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ABSTRACT

In this paper, we establish the irrationality of some open problems in mathematics based on using a recursive formula that generate the complete sequence of numbers. see [1] But before getting into that we begin with some Ramanujan notable work of infinite series and continued fraction.
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1 Introduction

Irrational numbers are real numbers that cannot be constructed from ratios of integers. Among the set of irrational numbers, two famous constants are $e$ and $\pi$. Lambert was the first mathematician that showed the irrationality of $\pi$ using continued fraction [2], years after Euler prove that $e$ has non-repeating continued fraction expansion implies $e$ is irrational [3]. In 1815 Joseph Fourier introduces a nice proof depends on the series representation of $e$ [4]. Therefore, to reach a contradiction that determines the truth of the proposition be false, but proof of irrationality is still difficult to obtain. For instance, the proofs of irrationality of $\pi + e$ or $\pi - e$ are open problems.

2 Ramanujan remarkable formula:

Ramanujan found the following remarkable formula proven in [5] and [6]:

$$\frac{\pi e^x}{2x} = \frac{1}{x + 1} + \frac{1}{x + 1} + \frac{3}{x + 1} + \frac{4}{x + 1} + \ldots + \left(1 + \frac{x}{1 \cdot 3} + \frac{x^2}{1 \cdot 3 \cdot 5} + \frac{x^3}{1 \cdot 3 \cdot 5 \cdot 7} + \ldots\right).$$

This formula above is one of the many staggering relationships found by mathematical genius Ramanujan it has been described by some mathematicians as Ramanujan’s most beautiful formula as it shows a remarkable connection between an infinite series, a continued fraction, and two of the fundamental mathematical constants $e$ and $\pi$. The second series of Ramanujan formula seems to be familiar:

$$1 + \frac{x}{3} + \frac{x^2}{3 \cdot 5} + \frac{x^3}{3 \cdot 5 \cdot 7} + \ldots = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{x^n}{(2n+1)!!}$$

where $n!!$ is the double factoriel. by putting $x = -1$ we get

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(2n+1)!!} = \sqrt{\frac{e \pi}{2}} \operatorname{erf} \left( \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \right)$$

$$\operatorname{erf}(z) = \frac{2}{\sqrt{\pi}} \int_{0}^{z} e^{-t^2} dt$$

where $\operatorname{erf}(z)$ is the error function. The first term in Ramanujan’s formula is the continued fraction is given by

$$\frac{1}{1+1+1+1+1+\ldots} = \sqrt{\frac{e \pi}{2}} \operatorname{erf} \left( \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \right) \neq \sqrt{\frac{e \pi}{2}} \left( 1 - \operatorname{erf} \left( \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \right) \right)$$
Where \(erfc(z)\) is the Complementary error function, defined as
\[
erfc(z) = \frac{2}{\sqrt{\pi}} \int_{z}^{\infty} e^{-t^2} dt = 1 - erf(z)
\]

2.1 Theorem :

For every integer \(\alpha > 1, \beta > 0\) the number :
\[
\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \left( \frac{\alpha k + \beta - 1}{\alpha} \right) = \left( \frac{1}{\alpha} \right)^{\beta/\alpha - 2} + \sqrt{\alpha} a^{\beta/\alpha} \Gamma \left( \frac{\beta}{\alpha} \right) + \sqrt{\alpha} \beta^{\beta/\alpha} \Gamma \left( \frac{\beta}{\alpha} - 1 \right)
\]

\[
\sqrt{\alpha} \Gamma \left( \frac{\beta - 1}{\alpha} \right) - \sqrt{\alpha} \beta^{\beta/\alpha} \Gamma \left( \frac{\beta - 1}{\alpha} - 1 \right) - \sqrt{\alpha} \beta^{\beta/\alpha} \Gamma \left( \frac{\beta}{\alpha} - 1 \right)
\]

\[
\Gamma \left( \frac{\beta}{\alpha} - 1, 1 \right) + \sqrt{\alpha} \beta^{\beta/\alpha} \Gamma \left( \frac{\beta}{\alpha} - 1 \right) + \sqrt{\alpha} \beta^{\beta/\alpha} \Gamma \left( \frac{\beta}{\alpha} - 1, 1 \right)
\]

We gonna Proof the theorem by using Bertrand’s postulate states that if \(k>3\) there is always at least one prime \(p_k\) between \(k\) and \(2k - 1\). Equivalently, \(p_{k-1} < p_k < 2p_{k-1}\) . we can deduce that \((\alpha k + \beta) < f_k < (\alpha k + \beta) + \alpha\) for all \(k\), we write \(f_k = (\alpha k + \beta) + r_k\), where \(0 < r_k < 1\). We assume \(A(\alpha, \beta)\) is rational. Then, there exist some \(a, b \in N\) with \(a > 1\), such that
\[
A(\alpha, \beta) = \frac{a}{b}
\]

using the recurrence relation see [1]
\[
f_{k+1} = (\alpha k + \beta) (f_k - (\alpha k + \beta)) = (\alpha k + \beta) (r_k + 1)
\]

The statement give us as follows. We multiply the above equation by \(b\). Notice that \(bf_k\) is an integer for all \(k\). in particular \(r_k > 1/b\) for all \(k\). By rearranging the above expression we get :
\[
(r_k + 1) = \frac{f_{k+1}}{\alpha k + \beta} = \frac{(\alpha k + \beta) + \alpha}{(\alpha k + \beta)}
\]

then by taking the limite
\[
\lim_{k \to \infty} \frac{(\alpha k + \beta) + \alpha}{\alpha k + \beta} = 1
\]

Proof : We find the following limit :
\[
\lim_{k \to \infty} \frac{\alpha + k \alpha \beta}{k \alpha + \beta}
\]

the leading term in the denominator of \((\alpha + k \alpha \beta)/(k \alpha + \beta)\) is \(k\). Divide the numerator and denominator by this :
\[
\lim_{k \to \infty} \frac{\alpha/k + \alpha + \beta/k}{\alpha + \beta/k}
\]

the expressions \(\alpha/k\) and \(\beta/k\) both tend to zero as \(k\) approaches \(\infty\): \(\alpha/\alpha = 1\) and therefore we conclude that
\[
\lim_{k \to \infty} \frac{(\alpha k + \beta) + \alpha}{\alpha k + \beta} = 1
\]

this means that the right-hand side also tends to \(1\). So \(\lim_{k \to \infty} r_k = 0\) since the \(r_k\) are bounded. We conclude therefore the number \(A(\alpha, \beta)\) is irrational since we know the \(r_k > 1/b\) for all \(k\). We use the above theorem to demonstrate the irrationality of several interesting constants. For the first case and by using Bertrand’s postulate it is already shown that \(\pi\) is irrational by considering the sequence of integers \(2, 3, 4, 5,\ldots\) and the ratio of consecutive numbers must tend to one.

Setting \(\alpha = 2\) and \(\beta = 1\) we get :
\[
\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{(2k + 1) - 1}{2k + 1} = \sqrt{2\pi e \ erfc \left( \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \right)} + 1
\]

let \(O_k\) be the \(n\)th odd number, then by Bertrand’s postulate we have \(O_{k-1} < g_k < O_k\) for all \(k\), we may write \(g_k = O_k - r_k\), where \(0 < r_k < 1\). Assume \(g_k\) is rational such that
\[
g_k = \frac{a}{b}
\]
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where a, b are integers. Using the recurrence relation

\[ f_k = O_{k-1} (f_{k-1} - O_{k-1} + 1) \]

it clearly seen that \( bf_k \) is an integer for all \( k \). We write the above expression as follows

\[ (r_k + 1) = \frac{f_{k+1}}{O_k} = \frac{O_{k+1} + r_{k+1}}{O_K} \]

Since the \( r_k \) are bounded, the right-hand side tends to 1. But the \( \lim_{k \to \infty} r_k = 0 \) so we get the contradiction since \( r_k > 1/b \) and it easy to show that the limit

\[ \lim_{k \to \infty} \frac{(2k + 1) + 2}{(2k + 1)} = 1 \]

More Examples:

\[ A(3, 1) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{(3k + 1) - 1}{\prod_{i=0}^{k-1}(3k + 1)} = 1 + \sqrt[3]{3e \Gamma \left( \frac{1}{3} \right)} - \sqrt[3]{3e \Gamma \left( \frac{1}{3}, \frac{1}{3} \right)} \notin \mathbb{Q} \]

\[ A(4, 1) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(4k + 2) - 1}{\prod_{i=0}^{k-1}(4k + 2)} = 2 \sqrt{e} \sqrt{\pi} \text{erf} \left( \frac{1}{2} \right) + 2 \notin \mathbb{Q} \]

when \( \alpha = i \) and \( \beta = 1 \) we get:

\[ A(i, 1) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(ki + 1) - 1}{\prod_{i=0}^{k-1}(ki + 1)} = \frac{i \Gamma(-i)}{\Gamma(1-i)} - \frac{i(-\frac{1}{2})^4 \Gamma(-i)^2}{\Gamma(1-i)} + \frac{i(-\frac{1}{2})^4 \Gamma(-i) \Gamma(-i, -i)}{\Gamma(1-i)} \notin \mathbb{Q} \]

\[ A(5, 3) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(5k + 3) - 1}{\prod_{i=0}^{k-1}(5k + 3)} = - \frac{4 \sqrt{5} \Gamma\left(-\frac{4}{5}\right)}{5 \times 5^{2/5}} + \frac{3 \sqrt{5} \Gamma\left(\frac{3}{5}\right)}{5^{2/5}} + \frac{4 \sqrt{5} \Gamma\left(-\frac{2}{5}, \frac{1}{5}\right)}{5 \times 5^{2/5}} - \frac{3 \sqrt{5} \Gamma\left(\frac{3}{5}, \frac{1}{5}\right)}{5^{2/5}} \notin \mathbb{Q} \]
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