

QUANTUM K -THEORY CHEVALLEY FORMULAS IN THE PARABOLIC CASE

TAKAFUMI KOUNO, CRISTIAN LENART, SATOSHI NAITO, AND DAISUKE SAGAKI

ABSTRACT. We derive cancellation-free Chevalley-type multiplication formulas in the T -equivariant quantum K -theory of partial flag manifolds G/P of type A and C , where P is any maximal parabolic subgroup. They are obtained based on the uniform Chevalley formula in the T -equivariant quantum K -theory of arbitrary flag manifolds G/B , which was derived earlier in terms of the quantum alcove model, by the last three authors.

1. INTRODUCTION

Y.-P. Lee defined the (small) quantum K -theory of a smooth projective variety X , denoted by $QK(X)$ [Lee04]. This is a deformation of the ordinary K -ring of X , analogous to the relation between quantum cohomology and ordinary cohomology. The deformed product is defined in terms of certain generalizations of Gromov-Witten invariants (i.e., the structure constants in quantum cohomology), called quantum K -invariants of Gromov-Witten type.

Given a simply-connected simple algebraic group G over \mathbb{C} , with Borel subgroup B , and maximal torus T , we consider the corresponding flag manifold G/B . Given a parabolic subgroup P , we also consider the partial flag manifold G/P . A Chevalley formula (in cohomology, K -theory, or their quantum versions) expresses the Schubert basis expansion of the product between an arbitrary Schubert class and the class of a line bundle, or a Schubert class indexed by a simple reflection (i.e., a divisor class). Having an explicit Chevalley formula in the quantum K -algebra of an arbitrary flag manifold is important because this algebra is uniquely determined by products with divisor classes [BCMP18], together with its $K_T(\text{pt})$ -module structure, where $K_T(\text{pt}) = R(T)$, the representation ring of T , is identified with the group algebra $\mathbb{Z}[\Lambda]$ of the weight lattice Λ of G .

A cancellation-free Chevalley formula in the T -equivariant quantum K -theory of G/B was recently given in [LNS20], cf. the related conjecture in [LP07]. This formula is expressed in terms of the so-called quantum alcove model, which was introduced in [LL15]. It generalizes the formula in the T -equivariant K -theory of G/B in [LP07], which can easily be restricted to partial flag manifolds G/P . However, such a restriction does not work in quantum K -theory, because of the lack of functoriality. The goal of this paper is to derive cancellation free Chevalley formulas in the T -equivariant quantum K -theory of partial flag manifolds G/P of type A and C , where P is any maximal parabolic subgroup. More precisely, if $P = P_J$ for $J = I \setminus \{k\}$, the mentioned Chevalley formulas express the quantum multiplication with the class of the line bundle associated to the anti-dominant fundamental weight $-\varpi_k$. The strategy is the following: start with the Chevalley formula for $QK_T(G/B)$ in [LNS20]; apply the $\mathbb{Z}[\Lambda]$ -module surjection $QK_T(G/B) \rightarrow QK_T(G/P)$ in [Kat19]; perform all cancellations which arise via a sign-reversing involution.

The resulting Chevalley formulas for Grassmannians of types A and C are no longer uniform, and they might also involve several cases. This fact validates our approach of deriving them from the

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 14N15, 14M15, 05E10.

Key words and phrases. Quantum K -theory, Chevalley formula, quantum Bruhat graph, quantum alcove model.

uniform formula for G/B . Note that, in many cases, the opposite approach works better, namely the formulas for Grassmannians are obtained first, because they are easier.

We now compare our work with two related papers. In [KNS20], a quantum K -theory Chevalley formula is given in $QK_T(G/P_J)$, where $J = I \setminus \{k\}$, for the line bundle associated to $-\varpi_k$, assuming that ϖ_k is a minuscule fundamental weight in type A , D , E , or B . The formulas are expressed in terms of the quantum Bruhat graph (on which the quantum alcove model is based). The approach in the present paper is simpler, and has the advantage of being easier to be extended to other partial flag manifolds. In fact, we will shortly add a second part to the present paper, covering the case of two-step flag manifolds (of type A). On another hand, the Chevalley formulas in [BCMP18] for cominuscule varieties are of a different nature than the corresponding cases of the formulas in this paper. Indeed, the role of the quantum Bruhat graph is not transparent in [BCMP18].

Acknowledgements. T.K. was supported in part by JSPS Grant-in-Aid for Scientific Research 20J12058. C.L. was supported in part by the NSF grant DMS-1855592. S.N. was supported in part by JSPS Grant-in-Aid for Scientific Research (B) 16H03920. D.S. was partially supported by JSPS Grant-in-Aid for Scientific Research (C) 15K04803 and 19K03415.

2. BACKGROUND

Consider a simply-connected simple algebraic group G over \mathbb{C} , with Borel subgroup B , and maximal torus T . Let \mathfrak{g} be the corresponding finite-dimensional simple Lie algebra over \mathbb{C} , and W its Weyl group, with length function denoted by $\ell(\cdot)$. Let Φ , Φ^+ , and Φ^- be the set of roots, positive roots, and negative roots of \mathfrak{g} , respectively, and let P be the corresponding weight lattice. Let α_i , $i \in I$, be the simple roots, θ the highest root, and α^\vee the coroot associated with the root α . The reflection corresponding to α is denoted, as usual, by s_α , and we let $s_i := s_{\alpha_i}$, $i \in I$, be the simple reflections. Set $\rho := (1/2) \sum_{\alpha \in \Phi^+} \alpha$.

Let J be a subset of I . We denote by $W_J := \langle s_i \mid i \in J \rangle$ the parabolic subgroup of W corresponding to J , and we identify W/W_J with the corresponding set of minimal coset representatives, denoted by W^J ; note that if $J = \emptyset$, then $W^J = W^\emptyset$ is identical to W . For $w \in W$, we denote by $[w] = [w]^J \in W^J$ the minimal coset representative for the coset wW_J in W/W_J .

2.1. The quantum Bruhat graph. We start with the definition of this graph, which plays a fundamental role in our combinatorial model.

Definition 1. *The quantum Bruhat graph $\text{QB}(W)$ is the Φ^+ -labeled directed graph whose vertices are the elements of W , and whose directed edges are of the form: $w \xrightarrow{\beta} v$ for $w, v \in W$ and $\beta \in \Phi^+$ such that $v = ws_\beta$, and such that either of the following holds: (i) $\ell(v) = \ell(w) + 1$; (ii) $\ell(v) = \ell(w) + 1 - 2\langle \rho, \beta^\vee \rangle$. An edge satisfying (i) (resp., (ii)) is called a Bruhat (resp., quantum) edge.*

We recall an explicit description of the edges of the quantum Bruhat graphs of types A and C . These results generalize the well-known criteria for covers of the Bruhat order in these cases [BB05].

In type A_{n-1} , the Weyl group elements (i.e., permutations) $w \in W(A_{n-1}) = S_n$ are written in one-line notation $w = w(1) \cdots w(n)$. For simplicity, we use the same notation (i, j) with $1 \leq i < j \leq n$ for the root α_{ij} and the reflection $s_{\alpha_{ij}}$, which is the transposition t_{ij} of i and j . We have $\theta = (1, n)$. We recall a criterion for the edges of the type A_{n-1} quantum Bruhat graph. We need the circular order \prec_i on $[n]$ starting at i , namely $i \prec_i i+1 \prec_i \cdots \prec_i n \prec_i 1 \prec_i \cdots \prec_i i-1$. It is convenient to think of this order in terms of the numbers $1, \dots, n$ arranged clockwise on a circle, in this order. We make the convention that, whenever we write $a \prec b \prec c \prec \cdots$, we refer to the circular order $\prec = \prec_a$.

Proposition 2. [Len12] *For $w \in S_n$ and $1 \leq i < j \leq n$, we have an edge $w \xrightarrow{(i,j)} w(i,j)$ if and only if there is no k such that $i < k < j$ and $w(i) \prec w(k) \prec w(j)$.*

If there is a position k as above, we say that the transposition of $w(i)$ and $w(j)$ straddles $w(k)$. We also let $w[i, j] := w(i)w(i+1) \cdots w(j)$. We continue to use this terminology and notation for the other classical types.

The Weyl group of type C_n is the group of signed permutations. These are bijections w from $[\bar{n}] := \{1 < 2 < \cdots < n < \bar{n} < \overline{n-1} < \cdots < \bar{1}\}$ to $[\bar{n}]$ satisfying $w(\bar{i}) = \overline{w(i)}$. Here \bar{i} is viewed as $-i$, so $\bar{\bar{i}} = i$, $|\bar{i}| = i$, and $\text{sign}(\bar{i}) = -1$. We use both the window notation $w = w(1) \cdots w(n)$ and the full one-line notation $w = w(1) \cdots w(n)w(\bar{n}) \cdots w(\bar{1})$ for signed permutations. For simplicity, given $1 \leq i < j \leq n$, we denote by (i, j) the root $\varepsilon_i - \varepsilon_j$ and the corresponding reflection, which is identified with the composition of transpositions $t_{ij}t_{\bar{j}\bar{i}}$. Similarly, for $1 \leq i < j \leq n$, we denote by $(i, \bar{j}) = (j, \bar{i})$ the root $\varepsilon_i + \varepsilon_j$ and the corresponding reflection, which is identified with the composition of transpositions $t_{i\bar{j}}t_{j\bar{i}}$. Finally, we denote by (i, \bar{i}) the root $2\varepsilon_i$ and the corresponding reflection, which is identified with the transposition $t_{i\bar{i}}$. We have $\theta = (1, \bar{1})$.

We now recall the criterion for the edges of the type C_n quantum Bruhat graph. We need the circular order \prec_i on $[\bar{n}]$ starting at i , which is defined similarly to the circular order on $[n]$, by thinking of the numbers $1, 2, \dots, n, \bar{n}, \overline{n-1}, \dots, \bar{1}$ arranged clockwise on a circle, in this order. We make the same convention as above that, whenever we write $a \prec b \prec c \prec \cdots$, we refer to the circular order $\prec = \prec_a$.

Proposition 3. [Len12] *Let $w \in W(C_n)$ be a signed permutation.*

- (1) *Given $1 \leq i < j \leq n$, we have an edge $w \xrightarrow{(i,j)} w(i,j)$ if and only if there is no k such that $i < k < j$ and $w(i) \prec w(k) \prec w(j)$.*
- (2) *Given $1 \leq i < j \leq n$, we have an edge $w \xrightarrow{(i,\bar{j})}$ if and only if $w(i) < w(\bar{j})$, $\text{sign}(w(i)) = \text{sign}(w(\bar{j}))$, and there is no k such that $i < k < \bar{j}$ and $w(i) < w(k) < w(\bar{j})$.*
- (3) *Given $1 \leq i \leq n$, we have an edge $w \xrightarrow{(i,\bar{i})} w(i, \bar{i})$ if and only if there is no k such that $i < k < \bar{i}$ (or, equivalently, $i < k \leq n$) and $w(i) \prec w(k) \prec w(\bar{i})$.*

2.2. The quantum alcove model. We need basic notions related to the combinatorial model known as the *alcove model*, which was defined in [LP07]. In particular, we need the notion of a λ -chain of roots $\Gamma = (\beta_1, \dots, \beta_m)$, where λ is a weight. Let $r_i := s_{\beta_i}$. Below, we present an explicit description of the chains of roots corresponding to the anti-dominant fundamental weights in the classical types, i.e., $\lambda = -\varpi_k$.

We also need to recall the more general *quantum alcove model* [LL15]. We refer to [LNS20, Section 3.2] for more details.

Definition 4 ([LL15]). *A subset $A = \{j_1 < j_2 < \cdots < j_s\}$ of $[m] := \{1, \dots, m\}$ (possibly empty) is a w -admissible subset if we have the following directed path in the quantum Bruhat graph $\text{QB}(W)$:*

$$(1) \quad \Pi(w, A) : \quad w \xrightarrow{|\beta_{j_1}|} wr_{j_1} \xrightarrow{|\beta_{j_2}|} wr_{j_1}r_{j_2} \xrightarrow{|\beta_{j_3}|} \cdots \xrightarrow{|\beta_{j_s}|} wr_{j_1}r_{j_2} \cdots r_{j_s} =: \text{end}(w, A).$$

We denote by A^- the subset of A corresponding to quantum steps in $\Pi(w, A)$. Let $\mathcal{A}(w, \Gamma)$ be the collection of all w -admissible subsets corresponding to the λ -chain Γ , and $\mathcal{A}_{\prec}(w, \Gamma)$ its subset consisting of all those A with $A^- = \emptyset$ (i.e., $\Pi(w, A)$ is a saturated chain in Bruhat order). For convenience, we identify an admissible subset $J = \{j_1 < \cdots < j_s\}$ with the corresponding sequence of roots $\{\beta_{j_1}, \dots, \beta_{j_s}\}$ in the λ -chain Γ (in case of multiple occurrences of a root in Γ , we specify which one is considered). We also need the statistics in [LNS20, Equations (11), (12), (13)].

We use the same notation as in Section 2.1, and we start with type A_{n-1} . It is proved in [LP07, Corollary 15.4] that, for any $k = 1, \dots, n-1$, we have the following $(-\varpi_k)$ -chain of roots, denoted by $\Gamma(k)$ (note that all the roots in this $(-\varpi_k)$ -chain are negated for simplicity of notation, and hence they are all positive roots):

$$(2) \quad \begin{array}{ccccccc} (1, n), & (1, n-1), & \dots, & (1, k+1), & & & \\ (2, n), & (2, n-1), & \dots, & (2, k+1), & & & \\ & & & \dots & & & \\ (k, n), & (k, n-1), & \dots, & (k, k+1), & & & \end{array}.$$

In type C_n , let

$$(3) \quad \Gamma(k) := \Gamma'_2 \cdots \Gamma'_k \Gamma_1(k) \cdots \Gamma_k(k),$$

where

$$(4) \quad \begin{array}{l} \Gamma'_j := ((1, \bar{j}), (2, \bar{j}), \dots, (j-1, \bar{j})), \\ \Gamma_j(k) := \begin{array}{ccccccc} ((1, \bar{j}), & (2, \bar{j}), & \dots, & (j-1, \bar{j}), & & & \\ (j, \bar{k}+1), & (j, \bar{k}+2), & \dots, & (j, \bar{n}), & & & \\ (j, \bar{j}), & & & & & & \\ (j, n), & (j, n-1), & \dots, & (j, k+1), & & & \end{array} \end{array}.$$

It is proved in [Len10, Lemma 4.1] that $\Gamma(k)$ is a $(-\varpi_k)$ -chain (with all the roots negated), for $1 \leq k \leq n$.

2.3. The quantum K -theory of flag manifolds. In order to describe the (small) T -equivariant quantum K -algebra $QK_T(G/B)$, for the finite-dimensional flag manifold G/B , we associate a variable Q_i to each simple coroot α_i^\vee , and let $\mathbb{Z}[Q] := \mathbb{Z}[Q_i \mid i \in I]$. Given $\xi = \sum_{i \in I} d_i \alpha_i^\vee$ in $Q^{\vee,+}$, let $Q^\xi := \prod_{i \in I} Q_i^{d_i}$. Let $\mathbb{Z}[\Lambda][Q] := \mathbb{Z}[\Lambda] \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Z}[Q]$, where $\mathbb{Z}[\Lambda]$ is the group algebra of the weight lattice Λ of G , and is identified with the representation ring $R(T) = K_T(\text{pt})$. By the finiteness theorem of Anderson-Chen-Tseng [ACT20], we can define $QK_T(G/B)$ to be the $\mathbb{Z}[\Lambda][Q]$ -module $K_T(G/B) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}[\Lambda]} \mathbb{Z}[\Lambda][Q] \subset K_T(G/B) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}[\Lambda]} \mathbb{Z}[\Lambda][Q]$, where $\mathbb{Z}[\Lambda][Q] := \mathbb{Z}[\Lambda] \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Z}[Q]$. The algebra $QK_T(G/B)$ has a $\mathbb{Z}[\Lambda][Q]$ -basis given by the classes $[\mathcal{O}^w]$ of the structure sheaves of the (opposite) Schubert varieties $X^w \subset G/B$ of codimension $\ell(w)$, for $w \in W$.

Let $P_J \supset B$ be the maximal (standard) parabolic subgroup of G associated to the subset $J := I \setminus \{k\}$, for some $k \in I$. The T -equivariant quantum K -theory $QK_T(G/P_J)$ of the partial flag manifold G/P_J is defined as $K_T(G/P_J) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}[\Lambda]} \mathbb{Z}[\Lambda][Q_k]$, where $K_T(G/P_J)$ is the T -equivariant K -theory of G/P_J , and $\mathbb{Z}[Q_k]$ is the polynomial ring in the single (Novikov) variable $Q_k = Q^{\alpha_k^\vee}$ corresponding to the simple coroot α_k^\vee . The (opposite) Schubert classes $[\mathcal{O}_J^y]$, for $y \in W^J$, form a $\mathbb{Z}[\Lambda][Q_k]$ -basis.

It is proved in [Kat19] that there exists a $\mathbb{Z}[\Lambda]$ -module surjection Φ_J from $QK_T(G/B)$ to $QK_T(G/P_J)$ such that $\Phi_J([\mathcal{O}^w]) = [\mathcal{O}_J^{[w]}]$ for each $w \in W$, and $\Phi_J(Q^\xi) = Q^{[\xi]^J}$ for each $\xi \in Q^{\vee,+}$, where $[\xi]^J := c_k \alpha_k^\vee$ for $\xi = \sum_{i \in I} c_i \alpha_i^\vee \in Q^{\vee,+}$. Also, it is proved in [Kat19] that Φ_J maps the class of the line bundle corresponding to $-\varpi_k$ as follows: $\Phi_J([\mathcal{O}_{G/B}(-\varpi_k)]) = [\mathcal{O}_{G/P_J}(-\varpi_k)]$. As is shown in [BCMP18], the quantum multiplication in $QK_T(G/P_J)$ is uniquely determined by its $\mathbb{Z}[\Lambda]$ -module structure and the quantum multiplication with the class of the line bundle $[\mathcal{O}_{G/P_J}(-\varpi_k)]$. Therefore, the $\mathbb{Z}[\Lambda]$ -module surjection Φ_J respects the quantum multiplications in $QK_T(G/B)$ and $QK_T(G/P_J)$.

We now recall the (cancellation-free) quantum K -theory Chevalley formula in [LNS20, Theorem 49] for G/B , which is based on the quantum alcove model; in fact, we use the slight modification corresponding to the multiplication by the class $[\mathcal{O}(-\varpi_k)] := [\mathcal{O}_{G/B}(-\varpi_k)]$ of the line bundle associated to $-\varpi_k$. This formula is expressed in terms of a $(-\varpi_k)$ -chain of roots, cf. Section 2.2.

Theorem 5. *Let $k \in I$, and fix a reduced $(-\varpi_k)$ -chain $\Gamma(k)$. Then, in $QK_T(G/B)$, we have for $w \in W$*

$$[\mathcal{O}(-\varpi_k)] \cdot [\mathcal{O}^w] = \sum_{A \in \mathcal{A}(w, \Gamma(k))} (-1)^{|A|} Q^{\text{down}(w, A)} \mathbf{e}^{-\text{wt}(w, A)} [\mathcal{O}^{\text{end}(w, A)}].$$

3. A QUANTUM K -THEORY CHEVALLEY FORMULA IN THE MAXIMAL PARABOLIC CASE

Given a maximal parabolic subgroup P_J for $J = I \setminus \{k\}$, we will now derive cancellation-free parabolic Chevalley formulas for the quantum multiplication with $[\mathcal{O}(-\varpi_k)] := [\mathcal{O}_{G/P_J}(-\varpi_k)]$ in $QK_T(G/P_J)$. Based on the facts recalled in Section 2.3, we obtain such formulas from Theorem 5 for $QK_T(G/B)$ by applying Φ_J ; this argument works for an arbitrary fundamental weight ϖ_k of G of any type. However, upon applying Φ_J , there are many terms to be canceled in the corresponding formula in $QK_T(G/P_J)$. For any fundamental weight ϖ_k in types A and C , we cancel out all these terms via a sign-reversing involution, and obtain a cancellation-free formula. We rely on the structure of the corresponding $(-\varpi_k)$ -chain of roots $\Gamma(k)$ in Section 2.2, as well as the quantum Bruhat graph criteria in Section 2.1.

Remark 6. Upon applying the above procedure, there are no cancellations among the terms corresponding to w -admissible subsets A with $A^- = \emptyset$. Indeed, these terms give the K -theory parabolic Chevalley formula in [LP07, Section 7], which is just the restriction of the one for G/B (the Schubert classes in the latter are already indexed by elements of W^J).

3.1. Type A_{n-1} . We start with type A_{n-1} , and we fix the anti-dominant fundamental weight $-\varpi_k$. Note that $w \in W^J$ is equivalent to $w[1, k]$ and $w[k+1, n]$ being increasing sequences.

Lemma 7. *Consider $w \in W^J$. We have an edge $w \xrightarrow{(i,j)} w(i, j)$ of the quantum Bruhat graph on S_n , with $i \leq k < j$, if and only if one of the following two conditions holds:*

- (1) *the edge is a Bruhat cover, with $w(i) = a$, $w(j) = a + 1$, and $w(i, j) \in W^J$;*
- (2) *the edge is a quantum one, and $(i, j) = \alpha_k$.*

Proof. We implicitly use several times the quantum Bruhat graph criterion in Proposition 2, as well as the fact that $w[1, k]$ and $w[k+1, n]$ are increasing sequences. Letting $a := w(i)$, and assuming that the edge is a Bruhat cover, we cannot have $w(j) > a + 1$ because the value $a + 1$ would be straddled by the transposition (i, j) . Indeed, this would happen irrespective of $a + 1$ being in $w[1, k]$ or $w[k+1, n]$. So we must have $w(j) = a + 1$. Now assume that $w(i) > w(j)$. If $i < k$, then the value $w(k)$ would be straddled, while if $j > k + 1$, then the value $w(k + 1)$ would be straddled. So we must have $i = k$ and $j = k + 1$. \square

We can now give a short proof of [KNS20, Theorem I] in type A_{n-1} , which is restated below in terms of the quantum alcove model.

Theorem 8. *In type A_{n-1} , consider $1 \leq k \leq n - 1$ and $w \in W^J$.*

- (1) *If $w \geq [s_\theta]$, then we have the following cancellation-free formula:*

$$(5) \quad [\mathcal{O}^w] \cdot [\mathcal{O}(-\varpi_k)] = \mathbf{e}^{w\varpi_k} \sum_{A \in \mathcal{A}_<(w, \Gamma(k))} (-1)^{|A|} \left([\mathcal{O}^{\text{end}(w, A)}] - Q_k [\mathcal{O}^{\text{end}(w, A)s_k}] \right).$$

(2) If $w \not\geq [s_\theta]$, then we have the following cancellation-free formula:

$$(6) \quad [\emptyset^w] \cdot [\emptyset(-\varpi_k)] = \mathbf{e}^{w\varpi_k} \sum_{A \in \mathcal{A}_{<}(w, \Gamma(k))} (-1)^{|A|} [\emptyset^{\text{end}(w, A)}].$$

Proof. The result is clear when w is the identity (indeed, a w -admissible subset is either empty or consists only of the transposition $(k, k+1)$); so we can assume that $w(k) > w(k+1)$.

Let A be a generic w -admissible subset in $\mathcal{A}(w, \Gamma(k))$. Given the structure of the $(-\varpi_k)$ -chain $\Gamma(k)$ in (2) and Lemma 7, we can see that a quantum step in a path $\Pi(w, A)$ must correspond to the transposition $\alpha_k = (k, k+1)$, which is the last one in $\Gamma(k)$. All other steps are Bruhat covers of the form specified in Lemma 7 (1). Moreover, the structure of $\Gamma(k)$ combined with the fact that $w \in W^J$ imply that A contains at most one root labeling a Bruhat cover in $\Pi(w, A)$ of the following forms: (i, \cdot) for each $i \leq k$, and (\cdot, j) for each $j > k$. All these facts will be used implicitly.

By Deodhar's criterion for the Bruhat order on the symmetric group [BB05, Theorem 2.6.3], we can see that $w \geq [s_\theta] = 23 \cdots kn1(k+1) \cdots (n-1)$ if and only if $w(k) = n$ and $w(k+1) = 1$. Thus, we consider the following cases; whenever there are terms to be canceled, we describe the sign-reversing involution mentioned above.

Case 1: $w(k) < n$. Let $q > k+1$ be such that $w(q) = w(k) + 1 \leq n$. We pair every A containing $(k, k+1)$, but not (k, j) with $j > k+1$, with $A' := A \cup \{(k, q)\}$. It is clear that A' is also w -admissible, and in fact the root (k, q) is the predecessor of $(k, k+1)$ in A' . Moreover, we have

$$[\text{end}(w, A')] = [\cdots (k, q)(k, k+1)] = [\cdots (k, k+1)(k+1, q)] = [\text{end}(w, A)],$$

as well as $\text{down}(w, A) = \text{down}(w, A')$ and $\text{wt}(w, A) = \text{wt}(w, A')$. The latter property is a consequence of the fact that all the affine reflections in the definition of $\text{wt}(w, \cdot)$ in [LNS20, Equation (12)] fix ϖ_k ; for more details, see [LP07, Corollary 8.2] and the discussion preceding it. Finally, as the cardinalities of A and A' differ by 1, their contributions to the parabolic Chevalley formula for G/P_J have opposite signs. We have thus proved that the involution $A \leftrightarrow A'$ is sign-reversing.

Case 2: $w(k) = n$ and $w(k+1) > 1$. Let $p < k$ be such that $w(p) = w(k+1) - 1 \geq 1$. We pair every A containing $(k, k+1)$, but not $(i, k+1)$ with $i < k$, with $A' := A \cup \{(p, k+1)\}$. We continue the reasoning like in Case 1.

Case 3: $w(k) = n$ and $w(k+1) = 1$. It is clear that no w -admissible subset A can contain transpositions of the form $(i, k+1)$ with $i < k$, and (k, j) with $j > k+1$. Furthermore, there is a 2-to-1 correspondence between $\mathcal{A}(w, \Gamma(k))$ and $\mathcal{A}_{<}(w, \Gamma(k))$: every $A \in \mathcal{A}_{<}(w, \Gamma(k))$ corresponds to itself and $A \cup \{(k, k+1)\}$. Like above, we can check that $\text{wt}(w, A) = \text{wt}(w, A \cup \{(k, k+1)\})$. Finally, based on the above facts and Remark 6, we can see that there are no cancellations of terms corresponding to the elements of either $\mathcal{A}_{<}(w, \Gamma(k))$ or $\mathcal{A}(w, \Gamma(k)) \setminus \mathcal{A}_{<}(w, \Gamma(k))$.

It is now easy to see that the uncanceled terms in the resulting combinatorial formula are precisely those in (5) in Case 3, and those in (6) in Cases 1 and 2. \square

3.2. Type C_n . As we move beyond type A , we note that the following analogue of Lemma 7 exists: [KNS20, Lemma 5.1] for any simply laced type and ϖ_k minuscule. Below we present the corresponding result in type C_n , which works for any ϖ_k ; this result is easily proved based on the quantum Bruhat graph criterion in Section 2.1.

Lemma 9. *Consider $1 \leq k \leq n$ and $w \in W^J$ in type C_n . We have a quantum edge $w \xrightarrow{\alpha} ws_\alpha$, with $\alpha \in \Phi^+ \setminus \Phi_J^+$, if and only if $w \neq e$ and one of the following two conditions holds:*

- (1) $\alpha = \alpha_k$;
- (2) $\alpha = (k, \bar{k})$, $w(k) = \bar{a}$ for $1 \leq a \leq n$, and $w[k+1, n] \subseteq \{a+1, \dots, n\}$ if $k < n$.

Let us now turn to a short proof in the case of ϖ_k in type C_n , where $1 \leq k \leq n$. Note that $w \in W^J$ is equivalent to $w[1, k]$ and $w[k+1, n]$ being increasing sequences (with respect to the total order on $[\bar{n}]$), as well as $w[k+1, n]$ consisting of positive entries. We also need to introduce more notation. The $(-\varpi_k)$ -chain $\Gamma(k)$ in (3) has an obvious splitting $\Gamma(k) = \Gamma^1(k)\Gamma^2(k)$, where $\Gamma^1(k) := \Gamma'_2 \cdots \Gamma'_k$ and $\Gamma^2(k) := \Gamma_1(k) \cdots \Gamma_k(k)$. This induces a splitting $A = A^1 \sqcup A^2$ of any w -admissible subset A , where $A^i = A \cap \Gamma^i(k)$, for $i = 1, 2$.

Theorem 10. *In type C_n , given $w \in W^J$, we have the following cancellation-free formula:*

$$(7) \quad [\mathcal{O}^w] \cdot [\mathcal{O}(-\varpi_k)] = \sum_{A \in \mathcal{A}_{<}(w, \Gamma(k))} (-1)^{|A|} \mathbf{e}^{-\text{wt}(w, A)} [\mathcal{O}^{\text{end}(w, A)}] \\ - Q_k \sum_{\substack{A \in \mathcal{A}_{<}(w, \Gamma(k)) \\ \text{end}(w, A^1) \geq [s_\theta]}} (-1)^{|A|} \mathbf{e}^{-\text{wt}(w, A)} [\mathcal{O}^{\lfloor \text{end}(w, A) s_{2\varepsilon_k} \rfloor}].$$

Proof. We assume that w is not the identity, as this case is trivial. We follow the same procedure outlined above, and describe the sign-reversing involution canceling terms obtained from the Chevalley formula for G/B .

We carry out the proof in the case $k < n$, and refer to $k = n$ at the end. Consider a generic w -admissible subset A in $\mathcal{A}(w, \Gamma(k))$, corresponding to a term in the Chevalley formula for G/B . Like in type A , the structure of $\Gamma(k)$ combined with the fact that $w \in W^J$ imply that A contains at most one root labeling a Bruhat cover in $\Pi(w, A)$ from each row in the display of $\Gamma_j(k)$ in (4).

We focus on those A with $A^- \neq \emptyset$. By Lemma 9, we have $A^- \subseteq \{\alpha_k = (k, k+1), 2\varepsilon_k = (k, \bar{k})\}$. Note that both of these roots appear only once in the $(-\varpi_k)$ -chain $\Gamma(k)$, with $(k, k+1)$ being the last one, while (k, \bar{k}) appears in the last segment $\Gamma_k(k)$. In fact, we have either $A^- = \{(k, k+1)\}$ or $A^- = \{(k, \bar{k})\}$. Indeed, assuming that $(k, \bar{k}) \in A^-$, and considering the signed permutation u in $\Pi(w, A)$ to which (k, \bar{k}) is applied, we have $u \in W^J$ and $u[k+1, n] \subseteq \{a+1, \dots, n\}$, where $a := |u(k)| = u(\bar{k})$; therefore, it is impossible for $(k, k+1)$ to correspond to a quantum step in $\Pi(w, A)$.

Now assume that $A^- = \{(k, k+1)\}$, and let $v := \text{end}(w, A \setminus \{(k, k+1)\})$. We clearly have $v \in W^J$. We will pair A with another w -admissible subset A' , such that their contributions to the parabolic Chevalley formula for G/P_J cancel out. We must have one of the following cases, where $1 \leq a < b \leq n$.

Case 1: $v(k) = b$, $v(k+1) = a$, and A does not contain (k, j) with $j > k+1$.

Subcase 1.1: $b < n$. This case is completely similar to Case 1 in the type A proof. Indeed, there clearly exists $q > k+1$ such that $v(q) = b+1 \leq n$. We let $A' := A \cup \{(k, q)\}$, so $(A')^- = \{(k, k+1)\}$, and continue the reasoning as above.

Subcase 1.2: $b = n$. We let $A' := A \cup \{(k, \bar{k})\}$, and we have $(A')^- = \{(k, k+1)\}$.

Case 2: $v(k) = \bar{a}$, $v(k+1) = b$. We let $A' := A \cup \{(k, \bar{k})\}$, and we have $(A')^- = \{(k, \bar{k})\}$.

Case 3: $v(k) = \bar{b}$, $v(k+1) = a$, and A contains neither (k, \bar{k}) , nor (k, \bar{j}) for $j > k+1$.

Subcase 3.1: $k+2 \leq n$ and $v(k+2) < b$. Consider $q > k+1$ largest such that $v(q) < b$. We let $A' := A \cup \{(k, \bar{q})\}$, and we have $(A')^- = \{(k, k+1)\}$.

Subcase 3.2: $k+2 > n$ or $v(k+2) > b$. We let $A' := (A \setminus \{(k, k+1)\}) \cup \{(k, \overline{k+1}), (k, \bar{k})\}$, and we have $(A')^- = \{(k, \bar{k})\}$.

We claim that in all cases,

$$A' \in \mathcal{A}(w, \Gamma(k)), \quad [\text{end}(w, A)] = [\text{end}(w, A')], \quad \text{and} \quad \text{wt}(w, A) = \text{wt}(w, A').$$

Furthermore, it is not hard to check that these cases completely pair up all w -admissible subsets A with $A^- = \{(k, k+1)\}$, either among themselves (in Cases 1.1, 1.2, and 3.1), or with A satisfying $A^- = \{(k, \bar{k})\}$ (in Cases 2 and 3.2); see below for a discussion of the latter A which are not paired up above.

Indeed, let us consider, for instance, Case 2. We cannot have $(k, \bar{k}) \in A$, because the corresponding up step in Bruhat order would not be a cover (by the classical part of the criterion in Proposition 3 (3)). Moreover, A cannot contain any root of the form (k, j) with $j > k+1$, as the corresponding reflection would bring a positive entry to position k , whereas $v(k)$ is negative. Therefore, the roots (k, \bar{k}) and $(k, k+1)$ are the last two in A' , while the step corresponding to (k, \bar{k}) is a quantum one (by the criterion in Proposition 3 (3)). Moreover, we have

$$[\text{end}(w, A')] = [v(k, \bar{k})(k, k+1)] = [v(k, k+1)(k+1, \overline{k+1})] = [\text{end}(w, A)].$$

The weight preservation is verified by noting that all affine hyperplanes corresponding to the roots in $\Gamma^2(k)$ contain ϖ_k ; so the corresponding affine reflections fix ϖ_k , and are thus irrelevant for the weight computation.

On another hand, in the Chevalley formula for G/B , the quantum steps corresponding to both roots $(k, k+1)$ and (k, \bar{k}) contribute the variable Q_k . Indeed, as indicated above, we have the following coroot splitting: $(2\varepsilon_k)^\vee = \alpha_k^\vee + (\alpha_{k+1}^\vee + \cdots + \alpha_n^\vee)$. Finally, since the cardinalities of A and A' differ by 1, we conclude that the involution $A \leftrightarrow A'$ is indeed sign-reversing. In this way, the contributions to the parabolic Chevalley formula for G/P_J of all A with $A^- = \{(k, k+1)\}$ are canceled.

We have now exhausted all w -admissible sets A with $A^- = \{(k, k+1)\}$. Thus, it remains to discuss the contributions of the remaining A with $A^- \neq \emptyset$, i.e., $A^- = \{(k, \bar{k})\}$ and A is not among the A' in Cases 2 and 3.2. So from now on we work under this assumption. We previously considered the signed permutation $u \in W^J$ in $\Pi(w, A)$ to which (k, \bar{k}) is applied, and observed that $u[k+1, n] \subseteq \{a+1, \dots, n\}$, where $a := |u(k)|$. If (k, \bar{k}) is followed by another root in A , then this can only be $(k, k+1)$; but this situation was considered in Case 2 above, which means that (k, \bar{k}) must be the last root in A . Moreover, A cannot contain any root of the form (k, \bar{j}) with $j > k$, because we would be in Case 3.2. The following two cases cover all remaining possibilities, and we continue to use the above notation.

Case 4: $u(k) \neq \bar{1}$ (i.e., $a \neq 1$), and A^2 contains no root (i, \bar{k}) with $i < k$. There clearly exists $p < k$ such that $u(p) = a-1$. We let $A' := A \cup \{(p, \bar{k})\}$, where the root (p, \bar{k}) is taken from $\Gamma^2(k)$. We have $(A')^- = \{(k, \bar{k})\}$. Like above, we verify that the terms corresponding to A and A' cancel out, so we can extend the sign-reversing involution above by pairing A with A' .

Now recall that, in general, A^2 contains at most one root (i, \bar{k}) with $i < k$. Whenever it contains one, the values in positions i and k of the signed permutation to which this reflection is applied are of the form $b-1$ and \bar{b} , respectively. Thus, the remaining case consists of the following w -admissible subsets A .

Case 5: $u(k) = \bar{1}$ (i.e., $a = 1$), and A^2 contains no root (i, \bar{k}) with $i < k$. We clearly have $A \setminus \{(k, \bar{k})\} \in \mathcal{A}_{<}(w, \Gamma(k))$, where we recall that (k, \bar{k}) is the last root in A . Now let $u' := \text{end}(w, A^1)$. Based on the structures of $\Gamma(k)$ and A , we have $u'(k) = u(k) = \bar{1}$. But this is equivalent to $u' \geq [s_\theta] = 23 \cdots k\bar{1}(k+1) \cdots n$, by Deodhar's criterion for the type C Bruhat order [BB05, Chapter 8, Exercise 6]. In the same way as above, we can see that $\text{wt}(w, A) = \text{wt}(A \setminus \{(k, \bar{k})\})$. The above facts imply that the terms corresponding to this case make up the second sum in (7). By Remark 6, there are no cancellations between these terms.

We conclude by considering $k = n$, and noting that the proof reduces to Cases 4 and 5 above. \square

REFERENCES

- [ACT20] D. Anderson, L. Chen, and H.-H. Tseng. On the finiteness of quantum K -theory of a homogeneous space. *Int. Math. Res. Not.*, 2020, <https://doi.org/10.1093/imrn/rnaa108>.
- [BB05] A. Björner and F. Brenti. *Combinatorics of Coxeter Groups*, volume 231 of *Graduate Texts in Mathematics*. Springer, New York, 2005.
- [BCMP18] A. Buch, P.-E. Chaput, L. Mihalcea, and N. Perrin. A Chevalley formula for the equivariant quantum K -theory of cominuscule varieties. *Algebr. Geom.*, 5:568–595, 2018.
- [Kat19] S. Kato. On quantum K -groups of partial flag manifolds. [arXiv:1906.0934](https://arxiv.org/abs/1906.0934), 2019.
- [KNS20] T. Kouno, S. Naito, and D. Sagaki. Chevalley formula for anti-dominant minuscule fundamental weights in the equivariant quantum K -group of partial flag manifolds. [arXiv:2003.14130](https://arxiv.org/abs/2003.14130), 2020.
- [Lee04] Y.-P. Lee. Quantum K -theory I: Foundations. *Duke Math. J.*, 121:389–424, 2004.
- [Len10] C. Lenart. Haglund-Haiman-Loehr type formulas for Hall-Littlewood polynomials of type B and C . *Algebra and Number Theory*, 4:887–917, 2010.
- [Len12] C. Lenart. From Macdonald polynomials to a charge statistic beyond type A . *J. Combin. Theory Ser. A*, 119:683–712, 2012.
- [LL15] C. Lenart and A. Lubovsky. A generalization of the alcove model and its applications. *J. Algebraic Combin.*, 41:751–783, 2015.
- [LP07] C. Lenart and A. Postnikov. Affine Weyl groups in K -theory and representation theory. *Int. Math. Res. Not.*, pages 1–65, 2007. Art. ID rnm038.
- [LNS20] C. Lenart, S. Naito, and D. Sagaki. A Chevalley formula for semi-infinite flag manifolds and quantum K -theory. [arXiv:2010.06143](https://arxiv.org/abs/2010.06143), 2020.

(Takafumi Kouno) DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, TOKYO INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY, 2-12-1 OH-OKAYAMA, MEGURO-KU, TOKYO 152-8551, JAPAN

Email address: `kouno.t.ab@m.titech.ac.jp`

(Cristian Lenart) DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS AND STATISTICS, STATE UNIVERSITY OF NEW YORK AT ALBANY, ALBANY, NY 12222, U.S.A.

Email address: `clenart@albany.edu`

(Satoshi Naito) DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, TOKYO INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY, 2-12-1 OH-OKAYAMA, MEGURO-KU, TOKYO 152-8551, JAPAN

Email address: `naito@math.titech.ac.jp`

(Daisuke Sagaki) INSTITUTE OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF TSUKUBA, TSUKUBA, IBARAKI 305-8571, JAPAN

Email address: `sagaki@math.tsukuba.ac.jp`