

ON NON-ABELIAN QUADRIRATIONAL YANG-BAXTER MAPS

PAVLOS KASSOTAKIS AND THEODOROS KOULOUKAS

*Dedicated to the Memory of
Aristophanes Dimakis, 1953 – 2021*

ABSTRACT. We introduce four lists of families of non-abelian quadrirational Yang-Baxter maps.

Keywords: Yang-Baxter maps, non-abelian integrable difference systems

1. INTRODUCTION

In the recent years there is a growing interest in deriving and extending discrete integrable systems to the non-abelian domain. At the same time there is an intrinsic connection of discrete integrable systems with Yang-Baxter maps [1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11]. Although very important examples of non-commutative Yang-Baxter maps exist in the literature [12, 13, 14, 1, 15, 16, 17, 18], the non-abelian counterparts of the Harrison map [2], a.k.a. the nonlinear superposition formula for the Bäcklund transformation of the Ernst equation [19], referred to as H_I in [20], and of the F_I [1] quadrirational Yang-Baxter maps are not known. The Harrison map H_I as well as the F_I map are the canonical forms of the generic maps (*top* members) of two non-equivalent lists of families of Yang-Baxter maps with five members each, the H -list and the F -list respectively. The maps H_I and F_I are considered top members of the corresponding lists since the remaining members can arise through degeneracies.

In this article we provide explicitly the non-abelian avatars of the H_I and the F_I Yang-Baxter maps, which participate as the generic maps of what we will call the \mathcal{H} -list and the \mathcal{F} -list respectively. In addition we provide the generic maps of two additional non-equivalent lists that we refer to as the \mathcal{K} -list and the Λ -list. The generic members of \mathcal{K} -list and the Λ -list in the abelian setting are both equivalent to the H_I Yang-Baxter map, which is not the case in the non-abelian setting as we show. We start this article with a short introduction followed by Section 2 where the basic definitions used throughout this paper are introduced. In addition, we provide the non-abelian version of the so-called Adler map as a motivating example. In Section 3, via a Lax pair formulation, we derive the non-abelian versions of the the top members of the \mathcal{K} , Λ , \mathcal{H} and \mathcal{F} lists of families of quadrirational Yang-Baxter maps. In full extend these lists are presented in Appendix A. Finally, we conclude this article in Section 4 where we present some ideas for further research.

Date: September 30, 2021.

2020 Mathematics Subject Classification. 37K60, 39A14, 37K10, 16T25.

2. DEFINITIONS AND A NON-ABELIAN EXTENSION OF THE ADLER MAP

Let \mathbb{X} be any set. We proceed with the following definitions.

Definition 1. *The maps $R : \mathbb{X} \times \mathbb{X} \rightarrow \mathbb{X} \times \mathbb{X}$ and $\widehat{R} : \mathbb{X} \times \mathbb{X} \rightarrow \mathbb{X} \times \mathbb{X}$ will be called *YB equivalent* if it exists a bijection $\kappa : \mathbb{X} \rightarrow \mathbb{X}$ such that $(\kappa \times \kappa) R = \widehat{R} (\kappa \times \kappa)$.*

Definition 2. *A bijection $\phi : \mathbb{X} \rightarrow \mathbb{X}$ will be called *symmetry of the map $R : \mathbb{X} \times \mathbb{X} \rightarrow \mathbb{X} \times \mathbb{X}$* , if $(\phi \times \phi) R = R (\phi \times \phi)$.*

Definition 3 (Yang-Baxter map). *A map $R : \mathbb{X} \times \mathbb{X} \ni (u, v) \mapsto (x, y) = (x(u, v), y(u, v)) \in \mathbb{X} \times \mathbb{X}$, will be called *Yang-Baxter map* if it satisfies the Yang-Baxter relation*

$$R_{12} \circ R_{13} \circ R_{23} = R_{23} \circ R_{13} \circ R_{12},$$

where $R_{i,j}$ $i, j \in \{1, 2, 3\}$, denotes the action of the map R on the i -th and the j -th factor of $\mathbb{X} \times \mathbb{X} \times \mathbb{X}$, i.e. $R_{12} : (u, v, w) \mapsto (x(u, v), y(u, v), w)$, $R_{13} : (u, v, w) \mapsto (x(u, w), v, z(u, w))$, and $R_{23} : (u, v, w) \mapsto (u, y(v, w), z(v, w))$.

Alternatively we can use the definition of *3D-compatible maps* [1]. Let $F : \mathbb{X} \times \mathbb{X} \mapsto \mathbb{X} \times \mathbb{X}$, be a map and F_{ij} $i < j \in \{1, 2, 3\}$, be the maps that act as F on the i -th and j -th factor of $\mathbb{X} \times \mathbb{X} \times \mathbb{X}$. For this definition it is convenient to denote these maps in components as follows

$$F_{ij} : (u^i, u^j, u^k) \mapsto (u_j^i, u_i^j, u^k) = (u_j^i(u^i, u^j), u_i^j(u^i, u^j), u^k), \quad i \neq j \neq k \neq i \in \{1, 2, 3\}.$$

Definition 4 (3D-compatible map [1]). *A map $F : \mathbb{X} \times \mathbb{X} \rightarrow \mathbb{X} \times \mathbb{X}$ will be called *3D-compatible map* if it holds $u_{jk}^i = u_{kj}^i$ i.e.*

$$u_j^i \left(u_k^i(u^i, u^k), u_k^j(u^j, u^k) \right) = u_k^i \left(u_j^i(u^i, u^j), u_j^k(u^k, u^j) \right), \quad i \neq j \neq k \neq i \in \{1, 2, 3\}.$$

Remark 2.1. *YB equivalency respects the Yang-Baxter property as well as 3D-compatibility.*

The following proposition was considered in [20].

Proposition 2.2. *Let $\phi : \mathbb{X} \rightarrow \mathbb{X}$ a symmetry of the Yang-Baxter map $R : \mathbb{X} \times \mathbb{X} \rightarrow \mathbb{X} \times \mathbb{X}$. Then the map*

$$\widehat{R} = (\phi^{-1} \times id) R (id \times \phi),$$

is also a Yang-Baxter map.

Clearly the Yang-Baxter maps R and \widehat{R} are not *YB equivalent*. Hence, given a Yang-Baxter map and a symmetry of this map, one can introduce another Yang-Baxter map not equivalent with the original. Note that the same holds true for *3D-compatible maps*.

Definition 5 ([21, 1]). *A map $R : \mathbb{X} \times \mathbb{X} \ni (u, v) \mapsto (x, y) \in \mathbb{X} \times \mathbb{X}$ will be called *quadrirational*, if both the map R and the so called companion map $cR : \mathbb{X} \times \mathbb{X} \ni (x, v) \mapsto (u, y) \in \mathbb{X} \times \mathbb{X}$, are *birational maps*.*

The notion of *quadrirational maps* first appeared in [21] under the name *non-degenerate rational maps*. Non-degenerate rational maps were renamed *quadrirational maps* in [1].

Remark 2.3. *The companion map of a quadrirational Yang-Baxter map is a 3D-compatible map. The converse also holds i.e. the companion map of a quadrirational 3D-compatible map is a Yang-Baxter map.*

Definition 6 ([22, 23]). *The matrix $L(x; \lambda)$*

- (1) *is called a Lax matrix of the Yang-Baxter map $R : (u, v) \mapsto (x, y)$, if the relation $R(u, v) = (x, y)$ implies that $L(u; \lambda)L(v; \lambda) = L(y; \lambda)L(x; \lambda)$ for all λ ;*
- (2) *is called a Lax matrix of the companion map $cR : (x, v) \mapsto (u, y)$, if the relation $cR(x, v) = (u, y)$ implies that $L(u; \lambda)L(v; \lambda) = L(y; \lambda)L(x; \lambda)$ for all λ . $L(x; \lambda)$ is called a strong Lax matrix of cR if the converse also holds.*

For the rest of the article we consider the set \mathbb{X} to be $\mathbb{D} \times \mathbb{D}$, where \mathbb{D} is a non-commutative division ring, i.e. an associative algebra with a multiplicative identity element denoted by 1 and every non-zero element x of \mathbb{D} has a unique multiplicative inverse denoted by x^{-1} s.t. $xx^{-1} = x^{-1}x = 1$.

2.1. Non-abelian extension of the Adler map. A prototypical example of a Yang-Baxter map on $\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^1$ is the so-called Adler map (or H_V) that was introduced in [24]. The Adler map reads:

$$H_V : (u, v) \mapsto (x, y) = \left(v - \frac{p-q}{u+v}, u + \frac{p-q}{u+v} \right).$$

For the rest of this Section and in the Propositions that follow, we extend the Adler map and its companion on $\mathbb{X} \times \mathbb{X}$, where $\mathbb{X} := \mathbb{D} \times \mathbb{D}$.

Proposition 2.4. *The map $c\mathcal{H}_V : (x^1, x^2, v^1, v^2) \mapsto (u^1, u^2, y^1, y^2)$, where*

$$u^1 = (v^1(v^1 - x^1) + v^2v^1 - x^2x^1)(x^1 - v^1)^{-1}, \quad u^2 = (v^1 - x^1)x^2x^1((v^1 + x^2)x^1 - (v^1 + v^2)v^1)^{-1},$$

$$y^1 = (x^1(x^1 - x^1) + x^2x^1 - v^2v^1)(v^1 - x^1)^{-1}, \quad y^2 = (x^1 - v^1)v^2v^1((x^1 + v^2)v^1 - (x^1 + x^2)x^1)^{-1},$$

- (1) *has as symmetry the bijection $\phi : (z^1, z^2) \mapsto (-z^1, -z^2)$;*
- (2) *has as strong Lax matrix the matrix*

$$L(x^1, x^2; \lambda) = \begin{pmatrix} x^1 & (x^1 + x^2)x^1 - \lambda \\ 1 & x^1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad (1)$$

where we assume that the spectral parameter λ belongs to the center $C(\mathbb{D})$ of the algebra \mathbb{D} , i.e. it commutes with every element of \mathbb{D} ;

- (3) *it is a 3D-compatible map.*

Proof. It is easy to verify that $(\phi \times \phi)c\mathcal{H}_V = c\mathcal{H}_V(\phi \times \phi)$ and that proves that the bijection ϕ is a symmetry of $c\mathcal{H}_V$. As a consequence, the map $(u^i, v^i, x^i, y^i) \mapsto (-u^i, -v^i, -x^i, -y^i)$, $\forall i \in \{1, 2\}$, leaves invariant the compatibility conditions (2)-(5).

Let us now prove item (2). From the Lax equation $L(u^1, u^2; \lambda)L(v^1, v^2; \lambda) = L(y^1, y^2; \lambda)L(x^1, x^2; \lambda)$, we obtain the following compatibility conditions

$$u^1 + v^1 = y^1 + x^1, \quad (2)$$

$$u^1v^1 + (v^1 + v^2)v^1 = y^1x^1 + (x^1 + x^2)x^1, \quad (3)$$

$$(u^1 + u^2)u^1 + u^1v^1 = (y^1 + y^2)y^1 + y^1x^1, \quad (4)$$

$$(u^1 + u^2)u^1v^1 + u^1(v^1 + v^2)v^1 = (y^1 + y^2)y^1x^1 + y^1(x^1 + x^2)x^1. \quad (5)$$

First note that the compatibility conditions (2)-(5) are symmetric under the interchange

$$(u^1, u^2, v^1, v^2) \leftrightarrow (y^1, y^2, x^1, x^2). \quad (6)$$

Equations (2), (3) are linear in u^1, y^1 , and do not include u^2, y^2 , so the latter can be easily solved for u^1, y^1 . Specifically, by eliminating y^1 from (2), (3), we obtain

$$u^1 = (v^1(v^1 - x^1) + v^2v^1 - x^2x^1) (x^1 - v^1)^{-1}. \quad (7)$$

Applying (6) to (7) we get

$$y^1 = (x^1(x^1 - v^1) + x^2x^1 - v^2v^1) (v^1 - x^1)^{-1}. \quad (8)$$

Substituting (7),(8) to (4),(5) and by solving them we obtain

$$y^2 = (x^1 - v^1)v^2v^1 (x^1(v^1 - x^1) + v^2v^1 - x^2x^1)^{-1}, \quad (9)$$

$$u^2 = (v^1 - x^1)x^2x^1 (v^1(x^1 - v^1) + x^2x^1 - v^2v^1)^{-1}. \quad (10)$$

Equations (7),(8), (9) and (10), coincide with the defining relations of the map $c\mathcal{H}_V$ of the proposition. Moreover, (7),(8), (9) and (10) is the unique solution of the compatibility conditions (2)-(5), so the Lax matrix $L(x^1, x^2; \lambda)$ is strong.

The 3D-compatibility of $c\mathcal{H}_V$ can be proven by direct computation. Alternatively, by using the fact that $L(x^1, x^2; \lambda)$ is strong, from [23, 25] the 3D-compatibility follows. \square

In the commutative setting where all variables are considered elements of the center of the algebra \mathbb{D} , from the defining relations of $c\mathcal{H}_V$ we obtain that

$$u^2u^1 = x^2x^1, \quad y^2y^1 = v^2v^1,$$

so the products x^2x^1 and v^2v^1 are invariants of $c\mathcal{H}_V$. Clearly the latter are no longer invariants of the map in the non-commutative setting. Nevertheless, if we assume that the products x^2x^1 and v^2v^1 belong to the center of the algebra \mathbb{D} , i.e. they commute with all elements of \mathbb{D} , then these products are invariants of the map. This assumption is referred to as *centrality assumption* and it was firstly introduced in [26, 16] where it played an essential role in obtaining the companion map of the so-called *N-periodic reduction of the KP map*.

From further on, when we refer to the centrality assumption for a map $F : (z^1, z^2, w^1, w^2) \mapsto (\bar{z}^1, \bar{z}^2, \bar{w}^1, \bar{w}^2)$ we refer to

$$z^2z^1 = p \in C(\mathbb{D}), \quad w^2w^1 = q \in C(\mathbb{D}), \quad (11)$$

where $C(\mathbb{D})$ the center of the algebra \mathbb{D} . Note that as a consequence of (11), we have the commutativity relations $z^2z^1 = z^1z^2$, $w^2w^1 = w^1w^2$.

Proposition 2.5. *Under the centrality assumption, the map $c\mathcal{H}_V$ of Proposition 2.4 is quadrirational with companion map that reads $\mathcal{H}_V : (u^1, u^2, v^1, v^2) \mapsto (x^1, x^2, y^1, y^2)$, where*

$$\begin{aligned} x^1 &= (u^1 + v^1)^{-1} ((u^1 + v^1)v^1 + v^2v^1 - u^2u^1), & x^2 &= u^2u^1 ((u^1 + v^1)v^1 + v^2v^1 - u^2u^1)^{-1} (u^1 + v^1), \\ y^1 &= (u^1 + v^1)^{-1} ((u^1 + v^1)u^1 + u^2u^1 - v^2v^1), & y^2 &= v^2v^1 ((u^1 + v^1)u^1 + u^2u^1 - v^2v^1)^{-1} (u^1 + v^1). \end{aligned}$$

The map \mathcal{H}_V is a Yang-Baxter map.

Proof. Note that under the centrality assumption (11), from the map $c\mathcal{H}_V$ of Proposition 2.4 we obtain

$$x^2x^1 = u^2u^1 = p \in C(\mathbb{D}), \quad y^2y^1 = v^2v^1 = q \in C(\mathbb{D}). \quad (12)$$

The first defining relation of $c\mathcal{H}_V$ of Proposition 2.4 reads

$$u^1 = -v^1 + (v^2v^1 - x^2x^1)(x^1 - v^1)^{-1},$$

by using $x^2x^1 = u^2u^1$ from (12), we can solve for x^1 to obtain

$$x^1 = (u^1 + v^1)^{-1} ((u^1 + v^1)v^1 + v^2v^1 - u^2u^1), \quad (13)$$

namely the first defining relation of \mathcal{H}_V mapping. Now we can substitute (13) to the second defining relation of $c\mathcal{H}_V$ and solve for x^2 in terms of u^i, v^i , $i = 1, 2$, or equivalently from (3) of the compatibility conditions, by using again $x^2x^1 = u^2u^1$, we obtain the second defining relation of \mathcal{H}_V mapping, namely

$$x^2 = u^2u^1 ((u^1 + v^1)v^1 + v^2v^1 - u^2u^1)^{-1} (u^1 + v^1). \quad (14)$$

Now we substitute (13) and (14) to the third and fourth defining relation of $c\mathcal{H}_V$ of Proposition 2.4, to obtain

$$y^1 = (u^1 + v^1)^{-1} ((u^1 + v^1)u^1 + u^2u^1 - v^2v^1), \quad (15)$$

$$y^2 = v^2v^1 ((u^1 + v^1)u^1 + u^1u^1 - v^2v^1)^{-1} (u^1 + v^1). \quad (16)$$

(13)-(16) constitute the defining relations of H_V mapping and that completes the first part of the proof.

The proof that \mathcal{H}_V is a Yang-Baxter map follows from the fact that it is the companion of a $3D$ -compatible map. □

The \mathcal{H}_V map serves as the non-abelian form of the Adler map (H_V). This is apparent since under the change of variables $(u, p, v, q) = (u^1, u^1u^2, v^1, v^1v^2)$, \mathcal{H}_V reads

$$\mathcal{H}_V : (u, p, v, q) \mapsto (x, p, y, q),$$

where

$$x = v + (u + v)^{-1}(q - p), \quad y = u + (u + v)^{-1}(p - q),$$

that clearly coincides with the Adler map in the commutative case.

3. NON-ABELIAN EXTENSION OF QUADRIRATIONAL YANG-BAXTER MAPS

Proposition 3.1. *Provided the centrality assumptions (11), the map*

$$c\mathcal{K}_{a,b,c} : (x^1, x^2, v^1, v^2) \mapsto (u^1, u^2, y^1, y^2),$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} u^1 &= (b - cv^1)(x^2 - v^2)x^1 (x^1 - v^1)^{-1} (a - cv^2)^{-1}, \\ u^2 &= (a - cv^2)(x^1 - v^1)x^2 (x^2 - v^2)^{-1} (b - cv^1)^{-1}, \\ y^1 &= (b - cx^1)(x^2 - v^2)v^1 (x^1 - v^1)^{-1} (a - cx^2)^{-1}, \\ y^2 &= (a - cx^2)(x^1 - v^1)v^2 (x^2 - v^2)^{-1} (b - cx^1)^{-1}, \end{aligned}$$

with $a, b, c \in C(\mathbb{D})$ and neither a, c nor b, c simultaneously zero,

(1) has as symmetries the bijections

$$\psi : (z^1, z^2) \mapsto \left(\frac{b}{a}z^2, \frac{a}{b}z^1 \right), \quad (17)$$

$$\phi : (z^1, z^2) \mapsto \left(\frac{b}{a}(a - cz^2)z^1(cz^1 - b)^{-1}, \frac{a}{b}(b - cz^1)z^2(cz^2 - a)^{-1} \right); \quad (18)$$

(2) has as strong Lax matrix the matrix

$$L(x^1, x^2; \lambda) = \begin{pmatrix} ax^1 - cx^2x^1 & \lambda(b - cx^1) \\ a - cx^2 & bx^2 - cx^1x^2 \end{pmatrix}, \quad (19)$$

where the spectral parameter $\lambda \in C(\mathbb{D})$;

(3) it is a 3D-compatible map;

(4) it is quadrirational and its companion map reads

$$\mathcal{K}_{a,b,c} : (u^1, u^2, v^1, v^2) \mapsto (x^1, x^2, y^1, y^2),$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} x^1 &= (au^1 + bv^2 - c(v^1v^2 + u^1v^2))^{-1} u^1 (av^1 + bu^2 - c(v^2v^1 + u^2v^1)), \\ x^2 &= (bu^2 + av^1 - c(v^2v^1 + u^2v^1))^{-1} u^2 (bv^2 + au^1 - c(v^1v^2 + u^1v^2)), \\ y^1 &= (au^1 + bv^2 - c(u^1u^2 + u^1v^2)) v^1 (bu^2 + av^1 - c(u^2u^1 + u^2v^1))^{-1}, \\ y^2 &= (bu^2 + av^1 - c(u^2u^1 + u^2v^1)) v^2 (au^1 + bv^2 - c(u^1u^2 + u^1v^2))^{-1}. \end{aligned}$$

(5) The map $\mathcal{K}_{a,b,c}$ is a Yang-Baxter map.

Proof. First note that as a consequence of the centrality assumption (11), from the map $c\mathcal{K}_{a,b,c}$ we obtain

$$x^2x^1 = u^2u^1 = p \in C(\mathbb{D}), \quad y^2y^1 = v^2v^1 = q \in C(\mathbb{D}). \quad (20)$$

One can verify that $(\phi \times \phi) c\mathcal{K}_{a,b,c} = c\mathcal{K}_{a,b,c} (\phi \times \phi)$, as well as $(\psi \times \psi) c\mathcal{K}_{a,b,c} = c\mathcal{K}_{a,b,c} (\psi \times \psi)$ and that proves that the bijections ϕ and ψ are a symmetries of $c\mathcal{K}_{a,b,c}$. It can also easily shown that $\psi^2 = \phi^2 = id$, so $\psi^{-1} = \psi$, $\phi^{-1} = \phi$, provided (20) holds.

From the Lax equation $L(u^1, u^2; \lambda)L(v^1, v^2; \lambda) = L(y^1, y^2; \lambda)L(x^1, x^2; \lambda)$, we obtain the following compatibility conditions

$$(b - cu^1)(a - cv^2) = (b - cy^1)(a - cx^2), \quad (21)$$

$$(a - cu^2)(b - cv^1) = (a - cy^2)(b - cx^1), \quad (22)$$

$$(b - cu^1)u^2(b - cv^1)v^2 = (b - cy^1)y^2(b - cx^1)x^2, \quad (23)$$

$$(a - cu^2)u^1(a - cv^2)v^1 = (a - cy^2)y^1(a - cx^2)x^1, \quad (24)$$

$$(b - cu^1)u^2(a - cv^2) + (a - cu^2)(a - cv^2)v^1 = (b - cy^1)y^2(a - cx^2) + (a - cy^2)(a - cx^2)x^1, \quad (25)$$

$$(a - cu^2)u^1(b - cv^1) + (b - cu^1)(b - cv^1)v^2 = (a - cy^2)y^1(b - cx^1) + (b - cy^1)(b - cx^1)x^2. \quad (26)$$

The system of equations (21)-(24) determines uniquely u^i, y^i as functions of v^i, x^i , $i = 1, 2$ i.e. the defining relations of the map $c\mathcal{K}_{a,b,c}$ of this Proposition. Then, substituting $c\mathcal{K}_{a,b,c}$ into (25),(26), the latter are satisfied provided (20) holds. So $c\mathcal{K}_{a,b,c}$ is uniquely determined by (21)-(26) and that proves that (19) serves as a strong Lax matrix of $c\mathcal{K}_{a,b,c}$.

Using [22], the proof that $\mathcal{K}_{a,b,c}$ is a Yang-Baxter map, follows directly from its Lax representation and the fact that it can be written as the projective action

$$x^1 = [u^1]L(v^1, v^2, u^2u^1), \quad x^2 = [u^2]L(v^1, v^2, u^1u^2), \quad y^1 = L(u^1, u^2, v^2v^1)[v^1], \quad y^2 = L(u^1, u^2, v^2v^1)[v^2],$$

where

$$\begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} [x] := (ax + b)(cx + d)^{-1}, \quad [x] \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} := (xc + d)^{-1}(xa + b).$$

Then the $3D$ -compatibility of $c\mathcal{K}_{a,b,c}$ follows, since it serves as the companion map of a Yang-Baxter map. □

The following remarks are in order.

- For $a, b, c \geq 0$, the map $\mathcal{K}_{a,b,-c}$, is a totally positive Yang-Baxter map.
- Under the change of variables $(u, p, v, q) = (u^1, u^1u^2, v^1, v^1v^2)$, the symmetries ϕ, ψ , obtain the form:

$$\psi : (u, p) \mapsto \left(\frac{b}{a}pu^{-1}, p\right), \quad (27)$$

$$\phi : (u, p) \mapsto \left(\frac{b}{a}(au - cp)(cu - b)^{-1}, p\right), \quad (28)$$

also $\mathcal{K}_{a,b,c}$ reads:

$$\mathcal{K}_{a,b,c} : (u, p, v, q) \mapsto (x, p, y, q), \quad (29)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} x &= v(auv + bq - cq(u + v))^{-1}(auv + bp - c(qu + pv)), \\ y &= (auv + bq - c(pv + qu))(auv + bp - cp(u + v))^{-1}u. \end{aligned}$$

In the following Proposition, we use the symmetries ϕ and ψ , in order to obtain three additional non YB equivalent families of Yang-Baxter maps.

Proposition 3.2. *Let $\mathcal{K}_{a,b,c} : (u, p, v, q) \mapsto (x, p, y, q)$, be the Yang-Baxter map given in (29), with symmetries the bijections ψ, ϕ given in (27), (28). The maps $\Lambda_{a,b,c} := (\psi^{-1} \times id) \mathcal{K}_{a,b,c} (id \times \psi)$, $\mathcal{H}_{a,b,c} := (\phi^{-1} \times id) \mathcal{K}_{a,b,c} (id \times \phi)$, and $\mathcal{F}_{a,b,c} := (\psi^{-1} \circ \phi^{-1} \times id) \mathcal{K}_{a,b,c} (id \times \phi \circ \psi)$, where*

$$\begin{aligned} x &= pv (ab(qu + pv) - cq(bp + auv))^{-1} (ab(u + v) - c(bq + auv)), \\ y &= q (ab(u + v) - c(bp + auv)) (ab(qu + pv) - cp(bq + auv))^{-1} u, \end{aligned} \quad (\Lambda_{a,b,c})$$

$$\begin{aligned} x &= \left((auv - bq)(v - \frac{c}{a}q)^{-1} - (auv - bp)(v - \frac{b}{c})^{-1} \right)^{-1} \\ &\quad \left(p(auv - bq)(\frac{a}{c}v - q)^{-1} - (auv - bp)(\frac{c}{b}v - 1)^{-1} \right), \\ y &= (a(ab - c^2q)uv + abc(q - p)v + bq(c^2p - ab)) \\ &\quad (a(ab - c^2p)uv + abc(p - q)u + bp(c^2q - ab))^{-1} u, \end{aligned} \quad (\mathcal{H}_{a,b,c})$$

$$\begin{aligned} x &= p (cp(u - v)(b - cv)^{-1} - a(qu - pv)(cq - av)^{-1})^{-1} \\ &\quad (b(u - v)(b - cv)^{-1} - c(qu - pv)(cq - av)^{-1}), \\ y &= q ((ab - c^2q)u + bc(q - p) + (c^2p - ab)v) \\ &\quad (q(ab - c^2p)u + ac(p - q)uv + p(c^2q - ab)v)^{-1} u, \end{aligned} \quad (\mathcal{F}_{a,b,c})$$

are non-abelian quadrirational Yang-Baxter maps.

Proof. The proof follows directly by applying Proposition 2.2 to the map $\mathcal{K}_{a,b,c}$. \square

In the abelian setting and for generic a, b, c , it holds that $\mathcal{H}_{a,b,c}$ is related to $\mathcal{K}_{a,b,c}$ through the conjugation $\chi : z \mapsto (1 - z)^{-1}$ followed by $(p, q) \mapsto ((1 - p)^{-1}, (1 - q)^{-1})$ [20], i.e. $\mathcal{H}_{a,b,c} = \chi^{-1} \times \chi^{-1} \mathcal{K}_{a,b,c} \chi \times \chi$. Also, $\Lambda_{a,b,c}$ is related to $\mathcal{K}_{a,b,c}$ through the conjugation $\omega : z \mapsto z^{-1}$ followed by $(p, q) \mapsto (p^{-1}, q^{-1})$. So in the commutative setting essentially we have two non-equivalent families of Yang-Baxter maps, the family $\mathcal{H}_{a,b,c}$ and the family $\mathcal{F}_{a,b,c}$ which coincide with the families H and F in [1, 20]. In the non-abelian setting, the families $\mathcal{K}_{a,b,c}$, $\Lambda_{a,b,c}$ and $\mathcal{H}_{a,b,c}$ are no longer equivalent under conjugation. In the commutative diagram of Figure 1, we present the four families of quadrirational Yang-Baxter maps $\mathcal{K}_{a,b,c}$, $\Lambda_{a,b,c}$, $\mathcal{H}_{a,b,c}$, $\mathcal{F}_{a,b,c}$ and their interrelations in the abelian and the non-abelian setting respectively, for generic a, b and c .

As a final remark, note that for $a, b, c \geq 0$, the map $\Lambda_{a,b,-c}$, is a totally positive Yang-Baxter map.

4. CONCLUSIONS

In this article we used Lax formulation to introduce four lists of non-abelian quadrirational Yang-Baxter maps, by providing the canonical forms of the generic maps that correspond to each of these lists, namely the maps $\mathcal{F}_{1,1,1}$, $\mathcal{H}_{1,1,1}$, $\mathcal{K}_{1,1,1}$ and $\Lambda_{1,1,1}$. Various degenerations of these canonical maps led to the remaining members of the corresponding \mathcal{F} , \mathcal{H} , \mathcal{K} and Λ lists of non-abelian Yang-Baxter maps presented in Appendix A.

In the case of *entwining Yang-Baxter maps* [27], one can combine the results of this article together with the results of [28], to obtain non-abelian entwining Yang-Baxter maps associated with each member (apart \mathcal{F}_{IV}) of the \mathcal{F} , \mathcal{H} , \mathcal{K} and Λ lists.




Figure 1. The four families of quadrirational Yang-Baxter maps in the abelian and in the non-abelian setting. The morphisms Φ, Ψ , are respectively defined by $\Phi : R \rightarrow (\phi^{-1} \times id)R(id \times \phi)$ and $\Psi : R \rightarrow (\psi^{-1} \times id)R(id \times \psi)$, where ϕ, ψ the symmetries defined in (27),(28).

Finally note that the families of Yang-Baxter maps presented in this article serve as the lowest members of hierarchies of families of Yang-Baxter maps. For example the map $\mathcal{K}_{a,b,c}$ is the companion map (for $N=2$) of the following 3D-compatible hierarchy of maps [29]:

$$c\mathcal{K}_{a^1, \dots, a^N, c}^N : (x^1, \dots, x^N, v^1, \dots, v^N) \mapsto (u^1, \dots, u^N, y^1, \dots, y^N),$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} u^i &= (a^i - cv^i)(x^{i-1} - v^{i-1})x^i (x^i - v^i)^{-1} (a^{i-1} - cv^{i-1})^{-1}, \\ y^i &= (a^i - cx^i)(x^{i-1} - v^{i-1})v^i (x^i - v^i)^{-1} (a^{i-1} - cx^{i-1})^{-1}, \end{aligned} \quad i = 1, \dots, N,$$

with $a^i, c \in C(\mathbb{D})$ and $a^i, c \forall i \in \{1, \dots, N\}$ not simultaneously zero and the index i is considered modulo N . Note that

$$\psi_{a^1, \dots, a^N} : (z^1, \dots, z^i, \dots, z^N) \mapsto \left(\frac{a^1}{a^N} z^N, \dots, \frac{a^i}{a^i - 1} z^{i-1}, \dots, \frac{a^N}{a^{N-1}} z^{N-1} \right),$$

is a symmetry of $c\mathcal{K}_{a^1, \dots, a^N, c}^N$. The hierarchy $c\mathcal{K}_{a^1, \dots, a^N, c}^N$ with $c = 0$, and $a^i = 1, \forall i$, i.e. $c\mathcal{K}_{1, \dots, 1, 0}^N$, coincides with the so-called N -periodic reduction of the KP map and it was firstly considered in [26, 16] c.f. [30]. Whereas the non-equivalent hierarchy $(\psi_{1, \dots, 1}^{-1} \times id) c\mathcal{K}_{1, \dots, 1, 0}^N (id \times \psi_{1, \dots, 1})$ was firstly considered in [31]. Furthermore, since $c\mathcal{K}_{1, \dots, 1, 0}^N$ with $i \in \mathbb{Z}$ (or equivalently $N \rightarrow \infty$) is the non-abelian KP map (Hirota-Miwa map), interesting and open questions concern the underlying geometry and the identification of $c\mathcal{K}_{a^1, \dots, a^N, c}^N$ as an integrable difference system when $i \in \mathbb{Z}$ and for generic a^1, \dots, a^N and c .

Appendices

APPENDIX A. THE NON-ABELIAN $\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{K}, \Lambda$ AND \mathcal{H} LISTS

For generic a, b, c , the families of maps $\mathcal{F}_{a,b,c}, \mathcal{K}_{a,b,c}, \Lambda_{a,b,c}$ and $\mathcal{H}_{a,b,c}$, given in Proposition 3.2 and in (29) are considered as the generic maps of the $\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{K}, \Lambda$ and \mathcal{H} lists. For each generic map

various degeneracies can occur by demanding for instance the coalescence of singularities of the generic map when restricted in the abelian domain. Using as a guiding principle this coalescence of singularities, in what follows we present the non-Abelian \mathcal{F} list. Then from the \mathcal{F} -list and by using Proposition 2.2 we obtain the \mathcal{K} , Λ and \mathcal{H} lists.

The non-Abelian \mathcal{F} -list. The non-Abelian \mathcal{F} -list of quadrirational Yang-Baxter maps reads:

$$R : (u, p, v, q) \mapsto (x, p, y, q)$$

where:

$$\begin{aligned} x &= p \left(p(u-v)(1-v)^{-1} - (qu-pv)(q-v)^{-1} \right)^{-1} \\ &\quad \left((u-v)(1-v)^{-1} - (qu-pv)(q-v)^{-1} \right), \end{aligned} \quad (\mathcal{F}_I \equiv \mathcal{F}_{1,1,1})$$

$$y = q \left((1-q)u + q - p + (p-1)v \right) \left((1-p)u + (p-q)uv + p(q-1)v \right)^{-1} u,$$

$$\begin{aligned} x &= q^{-1}(1-v)(u-v)^{-1}(qu-pv+p-q)v(1-v)^{-1}, \\ y &= p^{-1}(qu-pv+p-q)(u-v)^{-1}u, \end{aligned} \quad (\mathcal{F}_{II} \equiv \mathcal{F}_{0,1,1})$$

$$\begin{aligned} x &= q^{-1}v(u-v)^{-1}(qu-pv), \\ y &= p^{-1}(qu-pv)(u-v)^{-1}u, \end{aligned} \quad (\mathcal{F}_{III} \equiv \mathcal{F}_{0,0,1})$$

$$\begin{aligned} x &= (u-v)^{-1}(u-v+p-q)v, \\ y &= (u-v+p-q)(u-v)^{-1}u, \end{aligned} \quad (\mathcal{F}_{IV})$$

$$\begin{aligned} x &= v + (p-q)(u-v)^{-1}, \\ y &= u + (p-q)(u-v)^{-1}, \end{aligned} \quad (\mathcal{F}_V)$$

Note that the \mathcal{F}_{IV} map is obtained from \mathcal{F}_{II} by setting

$$(x, y, u, v, p, q) \mapsto (1 + \epsilon x, 1 + \epsilon y, 1 + \epsilon u, 1 + \epsilon v, 1 + \epsilon p, 1 + \epsilon q)$$

and then sending $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$. Furthermore the \mathcal{F}_V map is obtained from \mathcal{F}_{IV} by setting

$$(x, y, u, v, p, q) \mapsto (1 + \epsilon x, 1 + \epsilon y, 1 + \epsilon u, 1 + \epsilon v, 1 + \epsilon^2 p, 1 + \epsilon^2 q)$$

and then sending $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$.

The non-abelian maps \mathcal{F}_{III} and \mathcal{F}_V were first introduced in [1].

The non-Abelian \mathcal{K} -list. The non-Abelian \mathcal{K} -list of quadrirational Yang-Baxter maps reads:

$$R : (u, p, v, q) \mapsto (x, p, y, q)$$

where:

$$\begin{aligned} x &= v(uv + q(1 - u - v))^{-1}(p + uv - qu - pv), \\ y &= (q + uv - qu + pv)(uv + p(1 - u - v))^{-1}u, \end{aligned} \quad (\mathcal{K}_I \equiv \mathcal{K}_{1,1,1})$$

$$\begin{aligned} x &= q^{-1}v(1 - u - v)^{-1}(p - qu - pv), \\ y &= p^{-1}(q - qu - pv)(1 - u - v)^{-1}u, \end{aligned} \quad (\mathcal{K}_{II} \equiv \mathcal{K}_{0,1,1})$$

$$\begin{aligned} x &= pv(qu + pv)^{-1}(u + v), \\ y &= q(u + v)(qu + pv)^{-1}u, \end{aligned} \quad (\mathcal{K}_{III})$$

The non-abelian map \mathcal{K}_{III} was first introduced in [31].

The non-Abelian Λ -list. The non-Abelian Λ -list of quadrirational Yang-Baxter maps reads:

$$R : (u, p, v, q) \mapsto (x, p, y, q)$$

where:

$$\begin{aligned} x &= pv(qu + pv - q(p + uv))^{-1}(u + v - q - uv), \\ y &= q(u + v - p - uv)(qu + pv - p(q + uv))^{-1}u, \end{aligned} \quad (\Lambda_I \equiv \Lambda_{1,1,1})$$

$$\begin{aligned} x &= pv(qu + pv - pq)^{-1}(u + v - q), \\ y &= q(u + v - p)(qu + pv - pq)^{-1}u, \end{aligned} \quad (\Lambda_{II})$$

$$\begin{aligned} x &= v(uv + q)^{-1}(uv + p), \\ y &= (uv + q)(uv + p)^{-1}u, \end{aligned} \quad (\Lambda_{III})$$

Note that Λ_{II} is obtained from $(\Lambda_{a,b,c})$ by setting $c \mapsto ab$ and then taking $a = 0$. We can take $b = 0$ instead, but the map we obtain is equivalent up to conjugation with $\chi(\alpha) : z \mapsto \alpha z^{-1}$, with Λ_{II} i.e. this map reads $(\chi(p) \times \chi(q)) \Lambda_{II} (\chi(p)^{-1} \times \chi(q)^{-1})$.

The non-abelian map Λ_{III} was first introduced in [16].

The non-Abelian \mathcal{H} -list. The non-Abelian \mathcal{H} -list of quadrirational Yang-Baxter maps reads:

$$R : (u, p, v, q) \mapsto (x, p, y, q)$$

where:

$$x = v \left((uv - q)(v - q)^{-1} - (uv - p)(v - 1)^{-1} \right)^{-1} \\ \left((uv - q)(v - q)^{-1} - (uv - p)(v - 1)^{-1} \right), \quad (\mathcal{H}_I \equiv \mathcal{H}_{1,1,1})$$

$$y = \left((1 - q)uv + (q - p)v + q(p - 1) \right) \left((1 - p)uv + (p - q)u + p(q - 1) \right)^{-1} u,$$

$$x = v(uv - q)^{-1}(uv - p), \quad (\mathcal{H}_{III}) \\ y = (uv - q)(uv - p)^{-1}u,$$

$$x = v - (p - q)(u + v)^{-1}, \quad (\mathcal{H}_V) \\ y = u + (p - q)(u + v)^{-1},$$

\mathcal{H}_V has the symmetry $u \mapsto -u$. Via this symmetry by using Proposition 2.2 we recover the \mathcal{F}_V map.

REFERENCES

- [1] V.E. Adler, A.I. Bobenko, and Yu.B. Suris. Geometry of Yang-Baxter maps: pencils of conics and quadrirational mappings. *Comm. Anal. Geom.*, 12(5):967–1007, 2004.
- [2] V.G. Papageorgiou, A.G. Tongas, and A.P. Veselov. Yang-Baxter maps and symmetries of integrable equations on quad-graphs. *J. Math. Phys.*, 47:Art. no. 083502, 2006.
- [3] V.G. Papageorgiou and A.G. Tongas. Yang-Baxter maps associated to elliptic curves. *arXiv:0906.3258*, 2009.
- [4] P. Kassotakis and M. Nieszporski. On non-multiaffine consistent-around-the-cube lattice equations. *Phys. Lett. A*, 376(45):3135–3140, 2012. arXiv:1106.0435.
- [5] J. Atkinson. Idempotent biquadratics, Yang-Baxter maps and birational representations of Coxeter groups. *arXiv:nlin/1301.4613*, 2013.
- [6] T.E. Kouloukas and V.G. Papageorgiou. 3D compatible ternary systems and Yang-Baxter maps. *J. Phys. A: Math. Theor.*, 45(34):345204, 2012.
- [7] T.E. Kouloukas and D.T. Tran. Poisson structures for lifts and periodic reductions of integrable lattice equations. *J. Phys. A: Math. Theor.*, 48(7):075202, 2015.
- [8] J. Atkinson and Y. Yamada. Quadrirational Yang-Baxter maps and the elliptic Cremona system. *arXiv:nlin/1804.01794*, 2018.
- [9] V.V. Bazhanov and S.M. Sergeev. Yang-Baxter maps, discrete integrable equations and quantum groups. *Nuclear Physics B*, 926:509 – 543, 2018.
- [10] P. Kassotakis, M. Nieszporski, V. Papageorgiou, and A. Tongas. Integrable two-component difference systems of equations. *Proc. R. Soc. A.*, 476:20190668, 2020.
- [11] A.P. Kels. Two-component Yang-Baxter maps associated to integrable quad equations. *arXiv:1910.03562v5 [math-ph]*, 2019.
- [12] K. Kajiwara, M. Noumi, and Y. Yamada. Discrete dynamical systems with $W(A_{m-1}^{(1)} \times A_{n-1}^{(1)})$ symmetry. *Lett. Math. Phys.*, 60:211–219, 2002.
- [13] V.M. Goncharenko. Multisoliton solutions of the matrix KdV equation. *Theor. Math. Phys.*, 126(1):81–91, 2001.
- [14] V. M. Goncharenko and A. P. Veselov. Yang-baxter maps and matrix solitons. In A. B. Shabat, A. González-López, M. Mañas, L. Martínez Alonso, and M. A. Rodríguez, editors, *New Trends in Integrability and Partial Solvability*, pages 191–197. Springer Netherlands, 2004.
- [15] A.P. Veselov. Yang-Baxter maps and integrable dynamics. *Phys. Lett. A*, 314:214–221, 2003.
- [16] A. Doliwa. Non-commutative rational Yang-Baxter maps. *Lett. Math. Phys.*, 104:299–309, 2014.
- [17] A. Dimakis and F. Müller-Hoissen. Matrix KP: tropical limit and Yang-Baxter maps. *Lett. Math. Phys.*, 109(4):799–827, 2019.

- [18] A. Dimakis and I. G. Korepanov. Grassmannian-parameterized solutions to direct-sum polygon and simplex equations. *J. Math. Phys.*, 62(5):051701, 2021.
- [19] B. K. Harrison. Bäcklund transformation for the Ernst equation of general relativity. *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, 41:1197–1200, 1978.
- [20] V.G. Papageorgiou, Yu.B. Suris, A.G. Tongas, and A.P. Veselov. On quadrirational Yang-Baxter maps. *SIGMA*, 6:9pp, 2010.
- [21] P. Etingof. Geometric crystals and set-theoretical solutions to the quantum Yang-Baxter equation. *Commun. Algebra*, 31(4):1961–1973, 2003.
- [22] Yu. B. Suris and A.P. Veselov. Lax matrices for Yang-Baxter maps. *J. Nonlin. Math. Phys*, 10(2):223–230, 2003.
- [23] F.W. Nijhoff. Lax pair for the Adler (lattice Krichever-Novikov) system. *Phys. Lett. A*, 297:49–58, 2002.
- [24] V.E. Adler. Recutting of polygons. *Funct. Anal. Appl.*, 27(2):79–80, 1993.
- [25] A. I. Bobenko and Yu. B. Suris. Integrable noncommutative equations on quad-graphs. the consistency approach. *Lett. Math. Phys.*, 61(3):241–254, 2002.
- [26] A. Doliwa. Non-commutative lattice-modified Gel’fand-Dikii systems. *J. Phys. A: Math. Theor.*, 46(20):205202, 2013.
- [27] T.E. Kouloukas and V.G. Papageorgiou. Entwining Yang-Baxter maps and integrable lattices. *Banach Center Publ.*, 93:163–175, 2011.
- [28] P. Kassotakis. Invariants in separated variables: Yang-Baxter, entwining and transfer maps. *SIGMA*, 15(048):36pp, 2019.
- [29] P. Kassotakis. In preparation. 2021.
- [30] A. Doliwa and M. Noumi. The Coxeter relations and KP map for non-commuting symbols. *Lett. Math. Phys.*, 110:2743–2762, 2020.
- [31] P. Kassotakis. Discrete lax pairs and hierarchies of integrable difference systems. *arXiv:nlin/2104.14529*, 2021.

PAVLOS KASSOTAKIS, —NICOSIA, CYPRUS
Email address: pavlos1978@gmail.com

THEODOROS KOULOUKAS, SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICS AND PHYSICS, UNIVERSITY OF LINCOLN, LINCOLN LN6
 7TS, U.K.

Email address: TKouloukas@lincoln.ac.uk