_1r_2 \cos(\theta_1 - \theta_2)}$, we can only consider $I = [0, \pi]$ due to transmitting invariant in θ -variable. Using the partition of

one

$$\beta_0(r) = 1 - \sum_{j \geq 1} \beta(2^{-j}r), \quad \beta \in \mathcal{C}_c^\infty\left(\left[\frac{3}{4}, \frac{8}{3}\right]\right),$$

we decompose

$$K_G(r_1, r_2; \theta_1 - \theta_2) =: \sum_{j \geq 0} K_G^j(r_1, r_2; \theta_1 - \theta_2), \quad (4.14)$$

where

$$K_G^j(r_1, r_2; \theta_1 - \theta_2) = \beta(2^{-j}|x - y|)K_G(r_1, r_2; \theta_1 - \theta_2), \quad j \geq 1$$

and $K_G^0(r_1, r_2; \theta_1 - \theta_2) = \beta_0(|x - y|)K_G(r_1, r_2; \theta_1 - \theta_2)$. Then we have

Lemma 4.1. *Let $T_{G_1}^j$ be the operator associated with kernel $K_G^j(r_1, r_2; \theta_1 - \theta_2)$ given in (4.14) and let $6 \leq 3p' \leq q$ and $6 \leq q \leq \infty$. Then*

$$\|T_{G_1}^j\|_{L^p \rightarrow L^q} \leq C 2^{-2j(\frac{1}{p} - \frac{3}{4})}, \quad \forall j \geq 0. \quad (4.15)$$

We postpone the proof of (4.15) for a moment. Now, we use Lemma 4.1 to prove (4.11). We divide the proof into several cases.

Case 1: $(\frac{1}{p}, \frac{1}{q})$ is in the region ABC of Figure 1. By Lemma 4.1, we deduce that for $1 \leq p < \frac{4}{3}, q \geq 3p'$, there holds

$$\|T_{G_1}\|_{L^p \rightarrow L^q} \leq C \sum_{j \geq 0} \|T_{G_1}^j\|_{L^p \rightarrow L^q} \leq C. \quad (4.16)$$

Case 2: $(\frac{1}{p}, \frac{1}{q})$ is in the region $A'B'C$ of Figure 1. By (4.16) and duality, we obtain

$$\|T_{G_1}\|_{L^p \rightarrow L^q} \leq C$$

provided that $1 \leq q' < \frac{4}{3}, p' \geq 3q$.

Case 3: $(\frac{1}{p}, \frac{1}{q})$ is in the region $BB'C$ of Figure 1. By Case 1 and Case 2, we use the interpolation to get (4.11) for $(\frac{1}{p}, \frac{1}{q})$ being in the region $BB'C$ of Figure 1.

Case 4: $(\frac{1}{p}, \frac{1}{q})$ is in the line BB' of Figure 1, i.e. $\frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{q} = \frac{2}{3}$. We follow the argument of [23, Theorem 6]. By the real interpolation, it suffices to prove

$$\|T_{G_1}\|_{L^{p,1} \rightarrow L^{q,\infty}} \leq C, \quad (q, p) = \left(4, \frac{12}{11}\right) \text{ and } \left(12, \frac{4}{3}\right), \quad (4.17)$$

where $L^{p,1}$ and $L^{q,\infty}$ are the Lorentz spaces. By [41, Theorem V.3.13 and Theorem V.3.21], we need to prove

$$\sigma |\{x \in \mathbb{R}^2 : |T_{G_1} \mathbb{1}_E(x)| > \sigma\}|^{\frac{1}{q}} \leq C |E|^{\frac{1}{p}}, \quad \forall \sigma > 0, |E| < \infty, \quad (4.18)$$

where $|E|$ is the measure of $E \subset \mathbb{R}^2$ and $\mathbb{1}_E$ denotes its characteristic function as above. Let

$$A = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^2 : |T_{G_1} \mathbb{1}_E(x)| > \sigma\},$$

then we have

$$\begin{aligned}
|A| &= \int_A dx \leq \frac{1}{\sigma} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |T_{G_1} \mathbb{1}_E(x)| \mathbb{1}_A(x) dx \\
&\leq \frac{1}{\sigma} \sum_{j \geq 0} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |T_{G_1}^j \mathbb{1}_E(x)| \mathbb{1}_A(x) dx \\
&\leq \frac{1}{\sigma} \left(\sum_{0 \leq j \leq N} \|T_{G_1}^j \mathbb{1}_E(x)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)} |A|^{\frac{1}{2}} + \sum_{j \geq N+1} \|T_{G_1}^j \mathbb{1}_E(x)\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^2)} |A| \right),
\end{aligned} \tag{4.19}$$

where $N \in \mathbb{N}$ will be determined lately. From (4.15), we have

$$\|T_{G_1}^j\|_{L^1 \rightarrow L^\infty} \leq C 2^{-\frac{j}{2}}, \quad \|T_{G_1}^j\|_{L^2 \rightarrow L^6} \leq C 2^{\frac{j}{2}}.$$

By duality, we also have

$$\|T_{G_1}^j\|_{L^{\frac{6}{5}} \rightarrow L^2} \leq C 2^{\frac{j}{2}}.$$

We plug these into (4.19) to show

$$\begin{aligned}
\sigma |A| &\leq C \left(\sum_{0 \leq j \leq N} 2^{\frac{j}{2}} |E|^{\frac{5}{6}} |A|^{\frac{1}{2}} + \sum_{j \geq N+1} 2^{-\frac{j}{2}} |E| |A| \right) \\
&\leq C \left(2^{\frac{N}{2}} |E|^{\frac{5}{6}} |A|^{\frac{1}{2}} + 2^{-\frac{N+1}{2}} |E| |A| \right) \leq C |E|^{\frac{11}{12}} |A|^{\frac{3}{4}}
\end{aligned} \tag{4.20}$$

in which we choose N such that $2^{\frac{N}{2}} \leq |E|^{\frac{1}{12}} |A|^{\frac{1}{4}} \leq 2^{\frac{N+1}{2}}$. Therefore, we obtain

$$\sigma |A|^{\frac{1}{4}} \leq C |E|^{\frac{11}{12}}$$

which implies (4.18) with $(q, p) = (4, \frac{12}{11})$. To prove (4.18) with $(q, p) = (12, \frac{4}{3})$, we similarly show

$$\begin{aligned}
|A| &\leq \frac{1}{\sigma} \left(\sum_{0 \leq j \leq N} \|T_{G_1}^j \mathbb{1}_E(x)\|_{L^6(\mathbb{R}^2)} |A|^{\frac{5}{6}} + \sum_{j \geq N+1} \|T_{G_1}^j \mathbb{1}_E(x)\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^2)} |A| \right) \\
&\lesssim \frac{1}{\sigma} \left(\sum_{0 \leq j \leq N} 2^{\frac{j}{2}} |E|^{\frac{1}{2}} |A|^{\frac{5}{6}} + \sum_{j \geq N+1} 2^{-\frac{j}{2}} |E| |A| \right) \\
&\lesssim \frac{1}{\sigma} \left(2^{\frac{N}{2}} |E|^{\frac{1}{2}} |A|^{\frac{5}{6}} + 2^{-\frac{N+1}{2}} |E| |A| \right) \leq C |E|^{\frac{3}{4}} |A|^{\frac{11}{12}}
\end{aligned}$$

in which we choose N such that $2^{\frac{N}{2}} \leq |E|^{\frac{1}{4}} |A|^{\frac{1}{12}} \leq 2^{\frac{N+1}{2}}$. Hence, we obtain

$$\sigma |A|^{\frac{1}{12}} \leq C |E|^{\frac{3}{4}}$$

which gives (4.18) with $(q, p) = (12, \frac{4}{3})$.

In sum, we conclude the proof of the estimate (4.11) by using Lemma 4.1. Therefore, it remains to show Lemma 4.1.

The proof of Lemma 4.1: The argument follows from the oscillatory integral theory by Stein [42] and Hörmander [25]. However, there is a jump function $\mathbb{1}_{[0, \pi]}(|\theta_1 - \theta_2|)$ in the kernel so that the estimates are not trivial consequence of the standard theory.

Step 1: $j = 0$. Since $\beta_0 \in L^r(\mathbb{R}^2)$ with $1 \leq r < \infty$, for $1 \leq q, p \leq \infty$ and $0 \leq \frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{q} < 1$, then the Young inequality gives

$$\|T_{G_1}^0 f\|_{L^q} \leq \left\| \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \beta_0(|x-y|)f(y)dy \right\|_{L^q(\mathbb{R}^2)} \leq C\|f\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^2)}.$$

Step 2: $j \geq 1$. Let $0 < \epsilon \ll 1$ small enough, by finite covering lemma, we cover $[0, 2\pi]$ by $\Theta_\epsilon = \{\theta : |\theta - \theta_{k,0}| \leq \epsilon\}$ with fixed $\{\theta_{k,0}\}_{k=1}^N \in [0, 2\pi]$. Noting that

$$K_G^j(r_1, r_2; \theta_1 - \theta_2) = \beta(2^{-j}|x-y|)K_G(r_1, r_2; \theta_1 - \theta_2),$$

and using translation invariance in norm L_θ^q , we only need to prove that (4.15) holds for

$$\text{supp} f \subset \{(r_2, \theta_2) : r_2 \in (0, \infty), \theta_2 \in [0, \epsilon]\}, \quad 0 < \epsilon \ll 1. \quad (4.21)$$

Note that if $\theta_2 \in [0, \epsilon]$, then

$$\mathbb{1}_{[0, \pi]}(|\theta_1 - \theta_2|) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{when } \theta_1 \in [0, \pi], \\ 0 & \text{when } \theta_1 \in [\pi + \epsilon, 2\pi]. \end{cases} \quad (4.22)$$

We divide the proof into three cases.

Case 1: $\theta_1 \in [\pi + \epsilon, 2\pi]$. Since

$$\mathbb{1}_{[\pi + \epsilon, 2\pi]}(\theta_1)K_G^j(r_1, r_2; \theta_1 - \theta_2) = 0,$$

we have $\mathbb{1}_{[\pi + \epsilon, 2\pi]}(\theta_1)T_{G_1}^j f = 0$. Thus,

$$\left\| \mathbb{1}_{[\pi + \epsilon, 2\pi]}(\theta_1)T_{G_1}^j f \right\|_{L^q(\mathbb{R}^2)} \leq C2^{\frac{2j}{p'}}2^{-\frac{j}{2}}\|f\|_{L^p}.$$

Case 2: $\theta_1 \in [0, \pi]$. In this case, we have

$$\mathbb{1}_{[0, \pi]}(\theta_1)K_G^j(r_1, r_2; \theta_1 - \theta_2) = \mathbb{1}_{[0, \pi]}(\theta_1)\beta(2^{-j}|x-y|)e^{i|x-y|}|x-y|^{-1/2}a(|x-y|).$$

Then, the jump function disappears. Hence, we can use the oscillation integral results to obtain, for $q = 3p'$ and $1 \leq p < 4$

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\| \mathbb{1}_{[0, \pi]}(\theta_1)T_{G_1}^j f \right\|_{L^q(\mathbb{R}^2)} \\ & \leq \left\| \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \beta(2^{-j}|x-y|)e^{i|x-y|}|x-y|^{-1/2}a(|x-y|)f(y)dy \right\|_{L^q(\mathbb{R}^2)} \\ & \leq C2^{\frac{2j}{p'}}2^{-\frac{j}{2}}\|f\|_{L^p}, \end{aligned} \quad (4.23)$$

in which we use [40, Lemma 5.4] in the last inequality. Similarly, by Young's inequality, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\| \mathbb{1}_{[0, \pi]}(\theta_1)T_{G_1}^j f \right\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^2)} \\ & \leq \left\| \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \beta(2^{-j}|x-y|)e^{i|x-y|}|x-y|^{-1/2}a(|x-y|)f(y)dy \right\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^2)} \\ & \leq C2^{\frac{2j}{p'}}2^{-\frac{j}{2}}\|f\|_{L^p}. \end{aligned} \quad (4.24)$$

Therefore, by interpolation, we obtain

$$\left\| \mathbb{1}_{[0, \pi]}(\theta_1)T_{G_1}^j f \right\|_{L^q(\mathbb{R}^2)} \leq C2^{-2j(\frac{1}{p} - \frac{3}{4})}\|f\|_{L^p}, \quad (4.25)$$

provided $q \geq 3p'$ and $1 \leq p < 4$.

Case 3: $\theta_1 \in [\pi, \pi + \epsilon]$. Note f in (4.21), we are left to prove

$$\left\| \mathbb{1}_{[\pi, \pi + \epsilon]}(\theta_1) T_{G_1}^j \mathbb{1}_{[0, \epsilon]} f \right\|_{L^q(\mathbb{R}^2)} \lesssim 2^{j(\frac{2}{p'} - \frac{1}{2})} \|f\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^2)} \quad (4.26)$$

for $6 \leq 3p' \leq q \leq +\infty$, i.e. $(\frac{1}{p}, \frac{1}{q})$ is in the region ABC of Figure 2.




Fig 2.

If $(\frac{1}{p}, \frac{1}{q})$ is in the line AB , i.e. $q = +\infty$. By Young's inequality, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\| \mathbb{1}_{[\pi, \pi + \epsilon]}(\theta_1) T_{G_1}^j \mathbb{1}_{[0, \epsilon]} f \right\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^2)} \\ & \lesssim \left\| \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \beta(2^{-j}|x-y|) |x-y|^{-1/2} |f(y)| dy \right\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^2)} \leq C 2^{\frac{2j}{p'}} 2^{-\frac{j}{2}} \|f\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^2)}. \end{aligned} \quad (4.27)$$

By interpolation, we are reduced to show that (4.26) holds for $(p, q) = (2, 6)$, that is,

$$\left\| \mathbb{1}_{[\pi, \pi + \epsilon]}(\theta_1) T_{G_1}^j \mathbb{1}_{[0, \epsilon]} f \right\|_{L^6(\mathbb{R}^2)} \lesssim 2^{\frac{j}{2}} \|f\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)}. \quad (4.28)$$

We remark that one could utilize TT^* -argument by considering L^2 -space.

Recall

$$K_G^j(r_1, r_2; \theta_1 - \theta_2) = \beta(2^{-j}|x-y|) e^{i|x-y|} |x-y|^{-1/2} a(|x-y|) \mathbb{1}_{[0, \pi]}(|\theta_1 - \theta_2|),$$

and let

$$\tilde{K}_G^j(r_1, r_2; \theta_1 - \theta_2) = K_G^j(2^j r_1, 2^j r_2; \theta_1 - \theta_2).$$

Define the operator

$$\tilde{T}_{G_1}^j f = \int_0^\infty \int_0^{2\pi} \tilde{K}_G^j(r_1, r_2; \theta_1 - \theta_2) \eta(\theta_1 - \theta_2) f(r_2, \theta_2) d\theta_2 r_2 dr_2, \quad (4.29)$$

where $\eta(s) \in C_c^\infty([\pi - 2\epsilon, \pi + 2\epsilon])$ such that $\eta(s) = 1$ when $s \in [\pi - \epsilon, \pi + \epsilon]$. One can check that

$$\mathbb{1}_{[\pi, \pi + \epsilon]} T_{G_1}^j (\mathbb{1}_{[0, \epsilon]} f(r_2, \theta_2))(r_1, \theta_1) = 2^{2j} \mathbb{1}_{[\pi, \pi + \epsilon]} \tilde{T}_{G_1}^j (\mathbb{1}_{[0, \epsilon]} f(2^j r_2, \theta_2))(2^{-j} r_1, \theta_1).$$

To prove (4.28), thanks to the two characteristic cut off functions and the above scaling argument, it suffices to prove

$$\left\| \tilde{T}_{G_1}^j f \right\|_{L^6(\mathbb{R}^2)} \leq C 2^{-\frac{5j}{6}} \|f\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)}. \quad (4.30)$$

To this end, we write the operator

$$\begin{aligned}\tilde{T}_{G_1}^j f(r_1, \theta_1) &= \int_0^\infty \int_0^{2\pi} \tilde{K}^j(r_1, r_2; \theta_1 - \theta_2) \eta(\theta_1 - \theta_2) f(r_2, \theta_2) d\theta_2 r_2 dr_2 \\ &\triangleq \int_0^\infty [\tilde{T}_{G_1, r_1, r_2}^j f(r_2, \cdot)](\theta_1) r_2 dr_2\end{aligned}$$

where the operator

$$[\tilde{T}_{G_1, r_1, r_2}^j g(\cdot)](\theta_1) = \int_0^{2\pi} \tilde{K}^j(r_1, r_2; \theta_1 - \theta_2) \eta(\theta_1 - \theta_2) g(\theta_2) d\theta_2. \quad (4.31)$$

Then we can prove (4.30) by showing

Lemma 4.2. *Let $\tilde{T}_{G_1, r_1, r_2}^j$ be the operator defined in (4.31). Then*

$$\begin{aligned}&\left\| [(\tilde{T}_{G_1, r_1, r_2}^j)^* \tilde{T}_{G_1, r_1, r_2}^j (F(r_2', \cdot))](\theta_2) \right\|_{L^\infty(d\theta_2)} \\ &\lesssim 2^{-j} (2^j |r_2 - r_2'|)^{-1/2} r_1^{-1} \|F\|_{L^1(d\theta_2')},\end{aligned} \quad (4.32)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}&\left\| [(\tilde{T}_{G_1, r_1, r_2}^j)^* \tilde{T}_{G_1, r_1, r_2}^j (F(r_2', \cdot))](\theta_2) \right\|_{L^2(d\theta_2)} \\ &\lesssim 2^{-j} (2^j r_1 r_2)^{-1/2} (2^j r_1 r_2')^{-1/2} \|F\|_{L^2(d\theta_2')}.\end{aligned} \quad (4.33)$$

We assume Lemma 4.2 for a while and prove (4.30) now. By interpolation with (4.32) and (4.33), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}&\left\| [(\tilde{T}_{G_1, r_1, r_2}^j)^* \tilde{T}_{G_1, r_1, r_2}^j (F(r_2', \cdot))](\theta_2) \right\|_{L^6(d\theta_2)} \\ &\lesssim 2^{-\frac{5j}{3}} r_1^{-1} |r_2 - r_2'|^{-\frac{1}{3}} (r_2 r_2')^{-\frac{1}{6}} \|F\|_{L^{\frac{6}{5}}(d\theta_2')}.\end{aligned} \quad (4.34)$$

Since $\theta_1 - \theta_2 \in [\pi - 3\epsilon, \pi + \epsilon]$, we get

$$|x - y| = \sqrt{r_1^2 + r_2^2 - 2r_1 r_2 \cos(\theta_1 - \theta_2)} \sim r_1 + r_2.$$

This together with the support of β in the kernel yields that

$$r_1 + r_2 \sim |x - y| \sim 1. \quad (4.35)$$

Similarly, we have $r_1 + r_2' \sim 1$. By (4.34), the Hardy-Littlewood-Sobolev inequality and the fact that $r_2, r_2' \lesssim 1$, we prove

$$\begin{aligned}&\left\| \int_0^\infty [(\tilde{T}_{G_1, r_1, r_2}^j)^* \tilde{T}_{G_1, r_1, r_2}^j (F(r_2', \cdot))](\theta_2) r_2' dr_2' \right\|_{L^6(r_2 dr_2 d\theta_2)} \\ &\lesssim \left\| \int_0^\infty \left\| [(\tilde{T}_{G_1, r_1, r_2}^j)^* \tilde{T}_{G_1, r_1, r_2}^j (F(r_2', \cdot))](\theta_2) \right\|_{L^6(d\theta_2)} r_2' dr_2' \right\|_{L^6(r_2 dr_2)} \\ &\lesssim 2^{-\frac{5j}{3}} r_1^{-1} \left\| \int_0^\infty |r_2 - r_2'|^{-\frac{1}{3}} (r_2 r_2')^{-\frac{1}{6}} \|F\|_{L^{\frac{6}{5}}(d\theta_2')} r_2' dr_2' \right\|_{L^6(r_2 dr_2)} \\ &\lesssim 2^{-\frac{5j}{3}} r_1^{-1} \|F\|_{L^{\frac{6}{5}}(r_2' dr_2' d\theta_2')}.\end{aligned} \quad (4.36)$$

From (4.31), we note that

$$\left((\tilde{T}_{G_1}^j)^* \tilde{T}_{G_1}^j F \right) (r_2, \theta_2) = \int_0^\infty \int_0^\infty [(\tilde{T}_{G_1, r_1, r_2}^j)^* \tilde{T}_{G_1, r_1, r_2}^j (F(r_2', \cdot))] (\theta_2) r_2' dr_2' r_1 dr_1,$$

therefore by (4.36) and the fact $r_1 \lesssim 1$, we obtain

$$\left\| \left((\tilde{T}_{G_1}^j)^* \tilde{T}_{G_1}^j F \right) (r_2, \theta_2) \right\|_{L^6(\mathbb{R}^2)} \lesssim 2^{-\frac{5j}{3}} \|F(r_2', \theta_2')\|_{L^{\frac{6}{5}}(\mathbb{R}^2)}, \quad (4.37)$$

which gives (4.30) by TT^* argument. We have completed the proof of (4.28) once we prove Lemma 4.2. \square

The proof of Lemma 4.2: The main idea is to remove the jump function $\mathbb{1}_{[0, \pi]}(|\theta_1 - \theta_2|)$. From (4.31), the kernel of $(\tilde{T}_{G_1, r_1, r_2}^j)^* \tilde{T}_{G_1, r_1, r_2}^j$ is

$$\begin{aligned} & \tilde{K}_G^j(r_1, r_2, r_2'; \theta_2, \theta_2') \\ &= \int_0^{2\pi} \tilde{K}_G^j(r_1, r_2; \theta_1 - \theta_2) \overline{\tilde{K}_G^j(r_1, r_2'; \theta_1 - \theta_2')} \eta(\theta_1 - \theta_2) \eta(\theta_1 - \theta_2') d\theta_1. \end{aligned} \quad (4.38)$$

Recall $x = r_1(\cos \theta_1, \sin \theta_1)$, $y = r_2(\cos \theta_2, \sin \theta_2)$ and let $z = r_2'(\cos \theta_2', \sin \theta_2')$, then

$$\begin{aligned} & \tilde{K}_G^j(r_1, r_2, r_2'; \theta_2, \theta_2') \\ &= 2^{-j} \int_0^{2\pi} e^{i2^j(|x-y|-|x-z|)} \beta(|x-y|) |x-y|^{-1/2} \beta(|x-z|) |x-z|^{-1/2} \\ & \quad a(2^j|x-y|) a(2^j|x-z|) \mathbb{1}_{[0, \pi]}(|\theta_1 - \theta_2|) \mathbb{1}_{[0, \pi]}(|\theta_1 - \theta_2'|) \eta(\theta_1 - \theta_2) \eta(\theta_1 - \theta_2') d\theta_1. \end{aligned} \quad (4.39)$$

Note $\theta_1 - \theta_2 \in [\pi - 2\epsilon, \pi + 2\epsilon]$, thus $\theta_1 - \theta_2 > 0$. Thus

$$\mathbb{1}_{[0, \pi]}(|\theta_1 - \theta_2|), \mathbb{1}_{[0, \pi]}(|\theta_1 - \theta_2'|) \implies \theta_1 \leq \min\{\theta_2 + \pi, \theta_2' + \pi\}.$$

Let $a = \pi - 2\epsilon$ and $b = \min\{\theta_2 + \pi, \theta_2' + \pi\}$, therefore we can drop off the characteristic jump function to obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \tilde{K}_G^j(r_1, r_2, r_2'; \theta_2, \theta_2') \\ &= 2^{-j} \int_a^b e^{i2^j(|x-y|-|x-z|)} \beta(|x-y|) |x-y|^{-1/2} \beta(|x-z|) |x-z|^{-1/2} \\ & \quad a(2^j|x-y|) a(2^j|x-z|) \eta(\theta_1 - \theta_2) \eta(\theta_1 - \theta_2') d\theta_1. \end{aligned} \quad (4.40)$$

Proof of (4.32): (4.32) easily follows from the following claim

$$|\tilde{K}_G^j(r_1, r_2, r_2'; \theta_2, \theta_2')| \lesssim 2^{-j} (2^j |r_2 - r_2'|)^{-\frac{1}{2}} r_1^{-1}. \quad (4.41)$$

To show the above claim, we denote

$$d_G(r_1, r_2; \theta_1 - \theta_2) = |x - y| = \sqrt{r_1^2 + r_2^2 - 2r_1 r_2 \cos(\theta_1 - \theta_2)},$$

and

$$\Phi(r_1, r_2, r_2'; \theta_1, \theta_2, \theta_2') = d_G(r_1, r_2; \theta_1 - \theta_2) - d_G(r_1, r_2'; \theta_1 - \theta_2'). \quad (4.42)$$

Due to the compact support of η , we note that $\theta_1 - \theta_2 \rightarrow \pi$ as $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$. Hence the facts $|x - y|, |x - z| \sim 1$ again implies $r_1 + r_2, r_1 + r'_2 \sim 1$. Then we compute that

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_{\theta_1} d_G(r_1, r_2; \theta_1 - \theta_2) &= \frac{r_1 r_2 \sin(\theta_1 - \theta_2)}{\sqrt{r_1^2 + r_2^2 - 2r_1 r_2 \cos(\theta_1 - \theta_2)}} \\ &= \frac{r_1 r_2}{r_1 + r_2} \sin(\theta_1 - \theta_2) + O((r_1 r_2)^2 (\theta_1 - \theta_2 - \pi)^3), \end{aligned} \quad (4.43)$$

and

$$\partial_{\theta_1}^2 d_G(r_1, r_2; \theta_1 - \theta_2) = \frac{r_1 r_2}{r_1 + r_2} \cos(\theta_1 - \theta_2) + O((r_1 r_2)^2 (\theta_1 - \theta_2 - \pi)^2). \quad (4.44)$$

Therefore we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_{\theta_1} \Phi(r_1, r_2, r'_2; \theta_1, \theta_2, \theta'_2) &= \frac{r_1 r_2}{r_1 + r_2} \sin(\theta_1 - \theta_2) - \frac{r_1 r'_2}{r_1 + r'_2} \sin(\theta_1 - \theta'_2) + \text{Error} \\ &= \frac{r_1^2 (r_2 - r'_2)}{(r_1 + r_2)(r_1 + r'_2)} \sin(\theta_1 - \theta_2) + \frac{r_1 r'_2}{r_1 + r'_2} (\sin(\theta_1 - \theta_2) - \sin(\theta_1 - \theta'_2)) + \text{Error}, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_{\theta_1}^2 \Phi(r_1, r_2, r'_2; \theta_1, \theta_2, \theta'_2) &= \frac{r_1 r_2}{r_1 + r_2} \cos(\theta_1 - \theta_2) - \frac{r_1 r'_2}{r_1 + r'_2} \cos(\theta_1 - \theta'_2) + \text{Error} \\ &= \frac{r_1^2 (r_2 - r'_2)}{(r_1 + r_2)(r_1 + r'_2)} \cos(\theta_1 - \theta_2) + \frac{r_1 r'_2}{r_1 + r'_2} (\cos(\theta_1 - \theta_2) - \cos(\theta_1 - \theta'_2)) + \text{Error}. \end{aligned}$$

where the error terms are $O(\epsilon^2)$ as $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$.

Case 1: $r_1 r'_2 |\theta_2 - \theta'_2| \ll \epsilon^{-1} r_1^2 |r_2 - r'_2|$. In this case, we have

$$\left| \frac{r_1 r'_2}{r_1 + r'_2} (\cos(\theta_1 - \theta_2) - \cos(\theta_1 - \theta'_2)) \right| \lesssim r_1 r'_2 \epsilon |\theta_2 - \theta'_2| \ll r_1^2 |r_2 - r'_2|.$$

Combining this inequality with the facts that $r_1 + r_2, r_1 + r'_2 \sim 1$ and $\theta_1 - \theta_2 \rightarrow \pi$ as $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$, we obtain

$$|\partial_{\theta_1}^2 \Phi| \geq c |r_2 - r'_2| r_1^2.$$

Thus, the claim (4.41) follows by the Van-der-Corput Lemma 2.3 in this case.

Case 2: $r_1 r'_2 |\theta_2 - \theta'_2| \geq C \epsilon^{-1} r_1^2 |r_2 - r'_2|$. In this case, one has

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \frac{r_1^2 (r_2 - r'_2)}{(r_1 + r_2)(r_1 + r'_2)} \sin(\theta_1 - \theta_2) \right| &\lesssim \epsilon r_1^2 |r_2 - r'_2|, \\ \left| \frac{r_1 r'_2}{r_1 + r'_2} (\sin(\theta_1 - \theta_2) - \sin(\theta_1 - \theta'_2)) \right| &\geq c r_1 r'_2 |\theta_2 - \theta'_2| \geq \epsilon^{-1} r_1^2 |r_2 - r'_2|. \end{aligned} \quad (4.45)$$

Thus, we obtain

$$|\partial_{\theta_1} \Phi| \geq c |r_2 - r'_2| r_1^2$$

when ϵ small enough. Therefore, we prove (4.41) by Lemma 2.2.

Proof of (4.33): From TT^* -argument, we know that (4.33) is equivalent to

$$\left\| \tilde{T}_{G_1, r_1, r_2}^j f \right\|_{L^2(d\theta_1)} \lesssim 2^{-\frac{j}{2}} (2^j r_1 r_2)^{-1/2} \|f\|_{L^2(d\theta_2)}. \quad (4.46)$$

The assumption on the support of \tilde{K}_G^j in $\theta_1 - \theta_2$ means that we may treat the θ_j as variables in \mathbb{R} . Since $\tilde{T}_{G_1, r_1, r_2}^j$ is a convolution kernel in θ , it suffices to prove that the corresponding Fourier multiplier satisfies

$$\left| \int_{\pi-2\epsilon}^{\pi} e^{i\zeta\theta + i2^j d_G(r_1, r_2; \theta)} \beta(d_G(r_1, r_2; \theta)) (2^{\frac{j}{2}} d_G(r_1, r_2; \theta))^{-\frac{1}{2}} a(2^j d_G(r_1, r_2; \theta)) \eta(\theta) d\theta \right| \lesssim 2^{-\frac{j}{2}} (2^j r_1 r_2)^{-1/2}. \quad (4.47)$$

Indeed, from (4.43) and (4.44), we see

$$|\partial_{\theta_1} d_G(r_1, r_2; \theta_1 - \theta_2)| + |\partial_{\theta_1}^2 d_G(r_1, r_2; \theta_1 - \theta_2)| \geq cr_1 r_2.$$

Hence, we use Lemma 2.2 and the Van-der-Corput lemma 2.3 again to obtain (4.47). Thus, we prove Lemma 4.2. \square

4.3. The estimation of T_{D_1} . Now, we consider the operator T_{D_1} . Recall

$$D_1(r_1, \theta_1; r_2, \theta_2) = \frac{1}{\pi} \int_0^\infty e^{i|\mathbf{n}|} |\mathbf{n}|^{-1/2} a(|\mathbf{n}|) B_\alpha(s, \theta_1, \theta_2) ds \quad (4.48)$$

and

$$B_\alpha(s, \theta_1, \theta_2) = -\frac{1}{4\pi^2} e^{-i\alpha(\theta_1 - \theta_2) + i \int_{\theta_2}^{\theta_1} \alpha(\theta') d\theta'} \left(\sin(|\alpha|\pi) e^{-|\alpha|s} + \sin(\alpha\pi) \frac{(e^{-s} - \cos(\theta_1 - \theta_2 + \pi)) \sinh(\alpha s) - i \sin(\theta_1 - \theta_2 + \pi) \cosh(\alpha s)}{\cosh(s) - \cos(\theta_1 - \theta_2 + \pi)} \right).$$

Note that $D_2(r_1, \theta_1, r_2, \theta_2) = 0$ when $\alpha = 0$. We always assume $\alpha \neq 0$.

It suffices to prove the three estimates

$$\left\| \int_0^\infty \int_0^{2\pi} K_D^\ell(r_1, r_2; \theta_1 - \theta_2) f(r_2, \theta_2) r_2 dr_2 d\theta_2 \right\|_{L^q(\mathbb{R}^2)} \leq C \|f\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^2)}, \quad (4.49)$$

for $\ell = 1, 2, 3$, where

$$K_D^1(r_1, r_2; \theta_1 - \theta_2) = \int_0^\infty e^{i|\mathbf{n}|} |\mathbf{n}|^{-1/2} a(|\mathbf{n}|) e^{-|\alpha|s} ds, \quad (4.50)$$

$$K_D^2(r_1, r_2; \theta_1 - \theta_2) = \int_0^\infty e^{i|\mathbf{n}|} |\mathbf{n}|^{-1/2} a(|\mathbf{n}|) \frac{(e^{-s} - \cos(\theta_1 - \theta_2 + \pi)) \sinh(\alpha s)}{\cosh(s) - \cos(\theta_1 - \theta_2 + \pi)} ds,$$

and

$$K_D^3(r_1, r_2; \theta_1 - \theta_2) = \int_0^\infty e^{i|\mathbf{n}|} |\mathbf{n}|^{-1/2} a(|\mathbf{n}|) \frac{\sin(\theta_1 - \theta_2 + \pi) \cosh(\alpha s)}{\cosh(s) - \cos(\theta_1 - \theta_2 + \pi)} ds. \quad (4.51)$$

Using the partition of one again

$$\beta_0(r) = 1 - \sum_{j \geq 1} \beta(2^{-j}r), \quad \beta \in \mathcal{C}_c^\infty\left(\left[\frac{3}{4}, \frac{8}{3}\right]\right),$$

we decompose

$$K_D^\ell(r_1, r_2; \theta_1 - \theta_2) =: \sum_{j \geq 0} K_D^{\ell, j}(r_1, r_2; \theta_1 - \theta_2), \quad (4.52)$$

where for $j \geq 1$ and $\ell = 1, 2, 3$

$$K_D^{\ell, j}(r_1, r_2; \theta_1 - \theta_2) = \beta(2^{-j}(r_1 + r_2)) K_D^\ell(r_1, r_2; \theta_1 - \theta_2)$$

and $K_D^{\ell,0}(r_1, r_2; \theta_1 - \theta_2) = \beta_0(r_1 + r_2)K_D^\ell(r_1, r_2; \theta_1 - \theta_2)$. Then we have

Lemma 4.3. *For $\ell = 1, 2, 3$, let $T_{D_1}^{\ell,j}$ be the operator associated with kernel $K_D^{\ell,j}(r_1, r_2; \theta_1 - \theta_2)$ given in (4.52) and let $6 \leq 3p' \leq q \leq \infty$. Then*

$$\|T_{D_1}^{\ell,j}\|_{L^p \rightarrow L^q} \leq C2^{-2j(\frac{1}{p} - \frac{3}{4})}, \quad \forall j \geq 0. \quad (4.53)$$

With Lemma 4.3 in hand, we obtain (4.49) by the same argument as the proof of (4.11) from Lemma 4.1. Now we prove Lemma 4.3.

Step 1: $j = 0$. From (4.8), (4.9) and (4.10), similarly arguing as $D_2(r_1, r_2, \theta_1, \theta_2)$, we have

$$|K_D^{\ell,0}(r_1, r_2; \theta_1 - \theta_2)| \leq \beta_0(r_1 + r_2) \in L^r(\mathbb{R}^2), \quad 1 \leq r \leq \infty.$$

Then we conclude

$$\|T_{D_1}^{\ell,0}\|_{L^p \rightarrow L^q} \leq C.$$

Step 2: $j \geq 1$. Using the scaling, for $j \geq 1$ and $\ell = 1, 2, 3$, we define that

$$\tilde{K}_D^{\ell,j}(r_1, r_2; \theta_1 - \theta_2) = K_D^{\ell,0}(2^j r_1, 2^j r_2; \theta_1 - \theta_2) = \beta(r_1 + r_2)K_D^\ell(2^j r_1, 2^j r_2; \theta_1 - \theta_2)$$

and

$$\tilde{T}_{D_1}^{\ell,j} f(r_1, \theta_1) = \int_0^\infty \int_0^{2\pi} \tilde{K}_D^{\ell,j}(r_1, r_2; \theta_1 - \theta_2) f(r_2, \theta_2) r_2 dr_2 d\theta_2. \quad (4.54)$$

Then, we have

$$T_{D_1}^{\ell,j} f(r_1, \theta_1) = 2^{2j} \tilde{T}_{D_1}^{\ell,j} (f(2^j r_2, \theta_2)) (2^j r_1, \theta_1).$$

Therefore, (4.53) is equivalent to

$$\|\tilde{T}_{D_1}^{\ell,j}\|_{L^p \rightarrow L^q} \leq C2^{-j(\frac{1}{2} + \frac{2}{q})}. \quad (4.55)$$

Now, we show a basic proposition.

Proposition 4.1. *Let T_K be defined by*

$$T_K f(r_1, \theta_1) := \int_0^\infty \int_0^{2\pi} K(r_1, r_2, \theta_1, \theta_2) f(r_2, \theta_2) d\theta_2 r_2 dr_2,$$

and the kernel $K(r_1, r_2, \theta_1, \theta_2)$ satisfies

$$|K(r_1, r_2, \theta_1, \theta_2)| \lesssim 2^{-\frac{j}{2}} (1 + 2^j r_1 r_2)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \beta(r_1 + r_2). \quad (4.56)$$

Then, there holds

$$\|T_K f\|_{L^q(\mathbb{R}^2)} \lesssim 2^{-j(\frac{1}{2} + \frac{2}{q})} \|f\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^2)} \quad (4.57)$$

for $q > 4$ and $q > p'$.

Proof. By (4.56) and Minkowski's inequality, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
\|T_K f\|_{L^q(\mathbb{R}^2)} &\lesssim 2^{-\frac{j}{2}} \left\| \int_0^\infty \int_0^{2\pi} (1 + 2^j r_1 r_2)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \beta(r_1 + r_2) |f(r_2, \theta_2)| \, d\theta_2 \, r_2 \, dr_2 \right\|_{L^q(\mathbb{R}^2)} \\
&\lesssim 2^{-\frac{j}{2}} \int_{|y| \leq 1} \left\| (1 + 2^j |x| \cdot |y|)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \right\|_{L_x^q(\mathbb{R}^2)} |f(y)| \, dy \\
&\lesssim 2^{-\frac{j}{2}} 2^{-\frac{2}{q}j} \int_{|y| \leq 1} \left\| (1 + |x|)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \right\|_{L_x^q(\mathbb{R}^2)} |y|^{-\frac{2}{q}} |f(y)| \, dy \\
&\lesssim 2^{-j(\frac{2}{q} + \frac{1}{2})} \|f\|_{L^p} \left\| |y|^{-\frac{2}{q}} \right\|_{L^{p'}(|y| \leq 1)} \lesssim 2^{-j(\frac{2}{q} + \frac{1}{2})} \|f\|_{L^p},
\end{aligned}$$

where we need the assumption $q > 4$ and $q > p'$ to guarantee the convergence of $\left\| (1 + |x|)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \right\|_{L_x^q(\mathbb{R}^2)}$ and $\left\| |y|^{-\frac{2}{q}} \right\|_{L^{p'}(|y| \leq 1)}$. \square

Now, we show the kernels $\tilde{K}_D^{\ell,j}(r_1, r_2; \theta_1 - \theta_2)$ satisfies (4.56). To do this, for fixed $r_1, r_2, \theta_1, \theta_2$ and $j \geq 1$, we define

$$\phi(r_1, r_2; s) = |\mathbf{n}| = \sqrt{r_1^2 + r_2^2 + 2r_1 r_2 \cosh s}, \quad (4.58)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
\psi_1(r_1, r_2, \theta_1, \theta_2; s) &= |\mathbf{n}|^{-1/2} a(2^j |\mathbf{n}|) e^{-|\alpha|s}, \\
\psi_2(r_1, r_2, \theta_1, \theta_2; s) &= |\mathbf{n}|^{-1/2} a(2^j |\mathbf{n}|) \frac{(e^{-s} - \cos(\theta_1 - \theta_2 + \pi)) \sinh(\alpha s)}{\cosh(s) - \cos(\theta_1 - \theta_2 + \pi)}, \\
\psi_3(r_1, r_2, \theta_1, \theta_2; s) &= |\mathbf{n}|^{-1/2} a(2^j |\mathbf{n}|) \frac{\sin(\theta_1 - \theta_2 + \pi) \cosh(\alpha s)}{\cosh(s) - \cos(\theta_1 - \theta_2 + \pi)}.
\end{aligned} \quad (4.59)$$

Then by the definition, we have

$$\tilde{K}_D^{\ell,j}(r_1, r_2; \theta_1 - \theta_2) = 2^{-\frac{j}{2}} \beta(r_1 + r_2) \int_0^\infty e^{i2^j \phi(s)} \psi_\ell(s) \, ds, \quad \ell = 1, 2, 3. \quad (4.60)$$

Lemma 4.4. *For $j \geq 1$, then there holds*

$$|\tilde{K}_D^{1,j}(r_1, r_2; \theta_1 - \theta_2)| + |\tilde{K}_D^{2,j}(r_1, r_2; \theta_1 - \theta_2)| \lesssim 2^{-\frac{j}{2}} (1 + 2^j r_1 r_2)^{-\frac{1}{2}}. \quad (4.61)$$

Using Lemma 4.4 and Proposition 4.1, we obtain (4.55) with $\ell = 1, 2$.

The proof of Lemma 4.4. : If $2^j r_1 r_2 \lesssim 1$, then (4.61) follows by using (4.8), (4.9) and (4.10). So from now on, we assume $2^j r_1 r_2 \geq 1$ in the proof. We first compute that

$$\begin{aligned}
\partial_s \phi &= \frac{r_1 r_2 \sinh s}{(r_1^2 + r_2^2 + 2r_1 r_2 \cosh s)^{1/2}} \\
\partial_s^2 \phi &= \frac{r_1 r_2 \cosh s}{(r_1^2 + r_2^2 + 2r_1 r_2 \cosh s)^{1/2}} - \frac{(r_1 r_2 \sinh s)^2}{(r_1^2 + r_2^2 + 2r_1 r_2 \cosh s)^{3/2}},
\end{aligned} \quad (4.62)$$

therefore we obtain, for $0 \leq s \leq 1$

$$\partial_s \phi(0) = 0, \quad |\partial_s^2 \phi| \geq c \frac{r_1 r_2}{r_1 + r_2} \gtrsim r_1 r_2, \quad (4.63)$$

and for $s \geq 1$

$$\partial_s \phi \geq c \frac{r_1 r_2}{r_1 + r_2} \gtrsim r_1 r_2. \quad (4.64)$$

One can verify that $\partial_s \phi(s)$ is monotonic on the interval $[1, \infty)$ and the facts that

$$\int_0^\infty |\psi'_1(s)| ds + \int_1^\infty |\psi'_2(s)| ds \lesssim 1. \quad (4.65)$$

Indeed, if the derivative hits on $|\mathbf{n}|^{-1/2} a(2^j |\mathbf{n}|)$ which is bounded, we again use (4.8), (4.9) and (4.10) to obtain (4.65). If the derivative hits on $e^{-|\alpha|s}$, it brings harmlessness. By using Van der Corput Lemma 2.3, thus we prove

$$|\tilde{K}_D^{1,j}(r_1, r_2; \theta_1 - \theta_2)| \lesssim 2^{-\frac{j}{2}} (2^j r_1 r_2)^{-\frac{1}{2}}. \quad (4.66)$$

However, if the derivative hits on

$$\frac{(e^{-s} - \cos(\theta_1 - \theta_2 + \pi)) \sinh(\alpha s)}{\cosh(s) - \cos(\theta_1 - \theta_2 + \pi)},$$

it is harmless when $s \in [1, \infty)$ but it becomes more singular near at $s = 0$ so that we can not verify

$$\int_0^1 |\psi'_2(s)| ds \lesssim 1.$$

Hence, to estimate $\tilde{K}_D^{2,j}$, we need more argument to bound

$$2^{-\frac{j}{2}} \beta(r_1 + r_2) \int_0^1 e^{i2^j \phi(s)} \psi_2(s) ds. \quad (4.67)$$

To this aim, when s is close to 0, we replace

$$\frac{(e^{-s} - \cos(\theta_1 - \theta_2 + \pi)) \sinh(\alpha s)}{\cosh(s) - \cos(\theta_1 - \theta_2 + \pi)} \sim \frac{(-s + b^2)(\alpha s)}{\frac{s^2}{2} + b^2}$$

where $b = \sqrt{2} \sin(\frac{\theta_1 - \theta_2 + \pi}{2})$. Then for $0 \leq s \leq 1$, uniformly in b , we have

$$\left| \partial_s^k \left(\frac{(e^{-s} - \cos(\theta_1 - \theta_2 + \pi)) \sinh(\alpha s)}{\cosh(s) - \cos(\theta_1 - \theta_2 + \pi)} - \frac{(-s + b^2)(\alpha s)}{\frac{s^2}{2} + b^2} \right) \right| \lesssim 1, \quad k = 0, 1 \quad (4.68)$$

which will be verified in Lemma 5.1 in the appendix section.

Therefore the difference term is accepted by using Van der Corput Lemma 2.3 again. Hence, instead of (4.67), we need to control

$$2^{-\frac{j}{2}} \beta(r_1 + r_2) \int_0^1 e^{i2^j \phi(s)} |\mathbf{n}|^{-1/2} a(2^j |\mathbf{n}|) \frac{(-s + b^2)(\alpha s)}{\frac{s^2}{2} + b^2} ds \quad (4.69)$$

which is bounded by

$$\begin{aligned} &\lesssim 2^{-\frac{j}{2}} \beta(r_1 + r_2) \left(\left| \int_0^1 e^{i2^j \phi(s)} |\mathbf{n}|^{-1/2} a(2^j |\mathbf{n}|) ds \right| \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \left| \int_0^1 e^{i2^j \phi(s)} |\mathbf{n}|^{-1/2} a(2^j |\mathbf{n}|) \frac{b^2}{\frac{s^2}{2} + b^2} ds \right| \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \left| \int_0^1 e^{i2^j \phi(s)} |\mathbf{n}|^{-1/2} a(2^j |\mathbf{n}|) \frac{sb^2}{\frac{s^2}{2} + b^2} ds \right| \right). \end{aligned} \quad (4.70)$$

Now we can bound

$$\begin{aligned} & \beta(r_1 + r_2) \left(\int_0^1 \left| \partial_s (|\mathbf{n}|^{-1/2} a(2^j |\mathbf{n}|)) \right| ds \right. \\ & \quad \left. + \left| \int_0^1 \partial_s \left(|\mathbf{n}|^{-1/2} a(2^j |\mathbf{n}|) \frac{b^2}{\frac{s^2}{2} + b^2} \right) ds \right| \right. \\ & \quad \left. + \left| \int_0^1 \partial_s \left(|\mathbf{n}|^{-1/2} a(2^j |\mathbf{n}|) \frac{sb^2}{\frac{s^2}{2} + b^2} \right) ds \right| \right) \lesssim 1. \end{aligned} \quad (4.71)$$

Then by using Van der Corput Lemma 2.3 again, we obtain

$$\left| 2^{-\frac{j}{2}} \beta(r_1 + r_2) \int_0^1 e^{i2^j \phi(s)} |\mathbf{n}|^{-1/2} a(2^j |\mathbf{n}|) \frac{(-s + b^2)(\alpha s)}{\frac{s^2}{2} + b^2} ds \right| \lesssim 2^{-\frac{j}{2}} (2^j r_1 r_2)^{-\frac{1}{2}}. \quad (4.72)$$

Therefore we prove Lemma 4.4. \square

Now we are left to consider $\tilde{K}_D^{3,j}$ which is more complicated. To this end, we define

$$\psi_{3,m}(r_1, r_2, \theta_1, \theta_2; s) = (r_1 + r_2)^{-1/2} a(2^j (r_1 + r_2)) \frac{\sin(\theta_1 - \theta_2 + \pi)}{\frac{s^2}{2} + 2 \sin^2 \left(\frac{\theta_1 - \theta_2 + \pi}{2} \right)}, \quad (4.73)$$

and $\psi_{3,e} = \psi_3 - \psi_{3,m}$. We further define the kernels

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{K}_{D,m}^{3,j}(r_1, r_2; \theta_1 - \theta_2) &= 2^{-\frac{j}{2}} \beta(r_1 + r_2) \int_0^\infty e^{i2^j \phi(s)} \psi_{3,m}(s) ds, \\ \tilde{K}_{D,e}^{3,j}(r_1, r_2; \theta_1 - \theta_2) &= 2^{-\frac{j}{2}} \beta(r_1 + r_2) \int_0^\infty e^{i2^j \phi(s)} \psi_{3,e}(s) ds. \end{aligned} \quad (4.74)$$

Similarly as Lemma 4.4, we have

Lemma 4.5. *Let $\tilde{K}_{D,e}^{3,j}$ be given in (4.74) and let*

$$H(r_1, r_2; \theta_1 - \theta_2) = 2^{-\frac{j}{2}} \beta(r_1 + r_2) (r_1 + r_2)^{\frac{1}{2}} \int_0^\infty e^{i2^j r_1 r_2 s^2} \psi_{3,m}(s) ds, \quad (4.75)$$

then

$$|\tilde{K}_{D,e}^{3,j}(r_1, r_2; \theta_1 - \theta_2)| \lesssim 2^{-\frac{j}{2}} (1 + 2^j r_1 r_2)^{-\frac{1}{2}}, \quad (4.76)$$

$$|e^{-i2^j (r_1 + r_2)} \tilde{K}_{D,m}^{3,j}(r_1, r_2; \theta_1 - \theta_2) - H(r_1, r_2; \theta_1 - \theta_2)| \lesssim 2^{-\frac{j}{2}} (1 + 2^j r_1 r_2)^{-\frac{1}{2}}, \quad (4.77)$$

and

$$|H(r_1, r_2; \theta_1 - \theta_2)| \lesssim 2^{-\frac{j}{2}} (1 + 2^j r_1 r_2)^{-\frac{1}{2}}. \quad (4.78)$$

Using Lemma 4.4 and Proposition 4.1, we obtain (4.55) with $\ell = 3$.

The proof of Lemma 4.5: We first prove (4.76). Arguing similarly as Lemma 4.4, it suffices to show

$$|\partial_s \psi_{3,e}(r_1, r_2, \theta_1, \theta_2; s)| \lesssim 1, \quad (4.79)$$

uniformly in $r_1, r_2, \theta_1, \theta_2$, when $r_1 + r_2 \sim 1$. For our purpose, we have

$$\int_0^1 \left| \partial_s \left[\left(|\mathbf{n}|^{-1/2} a(2^j |\mathbf{n}|) \right) \left(\frac{\sin(\theta_1 - \theta_2 + \pi) \cosh(\alpha s)}{\cosh(s) - \cos(\theta_1 - \theta_2 + \pi)} - \frac{\sin(\theta_1 - \theta_2 + \pi)}{\frac{s^2}{2} + 2 \sin^2 \left(\frac{\theta_1 - \theta_2 + \pi}{2} \right)} \right) \right] \right| ds \lesssim 1, \quad (4.80)$$

and

$$\int_0^1 \left| \partial_s \left[\left(|\mathbf{n}|^{-1/2} a(2^j |\mathbf{n}|) - (r_1 + r_2)^{-1/2} a(2^j (r_1 + r_2)) \right) \frac{\sin(\theta_1 - \theta_2 + \pi)}{\frac{s^2}{2} + 2 \sin^2 \left(\frac{\theta_1 - \theta_2 + \pi}{2} \right)} \right] \right| ds \lesssim 1, \quad (4.81)$$

which will be verified in Lemma 5.1 in appendix.

We next prove (4.77). We will use the the Morse Lemma to write the phase function in term of quadratic formula via making variable change. Let

$$\bar{\varphi}(s) = \frac{(r_1^2 + r_2^2 + 2r_1 r_2 \cosh s)^{\frac{1}{2}}}{r_1 r_2} - \frac{r_1 + r_2}{r_1 r_2},$$

then $\bar{\varphi}(0) = \bar{\varphi}'(0) = 0$ and

$$\bar{\varphi}''(0) = \frac{1}{r_1 + r_2} \neq 0.$$

Let

$$g(s) = 2 \int_0^1 (1-t) \bar{\varphi}''(ts) dt,$$

then we can write

$$\bar{\varphi}(s) = \frac{1}{2} g(s) s^2.$$

Note that $g(0) = \bar{\varphi}''(0) \neq 0$, we make the variable changing

$$\tilde{s} = |g(s)|^{\frac{1}{2}} s.$$

Hence

$$\frac{d\tilde{s}}{ds} = (r_1 + r_2)^{-\frac{1}{2}} + O(r_1 r_2 s^2), \quad \frac{ds}{d\tilde{s}} = (r_1 + r_2)^{\frac{1}{2}} + O(r_1 r_2 \tilde{s}^2), \quad (4.82)$$

and

$$\partial_{\tilde{s}} s \Big|_{s=0} = |\bar{\varphi}''(0)|^{-\frac{1}{2}}.$$

We write

$$\varphi(s) - (r_1 + r_2) = r_1 r_2 \bar{\varphi}(s) = r_1 r_2 \tilde{s}^2,$$

by (4.82), hence

$$\begin{aligned} & 2^{-\frac{j}{2}} \beta(r_1 + r_2) \int_0^\infty e^{i2^j(\phi(s) - (r_1 + r_2))} \psi_{3,m}(s) ds \\ &= 2^{-\frac{j}{2}} \beta(r_1 + r_2) \int_0^\infty e^{i2^j r_1 r_2 \tilde{s}^2} (\psi_{3,m}(\tilde{s}) + O(r_1 r_2 \tilde{s}^2)) ((r_1 + r_2)^{\frac{1}{2}} + O(r_1 r_2 \tilde{s}^2)) d\tilde{s}. \end{aligned} \quad (4.83)$$

By using Van der Corput Lemma 2.3 as before, as desired, the difference is bounded by

$$|e^{-i2^j(r_1 + r_2)} \tilde{K}_{D,m}^{3,j}(r_1, r_2; \theta_1 - \theta_2) - H(r_1, r_2; \theta_1 - \theta_2)| \lesssim 2^{-\frac{j}{2}} (1 + 2^j r_1 r_2)^{-\frac{1}{2}}.$$

We finally prove (4.78). Recall $b = \sqrt{2} \sin\left(\frac{\theta_1 - \theta_2 + \pi}{2}\right)$ and the definitions (4.75) and (4.73), by scaling, it suffices to show

$$\left| \beta(r_1 + r_2) a(2^j(r_1 + r_2)) \int_0^\infty e^{i2^{j+1}r_1r_2s^2} \frac{b}{s^2 + b^2} ds \right| \lesssim (1 + 2^j r_1 r_2)^{-\frac{1}{2}}. \quad (4.84)$$

This is the same to term H in [3, (35)] so that it follows from the same argument. \square

5. APPENDIX

In this appendix, we verify (4.68), (4.80) and (4.81). In fact, we can prove

Lemma 5.1. *Let $b = \sqrt{2} \sin \theta$, $\mathbf{n} = (r_1 + r_2, \sqrt{r_1 r_2 (\cosh s - 1)})$, and the nonnegative numbers r_1, r_2 such that $r_1 + r_2 \sim 1$. Then for $0 < s \leq 1$ and $|\alpha| \leq 1$, we have*

$$\left| \partial_s^k \left(\frac{(e^{-s} - \cos(2\theta)) \sinh(\alpha s)}{\cosh(s) - \cos(2\theta)} - \frac{(-s + b^2)(\alpha s)}{s^2/2 + b^2} \right) \right| \lesssim 1, \quad k = 0, 1, \quad (5.1)$$

$$\int_0^1 \left| \partial_s \left[\left(|\mathbf{n}|^{-1/2} a(2^j |\mathbf{n}|) \right) \left(\frac{\sin(2\theta) \cosh(\alpha s)}{\cosh(s) - \cos(2\theta)} - \frac{\sin(2\theta)}{s^2/2 + b^2} \right) \right] \right| ds \lesssim 1, \quad (5.2)$$

and

$$\int_0^1 \left| \partial_s \left[\left(|\mathbf{n}|^{-1/2} a(2^j |\mathbf{n}|) - (r_1 + r_2)^{-\frac{1}{2}} a(2^j (r_1 + r_2)) \right) \left(\frac{\sin(2\theta)}{s^2/2 + b^2} \right) \right] \right| ds \lesssim 1, \quad (5.3)$$

where $|a^{(k)}(r)| \lesssim r^{-k}$, $\forall k \geq 0, r > 0$.

The above lemma can be reduced to the following lemma with $b = \sqrt{2} \sin \theta$.

Lemma 5.2. *Let $\mathbf{n} = (r_1 + r_2, \sqrt{r_1 r_2 (\cosh s - 1)})$, and the nonnegative numbers r_1, r_2 such that $r_1 + r_2 \sim 1$. Then for $0 < s \leq 1$, $|\alpha| \leq 1$ and $0 \leq b \leq 2$, we have*

$$\left| \partial_s^k \left(\frac{(e^{-s} - 1 + b^2) \sinh(\alpha s)}{2 \sinh^2(s/2) + b^2} - \frac{(-s + b^2)(\alpha s)}{s^2/2 + b^2} \right) \right| \lesssim 1, \quad k = 0, 1, \quad (5.4)$$

$$\int_0^1 \left| \partial_s \left[\left(|\mathbf{n}|^{-1/2} a(2^j |\mathbf{n}|) \right) \left(\frac{b \cosh(\alpha s)}{2 \sinh^2(s/2) + b^2} - \frac{b}{s^2/2 + b^2} \right) \right] \right| ds \lesssim 1, \quad (5.5)$$

and

$$\int_0^1 \left| \partial_s \left[\left(|\mathbf{n}|^{-1/2} a(2^j |\mathbf{n}|) - (r_1 + r_2)^{-\frac{1}{2}} a(2^j (r_1 + r_2)) \right) \left(\frac{b}{s^2/2 + b^2} \right) \right] \right| ds \lesssim 1, \quad (5.6)$$

where $|a^{(k)}(r)| \lesssim r^{-k}$, $\forall k \geq 0, r > 0$.

Proof. Case 1: $b = 0$. In this case, (5.5) and (5.6) are obvious, and

$$\partial_s^k \left(\frac{(e^{-s} - 1 + b^2) \sinh(\alpha s)}{2 \sinh^2(s/2) + b^2} - \frac{(-s + b^2)(\alpha s)}{s^2/2 + b^2} \right) = \frac{(e^{-s} - 1) \sinh(\alpha s)}{2 \sinh^2(s/2)} + 2\alpha.$$

Noting that

$$|e^{-s} - 1| \leq 2|s|, \quad |\sinh(s)| \sim |s|, \quad \forall |s| \leq 1, \quad (5.7)$$

we obtain

$$\left| \frac{(e^{-s} - 1) \sinh(\alpha s)}{2 \sinh^2(s/2)} + 2\alpha \right| \lesssim 1. \quad (5.8)$$

Next, we turn to estimate the term

$$\left| \partial_s \left(\frac{(e^{-s} - 1) \sinh(\alpha s)}{2 \sinh^2(s/2)} + 2\alpha \right) \right| = \left| \partial_s \left(\frac{(e^{-s} - 1) \sinh(\alpha s)}{\cosh(s) - 1} + 2\alpha \right) \right|. \quad (5.9)$$

By a simple computation, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \partial_s \left(\frac{(e^{-s} - 1) \sinh(\alpha s)}{\cosh(s) - 1} + 2\alpha \right) \\ &= \frac{[-e^{-s} \sinh(\alpha s) + \alpha(e^{-s} - 1) \cosh(\alpha s)](\cosh(s) - 1) - (e^{-s} - 1) \sinh(\alpha s) \sinh(s)}{(\cosh(s) - 1)^2}. \end{aligned}$$

Using the Taylor expansion, we can write the above numerator as

$$\begin{aligned} & \left[- \left(1 - s + \frac{s^2}{2!} + o(s^2) \right) \left(\alpha s + \frac{(\alpha s)^3}{3!} + o(s^3) \right) \right. \\ & \quad \left. + \alpha \left(-s + \frac{s^2}{2!} - \frac{s^3}{3!} + o(s^3) \right) \left(1 + \frac{s^2}{2!} + o(s^3) \right) \right] \left(\frac{s^2}{2!} + \frac{s^4}{4!} + o(s^3) \right) \\ & \quad - \left(-s + \frac{s^2}{2!} - \frac{s^3}{3!} + o(s^3) \right) \left(\alpha s + \frac{(\alpha s)^3}{3!} + o(s^4) \right) \left(s + \frac{s^3}{3!} + o(s^4) \right) \\ &= \left(-\alpha s^3 + \frac{3\alpha}{4} s^4 + o(s^4) \right) - \left(-\alpha s^3 + \frac{\alpha}{2} s^4 + o(s^4) \right) \\ &= \frac{\alpha}{4} s^4 + o(s^4), \end{aligned}$$

which shows that the term (5.9) is bounded. Thus, we finish the proof of the case $b = 0$.

Case 2: $b^2 \geq \delta_0 > 0$. By a simple computation, for $k = 0, 1$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \partial_s^k \left(\frac{(e^{-s} - 1 + b^2) \sinh(\alpha s)}{2 \sinh^2(s/2) + b^2} - \frac{(-s + b^2)(\alpha s)}{s^2/2 + b^2} \right) \right| &\lesssim \frac{1}{\delta_0}, \\ \left| \partial_s^k \left(\frac{b \cosh(\alpha s)}{2 \sinh^2(s/2) + b^2} - \frac{b}{s^2/2 + b^2} \right) \right| &\lesssim \frac{1}{\delta_0}, \\ \left| \partial_s^k \left(\frac{b}{s^2/2 + b^2} \right) \right| &\lesssim \frac{1}{\delta_0}. \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, writing $r = 2^j |\mathbf{n}|$, one has

$$\begin{aligned} & \partial_s (|\mathbf{n}|^{-1/2} a(2^j |\mathbf{n}|)) \\ &= \left[-\frac{1}{2} a(2^j |\mathbf{n}|) |\mathbf{n}|^{-5/2} + |\mathbf{n}|^{-3/2} (2^j \partial_r (2^j |\mathbf{n}|)) \right] \cdot r_1 r_2 \sinh(s). \end{aligned} \quad (5.10)$$

By the assumption $r_1 + r_2 \sim 1$, $r_1, r_2 \geq 0$ and the properties of $a(r)$, it is obvious that $|\partial_s (|\mathbf{n}|^{-1/2} a(2^j |\mathbf{n}|))|$ is bounded. Thus, one can obtain the case $b^2 \geq \delta_0$ of the lemma.

Case 3: $0 < b^2 < \delta_0 \ll 1$. Whereas the case $s \geq \delta_0$ is similar to $b^2 \geq \delta_0$, we can obtain the same conclusions as Case 2. Therefore, we may assume that $s, b^2 < \delta_0$.

We first prove (5.4). For $k = 0$, by (5.7), we get

$$\left| \frac{(e^{-s} - 1 + b^2) \sinh(\alpha s)}{2 \sinh^2(s/2) + b^2} - \frac{(-s + b^2)(\alpha s)}{s^2/2 + b^2} \right| \lesssim \frac{(s + b^2)s}{s^2 + b^2} \lesssim 1.$$

For $k = 1$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& \partial_s \left(\frac{(e^{-s} - 1 + b^2) \sinh(\alpha s)}{2 \sinh^2(s/2) + b^2} - \frac{(-s + b^2)(\alpha s)}{s^2/2 + b^2} \right) \\
&= \partial_s \left(\frac{(e^{-s} - 1 + b^2) \sinh(\alpha s)}{2 \sinh^2(s/2) + b^2} - \frac{(-s + b^2)(\alpha s)}{s^2/2 + b^2} \right) \\
&= \frac{-e^{-s} \sinh(\alpha s) + \alpha(e^{-s} - 1 + b^2) \cosh(\alpha s)}{2 \sinh^2(s/2) + b^2} - \sinh(s) \cdot \frac{(e^{-s} - 1 + b^2) \sinh(\alpha s)}{(2 \sinh^2(s/2) + b^2)^2} \\
&\quad - \frac{\alpha(b^2 - 2s)}{s^2/2 + b^2} + \frac{\alpha(-s + b^2)s^2}{(s^2/2 + b^2)^2} \\
&\triangleq A + B,
\end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
A &= \frac{-e^{-s} \sinh(\alpha s) + \alpha(e^{-s} - 1 + b^2) \cosh(\alpha s)}{2 \sinh^2(s/2) + b^2} - \frac{\alpha(b^2 - 2s)}{s^2/2 + b^2}, \\
B &= \sinh(s) \cdot \frac{(e^{-s} - 1 + b^2) \sinh(\alpha s)}{(2 \sinh^2(s/2) + b^2)^2} + \frac{\alpha(-s + b^2)s^2}{(s^2/2 + b^2)^2}.
\end{aligned}$$

We will prove that A and B are bounded respectively by using the Taylor expansion as follows.

Write $A = \frac{A_1}{A_2}$ with

$$\begin{aligned}
A_1 &= \left[-e^{-s} \sinh(\alpha s) + \alpha(e^{-s} - 1 + b^2) \cosh(\alpha s) \right] (s^2/2 + b^2) - \alpha(-s + b^2)s^2(2 \sinh^2(s/2) + b^2) \\
&= \left[-\left(1 - s + \frac{s^2}{2} - \frac{s^3}{3!} + \frac{s^4}{4!} + o(s^4)\right) \left((\alpha s) + \frac{(\alpha s)^3}{3!} + o(s^4) \right) \right. \\
&\quad \left. + \alpha \left(-s + \frac{s^2}{2} - \frac{s^3}{3!} + \frac{s^4}{4!} + o(s^4) + b^2 \right) \left(1 + \frac{(\alpha s)^2}{2} + \frac{(\alpha s)^4}{4!} + o(s^5) \right) \right] \\
&\times \left(\frac{s^2}{2} + b^2 \right) - \alpha(-s + b^2)s^2 \left[\frac{s^2}{2} + \frac{s^4}{4!} + o(s^5) + b^2 \right],
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
A_2 &= (2 \sinh^2(s/2) + b^2)(s^2/2 + b^2) \\
&= \left[\frac{s^2}{2} + \frac{s^4}{4!} + o(s^5) + b^2 \right] \left[\frac{s^2}{2} + b^2 \right] \\
&= \frac{s^4}{4} + s^2 b^2 + b^4 + o(s^5).
\end{aligned}$$

Write $B = \frac{B_1}{B_2}$ with

$$\begin{aligned} B_1 &= -\sinh(s)(e^{-s} - 1 + b^2) \sinh(\alpha s)(s^2/2 + b^2)^2 + \alpha(-s + b^2)s^2(2\sinh^2(s/2) + b^2)^2 \\ &= -\left(s + \frac{s^3}{3!} + o(s^4)\right) \left(-s + \frac{s^2}{2} - \frac{s^3}{3!} + \frac{s^4}{4!} + o(s^4) + b^2\right) \left((\alpha s) + \frac{(\alpha s)^3}{3!} + o(s^4)\right) \left(\frac{s^2}{2} + b^2\right)^2 \\ &\quad + \alpha(-s + b^2)s^2 \left(\frac{s^2}{2} + \frac{s^4}{4!} + o(s^5) + b^2\right)^2, \\ B_2 &= (2\sinh^2(s/2) + b^2)^2 (s^2/2 + b^2)^2 \\ &= \left(\frac{s^2}{2} + \frac{s^4}{4!} + o(s^5) + b^2\right)^2 \cdot \left(\frac{s^2}{2} + b^2\right)^2. \end{aligned}$$

Since A_1, A_2 and B_1, B_2 both have the same order about s or b respectively, we can deduce that A and B are bounded.

Now, we turn to prove (5.5). Noting that

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_0^1 \left| \partial_s \left[\left(|\mathbf{n}|^{-1/2} a(2^j |\mathbf{n}|) \right) \left(\frac{b \cosh(\alpha s)}{2 \sinh^2(s/2) + b^2} - \frac{b}{s^2/2 + b^2} \right) \right] \right| ds \\ &= \int_0^1 \left| \partial_s \left(|\mathbf{n}|^{-1/2} a(2^j |\mathbf{n}|) \right) \cdot \left(\frac{b \cosh(\alpha s)}{2 \sinh^2(s/2) + b^2} - \frac{b}{s^2/2 + b^2} \right) \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \left(|\mathbf{n}|^{-1/2} a(2^j |\mathbf{n}|) \right) \cdot \partial_s \left(\frac{b \cosh(\alpha s)}{2 \sinh^2(s/2) + b^2} - \frac{b}{s^2/2 + b^2} \right) \right| ds \end{aligned} \quad (5.11)$$

and using (5.10) and the fact that $|\mathbf{n}|^{-1/2} a(2^j |\mathbf{n}|)$ is bounded, and

$$\left| \int_0^1 \frac{b}{s^2 + b^2} ds \right| \lesssim 1, \quad (5.12)$$

we only need to show

$$\left| \partial_s^k \left(\frac{\cosh(\alpha s)}{2 \sinh^2(s/2) + b^2} - \frac{1}{\frac{s^2}{2} + b^2} \right) \right| \lesssim \frac{1}{s^2 + b^2}, \quad k = 0, 1. \quad (5.13)$$

It is easy to show the case $k = 0$.

For $k = 1$, by a direct computation, we get

$$\begin{aligned} &\partial_s \left(\frac{\cosh(\alpha s)}{2 \sinh^2(s/2) + b^2} - \frac{1}{\frac{s^2}{2} + b^2} \right) \\ &= \frac{\alpha \sinh(\alpha s)}{2 \sinh^2(s/2) + b^2} - \frac{2 \cosh(\alpha s) \sinh(s/2) \cosh(s/2)}{[2 \sinh^2(s/2) + b^2]^2} + \frac{s}{\left(\frac{s^2}{2} + b^2\right)^2} \\ &\triangleq I_1 - I_2 + I_3. \end{aligned} \quad (5.14)$$

It is easy to check that

$$|I_1| \lesssim \frac{1}{s^2 + b^2}.$$

On the other hand,

$$\begin{aligned}
& |I_3 - I_2| \\
& \leq \left| \frac{s}{(\frac{s^2}{2} + b^2)^2} - \frac{s}{[2 \sinh^2(\frac{s}{2}) + b^2]^2} \right| + \left| \frac{s}{[2 \sinh^2(\frac{s}{2}) + b^2]^2} - \frac{2 \cosh(\alpha s) \sinh(\frac{s}{2}) \cosh(\frac{s}{2})}{[2 \sinh^2(\frac{s}{2}) + b^2]^2} \right| \\
& \leq s \frac{2[2 \sinh^2(\frac{s}{2}) + 2b^2 + \frac{s^2}{2}] \cdot [\sinh(\frac{s}{2}) + \frac{s}{2}] \cdot |\sinh(\frac{s}{2}) - \frac{s}{2}|}{(\frac{s^2}{2} + b^2)^2 [2 \sinh^2(\frac{s}{2}) + b^2]^2} \\
& \quad + \frac{|s - 2 \cosh(\alpha s) \sinh(\frac{s}{2}) \cosh(\frac{s}{2})|}{[2 \sinh^2(\frac{s}{2}) + b^2]^2} \\
& \lesssim \frac{1}{s^2 + b^2}.
\end{aligned}$$

Hence, we derive (5.13) with $k = 1$.

Finally, we show (5.6). By (5.10) and (5.12), we only need to consider the term

$$\int_0^1 \left| \left(|\mathbf{n}|^{-1/2} a(2^j |\mathbf{n}|) - (r_1 + r_2)^{-\frac{1}{2}} a(2^j (r_1 + r_2)) \right) \partial_s \left(\frac{b}{s^2/2 + b^2} \right) \right| ds. \quad (5.15)$$

Since

$$\begin{aligned}
& (r_1 + r_2)^{-\frac{1}{2}} - |\mathbf{n}|^{-1/2} \\
& = \frac{|\mathbf{n}|^2 - (r_1 + r_2)^2}{(r_1 + r_2)^{\frac{1}{2}} |\mathbf{n}|^{1/2} ((r_1 + r_2)^{\frac{1}{2}} + |\mathbf{n}|^{1/2}) (r_1 + r_2 + |\mathbf{n}|)} \\
& = \frac{2 \sinh^2(\frac{s}{2}) r_1 r_2}{(r_1 + r_2)^{\frac{1}{2}} |\mathbf{n}|^{1/2} ((r_1 + r_2)^{\frac{1}{2}} + |\mathbf{n}|^{1/2}) (r_1 + r_2 + |\mathbf{n}|)} \\
& \lesssim s^2,
\end{aligned}$$

we get

$$\begin{aligned}
& \left| |\mathbf{n}|^{-1/2} a(2^j |\mathbf{n}|) - (r_1 + r_2)^{-\frac{1}{2}} a(2^j (r_1 + r_2)) \right| \\
& \leq \left| (r_1 + r_2)^{-\frac{1}{2}} - |\mathbf{n}|^{-1/2} \right| |a(2^j |\mathbf{n}|)| + (r_1 + r_2)^{-\frac{1}{2}} |a(2^j |\mathbf{n}|) - a(2^j (r_1 + r_2))| \\
& \lesssim s^2 + \left| |\mathbf{n}| - (r_1 + r_2) \right| \lesssim s^2.
\end{aligned}$$

Plugging this into (5.15) implies

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_0^1 \left| \left(|\mathbf{n}|^{-1/2} a(2^j |\mathbf{n}|) - (r_1 + r_2)^{-\frac{1}{2}} a(2^j (r_1 + r_2)) \right) \partial_s \left(\frac{b}{s^2/2 + b^2} \right) \right| ds \\
& \lesssim \int_0^1 s^2 \frac{bs}{(s^2/2 + b^2)^2} ds \lesssim \int_0^1 \frac{b}{s^2/2 + b^2} ds \lesssim 1.
\end{aligned}$$

And so (5.6) holds. This concludes the proof of the lemma. \square

REFERENCES

- [1] Y. Aharonov, and D. Bohm, Significance of electromagnetic potentials in quantum theory, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **115** (1959), 485-491. [4](#)
- [2] C.M. Bender, and S. Boettcher, Real Spectra in Non-Hermitian Hamiltonians Having \mathcal{PT} -Symmetry, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **80** (1998), 5243–5246. [5](#)
- [3] M. D. Blair, G. A. Ford, and J. L. Marzuola, L^p -bounds on spectral clusters associated to polygonal domains, *Revista Matemática Iberoamericana* 34 (2018), 1071-1091. [4](#), [26](#)
- [4] S. Böglie, J.-C. Cuenin, Counterexample to the Laptev-Safronov Conjecture, <https://arxiv.org/abs/2109.06135> [5](#), [6](#)
- [5] D. Bonheure, J. Dolbeault, M. Esteban, and M. Loss, Inequalities involving Aharonov–Bohm magnetic potentials in dimensions 2 and 3, *Rev. Math. Phys.* **33** (2021), 2150006. [5](#)
- [6] J.M. Bouclet and H. Mizutani, Uniform resolvent and Strichartz estimates for Schrödinger equations with scaling critical potentials, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* 370 (2018), 7293-7333. [3](#)
- [7] N. Burq, F. Planchon, J. G. Stalker, A. S. Tahvildar-Zadeh, Strichartz estimates for the wave and Schrödinger equations with potentials of critical decay, *Indiana Univ. Math. J.* 53 (2004), 1665-1680. [3](#)
- [8] A. Carbery, and F. Soria, Almost everywhere convergence of Fourier integrals for functions in Sobolev spaces and an L^2 -localization principle, *Revista Matemática Iberoamericana* 4(1988), 319-337. [2](#)
- [9] L. Cossetti, L. Fanelli, and D. Krejcirik, Absence of eigenvalues of Dirac and Pauli Hamiltonians via the method of multipliers, *Comm. Math. Phys.* **379** (2020), 633-691. [5](#)
- [10] D. Colton and R. Kress, Inverse acoustic and electromagnetic scattering theory, volume 93 of Applied Mathematical Sciences. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1992. [7](#)
- [11] J. C. Cuenin and C. E. Kenig, L^p resolvent estimates for magnetic Schrödinger operators with unbounded background fields, *Comm. PDE*, 42(2017), 235-260. [3](#)
- [12] G. Evéquoz, Existence and asymptotic behavior of standing waves of the nonlinear Helmholtz equation in the plane, *Analysis* (Berlin) 37 (2017), 55–68. [2](#)
- [13] L. Fanelli, D. Krejcirik, and L. Vega, Absence of eigenvalues of two-dimensional magnetic Schrödinger operators, *J. Funct. Anal.* **275** (2018), 2453-2472. [5](#)
- [14] L. Fanelli, J. Zhang and J. Zheng, Dispersive estimates for 2D-wave equations with critical potentials, arXiv:2003.10356v3. [1](#), [6](#), [12](#)
- [15] R. L. Frank, Eigenvalue bounds for Schrödinger operators with complex potentials, *Bull. London Math. Soc.*, 43 (2011), 745–750. [2](#), [5](#), [6](#)
- [16] R. L. Frank, Eigenvalue bounds for Schrödinger operators with complex potentials. III. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **370** (2018), no. 1, 219 - 240. [6](#)
- [17] R. L. Frank, B. Simon, Eigenvalue bounds for Schrödinger operators with complex potentials. II. *J. Spectr. Theory* **7** (2017), no. 3, 633 - 658 [5](#), [6](#)
- [18] X. Gao, J. Wang, J. Zhang and J. Zheng, Uniform resolvent estimates for Schrödinger operators in Aharonov-Bohm magnetic fields, *Journal of Diff. Equ.*, 292(2021), 70-89. [1](#), [4](#)
- [19] X. Gao, Z. Yin, J. Zhang and J. Zheng, Decay and Strichartz estimates in critical electromagnetic fields, arXiv:2003.03086 [1](#), [4](#), [5](#), [6](#), [7](#), [8](#)
- [20] A. Garcia, L^p - L^q estimates for electromagnetic Helmholtz equation. *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* 384(2011), 409-420. [3](#)
- [21] A. Garcia, L^p - L^q estimates for electromagnetic Helmholtz equation. Singular potentials, *J. Funct. Anal.* 268(2015), 2787-2819. [3](#)
- [22] M. Goldberg and W. Schlag, A limiting absorption principle for the three-dimensional Schrödinger equation with L^p potentials, *Int. Math. Res. Not.* 75 (2004), 4049-4071. [3](#)
- [23] S. Gutiérrez, Non trivial L^q solutions to the Ginzburg-Landau equation, *Math. Ann.* 328 (2004), 1-25. [2](#), [3](#), [4](#), [13](#)
- [24] M. Hansmann, and D. Krejcirik, The abstract Birman-Schwinger principle and spectral stability, arXiv:2010.15102, to appear in *J. Anal. Math.* [6](#)
- [25] L. Hörmander, Oscillatory integrals and multipliers on FL^p , *Ark. Mat.* 11 (1973) 1-11. [5](#), [14](#)

- [26] A. Jensen and T. Kato, Spectral properties of Schrödinger operators and time-decay of the wave functions, *Duke Math. J.* 46 (1979), 583-611. [3](#)
- [27] J. L. Journé, A. Soffer, C. D. Sogge, Decay estimates for Schrödinger operators, *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.* 44 (1991), 573-604. [3](#)
- [28] T. Kato. Wave operators and similarity for some non-selfadjoint operators. *Math. Ann.*, 162(1965), 258-279. [3](#)
- [29] T. Kato and K. Yajima, Some examples of smooth operators and the associated smoothing effect. *Rev. Math. Phys.*, 1(1989), 481-496. [2](#)
- [30] C. E. Kenig, A. Ruiz, C. D. Sogge, Uniform Sobolev inequalities and unique continuation for second order constant coefficient differential operators, *Duke Math. J.* 55(1987), 329-347. [2](#), [3](#), [4](#)
- [31] Y. Kwon and S. Lee, Sharp resolvent estimates outside of the uniform boundedness range, *Comm. Math. Phys.*, 374(2020), 1417-1467. [3](#), [6](#)
- [32] N. N. Lebedev, Special functions and their applications. Dover Publications Inc., New York, 1972. Revised edition, translated from the Russian and edited by Richard A. Silverman, Unabridged and corrected republication. [7](#)
- [33] A. Laptev and O. Safronov, Eigenvalue estimates for Schrödinger operators with complex potentials. *Comm. Math. Phys.*, 292(2009), 29–54. [5](#)
- [34] A. Laptev and T. Weidl, Hardy inequalities for magnetic Dirichlet forms. In: Mathematical results in quantum mechanics (Prague 1998), Oper. Theory Adv. Appl. Vol. 108, Basel: Birkhäuser, 1999, 299-305. [5](#)
- [35] H. Mizutani, Uniform Sobolev estimates for Schrödinger operators with scaling-critical potentials and applications, *Anal. PDE*, 13(5)(2020), 1333-1369. [3](#)
- [36] H. Mizutani, J. Zhang and J. Zheng, Uniform resolvent estimates for Schrödinger operator with an inverse-square potential, *J. Funct. Anal.*, 278(2020), 108350. [3](#), [4](#)
- [37] I. Rodnianski and W. Schlag, Time decay for solutions of Schrödinger equations with rough and time-dependent potentials, *Invent. Math.*, 155(2004):451-513. [3](#), [4](#)
- [38] A. Ruiz, L. Vega, On local regularity of Schrödinger equations, *Int. Math. Res. Not.* (1993) 13-27. [3](#)
- [39] F.G. Scholtz, H.B. Geyer, and F.J.W. Hahne, Quasi-Hermitian operators in quantum mechanics and the variational principle, *Ann. Phys.* **213** (1992), 74–101. [5](#)
- [40] C. D. Sogge, Oscillatory integrals and spherical harmonics, *Duke Math. J.* 53(1986), 43-65. [15](#)
- [41] C E. M. Stein and G. Weiss. Introduction to Fourier analysis on Euclidean spaces. Princeton University Press, Princeton, N.J., 1971. Princeton Mathematical Series, No. 32. [13](#)
- [42] E.M. Stein, Oscillatory integrals in Fourier analysis, in: Beijing Lectures in Harmonic Analysis, Beijing, 1984, in: Ann. of Math. Stud., vol. 112, Princeton Univ. Press, Princeton, NJ, 1986, pp. 307–355. [5](#), [8](#), [14](#)

IKERBASQUE AND UNIVERSIDAD DEL PAÍS VASCO, DEPARTAMENTO DE MATEMÁTICAS, BILBAO, SPAIN

Email address: luca.fanelli@ehu.es

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, BEIJING INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY, BEIJING 100081

Email address: zhang_junyong@bit.edu.cn

INSTITUTE OF APPLIED PHYSICS AND COMPUTATIONAL MATHEMATICS, BEIJING 100088

Email address: zhengjiqiang@gmail.com; zheng_jiqiang@iapcm.ac.cn