THE SECOND MOMENT OF THE PRODUCT OF THE RIEMANN ZETA AND DIRICHLET $L$-FUNCTIONS

IKUYA KANeko

Abstract. We establish Motohashi’s formula for the second moment of the product of the Riemann zeta function and a Dirichlet $L$-function associated to an arbitrary primitive Dirichlet character modulo $q \in \mathbb{N}$. If the conductor $q$ is an odd prime, our reciprocity formula is consistent with Motohashi’s result in the early 1990s. The cubic moment side features two versions of central $L$-values of automorphic forms on $\Gamma_0(q) \backslash \mathbb{H}$ weighted by an integral transform of a test function on the second moment side. Our techniques involve a combination of analytic number theory and automorphic forms.

1. Introduction

In a series of work culminating in [6], Motohashi constructed an explicit formula relating the fourth moment of the Riemann zeta function to the cubic moment of $L$-functions relevant to modular forms (Maaß and holomorphic forms and Eisenstein series) for the full modular group $SL_2(\mathbb{Z})$. The aim of this article is to deduce a version of Motohashi’s formula for the second moment of the product of the Riemann zeta and Dirichlet $L$-functions

$$Z_2(g; \psi) := \int_\mathbb{R} |\zeta(1/2 + it)L(1/2 + it, \psi)|^2 g(t)dt$$

for an arbitrary primitive Dirichlet character $\psi$ modulo $q$. This problem was proposed by Motohashi [7] in 1992 at the conference of the Research Institute for Mathematical Sciences, Kyoto University. It behoves us to mention that he handled the case where $q$ is an odd prime in loc. cit. and extended this to the case of squarefree conductors. Our formula is valid for all $q \in \mathbb{N}$ and describes all spectral terms on the cubic moment side in terms of newforms. A heuristic deduction that we sketch in §1.2 manifests that we should expect Motohashi’s formula looking like

$$Z_2(g; \psi) \leftrightarrow \sum_{f \in \mathcal{B}^* (q)} L(1/2, f)L(1/2, f \otimes \overline{\psi}) \check{g}(t_f),$$

(1.1)

where $\mathcal{B}^* (q)$ stands for an orthonormal Hecke basis of Maaß newforms of level $q$ and trivial central character, $t_f \in \mathbb{R} \cup \{-i\theta, i\theta\}$ is the spectral parameter with $\theta = 7/64$ being chosen at the current state of knowledge, and $\check{g}$ is an elaborate integral transform of a fixed test function $g$, which involves the Gauß hypergeometric function.

1.1. Statement of Main Results. Let $\psi$ be an arbitrary primitive Dirichlet character modulo a positive integer $q$ and let $\mathbb{C}^4$ be the subdomain of $\mathbb{C}^4$ where all four parameters have real parts greater than one. In this article, we generalise the classical formula of Motohashi shown in [9]. For a test function $g$, we consider the second moment

$$M_2(s_1, s_2, s_3, s_4; g; \psi) := \int_\mathbb{R} \zeta(s_1 + it)L(s_2 + it, \psi)\zeta(s_3 - it)L(s_4 - it, \overline{\psi})g(t)dt,$$

(1.2)

where the parameters (or shifts) satisfy $(s_1, s_2, s_3, s_4) \in \mathbb{R}_+^4$. To deduce a reciprocity formula for (1.2), we initially work with (1.2) by exploiting Dirichlet series expansions for the integrand in the region of absolute convergence, and then we can take the limit $(s_1, s_2, s_3, s_4) \rightarrow (1/2, 1/2, 1/2, 1/2)$ after the meromorphic continuation.

Pertaining to the cubic moment side, we define the twisted spectral mean values

$$\mathcal{M}^{\text{Maaß}}(s_1, s_2, s_3, s_4; f) := \sum_{q_0 \in M} \sum_{q \in \mathcal{B}^* (q_0)} \frac{L\left(\frac{1 + s_1 + s_2 + s_3}{2}, f\right)L\left(\frac{1 + s_1 - s_2 - s_3}{2}, \overline{f}\right)L\left(\frac{1 + s_1 - s_2 + s_3}{2}, f \otimes \overline{\psi}\right)}{L(1, \text{Ad}^2 f)} \mathcal{M}^{\text{Maaß}}(s_1, s_2, s_3, s_4; f),$$

where $\mathcal{B}^* (q_0)$ is an elaborate integral transform of a fixed test function $g$, which involves the Gauß hypergeometric function.
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\[\delta_{\pm}^{\text{Eis}} := \sum_{c_{\pm} \mid M \mid q} \int_{\mathbb{R}} L\left(\frac{s}{2}, \chi L\right) L^{q}/L(1 + 2it, \chi^2) \, dt,\]

\[\delta_{\pm}^{\text{hol}} := \sum_{q M | q} \sum_{f \in B_{\text{hol}}(q)} L\left(\frac{s}{2}, f\right) L\left(\frac{s}{2}, f \otimes \psi\right) \Phi_{q}(s, s, s, s, f)/L(1, \text{Ad}^2 f),\]

where \(\Theta_{q}^\varphi(s, s, s, s, f)\) with \(\varphi \in \{\text{Maaß}, \text{hol}\}\) and \(\Theta_{\text{Eis}}^{\text{Eis}}(s, s, s, s, t)\) are complicated, but completely explicit expressions defined in (4.17). The dependence on \(s\) and \(M\) is suppressed from \(\Theta\). Here the notation \(L(q)\) denotes the usual \(L\)-functions with the Euler factors dividing \(q\) elided. The Dirichlet series expansion for the adjoint square \(L\)-function \(L(s, \text{Ad}^2 f)\) in \(\Re(s) > 1\) (which serves as \(s\) definition) is given in (3.3). For short, we write

\[\frac{s}{2}(s, f) = \delta_{\pm}^{\text{Maaß}}(s, s, s, s, f; \psi) + \delta_{\pm}^{\text{Eis}}(s, s, s, s, f; \psi) + \delta_{\pm}^{\text{hol}}(s, s, s, s, f; \psi).\]

We are now ready to state our new reciprocity formula to which we have already alluded.

**Theorem 1.1.** Let \((s, s, s, s, f) \in \mathbb{C}^4\). Let \(\psi\) be a primitive Dirichlet character modulo a positive integer \(q\). If a test function \(g\) satisfies the basic assumption in Convention 1.4, then we have that

\[M_{2}(s, s, s, s, f; \psi) = N(s, s, s, s, f; \psi) + \sum_{\pm} \left(\delta_{\pm}(s, s, s, s, f; \psi) + \delta_{\pm}(s, s, s, s, f; \psi)\right),\]

where \(N\) is an explicitly computable main term.

In the special case in which \(q\) is an odd prime, a beautiful formula for the second moment was envisioned by Motohashi [7]. With regard to this restriction, he mentioned that ‘this is to avoid unnecessary complexity, and in fact its elimination is by no means difficult’. The difficulties stem from the formulation of the Kuznetsov formula, which is simple to elucidate when the conductor is squarefree (see [8]). Indeed, the lack of precise description of the Fourier expansion of Eisenstein series for arbitrary composite conductors caused a problem at that time. The Kuznetsov formula for nonsquarefree conductors has recently been devised in the work of Blomer–Khan [2] with the aid of explicit formulæ for the Fourier coefficients of a complete orthonormal basis.

**Remark 1.2.** Let \(\zeta_{K}(s)\) be the Dedekind zeta function of a quadratic number field \(K\) with discriminant \(D\). We then have the factorisation

\[\zeta_{K}(s) = \zeta(s) L(s, \psi D)\]

with a real primitive Dirichlet character \(\psi D\) modulo \(|D|\). The reciprocity formula shown in Theorem 1.1 expresses the second moment of Dedekind zeta functions in terms of the cubic moment of automorphic \(L\)-functions on \(GL_2\).

**Corollary 1.3.** Let \(T \geq 1\). Let \(\psi\) be a quadratic Dirichlet character modulo \(q \leq T^{1-\epsilon}\). Then we have that

\[\int_{T}^{T+q^{1/3}T^{2/3}} |\zeta(1/2 + it) L(1/2 + it, \psi)|^{2} \, dt \ll_{\epsilon} q^{1/3+\epsilon} T^{2/3+\epsilon}.\]

Corollary 1.3 is seen as a variant of Iwaniec’s [5] short interval fourth moment bound

\[\int_{T}^{T+T^{2/3}} |\zeta(1/2 + it)|^{4} \, dt \ll_{\epsilon} T^{2/3+\epsilon}.\]

1.2. **Sketch.** We here produce a heuristic overview on the genesis of the reciprocity shown in Theorem 1.1. This is a high-level sketch geared towards experts. For the sake of argument, we may rely on approximate tools, although our proof will be based upon more precise inspection of \(L\)-functions in the region of absolute convergence. One should also ignore all polar terms, correction factors and the \(t\)-average whose purpose is to achieve convergence.

We start with (1.1). An astute reader understands our strategies from the shape of (1.1). The overall method is inspired by Motohashi’s seminal work [9, §4.3–4.7]. In a single phrase, we open the four zeta values as Dirichlet
series and apply Atkinson’s dissection, and hence we deal with the shifted convolution problem with the Voronoï summation and the Kloosterman summation formula (the latter being the Kuznetsov formula in the formulation that expresses sums of Kloosterman sums in terms of Fourier coefficients of automorphic forms). An initial form of the off-diagonal term after Atkinson’s dissection looks like
\[ \sum_{n,m} \tau(n, \psi) \tau(n + m, \psi), \]
where the twisted divisor function is defined as
\[ \tau(m, \psi) = \sum_{d | m} \psi(d). \]
We then make use of the approximate functional equation (Lemma 2.3) for the twisted divisor function
\[ \tau(m, \psi) = \frac{1 + \psi(m)}{\tau(\psi)} \sum_{\ell=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\ell} f \left( \frac{\ell}{\sqrt{m}} \right) h \left( \psi(h) e_{\ell q}(hm) \right), \tag{1.3} \]
where \( f(x) \) and its derivatives are certain functions of rapid decay. The formula (1.3) is seen as a simple alternative to the \( \delta \)-symbol method of Duke–Friedlander–Iwaniec [4]. One then utilises the \( GL_2 \) Voronoï summation formula to the \( n \)-sum. In other words, the functional equation for the twisted Estermann zeta function is invoked. We are eventually left with sums of Kloosterman sums of the form
\[ \sum_{q | c} S(m, \pm n; c) \frac{h}{c} \left( \frac{4\pi \sqrt{mn}}{c} \right). \]
This is basically the same as the formula (1.6) of Motohashi [8]. We now use the Kloosterman summation formula (Theorem 3.1) of level \( q \) and trivial central character obtained by Blomer et al. [1]. Two classical automorphic \( L \)-functions and a twisted automorphic \( L \)-function then emerge when we calculate the resulting sums over \( m \) and \( n \), thereby deriving our reciprocity formula (1.1). Note that Motohashi [7, 8] has not dealt with general test functions.

1.3. Notation and Conventions. The Vinogradov symbol \( A \ll B \) or the big \( O \) notation \( A = O(B) \) signifies that \( |A| \leq C |B| \) for some constant \( C \). We use the usual exponential notation \( e(z) := \exp(2\pi iz) \) and \( e_p(z) := e(z/p) \) with \( z \in \mathbb{C} \) and \( p \in \mathbb{R} \). The Kronecker symbol \( \delta \) takes the value 1 if the statement \( S \) holds true and 0 otherwise. We denote the Gauss sum associated to a Dirichlet character \( \psi \) modulo \( q \) by
\[ \tau(\psi, h) := \sum_{a \pmod{q}} \psi(a) e_q(ah), \]
where we set \( \tau(\psi) = \tau(\psi, 1) \) as usual. Other frequently occurring exponential sums are the Ramanujan sums and Kloosterman sums, for which we shall use the notation
\[ r_q(h) = \sum_{d \mid (h, q)} \mu \left( \frac{d}{h} \right) d \quad \text{and} \quad S(m, n; q) = \sum_{a \pmod{q}} e_q(ma + n\overline{a}), \]
where \( \overline{a} \) indicates the multiplicative inverse modulo \( q \), namely a solution to the congruence \( a\overline{a} \equiv 1 \pmod{q} \).
At last, we assume that a test function \( g \) satisfies the basic assumption as follows:

Convention 1.4. The function \( g \) is real valued on \( \mathbb{R} \) and there exists a large positive constant \( A \) such that \( g(t) \) is regular and \( \ll (1 + |t|)^{-A} \) on a sufficiently wide horizontal strip \( |\Re(t)| \leq A. \) All implicit constants in Vinogradov symbols and big \( O \) notation may possibly depend on \( A \) (where applicable).

2. Preliminaries

We introduce the twisted Estermann zeta function and mention its properties including the functional equation. The Ramanujan expansion and an approximate functional equation for the twisted divisor function are also proven.

\[ ^1 \text{This notation is not to be confused with the Gauss sum.} \]
2.1. \textbf{Estermann Zeta Function.} For any positive integers \( h, \ell, q \) with \( (h, \ell q) = 1 \) and \( \Re(s) > 1 \), we define

\[
D_\psi(s, \xi; h/\ell q) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sigma_\xi(n, \psi)e(hn/\ell q)n^{-s},
\]

where \( \psi \) is an arbitrary primitive Dirichlet character modulo \( q \) and

\[
\sigma_\xi(n, \psi) = \sum_{d|n} \psi(d)d^\xi.
\]

Most of the analytic properties of \( D_\psi(s, \xi; h/\ell) \) follow from the identity

\[
D_\psi(s, \xi; h/\ell q) = (\ell q)^{\xi-2s} \sum_{a, b, (\mod \ell q)} \psi(b)e(abh/\ell q)\zeta(s, a/\ell q)\zeta(s - \xi, b/\ell q),
\]

where for \( \alpha \in \mathbb{R} \) and \( \Re(s) > 1 \),

\[
\zeta(s, \alpha) := \sum_{n^{\alpha} > 0} (n + \alpha)^{-s}
\]

is the Hurwitz zeta function. It has a meromorphic continuation to the whole complex plane \( \mathbb{C} \) with a simple pole at \( s = 1 \) of residue 1 and satisfies the functional equation

\[
\zeta(s, \alpha) = \sum_{\pm} G^\pm(1-s)\zeta(s, \alpha)(1-s),
\]

where \( G^\pm(s) = (2\pi)^{-s}\Gamma(s)\exp(\pm is/2) \) and \( \zeta(s, \alpha) \) is the meromorphic continuation of \( \sum_{n>1} e(\alpha n)n^{-s} \). For \( \alpha \in \mathbb{Q} \), the formula (2.3) is seen as a restatement of the Poisson summation in residue classes. Hence, as a function of the single variable \( s \), the twisted Estermann zeta function (2.1) also has a meromorphic continuation to all \( s \in \mathbb{C} \) with a simple pole at \( s = 1 + \xi \) with the residue

\[
\text{res}_{s=1+\xi} D_\psi(s, \xi; h/\ell q) = (\ell q)^{-2-\xi} \sum_{a, b, (\mod \ell q)} \psi(b)e(abh/\ell q)\zeta(1 + \xi, a/\ell q)
\]

\[
= (\ell q)^{-2-\xi} \sum_{a, (\mod \ell q)} q \psi(b_1) \sum_{b_2=0}^{\ell-1} e(ab_1 h/\ell q)e(ab_2 h/\ell q)\zeta(1 + \xi, a/\ell q).
\]

By the orthogonality relation and the definition of the Gauss sum, the right-hand side equals

\[
\ell^{-1-\xi} q^{-2-\xi} \sum_{a, b, (\mod q)} \psi(b)e(abh/q)\zeta(1 + \xi, a/q) = \frac{\mathcal{F}(h)\tau(\psi)\zeta(1 + \xi, h)}{\ell^{1+\xi}q}.
\]

This finishes our computation of the residue. The functional equation for \( D_\psi(s, \xi; h/\ell) \) reads as follows:

\textbf{Theorem 2.1.} \textit{The twisted Estermann zeta function satisfies the functional equation}

\[
D_\psi(s, \xi; h/\ell q) = \psi(-\overline{h})(2\pi)^{2s-\xi-2}(\ell q)^{\xi-2s+1}\Gamma(1 - s)\Gamma(1 + \xi - s)
\]

\[
\times \left[ \{e(\xi/4) + \psi(-1)e(-\xi/4)\} D_\psi(1 + \xi - s, \xi; \overline{h}/\ell q)
\]

\[
- \{e((2s - \xi)/4) + \psi(-1)e(-(2s - \xi)/4)\} D_\psi(1 + \xi - s; \xi; -\overline{h}/\ell q) \right],
\]

where \( \overline{h} \) is the multiplicative inverse of \( h \) modulo \( \ell q \), i.e. \( hh \equiv 1 \pmod{\ell q} \).

This is essentially tantamount to the twisted \( GL_2 \) Voronoï summation formula.
Proof. With the formula (2.2) in mind, the twisted Estermann zeta function $D_\psi(s, \xi; h/q\ell)$ equals
\[(2\pi)^{2s-\xi-2}(\ell q)^{\xi-2s}\Gamma(1-s)\Gamma(1+\xi-s)\]
\[\times \left\{\psi(-1)e(\xi/4) + e(-\xi/4) \sum_{a,b \pmod{\ell q}} \psi(b)e(-abh/\ell q)e^{(a/\ell q)}(1-s)\zeta^{(b/\ell q)}(1+\xi-s)\right.\]
\[- \left. \{e((2s-\xi)/4) + \psi(-1)e(-(2s-\xi)/4)\} \sum_{a,b \pmod{\ell q}} \psi(b)e(abh/\ell q)e^{(a/\ell q)}(1-s)\zeta^{(b/\ell q)}(1+\xi-s)\right\}.
\]
We then utilise
\[\zeta^{(a/\ell q)}(1-s)\zeta^{(b/\ell q)}(1+\xi-s) = (\ell q)^{2s-\xi-2} \sum_{c,d \pmod{\ell q}} e\left(\frac{ac+bd-abh}{\ell q}\right)\zeta(1-s,c/\ell q)\zeta(1+\xi-s,d/\ell q).
\]
This follows from decomposing $\zeta^{(a/\ell q)}(1-s)$ and $\zeta^{(b/\ell q)}(1+\xi-s)$ into sums over residue classes modulo $\ell q$. We have four sums over $a, b, c, d \pmod{\ell q}$ and proceed to compute the $a, b$-sums to simplify the expression. It then holds that
\[\sum_{a,b \pmod{\ell q}} \psi(b)e\left(\frac{ac+bd-abh}{\ell q}\right) = \sum_{b \pmod{\ell q}} \psi(b)e\left(\frac{bd}{\ell q}\right) \delta_{c\equiv bh \pmod{\ell q}} = \psi(c\ell h)e\left(\frac{c\ell h}{\ell q}\right).
\]
This concludes the proof of Theorem 2.1. \qed

2.2. Ramanujan Expansion. In this subsection, the Ramanujan expansion is shown.

Lemma 2.2 (Twisted Ramanujan expansion). Let $\psi$ be a Dirichlet character modulo $q$. For any positive integer $n$ and $\Re(\xi) > 0$, we have
\[\frac{\sigma_\xi(n, \psi)}{n^\xi} = \frac{L(1+\xi, \overline{\psi})}{\tau(\psi)} \sum_{\ell=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\ell^{1+\xi}} \sum_{h \pmod{\ell q}} \overline{\psi}(h)e_{\ell q}(hn).
\]

Proof. One expresses the condition $(h, q\ell) = 1$ in terms of the Möbius function and verifies that the coefficients of $\ell^{-\xi}$ are equal on both sides of the identity
\[\frac{\sigma_\xi(n, \psi)}{L(1+\xi, \overline{\psi})} = \sum_{\ell=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\ell^{1+\xi}} \sum_{d|\ell} \mu(d)\overline{\psi}(d) \sum_{h \pmod{\ell q/d}} \overline{\psi}(h)e_{\ell q/d}(hn).
\]
There is a direct way to prove (2.4). Assuming that $\psi$ is primitive, we see that the right-hand side of (2.5) equals
\[\sum_{\ell=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\ell^{1+\xi}} \sum_{d|\ell} \mu(d)\overline{\psi}(d) \sum_{h \pmod{\ell q/d}} \overline{\psi}(h)e_{\ell q/d}(hn) = \frac{1}{L(1+\xi, \overline{\psi})} \sum_{\ell=1}^{\infty} \frac{\ell^{-\xi}}{\ell^{1+\xi}} \sum_{h \pmod{\ell q}} \overline{\psi}(h)e_{\ell q}(hn).
\]
With the formula $\tau(\psi, m) = \overline{\psi}(m)\tau(\psi)$ in mind, this equals
\[\frac{1}{L(1+\xi, \overline{\psi})} \sum_{\ell=1}^{\infty} \frac{\ell^{-\xi}}{\ell^{1+\xi}} \sum_{h=1}^{\infty} \overline{\psi}(h_1)e_{\ell q}(h_1n) \sum_{h_2=0}^{\ell-1} e_{\ell}(h_2n) = \frac{\psi(n)\sigma_\xi(n, \psi)}{L(1+\xi, \overline{\psi})},
\]
Moreover, a simple consideration renders
\[\sigma_\xi(n, \psi)n^{-\xi} = \psi(n)\sigma_\xi(n, \overline{\psi}).
\]
This finishes the proof of Lemma 2.2. \qed

We now state the following approximate functional equation since it is of independent interest:

Lemma 2.3. Let $G(s)$ be an even entire holomorphic function with rapid decay in vertical strips, satisfying $G(0) = 1$ (e.g. $G(s) = \exp(s^2)$). For $\xi \in \mathbb{C}$, we then have
\[\sigma_\xi(n, \psi) = \frac{\psi(n)}{\tau(\psi)} \sum_{\ell=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\ell^{1+\xi}} \sum_{h \pmod{\ell q}} \psi(h)e_{\ell q}(hn)f_\xi\left(\frac{\ell}{\sqrt{n}}\right) + \frac{\eta^\xi}{\tau(\psi)} \sum_{\ell=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\ell^{1+\xi}} \sum_{h \pmod{\ell q}} \psi(h)e_{\ell q}(hn)f_{-\xi}\left(\frac{\ell}{\sqrt{n}}\right),
\]
where

\[ f_\xi(x) = \int_{(a)} x^{-w} L(1 - \xi + w, \psi) \frac{G(w)}{w} \frac{dw}{2\pi i} \]

with \( a > \left| \Re(\xi) \right| \).

**Proof.** We start with shifting the contour, getting

\[ \int_{(a)} \sigma_{\xi-w}(n, \psi) n^{w/2} \frac{G(w)}{w} \frac{dw}{2\pi i} = \sigma_\xi(n, \psi) + \int_{(-a)} \sigma_{\xi-w}(n, \psi) n^{w/2} \frac{G(w)}{w} \frac{dw}{2\pi i} \]

The application of (2.6) and the change of variables \( w \mapsto -w \) give the integral representation

\[ \sigma_\xi(n, \psi) = \int_{(a)} \sigma_{\xi-w}(n, \psi) n^{w/2} \frac{G(w)}{w} \frac{dw}{2\pi i} + \psi(n)n^{\xi} \int_{(a)} \sigma_{-\xi-w}(n, \psi) n^{w/2} \frac{G(w)}{w} \frac{dw}{2\pi i} \]

Inserting the Ramanujan expansion

\[ \sigma_{\xi-w}(n, \psi) = \frac{\psi(n)}{\tau(\psi)} L(1 - \xi + w, \psi) \sum_{\ell=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\ell^{\xi+w}} \sum_{h \pmod{\ell}} \psi(h) e_{\ell q}(hn) \]

one sees that the above integral representation matches the desired expression. \( \square \)

### 2.3. Multiplicativity.

The aim of this subsection is to establish the Hecke relation for \( \sigma_\xi(n, \psi) \).

**Lemma 2.4.** The twisted divisor function satisfies the multiplicativity relation

\[ \sigma_\xi(mn, \psi) = \sum_{c \mid (m,n)} \mu(c) \psi(c) c^w \sigma_\xi(m/c, \psi) \sigma_\xi(n/c, \psi) \]

**Proof.** If \( m = p_1^{k_1} p_2^{k_2} \cdots p_r^{k_r}, \ n = p_1^{l_1} p_2^{l_2} \cdots p_r^{l_r} \) and such a formula is shown for powers of primes, then

\[ \sigma_\xi(mn, \psi) = \sigma_\xi(p_1^{k_1+l_1}, \psi) \sigma_\xi(p_2^{k_2+l_2}, \psi) \cdots \sigma_\xi(p_r^{k_r+l_r}, \psi) \]

\[ = \prod_{j=1}^r \sum_{j=0}^{\min(k_j, l_j)} \mu(p_j^j) \psi(p_j^j) p_j^{j\xi} \sigma_\xi(p_i^{j-i}) \sigma_\xi(p_i^{j-i}) \]

(2.7)

\[ = \sum_{c \mid (m,n)} \mu(c) \psi(c) c^w \sigma_\xi(m/c) \sigma_\xi(n/c) \]

By the multiplicativity, it suffices to prove the result for \( m = p^k, \ n = p^\ell \). The formula is obvious if \( \min(k, \ell) = 0 \), so we assume both the variables are at least 1. Setting \( X = \psi(p) p^\xi \), we find that the right-hand side of (2.7) equals

\[ \sigma_\xi(p^k, \psi) \sigma_\xi(p^\ell, \psi) - \psi(p) p^\xi \sigma_\xi(p^{k-1}, \psi) \sigma_\xi(p^{\ell-1}, \psi) \]

\[ = (1 + X + \cdots + X^k)(1 + X + \cdots + X^\ell) - (1 + X + \cdots + X^{k-1})(1 + X + \cdots + X^{\ell-1}) \]

\[ = \frac{X^{k+\ell+1} - 1}{X - 1} = (1 + \psi(p) p^\xi + (p^2 p^\xi \cdots + \psi(p) p^{k+\ell} p^{(k+\ell)\xi}) \sigma_\xi(p^{k+\ell}, \psi) \]

This concludes the proof of Lemma 2.4. \( \square \)

### 3. The Kuznetsov Formula

Our spectral summation formula is an asymmetric trace formula relating sums of Kloosterman sums to Fourier coefficients of automorphic forms in the sense that the spectral side and the geometric side have a quite different shape. This technology can show that there is considerable cancellation in sums of Kloosterman sums. Moreover, it provides a separation of variables of \( m \) and \( n \) in the sum of the shape \( \sum_{c} S(m, n; c)/c \).

Before stating the Kuznetsov formula, we formulate Fourier expansions of Maaß and holomorphic forms and Eisenstein series in the same manner as in [2, §3] and refer to this source for more details. Let \( N \in \mathbb{N} \) and write

\[ \nu(N) = \prod_{p \mid N} \left( 1 + \frac{1}{p} \right). \]
We equip $\Gamma_0(N)\backslash \mathbb{H}$ with the Petersson inner product
\[ \langle f, g \rangle := \int_{\Gamma_0(N)\backslash \mathbb{H}} f(z)\overline{g(z)} \frac{dx\,dy}{y^2}. \]

3.1. Fourier Expansions. The cuspidal spectrum is parametrised by pairs of $\Gamma_0(N)$-normalised newforms $f$ of level $N_0 \mid N$ and integers $M \mid N/N_0$. This comes from orthonormalising the set $\{ z \mapsto f(Mz) : M \mid N/N_0 \}$ by Gram–Schmidt. If $f$ is a Maass form, then we write the Fourier expansion of the pair $(f, M)$ as
\[ \sqrt{2 \cosh(\pi t)} \sum_{n \geq 0} \rho_{f,M,N}(n) K_{it}(2\pi|n|y) e(nx), \]
where
\[ \rho_{f,M,N}(n) = \frac{1}{L(1, \text{Ad}^2 f)^{1/2}(MN\nu(N))^{1/2}} \prod_{p \mid N_0} \left( 1 - \frac{1}{p^2} \right)^{1/2} \sum_{d \mid (M, n)} d \xi_f(M, d) \lambda_f(n/d) \] (3.1)
for $n \in \mathbb{N}$ with the convention $\lambda_f(n) = 0$ for $n \not\in \mathbb{Z}$. Here $\xi_f(M, d)$ is a certain multiplicative function defined in the article of Blomer–Khan [2, §3.2] and all we need to know is the bound
\[ \xi_f(M, d) \ll_{e} M^{\varepsilon}(M/d)^{\theta}. \] (3.2)
Moreover, we exploit the notation
\[ L(s, \text{Ad}^2 f) = \zeta^{(N)}(2s) \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\lambda_f(n)}{n^s} \] (3.3)
(which might differ from the corresponding Langlands $L$-function by finitely many Euler factors). For $-n \in \mathbb{N}$, we have $\rho_{f,M,N}(n) = \epsilon_f \rho_{f,M,N}(-n)$ if $f$ is a Maass form of parity $\epsilon_f \in \{ \pm 1 \}$.

We now proceed to the description of the case of holomorphic newforms. If $f$ is a holomorphic newform of weight $k$ and level $N_0 \mid N$, we write the Fourier expansion of the pair $(f, M)$ as
\[ \left( \frac{2\pi^2}{\Gamma(k)} \right)^{1/2} \sum_{n \geq 1} \rho_{f,M,N}(n)(4\pi n)^{k-1/2}e(nz). \]
Then (3.1) remains true for $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and we define $\rho_{f,M,N}(n) = 0$ for negative $n$.

Unitary Eisenstein series for $\Gamma_0(N)$ are parametrised by a continuous parameter $s = 1/2 + it$, $t \in \mathbb{R}$ and pairs $(\chi, M)$ where $\chi$ is a primitive Dirichlet character of conductor $c_\chi$ and $M \in \mathbb{N}$ satisfies $c_\chi^2 \mid M \mid N$. We define
\[ \tilde{n}_N(M) = \left( \prod_{p \mid (M,N/M)} \frac{p}{p+1} \prod_{p \mid (M, N/M)} \frac{p-1}{p+1} \right)^{1/2} \]
and write
\[ M = c_\chi M_1 M_2, \quad (M_2, c_\chi) = 1, \quad M_1 \mid c_\chi^{\infty}. \]
The Fourier coefficients of the Eisenstein series associated to the data $(N, M, t, \chi)$ are
\[ \rho_{\chi,M,N}(n, t) = \frac{C(\chi, M, t)|n|^{it}}{(N\nu(N))^{1/2}\tilde{n}_N(M) L^{N}(1+2it, \chi^2)} \left( \frac{M_1}{M_2} \right)^{1/2} \sum_{\delta \mid M_2} \delta \mu \left( \frac{M_2}{\delta} \right) \chi(\delta) \sum_{c \mid M_1, \delta \mid (c, N/M) = 1} \frac{\chi(c)}{c^{2it}} \overline{\chi}(f) \]
for a constant $C(\chi, M, t)$ with $|C(\chi, M, t)| = 1$. 

3.2. Kloosterman Summation Formula. We devote this subsection to expounding on a variant of the Kuznetsov formula due to Blomer et al. [1] (that only involves Fourier expansions at infinity). This is seen as a Kloosterman summation formula since it expresses sums of Kloosterman sums weighted by a certain test function in terms of sums of automorphic forms weighted by transformed functions. For \( x > 0 \), we introduce the integral kernels
\[
\mathcal{J}^+(x, t) := \frac{\pi i}{\sinh(\pi t)} (J_{2it}(x) - J_{-2it}(x)),
\]
\[
\mathcal{J}^-(x, t) := \frac{\pi i}{\sinh(\pi t)} (I_{2it}(x) - I_{-2it}(x)) = 4 \cosh(\pi t) K_{2it}(x),
\]
\[
\mathcal{J}^{\text{hol}}(x, k) := 2 \pi i^k J_{k-1}(x) = \mathcal{J}^+(x, (k - 1)/2i), \quad k \in 2\mathbb{N}.
\]

If \( H \in C^3((0, \infty)) \) satisfies \( x^j H^{(j)}(x) \ll \min(x, x^{-3/2}) \) for \( 0 \leq j \leq 3 \), we define
\[
\mathcal{L}^\Diamond H = \int_0^\infty \mathcal{J}(x, \cdot) H(x) \frac{dx}{x}
\]
for \( \Diamond \in \{+, -, \text{hol}\} \). For notational convenience, we define
\[
\mathcal{O}_q(m, \pm n; h) = \sum_{q \mid c} S(m, \pm n; c) h \left( \frac{4\pi \sqrt{mn}}{c} \right).
\]

The Kuznetsov formula reads in our case as follows:

**Theorem 3.1** (Blomer et al. [1]). Let \( x^j H^{(j)}(x) \ll \min(x, x^{-3/2}) \) for \( 0 \leq j \leq 3 \). For \( m, n, q \in \mathbb{N} \), we then have
\[
\mathcal{O}_q(m, \pm n; H) = \mathcal{A}_{q, \text{Maass}}(m, \pm n; \mathcal{L}^+ H) + \mathcal{A}_{q, \text{Eis}}(m, \pm n; \mathcal{L}^\pm H) + \delta_{\pm q} \mathcal{A}_{q, \text{hol}}(m, n; \mathcal{L}^{\text{hol}} H),
\]
where
\[
\mathcal{A}_{q, \text{Maass}}(m, n; h) := \sum_{q_0, M \mid q} \sum_{f \in \mathcal{P}(q_0)} \rho_{f, M, q}(m) \overline{\rho_{f, M, q}(n)} h(t_f),
\]
\[
\mathcal{A}_{q, \text{Eis}}(m, n; h) := \sum_{c_2 \mid M \mid q} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \rho_{X, M, q}(m, t) \overline{\rho_{X, M, q}(n, t)} h(t) \frac{dt}{2\pi},
\]
\[
\mathcal{A}_{q, \text{hol}}(m, n; h) := \sum_{q_0, M \mid q} \sum_{f \in \mathcal{P}_{\text{hol}}(q_0)} \rho_{f, M, q}(m) \overline{\rho_{f, M, q}(n)} h(k_f).
\]

The above formulation mimics the Kuznetsov formula that Motohashi [9] used to prove a spectral reciprocity for the smoothed fourth moment of the Riemann zeta function. One must stress that there is no delta term in the Kloosterman summation formula.

4. Proof of Theorem 1.1

In this section, we establish Theorem 1.1 via the shifted convolution problem. To achieve this aim, we invoke Convention 1.4 and the definition (1.2) of the second moment.

4.1. Initial Manipulations. Let \( \mathcal{R}_4^+ \) (resp. \( \mathcal{R}_4^- \)) be the subdomain of \( \mathbb{C}^4 \) where all four parameters have real parts greater than (resp. less than) one, namely
\[
\mathcal{R}_4^+ := \{(s_1, s_2, s_3, s_4) \in \mathbb{C}^4 : \Re(s_i) > 1, \ 1 \leq i \leq 4\},
\]
\[
\mathcal{R}_4^- := \{(s_1, s_2, s_3, s_4) \in \mathbb{C}^4 : \Re(s_i) < 1, \ 1 \leq i \leq 4\}.
\]

For notational convenience, we write \( \mathcal{M}_2 = \mathcal{M}_2(s; g; \psi) = \mathcal{M}_2(s_1, s_2, s_3, s_4; g; \psi) \) for a vector \( s = (s_1, s_2, s_3, s_4) \). Moving the contour appropriately, one sees that the second moment \( \mathcal{M}_2 \) is meromorphic over the whole complex
plane $\mathbb{C}$. Taking $(s_1, s_2, s_3, s_4) \in \mathcal{R}_1^- \cap \mathcal{B}_4$ and shifting the contour back to the original one, we deduce the following meromorphic continuation to the domain $\mathcal{R}_4^+ \cap \mathcal{B}_4$ as follows:

$$M_2(s_1, s_2, s_3, s_4; g; \psi) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} \zeta(s_1 + it)L(s_2 + it, \psi)\zeta(s_3 - it)L(s_4 - it, \psi)g(t)dt$$

$$+ 2\pi \zeta(s_1 + s_3 - 1)\mathcal{L}(1 - s_1 + s_2, \psi)L(s_1 + s_4 - 1, \psi)g((s_1 - 1)i)$$

$$+ 2\pi \zeta(s_1 + s_3 - 1)\mathcal{L}(s_2 + s_3 - 1, \psi)L(1 - s_3 + s_4, \psi)(1 - s_3 - s_4)g((1 - s_3)i).$$

To continue $M_2$ from $\mathcal{R}_4^+$ to the vicinity of the central point $s = (1/2, 1/2, 1/2, 1/2)$, we open up the Dirichlet series in the region of absolute convergence to recast

$$M_2 = \sum_{n_1, n_2, n_3, n_4} \frac{\psi(n_2)\overline{\psi}(n_4)}{n_1^{s_2}n_2^{s_2}n_3^{s_3}n_4^{s_4}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} g(t) \left( \frac{n_1n_2}{n_3n_4} \right)^{-it} dt = \sum_{m, n = 1}^{\infty} \frac{\sigma_{s_1 - s_2}(m, \psi)\sigma_{s_3 - s_4}(n, \psi)}{m^{s_1}n^{s_3}} \hat{g} \left( \frac{1}{2\pi} \log \frac{m}{n} \right),$$

where $\hat{g}$ is the Fourier transform

$$\hat{g}(\xi) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} g(t)e(-\xi t)dt.$$  

This is where Atkinson’s dissection comes into play and we decompose (4.1) into three parts. We write

$$M_2 = D_2 + \mathcal{O}D_2^1 + \mathcal{O}D_2^2$$

(4.2)

with $D_2$ being the diagonal contribution associated to $m = n$, whereas $\mathcal{O}D_2^1$ (resp. $\mathcal{O}D_2^2$) being the off-diagonal contribution which involves terms with $m > n$ (resp. $m < n$). Note that the case where $m < n$ is symmetrical to the case where $m > n$ and it follows that

$$\mathcal{O}D_2^1(s_1, s_2, s_3, s_4; g; \psi) = \overline{\mathcal{O}D_2^1(s_3, s_4, s_1, s_2; g; \psi)}.$$  

We initially calculate the diagonal term, mimicking the method of Motohashi [10].

**Lemma 4.1.** With the notation as above, we have that

$$D_2 = \hat{g}(0) \frac{\zeta(s_1 + s_3)\zeta_q(s_2 + s_4)L(s_2 + s_3, \psi)L(s_1 + s_4, \psi)}{\zeta_q(s_1 + s_2 + s_3 + s_4)}.$$  

(4.3)

where, for any $L$-function, the notation $L^q$ signifies the removal of the Euler factor at primes dividing $q$.

**Proof.** We need to calculate

$$D_2 = \hat{g}(0) \sum_{m = 1}^{\infty} \frac{\sigma_{s_1 - s_2}(m, \psi)\sigma_{s_3 - s_4}(m, \psi)}{m^{s_1 + s_3}}.$$  

where the Dirichlet coefficient is a multiplicative function of $m$. We then write

$$\sigma_{s_1 - s_2}(m, \psi)\sigma_{s_3 - s_4}(m, \psi) = \sum_{[u, v]|m} \psi(u)\overline{\psi}(v)u^{s_1 - s_2}v^{s_3 - s_4},$$

where $[u, v]$ stands for the least common multiple of $u$ and $v$. Hence the Dirichlet series equals

$$\zeta(s_1 + s_3) \sum_{u, v = 1}^{\infty} \psi(u)\overline{\psi}(v)\frac{u^{s_1 - s_2}v^{s_3 - s_4}}{[u, v]^{s_1 + s_3}} = \zeta(s_1 + s_3) \sum_{u, v = 1}^{\infty} \psi(u)\overline{\psi}(v)\frac{(u, v)^{s_1 + s_3}}{\mu^{s_1 + s_2 + s_3 + s_4}},$$  

(4.4)

where $(u, v)$ stands for the greatest common divisor of $u$ and $v$. On the other hand, the Möbius inversion gives

$$\sum_{f | g} \eta_s(f) = g^s \quad \text{with} \quad \eta_s(m) = \sum_{d|m} \mu(d)(m/d)^s$$

and the right-hand side of (4.4) equals

$$\zeta(s_1 + s_3) \sum_{u, v = 1}^{\infty} \frac{\psi(u)\overline{\psi}(v)}{\mu^{s_2 + s_3 + s_4}} \sum_{d | (u, v)} \eta_{s_2 + s_3}(d) = \zeta(s_1 + s_3)L(s_2 + s_3, \psi)L(s_1 + s_4, \psi) \sum_{(d, q) = 1} \frac{\eta_{s_2 + s_3}(d)}{d^{s_2 + s_3 + s_4}}.$$  

The last sum is obviously equal to $\zeta_q(s_2 + s_4)/\zeta_q(s_1 + s_2 + s_3 + s_4)$. This concludes the proof. \[\square\]
4.2. Off-Diagonal Terms. The continuation of the off-diagonal terms requires the full machinery of the spectral theory of automorphic forms associated to congruence subgroups. We compute the second term in (4.2) and the contribution of the third term will be combined at the end. For notational convenience, we call

\[ G(y, s) = (1 + y)^{- \frac{s}{2}} g \left( \frac{1}{2 \pi} \log(1 + y) \right) = \int_{(\sigma)} g(\tau, s) y^{- \sigma} \frac{d\tau}{2\pi i} \]

for suitable \( \sigma > 1 \), where \( g \) is the Mellin transform

\[ g(\tau, s) = \int_0^\infty y^{-1} (1 + y)^{-s} g \left( \frac{1}{2 \pi} \log(1 + y) \right) dy = \Gamma(\tau) \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{\Gamma(s - \tau + it)}{\Gamma(s + it)} g(t) dt, \]

provided \( \Re(s) > \Re(\tau) > 0 \). We have the following lemma:

**Lemma 4.2.** As a function of two complex variables, \( g(\tau, s) / \Gamma(\tau) \) is entire in \( \tau \) and \( s \). Besides, \( g(\tau, s) \) is of rapid decay in \( \tau \) as far as \( s \) and \( \Re(\tau) \) are bounded, say

\[ g(\tau, s) \ll (1 + |\tau|)^{-A}. \]

**Proof.** Shifting the contour \( \mathcal{O}(\tau) = 0 \) in (4.5) downwards appropriately, we prove the first assertion. The second claim is a consequence of an upward shift. See [9, Lemma 4.1] for an analogous statement. \( \square \)

We embark on the computation of \( \mathcal{OD}_2 \) to resolve the shifted convolution problem. One initially observes that

\[ \mathcal{OD}_2 = \sum_{n, m = 1}^\infty \mathcal{OD}_2 \frac{\sigma_{s_2-s_4}(n, \psi) \sigma_{s_1-s_2}(n + m, \psi)}{n^{s_1+s_3} (n + m)^{s_2-s_4}} G(m/n, s_2). \]

The substitution of (2.4) to the right-hand side of (4.6) yields

\[ \mathcal{OD}_2 = \frac{L(1 + s_1 - s_2, \psi)}{\tau(\psi)} \sum_{\ell = 1}^\infty \psi^{s_2-s_1-1} \sum_{h \pmod{\ell q}} \psi(h) \sum_{n, m = 1}^\infty \mathcal{OD}_2 \frac{\sigma_{s_2-s_4}(n, \psi) \sigma_{s_1-s_2}(n + m, \psi)}{n^{s_1+s_3} (n + m)^{s_2-s_4}} G(m/n, s_2). \]

Moreover, we introduce

\[ \mathcal{R}_{4,\alpha} = \{ (s_1, s_2, s_3, s_4) : \Re(s_1) > \Re(s_2) + 1, \Re(s_2) > \alpha, \Re(s_3) > 1, \Re(s_4) > 1 \}, \]

where \( \alpha > 1 \). We deduce in this domain that

\[ \mathcal{OD}_2 = \frac{L(1 + s_1 - s_2, \psi)}{\tau(\psi)} \sum_{\ell = 1}^\infty \psi^{s_2-s_1-1} \sum_{h \pmod{\ell q}} \psi(h) \int_{(\alpha)} D_{\psi}(s_2) \psi(s_3 - s_2) \zeta^{(h/\ell q)}(s_3 - s_4) \frac{d\tau}{2\pi i}. \]

This is now in shape to make use of the Poisson summation formula twice, that is the Voronoï summation formula once. In anticipation of shifting the contour in (4.7) to the right, we define the new domain

\[ \mathcal{E}_{4,\beta} = \{ (s_1, s_2, s_3, s_4) : \Re(s_1 + s_3) < \beta, \Re(s_1 + s_4) < \beta, \Re(s_1 + s_2 + s_3 + s_4) > 3\beta \}, \]

where \( \beta \) is assumed to be sufficiently large. For the moment, we restrict ourselves to \( (s_1, s_2, s_3, s_4) \notin \mathcal{R}_{4,\alpha} \cap \mathcal{E}_{4,\beta} \), which is not empty if \( \beta > 1 + \alpha \). Then the contour \( (\alpha) \) in (4.7) is shifted to \( (\beta) \) and the residue is calculated as

\[ \frac{\hat{g}(s_2 + s_4 - 1, n)}{q} L(1 + s_1 - s_2, \psi) L(1 + s_3 - s_4, \psi) \sum_{(\ell_1, q_1, q_2, r_1, r_2)} \zeta(2 + s_1 - s_2 + s_3 - s_4) \sum_{n = 1}^\infty \frac{\sigma_{s_2-s_4+s_3-s_2}(n) r_1(n)}{n^{s_1+s_3}} \]

\[ = \frac{\hat{g}(s_2 + s_4 - 1, n)}{q} L(1 + s_1 - s_2, \psi) L(1 + s_3 - s_4, \psi) \zeta(2 + s_1 - s_2 + s_3 - s_4) \sum_{n = 1}^\infty \frac{\sigma_{s_2-s_4+s_3-s_2}(n) r_1(n)}{n^{s_1+s_3}}. \]
where we exploited the coprimality condition between \( \ell \) and \( q \) (with the aid of the version (2.5) of the twisted Ramanujan expansion) and the classical Ramanujan expansion. One sees that the \( n \)-sum becomes

\[
\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\sigma_{1+s_1-s_2+s_3-s_4}(n) \mu(n)}{n^{s_1+s_3}} = \zeta(s_1+s_3) \zeta(s_2+s_4-1) \sum_{c | q} \mu(c) c^{2-s_1-s_2-s_3-s_4} \sum_{d | q/c} \mu \left( \frac{q}{cd} \right) d^{1-s_1-s_3} \sigma_{1+s_1-s_2+s_3-s_4}(d).
\]

This procedure renders the polar term\(^2\)

\[
P := A_q(s_1, s_2, s_3, s_4) = \frac{1}{q} \sum_{\ell | q} \mu(c) c^{2-s_1-s_2-s_3-s_4} \sum_{d | q/c} \mu \left( \frac{q}{cd} \right) d^{1-s_1-s_3} \sigma_{1+s_1-s_2+s_3-s_4}(d).
\]

Remark 4.3. Using the multiplicativity, we derive that

\[
A_q(1/2, 1/2, 1/2, 1/2) = \prod_{p | q} \left( 1 - \frac{1}{p} \right).
\]

4.3. Application of the Voronoi Summation Formula. This subsection is devoted to applying the functional equation of the twisted Estermann zeta function and simplifying our expression to a certain sum of Kloosterman sums of the form \( S(m, \pm n; cq) \). By Theorem 2.1, the off-diagonal contribution \( \mathcal{O}D_2 \) equals the polar term \( P \) plus

\[
\frac{\psi(-1)}{\tau(q) q} \left( 1 + s_1 - s_2, \overline{\psi} \right) \sum_{\ell \equiv 1 \mod \ell \in \mathbb{Z}} e^{s_1-2} \sum_{r \equiv \ell \mod \ell} \left( \frac{2\pi}{\ell} \right) \Gamma(1 + \tau - s_2 - s_3) \overline{\psi} \left( \frac{2s_2 + s_3 - s_4 - 2\tau}{4} \right) + \psi(-1) \left( \frac{2s_2 + s_3 - s_4 - 2\tau}{4} \right) \psi\left( \frac{2s_2 + s_3 + s_4 - 2\tau}{4} \right)
\]

\[
\times \Gamma(1 + \tau - s_2 - s_4) \left( e^{s_3 - s_4/4} + \psi(-1) e^{-s_3 - s_4/4} \right) D_{\psi}\left( 1 + \tau - s_2 - s_4, s_3 - s_4; \overline{h} / \ell q \right)
\]

\[
- \left( e^{2s_2 + s_3 - 2\tau/4} + \psi(-1) e^{-2s_2 + s_3 - 2\tau/4} \right) D_{\psi}\left( 1 + \tau - s_2 - s_4, s_3 - s_4; -\overline{h} / \ell q \right) \right) d\tau.
\]

Performing the sum over \( h \), we eventually arrive at the following proposition:

Proposition 4.4. For a primitive \( \chi \) modulo \( q \), the off-diagonal contribution \( \mathcal{O}D_2 \) is meromorphically continued to the domain \( \mathcal{E}_{4, B} \) and there we have

\[
\mathcal{O}D^+_{2} = P + \sum_{\pm} g_{\pm},
\]

where the polar term \( P \) is defined in (4.8) and

\[
g_{\pm} := \frac{\tau(q)}{q} \left( \frac{q}{2\pi} \right)^{1 + s_1 - s_2} \left( 1 + s_1 - s_2, \overline{\psi} \right) \sum_{m,n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\sigma_{s_3-s_4}(n, \overline{\psi}) \mathcal{O}_q(m, \pm n; \Psi^\pm_{2})}{m^{s_1+s_2+s_3-s_4-1/2} \mu(1+s_1-s_2-s_3-s_4)/2}.
\]

Here \( \mathcal{O}_q(m, n; h) \) is introduced in (3.4) and we set

\[
\Psi_{2}^+(\chi) := \left( e^{s_3 - s_4/4} + \psi(-1) e^{-s_3 - s_4/4} \right)
\times \int_{\beta} \left( \frac{x}{2} \right)^{s_1+s_2+s_3+s_4-1-2\tau/4} \Gamma(1 + \tau - s_2 - s_3) \Gamma(1 + \tau - s_2 - s_4) \frac{d\tau}{2\pi i}.
\]

\[
\Psi_{2}^-(\chi) := - \int_{\beta} \left( e^{2s_2 + s_3 - 2\tau/4} + \psi(-1) e^{-2s_2 + s_3 - 2\tau/4} \right)
\times \left( \frac{x}{2} \right)^{s_1+s_2+s_3+s_4-1-2\tau/4} \Gamma(1 + \tau - s_2 - s_3) \Gamma(1 + \tau - s_2 - s_4) \frac{d\tau}{2\pi i}.
\]

Our problem has now been reduced to a sum of Kloosterman sums whose moduli are divisible by \( q \). Hence one can appeal to the spectral theory of automorphic forms over the Hecke congruence subgroup \( \Gamma_0(q) \).

\(^2\)It seems that Motohashi [8] made a mistake in his formula (1.5).
4.4. Application of the Kuznetsov Formula. We are in a position to apply the Kloosterman summation formula (Theorem 3.1) to our sums of Kloosterman sums with the careful analysis of integrals involving Bessel functions. Following Motohashi’s book [9], we first observe how the case of the plus sign contributes. In order to reduce the integral transform \( \mathcal{L}^+ \) in the light of the definition (4.9), we consider

\[
\int_0^\infty J_{2\tau}(x) \int_{(\beta)} \Gamma(1 + \tau - s_2 - s_3) \Gamma(1 + \tau - s_2 - s_4) \left( \frac{x}{2} \right)^{s_1 + s_2 + 3s_3 + 4s_4 - 2\tau} \hat{g}(\tau, s_2) d\tau dx.
\]  

(4.11)

In a suitable domain such as \([9, (4.4.8)]\), this double integral is equal to

\[
\int_{(\beta)} \Gamma\left( \frac{s_1 + s_2 + 3s_3 + 4s_4 - 1}{2} - \tau + it \right) \Gamma\left( \frac{3s_1 - s_2 - s_3 + s_4}{2} + \tau + it \right) \Gamma(1 + \tau - s_2 - s_3) \Gamma(1 + \tau - s_2 - s_4) \hat{g}(\tau, s_2) d\tau,
\]  

(4.12)

where we have utilised the formula

\[
\int_0^\infty J_\nu(x) \left( \frac{x}{2} \right) ^{\mu} dx = \Gamma \left( \frac{1 + \nu - \mu}{2} \right) \Gamma \left( \frac{1 + \nu + \mu}{2} \right)^{-1}
\]

available for \(1/2 < \Re(\mu) < 1 + \Re(\nu)\). Since the integral (4.12) is regular in that domain, the analytic continuation implies that the double integral (4.11) equals (4.12) throughout \( \mathcal{E}_{\alpha, \beta} \). Then we use the formula

\[
\frac{\Gamma(a - \tau + it)}{\Gamma(1 - a + \tau + it)} = \frac{\Gamma(a - \tau - it)}{\Gamma(1 - a - \tau - it)} = \frac{2}{\pi i} \sin(\pi \tau) \cos(\pi(a - \tau)) \Gamma(a - \tau + it) \Gamma(a - \tau - it),
\]  

(4.13)

which follows from the functional equation \( \Gamma(s) \Gamma(1 - s) = \pi / \sin(\pi s) \). Substituting \( a = (s_1 + s_2 + 3s_3 + s_4 - 1)/2 \), we have after some rearrangements that

\[
\mathcal{L}^+ \Psi_5^*(t) = \left\{ e \left( \frac{s_3 - s_4}{4} \right) + \psi(-1) e \left( -\frac{s_3 - s_4}{4} \right) \right\} \int_{(\beta)} \sin \left( \frac{\pi(s_1 + s_2 + 3s_3 + 4s_4 - 2\tau)}{2} \right) \times \Gamma \left( \frac{s_1 + s_2 + 3s_3 + 4s_4 - 1}{2} + it - \tau \right) \Gamma \left( \frac{s_1 + s_2 + 3s_3 + 4s_4 - 1}{2} - it - \tau \right) \times \Gamma(1 + \tau - s_2 - s_3) \Gamma(1 + \tau - s_2 - s_4) \hat{g}(\tau, s_2) \frac{d\tau}{2\pi i}.
\]

In a similar fashion, the holomorphic contribution turns into

\[
\mathcal{L}^{\text{hol}} \Psi_5^*(k) = ik \pi \left\{ e \left( \frac{s_3 - s_4}{4} \right) + \psi(-1) e \left( -\frac{s_3 - s_4}{4} \right) \right\} 
\times \int_{(\beta)} \frac{\Gamma \left( \frac{k - s_1 - s_2 - 3s_3 - s_4 - 1}{2} - \tau \right)}{\Gamma \left( \frac{k + s_1 - s_2 - 3s_3 - s_4 - 1}{2} + \tau \right)} \Gamma(1 + \tau - s_2 - s_3) \Gamma(1 + \tau - s_2 - s_4) \hat{g}(\tau, s_2) \frac{d\tau}{2\pi i}.
\]

To proceed, we concentrate on the context of the minus sign. In this case, the bound shown in Lemma 4.2 plays an important rôle. By definition, the integral transform is written as

\[
\mathcal{L}^- \Psi_5^*(t) = 4 \cosh(\pi t) \int_0^\infty \Psi_5^*(x) K_{2\nu}(x) \frac{dx}{x}.
\]

Inserting the definition (4.10), we obtain an absolutely convergent integral, since we have

\[
K_{2\nu}(x) \ll \begin{cases} |\log x| & \text{as } x \to 0, \\ \exp(-x) & \text{as } x \to \infty. \\ \end{cases}
\]

Substituting Heaviside’s integral formula

\[
\int_0^\infty K_\nu(x) x^{s-1} \frac{dx}{x} = \frac{\Gamma(s + \nu) \Gamma(s - \nu)}{2} \quad \text{for } \Re(s) > |\Re(\nu)|,
\]

we are left with the expression

\[
\mathcal{L}^- \Psi_5^*(t) = -\cosh(\pi t) \int_{(\beta)} \left\{ e \left( \frac{2s_2 + s_3 + 4s_4 - 2\tau}{4} \right) + \psi(-1) e \left( -\frac{2s_2 + s_3 + 4s_4 - 2\tau}{4} \right) \right\}
\]

\[
-\cosh(\pi t) \int_{(\beta)} \left\{ e \left( \frac{2s_2 + s_3 + 4s_4 - 2\tau}{4} \right) + \psi(-1) e \left( -\frac{2s_2 + s_3 + 4s_4 - 2\tau}{4} \right) \right\}
\]
\[
\times \Gamma \left( \frac{s_1 + s_2 + s_3 + s_4 - 1}{2} + it \right) \Gamma \left( \frac{s_1 + s_2 + s_3 + s_4 - 1}{2} - it - \tau \right) \times \Gamma \left( 1 + \tau - s_2 - s_3 \right) \Gamma \left( 1 + \tau - s_2 - s_4 \right) \hat{g}(\tau, s_2) \frac{d\tau}{2\pi i}.
\]

In order to give precise expressions of \( \mathcal{L}^+ \Psi_\xi (t) \), we define the following three functions:

\[
\Phi_\xi^+(\xi) = -i(2\pi)^{s_1-s_2-2} \left\{ e \left( \frac{s_3 - s_4}{4} \right) + \psi(-1) e \left(-\frac{s_3 - s_4}{4} \right) \right\} \times \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \sin \left( \frac{\pi (s_1 + s_2 + s_3 + s_4 - 2\tau)}{2} \right) \Gamma \left( \frac{s_1 + s_2 + s_3 + s_4 - 1}{2} + \xi - \tau \right) \\
\times \Gamma \left( \frac{s_1 + s_2 + s_3 + s_4 - 1}{2} - \xi - \tau \right) \Gamma \left( 1 + \tau - s_2 - s_3 \right) \Gamma \left( 1 + \tau - s_2 - s_4 \right) \hat{g}(\tau, s_2) d\tau,
\]

\[
\Phi_\xi^-(\xi) = i(2\pi)^{s_1-s_2-2} \cos(\pi \xi) \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \left\{ e \left( \frac{2s_2 + s_3 + s_4 - 2\tau}{4} \right) + \psi(-1) e \left(-\frac{2s_2 + s_3 + s_4 - 2\tau}{4} \right) \right\} \times \Gamma \left( \frac{s_1 + s_2 + s_3 + s_4 - 1}{2} + \xi - \tau \right) \\
\times \Gamma \left( \frac{s_1 + s_2 + s_3 + s_4 - 1}{2} - \xi - \tau \right) \Gamma \left( 1 + \tau - s_2 - s_3 \right) \Gamma \left( 1 + \tau - s_2 - s_4 \right) \hat{g}(\tau, s_2) d\tau,
\]

\[
\Xi_\xi(\xi) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \Gamma \left\{ \frac{s_1 + s_2 + s_3 + s_4 - 1}{4} - \xi - \tau \right\} \Gamma \left( 1 + \tau - s_2 - s_3 \right) \Gamma \left( 1 + \tau - s_2 - s_4 \right) \hat{g}(\tau, s_2) \frac{d\tau}{2\pi i}.
\]

Here the contour in the formula for \( \Phi_\xi^+(\xi) \) is curved to ensure that the poles of the first two gamma factors in the integrand lie to the right of the path and those of other factors are on the left of the path; moreover the variables \( \xi, s_1, s_2, s_3, s_4 \) are assumed to be such that the path can be drawn. The path in the definition of \( \Phi_\xi^-(\xi) \) is chosen in just the same way. On the other hand, the contour in \( \Xi \) separates the poles of \( \Gamma \left( \xi + (s_1 + s_2 + s_3 + s_4 - 1)/2 - \tau \right) \) and those of \( \Gamma \left( 1 + \tau - s_2 - s_3 \right) \Gamma \left( 1 + \tau - s_2 - s_4 \right) \hat{g}(\tau, s_2) \) to the left and the right of the path, respectively.

**Proposition 4.5.** With the notation above, we have that

\[
\Phi_\xi^+(\xi) = -\frac{(2\pi)^{s_1-s_2}}{4\sin(\pi \xi)} \left\{ e \left( \frac{s_3 - s_4}{4} \right) + \psi(-1) e \left(-\frac{s_3 - s_4}{4} \right) \right\} \left[ \Xi_\xi(\xi) - \Xi_\xi(-\xi) \right], \quad (4.14)
\]

and

\[
\Phi_\xi^-(\xi) = \frac{(2\pi)^{s_1-s_2}}{4i \sin(\pi \xi)} \left\{ \psi(-1) e \left( \frac{s_1 - s_2 + 2\xi}{4} \right) - e \left( -\frac{s_1 - s_2 + 2\xi}{4} \right) \right\} \Xi_\xi(\xi) \\
- \left\{ \psi(-1) e \left( \frac{s_1 - s_2 - 2\xi}{4} \right) - e \left( -\frac{s_1 - s_2 - 2\xi}{4} \right) \right\} \Xi_\xi(-\xi), \quad (4.15)
\]

provided the left-hand sides are well-defined. We also have for real \( t \) and \( s = (s_1, s_2, s_3, s_4) \in \mathcal{E}_{4, \beta} \) that

\[
\mathcal{L}^+ \Psi_\xi^+(t) = (2\pi)^{1-s_1+s_2} \Phi_\xi^+(it),
\]

\[
\mathcal{L}^- \Psi_\xi^-(t) = (2\pi)^{1-s_1+s_2} \Phi_\xi^-(it).
\]

For \( k \equiv 0 \pmod{2} \) and \( (s_1, s_2, s_3, s_4) \in \mathcal{E}_{4, \beta} \), we have that

\[
\mathcal{L}^{bs11} \Psi_\xi^+(k) = i^k \frac{\pi}{2} \left\{ e \left( \frac{s_3 - s_4}{4} \right) + \psi(-1) e \left(-\frac{s_3 - s_4}{4} \right) \right\} \Xi_\xi \left( \frac{k - 1}{2} \right).
\]

**Proof.** First of all, we aim to establish (4.14). One derives from (4.13) that

\[
\frac{\Gamma \left( \xi + \frac{s_1 + s_2 + s_3 + s_4 - 1}{2} - \tau \right)}{\Gamma \left( \xi + \frac{3s_1 - s_2 - s_3 - s_4}{2} + \tau \right)} - \frac{\Gamma \left( -\xi + \frac{s_1 + s_2 + s_3 + s_4 - 1}{2} - \tau \right)}{\Gamma \left( -\xi + \frac{3s_1 - s_2 - s_3 - s_4}{2} + \tau \right)} = \frac{2}{\pi} \sin(\pi \xi) \sin \left( \frac{\pi (s_1 + s_2 + s_3 + s_4 - 2\tau)}{2} \right) \\
\times \Gamma \left( \frac{s_1 + s_2 + s_3 + s_4 - 1}{2} + \xi - \tau \right) \Gamma \left( \frac{s_1 + s_2 + s_3 + s_4 - 1}{2} - \xi - \tau \right).
\]
In order to verify (4.15), the use of the relation \( \Gamma(s)\Gamma(1-s) = \pi/\sin(\pi s) \) transforms the expression as follows:

\[
\left\{ \psi(-1)e\left(\frac{s_1 - s_2 + 2\xi}{4}\right) - e\left(-\frac{s_1 - s_2 + 2\xi}{4}\right) \right\} \frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{\xi + \frac{s_1+s_2+s_3+s_4-1}{2} - \tau}{4}\right)}{\Gamma\left(\frac{\xi + \frac{3-s_1-s_2-s_3}{2} + \tau}{4}\right)}
\]

\[
- \left\{ \psi(-1)e\left(\frac{s_1 - s_2 - 2\xi}{4}\right) - e\left(-\frac{s_1 - s_2 - 2\xi}{4}\right) \right\} \frac{\Gamma\left(-\frac{\xi + \frac{s_1+s_2+s_3+s_4-1}{2} - \tau}{4}\right)}{\Gamma\left(-\frac{\xi + \frac{3-s_1-s_2-s_3}{2} + \tau}{4}\right)}
\]

\[
= \frac{1}{\pi} \Gamma\left(\frac{s_1 + s_2 + s_3 + s_4 - 1}{2} + \xi - \tau\right) \Gamma\left(\frac{s_1 + s_2 + s_3 + s_4 - 1}{2} - \xi - \tau\right)
\]

\[
\times \left\{ \psi(-1)e\left(\frac{s_1 - s_2 + 2\xi}{4}\right) - e\left(-\frac{s_1 - s_2 + 2\xi}{4}\right) \right\} \sin\left(\pi\left(\frac{s_1 + s_2 + s_3 + s_4 - 1}{2} - \xi - \tau\right)\right)
\]

\[
- \left\{ \psi(-1)e\left(\frac{s_1 - s_2 - 2\xi}{4}\right) - e\left(-\frac{s_1 - s_2 - 2\xi}{4}\right) \right\} \sin\left(\pi\left(\frac{s_1 + s_2 + s_3 + s_4 - 1}{2} + \xi - \tau\right)\right)
\].

Via a simple manipulation of trigonometrics, the right-hand side equals

\[
\frac{\sin(2\pi \xi)}{\pi i} \left( e\left(\frac{2s_2 + s_3 + s_4 - 2\tau}{4}\right) + \psi(-1)e\left(-\frac{2s_2 + s_3 + s_4 - 2\tau}{4}\right) \right)
\]

\[
\times \Gamma\left(\frac{s_1 + s_2 + s_3 + s_4 - 1}{2} + \xi - \tau\right) \Gamma\left(\frac{s_1 + s_2 + s_3 + s_4 - 1}{2} - \xi - \tau\right)
\]

Since \( \sin(2\pi \xi)/\sin(\pi \xi) = 2 \cos(\pi \xi) \), this concludes the proof of Lemma 4.5. \( \square \)

4.5. Cubic Moment of Central \( L \)-Values. This subsection is devoted to the derivation of the cubic moment side. The absolute convergence we would like to check is apparent as long as the double summation over the variables \( m, n \) is concerned, since we have a trivial bound on the Hecke eigenvalues and \( s = (s_1, s_2, s_3, s_4) \in \mathcal{E}_{4,\beta} \). Hence, the main problem is reduced to bounding \( \mathcal{L}^4 \Psi_k^4 \) and then Proposition 4.5 reduces our task to the analysis of the function \( \Xi \). If real \( t \) and \( k \in 2\mathbb{N} \) tend to infinity, we have

\[
\Xi_k(it) \ll |t|^{-A}, \quad \Xi_k\left(\frac{k-1}{2}\right) \ll k^{-A}
\]

uniformly for bounded \( (s_1, s_2, s_3, s_4) \). Hence we deduce the meromorphic continuation of \( \mathcal{J}_k \) to the whole of \( \mathbb{C}^4 \). This proves that the decomposition (4.2) holds throughout \( \mathbb{C}^4 \).

Finally, we are able to execute the resulting summations over \( m \) and \( n \). In order to calculate the contribution from Maass newforms, one initially derives

\[
\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sigma_{s_3-s_4}(n, \overline{\psi}) \mathcal{P}_f(M, q) d(n) = \frac{\epsilon_f(1+i\gamma)}{L(1, Ad^2 f)^{1/2} (Mq \nu(q))^{1/2}} \prod_{p \mid q_0} \left(1 - \frac{1}{p^s}\right)^{1/2}
\]

\[
\times \sum_{d \mid M} \xi_f(M, d) d(1-s_3-s_2-s_1-s_4) \sigma_{s_1-s_4}(n, \overline{\psi}) \lambda_f(n)
\]

where \( f \in \mathcal{B}^* (q_0) \) with \( q_0M \mid q \). We here used the property that \( \sigma_{s_3-s_4}(nd, \overline{\psi}) = \sigma_{s_3-s_4}(n, \overline{\psi}) \) as \( d \) is not relatively prime to \( q \). It then follows from the multiplicativity of Hecke eigenvalues that

\[
\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sigma_{s_3-s_4}(n, \overline{\psi}) \lambda_f(n) = L\left(1+s_3-s_2, \frac{1}{2} \right) L\left(1+s_3-s_2, \frac{1}{2} \right) f \otimes \overline{\psi}
\]

There is structural beauty in the above quotient, because we have \( L(1+s_3-s_2, \overline{\psi})^{-1} \), which completely cancels out with the term \( L(1+s_1-s_2, \overline{\psi}) \) appearing in the expression for \( \mathcal{J}_k \) in Proposition 4.4. One can calculate the Dirichlet series for the \( m \)-sum in a similar manner, getting
\[
\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{\rho_{\chi,M,q}(m)}{m(s_1+s_2+s_3+s_4-1)/2} = \frac{1}{L(1, Ad^2 f)^{1/2}} \prod_{p \mid q_0} \left( 1 - \frac{1}{p^2} \right)^{1/2} \times \sum_{d \mid M} \xi_f(M,d) d^{(3-s_1-s_2-s_3-s_4)/2} L \left( \frac{s_1 + s_2 + s_3 + s_4 - 1}{2}, f \right).
\]

Note that we obtain the central \(L\)-values \(L(1/2, f)^2 L(1/2, f \otimes \overline{\psi})\) if we take \((s_1, s_2, s_3, s_4) \mapsto (1/2, 1/2, 1/2, 1/2)\). A similar procedure applies to the Eisenstein term and one has

\[
\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sigma_{s_1-s_4}(n, \overline{\psi}) \rho_{\chi,M,q}(n, t) \frac{n^{(1+s_1-s_2-s_3-s_4)/2}}{\zeta(1+s_1-s_2-s_3-s_4)} = \frac{C(\chi, M, t)}{(qv(q))^{1/2} \tilde{\eta}_q(M)L(1-2it, \chi)} \left( \frac{M_1}{M_2} \right)^{1/2} \sum_{\delta \mid M_2} \delta \mu \left( \frac{M_2}{\delta} \right) \chi(\delta) \times \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sigma_{s_1-s_4}(n, \overline{\psi}) \frac{\sigma_{s_3-s_1}(n, \overline{\psi})}{\mu(1+s_1-s_2-s_3-s_4)/2+it} \sum_{cM_1 \delta f = n} \chi(c) \chi(\delta) \psi(c)^{2it}.
\]

The second line of the above formula is equal to

\[
(M_1)^{-(1+s_1-s_2-s_3-s_4)/2-it} \sum_{(c,q/M)=1} \sum_{f=1}^{\infty} \frac{\chi(c) \chi(f) \sigma_{s_1-s_4}(cM_1 \delta f, \psi)}{c^{(1+s_1-s_2-s_3-s_4)/2-it} f^{(1+s_1-s_2-s_3-s_4)/2+it}} (4.16)
\]

and it follows from Lemma 2.4 that

\[
\sigma_{s_1-s_4}(cM_1 \delta f, \psi) = \sum_{e_1, e_2, e_3, e_4} \mu(e_1) \mu(e_2) \overline{\psi}(e_1 e_2) (e_1 e_2)^{s_1-s_4} \sigma_{s_3-s_1}(c/e_1, \overline{\psi}) \sigma_{s_3-s_4}((e_2/e_1) \overline{\psi}),
\]

where we exploited the fact that \(\sigma_{s_1-s_4}(M_1, \overline{\psi}) = 1\). In anticipation of future simplifications, we make the changes of variables \(c \mapsto ce_1\) and \(f \mapsto f e_1 e_2\). Then the double sum in (4.16) becomes

\[
\sum_{(c,q/M)=1} \sum_{f=1}^{\infty} \frac{\chi(c) \chi(f) \sigma_{s_1-s_4}(c, \overline{\psi}) \sigma_{s_3-s_1}(f, \overline{\psi})}{c^{(1+s_1-s_2-s_3-s_4)/2-it} f^{(1+s_1-s_2-s_3-s_4)/2+it}} \sigma_{s_3-s_1}(e_2/e_1, \overline{\psi}) \sigma_{s_3-s_4}((e_2/e_1) \overline{\psi}).
\]

Consequently, the sums over \(c, e_1, e_2\) are equal to

\[
L \left( \frac{1+s_1-s_2-s_3-s_4}{2} + it, \chi \right) L \left( \frac{1+s_1-s_2-s_3+s_4}{2} + it, \overline{\psi} \right) L^{1/M} \left( \frac{1+s_1-s_2-s_3+s_4}{2} + it, \chi \right) L \left( \frac{1+s_1-s_2-s_3+s_4}{2} - it, \overline{\psi} \right)
\]

The \(m\)-sum is calculated as

\[
\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{\rho_{\chi,M,q}(m)}{m(s_1+s_2+s_3+s_4-1)/2} = \frac{C(\chi, M, t)}{(qv(q))^{1/2} \tilde{\eta}_q(M)L(1+2it, \chi^2)} \left( \frac{M_1}{M_2} \right)^{1/2} \sum_{\delta \mid M_2} \delta \mu \left( \frac{M_2}{\delta} \right) \chi(\delta) \times (M_1)^{-(1-s_1-s_2-s_3-s_4)/2+it} \sum_{(c,q/M)=1} \sum_{f=1}^{\infty} \frac{\chi(c) \chi(f)}{c^{(1+s_1-s_2-s_3-s_4)/2-it} f^{(1+s_1-s_2-s_3-s_4)/2+it}}.
\]

The second line of the above formula turns into

\[
(M_1)^{-(1-s_1-s_2-s_3-s_4)/2+it} L^{1/M} \left( \frac{1+s_1-s_2-s_3+s_4}{2} + it, \chi \right) L \left( \frac{1+s_1-s_2-s_3+s_4}{2} - it, \chi \right).
\]

The contribution from holomorphic newforms can be treated in the same manner.

Hence, the eventual form of the cubic moment becomes

\[
\delta^a = \delta^\text{Maa} + \delta^\text{Eis} + \delta^\text{hol}
\]

with

\[
\delta^\text{Maa} := \sum_{q_0, M \mid q} \sum_{f \in Z^+ (q_0)} L \left( \frac{1+s_1-s_2-s_3-s_4}{2}, f \right) L \left( \frac{1+s_1-s_2-s_3}{2}, f \right) L \left( \frac{1+s_1-s_2-s_3}{2}, f \otimes \overline{\psi} \right) \Theta^\text{Maa} (s_1, s_2, s_3, s_4, f).
\]
where the level of Maaß newforms is $q$ with the parameter $\theta = \exp(1)$. In this section, we establish Corollary 5.1. Estimating the spectral sum by absolute values, one sees that an oscillatory component in the summand vanishes, obtaining the bound

$$\int_T^{T+H} |\zeta(1/2+it)L(1/2+it,\psi)|^2 \, dt \ll_q H^{1+\epsilon} q^{-1/2+\epsilon} \sum_{\tau \ll T/H} |L(1/2, f)^2 L(1/2, f \otimes \psi)|. \quad (5.1)$$

where the level of Maaß newforms is $q$ in the spectral sum on the right-hand side. Here we have also evaluated the finite sums in the local factors using (3.2). The truncation of the spectral sum is justified since the Taylor series expansion of $\exp(-(HT_f/T)^2/4)$ in [9, (5.4.4)] implies that the rest of the spectral sum is smaller than the main term $H^{1+\epsilon} q^{-1/2+\epsilon}$. As $\psi$ is quadratic, the central $L$-values in (5.1) are nonnegative and one can use Hölder’s inequality with the exponent $(3/2, 3)$. It therefore follows from the method of Conrey–Iwaniec [3] or Petrow–Young [11] that

$$H^{1+\epsilon} q^{-1/2+\epsilon} \left( \frac{qT^2}{H^2} \right)^{1/3+\epsilon} \ll H^{1+\epsilon} q^{-1/2+\epsilon} \left( \frac{qT^2}{H^2} \right)^{1/3+\epsilon}.$$

Optimising $H = q^{1/3} T^{2/3}$ with the restriction $q \ll T^{1-\epsilon}$, we conclude the proof of Corollary 1.3.
The author would like to thank Eren Mehmet Kıral and Peter Humphries for illuminative discussions.
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