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Abstract. The weighted binomial sum $f_m(r) = 2^{-r} \sum_{i=0}^{r} \binom{m}{i}$ arises in coding theory and information theory. We prove that, for $m \notin \{0, 3, 6, 9, 12\}$, the maximum value of $f_m(r)$ with $0 \leq r \leq m$ occurs when $r = \lfloor m/3 \rfloor + 1$. We also show this maximum value is asymptotic to $\frac{3}{\sqrt{\pi m}} \left(\frac{2}{3}\right)^m$ as $m \to \infty$.

1. Introduction

Let $m$ be a non-negative integer, and let $f_m(r)$ be the function:

$$f_m(r) = \frac{1}{2^r} \sum_{i=0}^{r} \binom{m}{i}.$$  

This function arises in coding theory and information theory e.g. [2, Theorem 4.5.3]. It is desirable for a linear code to have large rate (to communicate a lot of information) and large minimal distance (to correct many errors). So for a linear code with parameters $[n, k, d]$, one wants both $k/n$ and $d/n$ to be large. The case that $kd/n$ is large is studied in [1]. A Reed-Muller code RM($r, m$) has $n = 2^m$, $k = \sum_{i=0}^{r} \binom{m}{i}$ and $d = 2^{m-r}$ by [4, §6.2], and hence $kd/n$ equals $f_m(r)$. It is natural to ask which value of $r$ maximizes $f_m(r)$, and what is the size of the maximum value.

**Theorem 1.** Suppose that $m, r$ are integers where $0 \leq r \leq m$. The maximum value of $f_m(r) = 2^{-r} \sum_{i=0}^{r} \binom{m}{i}$ occurs when $r = \lfloor m/3 \rfloor + 1$ provided $m \notin \{0, 3, 6, 9, 12\}$.

We give an optimal asymptotic bound for the maximum value of $f_m(r)$.

**Theorem 2.** Suppose that $m \notin \{0, 1, 3, 6, 9, 12\}$ and $r_0 = \lfloor \frac{m}{3} \rfloor + 1$. Then

$$(1) \quad \frac{1}{2^r} \left(1 - \frac{k + 2}{2r_0 + 1}\right) \binom{m}{r_0} < f_m(r_0) < \frac{1}{2^r} \binom{m}{r_0}$$

where $k := 3r_0 - m \in \{1, 2, 3\}$. Furthermore,

$$f_m(r_0) < \frac{3}{\sqrt{\pi m}} \left(\frac{2}{3}\right)^m \quad \text{and} \quad \lim_{m \to \infty} f_m(r_0) \sqrt{m} \left(\frac{2}{3}\right)^m = \frac{3}{\sqrt{\pi}}.$$  
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We prove that \( f_m(r) \) increases strictly if \( 0 \leq r \leq r_0 := \left[ \frac{m}{3} \right] + 1 \) and \( m > 12 \) (see Theorem 6), and it decreases strictly for \( r_0 \leq r \leq m \) (see Theorem 8). Elementary arguments in Lemma 4(c) show that \( f_m(0) < f_m(1) < \cdots < f_m(r_0 - 1) \). More work is required to prove that \( f_m(r_0 - 1) < f_m(r_0) \). Determining when \( f_m(r) \) decreases involves a delicate inductive proof requiring a growing amount of precision, and inequalities with rational functions such as \( X_i = \frac{m-i+1}{m-r+1} \), see Lemma 5. In Section 5 we establish bounds (and asymptotic behavior) for \( f_m(r_0) \) using standard methods.

Brendan McKay [3] showed, using approximations for sufficiently large \( m \), that the maximum value of \( f_m(r) \) is near \( m/3 \). His method may well extend to a proof of Theorem 1. If so, it would involve very different techniques from ours.

2. Data, comparisons and strategies

The values of \( f_m(0), f_m(1), f_m(2), \ldots, f_m(m-2), f_m(m-1), f_m(m) \) appear to increase to a maximum and then decrease. For ‘large’ \( m \) we see that

\[
1 < \frac{m+1}{2} < \frac{m^2+m+2}{8} < \ldots ? \ldots > 8 - \frac{m^2+m+2}{2m-2} > 4 - \frac{m+1}{2m-2} > 2 - \frac{1}{2m-1} > 1.
\]

Computer calculations for ‘large’ \( m \) suggest that a maximum value for \( f_m(r) \) occurs at \( r_0 = \left[ \frac{m}{3} \right] + 1 \), see Table 1 which lists the integer part \( \lfloor f_m(r) \rfloor \). Computing \( f_m(r) \) exactly shows that for \( m \in \{0, 3, 6, 9, 12\} \) the maximum occurs at \( r_0 - 1 \) and not \( r_0 \), see Table 2. The maximum happens to occur for a unique \( r \), except for \( m = 1 \).

Table 1. Maximum values of \( \lfloor f_m(r) \rfloor \) for \( 0 \leq r \leq m \) and \( m \in \{6, 7, \ldots, 15\} \).

<p>| | | | | | | | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>39</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>68</td>
<td>74</td>
<td>64</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>91</td>
<td>108</td>
<td>101</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>72</td>
<td>121</td>
<td>154</td>
<td>155</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Determining the relative sizes of \( f_m(r) \) and \( f_m(r+1) \) is reduced in Lemma 3 to determining the relative sizes of \( \sum_{i=0}^{r} \binom{m}{i} \) and \( \binom{m}{r+1} \).

Lemma 3. Suppose that \( 0 \leq r < m \). Then

\( \text{(a) the inequality } f_m(r) < f_m(r+1) \text{ is equivalent to } \sum_{i=0}^{r} \binom{m}{i} < \binom{m}{r+1}, \)
\( \text{(b) if } \sum_{i=0}^{r} \binom{m}{i} < \binom{m}{r+1}, \text{ then } \sum_{i=0}^{r} \binom{m+1}{i} < \binom{m+1}{r+1}, \)
\( \text{(c) the inequality } f_m(r) > f_m(r+1) \text{ is equivalent to } \sum_{i=0}^{r} \binom{m}{i} > \binom{m}{r+1}, \) and
\( \text{(d) if } \sum_{i=0}^{r} \binom{m}{i} > \binom{m}{r+1}, \text{ then } \sum_{i=0}^{r} \binom{m-1}{i} > \binom{m-1}{r+1}. \)
That is, in turn, is equivalent to part (b), and \[ \left\lfloor \binom{m}{r+1} \right\rfloor \leq \binom{m}{r} \]. If \( r < m \) and \( \sum_{i=0}^{r} \binom{m}{i} \leq \binom{m}{r+1} \), then

\[
\sum_{i=0}^{r} \binom{m+1}{i} = \sum_{i=0}^{r} \frac{m+1}{m-i+1} \binom{m}{i} \leq \frac{m+1}{m-r+1} \sum_{i=0}^{r} \binom{m}{i} < \frac{m+1}{r+1} = \left( \frac{m+1}{r+1} \right).
\]

(c,d) Clearly \( f_m(r) > f_m(r+1) \) is equivalent to \( 2 \sum_{i=0}^{r} \binom{m}{i} > \sum_{i=0}^{r+1} \binom{m}{i} \) which, in turn, is equivalent to \( \sum_{i=0}^{r} \binom{m}{i} > \binom{m}{r+1} \). If \( \sum_{i=0}^{r} \binom{m}{i} > \binom{m}{r+1} \), then as \( m > r \geq 0 \),

\[
\sum_{i=0}^{r} \binom{m-1}{i} = \sum_{i=0}^{r} \frac{m-i}{m} \binom{m}{i} \geq \frac{m-r}{m} \sum_{i=0}^{r} \binom{m}{i} > \frac{m-r-1}{m} (m) = \left( \frac{m-1}{r+1} \right).
\]

The following easy lemma elucidates which \( r \in \{0, \ldots, m\} \) maximize \( f_m(r) \).

**Lemma 4.** Let \( s_m(m+1) = 2^m \), and for \( 0 \leq r \leq m \) define

\[
s_m(r) = \sum_{i=0}^{r} \binom{m}{i}, \quad t_m(r) = \frac{s_m(r+1)}{s_m(r)}, \quad \text{and} \quad c_m(r) = \frac{\binom{m}{r+1}}{\binom{m}{r}} = \frac{m-r}{r+1}.
\]

(a) If \( 0 \leq r \leq m \), then \( c_m(r) < t_m(r) \), and if \( 0 \leq r < m \), then \( t_m(r+1) < t_m(r) \).

(b) If \( m \geq 2 \), then for some \( r^* \), \( f_m(0) < \cdots < f_m(r^*) \) and \( f_m(r^*+1) > \cdots > f_m(m) \).

(c) \[ \max\{f_m(0), \ldots, f_m(m)\} = \max\{f_m(r^*), f_m(r^*+1)\} \] and \( f_m(0) < \cdots < f_m(r_0-1) \).

**Proof.** (a) We show \( c_m(r) < t_m(r) \) via induction on \( r \). This is true when \( r = 0 \) as \( c_m(0) = m < m + 1 = t_m(0) \). Suppose that \( 0 \leq r < m \) and \( c_m(r) < t_m(r) \) holds.

That is, \( \left( \frac{m}{r+1} \right) / \left( \frac{m}{r} \right) < s_m(r+1)/s_m(r) \) holds. Since \( c_m(r+1) = \frac{m-r-1}{r+1} < \frac{m-r}{r+1} = c_m(r) \) we have \( c_m(r+1) < c_m(r) < t_m(r) \). Using properties of mediants, it follows that

\[
c_m(r+1) = \frac{\binom{m}{r+2} + s_m(r+1)}{\binom{m}{r+1} + s_m(r)} < \frac{s_m(r+1)}{s_m(r)} = t_m(r).
\]

Hence \( c_m(r+1) < t_m(r+1) < t_m(r) \) as \( s_m(n+1) = \binom{m}{n+1} + s_m(n) \). This completes the induction, and it also proves that \( t_m(r+1) < t_m(r) \), as claimed.

(b) Since \( s_m(m+1) = 2^m \), part (a) shows that \( 1 = t_m(m) < \cdots < t_m(0) = m+1 \). Choose an integer \( r^* \) such that \( t_m(r^*) \leq 2 < t_m(r^*+1) \). The following are equivalent: \( 2 < s_m(r^*) < s_m(r^*+1) \); \( f_m(r) < f_m(r^*+1) \). Thus \( 2 < t_m(r^*+1) < \cdots < t_m(0) \) implies \( f_m(0) < \cdots < f_m(r^*) \). Similarly, \( t_m(m-1) < \cdots < t_m(r^*+1) < 2 \) and \( t_m(r) < 2 \) implies \( f_m(r+1) < f_m(r) \). Hence \( f_m(r^*) > \cdots > f_m(m) \).

(c) By part (b), \( \max\{f_m(r) \mid 0 \leq r \leq m\} = \max\{f_m(r^*), f_m(r^*+1)\} \). If \( 2 \leq c_m(r) = \frac{m-r}{r+1} \), then \( 3r+2 \leq m \) and \( r \leq \left\lfloor \frac{m-2}{3} \right\rfloor \). Hence \( 2 \leq c_m(r) < t_m(r) \) by part (b), and \( \left\lfloor \frac{m-2}{3} \right\rfloor \leq r^* - 1 \) by the definition of \( r^* \). Thus \( r_0 - 1 = \left\lfloor \frac{m}{3} \right\rfloor \leq r^* \) and it follows from part (b) that \( f_m(0) < \cdots < f_m(r_0-1) \).
Fix $m$ and $r$ where $0 \leq r < m$. We shall use the following notation:

$$(3) \quad X_i = \frac{r - i + 1}{m - r + i} \quad \text{for } 0 \leq i \leq r,$$

$$(4) \quad S_j = 1 + X_{j+1} + X_{j+2} + \cdots + X_{j+r} \quad \text{for } 0 \leq j < r,$$

$$(5) \quad T_j = 1 + X_1 X_2 + \cdots + X_1 X_2 \cdots X_j \quad \text{for } 0 \leq j < r.$$ 

Our convention in (5) is that $T_0 = 1$ as $T_j = \sum_{i=0}^{j}(\Pi_{k=1}^i X_k)$ equals 1 when $j = 0$.

**Lemma 5.** Fix $m, r, j$ where $0 \leq j \leq r < m$. Using the above definitions,

(a) the inequality $\sum_{i=0}^{j}(m_{r-i}) > (m_{r+1})$ is equivalent to $T_j > X_0^{-1}$,

(b) the inequality $\sum_{i=0}^{j}(m_{r+1}) < (m_{r})$ is equivalent to $S_0 < X_0^{-1}$.

**Proof.** For $0 \leq i \leq r$, we have $(m_{r-i}) = X_i (m_{r-i+1})$ so $(m_{r-i}) = (\Pi_{k=1}^i X_k)(m_r)$ holds. Therefore $\sum_{j=0}^{j}(m_{r-i}) = (m_r) \sum_{j=0}^{j}(\Pi_{k=1}^j X_k) = (m_r)T_j$. Since $(m_r) = X_0(m_{r+1})$, the inequality $\sum_{j=0}^{j}(m_{r-i}) > (m_{r+1})$ is equivalent to $(m_r)T_j > X_0^{-1}(m_r)$ which is equivalent to $T_j > X_0^{-1}$. This proves part (a).

Note that $\sum_{r=0}^{r}(m_r) = \sum_{j=0}^{j}(m_{r-i}) = (m_r)T_r = (m_r)S_0$ since $S_0 = T_r$. Since $(m_{r+1}) = X_0^{-1}(m_r)$, the inequality $\sum_{j=0}^{j}(m_r) < (m_{r+1})$ is equivalent to $(m_r)S_0 < X_0^{-1}(m_r)$ which is equivalent to $S_0 < X_0^{-1}$. This proves part (b). \qed

3. **Proof that $f_m(r)$ is increasing for $0 \leq r \leq r_0$**

Recall that $m \geq 0$ and $r_0 := \lfloor \frac{m}{3} \rfloor + 1$. We now strengthen Lemma 4(c). 

**Theorem 6.** If $m \not\in \{0, 1, 3, 6, 9, 12\}$, then $f_m(0) < f_m(1) < \cdots < f_m(r_0)$.

**Proof.** The statement is easy to check for $m \in \{2, 4, 5\}$. The statement follows from Tables 1 and 2 for $m \in \{7, 8, 10, 11, 13, 14\}$. Suppose now that $m \geq 15$.

**Table 2.** $= \max\{f_m(r_0 - 1), f_m(r_0)\}$ for $0 \leq m \leq 12, r_0 = \lfloor m/3 \rfloor + 1.$

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$m$</th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>6</th>
<th>7</th>
<th>8</th>
<th>9</th>
<th>10</th>
<th>11</th>
<th>12</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$f_m(r_0 - 1)$</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>$\frac{5}{2}$</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>$\frac{11}{2}$</td>
<td>$\frac{20}{5}$</td>
<td>$\frac{37}{4}$</td>
<td>$\frac{65}{4}$</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>397</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$f_m(r_0)$</td>
<td>$\frac{1}{2}$</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>$\frac{3}{2}$</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>$\frac{11}{4}$</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>$\frac{21}{4}$</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>$\frac{93}{8}$</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>$\frac{193}{8}$</td>
<td>291</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Recall that $r_0 = \lfloor \frac{m}{3} \rfloor + 1$ and $m \in \{3r_0 - 3, 3r_0 - 2, 3r_0 - 1\}$. By Lemma 4(c) it suffices to show that $f_m(r_0 - 1) < f_m(r_0)$. If we prove this for $m = 3r_0 - 3$, Lemma 3(b,a) gives it for $m = 3r_0 - 2$ and $m = 3r_0 - 1$ as well, so for $r_0 \geq 6$ we want to show $f_{3r_0-3}(r_0 - 1) < f_{3r_0-3}(r_0)$. This is true for $r_0 = 6$ by Table 1. We set $t := r_0 - 1, m := 3t$ and we prove, using induction on $t$, that $f_{3t}(t) < f_{3t}(t + 1)$ holds for all $t \geq 6$.

Note that $f_{3t}(t) < f_{3t}(t + 1)$ is equivalent by Lemma 3(a) to $\sum_{i=0}^{t}(3t_i) < (3t_{t+1})$, and this is equivalent to $S_0 < X_0^{-1}$ by Lemma 5(b). Putting $m = 3t$ and $r = t$ in (3), gives $X_i = \frac{3t-1}{3t+1}$ and $S_0 = 1 + X_1 + X_1 X_2 + \cdots + X_1 X_2 \cdots X_i$ by (4).

*Observe that $f_0(1) = 2^{-1} (\binom{0}{0} + \binom{0}{1}) = 2^{-1}(1+0) = \frac{1}{2}$. 


It follows from \(0 < X_t < \cdots < X_5 < X_4 \) and \(X_4 = \frac{t-3}{2t+4} < \frac{1}{2} \) that
\[
S_3 = 1 + X_4 + X_4X_5 + \cdots + X_4X_5 \cdots X_1 < 1 + \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{4} + \cdots + \frac{1}{2^{t-3}} < \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2^i} = 2.
\]
The recurrence relation \(S_j = 1 + X_{j+1}S_{j+1} \) for \(0 \leq j < t \) implies that
\[
S_0 = 1 + X_1 (1 + 2X_2(1 + X_3S_3)) < 1 + X_1 (1 + 2X_2(1 + 2X_3))
\]
\[
= 1 + \frac{t}{2t+1} \left( 1 + \frac{t-1}{2t+2} \left( 1 + \frac{2(t-2)}{2t+3} \right) \right).
\]
We aim to show that \(S_0 < X_0^{-1} \). It suffices to prove \(1 + X_1 (1 + 2X_2(1 + 2X_3)) \leq X_0^{-1} \) where \(X_0 = \frac{t+1}{2t} \). This amounts to proving that
\[
1 + \frac{t}{2t+1} \left( 1 + \frac{t-1}{2t+2} \left( 1 + \frac{2(t-2)}{2t+3} \right) \right) \leq \frac{2t}{t+1}.
\]
Rearranging, and using the denominator \((2t+1)(2t+2)(2t+3)\), gives
\[
0 \leq \frac{3t^2 - 17t - 6}{(2t+1)(2t+2)(2t+3)} = \frac{(3t+1)(t-6)}{(2t+1)(2t+2)(2t+3)}
\]
This inequality is valid for all \(t \geq 6 \). This completes the proof.

Remark 7. For \(s > 4 \) set \(m = 3s \) and \(r_0 = s + 1 \). Then \(f_m(r_0 - 1) < f_m(r_0) \) by Theorem 6. Hence \(\sum_{i=0}^{s} \binom{3s}{i} < \sum_{i=0}^{s} \binom{3s}{i} = \frac{3s}{s+1} \binom{3s}{s} \) and so \(\lim_{s \to \infty} \sum_{i=0}^{s} \binom{3s}{i} / \binom{3s}{s} \leq 2 \).

We show \(f_m(r_0) > f_m(r_0 + 1) \) in Section 4, and therefore \(\lim_{s \to \infty} \sum_{i=0}^{s} \binom{3s}{i} / \binom{3s}{s} \geq 2 \).

4. Proof that \(f_m(r) \) is decreasing for \(r_0 \leq r \leq m \)

Showing that \(f_m(r) \) decreases strictly for \(r_0 \leq r \leq m \) is much harder. Recall that \(\binom{a}{i} = 0 \) if \(i < 0 \), and \(\binom{a}{i} = \frac{1}{i!} \prod_{j=0}^{i-1} (r-j) \) if \(i \geq 0 \). In this section we prove:

Theorem 8. If \(m \geq 2 \), then \(f_m(\lfloor m/3 \rfloor) > f_m(\lfloor m/3 \rfloor + 1) \).

Our proof of Theorem 8 depends on two technical lemmas, the first of which proves that the non-leading coefficients of a certain polynomial \(A(r) \) are all negative.

First define \(B_i(r) = \prod_{j=1}^{i} (r-\ell) \). Now \(\prod_{j=1}^{i} (r-\ell) = r^i + \sum_{k=1}^{i-1} b_{i,k} \ell^k \) and the coefficients \(b_{i,k} \) alternate in sign: for \(0 \leq k \leq i \), we have \(b_{i,k} > 0 \) if \(i-k \) is even and \(b_{i,k} < 0 \) if \(i-k \) is odd. Next define polynomials \(A_i(r) \) via:

\[
A_2(r) = r^2 - 15r - 10 \quad \text{and} \quad A_i(r) = (2r+i)A_{i-1}(r) - B_i(r) \quad \text{for } i \geq 3.
\]

Comparing coefficients of \(A_i(r) \) we may write \(A_i(r) = r^i + \sum_{k=1}^{i-1} a_{i,k} \ell^k \). We use \(a_{i,i} = 1 \).

Comparing coefficients in this recurrence and \(B_i(r) = (r-i)B_{i-1}(r) \), shows that
\[
\text{(Ra)} \quad a_{2,0} = -10, \quad a_{2,1} = -15, \quad a_{i,k} = ia_{i-1,k} + 2a_{i-1,k-1} - b_{i,k} \quad \text{for } i \geq 3,
\]
\[
\text{(Rb)} \quad a_{2,0} = 2, \quad a_{2,1} = -3, \quad b_{i,k} = -ib_{i-1,k} + b_{i-1,k-1} \quad \text{for } i \geq 3.
\]

Lemma 9. Let \(a_{i,k}, b_{i,k}, A_i(r), B_i(r) \) be as above.

(a) If \(i \geq 2 \), then \(b_{i,i-1} = -\binom{i+1}{2} \) and \(a_{i-1,i} = -\binom{i+1}{2} \).

(b) If \(i \geq 2 \) and \(0 \leq k \leq i-1 \), then \(a_{i,k} \leq -2b_{i,k} < 0 \) if \(i-k \) is even, and \(a_{i,k} \leq b_{i,k} < 0 \) if \(i-k \) is odd.

(c) If \(i \geq 2 \), then the coefficients \(a_{i,k} \) are negative for \(0 \leq k < i \).
Observe that \( A \leq 2 \), and the recurrence (Ra).

By part (a), \( a_{i-1} = -(i+1)^2 < -(i+2)^2 \) is valid for \( i \leq 1 \). It remains to consider \( k \) in the range \( 1 < k < i - 1 \). It is useful to set \( a_{i-1} = b_{i-1} = 0 \). Suppose first that \( i - k \) is even. Using the recurrences (Ra), (Rb) and induction gives

\[
a_{i,k} = i(a_{i-1,k} + b_{i-1,k}) + (2a_{i-1,k-1} - b_{i-1,k-1})
\leq i(b_{i-1,k} + b_{i-1,k}) + (-4b_{i-1,k-1} - b_{i-1,k-1})
\leq 2ib_{i-1,k} - 2b_{i-1,k-1} = -2b_{i,k} < 0.
\]

If \( i - k \) is odd, then a similar argument gives

\[
a_{i,k} = i(a_{i-1,k} + b_{i-1,k}) + (2a_{i-1,k-1} - b_{i-1,k-1})
\leq i(-2b_{i-1,k} + b_{i-1,k}) + (2b_{i-1,k-1} - b_{i-1,k-1})
\leq -ib_{i-1,k} + b_{i-1,k-1} = b_{i,k} < 0.
\]

(c) This follows immediately from part (b). \( \square \)

**Lemma 10.** Suppose that \( j \geq 4 \). Then \( \sum_{r=j}^{r} (3r-1) \) holds for all \( r \) in the range \( j \leq r \leq (j+1)^2 \).

**Proof.** We apply Lemma 5(a) with \( m = 3r - 1 \). Hence \( X_i = \frac{x_i}{2r+1} \) by (3). Since \( \sum_{r=j}^{r} (m) = \sum_{i=0}^{j} \binom{m}{i} \) it suffices by Lemma 5(a) to prove that

\[
T_j = 1 + X_1 + X_1X_2 + \cdots + X_1X_2 \cdots X_j > X_0^{-1}.
\]

We show that this inequality holds for all \( r \) in the range \( j \leq r \leq (j+1)^2 \). This inequality is equivalent to

\[
X_j > X_{j-1}^{-1}(\cdots(X_1^{-1}(X_1^{-1}(X_0^{-1} - 1) - 1)\cdots) - 1.
\]

The right-hand side of (7) is a rational function in \( r \), which when \( j = 4 \), equals

\[
\frac{P_4(r)}{Q_4(r)} = \frac{2r + 2}{r - 1}\left(\frac{2r + 1}{r - 1}\left(\frac{2r - 1}{r + 1} - 1\right) - 1\right) - 1
\]

where the denominator is \( Q_4(r) = (r + 1)(r - 1)(r - 2) \), and the numerator is \( P_4(r) = (r + 1)r(r^2 - 15r - 10) \). Since \( \gcd(P_4(r), Q_4(r)) = (r + 1)r \), the polynomials \( A_2(r) := r^2 - 15r - 10 \) and \( B_2(r) := (r - 1)(r - 2) \) are coprime. The putative inequality (7) when \( j = 4 \) is therefore

\[
\frac{r - 3}{2r + 3} > \frac{P_4(r)}{Q_4(r)} = \frac{A_2(r)}{B_2(r)} = \frac{r^2 - 15r - 10}{(r - 1)(r - 2)}.
\]

Observe that \( A_2(r) < r^2 - 15r \leq 0 \) for \( 4 \leq r \leq 15 = \binom{6}{2} \). Thus for \( r \) in the range \( 4 \leq r \leq (\frac{6}{2}) \), the left side of (7) is positive, and the right side is at most 0. Thus the inequality is valid for \( 4 \leq r \leq (\frac{6}{2}) \) and the claim is true for \( j = 4 \).

Assume now that \( j > 4 \), and that the claim is true for \( j - 1 \). Therefore the inequality (7) can be written

\[
X_j = \frac{r + j + 1}{2r + j - 1} > \frac{P_j(r)}{Q_j(r)} \quad \text{where} \quad \frac{P_j(r)}{Q_j(r)} = (X_{j-1})^{-1}\frac{P_{j-1}(r)}{Q_{j-1}(r)} - 1.
\]
Since \((X_{j-1})^{-1} = \frac{2r + j - 2}{r - j + 2}\), this gives rise to the recurrences:

\[
P_j(r) = (2r + j - 2)P_{j-1}(r) - (r - j + 2)Q_{j-1}(r) \quad \text{for } j > 4,
\]

\[
Q_j(r) = (r - j + 2)Q_{j-1}(r) \quad \text{for } j > 4.
\]

It is clear that \(Q_j(r) = (r + 1)(r - 1) \cdots (r - j + 1) = (r + 1)rB_{j-2}(r)\) holds and \(B_{j-2}(r)\) has degree \(j - 2\). Furthermore, \((r + 1)r\) divides \(\gcd(P_j(r), Q_j(r))\), so the polynomials \(A_{j-2}(r)\), which are defined by the similar recurrence (6), satisfy \(P_j(r) = (r + 1)rA_{j-2}(r)\) and also have degree \(j - 2\).

By Lemma 9, \(A_i(r) - r^i\) has negative coefficients and leading coefficient \(-\binom{i+4}{2}\).

So for \(i \geq 2\) and \(r \leq \left(\frac{i+4}{2}\right)\), we have \(A_i(r) < r^i - \binom{i+4}{2}r^{i-1} \leq 0\). Further, \(B_i(r) = \prod_{l=1}^{i}(r - l) > 0\) for \(r \geq i+1\). Hence \(A_i(r)/B_i(r) < 0\) for \(r\) satisfying \(i+2 \leq r \leq \left(\frac{i+4}{2}\right)\).

Suppose that \(i = j + 2\), then \(P_j(r)/Q_j(r) < 0\) for \(r\) in the interval \(j \leq r \leq \left(\frac{j+2}{2}\right)\).

Using the definitions of \(P_j(r), Q_j(r)\), the inequality (7) is the same as

\[
X_j = \frac{r - j + 1}{2r + j - 1} > \frac{P_j(r)}{Q_j(r)} = \frac{A_{j-2}(r)}{B_{j-2}(r)}.
\]

Thus for \(r\) satisfying \(j \leq r \leq \left(\frac{j+2}{2}\right)\), the left side of (7) is positive, and the right side is negative. Thus the claim is valid for \(j \leq r \leq \left(\frac{j+2}{2}\right)\). \(\square\)

**Proof of Theorem 8.** It follows from \(\sum_{i=0}^{m} \binom{m}{i} = 2^m\) that \(f_m(m) = 1\). Since \(r_0 := \lfloor m/3 \rfloor + 1\), we have \(m \in \{3r_0 - 3, 3r_0 - 2, 3r_0 - 1\}\). If we can prove that \(f_m(r_0) > f_m(r_0 + 1)\) for \(m = 3r_0 - 1\), then \(f_m(r_0) > f_m(r_0 + 1)\) holds for \(m = 3r_0 - 2\) and \(3r_0 - 3\) by Lemma 3(d). With the notation in Lemma 4, we have \(2 > t_m(r_0)\) and hence \(r^* \leq r_0\). Therefore \(f_m(r_0 + 1) > \cdots > f_m(m)\) holds by Lemma 4(b).

In summary, it remains to prove \(\sum_{i=0}^{r_0} \binom{m-1}{i} > \binom{m}{r_0+1}\) for \(r_0 \geq 1\). This is true for \(r_0 = 1, 2, 3\) since \(\frac{2}{3} > 1, 4 > \frac{13}{8}\) and \(\frac{63}{8} > \frac{163}{16}\). For each \(r_0 \geq 4\) set \(j = r_0\). Then \(j \geq 4\) and \(\sum_{i=0}^{r_0} \binom{m}{i} > \binom{m}{r_0+1}\) follows by Lemma 10. This completes the proof. \(\square\)

**Proof of Theorem 1.** The result follows from Theorems 6 and 8. There are two equal sized maxima if \(m = 1\), otherwise the maximum is unique. \(\square\)

### 5. Estimating \(f_m(r_0)\)

This section is devoted to proving asymptotically optimal bounds for \(f_m(r_0)\).

**Proof of Theorem 2.** We first prove the upper bound in (1). This is true if \(m = 1\). For \(m \notin \{0, 1, 3, 6, 9, 12\}\) and \(r_0 = \lfloor m/3 \rfloor + 1\) it follows from Theorem 6 that \(f_m(r_0 - 1) < f_m(r_0)\) and by Lemma 3(a) that \(\sum_{i=0}^{r_0} \binom{m}{i} < \binom{m}{r_0}\). Therefore \(\sum_{i=0}^{r_0} \binom{m}{i} < 2\binom{m}{r_0}\) and the upper bound follows. For the lower bound, \(f_m(r_0) > f_m(r_0 + 1)\) holds by Theorem 8, and so \(\sum_{i=0}^{r_0} \binom{m}{i} > \binom{m}{r_0+1}\) by Lemma 3(c). Hence \(2^{-r_0} \binom{m}{r_0+1} < f_m(r_0)\), and the lower bound of (1) follows from \(\binom{m}{r_0+1} = \frac{m-k}{r_0+1} \binom{m}{r_0} = (2 - \frac{1}{\frac{m}{r_0+1}}) \binom{m}{r_0}\). To prove (2), we use binomial approximations.

Suppose that \(0 < p < 1\) and \(q := 1 - p\). If \(pq\) is an integer, then \(qn = n - pn\) is an integer, and Stirling’s approximation \(n! = \sqrt{2\pi n} \left(\frac{n}{e}\right)^n (1 + O\left(\frac{1}{n}\right))\) gives

\[
\binom{n}{pn} = \frac{c^n}{\sqrt{2\pi pqn}} \left(1 + O\left(\frac{1}{n}\right)\right) \quad \text{where } c = \frac{1}{p^p q^q}.
\]
Paraphrasing [2, Lemma 4.7.1] gives the following upper and lower bounds:

\[
\frac{c^n}{\sqrt{8pqn}} \leq \binom{n}{pm} \leq \frac{c^n}{\sqrt{2\pi pqn}} \quad \text{where} \quad c = \frac{1}{pq}. \tag{9}
\]

Henceforth set \( p = \frac{1}{3} \), so \( q = \frac{2}{3} \) and \( c = \frac{3}{2\sqrt{3}} \). Therefore \( c^3 = \frac{27}{4} \) and

\[
\frac{c^{3r_0}}{2r_0} = \frac{1}{2r_0} \left( \frac{27}{4} \right) \leq \left( \frac{27}{8} \right) = \left( \frac{3}{2} \right)^{3r_0} \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{1}{\sqrt{2pq}} = \frac{3}{2}.
\]

We write \( m = 3r_0 - k \) where \( k \in \{1, 2, 3\} \).

Consider the upper bound for \( f_m(r_0) \) in (2). It follows from

\[
\binom{m}{r_0} = \binom{3r_0 - k}{r_0} = \frac{2r_0 - k + 1}{3r_0 - k + 1} \binom{3r_0 - k + 1}{r_0} \leq \frac{2}{3} \binom{3r_0 - k + 1}{r_0}
\]

that \( \binom{m}{r_0} \leq \left( \frac{2}{3} \right)^k \binom{3r_0}{r_0} \). Setting \( n = 3r_0 \) and \( p = \frac{1}{3} \) in (9) and using \( m < n \) shows

\[
\frac{2}{2r_0} \binom{3r_0}{r_0} = \frac{2}{2r_0} \frac{c^{3r_0}}{\sqrt{2\pi pqn}} = \frac{2}{\sqrt{2\pi pqn}} \left( \frac{3}{2} \right)^{3r_0} \leq \frac{3}{\sqrt{\pi m}} \left( \frac{3}{2} \right)^m.
\]

Using \( \binom{m}{r_0} \leq \left( \frac{3}{2} \right)^{-k} \binom{3r_0}{r_0} \) and \( m = 3r_0 - k \) gives

\[
f_m(r_0) \leq \frac{2}{2r_0} \binom{m}{r_0} \leq \frac{2}{2r_0} \left( \frac{3}{2} \right)^{-k} \frac{3r_0}{r_0} \leq \frac{3}{\sqrt{\pi m}} \left( \frac{3}{2} \right)^m.
\]

We now consider approximate lower bounds for \( f_m(r_0) \). Our argument involves constants depending on \( k \) but not \( r_0 \) whose values are not relevant here. We have

\[
\binom{m}{r_0 + 1} = 2 \left( 1 + O \left( \frac{1}{r_0} \right) \right) \binom{m}{r_0} = 4 \left( 1 + O \left( \frac{1}{r_0} \right) \right) \binom{m}{r_0 - 1}.
\]

Further, if \( k = 1, 2 \) and \( r_0 \geq 1 \) it follows that

\[
\binom{m}{r_0 - 1} = \binom{3r_0 - k}{r_0 - 1} = \frac{3r_0 - k + 1}{2r_0 - k + 1} \binom{3r_0 - k}{r_0} \geq \frac{3}{2} \binom{3r_0 - k}{r_0 - 1}.
\]

Hence \( \binom{m}{r_0 - 1} \geq \left( \frac{3}{2} \right)^{3-k} \binom{3r_0 - 3}{r_0 - 1} \) holds for \( k \in \{1, 2, 3\} \) and \( r_0 \geq 1 \).

Setting \( n = 3r_0 - 3 \) and \( p = \frac{1}{3} \) in (8) yields

\[
\frac{1}{2r_0} \binom{3r_0 - 3}{r_0 - 1} = \frac{1}{2r_0} \binom{n}{pm} = \frac{1}{2r_0} \frac{c^{3r_0-3}}{\sqrt{2\pi pqn}} \left( 1 + O \left( \frac{1}{n} \right) \right).
\]

However, \( \frac{c^{3r_0}}{2r_0} = \left( \frac{3}{2} \right)^{3r_0} \) and \( \frac{c^3}{\sqrt{2\pi pqn}} = \frac{3}{2\sqrt{\pi m}} = \frac{2}{9\sqrt{\pi m}} \left( 1 + O \left( \frac{1}{m} \right) \right) \). Therefore

\[
\frac{1}{2r_0} \binom{3r_0 - 3}{r_0 - 1} = \frac{2}{9\sqrt{\pi m}} \left( \frac{3}{2} \right)^{3r_0} \left( 1 + O \left( \frac{1}{m} \right) \right).
\]

The above bounds give

\[
f_m(r_0) \geq \frac{1}{2r_0} \binom{m}{r_0 + 1} \geq 4 \left( 1 + O \left( \frac{1}{r_0} \right) \right) \left( \frac{3}{2} \right)^{3-k} \frac{1}{2r_0} \binom{3r_0 - 3}{r_0 - 1}
\]

\[
= 4 \left( 1 + O \left( \frac{1}{m} \right) \right) \left( \frac{3}{2} \right)^{3-k} \frac{2}{9\sqrt{\pi m}} \left( \frac{3}{2} \right)^{3r_0} \left( 1 + O \left( \frac{1}{m} \right) \right) = \frac{3}{\sqrt{\pi m}} \left( \frac{3}{2} \right)^m.
\]
Finally, since $1 + O\left(\frac{1}{m}\right) \to 1$ as $m \to \infty$, the limit in (2) follows. □
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