

# Mixing of 3-term progressions in Quasirandom Groups

Amey Bhangale \*

Praladh Harsha †

Sourya Roy \*

## Abstract

In this note, we show the mixing of three-term progressions  $(x, xg, xg^2)$  in every finite quasirandom groups, fully answering a question of Gowers. More precisely, we show that for any  $D$ -quasirandom group  $G$  and any three sets  $A_1, A_2, A_3 \subset G$ , we have

$$\left| \Pr_{x,y \sim G} [x \in A_1, xy \in A_2, xy^2 \in A_3] - \prod_{i=1}^3 \Pr_{x \sim G} [x \in A_i] \right| \leq \left( \frac{2}{\sqrt{D}} \right)^{1/4}.$$

Prior to this, Tao answered this question when the underlying quasirandom group is  $\mathrm{SL}_d(\mathbb{F}_q)$ . Subsequently, Peluse extended the result to all nonabelian finite *simple* groups. In this work, we show that a slight modification of Peluse's argument is sufficient to fully resolve Gower's quasirandom conjecture for 3-term progressions. Surprisingly, unlike the proofs of Tao and Peluse, our proof is elementary and only uses basic facts from nonabelian Fourier analysis.

## 1 Introduction

In this note, we revisit a conjecture by Gowers [Gow08] about mixing of three term arithmetic progressions in quasirandom finite groups. Gowers initiated the study of quasirandom groups while refuting a conjecture of Babai and Sós [BS85] regarding the size of the largest product-free set in a given finite group. A finite group is said to be  $D$ -quasirandom for a positive integer  $D > 1$  if all its non-trivial irreducible representations are at least  $D$ -dimensional. The quasirandomness property of groups can be used to show that certain "objects" related to the group "mix" well. For instance, the quasirandomness of the group  $\mathrm{PSL}_2(\mathbb{F}_q)$  can be used to give an alternate (and weaker) proof [DSV03] that the Ramanujan graphs of Lubotzky, Philips and Sarnak [LPS88] are expanders. Bourgain and Gambard [BG08] used quasirandomness to prove that certain other Cayley graphs were expanders.

Gowers proved that for any  $D$ -quasirandom group  $G$  and any three subsets  $A, B, C \subset G$  satisfying  $|A| \cdot |B| \cdot |C| \geq |G|^3/D$ , there exist  $a \in A, b \in B, c \in C$  such that  $ab = c$ . More generally, he proved that the number of such triples  $(a, b, c) \in A \times B \times C$  such that  $ab = c$  is at least  $(1-\eta)|A| \cdot |B| \cdot |C|/|G|$  provided  $|A| \cdot |B| \cdot |C| \geq |G|^3/\eta^2 D$ . In other words the set of triples of the form  $(a, b, ab)$  mix well in a quasirandom group. Gowers' proof of this result was the inspiration and the first step towards the recent optimal inapproximability result for satisfiable  $k$ LIN over nonabelian groups [BK21]. After proving

---

\*University of California, Riverside, CA, USA. Email : ameyb@ucr.edu, sourya.roy@email.ucr.edu

†Tata Institute of Fundamental Research, Mumbai, India. Email : praladh@tifr.res.in

the well-mixing of triples of the form  $(a, b, ab)$  in quasirandom groups, Gowers conjectured a similar statement for triples of the form  $(x, xg, xg^2)$ . More precisely, he conjectured the following statement: Let  $G$  be a  $D$ -quasirandom group and  $f_1, f_2, f_3 : G \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  such that  $\|f_i\|_\infty \leq 1$ , then

$$\left| \mathbb{E}_{x, y \sim G} [f_1(x)f_2(xy)f_3(xy^2)] - \prod_{i=1,2,3} \mathbb{E}_{x \sim G} [f_i(x)] \right| = o_D(1), \quad (1)$$

where the expression  $o_D(1)$  goes to zero as  $D$  increases. The conjecture can be naturally extended to  $k$ -term arithmetic progressions and product of  $k$  functions for  $k > 3$ . However, in this note we will focus on the three term case.

For the specific case of 3-term progressions, Tao [Tao13] proved the conjecture for the group  $\mathrm{SL}_d(\mathbb{F}_q)$  for bounded  $d$  using algebraic geometric machinery. In particular, he proved that the right-hand side expression in Eq. (1) can be bounded by  $O(1/q^{1/8})$  when  $d = 2$  and  $O_d(1/q^{1/4})$  for larger  $d$ . Tao's approach relied on algebraic geometry and was not amenable to other quasirandom groups. Later, Peluse [Pel18] proved the conjecture for all nonabelian finite simple groups. She used basic facts from nonabelian Fourier analysis to prove that the right-hand side expression in Eq. (1) can be bounded by  $\sum_{1 \neq \rho \in \hat{G}} 1/d_\rho$  where  $\hat{G}$  represents the set of irreducible unitary representation of  $G$  and  $d_\rho$  the dimension of the irreducible representation  $\rho$ . This latter quantity is the *Witten zeta function*  $\zeta_G$  of the group  $G$  minus one and can be bounded for *simple* finite quasirandom groups using a result due to Liebeck and Shalev [LS04].

In this paper, we show that a slight variation of Peluse's argument can be used to prove the conjecture for *all quasirandom groups* with *better* error parameters. More surprisingly, the proof stays completely elementary and short. Specifically, we prove the following statement:

**Theorem 1.** *Let  $G$  be a  $D$ -quasirandom finite group, i.e, its all non-trivial irreducible representations are at least  $D$ -dimensional. Let  $f_1, f_2, f_3 : G \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  such that  $\|f_i\|_\infty \leq 1$  then*

$$\left| \mathbb{E}_{x, y \sim G} [f_1(x)f_2(xy)f_3(xy^2)] - \prod_{i=1,2,3} \mathbb{E}_{x \sim G} [f_i(x)] \right| \leq \left( \frac{2}{\sqrt{D}} \right)^{\frac{1}{4}}.$$

## 2 Preliminaries

We begin by recalling some basic representation theory and nonabelian Fourier analysis. See the monograph by Diaconis [Dia98, Chapter 2] for a more detailed treatment (with proofs).

We will be working with a finite group  $G$  and complex-valued functions  $f : G \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  on  $G$ . All expectations will be with respect to the uniform distribution on  $G$ . The *convolution* between two function  $f, h : G \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ , denoted by  $f * h$ , is defined as follows:

$$(f * h)(x) := \mathbb{E}_y [f(xy^{-1})h(y)].$$

For any  $p \geq 1$ , the  $p$ -norm of any function  $f : G \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  is defined as

$$\|f\|_p^p := \mathbb{E}_x [|f(x)|^p].$$

For any element  $g \in G$ , the *conjugacy class* of  $g$ , denoted by  $C(g)$ , refers to the set  $\{x^{-1}gx \mid x \in G\}$ . Observe that the conjugacy classes form a partition of the group  $G$ . A function  $f: G \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  is said to be a *class function* if it is constant on conjugacy classes.

For any  $b \in G$  we use  $\Delta_b f(x) := f(x) \cdot f(xb)$ . For any set  $S \subset G$ ,  $\mu_S: G \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  denotes the scaled density function  $\frac{|G|}{|S|} \mathbb{1}_S$ . The scaling ensures that  $\mathbb{E}_x[\mu_S(x)] = 1$ .

Given a complex vector space  $V$ , we denote the vector space of linear operators on  $V$  by  $\text{End}(V)$ . This space is endowed with the following inner product and norm (usually referred to as the *Hilbert-Schmidt* norm):

$$\text{For } A, B \in \text{End}(V), \quad \langle A, B \rangle_{\text{HS}} := \text{Trace}(A^*B) \quad \text{and} \quad \|A\|_{\text{HS}}^2 := \langle A, A \rangle_{\text{HS}} = \text{Trace}(A^*A).$$

This norm is known to be submultiplicative (i.e.,  $\|AB\|_{\text{HS}} \leq \|A\|_{\text{HS}} \cdot \|B\|_{\text{HS}}$ ).

**Representations and Characters:** A *representation*  $\rho: G \rightarrow \text{End}(V)$  is a homomorphism from  $G$  to the set of linear operators on  $V$  for some finite-dimensional vector space  $V$  over  $\mathbb{C}$ , i.e., for all  $x, y \in G$ , we have  $\rho(xy) = \rho(x)\rho(y)$ . The dimension of the representation  $\rho$ , denoted by  $d_\rho$ , is the dimension of the underlying  $\mathbb{C}$ -vector space  $V$ . The *character* of a representation  $\rho$ , denoted by  $\chi_\rho: G \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ , is defined as  $\chi_\rho(x) := \text{Trace}(\rho(x))$ .

The representation  $1: G \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  satisfying  $1(x) = 1$  for all  $x \in G$  is the *trivial* representation. A representation  $\rho: G \rightarrow \text{End}(V)$  is said to be *reducible* if there exists a non-trivial subspace  $W \subset V$  such that for all  $x \in G$ , we have  $\rho(x)W \subset W$ . A representation is said to be *irreducible* otherwise. The set of all irreducible representations of  $G$  (upto equivalences) is denoted by  $\hat{G}$ .

For every representation  $\rho: G \rightarrow \text{End}(V)$ , there exists an inner product  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_V$  over  $V$  such that every  $\rho(x)$  is unitary (i.e.,  $\langle \rho(x)u, \rho(x)v \rangle_V = \langle u, v \rangle_V$  for all  $u, v \in V$  and  $x \in G$ ). Hence, we might wlog. assume that all the representations we are considering are unitary.

The following are some well-known facts about representations and characters.

**Proposition 2.** 1. *The group  $G$  is abelian iff  $d_\rho = 1$  for every irreducible representation  $\rho$  in  $\hat{G}$ .*

2. *For any finite group  $G$ ,  $\sum_{\rho \in \hat{G}} d_\rho^2 = |G|$ .*

3. *[orthogonality of characters] For any  $\rho, \rho' \in \hat{G}$  we have:  $\mathbb{E}_x [\chi_\rho(x) \overline{\chi_{\rho'}(x)}] = \mathbb{1}[\rho = \rho']$ .*

**Definition 3** (quasirandom groups). *A nonabelian group  $G$  is said to be  $D$ -quasirandom for some positive integer  $D > 1$  if all its non-trivial irreducible representations  $\rho$  satisfy  $d_\rho \geq D$ .*

**Nonabelian Fourier analysis:** Given a function  $f: G \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  and an irreducible representation  $\rho \in \hat{G}$ , the Fourier transform is defined as follows:

$$\hat{f}(\rho) := \mathbb{E}_x [f(x)\rho(x)].$$

The following proposition summarizes the basic properties of Fourier transform that we will need.

**Proposition 4.** *For any  $f, h: G \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ , we have the following*

1. [Fourier transform of trivial representation]

$$\hat{f}(1) = \mathbb{E}_x[f(x)].$$

2. [Convolution]

$$\widehat{f * h}(\rho) = \hat{f}(\rho) \cdot \hat{h}(\rho).$$

3. [Fourier inversion formula]

$$f(x) = \sum_{\rho \in \hat{G}} d_\rho \cdot \langle \hat{f}(\rho), \rho(x) \rangle_{\text{HS}}.$$

4. [Parseval's identity]

$$\|f\|_2^2 = \sum_{\rho \in \hat{G}} d_\rho \cdot \|\hat{f}(\rho)\|_{\text{HS}}^2.$$

5. [Fourier transform of class functions] For any class function  $f: G \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ , the Fourier transform satisfies

$$\hat{f}(\rho) = c \cdot I_{d_\rho}$$

for some constant  $c = c(f, \rho) \in \mathbb{C}$ . In other words, the Fourier transform is a scaling of the Identity operator  $I_{d_\rho}$ .

The following claim (also used by Peluse [Pel18]) observes that the scaled density function  $\mu_{gC(g)}$  has a very simple Fourier transform since it is a translate of the class function  $\mu_{C(g)}$

**Claim 5.** For any  $g \in G$  and  $\rho \in \hat{G}$  we have:

$$\hat{\mu}_{gC(g)}(\rho) = \frac{\chi_\rho(g)}{d_\rho} \cdot \rho(g)$$

where  $C(g)$  refers to the conjugacy class of  $g$ . Moreover,  $\|\hat{\mu}_{gC(g)}\|_{\text{HS}}^2 = \frac{|\chi_\rho(g)|^2}{d_\rho}$

*Proof.* We begin by observing that

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{\mu}_{gC(g)}(\rho) &= \mathbb{E}_x [\mu_{gC(g)}(x) \cdot \rho(x)] \\ &= \mathbb{E}_x [\mu_{gC(g)}(gx) \cdot \rho(gx)] \\ &= \mathbb{E}_x [\mu_{gC(g)}(gx) \cdot \rho(g) \cdot \rho(x)] \\ &= \rho(g) \cdot \mathbb{E}_x [\mu_{C(g)}(x) \cdot \rho(x)] \\ &= \rho(g) \cdot \hat{\mu}_{C(g)}(\rho). \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, as  $\mu_{C(g)}$  is a class function, we have  $\hat{\mu}_{C(g)}(\rho) = c \cdot I_{d_\rho}$  for some constant  $c \in \mathbb{C}$ . The constant  $c$  can be determined by taking trace on either side of  $cI_{d_\rho} = \hat{\mu}_{C(g)} = \mathbb{E}_x[\mu_{C(g)}(x) \cdot \rho(x)]$  and noting that  $\text{Trace}(\rho(x)) = \chi_\rho(g)$  as follows:

$$c \cdot d_\rho = \mathbb{E}_x [\mu_{C(g)}(x) \cdot \chi_\rho(x)] = \mathbb{E}_x [\mu_{C(g)}(x)] \cdot \chi_\rho(g) = \chi_\rho(g).$$

Hence,  $c = \frac{\chi_\rho(g)}{d_\rho}$  and  $\hat{\mu}_{gC}(g) = \frac{\chi_\rho(g)}{d_\rho} \cdot \rho(g)$ . Lastly we have,

$$\begin{aligned}
\|\hat{\mu}_{gC}(g)\|_{\text{HS}}^2 &= \left\| \frac{\chi_\rho(g)}{d_\rho} \cdot \rho(g) \right\|_{\text{HS}}^2 \\
&= \frac{|\chi_\rho(g)|^2}{d_\rho^2} \cdot \text{Trace}(\rho(g)^* \cdot \rho(g)) \\
&= \frac{|\chi_\rho(g)|^2}{d_\rho^2} \cdot d_\rho && \text{(By unitariness of } \rho(g)\text{)} \\
&= \frac{|\chi_\rho(g)|^2}{d_\rho}. && \square
\end{aligned}$$

The key property of  $D$ -quasirandom groups that we will be using is the following inequality due to Babai, Nikolov and Pyber, the proof of which we provide for the sake of completeness.

**Lemma 6** ([BNP08]). *If  $G$  is a  $D$ -quasirandom group and  $f_1, f_2: G \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  such that either  $f_1$  or  $f_2$  is mean zero then*

$$\|f_1 * f_2\|_2 \leq \frac{1}{\sqrt{D}} \cdot \|f_1\|_2 \cdot \|f_2\|_2.$$

*Proof.*

$$\begin{aligned}
\|f_1 * f_2\|_2^2 &= \sum_{\rho \in \hat{G}} d_\rho \|\widehat{f_1 * f_2}(\rho)\|_{\text{HS}}^2 \\
&= \sum_{\rho \in \hat{G}} d_\rho \|\hat{f}_1(\rho) \cdot \hat{f}_2(\rho)\|_{\text{HS}}^2 \\
&\leq \sum_{\rho \in \hat{G}} d_\rho \|\hat{f}_1(\rho)\|_{\text{HS}}^2 \cdot \|\hat{f}_2(\rho)\|_{\text{HS}}^2 && \text{(By submultiplicativity of norm)} \\
&= \sum_{1 \neq \rho \in \hat{G}} d_\rho \|\hat{f}_1(\rho)\|_{\text{HS}}^2 \cdot \|\hat{f}_2(\rho)\|_{\text{HS}}^2 && \text{(By mean zeroness)} \\
&\leq \frac{1}{D} \cdot \sum_{1 \neq \rho \in \hat{G}} d_\rho^2 \|\hat{f}_1(\rho)\|_{\text{HS}}^2 \cdot \|\hat{f}_2(\rho)\|_{\text{HS}}^2 && \text{(By } D\text{-quasirandomness)} \\
&\leq \frac{1}{D} \left( \sum_{1 \neq \rho \in \hat{G}} d_\rho \|\hat{f}_1(\rho)\|_{\text{HS}}^2 \right) \cdot \left( \sum_{1 \neq \rho \in \hat{G}} d_\rho \|\hat{f}_2(\rho)\|_{\text{HS}}^2 \right) \\
&\leq \frac{1}{D} \cdot \|f_1\|_2^2 \cdot \|f_2\|_2^2. && \square
\end{aligned}$$

The following is a simple corollary of [Lemma 6](#).

**Corollary 7.** *If  $G$  is  $D$ -quasirandom;  $f: G \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  has zero mean and  $\|f\|_\infty \leq 1$  then*

$$\mathbb{E}_b \left[ \left| \mathbb{E}_x \Delta_b f(x) \right| \right] \leq \frac{1}{\sqrt{D}}.$$

*Proof.* Let  $f'(x) := f(x^{-1})$ . We have,

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{E}_b \left[ \left| \mathbb{E}_x \Delta_b f(x) \right| \right] &= \mathbb{E}_b \left[ \left| \mathbb{E}_x f(x) f(xb) \right| \right] \\
&= \mathbb{E}_b \left[ \left| \mathbb{E}_x f'(x^{-1}) f(xb) \right| \right] \\
&= \mathbb{E}_b \left[ \left| f' * f(b) \right| \right] \\
&\leq \mathbb{E}_b \left[ \left| f' * f(b) \right|^2 \right]^{1/2} && \text{(By Cauchy-Schwarz inequality)} \\
&= \|f' * f\|_2 \\
&\leq \frac{1}{\sqrt{D}} \cdot \|f'\|_2 \cdot \|f\|_2 && \text{(By Lemma 6)} \\
&\leq \frac{1}{\sqrt{D}}. && \text{(Since } \|f\|_2 \leq \|f\|_\infty \leq 1\text{).}
\end{aligned}$$

□

### 3 Proof of Theorem 1

The following proposition is where we deviate from Peluse's proof [Pel18]. We give an elementary proof for *every* quasirandom group while Peluse proved the same result for *simple* finite groups using the result of Liebeck and Shalev [LS04] to bound the Witten zeta function  $\zeta_G$  for *simple* finite groups.

**Proposition 8.** *Let  $G$  be a  $D$ -quasirandom group. Let  $f: G \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  such that  $\|f\|_\infty \leq 1$ ,  $\mathbb{E}[f] = 0$  and  $f_b$  is the mean zero component of the function  $\Delta_b f$  (i.e.,  $f_b(x) = \Delta_b f(x) - \mathbb{E}_x[\Delta_b f(x)]$ ). Then*

$$\mathbb{E}_{g,b} \left[ \left| \mathbb{E}_x \left[ \Delta_b f(x) \cdot (f_{g^{-1}bg} * \mu_{g^{-1}C(g^{-1})})(x) \right] \right| \right] \leq \frac{1}{\sqrt{D}}.$$

*Proof.* Let us denote the expression on the L.H.S. as  $\Gamma$ . We use simple manipulations and previously stated facts to simplify the expression.

$$\begin{aligned}
\Gamma^2 &\leq \mathbb{E}_{g,b} \left[ \left\| \Delta_b f \right\|_2 \cdot \left\| (f_{g^{-1}bg} * \mu_{g^{-1}C(g^{-1})}) \right\|_2 \right]^2 && \text{(By Cauchy-Schwarz inequality)} \\
&\leq \mathbb{E}_{g,b} \left[ \left\| f_{g^{-1}bg} * \mu_{g^{-1}C(g^{-1})} \right\|_2 \right]^2 && \text{(Since } \|\Delta_b f\|_2 \leq 1\text{)} \\
&\leq \mathbb{E}_{g,b} \left[ \left\| f_{g^{-1}bg} * \mu_{g^{-1}C(g^{-1})} \right\|_2^2 \right] && \text{(By Cauchy Schwarz inequality)} \\
&= \mathbb{E}_{g,b} \left[ \sum_{1 \neq \rho \in \hat{G}} d_\rho \cdot \left\| \hat{f}_{g^{-1}bg}(\rho) \cdot \hat{\mu}_{g^{-1}C(g^{-1})}(\rho) \right\|_{\text{HS}}^2 \right] && \text{(By Parseval's identity \& } \hat{f}_{g^{-1}bg}(1) = 0\text{)} \\
&\leq \mathbb{E}_{g,b} \left[ \sum_{1 \neq \rho \in \hat{G}} d_\rho \cdot \left\| \hat{f}_{gbg^{-1}}(\rho) \right\|_{\text{HS}}^2 \cdot \left\| \hat{\mu}_{g^{-1}C(g^{-1})}(\rho) \right\|_{\text{HS}}^2 \right] && \text{(By submultiplicativity of norm)}
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \mathbb{E}_{g,b} \left[ \sum_{1 \neq \rho \in \hat{G}} \|\hat{f}_{g^{-1}bg}(\rho)\|_{\text{HS}}^2 \cdot |\chi_\rho(g)|^2 \right] && \text{(By Claim 5)} \\
&= \sum_{1 \neq \rho \in \hat{G}} \mathbb{E}_g \left[ |\chi_\rho(g)|^2 \cdot \mathbb{E}_b \left[ \|\hat{f}_{gbg^{-1}}(\rho)\|_{\text{HS}}^2 \right] \right].
\end{aligned}$$

Now using the fact that  $gbg^{-1}$  is uniformly distributed in  $G$  for a fixed  $g$  and a uniformly random  $b$  in  $G$ , we can simplify the above expression as follows.

$$\begin{aligned}
\Gamma^2 &\leq \sum_{1 \neq \rho \in \hat{G}} \mathbb{E}_g \left[ |\chi_\rho(g)|^2 \cdot \mathbb{E}_b \left[ \|\hat{f}_b(\rho)\|_{\text{HS}}^2 \right] \right] \\
&= \sum_{1 \neq \rho \in \hat{G}} \mathbb{E}_b \left[ \|\hat{f}_b(\rho)\|_{\text{HS}}^2 \right] \cdot \mathbb{E}_g \left[ |\chi_\rho(g)|^2 \right] \\
&= \sum_{1 \neq \rho \in \hat{G}} \mathbb{E}_b \left[ \|\hat{f}_b(\rho)\|_{\text{HS}}^2 \right] && \text{(By orthogonality of } \chi_\rho) \\
&= \mathbb{E}_b \left[ \sum_{1 \neq \rho \in \hat{G}} \|\hat{f}_b(\rho)\|_{\text{HS}}^2 \right].
\end{aligned}$$

Finally, we use the fact that all the terms in the summation are non-negative and the group  $G$  is a  $D$ -quasirandom group.

$$\begin{aligned}
\Gamma^2 &\leq \frac{1}{D} \cdot \mathbb{E}_b \left[ \sum_{1 \neq \rho \in \hat{G}} d_\rho \cdot \|\hat{f}_b(\rho)\|_{\text{HS}}^2 \right] \\
&= \frac{1}{D} \cdot \mathbb{E}_b \left[ \|f_b\|_2^2 \right] && \text{(By Parseval's identity)} \\
&\leq \frac{1}{D}, && \text{(Because } \|f_b\|_2^2 \leq 1).
\end{aligned}$$

The proof of this lemma is similar to the proof of the BNP inequality (Lemma 6). The key difference being that we have a complete characterization of the Fourier transform of  $\mu_{gC(g)}$  from Claim 5 which we use to give a sharper bound.  $\square$

We are now ready to prove the main Theorem 1. This part of the proof is similar to the corresponding expression that appears in the paper of Peluse [Pel18], which is in turn inspired by Tao's adaptation of Gowers' repeated Cauchy-Schwarz trick to the nonabelian setting. We, however, present the entire proof for the sake of completeness.

*Proof of Theorem 1.* Let us denote the L.H.S. of the expression by  $\Theta_{f_1, f_2, f_3}$ . Without loss of generality we assume  $\mathbb{E}[f_3] = 0$ . Now we have,

$$\begin{aligned}
\Theta_{f_1, f_2, f_3}^4 &= \left| \mathbb{E}_{x,y} [f_1(x)f_2(xy)f_3(xy^2)] \right|^4 \\
&= \left| \mathbb{E}_{x,z} [f_1(xz^{-1})f_2(x)f_3(xz)] \right|^4 && \text{(Change of variables: } x \leftarrow xy, z \leftarrow y)
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\leq \left| \mathbb{E}_{x,z_1,z_2} [f_1(xz_1^{-1})f_1(xz_2^{-1})f_3(xz_1)f_3(xz_2)] \right|^2 \\
&\quad \text{(Cauchy-Schwarz over } x; \|f_2\|_\infty = 1 \text{ and expansion )} \\
&= \left| \mathbb{E}_{y,z,a} [f_1(y)f_1(ya)f_3(yz^2)f_3(yza^{-1}z)] \right|^2 \\
&\quad \text{(Change of variables: } y \leftarrow xz_1^{-1}, z \leftarrow z_1, a \leftarrow z_1z_2^{-1}\text{)} \\
&= \left| \mathbb{E}_{y,z,a} [\Delta_a f_1(y) \cdot \Delta_{z^{-1}a^{-1}z} f_3(yz^2)] \right|^2 \\
&\leq \left| \mathbb{E}_{y,a,z_1,z_2} [\Delta_{z_1^{-1}a^{-1}z_1} f_3(yz_1^2) \cdot \Delta_{z_2^{-1}a^{-1}z_2} f_3(yz_2^2)] \right|, \\
&\quad \text{(Cauchy-Schwarz over } y, a; \|f_1\|_\infty \leq 1 \text{)}.
\end{aligned}$$

Now, using the following change of variables,  $z \leftarrow z_1$ ,  $x \leftarrow yz_1^2$ ,  $b \leftarrow z_1^{-1}a^{-1}z_1$ ,  $g \leftarrow z_1^{-1}z_2$ , we get

$$\begin{aligned}
\Theta_{f_1,f_2,f_3}^4 &\leq \left| \mathbb{E}_{x,b,z,g} [\Delta_b f_3(x) \cdot \Delta_{g^{-1}bg} f_3(xz^{-1}gzg)] \right| \\
&= \left| \mathbb{E}_{x,b,g} [\Delta_b f_3(x) \cdot \mathbb{E}_z [\Delta_{g^{-1}bg} f_3(xz^{-1}gzg)]] \right| \\
&= \left| \mathbb{E}_{x,b,g} [\Delta_b f_3(x) \cdot \mathbb{E}_a [\Delta_{g^{-1}bg} f_3(xa^{-1}) \cdot \frac{|G|}{|C(g^{-1})|} 1_{g^{-1}C(g^{-1})}(a)]] \right| \\
&= \left| \mathbb{E}_{x,b,g} [\Delta_b f_3(x) \cdot \mathbb{E}_a [\Delta_{g^{-1}bg} f_3(xa^{-1}) \cdot \mu_{g^{-1}C(g^{-1})}(a)]] \right| \\
&= \left| \mathbb{E}_{x,b,g} [\Delta_b f_3(x) \cdot \Delta_{g^{-1}bg} f_3 * \mu_{g^{-1}C(g^{-1})}(x)] \right|.
\end{aligned}$$

We now separate the function  $\Delta_{g^{-1}bg} f_3$  from its the mean zero part as follows: Let  $\Delta_{g^{-1}bg} f_3 = f'_{g^{-1}bg} + f_{g^{-1}bg}$  where  $f'_{g^{-1}bg} = \mathbb{E}_x [\Delta_{g^{-1}bg} f_3(x)]$  and  $f_{g^{-1}bg}(x) = \Delta_{g^{-1}bg} f_3(x) - f'_{g^{-1}bg}$ .

$$\begin{aligned}
\Theta_{f_1,f_2,f_3}^4 &\leq \left| \mathbb{E}_{x,b,g} [\Delta_b f_3(x) \cdot (f_{g^{-1}bg} + f'_{g^{-1}bg}) * \mu_{g^{-1}C(g^{-1})}(x)] \right| \\
&\leq \mathbb{E}_{b,g} \left[ \left| \mathbb{E}_x [\Delta_b f_3(x) \cdot f_{g^{-1}bg} * \mu_{g^{-1}C(g^{-1})}(x)] \right| \right] \\
&\quad + \mathbb{E}_{b,g} \left[ \left| \mathbb{E}_x [\Delta_b f_3(x) \cdot f'_{g^{-1}bg} * \mu_{g^{-1}C(g^{-1})}(x)] \right| \right] \\
&\leq \frac{1}{\sqrt{D}} + \mathbb{E}_{b,g} \left[ \left| \mathbb{E}_x [\Delta_b f_3(x)] \right| \cdot \|f'_{g^{-1}bg} * \mu_{g^{-1}C(g^{-1})}\|_\infty \right] \\
&\quad \text{(Using Proposition 8 to bound the first expectation)} \\
&= \frac{1}{\sqrt{D}} + \mathbb{E}_{b,g} \left[ \left| \mathbb{E}_x [\Delta_b f_3(x)] \right| \cdot |f'_{g^{-1}bg}| \right] \\
&\leq \frac{1}{\sqrt{D}} + \mathbb{E}_b \left[ \left| \mathbb{E}_x [\Delta_b f_3(x)] \right| \right] \quad \text{(Using } |f'_{g^{-1}bg}| \leq 1\text{)} \\
&\leq \frac{2}{\sqrt{D}}, \quad \text{(By Corollary 7 and } \|f_3\|_\infty \leq 1\text{)}.
\end{aligned}$$

□



## References

- [BG08] JEAN BOURGAIN and ALEX GAMBURD. *Uniform expansion bounds for Cayley graphs of  $SL_2(\mathbb{F}_p)$* . Ann. of Math., 167:625–642, 2008. [1](#)
- [BK21] AMEY BHANGALE and SUBHASH KHOT. *Optimal inapproximability of satisfiable  $k$ -LIN over non-abelian groups*. In *Proc. 53rd ACM Symp. on Theory of Computing (STOC)*, pages 1615–1628. 2021. [arXiv:2009.02815](#), [eccc:2020/TR20-130](#). [1](#)
- [BNP08] LÁSZLÓ BABAI, NIKOLAY NIKOLOV, and LÁSZLÓ PYBER. *Product growth and mixing in finite groups*. In *Proc. 19th Annual ACM-SIAM Symp. on Discrete Algorithms (SODA)*, pages 248–257. 2008. [5](#)
- [BS85] LÁSZLÓ BABAI and VERA T. SÓS. *Sidon sets in groups and induced subgraphs of Cayley graphs*. Eur. J. Comb., 6(2):101–114, 1985. [1](#)
- [Dia98] PERSI DIACONIS. *Group representations in probability and statistics*, volume 11 of *IMS Lecture Notes Monogr. Ser.* Institute of Mathematical Statistics, 1998. [2](#)
- [DSV03] GIULIANA DAVIDOFF, PETER SARNAK, and ALAIN VALETTE. *Elementary Number Theory, Group Theory and Ramanujan Graphs*. London Mathematical Society Student Texts. Cambridge University Press, 2003. [1](#)
- [Gow08] WILLIAM TIMOTHY GOWERS. *Quasirandom groups*. Comb. Probab. Comput., 17(3):363–387, 2008. [arXiv:0710.3877](#). [1](#)
- [LPS88] ALEXANDER LUBOTZKY, RALPH PHILLIPS, and PETER SARNAK. *Ramanujan graphs*. Combinatorica, 8(3):261–277, 1988. [1](#)
- [LS04] MARTIN W LIEBECK and ANER SHALEV. *Fuchsian groups, coverings of Riemann surfaces, subgroup growth, random quotients and random walks*. Journal of Algebra, 276(2):552–601, 2004. [2](#), [6](#)
- [Pel18] SARAH PELUSE. *Mixing for three-term progressions in finite simple groups*. Math. Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc., 165(2):279–286, 2018. [arXiv:1612.07385](#). [2](#), [4](#), [6](#), [7](#)
- [Tao13] TERRENCE TAO. *Mixing for progressions in nonabelian groups*. Forum of Mathematics, Sigma, 1:e2, 2013. [arXiv:1212.2586](#). [2](#)