

A POINCARÉ-BENDIXSON THEOREM FOR FLOWS WITH ARBITRARILY MANY SINGULAR POINTS

TOMOO YOKOYAMA

ABSTRACT. The Poincaré-Bendixson theorem is one of the most fundamental tools to capture the limit behaviors of orbits of flows. It was generalized in several ways and was applied to various phenomena in dynamical systems, differential equations, foliations, group actions, translation lines, and semi-dynamical systems. However, any differential equations with no-slip boundary condition on surfaces with boundary can be applied no such generalizations of the Poincaré-Bendixson theorem to, because of the degeneracy of singular points. To apply the Poincaré-Bendixson theorem to any flows on surfaces, we generalized and refined it into one for flows with arbitrarily many singular points on surfaces. The generalization implies topological characterizations of recurrence, which are generalizations of the Maier's description of recurrence. Moreover, if the singular point set is totally disconnected, then any non-recurrent orbits in the ω -limit set of a point are from and to singular points.

1. INTRODUCTION

The Poincaré-Bendixson theorem is one of the most fundamental tool to capture the limit behaviors of orbits of flows and was applied to various phenomena (e.g. [8, 16, 22, 24, 39, 41–43]). The theorem is stated by Poincaré in [38] for analytic vector fields on the plane and proved by Bendixson in [7] for C^1 vector fields on the plane. In [9], Birkhoff introduced the concepts of ω -limit set and α -limit set of a point. Using these concepts, one can simply describe the limit behaviors of orbits stated in the works of Poincaré and Bendixson in detail. In fact, the Poincaré-Bendixson theorem was generalized for flows on surfaces in various ways [3, 5, 14, 15, 18, 19, 21, 25, 27, 28, 30–32, 36, 44, 47, 49], and also for foliations [26, 37], translation lines on the sphere [24], geodesics for a meromorphic connection on Riemann surfaces [1, 2], group actions [23], and semidynamical systems [10]. For instance, the following statement holds (cf. [36]): The ω -limit set of an orbit of a flow with finitely many fixed points on a compact surface is either a closed orbit, a semi-attracting limit circuit, or a

Date: January 4, 2022.

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification. 37E35, 37B20, 37B35.

Key words and phrases. Poincaré-Bendixson theorem, ω -limit sets, Flow on surfaces.

This work was partially supported by the JST PRESTO Grant Number JPMJPR16E and JSPS Kakenhi Grant Number 20K03583.

Q-set, where a Q-set is the closure of non-closed recurrent orbit. A part of this classification is based on the following Maier's result [34] (see Theorem 2.4.4 p.32 [36], Theorem 4.2 [4] for general cases, and Theorem 19 [29] for orientable hyperbolic cases for details): Any point contained in an ω -limit set of some point for a flow on a compact surface whose ω -limit set contains non-closed orbits is positively recurrent. Furthermore, in [28, 48], it is shown that the ω -limit set of a non-recurrent point is the boundary of an open annulus. Moreover, in [31], the Poincaré-Bendixson theorem is generalized for flows with the totally disconnected singular point sets on closed orientable surfaces as follows: The ω -limit set of an orbit of such a flow is either a closed orbit, a union of singular points and of connecting separatrices, or a Q-set. Here a non-singular orbit is a connecting separatrix if each of the ω -limit and the α -limit sets is a singular point. However, any differential equations with no-slip boundary condition on surfaces with boundary can be applied no such generalizations of the Poincaré-Bendixson theorem to, because of the degeneracy of singular points.

On the other hand, the ω -limit sets of orbits of analytic flows on the plane, the sphere, and the projective plane are studied in [27]. However, Buendía and López pointed out a gap in a crucial lemma in the work by constructing a counterexample in the case of the sphere minus two points [17]. Though the lemma is not generally true, they fixed the gap in the case of the sphere, the plane, the projective plane, and the projective plane minus one point. They also showed that ω -limit sets of orbits of analytic flows on open connected subsets of the sphere are essentially the boundaries of simply connected Peano subcontinua [18],

To describe the Poincaré-Bendixson theorem for flows with arbitrarily many singular points on surfaces, we define some concepts as follows. A closed connected invariant subset is a non-trivial quasi-circuit if it is a boundary component of an open annulus, contains a non-recurrent point, and consists of non-recurrent points and singular points. A non-trivial quasi-circuit γ is a quasi-semi-attracting limit quasi-circuit with respect to a small collar \mathbb{A} if there is a point $x \in \mathbb{A}$ with $O^+(x) \subset \mathbb{A}$ such that $\omega(x) = \gamma$. A subset is locally dense if its closure has a nonempty interior. Recall that a Q-set is the closure of a non-closed recurrent orbit. A Q-set is exceptional if it is not locally dense. Note that each exceptional Q-set is transversely a Cantor set \mathcal{M} (i.e. there is a small neighborhood U of a recurrent point of the Q-set \mathcal{M} such that $\mathcal{M} \cap U$ is a product of an open interval and a Cantor set) because a Cantor set is characterized as a compact metrizable perfect totally disconnected space. Therefore we call that exceptional Q-set is also a transversely Cantor Q-set. An ω -limit set of a point is a quasi-Q-set if it intersects an essential closed transversal infinitely many times. A non-recurrent orbit is connecting quasi-separatrix if each of the ω -limit and the α -limit sets is contained in a boundary component of the singular point set. A subset is locally connected if each point in it has a small open connected neighborhood.

We generalize the Poincaré-Bendixson theorem for flows with the totally disconnected singular point set on a closed orientable surface (see [31, Theorem 3.1] (cf. [33, Theorem 2.1])) as follows.

Theorem A. The following statements hold for a flow with arbitrarily many singular points on a compact surface:

- (a) The ω -limit set of any non-closed orbit of is one of the following exclusively:
- (1) A nowhere dense subset of singular points.
 - (2) A semi-attracting limit cycle.
 - (3) A quasi-semi-attracting limit quasi-circuit that is the image of a circle.
 - (4) A quasi-semi-attracting limit quasi-circuit that is not locally connected.
 - (5) A locally dense Q-set.
 - (6) A transversely Cantor Q-set.
 - (7) A quasi-Q-set that consists of singular points and non-recurrent points.
- (b) Each non-recurrent orbit in the ω -limit set of a point is a connecting quasi-separatrix.
- (c) If the singular point set is totally disconnected, then any non-recurrent orbits in the ω -limit set of a point are connecting separatrices.

The previous theorem can be applied to capture a time-reversal symmetric condition for limit sets. In fact, the characterization of a flow that is either irrational or Denjoy by Athanassopoulos [6] is refined as an application of the previous theorem in [53]. From the construction in [54, Example 3], notice that a subsets of singular points can become Lakes of Wada attractors and that quasi-semi-attracting limit quasi-circuits that is not locally connected can contain a Wada-Lakes-like structure. By this construction, cutting closed transversals and collapsing the new boundary components, we can construct flows on a sphere with Lakes of Wada attractors and with an arbitrarily large number of complementary domains which are flow versions of examples of spherical homeomorphisms constructed by Boroński, Činč, and Liu [11]. In particular, such constructions of homeomorphisms are motivated by a generalization of the Poincaré-Bendixson theorem [24]. Moreover, the previous theorem implies a generalization of the Maier's description of recurrence as follows.

Theorem B. Let v be a flow on a compact surface S . The following statements hold for a point $x \in \omega(z)$ for some point $z \in S$:

- (1) $\omega(x) \setminus \text{Cl}(v) \neq \emptyset$ if and only if x is non-closed positively recurrent.
- (2) $\alpha(x) \setminus \text{Cl}(v) \neq \emptyset$ if and only if x is non-closed negatively recurrent.
- (3) $(\omega(x) \cup \alpha(x)) \setminus \text{Cl}(v) \neq \emptyset$ if and only if x is non-closed recurrent.
- (4) $\omega(x) \setminus \text{Cl}(v) \neq \emptyset$ and $\alpha(x) \setminus \text{Cl}(v) \neq \emptyset$ if and only if x is non-closed strongly recurrent.

The previous theorem implies the following topological characterizations of non-closed positive recurrence.

Corollary C. The following are equivalent for a point x for a flow on a compact surface S :

- (1) The point x is non-closed positively recurrent.
- (2) $\omega(x) \setminus \text{Cl}(v) \neq \emptyset$ and there is a point $z \in S$ with $x \in \omega(z)$.
- (3) $\omega(x) \setminus \text{Cl}(v) \neq \emptyset$ and there is a point $z \in S$ with $x \in \alpha(z)$.

Using the end completion of surfaces of finite genus, we can obtain analogous results for surfaces of finite genus (see Theorem 3.15, Theorem 3.16, and Corollary 3.17 for details).

The present paper consists of five sections. In the next section, as preliminaries, we introduce fundamental concepts. In §3, we generalize the Poincaré-Bendixson theorem to one for a flow with arbitrarily many singular points on a surface of finite genus. Moreover, the generalization implies topological characterizations of recurrence, which are generalizations of the Maier's description of recurrence. In §4, the finiteness of singular points implies that a quasi-Q-set is a Q-set and that a limit quasi-circuit is a limit circuit. These reductions imply a proof of a generalization of the Poincaré-Bendixson theorem for a flow with finitely many singular points on a compact surface. In the final section, we state some examples with ω -limit sets which are non-locally-connected subsets of singular points, non-circuits, and non-Q-sets respectively.

2. PRELIMINARIES

2.1. Topological notion. Denote by \overline{A} the closure of a subset A of a topological space, by $\text{int}A$ the interior of A , and by $\partial A := \overline{A} - \text{int}A$ the boundary of A , where $B - C$ is used instead of the set difference $B \setminus C$ when $B \subseteq C$. We define the coborder $\partial^+ A$ of A by $\overline{A} - A$ and the border $\partial^- A$ by $A - \text{int}A$ of A . Then $\partial A = \partial^- A \sqcup \partial^+ A$, where \sqcup denotes a disjoint union. A boundary component of a subset A is a connected component of the boundary of A . A subset is locally dense if its closure has a nonempty interior. A subset of a compact surface S is essential if it is not null homotopic in S^* , where S^* is the resulting closed surface from S by collapsing all boundary components into singletons.

2.1.1. Curves and loops. Recall that a curve is a continuous mapping $C : I \rightarrow X$ where I is a non-degenerate connected subset of a circle \mathbb{S}^1 . A curve is simple if it is injective. We also denote by C the image of a curve C . Denote by $\partial C := C(\partial I)$ the boundary of a curve C , where ∂I is the boundary of $I \subset \mathbb{S}^1$. Put $\text{int}C := C \setminus \partial C$. A simple curve is a simple closed curve if its domain is \mathbb{S}^1 (i.e. $I = \mathbb{S}^1$). A simple closed curve is also called a loop. An arc is a simple curve whose domain is an interval. An orbit arc is an arc contained in an orbit.

2.2. Notion of dynamical systems. By a surface, we mean a paracompact two dimensional manifold, that dose not need to be orientable. A flow is a continuous \mathbb{R} -action on a manifold. From now on, we suppose that flows are on surfaces unless otherwise stated. Let $v : \mathbb{R} \times S \rightarrow S$ be a flow on a surface S . For $t \in \mathbb{R}$, define $v_t : S \rightarrow S$ by $v_t := v(t, \cdot)$. For a point x of S , we denote by $O(x)$ the orbit of x , $O^+(x)$ the positive orbit (i.e. $O^+(x) := \{v_t(x) \mid t > 0\}$), and $O^-(x)$ the negative orbit (i.e. $O^-(x) := \{v_t(x) \mid t < 0\}$). Recall that a point x of S is singular if $x = v_t(x)$ for any $t \in \mathbb{R}$ and is periodic if there is a positive number $T > 0$ such that $x = v_T(x)$ and $x \neq v_t(x)$ for any $t \in (0, T)$. A point is closed if it is singular or periodic. An orbit is singular (resp. periodic, closed) if it contains a singular (resp. periodic, closed) point. Denote by $\text{Sing}(v)$ the set of singular points and by $\text{Per}(v)$ (resp. $\text{Cl}(v)$) the union of periodic (resp. closed) orbits. The subset $\text{Sing}(v)$ is called the singular point set. Recall that the ω -limit (resp. α -limit) set of a point x is $\omega(x) := \bigcap_{n \in \mathbb{R}} \overline{\{v_t(x) \mid t > n\}}$ (resp. $\alpha(x) := \bigcap_{n \in \mathbb{R}} \overline{\{v_t(x) \mid t < -n\}}$). For an orbit O , define $\omega(O) := \omega(x)$ and $\alpha(O) := \alpha(x)$ for some point $x \in O$. Note that an ω -limit (resp. α -limit) set of an orbit is independent of the choice of point in the orbit. A separatrix is a non-singular orbit whose α -limit or ω -limit set is a singular point. A separatrix is connecting if each of its ω -limit set and α -limit sets is a singular point. Note that any connecting separatrices are non-recurrent.

2.2.1. Recurrence and invariance. A point x of S is strongly recurrent (or Poisson stable) if $x \in \omega(x) \cap \alpha(x)$, a point x is positively recurrent (or positively Poisson stable) if $x \in \omega(x)$, and a point x is recurrent if $x \in \omega(x) \cup \alpha(x)$. Denote by $\text{R}(v)$ the set of non-closed recurrent points. An orbit is recurrent (resp. strongly recurrent) if it contains a recurrent (resp. strongly recurrent) point. A non-closed recurrent orbit is also called a non-trivial recurrent orbit. Recall that a Q-set is the closure of a non-closed recurrent orbit. A subset is invariant (or saturated) if it is a union of orbits. The saturation of a subset is the union of orbits intersecting it. A nonempty closed invariant subset is minimal if it contains no proper nonempty closed invariant subsets. Recall that the (orbit) class \hat{O} of an orbit O is the union of orbits each of whose orbit closure corresponds to \overline{O} (i.e. $\hat{O} = \{y \in S \mid \overline{O(y)} = \overline{O}\}$).

2.2.2. Topological properties of orbits. An orbit is proper if it is embedded, locally dense if its closure has a nonempty interior, and exceptional if it is neither proper nor locally dense. A point is proper (resp. locally dense) if its orbit is proper (resp. locally dense). Denote by $\text{LD}(v)$ (resp. $\text{E}(v)$, $\text{P}(v)$) the union of locally dense orbits (resp. exceptional orbits, non-closed proper orbits). We have the following observation.

Lemma 2.1. *The following statements hold for a flow v on a paracompact manifold M :*

- (1) *A point of M is non-proper if and only if it is non-closed recurrent.*

- (2) $M = \text{Cl}(v) \sqcup \text{P}(v) \sqcup \text{R}(v)$.
- (3) *The union $\text{P}(v)$ is the set of non-recurrent points.*
- (4) *The union $\text{R}(v)$ is the set of non-proper points.*

Proof. By definitions, note that a closed orbit is proper and recurrent. Fix a point $x \in M$. By [52, Corollary 3.4], the orbit $O(x)$ is proper if and only if $O(x) = \hat{O}(x)$. From [13, Theorem VI], the closure of a non-closed recurrent orbit O of a flow on a manifold contains uncountably many non-closed recurrent orbits whose closures are \overline{O} . Therefore the orbit $O(x)$ is non-closed recurrent if and only if $\hat{O}(x)$ consists of uncountably many orbits. Then any non-closed proper orbit is not recurrent. Suppose that x is non-closed recurrent. Since any non-closed proper orbit is not recurrent, the point x is not proper. Conversely, suppose that x is not proper. Then there is a point $y \in M$ whose orbit dose not contain x such that $\overline{O(y)} = \overline{O(x)}$. This implies that $y \in \overline{O(x)} - O(x) \subseteq \alpha(x) \cup \overline{\omega(x)}$. From the closed invariance of α -limit sets and ω -limit sets, we have $x \in \overline{O(y)} \subset \alpha(x) \cup \overline{\omega(x)}$. Since $\text{Cl}(v)$ is both the set of closed proper points and the set of closed recurrent points, the assertion (1) implies the assertions (2)–(4). \square

For a flow v on a surface S , the previous lemma implies that the union $\text{R}(v) = \text{LD}(v) \sqcup \text{E}(v)$ consists of non-proper orbits and so that $S = \text{Sing}(v) \sqcup \text{Per}(v) \sqcup \text{P}(v) \sqcup \text{LD}(v) \sqcup \text{E}(v)$.

2.2.3. Topological properties of invariant sets. A (quasi-)minimal set is exceptional (resp. locally dense) if it is a closure of an orbit intersecting $\text{E}(v)$ (resp. $\text{LD}(v)$). Recall that exceptional (quasi-)minimal set is transversely a Cantor set (i.e. there is a small neighborhood U of a recurrent point of the Q-set \mathcal{M} such that $\mathcal{M} \cap U$ is a product of an open interval and a Cantor set) because a Cantor set is characterized as a compact metrizable perfect totally disconnected space.

2.2.4. Circuits. An open annular subset \mathbb{A} of a surface is a collar of a singular point x if the union $\mathbb{A} \sqcup \{x\}$ is a neighborhood of x . Recall that an annular subset is homeomorphic to an annulus. By a cycle or a periodic circuit, we mean a periodic orbit. By a non-trivial circuit, we mean either a cycle or an image of an oriented circle by a continuous orientation-preserving mapping which is a directed graph but not a singleton and which is the union of separatrices and finitely many singular points. A trivial circuit is a singular point. A circuit is either a trivial or non-trivial circuit. Note that there are non-trivial circuits with infinitely many edges and that any non-trivial non-periodic circuit contains non-recurrent orbits as in Figure 1. An open annular subset \mathbb{A} of a surface is a collar of a non-trivial circuit γ if γ is a boundary component of \mathbb{A} and there is a neighborhood U of γ such that \mathbb{A} is a connected component of the complement $U - \gamma$. A circuit γ is a semi-attracting (resp. semi-repelling) circuit with respect to a small collar \mathbb{A} if $\omega(x) = \gamma$ (resp. $\alpha(x) = \gamma$) and $O^+(x) \subset \mathbb{A}$ (resp. $O^-(x) \subset \mathbb{A}$) for any point




FIGURE 1. A circuit that consists of a degenerate singular point and infinitely many connecting separatrices, and its neighborhood which consists of a singular point, periodic orbits, and non-recurrent orbits.

$x \in \mathbb{A}$. Then \mathbb{A} is called a semi-attracting (resp. a semi-repelling) collar basin of γ . A non-trivial circuit γ is a limit circuit if it is a semi-attracting or semi-repelling circuit.

2.2.5. *Quasi-circuits.* A trivial quasi-circuit is a cycle or a singular point. A closed connected invariant subset is a non-trivial quasi-circuit if it is a boundary component of an open annulus, contains a non-recurrent orbit, and consists of non-recurrent orbits and singular points. Then the open annulus is called a collar of the quasi-circuit. A quasi-circuit is either a trivial or non-trivial quasi-circuit. A quasi-circuit γ is a quasi-semi-attracting (resp. quasi-semi-repelling) quasi-circuit with respect to a small collar \mathbb{A} if there is a point $x \in \mathbb{A}$ with $O^+(x) \subset \mathbb{A}$ (resp. $O^-(x) \subset \mathbb{A}$) such that $\omega(x) = \gamma$ (resp. $\alpha(x) = \gamma$). Then \mathbb{A} is called a quasi-semi-attracting (resp. a quasi-semi-repelling) collar basin of γ . A non-trivial quasi-circuit γ is a limit quasi-circuit if it is a quasi-semi-attracting or quasi-semi-repelling quasi-circuit (i.e. either $\alpha(x) = \gamma$ or $\omega(x) = \gamma$ for some point $x \notin \gamma$). Note that a collar basin of a circuit γ is a collar of γ . Moreover, a quasi-circuit is not a circuit in general (see an example in § 5.2).

2.2.6. *Transversality.* Notice that we can define transversality using tangential spaces of surfaces because each flow on a compact surface is topologically equivalent to a C^1 -flow by Gutierrez's smoothing theorem [20]. However, to modify transverse arcs explicitly, we define transversality immediately as follows.

A simple curve C is transverse to v at a point $p \in \text{int}C$ if there are a small neighborhood U_p of p and a homeomorphism $h : U_p \rightarrow [-1, 1]^2$ with $h(p) = 0$ such that $h^{-1}([-1, 1] \times \{t\})$ for any $t \in [-1, 1]$ is an orbit arc and $h^{-1}(\{0\} \times [-1, 1]) = C \cap U_p$. Note that the above U_p is a trivial flow box. A simple curve C is transverse to v at a point $p \in \partial C \cap \partial S$ (resp. $p \in \partial C \setminus \partial S$) if there are a small neighborhood U_p of p and a homeomorphism $h : U_p \rightarrow [-1, 1] \times [0, 1]$ (resp. $h : U_p \rightarrow [-1, 1]^2$) with $h(p) = 0$ such that



FIGURE 2. Left, a trivial flow box; right, the intersection of a flow box and its small neighborhood of the boundary.




FIGURE 3. A flow box with an arcwise-connected invariant subset.

$h^{-1}([-1, 1] \times \{t\})$ for any $t \in [0, 1]$ (resp. $t \in [-1, 1]$) is an orbit arc and $h^{-1}(\{0\} \times [0, 1]) = C \cap U_p$ (resp. $h^{-1}(\{0\} \times [-1, 1]) = C \cap U_p$).

A simple curve C is transverse to v if so is it at any point in C . A simple curve C is transverse to v is called a transverse arc. A simple closed curve is a closed transversal if it transverses to v .

2.2.7. Flow boxes. Let I, J be intervals which are either $(0, 1)$, $(0, 1]$, $[0, 1)$, or $[0, 1]$ and $\mathbb{D} := I \times J \subset \mathbb{R}^2$ be a disk whose orbits are of form $I \times \{t\}$ for some $t \in J$. Then D is called a trivial flow box. A disk B is a flow box if there is an annular neighborhood U_B of the boundary ∂B such that the intersection $B \cap U_B$ is the intersection $D \cap U_D$ of a trivial flow box D and its neighborhood U_D of the boundary ∂D up to topological equivalence as in Figure 2. In other words, a disk B is a flow box if there is a homeomorphism $f: B \cap U_B \rightarrow D \cap U_D$ such that the images of orbit arcs are orbit arcs.

2.2.8. Quasi-Q-set. An ω -limit (resp. α -limit) set of a point is a quasi-Q-set if it intersects an essential closed transversal infinitely many times. Note that a quasi-Q-set need not be arcwise-connected. In fact, one can obtain a quasi-Q-set that is not arcwise-connected by replacing a trivial flow box of a point of an exceptional minimal set by a flow box as in Figure 3. Moreover, quasi-Q-set is not Q-set in general (see an example in § 5.1). Conversely, we will show that a Q-set is a quasi-Q-set (see Lemma 3.8). On the other hand,

if v has at most finitely many singular points, then a quasi-Q-set is a Q-set (see Lemma 4.2). We have the following observation.

Lemma 2.2. *Any locally dense quasi-Q-set is a Q-set. In particular, any quasi-Q-set which intersecting a locally dense orbit is a Q-set.*

Proof. Fix a locally dense quasi-Q-set \mathcal{M} . By definition of quasi-Q-set, there is a point x with $\omega(x) = \mathcal{M}$ or $\alpha(x) = \mathcal{M}$. By time reversion if necessary, we may assume that $\omega(x) = \mathcal{M}$. The locally density implies that $O(x) \cap \text{int } \omega(x) = O(x) \cap \text{int } \mathcal{M} \neq \emptyset$ and so that $x \in \text{int } \omega(x)$. This means that x is non-closed recurrent and $\overline{O(x)} = \omega(x) = \mathcal{M}$. Therefore $\mathcal{M} = \overline{O(x)}$ is a Q-set. \square

3. POINCARÉ-BENDIXSON THEOREM FOR SURFACE CONTINUOUS FLOWS WITH ARBITRARILY MANY SINGULAR POINTS

First, we construct a rough generalization of the Poincaré-Bendixson theorem to prove Theorem A.

3.1. A generalization of Poincaré-Bendixson theorem. We show the existence of closed transversals near infinite intersections of transverse arcs and orbits.

Lemma 3.1. *Let I be a transverse arc and $x \in I$ such that $|I \cap O(x)| = \infty$. Then there are an orbit arc C in $O(x)$ and a transverse closed arc $J \subseteq I$ such that the union $\mu := J \cup C$ is a loop with $C \cap J = \partial C = \partial J$ and that the return map along C is orientation-preserving between neighborhoods of ∂C in I . Moreover, there is a closed transversal γ intersecting $O(x)$ near μ .*

Proof. By time reversion if necessary, we may assume that $O^+(x) \cap \text{int } I = \infty$. Fix a point $x_0 \in O^+(x) \cap \text{int } I$. Let $f_v : I \rightarrow I$ be the first return map on I induced by v , $x_i := (f_v)^i(x_0)$ the i -th return of x_0 , $C_{a,b} \subset O^+(x)$ the orbit arc from a to b , and $I_{a,b} \subset I$ the subinterval between a and b of I . We may assume that $x_0 < x_1$. Suppose that the restriction of f_v to a neighborhood of x_i for some $i \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ is orientation-preserving. Then put $C := C_{x_i, x_{i+1}}$ and $J := I_{x_i, x_{i+1}}$. By the waterfall construction to the loop $\mu := C \cup J$ (see Figure 4), there is a closed transversal γ intersecting $O(x)$ near μ . Thus we may assume that the restriction of f_v to a neighborhood of x_i for any $i \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ is orientation-reversing.

We claim that there is a natural number i such that $x_{i+1} < x_i$. Indeed, otherwise $x_i < x_{i+1}$ for any $i \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$. Then each pair of loops $\gamma_i := C_{x_{2i}, x_{2i+1}} \cup I_{x_{2i+1}, x_{2i}}$ has disjoint neighborhoods each of which is a Möbius band. This means that S has infinitely many non-orientable genus, which contradicts the compactness of S .

By renumbering, we may assume that $x_2 < x_1$. From $x_0 < x_1$, the first return map for I_{x_2, x_0} along C_{x_0, x_2} is orientation-preserving such that a pair of $C := C_{x_0, x_2}$ and $J := I_{x_2, x_0}$. As above, the waterfall construction to the loop $\mu := C \cup J$ completes the assertion. \square




FIGURE 4. The waterfall construction

A point is wandering if there are its neighborhood U and a positive number N such that $v_t(U) \cap U = \emptyset$ for any $t > N$. Note that a recurrent point is non-wandering. We show that the infinite intersection of a transverse closed arc implies the existence of a quasi-circuit under properness conditions.

Lemma 3.2. *If there is a transverse closed arc $J : [-1, 1] \rightarrow S$ with $\{J(0)\} = J([-1, 0]) \cap \omega(J(-1)) \subset \overline{O^+(J(-1)) \cap J([-1, 0])}$, then the ω -limit set $\omega(J(-1))$ is a quasi-circuit such that the point $J(-1)$ is wandering and so is not contained in the orbit closures of points outside of $O(J(-1))$.*

Proof. Let $J : [-1, 1] \rightarrow S$ be a transverse closed arc, $x_0 := J(-1)$ a point, $y := J(0)$ a point, and $I := J([-1, 0])$ a closed interval with $\{y\} = I \cap \omega(x_0) \subset \overline{O^+(x_0) \cap I}$. Since $y \in \overline{O^+(x_0) \cap I} \cap \omega(x_0)$, we obtain that $O^+(x_0) \cap I$ is infinite with $\{y\} = I \cap \omega(x_0) \subset \partial I = \{x_0, y\}$ and so that the point x_0 is not positive recurrent.

We claim that there is a strictly increasing sequence $(x_i)_{i \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq N}}$ in I converging to y for some $N > 0$, where x_i is the i -th return image of x_0 on I . Indeed, we suffice to show that there is a large number $N > 0$ such that $x_i < x_{i+1}$ in I for any natural number $i \geq N$ with respect to a natural total order on the sub-arc I . Otherwise $x_i > x_{i+1}$ holds for infinitely many natural numbers i . Since $\{y\} = I \cap \omega(x_0)$, each closed sub-arc of $\text{int} I$ intersects at most finitely many points of $O^+(x_0)$. Therefore there are infinitely many triples $i_{k-} := i_{k_0} - 1 < i_{k_0} < i_{k+} := i_{k_0} + 1$ of natural numbers with $i_{k+} < i_{k+1-}$ such that either $x_{i_{k-}} < x_{i_{k+}} < x_{i_{k_0}} < x_i$ or $x_{i_{k+}} < x_{i_{k-}} < x_{i_{k_0}} < x_i$ for any $i \geq i_{k+1-}$. Denote by $I_{i_{k-}}$ (resp. $I_{i_{k_0+}}$) by the sub-arc of I whose boundary consists of $x_{i_{k-}}$ and $x_{i_{k_0}}$ (resp. $x_{i_{k_0}}$ and $x_{i_{k+}}$), and by $C_{i_{k-}}$ (resp. $C_{i_{k_0+}}$) the curve contained in $O^+(x_0)$ whose boundary consists of $x_{i_{k-}}$ and $x_{i_{k_0}}$ (resp. $x_{i_{k_0}}$ and $x_{i_{k+}}$). Then the unions $I_{i_{k-}} := C_{i_{k-}} \cup I_{i_{k-}}$ and $I_{i_{k_0+}} := C_{i_{k_0+}} \cup I_{i_{k_0+}}$ are simple closed curves whose intersection is a closed arc $I_{i_{k_0+}}$ or $I_{i_{k-}}$. By a deformation like a waterfall construction like Figure 6, we obtain two simple closed curves $I'_{i_{k-}}$ and $I'_{i_{k_0+}}$ whose intersection is $x_{i_{k+}}$ and which are close to the original simple closed curves $I_{i_{k-}}$ and $I_{i_{k_0+}}$ respectively. Note that if S is orientable




FIGURE 5. A rectangle whose boundary is the union of $I_i \cup C_i \cup I_{i+1} \cup C_{i+1}$.

then we can choose $I'_{i_{k-0}}$ and $I'_{i_{k0+}}$ as closed transversals. This implies that $O^+(x_{i_{k+1-}}) \cap (I'_{i_{k-0}} \cup I'_{i_{k0+}}) = \emptyset$. Hence $(I'_{i_{k-0}} \cup I'_{i_{k0+}}) \cap (I'_{i_{l-0}} \cup I'_{i_{l0+}}) = \emptyset$ for any $k \neq l$. Since these simple closed curves intersect exactly one point, they are essential. Cutting $I'_{i_{10+}}$ and collapsing new boundary components into singletons, we obtain the resulting surface whose genus is the genus of S minus one. Since there are infinitely many disjoint bouquets $I'_{i_{k-0}} \cup I'_{i_{k0+}}$, the genus of S is not finite, which contradicts the compactness of S . Thus there is a large number $N > 0$ such that $x_i < x_{i+1}$ in I for any natural number $i \geq N$. This completes the claim.

Denote by I_i by the sub-arc of I whose boundary consists of x_i and x_{i+1} , and by C_i the curve contained in $O^+(x_0)$ whose boundary consists of x_i and x_{i+1} . Let D_i be the open subset bounded by the union $I_i \cup C_i \cup I_{i+1} \cup C_{i+1}$. Since there are at most finitely many genus, by renumbering x_i , we may assume that D_i is a rectangle for any $i \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq N}$ as in Figure 5. Define a union $\mathbb{A}_k := (\bigcup_{i=k}^{\infty} (D_i \cup I_{i+1} \cup C_{i+1})) - \{x_{k+1}\}$ for any $k \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq N}$, which is an open annulus. By the monotonicity of x_i in I , renumbering \mathbb{A}_k , we may assume that each \mathbb{A}_k is an open annulus homotopic to \mathbb{A}_N . Since $\omega(x_0)$ is connected, by construction, the closure of any rectangle D_i does not intersect $\omega(x_0)$ and so $\mathbb{A}_k \cap \omega(x_0) = \emptyset$. Therefore $\omega(x_0) = \bigcap_{n \in \mathbb{R}_{\geq N}} \{v_t(x_0) \mid t > n\} = \bigcap_{k \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq N}} \overline{\{v_t(x_0) \mid t > k\}} = \bigcap_{i \geq N} \overline{\mathbb{A}_i} = \partial \overline{\mathbb{A}_N} - (I_N \cup C_N)$. This means that $\omega(x_0)$ is a boundary component of the annulus \mathbb{A}_N and so a quasi-circuit.

We claim that that $\omega(x_0) = \omega(z)$ for any $z \in \mathbb{A}_N$ and so that \mathbb{A}_N consists of wandering points. Indeed, assume that the point x_0 is contained in the orbit closure of a point $z \notin O(x_0)$. The invariance of $\overline{O(z)}$ implies that $x_i \in \overline{O(z)}$ for any $i \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ and that z is non-recurrent. Since the union $O(x_0) \sqcup I_{i+1} \sqcup I_{i+2} \sqcup D_i \sqcup D_{i+1} \sqcup D_{i+2}$ is a neighborhood of x_{i+1} , by construction of D_i , we obtain $D_i \cap O(z) \neq \emptyset$ for any $i \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$. Fix points $z_i \in D_0 \cap O(z)$. Because the positive (resp. negative) orbit of a point in D_i does not intersect I_i (resp. I_{i+1}), we have that $O^-(z_0) \cap I_1 = \emptyset$ and $O^+(z_4) \cap I_3 = \emptyset$ and so that $O^-(z_0) \cap (O(x_0) \sqcup \bigsqcup_{i>0} D_i \sqcup I_i) = \emptyset$ and $O^+(z_4) \cap (O(x_0) \sqcup \bigsqcup_{i<4} D_i \sqcup I_i) = \emptyset$. Then the intersection $(O(x_0) \sqcup \bigsqcup_{i>0} D_i \sqcup I_i) \cap (O(x_0) \sqcup \bigsqcup_{i<4} D_i \sqcup I_i) = \emptyset$.




FIGURE 6. Deformation of two simple closed curves in the case $x_{i_{k-}} < x_{i_{k+}} < x_{i_{k_0}} < x_i$

$I_i) = O(x_0) \sqcup \bigsqcup_{i=1,2,3} D_i \sqcup I_i$ is a neighborhood of x_3 and does not intersect $O^-(z_0) \sqcup O^+(z_4)$. Therefore $x_3 \notin \overline{O(z)}$, which contradicts $x_3 \in \overline{O(z)}$.

Therefore the point x_0 is wandering and is not contained in the orbit closures of points outside of $O(x_0)$. \square

We show that the infinite intersection of an essential closed transversal implies the existence of either a quasi-Q-set, an essential limit cycle, or a quasi-circuit.

Lemma 3.3. *Let x be a point contained in a closed transversal γ such that $O^+(x)$ intersects γ infinitely many times. Then $\omega(x)$ is either a quasi-Q-set, an essential limit cycle, or a quasi-circuit. Moreover, if $\omega(x)$ is a quasi-circuit, then x is wandering and is not contained in the orbit closures of points outside of $O(x)$.*

Proof. Suppose that a positive orbit $O^+(x)$ intersects a closed transversal γ infinitely many times. Then γ is essential such that $\gamma \cap \omega(x) \neq \emptyset$ and so that $\omega(x) \not\subseteq \text{Sing}(v)$. If $\omega(x)$ contains periodic orbits, then it is an essential limit cycle because the essential closed transversal γ intersects the limit cycle. Thus we may assume that $\omega(x) \cap \text{Per}(v) = \emptyset$. Suppose that $\omega(x)$ is not a quasi-Q-set. Then $\gamma \cap \omega(x)$ is nonempty and finite.

We claim that there are a transverse closed arc $J : [-1, 1] \rightarrow \gamma$ such that $J(-1) \in O^+(x)$ and $\{J(0)\} = J([-1, 0]) \cap \omega(J(-1)) \subset \overline{O^+(J(-1))} \cap J([-1, 0])$. Indeed, fix a point $y \in \gamma \cap \omega(x)$. Since $\gamma \cap \omega(x)$ is finite, there are a point $x_0 \in \gamma \cap O^+(x)$ and a transverse closed arc $J : [-1, 1] \rightarrow \gamma$ with $x_0 = J(-1)$ and $y = J(0)$ such that $\{y\} = J([-1, 0]) \cap \omega(x_0) \subset \overline{O^+(x_0)} \cap J([-1, 0])$.

By Lemma 3.2, the ω -limit set $\omega(x)$ is a quasi-circuit such that x is wandering and is not contained in the orbit closures of points outside of $O(x)$. \square

We show that a limit quasi-circuit is not a quasi-Q-set.

Lemma 3.4. *There are no limit quasi-circuits that are also quasi-Q-sets.*




FIGURE 7. A closed transversal γ , its annular neighborhood $B_\delta(\gamma)$, and a subset of an open annulus \mathbb{A}

Proof. Let x be a point whose ω -limit set $\omega(x)$ is a limit quasi-circuit. Since a limit quasi-circuit is a boundary component of an annulus, it is not locally dense. Since $\omega(x)$ is a limit quasi-circuit, there is a small open annulus \mathbb{A} of which $\omega(x)$ is a boundary component such that the two boundary components of \mathbb{A} is disjoint. Denote by ∂_1 another boundary component of \mathbb{A} . In other words, we have $\partial\mathbb{A} = \omega(x) \sqcup \partial_1$. Fix any distance function d on S induced by a Riemannian metric. Since the boundary components of \mathbb{A} are compact and disjoint, there is a positive number $d_{\mathbb{A}}$ such that $d_{\mathbb{A}} = \min\{d(y, z) \mid y \in \omega(x), z \in \partial_1\}$.

We claim that $\omega(x)$ is not a quasi-Q-set. Indeed, assume that $\omega(x)$ is a quasi-Q-set. Then there is a closed transversal γ which intersects $\omega(x)$ infinitely many times. The fact that γ contains no singular point implies that there is a small number $\delta > 0$ with $\delta < d_{\mathbb{A}}$ such that $B_\delta(\gamma) := \{y \in S \mid d(y, \gamma) < \delta\}$ is an open annulus and consists of orbit arcs each of which connects the two boundary components as in Figure 7. Moreover, there is a sequence $(C_i)_{i \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}}$ of orbit arcs which intersect γ exactly once, are contained in $\omega(x)$, and converge to an orbit arc C_∞ such that $\partial C_i \cap B_\delta(\gamma) = \emptyset$. Put $C'_i := C_i \cap B_\delta(\gamma) \subset \omega(x)$ and let $x_i \in C'_i \cap \gamma$ be the intersection point. For any $i \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$, let $f_i : [0, l_i] \rightarrow \gamma \subset S$ be the closed arc parameterized by arc length from x_i to either x_{i-1} or x_{i+1} such that $f_i((0, r_i)) \subset \mathbb{A}$ and $f_i(r_i) \in \partial_1$ for some real number $r_i \in (0, l_i)$. By definition, we obtain $f_i(l_i) \in \omega(x)$. Since γ is compact, we have $\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} l_i = 0$. Fix a large integer N such that $l_N < \delta$. Since $l_N < \delta < d_{\mathbb{A}}$, this implies that any closed arc from x_i whose length is less than δ and which intersects \mathbb{A} but does not intersect C'_i except the starting point is contained in the interior \mathbb{A} . In particular, the closed arc $f_N : [0, l_N] \rightarrow S$ is contained in the interior \mathbb{A} except the starting point x_i (i.e. $f_N((0, l_N]) \subset \mathbb{A}$). Therefore $f_N(l_N) \in \mathbb{A}$, which contradicts $f_N(l_N) \in \omega(x) \subset \partial\mathbb{A} = \overline{\mathbb{A}} - \mathbb{A}$. \square

We have the following statement.

Lemma 3.5. *Let v be a flow on a compact surface S . The ω -limit set of any non-closed orbit O is one of the following exclusively:*

- (1) *A nowhere dense subset of singular points.*
- (2) *A semi-attracting limit cycle.*
- (3) *A quasi-semi-attracting limit quasi-circuit.*
- (4) *A locally dense Q-set.*
- (5) *A quasi-Q-set that is not locally dense.*

Moreover, in the case (3), the orbit O is wandering and is not contained in the orbit closures of points outside of it.

Proof. Lemma 3.4 implies that the five possible invariant subsets are exclusive. Let x be a point whose orbit is not closed. If $\omega(x) \cap \text{LD}(v) \neq \emptyset$, then $\omega(x) = \overline{O(x)}$ is a locally dense Q-set. Thus we may assume that $\omega(x) \cap \text{LD}(v) = \emptyset$. Then $\omega(x)$ is not locally dense. Suppose $\omega(x)$ contains an orbit O whose closure is an exceptional Q-set. Since O is non-closed recurrent, by Lemma 3.1, taking a small transverse arc if necessary, the waterfall construction implies that there is a closed transversal γ intersecting O infinitely many times. This means that $\omega(x)$ is a quasi-Q-set. Thus we may assume that $\omega(x) \cap \text{R}(v) = \emptyset$. If there is a closed transversal that intersects $O^+(x)$ infinitely many times, then Lemma 3.3 implies the assertion. Thus we may assume that $O(x)^+$ has no closed transversal intersecting it infinitely many times. Then $\omega(x)$ is not a quasi-Q-set.

Suppose that $\omega(x)$ is not contained in $\text{Sing}(v)$. If $\omega(x)$ contains a periodic orbit, then $\omega(x)$ is a semi-attracting limit cycle. Thus we may assume that $\omega(x)$ contains neither periodic orbits nor Q-sets. Since $S = \text{Sing}(v) \sqcup \text{Per}(v) \sqcup \text{P}(v) \sqcup \text{R}(v)$, the ω -limit set $\omega(x)$ contains a non-recurrent orbit O such that $\omega(x) \subset \text{Sing}(v) \sqcup \text{P}(v)$. Take a non-recurrent point $y \in O \subset \omega(x)$ and a transverse closed arc $J : [-1, 1] \rightarrow S$ with $y = J(0)$ and $x_0 := J(-1) \in O^+(x)$ such that $O^+(x_0)$ intersects $J([-1, 0])$ infinitely many times. Let $f_v : J \rightarrow J$ be the first return map. Denote by C_n the orbit arc from x_n to $f_v(x_n)$ and by $I_n \subset J$ the closed arc with $\partial C_n = \partial I_n = \{x_n, f_v(x_n)\}$.

By shortening the transverse interval $J([-1, 0])$, we claim that we may assume that $f_v|_{(-1, 0)}$ is orientation-preserving. Indeed, otherwise there are a sequence $(x_n)_{n \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}}$ in J converging to $J(0)$ and small neighborhoods of the unions of $I_n \cup C_n$ each of which is an open Möbius band U_n such that $U_n \cap U_m = \emptyset$ for any $n \neq m \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$, which contradicts that S has finitely many non-orientable genus.

By shortening $J([-1, 0])$, we claim that we may assume that $\{J(0)\} = \{y\} = J([-1, 0]) \cap \omega(x) \subset \text{P}(v)$. Indeed, otherwise there is a point $x_N \in J((-1, 0)) \cap O^+(x)$ such that $I_N \cap \omega(x) \neq \emptyset$ and that the union of $I_N \cup C_N$ is a loop. By the waterfall construction to the loop $C_N \cup I_N$, there is a closed transversal T_{x_N} intersecting $\omega(x)$ near the loop $C_N \cup I_N$. Then the closed transversal T_{x_N} intersects $O^+(x)$ infinitely many times, which contradicts that $O(x)^+$ has no closed transversal intersecting it infinitely many times.

By Lemma 3.2, the ω -limit set $\omega(x)$ is a limit quasi-circuit such that x is wandering and is not contained in the orbit closures of points outside of $O(x)$. \square

3.2. Classification of quasi-circuits. We have the following dichotomy.

Lemma 3.6. *A quasi-semi-attracting limit quasi-circuit either is the image of a circle or is not locally connected exclusively.*

Proof. Let γ be a quasi-semi-attracting limit quasi-circuit. By definition of non-trivial quasi-circuit, the circuit γ consists of singular points and non-recurrent points, and there is an open annulus \mathbb{A} such that γ is a boundary component of \mathbb{A} .

We claim that γ can be realized as a boundary component of an open disk. Indeed, taking \mathbb{A} small and collapsing another boundary component of \mathbb{A} into the resulting open disk U , the circuit γ can be realized as the boundary component of the open disk U and be the ω -limit set of a point of the resulting flow. This completes the claim.

Thus we may assume that there is an open disk U such that γ is a boundary component of U . By Riemann mapping theorem, there is a Riemann mapping $\varphi : U \rightarrow D$ from an open disk D from a unit open disk D in a complex plane. By Carathéodory-Torhorst theorem (see [45] and also [35, Theorem 16.6] for detail), the boundary $\partial U = \gamma$ is locally connected if and only if the inverse mapping $\varphi^{-1} : D \rightarrow U$ extends continuously to a map, also denoted by φ^{-1} , from the closed disk \overline{D} onto \overline{U} .

We claim that γ is locally connected if and only if γ is the image of a circle. Indeed, if γ is locally connected, then $\gamma = \varphi^{-1}(\partial D)$ is the image of a circle. Conversely, suppose that γ is the image of a circle. Let $p : \mathbb{S}^1 \rightarrow \gamma$ be the continuous surjection from a circle \mathbb{S}^1 . Since the circle \mathbb{S}^1 is compact and γ is Hausdorff, the surjection p is closed and so is a quotient mapping. Because each quotient space of a locally connected space is locally connected (cf. [12, Proposition 12 (p.112)]), the image $p(\mathbb{S}^1) = \gamma$ is locally connected. \square

3.3. Classification of quasi-Q-sets. We will show that a Q-set is a quasi-Q-set.

3.3.1. Inclusion relation between Q-sets and quasi-Q-sets. We describe a property between non-closed recurrent orbits and closed transversals.

Lemma 3.7. *For any non-closed recurrent point $x \in S$, there is a closed transversal γ through $O(x)$ such that the intersection $\gamma \cap O(x)$ is infinite. Moreover, each closed transversal through a non-closed recurrent point y is essential and intersects $O(y)$ infinitely many times.*

Proof. Fix a point $x \in R(v)$ and a transverse arc $I \subset U$ such that x is the interior point of I . Then $|I \cap O(x)| = \infty$. By Lemma 3.1, there are an orbit arc C in $O(x)$ and a transverse closed arc $J \subseteq I$ such that the union $\mu := J \cup C$ is a loop with $C \cap J = \partial C = \partial J$ and that the return

map along C is orientation-preserving between neighborhoods of ∂C in I . By the waterfall construction to the loop μ , there is a closed transversal γ intersecting $O(x)$ near μ . Since x is non-closed recurrent, the intersection $\gamma \cap O(x)$ is infinite.

Let μ be a closed transversal through a non-closed recurrent point y . Suppose that μ is not essential. Let S^* be the resulting closed surface from S by collapsing all boundary components into singletons. Then μ is null homotopic in S^* and so the point $y \in P(v)$, which contradicts the recurrence of x . \square

This implies the following corollary.

Corollary 3.8. *A Q -set is a quasi- Q -set.*

Proof. Let γ be a Q -set. Then there is a non-closed recurrent orbit $O \subset \gamma$. By Lemma 3.7, there is an essential closed transversal T intersecting O infinitely many times. \square

3.3.2. *Non-recurrent orbits in the ω -limit sets of points.* We recall the following Maier's result [34] (cf. Theorem 2.4.4 p.32 [36], Theorem 4.2 [4]).

Lemma 3.9 (Maier). *A point $x \in \omega(z)$ for some point $z \in S$ with $\omega(x) \setminus \text{Cl}(v) \neq \emptyset$ is non-closed positively recurrent (i.e. $x \in \omega(x) \cap R(v)$).*

The existence of recurrence implies following statements.

Lemma 3.10. *The union $\partial^+ \text{LD}(v) \sqcup \partial^+ \text{E}(v)$ consists of singular points and connecting quasi-separatrices.*

Proof. Let x be a non-closed recurrent point. We claim that the difference $\overline{O(x)} - \hat{O}(x)$ consists of singular points and connecting quasi-separatrices. Indeed, [50, Proposition 2.2] implies $\overline{O(x)} - \hat{O}(x) \subseteq \text{Sing}(v) \sqcup P(v)$. Theorem VI [13] implies that the orbit class $\hat{O}(x)$ contains infinitely many strongly recurrent orbits and so there is a non-closed recurrent point z with $x \in \omega(z) \cap \alpha(z)$. Since any limit cycles are either semi-attracting or semi-repelling, by Lemma 3.9 and its dual statement for α -limit sets, we have $\omega(y) \cup \alpha(y) \subseteq \text{Sing}(v)$ for any point $y \in \overline{O(x)} - \hat{O}(x)$. This means that the union $\partial^+ \text{LD}(v) \sqcup \partial^+ \text{E}(v)$ consists of singular points and connecting quasi-separatrices. \square

Corollary 3.11. *Each non-recurrent orbit contained in a Q -set is a connecting quasi-separatrix.*

3.3.3. *Properties of connecting quasi-separatrices.* We have the following statement.

Proposition 3.12. *Each orbit O in the ω -limit set of a point is non-recurrent if and only if O is a connecting quasi-separatrix.*

Proof. By definition, a connecting quasi-separatrix is non-recurrent. Conversely, suppose that O is non-recurrent. Let x_0 be a point with $O \subset \omega(x_0)$.

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 S & \xleftarrow{p_{\text{me}}} & S_{\text{me}} & \xrightarrow{p_{\text{col}}} & S_{\text{col}} \\
 \cup & & \cup & & \cup \\
 S - \text{Sing}(v) & \xlongequal{p_{\text{me}}|} & S_{\text{me}} - \text{Sing}(v_{\text{me}}) & \xlongequal{p_{\text{col}}|} & S_{\text{col}} - \text{Sing}(v_{\text{col}})
 \end{array}$$

FIGURE 8. Canonical quotient mappings induced by the metric completion and the collapse

If $\omega(x_0)$ is a Q-set, then Corollary 3.11 implies that O is a connecting quasi-separatrix. Thus we may assume that $\omega(x_0)$ is not a Q-set. Then x_0 is not positive recurrent. Lemma 3.5 implies that $\omega(x_0)$ is either a quasi-semi-attracting limit quasi-circuit or a quasi-Q-set that is not locally dense. Therefore $\omega(x_0)$ consists of singular points and non-recurrent orbits.

We claim that $\omega_{v_{\text{col}}}(x_0)$ consists of singular points and connecting separatrices. Indeed, taking the double of the surface S if necessary, we may assume that S is closed in the proof of the claim. By the Gutierrez's smoothing theorem [20], the flow v is topologically equivalent to a C^1 -flow and so is generated by a continuous vector field on S . Since the vector field is lifted to the orientation double covering of S , by the invariance of the claimed property, it suffices to show that the ω -limit set of the lift of O is contained in a boundary component of the singular point set. Therefore we may assume that S is orientable in the proof of the claim. From Theorem 3 [40], since $\text{Sing}(v)$ is closed, each connected component of the open surface $S - \text{Sing}(v)$ is homeomorphic to the resulting surface from a closed surface by removing a closed totally disconnected subset. Denote by S_{me} the metric completion of the difference $S - \text{Sing}(v)$ and by v_{me} the resulting flow such that the new points are singular points. Let $p_{\text{me}} : S_{\text{me}} \rightarrow S$ be the canonical projection. Then $\text{Sing}(v_{\text{me}}) = p_{\text{me}}^{-1}(\text{Sing}(v))$. Let S_{col} be the resulting space from v_{me} by collapsing any connected components of $\text{Sing}(v_{\text{me}})$ into singletons. By construction, the resulting space S_{col} is a disjoint union of closed surfaces. Let v_{col} the resulting flow on S_{col} , and $p_{\text{col}} : S_{\text{me}} \rightarrow S_{\text{col}}$ the canonical projection (see Figure 8). Then $\text{Sing}(v_{\text{col}}) = p_{\text{col}}(\text{Sing}(v_{\text{me}})) = p_{\text{col}}(p_{\text{me}}^{-1}(\text{Sing}(v)))$. By construction, we obtain $S - \text{Sing}(v) = S_{\text{me}} - \text{Sing}(v_{\text{me}}) = S_{\text{col}} - \text{Sing}(v_{\text{col}})$. Let $S_{\text{col}}(O)$ be the connected component of S_{col} containing the orbit $O = p_{\text{col}}(p_{\text{me}}^{-1}(O))$. Then $S_{\text{col}}(O)$ is the orientable closed surface such that the restriction $v_{\text{col}}|_{S_{\text{col}}(O)}$ is a flow whose singular point set is totally disconnected. By construction, the image p_{col} and the inverse image p_{me}^{-1} preserve singular property and non-recurrence. Therefore the lift $p_{\text{col}}(p_{\text{me}}^{-1}(\omega(x_0)))$ is a closed invariant subset that consists of singular points and non-recurrent points. By construction, we have $p_{\text{col}}(p_{\text{me}}^{-1}(\omega(x_0))) = \omega_{v_{\text{col}}}(x_0)$, where $\omega_{v_{\text{col}}}(x_0)$ is the ω -limit set of x_0 with respect to v_{col} . Since $\omega_{v_{\text{col}}}(x_0)$ consists of singular points and non-recurrent points, by [31, Theorem 3.1] (cf. [33, Theorem 2.1]), the ω -limit

set $\omega_{v_{\text{col}}}(x_0)$ consist of singular points and connecting separatrices. This completes the claim.

This means that the orbit O is a connecting quasi-separatrix. \square

Proposition 3.12 implies the following reduction.

Corollary 3.13. *Suppose that the singular point set is totally disconnected. Then each orbit O in the ω -limit set of a point is non-recurrent if and only if O is a connecting separatrix.*

We show the following statement.

Lemma 3.14. *A quasi-Q-set that is not a Q-set consists of singular points and connecting quasi-separatrices.*

Proof. Let \mathcal{M} be a quasi-Q-set that is not a Q-set. By time reversion if necessary, we may assume that \mathcal{M} is the ω -limit set of a non-recurrent point. Corollary 3.8 implies that \mathcal{M} contains no non-closed recurrent orbits. Notice that any limit cycles are semi-attracting or semi-repelling (cf. [51, Lemma 4.1]) because it has a semi-attracting or semi-repelling holonomy, which is followed from the flow box theorem applying to periodic orbits. Therefore \mathcal{M} contains no periodic points and so consists of singular points and non-recurrent orbits. Proposition 3.12 implies that \mathcal{M} consists of singular points and connecting quasi-separatrices. \square

We generalize the Poincaré-Bendixson theorem into one for a flow with arbitrarily many singular points on a compact surface and the Maier's description of recurrence as follows.

3.4. Proof of Theorem A. Let v be a flow on a compact surface S and x a non-closed point. Lemma 3.5 implies that $\omega(x)$ is either a nowhere dense subset of singular points, a semi-attracting limit cycle, a quasi-semi-attracting limit quasi-circuit, a locally dense Q-set, or a quasi-Q-set that is not locally dense. Proposition 3.12 implies that any non-recurrent orbit in the ω -limit set of a point is a connecting quasi-separatrix. This means that the condition (2) holds. Corollary 3.13 implies the condition (3). By Lemma 3.6, a quasi-semi-attracting limit quasi-circuit either is the image of a circle or is not locally connected exclusively. From Corollary 3.8, a quasi-Q-set that is not locally dense is either a transversely Cantor Q-set or a quasi-Q-set that is not a Q-set. Therefore a quasi-Q-set that is not a Q-set corresponds to a quasi-Q-set that consists of singular points and connecting quasi-separatrices. This completes the proof of Theorem A.

3.5. Proof of Theorem B. Let v be a flow on a compact surface S and a point $x \in \omega(z)$ for some point $z \in S$. Lemma 3.9 implies that if $\omega(x) \setminus \text{Cl}(v) \neq \emptyset$ then x is non-closed positively recurrent. Conversely, if x is non-closed positively recurrent, then $x \in \omega(x) \setminus \text{Cl}(v)$ and so $\omega(x) \setminus \text{Cl}(v) \neq \emptyset$. This completes the assertion (1).

If x is non-closed negatively recurrent, then $x \in \alpha(x) \setminus \text{Cl}(v)$ and so $\alpha(x) \setminus \text{Cl}(v) \neq \emptyset$. Conversely, suppose that $\alpha(x) \setminus \text{Cl}(v) \neq \emptyset$. Then $x \notin \text{Cl}(v)$ and so $x \in P(v) \sqcup R(v)$. We claim that x is non-closed recurrent. Indeed, assume that x is non-recurrent. Theorem A implies that $O(x)$ is a connecting quasi-separatrix and so that $\alpha(x) \subseteq \text{Sing}(v)$, which contradicts $\alpha(x) \setminus \text{Cl}(v) \neq \emptyset$. Thus x is non-closed recurrent. [50, Proposition 2.2] implies that $x \in \overline{O(z)} \setminus (\text{Sing}(v) \sqcup P(v)) \subset R(v)$. By [13, Theorem VI], the orbit class $\hat{O}(x)$ contains infinitely many strongly recurrent orbits. Therefore there is a strongly recurrent point $y \in \hat{O}(x)$ such that $x \in \alpha(y)$. The dual of Lemma 3.9 implies that x is non-closed negative recurrent. This completes the assertion (2).

The assertions (3) and (4) are followed from the assertions (1) and (2).

3.6. Proof of Corollary C. By [13, Theorem VI], the orbit class of a non-closed recurrent point contains infinitely many strongly recurrent orbits. Therefore Theorem B and its dual statement imply that the conditions (1)–(3) are pairwise equivalent.

3.7. Poincaré-Bendixson theorem for a flow with arbitrarily many singular points on a surface of finite genus. Recall the end completion as follows. Consider the direct system $\{K_\lambda\}$ of compact subsets of a topological space X and inclusion maps such that the interiors of K_λ cover X . There is a corresponding inverse system $\{\pi_0(X - K_\lambda)\}$, where $\pi_0(Y)$ denotes the set of connected components of a space Y . Then the set of ends of X is defined to be the inverse limit of this inverse system. Notice that X has one end $x_\mathcal{U}$ for each sequence $\mathcal{U} := (U_i)_{i \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}}$ with $U_i \supseteq U_{i+1}$ such that U_i is a connected component of $X - K_{\lambda_i}$ for some λ_i . Considering the disjoint union X_{end} of X and $\{\pi_0(X - K_\lambda)\}$ as set, a subset V of the union X_{end} is an open neighborhood of an end $x_\mathcal{U}$ if there is some $i \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$ such that $U_i \subseteq V$. Then the resulting topological space X_{end} is called the end completion (or end compactification) of X . Note that the end completion is not compact in general. Moreover, in the proof of Theorem A, the surface S_{col} is the end completion of $S - \text{Sing}(v)$. From Theorem 3 [40], any connected surfaces of finite genus are homeomorphic to the resulting surfaces from closed surfaces by removing closed totally disconnected subsets. Therefore the end compactification S_{end} of a connected surface S of finite genus is a closed surface.

For a flow v on a surface S of finite genus, considering ends to be singular points, we obtain the resulting flow v_{end} on a surface S_{end} which is a union of closed surfaces. A non-recurrent orbit is a virtual quasi-separatrix (resp. separatrix) if it is a connecting quasi-separatrix (resp. separatrix) on S_{end} with respect to v_{end} . An invariant subset is a quasi-semi-attracting limit virtual quasi-circuit if it is the resulting subset from a quasi-semi-attracting limit quasi-circuit on S_{end} with respect to v_{end} by removing all the ends.

By taking end completions, Theorem A implies the following Poincaré-Bendixson theorem for a flow with arbitrarily many singular points on a surface of finite genus.

Theorem 3.15. *The following statements hold for a flow with arbitrarily many singular points on a surface of finite genus:*

(a) *The ω -limit set of any non-closed orbit of is one of the following exclusively:*

- (1) *A nowhere dense subset of singular points.*
- (2) *A semi-attracting limit cycle.*
- (3) *A quasi-semi-attracting limit virtual quasi-circuit.*
- (4) *A locally dense Q -set.*
- (5) *A transversely Cantor Q -set.*
- (6) *A quasi- Q -set that consists of singular points and non-recurrent points.*

(b) *Any non-recurrent orbit in the ω -limit set of a point is a virtual quasi-separatrix.*

(c) *If the singular point set is totally disconnected, then any non-recurrent orbits in the ω -limit set of a point are virtual separatrices.*

In the previous theorem, notice that the ω -limit set of a point is empty if and only if it is a nowhere dense subset of singular points.

3.8. Topological characterizations of non-closed recurrence for surfaces. For a flow v on a connected surface with finite genus, the end completion S_{end} is a closed connected surface and the resulting flow v_{end} on it can be obtained by adding exactly new singular points. This implies that Theorem B holds for a flow with arbitrarily many singular points on a surface with finite genus as follows.

Theorem 3.16. *Let v be a flow on a surface S with finite genus. The following statements hold for a point $x \in \omega(z)$ for some point $z \in S$:*

- (1) *$\omega(x) \setminus \text{Cl}(v) \neq \emptyset$ if and only if x is non-closed positively recurrent.*
- (2) *$\alpha(x) \setminus \text{Cl}(v) \neq \emptyset$ if and only if x is non-closed negatively recurrent.*
- (3) *$(\omega(x) \cup \alpha(x)) \setminus \text{Cl}(v) \neq \emptyset$ if and only if x is non-closed recurrent.*
- (4) *$\omega(x) \setminus \text{Cl}(v) \neq \emptyset$ and $\alpha(x) \setminus \text{Cl}(v) \neq \emptyset$ if and only if x is non-closed strongly recurrent.*

Proof. As mentioned above, taking the end completion S_{end} of S , the resulting surface S_{end} is a closed connected surface and the resulting flow v_{end} is obtained by adding singular points. Therefore the conditions that $\omega(x) \setminus \text{Cl}(v) \neq \emptyset$ and $\alpha(x) \setminus \text{Cl}(v) \neq \emptyset$ are invariant under taking end completions. This means that Theorem B holds for a flow on a connected surface S with finite genus. \square

Corollary C implies the following topological characterizations of non-closed recurrence for a flow with arbitrarily many singular points on a surface of finite genus.

Corollary 3.17. *Let v be a flow on a surface S with finite genus. The following are equivalent for a point $x \in S$:*

- (1) *The point x is non-closed positively recurrent.*
- (2) *$\omega(x) \setminus \text{Cl}(v) \neq \emptyset$ and there is a point $z \in S$ with $x \in \omega(z)$.*
- (3) *$\omega(x) \setminus \text{Cl}(v) \neq \emptyset$ and there is a point $z \in S$ with $x \in \alpha(z)$.*

4. REDUCTIONS OF QUASI-Q-SETS AND QUASI-CIRCUITS

Let v be a flow on a compact connected surface S .

4.1. Reductions of quasi-Q-sets into Q-set under finite existence of singular points. We show non-existence of some kinds of ω -limit sets.

Lemma 4.1. *Suppose that the singular point set is finite. Then there is no ω -limit set which contains a sequence $(x_i)_{i \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}}$ of non-recurrent points converging to a non-singular point x_∞ such that $O(x_i) \neq O(x_j)$ and $O(x_i) \neq O(x_\infty)$ for any $i \neq j$.*

Proof. Assume that there is an ω -limit set $\omega(x)$ of some point x which contains a sequence $(x_i)_{i \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}}$ of non-recurrent points converging to a non-singular point $x_\infty \in \omega(x)$ such that $O(x_i) \neq O(x_j)$ and $O(x_i) \neq O(x_\infty)$ for any $i \neq j$. Since $x_i \in P(v)$, Proposition 3.12 implies $\omega(x_i) \subseteq \text{Sing}(v)$. The finiteness of singularity implies there are a singular point ω and infinitely many point x_{i_k} whose ω -limit set is ω . Taking a subsequence, we may assume that $\omega = \omega(x_i)$ for any $i \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$. Since the point x_∞ is non-singular, there are an open flow box U containing x_∞ and a transverse closed arc $T \subset U$ whose boundary contains x_∞ which intersects infinitely many orbits $O(x_{i_k})$. Taking a subsequence, we may assume that $T \cap O(x_i) \neq \emptyset$ for any $i \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$. Fix a canonical total order on T such that $x_i < x_{i+1}$ for any $i \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$. Put an orbit arc $O^{+0}(a) := O^+(a) \sqcup \{a\}$ for a point $a \in S$ and denote by $I_{a,b} \subset T$ the open sub-arc whose boundary consists of points a and b of T . Since $\omega(x_i) = \omega$, there are points $x'_i \in O(x_i) \cap T$ with $\{x'_i\} = O^{+0}(x'_i) \cap T$. By renumbering, we may assume that $x'_i < x'_{i+1}$ for any $i \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$. Write loops $\gamma_i := O^{+0}(x'_i) \sqcup O^{+0}(x'_{i+1}) \sqcup I_{x'_i, x'_{i+1}} \sqcup \{\omega\}$ for any $i \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$. Shortening T , we may assume that the boundary ∂T consists of x'_0 and x_∞ . Let D_i be the connected component of $S - \bigcup_{i \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}} \gamma_i$ intersecting $\bigcup_{z \in I_{x'_i, x'_{i+1}}} O^+(z)$. Since there are at most finitely many genus and boundaries, we may assume that D_i is an open disk by renumbering x_i . By construction, we have $O^+(z) \subset D_i$ for any point $z \in D_i$. This implies that $O^+(z) \subset D_i$ and so $\omega(z) \subset \overline{D_i}$ for any point $z \in I_{x'_i, x'_{i+1}}$ for any $i \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$. Since $x'_1 \in O(x_1) \subset \omega(x)$, we have $O^+(x) \cap (I_{x'_0, x'_2} - \{x'_1\}) \neq \emptyset$ and so $\omega(x) \subset \overline{D_0} \sqcup \overline{D_1}$, which contradicts $x'_3 \in \omega(x) \setminus \overline{D_0} \sqcup \overline{D_1}$. \square

We show that a quasi-Q-set is a generalization of a Q-set.

Proposition 4.2. *Suppose that the singular point set is finite. Then a quasi-Q-set corresponds to a Q-set.*

Proof. By Corollary 3.8, a Q-set is a quasi-Q-set. Suppose that the singular point set is finite. Fix a point x whose ω -limit set $\omega(x)$ is a quasi-Q-set. Then $\omega(x)$ intersects an essential closed transversal T infinitely many times. Then x is not a closed orbit. By Theorem A, the finiteness of $\text{Sing}(v)$ implies that any non-recurrent orbits in $\omega(x)$ are connecting separatrices. If $\omega(x) \cap \text{Per}(v) \neq \emptyset$, then the ω -limit set $\omega(x)$ is a limit cycle. Thus $\omega(x) \cap \text{Per} = \emptyset$.

We claim that $\omega(x) \cap \text{R}(v) \neq \emptyset$. Indeed, assume that $\omega(x) \cap \text{R}(v) = \emptyset$. Then $\omega(x) \subseteq \text{Sing}(v) \sqcup \text{P}(v)$. Then any non-singular orbits of $\omega(x)$ are connecting separatrices. Since $\omega(x)$ intersects an essential closed transversal T infinitely many times, the ω -limit set $\omega(x)$ contains infinitely many connecting separatrices. By the finiteness of $\text{Sing}(v)$, there are singular points α and ω and infinitely many pairwise disjoint connecting separatrices O_i ($i \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$) from α to ω . Since S is compact and the genus is finite, taking a subsequence, we may assume that the pair of two orbits O_i and O_j for any $i \neq j \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ is homotopic relative to $\{\alpha, \omega\}$ to each other and that the union $\gamma_i := \{\alpha, \omega\} \sqcup O_i \sqcup O_{i+1}$ for any $i \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ bounds an open disk B_i . Then the disjoint unions $B_i \sqcup O_{i+1} \sqcup B_{i+1}$ are neighborhoods of O_{i+1} . By construction, any orbits intersecting some B_j are contained in B_j . Since $O_1, O_2 \subset \omega(x)$, we have that $O(x) \cap (B_1 \cap B_2) \neq \emptyset$ and $O(x) \cap (B_2 \cap B_3) \neq \emptyset$ and so that $O(x) \subset B_2$. This implies that $O_3 \cap \omega(x) = \emptyset$, which contradicts $O_3 \subset \omega(x)$.

If $\omega(x) \cap \text{LD}(v) \neq \emptyset$, then Lemma 2.2 implies that $\omega(x)$ is a Q-set. Thus we may assume that $\omega(x) \cap \text{LD}(v) = \emptyset$ and so $\omega(x) \cap \text{E}(v) \neq \emptyset$. Since $\omega(x) \cap (\text{Per}(v) \sqcup \text{LD}(v)) = \emptyset$, we have $\omega(x) \subseteq \text{Sing}(v) \sqcup \text{P}(v) \sqcup \text{E}(v)$.

We claim that the ω -limit set $\omega(x)$ is a Q-set. Indeed, assume that $\omega(x)$ is not a Q-set. Fix an exceptional Q-set $\mathcal{M} \subsetneq \omega(x)$. Then $\omega(x) \setminus \overline{\text{E}(v)} \subseteq \text{Sing}(v) \sqcup \text{P}(v)$. Theorem A implies that $\omega(x)$ consists of singular points, connecting separatrices, and exceptional orbits. We show that $\text{P}(v) \cap (\omega(x) \setminus \mathcal{M}) = \emptyset$. Indeed, assume that there is a point $y \in (\text{P}(v) \setminus \mathcal{M}) \cap \omega(x)$. Since $\overline{\text{E}(v)}$ consists of finitely many disjoint Q-sets and $\omega(x)$ is connected and compact, we obtain $y \in (\text{P}(v) \setminus \overline{\text{E}(v)}) \cap \omega(x)$. Then there is a transverse closed arc $T' \subset (\text{P}(v) \sqcup \text{Per}(v) \sqcup \text{LD}(v)) \setminus \overline{\text{E}(v)} = (\text{P}(v) \setminus \overline{\text{E}(v)}) \sqcup \text{Per}(v) \sqcup \text{LD}(v)$ whose boundary consists of the point $y \in \omega(x)$ and a point in $O^+(x)$ with $y \in \overline{T' \cap O^+(x)}$. Since $\omega(x) \cap (\text{Per}(v) \sqcup \text{LD}(v)) = \emptyset$, we have $T' \cap \omega(x) \subset \text{P}(v)$. By $y \in \text{P}(v)$, shortening T' , since $O(y)$ is a connecting separatrix, we may assume that $(T' - \{y\}) \cap O(y) = \emptyset$. Since $\omega(x)$ is not a limit quasi-circuit, Lemma 3.2 implies that there is a sequence $(y_i)_{i \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}}$ in $(T' - \{y\}) \cap \omega(x) = (T' \setminus O(y)) \cap \omega(x)$ of points converging y . For any $i \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$, the orbits $O(y_i)$ are connecting separatrices and so $\overline{O(y_i)} = O(y_i) \sqcup \{\alpha_i, \omega_i\}$, where α_i and ω_i are singular points. Lemma 4.1 implies that $(y_i)_{i \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}}$ is contained in finitely many negative orbits. Then some orbit $O(y_i)$ intersecting T' infinitely many times and so $y \in \overline{O(y_i)} \setminus \text{Sing}(v) = O(y_i)$, which contradicts that $O(y_i) \neq O(y)$. From $\text{P}(v) \cap (\omega(x) \setminus \mathcal{M}) = \emptyset$, since $\overline{\text{E}(v)}$ is a disjoint finite union of Q-sets, the finiteness of $\text{Sing}(v)$ and the connectivity of $\omega(x)$

imply $P(v) \cap (\omega(x) \setminus \overline{E(v)}) = \emptyset$ and so $\omega(x) \subseteq \overline{E(v)}$. By the connectivity of $\omega(x)$, we obtain that $\omega(x) = \mathcal{M}$ is a Q-set. \square

4.2. Reductions of quasi-circuits. We have the following statement.

Lemma 4.3. *Let v be a flow with finitely many singular points on a compact connected surface S . Then a non-trivial quasi-circuit is a circuit (i.e. a continuous image of a circle).*

Proof. Let γ be a non-trivial quasi-circuit and \mathbb{A} a small collar. By Theorem A, the finiteness of $\text{Sing}(v)$ implies that any non-recurrent orbits in γ are connecting separatrices. The invariance of γ implies that each orbit closure in γ is either a singular point, a closed interval, or a loop. Since $\text{Sing}(v)$ is finite, we may assume that \mathbb{A} contains no singular points. By time reversion if necessary, we may assume that $\omega(x) = \gamma$ for a point x . Then the difference $\gamma \setminus \text{Sing}(v)$ is a disjoint union of open intervals.

We claim that γ is locally connected. Indeed, assume that γ is not locally connected. Since each orbit closure in γ is either a point, a closed interval, or a loop, the finiteness of singular points implies that there are non-recurrent points $x_i \in \gamma$ converging a point $x_\infty \in \gamma \setminus (\bigcup_{i \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}} \alpha(x_i) \cup \omega(x_i))$ such that $O(x_i) \neq O(x_\infty)$ and $O(x_i) \neq O(x_j)$ for any $i \neq j \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$. By renumbering, we may assume that there are singular points α and ω in γ such that $x_i \neq x_j$, $\alpha = \alpha(x_i)$, and $\omega = \omega(x_i)$ for any $i \neq j \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$. Put $O_i := O(x_i)$. As the proof of the previous lemma, since S is compact and the genus is finite, taking a subsequence, we may assume that the pair of two orbits O_i and O_j for any $i \neq j \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ is homotopic relative to $\{\alpha, \omega\}$ to each other and that the union $\gamma_i := \{\alpha, \omega\} \sqcup O_i \sqcup O_{i+1}$ for any $i \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ bounds an open disk B_i . Then the disjoint unions $B_i \sqcup O_{i+1} \sqcup B_{i+1}$ are neighborhoods of O_{i+1} . By construction, any orbits intersecting some B_j are contained in B_j . Since $O_1, O_2 \subset \omega(x)$, we have that $O(x) \cap (B_1 \cap B_2) \neq \emptyset$ and $O(x) \cap (B_2 \cap B_3) \neq \emptyset$ and so that $O(x) \subset B_2$. This implies that $O_3 \cap \omega(x) = \emptyset$, which contradicts $O_3 \subset \omega(x)$.

We claim that γ is a circuit. Indeed, let ∂ be another boundary component of \mathbb{A} . Cutting ∂ , collapsing the new boundary components into singletons, and taking its universal cover, we may assume that γ is the boundary of an open disk U obtained from \mathbb{A} in a simply connected Riemann surface. By Riemann mapping theorem, there is a Riemann mapping $\varphi : D \rightarrow U$ from a unit open disk in a complex plane. By Carathéodory-Torhorst theorem (see [46] and also Theorem 16.6 [35] for detail), the local connectivity of the boundary $\partial U = \gamma$ implies that φ extends continuously to a map from the closed disk \overline{D} onto \overline{U} . This means that γ is a continuous image of a circle and a boundary component of its collar \mathbb{A} . \square

The previous lemma implies that a limit quasi-circuit is a generalization of a limit circuit.

Corollary 4.4. *Let v be a flow with finitely many singular points on a compact connected surface S . Then a limit quasi-circuit corresponds to a limit circuit.*

4.3. Reduction of Poincaré-Bendixson theorem for flows with finitely many singular points. The reductions of quasi-Q-sets (Proposition 4.2) and of quasi-circuits (Corollary 4.4) imply a proof of the following generalization of the Poincaré-Bendixson theorem for a flow with finitely many singular points (see for example [36]).

Corollary 4.5. *Let v be a flow with finitely many fixed points on a compact surface S . The ω -limit set of any non-closed orbit is one of the following exclusively:*

- (1) *A singular point.*
- (2) *A semi-attracting limit cycle.*
- (3) *A semi-attracting non-periodic limit circuit.*
- (4) *A locally dense Q-set.*
- (5) *An exceptional Q-set.*

5. EXAMPLES

We describe some ω -limit sets that appeared in Theorem A.

5.1. Quasi-Q-sets that are not Q-sets. We show that there are a toral flow v_φ and a point z whose ω -limit set is a non-locally-dense quasi-Q-set but not a Q-set such that $\overline{\text{Cl}(v_\varphi)} \neq \Omega(v_\varphi)$, where $\Omega(v_\varphi)$ is the non-wandering set of v_φ . Indeed, consider a Denjoy diffeomorphism $f : \mathbb{S}^1 \rightarrow \mathbb{S}^1$ with an exceptional minimal set \mathcal{M} . Let v_f be the suspension of f on the torus $\mathbb{T}^2 := (\mathbb{S}^1 \times \mathbb{R}) / (x, r) \sim (f(x), r + 1)$ and $\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}$ the minimal set of v_f . Fix a bump function $\varphi : \mathbb{T}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$ with $\varphi^{-1}(0) = \widehat{\mathcal{M}}$, where $\widehat{\mathcal{M}} := \widetilde{\mathcal{M}} \cap (\mathbb{S}^1 \times \{1/2\})$ is a lift of \mathcal{M} . Using the bump function φ , replace the minimal set $\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}$ of v_f with a union of singular points and separatrices of the resulting flow v_φ (i.e. $\widetilde{\mathcal{M}} = \text{Sing}(v_\varphi) \sqcup \{\text{separatrix of } v_\varphi\}$) such that $O_{v_\varphi}(x) = O_{v_f}(x)$ and $\omega_v(x) = \omega_{v_\varphi}(x) = \widetilde{\mathcal{M}}$ for any point $x \in \mathbb{T}^2 - \widetilde{\mathcal{M}}$. Then $\mathbb{T}^2 = \text{Sing}(v_\varphi) \sqcup P(v)(v_\varphi)$ and $\overline{\text{Cl}(v_\varphi)} = \text{Sing}(v_\varphi) = \widehat{\mathcal{M}} \neq \widetilde{\mathcal{M}} = \Omega(v_\varphi)$, where $P(v)(v_\varphi)$ is the union of non-recurrent orbits of v_φ .

5.2. Quasi-circuit that is not circuits. There is a quasi-circuit that is not a circuit such that it consists of two non-recurrent orbits and two closed intervals contained in the singular point set as in Figure 9.

5.3. Non-locally connected subsets of singular points. There is a flow w with an ω -limit set which consists of two non-recurrent orbits and a subset of $\text{Sing}(w)$ which is transversely a Cantor set as a set. Indeed, let be a non-recurrent orbit O whose ω -limit set is an exceptional minimal set \mathcal{M} in a Denjoy flow. Consider a discontinuous flow whose singular point set is




FIGURE 9. An ω -limit set which is a non-locally-connected quasi-circuit, which is neither the image of a circle nor a circuit.




FIGURE 10. A blow up flow box




FIGURE 11. Another blow up flow box

$\mathbb{T}^2 - O$ and replace O with a flow box as Figure 10. Then the resulting flow is desired.

There is a flow with an ω -limit set consisting of singular points which is transversely a Cantor set. Indeed, let O be a non-recurrent orbit whose ω -limit set is an exceptional minimal set \mathcal{M} in a Denjoy flow. Consider a trivial flow on \mathbb{T}^2 which consists of singular points and replace O with a flow box which is a union of two flow boxes as Figure 11. Note that the dotted center line is a subset of $\text{Sing}(v)$. Then the resulting toral flow is continuous such that the ω -limit set of a non-singular point is \mathcal{M} .

REFERENCES

- [1] M. Abate and F. Bianchi, *A Poincaré-Bendixson theorem for meromorphic connections on Riemann surfaces*, Math. Z. **282** (2016), no. 1-2, 247–272.
- [2] M. Abate and F. Tovena, *Poincaré-Bendixson theorems for meromorphic connections and holomorphic homogeneous vector fields*, J. Differential Equations **251** (2011), no. 9, 2612–2684.

- [3] A. Andronov, E. Leontovich, I. Gordon, and A. Mayer, *Qualitative theory of dynamic systems of the second order*, Moscow: Mir (1966).
- [4] S Aranson and E Zhuzhoma, *Maier's theorems and geodesic laminations of surface flows*, Journal of Dynamical and Control Systems **2** (1996), no. 4, 557–582.
- [5] S K. Aranson, G. R. Beliskii, and E. Zhuzhoma, *Introduction to the qualitative theory of dynamical systems on surfaces*, American Mathematical Society, 1996.
- [6] K. Athanassopoulos, *A characterization of denjoy flows*, Bulletin of the London Mathematical Society **24** (1992), no. 1, 83–86.
- [7] I. Bendixson, *Sur les courbes définies par des équations différentielles*, Acta Math. **24** (1901), no. 1, 1–88.
- [8] N. Bhatia, A. Lazer, and W. Leighton, *Application of the Poincaré-Bendixson theorem*, Annali di Matematica Pura ed Applicata **73** (1966), no. 1, 27–32.
- [9] G. D. Birkhoff, *Dynamical systems*, Vol. 9, American Mathematical Soc., 1927.
- [10] E. Bonotto and M Federson, *Limit sets and the Poincaré–Bendixson theorem in impulsive semidynamical systems*, Journal of Differential Equations **244** (2008), no. 9, 2334–2349.
- [11] J. P. Boroński, J. Činč, and X.-C. Liu, *Prime ends dynamics in parametrised families of rotational attractors*, J. Lond. Math. Soc. (2) **102** (2020), no. 2, 557–579.
- [12] N. Bourbaki, *General topology: Chapters 1–4*, Vol. 18, Springer Science & Business Media, 2013.
- [13] T. Cherry, *Topological properties of the solutions of ordinary differential equations*, American Journal of Mathematics **59** (1937), no. 4, 957–982.
- [14] K. Ciesielski, *The Poincaré-Bendixson theorems for two-dimensional semiflows*, Topological Methods in Nonlinear Analysis **3** (1994), no. 1, 163–178.
- [15] D. P Demuner, M. Federson, and C. Gutierrez, *The Poincaré-Bendixson Theorem on the Klein bottle for continuous vector fields*, Discrete & Continuous Dynamical Systems **25** (2009), no. 2, 495.
- [16] Z. Du, J. Liu, and Y. Ren, *Traveling pulse solutions of a generalized keller-segel system with small cell diffusion via a geometric approach*, Journal of Differential Equations **270** (2021), 1019–1042.
- [17] J. G. Espín Buendía and V. Jiménez López, *Some remarks on the ω -limit sets for plane, sphere and projective plane analytic flows*, Qual. Theory Dyn. Syst. **16** (2017), no. 2, 293–298. MR3671726
- [18] J. G. Espín Buendía and V. Jiménez Lopéz, *A topological characterization of the ω -limit sets of analytic vector fields on open subsets of the sphere*, Discrete Contin. Dyn. Syst. Ser. B **24** (2019), no. 3, 1143–1173.
- [19] C. Gardiner, *The structure of flows exhibiting nontrivial recurrence on two-dimensional manifolds*, Journal of differential equations **57** (1985), no. 1, 138–158.
- [20] C. Gutiérrez, *Structural stability for flows on the torus with a cross-cap*, Transactions of the American Mathematical Society **241** (1978), 311–320.
- [21] ———, *Smoothing continuous flows on two-manifolds and recurrences*, Ergodic Theory and dynamical systems **6** (1986), no. 1, 17–44.
- [22] O. Hájek, *Dynamical systems in the plane*, Academic Press, London-New York, 1968.
- [23] J. Hounie, *Minimal sets of families of vector fields on compact surfaces*, Journal of Differential Geometry **16** (1981), no. 4, 739–744.
- [24] A. Koropečki and A. Passeggi, *A Poincaré–Bendixson theorem for translation lines and applications to prime ends*, Commentarii Mathematici Helvetici **94** (2019), no. 1, 141–183.
- [25] G. Levitt, *Feuilletages des surfaces*, Ann. Inst. Fourier (Grenoble) **32** (1982), no. 2, x, 179–217.
- [26] G. Levitt and H. Rosenberg, *Differentiability and topology of labyrinths in the disc and annulus*, Topology **26** (1987), no. 2, 173–186.

- [27] V. J. López and J. Llibre, *A topological characterization of the ω -limit sets for analytic flows on the plane, the sphere and the projective plane*, Advances in Mathematics **216** (2007), no. 2, 677–710.
- [28] V. J. López and G. S. López, *Accumulation points of nonrecurrent orbits of surface flows*, Topology and its Applications **137** (2004), no. 1-3, 187–194.
- [29] N Markley and M Vanderschoot, *Recurrent orbits for flows on surfaces*, Journal of Differential Equations **244** (2008), no. 5, 1210–1229.
- [30] N. G Markley, *The Poincaré-Bendixson theorem for the Klein bottle*, Transactions of the American Mathematical Society (1969), 159–165.
- [31] H. Marzougui, *Structure des feuilles sur les surfaces ouvertes*, C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris Sér. I Math. **323** (1996), no. 2, 185–188.
- [32] ———, *Structure of foliations on 2-manifolds*, Illinois Journal of Mathematics **42** (1998), no. 3, 398–405.
- [33] ———, *Flows with infinite singularities on closed two-manifolds*, Journal of dynamical and control systems **6** (2000), no. 4, 461–476.
- [34] A Mayer, *Trajectories on the closed orientable surfaces*, Rec. Math.[Mat. Sbornik] NS **12** (1943), no. 54, 71–84.
- [35] J. Milnor, *Dynamics in one complex variable.(am-160):(am-160)-*, Vol. 160, Princeton University Press, 2011.
- [36] I. Nikolaev and E. Zhuzhoma, *Flows on 2-dimensional manifolds: an overview*, Springer Science & Business Media, 1999.
- [37] J. Plante, *A generalization of the Poincaré-Bendixson theorem for foliations of codimension one*, Topology **12** (1973), no. 2, 177–181.
- [38] H. Poincaré, *Sur les courbes définies par les équations différentielles*, J. Math. Pures Appl. **3** (1882), no. 8, 251–286.
- [39] A. Pokrovskii, A. Pokrovskiy, and A Zhezherun, *A corollary of the Poincaré-Bendixson theorem and periodic canards*, Journal of Differential Equations **247** (2009), no. 12, 3283–3294.
- [40] I. Richards, *On the classification of noncompact surfaces*, Transactions of the American Mathematical Society **106** (1963), no. 2, 259–269.
- [41] R. Roussarie, *A topological study of planar vector field singularities*, Discrete Contin. Dyn. Syst. **40** (2020), no. 9, 5217–5245.
- [42] ———, *Correction to: Some applications of the Poincaré-Bendixson theorem*, Qual. Theory Dyn. Syst. **20** (2021), no. 3, Paper No. 68, 1.
- [43] ———, *Some Applications of the Poincaré-Bendixson Theorem*, Qualitative Theory of Dynamical Systems **20** (2021), no. 64, 17 pp.
- [44] A. J Schwartz, *A generalization of a Poincaré-Bendixson theorem to closed two-dimensional manifolds*, American Journal of Mathematics (1963), 453–458.
- [45] M. Torhorst, *Über den Rand der einfach zusammenhängenden ebenen Gebiete*, Math. Z. **9** (1921), no. 1-2, 44–65.
- [46] ———, *Über den Rand der einfach zusammenhängenden ebenen Gebiete*, Mathematische Zeitschrift **9** (1921), no. 1, 44–65.
- [47] M. Vanderschoot, *Local connectivity of limit sets*, The Rocky Mountain journal of mathematics (2003), 1525–1538.
- [48] R. Vinograd, *On the limit behavior of an unbounded integral curve, moskov*, Gos. Univ. Uc. Zap. Mat **155** (1952), no. 5, 94–136.
- [49] K. Yano et al., *Asymptotic Cycles on Two Dimensional Manifolds*, Foliations, 1985, pp. 359–377.
- [50] T. Yokoyama, *A topological characterization for non-wandering surface flows*, Proceedings of the American Mathematical Society **144** (2016), no. 1, 315–323.
- [51] ———, *Decompositions of surface flows*, arXiv preprint arXiv:1703.05501 (2017).
- [52] ———, *Properness of foliations*, Topology and its Applications **254** (2019), 171–175.

- [53] ———, *Flows with time-reversal symmetric limit sets on surfaces*, arXiv preprint arXiv:2111.13335 (2021).
- [54] ———, *Flows with time-reversal symmetric limit sets on surfaces*, arXiv preprint arXiv:2111.13335 (2021).

APPLIED MATHEMATICS AND PHYSICS DIVISION, GIFU UNIVERSITY, YANAGIDO 1-1,
GIFU, 501-1193, JAPAN

Email address: tomoo@gifu-u.ac.jp