

SHARP POINTWISE WEYL LAWS FOR SCHRÖDINGER OPERATORS WITH SINGULAR POTENTIALS ON FLAT TORI

XIAOQI HUANG AND CHENG ZHANG

ABSTRACT. The Weyl law of the Laplacian on the flat torus \mathbb{T}^n is concerning the number of eigenvalues $\leq \lambda^2$, which is equivalent to counting the lattice points inside the ball of radius λ in \mathbb{R}^n . The leading term in the Weyl law is $c_n \lambda^n$, while the sharp error term $O(\lambda^{n-2})$ is only known in dimension $n \geq 5$. Determining the sharp error term in lower dimensions is a famous open problem (e.g. Gauss circle problem). In this paper, we show that under a type of singular perturbations one can obtain the pointwise Weyl law with a sharp error term in any dimensions. Moreover, this result verifies the sharpness of the general theorems for the Schrödinger operators $H_V = -\Delta_g + V$ in the previous work [16] of the authors, and extends the 3-dimensional results of Frank-Sabin [7] to any dimensions.

Let $n \geq 2$ and (M, g) be a n -dimensional compact Riemannian manifold. Let Δ_g be the Laplace-Beltrami operator on M . It is known that the spectrum of $-\Delta_g$ is discrete and nonnegative (see e.g. [29]):

$$0 = \lambda_0^2 < \lambda_1^2 \leq \lambda_2^2 \leq \lambda_3^2 \leq \dots$$

The associated L^2 -normalized eigenfunctions are denoted by $\{e_j\}_{j=1}^\infty$, respectively so that

$$-\Delta_g e_j = \lambda_j^2 e_j, \quad \text{and} \quad \int_M |e_j(x)|^2 dx = 1.$$

Here $\{e_j\}_{j=1}^\infty$ is an orthonormal basis for $L^2(M)$. If $N(\lambda)$ denotes the Weyl counting function for $-\Delta_g$ then one has the integrated Weyl law

$$(0.1) \quad N(\lambda) := \#\{j : \lambda_j \leq \lambda\} = (2\pi)^{-n} \omega_n \text{Vol}_g(M) \lambda^n + O(\lambda^{n-1}),$$

where $\omega_n = \pi^{\frac{n}{2}} / \Gamma(\frac{n}{2} + 1)$ denotes the volume of the unit ball in \mathbb{R}^n and $\text{Vol}_g(M)$ denotes the Riemannian volume of M . This result is due to Avakumović [1] and Levitan [23], and it was generalized to general self-adjoint elliptic pseudo-differential operators by Hörmander [11]. The error term $O(\lambda^{n-1})$ in (0.1) cannot be improved on the standard round sphere \mathbb{S}^n . Moreover, the error term can be improved under certain geometric conditions, see e.g. Duistermaat-Guillemin [6], Bérard [2], Iosevich-Wyman [19], Canzani-Galkowski [5]. Note that $N(\lambda) = \int_M \sum_{\lambda_j \leq \lambda} |e_j(x)|^2 dx$, thus $N(\lambda)$ can be studied by understanding the kernel of the spectral projection operator $\sum_{\lambda_j \leq \lambda} e_j(x) \overline{e_j(y)}$. Indeed, the pointwise Weyl law holds

$$(0.2) \quad \sum_{\lambda_j \leq \lambda} |e_j(x)|^2 = (2\pi)^{-n} \omega_n \lambda^n + O(\lambda^{n-1}), \quad \text{uniformly in } x.$$

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 58J50, 35P15.

Key words and phrases. Eigenfunctions, Weyl law, spectrum.

See e.g. Avakumović [1], Levitan [23], Hörmander [11]. The error term $O(\lambda^{n-1})$ cannot be improved on the standard round sphere \mathbb{S}^n , but it can still be improved under certain geometric conditions. See also [3], [14], [15], [12] for recent related works.

When M is the flat torus $\mathbb{T}^n = \mathbb{R}^n/2\pi\mathbb{Z}^n$, there is a standard orthonormal basis on $L^2(\mathbb{T}^n)$: $\{e^{ij \cdot x}\}$, $j \in \mathbb{Z}^n$, and $e^{ij \cdot x}$ is an eigenfunction of $-\Delta_g$ with eigenvalue $|j|^2$. Since $|e^{ij \cdot x}| \equiv 1$, the integrated Weyl law of $-\Delta_g$ on the flat torus is equivalent to the pointwise version. There has been a lot of research related to the Weyl law on the torus, which is equivalent to counting the lattice points inside the ball of radius λ :

$$N(\lambda) = \#\{j \in \mathbb{Z}^n : |j| \leq \lambda\} = (2\pi)^{-n} \omega_n \text{Vol}_g(M) \lambda^n + r_n(\lambda),$$

where the error term

$$(0.3) \quad r_n(\lambda) \lesssim \begin{cases} \lambda^{n-2}, & \text{if } n \geq 5 \\ \lambda^2 (\log \lambda)^{2/3}, & \text{if } n = 4 \\ \lambda^{\frac{21}{16} + \varepsilon}, & \text{if } n = 3 \\ \lambda^{\frac{131}{208}} (\log \lambda)^{\frac{18627}{8320}}, & \text{if } n = 2. \end{cases}$$

Currently, the exact order of the error term is only known when $n \geq 5$. See e.g. Hlawka [10], Landau [22], Walfisz [32], and Krätzel [21]. The above best known results in lower dimensions are due to Walfisz [32] ($n=4$), Heath-Brown [9] ($n=3$), and Huxley [18] ($n=2$). In dimension 2, it is well known as the Gauss circle problem. For more details and a discussion of recent progress on the problem, see e.g. the survey paper [20] and Freeden [8].

Consider the Schrödinger operators $H_V = -\Delta_g + V$ on M . We shall assume throughout that the potentials V are real-valued and $V \in \mathcal{K}(M)$, which is the Kato class. Recall that $\mathcal{K}(M)$ is all V satisfying

$$\limsup_{\delta \rightarrow 0} \sup_{x \in M} \int_{d_g(y,x) < \delta} |V(y)| W_n(d_g(x,y)) dy = 0,$$

where

$$W_n(r) = \begin{cases} r^{2-n}, & n \geq 3 \\ \log(2 + r^{-1}), & n = 2 \end{cases}$$

and d_g , dy denote geodesic distance, the volume element on (M, g) . Note that by Hölder inequality, we have $L^p \subset \mathcal{K}(M) \subset L^1(M)$ for all $p > \frac{n}{2}$.

The assumption that V is in the Kato class is the “minimal condition” to ensure that H_V is essentially self-adjoint and bounded from below, and eigenfunctions of H_V are bounded, see e.g. Blair-Sire-Sogge [4], Simon [25]. Since M is compact, the spectrum of H_V is discrete. Also, the associated eigenfunctions are continuous (see [25]). Assuming, as we may, that H_V is a positive operator, we shall write the spectrum of $\sqrt{H_V}$ as

$$(0.4) \quad \{\tau_k\}_{k=1}^\infty,$$

where the eigenvalues, $\tau_1 \leq \tau_2 \leq \dots$, are arranged in increasing order and we account for multiplicity. For each τ_k there is an eigenfunction $e_{\tau_k} \in \text{Dom}(H_V)$ (the domain of H_V) so that

$$(0.5) \quad H_V e_{\tau_k} = \tau_k^2 e_{\tau_k}, \quad \text{and} \quad \int_M |e_{\tau_k}(x)|^2 dx = 1.$$

After possibly adding a constant to V we may, and shall, assume throughout that H_V is bounded below by one, i.e.,

$$(0.6) \quad \|f\|_2^2 \leq \langle H_V f, f \rangle, \quad f \in \text{Dom}(H_V).$$

Moreover, we shall let

$$(0.7) \quad H^0 = -\Delta_g$$

be the unperturbed operator. The corresponding eigenvalues and associated L^2 -normalized eigenfunctions are denoted by $\{\lambda_j\}_{j=1}^\infty$ and $\{e_j^0\}_{j=1}^\infty$, respectively so that

$$(0.8) \quad H^0 e_j^0 = \lambda_j^2 e_j^0, \quad \text{and} \quad \int_M |e_j^0(x)|^2 dx = 1.$$

Both $\{e_{\tau_k}\}_{k=1}^\infty$ and $\{e_j^0\}_{j=1}^\infty$ are orthonormal bases for $L^2(M)$. Let $P^0 = \sqrt{H^0}$ and $P_V = \sqrt{H_V}$. We denote the indicator function of the interval $[-\lambda, \lambda]$ by $\mathbf{1}_\lambda(\tau)$, and for simplicity we write the kernel of the spectral projection on the diagonal $x = y$ as

$$\mathbf{1}_\lambda(P^0)(x, x) = \sum_{\lambda_j \leq \lambda} |e_j^0(x)|^2, \quad \mathbf{1}_\lambda(P_V)(x, x) = \sum_{\tau_k \leq \lambda} |e_{\tau_k}(x)|^2.$$

By (0.1) and (0.2) one has the integrated Weyl law

$$(0.9) \quad N^0(\lambda) := \#\{j : \lambda_j \leq \lambda\} = (2\pi)^{-n} \omega_n \text{Vol}_g(M) \lambda^n + O(\lambda^{n-1}),$$

and the pointwise Weyl law

$$(0.10) \quad \mathbf{1}_\lambda(P^0)(x, x) = (2\pi)^{-n} \omega_n \lambda^n + O(\lambda^{n-1}), \quad \text{uniformly in } x.$$

Recently, Huang-Sogge [13] proved that if $V \in \mathcal{K}(M)$, then the Weyl law of the same form still holds for the Schrödinger operators H_V , i.e.

$$(0.11) \quad N_V(\lambda) := \#\{k : \tau_k \leq \lambda\} = (2\pi)^{-n} \omega_n \text{Vol}_g(M) \lambda^n + O(\lambda^{n-1}).$$

See also [26], [7]. In the recent work [16], the authors proved the following pointwise Weyl law for H_V . See also [7] for similar results on 3-dimensional manifolds.

Theorem 0.1. *Let $n \geq 2$ and*

$$(0.12) \quad R(\lambda, x) = \mathbf{1}_\lambda(P_V)(x, x) - (2\pi)^{-n} \omega_n \lambda^n.$$

If $V \in \mathcal{K}(M)$, then

$$\sup_{x \in M} |R(\lambda, x)| = o(\lambda^n).$$

Moreover, if $V \in L^n(M)$, then

$$\sup_{x \in M} |R(\lambda, x)| = O(\lambda^{n-1}).$$

The results were proved by Hörmander [11] when H_V is a general self-adjoint elliptic pseudo-differential operator, where V is smooth. See also [28, Chapter 4] for the proof.

In this paper, we mainly prove the following theorem.

Theorem 0.2. *Let $n \geq 2$ and $M = \mathbb{T}^n = \mathbb{R}^n/2\pi\mathbb{Z}^n$. Fix $x_0 \in M$. Let $R(\lambda, x)$ be defined in (0.12). Let $0 < \eta < 1$ and $V(x) = \rho(d_g(x, x_0))d_g(x, x_0)^{-2+\eta}$, where $\rho \in C_0^\infty((-\pi, \pi))$ and $\rho(0) \neq 0$. Then*

$$(0.13) \quad \sup_{x \in M} |R(\lambda, x)| \approx \lambda^{n-\eta}.$$

This result verifies the sharpness of Theorem 0.1. Indeed, it is straightforward to check that $V(x) = \rho(d_g(x, x_0))d_g(x, x_0)^{-2+\eta}$ is in the Kato class $\mathcal{K}(M)$, and it belongs to $L^p(M)$ for all $p < \frac{n}{2-\eta}$. Since η can be arbitrarily close to 0, the error term $o(\lambda^n)$ in Theorem 0.1 cannot be replaced by $O(\lambda^{n-\delta})$, $\forall \delta > 0$. From the discussion above, we can see that the Kato class is exactly the border for the existence of the pointwise Weyl law. Moreover, since $\frac{n}{2-\eta}$ can be arbitrarily close to n as η goes to 1, the condition $L^n(M)$ in Theorem 0.1 cannot be replaced by $L^p(M)$, $\forall p < n$. Thus, $p = n$ is the threshold for the validity of the sharp pointwise Weyl law on the L^p scale.

It seems reasonable to expect that (0.13) can hold for general manifolds, though our proof essentially exploits some nice properties of the flat torus. Frank-Sabin [7] proved (0.13) on general 3-dimension manifolds. They extended the method of Avakumović [1], which relies on Tauberian theorems and parametrix estimates. It seems subtle to adapt the approach to handle general n -dimensional manifolds, since it relies on the special formula of the resolvent kernel $(-\Delta_{\mathbb{R}^3} + \lambda)^{-1}$, see [7, Remark 4.5].

Without loss of generality, we may assume $x_0 = 0 \in \mathbb{T}^n$. The standard orthonormal basis $\{e^{ij \cdot x}\}_{j \in \mathbb{Z}^n}$ satisfies two key properties: **(1)** uniformly bounded in j and x , **(2)** identically equal to a constant at x_0 . These two nice properties are essential in our proof. Indeed, the first property is used to prove the upper bound in (0.13), and the second property is needed for the lower bound. On general manifolds (e.g. the sphere S^n), it is unknown whether similar orthonormal basis exists. So it is one of the technical difficulties to extend our method to general manifolds.

Moreover, it is helpful to understand $R(\lambda, x)$ by comparing the integrated Weyl law with the pointwise version. By applying [13, Theorem 1.4] to the potentials on \mathbb{T}^n in Theorem 0.2, one can obtain

$$N_V(\lambda) = (2\pi)^{-n} \omega_n \text{Vol}_g(M) \lambda^n + r_n(\lambda)$$

with $r_n(\lambda)$ defined in (0.3) (possibly with an extra λ^ε). Note that the error term $r_n(\lambda)$ in the integrated Weyl law is much smaller than $\lambda^{n-\eta}$ in (0.13). Thus, $|R(\lambda, x)|$ may only achieve the bound $\lambda^{n-\eta}$ near x_0 , and it should be relatively small away from x_0 .

We shall also mention a recent result on the kernel of spectral projection operator

$$\mathbf{1}_{(\lambda, \lambda+\varepsilon]}(P_V)(x, y) = \sum_{\tau_k \in (\lambda, \lambda+\varepsilon]} e_{\tau_k}(x) e_{\tau_k}(y).$$

As was shown in [3], if $V \in \mathcal{K}(\mathbb{T}^n) \cap L^{n/2}(\mathbb{T}^n)$, which contains the potentials in Theorem 0.2, by obtaining quasimode estimates for the Schrödinger operators H_V , we have for any fixed $\delta > 0$,

$$|\mathbf{1}_{(\lambda, \lambda+\varepsilon]}(P_V)(x, x)| \lesssim \varepsilon \lambda^{n-1}, \quad \forall \varepsilon \gtrsim \lambda^{-1/3+\delta},$$

which is equivalent to $|R(\lambda + \varepsilon, x) - R(\lambda, x)| \lesssim \varepsilon \lambda^{n-1}$.

The paper is organized as follows. In Section 1, we prove Theorem 0.2 by assuming Proposition 1.4 and Proposition 1.5. In Section 2, we prove Proposition 1.4. In Section 3, we prove Proposition 1.5. Throughout the paper, $A \lesssim B$ (or $A \gtrsim B$) means $A \leq CB$ (or $A \geq CB$) for some implicit constant $C > 0$ that may change from line to line. $A \approx B$ means $A \lesssim B$ and $A \gtrsim B$. All implicit constants C are independent of the parameters $\lambda, \lambda_j, \tau_\ell$.

Acknowledgement. The authors would like to thank Christopher Sogge and Allan Greenleaf for their helpful comments. C.Z. is partially supported by AMS-Simons Travel Award.

1. PROOF OF THEOREM 0.2

We need the following lemmas on general compact Riemannian manifolds.

Lemma 1.1 (Spectral projection bounds, [27], [4]). *Let $n \geq 2$ and*

$$\sigma(p) = \max\left\{\frac{n-1}{2}\left(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{p}\right), \frac{n-1}{2} - \frac{n}{p}\right\}.$$

Then for $\lambda \geq 1$, we have

$$\|\mathbf{1}_{[\lambda, \lambda+1)}(P^0)\|_{L^2 \rightarrow L^p} \lesssim \lambda^{\sigma(p)}, \quad 2 \leq p \leq \infty.$$

If $V \in \mathcal{K}(M) \cap L^{n/2}(M)$, then for $\lambda \geq 1$, we have

$$\|\mathbf{1}_{[\lambda, \lambda+1)}(P_V)\|_{L^2 \rightarrow L^p} \lesssim \lambda^{\sigma(p)}, \quad 2 \leq p \leq \infty.$$

Lemma 1.2 (Heat kernel bounds, [24], [31]). *If $V \in \mathcal{K}(M)$, then for $0 < t \leq 1$, there is a uniform constant $c = c_{M,V} > 0$ so that*

$$e^{-tH_V}(x, y) \lesssim \begin{cases} t^{-n/2} e^{-cd_g(x,y)^2/t}, & \text{if } d_g(x, y) \leq \text{Inj}(M)/2 \\ 1, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Corollary 1.3 (Rough eigenfunction bounds). *If $V \in \mathcal{K}(M)$, then for $\lambda \geq 1$*

$$(1.1) \quad \sup_{x \in M} \sum_{\tau_k \leq \lambda} |e_{\tau_k}(x)|^2 \leq C_V \lambda^n.$$

By using (0.10), we have

$$\sup_{x \in M} |\mathbf{1}_\lambda(P_V)(x, x) - (2\pi)^{-n} \omega_n \lambda^n| \lesssim \lambda^{n-1}.$$

So to prove Theorem 0.2, it suffices to show

$$\sup_{x \in M} |\mathbf{1}_\lambda(P_V)(x, x) - \mathbf{1}_\lambda(P^0)(x, x)| \lesssim \lambda^{n-\eta}$$

and

$$|\mathbf{1}_\lambda(P_V)(x_0, x_0) - \mathbf{1}_\lambda(P^0)(x_0, x_0)| \gtrsim \lambda^{n-\eta}.$$

Let $0 < \varepsilon < \frac{1}{10} \min(\eta, 1 - \eta)$ and

$$h(P_V) = \frac{1}{\pi} \int \rho(t\lambda^{1-\eta-\varepsilon}) \frac{\sin \lambda t}{t} \cos t P_V dt$$

$$h(P^0) = \frac{1}{\pi} \int \rho(t\lambda^{1-\eta-\varepsilon}) \frac{\sin \lambda t}{t} \cos t P^0 dt.$$

Note that for $\tau > 0$

$$(1.2) \quad |h(\tau) - \mathbf{1}_\lambda(\tau)| \lesssim (1 + \lambda^{-1+\eta+\varepsilon} |\tau - \lambda|)^{-N}, \quad \forall N,$$

and

$$(1.3) \quad |\partial_\tau^j h(\tau)| \lesssim \lambda^{j(-1+\eta+\varepsilon)} (1 + \lambda^{-1+\eta+\varepsilon} |\tau - \lambda|)^{-N}, \quad \forall N, \quad j = 1, 2, \dots$$

By using the spectral projection bounds in Lemma 1.1, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sup_{x \in M} |h(P_V)(x, x) - \mathbf{1}_\lambda(P_V)(x, x)| &\lesssim \lambda^{n-\eta-\varepsilon} \\ \sup_{x \in M} |h(P^0)(x, x) - \mathbf{1}_\lambda(P^0)(x, x)| &\lesssim \lambda^{n-\eta-\varepsilon}. \end{aligned}$$

So it suffices to show

$$\begin{aligned} \sup_{x \in M} |h(P_V)(x, x) - h(P^0)(x, x)| &\lesssim \lambda^{n-\eta} \\ |h(P_V)(x_0, x_0) - h(P^0)(x_0, x_0)| &\gtrsim \lambda^{n-\eta}. \end{aligned}$$

Let

$$\cos tP^0(x, y) = \sum_j \cos t\lambda_j e_j^0(x) e_j^0(y).$$

It is the kernel of the solution operator for $f \rightarrow (\cos tP^0)f = u^0(t, x)$, where $u^0(t, x)$ solves the wave equation

$$(\partial_t^2 + H^0)u^0(x, t) = 0, (x, t) \in M \times \mathbb{R}, u^0|_{t=0} = f, \partial_t u^0|_{t=0} = 0.$$

Similarly,

$$(\cos(tP_V))(x, y) = \sum_{\tau_\ell} \cos t\tau_\ell e_{\tau_\ell}(x) e_{\tau_\ell}(y)$$

is the kernel of $f \rightarrow \cos(tP_V)f = u_V(x, t)$, where u_V solves the wave equation

$$(\partial_t^2 + H_V)u_V(x, t) = 0, (x, t) \in M \times \mathbb{R}, u_V|_{t=0} = f, \partial_t u_V|_{t=0} = 0.$$

By Duhamel's principle,

$$\begin{aligned} \cos tP_V(x, y) - \cos tP^0(x, y) &= - \sum_j \sum_{\tau_\ell} \int_M \int_0^t \frac{\sin(t-s)\lambda_j}{\lambda_j} \cos s\tau_\ell e_j^0(x) \overline{e_j^0(z)} e_{\tau_\ell}(z) \overline{e_{\tau_\ell}(y)} V(z) dz ds \\ &= \sum_j \sum_{\tau_\ell} \int_M \frac{\cos t\lambda_j - \cos t\tau_\ell}{\lambda_j^2 - \tau_\ell^2} e_j^0(x) \overline{e_j^0(z)} e_{\tau_\ell}(z) \overline{e_{\tau_\ell}(y)} V(z) dz. \end{aligned}$$

So it remains to show

$$\sup_{x \in M} \left| \sum_j \sum_{\tau_\ell} \int_M \frac{h(\lambda_j) - h(\tau_\ell)}{\lambda_j^2 - \tau_\ell^2} e_j^0(x) \overline{e_j^0(z)} e_{\tau_\ell}(z) \overline{e_{\tau_\ell}(x)} V(z) dz \right| \lesssim \lambda^{n-\eta}$$

and

$$\left| \sum_j \sum_{\tau_\ell} \int_M \frac{h(\lambda_j) - h(\tau_\ell)}{\lambda_j^2 - \tau_\ell^2} e_j^0(x_0) \overline{e_j^0(z)} e_{\tau_\ell}(z) \overline{e_{\tau_\ell}(x_0)} V(z) dz \right| \gtrsim \lambda^{n-\eta}.$$

Here we define $\frac{h(\lambda_j) - h(\tau_\ell)}{\lambda_j - \tau_\ell} = h'(\lambda_j)$ when $\lambda_j = \tau_\ell$.

Let

$$\begin{aligned} T\phi(t, x) &= - \int_0^t \frac{\sin(t-s)P^0}{P^0} (V(\cdot)\phi(s, \cdot))(x) ds \\ &= - \sum_j \int_0^t \frac{\sin(t-s)\lambda_j}{\lambda_j} e_j^0(x) \overline{e_j^0(y)} \phi(s, y) V(y) dy ds. \end{aligned}$$

Let

$$\phi_0(s, y) = \cos s P^0 f(y) = \sum_j \cos s \lambda_j \int_M \overline{e_j^0(z)} f(z) dz \cdot e_k^0(y)$$

$$\phi_V(s, y) = \cos s P_V f(y) = \sum_{\tau_\ell} \cos s \tau_\ell \int_M \overline{e_{\tau_\ell}(z)} f(z) dz \cdot e_{\tau_\ell}(y).$$

Since Duhamel's principle gives

$$\phi_V(t, x) = \cos t P_V f(x) = \cos t P^0 f(x) + T \phi_V(t, x) = \phi_0(t, x) + T \phi_V(t, x),$$

applying this again we get

$$\cos t P_V f(x) = \cos t P^0 f(x) + T \phi_0(t, x) + T^2 \phi_V(t, x).$$

Direct computation gives

$$\begin{aligned} & (-1)^2 \int_0^t \frac{\sin(t-s_1)a_1}{a_1} \int_0^{s_1} \frac{\sin(s_1-s_2)a_2}{a_2} \cos(s_2 a_3) ds_2 ds_1 \\ &= \frac{\cos t a_2 - \cos t a_1}{(a_2^2 - a_1^2)(a_2^2 - a_3^2)} + \frac{\cos t a_3 - \cos t a_1}{(a_3^2 - a_1^2)(a_3^2 - a_2^2)}. \end{aligned}$$

Then we can write

$$\left| \sum_j \sum_{\tau_\ell} \int_M \frac{h(\lambda_j) - h(\tau_\ell)}{\lambda_j^2 - \tau_\ell^2} e_j^0(x) \overline{e_j^0(z)} e_{\tau_\ell}(z) \overline{e_{\tau_\ell}(x)} V(z) dz \right| = R_1 + R_2$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} R_1(\lambda, x) &= \sum_{j,k} \frac{h(\lambda_j) - h(\lambda_k)}{\lambda_j^2 - \lambda_k^2} e_j^0(x) V_{jk} \overline{e_k^0(x)}, \\ R_2(\lambda, x) &= \sum_{j,k} \sum_{\tau_\ell} \left(\frac{h(\lambda_k) - h(\lambda_j)}{(\lambda_k^2 - \lambda_j^2)(\lambda_k^2 - \tau_\ell^2)} + \frac{h(\tau_\ell) - h(\lambda_j)}{(\tau_\ell^2 - \lambda_j^2)(\tau_\ell^2 - \lambda_k^2)} \right) e_j^0(x) V_{jk} \tilde{V}_{k\ell} \overline{e_{\tau_\ell}(x)}, \\ V_{jk} &= \int_M \overline{e_j^0(z)} e_k^0(z) V(z) dz, \\ \tilde{V}_{k\ell} &= \int_M \overline{e_k^0(z)} e_{\tau_\ell}(z) V(z) dz. \end{aligned}$$

We claim that on the flat torus $M = \mathbb{T}^n = \mathbb{R}^n / 2\pi\mathbb{Z}^n$, the following propositions hold.

Proposition 1.4.

$$(1.4) \quad \sup_{x \in M} |R_1(\lambda, x)| \lesssim \lambda^{n-\eta},$$

$$(1.5) \quad |R_1(\lambda, x_0)| \gtrsim \lambda^{n-\eta}.$$

Proposition 1.5.

$$(1.6) \quad \sup_{x \in M} |R_2(\lambda, x)| \lesssim \lambda^{n-\frac{3}{2}\eta+\sigma}, \quad \forall \sigma > 0.$$

These two propositions immediately imply Theorem 0.2.

2. PROOF OF PROPOSITION 1.4

Let $M = \mathbb{T}^n = \mathbb{R}^n / 2\pi\mathbb{Z}^n$ be the flat torus. Without loss of generality, we may assume $x_0 = 0 \in \mathbb{T}^n$. Then $e_j^0(x) = e^{ij \cdot x}$ is an eigenfunction of $H^0 = -\Delta_g$, and the associated eigenvalue is $\lambda_j^2 = |j|^2$, $j \in \mathbb{Z}^n$.

Let $\rho \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$ be a radial function satisfying $\rho(0) \neq 0$ and $\text{supp } \rho \subset (-\pi, \pi)^n$. Without loss of generality, we assume that $\rho(0) > 0$. Let $V(x) = |x|^{-2+\eta}\rho(x)$. By the support property of ρ , $V(x)$ can be defined on \mathbb{T}^n by the periodic extension, which is still denoted by $V(x)$, for simplicity. So we have

$$V_{jk} = \int_{\mathbb{T}^n} \overline{e_j^0(z)} e_k^0(z) V(z) dz = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} V(z) e^{i(k-j) \cdot z} dz = \hat{V}(j-k).$$

Choose a radial function $\chi \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$ such that $\hat{\chi} \geq 0$, $\hat{\chi}(0) > 0$, $\chi(0) = \rho(0)$ and $\text{supp } \chi \subset (-\pi, \pi)^n$. Let $U(x) = |x|^{-2+\eta}\chi(x)$. For simplicity, we still denote its periodic extension on \mathbb{T}^n by $U(x)$. Then the Fourier transform of U in \mathbb{R}^n is

$$\hat{U}(\xi) = |\xi|^{-n+2-\eta} * \hat{\chi}(\xi) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |\xi - \omega|^{-n+2-\eta} \hat{\chi}(\omega) d\omega \geq 0.$$

Direct computation gives

$$(2.1) \quad \hat{U}(\xi) \approx (1 + |\xi|)^{-n+2-\eta}.$$

Now we can handle R_1 . Let

$$\tilde{R}_1(\lambda, x) = \sum_j \sum_k \frac{\mathbf{1}_\lambda(\lambda_j) - \mathbf{1}_\lambda(\lambda_k)}{\lambda_j^2 - \lambda_k^2} e_j^0(x) V_{jk} \overline{e_k^0(x)}$$

where we define $\frac{\mathbf{1}_\lambda(\lambda_j) - \mathbf{1}_\lambda(\lambda_k)}{\lambda_j^2 - \lambda_k^2} = 0$ when $\lambda_j = \lambda_k$. Similarly, let

$$\tilde{R}'_1(\lambda, x) = \sum_j \sum_k \frac{\mathbf{1}_\lambda(\lambda_j) - \mathbf{1}_\lambda(\lambda_k)}{\lambda_j^2 - \lambda_k^2} e_j^0(x) U_{jk} \overline{e_k^0(x)}$$

Note that $e_j^0(x_0) = 1$ for any $j \in \mathbb{Z}^n$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} |\tilde{R}'_1(\lambda, x_0)| &= 2 \sum_{|j| < \lambda} \sum_{|k| \geq \lambda} \frac{1}{|k|^2 - |j|^2} \hat{U}(j-k) \\ &\approx \sum_{|j| < \lambda} \sum_{|k| \geq \lambda} \frac{1}{|k|^2 - |j|^2} (1 + |k-j|)^{-n+2-\eta} \\ &\geq \sum_{|j| < \lambda} \sum_{|k| > 2\lambda} |k|^{-n-\eta} \\ &\approx \lambda^{n-\eta}. \end{aligned}$$

Moreover, for $m \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\ell \in \mathbb{Z}$, let

$$S_{\ell m} = \{(j, k) \in \mathbb{Z}^{2n} : \lambda/2 < |j| < \lambda \leq |k| < 2\lambda, |k-j| \approx 2^m, \text{ and } |k| - |j| \approx 2^\ell\}$$

$$J_{\ell m} = \{j : (j, k) \in S_{\ell m} \text{ for some } k\}$$

$$K_{\ell m}(j) = \{k : (j, k) \in S_{\ell m}\}.$$

If $S_{\ell m}$ is nonempty, then we have $\lambda^{-1} \lesssim 2^\ell \lesssim 2^m \lesssim \lambda$ and $J_{\ell m} \subset \{j : 0 < \lambda - |j| \lesssim 2^\ell\}$. Note that

$$\begin{aligned} \#J_{\ell m} &\lesssim \lambda^{n-1}(2^\ell + 1) \\ \#K_{\ell m}(j) &\lesssim 2^{(n-1)m}(2^\ell + 1). \end{aligned}$$

Thus

$$\#S_{\ell m} \lesssim \#J_{\ell m} \cdot \max_j \#K_{\ell m}(j) \lesssim \lambda^{n-1} 2^{(n-1)m} (2^\ell + 1)^2.$$

Note that $|e_j^0(x)| \leq 1$ for any $j \in \mathbb{Z}^n$ and $x \in M$. Thus for any $x \in M$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} |\tilde{R}'_1(\lambda, x)| &\lesssim \sum_{|j| < \lambda} \sum_{|k| \geq \lambda} \frac{1}{|k|^2 - |j|^2} (1 + |k - j|)^{-n+2-\eta} \\ &\lesssim \sum_{|j| \leq \lambda/2} \sum_{|k| \geq \lambda} |k|^{-n-\eta} + \sum_{|j| < \lambda} \sum_{|k| \geq 2\lambda} |k|^{-n-\eta} + \sum_{\lambda/2 < |j| < \lambda} \sum_{\lambda \leq |k| < 2\lambda} \frac{1}{|k|^2 - |j|^2} (1 + |k - j|)^{-n+2-\eta} \\ &\lesssim \lambda^{n-\eta} + \sum_{\ell} \sum_m \sum_{(j,k) \in S_{\ell m}} \lambda^{-1} 2^{-\ell} 2^{-(n+2-\eta)m} \\ &\lesssim \lambda^{n-\eta}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, we have $\sup_{x \in M} |\tilde{R}'_1(\lambda, x)| \lesssim \lambda^{n-\eta}$ and $|\tilde{R}'_1(\lambda, x_0)| \approx \lambda^{n-\eta}$.

Next, we show that $|\tilde{R}_1 - \tilde{R}'_1|$ is relatively small. Indeed, since $\rho(0) = \chi(0)$, we may write the difference

$$V(x) - U(x) = |x|^{-1+\eta} \rho_1(x)$$

for some radial function $\rho_1 \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$. Then we have

$$|\hat{V}(\xi) - \hat{U}(\xi)| \lesssim (1 + |\xi|)^{-n+1-\eta}.$$

Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} \sup_{x \in M} |\tilde{R}_1 - \tilde{R}'_1|(\lambda, x) &\lesssim \sum_{|j| < \lambda} \sum_{|k| \geq \lambda} \frac{1}{|k|^2 - |j|^2} (1 + |k - j|)^{-n+1-\eta} \\ &\lesssim \lambda^{n-1}. \end{aligned}$$

Now it remains to show $|R_1 - \tilde{R}_1|$ is relatively small. Let $\psi(|j|) = \mathbf{1}_\lambda(|j|) - h(|j|)$. By (1.2) we have $|\psi(|j|)| \lesssim (1 + \lambda^{-1+\eta+\varepsilon} ||j| - \lambda|)^{-N}$. We write

$$|R_1 - \tilde{R}_1|(\lambda, x) \leq \sum_j \sum_k \left| \frac{\psi(|j|) - \psi(|k|)}{|j|^2 - |k|^2} V_{jk} \right|$$

For simplicity, we only give a detailed proof for the partial sum

$$(2.2) \quad \sum_{\lambda/2 < |j| < 2\lambda} \sum_{\lambda/2 < |k| < 2\lambda, |k| \neq |j|} \left| \frac{\psi(|j|) - \psi(|k|)}{|j|^2 - |k|^2} V_{jk} \right| \lesssim \lambda^{n-\eta-\varepsilon},$$

and it is relatively easy to show the remaining part is $O(\lambda^{n-2\eta-\varepsilon})$.

Fix a Littlewood-Paley bump function $\beta \in C_0^\infty((1/2, 2))$ satisfying

$$\sum_{\ell=-\infty}^{\infty} \beta(2^{-\ell}s) = 1, \quad s > 0.$$

Let ℓ_0 be the largest integer such that $2^{\ell_0} \leq \lambda^{1-\eta-\varepsilon}$. Since $|j| \approx |k| \approx \lambda$ and $|j| \neq |k|$, we have $\lambda^{-1} \lesssim ||j| - |k|| \lesssim \lambda$. Then

$$\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{\ell} \sum_{\lambda/2 < |j| < 2\lambda} \sum_{\lambda/2 < |k| < 2\lambda} \left| \frac{\psi(|j|) - \psi(|k|)}{|j|^2 - |k|^2} V_{jk} \beta(2^{-\ell} ||j| - |k||) \right| \\
& \lesssim \sum_{\ell} \sum_{\lambda/2 < |j| < 2\lambda} \sum_{\lambda/2 < |k| < 2\lambda} 2^{-\ell} \lambda^{-1} (1 + 2^{-\ell_0} ||j| - \lambda|)^{-N} (1 + |j - k|)^{-n+2-\eta} \beta(2^{-\ell} ||j| - |k||) \\
& \lesssim \sum_{1 < 2^{\ell} \lesssim \lambda} \sum_{p \geq \ell_0} \sum_{m \geq 0} 2^{-\ell} \lambda^{-1} (1 + 2^{-\ell_0} 2^p)^{-N} 2^{(-n+2-\eta)m} \cdot \lambda^{n-1} 2^p \cdot 2^{(n-1)m} 2^{\ell} \\
& + \sum_{\lambda^{-1} \lesssim 2^{\ell} \leq 1} \sum_{p \geq \ell_0} \sum_{m \geq 0} 2^{-\ell} \lambda^{-1} (1 + 2^{-\ell_0} 2^p)^{-N} 2^{(-n+2-\eta)m} \cdot \lambda^{n-1} 2^p \cdot \min(2^{(n-1)m}, 2^{(n-2+\sigma)m}) 2^{\ell} \lambda \\
& \lesssim \lambda^{n-2\eta-\varepsilon} \log \lambda + \lambda^{n-\eta-\varepsilon}.
\end{aligned}$$

Here we use the fact that the number of lattice points on the sphere of radius $r \geq 1$ (with an arbitrary center) is $O(r^{n-2+\sigma})$, $\forall \sigma > 0$. See e.g. [17, Lemma 4]. This proves (2.2). Thus, we obtain $\sup_{x \in M} |R_1 - R_1|(\lambda, x) \lesssim \lambda^{n-\eta-\varepsilon}$. So we complete the proof of Proposition 1.4.

3. PROOF OF PROPOSITION 1.5

In this section, we prove the upper bound of R_2 in (1.6). For the simplicity in notations, we just assume $V \in L^{\frac{n}{2-\eta}}(M)$, though actually the original V is in $L^{\frac{n}{2-\eta}-\sigma}(M)$, $\forall \sigma > 0$. Applying the same argument to the original V leads to an extra harmless λ^{σ} ($\forall \sigma > 0$) factor in the upper bound of R_2 . For $j, k \in \mathbb{Z}^n$ and $\tau \in \mathbb{R}$, let

$$(3.1) \quad f(\tau) = \frac{h(\tau) - h(|j|)}{\tau^2 - |j|^2},$$

$$\begin{aligned}
(3.2) \quad a_{jk\tau_{\ell}} &= \frac{f(|k|) - f(\tau_{\ell})}{|k|^2 - \tau_{\ell}^2} \\
&= \frac{h(|k|) - h(|j|)}{(|k|^2 - |j|^2)(|k|^2 - \tau_{\ell}^2)} + \frac{h(\tau_{\ell}) - h(|j|)}{(\tau_{\ell}^2 - |j|^2)(\tau_{\ell}^2 - |k|^2)} \\
&:= a_{jk\tau_{\ell}}^0 + a_{jk\tau_{\ell}}^1.
\end{aligned}$$

Fix a Littlewood-Paley bump function $\beta \in C_0^{\infty}((1/2, 2))$ satisfying

$$\sum_{\ell=-\infty}^{\infty} \beta(2^{-\ell} s) = 1, \quad s > 0.$$

Let ℓ_0 be the largest integer such that $2^{\ell_0} \leq \lambda^{1-\eta-\varepsilon}$. Let

$$(3.3) \quad \beta_{\ell_0}(w) = \sum_{\ell \leq \ell_0} \beta(2^{-\ell} |w|) \in C_0^{\infty}((-2^{\ell_0+1}, 2^{\ell_0+1})),$$

as well as

$$(3.4) \quad \beta_{\ell}(w) = \beta(2^{-\ell} |w|), \quad \text{for } \ell > \ell_0.$$

Thus we can rewrite R_2 by the following

$$\begin{aligned}
(3.5) \quad R_2 &= \sum_{j,k,\tau_\ell} \int a_{jk\tau_\ell} e_j^0(x) V_{jk} \overline{e_k^0(z)} V(z) e_{\tau_\ell}(z) \overline{e_{\tau_\ell}(x)} dz \\
&= \sum_{\ell_1 \geq \ell_0} \sum_{\ell_2 \geq \ell_0} \sum_{j,k,\tau_\ell} \beta_{\ell_1}(\tau_\ell - |k|) \beta_{\ell_2}(\tau_\ell - |j|) \\
&\quad \cdot \int a_{jk\tau_\ell} e_j^0(x) V_{jk} \overline{e_k^0(z)} V(z) e_{\tau_\ell}(z) \overline{e_{\tau_\ell}(x)} dz,
\end{aligned}$$

where as before $|V_{jk}| \lesssim (1 + |j - k|)^{-n+2-\eta}$. To prove the desired bound for R_2 , we need the following lemma. Fix $p_0 = \frac{2n}{n-2+\eta}$. Then Sogge's exponent

$$\sigma(p_0) = \begin{cases} \frac{(n-1)(2-\eta)}{4n}, & \eta > \frac{2}{n+1} \\ \frac{1-\eta}{2}, & \eta \leq \frac{2}{n+1} \end{cases}.$$

Note that for $\eta \in (0, 1)$ we always have $\sigma(p_0) \leq \frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{4}\eta$.

Lemma 3.1. *Let $I \subset \mathbb{R}_+$ and for eigenvalues $\tau_\ell \in I$ assume that $\delta_{\tau_\ell} \in [0, \delta]$. Then if $m \in C^1(\mathbb{R}_+ \times M)$, and $V \in L^{\frac{n}{2-\eta}}(M)$, we have*

$$\begin{aligned}
(3.6) \quad & \int_M \left| \sum_{\tau_\ell \in I} m(\delta_{\tau_\ell}, y) a_\ell V(y) e_{\tau_\ell}(y) \right| dy \\
& \leq \|V\|_{L^{\frac{n}{2-\eta}}(M)} \cdot \left(\|m(0, \cdot)\|_{L^{p_0}(M)} + \int_0^\delta \left\| \frac{\partial}{\partial s} m(s, \cdot) \right\|_{L^{p_0}(M)} ds \right) \times \sup_{s \in [0, \delta]} \left\| \sum_{\tau_\ell \in I} \mathbf{1}_{[0, \delta_{\tau_\ell}]}(s) a_\ell e_{\tau_\ell} \right\|_{L^{p_0}(M)}.
\end{aligned}$$

Proof. We shall use the fact that

$$m(\delta_{\tau_\ell}, y) = m(0, y) + \int_0^{\delta_{\tau_\ell}} \mathbf{1}_{[0, \delta_{\tau_\ell}]}(s) \frac{\partial}{\partial s} m(s, y) ds,$$

where $\mathbf{1}_{[0, \delta_{\tau_\ell}]}(s)$ is the indicator function of the interval $[0, \delta_{\tau_\ell}] \subset [0, \delta]$. Therefore, by Hölder's inequality and Minkowski's inequality, the left side of (3.6) is dominated by $\|V\|_{L^{\frac{n}{2-\eta}}(M)}$ times

$$\begin{aligned}
& \left(\int_M \left| m(0, y) \cdot \sum_{\tau_\ell \in I} a_\ell e_{\tau_\ell}(y) \right|^{\frac{n}{n-2+\eta}} dy \right)^{\frac{n-2+\eta}{n}} \\
& + \left(\int_M \left| \sum_{\tau_\ell \in I} \int_0^{\delta_{\tau_\ell}} \mathbf{1}_{[0, \delta_{\tau_\ell}]}(s) \frac{\partial}{\partial s} m(s, y) a_\ell e_{\tau_\ell}(y) ds \right|^{\frac{n}{n-2+\eta}} dy \right)^{\frac{n-2+\eta}{n}} \\
& \leq \|m(0, \cdot)\|_{p_0} \cdot \left\| \sum_{\tau_\ell \in I} a_\ell e_{\tau_\ell} \right\|_{p_0} + \int_0^\delta \left(\left\| \frac{\partial}{\partial s} m(s, \cdot) \right\|_{p_0} \cdot \left\| \sum_{\tau_\ell \in I} \mathbf{1}_{[0, \delta_{\tau_\ell}]}(s) a_\ell e_{\tau_\ell} \right\|_{p_0} \right) ds \\
& \leq \|m(0, \cdot)\|_{p_0} \cdot \left\| \sum_{\tau_\ell \in I} a_\ell e_{\tau_\ell} \right\|_{p_1} + \int_0^\delta \left\| \frac{\partial}{\partial s} m(s, \cdot) \right\|_{p_0} ds \cdot \sup_{s \in [0, \delta]} \left\| \sum_{\tau_\ell \in I} \mathbf{1}_{[0, \delta_{\tau_\ell}]}(s) a_\ell e_{\tau_\ell} \right\|_{p_0} \\
& \leq \left(\|m(0, \cdot)\|_{p_0} + \int_0^\delta \left\| \frac{\partial}{\partial s} m(s, \cdot) \right\|_{p_0} ds \right) \times \sup_{s \in [0, \delta]} \left\| \sum_{\tau_\ell \in I} \mathbf{1}_{[0, \delta_{\tau_\ell}]}(s) a_\ell e_{\tau_\ell} \right\|_{p_0}.
\end{aligned}$$

□

When we apply Lemma 3.1 in the following sections, the interval I has left endpoint ξ and length δ . Moreover, $\delta_{\tau_\ell} = \tau_\ell - \xi \in [0, \delta]$, $a_\ell = e_{\tau_\ell}(x)$, and

$$m(\delta_{\tau_\ell}, y) = \sum_{|k| \in I_1} b_{k, \tau_\ell} e_k^0(y),$$

for some interval I_1 .

If $\tau_\ell \leq A$, $|k| \leq B$, $|b_{k, \tau_\ell}| \leq C$, and $|\partial_{\tau_\ell} b_{k, \tau_\ell}| \lesssim \delta^{-1}C$, then by using Lemma 1.1, we get

$$\sup_{s \in [0, \delta]} \left\| \sum_{\tau_\ell \in I} \mathbf{1}_{[0, \delta_{\tau_\ell}]}(s) a_\ell e_{\tau_\ell} \right\|_{p_0} \lesssim A^{\sigma(p_0)} \delta^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(\sum_{\tau_\ell \in I} |e_{\tau_\ell}(x)|^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \lesssim A^{\sigma(p_0)} \delta^{\frac{1}{2}} A^{\frac{n-1}{2}} \delta^{\frac{1}{2}},$$

$$\|m(0, \cdot)\|_{p_0} \lesssim B^{\sigma(p_0)} |I_1|^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(\sum_{|k| \in I_1} |b_{k, \tau_\ell}|^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \lesssim B^{\sigma(p_0)} |I_1|^{\frac{1}{2}} B^{\frac{n-1}{2}} |I_1|^{\frac{1}{2}} C,$$

and similarly

$$\int_0^\delta \left\| \frac{\partial}{\partial s} m(s, \cdot) \right\|_{p_0} \lesssim B^{\sigma(p_0)} |I_1|^{\frac{1}{2}} B^{\frac{n-1}{2}} |I_1|^{\frac{1}{2}} C,$$

where $|I_1|$ is the length of the interval I_1 . We will apply Lemma 3.1 with these types of estimates many times in the following argument.

3.1. Low frequencies.

In this section, we shall handle the low frequencies $1 \leq \tau_\ell \leq 2\lambda$. Our goal is to show that

$$(3.1) \quad \left| \sum_{j, k} \sum_{\tau_\ell \leq 2\lambda} \int a_{jk\tau_\ell} e_j^0(x) V_{jk} \overline{e_k^0(z)} V(z) e_{\tau_\ell}(z) \overline{e_{\tau_\ell}(x)} dz \right| \lesssim \lambda^{n-\frac{3}{2}\eta} (\log \lambda)^3.$$

We shall divide our discussion into the following four cases.

Case 1. $2^{\ell_1} \leq 100\lambda$, $2^{\ell_2} \leq 2^{\ell_1+2}$.

It suffices to estimate

$$(3.2) \quad \sum_{j, k, \tau_\ell} \beta_{\ell_1}(\tau_\ell - |k|) \beta_{\ell_2}(\tau_\ell - |j|) \int a_{jk\tau_\ell} e_j^0(x) V_{jk} \overline{e_k^0(z)} V(z) e_{\tau_\ell}(z) \overline{e_{\tau_\ell}(x)} dz$$

for each integer ℓ_1 and ℓ_2 satisfying $2^{\ell_1} \leq 100\lambda$, $2^{\ell_2} \leq 2^{\ell_1+2}$.

We consider two subcase: (i) $\ell_1 > \ell_0$ (ii) $\ell_1 = \ell_0$. We first handle $\ell_1 > \ell_0$, and the way to handle $\ell_1 = \ell_0$ is similar. Let $\tau_\ell \approx 2^s \leq 2\lambda$, $s = 0, 1, 2, \dots$. If $2^s > 10 \cdot 2^{\ell_0}$, we divide the range $[2^{s-1}, 2^s]$ into intervals of length 2^{ℓ_0} . Then the interval $[1, 2\lambda]$ is divided into a collection of intervals $\{I_{s, \nu}\}$, $2^s \leq 2\lambda$, $\nu = 0, 1, 2, \dots$. If $2^s > 10 \cdot 2^{\ell_0}$, then the length $|I_{s, \nu}| \approx 2^{\ell_0}$ and $\nu \lesssim 2^s 2^{-\ell_0}$. Otherwise, we have $|I_{s, \nu}| \approx 2^s \lesssim 2^{\ell_0}$ and $\nu = 0$.

(i). $\ell_1 > \ell_0$.

In this case, $|\tau_\ell - |k|| \approx 2^{\ell_1}$ and $|\tau_\ell - |j|| \lesssim 2^{\ell_2}$. Recall that

$$\begin{aligned} a_{jk\tau_\ell} &= \frac{h(|k|) - h(|j|)}{(|k|^2 - |j|^2)(|k|^2 - \tau_\ell^2)} + \frac{h(\tau_\ell) - h(|j|)}{(\tau_\ell^2 - |j|^2)(\tau_\ell^2 - |k|^2)} \\ &= a_{jk\tau_\ell}^0 + a_{jk\tau_\ell}^1. \end{aligned}$$

We handle these two terms separately.

First, we deal with $a_{jk\tau_\ell}^0$. We just need to estimate

$$(3.3) \quad \sum_{j,k,\tau_\ell} \beta_{\ell_1}(\tau_\ell - |k|) \beta_{\ell_2}(\tau_\ell - |j|) \beta_{\ell_3}(|j| - |k|) \int a_{jk\tau_\ell}^0 e_j^0(x) V_{jk} \overline{e_k^0(z)} V(z) e_{\tau_\ell}(z) \overline{e_{\tau_\ell}(x)} dz$$

for each integer ℓ_3 satisfying $2^{\ell_0} \leq 2^{\ell_3} \lesssim \lambda$, as there are only $\log \lambda$ terms in the sum over ℓ_3 . If $|k| + |j| > \lambda/2$ and $|k| + \tau_\ell > \lambda/20$, then

$$|a_{jk\tau_\ell}^0| \lesssim \lambda^{-2} 2^{-\ell_1} 2^{-\ell_3}.$$

If $|k| + |j| > \lambda/2$ and $|k| + \tau_\ell \leq \lambda/20$, then $2^{\ell_1} \approx 2^{\ell_2} \approx 2^{\ell_3} \approx \lambda$, and

$$|a_{jk\tau_\ell}^0| \lesssim \lambda^{-4}.$$

If $|k| + |j| \leq \lambda/2$, then

$$|a_{jk\tau_\ell}^0| \lesssim 2^{-\ell_1} 2^{-\ell_3} \lambda^{-N}, \quad \forall N.$$

So we always have

$$|a_{jk\tau_\ell}^0| \lesssim \lambda^{-2} 2^{-\ell_1} 2^{-\ell_3}$$

and similarly

$$|\partial_{\tau_\ell} a_{jk\tau_\ell}^0| \lesssim \lambda^{-2} 2^{-2\ell_1} 2^{-\ell_3}.$$

In the following, we apply Lemma 3.1. Fix an interval $I_{s,\nu}$ with left endpoint ξ . Let $\tau_\ell \in I_{s,\nu}$. Since $|\tau_\ell - |k|| \approx 2^{\ell_1}$, the range of $|k|$ is an interval $I'_{s,\nu}$ of length $\approx 2^{\ell_1}$. Let

$$b_{k,\tau_\ell} = \sum_j \beta_{\ell_1}(\tau_\ell - |k|) \beta_{\ell_2}(\tau_\ell - |j|) \beta_{\ell_3}(|j| - |k|) a_{jk\tau_\ell}^0 e_j^0(x) V_{jk}.$$

Note that for fixed k , the number of choices of $j \in \mathbb{Z}^n$ satisfying $1 + |j - k| \approx 2^m \lesssim \lambda$ and $||j| - |k|| \lesssim 2^{\ell_3}$ is bounded by $2^{(n-1)m} 2^{\ell_3}$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} |b_{k,\tau_\ell}| &\lesssim \sum_{2^m \lesssim \lambda} \lambda^{-2} 2^{-\ell_1} 2^{-\ell_3} 2^{(-n+2-\eta)m} 2^{(n-1)m} 2^{\ell_3} \\ &\lesssim \lambda^{-1-\eta} 2^{-\ell_1} \end{aligned}$$

and similarly

$$|\partial_{\tau_\ell} b_{k,\tau_\ell}| \lesssim \lambda^{-1-\eta} 2^{-\ell_1} 2^{-\ell_0}.$$

By using the spectral projection bounds in Lemma 1.1, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
\| \sum_{|k| \in I'_{s,\nu}} b_{k,\xi} e_k^0(\cdot) \|_{L^{p_0}(M)} & \lesssim 2^{\ell_1/2} \lambda^{\sigma(p_0)} \| \sum_{|k| \in I'_{s,\nu}} b_{k,\xi} e_k^0(\cdot) \|_{L^2(M)} \\
& \lesssim 2^{\ell_1/2} \lambda^{\sigma(p_0)} 2^{\ell_1/2} \lambda^{\frac{n-1}{2}} \cdot \lambda^{-1-\eta} 2^{-\ell_1} \\
& \lesssim \lambda^{\frac{n}{2}-1-\frac{5}{4}\eta}.
\end{aligned}$$

And similarly for $\tau \in I_{s,\nu}$

$$\| \sum_{|k| \in I'_{s,\nu}} \partial_\tau b_{k,\tau} e_k^0(\cdot) \|_{L^{p_0}(M)} \lesssim 2^{-\ell_0} \lambda^{\frac{n}{2}-1-\frac{5}{4}\eta}.$$

By applying Lemma 3.1 with $\delta = 2^{\ell_0}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
(3.4) \quad & \sum_\nu \left| \int \sum_{\tau_\ell \in I_{s,\nu}} \sum_k b_{k,\tau_\ell} \overline{e_k^0(z)} V(z) e_{\tau_\ell}(z) \overline{e_{\tau_\ell}(x)} dz \right| \\
& \lesssim \|V\|_{L^{\frac{n}{2-\eta}}(M)} \lambda^{\frac{n}{2}-1-\frac{5}{4}\eta} \cdot 2^{\ell_0/2} \lambda^{\sigma(p_0)} \sum_\nu \left(\sum_{\tau_\ell \in I_{s,\nu}} |e_{\tau_\ell}(x)|^2 \right)^{1/2} \\
& \lesssim \|V\|_{L^{\frac{n}{2-\eta}}(M)} \lambda^{\frac{n-1}{2}-\frac{3}{2}\eta} \cdot 2^{\ell_0/2} (2^{ns/2} + 2^{\frac{n-1}{2}s} 2^{\ell_0/2} \cdot 2^s 2^{-\ell_0}).
\end{aligned}$$

Summing over $s, \ell_1, \ell_2, \ell_3$, we get the desired bound $\lambda^{n-\frac{3}{2}\eta} (\log \lambda)^3$.

Next, we deal with $a_{jk\tau_\ell}^1$. We just need to estimate

$$(3.5) \quad \sum_{j,k,\tau_\ell} \beta_{\ell_1}(\tau_\ell - |k|) \beta_{\ell_2}(\tau_\ell - |j|) \int a_{jk\tau_\ell}^1 e_j^0(x) V_{jk} \overline{e_k^0(z)} V(z) e_{\tau_\ell}(z) \overline{e_{\tau_\ell}(x)} dz$$

If $\tau_\ell + |j| > \lambda/2$ and $\tau_\ell + |k| > \lambda/20$, then

$$|a_{jk\tau_\ell}^1| \lesssim \lambda^{-2} 2^{-\ell_1} 2^{-\ell_2}.$$

If $\tau_\ell + |j| > \lambda/2$ and $\tau_\ell + |k| \leq \lambda/20$, then $2^{\ell_1} \approx 2^{\ell_2} \approx \lambda$, and

$$|a_{jk\tau_\ell}^1| \lesssim \lambda^{-4}.$$

If $\tau_\ell + |j| \leq \lambda/2$, then

$$|a_{jk\tau_\ell}^1| \lesssim 2^{-\ell_1} 2^{-\ell_2} \lambda^{-N}, \quad \forall N.$$

So we always have

$$|a_{jk\tau_\ell}^1| \lesssim \lambda^{-2} 2^{-\ell_1} 2^{-\ell_2}.$$

and similarly

$$|\partial_{\tau_\ell} a_{jk\tau_\ell}^1| \lesssim \lambda^{-2} 2^{-\ell_1} 2^{-\ell_2} 2^{-\ell_0}.$$

Let

$$b_{k,\tau_\ell} = \sum_j \beta_{\ell_1}(\tau_\ell - |k|) \beta_{\ell_2}(\tau_\ell - |j|) a_{jk\tau_\ell}^1 e_j^0(x) V_{jk}.$$

Note that for fixed k and τ_ℓ , the number of choices of $j \in \mathbb{Z}^n$ satisfying $1 + |j - k| \approx 2^m$ and $|\tau_\ell - |j|| \lesssim 2^{\ell_2}$ is bounded by $2^{(n-1)m} 2^{\ell_2}$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} |b_{k,\tau_\ell}| &\lesssim \sum_{2^m \lesssim \lambda} \lambda^{-2} 2^{-\ell_1} 2^{-\ell_2} 2^{(-n+2-\eta)m} 2^{(n-1)m} 2^{\ell_2} \\ &\lesssim \lambda^{-1-\eta} 2^{-\ell_1} \end{aligned}$$

and similarly

$$|\partial_{\tau_\ell} b_{k,\tau_\ell}| \lesssim \lambda^{-1-\eta} 2^{-\ell_1} 2^{-\ell_0}.$$

By using the spectral projection bounds in Lemma 1.1, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| \sum_{|k| \in I'_{s,\nu}} b_{k,\xi} e_k^0(\cdot) \right\|_{L^{p_0}(M)} &\lesssim 2^{\ell_1/2} \lambda^{\sigma(p_0)} \left\| \sum_{|k| \in I'_{s,\nu}} b_{k,\xi} e_k^0(\cdot) \right\|_{L^2(M)} \\ &\lesssim 2^{\ell_1/2} \lambda^{\sigma(p_0)} 2^{\ell_1/2} \lambda^{\frac{n-1}{2}} \lambda^{-1-\eta} 2^{-\ell_1} \\ &\lesssim \lambda^{\frac{n}{2}-1-\frac{5}{4}\eta} \end{aligned}$$

and similarly for $\tau \in I_{s,\nu}$

$$\left\| \sum_{|k| \in I'_{s,\nu}} \partial_\tau b_{k,\tau} e_k^0(\cdot) \right\|_{L^{p_0}(M)} \lesssim 2^{-\ell_0} \lambda^{\frac{n}{2}-1-\frac{5}{4}\eta}.$$

By applying Lemma 3.1 with $\delta = 2^{\ell_0}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} (3.6) \quad &\sum_\nu \left| \int \sum_{\tau_\ell \in I_{s,\nu}} \sum_k b_{k,\tau_\ell} \overline{e_k^0(z)} V(z) e_{\tau_\ell}(z) \overline{e_{\tau_\ell}(x)} dz \right| \\ &\lesssim \|V\|_{L^{\frac{n}{2-\eta}}(M)} \lambda^{\frac{n}{2}-1-\frac{5}{4}\eta} \cdot 2^{\ell_0/2} \lambda^{\sigma(p_0)} \sum_\nu \left(\sum_{\tau_\ell \in I_{s,\nu}} |e_{\tau_\ell}(x)|^2 \right)^{1/2} \\ &\lesssim \|V\|_{L^{\frac{n}{2-\eta}}(M)} \lambda^{\frac{n-1}{2}-\frac{3}{2}\eta} 2^{\ell_0/2} (2^{ns/2} + 2^{\frac{n-1}{2}s} 2^{\ell_0/2} \cdot 2^s 2^{-\ell_0}). \end{aligned}$$

Summing over s, ℓ_1, ℓ_2 , we get the desired bound $\lambda^{n-\frac{3}{2}\eta} (\log \lambda)^2$.

(ii). $\ell_1 = \ell_0$.

In this case, $|\tau_\ell - |k|| \lesssim 2^{\ell_0}$ and $|\tau_\ell - |j|| \lesssim 2^{\ell_0}$. By the mean value theorem, we have

$$|a_{jk\tau_\ell}| \lesssim \lambda^{-2} 2^{-2\ell_0}$$

and similarly

$$|\partial_{\tau_\ell} a_{jk\tau_\ell}| \lesssim \lambda^{-2} 2^{-3\ell_0}.$$

Let

$$b_{k,\tau_\ell} = \sum_j \beta_{\ell_1}(\tau_\ell - |k|) \beta_{\ell_2}(\tau_\ell - |j|) a_{jk\tau_\ell} e_j^0(x) V_{jk}.$$

Note that for fixed k and τ_ℓ , the number of choices of $j \in \mathbb{Z}^n$ satisfying $1 + |j - k| \approx 2^m$ and $|\tau_\ell - |j|| \lesssim 2^{\ell_0}$ is bounded by $2^{(n-1)m} 2^{\ell_0}$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} |b_{k,\tau_\ell}| &\lesssim \sum_{2^m \lesssim \lambda} \lambda^{-2} 2^{-2\ell_0} \cdot 2^{(n-1)m} 2^{\ell_0} 2^{(-n+2-\eta)m} \\ &\lesssim \lambda^{-1-\eta} 2^{-\ell_0} \end{aligned}$$

and similarly

$$|\partial_{\tau_\ell} b_{k,\tau_\ell}| \lesssim \lambda^{-1-\eta} 2^{-2\ell_0}.$$

Thus by using the spectral projection bounds in Lemma 1.1, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| \sum_{|k| \in I'_{s,\nu}} b_{k,\xi} e_k^0(\cdot) \right\|_{L^{p_0}(M)} &\lesssim 2^{\ell_0/2} \lambda^{\sigma(p_0)} \left\| \sum_{|k| \in I'_{s,\nu}} b_{k,\xi} e_k^0(\cdot) \right\|_{L^2(M)} \\ &\lesssim 2^{\ell_0/2} \lambda^{\sigma(p_0)} 2^{\ell_0/2} \lambda^{\frac{n-1}{2}} \cdot \lambda^{-1-\eta} 2^{-\ell_0} \\ &\lesssim \lambda^{\frac{n}{2}-1-\frac{5}{4}\eta} \end{aligned}$$

and similarly for $\tau \in I_{s,\nu}$

$$\left\| \sum_{|k| \in I'_{s,\nu}} \partial_\tau b_{k,\tau} e_k^0(\cdot) \right\|_{L^{p_0}(M)} \lesssim 2^{-\ell_0} \lambda^{\frac{n}{2}-1-\frac{5}{4}\eta}.$$

By using Lemma 3.1 and repeating the argument in (i), we get

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_\nu \left| \int \sum_{\tau_\ell \in I_{s,\nu}} \sum_k b_{k,\tau_\ell} \overline{e_k^0(z)} V(z) e_{\tau_\ell}(z) \overline{e_{\tau_\ell}(x)} dz \right| \\ \lesssim \|V\|_{L^{\frac{n}{2-\eta}}(M)} \lambda^{\frac{n}{2}-1-\frac{5}{4}\eta} \cdot 2^{\ell_0/2} \lambda^{\sigma(p_0)} \sum_\nu \left(\sum_{\tau_\ell \in I_{s,\nu}} |e_{\tau_\ell}(x)|^2 \right)^{1/2} \\ \lesssim \|V\|_{L^{\frac{n}{2-\eta}}(M)} \lambda^{\frac{n-1}{2}-\frac{3}{2}\eta} \cdot 2^{\ell_0/2} (2^{ns/2} + 2^{\frac{n-1}{2}s} 2^{\ell_0/2}) \cdot 2^s 2^{-\ell_0}. \end{aligned}$$

Summing over ℓ_1, ℓ_2, s , we get the desired bound $\lambda^{n-\frac{3}{2}\eta} \log \lambda$.

Case 2. $2^{\ell_1} \leq 100\lambda, 2^{\ell_2} > 2^{\ell_1+2}$.

It suffices to estimate

$$(3.7) \quad \sum_{j,k,\tau_\ell} \beta_{\ell_1}(\tau_\ell - |k|) \beta_{\ell_2}(\tau_\ell - |j|) \int a_{jk\tau_\ell} e_j^0(x) V_{jk} \overline{e_k^0(z)} V(z) e_{\tau_\ell}(z) \overline{e_{\tau_\ell}(x)} dz$$

for each integer ℓ_1 and ℓ_2 satisfying $2^{\ell_1} \leq 100\lambda, 2^{\ell_2} > 2^{\ell_1+2}$. We consider two subcases separately: $2^{\ell_2} \leq 1000\lambda$ or $2^{\ell_2} > 1000\lambda$.

Let $\tau_\ell \approx 2^s \leq 2\lambda$. We divide the interval $[1, 2\lambda]$ as in Case 1. In this case, we have $\|k| - |j|| \approx 2^{\ell_2}, \tau_\ell + |k| \gtrsim 2^s$.

First, we deal with $2^{\ell_2} \leq 1000\lambda$. If $\ell_1 = \ell_0$, then by mean value theorem

$$|a_{jk\tau_\ell}| \lesssim \lambda^{-1} 2^{-s} 2^{-\ell_2} 2^{-\ell_0}.$$

Suppose that $\ell_1 > \ell_0$. If $|k| + |j| > \lambda/2$ and $|k| + \tau_\ell > \lambda/20$, then

$$|a_{jk\tau_\ell}| \lesssim \lambda^{-1} 2^{-s} 2^{-\ell_1} 2^{-\ell_2}.$$

If $|k| + |j| > \lambda/2$ and $|k| + \tau_\ell \leq \lambda/20$, then $2^{\ell_2} \approx \lambda$, and by mean value theorem

$$|a_{jk\tau_\ell}| \lesssim \lambda^{-3} 2^{-s}.$$

If $|k| + |j| \leq \lambda/2$, then

$$|a_{jk\tau_\ell}| \lesssim \lambda^{-2} 2^{-\ell_1} 2^{-\ell_2}.$$

So we always have

$$|a_{jk\tau_\ell}| \lesssim \lambda^{-1} 2^{-s} 2^{-\ell_1} 2^{-\ell_2}$$

and similarly

$$|\partial_{\tau_\ell} a_{jk\tau_\ell}| \lesssim \lambda^{-1} 2^{-s} 2^{-\ell_1} 2^{-\ell_2} (2^{-s} + 2^{-\ell_0}).$$

Let

$$b_{k,\tau_\ell} = \sum_j \beta_{\ell_1}(\tau_\ell - |k|) \beta_{\ell_2}(\tau_\ell - |j|) a_{jk\tau_\ell} e_j^0(x) V_{jk},$$

Note that for fixed k and τ_ℓ , the number of choices of $j \in \mathbb{Z}^n$ satisfying $1 + |j - k| \approx 2^m \lesssim \lambda$ and $||j| - \tau_\ell| \lesssim 2^{\ell_2}$ is bounded by $2^{(n-1)m} 2^{\ell_2}$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} |b_{k,\tau_\ell}| &\lesssim \sum_{2^m \lesssim \lambda} \lambda^{-1} 2^{-s} 2^{-\ell_2} 2^{-\ell_1} \lambda^{(n-1)m} 2^{\ell_2} 2^{(-n+2-\eta)m} \\ &\lesssim \lambda^{-\eta} 2^{-s} 2^{-\ell_1}. \end{aligned}$$

and similarly

$$|\partial_{\tau_\ell} b_{k,\tau_\ell}| \lesssim \lambda^{-\eta} 2^{-s} 2^{-\ell_1} (2^{-s} + 2^{-\ell_0}).$$

By using the spectral projection bounds in Lemma 1.1, we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\| \sum_{|k| \in I'_{s,\nu}} b_{k,\xi} e_k^0(\cdot) \|_{L^{p_0}(M)} \\ &\lesssim 2^{\ell_1/2} \lambda^{\sigma(p_0)} \| \sum_{|k| \in I'_{s,\nu}} b_{k,\xi} e_k^0(\cdot) \|_{L^2(M)} \\ &\lesssim 2^{\ell_1/2} \lambda^{\sigma(p_0)} 2^{\ell_1/2} \lambda^{\frac{n-1}{2}} \lambda^{-\eta} 2^{-s} 2^{-\ell_1} \\ &\lesssim \lambda^{\frac{n}{2} - \frac{5}{4}\eta} 2^{-s} \end{aligned}$$

and similarly for $\tau \in I_{s,\nu}$

$$\| \sum_{|k| \in I'_{s,\nu}} \partial_\tau b_{k,\tau} e_k^0(\cdot) \|_{L^{p_0}(M)} \lesssim \lambda^{\frac{n}{2} - \frac{5}{4}\eta} 2^{-s} (2^{-s} + 2^{-\ell_0}).$$

By applying Lemma 3.1 with $\delta = 2^{\ell_0}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\sum_\nu \left| \int \sum_{\tau_\ell \in I_{s,\nu}} \sum_k b_{k,\tau_\ell} \overline{e_k^0(z)} V(z) e_{\tau_\ell}(z) \overline{e_{\tau_\ell}(x)} dz \right| \\ (3.8) \quad &\lesssim \|V\|_{L^{\frac{n}{2-\eta}}(M)} \lambda^{\frac{n}{2} - \frac{5}{4}\eta} 2^{-s} \cdot 2^{\ell_0/2} \lambda^{\sigma(p_0)} \sum_\nu \left(\sum_{\tau_\ell \in I_{s,\nu}} |e_{\tau_\ell}(x)|^2 \right)^{1/2} \\ &\lesssim \|V\|_{L^{\frac{n}{2-\eta}}(M)} \lambda^{\frac{n+1}{2} - \frac{3}{2}\eta} 2^{-s} 2^{\ell_0/2} (2^{ns/2} + 2^{\frac{n-1}{2}s} 2^{\ell_0/2} \cdot 2^s 2^{-\ell_0}). \end{aligned}$$

Summing over s, ℓ_1, ℓ_2 , we get the desired bound $\lambda^{n - \frac{3}{2}\eta} (\log \lambda)^2$.

Next, we deal with $2^{\ell_2} > 1000\lambda$. In this case, we have $|j - k| \approx |j| \approx 2^{\ell_2}$. If $\ell_1 = \ell_0$, then by mean value theorem,

$$|a_{jk\tau_\ell}| \lesssim 2^{-s} 2^{-2\ell_2} 2^{-\ell_0}.$$

Suppose that $\ell_1 > \ell_0$. If $|k| + \tau_\ell > \lambda/2$, we have

$$|a_{jk\tau_\ell}| \lesssim \lambda^{-1} 2^{-2\ell_2} 2^{-\ell_1}.$$

If $|k| + \tau_\ell \leq \lambda/2$, we have

$$|a_{jk\tau_\ell}| \lesssim 2^{-s} 2^{-2\ell_2} 2^{-\ell_1}.$$

So we always have

$$|a_{jk\tau_\ell}| \lesssim 2^{-s} 2^{-2\ell_2} 2^{-\ell_1}$$

and similarly

$$|\partial_{\tau_\ell} a_{jk\tau_\ell}| \lesssim 2^{-s} 2^{-2\ell_2} 2^{-\ell_1} (2^{-s} + 2^{-\ell_0}).$$

Let

$$b_{k,\tau_\ell} = \sum_j \beta_{\ell_1}(\tau_\ell - |k|) \beta_{\ell_2}(\tau_\ell - |j|) a_{jk\tau_\ell} e_j^0(x) V_{jk}.$$

Note that for fixed k , the number of choices of $j \in \mathbb{Z}^n$ satisfying $|j - k| \approx 2^{\ell_2}$ is bounded by $2^{n\ell_2}$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} |b_{k,\tau_\ell}| &\lesssim 2^{n\ell_2} 2^{(-n+2-\eta)\ell_2} \cdot 2^{-s} 2^{-2\ell_2} 2^{-\ell_1} \\ &\lesssim 2^{-s} 2^{-\eta\ell_2} 2^{-\ell_1}, \end{aligned}$$

and similarly,

$$|\partial_{\tau_\ell} b_{k,\tau_\ell}| \lesssim 2^{-s} 2^{-\eta\ell_2} 2^{-\ell_1} (2^{-s} + 2^{-\ell_0}).$$

By using the spectral projection bounds in Lemma 1.1, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| \sum_{|k| \in I'_{s,\nu}} b_{k,\xi} e_k^0(\cdot) \right\|_{L^{p_0}(M)} &\lesssim 2^{\ell_1/2} \lambda^{\sigma(p_0)} \left\| \sum_{|k| \in I'_{s,\nu}} b_{k,\xi} e_k^0(\cdot) \right\|_{L^2(M)} \\ &\lesssim 2^{\ell_1/2} \lambda^{\sigma(p_0)} 2^{\ell_1/2} \lambda^{\frac{n-1}{2}} 2^{-s} 2^{-\eta\ell_2} 2^{-\ell_1} \\ &\lesssim \lambda^{\frac{n}{2} - \frac{1}{4}\eta} 2^{-\eta\ell_2} 2^{-s} \end{aligned}$$

and similarly for $\tau \in I_{s,\nu}$

$$\left\| \sum_{|k| \in I'_{s,\nu}} \partial_\tau b_{k,\tau} e_k^0(\cdot) \right\|_{L^{p_0}(M)} \lesssim \lambda^{\frac{n}{2} - \frac{1}{4}\eta} 2^{-\eta\ell_2} 2^{-s} (2^{-s} + 2^{-\ell_0}).$$

By applying Lemma 3.1 with $\delta = 2^{\ell_0}$, we have for $\tau_\ell \in I_{s,\nu}$

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_\nu \left| \int \sum_{\tau_\ell \in I_{s,\nu}} \sum_k b_{k,\tau_\ell} \overline{e_k^0(z)} V(z) e_{\tau_\ell}(z) \overline{e_{\tau_\ell}(x)} dz \right| \\ \lesssim \|V\|_{L^{\frac{n}{2-\eta}}(M)} \lambda^{\frac{n}{2} - \frac{1}{4}\eta} 2^{-\eta\ell_2} 2^{-s} \cdot 2^{\ell_0/2} \lambda^{\sigma(p_0)} \sum_\nu \left(\sum_{\tau_\ell \in I_{s,\nu}} |e_{\tau_\ell}(x)|^2 \right)^{1/2} \\ \lesssim \|V\|_{L^{\frac{n}{2-\eta}}(M)} \lambda^{\frac{n+1}{2} - \frac{1}{2}\eta} 2^{-\eta\ell_2} 2^{-s} \cdot 2^{\ell_0/2} (2^{ns/2} + 2^{\frac{n-1}{2}s} 2^{\ell_0/2} \cdot 2^s 2^{-\ell_0}). \end{aligned}$$

Summing over s, ℓ_1, ℓ_2 , we get the desired bound $\lambda^{n - \frac{3}{2}\eta} \log \lambda$.

Case 3. $2^{\ell_1} > 100\lambda, 2^{\ell_2} \leq 10\lambda$.

It suffices to estimate

$$(3.9) \quad \sum_{j,k,\tau_\ell} \beta_{\ell_1}(\tau_\ell - |k|)\beta_{\ell_2}(\tau_\ell - |j|) \int a_{jk\tau_\ell} e_j^0(x) V_{jk} \overline{e_k^0(z)} V(z) e_{\tau_\ell}(z) \overline{e_{\tau_\ell}(x)} dz$$

for each integer ℓ_1 and ℓ_2 satisfying $2^{\ell_1} > 100\lambda, 2^{\ell_2} \leq 10\lambda$. Let $\tau_\ell \approx 2^s \leq 2\lambda$. We divide the interval $[1, 2\lambda]$ as in Case 1.

If $|j| + \tau_\ell > \lambda/20$, then

$$|a_{jk\tau_\ell}| \lesssim 2^{-4\ell_1} + \lambda^{-1} 2^{-2\ell_1} 2^{-\ell_2}.$$

If $|j| + \tau_\ell \leq \lambda/20$, then

$$|a_{jk\tau_\ell}| \lesssim 2^{-4\ell_1} + 2^{-2\ell_1} 2^{-\ell_2} 2^{-s} \lambda^{-N}, \quad \forall N.$$

So we always have

$$|a_{jk\tau_\ell}| \lesssim \lambda^{-1} 2^{-2\ell_1} 2^{-\ell_2}$$

and similarly

$$|\partial_{\tau_\ell} a_{jk\tau_\ell}| \lesssim \lambda^{-1} 2^{-2\ell_1} 2^{-\ell_2} (2^{-s} + 2^{-\ell_0}).$$

Let

$$b_{k,\tau_\ell} = \sum_j \beta_{\ell_1}(\tau_\ell - |k|)\beta_{\ell_2}(\tau_\ell - |j|) a_{jk\tau_\ell} e_j^0(x) V_{jk}.$$

Note that for fixed k and τ_ℓ , the number of choices of $j \in \mathbb{Z}^n$ satisfying $||j| - \tau_\ell| \lesssim 2^{\ell_2}$ and $|j| \lesssim \lambda$ is bounded by $\lambda^{n-1} 2^{\ell_2}$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} |b_{k,\tau_\ell}| &\lesssim \lambda^{n-1} 2^{\ell_2} 2^{-(n+2-\eta)\ell_1} \cdot \lambda^{-1} 2^{-\ell_2} 2^{-2\ell_1} \\ &\lesssim \lambda^{n-2} 2^{-(n-\eta)\ell_1} \end{aligned}$$

and similarly,

$$|\partial_{\tau_\ell} b_{k,\tau_\ell}| \lesssim \lambda^{n-2} 2^{-(n-\eta)\ell_1} (2^{-s} + 2^{-\ell_0}).$$

By using the spectral projection bounds in Lemma 1.1, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| \sum_{|k| \approx 2^{\ell_1}} b_{k,\xi} e_k^0(\cdot) \right\|_{L^{p_0}(M)} &\lesssim 2^{\ell_1/2} 2^{\sigma(p_0)\ell_1} 2^{\frac{n\ell_1}{2}} \cdot \lambda^{n-2} 2^{-(n-\eta)\ell_1} \\ &\lesssim \lambda^{n-2} 2^{(-n/2+1-\frac{5}{4}\eta)\ell_1} \end{aligned}$$

and similarly for $\tau \in I_{s,\nu}$

$$\left\| \sum_{|k| \approx 2^{\ell_1}} \partial_\tau b_{k,\tau} e_k^0(\cdot) \right\|_{L^{p_0}(M)} \lesssim \lambda^{n-2} 2^{(-n/2+1-\frac{5}{4}\eta)\ell_1} (2^{-s} + 2^{-\ell_0}).$$

By applying Lemma 3.1 with $\delta = 2^{\ell_0}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\sum_\nu \left| \int \sum_{\tau_\ell \in I_{s,\nu}} \sum_k b_{k,\tau_\ell} e_k^0(z) V(z) e_{\tau_\ell}(z) \overline{e_{\tau_\ell}(x)} dz \right| \\ &\lesssim \|V\|_{L^{\frac{n}{2-\eta}}(M)} \lambda^{n-2} 2^{(-n/2+1-\frac{5}{4}\eta)\ell_1} \cdot 2^{\ell_0/2} \lambda^{\sigma(p_0)} \sum_\nu \left(\sum_{\tau_\ell \in I_{s,\nu}} |e_{\tau_\ell}(x)|^2 \right)^{1/2} \\ &\lesssim \|V\|_{L^{\frac{n}{2-\eta}}(M)} \lambda^{n-\frac{3}{2}-\frac{1}{4}\eta} 2^{(-n/2+1-\frac{5}{4}\eta)\ell_1} \cdot 2^{\ell_0/2} (2^{ns/2} + 2^{\ell_0/2} 2^{\frac{n-1}{2}s} \cdot 2^s 2^{-\ell_0}). \end{aligned}$$

Note that $-n/2+1-\frac{5}{4}\eta < 0$. Summing over ℓ_1, s, ℓ_2 , we get the desired bound $\lambda^{n-\frac{3}{2}\eta} \log \lambda$.

Case 4. $2^{\ell_1} > 100\lambda$, $2^{\ell_2} > 10\lambda$.

It suffices to estimate

$$(3.10) \quad \sum_{j,k,\tau_\ell} \beta_{\ell_1}(\tau_\ell - |k|)\beta_{\ell_2}(\tau_\ell - |j|) \int a_{jk\tau_\ell} e_j^0(x) V_{jk} \overline{e_k^0(z)} V(z) e_{\tau_\ell}(z) \overline{e_{\tau_\ell}(x)} dz$$

for each integer ℓ_1 and ℓ_2 satisfying $2^{\ell_1} > 100\lambda$, $2^{\ell_2} > 10\lambda$.

Recall that

$$\begin{aligned} a_{jk\tau_\ell} &= \frac{h(|k|) - h(|j|)}{(|k|^2 - |j|^2)(|k|^2 - \tau_\ell^2)} + \frac{h(\tau_\ell) - h(|j|)}{(\tau_\ell^2 - |j|^2)(\tau_\ell^2 - |k|^2)} \\ &= a_{jk\tau_\ell}^0 + a_{jk\tau_\ell}^1. \end{aligned}$$

We first handle $a_{jk\tau_\ell}^1$. We write

$$\begin{aligned} a_{jk\tau_\ell}^1 &= \frac{h(\tau_\ell)}{(\tau_\ell^2 - |j|^2)(\tau_\ell^2 - |k|^2)} - \frac{h(|j|)}{(\tau_\ell^2 - |j|^2)(\tau_\ell^2 - |k|^2)} \\ &= c_{jk\tau_\ell}^0 + c_{jk\tau_\ell}^1. \end{aligned}$$

The arguments that we shall use to control terms involving $c_{jk\tau_\ell}^0$ and $c_{jk\tau_\ell}^1$ are similar, so for simplicity we shall only give the details for $c_{jk\tau_\ell}^0$ here. Recall that by definition,

$$V_{jk} = \int_M \overline{e_j^0(y)} e_k^0(y) V(y) dy.$$

Thus, it suffices to estimate

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{j,k,\tau_\ell} \beta_{\ell_1}(\tau_\ell - |k|)\beta_{\ell_2}(\tau_\ell - |j|) \iint c_{jk\tau_\ell}^0 e_j^0(x) \overline{e_j^0(y)} e_k^0(y) V(y) \overline{e_k^0(z)} V(z) e_{\tau_\ell}(z) \overline{e_{\tau_\ell}(x)} dz dy \\ &= \sum_{\tau_\ell \in [1, 2\lambda]} \iint K_2(\tau_\ell, x, y) K_1(\tau_\ell, y, z) h(\tau_\ell) V(y) V(z) e_{\tau_\ell}(z) \overline{e_{\tau_\ell}(x)} dz dy \end{aligned}$$

where

$$K_\nu(\tau_\ell, x, y) = \sum_j \frac{\beta_{\ell_\nu}(\tau_\ell - |j|)}{\tau_\ell^2 - |j|^2} e_j^0(x) \overline{e_j^0(y)}, \quad \nu = 1, 2.$$

Then $K_\nu(\tau_\ell, x, y)$ are pseudo-differential operators and their kernels satisfy

$$(3.11) \quad |K_\nu(\tau_\ell, x, y)| \lesssim 2^{(n-2)\ell_\nu} (1 + 2^{\ell_\nu} |x - y|)^{-N}, \quad \forall N.$$

See e.g. [16, Lemma 8], [28, Theorem 4.3.1], [30, Prop.1 on page 241]. Moreover, by using the rough eigenfunction bound (1.1),

$$(3.12) \quad \sum_{\tau_\ell \in [1, 2\lambda]} |h(\tau_\ell) e_{\tau_\ell}(z) \overline{e_{\tau_\ell}(x)}| \lesssim \lambda^n, \quad \forall z, x \in M.$$

By (3.12) and using Hölder's inequality twice, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{\tau_\ell \in [1, 2\lambda]} \iint K_2(\tau_\ell, x, y) K_1(\tau_\ell, y, z) h(\tau_\ell) V(y) V(z) e_{\tau_\ell}(z) \overline{e_{\tau_\ell}(x)} dz dy \\ & \lesssim \lambda^n \|V\|_{L^{\frac{n}{2-\eta}}(M)}^2 \sup_{\tau_\ell, x} \|K_2(\tau_\ell, x, \cdot)\|_{L^{\frac{n}{n-2+\eta}}(M)} \cdot \sup_{\tau_\ell, y} \|K_1(\tau_\ell, y, \cdot)\|_{L^{\frac{n}{n-2+\eta}}(M)} \\ & \lesssim \lambda^n 2^{-\ell_1 \eta} 2^{-\ell_2 \eta}. \end{aligned}$$

Summing over ℓ_1, ℓ_2 , we get the desired bound $\lambda^{n-2\eta}$.

For the other term $c_{jk\tau_\ell}^1$, we just need to replace K_2 above by

$$K_2(\tau_\ell, x, y) = \sum_j \frac{\beta_{\ell_2}(\tau_\ell - |j|)}{\tau_\ell^2 - |j|^2} h(|j|) e_j^0(x) \overline{e_j^0(y)}$$

and the kernel estimate (3.11) still holds. Moreover, by using the rough eigenfunction bound (1.1), we have

$$\sum_{\tau_\ell \in [1, 2\lambda]} |e_{\tau_\ell}(z) \overline{e_{\tau_\ell}(x)}| \lesssim \lambda^n, \quad \forall z, x \in M.$$

Then we can get the same bound $\lambda^{n-2\eta}$ by repeating the argument above.

Next, we handle $a_{jk\tau_\ell}^0$. We consider three subcases separately: $2^{\ell_2} \approx 2^{\ell_1}$, $2^{\ell_2} < 2^{\ell_1-3}$, $2^{\ell_2} > 2^{\ell_1+3}$.

(i). $2^{\ell_1} \approx 2^{\ell_2}$, namely $|\ell_1 - \ell_2| \leq 3$.

In this case, $|k| \approx |j| \approx 2^{\ell_1}$, so by the mean value theorem,

$$\left| \frac{h(|k|) - h(|j|)}{|k|^2 - |j|^2} \right| \lesssim 2^{-\ell_1} |h'(|k|)| \lesssim 2^{-\ell_1} 2^{-\ell_0} 2^{-N\ell_1}, \quad \forall N.$$

Then

$$|a_{jk\tau_\ell}^0| \lesssim 2^{-N\ell_1}.$$

By (??) and Hölder's inequality,

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \sum_{j,k,\tau_\ell} \beta_{\ell_1}(\tau_\ell - |k|) \beta_{\ell_2}(\tau_\ell - |j|) \iint a_{jk\tau_\ell}^0 e_j^0(x) \overline{e_j^0(y)} V(y) e_k^0(y) \overline{e_k^0(z)} V(z) e_{\tau_\ell}(z) \overline{e_{\tau_\ell}(x)} dz \right| \\ & \lesssim \|V\|_{L^1(M)}^2 \sum_{|j| \approx 2^{\ell_1}} \sum_{|k| \approx 2^{\ell_1}} 2^{-3\ell_1} 2^{-\ell_0} 2^{-N(\ell_1 - \ell_0)} \cdot \lambda^n \\ & \approx 2^{2n\ell_1} 2^{-N\ell_1} \cdot \lambda^n. \end{aligned}$$

Summing over ℓ_1, ℓ_2 , we get λ^{3n-N} , which is bounded by a constant for large N .

(ii). $2^{\ell_2} > 2^{\ell_1+3}$.

In this case, $2^{\ell_2} \approx |j| \geq 2|k| \approx 2^{\ell_1}$. We write

$$\begin{aligned} a_{jk\tau_\ell}^0 &= \frac{h(|k|)}{(|k|^2 - |j|^2)(|k|^2 - \tau_\ell^2)} - \frac{h(|j|)}{(|k|^2 - |j|^2)(|k|^2 - \tau_\ell^2)} \\ &= a_{jk\tau_\ell}^0 + d_{jk\tau_\ell}^1 \end{aligned}$$

The second term satisfies

$$|d_{jk\tau_\ell}^1| \lesssim 2^{-N\ell_2}, \quad \forall N,$$

which implies

$$\begin{aligned}
& \left| \sum_{j,k,\tau_\ell} \beta_{\ell_1}(\tau_\ell - |k|) \beta_{\ell_2}(\tau_\ell - |j|) \iint d_{jk\tau_\ell}^1 e_j^0(x) \overline{e_j^0(y)} V(y) e_k^0(y) \overline{e_k^0(z)} V(z) e_{\tau_\ell}(z) \overline{e_{\tau_\ell}(x)} dz \right| \\
& \lesssim \|V\|_{L^1(M)}^2 \sum_{|j| \approx 2^{\ell_2}} \sum_{|k| \approx 2^{\ell_1}} 2^{-N\ell_2} \cdot \lambda^n \\
& \approx 2^{n\ell_2} 2^{n\ell_1} 2^{-N\ell_2} \cdot \lambda^n.
\end{aligned}$$

Summing over ℓ_1, ℓ_2 , we get λ^{3n-N} , which is bounded by a constant for large N .

It remains to deal with $d_{jk\tau_\ell}^0$. We write

$$\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{j,k,\tau_\ell} \beta_{\ell_1}(\tau_\ell - |k|) \beta_{\ell_2}(\tau_\ell - |j|) \iint d_{jk\tau_\ell}^0 e_j^0(x) \overline{e_j^0(y)} e_k^0(y) \overline{e_k^0(z)} V(y) \overline{e_k^0(z)} V(z) e_{\tau_\ell}(z) \overline{e_{\tau_\ell}(x)} dz dy \\
& = \sum_{k,\tau_\ell} \iint K_2(\tau_\ell, k, x, y) K_1(\tau_\ell, k, y, z) V(y) V(z) e_{\tau_\ell}(z) \overline{e_{\tau_\ell}(x)} dz dy
\end{aligned}$$

where

$$K_2(\tau_\ell, k, x, y) = \sum_j \frac{\beta_{\ell_2}(\tau_\ell - |j|)}{|k|^2 - |j|^2} e_j^0(x) \overline{e_j^0(y)},$$

and

$$K_1(\tau_\ell, k, y, z) = \frac{\beta_{\ell_1}(\tau_\ell - |k|)}{|k|^2 - \tau_\ell^2} h(|k|) e_k^0(y) \overline{e_k^0(z)}.$$

As before, K_2 is a pseudo-differential operators and its kernel $K_2(\tau_\ell, k, x, y)$ satisfies

$$(3.13) \quad \sup_{\tau_\ell, k} |K_2(\tau_\ell, k, x, y)| \lesssim 2^{(n-2)\ell_2} (1 + 2^{\ell_2} |x - y|)^{-N} \quad \forall N,$$

Moreover,

$$(3.14) \quad \sup_{\tau_\ell, k, y, z} |K_1(\tau_\ell, k, y, z)| \lesssim 2^{-N\ell_1}, \quad \forall N.$$

By Hölder's inequality and (??), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{|k| \approx 2^{\ell_1}} \sum_{\tau_\ell} \iint K_2(\tau_\ell, k, x, y) K_1(\tau_\ell, k, x, y) V(y) V(z) e_{\tau_\ell}(z) \overline{e_{\tau_\ell}(x)} dz dy \\
& \lesssim 2^{n\ell_1} \lambda^n \|V\|_{L^{\frac{n}{2-\eta}}(M)} \|V\|_{L^1(M)} \sup_{\tau_\ell, k, x} \|K_2(\tau_\ell, k, x, \cdot)\|_{L^{\frac{n}{n-2+\eta}}(M)} \sup_{\tau_\ell, k, y} \|K_1(\tau_\ell, k, y, \cdot)\|_{L^\infty(M)} \\
& \lesssim 2^{n\ell_1} \lambda^n 2^{-\eta\ell_2} 2^{-N\ell_1}.
\end{aligned}$$

Summing over ℓ_1, ℓ_2 , we get $\lambda^{2n-\eta-N}$, which is bounded by a constant for large N .

(iii). $2^{\ell_2} < 2^{\ell_1-3}$.

The argument is similar to (ii), but we give the details for completeness. In this case, $2^{\ell_1} \approx |k| \geq 2|j| \approx 2^{\ell_2}$. As before, we write

$$\begin{aligned}
a_{jk\tau_\ell}^0 &= \frac{h(|k|)}{(|k|^2 - |j|^2)(|k|^2 - \tau_\ell^2)} - \frac{h(|j|)}{(|k|^2 - |j|^2)(|k|^2 - \tau_\ell^2)} \\
&= d_{jk\tau_\ell}^0 + d_{jk\tau_\ell}^1
\end{aligned}$$

The first term satisfies

$$|d_{jk\tau_\ell}^0| \lesssim 2^{-N\ell_1}, \quad \forall N.$$

which implies

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \sum_{j,k,\tau_\ell} \beta_{\ell_1}(\tau_\ell - |k|) \beta_{\ell_2}(\tau_\ell - |j|) \iint d_{jk\tau_\ell}^0 e_j^0(x) \overline{e_j^0(y)} V(y) e_k^0(y) \overline{e_k^0(z)} V(z) e_{\tau_\ell}(z) \overline{e_{\tau_\ell}(x)} dz \right| \\ & \lesssim \|V\|_{L^1(M)}^2 \sum_{|j| \approx 2^{\ell_2}} \sum_{|k| \approx 2^{\ell_1}} 2^{-N\ell_1} \cdot \lambda^n \\ & \approx 2^{n\ell_2} 2^{n\ell_1} 2^{-N\ell_1} \cdot \lambda^n. \end{aligned}$$

Summing over ℓ_1, ℓ_2 we get λ^{3n-N} , which is bounded by a constant for large N .

Now it remains to handle $d_{jk\tau_\ell}^1$. We write

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{j,k,\tau_\ell} \beta_{\ell_1}(\tau_\ell - |k|) \beta_{\ell_2}(\tau_\ell - |j|) \iint d_{jk\tau_\ell}^1 e_j^0(x) \overline{e_j^0(y)} e_k^0(y) \overline{e_k^0(z)} V(y) \overline{e_k^0(z)} V(z) e_{\tau_\ell}(z) \overline{e_{\tau_\ell}(x)} dz dy \\ & = \sum_{\tau_\ell, j} \iint K_2(\tau_\ell, j, x, y) K_1(\tau_\ell, j, y, z) V(y) V(z) e_{\tau_\ell}(z) \overline{e_{\tau_\ell}(x)} dz dy \end{aligned}$$

where

$$K_2(\tau_\ell, j, x, y) = \beta_{\ell_2}(\tau_\ell - |j|) h(|j|) e_j^0(x) \overline{e_j^0(y)},$$

and

$$K_1(\tau_\ell, j, y, z) = \sum_k \frac{\beta_{\ell_1}(\tau_\ell - |k|)}{(|k|^2 - |j|^2)(|k|^2 - |\tau_\ell|^2)} e_k^0(y) \overline{e_k^0(z)}.$$

As before, K_1 is a pseudo-differential operator and its kernel $K_1(\tau_\ell, j, y, z)$ satisfies

$$(3.15) \quad \sup_{\tau_\ell, j} |K_1(\tau_\ell, j, y, z)| \lesssim 2^{(n-4)\ell_1} (1 + 2^{\ell_1}|y-z|)^{-N}, \quad \forall N,$$

Moreover,

$$\sup_{\tau_\ell, j, x, y} |K_2(\tau_\ell, j, x, y)| \lesssim 2^{-N\ell_2}, \quad \forall N.$$

By Hölder's inequality and (??), we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{|j| \approx 2^{\ell_2}} \sum_{\tau_\ell} \iint K_2(\tau_\ell, j, x, y) K_1(\tau_\ell, j, y, z) V(y) V(z) e_{\tau_\ell}(z) \overline{e_{\tau_\ell}(x)} dz dy \\ & \lesssim 2^{n\ell_2} \lambda^n \|V\|_{L^{\frac{n}{2-\eta}}(M)} \|V\|_{L^1(M)} \sup_{\tau_\ell, j, x} \|K_2(\tau_\ell, j, x, \cdot)\|_{L^\infty(M)} \sup_{\tau_\ell, j, y} \|K_1(\tau_\ell, j, y, \cdot)\|_{L^{\frac{n}{n-2+\eta}}(M)} \\ & \lesssim 2^{n\ell_2} \lambda^n 2^{-N\ell_2} 2^{-\ell_1(\eta+2)}. \end{aligned}$$

Summing over ℓ_1, ℓ_2 , we get $\lambda^{2n-2-\eta-N}$, which is bounded by a constant for large N .

3.2. High frequencies.

In this section we shall handle the high frequencies $\tau_\ell > 2\lambda$. Our goal is to show that

$$(3.1) \quad \left| \sum_{j,k} \sum_{\tau_\ell > 2\lambda} \int a_{jk\tau_\ell} e_j^0(x) \overline{V_{jk} e_k^0(z)} V(z) e_{\tau_\ell}(z) \overline{e_{\tau_\ell}(x)} dz \right| \lesssim \lambda^{n-\frac{3}{2}\eta} \log \lambda.$$

We shall divide our discussion into the following cases.

Case 1. $|\tau_\ell| \approx |k|$.

Fix a smooth bump function $\phi \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R})$ satisfying $\mathbf{1}_{[0.9,1.1]} \leq \phi \leq \mathbf{1}_{[0.8,1.2]}$. Our current task is to show that

$$(3.2) \quad \left| \sum_{j,k} \sum_{\tau_\ell > 2\lambda} \phi(|k|/\tau_\ell) \int a_{jk\tau_\ell} e_j^0(x) V_{jk} \overline{e_k^0(z)} V(z) e_{\tau_\ell}(z) \overline{e_{\tau_\ell}(x)} dz \right| \lesssim \lambda^{n-\frac{3}{2}\eta}.$$

Let $\tau_\ell \in [2^s, 2^{s+1}]$, $2^s > 2\lambda$. In this case, we have $0.8\tau_\ell \leq |k| \leq 1.2\tau_\ell$, and then $|k| \approx 2^s$. If $|j| > 1.3\tau_\ell$, then $|j| > \frac{13}{12}|k|$ and

$$|a_{jk\tau_\ell}| \lesssim |j|^{-4} 2^{-Ns}, \quad \forall N.$$

If $0.7\tau_\ell \leq |j| \leq 1.3\tau_\ell$, then by mean value theorem

$$|a_{jk\tau_\ell}| \lesssim 2^{-Ns}, \quad \forall N.$$

If $|j| < 0.7\tau_\ell$, then by mean value theorem

$$|a_{jk\tau_\ell}| \lesssim 2^{-4s}.$$

Let

$$b_{k,\tau_\ell} = \sum_j \phi(|k|/\tau_\ell) a_{jk\tau_\ell} e_j^0(x) V_{jk}.$$

Then we get

$$|b_{k,\tau_\ell}| \lesssim \lambda^n 2^{(-n-2-\eta)s}$$

and similarly

$$|\partial_{\tau_\ell} b_{k,\tau_\ell}| \lesssim \lambda^n 2^{(-n-2-\eta)s} 2^{-s}.$$

Thus by Sobolev inequality, we have

$$(3.3) \quad \begin{aligned} \left\| \sum_{|k| \approx 2^s} b_{k,2^s} e_k^0(\cdot) \right\|_{L^{p_0}(M)} &\lesssim 2^{s/2} 2^{\sigma(p_0)s} \cdot 2^{ns/2} \cdot \lambda^n 2^{(-n-2-\eta)s} \\ &\lesssim \lambda^n 2^{(-n/2-1-\frac{5}{4}\eta)s} \end{aligned}$$

And similarly for $\tau \in [2^s, 2^{s+1}]$

$$(3.4) \quad \left\| \sum_{|k| \approx 2^s} \partial_\tau b_{k,\tau} e_k^0(\cdot) \right\|_{L^{p_0}(M)} \lesssim \lambda^n \lambda^n 2^{(-n/2-1-\frac{5}{4}\eta)s} 2^{-s}.$$

In view of (3.3) and (3.4), by applying Lemma 3.1 with $\delta = 2^s$, we have

$$(3.5) \quad \begin{aligned} &\left| \int \sum_{\tau_\ell \in [2^s, 2^{s+1}]} \sum_k b_{k,\tau_\ell} \overline{e_k^0(z)} V(z) e_{\tau_\ell}(z) \overline{e_{\tau_\ell}(x)} dz \right| \\ &\lesssim \|V\|_{L^{\frac{n}{2-\eta}}(M)} \lambda^n 2^{(-n/2-1-\frac{5}{4}\eta)s} \cdot 2^{s/2} 2^{\sigma(p_0)s} \left(\sum_{\tau_\ell \in [2^s, 2^{s+1}]} |e_{\tau_\ell}(x)|^2 \right)^{1/2} \\ &\lesssim \|V\|_{L^{\frac{n}{2-\eta}}(M)} \lambda^n 2^{(-n/2-1-\frac{5}{4}\eta)s} \cdot 2^{s/2} 2^{(\frac{1}{2}-\frac{1}{4}\eta)s} 2^{ns/2}. \end{aligned}$$

Summing over s , we get the desired bound $\lambda^{n-\frac{3}{2}\eta}$.

Case 2. $|\tau_\ell| \lesssim |k|$.

Let $\rho_1 = (1 - \phi)\mathbb{1}_{(1, \infty)} \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R})$. We have $\mathbb{1}_{[1.2, \infty)} \leq \rho_1 \leq \mathbb{1}_{[1.1, \infty)}$. Our goal in this section is

$$(3.6) \quad \left| \sum_{j,k} \sum_{\tau_\ell > 2\lambda} \rho_1(|k|/\tau_\ell) \int a_{jk\tau_\ell} e_j^0(x) V_{jk} \overline{e_k^0(z)} V(z) e_{\tau_\ell}(z) \overline{e_{\tau_\ell}(x)} dz \right| \lesssim \lambda^{n - \frac{3}{2}\eta} \log \lambda.$$

Let $\tau_\ell \in [2^s, 2^{s+1}]$, $2^s > 2\lambda$. In this case, we have $|k| \geq 1.1\tau_\ell > 2.2\lambda$. We just need to estimate

$$\sum_{\tau_\ell \in [2^s, 2^{s+1}]} \sum_{j,k} \rho_1(|k|/\tau_\ell) \beta_{\ell_1}(|k|) \beta_{\ell_2}(|j|) \int a_{jk\tau_\ell} e_j^0(x) V_{jk} \overline{e_k^0(z)} V(z) e_{\tau_\ell}(z) \overline{e_{\tau_\ell}(x)} dz$$

for $\ell_1 \geq s, \ell_2 \geq \ell_0$. We consider two cases separately: $2^{\ell_2} \leq 2^{s+2}$, $2^{\ell_2} > 2^{s+2}$.

Case 2.1. $2^{\ell_2} \leq 2^{s+2}$.

In this case, we have $|k| \pm \tau_\ell \approx |k| + |j| \approx |k| \approx 2^{\ell_1}$, $\tau_\ell + |j| \approx 2^s$. So we get for all N ,

$$|a_{jk\tau_\ell}^0| \lesssim \begin{cases} 2^{-4\ell_1}, & |j| \leq 1.2\lambda \\ 2^{-4\ell_1} 2^{-N\ell_2}, & 1.2\lambda < |j| < 0.8|k| \\ 2^{-N\ell_1}, & |j| \geq 0.8|k| \end{cases}$$

and

$$|a_{jk\tau_\ell}^1| \lesssim \begin{cases} 2^{-2\ell_1} 2^{-2s}, & |j| < 1.2\lambda \\ 2^{-2\ell_1} 2^{-2s} 2^{-N\ell_2}, & 1.2\lambda < |j| < 0.8\tau_\ell \\ 2^{-2\ell_1} 2^{-Ns}, & |j| \geq 0.8\tau_\ell. \end{cases}$$

So we have

$$|a_{jk\tau_\ell}| \lesssim \begin{cases} 2^{-2\ell_1} 2^{-2s}, & |j| \leq 1.2\lambda \\ 2^{-2\ell_1} 2^{-2s} 2^{-N\ell_2}, & 1.2\lambda < |j| < 0.8|k| \\ 2^{-2\ell_1} 2^{-Ns}, & |j| \geq 0.8|k|. \end{cases}$$

Let

$$b_{k,\tau_\ell} = \sum_j \rho_1(|k|/\tau_\ell) \beta_{\ell_1}(|k|) \beta_{\ell_2}(|j|) a_{jk\tau_\ell} e_j^0(x) V_{jk}.$$

Then we have

$$|b_{k,\tau_\ell}| \lesssim \lambda^n 2^{(-n-\eta)\ell_1} 2^{-2s}$$

and similarly

$$|\partial_{\tau_\ell} b_{k,\tau_\ell}| \lesssim \lambda^n 2^{(-n-\eta)\ell_1} 2^{-3s}.$$

By using Sobolev inequality, we have

$$(3.7) \quad \begin{aligned} \left\| \sum_{|k| \approx 2^{\ell_1}} b_{k,2^s} e_k^0(\cdot) \right\|_{L^{p_0}(M)} &\lesssim 2^{\ell_1/2} 2^{\sigma(p_0)\ell_1} 2^{n\ell_1/2} \cdot \lambda^n 2^{(-n-\eta)\ell_1} 2^{-2s} \\ &\approx \lambda^n 2^{(-n/2+1-\frac{5}{4}\eta)\ell_1} 2^{-2s}. \end{aligned}$$

And similarly for $\tau \in [2^s, 2^{s+1}]$

$$(3.8) \quad \left\| \sum_{|k| \approx 2^{\ell_1}} \partial_\tau b_{k,\tau} e_k^0(\cdot) \right\|_{L^{p_0}(M)} \lesssim \lambda^n 2^{(-n/2+1-\frac{5}{4}\eta)\ell_1} 2^{-3s}.$$

In view of (3.7), by applying Lemma 3.1 with $\delta = 2^s$, we have

$$(3.9) \quad \begin{aligned} & \left| \int \sum_{\tau_\ell \in [2^s, 2^{s+1}]} \sum_k b_{k, \tau_\ell} e_k^0(z) V(z) e_{\tau_\ell}(z) \overline{e_{\tau_\ell}(x)} dz \right| \\ & \lesssim \|V\|_{L^{\frac{n}{2-\eta}}(M)} \lambda^n 2^{(-n/2+1-\frac{5}{4}\eta)\ell_1} 2^{-2s} \cdot 2^{s/2} 2^{\sigma(p_0)s} 2^{ns/2} \\ & \quad \|V\|_{L^{\frac{n}{2-\eta}}(M)} \lambda^n 2^{(-n/2+1-\frac{5}{4}\eta)\ell_1} 2^{(n/2-1-\frac{1}{4}\eta)s} \end{aligned}$$

Note that $-n/2+1-\frac{5}{4}\eta < 0$. Summing over ℓ_1, s, ℓ_2 , we get the desired bound $\lambda^{n-\frac{3}{2}\eta} \log \lambda$.

Case 2.2. $2^{\ell_2} > 2^{s+2}$.

In this case, we have $|j| \geq 2\tau_\ell$, $|k| > 1.1\tau_\ell$. So we may apply the same method in Case 4 of the low frequencies section.

We shall first deal with the terms involving $a_{jk\tau_\ell}^1$. Let

$$\begin{aligned} a_{jk\tau_\ell}^1 &= \frac{h(\tau_\ell)}{(\tau_\ell^2 - |j|^2)(\tau_\ell^2 - |k|^2)} - \frac{h(|j|)}{(\tau_\ell^2 - |j|^2)(\tau_\ell^2 - |k|^2)} \\ &= c_{jk\tau_\ell}^0 + c_{jk\tau_\ell}^1. \end{aligned}$$

The arguments that we shall use to control terms involving $c_{jk\tau_\ell}^0$ and $c_{jk\tau_\ell}^1$ are similar, for simplicity we shall only give the details for $c_{jk\tau_\ell}^0$ here. Recall that by definition,

$$V_{jk} = \int_M \overline{e_j^0(z)} e_k^0(z) V(z) dz.$$

Thus, it suffices to estimate

$$(3.10) \quad \begin{aligned} & \sum_{\tau_\ell \in [2^s, 2^{s+1}]} \sum_{j, k} \rho_1(|k|/\tau_\ell) \beta_{\ell_1}(|k|) \beta_{\ell_2}(|j|) \\ & \cdot \iint c_{jk\tau_\ell}^0 e_j^0(x) \overline{e_j^0(y)} e_k^0(y) V(y) \overline{e_k^0(z)} V(z) e_{\tau_\ell}(z) \overline{e_{\tau_\ell}(x)} dz dy \\ & = \sum_{\tau_\ell} \iint K_2(\tau_\ell, x, y) K_1(\tau_\ell, y, z) h(\tau_\ell) V(y) V(z) e_{\tau_\ell}(z) \overline{e_{\tau_\ell}(x)} dz dy \end{aligned}$$

where

$$K_1(\tau_\ell, x, y) = \sum_k \rho_1(|k|/\tau_\ell) \frac{\beta_{\ell_1}(|k|)}{\tau_\ell^2 - |k|^2} e_j^0(x) \overline{e_j^0(y)},$$

and

$$K_2(\tau_\ell, x, y) = \sum_j \frac{\beta_{\ell_2}(|j|)}{\tau_\ell^2 - |j|^2} e_j^0(x) \overline{e_j^0(y)}.$$

One can easily use the theory of pseudo-differential operators to see that they satisfy

$$(3.11) \quad |K_\nu(\tau_\ell, x, y)| \lesssim 2^{(n-2)\ell_\nu} (1 + 2^{\ell_\nu} |x - y|)^{-N}, \quad \nu = 1, 2, \quad \forall N.$$

As a result, by direct calculation, we have

$$(3.12) \quad \sup_{\tau_\ell, x} \|K_\nu(\tau_\ell, x, \cdot)\|_{L^{\frac{n}{n-2+\eta}}(M)} \lesssim 2^{-\eta\ell_\nu}, \quad \nu = 1, 2.$$

On the other hand, since $\tau_\ell \geq 2\lambda$, by using the rough eigenfunction bound (1.1) we have

$$(3.13) \quad \sum_{\tau_\ell \in [2^s, 2^{s+1}]} |h(\tau_\ell) e_{\tau_\ell}(z) \overline{e_{\tau_\ell}(x)}| \lesssim 2^{-Ns}, \quad \forall N.$$

By using Hölder's inequality twice, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{\tau_\ell \in [2^s, 2^{s+1}]} \iint K_2(\tau_\ell, x, y) K_1(\tau_\ell, y, z) h(\tau_\ell) V(y) V(z) e_{\tau_\ell}(z) \overline{e_{\tau_\ell}(x)} dz dy \\ & \lesssim 2^{-Ns} \|V\|_{L^{\frac{n}{2-\eta}}(M)}^2 \sup_{\tau_\ell, x} \|K_2(\tau_\ell, x, \cdot)\|_{L^{\frac{n}{n-2+\eta}}(M)} \sup_{\tau_\ell, y} \|K_1(\tau_\ell, y, \cdot)\|_{L^{\frac{n}{n-2+\eta}}(M)} \\ & \lesssim 2^{-Ns} 2^{-\ell_1 \eta} 2^{-\ell_2 \eta}. \end{aligned}$$

After summing over s, ℓ_1, ℓ_2 , this gives us a constant bound for large N .

For the other term $c_{jk\tau_\ell}^1$, we just need to replace K_2 above by

$$K_2(\tau_\ell, x, y) = \sum_j \frac{\beta_{\ell_2}(|j|)}{\tau_\ell^2 - |j|^2} h(|j|) e_j^0(x) \overline{e_j^0(y)}$$

and it satisfies

$$|K_2(\tau_\ell, x, y)| \lesssim 2^{-Ns} 2^{(n-2)\ell_\nu} (1 + 2^{\ell_\nu} |x - y|)^{-N}, \quad \forall N.$$

Moreover, by using the rough eigenfunction bound (1.1) we have

$$\sum_{\tau_\ell \in [2^s, 2^{s+1}]} |e_{\tau_\ell}(z) \overline{e_{\tau_\ell}(x)}| \lesssim 2^{ns}, \quad \forall z, x \in M.$$

Then we can get the same constant bound for large N , by repeating the argument above.

Now we shall deal with terms involving $a_{jk\tau_\ell}^0$, we shall divide our discussion into three cases.

(i). $2^{\ell_1} \approx 2^{\ell_2}$, or in other words $|\ell_1 - \ell_2| \leq 3$.

In this case since $|k|, |j| \geq \tau_\ell \geq 2\lambda$, by the mean value theorem,

$$(3.14) \quad \left| \frac{h(|k|) - h(|j|)}{|k|^2 - |j|^2} \right| \lesssim 2^{-N\ell_1}, \quad \forall N.$$

It is easy to see that

$$(3.15) \quad \begin{aligned} & \left| \sum_{\tau_\ell \in [2^s, 2^{s+1}]} \sum_{j, k} \rho_1(|k|/\tau_\ell) \beta_{\ell_1}(|k|) \beta_{\ell_2}(|j|) \right. \\ & \quad \cdot \int a_{jk\tau_\ell}^0 e_j^0(x) V_{jk} e_k^0(z) V(z) e_{\tau_\ell}(z) \overline{e_{\tau_\ell}(x)} dz \left. \right| \\ & \lesssim 2^{-N\ell_1}. \end{aligned}$$

Summing over s, ℓ_2, ℓ_1 , we get a constant bound for large N .

(ii). $2^{\ell_2} > 2^{\ell_1+3}$.

In this case, $|j| \geq 2|k| \geq 2.2\tau_\ell$. Let

$$\begin{aligned} a_{jk\tau_\ell}^0 &= \frac{h(|k|)}{(|k|^2 - |j|^2)(|k|^2 - \tau_\ell^2)} - \frac{h(|j|)}{(|k|^2 - |j|^2)(|k|^2 - \tau_\ell^2)} \\ &= d_{jk\tau_\ell}^0 + d_{jk\tau_\ell}^1 \end{aligned}$$

Note that

$$(3.16) \quad |h(|j|)| \lesssim 2^{-N\ell_2}, \quad \forall N,$$

which implies

$$(3.17) \quad \begin{aligned} & \left| \sum_{\tau \in [2^s, 2^{s+1}]} \sum_{j,k} \rho_1(|k|/\tau_\ell) \beta_{\ell_1}(|k|) \beta_{\ell_2}(|j|) \right. \\ & \cdot \left. \int d_{jk\tau_\ell}^1 e_j^0(x) \overline{V_{jk} e_k^0(z)} V(z) e_{\tau_\ell}(z) \overline{e_{\tau_\ell}(x)} dz \right| \\ & \lesssim 2^{-N\ell_2}. \end{aligned}$$

Summing over s, ℓ_1, ℓ_2 , we get a constant bound for large N .

So it suffices to estimate

$$(3.18) \quad \begin{aligned} & \sum_{\tau \in [2^s, 2^{s+1}]} \sum_{j,k} \rho_1(|k|/\tau_\ell) \beta_{\ell_1}(|k|) \beta_{\ell_2}(|j|) \\ & \cdot \iint d_{jk\tau_\ell}^0 e_j^0(x) \overline{e_j^0(y)} e_k^0(y) \overline{V(y) e_k^0(z)} V(z) e_{\tau_\ell}(z) \overline{e_{\tau_\ell}(x)} dz dy \\ & = \sum_{k, \tau_\ell} \iint K_2(\tau_\ell, k, x, y) K_1(\tau_\ell, k, y, z) V(y) \overline{V(z)} e_{\tau_\ell}(z) \overline{e_{\tau_\ell}(x)} dz dy \end{aligned}$$

where

$$K_2(\tau_\ell, k, x, y) = \sum_j \frac{\beta_{\ell_2}(|j|)}{|k|^2 - |j|^2} e_j^0(x) \overline{e_j^0(y)},$$

and

$$K_1(\tau_\ell, k, x, y) = \rho_1(|k|/\tau_\ell) \frac{\beta_{\ell_1}(|k|)}{|k|^2 - |\tau_\ell|^2} h(|k|) e_k^0(y) \overline{e_k^0(z)}.$$

As before, K_2 is a pseudo-differential operator and its kernel satisfies

$$(3.19) \quad |K_2(\tau_\ell, k, x, y)| \lesssim 2^{(n-2)\ell_2} (1 + 2^{\ell_2}|x-y|)^{-N}, \quad \forall N,$$

where the implicit constant is independent of $|k|$. On the other hand,

$$|h(|k|)| \lesssim 2^{-N\ell_1}, \quad \forall N,$$

which implies

$$(3.20) \quad \sup_{\tau_\ell, k, x, y} |K_1(\tau_\ell, k, x, y)| \lesssim 2^{-N\ell_1}, \quad \forall N.$$

By Hölder's inequality and the fact that

$$(3.21) \quad \sum_{\tau_\ell \in [2^s, 2^{s+1}]} |e_{\tau_\ell}(z) \overline{e_{\tau_\ell}(x)}| \lesssim 2^{ns}, \quad \forall z, x \in M,$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{|k| \approx 2^{\ell_1}} \sum_{\tau_\ell \approx 2^s} \iint K_2(\tau_\ell, k, x, y) K_1(\tau_\ell, k, y, z) V(y) V(z) e_{\tau_\ell}(z) \overline{e_{\tau_\ell}(x)} dz dy \\ & \lesssim 2^{n\ell_1} 2^{ns} \|V\|_{L^{\frac{n}{2-\eta}}(M)} \|V\|_{L^1(M)} \sup_{\tau_\ell, x} \|K_2(\tau_\ell, k, x, \cdot)\|_{L^{\frac{n}{n-2+\eta}}(M)} \cdot \sup_{\tau_\ell, y} \|K_1(\tau_\ell, k, y, \cdot)\|_{L^\infty(M)} \\ & \lesssim 2^{ns} 2^{-N\ell_1} 2^{-\eta\ell_2}. \end{aligned}$$

Summing over ℓ_1, ℓ_2, s , we get a constant bound for large N .

(iii). $2^{\ell_2} < 2^{\ell_1-3}$.

In this case, $|k| \geq 2|j| \geq 4\tau_\ell$. Let

$$\begin{aligned} a_{jk\tau_\ell}^0 &= \frac{h(|k|)}{(|k|^2 - |j|^2)(|k|^2 - \tau_\ell^2)} - \frac{h(|j|)}{(|k|^2 - |j|^2)(|k|^2 - \tau_\ell^2)} \\ &= d_{jk\tau_\ell}^0 + d_{jk\tau_\ell}^1 \end{aligned}$$

Note that

$$(3.22) \quad |h(|k|)| \lesssim 2^{-N\ell_1}, \quad \forall N,$$

which implies

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \sum_{\tau \in [2^s, 2^{s+1}]} \sum_{j, k} \rho_1(|k|/\tau_\ell) \beta_{\ell_1}(|k|) \beta_{\ell_2}(|j|) \right. \\ (3.23) \quad & \left. \cdot \int d_{jk\tau_\ell}^0 e_j^0(x) V_{jk} \overline{e_k^0(z)} V(z) e_{\tau_\ell}(z) \overline{e_{\tau_\ell}(x)} dz \right| \\ & \lesssim 2^{-N\ell_1}, \quad \forall N. \end{aligned}$$

Summing over ℓ_1, ℓ_2, s gives us a constant bound for large N .

So it suffices to estimate

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \sum_{\tau \in [2^s, 2^{s+1}]} \sum_{j, k} \rho_1(|k|/\tau_\ell) \beta_{\ell_1}(|k|) \beta_{\ell_2}(|j|) \right. \\ (3.24) \quad & \left. \cdot \iint d_{jk\tau_\ell}^1 e_j^0(x) \overline{e_j^0(y)} e_k^0(y) V(y) \overline{e_k^0(z)} V(z) e_{\tau_\ell}(z) \overline{e_{\tau_\ell}(x)} dz dy \right| \\ & = \sum_{\tau_\ell, j} \iint K_2(\tau_\ell, j, x, y) K_1(\tau_\ell, j, y, z) V(y) V(z) e_{\tau_\ell}(z) \overline{e_{\tau_\ell}(x)} dz dy \end{aligned}$$

where

$$K_2(\tau_\ell, j, x, y) = \beta_{\ell_2}(|j|) h(|j|) e_j^0(x) \overline{e_j^0(y)},$$

and

$$K_1(\tau_\ell, j, y, z) = \sum_k \rho_1(|k|/\tau_\ell) \frac{\beta_{\ell_1}(|k|)}{(|k|^2 - |j|^2)(|k|^2 - |\tau_\ell|^2)} e_k^0(y) \overline{e_k^0(z)}.$$

As before, K_1 is a pseudo-differential operators and its kernel satisfies

$$(3.25) \quad |K_1(\tau_\ell, j, x, y)| \lesssim 2^{(n-4)\ell_1} (1 + 2^{\ell_1}|x - y|)^{-N} \quad \forall N,$$

where the implicit constant is independent of $|j|$. On the other hand,

$$|h(|j|)| \lesssim 2^{-N\ell_2}, \quad \forall N,$$

which implies

$$(3.26) \quad \sup_{\tau_\ell, j, y, z} |K_2(\tau_\ell, j, y, z)| \lesssim 2^{-N\ell_2}, \quad \forall N.$$

By Hölder's inequality and (3.21), we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{|j| \approx 2^{\ell_2}} \sum_{\tau_\ell \approx 2^s} \iint K_2(\tau_\ell, j, x, y) K_1(\tau_\ell, j, y, z) V(y) V(z) e_{\tau_\ell}(z) \overline{e_{\tau_\ell}(x)} dz dy \\ & \lesssim 2^{n\ell_2} 2^{ns} \|V\|_{L^{\frac{n}{2-\eta}}(M)} \|V\|_{L^1(M)} \sup_{\tau_\ell, j, x} \|K_2(\tau_\ell, j, x, \cdot)\|_{L^\infty(M)} \cdot \sup_{\tau_\ell, j, y} \|K_1(\tau_\ell, j, y, \cdot)\|_{L^{\frac{n}{n-2+\eta}}(M)} \\ & \lesssim 2^{ns} 2^{-N\ell_2} 2^{-(\eta+2)\ell_1}. \end{aligned}$$

Summing over ℓ_1, ℓ_2, s , we get a constant bound for large N .

Case 3. $|\tau_\ell| \gtrsim |k|$.

Let $\rho_2 = (1 - \phi)\mathbf{1}_{(-\infty, 1)} \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R})$. We have $\mathbf{1}_{(-\infty, 0.8]} \leq \rho_2 \leq \mathbf{1}_{(-\infty, 0.9]}$. Our goal in this section is

$$(3.27) \quad \left| \sum_{j, k} \sum_{\tau_\ell > 2\lambda} \rho_2(|k|/\tau_\ell) \int a_{jk\tau_\ell} e_j^0(x) V_{jk} \overline{e_k^0(z)} V(z) e_{\tau_\ell}(z) \overline{e_{\tau_\ell}(x)} dz \right| \lesssim \lambda^{n-\frac{3}{2}\eta} \log \lambda.$$

As before, we shall write

$$\begin{aligned} a_{jk\tau_\ell} &= \frac{h(|k|) - h(|j|)}{(|k|^2 - |j|^2)(|k|^2 - \tau_\ell^2)} + \frac{h(\tau_\ell) - h(|j|)}{(\tau_\ell^2 - |j|^2)(\tau_\ell^2 - |k|^2)} \\ &= a_{jk\tau_\ell}^0 + a_{jk\tau_\ell}^1. \end{aligned}$$

Let

$$(3.28) \quad b_k = \sum_j \frac{h(|k|) - h(|j|)}{|k|^2 - |j|^2} e_j^0(x) V_{jk}.$$

Then we claim that

$$(3.29) \quad |b_k| \lesssim \begin{cases} \lambda^{-\eta} \log \lambda, & |k| \leq 10\lambda \\ \lambda^n |k|^{-n-\eta}, & |k| > 10\lambda. \end{cases}$$

Indeed, if $|k| \leq 10\lambda$ and $|j| > 20\lambda$,

$$|b_k| \lesssim \sum_{|j| > 20\lambda} |j|^{-2} |j|^{-n+2-\eta} \lesssim \lambda^{-\eta}.$$

If $|k| \leq 10\lambda$, $|j| \leq 20\lambda$, and $|k| + |j| > \lambda/2$, then

$$|b_k| \lesssim \sum_{1/\lambda \lesssim 2^{\ell_3} \lesssim \lambda} \sum_{2^m \lesssim \lambda} \lambda^{-1} 2^{-\ell_3} 2^{-(n+2-\eta)m} \cdot 2^{(n-1)m} 2^{\ell_3} \lesssim \lambda^{-\eta} \log \lambda.$$

If $|k| \leq 10\lambda$, $|j| \leq 20\lambda$, and $|k| + |j| \leq \lambda/2$, then

$$|b_k| \lesssim \sum_{1/\lambda \lesssim 2^{\ell_3} \lesssim \lambda} \sum_{2^m \lesssim \lambda} 2^{-\ell_3} \lambda^{-N} 2^{-(n+2-\eta)m} \cdot 2^{(n-1)m} 2^{\ell_3} \lesssim \lambda^{1-\eta-N} \log \lambda, \quad \forall N.$$

If $|k| > 10\lambda$ and $|j| \leq 2\lambda$, then

$$|b_k| \lesssim \sum_{|j| \leq 2\lambda} |k|^{-2} |k|^{-n+2-\eta} \lesssim \lambda^n |k|^{-n-\eta}.$$

If $|k| > 10\lambda$, $|j| > 2\lambda$, and $|j| > 2|k|$, then

$$|b_k| \lesssim \sum_{|j| > 2|k|} |j|^{-2} |j|^{-N} |j|^{-n+2-\eta} \lesssim |k|^{-\eta-N}, \quad \forall N.$$

If $|k| > 10\lambda$, $|j| > 2\lambda$, and $|j| < |k|/2$, then

$$|b_k| \lesssim \sum_{|j| < |k|/2} |k|^{-2} |k|^{-N} |k|^{-n+2-\eta} \lesssim |k|^{-\eta-N}, \quad \forall N.$$

If $|k| > 10\lambda$, $|j| > 2\lambda$, and $|k|/2 \leq |j| \leq 2|k|$, then

$$|b_k| \lesssim \sum_{1/|k| \lesssim 2^{\ell_3} \lesssim |k|} \sum_{2^m \lesssim |k|} |k|^{-1} 2^{-\ell_3} |k|^{-N} 2^{-(n+2-\eta)m} \cdot 2^{(n-1)m} 2^{\ell_3} \lesssim |k|^{-\eta-N} \log |k|, \quad \forall N.$$

Thus the claim (3.29) is proved.

We shall first handle the terms involving $a_{jk\tau_\ell}^0$.

For $|k| \in [2^{\ell_1}, 2^{\ell_1+1}]$, we write

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\rho_2(|k|/\tau_\ell)}{|k|^2 - \tau_\ell^2} &= \rho_2(|k|/\tau_\ell) \int_0^\infty e^{t(|k|^2 - \tau_\ell^2)} dt \\ &= \int_0^{2^{-2\ell_1}} e^{t(|k|^2 - \tau_\ell^2)} dt - (1 - \rho_2(|k|/\tau_\ell)) \int_0^{2^{-2\ell_1}} e^{t(|k|^2 - \tau_\ell^2)} dt \\ &\quad - \frac{\rho_2(|k|/\tau_\ell) e^{2^{-2\ell_1}(|k|^2 - \tau_\ell^2)}}{|k|^2 - \tau_\ell^2} \\ &= c_{k,\tau_\ell}^0 - c_{k,\tau_\ell}^1 - c_{k,\tau_\ell}^2. \end{aligned}$$

Case 3.1.1. In this case, we shall deal with the term c_{k,τ_ℓ}^0 . Our goal is to show

$$(3.30) \quad \left| \sum_k \sum_j \sum_{\tau_\ell > 2\lambda} \int \frac{h(|k|) - h(|j|)}{|k|^2 - |j|^2} c_{k,\tau_\ell}^0 e_j^0(x) V_{jk} \overline{e_k^0(z)} V(z) e_{\tau_\ell}(z) \overline{e_{\tau_\ell}(x)} dz \right| \lesssim \lambda^{n-\frac{3}{2}\eta} \log \lambda.$$

We shall divide our task into proving

$$(3.31) \quad \left| \sum_k \sum_j \sum_{\tau_\ell < 2\lambda} \int \frac{h(|k|) - h(|j|)}{|k|^2 - |j|^2} c_{k,\tau_\ell}^0 e_j^0(x) V_{jk} \overline{e_k^0(z)} V(z) e_{\tau_\ell}(z) \overline{e_{\tau_\ell}(x)} dz \right| \lesssim \lambda^{n-\frac{3}{2}\eta} \log \lambda.$$

as well as

$$(3.32) \quad \left| \sum_k \sum_{j,\tau_\ell} \int \frac{h(|k|) - h(|j|)}{|k|^2 - |j|^2} c_{k,\tau_\ell}^0 e_j^0(x) V_{jk} \overline{e_k^0(z)} V(z) e_{\tau_\ell}(z) \overline{e_{\tau_\ell}(x)} dz \right| \lesssim \lambda^{n-2\eta} \log \lambda.$$

Note that by (3.29) and Sobolev inequality, we have for $0 \leq t \leq 2^{-2\ell_1}$

$$(3.33) \quad \begin{aligned} \left\| \sum_{|k| \approx 2^{\ell_1}} b_k e^{t|k|^2} e_k^0(\cdot) \right\|_{L^{p_0}(M)} &\lesssim 2^{\ell_1/2} 2^{\sigma(p_0)\ell_1} \left\| \sum_{|k| \approx 2^{\ell_1}} b_k e^{t|k|^2} e_k^0(\cdot) \right\|_{L^2(M)} \\ &\lesssim \begin{cases} 2^{(\frac{n}{2}+1-\frac{1}{4}\eta)\ell_1} \lambda^{-\eta} \log \lambda, & 2^{\ell_1} \leq 10\lambda, \\ \lambda^n 2^{(-\frac{n}{2}+1-\frac{5}{4}\eta)\ell_1}, & 2^{\ell_1} > 10\lambda. \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

In view of (3.33), by Hölder's inequality, we have for fixed $0 \leq t \leq 2^{-2\ell_1}$

$$(3.34) \quad \begin{aligned} &\left| \int \sum_{|k| \approx 2^{\ell_1}} \sum_{\tau_\ell \leq 2\lambda} b_k e^{t|k|^2} e^{-t\tau_\ell^2} \overline{e_k^0(z)} V(z) e_{\tau_\ell}(z) \overline{e_{\tau_\ell}(x)} dz \right| \\ &\lesssim \|V\|_{L^{\frac{n}{2-\eta}}(M)} \left\| \sum_{|k| \approx 2^{\ell_1}} b_k e^{t|k|^2} e_k^0(\cdot) \right\|_{L^{p_0}(M)} \cdot \lambda^{1/2} \lambda^{\sigma(p_0)} \left(\sum_{\tau_\ell \leq 2\lambda} |e_{\tau_\ell}(x)|^2 \right)^{1/2} \\ &\lesssim \begin{cases} 2^{(\frac{n}{2}+1-\frac{1}{4}\eta)\ell_1} \lambda^{\frac{n}{2}+1-\frac{5}{4}\eta} \log \lambda, & 2^{\ell_1} \leq 10\lambda, \\ \lambda^{3n/2+1-\frac{1}{4}\eta} 2^{(-\frac{n}{2}+1-\frac{5}{4}\eta)\ell_1}, & 2^{\ell_1} > 10\lambda. \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

Integrating over $0 \leq t \leq 2^{-2\ell_1}$ and summing over ℓ_1 , we obtain (3.31).

To prove (3.32), we shall need the heat kernel bounds in Lemma 1.2. By (3.29) and the heat kernel bounds, we have for $t \leq 2^{-2\ell_1}$

$$(3.35) \quad \begin{aligned} &\left| \sum_{|k| \approx 2^{\ell_1}} \sum_{\tau_\ell} \int_0^{2^{-2\ell_1}} b_k e^{t|k|^2} e^{-t\tau_\ell^2} \overline{e_k^0(z)} e_{\tau_\ell}(z) \overline{e_{\tau_\ell}(x)} dt \right| \\ &\lesssim \int_0^{2^{-2\ell_1}} t^{-\frac{n}{2}} e^{-cd_g(z,x)^2/t} dt \cdot \sum_{|k| \approx 2^{\ell_1}} |b_k| \\ &\lesssim K(z,x) \cdot \begin{cases} 2^{n\ell_1} \lambda^{-\eta} \log \lambda, & 2^{\ell_1} \leq 10\lambda, \\ \lambda^n 2^{-\eta\ell_1}, & 2^{\ell_1} > 10\lambda. \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

where

$$K(z,x) = \begin{cases} \log(2 + (2^{\ell_1} d_g(z,x))^{-1}) (1 + 2^{\ell_1} d_g(z,x))^{-N}, & n = 2 \\ d_g(z,x)^{2-n} (1 + 2^{\ell_1} d_g(z,x))^{-N}, & n \geq 3 \end{cases}$$

It is straightforward to check that $\|K(\cdot, x)\|_{L^{\frac{n}{n-2+\eta}}}$ $\lesssim 2^{-\eta\ell_1}$. Thus by Hölder's inequality, we have

$$(3.36) \quad \begin{aligned} &\left| \int \sum_{|k| \approx 2^{\ell_1}} \sum_{\tau_\ell} \int_0^{2^{-2\ell_1}} b_k e^{-t\tau_\ell^2} \overline{e_k^0(z)} V(z) e_{\tau_\ell}(z) \overline{e_{\tau_\ell}(x)} dz \right| \\ &\lesssim \|V\|_{L^{\frac{n}{2-\eta}}(M)} \cdot \begin{cases} 2^{(n-\eta)\ell_1} \lambda^{-\eta} \log \lambda, & 2^{\ell_1} \leq 10\lambda, \\ \lambda^n 2^{-2\eta\ell_1}, & 2^{\ell_1} > 10\lambda. \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

Summing over ℓ_1 , we obtain (3.32).

Case 3.1.2. In this case, we shall deal with the term c_{k,τ_ℓ}^1 . Our goal is to show

$$(3.37) \quad \left| \sum_k \sum_j \sum_{\tau_\ell > 2\lambda} \int \frac{h(|k|) - h(|j|)}{|k|^2 - |j|^2} c_{k,\tau_\ell}^1 e_j^0(x) V_{jk} \overline{e_k^0(z)} V(z) e_{\tau_\ell}(z) \overline{e_{\tau_\ell}(x)} dz \right| \\ \lesssim \lambda^{n - \frac{3}{2}\eta} \log \lambda.$$

For b_k defined as in (3.28), let

$$(3.38) \quad b_{k,\tau_\ell} = b_k(1 - \rho_2(|k|/\tau_\ell)).$$

Let $|k| \in [2^{\ell_1}, 2^{\ell_1+1}]$, $\tau_\ell \in [2^s, 2^{s+1}]$, $2\lambda < 2^s \lesssim 2^{\ell_1}$, $|k| \geq 0.8\tau_\ell$. Then by (3.29) we have

$$(3.39) \quad |b_{k,\tau_\ell}| \lesssim \begin{cases} \lambda^{-\eta} \log \lambda, & 2^{\ell_1} \leq 10\lambda \\ \lambda^n 2^{(-n-\eta)\ell_1}, & 2^{\ell_1} > 10\lambda. \end{cases}$$

as well as

$$(3.40) \quad |\partial_{\tau_\ell} b_{k,\tau_\ell}| \lesssim 2^{-s} \cdot \begin{cases} \lambda^{-\eta} \log \lambda, & 2^{\ell_1} \leq 10\lambda \\ \lambda^n 2^{(-n-\eta)\ell_1}, & 2^{\ell_1} > 10\lambda. \end{cases}$$

Thus by Sobolev inequality, we have for $0 \leq t \leq 2^{-2\ell_1}$

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| \sum_{|k| \approx 2^{\ell_1}} b_{k,2^s} e^{t|k|^2} e_k^0(\cdot) \right\|_{L^{p_0}(M)} &\lesssim 2^{\ell_1/2} 2^{\sigma(p_0)\ell_1} \left\| \sum_{|k| \approx 2^{\ell_1}} b_{k,2^s} e^{t|k|^2} e_k^0(\cdot) \right\|_{L^2(M)} \\ &\lesssim 2^{(n/2+1-\frac{1}{4}\eta)\ell_1} \cdot \sup_{k,\tau_\ell} |b_{k,\tau_\ell}|. \end{aligned}$$

Similarly, we may obtain the estimates of $\partial_\tau b_{k,\tau}$. By applying Lemma 3.1 with $\delta = 2^s$, we have for $0 \leq t \leq 2^{-2\ell_1}$,

$$\begin{aligned} &\left| \int \sum_{\tau_\ell \approx 2^s} \sum_{|k| \approx 2^{\ell_1}} b_{k,\tau_\ell} e^{t|k|^2} e^{-t\tau_\ell^2} \overline{e_k^0(z)} V(z) e_{\tau_\ell}(z) \overline{e_{\tau_\ell}(x)} dz \right| \\ &\lesssim \|V\|_{L^{\frac{n}{2-\eta}}(M)} \left(\sum_{\tau_\ell \approx 2^s} |e_{\tau_\ell}(x)|^2 \right)^{1/2} \cdot 2^{s/2} 2^{\sigma(p_0)s} \cdot 2^{(n/2+1-\frac{1}{4}\eta)\ell_1} \cdot \begin{cases} \lambda^{-\eta} \log \lambda, & 2^{\ell_1} \leq 10\lambda \\ \lambda^n 2^{(-n-\eta)\ell_1}, & 2^{\ell_1} > 10\lambda \end{cases} \\ &\lesssim 2^{(n/2+1-\frac{1}{4}\eta)s} 2^{(n/2+1-\frac{1}{4}\eta)\ell_1} \cdot \begin{cases} \lambda^{-\eta} \log \lambda, & 2^{\ell_1} \leq 10\lambda \\ \lambda^n 2^{(-n-\eta)\ell_1}, & 2^{\ell_1} > 10\lambda. \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

Note that $2\lambda \leq 2^s \lesssim 2^{\ell_1}$. Integrating over $0 \leq t \leq 2^{-2\ell_1}$ and summing over ℓ_1, s , we obtain (3.37).

Case 3.1.3. In this case, we shall deal with the term c_{k,τ_ℓ}^2 . Our goal is to show

$$(3.41) \quad \left| \sum_k \sum_j \sum_{\tau_\ell > 2\lambda} \int \frac{h(|k|) - h(|j|)}{|k|^2 - |j|^2} c_{k,\tau_\ell}^2 e_j^0(x) V_{jk} \overline{e_k^0(z)} V(z) e_{\tau_\ell}(z) \overline{e_{\tau_\ell}(x)} dz \right| \\ \lesssim \lambda^{n - \frac{3}{2}\eta} \log \lambda.$$

For b_k defined as in (3.28), let

$$(3.42) \quad b_{k,\tau_\ell} = \frac{b_k \rho_2(|k|/\tau_\ell)}{|k|^2 - \tau_\ell^2}.$$

Let $|k| \in [2^{\ell_1}, 2^{\ell_1+1}]$, $\tau_\ell \in [2^s, 2^{s+1}]$, $2\lambda < 2^s$, $2^{\ell_1} \lesssim 2^s$, $|k| \leq 0.9\tau_\ell$. Then by (3.29) we have

$$(3.43) \quad |b_{k,\tau_\ell}| \lesssim 2^{-2s} \cdot \begin{cases} \lambda^{-\eta} \log \lambda, & 2^{\ell_1} \leq 10\lambda \\ \lambda^n 2^{(-n-\eta)\ell_1}, & 2^{\ell_1} > 10\lambda. \end{cases}$$

as well as

$$(3.44) \quad |\partial_{\tau_\ell} b_{k,\tau_\ell}| \lesssim 2^{-3s} \cdot \begin{cases} \lambda^{-\eta} \log \lambda, & 2^{\ell_1} \leq 10\lambda \\ \lambda^n 2^{(-n-\eta)\ell_1}, & 2^{\ell_1} > 10\lambda. \end{cases}$$

Thus by Sobolev inequality, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| \sum_{|k| \approx 2^{\ell_1}} b_{k,2^s} e^{2^{-2\ell_1}|k|^2} e_k^0(\cdot) \right\|_{L^{p_0}(M)} &\lesssim 2^{\ell_1/2} 2^{\sigma(p_0)\ell_1} \left\| \sum_{|k| \approx 2^{\ell_1}} b_{k,2^s} e^{2^{-2\ell_1}|k|^2} e_k^0(\cdot) \right\|_{L^2(M)} \\ &\lesssim 2^{(n/2+1-\frac{1}{4}\eta)\ell_1} \cdot \sup_{k,\tau_\ell} |b_{k,\tau_\ell}| \end{aligned}$$

Similarly, we may obtain the estimates of $\partial_\tau b_{k,\tau}$. By applying Lemma 3.1 with $\delta = 2^s$, we have for

$$\begin{aligned} &\left| \int \sum_{\tau_\ell \approx 2^s} \sum_{|k| \approx 2^{\ell_1}} b_{k,\tau_\ell} e^{2^{-2\ell_1}|k|^2} e^{-2^{-2\ell_1}\tau_\ell^2} \overline{e_k^0(z)} V(z) e_{\tau_\ell}(z) \overline{e_{\tau_\ell}(x)} dz \right| \\ &\lesssim \|V\|_{L^{\frac{n}{2-\eta}}(M)} \left(\sum_{\tau_\ell \approx 2^s} |e_{\tau_\ell}(x)|^2 \right)^{1/2} 2^{s/2} 2^{\sigma(p_0)s} e^{-2^{-2\ell_1}2^{2s}} \cdot 2^{(n/2+1-\frac{1}{4}\eta)\ell_1} 2^{-2s} \cdot \begin{cases} \lambda^{-\eta} \log \lambda, & 2^{\ell_1} \leq 10\lambda \\ \lambda^n 2^{(-n-\eta)\ell_1}, & 2^{\ell_1} > 10\lambda \end{cases} \\ &\lesssim (2^{-\ell_1} 2^s)^{-N} 2^{(n/2+1-\frac{1}{4}\eta)\ell_1} 2^{(n/2-1-\frac{1}{4}\eta)s} \cdot \begin{cases} \lambda^{-\eta} \log \lambda, & 2^{\ell_1} \leq 10\lambda \\ \lambda^n 2^{(-n-\eta)\ell_1}, & 2^{\ell_1} > 10\lambda \end{cases}, \forall N. \end{aligned}$$

Note that $2^{\ell_1} \lesssim 2^s$ and $2^s > 2\lambda$. Summing over ℓ_1, s with N large enough, we obtain (3.41).

Now we shall handle the terms involving $a_{jk\tau_\ell}^1$. It suffices to show

$$(3.45) \quad \left| \sum_{j,k} \sum_{\tau_\ell \geq 2\lambda} (1 - \rho_2(|j|/\tau_\ell)) \rho_2(|k|/\tau_\ell) \cdot \int a_{jk\tau_\ell}^1 e_j^0(x) V_{jk} \overline{e_k^0(z)} V(z) e_{\tau_\ell}(z) \overline{e_{\tau_\ell}(x)} dz \right| \lesssim \lambda^{-N}, \quad \forall N,$$

$$(3.46) \quad \left| \sum_{j,k} \sum_{\tau_\ell \geq 2\lambda} \rho_2(|j|/\tau_\ell) \rho_2(|k|/\tau_\ell) \cdot \int a_{jk\tau_\ell}^1 e_j^0(x) V_{jk} \overline{e_k^0(z)} V(z) e_{\tau_\ell}(z) \overline{e_{\tau_\ell}(x)} dz \right| \lesssim \lambda^{n-\frac{3}{2}\eta}.$$

First, let

$$b_{k,\tau_\ell} = \sum_j a_{jk\tau_\ell}^1 e_j^0(x) V_{jk} (1 - \rho_2(|j|/\tau_\ell)) \rho_2(|k|/\tau_\ell).$$

In this case, $|j| \geq 0.8\tau_\ell$ and $|k| \leq 0.9\tau_\ell$, so $|j| > |k|/2$. We claim that

$$(3.47) \quad |b_{k,\tau_\ell}| \lesssim \tau_\ell^{-N}, \quad \forall N.$$

Indeed, if $|j| > 2|k|$, we have

$$|b_{k,\tau_\ell}| \lesssim \sum_{|j| > 0.8\tau_\ell} \tau_\ell^{-2} |j|^{-1} \tau_\ell^{-N} |j|^{-n+2-\eta} \lesssim \tau_\ell^{-N}.$$

If $|j| \leq 2|k|$, we have $|j| \approx |k| \approx \tau_\ell$, and then

$$|b_{k,\tau_\ell}| \lesssim \sum_{2^m \lesssim \tau_\ell} \tau_\ell^{-3} \tau_\ell^{-N} 2^{(-n+2-\eta)m} 2^{nm} \lesssim \tau_\ell^{-N}.$$

Thus the claim (3.47) is proved. Then by rough eigenfunction bounds

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \sum_{|k| < 0.9\tau_\ell} \sum_{\tau_\ell > 2\lambda} \int b_{k,\tau_\ell} \overline{e_k^0(z)} V(z) e_{\tau_\ell}(z) \overline{e_{\tau_\ell}(x)} dz \right| \\ & \lesssim \|V\|_{L^1(M)} \sup_{z,x} \sum_{\tau_\ell > 2\lambda} \tau_\ell^{-N} \cdot \tau_\ell^n |e_{\tau_\ell}(z) \overline{e_{\tau_\ell}(x)}| \\ & \lesssim \sum_{2^m > 2\lambda} 2^{-Nm} \cdot 2^{2nm} \\ & \lesssim \lambda^{2n-N}, \quad \forall N. \end{aligned}$$

So we obtain (3.45).

Next, to prove (3.46), we write

$$\begin{aligned} a_{jk\tau_\ell}^1 &= \frac{h(\tau_\ell)}{(\tau_\ell^2 - |j|^2)(\tau_\ell^2 - |k|^2)} - \frac{h(|j|)}{(\tau_\ell^2 - |j|^2)(\tau_\ell^2 - |k|^2)} \\ &= c_{jk\tau_\ell}^0 - c_{jk\tau_\ell}^1. \end{aligned}$$

The terms involving $c_{jk\tau_\ell}^0$ satisfies the same bound as (3.45). Indeed, if

$$b_{k,\tau_\ell} = \sum_j c_{jk\tau_\ell}^0 e_j^0(x) V_{jk} \rho_2(|j|/\tau_\ell) \rho_2(|k|/\tau_\ell)$$

then by direct calculation, it still satisfies the bound in (3.47). So we can still get the bound λ^{-N} by the argument above. Thus, it suffices to consider the terms involving $c_{jk\tau_\ell}^1$. We need to show

$$(3.48) \quad \left| \sum_{j,k} \sum_{\tau_\ell \geq 2\lambda} \rho_2(|j|/\tau_\ell) \rho_2(|k|/\tau_\ell) \cdot \int c_{jk\tau_\ell}^1 e_j^0(x) V_{jk} \overline{e_k^0(z)} V(z) e_{\tau_\ell}(z) \overline{e_{\tau_\ell}(x)} dz \right| \lesssim \lambda^{n-\frac{3}{2}\eta}.$$

Note that in this case, we have $|j|, |k| \leq 0.9\tau_\ell$ on the support of ρ_2 . We write for $N = 1, 2, \dots$,

$$\frac{1}{\tau_\ell^2 - |j|^2} = \tau_\ell^{-2} + \tau_\ell^{-2} (|j|/\tau_\ell)^2 + \dots + \tau_\ell^{-2} (|j|/\tau_\ell)^{2N-2} + (|j|/\tau_\ell)^{2N} \frac{1}{\tau_\ell^2 - |j|^2}$$

and similarly

$$\frac{1}{\tau_\ell^2 - |k|^2} = \tau_\ell^{-2} + \tau_\ell^{-2} (|k|/\tau_\ell)^2 + \dots + \tau_\ell^{-2} (|k|/\tau_\ell)^{2N-2} + (|k|/\tau_\ell)^{2N} \frac{1}{\tau_\ell^2 - |k|^2}.$$

Let $|k| \in [2^{\ell_1}, 2^{\ell_1+1}]$, $|j| \in [2^{\ell_2}, 2^{\ell_2+1}]$, $\tau_\ell \in [2^s, 2^{s+1}]$, $2^s > 2\lambda$, and $\ell_1, \ell_2 \geq 0$.

Case 3.2.1. We need to show

(3.49)

$$\left| \sum_{j,k} \sum_{\tau_\ell > 2\lambda} \rho_2(|j|/\tau_\ell) \rho_2(|k|/\tau_\ell) \frac{h(|j|)(|j|/\tau_\ell)^{2N}}{(\tau_\ell^2 - |j|^2)(\tau_\ell^2 - |k|^2)} e_j^0(x) V_{jk} \overline{e_k^0(z)} V(z) e_{\tau_\ell}(z) \overline{e_{\tau_\ell}(x)} dz \right| \lesssim \lambda^{n-\frac{3}{2}\eta}.$$

Let

$$b_{k,\tau_\ell} = \sum_{|j| \approx 2^{\ell_2}} \rho_2(|j|/\tau_\ell) \rho_2(|k|/\tau_\ell) \frac{h(|j|)(|j|/\tau_\ell)^{2N}}{(\tau_\ell^2 - |j|^2)(\tau_\ell^2 - |k|^2)} e_j^0(x) V_{jk}.$$

Note that

$$|h(|j|)| \lesssim \begin{cases} 1, & 2^{\ell_2} \leq 10\lambda \\ 2^{-N_1 \ell_2}, & 2^{\ell_2} > 10\lambda, \forall N_1. \end{cases}$$

Then we get

$$\begin{aligned} |b_{k,\tau_\ell}| &\lesssim \sum_{2^m \leq 2^s} 2^{2N(\ell_2-s)} 2^{-4s} \cdot 2^{(-n+2-\eta)m} \min(2^{nm}, 2^{n\ell_2}) \cdot \begin{cases} 1, & 2^{\ell_2} \leq 10\lambda \\ 2^{-N_1 \ell_2}, & 2^{\ell_2} > 10\lambda \end{cases} \\ &\lesssim 2^{(-2N-4)s} 2^{(2N+2-\eta)\ell_2} \cdot \begin{cases} 1, & 2^{\ell_2} \leq 10\lambda \\ 2^{-N_1 \ell_2}, & 2^{\ell_2} > 10\lambda. \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

And similarly,

$$|\partial_{\tau_\ell} b_{k,\tau_\ell}| \lesssim 2^{(-2N-5)s} 2^{(2N+2-\eta)\ell_2} \cdot \begin{cases} 1, & 2^{\ell_2} \leq 10\lambda \\ 2^{-N_1 \ell_2}, & 2^{\ell_2} > 10\lambda. \end{cases}$$

By Sobolev inequality,

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| \sum_{|k| \approx 2^{\ell_1}} b_{k,2^s} e_k^0(\cdot) \right\|_{L^{p_0}(M)} &\lesssim 2^{\ell_1/2} 2^{\sigma(p_0)\ell_1} \left\| \sum_{|k| \approx 2^{\ell_1}} b_{k,2^s} e_k^0(\cdot) \right\|_{L^2(M)} \\ &\lesssim 2^{(n/2+1-\frac{1}{4}\eta)\ell_1} \cdot \sup_{k,\tau_\ell} |b_{k,\tau_\ell}|. \end{aligned}$$

And similarly for $\tau \in [2^s, 2^{s+1}]$, we may obtain the estimate for the norm with $\partial_\tau b_{k,\tau}$.

By applying Lemma 3.1 with $\delta = 2^s$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\left| \int \sum_{\tau_\ell \approx 2^s} \sum_{|k| \approx 2^{\ell_1}} b_{k,\tau_\ell} \overline{e_k^0(z)} V(z) e_{\tau_\ell}(z) \overline{e_{\tau_\ell}(x)} dz \right| \\ &\lesssim \|V\|_{L^{\frac{n}{2-\eta}}(M)} 2^{ns/2} 2^{s/2} 2^{\sigma(p_0)s} \cdot 2^{(-2N-4)s} 2^{(2N+2-\eta)\ell_2} 2^{(\frac{n}{2}+1-\frac{1}{4}\eta)\ell_1} \cdot \begin{cases} 1, & 2^{\ell_2} \leq 10\lambda \\ 2^{-N_1 \ell_2}, & 2^{\ell_2} > 10\lambda \end{cases} \\ &\lesssim 2^{(\frac{n}{2}-\frac{1}{4}\eta-2N-3)s} 2^{(2N+2-\eta)\ell_2} 2^{(\frac{n}{2}+1-\frac{1}{4}\eta)\ell_1} \cdot \begin{cases} 1, & 2^{\ell_2} \leq 10\lambda \\ 2^{-N_1 \ell_2}, & 2^{\ell_2} > 10\lambda \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

Fix $N \geq n$ and $N_1 \geq 2N + 2$. Note that $2^{\ell_1} \lesssim 2^s$, $2^{\ell_2} \lesssim 2^s$ and $2^s > 2\lambda$. Summing over ℓ_1, ℓ_2, s , we obtain (3.49).

Case 3.2.2. For $\mu = 0, 1, \dots, N-1$, we need to show
(3.50)

$$\left| \sum_{j,k} \sum_{\tau_\ell > 2\lambda} \rho_2(|j|/\tau_\ell) \rho_2(|k|/\tau_\ell) \frac{h(|j|)|j|^{2\mu} \tau_\ell^{-2-2\mu} (|k|/\tau_\ell)^{2N}}{\tau_\ell^2 - |k|^2} e_j^0(x) V_{jk} \overline{e_k^0(z)} V(z) e_{\tau_\ell}(z) \overline{e_{\tau_\ell}(x)} dz \right| \lesssim \lambda^{n-\frac{3}{2}\eta}.$$

Let

$$b_{k,\tau_\ell} = \sum_{|j| \approx 2^{\ell_2}} \rho_2(|j|/\tau_\ell) \rho_2(|k|/\tau_\ell) \frac{h(|j|)|j|^{2\mu} \tau_\ell^{-2-2\mu} (|k|/\tau_\ell)^{2N}}{\tau_\ell^2 - |k|^2} e_j^0(x) V_{jk}.$$

Similarly, we get

$$|b_{k,\tau_\ell}| \lesssim 2^{(-2N-4-2\mu)s} 2^{2N\ell_1} 2^{(2-\eta+2\mu)\ell_2} \cdot \begin{cases} 1, & 2^{\ell_1} \leq 40\lambda \\ 2^{-N_1\ell_2}, & 2^{\ell_1} > 40\lambda \text{ and } 2^{\ell_2} > 2^{\ell_1-2} \\ 2^{(n-2+\eta)(\ell_2-\ell_1)}, & 2^{\ell_1} > 40\lambda \text{ and } 2^{\ell_2} \leq 5\lambda \\ 2^{(n-2+\eta)(\ell_2-\ell_1)} 2^{-N_1\ell_2}, & 2^{\ell_1} > 40\lambda \text{ and } 5\lambda < 2^{\ell_2} \leq 2^{\ell_1-2}. \end{cases}$$

and then by Sobolev inequality

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| \sum_{|k| \approx 2^{\ell_1}} b_{k,2^s} e_k^0(\cdot) \right\|_{L^{p_0}(M)} &\lesssim 2^{\ell_1/2} 2^{\sigma(p_0)\ell_1} \left\| \sum_{|k| \approx 2^{\ell_1}} b_{k,2^s} e_k^0(\cdot) \right\|_{L^2(M)} \\ &\lesssim 2^{(n/2+1-\frac{1}{4}\eta)\ell_1} \cdot \sup_{k,\tau_\ell} |b_{k,\tau_\ell}|. \end{aligned}$$

Similarly, we may obtain the estimates of $\partial_\tau b_{k,\tau}$. By applying Lemma 3.1 with $\delta = 2^s$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \int \sum_{\tau_\ell \approx 2^s} \sum_{|k| \approx 2^{\ell_1}} b_{k,\tau_\ell} \overline{e_k^0(z)} V(z) e_{\tau_\ell}(z) \overline{e_{\tau_\ell}(x)} dz \right| &\lesssim \|V\|_{L^{\frac{n}{2-\eta}}(M)} 2^{ns/2} 2^{s/2} 2^{(\frac{1}{2}-\frac{1}{4}\eta)s} \cdot 2^{(-2N-4-2\mu)s} \\ &\cdot 2^{(2N+\frac{n}{2}+1-\frac{1}{4}\eta)\ell_1} 2^{(2-\eta+2\mu)\ell_2} \cdot \begin{cases} 1, & 2^{\ell_1} \leq 40\lambda \\ 2^{-N_1\ell_2}, & 2^{\ell_1} > 40\lambda \text{ and } 2^{\ell_2} > 2^{\ell_1-2} \\ 2^{(n-2+\eta)(\ell_2-\ell_1)}, & 2^{\ell_1} > 40\lambda \text{ and } 2^{\ell_2} \leq 5\lambda \\ 2^{(n-2+\eta)(\ell_2-\ell_1)} 2^{-N_1\ell_2}, & 2^{\ell_1} > 40\lambda \text{ and } 5\lambda < 2^{\ell_2} \leq 2^{\ell_1-2}. \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

Fix $N \geq n$ and $N_1 \geq 2N + 2n$. Note that $2^{\ell_1} \lesssim 2^s$, $2^{\ell_2} \lesssim 2^s$ and $2^s > 2\lambda$. Summing over ℓ_1, ℓ_2, s , we obtain (3.50).

Case 3.2.3. For $\mu_1, \mu_2 = 0, 1, \dots, N-1$, we need to show

$$\left| \sum_{j,k} \sum_{\tau_\ell > 2\lambda} \rho_2(|j|/\tau_\ell) \rho_2(|k|/\tau_\ell) \tau_\ell^{-2(\mu_1+\mu_2+2)} h(|j|)|j|^{2\mu_2} |k|^{2\mu_1} e_j^0(x) V_{jk} \overline{e_k^0(z)} V(z) e_{\tau_\ell}(z) \overline{e_{\tau_\ell}(x)} dz \right| \lesssim \lambda^{n-\frac{3}{2}\eta}.$$

We write for $|k| \in [2^{\ell_1}, 2^{\ell_1+1}]$,

$$\begin{aligned}
\rho_2(|j|/\tau_\ell)\rho_2(|k|/\tau_\ell)\tau_\ell^{-2(\mu_1+\mu_2+2)} &= \rho_2(|j|/\tau_\ell)\rho_2(|k|/\tau_\ell)c_0 \int_0^\infty t^{\mu_1+\mu_2+1} e^{-t\tau_\ell^2} dt \\
&= c_0 \int_0^{2^{-2\ell_1}} t^{\mu_1+\mu_2+1} e^{-t\tau_\ell^2} dt \\
&\quad - (1 - \rho_2(|j|/\tau_\ell)\rho_2(|k|/\tau_\ell))c_0 \int_0^{2^{-2\ell_1}} t^{\mu_1+\mu_2+1} e^{-t\tau_\ell^2} dt \\
&\quad - \frac{\rho_2(|j|/\tau_\ell)\rho_2(|k|/\tau_\ell)w(\tau_\ell^2 2^{-2\ell_1})}{2^{2\ell_1(\mu_1+\mu_2+2)}}, \\
&= c_{k,\tau_\ell}^0 - c_{k,\tau_\ell}^1 - c_{k,\tau_\ell}^2.
\end{aligned}$$

where c_0 is a fixed normalizing constant and

$$w(x) = c_0 \int_1^\infty t^{\mu_1+\mu_2+1} e^{-tx} dt \lesssim e^{-x/2}.$$

We handle these three terms separately.

(i). We need to show

$$\begin{aligned}
(3.51) \quad & \left| \sum_{j,k} \sum_{\tau_\ell > 2\lambda} \int h(|j|)|j|^{2\mu_2}|k|^{2\mu_1} c_{k,\tau_\ell}^0 e_j^0(x) V_{jk} \overline{e_k^0(z)} V(z) e_{\tau_\ell}(z) \overline{e_{\tau_\ell}(x)} dz \right| \\
& \lesssim \lambda^{n-\frac{3}{2}\eta}.
\end{aligned}$$

We shall divide our task into proving

$$\begin{aligned}
(3.52) \quad & \left| \sum_{j,k} \sum_{\tau_\ell \leq 2\lambda} \int h(|j|)|j|^{2\mu_2}|k|^{2\mu_1} c_{k,\tau_\ell}^0 e_j^0(x) V_{jk} \overline{e_k^0(z)} V(z) e_{\tau_\ell}(z) \overline{e_{\tau_\ell}(x)} dz \right| \\
& \lesssim \lambda^{n-\frac{3}{2}\eta}.
\end{aligned}$$

as well as

$$\begin{aligned}
(3.53) \quad & \left| \sum_{j,k} \sum_{\tau_\ell} \int h(|j|)|j|^{2\mu_2}|k|^{2\mu_1} c_{k,\tau_\ell}^0 e_j^0(x) V_{jk} \overline{e_k^0(z)} V(z) e_{\tau_\ell}(z) \overline{e_{\tau_\ell}(x)} dz \right| \\
& \lesssim \lambda^{n-2\eta}.
\end{aligned}$$

Let

$$b_k = \sum_{|j| \approx 2^{\ell_2}} h(|j|)|j|^{2\mu_2}|k|^{2\mu_1} e_j^0(x) V_{jk}.$$

Then for $|k| \in [2^{\ell_1}, 2^{\ell_1+1}]$, we have

$$(3.54) \quad |b_k| \lesssim 2^{2\mu_1\ell_1} 2^{(2\mu_2+2-\eta)\ell_2} \cdot \begin{cases} 1, & 2^{\ell_1} \leq 40\lambda \text{ and } 2^{\ell_2} \leq 5\lambda \\ 2^{-N_1\ell_2}, & 2^{\ell_1} \leq 40\lambda \text{ and } 2^{\ell_2} > 5\lambda \\ 2^{-N_1\ell_2}, & 2^{\ell_1} > 40\lambda \text{ and } 2^{\ell_2} > 2^{\ell_1-2} \\ 2^{(n-2+\eta)(\ell_2-\ell_1)}, & 2^{\ell_1} > 40\lambda \text{ and } 2^{\ell_2} \leq 5\lambda \\ 2^{(n-2+\eta)(\ell_2-\ell_1)} 2^{-N_1\ell_2}, & 2^{\ell_1} > 40\lambda \text{ and } 5\lambda < 2^{\ell_2} \leq 2^{\ell_1-2}. \end{cases}$$

By using Sobolev inequality,

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| \sum_{|k| \approx 2^{\ell_1}} b_k e_k^0(\cdot) \right\|_{L^{p_0}(M)} &\lesssim 2^{\ell_1/2} 2^{\sigma(p_0)\ell_1} \left\| \sum_{|k| \approx 2^{\ell_1}} b_k e_k^0(\cdot) \right\|_{L^2(M)} \\ &\lesssim 2^{(n/2+1-\frac{1}{4}\eta)\ell_1} \cdot \sup_k |b_k|. \end{aligned}$$

Then by Hölder's inequality, we have for fixed $0 \leq t \leq 2^{-2\ell_1}$

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \int \sum_{|k| \approx 2^{\ell_1}} \sum_{\tau_\ell \leq 2\lambda} b_k t^{\mu_1+\mu_2+1} e^{-t\tau_\ell^2} \overline{e_k^0(z)} V(z) e_{\tau_\ell}(z) \overline{e_{\tau_\ell}(x)} dz \right| \\ & \lesssim \|V\|_{L^{\frac{n}{2-\eta}}(M)} \left\| \sum_{|k| \approx 2^{\ell_1}} b_k e_k^0(\cdot) \right\|_{L^{p_0}(M)} \cdot 2^{-2\ell_1(\mu_1+\mu_2+1)} \lambda^{1/2} \lambda^{\sigma(p_0)} \left(\sum_{\tau_\ell \in [1, 2\lambda]} |e_{\tau_\ell}(x)|^2 \right)^{1/2} \\ & \lesssim \lambda^{\frac{n}{2}+1-\frac{1}{4}\eta} 2^{(\frac{n}{2}-1-\frac{1}{4}\eta-2\mu_2)\ell_1} 2^{(2\mu_2+2-\eta)\ell_2} \cdot \begin{cases} 1, & 2^{\ell_1} \leq 40\lambda \text{ and } 2^{\ell_2} \leq 5\lambda \\ 2^{-N_1\ell_2}, & 2^{\ell_1} \leq 40\lambda \text{ and } 2^{\ell_2} > 5\lambda \\ 2^{-N_1\ell_2}, & 2^{\ell_1} > 40\lambda \text{ and } 2^{\ell_2} > 2^{\ell_1-2} \\ 2^{(n-2+\eta)(\ell_2-\ell_1)}, & 2^{\ell_1} > 40\lambda \text{ and } 2^{\ell_2} \leq 5\lambda \\ 2^{(n-2+\eta)(\ell_2-\ell_1)} 2^{-N_1\ell_2}, & 2^{\ell_1} > 40\lambda \text{ and } 5\lambda < 2^{\ell_2} \leq 2^{\ell_1-2}. \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

Fix $N_1 \geq 2N + 2n$. Integrating over $0 \leq t \leq 2^{-2\ell_1}$ and summing over ℓ_1, ℓ_2 , we obtain (3.52).

To prove (3.53), by the heat kernel bounds in Lemma 1.2, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \sum_{|k| \approx 2^{\ell_1}} \sum_{\tau_\ell} \int_0^{2^{-2\ell_1}} b_k t^{\mu_1+\mu_2+1} e^{-t\tau_\ell^2} \overline{e_k^0(z)} e_{\tau_\ell}(z) \overline{e_{\tau_\ell}(x)} dt \right| \\ & \lesssim \int_0^{2^{-2\ell_1}} t^{\mu_1+\mu_2+1} t^{-\frac{n}{2}} e^{-cd_g(z,x)^2/t} dt \cdot \sum_{|k| \approx 2^{\ell_1}} |b_k| \\ & \lesssim K(z, x) \cdot 2^{n\ell_1} \cdot \sup_k |b_k| \end{aligned}$$

where

$$K(z, x) = \begin{cases} \log(2 + (2^{\ell_1} d_g(z, x))^{-1}) (1 + 2^{\ell_1} d_g(z, x))^{-N}, & \text{if } 2\mu_1 + 2\mu_2 + 4 = n \\ d_g(z, x)^{2\mu_1+2\mu_2+4-n} (1 + 2^{\ell_1} d_g(z, x))^{-N}, & \text{if } 2\mu_1 + 2\mu_2 + 4 \neq n. \end{cases}$$

It is straightforward to check that

$$\|K(\cdot, x)\|_{L^{\frac{n}{n-2+\eta}}} \lesssim 2^{-(2\mu_1+2\mu_2+2+\eta)\ell_1},$$

then by Hölder's inequality and (3.54), we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \int \sum_{|k| \approx 2^{\ell_1}} \sum_{\tau_\ell} \int_0^{2^{-2\ell_1}} b_k t^{\mu_1 + \mu_2 + 1} e^{-t\tau_\ell^2} \overline{e_k^0(z)} V(z) e_{\tau_\ell}(z) \overline{e_{\tau_\ell}(x)} dt dz \right| \\ & \lesssim \|V\|_{L^{\frac{n}{2-\eta}}(M)} 2^{(n-2\mu_2-2-\eta)\ell_1} 2^{(2\mu_2+2-\eta)\ell_2} \cdot \begin{cases} 1, & 2^{\ell_1} \leq 40\lambda \text{ and } 2^{\ell_2} \leq 5\lambda \\ 2^{-N_1\ell_2}, & 2^{\ell_1} \leq 40\lambda \text{ and } 2^{\ell_2} > 5\lambda \\ 2^{-N_1\ell_2}, & 2^{\ell_1} > 40\lambda \text{ and } 2^{\ell_2} > 2^{\ell_1-2} \\ 2^{(n-2+\eta)(\ell_2-\ell_1)}, & 2^{\ell_1} > 40\lambda \text{ and } 2^{\ell_2} \leq 5\lambda \\ 2^{(n-2+\eta)(\ell_2-\ell_1)} 2^{-N_1\ell_2}, & 2^{\ell_1} > 40\lambda \text{ and } 5\lambda < 2^{\ell_2} \leq 2^{\ell_1-2}. \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

Fix $N_1 \geq 2N + 2n$. Summing over ℓ_1, ℓ_2 , we obtain (3.53).

(ii). We need to show

$$(3.55) \quad \left| \sum_{j,k} \sum_{\tau_\ell > 2\lambda} \int h(|j|) |j|^{2\mu_2} |k|^{2\mu_1} c_{k,\tau_\ell}^1 e_j^0(x) V_{jk} \overline{e_k^0(z)} V(z) e_{\tau_\ell}(z) \overline{e_{\tau_\ell}(x)} dz \right| \lesssim \lambda^{n-\frac{3}{2}\eta}.$$

In this case, we have $|j| \geq 0.8\tau_\ell$ or $|k| \geq 0.8\tau_\ell$ on the support of $1 - \rho_2(|k|/\tau_\ell)\rho_2(|j|/\tau_\ell)$. Let $\tau_\ell \in [2^s, 2^{s+1}]$, $2^s > 2\lambda$.

Let

$$(3.56) \quad b_{k,\tau_\ell} = \sum_{|j| \approx 2^{\ell_2}} h(|j|) |j|^{2\mu_2} |k|^{2\mu_1} e_j^0(x) V_{jk} (1 - \rho_2(|k|/\tau_\ell)\rho_2(|j|/\tau_\ell)).$$

Then for $|k| \in [2^{\ell_1}, 2^{\ell_1+1}]$, we have

$$(3.57) \quad |b_{k,\tau_\ell}| \lesssim 2^{2\mu_1\ell_1} 2^{(2\mu_2+2-\eta)\ell_2} \cdot \begin{cases} 1, & 2^{\ell_1} \leq 40\lambda \text{ and } 2^{\ell_2} \leq 5\lambda \\ 2^{-N_1\ell_2}, & 2^{\ell_1} \leq 40\lambda \text{ and } 2^{\ell_2} > 5\lambda \\ 2^{-N_1\ell_2}, & 2^{\ell_1} > 40\lambda \text{ and } 2^{\ell_2} > 2^{\ell_1-2} \\ 2^{(n-2+\eta)(\ell_2-\ell_1)}, & 2^{\ell_1} > 40\lambda \text{ and } 2^{\ell_2} \leq 5\lambda \\ 2^{(n-2+\eta)(\ell_2-\ell_1)} 2^{-N_1\ell_2}, & 2^{\ell_1} > 40\lambda \text{ and } 5\lambda < 2^{\ell_2} \leq 2^{\ell_1-2}. \end{cases}$$

and then by Sobolev inequality

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\| \sum_{|k| \approx 2^{\ell_1}} b_{k,2^s} e_k^0(\cdot) \right\|_{L^{p_0}(M)} \lesssim 2^{\ell_1/2} 2^{\sigma(p_0)\ell_1} \left\| \sum_{|k| \approx 2^{\ell_1}} b_{k,2^s} e_k^0(\cdot) \right\|_{L^2(M)} \\ & \lesssim 2^{(n/2+1-\frac{1}{4}\eta)\ell_1} \cdot \sup_{k,\tau_\ell} |b_{k,\tau_\ell}|. \end{aligned}$$

And similarly we may obtain the estimates for $\partial_\tau b_{k,\tau}$. By applying Lemma 3.1 with $\delta = 2^s$, we have for fixed $0 \leq t \leq 2^{-2\ell_1}$,

$$\left| \int \sum_{\tau_\ell \approx 2^s} \sum_{|k| \approx 2^{\ell_1}} b_{k,\tau_\ell} t^{\mu_1 + \mu_2 + 1} e^{-t\tau_\ell^2} \overline{e_k^0(z)} V(z) e_{\tau_\ell}(z) \overline{e_{\tau_\ell}(x)} dz \right| \lesssim \|V\|_{L^{\frac{n}{2-\eta}}(M)} 2^{ns/2} 2^{s/2} 2^{(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{4}\eta)s} \\ \cdot 2^{(n/2 - 1 - \frac{1}{4}\eta - 2\mu_2)\ell_1} 2^{(2\mu_2 + 2 - \eta)\ell_2} \cdot \begin{cases} 1, & 2^{\ell_1} \leq 40\lambda \text{ and } 2^{\ell_2} \leq 5\lambda \\ 2^{-N_1\ell_2}, & 2^{\ell_1} \leq 40\lambda \text{ and } 2^{\ell_2} > 5\lambda \\ 2^{-N_1\ell_2}, & 2^{\ell_1} > 40\lambda \text{ and } 2^{\ell_2} > 2^{\ell_1 - 2} \\ 2^{(n-2+\eta)(\ell_2 - \ell_1)}, & 2^{\ell_1} > 40\lambda \text{ and } 2^{\ell_2} \leq 5\lambda \\ 2^{(n-2+\eta)(\ell_2 - \ell_1)} 2^{-N_1\ell_2}, & 2^{\ell_1} > 40\lambda \text{ and } 5\lambda < 2^{\ell_2} \leq 2^{\ell_1 - 2}. \end{cases}$$

Fix $N_1 \geq 2N + 2n$. Note that $2^s \lesssim 2^{\ell_1}$ or $2^s \lesssim 2^{\ell_2}$. Integrating over $0 \leq t \leq 2^{-2\ell_1}$ and summing over s, ℓ_1, ℓ_2 , we obtain (3.55).

(iii). We need to show

$$(3.58) \quad \left| \sum_{j,k} \sum_{\tau_\ell > 2\lambda} \int h(|j|) |j|^{2\mu_1} |k|^{2\mu_2} c_{k,\tau_\ell}^2 e_j^0(x) V_{jk} \overline{e_k^0(z)} V(z) e_{\tau_\ell}(z) \overline{e_{\tau_\ell}(x)} dz \right| \lesssim \lambda^{n - \frac{3}{2}\eta}.$$

In this case, we have $|j| \leq 0.9\tau_\ell$ and $|k| \leq 0.9\tau_\ell$ on the support of $\rho_2(|k|/\tau_\ell)\rho_2(|j|/\tau_\ell)$.

Let

$$(3.59) \quad b_{k,\tau_\ell} = \sum_{|j| \approx 2^{\ell_1}} h(|j|) |j|^{2\mu_1} |k|^{2\mu_2} e_j^0(x) V_{jk} \rho_2(|k|/\tau_\ell) \rho_2(|j|/\tau_\ell).$$

Then for $|k| \in [2^{\ell_1}, 2^{\ell_1+1}]$, we have

$$(3.60) \quad |b_{k,\tau_\ell}| \lesssim 2^{2\mu_1\ell_1} 2^{(2\mu_2 + 2 - \eta)\ell_2} \cdot \begin{cases} 1, & 2^{\ell_1} \leq 40\lambda \text{ and } 2^{\ell_2} \leq 5\lambda \\ 2^{-N_1\ell_2}, & 2^{\ell_1} \leq 40\lambda \text{ and } 2^{\ell_2} > 5\lambda \\ 2^{-N_1\ell_2}, & 2^{\ell_1} > 40\lambda \text{ and } 2^{\ell_2} > 2^{\ell_1 - 2} \\ 2^{(n-2+\eta)(\ell_2 - \ell_1)}, & 2^{\ell_1} > 40\lambda \text{ and } 2^{\ell_2} \leq 5\lambda \\ 2^{(n-2+\eta)(\ell_2 - \ell_1)} 2^{-N_1\ell_2}, & 2^{\ell_1} > 40\lambda \text{ and } 5\lambda < 2^{\ell_2} \leq 2^{\ell_1 - 2} \end{cases}$$

and then by Sobolev inequality

$$\left\| \sum_{|k| \approx 2^{\ell_1}} b_{k,2^s} e_k^0(\cdot) \right\|_{L^{p_0}(M)} \lesssim 2^{\ell_1/2} 2^{\sigma(p_0)\ell_1} \left\| \sum_{|k| \approx 2^{\ell_1}} b_{k,2^s} e_k^0(\cdot) \right\|_{L^2(M)} \\ \lesssim 2^{(n/2 + 1 - \frac{1}{4}\eta)\ell_1} \cdot \sup_{k,\tau_\ell} |b_{k,\tau_\ell}|.$$

And similarly we may obtain the estimates for $\partial_\tau b_{k,\tau}$. Recall that $|w(x)| \lesssim e^{-x/2}$. So for $\tau_\ell \approx 2^s$ we have

$$|w(\tau_\ell^2 2^{-2\ell_1})| \lesssim 2^{-N_2(s - \ell_1)}, \quad \forall N_2.$$

By applying Lemma 3.1 with $\delta = 2^s$, we have for fixed $0 \leq t \leq 2^{-2\ell_1}$,

$$\left| \int \sum_{\tau_\ell \approx 2^s} \sum_{|k| \approx 2^{\ell_1}} b_{k, \tau_\ell} \frac{w(\tau_\ell^2 2^{-2\ell_1})}{2^{2\ell_1(\mu_1 + \mu_2 + 2)}} \overline{e_k^0(z)} V(z) e_{\tau_\ell}(z) \overline{e_{\tau_\ell}(x)} dz \right| \lesssim \|V\|_{L^{\frac{n}{2-\eta}}(M)} 2^{ns/2} 2^{s/2} 2^{(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{4}\eta)s} 2^{-N_2(s - \ell_1)}.$$

$$\cdot 2^{(\frac{n}{2} - 1 - \frac{1}{4}\eta - 2\mu_2)\ell_1} 2^{(2\mu_2 + 2 - \eta)\ell_2} \cdot \begin{cases} 1, & 2^{\ell_1} \leq 40\lambda \text{ and } 2^{\ell_2} \leq 5\lambda \\ 2^{-N_1\ell_2}, & 2^{\ell_1} \leq 40\lambda \text{ and } 2^{\ell_2} > 5\lambda \\ 2^{-N_1\ell_2}, & 2^{\ell_1} > 40\lambda \text{ and } 2^{\ell_2} > 2^{\ell_1 - 2} \\ 2^{(n-2+\eta)(\ell_2 - \ell_1)}, & 2^{\ell_1} > 40\lambda \text{ and } 2^{\ell_2} \leq 5\lambda \\ 2^{(n-2+\eta)(\ell_2 - \ell_1)} 2^{-N_1\ell_2}, & 2^{\ell_1} > 40\lambda \text{ and } 5\lambda < 2^{\ell_2} \leq 2^{\ell_1 - 2}. \end{cases}$$

Fix $N_2 \geq n$ and $N_1 \geq N_2 + 2N + 2n$. Note that $2^{\ell_1} \lesssim 2^s$, $2^{\ell_2} \lesssim 2^s$ and $2^s > 2\lambda$. Summing over s, ℓ_1, ℓ_2 , we obtain (3.58).

REFERENCES

- [1] V. G. Avakumović. Über die Eigenfunktionen auf geschlossenen Riemannschen Mannigfaltigkeiten. *Math. Z.*, 65:327–344, 1956.
- [2] P. H. Bérard. On the wave equation on a compact Riemannian manifold without conjugate points. *Math. Z.*, 155(3):249–276, 1977.
- [3] M. Blair, X. Huang, Y. Sire, and C. D. Sogge. Uniform Sobolev Estimates on compact manifolds involving singular potentials, to appear in *Rev. Mat. Iberoam.*
- [4] M. Blair, Y. Sire, and C. D. Sogge. Quasimode, eigenfunction and spectral projection bounds for Schrödinger operators on manifolds with critically singular potentials. *J. Geom. Analysis*, to appear.
- [5] Y. Canzani and J. Galkowski. Weyl remainders: an application of geodesic beams, preprint arXiv:2010.03969
- [6] J. J. Duistermaat and V. W. Guillemin. The spectrum of positive elliptic operators and periodic bicharacteristics. *Invent. Math.*, 29(1):39–79, 1975.
- [7] R.L. Frank and J. Sabin. Sharp Weyl laws with singular potentials. Preprint arXiv:2007.04284
- [8] W. Freeden. *Metaharmonic lattice point theory*. CRC Press, 2011.
- [9] D. Heath-Brown. *Lattice points in the sphere*. 1999.
- [10] E. Hlawka. Über Integrale auf konvexen Körpern. I. *Monatsh. Math.*, 54:1–36, 1950.
- [11] L. Hörmander. The spectral function of an elliptic operator. *Acta Math.*, 121:193–218, 1968.
- [12] X. Huang, Y. Sire and C. Zhang. Spectral cluster estimates for Schrödinger operators of relativistic type, to appear in *Journal de Mathématiques Pures et Appliquées*
- [13] X. Huang and C. D. Sogge. Weyl formulae for Schrödinger operators with critically singular potentials, to appear in *Comm. Partial Differential Equations*
- [14] X. Huang and C. D. Sogge. Quasimode and Strichartz estimates for time-dependent Schrödinger equations with singular potentials, to appear in *Math. Research Letters*
- [15] X. Huang and C. D. Sogge. Uniform Sobolev estimates in \mathbb{R}^n involving singular potentials, to appear in *J. Geom. Anal.*
- [16] X. Huang and C. Zhang. Pointwise Weyl Laws for Schrodinger operators with singular potentials. arXiv:2103.05531
- [17] X. Huang and C. Zhang. Restriction of toral eigenfunctions to totally geodesic submanifolds, *Anal. PDE* 14(3): 861-880 (2021).
- [18] M. N. Huxley. Exponential sums and lattice points iii. *Proceedings of the London Mathematical Society*, 87(3):591–609, 2003.
- [19] A. Iosevich and E. Wyman. Weyl Law Improvement for Products of Spheres. *Analysis Mathematica* 47, 593–612 (2021).
- [20] A. Ivic, E. Krätzel, M. Kühleitner, and W. Nowak. Lattice points in large regions and related arithmetic functions: Recent developments in a very classic topic. arXiv:math/0410522
- [21] E. Krätzel. *Analytische Funktionen in der Zahlentheorie*, volume 139. Springer-Verlag, 2013.
- [22] E. Landau. *Vorlesungen über zahlentheorie* (1927). Band, 3:324.

- [23] B. M. Levitan. On the asymptotic behavior of the spectral function of a self-adjoint differential equation of the second order. *Izvestiya Akad. Nauk SSSR. Ser. Mat.*, 16:325–352, 1952.
- [24] P. Li and S.-T. Yau. On the parabolic kernel of the Schrödinger operator. *Acta Math.*, 156(3-4):153–201, 1986.
- [25] B. Simon. Schrödinger semigroups. *Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. (N.S.)*, 7(3):447–526, 1982.
- [26] A. V. Sobolev, Discrete spectrum asymptotics for the Schrödinger operator with a singular potential and a magnetic field, *Rev. Math. Phys.*, 8 (1996), pp. 861-903.
- [27] C. D. Sogge. Concerning the L_p norm of spectral clusters for second-order elliptic operators on compact manifolds. *J. Funct. Anal.*, 77(1):123-138, 1988.
- [28] C. D. Sogge. *Fourier integrals in classical analysis*, volume 210 of Cambridge Tracts in Mathematics. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, second edition, 2017.
- [29] C. D. Sogge. *Hangzhou lectures on eigenfunctions of the Laplacian*, volume 188 of Annals of Mathematics Studies. Princeton University Press, Princeton, NJ, 2014.
- [30] E.M. Stein, *Harmonic Analysis: real-variable methods, orthogonality, and oscillatory integrals*, Princeton University Press, Princeton, NJ, 1993.
- [31] K.-T. Sturm. Schrödinger semigroups on manifolds. *J. Funct. Anal.*, 118(2):309–350, 1993.
- [32] A. Walfisz. *Gitterpunkte in mehrdimensionalen kugeln*. Instytut Matematyczny Polskiej Akademii Nauk (Warszawa), 1957.

(X.H.) DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF MARYLAND, COLLEGE PARK, MD, 20742

Email address: `xhuang49@umd.edu`

(C.Z.) DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF ROCHESTER, ROCHESTER, NY 14627

Email address: `czhang77@ur.rochester.edu`