

On amenability and measure of maximal entropy for semigroups of rational maps: II.

Carlos Cabrera and Peter Makienko

December 2, 2021

Abstract

This article is a continuation of [5] and gathers some of recent developments in semigroups of rational maps. In particular, we discuss a version of Day-von Neumann conjecture and Sushkievich “conjecture” for rational maps. Also we relate the Furstenberg $\times 2 \times 3$ problem with these conjectures.

1 Introduction and statements

As it is mentioned in the abstract, we discuss versions of three classical problems in the context of semigroups of rational maps. The motivation of this is a general dynamical problem which we formulate as follows: *Given non-injective rational maps Q and R ; how big $M(Q) \cap M(R)$ should be in order to induce a relation in the semigroup $\langle Q, R \rangle$.* Here $M(R)$ denotes the space of invariant probability measures.

Recall that a polynomial (rational) map Q is *exceptional* if either $\deg(Q) = 1$ or Q is affinely (Möbius) conjugated to z^n (with $n \in \mathbb{Z}$) or to a Chebyshev polynomial (or to a Lattès) map. We say that a family of polynomial (rational) maps \mathcal{F} is *non-exceptional* if \mathcal{F} contains a non-exceptional polynomial (rational) map.

In [5], the following theorem was proved.

If a finitely generated non-exceptional semigroup of rational maps S admits a finitely additive measure, invariant under the right action of S onto itself, then S has an invariant probability measure on $\overline{\mathbb{C}}$ which is the measure of maximal entropy for every non-injective element of S .

Moreover, in [5] the authors proved that the right amenability of finitely generated semigroups of polynomials is equivalent to the right amenability of the associated Lyubich representation. The first of our results is the following:

⁰This work was partially supported by PAPIIT IN106719.
MSC2010: 37F10, 43A07

Theorem 1. *A semigroup of rational maps with left amenable Koopman (anti)-representation admits a common invariant probability measure on $\overline{\mathbb{C}}$.*

As an immediate corollary we have:

Corollary 2. *A left amenable semigroup of rational maps admits a common invariant probability measure.*

According to [5], the semigroup $\langle z^2+c, -z^2-c \rangle$ is right amenable but not left amenable. But, as shown in [25], every left amenable semigroup of polynomials is necessarily right amenable. Even more, in [5] is proved that for every amenable semigroup of polynomials every right invariant mean is also left invariant. We have the following conjecture:

Conjecture 1. *Let S be a non-exceptional left amenable semigroup of rational maps, then the set of left invariant means coincides with the set of right invariant means.*

The discussion above and the following theorem confirms this conjecture in the polynomial case.

Theorem 3. *Let S be a non-exceptional left amenable semigroup of polynomials then every left invariant mean is right invariant.*

Hence, if a non-exceptional semigroup of polynomials S is left amenable, then the non-injective elements of S necessarily share the same measure of maximal entropy. However, it is not clear how this measure of maximal entropy is related with the invariant measure given by a left invariant mean from Corollary 2. In general, a right amenable semigroup of polynomials may have non-atomic invariant measures apart from the measure of maximal entropy. For example, as shown in theorem 28 of [5], the semigroup $\langle -z^2 - \lambda z^4, z^2 + \lambda z^4 \rangle$ is right amenable for every $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$. For sufficiently small λ , the polynomials $z^2 + \lambda z^4$ and $-z^2 - \lambda z^4$ share an invariant simply connected domain D which is the immediate component of attraction of 0. Thus, these polynomials share the same invariant harmonic measure on ∂D which is a homeomorphic copy of the measure of maximal entropy for z^2 .

If $M(P) = M(Q)$ for a non-exceptional pair of polynomials P and Q , then either $P = Q$ whenever at least one of P or Q is in general position, or P shares a common iteration with Q (see for example theorem 1.3 in [27]).

We discuss some statements related to the reciprocals of Corollary 2 and Theorem 1. For example, a free semigroup of polynomials is neither left nor right amenable, but its elements share at least one invariant measure, namely the delta measure δ_∞ based at infinity. Even more, the measure δ_∞ defines an invariant functional for their Lyubich representation.

We call a measure μ a *discrete measure* when μ is a linear combination of delta measures δ_a based at the points $a \in \overline{\mathbb{C}}$. A discrete measure is invariant if and only if it is supported on a periodic cycle.

The following facts are consequences of theorem 2.3 in [13], theorem 1.2 in [2] and theorem 1.5 in [28].

Proposition 4. *There exists a rank 2 semigroup of rational maps $S = \langle R, Q \rangle$ such that R and Q do not share a common invariant measure. In particular, S is free and, more, is not Koopman left amenable.*

Proposition 5. *Let R and Q be rational maps. If $M(R) \cap M(Q)$ contains infinitely many non linearly dependent discrete measures, then R and Q share the same measure of maximal entropy.*

Remark. In [13], the authors adapted the arguments of the proof of Klein combination theorem for rational semigroups in order to produce a geometrical condition for a semigroup of rational maps to be a free product of proper subsemigroups. According to Proposition 4 such semigroup does not admits an invariant measure regardless of its subsemigroups.

Now, let us observe that two polynomials P_1 and P_2 , which always share an invariant measure (namely δ_∞), generate a rank two free semigroup whenever P_1 and P_2 satisfy the following configuration:

There exists a topological disk D such that $D_i = P_i^{-1}(D)$ are topological disks, for $i = 1, 2$, with $\overline{D_1} \cap \overline{D_2} = \emptyset$ and $\overline{D_1} \cup \overline{D_2} \Subset D$.

This observation was generalised in [5] in the following way: If two polynomials P and Q with disjoint filled Julia sets then $\langle P^n, Q^m \rangle$ is a rank two free semigroup for suitable $n, m \geq 1$. This serves as an analog to the Klein-Maskit amalgamated combination theorem in the rational semigroup case.

With respect to Proposition 5, by parameter computation, we have a stronger conclusion: if $\deg(R) = \deg(Q)$ and $M(R) \cap M(Q)$ contains all discrete invariant measures based on the fixed points of R^2 then $R = Q$ whenever R is in general position.

Summarizing all the above, we propose the following conjecture.

Conjecture 2. *Assume two rational maps R and Q admit a common ergodic invariant probability measure μ . Then either μ is discrete or the maps R and Q share the same measure of maximal entropy.*

Note that this conjecture is related to the Furstenberg $\times 2 \times 3$ problem (see for example [16] for further information), we reformulate it as follows:

For the semigroup $\langle z^2, z^3 \rangle$, the only non-atomic ergodic invariant probability measure on $\overline{\mathbb{C}}$ is the one-dimensional Lebesgue measure on the unit circle.

The one-dimensional Lebesgue measure on the unit circle is the measure of maximal entropy for every element of $\langle z^2, z^3 \rangle$. Note that $\langle z^2, z^3 \rangle$ is exceptional and abelian.

According to the Eremenko-Ritt theorem (Theorem 1 in [9]), every pair of commuting non-exceptional, non-injective polynomials share a common iteration, hence share the same measure of maximal entropy and, even more, this pair may share infinitely many common non-atomic invariant measures. Therefore the following stronger version of Conjecture 2 is a natural generalization of Furstenberg problem for non-exceptional semigroups of rational maps.

Conjecture 3. *If two non-injective rational maps R and Q , without common iteration, admit a common invariant probability measure μ , then either μ is discrete or μ is the measure of maximal entropy of every element of the semigroup $\langle R, Q \rangle$.*

Since the support of an invariant measure is an invariant compact set in the Riemann sphere, we propose a version of Conjecture 2 in terms of invariant compact sets as follows:

Conjecture 4. *If two rational maps, at least one is non-exceptional, share an invariant compact set K , then either K is finite, in this case K is a common periodic cycle, or K is infinite and the maps share the same Julia set.*

We believe that a semigroup version of Conjectures 2 and 3 also holds:

Conjecture 5. *If a semigroup of rational maps S admits a common ergodic invariant probability measure μ , then either μ is discrete, or S is a right amenable semigroup.*

To support the previous conjecture we prove the following theorems.

Theorem 6. *Let S be a semigroup of non-injective polynomial maps admitting an invariant probability measure μ . If the support $\text{supp}(\mu)$ belongs to the Fatou set $F(P)$, for $P \in S$, then either μ is discrete or every element of S shares a common iterate with P . In particular, S is amenable.*

According to the theorem above, the support of an invariant measure must be contained in the intersection of the Julia sets of all elements of S .

As mentioned before, natural examples of common invariant measures are harmonic measures supported on the boundary of a common periodic component.

Another source of examples of invariant probability measures for a rational map R , apart of the measure of maximal entropy, is given when the Julia set of R contains a homeomorphic copy of the Julia set of a polynomial.

Definition. A rational map R admits a *marked polynomial-like measure* (μ, z_0) whenever:

- There exist D_0 and D_1 such that the restriction of R on D_0 defines a polynomial-like map $T : D_0 \rightarrow D_1$ with connected Julia set $J(T)$ and μ on D_0 is the respective copy of the measure of maximal entropy for the unique polynomial in the hybrid class of T .
- The point $z_0 \in J(T)$ is periodic.

For further information on polynomial-like maps see for example [8].

Theorem 7. *Let R and Q be rational maps so that R^n and Q^m admit the same marked polynomial-like measure for suitable $m, n \geq 1$, then R and Q generates a right amenable semigroup. Even more, if either R or Q is non-exceptional then $\langle R, Q \rangle$ is amenable.*

For the class of primitive rational maps we have a stronger conclusion.

Definition. Let $Deck(R) = \{\gamma \in Mob \mid R \circ \gamma = R\}$ be the deck group of the rational map R . We call a map R *primitive* if $Deck(R)$ is a cyclic group of order $deg(R)$.

Next proposition answers a coarse version of Furstenberg $\times 2 \times 3$ problem for a pair of non-exceptional polynomials not sharing a common iteration.

Proposition 8. *Let P and Q be two polynomials sharing the same measure of maximal entropy but not sharing a common iteration. Furthermore, assume P is a primitive non-exceptional polynomial with $deg(P) > 1$ and that there exists an invariant probability measure μ for P and Q , then μ is either discrete or coincides with the measure of maximal entropy of P and Q .*

Proposition 8 together with corollary 3.5 of in [3], theorem 4 in [5], theorem 1.1 in [25], we get the following.

Corollary 9. *Let S be a semigroup of polynomials, with a primitive polynomial P not containing a free subsemigroup of rank two. Assume that S admits an invariant probability measure μ , then μ is either discrete or the measure of maximal entropy of P .*

1.1 The Day-von Neumann conjecture

Let us recall the Day-von Neumann conjecture for groups which was formulated by Day in [7]: *A finitely generated group is amenable if and only if does not contains a free rank 2 subgroup.*

In general, for semigroups Day-von Neumann conjecture does not make sense, since every semigroup is contained in an amenable semigroup. For example, the affine group is amenable but, as shown in the proof Theorem 11 (3), the semigroup $\langle 2x, 2x+1 \rangle$ is free. Nevertheless, as recently shown in [3], [5],[15] and [25], the condition of no free rank 2 subsemigroups is connected with dynamical invariants such as the measure of maximal entropy.

Recall that every non-injective rational map R admits a unique ergodic non-atomic invariant probability measure μ_R with entropy $\log(deg(R))$ (see [4], [10] and [21]).

In [5] a version of the Day-von Neumann conjecture was divided into two questions regarding rational maps:

The first question related to Day-von Neumann conjecture is: *a semigroup S of rational maps is right amenable if and only if S admits an invariant probability measure μ which is the measure of maximal entropy of every non-injective element in S .*

This question was positively answered in [5] for finitely generated semigroups of non-exceptional polynomials and for semigroups of rational maps not conjugated to polynomials only the sufficiency is proved. In [25], the equivalence was extended to arbitrary semigroups of polynomials and semigroups of so-called tame rational maps.

The second question related to Day-von Neumann problem is: *a semigroup S of rational maps, not containing a rank 2 free subsemigroup, admits an invariant measure which is the measure of maximal entropy for every non-injective element of S .*

This question was positively answered in [25] for semigroups of polynomials and, finally, in [3] was proved for semigroups of non-injective rational maps (see Theorem 24 below).

For a non-injective rational map R , let $E(R)$ be the semigroup of all rational maps leaving μ_R invariant such that $\mu_Q = \mu_R$ for every non-injective element $Q \in E(R)$.

The following theorem is based upon results from [3], [5] and [25].

Theorem 10. *Let $S < \text{Rat}$ be a non-exceptional countable semigroup. Then the following statements are equivalent:*

1. S is right amenable.
2. $S \subset E(R)$ for a suitable $R \in S$.
3. S does not contains a free subsemigroup of rank 2.

Remark. The equivalence (1) and (2) in the theorem above was shown in [5] for finitely generated semigroups of polynomials (in fact it is shown in a slightly more general case). In [25], the theorem above is proved for arbitrary semigroups of polynomials. Also in [25] the implication from (3) to (1) was shown for semigroups of so called tame rational maps. The implication from (3) to (2) follows from results of [3] (see Theorem 24 below).

Remark. The equivalence of (1) and (3) can be regarded as a positive answer to the right amenable version of the Day-von Neumann conjecture for semigroups of rational maps.

Let us note that Theorem 10 is not true for exceptional polynomials. For exceptional polynomials we have the following facts.

We denote by MP the semigroup of monomial polynomials with composition. Hence MP is generated by the semigroup $Z = \langle z^n \rangle_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ and the homotety group $H = \{\lambda z : \lambda \in \mathbb{C}^*\}$.

Theorem 11. *The following statements hold true.*

1. MP is amenable.
2. For every $P \in MP$ with $\deg(P) \geq 2$, the semigroups $E(P)$ and $E(P) \cap MP$ are amenable and conjugated to $E(z^2)$ and $E(z^2) \cap MP$, respectively.
3. For every $n \geq 2$, the semigroup $E(z^n) = E(z^2)$ and contains free subsemigroups of any given rank.

Even more,

4. Let $U \subset H$ and $T \subset Z$ be semigroups. Then, the semigroup $S = \langle U, T \rangle \subset MP$ is right amenable and contains a free subsemigroup of rank 2, whenever U contains an element of infinite order.

It is not clear whether $E(R)$ is finitely generated for a non-exceptional rational map R . For polynomials it is true by [1].

A semigroup S is called *left cancellative* if for every $a, b, c \in S$ the equation $ab = ac$ implies $b = c$. Analogously, right cancellative semigroups are defined. A semigroup S is called *cancellative* if it is both left and right cancellative. For example, any subsemigroup of a group is cancellative. A semigroup of surjective endomorphisms of a set X is always right cancellative.

Let $RIM(S)$ ($LIM(S)$) be the set of right (left) invariant means of the semigroup S .

Theorem 12. *Let S be a countable non-exceptional semigroup of rational maps, then we have:*

- *If $RIM(S) \subset LIM(S)$, then S is cancellative and does not contain free subsemigroups of rank 2.*
- *On the other hand, if S is cancellative without free subsemigroups of rank two, then S is amenable.*

The following is an immediate corollary of Theorem 10 and Theorem 12.

Corollary 13. *A right amenable semigroup of rational maps S is amenable whenever is left cancellative.*

Remark. The theorem and the corollary above are connected with Sorensen conjecture which states: *a right cancellative left amenable semigroup is left cancellative.* This conjecture was proved by Sorensen in his thesis for a class of so-called left measurable semigroups (left measurability condition is stronger than left amenability, see [17]). But in [17] Sorensen conjecture was disproved in the non-measurable case.

Since every semigroup of rational maps is always right cancellative, then first part of Theorem 12 confirms Sorensen conjecture whenever $RIM(S) \subset LIM(S)$. However, we believe that Sorensen conjecture for semigroups of rational maps holds true. Indeed it is true, by Theorem 12, whenever Conjecture 1 holds true. Since Conjecture 1 is true for semigroups of polynomials (see theorem 4 in [5] and Corollary 26), next corollary follows immediately from Theorem 12.

Corollary 14. *A countable non-exceptional semigroup of polynomials S is amenable if and only if the following two conditions are satisfied.*

- *S does not contains free subsemigroups of rank two.*
- *S is cancellative.*

1.2 Suskievich theorem

Motivated by a question of van der Waerden, in [26], Sushkievich published a theorem which states that a cancellative finitely generated semigroup is always embeddable into a group. Later on, in [22], Maltsev found a counter example to Suskievich's statement and gave necessary and sufficient conditions for a semigroup to be embeddable into a group. In [24], O. Ore gave sufficient conditions of embeddability of a semigroup into a group:

Theorem 15 (Ore Theorem). *A cancellative semigroup S is embeddable into a group whenever every pair of principal left (right) ideals have non-empty intersection.*

The intersection property in Theorem 15 is known as right (left) reversibility. For example, every left (right) amenable semigroup S is always left (right) reversible. Hence by Theorem 10 and Theorem 15 a semigroup of rational maps which does not contains free subsemigroups in two symbols is embeddable whenever it is cancellative. Thus Sushkievich statement is plausible as a conjecture for semigroups of rational maps, namely:

A semigroup of rational maps is embeddable into a group if and only if it is cancellative.

The following theorem together with results from [5] motivates the interest in the Sushkievich conjecture in the context of rational maps semigroups.

Theorem 16. *For every non-exceptional polynomial P the following statements hold truth.*

1. *The semigroup $E(P)$ is amenable if and only if it is embeddable into the conformal automorphism group of a suitable Riemann surface.*
2. *Even more, $E(P)$ is abelian if and only if $E(P)$ is embeddable into the conformal automorphism group of the Riemann sphere.*

In support of Sushkievich conjecture, we have the following results.

Theorem 17. *A countable cancellative semigroup of rational maps S is embeddable into a group whenever one of the following conditions holds.*

1. *S is isomorphic to a subsemigroup of MP .*
2. *$S \simeq \langle R, Q \rangle$ where R, Q are non injective rational maps sharing a common superattracting fixed point.*
3. *The semigroup S is isomorphic to the free product of right amenable subsemigroups.*

Finally, according to the theorems above, we propose the following general conjecture.

Conjecture 6. *Every countable semigroup of rational maps contains a subsemigroup of finite index which is a free product of its right amenable subsemigroups.*

For cancellative semigroups the following version seems to hold truth.

Conjecture 7. *Every countable cancellative semigroup of rational maps is a free product of its amenable subsemigroups.*

Last conjectures remind the Klein-Maskit combination theorems in the setting of semigroups of rational maps.

2 Some background in holomorphic dynamics and amenability of semigroups

Let us recall some basic concepts on holomorphic dynamics and amenability of semigroups.

2.1 Amenability of semigroups

Every semigroup S canonically defines a right and left action of S onto itself. A semigroup is called *right (left) amenable* if the right (left) action of S onto itself admits an invariant, finitely additive, probability measure μ . This measure defines a *mean*, which is a normalized positive right (left) invariant functional on $L_\infty(S)$. Let $RIM(S)$ ($LIM(S)$) be the set of right (left) invariant means. Whenever $RIM(S) \cap LIM(S) \neq \emptyset$, S is called an amenable semigroup.

Recall some basic properties of amenable semigroups, see for example [7].

- Every abelian semigroup is amenable.
- Every semigroup is a subsemigroup of an amenable semigroup.
- Every subgroup of an amenable group is amenable.

In this article, we use a weaker property than amenability, namely ρ -amenability based on [6].

First, we say that a proper right (left) S -invariant subspace $X \subset L_\infty(S)$ is called either *right* or *left amenable* if X contains constant functions and there exists a mean M such that, when M is restricted to X is an invariant functional for either the right or left actions of S on X , respectively. In other words, X is invariant and admits an invariant non-negative functional for the associated action. Note that every semigroup admits an amenable subspace, for example, the subspace of constant functions is always amenable.

Now, let ρ be a bounded (anti-)homomorphism from S into $End(B)$, where B is a Banach space, and $End(B)$ is the semigroup of continuous linear endomorphisms. Given a pair $(b, b^*) \in B \times B^*$ consider the function $f_{(b, b^*)} \in L_\infty(S)$ given by

$$f_{(b, b^*)}(s) = b^*(\rho(s)(b)).$$

Let $Y_\rho \subset L_\infty(S)$, be the closure of the linear span of the family of functions $\{f_{(b, b^*)}\}$ for all pairs $(b, b^*) \in B \times B^*$. Finally let X_ρ be the space generated by Y_ρ and the constant functions. Note that X_ρ and Y_ρ are both right and left invariant.

Definition. We will say that a bounded (anti-)homomorphism ρ is either *right amenable* or *left amenable* whenever X_ρ is either a right or left amenable subspace of $L_\infty(S)$, respectively. Also we will say that S is ρ right amenable or ρ left amenable whenever ρ has the respective property. Equivalently, that the ρ -action of S on B is either right amenable or left amenable, respectively.

Note that ρ -amenability may be represented by invariant objects on a suitable completion of the tensor product of B and B^* with the induced extension of the ρ -action of S .

To show that, in general, amenability is stronger than ρ -amenability let us recall Day's theorem from [6].

Theorem 18. *A semigroup S is right amenable (left amenable) if and only if S is ρ -right amenable (ρ -left amenable) for every bounded representation ρ .*

A semigroup S satisfies the right (left) Følner condition (FC) if for every finite set H and every $\epsilon > 0$ there exists a finite set F such that $\text{card}(Fs \setminus F) < \epsilon \text{card}(F)$ for every $s \in H$ (respectively, $\text{card}(sF \setminus F) < \epsilon \text{card}(F)$ for every $s \in H$).

A semigroup S satisfies the right (left) strong Følner condition (SFC) if for every finite set H and every $\epsilon > 0$ there exists a finite set F such that $\text{card}(F \setminus Fs) < \epsilon \text{card}(F)$ for every $s \in H$ (respectively, $\text{card}(F \setminus sF) < \epsilon \text{card}(F)$ for every $s \in H$).

The following theorem summarizes Theorem 2.2 in [17] (see also [11]).

Theorem 19. *For every semigroup S ,*

$$\text{left SFC} \Rightarrow \text{left amenability} \Rightarrow \text{left FC}.$$

None of the implications above are reversible. However, these implications are reversible for left cancellative semigroups.

Let U and T be semigroups with a homomorphism $\varphi : T \rightarrow \text{End}(U)$. Let $U \rtimes_\varphi T$ be the semidirect product with multiplication

$$(u_1, t_1) \cdot (u_2, t_2) = (u_1 \cdot \varphi(t_1)(u_2), t_1 t_2).$$

Next theorem gathers two results of M. Klawe (see [17]).

Theorem 20. *Let U and T be semigroups and $\varphi : T \rightarrow \text{End}(U)$ be a homomorphism.*

- *If U and T are right amenable, then $U \rtimes_\varphi T$ is right amenable.*
- *If U and T are amenable semigroups and $\varphi(t)$ is a surjective map for every $t \in T$, then $U \rtimes_\varphi T$ is amenable.*

Proof. These appear as Proposition 3.10 and Corollary 3.11 in [17]. □

2.2 Dynamics of semigroups of rational maps

We assume that the reader is familiar with basic notions of holomorphic dynamics, such as the Julia set or the Fatou set (see for example [23]).

An important notion in holomorphic dynamics is that of the measure of maximal entropy. According to Broliin [4] (polynomial case), Lyubich [21] and, independently, by Friere, Lopez and Mañé [10] (rational case) every rational map R has a unique invariant probability measure μ_R of maximal entropy supported in the whole Julia set $J(R)$.

Due to results in [18], [19] and [27], we have the following characterization under which two non-exceptional rational maps share the same measure of maximal entropy.

Theorem 21. *Two non-exceptional rational maps R and Q share the same measure of maximal entropy if and only if there are iterations of R and Q which satisfy the Levin relations*

$$R^n \circ Q^m = R^{2n}$$

and

$$Q^m \circ R^n = Q^{2m}.$$

Even more, in connection with the algebraic structure of semigroups we have the following theorems showed in [12], in [15], and in [3].

Let S be semigroup of rational maps and U be non-empty open subset of $\overline{\mathbb{C}}$, then U is called a backward fundamental set for S whenever $g^{-1}(U) \cap U = \emptyset$ for $g \in S \setminus Id$. The following theorem is Theorem 2.3 in [12].

Theorem 22. *Let U and V be two backward fundamental sets for semigroups of rational maps G and S , respectively. Then the semigroup $\Gamma = \langle G, S \rangle$ is freely generated by G and S whenever U, V satisfy the Klein-Maskit combination condition, namely:*

$$\overline{\mathbb{C}} \setminus U \subset V$$

and

$$\overline{\mathbb{C}} \setminus V \subset U.$$

The following theorem appears in [15].

Theorem 23. *Let R and Q be rational maps sharing a common superattracting fixed point, then the following statements are equivalent:*

1. *The semigroup $\langle Q, R \rangle$ is not free.*
2. *There are integers $k, n, m \geq 1$ such that $R^n \circ Q^m$ commutes with R^k .*

The following theorem appears in [3].

Theorem 24. *Let R and Q be rational maps of degree at least 2. If $m_R \neq m_Q$ then there exist a n so that the semigroup $\langle R^n, Q^n \rangle$ is free.*

We believe the following statement about the algebraic structure of rational semigroups is true.

Conjecture 8. *If a finitely generated semigroup of non-injective rational maps S which contains a free semigroup in two symbols $A, B \in S$, then there exists a finite index subsemigroup $S_0 < S$ where $S_0 = S_1 * S_2$ is a free product with $A \in S_1$ and $B \in S_2$.*

2.3 Koopman representation

Every rational map R acts on the space $\mathcal{C}(\overline{\mathbb{C}})$ of continuous functions by the formula

$$K_R(\phi) = \phi(R),$$

K_R is known as Koopman operator. The correspondence $K : Rat \rightarrow End(\mathcal{C}(\overline{\mathbb{C}}))$ given by $R \mapsto K_R$ is a bounded anti-homomorphism.

Let us note that Koopman operator K_R is a right inverse to Lyubich operator. For a rational map R , the Lyubich operator L_R is the endomorphism of $\mathcal{C}(\mathbb{C})$ (see [20] and [5]) given by the formula.

$$L_R(\phi)(x) = \frac{1}{deg(R)} \sum_{y \in R^{-1}(x)} \phi(y).$$

Hence the measure of maximal entropy μ_R is a fixed point for the dual operator L_R^* acting on the space of complex valued finite measures which is a dual space to $\mathcal{C}(\mathbb{C})$. Therefore the semigroup $E(R)$ also can be defined as

$$E(R) = \{Q \in Rat(\mathbb{C}) : L_Q^*(\mu_R) = \mu_R\}.$$

2.4 Embeddability of semigroups

To finish this section we return to the discussion on the embedability of a semigroup into a group. That is to specify under which circumstances a given semigroup S is “half” of a group.

Let Γ be a countable group with a minimal set of generators $\langle \gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_n, \dots \rangle$, consider the subset Γ_+ of all words in the alphabet $\{\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_n, \dots\}$. Then Γ_+ forms a countable semigroup which is called the *positive part* of Γ . Note that Γ is generated by Γ_+ and $(\Gamma_+)^{-1}$. A countable semigroup S is *embeddable into a group* if S is isomorphic to the positive part of a group.

As mentioned above, Theorem 15 gives a sufficient condition for a semigroup to be embeddable into a group.

After the results of Maltsev appeared, Lambek and Pták gave another characterizations of embeddable cancellative semigroups. We will need Pták conditions for our aims which are summarized next.

Let S be a semigroup generated by a set of generators T , consider T as an alphabet, let FS_T be a free semigroup given by the words on the alphabet T and let FG_T be the free group on the alphabet T . Clearly FS_T is a subsemigroup of FG_T , hence FS_T is embeddable. Let $\pi_S : FS_T \rightarrow S$ be the canonical projection, then the first result of Pták is that π_S is a well-defined surjective

homomorphism. So, π_S defines a congruence \sim_S on FS_T by $a \sim_S b$ if and only if $\pi_S(a) = \pi_S(b)$. In other words,

$$FS_T / \sim_S \simeq S.$$

Now we can formulate the main result of Pták (see, for example, [14]).

Theorem 25. *Let S be a semigroup with a minimal system of generators T , then S is embeddable into a group if and only if the congruence \sim_S extends from FS_T to a congruence on FG_T which is defined by a normal subgroup $N < FG_T$, so that S is embeddable into FG_T/N .*

3 Proofs of theorems

Now we are ready to prove our theorems.

Proof of Theorem 1. Fix a probability measure σ on the Riemann sphere $\overline{\mathbb{C}}$. The correspondence $H : \mathcal{C}(\overline{\mathbb{C}}) \rightarrow L_\infty(S)$ given by

$$H(\phi)(g) = \int_{\overline{\mathbb{C}}} K_g(\phi) d\sigma$$

is a continuous linear map, where $g \in S$ and $\phi \in \mathcal{C}(\overline{\mathbb{C}})$. Let $X = cl(Image(H)) \subset L_\infty(S)$ then X is invariant by the left action of S on $L_\infty(S)$. Since the characteristic function $\chi_{\overline{\mathbb{C}}} \in \mathcal{C}(\overline{\mathbb{C}})$ is a fixed point of K_g for every $g \in S$, then $\chi_S \in X$. By assumption there exists a mean F on $L_\infty(S)$ which is invariant for left action of S on X , then $F \neq 0$ on X . Let $f \in \mathcal{C}^*(\overline{\mathbb{C}})$ be the functional given by

$$f(\phi) = F(H(\phi)).$$

We claim that f is K_h -invariant for every $h \in S$. Indeed, for $h \in S$,

$$\begin{aligned} H(K_h(\phi))(g) &= \int_{\overline{\mathbb{C}}} K_g(K_h(\phi)) d\sigma = \int_{\overline{\mathbb{C}}} K_{h \circ g}(\phi) d\sigma \\ &= H(\phi)(h \circ g) = l_h(H(\phi))(g), \end{aligned}$$

where $l_h : L_\infty(S) \rightarrow L_\infty(S)$, $l_h(\psi)(g) = \psi(h \circ g)$ is the left action of h on $L_\infty(S)$. Since F is left invariant on X , we have

$$f(K_h(\phi)) = F(H(K_h(\phi))) = F(l_h(H(\phi))) = F(H(\phi)) = f(\phi)$$

as claimed.

By the Riesz representation theorem, there exists an S -invariant probability measure μ , which finish the proof. \square

Now we continue with the proof of Theorem 3.

Proof of Theorem 3. Let S be a left amenable semigroup of polynomials, then S is an amenable semigroup of polynomials by [25]. By corollary 24 and the theorem in [1], there exists a polynomial P and finite group G of affine transformations such that:

- $G \subset E(P)$,
- there exists a number n so that every element of G commutes with P^n , and
- $S \subset \langle G, P \rangle$.

Then $\langle G, P \rangle$ is cancellative and amenable by Theorem 20.

Assume that $LIM(\langle G, P \rangle) \subset RIM(\langle G, P \rangle)$ then by theorem 1 in [5] we have

$$LIM(\langle G, P \rangle) = RIM(\langle G, P \rangle).$$

Since S is a cancellative and amenable subsemigroup of $\langle G, P \rangle$ then $LIM(S) = RIM(S)$ by applying corollary 30 in [5] to the semigroups $S \subset \langle G, P \rangle$ endowed with the antiproduct.

Hence to finish the proof we need to show that $LIM(\langle G, P \rangle) \subset RIM(\langle G, P \rangle)$.

Let $M \in L_\infty^*(\langle G, P \rangle)$ be a left invariant mean. First we show that M is invariant under the right action of G on $L_\infty(\langle G, P \rangle)$. Since the elements of G commutes with an iteration of P , say with P^k , then P acts by semiconjugacy on G as an automorphism of G . Hence P induces a representation $\rho : \langle P \rangle \rightarrow Aut(G)$, so $\langle G, P \rangle$ is the semidirect product $G \rtimes_\rho \langle P \rangle$.

Let l_h and r_h be the left and right actions of h on $L_\infty(\langle G, P \rangle)$, respectively. Let

$$A_r(G)(\phi) = \frac{1}{card(G)} \sum_{g \in G} r_g(\phi)$$

be the left average of G on $L_\infty(\langle G, P \rangle)$. Analogously, let $A_r(G)$ be the right average of G .

We claim that $A_r(G)(\phi) = A_l(G)(\phi)$ for every $\phi \in L_\infty(\langle G, P \rangle)$. Since an arbitrary element $s \in \langle G, P \rangle$ has the form $h_s \circ P^{t_s}$ for a suitable $h_s \in G$ and a number $t_s \geq 0$. Hence, for $s \in \langle G, P \rangle$

$$\begin{aligned} A_r(G)(\phi)(s) &= \frac{1}{card(G)} \sum_{g \in G} r_g(\phi)(h_s \circ P^{t_s}) \\ &= \frac{1}{card(G)} \sum_{g \in G} \phi(h_s \circ P^{t_s} \circ g) = \frac{1}{card(G)} \sum_{g \in G} \phi(\rho(P^{t_s})(g) \circ h_s \circ P^{t_s}) \\ &= \frac{1}{card(G)} \sum_{g \in G} l_{\rho(P^{t_s})(g)} \phi(s) = A_l(G)(\phi)(s) \end{aligned}$$

the last equality holds since $\rho(P^{t_s})$ is an automorphism of G , as claimed.

By duality $A_r^* = A_l^*$. Since M is left invariant, then

$$M = A_l^*(M) = A_r^*(M).$$

Besides, for every $h \in G$ we have $A_r^*(G) \circ r_h^* = r_h^* \circ A_r^*(G) = A_r^*(G)$. Hence, r_h leaves M invariant for every $h \in G$.

To finish the proof, it is enough to show that M is invariant under the right action of P on $L_\infty(\langle G, P \rangle)$.

First we show that

$$A_l(G) \circ l_P(\phi) = A_r(G) \circ r_P(\phi). \quad (*)$$

Indeed, again for $s = h_s \circ P^{t_s}$, for suitable $h_s \in G$ and $t_s \geq 0$ we compute

$$\begin{aligned} A_r(G) \circ r_P(\phi)(s) &= \frac{1}{\text{card}(G)} \sum_{g \in G} \phi(h_s \circ P^{t_s} \circ P \circ g) \\ &= \frac{1}{\text{card}(G)} \sum_{g \in G} \phi(\rho(P^{t_s+1})(g) \circ h_s \circ \rho(P)(h_s^{-1}) \circ P \circ h_s \circ P^{t_s}). \end{aligned}$$

Since G is cyclic and $\rho(P)$ is an automorphism of G , the latter is equal to

$$A_l(G) \circ l_P(\phi)(s).$$

By duality and equation (*), we have.

$$l_P^* \circ A_l^*(G) = r_P^* \circ A_r^*(G).$$

Since M is a left invariant mean, by the equality above M is also invariant under the right action of P , as we wanted to show. \square

From [5], we have the following corollary.

Corollary 26. *If a semigroup S of polynomials is amenable then $LIM(S) = RIM(S)$.*

Proof. By Theorem 1 in [5] we have that $RIM(S) \subset LIM(S)$, Theorem 3 gives the opposite inclusion. \square

The existence of a left invariant mean implies amenability. However, there are right amenable semigroups of polynomials which are not amenable.

Proof of Proposition 4. Let R be a hyperbolic rational map and U be a backward fundamental set for $\langle R \rangle$. If μ is a finite invariant measure for R then $\text{supp}(\mu)$ can not be contained in U . Let Q be another hyperbolic rational map with a backward fundamental set V satisfying the Klein-Maskit combination condition with R and U . Then the semigroup $\Gamma = \langle R, Q \rangle$ is free, and does not admits a common finite invariant measure. Hence by Theorem 1, Γ is not Koopman amenable. \square

The question of whether a free two generated semigroup admits a non-atomic invariant measure is still open.

To prove Theorem 6 we need the following Lemma.

Lemma 27. *The semigroup $S = \langle \lambda z, z^k \rangle$ is right amenable when $\lambda \neq 0$ and $k \geq 1$.*

Proof. We can assume that $k > 1$. Note that every element $g \in S$ has the form $\gamma \circ r$ where γ is an element of the cyclic semigroup $\langle \lambda z \rangle$ and $r \in \langle z^k \rangle$. Since z^k acts by semiconjugacy on $\langle \lambda z \rangle$ as an endomorphism, then S is a semidirect product of $\langle \lambda z \rangle$ and $\langle z^k \rangle$, then S is right amenable by Theorem 20. \square

Now we proceed to the proof of Theorem 6.

Proof of Theorem 6. Let μ be a non-discrete invariant measure for S such that $\text{supp}(\mu) \subset F(P)$ for $P \in S$, then up to a finite invariant set $\text{supp}(\mu)$ is a subset of Siegel disks cycles $Z_i \subset F(P)$, so $\text{supp}(\mu) \subset \bigcup_i \bigcup_j D_i^j \subset \bigcup Z_i$ with

- D_i^j are closed disks and ∂D_i^j are leaves of the invariant foliation in the Siegel disks.
- $\partial D_i^j \subset \text{supp}(\mu)$.

So P defines an automorphism of $\bigcup \bigcup \partial D_i^j$. Hence, for every component U of $\bigcup D_i^j$ there is an n such that μ restricted to U is an measure μ_U invariant for P^n .

Fix an arbitrary $Q \in S$. We claim that there exist a component U of $\bigcup D_i^j$ and number m such that the measure μ_U is invariant for Q^m . Indeed, since the support of μ is a compact invariant set for Q then by the principle of maximum, the interior of every D_i^j is a subset of $F(Q)$. If $\partial U \cap F(Q) \neq \emptyset$ for a suitable component U of $\bigcup D_i^j$ then ∂U is a leaf of invariant foliation in a Siegel disk cycle in $F(Q)$, thus there exist m as claimed. Otherwise, $\partial U \subset J(Q)$ for every component U of $\bigcup D_i^j$, then every U is a component of $F(Q)$. Fix any such U and let U_0 be a periodic component in the orbit of U , then μ restricted to U_0 is an invariant measure for a suitable Q^m as claimed.

Next step is to show that the semigroup $\langle P^n, Q^m \rangle$ is abelian. Let U be a component as in the previous claim. By the desintegration theorem we can assume that $\text{supp}(\mu) = \partial U$, since ∂U is a complex analytic curve, then the map $h : \partial U \rightarrow \mathbb{S}^1$ linearizing P^n is conformal with $h \circ P^n \circ h^{-1}(z) = \lambda z$, where $|\lambda| = 1$ and is not a root of unit. The map h sends μ on ∂U to the one dimensional Lebesgue measure on \mathbb{S}^1 . Then $h \circ Q^m \circ h^{-1} : \mathbb{S}^1 \rightarrow \mathbb{S}^1$ is an holomorphic map leaving the one dimensional Lebesgue measure invariant. Hence $h \circ Q^m \circ h^{-1}(z) = \tau z^k$ for suitable $k \geq 1$ and $|\tau| = 1$.

Let us show that $k = 1$, in this case the semigroup $\langle P^n, Q^m \rangle$ is conjugated to the abelian semigroup $\langle \lambda z, \tau z \rangle$ as claimed.

Otherwise, if $k > 1$ there exists x a repelling fixed point for τz^k . Since $\langle P^n, Q^m \rangle$ is conjugated to $\langle \lambda z, z^k \rangle$, then it is right amenable by Lemma 27. Therefore P^n and Q^m share the same measure of maximal entropy by Theorem

4 in [5] (see also Theorem 10), then P and Q share the same measure of maximal entropy. Hence $F(P) = F(Q)$ and, thus, the repelling fixed point $h^{-1}(x)$ belongs to $F(Q)$ which is a contradiction.

By Eremenko-Ritt theorem, P and Q share a common iteration. But Q is arbitrary, then Theorem 1 in [5] applies and finishes the proof. \square

Now we proceed with the proof of Theorem 7.

Proof of Theorem 7. First let us discuss the exceptional case, if either one of R and Q , say R , is exceptional, then R is either conjugated to z^n or to a Chebyshev polynomial, since Lattés maps does not admit polynomial-like measures.

If R is affinely conjugated to z^n , then we can assume that $R(z) = z^n$ and μ is the one-dimensional Lebesgue measure on the unit circle. In this case, $Q(z) = \alpha z^k$ for a suitable α with $|\alpha| = 1$, $k \geq 1$. Since there exists z_0 , a common periodic point, α is a root of unit. But z^n acts on the cyclic semigroup $\langle Q \rangle$ hence the semigroup $\langle R, Q \rangle$ has the structure of a semidirect product with $\langle Q \rangle$ as a factor. Then by Theorem 20, the semigroup $\langle R, Q \rangle$ is right amenable.

Similar arguments apply in the Chebyshev case. Indeed, if R is Chebyshev, then by the theorem in [1] either Q is Chebyshev or $-Q$ is Chebyshev. In the first case, $\langle R, Q \rangle$ is abelian and hence is amenable. If $-Q$ is Chebyshev, again R acts on $\langle Q \rangle$ then $\langle R, Q \rangle$ is a semidirect product and we again apply Theorem 20.

Now assume that neither R nor Q is exceptional. By hypothesis, there exists n such that the map R^n defines a marked polynomial-like map $T : D_0 \rightarrow D_1$ given by the restriction $T = R^n|_{D_0}$, with connected $J(T)$ and periodic point z_0 . Let P be the unique monic polynomial in the hybrid class of T and let $\phi : D_0 \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ be the quasiconformal map conjugating T with P . Let ψ be the Böttcher coordinate of P sending the basin of attraction at ∞ to the unit disk. Then the map $h = \psi \circ \phi$ conjugates R with z^d for a suitable d , and transforms the measure μ to the one-dimensional Lebesgue measure on the unit circle. There exists an m such that map $q = h \circ Q^m \circ h^{-1}$ extends to an analytic map on a neighborhood of the unit circle, leaving the one dimensional Lebesgue measure invariant. Hence $q(z) = \alpha z^k$, for a suitable $k \geq 1$ and $|\alpha| = 1$. Since $h(z_0)$ is a common periodic point for p and q , there are commuting iterations of p and q .

Since neither R nor Q are exceptional, by theorem 1 in [9], R and Q share an iteration, then the semigroup $\langle R, Q \rangle$ is amenable by Theorem 31 in [5] which finishes the proof. \square

Proof of Proposition 8. By assumption P is conjugated to $z^n + c$ where n is a prime number and $c \neq 0$, then $E(P)$ is generated by $Deck(P)$ and the cyclic semigroup $\langle P \rangle$. Hence there exists a number $j \geq 1$ and $\gamma \in Deck(P)$ such that $Q = \gamma \circ P^j$. If a probability measure μ is invariant for both P and Q , then μ is invariant for γ and thus invariant under $Deck(P)$ since it is cyclic.

Let us show that μ is the measure of maximal entropy for P . As μ is invariant for $Deck(P)$, and $Deck(P)$ acts transitively on $P^{-1}(z)$ then a straightforward

computation shows

$$\int \frac{1}{\deg(P)} \sum_{i=1}^{\deg(P)} \phi(\zeta_i) d\mu = \int L_P(\phi) d\mu = \int \phi d\mu.$$

Where ζ_i are branches of P^{-1} and L_P is the Lyubich operator for P . Therefore μ is either discrete or the measure of maximal entropy for P and Q by the main theorem in [21]. \square

Proof of Corollary 9. Follows from Theorem 21 and Proposition 8. \square

Proof of Theorem 10. (1) implies (2). For semigroups of polynomials follows from theorem 1.2 in [25]. For semigroups that are not conjugated to semigroups of polynomials, follows from theorem 19 in [5].

(2) implies (3). Let $S = S_0 \sqcup S_1$ be the disjoint union of the semigroups S_0 and S_1 of injective and non-injective elements in S , respectively. By lemma 2 of [18] S_0 is a finite semigroup and hence S_0 is a group. Then every rank two free subsemigroup must be contained in S_1 , but this is forbidden by Theorem 21.

(3) implies (1). As S is countable, let $\Gamma = \{\gamma_1, \gamma_2, \dots\}$ be a countable set of generators (here is the only point we use the countable condition). If $S_n = \langle \gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_n \rangle$ then $S = \cup S_n$ is an exhaustion by finitely generated semigroups. Recall Day theorem [7] which states:

Let $S = \bigcup S_n$, where S_n are semigroups such that for every m, n there exists k with $S_m \cup S_n \subset S_k$. Then S is right (left) amenable whenever the semigroups S_n are right (left) amenable for every n .

So, to finish the proof we need to show that S_n is right amenable for every n . Indeed, by assumptions and by the theorem 1.2 in [3], the semigroups S_n has linear growth and, by theorem 4.3 in [11], S_n satisfies both the right and left Følner conditions.

Now let $T_n = (S_n, *)$ be the semigroup S_n equipped with the anti product $a*b = b \circ a$. Then T_n is a left cancellative finitely generated semigroup satisfying the left Følner condition, therefore T_n is left amenable by Theorem 19. Thus, S_n is right amenable. \square

Proof of Theorem 11. Part 1. Let $H = \{\lambda z : \lambda \in \mathbb{C}^*\}$ and $Z = \langle z^n \rangle_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$. Since z^n acts on H by semiconjugacy as a surjective endomorphism for every $n \geq 1$, there exists a representation $\rho : Z \rightarrow \text{End}(H)$ so that

$$MP \simeq H \rtimes_{\rho} Z$$

and then apply Theorem 20 to finish this part.

Part 2. Every $P \in MP$ with $\deg(P) \geq 2$ is Möbius conjugated to $z^{\deg(P)}$, the semigroup $E(P)$ is conjugated to $E(z^{\deg(P)})$ and, in the same way, $E(P) \cap MP$ is conjugated to $E(z^{\deg(P)}) \cap MP$. Even more, from $Mob \cap E(z^{\deg(P)}) = \{1/z, \lambda z, |\lambda| = 1\} = S$, we get that $E(z^{\deg(P)}) = E(z^2)$. Since the semigroup

Z acts on S and $S \cap MP$ by semiconjugacy, the amenability of $E(z^2)$ and $E(z^2) \cap MP$ follows from Theorem 20 (see also Theorem 22 of [5]).

For part 3, it is enough to show that $E(z^2)$ contains a free semigroup on two generators. To show this we claim:

The semigroup of affine maps $\Gamma := \langle nz, nz + \tau \rangle$ is free of rank 2 for every $\tau \neq 0$ and $n \geq 2$.

Indeed, by suitable conjugacy we can assume that Γ is generated by $\gamma_1(z) = nz$ and $\gamma_2(z) = nz + 1$. If there is a non-trivial relation then we can assume it has the following form

$$\gamma_1 \circ \omega_1 = \gamma_2 \circ \omega_2,$$

where $\omega_1, \omega_2 \in \Gamma$. Since the coefficients of ω_i are integers, the evaluation of this equation at 0 gives a contradiction.

Now, let $\tau = ia$ with a irrational with respect to 2π . Then the exponential map $E : \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^*$ defines by semiconjugacy a homomorphism $E^* : \langle nz, nz + \tau \rangle \rightarrow \langle z^n, e^\tau z^n \rangle \subset E(z^2)$ which is an isomorphism by the choice of τ and we are done.

Part 4. Let $S = \langle U, T \rangle$ then S is the semidirect product of the abelian semigroups U and T since T acts on U by semiconjugacy. Then S is right amenable by Theorem 20. Besides, $\lambda z \in U$ be an element of infinite order, and $z^n \in T$ with $n \geq 2$, then semigroup $\langle \lambda z^n, z^n \rangle$ is free by part 3. □

Note that part 3 of Theorem 11 also follows from Theorem 23.

Proof of Theorem 12. If $RIM(S) \subset LIM(S)$, then S is right amenable and by theorem 3 in [5], there exists a rational map Q such that $S \subset E(Q)$. Let $S_0 < S$ be the subsemigroup of injective elements in S . Since S contains a non-exceptional element R of degree at least 2, the semigroup S_0 leaves the measure μ_R invariant and thus S_0 is a finite group, so S_0 does not contains free subsemigroups. This implies that the complement $S_1 = S \setminus S_0$ does not contain free subsemigroups by theorem 29 of [5] so is amenable with $RIM(S_1) \subset LIM(S_1)$, by Theorem 21 S_1 and thus S does not contain free subsemigroups of rank 2.

Now let us check that S is cancellative. Otherwise, let $a, x, y \in S$ satisfying

$$ax = ay$$

then a, x, y are non-injective. By theorem 29 in [5], there are numbers $k, m, n > 0$ such that $a^k = x^m = y^n$. Hence,

$$a^k x = x^{m+1} = xa^k$$

and similarly, $a^k y = ya^k$. So, if $ax = ay$ then $xa^k = ya^k$, thus $x = y$ by right cancellativity.

Let S be a cancellative semigroup without free subsemigroups of rank 2. By Theorem 10, $S \subset E(R)$ for some $R \in S$. If again $S = S_0 \sqcup S_1$ is the decomposition in the semigroups of injective and non-injective elements of S , then as above S_0 is a finite group, hence is amenable. Besides the semigroup S_1

is amenable by theorem 30 in [5] since, by cancellativity and Theorem 21, every pair of elements in S_1 share a common iteration. Therefore S is amenable as it is the union of amenable subsemigroups. \square

Proof of Theorem 16. Part 1. By Corollary 14 the semigroup $E(P)$ is cancellative since $E(P)$ is amenable, then $E(P)$ is embeddable by Theorem 15. Now we apply Pták's construction. By Theorem 25, for $E(P)$ there exists a finitely generated free group F and a normal subgroup N such that $E(P)$ is embeddable into the group F/N . The groups F and N can be chosen as subgroups of $Aut(\Delta) \simeq PSL(2, \mathbb{R})$, where Δ is the unit disk. Thus the suitable Riemann surface is Δ/N .

Reciprocally, assume $E(P)$ is embeddable into a group. If $E(P) = E_0 \sqcup E_1$ is the decomposition of injective and non-injective elements of $E(P)$, then E_0 is a finite group by Lemma 2 of [18] and hence is amenable. The semigroup E_1 is amenable by Theorem 12. So $E(P)$ is amenable since it is the union of amenable semigroups.

Part 2. If $E(P)$ is abelian, then it is cancellative by right cancellativity. By Theorem 15 is embeddable into a finitely generated abelian virtually cyclic group. Since E_0 is isomorphic to a finite rotation group, we are done.

Reciprocally, if $E(P)$ is embeddable into the Möbius group then $E(P)$ is an amenable cancellative semigroup by theorem 35 in [5] there exist a polynomial $Q \in E(P)$, the group $E_0 = E(P) \cap Mob$ and a homomorphism $\rho : \langle Q \rangle \rightarrow Aut(E_0)$, such that $E(P) = E_0 \rtimes_{\rho} \langle Q \rangle$ where Q is considered acting by semiconjugacy on $E(P)$. Then $E(P)$ is embeddable into a virtually cyclic group which is a semidirect product of a group isomorphic to E_0 and an infinite cyclic group inside the Möbius group. Then $E(P)$ must be abelian. \square

Proof of Theorem 17. Part 1. Let $G_+ = \{g_i(z) = \lambda_i z^{n_i}\}$ be a countable set of generators of S . Let $\Gamma_+ = \{\gamma_i(z) = n_i z + \tau_i\}$, where $\tau_i = Ln(\lambda_i)$ with Ln the principal branch of natural logarithm. The exponential map defines, by semiconjugacy, a homomorphism h from the semigroup $AS = \langle \Gamma_+ \rangle$ onto S as follows:

$$\exp \circ \gamma = h(\gamma) \circ \exp,$$

for every $\gamma \in AS$.

Note that if the restriction of h on a semigroup $U < AS$ is injective then the semigroup $h(U)$ is embeddable into a group.

Let $\Gamma = \langle AS \rangle$ be the group generated by AS , which is also generated by Γ_+ . Denote by $D : g \rightarrow deg(g)$ the degree character on MP and T be the group generated by $\{\gamma_i \circ (z + 2\pi i) \circ \gamma_i^{-1} | \gamma_i \in \Gamma_+\}$.

Let $N \subset \Gamma$ be the normal subgroup defined as follows:

$$N = \begin{cases} Id, & \text{if } \langle z + 2\pi i \rangle \cap \Gamma = Id \\ T \cap \Gamma, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Note that every element $\tau \in N$ has the form

$$\tau(z) = z + \frac{p}{q}2\pi i$$

where $q \in D(S)$.

If $\Pi : \Gamma \rightarrow \Gamma/N$ is the projection, then the correspondence $\phi = \Pi \circ h^{-1} : S \rightarrow \Gamma/N$ is a homomorphism.

We claim that ϕ is injective. Indeed, assume that $\phi : S \rightarrow \Gamma$ is not injective, then there are distinct elements $g_1, g_2 \in S$ such that $\phi(g_1) = \phi(g_2)$.

Let $\tau_1 \in h^{-1}(g_1) \cap \Gamma$ and $\tau_2 \in h^{-1}(g_2) \cap \Gamma$ then $\tau_2 = \tau_1 + \frac{p}{q}2a\pi i$ for a suitable $\frac{p}{q} \in \mathbb{Q}$, $q \neq 1$. Let $g_3 \in S$ satisfying $D(g_3) = q$, then $g_3 \circ g_1 = g_3 \circ g_2$ contradicting the cancellativity of S .

Part 2. If R is exceptional then, by suitable conjugacy, we can assume that $R(z) = z^n$ with $n > 1$. By Theorem 23 either S is free or $S \subset MP$. Since a free semigroup is always embeddable, we are done by Part 1.

If R is non-exceptional, then again by Theorem 23 the semigroup S is either free or R and Q share the measure of maximal entropy, so S is right amenable by Theorem 10 and hence is embeddable by Theorem 15.

Part 3. Since a right amenable semigroup is embeddable into a group by Theorem 15, then $S = *_i F_i$ is a free product of embeddable semigroups F_i . Let Γ_i be the groups in which F_i embeds, then S is embeddable into the free product of the groups Γ_i . □

References

- [1] P. Atela and J. Hu, *Commuting polynomials and polynomials with same Julia set*, Internat. J. Bifur. Chaos Appl. Sci. Engrg. **6** (1996), no. 12A, 2427–2432.
- [2] M. Baker and L. DeMarco, *Preperiodic points and unlikely intersections*, Duke Math. J. **159** (2011), no. 1, 1–29.
- [3] J.P. Bell, K Huang, W. Peng, and T.J. Tucker, *A Tits alternative for rational functions*, (2021).
- [4] H. Brolin, *Invariant sets under iteration of rational functions*, Ark. Mat. **6** (1965), 103–144 (1965).
- [5] C. Cabrera and P. Makienko, *Amenability and measure of maximal entropy for semigroups of rational maps*, To appear in: Groups Geom. Dyn, available online first (2021).
- [6] M. Day, *Means for the bounded functions and ergodicity of the bounded representations of semi-groups*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **69** (1950), 276–291.

- [7] M. Day, *Amenable semigroups*, Illinois J. Math. **1** (1957), 509–544.
- [8] A. Douady, *Systèmes dynamiques holomorphes*, Bourbaki seminar, Vol. 1982/83, Astérisque, vol. 105, Soc. Math. France, Paris, 1983, pp. 39–63.
- [9] A. Eremenko, *Some functional equations connected with the iteration of rational functions*, Algebra i Analiz **1** (1989), no. 4, 102–116.
- [10] A. Freire, A. Lopes, and R. Mañé, *An invariant measure for rational maps*, Bol. Soc. Brasil. Mat. **14** (1983), no. 1, 45–62.
- [11] R. D. Gray and M. Kambites, *Amenability and geometry of semigroups*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **369** (2017), no. 11, 8087–8103.
- [12] A. Hinkkanen and G. J. Martin, *The dynamics of semigroups of rational functions I*, Proceedings of the London Mathematical Society **s3-73** (1996), no. 2, 358–384.
- [13] ———, *The dynamics of semigroups of rational functions. I*, Proc. London Math. Soc. (3) **73** (1996), no. 2, 358–384.
- [14] C. Hollings, *Embedding semigroups in groups: not as simple as it might seem*, Arch. Hist. Exact Sci. **68** (2014), no. 5, 641–692.
- [15] Z. Jiang and M. E. Zieve, *Functional equations in polynomials*, <http://arxiv.org/pdf/2008.09554v2> (2020).
- [16] A. S. A. Johnson, *Measures on the circle invariant under multiplication by a nonlacunary subsemigroup of the integers*, Israel J. Math. **77** (1992), no. 1-2, 211–240.
- [17] M. Klawe, *Semidirect product of semigroups in relation to amenability, cancellation properties, and strong Følner conditions*, Pacific J. Math. **73** (1977), no. 1, 91–106.
- [18] G. Levin and F. Przytycki, *When do two rational functions have the same Julia set?*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **125** (1997), no. 7, 2179–2190.
- [19] G. M. Levin, *Symmetries on a Julia set*, Dynamical systems and statistical mechanics (Moscow, 1991), Adv. Soviet Math., vol. 3, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1991, pp. 131–142.
- [20] M. Lyubich, *The measure of maximal entropy of a rational endomorphism of a Riemann sphere*, Funktsional. Anal. i Prilozhen. **16** (1982), no. 4, 78–79.
- [21] ———, *Entropy properties of rational endomorphisms of the Riemann sphere*, Ergodic Theory Dynam. Systems **3** (1983), no. 3, 351–385.
- [22] A. Malcev, *On the immersion of an algebraic ring into a field*, Math. Ann. **113** (1937), no. 1, 686–691.

- [23] J. Milnor, *Dynamics of one complex variable*, Friedr. Vieweg & Sohn, 1999.
- [24] O. Ore, *Linear equations in non-commutative fields*, Ann. of Math. (2) **32** (1931), no. 3, 463–477.
- [25] F. Pakovich, *On amenable semigroups of rational functions*, <https://arxiv.org/abs/2008.12194> (2020).
- [26] A.K. Sushkevich, *On the extension of a semigroup to the whole group.*, Zapiski Kharkovskogo matematicheskogo obshchestva **12** (1935), 81–87, (In Ukrainian).
- [27] Hexi Ye, *Rational functions with identical measure of maximal entropy*, Adv. Math. **268** (2015), 373–395.
- [28] X. Yuan and S. Zhang, *The arithmetic Hodge index theorem for adelic line bundles*, Math. Ann. **367** (2017), no. 2-3, 1123–1171.