A CONSTRUCTION OF GORENSTEIN PROJECTIVE $\tau$-TILTING MODULES
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Abstract. We give a construction of Gorenstein projective $\tau$-tilting modules. As a consequence, we give a class of algebras admitting non-trivial Gorenstein projective $\tau$-tilting modules. Moreover, we show that a finite dimensional algebra $\Lambda$ over an algebraically closed field is CM-$\tau$-tilting finite if $\text{Tr}_n(\Lambda)$ is CM-$\tau$-tilting finite which gives a partial answer to a question on CM-$\tau$-tilting finite algebras posed by Xie and Zhang.

1. Introduction

In 2014, Adachi, Iyama and Reiten [AIR] introduced $\tau$-tilting theory as a generalization of tilting theory from the viewpoint of mutation. It has been showed by Adachi, Iyama and Reiten that $\tau$-tilting theory is closely related to silting theory [AiI] and cluster tilting theory [IY]. In $\tau$-tilting theory, (support) $\tau$-tilting modules are the most important objects. Therefore it is interesting to study (support) $\tau$-tilting modules for given algebras. For recent development on this topics, we refer to [AiH, DIJ, IZ1, IZ2, KK, PMH, Su, W, XZZ, Z, Zh, Zi].

On the other hand, Gorenstein projective modules which can be back to Auslander-Bridger’s modules of $G$-dimension zero [AuB] form the main body of Gorenstein homological algebra. The definition of Gorenstein projective modules over an arbitrary ring was given by Enochs and Jenda [EJ1, EJ2]. From then on, Gorenstein projective modules have gained a lot of attention in both homological algebra and the representation theory of finite-dimensional algebras. Throughout this paper, we focus on the finitely generated Gorenstein projective modules over finite dimensional algebras over an algebraically closed field $K$. For the recent development of this topics, we refer to [CSZ, HuLXZ, K, RZ1, RZ2, RZ3].

Recently, Xie and the second author [XZ] combined Gorenstein projective modules with $\tau$-tilting modules and built a bijection map from Gorenstein projective support $\tau$-tilting modules to Gorenstein injective support $\tau$-tilting modules which is analog to Adachi-Iyama-Reiten’s bijection map from support $\tau$-tilting modules to support $\tau$-1-tilting modules in this bijection theorem, Gorenstein projective (support) $\tau$-tilting modules are very essential. But there is little reference to show the existence of non-trivial Gorenstein projective (support) $\tau$-tilting modules. In this note, we try to give a construction of non-trivial Gorenstein projective (support) $\tau$-tilting modules. As a consequence, we can construct a large class of algebras admitting Gorenstein projective (support) $\tau$-tilting modules. Our main result is the following:

Theorem 1.1. Let $A$ and $B$ be finite dimensional algebras over an algebraically closed field $K$. Let $M \in \text{mod} B$ be a Gorenstein projective (support) $\tau$-tilting module. Then $A \otimes_K M$ is a Gorenstein projective (support) $\tau$-tilting module in $\text{mod}(A \otimes_K B)$.

Recall from [XZ] that an algebra is called CM-$\tau$-tilting finite if it admits finitely many isomorphism classes of indecomposable Gorenstein projective $\tau$-rigid modules. The CM-$\tau$-tilting finite
algebras are the generalizations of both $CM$-finite algebras \cite{B, C1, LZ1} and $\tau$-tilting finite algebras \cite{DI}. As a consequence of Theorem \cite{IN} we get the following characterization of $CM$-$\tau$-tilting finite algebras which gives a partial answer to \cite{XZ} Question 5.7.

**Theorem 1.2.** Let $A$ be a finite dimensional algebra and let $n \geq 2$ be a positive integer. If $T_n(A)$ is $CM$-$\tau$-tilting finite, then $A$ is $CM$-$\tau$-tilting finite.

Now we show the organization of this paper as follows: In Section 2, we recall some preliminaries on Gorenstein projective modules and $\tau$-tilting modules. In Section 3, we show the main results.

Throughout this paper, all algebras are finite-dimensional algebras over an algebraically closed field $K$ and all modules are finitely generated right modules. We use $\tau$ to denote the Auslander-Reiten translation functor.
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## 2. Preliminaries

In this section, we firstly recall definitions and basic facts on $\tau$-tilting modules, tensor products of algebras and Gorenstein projective modules.

For an algebra $A$, denote by $\mod A$ the category of finitely generated right $A$-modules. We use $\mathcal{P}(A)$ to denote the subcategory of $\mod A$ consisting of projective modules. Now we recall the following definition from \cite{EI}.

**Definition 2.1.** Let $A$ be a finite dimensional algebra and $M \in \mod A$. $M$ is called Gorenstein projective, if there is an exact sequence $\tau \cdots \to P_{-1} \to P_0 \to P_1 \to \cdots$ in $\mathcal{P}(A)$, which stays exact under $\Hom_A(\cdot, A) = (-)^*$, such that $M \cong \Im(P_{-1} \to P_0)$.

Denote by $\Omega$ the syzygy functor and $\Tr$ the Auslander-Bridger transpose functor. The following properties of Gorenstein projective modules \cite{AJR} are quite essential.

**Proposition 2.2.** Let $A$ be a finite dimensional algebra. A module $M \in \mod A$ is Gorenstein projective if and only if $M \cong M^{**}$ and $\Ext^t_A(M, A) = 0$ hold for all $i \geq 1$ if and only if $\Ext^t_A(M, A) = 0$ and $\Ext^i_A(\Tr M, A) = 0$ hold for all $i \geq 1$.

For a module $M \in \mod A$, denote by $|M|$ the number of pairwise non-isomorphic indecomposable summands of $M$. we recall the definitions of $\tau$-rigid modules and $\tau$-tilting modules from \cite{S} and \cite{AIR}.

**Definition 2.3.** Let $A$ be a finite dimensional algebra and $M \in \mod A$.

1. We call $M$ $\tau$-rigid if $\Hom_A(M, \tau M) = 0$, where $\tau$ is Auslander-Reiten translation. Moreover, $M$ is called a $\tau$-tilting module if $M$ is $\tau$-rigid and $|M| = |A|$.

2. We call $M$ support $\tau$-tilting if there exists an idempotent $e$ of $A$ such that $M$ is a $\tau$-tilting $A/(e)$-module.

The following result \cite{AIR} Proposition 2.4(c) is very essential in this paper.

**Proposition 2.4.** Let $M \in \mod A$ and $P_1(M) \xrightarrow{f} P_0(M) \to M \to 0$ be a minimal projective presentation of $M$. Then $M$ is $\tau$-rigid if and only if $\Hom_A(f, M)$ is epic.

We also need the following definitions of Gorenstein projective support $\tau$-tilting modules and Gorenstein projective $\tau$-tilting modules \cite{XZ}.

**Definition 2.5.** Let $A$ be a finite dimensional algebra and $M \in \mod A$.

1. We call $M$ Gorenstein projective $\tau$-rigid if it is both $\tau$-rigid and Gorenstein projective.

2. We call $M$ Gorenstein projective $\tau$-tilting if it is both $\tau$-tilting and Gorenstein projective.

3. We call $M$ Gorenstein projective support $\tau$-tilting if it is both support $\tau$-tilting and Gorenstein projective.
Let $A$ and $B$ be algebras over an algebraically closed field $K$. Denote by $A \otimes_K B$ the tensor products of algebras. For modules $M \in \text{mod}A$ and $N \in \text{mod}B$, we have $M \otimes_K N \in \text{mod}A \otimes_K B$. In the rest of the paper, we use $M \otimes N$ to denote $M \otimes_K N$. We need the following properties on the tensor products of modules in \cite{CE}.

**Lemma 2.6.** Let $A$ and $B$ be two algebras over an algebraically closed field $K$, $M_i \in \text{mod}A$ and $N_i \in \text{mod}B$ for $i = 1, 2$. Then we have the following.

1. $\text{Hom}_{A \otimes B}(M_1 \otimes N_1, M_2 \otimes N_2) \simeq \text{Hom}_A(M_1, M_2) \otimes \text{Hom}_A(N_1, N_2)$.
2. $\text{Ext}_{A \otimes B}^m(M_1 \otimes N_1, M_2 \otimes N_2) \simeq \bigoplus_{i+j=m} \text{Ext}_A^i(M_1, M_2) \otimes \text{Ext}_A^j(N_1, N_2)$ holds for $m \geq 1$.

For a right $A$-module $M$, denote by $\text{pd}_AM$ (resp. $\text{id}_AM$) the projective (resp. injective) dimension of $M$. We also need the following on the injective (resp. projective) dimension of tensor products of modules \cite[Proposition 4.6]{Hu}.

**Lemma 2.7.** Let $A, B$ be algebras with $M \in \text{mod}A$ and $N \in \text{mod}B$.

1. $\text{pd}_{A \otimes B}M \otimes N = \text{pd}_AM + \text{pd}_BN$.
2. $\text{id}_{A \otimes B}M \otimes N = \text{id}_AM + \text{id}_BN$.

The following results are well-known which are showed in \cite[Lemma 2.2]{HuLXZ}.

**Proposition 2.8.** Let $A$ and $B$ be two algebras over an algebraically closed field $K$.

1. $P \otimes Q$ is an indecomposable projective module in $\text{mod}(A \otimes B)$ if $P$ and $Q$ are indecomposable projective in $\text{mod}A$ and $\text{mod}B$, respectively.
2. Every indecomposable projective module in $\text{mod}(A \otimes B)$ has the form $P \otimes Q$, where $P$ and $Q$ are indecomposable projective in $\text{mod}A$ and $\text{mod}B$, respectively.
3. Every the simple module in $\text{mod}(A \otimes B)$ has the form $S \otimes S'$, where $S$ and $S'$ are simple modules over $A$ and $B$, respectively.

### 3. Main results

In this section we study the intersections among tensor products of algebras, $\tau$-rigid modules and Gorenstein projective modules. We give a method in constructing non-trivial Gorenstein projective support $\tau$-tilting modules.

The following properties on the indecomposable direct summands of tensor products of modules are very essential in this paper.

**Proposition 3.1.** Let $A$ and $B$ be two algebras over an algebraically closed field $K$. Then $M \otimes N$ is an indecomposable module in $\text{mod}(A \otimes B)$ if $M$ and $N$ are indecomposable in $\text{mod}A$ and $\text{mod}B$, respectively.

**Proof.** By Lemma 2.6 1), there is an algebra isomorphism

$$\text{End}_{A \otimes B}(M \otimes N) \simeq \text{End}_AM \otimes \text{End}_BN$$

Denote by $I = \text{End}_AM \otimes \text{rad(End}_BN) + \text{rad(End}_AM) \otimes \text{End}_BN$, the radical of $\text{End}_{A \otimes B}(M \otimes N)$. Then tensoring the short exact sequences

$$0 \rightarrow \text{rad(End}_AM) \rightarrow \text{End}_AM \rightarrow \text{End}_AM/\text{rad(End}_AM) \rightarrow 0$$

with

$$0 \rightarrow \text{rad(End}_BN) \rightarrow \text{End}_BN \rightarrow \text{End}_BN/\text{rad(End}_BN) \rightarrow 0$$

over $K$, one gets that $\text{End}_AM \otimes \text{End}_BN/I \simeq \text{End}_AM/\text{rad(End}_AM) \otimes \text{End}_BN/\text{rad(End}_BN)$ is simple by Lemma 2.8 3). Then $\text{End}_AM \otimes \text{End}_BN$ is a local algebra. This implies that $M \otimes N$ is indecomposable.

We have the following proposition.

**Proposition 3.2.** Let $A$ and $B$ be two algebras with $M \in \text{mod}A$ and $N \in \text{mod}B$.

1. $|M \otimes N| = |M||N|$ holds,
2. $|A \otimes B| = |A||B|$ holds.
Proof. It is easy to see that $M \otimes N_1 \simeq M \otimes N_2$ in $\mod(A \otimes B)$ implies that $N_1 \simeq N_2$ in $\mod B$. Then one gets the assertion by Proposition 3.1. \hfill \Box

Now we show the following proposition on tensor products of Gorenstein projective modules which is shown in [HLXZ, Proposition 2.6]. While we give a different proof in terms of functors.

**Proposition 3.3.** Let $A$ and $B$ be two algebras. Let $M \in \mod A$ and $N \in \mod B$ be Gorenstein projective modules. Then $M \otimes N \in \mod(A \otimes B)$ is Gorenstein projective.

**Proof.** Following Ringel and Zhang [RZ1], we call a module $M \in \mod A$ semi-Gorenstein projective if $\Ext^i_A(M, A) = 0$ for all $i \geq 1$. We divide the proof into three steps.

1. We show that $M \otimes N \in \mod(A \otimes B)$ is Gorenstein projective if $M \in \mod A$ and $N \in \mod B$ are semi-Gorenstein projective.

Since $M$ and $N$ are both semi-Gorenstein projective, then $\Ext^i_A(M, A) = \Ext^j_B(N, B) = 0$ holds for all $i \geq 1$ and $j \geq 1$. By Lemma 2.6 (2) we get that $\Ext^n_{A \otimes B}(M \otimes N, A \otimes B) \simeq \bigoplus_{i+j=n} \Ext^i_A(M, A) \otimes \Ext^j_B(N, B) = 0$ holds for $m \geq 1$.

2. We show that $(M \otimes N)^*$ is semi-Gorenstein projective if both $M^*$ and $N^*$ are semi-Gorenstein projective.

By Lemma 2.6 (1), we get that $(M \otimes N)^* \simeq \Hom_{A \otimes B}(M \otimes N, A \otimes B) \simeq \Hom_A(M, A) \otimes \Hom_B(N, B) \simeq M^* \otimes N^*$. Then the assertion follows from (1).

3. We show that $M \otimes N$ is reflexive, that is, $M \otimes N \simeq (M \otimes N)^{**}$.

By (2) $(M \otimes N)^* \simeq M^* \otimes N^*$. Then one gets the assertion by using (2) once more. \hfill \Box

It has been shown in [XZ] the quotient algebras of $CM$-finite algebras need not be $CM$-finite. However, we have the following result.

**Corollary 3.4.** Let $A$ be an algebra and $T_n(A)$ be the lower triangular matrix for $n \geq 2$. If $T_n(A)$ is $CM$-finite, then $A$ is $CM$-tilting finite.

**Proof.** Let $M_1, M_2$ be two indecomposable Gorenstein projective modules in $\mod A$ such that $A \otimes M_1 \simeq A \otimes M_2 \in \mod T_n(A)$. Then one gets $M_1 \simeq M_2$ since $T_n(K)$ is projective over $K$. \hfill \Box

In the following we focus on the tensor products of $\tau$-rigid modules. In general, the tensor products of $\tau$-rigid modules need not to be $\tau$-rigid. However, we have the following proposition.

**Proposition 3.5.** Let $A$ and $B$ be two algebras. Let $M \in \mod B$ be a $\tau$-rigid module. Then $A \otimes M \in \mod(A \otimes B)$ is a $\tau$-rigid module.

**Proof.** Let $P_1 \xrightarrow{f} P_0 \rightarrow M \rightarrow 0$ be a minimal projective presentation of $M$. Then one gets the following minimal projective presentation of $A \otimes M$: $A \otimes P_1 \xrightarrow{Id_\otimes f} A \otimes P_0 \rightarrow A \otimes M \rightarrow 0$. By Lemma 2.3 it suffices to show that $\Hom_{A \otimes B}(Id_A \otimes f, A \otimes M) : \Hom_{A \otimes B}(A \otimes P_0, A \otimes M) \rightarrow \Hom_{A \otimes B}(A \otimes P_1, A \otimes M)$ is a surjective map. ByLemma 2.6 (1), the map above can be seen as: $\Hom_A(A, A) \otimes \Hom_B(P_0, M) \rightarrow \Hom_A(A, A) \otimes \Hom_B(P_1, M)$ via $g \otimes h \rightarrow gId_A \otimes hf$. Since $M$ is $\tau$-rigid, we get that $\Hom(f, M) : \Hom_B(P_0, M) \rightarrow \Hom_B(P_1, M)$ is a surjective map. For any generator $k \otimes l \in \Hom_A(A, A) \otimes \Hom_B(P_1, M)$, we get a morphism $h$ such that $hf = l$. Therefore, $(k \otimes h)(Id_A \otimes f) = k \otimes l$ which implies the map $\Hom_{A \otimes B}(Id_A \otimes f, A \otimes M)$ is surjective. Then the assertion holds. \hfill \Box

The following proposition of tensor products of algebras are very essential.

**Proposition 3.6.** Let $A$ and $B$ be two algebras. Let $(a)$ be a principal ideal of $A$ and $(b)$ be a principal ideal of $B$. Then the principal ideal $(a) \otimes (b) = (a) \otimes (b)$.

**Proof.** We first show $(a \otimes b) \subseteq (a) \otimes (b)$. For any element $m \in (a \otimes b) \subseteq A \otimes B$, one gets that $m = \sum_{i=1}^n a_i \otimes b_i(a \otimes b) = \sum_{i=1}^n a_i b_i \otimes b_i bd_i$. Since $a_i b_i \otimes b_i bd_i \in (a) \otimes (b)$ and $(a) \otimes (b)$ is an ideal of $A \otimes B$, we get that $m \in (a) \otimes (b)$.
Conversely, for any $n \in (a) \otimes (b)$, one gets that $n = \Sigma_{i=1}^t a_i \otimes b_i$, where $a_i = \Sigma_{k=1}^t a_{ik}a_{ck}$ 

$b_i = \Sigma_{j=1}^s b_{ij}bd_{ij}$. Thus $n = \Sigma_{i=1}^t \Sigma_{j=1}^s a_{ik}a_{ck} \otimes b_{ij}bd_{ij}$. Since $a_{ik}a_{ck} \otimes b_{ij}bd_{ij} = (a_k \otimes b_{ij})(a \otimes b)(c_k \otimes d_{ij})$ is in $(a \otimes b)$ and $(a \otimes b)$ is an ideal, then the assertion holds.

Now we are in a position to show our main result on support $\tau$-tilting modules.

**Theorem 3.7.** Let $A$ and $B$ be two algebras. Let $M \in \mod B$ be a support $\tau$-tilting module. Then $A \otimes M \in \mod (A \otimes B)$ is a support $\tau$-tilting module.

**Proof.** We divide the proof into two parts.

1. We show that $A \otimes B/(e) \simeq (A \otimes B)/(1 \otimes e)$, where $e$ is an idempotent of $B$.

   Note that there is an exact sequence $0 \rightarrow (e) \rightarrow B \rightarrow B/(e) \rightarrow 0$. Applying the functor $A \otimes -$ to the exact sequence above, one gets the following exact sequence $0 \rightarrow A \otimes (e) \rightarrow A \otimes B \rightarrow A \otimes B/(e) \rightarrow 0$. By Proposition 3.6, one gets the assertion.

2. We show that $A \otimes M$ is a $\tau$-tilting module over $(A \otimes B)/(1 \otimes e)$.

   Since $M$ is a support $\tau$-tilting module, then $M$ is a $\tau$-tilting module over $B/(e)$. Then $|B/(e)| = |M|$.

   By Proposition 3.9, $A \otimes M$ is a $\tau$-rigid module. By Proposition 3.12, $|A \otimes M| = |A||M| = |A||B/(e)| = |(A \otimes B)/(1 \otimes e)|$ by (1). The assertion holds.

Now we have the following corollary on $\tau$-tilting modules.

**Corollary 3.8.** Let $A$ and $B$ be two algebras. Let $M \in \mod B$ be a $\tau$-tilting module. Then $A \otimes M \in \mod (A \otimes B)$ is a $\tau$-tilting module.

**Proof.** This is an immediate result of Theorem 3.7.

Recall from [DLJ] that an algebra $A$ is called $\tau$-tilting finite if it admits finite number of isomorphism classes of indecomposable $\tau$-rigid modules. We have the following corollary on $\tau$-tilting finite algebras.

**Corollary 3.9.** Let $A$ be an algebra and $T_n(A)$ be the lower triangular matrix. If $T_n(A)$ is $\tau$-tilting finite, then $A$ is $\tau$-tilting finite.

**Proof.** This is clear since $A$ is a quotient algebra of $T_n(A)$.

Now we are in a position to state the following main result on constructing Gorenstein projective support $\tau$-tilting modules.

**Theorem 3.10.** Let $A$ and $B$ be two algebras. Let $M \in \mod B$ be a Gorenstein projective support $\tau$-tilting module. Then $A \otimes M \in \mod (A \otimes B)$ is a Gorenstein projective support $\tau$-tilting module.

**Proof.** This is an immediate result of Proposition 3.8 and Theorem 3.7.

As a corollary, we get the following property on the existence of non-trivial Gorenstein projective support $\tau$-tilting modules.

**Corollary 3.11.** Let $A$ and $B$ be two algebras. Let $M \in \mod B$ be a non-trivial Gorenstein projective support $\tau$-tilting module. Then $A \otimes M \in \mod (A \otimes B)$ is a non-trivial Gorenstein projective support $\tau$-tilting module.

**Proof.** Since $M$ is non-trivial Gorenstein projective, then we get that $\text{pd}_AM = \infty$ by Proposition 2.2. By Lemma 2.7, one gets that $\text{pd}_A A \otimes M = \text{pd}_BM = \infty$. Then the assertion follows from Theorem 3.11.

By using Corollary 3.11, one can be able to construct a large class of non-trivial Gorenstein projective support $\tau$-tilting modules by taking a class of non-trivial Gorenstein projective support $\tau$-tilting modules over a self-injective algebra.

Recall from [XZ] that an algebra $A$ is called $CM$-$\tau$-tilting finite if it admits finite number of isomorphism classes of indecomposable $\tau$-rigid modules. It is an open question that whether $CM$-$\tau$-tilting finite algebras are closed under quotients. In the following we give a partial positive answer to the question above.
Theorem 3.12. Let $A$ be an algebra and $T_n(A)$ be the lower triangular matrix for $n \geq 2$. If $T_n(A)$ is $CM$-tilting finite, then $A$ is $CM$-tilting finite.

Proof. It is well-known that $T_n(A) \simeq T_n(K) \otimes A$. For any Gorenstein projective support $\tau$-tilting module $M \in \mod A$, by Theorem 3.10 one gets a Gorenstein projective support $\tau$-tilting module $T_n(K) \otimes M \in \mod T_n(A)$. Since $K$ is a field, we get $T_n(K) \otimes M \simeq T_n(K) \otimes N$ implies $M \simeq N \in \mod A$. Therefore, the fact that $T_n(A)$ is $CM$-tilting finite implies that $A$ is $CM$-tilting finite. \hfill $\Box$

Putting $n = 2$, one gets the following result on the representation of Gorenstein projective $\tau$-tilting modules which combines the results in [PMH] Corollary 4.7 and [LZ2] Theorem 1.1.

Proposition 3.13. Let $A$ be a Gorenstein algebra and $T_2(A)$ be the lower triangular matrix algebra of $A$. If

\[ M = \begin{pmatrix} X \\ Y \end{pmatrix} \]  \hspace{1cm} (3.1)

is a Gorenstein projective (support) $\tau$-tilting module in $\mod T_2(A)$, then $Y$ is a Gorenstein projective (support) $\tau$-tilting module.

Proof. It is shown in [LZ2] that $M$ is Gorenstein projective if and only if both $X$ and $Y$ are Gorenstein projective and $f$ is a monomorphism. By [PMH] Corollary 4.7, one gets that $Y$ should be a (support) $\tau$-tilting module. The assertion holds. \hfill $\Box$

For more details on support $\tau$-tilting modules over triangular matrix rings, we refer to [AHI], [PMH], [ZL].
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