

LIMITS AND COLIMITS, GENERATORS AND RELATIONS OF PARTIAL GROUPS

EDOARDO SALATI

ABSTRACT. We analyse limits and colimits in the category $Part$ of partial groups, algebraic structures introduced by A. Chermak, and apply the results in order to study generators and relations. We will prove that $Part$ is both complete and cocomplete and, in addition, that the full subcategory of finite partial groups is both finitely complete and finitely cocomplete. A. González has proven that $Part$ is equivalent to a full subcategory of the category $sSet$ of simplicial sets. We will show that such subcategory is not closed under formation of colimits in $sSet$, so that a different construction must be taken into consideration.

In addition, cocompleteness allows us to define quotients and free partial groups; this provides the setting for studying generators and relations and for proving that all partial groups are a quotient of a free partial group.

INTRODUCTION

The notion of a fusion system was introduced by L. Puig (with the name of *Frobenius categories*) for investigating modular representations. If S is a finite p -group, a fusion system \mathcal{F} over S is a category whose objects are the subgroups of S and morphisms are injective group homomorphisms, including at least all those arising by conjugation with elements of S . Of particular interest are those fusion systems satisfying the additional *saturation* property over the morphisms, modelled on the behavior of the conjugation homomorphisms between subgroups of a Sylow subgroup S in a finite group G .

Fusion systems are currently studied also by group theorists, as a means to obtain a simplified proof of the classification of the finite simple group, and by algebraic topologists, in connection to the Martino-Priddy conjecture (see [1, 1.6, Theorem 1.17]).

C. Broto, R. Levi and B. Oliver have defined in [2] the notion of a *centric linking system*, a category which resembles a fusion system, but is richer and with a more group-like structure. Centric linking systems were subsequently generalized to transporter systems by B. Oliver and J. Ventura in [9].

Existence and uniqueness of a centric linking system associated to a saturated fusion system had been an open conjecture for many years and it was affirmatively proven by A. Chermak in [3]. Chermak was able to translate the categorical language of transporter systems into the algebraic language of group-like structures, namely *partial groups*. These are non-empty sets endowed with a product which may not be defined on all pairs of elements, but possibly only over few finite strings, and that satisfy some additional axioms which guarantee properties similar to those of groups, such as existence of a unit and of inverses.

Chermak showed that a subclass of partial groups corresponds, in a technical way, to the class of transporter systems as defined by Oliver and Ventura and solved the conjecture by focusing on such partial groups. As he also defines, in [4], a notion of morphisms of partial groups, the result is a category, which will be denoted by $Part$. It contains *localities*, i.e. the algebraic structures corresponding to transporter systems, as well as the entire category Grp of groups with group homomorphisms. We wish to study the behavior of limits and colimits of partial groups. In particular, interesting early examples of how colimits in $Part$ may be exploited and used as a tool are the *elementary expansions* defined by Chermak in [5] and, also, the construction realized by

A. Díaz Ramos, R. Molinier and A. Viruel in [10] for proving that *Part* is universal.

We will show that, when dealing with limits and colimits, the category *Part* behaves partially as *Set* (the category of sets and functions) and partially as *Grp*. Showing that *Part* is complete and cocomplete will, then, allow to define quotient as well as free partial groups. In particular, together they provide enough background for studying generators and relations for partial groups. First of all, we will replace the category *Set* by that of pointed sets, denoted by Set^* ; this replacement does not affect the categorical properties we are investigating (partial groups and groups have a natural point fixed by all homomorphisms, namely the unit), but it makes some constructions more natural and, thus, easier.

Generalizing Chermak's argument in [4, Example 1.2], in Section 1 we will construct free partial groups over pointed sets. The resulting assignment will be functorial; if $F : Set^* \rightarrow Part$ is the functor assigning to each pointed set the corresponding free partial group, we will show that F is a left adjoint to the forgetful functor $U : Part \rightarrow Set^*$, just as it happens for groups. This will be used in Section 3 to compute limits of partial groups. Indeed, having a forgetful functor which is a right adjoint provides us with a candidate, as underlying set, over which we only need to define a suitable partial group structure in order to obtain the desired limit.

Section 2 will be devoted to the analysis of colimits. The situation is a little more complicated; we will show that difficulties arise when looking for an underlying set through which we can factor all the maps defining the products of the involved partial groups. We will provide a counterexample which shows that the pointed set-wise colimit is not, in general, a suitable underlying set. Thus, we will simplify our analysis by studying separately coproducts and coequalizers, as every colimit can be constructed through these two special ones.

With regard to coproducts, the category *Part* behaves very differently from *Grp*: the coproducts have, as underlying set, the corresponding coproduct in Set^* . Coequalizers, instead, are much more similar to those in *Grp*. Nonetheless, quotients in the category *Grp* allow us to build coequalizers in a fairly smooth way; not having a general quotient construction in *Part* will force us to search for a suitable underlying set over which we can build a partial group structure. In

detail, if $\mathcal{L} \begin{array}{c} \xrightarrow{f} \\ \xrightarrow{g} \end{array} \mathcal{L}'$ is a coequalizer diagram in *Part*, we will show that there always exists a suitable quotient set of \mathcal{L}' , i.e. an equivalence relation on \mathcal{L}' , which can be endowed with a partial group structure having the property of being a coequalizer in *Part*. As a consequence, we will achieve a proof of the following theorem.

Theorem A. *The category $Part$ is complete and cocomplete. In addition, if $FinPart$ is the full subcategory of $Part$ with objects finite partial groups, then $FinPart$ is finitely complete and finitely cocomplete.*

Section 4 is dedicated to the correspondence between partial groups and simplicial sets. It was initially conjectured by C. Broto, and then formalized by A. González in [7], that every partial group can be regarded as a simplicial set. In particular, *Part* can be embedded in $sSet$ (the category of simplicial sets) as a full subcategory.

Providing a detailed description of this correspondence is beyond the scope of this paper; however, since limits and colimits of simplicial sets are very well understood, we will show that this is not sufficient for computing colimits of partial groups. Indeed, it turns out that the colimit, computed in $sSet$, of a diagram in the subcategory *Part* needs not be a partial group, i.e. the subcategory *Part* is not closed under formation of colimits in $sSet$.

Section 5 deals with the definition of quotients of partial groups, whereas Section 6 is then dedicated to the analysis of free partial groups. Indeed, we will show that sets (or, equivalently, pointed sets) are not an ideal object over which build free partial groups. Generalizing the idea of a pointed set, we will define free partial groups as the free objects over a new category. This

stratagem provides enough free objects to prove the following classic result, which is well known to hold for groups.

Proposition B. *Every partial group is a quotient of a free partial group.*

A little remark on the notation used throughout the paper: we will use right-hand notation for functions and functors, i.e. writing $(x)f$, or simply xf , whenever f is a function (functor) and x is the object (or morphism) associated to x by f .

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

First of all, to my supervisor Ellen Henke, who has introduced me to the world of fusion systems, partial groups and localities, many thanks for reading the paper and for the several pieces of advice she provided me with.

A special thank to Andrew Chermak, for reading the results and advancing the idea of publishing them in a paper. He was the first to deal with the categorical properties of partial groups and this paper, actually, stems from previous work of his.

Thanks also to A. González and to A. Díaz Ramos, R. Molinier and A. Viruel for their interest, the emails we exchanged and the suggestions I received from them.

1. PARTIAL GROUPS AND FREE PARTIAL GROUPS

Roughly speaking, a *partial group* is a set \mathcal{L} equipped with a partial operation defined on a subset of the possible words on \mathcal{L} , that is, it may be the case that it is not possible to multiply all elements as it happens in groups. More precisely we have the following definition.

Definition 1.1. *Let \mathcal{L} be a non-empty set and $W(\mathcal{L}) = W$ be the free monoid on \mathcal{L} ; denote concatenation of words in W by the symbol \circ . Consider a subset $D = D(\mathcal{L}) \subseteq W$, a map $\Pi : D \rightarrow \mathcal{L}$, which will be referred to as the multivariable product of \mathcal{L} (and sometimes just as the product of \mathcal{L}), and an involutory bijection $i : \mathcal{L} \rightarrow \mathcal{L}$. Then the quadruple (\mathcal{L}, D, Π, i) (often denoted just by \mathcal{L} when the context leaves no ambiguity) is a partial group provided that the following hold:*

- (1) $\mathcal{L} \subseteq D$ and moreover $u \circ v \in D \implies u, v \in D$;
- (2) $\Pi|_{\mathcal{L}} = id_{\mathcal{L}}$;
- (3) if $u \circ v \circ w \in D$, then $u \circ (v)\Pi \circ w \in D$ and $(u \circ v \circ w)\Pi = (u \circ (v)\Pi \circ w)\Pi$;
- (4) by extending i to $W(\mathcal{L})$ defining $(x_1, \dots, x_n)i = ((x_n)i, \dots, (x_1)i)$, if $w \in D$ then we have $(w)i \circ w \in D$ and $((w)i \circ w)\Pi = 1 = (\emptyset)\Pi$, where \emptyset is the empty word.

For a survey of some elementary properties of partial groups we refer to [4, Section 1].

Clearly, \mathcal{L} is a group if and only if $D(\mathcal{L}) = W(\mathcal{L})$ and, in such a case, Π gives the group multiplication thanks to associativity.

A. Chermak also defines a notion of morphisms of partial groups.

Definition 1.2. *Given partial groups (\mathcal{L}, D, Π, i) and $(\mathcal{L}', D', \Pi', i')$, a morphism β of partial groups from \mathcal{L} to \mathcal{L}' is a set-wise map $\beta : \mathcal{L} \rightarrow \mathcal{L}'$ such that, if $\beta^* : W(\mathcal{L}) \rightarrow W(\mathcal{L}')$ is the componentwise extension, then:*

- (a) $(D)\beta^* \subseteq D'$;
- (b) we have a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} D & \xrightarrow{\Pi} & \mathcal{L} \\ \downarrow \beta^* & & \downarrow \beta \\ D' & \xrightarrow{\Pi'} & \mathcal{L}' \end{array}$$

We therefore obtain a category $Part$ whose objects are partial groups and whose morphisms are morphisms of partial groups according to the above definition, clearly with the usual composition of maps.

It is trivial to check that β is an isomorphism (in the categorical sense) if and only if β is a bijective morphism of partial groups satisfying $(D)\beta^* = D'$.

Define the category of pointed sets, Set^* , as the category whose objects are pairs (X, x) , where X is a non-empty set and $x \in X$, and whose morphisms $f : (X, x) \rightarrow (Y, y)$ are simply set-maps $f : X \rightarrow Y$ with the property that $(x)f = y$. Composition is given by the usual composition of maps.

As partial groups have a *preferential* object, i.e. the unit, we will be working with pointed sets instead of sets; this will simplify the construction of free partial groups. In better words, let's consider first the forgetful functor $U : Grp \rightarrow Set$; we can actually define a slightly different forgetful functor (which, with a little abuse of notation, we will still denote by U) which remembers a little more, namely

$$U : Grp \rightarrow Set^*, \quad (G)U = (G, 1_G)$$

where 1_G is the unit of the group. This is possible since group homomorphism naturally preserve the unit, that is $(1_G)f = 1_H$ whenever $f : G \rightarrow H$ is a homomorphism between groups.

Since morphisms of partial group have the same property, then we can similarly define a forgetful functor

$$U : Part \rightarrow Set^*, \quad (\mathcal{L})U = (\mathcal{L}, 1_{\mathcal{L}}).$$

It is a classic situation that in which U is a right adjoint to the free-construction functor; indeed, we will prove that $U : Part \rightarrow Set^*$ is a right adjoint by building free partial groups over pointed sets. It will become clear that the construction may be carried in a very similar way also when dealing with the category Set in place of Set^* .

Suppose we have a pointed set $(X, 1)$ (the base-point will become the unit of the partial group). Consider the set $Y := \{1\} \sqcup X^* \sqcup \hat{X}^*$, where $X^* = X \setminus \{1\}$, \sqcup denotes the disjoint union and we use \hat{X}^* to distinguish the two copies of X^* (the same notation will also be adopted for the respective elements).

Define an involutory bijection i on Y by $(1)i = 1$ and $(x)i = \hat{x}$.

Set $D \subseteq W(\mathcal{L})$ to be the set of words obtained from alternating finite strings of the form $(\dots, x, \hat{x}, x, \hat{x}, \dots)$, for $x \in X^*$, by adding in any position any finite number of copies of the element 1. We say that such strings are built on the element x .

For $w \in D$, built on the element $x \in X^*$, define

$$(w)\Pi = \begin{cases} x & \text{if the number of } x \text{ is greater than that of } \hat{x}, \\ 1 & \text{if the number of } x \text{ equals that of } \hat{x}, \\ \hat{x} & \text{if the number of } x \text{ is lower than that of } \hat{x}. \end{cases}$$

It is trivial to check that (Y, D, Π, i) is a partial group, so we define it as $(X)F$. Moreover if $f : (X, 1_X) \rightarrow (Y, 1_Y)$ is a morphism of pointed sets and $M = XF$ and $N = YF$, then we associate to f the map $fF : M \rightarrow N$ defined by setting $(1_X)fF = 1_Y$, $(x)fF = (x)f$ and $(\hat{x})fF = (\hat{x})f$. It is straightforward to check that fF is a partial groups morphism and that the defined associations make F a functor.

XF is the free partial group over the pointed set $(X, 1)$; indeed consider the inclusion map $j : (X, 1) \rightarrow XF$ sending $1 \mapsto 1$ and $x \mapsto x$ and a set map $f : X \rightarrow \mathcal{L}$ to a partial group \mathcal{L} sending 1 in the unit of \mathcal{L} (i.e. f is a pointed-set map $(X, 1) \rightarrow \mathcal{L}U$, where U is the forgetful functor). In the following diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
(X, 1) & \xrightarrow{j} & XF \\
\downarrow f & \nearrow \exists! \tilde{f} & \\
\mathcal{L} & &
\end{array}$$

there clearly exists a unique morphism \tilde{f} of partial groups which makes the diagram commute, defined by $\tilde{f} : \hat{x} \mapsto ((x)f)^{-1}$, where the exponent -1 denotes the inverse in \mathcal{L} . This is true because the domain D of XF is the smallest possible, so universal initial with respect to morphisms of partial groups.

An immediate consequence is that

$$\text{Hom}_{\text{Part}}(XF, \mathcal{L}) \cong \text{Hom}_{\text{Set}^*}((X, 1), \mathcal{L}U) \quad (l)$$

affording the following lemma.

Lemma 1.1. *With the notation above, we have the adjunction $F \dashv U$.*

Proof. The bijections (l) are those defining the adjunction. Naturality is a straightforward computation. \square

However note that neither UF nor FU are the identity functor; as a consequence even though F preserves colimits (so we can push colimits in Set^* to colimits in Part), we cannot use this fact to prove that Part is cocomplete as there is a change of objects.

2. COLIMITS IN Part

2.1. A Set Theory result. Before discussing about colimits in Part , we shall study in detail colimits in the category Set^* . Recall that given a relation R on a set X there exists a unique smallest equivalence relation on X containing R , exactly the intersection of equivalence relations on X containing R . We will need the following version of the well known factorization theorem of set-functions via equivalence relations.

Proposition 2.1. *Let $f : X \rightarrow Y$ be a function between sets and \sim' a binary relation on X , i.e. $\sim' \subseteq X \times X$. Let \sim be the smallest equivalence relation on X containing \sim' . Then f factors through X/\sim , as in the commutative diagram below, if and only if $(u)f = (v)f$ for every $u \sim' v$.*

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
f : & X & \xrightarrow{f} Y \\
& \downarrow \pi & \nearrow \exists! \hat{f} \\
& X/\sim &
\end{array}$$

Proof. First of all note that trivially $(u)f = (u)f$ and that $(u)f = (v)f$ is a symmetric relation; hence closing \sim' with respect to reflexivity and symmetry does not affect our statement. Thus from now on we consider \sim' to be a reflexive and symmetric relation.

Since $\sim' \subseteq \sim$, the *only if* part is trivial. As for the *if* part, we build a graph in order to properly keep trace of the relations \sim' and \sim . Let $G = (X, E)$ be the graph with set of vertices X and, for any pair of vertices $u, v \in X$, $\{u, v\} \in E$ if and only if $u \sim' v$ (well defined by symmetry of \sim'). The fundamental fact to note is that the equivalence classes of X/\sim are exactly the connected components of G . We therefore want to prove that every connected component of G has the property that f is constant on its vertices, so consider a connected component C of G .

If X is finite, a simple induction on the diameter of C proves the result; however this does not work when X is infinite. To deal with this case we use Zorn's lemma. Let S_C be the set of (nonempty) connected subgraphs of C satisfying the property that f is constant on the vertices.

Clearly $S_C \neq \emptyset$ as it contains the singletons made of one vertex; moreover we can order S_C via inclusion of the sets of vertices and edges of the connected subgraphs, obtaining in such a way a partially ordered set. Given a chain in S_C , clearly the subgraph of C afforded by considering the union of all the elements of the chain is still an element of S_C and a maximal element of the chain. Hence we can apply Zorn's lemma and conclude that S_C admits maximal elements.

Now, as by hypothesis $(u)f = (v)f$ whenever $u \sim' v$ and as C is a connected component of G whose edges are exactly defined at \sim' , then any two maximal elements of S_C are connected by a path in G over which f is constant on vertices. By maximality, the only possibility is that there is a unique maximal element, exactly C . Thus f is constant on connected components of G . \square

Turning our attention to colimits, let \mathcal{C} be a small category and $F : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \text{Set}^*$ be a functor. For every $X \in \text{Ob}(\mathcal{C})$ denote by (X, x) its image under F ; similarly for every $j \in \text{Mor}_{\mathcal{C}}(X, Y)$ denote by jF the image of the arrow j via F . Then we claim that, paired with the obvious maps,

$$\text{colim}(F) = \left(\frac{\bigcup_{X \in \text{Ob}(\mathcal{C})} (X, x)}{\sim}, [x]_{\sim} \right) \quad (\star)$$

where \sim is the smallest equivalence relation on $\bigcup_{X \in \text{Ob}(\mathcal{C})} (X, x)$ containing all the pairs (x, y) for all images (X, x) , (Y, y) and all the pairs $(z, (z)jF)$ for $z \in (X, x)$ and $j \in \text{Mor}_{\mathcal{C}}(X, Y)$.

Indeed consider the following diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} (X, x) & \xrightarrow{t_X} & (U, u) \\ & \searrow i_X & \\ & \text{colim}(F) & \xrightarrow{\exists! \psi} (U, u) \\ & \nearrow i_Y & \\ (Y, y) & \xrightarrow{t_Y} & (U, u) \end{array}$$

the arrow ψ is defined by $[z]_{\sim} \xrightarrow{\psi} t_X(z)$ for $z \in (X, x)$. Computations verifying that ψ is a well defined morphism of pointed sets and that it is unique are now simple.

2.2. Factorization of the multivariable product. One might be tempted to prove cocompleteness of *Part* through colimits of Set^* in the following way.

If \mathcal{C} is a small category and we have a functor $T : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \text{Part}$, denote, for each morphism $x : a \rightarrow b$ in \mathcal{C} , the image under T by $\lambda_x : aT \rightarrow bT$.

Now consider the forgetful functor $U : \text{Part} \rightarrow \text{Set}^*$ and take $\mathcal{L} = \text{colim}((\mathcal{C})TU)$ the colimit in the category of pointed sets. Then

$$\text{colim}((\mathcal{C})TU) = \frac{\bigsqcup_{a \in \text{Ob}(\mathcal{C})} (aTU)}{\equiv}$$

where \equiv is the smallest equivalence relation containing all pairs $(1_{aT}, 1_{bT})$ and $(u, (u)\lambda_x)$ for $x : a \rightarrow b$ morphism in \mathcal{C} .

The domain $D \in \mathcal{W}(\mathcal{L})$ is defined as the set of words $[w] = ([w_1], \dots, [w_n])$ for which there exist some $a \in \text{Ob}(\mathcal{C})$ such that $w_i \in aT$ for all $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ and $(w_1, \dots, w_n) \in D(aT)$. Clearly D satisfies condition (1) of Definition 1.

We would like to define a product Π by lifting to products Π_a of the partial groups aT for suitable $a \in \text{Ob}(\mathcal{C})$. Then one might consider the following diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
\coprod_{a \in \text{Ob}(\mathcal{C})} D(aT) & \xrightarrow{\sqcup \Pi_a} & \coprod_{a \in \text{Ob}(\mathcal{C})} aT \xrightarrow{\rho} \mathcal{L} \\
\downarrow \rho^* & & \downarrow \Pi \\
D & \xrightarrow{\quad} & \mathcal{L}
\end{array}$$

with the hope that, if ρ is the projection over the quotient and ρ^* is the componentwise application of ρ , then the composition $(\sqcup \Pi_a)\rho$ factors through ρ^* .

This argument would at least be complicated to prove and we will actually show it wrong. Indeed suppose we have $u \in D(aT)$ and $v \in D(bT)$ such that $u\rho^* = v\rho^*$. Then u and v have equal length and for all the components we have $[u_i] = [v_i]$. Now we need $[(u)\Pi_a] = [(v)\Pi_b]$, however since there is no guarantee that the components u_i and v_i are identified through a unique morphism of partial groups $aT \rightarrow bT$, we cannot use property (b) of Definition 2 to prove our factorization.

We will provide a counterexample to the factorization above; to build a not too complicated counterexample we will need to split the formation of colimits via the following result about categories.

Proposition 2.2. *Consider a locally small category \mathcal{C} ; then every (co)limit is the (co)equalizer of a (co)product. In particular \mathcal{C} is (finitely) (co)complete if and only if \mathcal{C} admits all (finite) (co)products and all (co)equalizers.*

Proof. See [8, Chapter V, section 2], where the author proves the statement for limits; the statement for colimits is obtained by duality. \square

We start by showing that *Part* has coproducts; however the situation with coequalizers is more complex. Indeed, as partial groups are a structure in between sets and groups, we shall see that coproducts in *Part* behave very similarly to those in *Set* (and actually are given by those in *Set*^{*}), whereas coequalizers have a behavior more similar to that of groups.

We will analyze coequalizers in order to provide a counterexample to the factorization above.

Lemma 2.3. *The category *Part* has all coproducts.*

Proof. Consider a family $\{\mathcal{L}_a\}_{a \in I}$ of partial groups indexed on I . In this case, as there are no morphisms, the coproduct taken in *Set*^{*}, that is (\star) , actually works as a coproduct in *Part*.

If $u \in D(\mathcal{L}_a)$ and $v \in D(\mathcal{L}_b)$ are such that $(u)\rho^* = (v)\rho^*$, then either all the components of u and v are the unit element or $\mathcal{L}_a = \mathcal{L}_b$ and $u = v$. Hence we can surely factor $(\sqcup \Pi_a)\rho$ through ρ^* obtaining a product $\Pi : D(\mathcal{L}) \rightarrow \mathcal{L}$, with \mathcal{L} the pointed-setwise colimit. The inversion map i on \mathcal{L} is given exactly by the inversion maps on all the \mathcal{L}_a and clearly factors to \mathcal{L} .

It is a simple exercise to prove that (\mathcal{L}, D, Π, i) is a partial group. We clearly have inclusion morphisms $j_a : \mathcal{L}_a \rightarrow \mathcal{L}$ given by $(x)j_a = [x] = x$ and are all, trivially, morphisms of partial groups. Then (\mathcal{L}, j_a) is the coproduct; indeed consider the following diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
\mathcal{L}_a & \xrightarrow{f_a} & \mathcal{M} \\
& \searrow j_a & \uparrow \exists! \psi \\
& & \mathcal{L} \xrightarrow{\quad} \mathcal{M} \\
& \nearrow j_b & \uparrow f_b \\
\mathcal{L}_b & \xrightarrow{f_b} & \mathcal{M}
\end{array}$$

Clearly we can define ψ by $([x])\psi = (x)f_a$ for $x \in \mathcal{L}_a$ and ψ is a well defined morphism of partial groups (note that we know $D(\mathcal{L}_a)f_a \subseteq D(\mathcal{M})$, so that also $D(\mathcal{L}) \subseteq D(\mathcal{M})$). Moreover, it is trivially unique such that all the triangles commute, so we have the universal property of the coproduct. \square

Remark. Note, in particular, that coproducts of a finite number of finite partial groups are again finite; this will translate, after proving existence of coequalizers, in the fact that the full subcategory of *Part* of finite partial groups is finitely cocomplete. This is probably among the major differences between the categories *Part* and *Grp*.

We now begin our analysis of coequalizers. Consider two morphisms of partial groups $f, g : \mathcal{L} \rightarrow \mathcal{L}'$. The setwise coequalizer is given by

$$\mathcal{C} = \text{coeq}_{\text{Set}}(f, g) = \frac{\mathcal{L}'}{\sim}$$

paired with the trivial quotient map $q : \mathcal{L}' \rightarrow (\mathcal{L}' / \sim)$, where \sim is the smallest equivalence relation on \mathcal{L}' containing the pairs (xf, xg) for $x \in \mathcal{L}$. This is a simplified realization of the same object constructed in (\star) ; indeed according to it we would have to consider

$$\mathcal{C}' = \frac{\mathcal{L} \sqcup \mathcal{L}'}{\mathcal{R}}$$

with \mathcal{R} the smallest equivalence relation containing all pairs (x, xf) and (x, xg) for $x \in \mathcal{L}$. In particular \mathcal{R} identifies all xf with xg ; it is a simple exercise to prove that there is a bijection

$$\frac{\mathcal{L}'}{\sim} \cong \frac{\mathcal{L} \sqcup \mathcal{L}'}{\mathcal{R}}$$

which is the identity over representatives in \mathcal{L}' .

Let's try to define a partial group structure on $\mathcal{C} = \frac{\mathcal{L}'}{\sim}$. Let $q : \mathcal{L}' \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$ be the canonical projection, $D = D(\mathcal{C})$ be the set of words $[w]$ which are the pointwise projection of a word $w \in D(\mathcal{L}')$ and $q^* : D(\mathcal{L}') \rightarrow D(\mathcal{C})$ be the pointwise projection induced by q , so that $[w] = (w)q^*$; define $\pi : D \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$ by $[w]\pi = (w)\Pi q$ and the inversion l on \mathcal{C} by $[w]l = (w)iq^*$, where Π is the product and i the inversion of \mathcal{L}' .

That l is well defined is an immediate consequence of the fact that f and g are partial group morphisms, so they send inverses to inverses. For π to be well defined, observe that it must make the following diagram commutative

$$\begin{array}{ccc} D(\mathcal{L}') & \xrightarrow{q^*} & D(\mathcal{C}) \\ \downarrow \Pi & & \downarrow \pi \\ \mathcal{L}' & \xrightarrow{q} & \frac{\mathcal{L}'}{\sim} \end{array}$$

Moreover, commutativity of such diagram is a necessary condition since q has to be a morphism of partial groups, i.e. Πq must factor via the map q^* to a map π . Thus we need to prove $uq^* = vq^* \implies u\Pi \sim v\Pi$, where $u, v \in D(\mathcal{L}')$.

The relation \sim is generated by the weaker relation \sim' made exactly of the pairs (xf, xg) for $x \in \mathcal{L}$. If P denotes the product in \mathcal{L} , being $fq = gq$ we get

$$(xf)\Pi q = (xP)fq = (xP)gq = (xg)\Pi q,$$

that is $(xf)\Pi \sim (xg)\Pi$. Combining with Proposition 2.1 we obtain that π is well defined on words of length 1.

Problems arise when considering words of length greater than 1; if we can write $u = xf^* = (x_1f, \dots, x_nf)$ and $v = xg^* = (x_1g, \dots, x_ng)$ for $x \in W(\mathcal{L})$, then we clearly get

$$u\Pi = (x)f^*\Pi = xPf \sim xPg = (x)g^*\Pi = v\Pi.$$

However if $u = (u_1, \dots, u_n)$ and $v = (v_1, \dots, v_n)$ are words in $D(\mathcal{L}')$ such that $uq^* = vq^*$, we only know that $u_i \sim v_i$ for each $1 \leq i \leq n$. So even if we may be able to represent uq^* through an element of the form $\tilde{u} = (x_i h_i)$, where $h_i = f$ for some i and $h_j = g$ for $j \neq i$, there is no guarantee that $u\Pi \sim \tilde{u}\Pi$.

To better understand the obstruction to our factorization, suppose $n = 2$, so $u = (u_1, u_2)$ and $v =$

(v_1, v_2) , with $u_1 = xf$, $v_1 = xg$, $u_2 = yf$ and $v_2 = yg$. Clearly the pairs (xf, yf) , (xf, yg) , (xg, yg) and (xg, yf) are all representatives of the same word in $D(\mathcal{L}'/\sim)$; we have already observed that $(xf, yf)\Pi \sim (xg, yg)\Pi$, however there is no evident reason, for example, for $(xf, yf)\Pi \sim (xf, yg)\Pi$. We are now ready for our counterexample.

Counterexample 2.4. Consider the pointed set $(A, 1)$ with $A = \{1, a, b\}$ and let \mathcal{L} be the free partial group on $(A, 1)$; recall that $\mathcal{L} = \{1, a, \hat{a}, b, \hat{b}\}$. Consider the Klein group $M = \frac{\mathbb{Z}}{2\mathbb{Z}} \times \frac{\mathbb{Z}}{2\mathbb{Z}}$ ($(M, 1)$ as a pointed-set) with generators $(x, 1) = x$ and $(1, y) = y$ and define the pointed-set functions

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{f}: (A, 1) &\longrightarrow M \\ a &\longmapsto x \\ b &\longmapsto y \\ \\ \tilde{g}: (A, 1) &\longrightarrow M \\ a &\longmapsto xy \\ b &\longmapsto x \end{aligned}$$

Then we get induced morphisms of partial groups $f, g: \mathcal{L} \rightarrow M$ making the diagram below commutative

$$\begin{array}{ccc} (A, 1) & \xrightarrow{\quad} & \mathcal{L} \\ \tilde{f} \searrow & & \swarrow g \\ & M & \\ \tilde{g} \searrow & & \swarrow f \end{array}$$

We can therefore consider the coequalizer of morphisms f and g

$$\mathcal{L} \begin{array}{c} \xrightarrow{f} \\ \xrightarrow{g} \end{array} M \xrightarrow{q} \frac{M}{\sim}$$

with $(M/\sim, q) = \text{coeq}_{\text{Set}^*}(f, g)$. If Π is the multivariable product on M , we want to show that Πq does not factorize via q^* to a product of M/\sim .

First of all note that

$$\begin{aligned} x &= af \sim ag = xy; \\ x &= bg \sim bf = y; \end{aligned}$$

Since $af = \hat{a}f$, $bf = \hat{b}f$ and similarly for g , then \sim identifies exactly the non-identity elements of M , leaving us with $\frac{M}{\sim} \cong \frac{\mathbb{Z}}{2\mathbb{Z}}$, which has a unique structure of partial group coinciding with that of the group.

As words (af, bf) and (af, bg) have equal image under q^* , they represent the same word on M/\sim . However

$$(af, bf)\Pi = (x, y)\Pi = xy,$$

$$(af, bg)\Pi = (x, x)\Pi = x^2 = 1,$$

but $1 \not\sim xy$ in M/\sim .

2.3. The category $Part$ is cocomplete. We can think at the previous counterexample by analogy with the situation in the category of groups and group homomorphism.

When building coequalizers in groups, we need to factor out more than just the relations given by the morphisms in the diagram (namely f and g), i.e. more than the relation \sim : we need to factor out the smallest *normal subgroup* containing those relations. Similarly, when considering a coequalizer in $Part$, we need to factor out through a relation in such a way that the multivariable product also factors through the quotient; however, with partial groups we do not have a substructure controlling this factorization process, so we will need to identify the proper equivalence relation in a different way.

Let's consider the situation where we have an equivalence relation \sim on a partial group \mathcal{L}' ; for $q : \mathcal{L}' \rightarrow \frac{\mathcal{L}'}{\sim}$ and $q^* : D(\mathcal{L}') \rightarrow D\left(\frac{\mathcal{L}'}{\sim}\right)$ the induced componentwise application of q , the fibers of q^* induce an equivalence relation \equiv on $D(\mathcal{L}')$. Moreover, clearly q^* is surjective being q surjective. For $u = (u_i)_i$ and $v = (v_i)_i$, the relation \equiv is given by

$$u \equiv v \iff u, v \in (u)(q^*)^{-1} \iff u_i \sim v_i \forall i, \quad (1)$$

in particular u and v have equal length. Hence we get

$$\begin{array}{ccc} D(\mathcal{L}') & \xrightarrow{q^*} & D\left(\frac{\mathcal{L}'}{\sim}\right) = \frac{D(\mathcal{L}')}{\equiv} \\ \downarrow \Pi & & \downarrow \\ \mathcal{L}' & \xrightarrow{q} & \frac{\mathcal{L}'}{\sim} \end{array}$$

The map Πq is surjective as well and we can consider the equivalence relation \approx on $D(\mathcal{L}')$ afforded by fibers of Πq , so that $\frac{\mathcal{L}'}{\sim} \cong \frac{D(\mathcal{L}')}{\approx}$. The square diagram above then becomes

$$\begin{array}{ccc} D(\mathcal{L}') & \xrightarrow{q^*} & D\left(\frac{\mathcal{L}'}{\sim}\right) = \frac{D(\mathcal{L}')}{\equiv} \\ & \searrow \Pi q & \downarrow \\ & & \frac{\mathcal{L}'}{\sim} = \frac{D(\mathcal{L}')}{\approx} \end{array}$$

so that Πq factors through q^* if and only if $\equiv \subseteq \approx$.

We are then led to search for an equivalence relation \sim on \mathcal{L}' such that the induced relations \equiv and \approx satisfy $\equiv \subseteq \approx$; we would then consider such a smallest equivalence relation as a candidate for our colimit. Let's also describe \approx in terms of \sim ;

$$u \approx v \iff (u)\Pi q = (v)\Pi q \iff u\Pi \sim v\Pi. \quad (2)$$

Combining (1) and (2), we need to find \sim such that, for $u = (u_i)_i, v = (v_i)_i \in D(\mathcal{L}')$:

$$u_i \sim v_i \forall i \implies u\Pi \sim v\Pi. \quad (*)$$

Let now \sim_0 be the equivalence relation on \mathcal{L}' generated by pairs of the form (xf, xg) for some $x \in \mathcal{L}$; clearly the set

$$E := \{ \mathfrak{R} \subseteq \mathcal{L}' \times \mathcal{L}' \mid \mathfrak{R} \text{ is an eq. rel. containing } \sim_0 \text{ and satisfying } (*) \}$$

is not empty, since it contains $\mathcal{L}' \times \mathcal{L}'$. Since inclusion of \sim_0 and property $(*)$ are clearly preserved by intersections, there exists the smallest equivalence relation we are searching for, namely

$$\mathcal{R} = \bigcap_{\mathfrak{R} \in E} \mathfrak{R}.$$

Lemma 2.5. *The category $Part$ has all coequalizers.*

Proof. Consider morphisms between partial groups $f, g : \mathcal{L} \rightarrow \mathcal{L}'$; build the setwise coequalizer $\frac{\mathcal{L}'}{\sim}$ and the relation \mathcal{R} (which depends on \sim) on \mathcal{L}' as above. Consider the projection $t : \mathcal{L}' \rightarrow \frac{\mathcal{L}'}{\mathcal{R}}$ and the diagram

$$\mathcal{L} \begin{array}{c} \xrightarrow{f} \\ \xrightarrow{g} \end{array} \mathcal{L}' \xrightarrow{t} \frac{\mathcal{L}'}{\mathcal{R}}$$

Then we prove that:

- (1) \mathcal{L}' induces a structure of partial group on $\frac{\mathcal{L}'}{\mathcal{R}}$;
 - (2) t is a morphism of partial groups and $ft = gt$;
 - (3) $\left(\frac{\mathcal{L}'}{\mathcal{R}}, t\right) = \text{coeq}_{\text{Part}}(f, g)$.
- (1) Define $D := D(\mathcal{L}'/\mathcal{R})$ as the set of images of elements of $D(\mathcal{L}')$ via the map $t^* : W(\mathcal{L}') \rightarrow W(\mathcal{L}'/\mathcal{R})$ induced by t in the usual way. By construction \mathcal{R} is the smallest equivalence relation on \mathcal{L}' such that Πt factors as in the following commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} D(\mathcal{L}') & \xrightarrow{t^*} & D\left(\frac{\mathcal{L}'}{\mathcal{R}}\right) \\ \downarrow \Pi & & \downarrow \pi \\ \mathcal{L}' & \xrightarrow{t} & \frac{\mathcal{L}'}{\mathcal{R}} \end{array}$$

We take π as the product map.

If i is the inversion map on \mathcal{L}' , define an inversion map j by $([x]_{\mathcal{R}})j := [(x)i]_{\mathcal{R}}$. Since \mathcal{R} is by definition the smallest equivalence relation containing \sim and such that $(*)$ holds, if $x\mathcal{R}y$, then we can reduce either to $x = zf$ and $y = zg$ for some $z \in \mathcal{L}$ or $x = (u_k)_k \Pi$ and $y = (v_k)_k \Pi$ such that $u_k \sim v_k$ for every k . In the first case we get $(x)i\mathcal{R}(y)i$ since f and g are morphisms of partial groups; in the other case it is a consequence of the fact that $((u_k)_k \Pi)i = ((u_k)_k i)\Pi$, that inverting (u_k) and (v_k) consists in considering inverses in opposite order and that $(u_k)i \sim (v_k)i$. This gives us that j is well defined.

Properties (1) to (4) of Definition 1.1 are easily verified, so that $\left(\frac{\mathcal{L}'}{\mathcal{R}}, D, \pi, j\right)$ is a partial group.

- (2) That t satisfies conditions (a) and (b) of Definition 1.2 is a trivial consequence of the definitions of D and t . As $\sim \subseteq \mathcal{R}$, we also have $ft = gt$.
- (3) If $\tau : \mathcal{L}' \rightarrow \mathcal{M}$ is a partial group morphism such that $f\tau = g\tau$, define ψ as in the diagram below by:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \psi : [x]_{\mathcal{R}} \mapsto (x)\tau & & \\ \mathcal{L} \begin{array}{c} \xrightarrow{f} \\ \xrightarrow{g} \end{array} \mathcal{L}' \xrightarrow{t} \frac{\mathcal{L}'}{\mathcal{R}} & & \\ & \downarrow \tau & \swarrow \psi \\ & \mathcal{M} & \end{array}$$

If ψ is well defined, then it is clearly unique such that the diagram above commutes.

Now let \mathcal{R}_τ be the equivalence relation induced by the fibers of τ on \mathcal{L}' ; it induces equivalence relations \equiv_τ and \approx_τ on $D(\mathcal{L}')$ via fibers of, respectively, τ^* and $\Pi\tau$. As τ is a morphism of partial groups, it satisfies condition (b) of Definition 1.2, so \mathcal{R}_τ fulfills $(*)$. Then $\mathcal{R} \subseteq \mathcal{R}_\tau$, which means exactly that ψ is well defined. □

We obtain

Theorem 2.6. *The category Part is cocomplete.*

Proof. Combine Theorems 2.3, 2.5 and Proposition 2.2. □

3. LIMITS IN *Part*

We now deal with limits; the situation is definitely simpler. For example, both the categories *Set* and *Grp* have the same object (up to the forgetful functor $U : Grp \rightarrow Set$) as a product. Indeed if the G_i are groups, for $i \in I$ and I a set of indices, then

$$\lim_{Set}(G_i U) = \prod_{i \in I} (G_i U) = \left(\prod_{i \in I} G_i \right) U = (\lim_{Grp}(G_i)) U.$$

We will also see that they share equalizers as well, therefore limits of partial groups will have no space to move.

Indeed, this rigidity (if we think at groups again) is due to the fact that there are a free construction functor $F : Set \rightarrow Grp$ and a forgetful functor $U : Grp \rightarrow Set$ such that $F \dashv U$. As U is a right adjoint, it preserves limits; hence a limit of a diagram in *Grp* is pushed to a limit in *Set* over the diagram obtained by considering the involved groups just as sets. Thus the only possible candidate for a limit in *Grp* is the setwise limit endowed with a suitable group structure. Similarly, we have seen that also partial groups admit a free construction functor $F : Set^* \rightarrow Part$ and a forgetful functor $U : Part \rightarrow Set^*$, again with $F \dashv U$. Hence a limit in *Part* must have as underlying set the pointed setwise limit.

We now proceed with the proof of existence of limits in *Part*.

Theorem 3.1. *The category Part is complete.*

Proof. Again we prove that *Part* has all products and equalizers.

Step 1. If $\{\mathcal{L}_a\}_{a \in I}$ is a family of partial groups, consider the setwise cartesian product $\mathcal{L} = \prod \mathcal{L}_a$; the projections $p_a : \mathcal{L} \rightarrow \mathcal{L}_a$ extend in the usual way to $p_a^* : W(\mathcal{L}) \rightarrow W(\mathcal{L}_a)$. Define $D(\mathcal{L}) = D$ as

$$D := \{w = ((w_a^1), \dots, (w_a^n))_a \in W(\mathcal{L}) \mid \forall a \in I, w p_a^* = (w_a^1, \dots, w_a^n) \in D(\mathcal{L}_a)\}.$$

and a product Π on \mathcal{L} by $(w)\Pi := ((w p_a^*)\Pi_a)_a$. The inversion map i on \mathcal{L} is trivially defined componentwise; it is a straightforward exercise to check that (\mathcal{L}, D, Π, i) is a partial group. Considering the diagram below

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} & & \mathcal{L}_a & \longleftarrow & \\ & & \uparrow & & \\ & & p_a & & \\ & & \mathcal{L} & \xleftarrow{\dots} & \mathcal{M} \\ & & \uparrow & & \\ & & p_b & & \\ & & \mathcal{L}_b & \longleftarrow & \end{array}$$

t_a (curved arrow from \mathcal{M} to \mathcal{L}_a), t_b (curved arrow from \mathcal{M} to \mathcal{L}_b), t (dotted arrow from \mathcal{M} to \mathcal{L})

t is defined by $t : m \mapsto (m t_a)_a$. Notice that, for $w = (m_1, \dots, m_n) \in D(\mathcal{M})$, we have $w t_a^* = (m_1 t_a, \dots, m_n t_a) \in D(\mathcal{L}_a)$ for all $a \in I$, so $w t \in D$. Clearly t is a morphism of partial groups, unique satisfying the universal property of products.

Step 2. Consider morphisms of partial groups $f, g : \mathcal{L} \rightarrow \mathcal{L}'$; define

$$\mathcal{E} := \{x \in \mathcal{L} \mid x f = x g\}.$$

We have

$$\mathcal{E} \xleftarrow{j} \mathcal{L} \xrightarrow[\quad]{f} \mathcal{L}';$$

it is a simple exercise to prove that \mathcal{E} is a partial group, j a partial groups morphism and that the pair $(E, j) = eq(f, g)$.

As a consequence of Proposition 2.2 we obtain that *Part* is complete. In addition, it is now a trivial observation the fact that the full subcategory of *Part* whose objects are the finite partial groups is finitely complete. \square

Combining Theorems 2.6 and 3.1 and the remark after Lemma 2.3, we obtain precisely Theorem A.

4. PARTIAL GROUPS AS SIMPLICIAL SETS

The symbol *sSet* will denote the category of simplicial sets, with the usual morphisms given by natural transformations between functors.

The idea of viewing partial groups as simplicial sets was first conjectured by C. Broto and formalized in joint work with A. González. We will provide a quick introduction to simplicial sets and describe their relation with partial groups; details of the construction can be found in [7].

As it turns out that *Part* is equivalent to a subcategory of *sSet*, we will show that such subcategory is not closed under formation of colimits in *sSet*; moreover controlling colimits in the simplicial sets setting might be more challenging than the computations made in section 2.

4.1. Presheaves and simplicial sets. Let \mathcal{C} and \mathcal{A} be locally small categories; an \mathcal{A} -valued presheaf is a functor

$$X : \mathcal{C}^{op} \longrightarrow \mathcal{A}.$$

We will be only interested in *Set*-valued presheaves, so from now on we will consider $\mathcal{A} = \text{Set}$. Let now $\mathcal{C} = \Delta$ be the category of finite partially ordered sets with monotone, non decreasing functions as morphisms. It is possible to show that Δ is equivalent to the category whose objects are the finite ordered sequences $[n] = \{0 < 1 < \dots < n\}$ together with non decreasing functions; this is known as the skeletal subcategory, we will denote it again by Δ and from now on we will consider this.

Definition 4.1. A simplicial set is a presheaf

$$X : \Delta^{op} \longrightarrow \text{Set};$$

hence we may think at a simplicial set as a sequence of sets $X_n := [n]X$ with morphisms induced by the monotone functions in Δ , taken with opposite direction.

It is possible to prove that simplicial sets (and more generally simplicial objects) are characterized as sequences of sets X_0, X_1, \dots paired with two classes of maps (face operators and degeneracy operators) with certain properties. We will not see this in detail, however an exhaustive treaty of the topic may be found in [11, Chapter 8 and Proposition 8.1.3]; we will only need the maps described in the following lines.

In the category Δ , for $n \geq r, s$, we have the following important morphisms.

1. r -front-face maps, i.e. maps $f_{r,n} : [r] \longrightarrow [n]$ defined by $(i)f_{r,n} = i$.
2. s -back-face maps, i.e. maps $b_{s,n} : [s] \longrightarrow [n]$ defined by $(i)b_{s,n} = n - s + i$.

Let $F_{r,n} = (f_{r,n})X$ and $B_{s,n} = (b_{s,n})X$, for r and s fixed. The collection of maps $F_r = \{F_{r,n} \mid n \geq r\}$ is called the r -front-face operator; similarly the collection $B_s = \{B_{s,n} \mid n \geq s\}$ is called the s -back-face operator.

By a reiterated application of front and back face operators, one defines the enumerating operator E as the collection of maps $\{E_n\}$ for $n \geq 1$, where

$$E_n : X_n \xrightarrow{F_{n-1} \times B_1} X_{n-1} \times X_1 \xrightarrow{F_{n-2} \times B_1 \times id} \dots \xrightarrow{F_1 \times B_1 \times id} X_1 \times \dots \times X_1.$$

Definition 4.2. *Let X be a simplicial set and E be the enumerating operator.*

- X is a N -simplicial set if it satisfies the property

$$E \text{ is such that } E_n \text{ is injective for every } n \geq 1. \quad (\text{N})$$

- X is reduced if $X_0 = \{v\}$ is a singleton, i.e. X has a single vertex.

González, in [7, Chapter 4], proceeds with the definition of an *inversion* in a reduced N -simplex, then proves the following theorem ([7, Theorem 4.8]).

Theorem 4.1 (A. González). *Let $sPart$ be the full subcategory of the category $sSet$ of simplicial sets whose objects are the reduced, N -simplicial sets with inversion.*

Then the category $Part$ of partial groups is equivalent to $sPart$.

Sketch of proof. We provide here the realization of the equivalence, without proving the details of it.

If \mathcal{L} is a partial group, then we associate to it the simplicial set \mathcal{M} given by the sequence $\{M_i\}_i$ where each M_i is the set of words in $D(\mathcal{L})$ of length i . In particular $M_0 = \{\emptyset\}$ and $M_1 = \mathcal{L}$. The face operators are defined via the product of \mathcal{L} , the degeneracy operators via insertion of the unit of \mathcal{L} .

Vice versa, given a reduced N -simplicial set \mathcal{M} with inversion, one can prove that the set M_1 has a partial group structure. \square

4.2. Limits and colimits of simplicial sets. As simplicial sets are presheaves, we will discuss about limits and colimits of presheaves.

Indeed it is a simple exercise of category theory to show that the limit (or colimit) of a diagram over presheaves is again a presheaf and is built levelwise. That is, if it is given a diagram in the category $[\mathcal{C}^{op}, Set]$ of presheaves, then the limit (or colimit) in $[\mathcal{C}^{op}, Set]$ is the presheaf obtained by assigning to each object in \mathcal{C} the limit (or colimit) taken in Set over the images of the various presheaves.

With regard to simplicial sets, these are objects of the category $[\Delta^{op}, Set]$; if D is a diagram with the simplicial sets D_i images via D , the limit (colimit) of D is provided by assigning to each object $[n]$ the limit (colimit) of the sets $[n]D_i$, where morphisms are naturally induced by D .

We now show that the category $sPart$ is not closed under formation of colimits in $sSet$. In detail, we will show that it is not closed under coproducts.

Indeed consider two partial groups X, Y seen as simplicial sets according to Theorem 4.1; write $D(X)$ for the domain of the product of X , and similarly for $D(Y)$. In particular, X and Y are both reduced and the equivalence in Theorem 4.1 is realized so that $X_0 = \{\emptyset_X\}$, $Y_0 = \{\emptyset_Y\}$, $X_1 = X$ and $Y_1 = Y$. Then the coproduct of X_0 and Y_0 is $X_0 \sqcup Y_0 = \{\emptyset_X, \emptyset_Y\}$ and the coproduct of X_1 and Y_1 is $X_1 \sqcup Y_1$. In particular, $X \sqcup Y$ is not reduced; moreover in the image $X_1 \sqcup Y_1$ of the object $[1]$ the units 1_X and 1_Y , respectively of the partial groups X and Y , are not identified. However, as $sPart$ and $Part$ are equivalent, Theorem 2.6 yields to existence of colimits in $sPart$; thus, it simply means they are not formed as colimits in the entire category $sSet$.

Nonetheless, apart from the problems arising with the units of the partial groups, there is another reason why proving existence of colimits in the simplicial sets setting may be complicated; indeed, a colimit in $sPart$ must be a N -simplicial set, so the enumerating operator must consist of injective maps. However, as colimits of sets are formed by quotients over equivalence relations, proving injectivity might be hard without good control of the relations involved.

The situation is similar when the colimit is not a coproduct, that is coequalizing is involved. As shown in section 2, the equivalence relation providing the setwise coequalizer may be too small to also provide a coequalizer in $Part$. However we have seen that, when viewed as simplicial sets, partial groups X and Y are identified by the sets X_1 and Y_1 ; as taking the setwise coequalizer of

X_1 and Y_1 is not generally enough, we notice again that the colimit taken in $sSet$ may not be a partial group.

5. QUOTIENTS OF PARTIAL GROUPS

It is common to control algebraic structures by means of a generating set and of relations among elements of such a set. The generating process is normally related to the existence of free objects, whereas considering relations means looking at colimits.

For example, it is very well known that every group G is (isomorphic to) a quotient of a free group; roughly speaking such a free group is nothing else but a group with enough generators, whereas its quotient is exactly its colimit defined by coequalizing all elements according to their relations in G . We now want to investigate this situation for partial groups.

First of all we need to define quotients of partial groups; this has not been done yet as there is no construction over some type of substructure of a partial group which allows to define quotients in the usual way that is by adding the algebraic structure to a suitable quotient computer in the category Set . Therefore we will take advantage of the categorical point of view, which allows us to define a general notion of quotients, which restricts to the already defined cases when these apply.

We start with defining substructures; the names assigned to them follow the notation used by Chermak in [4] and [6].

Definition 5.1. *Let $\mathcal{L} = (\mathcal{L}, D, \Pi, i)$ be a partial group and $\mathcal{H} \subseteq \mathcal{L}$ a non-empty subset.*

- (a) \mathcal{H} is a partial subgroup of \mathcal{L} , and we write $\mathcal{H} \leq \mathcal{L}$, if \mathcal{H} is closed under the restrictions $\Pi_{D_{\mathcal{H}}}$ of Π to $D_{\mathcal{H}}$ and $i_{\mathcal{H}}$ of i to \mathcal{H} , where $D_{\mathcal{H}} := D \cap W(\mathcal{H})$. In such a case, the partial subgroup is precisely given by $\mathcal{H} = (\mathcal{H}, D_{\mathcal{H}}, \Pi_{D_{\mathcal{H}}}, i_{D_{\mathcal{H}}})$.
- (b) \mathcal{H} , paired with a subset $E_{\mathcal{H}} \subseteq D \cap W(\mathcal{H})$, is an impartial subgroup of \mathcal{L} if \mathcal{H} is closed under the restrictions $\Pi_{E_{\mathcal{H}}}$ and $i_{\mathcal{H}}$.
- (c) \mathcal{H} is a partial normal subgroup of \mathcal{L} if it is a partial subgroup of \mathcal{L} and, for every $x \in \mathcal{H}$ and $g \in \mathcal{L}$ such that $x \in D(g)$, $(g^{-1}, x, g)\Pi \in \mathcal{H}$ holds.

Note that the only difference between partial and impartial subgroups consists in the fact that in a partial subgroup $\mathcal{H} \leq \mathcal{L}$ all the words of \mathcal{H} which are in the domain of \mathcal{L} are also in the domain of \mathcal{H} , and thus we may take products of them. However, impartial subgroups arise naturally as substructures of a partial group in many occasions. Indeed, the definition given by Chermak is different from the one above, but equivalent, and shows the reason why they appear so often.

According to Chermak's definition

- (b') \mathcal{H} is an impartial group of \mathcal{L} if \mathcal{H} is the homomorphic image of a partial group morphism $f : \mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathcal{L}$ for some partial group \mathcal{M} .

Note that the homomorphic image $\mathcal{I} := im(f)$ becomes a partial group by setting $D_{\mathcal{I}} := (D_{\mathcal{M}})f^*$ and defining $\Pi_{\mathcal{I}} := \Pi_{\mathcal{L}}|_{\mathcal{I}}$ and $i_{\mathcal{I}} := i_{\mathcal{L}}|_{\mathcal{I}}$, the restrictions to \mathcal{I} of, respectively, $\Pi_{\mathcal{L}}$ and $i_{\mathcal{L}}$.

Lemma 5.1. *The definitions (b) and (b') of an impartial subgroup are equivalent.*

Proof. Note that, if \mathcal{H} is an impartial group according to (b), then the inclusion map $i : \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathcal{L}$ is a morphism of partial groups; thus (b) implies (b').

Conversely, if $\mathcal{H} = im(f)$ for some partial group \mathcal{M} and homomorphism $f : \mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathcal{L}$, then we have $(D_{\mathcal{M}})f^* \subseteq D_{\mathcal{L}}$. Clearly, if $w \in W(im(f)) \cap D_{\mathcal{H}}$, then $w = (v)f^*$ for some $v \in D(\mathcal{M})$ and so $(w)\Pi_{\mathcal{H}} = (v)\Pi_{\mathcal{M}}f \in im(f)$; thus $im(f)$ is closed under the restriction $\Pi_{\mathcal{H}}$ of $\Pi_{\mathcal{L}}$ to \mathcal{H} , i.e. (b') implies (b). \square

In particular, it is clear that the impartial subgroups of a partial group \mathcal{L} are, up to isomorphism, exactly the partial groups embedded in \mathcal{L} .

Next, we look first at what happens with quotients of groups from the categorical point of view. Let G be group and H a subgroup of G . It is well known that H affords a quotient structure if and only if it is normal. Nevertheless, even if H is not normal, it is still possible to *kill* H through a quotient, at the price of replacing H with a larger subgroup of G , namely the normal closure of H in G , $N := \langle H^G \rangle$. Clearly, $H = N$ if and only if H is normal.

From the categorical point of view, recall that the category Grp has a 0-object, namely the trivial group. Thus it is always possible to build the trivial map $\hat{1}$ between any two groups G and F by going through the trivial group.

$$\begin{array}{ccc} G & \xrightarrow{\hat{1}} & H \\ & \searrow \hat{1} & \nearrow \hat{1} \\ & 1 & \end{array}$$

In particular, if $H \leq G$, and $i : H \rightarrow G$ is the inclusion map, then it is a straightforward computation the fact that $coeq(i, \hat{1}) = G/N$, where $N := \langle H^G \rangle$,

$$H \begin{array}{c} \xrightarrow{i} \\ \xrightarrow{\hat{1}} \end{array} G \xrightarrow{q} \frac{G}{N}$$

In particular, when H is normal, the quotient is exactly the coequalizer of the inclusion map and the trivial map. Thus, it is reasonable to generalize the notion of a quotient to any subgroup H by saying that $G/\langle H^G \rangle = coeq_{Grp}(i, \hat{1}) = coker(i)$ is the quotient of G by H , where $i : H \rightarrow G$ is the inclusion map and $\hat{1}$ the trivial map.

We have already observed how also the category $Part$ has all limits and colimits and is pointed, with the trivial group 1 being the 0-object. Therefore it is always defined the trivial map $\hat{1} : \mathcal{L} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}$, $x \mapsto 1_{\mathcal{M}}$ for every pair of partial groups \mathcal{L} and \mathcal{M} . In particular, for every morphism $f : \mathcal{L} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}$ we may also define $ker(f) := eq(f, \hat{1})$ and $coker(f) := coeq(f, \hat{1})$.

It is immediate to verify that our notion of kernel of a morphism coincides with the one given by Chermak in [4, Definition 1.11].

Definition 5.2. Let \mathcal{L} be a partial group and $\mathcal{H} \subseteq \mathcal{L}$ be an impartial subgroup. Let $j : \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathcal{L}$ be the inclusion morphism. Define the quotient partial group \mathcal{L}/\mathcal{H} by

$$\mathcal{L}/\mathcal{H} := coker(j) = coeq_{Part}(j, \hat{1}),$$

where $\hat{1}$ is the trivial map from \mathcal{H} to \mathcal{L} .

It is clear that, when \mathcal{H} and \mathcal{L} are groups, the definition above coincides with the known quotient in Grp . We now show that it also coincides with the definition of a *quotient locality* given by Chermak in [4]; for the definition of a locality see [4, Definition 2.8].

Chermak's construction applies over a finite locality \mathcal{L} and a partial normal subgroup $\mathcal{N} \trianglelefteq \mathcal{L}$; in [4, Section 3] the author defines an equivalence relation on \mathcal{L} by identifying the maximal classes (named the cosets of \mathcal{N}) of a reflexive and transitive relation. In particular, [4, Proposition 4.13] shows that cosets are all of the form $\mathcal{N}f$ for some element $f \in \mathcal{L}$.

Notation. We follow the notation adopted in [4, Definition 3.6 and Corollary 4.5]. Thus $\mathcal{L} = (\mathcal{L}, \Delta, S)$ is a finite locality and $\mathcal{N} \leq \mathcal{L}$ a partial normal subgroup. $\overline{\mathcal{L}} := \mathcal{L}/\mathcal{N}$ is the set of \mathcal{N} -cosets of \mathcal{L} and $\rho : \mathcal{L} \rightarrow \overline{\mathcal{L}}$ the projection map. Then $\overline{\Delta} := \{(P)\rho =: \overline{P} \mid P \in \Delta\}$. In particular, [4, Lemma 3.16 and Theorem 4.3] show that $(\overline{\mathcal{L}}, \overline{\Delta}, \overline{S})$ is a partial group and, actually, a locality.

Lemma 5.2. Following the notation above, if $\mathcal{L} = (\mathcal{L}, \Delta, S)$ is a finite locality, $\mathcal{N} \leq \mathcal{L}$ a partial normal subgroup of \mathcal{L} and $\rho : \mathcal{L} \rightarrow \mathcal{L}/\mathcal{N}$ the projection sending each element $g \in \mathcal{L}$ to the unique maximal coset of \mathcal{N} containing g , then the locality $(\overline{\mathcal{L}} = \mathcal{L}/\mathcal{N}, \overline{\Delta}, \overline{S})$ is the quotient $\mathcal{L}/^c\mathcal{N}$ as in Definition 5.2 (we use the symbol $/^c$ to distinguish the set \mathcal{L}/\mathcal{N} defined via maximal cosets from \mathcal{L}/\mathcal{N} defined as in Definition 5.2).

Proof. Since the domains, product maps and inversion maps of \mathcal{L}/\mathcal{N} and $\mathcal{L}/^c\mathcal{N}$ are in both cases induced by those of \mathcal{L} ([4, Lemma 3.16]), all we need to show is that $\mathcal{L}/\mathcal{N} = \mathcal{L}/^c\mathcal{N}$ as sets (that is, the equivalence relations are the same) and that ρ is the projection map also in the coequalizer diagram defining $\mathcal{L}/^c\mathcal{N}$. Let \mathcal{R} be the equivalence relation on \mathcal{L} with classes \mathcal{L}/\mathcal{N} and \mathcal{R}^c the one with classes $\mathcal{L}/^c\mathcal{N}$; since the product of \mathcal{L} factors over \mathcal{L}/\mathcal{N} , by definition of \mathcal{R}^c we have $\mathcal{R}^c \subseteq \mathcal{R}$. Suppose now that \mathcal{E} is an equivalence class of \mathcal{R} ; by [4, Proposition 3.14] there exists an element $f \in \mathcal{L}$ such that f is \uparrow -maximal (following [4, Definition 3.6]) and $\mathcal{E} = \mathcal{N}f$. Let $g \in \mathcal{N}f$, so $g = nf = (n, f)\Pi$ for some $n \in \mathcal{N}$; since the words (n, f) and $(1, f)$ are clearly componentwise \mathcal{R}^c -related, by the definition of \mathcal{R}^c we have also $g = (n, f)\Pi \mathcal{R}^c (1, f)\Pi = f$. This shows that $\mathcal{E} = \mathcal{N}f$ is contained in an equivalence class of \mathcal{R}^c . Thus $\mathcal{R} \subseteq \mathcal{R}^c$, and equality holds. That ρ is also the quotient map over $\mathcal{L}/^c\mathcal{N}$ is now trivial. \square

6. GENERATORS AND RELATIONS FOR PARTIAL GROUPS

We now deal with generating sets and relations for partial groups. First of all, we shall address the fact that the behavior of partial groups is somehow counter-intuitive. Let's consider, for example, localities; in [5, Chapter 3] Chermak describes a way of enlarging a given locality \mathcal{L} , the result being a new locality \mathcal{L}^+ with the following properties: \mathcal{L} is an impartial subgroup of \mathcal{L}^+ and \mathcal{L}^+ is generated by the set \mathcal{L} . In particular, whenever $\mathcal{L} \subset \mathcal{L}^+$, we have a proper embedding between two localities sharing an equal set of generators, namely \mathcal{L} . Such a behavior is impossible, for example, with groups, vector spaces and rings; however, partial groups are defined by a partial operation, so that the set of generated elements depends not only on generators, but also on the product itself. That is, the product of \mathcal{L}^+ may be *more powerful* than that of \mathcal{L} , meaning by this that $D(\mathcal{L}) \subset D(\mathcal{L}^+)$ and, therefore, the same generators may generate more elements in \mathcal{L}^+ than in \mathcal{L} . Therefore, when dealing with partial groups we need additional information which controls products of generators.

One way to provide this additional information is by temporarily shifting our attention from partial groups to the underlying sets. Indeed, we have seen that the forgetful functor $Part \rightarrow Set$ can be modified so that it remembers slightly more than just a set. Namely, we have already seen how morphisms in $Part$ behave well with respect to the neutral elements of partial groups, so that we can replace sets with pointed sets.

The idea is to actually retain a little more information than just the unit; indeed, for a partial group $(\mathcal{L}, D(\mathcal{L}), \Pi, i)$ we would like to remember the underlying set \mathcal{L} together with the full domain $D(\mathcal{L})$. This suggests the definition of the following category.

Definition 6.1. *For any set X , let $W(X)$ be the free monoid over X , i.e. words (finite sequences) with concatenation as a product. Recall that a map $f : X \rightarrow Y$ extends to a map $f^* : W(X) \rightarrow W(Y)$ by componentwise application of f .*

Define the category Set^s in the following way.

- *The objects of Set^s are pairs (X, S_X) , where X is a set and $S_X \subseteq W(X)$.*
- *A morphism $h : (X, S_X) \rightarrow (Y, S_Y)$ between two objects is given by a set-wise map $h : X \rightarrow Y$ that satisfies the property $(S_X)h^* = S_Y$.*
- *Composition of morphisms is the usual composition of maps.*

It is a trivial verification that Set^s is a category.

Moreover, it is now possible to define a forgetful functor with the following shape:

$$U : Part \rightarrow Set^s, \quad (\mathcal{L})U = (\mathcal{L}, D(\mathcal{L}))$$

for a partial group $\mathcal{L} = (\mathcal{L}, D(\mathcal{L}), \Pi, i)$. Indeed, whenever we have a morphism of partial groups $f : \mathcal{L} \rightarrow \mathcal{L}'$, by definition we have $(D(\mathcal{L}))f^* \subseteq D(\mathcal{L}')$, so that we can define $(f)U = f$.

The first question to answer is whether this newly defined forgetful functor U is a right adjoint; that is to say, whether it is possible to build free partial groups over objects of Set^s .

6.1. Construction of free partial groups over Set^s . Let (X, S_X) be an object of the category Set^s . We clearly need the elements in X in our free partial group; similarly, for every element $s \in S_X$ we need an element in the free group, namely the image of the string s via the product map. Also, we need enough elements to build a partial group, that is to have closure with respect to the defining axioms. In particular, S_X may not be suitable as a domain. The idea consists in enhancing strings in S_X to elements of the free partial group and defining the product as the extension of concatenation over sequences of multiple strings. Of course, one also has to deal with inverses, which appear in axiom (4) of the definition of partial groups; this will be taken care by embedding our free partial group in a free group.

For a set X let $W := W(X)$ be the free monoid on X , $G := G(X)$ be the free group on X and let $S \subseteq W$; clearly W is embedded in G . Let $i : G \rightarrow G$ be the inversion map, i.e. $(g)i = g^{-1}$. Let $W(G)$ be the free monoid over G and $i^* : W(G) \rightarrow W(G)$ the extension of i in reverse order. In addition, denote by $\pi : W(G) \rightarrow G$ the multivariable product of the free group G .

Lemma 6.1. *Following the notation above, there exist unique sets $\mathcal{L} \subseteq G$ and $D = D(\mathcal{L}) \subseteq W(\mathcal{L}) \subseteq W(G)$ such that:*

- (1) $X \subseteq \mathcal{L}$, $S \subseteq D$ and $(\mathcal{L})i \subseteq \mathcal{L}$;
- (2) denoting $\Pi := \pi|_D$ and $j = i|_{\mathcal{L}}$, then $(D)\Pi \subseteq \mathcal{L}$ and (\mathcal{L}, D, Π, j) is a partial group; in particular, for any word $s = (x_1, \dots, x_n) \in S$ we have $s\Pi = x_1 \circ \dots \circ x_n \in \mathcal{L}$;
- (3) any other pair of sets \mathcal{L}' , D' as above satisfying (1) and (2) is such that $\mathcal{L} \subseteq \mathcal{L}'$ and $D \subseteq D'$.

Proof. We show that the pair $(G, W(G))$ satisfies (1) and (2) and, afterwards, that (1) and (2) are stable under taking intersections.

For $(G, W(G))$ we have $\Pi = \pi$, $j = i$ and $(G, W(G), \Pi, j)$ is just the group G and there is nothing to prove.

Suppose now to have a family $\{\mathcal{L}_k\}_{k \in K}$ of subsets $\mathcal{L}_k \subseteq G$ with associated subsets $D_k \subseteq W(\mathcal{L}_k)$, indexed over an index-set K . Suppose that all the pairs (\mathcal{L}_k, D_k) satisfy (1) and (2) and set

$$\mathcal{M} := \bigcap_{k \in K} \mathcal{L}_k \quad \text{and} \quad D := \bigcap_{k \in K} D_k.$$

Let $\Pi_k := \pi|_{D_k}$ and $j_k := i|_{\mathcal{L}_k}$; then by our assumptions each $(\mathcal{L}_k, D_k, \Pi_k, j_k)$ is a partial group contained in G . Set $\Pi := \pi|_D$ and $j := i|_D$; in particular, Π and j are also restrictions of all the maps Π_k and j_k , respectively.

Clearly $X \subseteq \mathcal{M}$, $S \subseteq D$ and $(\mathcal{M})i \subseteq \mathcal{M}$; as $(\mathcal{L}_k)\Pi_k \subseteq \mathcal{L}_k$ for every $k \in K$, we also have $(\mathcal{M})\Pi \subseteq \mathcal{M}$. Unfortunately, there is no reason why they should also be partial subgroups of G , thus we need to prove that (\mathcal{M}, D, Π, j) is a partial group by checking the defining axioms.

Since $\mathcal{L}_k \subseteq D_k$ for every $k \in K$, we have that $\mathcal{M} \subseteq D$. Let $u \circ v \in D$; then $u \circ v \in D_k$ for every $k \in K$, so that $u, v \in D$. This shows axiom (1). Axiom (2) is trivial, as Π is defined via concatenation. Suppose that $u \circ v \circ w \in D$; then it is contained in all the domains D_k and

$$u \circ (v)\Pi_k \circ w \in D_k \quad \text{and} \quad (u \circ v \circ w)\Pi_k = (u \circ (v)\Pi_k \circ w)\Pi_k \quad \forall k \in K.$$

As $u \circ (v)\Pi_k \circ w = u \circ (v)\Pi \circ w$, we get $u \circ (v)\Pi \circ w \in D$ and $(u \circ v \circ w)\Pi = (u \circ (v)\Pi \circ w)\Pi$, which is axiom (3). Let $u \in D$; for every $k \in K$ we have $(u)j_k \circ u \in D_k$ and $((u)j_k \circ u)\Pi_k = 1$, where 1 is the unit in \mathcal{L}_k (and also in G). Again, as the maps j and Π agree with the j_k and Π_k , we get $(u)j \circ u \in D$ and $((u)j \circ u)\Pi = 1$, which is axiom (4). This shows that (\mathcal{M}, D, Π, j) is a partial group.

Let now $\{\mathcal{L}_k\}_{k \in K}$ be the set of all partial groups $(\mathcal{L}_k, D_k, \Pi_k, j_k)$ with $\mathcal{L}_k \subseteq G$ and satisfying (1)

and (2) of the Lemma; let (\mathcal{M}, D, Π, j) be defined as above. Then it is a partial group and, by definition, it satisfies also property (3). \square

Definition 6.2. Let $(X, S), (Y, T)$ be objects of Set^s and $f : (X, S) \rightarrow (Y, T)$ be a morphism. Then define $F : Set^s \rightarrow Part$ by $(X, S)F := (\mathcal{M}, D, \Pi, j)$ as in Lemma 6.1 and $(f)F$ to be the componentwise application of f .

It is a simple computation to verify that F is a functor; as a consequence of the next lemma, we consider F the free-construction functor.

Lemma 6.2. The following hold.

- (a) Let (X, S) be an object in Set^s , then the partial group (\mathcal{M}, D, Π, j) defined in Lemma 6.1 is the free partial group over the object (X, S) .
- (b) The forgetful functor $U : Part \rightarrow Set^s$, $(\mathcal{L}, D(\mathcal{L}))$ is a right adjoint to the functor $F : Set^s \rightarrow Part$.

Proof. (a) We have an obvious inclusion map $\iota : (X, S) \hookrightarrow (\mathcal{M}, D)$ which is a morphism in Set^s . Being the free partial group over the object (X, S) means that for any partial group $(\mathcal{L}, D_{\mathcal{L}}, \Pi_{\mathcal{L}}, i)$ and any morphism $f : (X, S) \rightarrow (\mathcal{L}, D_{\mathcal{L}})$ in the category Set^s there exists a unique morphism of partial groups $\hat{f} : \mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathcal{L}$ such that $f = \iota \hat{f}$, that is that makes the following diagram commutative.

$$\begin{array}{ccc} (X, S) & \xrightarrow{\quad \iota \quad} & (\mathcal{M}, D, \Pi, j) \\ & \searrow \forall f & \swarrow \exists! \hat{f} \\ & & (\mathcal{L}, D_{\mathcal{L}}, \Pi_{\mathcal{L}}, i) \end{array}$$

The idea is to proceed as for groups. Following the notation in Lemma 6.1, let G be the free group on the set X and let $X^{-1} = \{x^{-1} \mid x \in X\}$ the set of elements of G that are inverses (in G) of the elements in X ; then define $(x)\hat{f} = (x)f$ for $x \in X$, $(x^{-1})\hat{f} = ((x)f)^{-1}$ for $x^{-1} \in X^{-1}$ and extend to \mathcal{M} componentwise. That is, if $w = (x_1, \dots, x_n) \in \mathcal{M}$, so that $x_i \in X \cup X^{-1}$, then $(w)\hat{f} := (x_1)\hat{f} \dots (x_n)\hat{f}$, where the product is taken in \mathcal{L} . Of course, we must show that \hat{f} is well-defined, i.e. for every word $w \in \mathcal{M}$ as above, $((x_1)\hat{f}, \dots, (x_n)\hat{f}) \in D_{\mathcal{L}}$.

Since f is a morphism in the category Set^s , we get $(S)f^* \subseteq D_{\mathcal{L}}$; by definition of \mathcal{M} we then have $(\mathcal{M})f^* \subseteq (D)f^* \subseteq D_{\mathcal{L}}$, where f^* is extended to inverse elements of X as for \hat{f} . Also, if $(w_1, \dots, w_k) \in D$, then

$$(w_1, \dots, w_k)\Pi \hat{f} = (w_1 \circ \dots \circ w_k)\hat{f} = ((w_1)\hat{f}, \dots, (w_k)\hat{f})\Pi_{\mathcal{L}} = (w_1, \dots, w_k)(\hat{f}^*)\Pi_{\mathcal{L}}.$$

This shows that \hat{f} is a morphism of partial groups.

Suppose there exists a second morphism of partial groups \hat{f}' satisfying the same property, then for $w = (x_1, \dots, x_n) \in \mathcal{M}$

$$(w)\hat{f}' = ((x_1)\hat{f}', \dots, (x_n)\hat{f}') = ((x_1)f, \dots, (x_n)f) = (w)\hat{f},$$

thus showing it is also unique.

- (b) Clearly (a) gives the bijection between homomorphism sets defining the adjunction. All is left to show is naturality, which is a straightforward computation. \square

Remark. Let (\mathcal{L}, D, Π, i) be a partial group; then $(\mathcal{L}, D) \in Set^s$. Let (\mathcal{M}, E, π, j) be the free partial group over (\mathcal{L}, D) , then a close look at the proof of Lemma 6.1 shows that $\mathcal{M} = D$ and E is the set of words of D such that their concatenation is again in D .

Corollary 6.3. *Let $\mathcal{L} = (\mathcal{L}, D, \Pi, i)$ be the free partial group over $(X, S) \in \text{Set}^s$ and $T \subseteq W(\mathcal{L})$. Then there exists a unique smallest partial group $\mathcal{M} = (\mathcal{M}, E, \Pi_E, j)$ containing \mathcal{L} and such that $D \cup T \subseteq E$.*

Proof. Following the notation of Lemma 6.1, \mathcal{M} is the intersection of all the impartial subgroups $(\mathcal{N}, D(\mathcal{N}), \Pi_{\mathcal{N}}, i_{\mathcal{N}})$ of G satisfying the condition $D \cup T \subseteq D(\mathcal{N})$.

The existence of such a partial group (together with the fact that it is indeed a partial group) is proven just as done in Lemma 6.1. \square

With a little abuse of notation, due to the fact that $T \cup D \not\subseteq W(X)$, we say that \mathcal{M} as in Corollary 6.3 is free over $(X, D \cup T)$.

Remark. The reader may observe that there is a possible alternative construction affording the partial group \mathcal{L} of Corollary 6.3, given by modifying the objects in the category Set^s to triples (X, \hat{S}, i_X) . Here X is a set and $\hat{S} \subset W(X \sqcup X^{-1})$, where $X^{-1} := \{x^{-1} \mid x \in X\}$ is a copy of X providing inverses and i_X is the inversion map $i_X : X \sqcup X^{-1} \rightarrow X \sqcup X^{-1}$ defined by $(x)i_X = x^{-1}$ and $(x^{-1})i_X = x$. Morphisms $f : (X, \hat{S}, i_X) \rightarrow (Y, \hat{T}, i_Y)$ are defined accordingly, by extending to maps $f : X \sqcup X^{-1} \rightarrow Y \sqcup Y^{-1}$ in such a way that the following square is commutative

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X \sqcup X^{-1} & \xrightarrow{f} & Y \sqcup Y^{-1} \\ \downarrow i_X & & \downarrow i_Y \\ X \sqcup X^{-1} & \xrightarrow{f} & Y \sqcup Y^{-1} \end{array}$$

Now the same construction of Lemma 6.1 holds with \hat{S} in place of S and it affords the partial group \mathcal{L} of Corollary 6.3 when $\hat{S} = D \cup T$.

6.2. Relations in partial groups. When dealing with a free group G over a set X , a relation of the form $w = u$, with w and u words in G , can always be rewritten in the form $wu^{-1} = 1_G$. In particular, all relations are indeed identifications of elements with the unit of the free group. Then, given any set R of relations on G , it is always possible to identify the smallest normal subgroup N of G containing R . The quotient group G/N is the *best* group with generating set X and elements identified according to the relations in R . From a categorical point of view, it is clear that $G/N = \text{coeq}(i, \hat{1})$, where the coequalizer diagram is $\langle R \rangle \begin{array}{c} \xrightarrow{i} \\ \hat{1} \end{array} G \xrightarrow{q} G/N$.

However, for a free partial group \mathcal{M} over a pair (X, S) such an operation is not so straightforward. Let's consider, for a moment, the more general situation where $\mathcal{L} = (\mathcal{L}, D, \Pi, i)$ is a not necessarily free partial group. Suppose that $u = (u_1, \dots, u_r)$ and $w = (w_1, \dots, w_t)$ are words in D and that we would like to have the relation $u = w$ fulfilled. A priori there is no reason why the word $u \circ w^{-1}$ should be in D (where \circ denotes concatenation in $W(\mathcal{L})$); however, since $u \in D$, axiom 4 of Definition 1.1 yields $u \circ u^{-1} \in D$ and $(u \circ u^{-1})\Pi = 1$. In particular, the relation $u = w$ becomes equivalent to $u \circ w^{-1} \in D$ and $(u \circ w^{-1})\Pi = 1$. This shows that adding the element $u \circ w^{-1}$ to the domain of \mathcal{L} is a necessary condition for the relation $u = w$ to make sense. Of course, this might also enlarge the partial group \mathcal{L} . However, at the moment we can add new words only to the domain of free partial groups via Corollary 6.3.

Definition 6.3. *Let $\mathcal{L} = (\mathcal{L}, D, \Pi, i)$ be a partial group. A relation on \mathcal{L} is a finite sequence of words (u_1, u_2, \dots, u_n) such that $u_i \in D$ for every $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$.*

Lemma 6.4. *Let $\mathcal{L} = (\mathcal{L}, D, \Pi, i)$ be a free partial group and R a set of relations on \mathcal{L} .*

- (a) *There exists a unique smallest free partial group $\mathcal{M} = (\mathcal{M}, E, \Pi_E, j)$ containing \mathcal{L} and such that $R \subseteq E$.*
- (b) *There exists a unique smallest impartial subgroup $\mathcal{N} = (\mathcal{N}, F, \Pi_F, k)$ of \mathcal{M} generated by the relations R .*

(c) *The quotient partial group \mathcal{M}/\mathcal{N} fulfills all the relations in R .*

Proof. Let $T := \{u_1 \circ \cdots \circ u_n \in W(\mathcal{L}) \mid (u_1, \dots, u_n) \in R\}$. Then (a) is Corollary 6.3 with T in place of R . In particular we obtain $T \subseteq \mathcal{M}$, so that (b) follows after showing that the intersection of impartial subgroups of a partial group \mathcal{L} is an impartial subgroup. Indeed, we may take as \mathcal{N} the intersection of all impartial subgroups of \mathcal{M} whose domain contains all the relations in R . For this, let $i_j : \mathcal{L}_j \hookrightarrow \mathcal{L}$ be embeddings of impartial subgroups \mathcal{M}_j in \mathcal{L} and $\mathcal{L}' := \bigcap_j \mathcal{L}_j$. Set $D' := \bigcap_j D(\mathcal{L}_j)$ and Π', i' be the restrictions of the product and inversion maps of \mathcal{L} to, respectively, D' and \mathcal{L}' . Then it is easy to check that $(\mathcal{L}', D', \Pi', i')$ is a partial group; it is clearly embedded in \mathcal{L} via the restriction of any of the i_j , thus it is an impartial subgroup.

If $(u_1, \dots, u_n) \in R$, then $u_1 \circ \cdots \circ u_n \in T \subseteq \mathcal{N}$. This means that $u_1 \circ \cdots \circ u_n = 1$ in \mathcal{L}/\mathcal{N} proving (c). \square

We are now ready to show that every partial group is a quotient of a free partial group.

Proof of Proposition B. Let $\mathcal{L} = (\mathcal{L}, D, \Pi, i)$ be a partial group. Set $\mathcal{M} = (\mathcal{M}, E, \Pi_E, j)$ to be the free partial group over the pair (\mathcal{L}, D) ; then $\mathcal{M} = D$ by Remark 6.1. Let R be the set of relations of \mathcal{L} , that is

$$R := \{(u_1, \dots, u_n) \in D^n \mid u_1 \circ \cdots \circ u_n \in D \text{ and } (u_1 \circ \cdots \circ u_n)\Pi = 1\}.$$

Note that, by the definition of \mathcal{M} , $R \subseteq E$. Let \mathcal{N} be the impartial subgroup of \mathcal{M} generated by the relations in R as in Lemma 6.4. We want to show that $\mathcal{M}/\mathcal{N} \cong \mathcal{L}$.

To prove the isomorphism note first of all that, \mathcal{M} being free over (\mathcal{L}, D) , we have the existence of a unique morphism $f : \mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathcal{L}$ extending the identity on \mathcal{L} , as shown below.

$$\begin{array}{ccc} (\mathcal{L}, D) & \xleftarrow{\quad \iota \quad} & \mathcal{M} \\ & \searrow \text{id}_{\mathcal{L}} & \swarrow \exists! f \\ & & \mathcal{L} \end{array}$$

By Lemma 6.4 (c), \mathcal{M}/\mathcal{N} fulfills the relations in R ; thus f factors through \mathcal{N} and the universal property of cokernels yields a map $\hat{f} : \mathcal{M}/\mathcal{N} \rightarrow \mathcal{L}$, which is clearly surjective. Since f extends the identity on \mathcal{L} , we also have $(D(\mathcal{M}/\mathcal{N}))\hat{f}^* = D$. As $\mathcal{M} = D$, if $u \in \mathcal{M}$, u is a word in the domain of \mathcal{L} , so that $u \circ u^{-1} \in D(\mathcal{L})$ and $u \circ (u^{-1})\Pi \in D(\mathcal{L})$. Since also $u \circ u^{-1} \circ u \circ u^{-1} \in D(\mathcal{L})$, we have $u \circ u^{-1} \circ u \in D(\mathcal{L})$ and $u \circ (u^{-1})\Pi \circ (u)\Pi \in D(\mathcal{L})$. Since $(u, (u^{-1})\Pi) \in R$, we have $u \circ (u^{-1})\Pi = 1$ in \mathcal{M}/\mathcal{N} , so that $u = u \circ (u^{-1})\Pi \circ (u)\Pi = (u)\Pi$ modulo \mathcal{N} . Thus, if $u, v \in \mathcal{M}$ with $u\Pi = v\Pi$, then $u = v$ in \mathcal{M}/\mathcal{N} , showing that \hat{f} is bijective and, therefore, an isomorphism. \square

With the following we provide an example of such a construction as well as an example of how groups and partial groups can behave in different ways.

It is well known that in a group G two elements commute if and only if their commutator $[a, b]$ is trivial; in particular, suppose that G is free over a, b , then imposing that $ab = ba$ is equivalent to require $[a, b] = 1$, which translates in quotienting G over the commutator $[a, b]$ (actually, over the smallest normal subgroup containing $[a, b]$). Therefore the two operations *making a, b commute* and *quotienting over the commutator $[a, b]$* are equivalent for groups.

For partial groups this is not so straightforward. Of course one could define commutators in the obvious way: if a, b are elements of a partial group \mathcal{L} , $[a, b] := a^{-1}b^{-1}ab$ whenever $(a^{-1}, b^{-1}, a, b) \in D(\mathcal{L})$. If A, B are subsets of \mathcal{L} , $[A, B]$ is then the smallest impartial subgroup of \mathcal{L} containing all the well-defined commutators $[a, b]$ with $a \in A$ and $b \in B$. Indeed, when $[a, b]$ is defined, then it is trivial if and only if a and b commute; however it might be the case that both (a, b) and (b, a) are in $D(\mathcal{L})$ with $ab = ba$, but $[a, b]$ is not defined. We clear this situation with the following example.

Example 6.5. Let $X := \{a, b\}$ and $S := \{(a, b), (b, a)\}$; then $(X, S) \in \text{Ob}(\text{Set}^s)$. Let \mathcal{L} be the free partial group over (X, S) ; then, up to insertion of units, $D(\mathcal{L})$ contains the strings of the form $(\dots, a, a^{-1}, a, a^{-1}, \dots)$ and $(\dots, b, b^{-1}, b, b^{-1}, \dots)$. However it contains also (a, b) and (b, a) , therefore strings of the form $(\dots, a, b, b^{-1}, a^{-1}, a, b, b^{-1}, \dots)$ and $(\dots, b, a, a^{-1}, b^{-1}, b, a, \dots)$ obtained by repeatedly use of axioms (1) and (4) of the definition of a partial group. It is not hard to realize that any word in $D(\mathcal{L})$ is obtained from one of the above ones up to inserting units and/or reducing the length of the word by axiom (3).

Since (a, b) and (b, a) are elements of \mathcal{L} , we rename $x = (a, b)$ and $y = (b, a)$. Then, in particular, $(x, b^{-1}) \in D(\mathcal{L})$ and $xb^{-1} = a$. On the other side, for example, $(a, b, a) \notin D(\mathcal{L})$ as well as $(a, b, a^{-1}) \notin D(\mathcal{L})$. Then in every word in $D(\mathcal{L})$, x can be preceded only by a^{-1} and followed only by b^{-1} (apart from the unit and x^{-1}); similarly, y can only be preceded by b^{-1} and followed by a^{-1} .

Let now \mathcal{N} be the quotient of \mathcal{L} modulo the relation (x, y^{-1}) , i.e. we *make a and b commute*. For this, we need to add the word $(y^{-1}, x) = ((b, a)^{-1}, (a, b)) = ((a^{-1}, b^{-1}), (a, b))$ (of length 2) to the domain and in \mathcal{N} we have $x = y$. In particular, in any word in $D(\mathcal{N})$, x can be preceded and followed only by the unit or one of a^{-1} and b^{-1} . Thus we can write down all the elements of \mathcal{N} : $\mathcal{N} = \{1, a, a^{-1}, b, b^{-1}, x, x^{-1}\}$ and we get $(a^{-1}, b^{-1}, x) \in D(\mathcal{N})$, that is $(a^{-1}, b^{-1}, (a, b)) \in D(\mathcal{N})$. However we still have $(a^{-1}, b^{-1}, a, b) \notin D(\mathcal{N})$ since, for example, $(b^{-1}, a) \notin D(\mathcal{N})$.

If we consider now the quotient \mathcal{M} of \mathcal{L} modulo the commutator $[a, b]$, this means adding the word (a^{-1}, b^{-1}, a, b) to $D(\mathcal{L})$. In such a case we also define, for example, conjugates (b^{-1}, a, b) , which are not defined in \mathcal{N} . In particular, \mathcal{M} now contains the element (b^{-1}, a) . However we still get $(a^{-1}, b^{-1}, x) \in D(\mathcal{M})$ and, in general, we can embed $D(\mathcal{N})$ in $D(\mathcal{M})$.

This means that *quotienting over a commutator* is a stronger operation than just *making two elements commute* in partial groups.

REFERENCES

1. M. Aschbacher, R. Kessar, and B. Oliver, *Fusion systems in algebra and topology*, London Math. Soc. Lecture Note Series, vol. 391, Cambridge University Press, 2011.
2. C. Broto, R. Levi, and B. Oliver, *The homotopy theory of fusion systems*, J. Amer. Math. Soc. **16** (2003), 779–856.
3. A. Chermak, *Fusion systems and localities*, Acta Math. **211** (2013), no. 1, 47–139. MR 3118305
4. ———, *Finite localities I*, preprint at <https://arxiv.org/abs/1505.07786> (2016).
5. ———, *Finite localities II*, preprint at <https://arxiv.org/abs/1505.08110> (2016).
6. ———, *Finite localities III*, preprint at <https://arxiv.org/abs/1610.06161> (2017).
7. A. González, *An extension theory for partial groups and localities*, preprint at <https://arxiv.org/abs/1507.04392> (2015).
8. S. Mac Lane, *Categories for the working mathematician*, Graduate Texts in Mathematics, vol. 5, Springer-Verlag, Berlin/New York, 1971.
9. B. Oliver and J. Ventura, *Extensions of linking systems with p -group kernel*, Math. Ann. **338** (2007), no. 4, 983–1043. MR 2317758 (2008k:55029)
10. Antonio Díaz Ramos, Rémi Molinier, and Antonio Viruel, *Path partial groups*, Preprint at <https://arxiv.org/abs/2107.14084> (2021).
11. C. A. Weibel, *Introduction to homological algebra*, Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics, vol. 38, Cambridge University Press, 1994.

Email address: edoardo.salati@tu-dresden.de