

Weighted Mean Topological Dimension

Yunping Wang

School of Mathematical Sciences and Institute of Mathematics, Nanjing Normal University,
Nanjing 210046, Jiangsu, P.R.China
e-mail: yunpingwangj@126.com

Abstract

This paper is devoted to the investigation of the weighted mean topological dimension in dynamical systems. We show that the weighted mean dimension is not larger than the weighted metric mean dimension, which generalizes the classical result of Lindenstrauss and Weiss [16]. We also establish the relationship between the weighted mean dimension and the weighted topological entropy of dynamical systems, which indicates that each system with finite weighted topological entropy or small boundary property has zero weighted mean dimension.

Keywords: weighted mean topological dimension; weighted entropy; small boundary property

1 Introduction

One of the basic invariants of a dynamical system (X, T) is its topological entropy. This quantifies to what extent nearby points diverge as the system evolves. Adler, Konheim and McAndrew [1] first introduced it as an invariant of topological conjugacy for studying dynamical systems in compact topological spaces. Subsequently, Dinaburg [7] and Bowen [4] presented a different, yet equivalent, definition in metric spaces. Kenyon and Peres [14] studied the dimension of invariant sets and measures on the torus under expanding maps. In [3, 8], Barral and Feng defined weighted topological pressure via relative thermodynamic formalism and subadditive thermodynamic formalism, in the particular case when the underlying dynamical systems (X, T) and (Y, S) are subshifts over finite alphabets. Motivated by this work, Feng and Huang [9] extended to general topological systems and introduced a weighted version of entropy, which provided a topological extension of dimension theory of invariant sets and measures on the torus under affine diagonal endomorphisms. For convenience, we recall the definition of the weighted entropy here.

Let $k \geq 2$. Assume that (X_i, d_i) , $i = 1, \dots, k$, are compact metric spaces, and (X_i, T_i) are topological dynamical systems. Moreover, assume that for each $1 \leq i \leq k - 1$, (X_{i+1}, T_{i+1})

is a factor of (X_i, T_i) with a factor map $\pi_i : X_i \rightarrow X_{i+1}$; in other words, π_1, \dots, π_{k-1} are continuous maps such that the following diagrams commute,

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} X_1 & \xrightarrow{\pi_1} & X_2 & \xrightarrow{\pi_2} & \cdots & \xrightarrow{\pi_{k-1}} & X_k \\ T_1 \downarrow & & T_2 \downarrow & & & & T_k \downarrow \\ X_1 & \xrightarrow{\pi_1} & X_2 & \xrightarrow{\pi_2} & \cdots & \xrightarrow{\pi_{k-1}} & X_k \end{array}$$

For convenience, we use π_0 to denote the identity map on X_1 . Define $\tau_i : X_1 \rightarrow X_{i+1}$ by $\tau_i = \pi_i \circ \pi_{i-1} \circ \cdots \circ \pi_0$ for $i = 0, 1, \dots, k-1$. Let $M(X_i, T_i)$ denote the set of all T_i -invariant Borel probability measures on X_i and $E(X_i, T_i)$ denote the set of ergodic measures. Fix $\mathbf{a} = (a_1, a_2, \dots, a_k) \in \mathbb{R}^k$ with $a_1 > 0$ and $a_i \geq 0$ for $i \geq 2$. For $\mu \in M(X_1, T_1)$, we call

$$h_{\mu}^{\mathbf{a}}(T_1) := \sum_{i=1}^k a_i h_{\mu \circ \tau_{i-1}^{-1}}(T_i)$$

the \mathbf{a} -weighted measure-theoretic entropy of μ with respect to T_1 , or simply, the \mathbf{a} -weighted entropy of μ , where $h_{\mu \circ \tau_{i-1}^{-1}}(T_i)$ denotes the measure-theoretic entropy of $\mu \circ \tau_{i-1}^{-1}$ with respect to T_i .

Definition 1.1. [9] (\mathbf{a} -weighted Bowen ball). For $x \in X_1, n \in \mathbb{N}, \epsilon > 0$, let

$$B_n^{\mathbf{a}}(x, \epsilon) := \{y \in X_1 : d_i(T_i^j \tau_{i-1} x, T_i^j \tau_{i-1} y) < \epsilon \text{ for } 1 \leq i \leq k, 0 \leq j \leq \lceil (a_1 + \cdots + a_i)n \rceil - 1\},$$

where $\lceil u \rceil$ denotes the least integer $\geq u$. We call $B_n^{\mathbf{a}}(x, \epsilon)$ the n -th \mathbf{a} -weighted Bowen ball of radius ϵ centered at x .

Return back to the metric spaces (X_i, d_i) and topological dynamical systems $(X_i, T_i), i = 1, 2, \dots, k$. For $n \in \mathbb{N}$, define a metric $d_n^{\mathbf{a}}$ on X_1 by

$$d_n^{\mathbf{a}}(x, y) = \sup\{d_i(T_i^j \tau_{i-1} x, T_i^j \tau_{i-1} y) : 1 \leq i \leq k, 0 \leq j \leq \lceil (a_1 + \cdots + a_i)n \rceil - 1\}.$$

Definition 1.2. Let n be a natural number, $\epsilon > 0, \mathbf{a} = (a_1, a_2, \dots, a_k) \in \mathbb{R}^k$ and K be a compact subset of X_1 . A subset F of X_1 is said to be $(\mathbf{a}, n, \epsilon)$ spanning set of K with respect to T_1 if for all $x \in K$, there exists $y \in F$ with $d_n^{\mathbf{a}}(x, y) \leq \epsilon$. Let $r_n^{\mathbf{a}}(\epsilon, K)$ denote the smallest cardinality of any $(\mathbf{a}, n, \epsilon)$ spanning set for K with respect to T_1 .

Definition 1.3. Let n be a natural number, $\epsilon > 0, \mathbf{a} = (a_1, a_2, \dots, a_k) \in \mathbb{R}^k$ and K be a compact subset of X_1 . A subset E of X_1 is said to be $(\mathbf{a}, n, \epsilon)$ separated with respect to T_1 if $x, y \in E, x \neq y$, implies $d_n^{\mathbf{a}}(x, y) > \epsilon$. Let $s_n^{\mathbf{a}}(\epsilon, K)$ denote the largest cardinality of any $(\mathbf{a}, n, \epsilon)$ separated set for K with respect to T_1 .

The \mathbf{a} -weighted topological entropy $h^{\mathbf{a}}(X_1)$ using spanning sets and separated sets is defined as follows.

$$\begin{aligned} h^{\mathbf{a}}(X_1) &= \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \log r_n^{\mathbf{a}}(X_1, \epsilon) \\ &= \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \log s_n^{\mathbf{a}}(X_1, \epsilon). \end{aligned}$$

Mean dimension is a conjugacy invariant of topological dynamical systems which was introduced by Gromov [10]. In 2000, Lindenstrauss and Weiss [16] used it to answer an open question raised by Auslander [2] that whether every minimal system (X, T) can be imbedded in $[0, 1]^{\mathbb{Z}}$. It turns out that mean dimension is the right invariant to study for the problem of existence of an embedding into $([0, 1]^D)^{\mathbb{Z}}$, σ . More applications and interesting relations have been found [11, 17, 12, 13]. The metric mean dimension was introduced in [16] and they proved that metric mean dimension is an upper bound of the mean dimension. It allowed them to establish the relationship between the mean dimension and the topological entropy of dynamical systems, which shows that each system with finite topological entropy has zero mean dimension. This invariant enables one to distinguish systems with infinite topological entropy. In [15, 16], they also introduced the small boundary property (SBP) by replacing the empty set with the small set in the definition of zero dimensional space, which could be seen as a dynamical version of being totally disconnected, and indicated that a dynamical system with this property has zero mean dimension. In order to investigate the embedding question of weighted topological dynamical systems, we will introduce the concepts of the weighted mean dimension and weighted metric mean dimension inspired by the ideas of [9, 16].

In this paper, we introduce the mean dimension for weighted version which include the classic case as $a_1 = 1, a_i = 0, i \geq 2$. We also define the weighted metric mean dimension and show that topological dynamical system (X_1, T_1) with finite weighted topological entropy, or small boundary property has zero weighted mean dimension. Meanwhile, we obtain an inequality between the weighted mean dimension and the weighted metric mean dimension. The following theorems present the main results of this paper.

Theorem 1.4. *For any dynamical system (X_1, T_1) and any metric d_i compatible with the topology on $X_i, i = 1, 2, \dots, k$,*

$$\text{mdim}^{\mathbf{a}}(X_1, T_1) \leq \text{mdim}_M^{\mathbf{a}}(X_1, d),$$

where the definitions of these notions will be given in Section 3.

Theorem 1.5. *If (X_1, T_1) has the SBP, the weighted mean dimension is zero.*

The remainder of this paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we recall definitions and properties of the mean dimension. In Section 3, we define the weighted mean dimension and weighted metric mean dimension, investigate the relationship between the two notions, and show that finite weighted topological entropy implies the zero weighted mean dimension. In Section 4, we introduce the small boundary property, and devote to proving that the SBP yields the zero wighted mean dimension. In Section 5, we present an example.

2 Preliminaries

Next we recall some basic facts about mean dimension. These notions and terminologies can be found in [6] and [16]. Let X be a compact metric space and α be a finite open cover of X , we call that a cover β refines α ($\beta \succ \alpha$), if every member of β is a subset of some member of α .

Definition 2.1. If α is an open cover of X , we shall denote

$$\text{ord}(\alpha) = \max_{x \in X} \sum_{U \in \alpha} 1_U(x) \text{ and } D(\alpha) = \min_{\beta \succ \alpha} \text{ord}(\beta),$$

where β runs over all finite open covers of X refining α .

Definition 2.2. Let X be a topological space. The topological dimension $\dim(X)$ of X is the quantity defined by

$$\dim(X) = \sup_{\alpha} D(\alpha),$$

where α runs over all finite covers of X .

Definition 2.3. If (X, T) is a dynamical system, then the mean dimension of (X, T) , denoted by $\text{mdim}(X, T)$, is defined by

$$\text{mdim}(X, T) = \sup_{\alpha} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} D\left(\bigvee_{i=0}^{n-1} T^{-i} \alpha\right),$$

where α runs over all finite open covers of X .

Definition 2.4. Let X and Y be topological spaces. Let α be a finite open cover of X . A continuous map $f : X \rightarrow Y$ will be called α -compatible if it is possible to find a finite open cover β of $f(X)$ such that $f^{-1}(\beta) \succ \alpha$. We will use the notation $f \succ \alpha$ to denote that f is α -compatible.

Proposition 2.5. Let X and Y be topological spaces and let $f : X \rightarrow Y$ be a continuous map. Let β be a finite open cover of Y . Then one has

$$D(f^{-1}(\beta)) \leq D(\beta).$$

Proposition 2.6. Let α and β be finite open covers of X , then

$$D(\alpha \vee \beta) \leq D(\alpha) + D(\beta).$$

Proposition 2.7. If X is compact, $f : X \rightarrow Y$ a continuous function such that for every $y \in Y$, $f^{-1}(y)$ is a subset of some $U \in \alpha$, then f is α -compatible.

Proposition 2.8. If α is an open cover of X , then

$$D(\alpha) \leq k$$

iff there is an α -compatible continuous function $f : X \rightarrow K$ where K has topological dimension k .

3 Proof of Theorem 1.4

Firstly, we introduce the concepts of weighted mean dimension and weighted metric mean dimension. Let $k \geq 2$. Assume that $(X_i, d_i), i = 1, 2, \dots, k$, are compact metric spaces, and (X_i, T_i) are topological dynamical systems. Moreover, assume that for each $1 \leq i \leq k - 1$, (X_{i+1}, T_{i+1}) is a factor of (X_i, T_i) with a factor map $\pi_i : X_i \rightarrow X_{i+1}$. Fix $\mathbf{a} = (a_1, \dots, a_k) \in \mathbb{R}^k$ with $a_1 > 0$ and $a_i \geq 0$ for $2 \leq i \leq k$. Let α_i be a finite open cover of (X_i, T_i) for $1 \leq i \leq k$. We define the weighted mean dimension as follows.

Definition 3.1. $\text{mdim}^{\mathbf{a}}(X_1, T_1) = \sup_{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_k} \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} D\left(\bigvee_{i=1}^k \bigvee_{j=0}^{\lceil (a_1 + a_2 + \dots + a_i)n \rceil - 1} T_1^{-j} \tau_{i-1}^{-1} \alpha_i\right)$, where α_i is a finite open cover of $X_i, 1 \leq i \leq k$.

Remark 3.2. When $a_1 = 1, a_i = 0 (i \geq 2)$, the weighted mean dimension recovers the classic mean dimension. Our interest is on the general case that $a_i \neq 0 (1 \leq i \leq k)$. It is worth pointing out that weighted mean dimension is different from mean dimension in the case of $a_i \neq 0 (2 \leq i \leq k)$. In fact, we know the sequence $\{D(\bigvee_{i=0}^{n-1} T^{-i} \alpha)\}_{n \geq 1}$ is subadditive, however, the sequence

$$\left\{D\left(\bigvee_{i=1}^k \bigvee_{j=0}^{\lceil (a_1 + a_2 + \dots + a_i)n \rceil - 1} T_1^{-j} \tau_{i-1}^{-1} \alpha_i\right)\right\}_{n \geq 1}$$

is not subadditive, then the limit in the definition 3.1 doesn't exist in case of $a_i \neq 0$ for some $2 \leq i \leq k$.

Proposition 3.3. Let X_1 be a compact metric space and $T_1 : X_1 \rightarrow X_1$ a continuous map. Then one has $\text{mdim}^{\mathbf{a}}(X_1, T_1^n) = n \cdot \text{mdim}^{\mathbf{a}}(X_1, T_1)$ for every positive integer n .

Proof. For $1 \leq i \leq k$, let α_i be a finite open cover of X_i . Set

$$w(\{\alpha_i\}_{i=1}^k, T_1, n) = \bigvee_{i=1}^k \bigvee_{j=0}^{\lceil (a_1 + a_2 + \dots + a_i)n \rceil - 1} T_1^{-j} \tau_{i-1}^{-1} \alpha_i.$$

Since $\lceil (a_1 + \dots + a_i)mn \rceil - 1 \geq n(\lceil (a_1 + \dots + a_i)m \rceil - 1)$ for every integer $m \geq 1$, we have the open cover

$$w(\{\alpha_i\}_{i=1}^k, T_1, mn) = \bigvee_{i=1}^k \bigvee_{j=0}^{\lceil (a_1 + a_2 + \dots + a_i)(mn) \rceil - 1} T_1^{-j} \tau_{i-1}^{-1} \alpha_i$$

is finer than the open cover

$$w(\{\alpha_i\}_{i=1}^k, T_1^n, m) = \bigvee_{i=1}^k \bigvee_{j=0}^{\lceil (a_1 + a_2 + \dots + a_i)m \rceil - 1} (T_1^n)^{-j} \tau_{i-1}^{-1} \alpha_i.$$

It follows that

$$D\left(\bigvee_{i=1}^k \bigvee_{j=0}^{\lceil (a_1+a_2+\dots+a_i)(mn) \rceil - 1} T_1^{-j} \tau_{i-1}^{-1} \alpha_i\right) \geq D\left(\bigvee_{i=1}^k \bigvee_{j=0}^{\lceil (a_1+a_2+\dots+a_i)m \rceil - 1} (T_1^n)^{-j} \tau_{i-1}^{-1} \alpha_i\right).$$

We deduce that

$$n \cdot \text{mdim}^{\mathbf{a}}(X_1, T_1) \geq \text{mdim}^{\mathbf{a}}(X_1, T_1^n). \quad (1)$$

On the other hand, by $\lceil (a_1 + a_2 + \dots + a_i)nm \rceil - 1 \leq \lceil (a_1 + a_2 + \dots + a_i)m \rceil n - 1$, we obtain

$$\bigvee_{j=0}^{\lceil (a_1+a_2+\dots+a_i)nm \rceil - 1} T_1^{-j} \tau_{i-1}^{-1} \alpha_i \prec \bigvee_{j=0}^{\lceil (a_1+a_2+\dots+a_i)m \rceil n - 1} T_1^{-j} \tau_{i-1}^{-1} \alpha_i$$

for each $1 \leq i \leq k$. Let $\beta_i = \bigvee_{j=0}^{n-1} T_1^{-j} \alpha_i$, then

$$w(\{\alpha_i\}_{i=1}^k, T_1, mn) \prec w(\{\beta_i\}_{i=1}^k, (T_1)^n, m).$$

Moreover,

$$n \frac{1}{mn} D\left(\bigvee_{i=1}^k \bigvee_{j=0}^{\lceil (a_1+a_2+\dots+a_i)(mn) \rceil - 1} T_1^{-j} \tau_{i-1}^{-1} \alpha_i\right) \leq \frac{1}{m} D\left(\bigvee_{i=1}^k \bigvee_{j=0}^{\lceil (a_1+a_2+\dots+a_i)m \rceil - 1} (T_1^n)^{-j} \beta_i\right).$$

Then

$$n \cdot \text{mdim}^{\mathbf{a}}(X_1, T_1) \leq \text{mdim}^{\mathbf{a}}(X_1, T_1^n). \quad (2)$$

Inequalities (1) and (2) imply that

$$n \cdot \text{mdim}^{\mathbf{a}}(X_1, T_1) = \text{mdim}^{\mathbf{a}}(X_1, T_1^n).$$

□

Proposition 3.4. *Let $\dim(X_1) < \infty$. Then $\text{mdim}^{\mathbf{a}}(X_1, T_1) = 0$.*

Proof. For every finite open cover α_i of X_i , $i = 1, \dots, k$, we have

$$D\left(\bigvee_{i=1}^k \bigvee_{j=0}^{\lceil (a_1+a_2+\dots+a_i)n \rceil - 1} T_1^{-j} \tau_{i-1}^{-1} \alpha_i\right) \leq \dim(X_1).$$

As $\dim(X_1) < \infty$, we deduce that

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} D\left(\bigvee_{i=1}^k \bigvee_{j=0}^{\lceil (a_1+a_2+\dots+a_i)n \rceil - 1} T_1^{-j} \tau_{i-1}^{-1} \alpha_i\right) = 0.$$

Thus, we have that

$$\text{mdim}^{\mathbf{a}}(X_1, T_1) = 0.$$

□

We define a weighted version of metric mean dimension. For an open cover α , define the mesh of α according to the metric $d_n^{\mathbf{a}}$ by

$$\text{mesh}(\alpha, d_n^{\mathbf{a}}) = \max_{U \in \alpha} \text{diam}(U).$$

Set

$$S^{\mathbf{a}}(X_1, \epsilon) = \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \inf_{\text{mesh}(\alpha, d_n^{\mathbf{a}}) < \epsilon} \frac{1}{n} \log |\alpha|. \quad (3)$$

It is obvious that $S^{\mathbf{a}}(X_1, \epsilon)$ is monotone nondecreasing as $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$.

Definition 3.5. We define the weighted metric mean dimension of X_1 ,

$$\text{mdim}_M^{\mathbf{a}}(X_1, d) = \liminf_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \frac{S^{\mathbf{a}}(X_1, \epsilon)}{|\log \epsilon|}. \quad (4)$$

Recall how the weighted topological entropy is defined for a dynamical system X_1 . According to Definition 1.2, set

$$r_n^{\mathbf{a}}(X_1, \epsilon) = \min\{|F| : F \subset X_1 \text{ is } (\mathbf{a}, n, \epsilon)\text{-spanning set}\},$$

$$r^{\mathbf{a}}(X_1, \epsilon) = \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \log r_n^{\mathbf{a}}(X_1, \epsilon),$$

and

$$h^{\mathbf{a}}(X_1) = \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} r^{\mathbf{a}}(X_1, \epsilon).$$

Notice that

$$r^{\mathbf{a}}(X_1, \epsilon) \geq S^{\mathbf{a}}(X_1, 2\epsilon) \geq r^{\mathbf{a}}(X_1, 2\epsilon).$$

Thus (4) is equivalent to

$$\text{mdim}_M^{\mathbf{a}}(X_1, d) = \liminf_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \frac{r^{\mathbf{a}}(X_1, \epsilon)}{|\log \epsilon|}.$$

Proposition 3.6. If $\text{mdim}_M^{\mathbf{a}}(X_1, d) \neq 0$, then $h^{\mathbf{a}}(X_1) = \infty$.

Corollary 3.7. If X_1 has finite weighted topological entropy, then $\text{mdim}_M^{\mathbf{a}}(X_1, d) = 0$.

The next result is inspired in the Theorem 4.2 of [16] and shows that the weighted metric mean dimension is an upper bound for the weighted mean dimension.

Proof of Theorem 1.4. Assume that for each $1 \leq i \leq k-1$, (X_{i+1}, T_{i+1}) is a factor of (X_i, T_i) with a factor map $\pi_i : X_i \rightarrow X_{i+1}$. Define $\tau_i : X_1 \rightarrow X_{i+1}$ by $\tau_i = \pi_i \circ \pi_{i-1} \circ \cdots \circ \pi_0$ for $i = 0, 1, \dots, k-1$. As

$$\text{mdim}^{\mathbf{a}}(X_1, T_1) = \sup_{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_k} \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} D\left(\bigvee_{i=1}^k \bigvee_{j=0}^{\lceil (a_1 + a_2 + \dots + a_i)n \rceil - 1} T_1^{-j} \tau_{i-1}^{-1} \alpha_i\right),$$

it is sufficient to show that for any finite open cover α_i of X_i , $i = 1, 2, \dots, k$,

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} D \left(\bigvee_{i=1}^k \bigvee_{j=0}^{\lceil (a_1+a_2+\dots+a_i)n \rceil - 1} T_1^{-j} \tau_{i-1}^{-1} \alpha_i \right) \leq \text{mdim}_M^{\mathbf{a}}(X_1, d).$$

Since α_i be a finite open cover of X_i , then $\tau_{i-1}^{-1} \alpha_i$ is a finite open cover of X_1 . We can refine $\tau_{i-1}^{-1} \alpha_i$ to be of the form

$$\tau_{i-1}^{-1} \alpha_i = \{U_{i_1}, V_{i_1}\} \vee \{U_{i_2}, V_{i_2}\} \vee \dots \vee \{U_{i_{r_i}}, V_{i_{r_i}}\},$$

where for $1 \leq j \leq r_i$, $1 \leq i \leq k$, $\{U_{i_j}, V_{i_j}\}$ is an open cover of X_1 . Set $w_{i,j} : X_1 \rightarrow [0, 1]$ by

$$w_{i,j}(x) = \frac{d(x, X_1 \setminus V_{i_j})}{d(x, X_1 \setminus V_{i_j}) + d(x, X_1 \setminus U_{i_j})}, \quad 1 \leq i \leq k, \quad 1 \leq j \leq r_i. \quad (5)$$

Then $w_{i,j}$ is Lipschitz, and

$$\begin{aligned} U_{i_j} &= w_{i,j}^{-1}[0, 1) \\ V_{i_j} &= w_{i,j}^{-1}(0, 1]. \end{aligned} \quad (6)$$

Let C_L be a bound on the Lipschitz constants of all $w_{i,j}$.

For any N define $F(N, \cdot) : X_1 \rightarrow [0, 1]^{\sum_{i=1}^k r_i \lceil (a_1+a_2+\dots+a_i)N \rceil}$ by

$$\begin{aligned} F(N, x) &= (w_{1,1}(x), \dots, w_{1,r_1}(x), \\ &\quad w_{1,1}(T_1 x), \dots, w_{1,r_1}(T_1 x), \dots \\ &\quad w_{1,1}(T_1^{\lceil a_1 N \rceil - 1} x), \dots, w_{1,r_1}(T_1^{\lceil a_1 N \rceil - 1} x) \\ &\quad \dots \\ &\quad w_{k,1}(x), \dots, w_{k,r_k}(x), \\ &\quad w_{k,1}(T_1 x), \dots, w_{k,r_k}(T_1 x), \\ &\quad w_{k,1}(T_1^{\lceil (a_1+a_2+\dots+a_k)N \rceil - 1} x), \dots, w_{k,r_k}(T_1^{\lceil (a_1+a_2+\dots+a_k)N \rceil - 1} x)). \end{aligned}$$

From Proposition 2.7 and (6) we see that $F(N, \cdot) \succ \bigvee_{i=1}^k \bigvee_{j=0}^{\lceil (a_1+a_2+\dots+a_i)N \rceil - 1} T_1^{-j} \tau_{i-1}^{-1} \alpha_i$. Usually, if

$$S \subset \{1, \dots, \sum_{i=1}^k r_i \lceil (a_1 + a_2 + \dots + a_i)N \rceil\},$$

then $F(N, x)_S \in [0, 1]^{|S|}$ is the projection of $F(N, x)$ to the coordinates in the index set S .

Claim 3.8. *Let $\epsilon > 0$, $D = \text{mdim}_M^{\mathbf{a}}(X_1, d)$. If N is larger than some $N(\epsilon)$, there exists*

$\xi \in (0, 1)^{\sum_{i=1}^k r_i \lceil (a_1+a_2+\dots+a_i)N \rceil}$ such that for any $|S| \geq (D + \epsilon)N$,

$$\xi_S \notin F(N, X)_S.$$

Proof of Claim 3.8. Let

$$\delta < \left(2^{\sum_{i=1}^k r_i \lceil (a_1 + a_2 + \dots + a_i) \rceil} (2C_L)^{2D}\right)^{-\frac{2}{\epsilon}},$$

such that

$$\frac{S(X_1, \epsilon, d_n^{\mathbf{a}})}{|\log \delta|} \leq \text{mdim}_M^{\mathbf{a}}(X_1, d) + \epsilon/4.$$

Fix N large enough (depending on ϵ and δ), X_1 can be covered by $\delta^{-(D+\epsilon/2)N}$ weighted Bowen balls of the form

$$B_N^{\mathbf{a}}(x, \delta) = \{x' \in X_1 : d_i(T_i^j \tau_{i-1} x, T_i^j \tau_{i-1} x') < \delta, \quad \forall 1 \leq i \leq k \text{ and} \\ 0 \leq j \leq \lceil (a_1 + a_2 + \dots + a_i)N \rceil - 1\}.$$

Notice that C_L is a Lipschitz constant for all $w_{i,j}$, we have

$$F(N, B_N^{\mathbf{a}}(x, \delta)) \subset \{a \in [0, 1]^{\sum_{i=1}^k r_i \lceil (a_1 + a_2 + \dots + a_i)N \rceil} : \|F(N, x) - a\|_{\infty} \leq C_L \delta\}.$$

Then the set $F(N, X_1)$ can be covered by $\delta^{-N(D+\epsilon/2)}$ balls in the $\|\cdot\|_{\infty}$ norm of radius $C_L \delta$. Enumerate these weighted Bowen balls as $B^{\mathbf{a}}(k)$, $k = 1, \dots, K = \delta^{-(D+\epsilon/2)N}$. Choose ξ with uniform probability in $[0, 1]^{\sum_{i=1}^k r_i \lceil (a_1 + a_2 + \dots + a_i)N \rceil}$.

Then for a set $S \subset \{1, \dots, \sum_{i=1}^k r_i \lceil (a_1 + a_2 + \dots + a_i)N \rceil\}$,

$$P(\xi_S \in F(N, X_1)_S) \leq \sum_{k=1}^K P(\xi_S \in B^{\mathbf{a}}(k)|_S) \leq \delta^{-(D+\epsilon/2)N} (2C_L \delta)^{|S|}.$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned} & P(\exists S : |S| \geq (D + \epsilon)N \text{ and } \xi_S \in F(N, X_1)_S) \\ & \leq \sum_{|S| \geq (D+\epsilon)N} P(\xi_S \in F(N, X_1)_S) \\ & \leq (\# \text{ of such } S) \times \delta^{-(D+\epsilon/2)N} (2C_L \delta)^{(D+\epsilon)N} \\ & \leq 2^{\sum_{i=1}^k r_i \lceil (a_1 + a_2 + \dots + a_i)N \rceil} \times \delta^{-(D+\epsilon/2)N} (2C_L \delta)^{(D+\epsilon)N} \\ & \leq 2^{\sum_{i=1}^k r_i \lceil (a_1 + a_2 + \dots + a_i)N \rceil} \times ((2C_L)^{2D} \delta^{\epsilon/2})^N \ll 1, \end{aligned}$$

and so, with high probability, a random ξ will satisfy the requirements. \square

Claim 3.9. If $\pi : F(N, X_1) \rightarrow [0, 1]^{\sum_{i=1}^k r_i \lceil (a_1 + a_2 + \dots + a_i)N \rceil}$ satisfies

$$\begin{aligned} & \{1 \leq k \leq \sum_{i=1}^k r_i \lceil (a_1 + a_2 + \dots + a_i)N \rceil : \xi_k = a\} \subset \\ & \{1 \leq k \leq \sum_{i=1}^k r_i \lceil (a_1 + a_2 + \dots + a_i)N \rceil : \pi(\xi)|_k = a\}, \end{aligned}$$

for both $a = 0$ and 1 , and all $\xi \in [0, 1]^{\sum_{i=1}^k r_i \lceil (a_1 + a_2 + \dots + a_i)N \rceil}$. Then $\pi \circ F(N, X_1)$ is compatible with $\bigvee_{i=1}^k \bigvee_{j=0}^{\lceil (a_1 + a_2 + \dots + a_i)N \rceil - 1} T_1^{-j} \tau_{i-1}^{-1} \alpha_i$.

Proof of Claim 3.9. In fact, if $\xi \in [0, 1]^{\sum_{i=1}^k r_i \lceil (a_1 + a_2 + \dots + a_i)N \rceil}$, define for $0 \leq m_i < \lceil (a_1 + a_2 + \dots + a_i)N \rceil$ and $1 \leq n_i < r_i$

$$W_{m_i, n_i} = \begin{cases} T_1^{-m_i} U_{n_i} & \text{if } \xi_{m_i r_i + n_i} = 0, \\ T_1^{-m_i} V_{n_i} & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

It is easy to see that

$$F^{-1} \pi^{-1}(\xi) \subset \bigcap_{i=1}^k \bigcap_{\substack{0 \leq m_i < \lceil (a_1 + a_2 + \dots + a_i)N \rceil, \\ 1 \leq n_i < r_i}} W_{m_i, n_i} \in \bigvee_{i=1}^k \bigvee_{j=0}^{\lceil (a_1 + a_2 + \dots + a_i)N \rceil - 1} T_1^{-j} \tau_{i-1}^{-1} \alpha_i.$$

□

Let $\epsilon > 0$. Choose $\bar{\xi}, N$ as in Claim 3.8. Set

$$\Phi = \{\xi \in [0, 1]^{\sum_{i=1}^k r_i \lceil (a_1 + a_2 + \dots + a_i)N \rceil} : \xi_k = \bar{\xi}_k \text{ for more than } (D + \epsilon)N \text{ indexes } k\};$$

thus $F(N, X_1) \subset [0, 1]^{\sum_{i=1}^k r_i \lceil (a_1 + a_2 + \dots + a_i)N \rceil} \setminus \Phi$. We denote the latter set briefly by Φ^C . We shall construct a continuous retraction π of Φ^C onto the $\lfloor (D + \epsilon)N \rfloor + 1$ skeleton of the cube $I = [0, 1]^{\sum_{i=1}^k r_i \lceil (a_1 + a_2 + \dots + a_i)N \rceil}$. For $1 \leq m \leq \sum_{i=1}^k r_i \lceil (a_1 + a_2 + \dots + a_i)N \rceil$, define

$$J_m = \{\xi \in I : \xi_i \in \{0, 1\} \text{ for at least } m \text{ indexes } 1 \leq i \leq \sum_{i=1}^k r_i \lceil (a_1 + a_2 + \dots + a_i)N \rceil\}.$$

Since $\bar{\xi}$ is in the interior of I , one can define $\pi_1 : I \setminus \{\bar{\xi}\} \rightarrow J_1$ by mapping each ξ to the intersection of the ray starting at $\bar{\xi}$ and passing through ξ and J_1 . For each of the

$(\sum_{i=1}^k r_i \lceil (a_1 + a_2 + \dots + a_i)N \rceil - 1)$ -dimensional cubes I^l that comprise J_1 , we can define a retraction on I^l in a similar fashion using as a center the projection of $\bar{\xi}$ onto I^l . This will define a continuous retraction π_2 of Φ^C into J_2 . As long as there is some intersection of Φ with the cubes in J_m this process can be continued, thus we get finally a continuous projection π of Φ^C onto J_{m_0} , with

$$m_0 + \lfloor (D + \epsilon)N \rfloor + 1 = \sum_{i=1}^k r_i \lceil (a_1 + a_2 + \dots + a_i)N \rceil.$$

Obviously, π satisfies the conditions of Claim 3.9. Therefore

$$\pi \circ F(N, \cdot) \succ \bigvee_{i=1}^k \bigvee_{j=0}^{\lceil (a_1 + a_2 + \dots + a_i)N \rceil - 1} T_1^{-j} \tau_{i-1}^{-1} \alpha_i.$$

Moreover, as $F(N, \cdot) \subset \Phi^C$,

$$\pi \circ F(N, \cdot) \subset J_{m_0},$$

the latter having topological dimension $\lfloor (D + \epsilon)N \rfloor + 1$.

In conclusion, we have constructed a $\bigvee_{i=1}^k \bigvee_{j=0}^{\lceil (a_1 + a_2 + \dots + a_i)N \rceil - 1} T_1^{-j} \tau_{i-1}^{-1} \alpha_i$ compatible function from X_1 to a space of topological dimension $\leq (D + \epsilon)N + 1$, and according to Proposition 2.8,

$$D \left(\bigvee_{i=1}^k \bigvee_{j=0}^{\lceil (a_1 + a_2 + \dots + a_i)N \rceil - 1} T_1^{-j} \tau_{i-1}^{-1} \alpha_i \right) \leq (D + \epsilon)N + 1.$$

As $\epsilon > 0$ can be chosen as small as we like, α_i as defined as we like for $1 \leq i \leq k$, we conclude that

$$\text{mdim}^a(X_1, T_1) \leq D.$$

□

4 Proof of Theorem 1.5

In this section, we discuss the relationship between the small-boundary property and weighted mean dimension.

Definition 4.1. Let (X, T) be a dynamical system, and E a subset of X . We define the orbit capacity of the set E to be

$$\text{ocap}(E) = \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{x \in X} \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} 1_E(T^i x).$$

A set E will be called *small* if $\text{ocap}(E) = 0$.

Definition 4.2. A dynamical system (X, T) has the *small boundary property (SBP)* if every point $x \in X$ and every open set $U \ni x$ there is a neighborhood $V \subset U$ of x with small boundary.

Proposition 4.3. [16] If (X, T) has the SBP, then for every open cover α of X and every ϵ there is a subordinate partition of unity $\phi_j : X \rightarrow [0, 1]$, $(j = 1, \dots, |\alpha|)$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{j=1}^{|\alpha|} \phi_j(x) &= 1, \\ \text{supp}(\phi_j) &\subset U \text{ for some } U \in \alpha, j = 1, \dots, |\alpha|, \\ \text{ocap}\left(\bigcup_{j=1}^{|\alpha|} \phi_j^{-1}(0, 1)\right) &< \epsilon. \end{aligned} \quad (7)$$

Proof of Theorem 1.5. Assume that for each $1 \leq i \leq k-1$, (X_{i+1}, T_{i+1}) is a factor of (X_i, T_i) with a factor map $\pi_i : X_i \rightarrow X_{i+1}$. Define $\tau_i : X_1 \rightarrow X_{i+1}$ by $\tau_i = \pi_i \circ \pi_{i-1} \circ \dots \circ \pi_0$ for $i = 0, 1, \dots, k-1$. Let (X_1, T_1) have the SBP and α_i is a finite open cover of X_i for $1 \leq i \leq k$. Given $\epsilon > 0$. According to the Proposition 4.3, we can construct a partition of unity subordinate to $\beta_i = \tau_{i-1}^{-1}\alpha_i$ such that satisfies (7) for $i = 1, \dots, k$. Let $|\beta_i| = c_i$ and $A = \bigcup_{m=1}^{c_i} \phi_{m,i}^{-1}(0, 1)$ for each $1 \leq i \leq k$. Let N is large enough such that

$$\frac{1}{\lceil (a_1 + a_2 + \dots + a_i)N \rceil} \sum_{j=0}^{\lceil (a_1 + a_2 + \dots + a_i)N \rceil - 1} 1_A(T_1^j(x)) < \epsilon, \forall x \in X_1, i = 1, \dots, k. \quad (8)$$

Let $\Phi_i : (X_1, T_1) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{c_i}$ is given by

$$x \rightarrow (\phi_{1,i}(x), \dots, \phi_{c_i,i}(x)).$$

Given $1 \leq i \leq k$, define the map $f_N^i : X_1 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{c_i \lceil (a_1 + a_2 + \dots + a_i)N \rceil}$ by

$$f_N^i(x) = (\Phi(x), \Phi(T_1 x), \dots, \Phi(T_1^{\lceil (a_1 + a_2 + \dots + a_i)N \rceil - 1} x)).$$

We claim that $f_N^i(X_1)$ is a subset of a finite number of $\epsilon c_i \lceil (a_1 + a_2 + \dots + a_i)N \rceil$ dimensional affine subspaces of $\mathbb{R}^{c_i \lceil (a_1 + a_2 + \dots + a_i)N \rceil}$.

Indeed, let e_l^m , $l = 1, \dots, \lceil (a_1 + a_2 + \dots + a_i)N \rceil$, $m = 1, \dots, c_i$ be the standard base of $\mathbb{R}^{c_i \lceil (a_1 + a_2 + \dots + a_i)N \rceil}$. Define for every $I = \{i_1, i_2, \dots, i_{N'}\}$, $N' < \epsilon \lceil (a_1 + a_2 + \dots + a_i)N \rceil$, and every $\xi \in \{0, 1\}^{c_i \lceil (a_1 + a_2 + \dots + a_i)N \rceil}$

$$C(I, \xi, i) = \text{span}\{e_l^m : l \in I, 1 \leq m \leq c_i\} + \xi.$$

Then by (8),

$$f_N^i(X_1) \subset \bigcup_{|I| < \epsilon \lceil (a_1 + a_2 + \dots + a_i)N \rceil, \xi} C(I, \xi, i).$$

It is obvious that f_N^i is $\bigvee_{j=0}^{\lceil (a_1+a_2+\dots+a_i)N \rceil - 1} T_1^{-j} \beta_i$ -compatible. According to Proposition 2.8, we have

$$D\left(\bigvee_{j=0}^{\lceil (a_1+a_2+\dots+a_i)N \rceil - 1} T_1^{-j} \beta_i\right) < \epsilon c_i \lceil (a_1 + a_2 + \dots + a_i)N \rceil.$$

By subadditive of $D(\alpha)$, we thus get

$$\begin{aligned} D\left(\bigvee_{i=1}^k \bigvee_{j=0}^{\lceil (a_1+a_2+\dots+a_i)N \rceil - 1} T_1^{-j} \beta_i\right) &\leq \sum_{i=1}^k D\left(\bigvee_{j=0}^{\lceil (a_1+a_2+\dots+a_i)N \rceil - 1} T_1^{-j} \beta_i\right) \\ &\leq \sum_{i=1}^k \epsilon c_i \lceil (a_1 + a_2 + \dots + a_i)N \rceil. \end{aligned}$$

Since $\sum_{i=1}^k c_i < \infty$, it follows that (X_1, T_1) has zero weighted mean dimension. \square

5 Example

Example 5.1. Put $\mathbf{a} = (1, 1)$. Assume that $X_1 = ([0, 1]^2)^{\mathbb{Z}}$ and $X_2 = [0, 1]^{\mathbb{Z}}$. Define $T_1 : X_1 \rightarrow X_1$ by $T_1((x_m)_{m \in \mathbb{Z}}) = (x_{m+1})_{m \in \mathbb{Z}}$, and define $T_2 : X_2 \rightarrow X_2$ by $T_2((a_m)_{m \in \mathbb{Z}}) = (a_{m+1})_{m \in \mathbb{Z}}$. Let τ_1 be the canonical projection from X_1 to X_2 , that is to say, $\tau_1((x_m^1, x_m^2)_{m \in \mathbb{Z}}) = (x_m^1)_{m \in \mathbb{Z}}$. Then (X_2, T_2) is the factor of (X_1, T_1) associated with the factor map τ_1 . We define a distance d_1 on X_1 by

$$d_1(x, y) = \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}} 2^{-|m|} d'(x_m, y_m), \quad (x = (x_m)_{m \in \mathbb{Z}}, y = (y_m)_{m \in \mathbb{Z}} \in X_1),$$

where $d'(s, t) = \max\{|s_1 - t_1|, |s_2 - t_2|\}$ is a distance on $[0, 1]^2$. We define a distance d_2 on X_2 by

$$d_2(a, b) = \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}} 2^{-|m|} |a_m - b_m|, \quad (a = (a_m)_{m \in \mathbb{Z}}, b = (b_m)_{m \in \mathbb{Z}} \in X_2).$$

We calculate the weighted metric mean dimension of X_1 . Let $\epsilon > 0$ and set $l = \lfloor \log_2(\frac{4}{\epsilon}) \rfloor$. Then $\sum_{|n| \leq l} 2^{-|n|} \leq \frac{\epsilon}{2}$. We consider an open covering of $[0, 1]^2$ by

$$D_{\frac{\epsilon}{6}}(k, k') = \left(\frac{(k-1)\epsilon}{12}, \frac{(k+1)\epsilon}{12}\right) \times \left(\frac{(k'-1)\epsilon}{12}, \frac{(k'+1)\epsilon}{12}\right), \quad 1 \leq k, k' \leq \lfloor \frac{12}{\epsilon} \rfloor.$$

For $n \geq 1$, consider

$$([0, 1]^2)^{\mathbb{Z}} = \bigcup_{\substack{1 \leq k_{-l}, \dots, k_{2n+l} \leq \frac{12}{\epsilon} \\ 1 \leq k'_{-l}, \dots, k'_{2n+l} \leq \frac{12}{\epsilon}}} \{x | x_{-l} \in D_{\frac{\epsilon}{6}}(k_{-l}, k'_{-l}), \dots, x_{2n+l} \in D_{\frac{\epsilon}{6}}(k_{2n+l}, k'_{2n+l})\}.$$

We define $\sharp(X, d, \epsilon)$ as the minimum cardinality N of the open covering $\{U_1, \dots, U_N\}$ of X such that all U_n have diameter smaller than ϵ . Each open set in the right hand side has diameter less than ϵ with respect to the distance d_n^a . Hence

$$\sharp([0, 1]^{\mathbb{Z}}, d_n^a, \epsilon) \leq (1 + \lfloor \frac{12}{\epsilon} \rfloor)^{4n+4l+2} = (1 + \lfloor \frac{12}{\epsilon} \rfloor)^{4n+4\lfloor \log_2(\frac{4}{\epsilon}) \rfloor + 2}.$$

On the other hand, any two distinct points in the sets

$$\{x \in ([0, 1]^2)^{\mathbb{Z}} \mid x_m \in (k_1, k_2) \text{ for all } 0 \leq m < 2n\}$$

where $k_i \in \{0, \epsilon, 2\epsilon, \dots, \lfloor \frac{1}{\epsilon} \rfloor \epsilon\}$, have distance $\geq \epsilon$ with respect to d_n^a . It follows that $\sharp([0, 1]^{\mathbb{Z}}, \epsilon) \geq (1 + \lfloor \frac{1}{\epsilon} \rfloor)^{4n}$. Therefore

$$S(X_1, \epsilon) = \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \log \sharp(X_1, d_n^a, \epsilon) \sim 4|\log \epsilon| \quad (\epsilon \rightarrow 0).$$

Thus $\text{mdim}_M^a(X_1, d) = \text{mdim}_M^{(1,1)}(X_1, d) = 4$.

References

- [1] R. Adler, A. Konheim and M. McAndrew, Topological entropy, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **114** (1965), 309-319.
- [2] J. Auslander, Minimal flows and their extensions, *North Holland*, 1988.
- [3] J. Barral, D. Feng, Weighted theormodynamic formalism on subshifts and applications, *Asian J. Math.* **16** (2012), 319-352.
- [4] R. Bowen, Entropy for group endomorphisms and homogeneous spaces, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **153** (1971), 401-414.
- [5] R. Bowen, Topological entropy for noncompact sets, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **184** (1973), 125-136.
- [6] M. Coornaert, Topological Dimension and Dynamical Systems, *Universitext*, 2015.
- [7] E. Dinaburg, The relation between topological entropy and metric entropy, *Soviet Math.* **11** (1970), 13-16.
- [8] D. Feng, Equilibrium states for factor maps between subshifts, *Adv. Math.* **226** (2011), 2470-2502.
- [9] D. Feng, W. Huang, Variational principle for weighted topological pressure, *J. Math. Pures Appl.* **106** (2016), 411-452.
- [10] M. Gromov, Topological invariants of dynamical systems and spaces of holomorphic maps, *I. Math. Phys. Anal. Geom.* **2** (1999), 323-415.

- [11] Y. Gutman, M. Tsukamoto, Embedding minimal dynamical systems into Hilbert cubes, *Invent. math.* **174** (2020).
- [12] Y. Gutman, E. Lindenstrauss and M. Tsukamoto, Mean dimension of \mathbb{Z}^k -actions, *Geom. Funct. Anal.* **26** (2016), 778-817.
- [13] Y. Gutman, Y. Qiao and M. Tsukamoto, Application of signal analysis to the embedding problem of \mathbb{Z}^k -actions, *Geom. Funct. Anal.* **29** (2019), 1440-1502.
- [14] R. Kenyoun, Y. Peres, Measures of full dimension on affine-invariant sets, *Ergod. Theory Dyn. Syst.* **16** (1996), 307-323.
- [15] E. Lindenstrauss, Mean dimension, small entropy factors and an embedding theorem, *Inst. Hautes Études Sci. Publ. Math.* **89** (1999), 227-262.
- [16] E. Lindenstrauss, B. Weiss, Mean topological dimension, *Israel J. Math.* **115** (2000), 1-24.
- [17] E. Lindenstrauss, M. Tsukamoto, Mean dimension and an embedding problem: an example, *Israel J. Math.* **199** (2014), 573-584.
- [18] X. Shao, R. Lu and C. Zhao, Multifractal analysis of weighted local entropies, *Chaos Soliton Fract.* **96** (2017), 1-7.