

Chain conditions on (Rees) congruences of S -acts

Roghaieh Khosravi · Mohammad
Roueentan

Received: date / Accepted: date

Abstract In this paper, we introduce (Rees) artinian S -acts as those acts that satisfy the descending chain condition on their (Rees) congruences. Then, we show that artinian S -acts are those acts whose all factor acts are finitely (Rees) cogenerated. In addition, we continue the study of (Rees) noetherian S -acts, namely, those acts whose (Rees) congruences are finitely generated. Moreover, as a useful tool for the investigation of chain conditions, we prove that the properties of being (Rees) noetherian and artinian are inherited in Rees short exact sequences. Next, we specifically consider the chain conditions on monoids. Finally, we prove that every right Rees artinian, commutative monoid is right Rees noetherian.

Keywords Monoids · S -acts · Finitely cogenerated · (Rees) Noetherian · (Rees) Artinian

Mathematics Subject Classification (2020) 20M30

1 Introduction

The properties of being noetherian and artinian are of fundamental importance in abstract algebra. Noetherian and artinian rings and modules have been widely studied. See [1,11] for example. The study of right noetherian semigroups was initiated by Hotzel in [5]. Right noetherian semigroups were further studied by Kozhukhov in [7]. According to [2,4], a monoid S is called weakly right noetherian if every right ideal is finitely generated, and it is said to be right noetherian if every right congruence is finitely generated. Later on,

R. Khosravi
Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Sciences, Fasa University, Fasa, Iran.
E-mail: khosravi@fasau.ac.ir

M. Roueentan
College of Engineering, Lamerd Higher Education Center, Lamerd, Iran.

some connections between noetherian properties of a monoid and coherency were investigated. Some fundamental properties of right noetherian semigroups can be found in [10].

According to [3], an S -act is called noetherian if every ascending chain of its subacts is eventually stationary. Dually, it is called artinian if every descending chain of its subacts is eventually stationary. Moreover, the descending and ascending chain conditions on commutative monoids with zero elements as S -acts have been discussed. As defined in [13], an S -act is called noetherian (respectively, strongly noetherian) if it satisfies the ascending chain condition for subacts (respectively, congruences).

Quite similar to what we did in [9] for the introduction of finitely (Rees) cogenerated S -acts, in this paper we use the properties of being noetherian and Rees noetherian instead of being strongly noetherian and noetherian, respectively. It is clear that an S -act A_S is Rees noetherian if and only if each of its subacts is finitely generated. The analogous equivalent condition in the artinian case is that each of its factor acts is finitely cogenerated. As the dual concepts of generating sets and finitely generated S -acts, the concepts of cogenerating classes of S -acts and finitely (Rees) cogenerated S -acts were investigated in [9].

In this paper, we introduce and study artinian and Rees artinian S -acts as the dual notions of being noetherian and Rees noetherian, respectively. In fact, the paper is an investigation of several basic properties of (Rees) noetherian and artinian S -acts. In the remainder of this section, we provide the necessary background in the form of definitions and statements of some theorems established in [9], without proofs. In Section 2, we define an S -act to be artinian (noetherian) if it satisfies the descending (ascending) chain condition on its congruences. Moreover, we define a Rees artinian (noetherian) S -act as one that satisfies the descending (ascending) chain condition on its subacts. Then, we present some results on (Rees) noetherian and artinian S -acts. The main result studies the behavior of these notions in Rees short exact sequences. Using these results, in Section 3, we investigate (Rees) noetherian and (Rees) artinian monoids.

Throughout the paper, S and A_S are used to denote a monoid and a right S -act, respectively. Recall that an equivalence relation ρ on an S -act A_S is said to be a *congruence* on A_S if apa' implies $as \rho a's$ for any $a, a' \in A_S$ and $s \in S$. The set of all congruences on A_S is denoted by $Con(A)$. Obviously, $\nabla_A = A \times A$, $\Delta_A = \{(a, a) \mid a \in A\} \in Con(A)$. It is known that the lattice $(Con(A_S), \subseteq, \vee, \cap)$ is a complete lattice whose greatest and least elements are ∇_A and Δ_A , respectively. The *direct sum* of congruences σ and δ is another congruence $\rho = \sigma \oplus \delta$, where $\rho = \sigma \vee \delta$ and $\sigma \cap \delta = \Delta_A$.

An S -act is said to be *simple* if it has no subacts other than itself, and it is called *θ -simple* if it has no subacts other than itself and the one-element subact Θ . In contrast with module theory, not every congruence on an S -act is associated with a subact, and so it is normally the case that a simple (or θ -simple) S -act has non-trivial congruences. For more information on S -acts, we refer the reader to [6].

We recall from [9] some preliminaries related to finitely (Rees) cogenerated S -acts which will be needed in the characterization of (Rees) artinian S -acts.

Definition 1 ([9]) Let A_S be an S -act.

- (i) We say that A_S is *finitely cogenerated* if for every monomorphism $A \xrightarrow{f} \prod_{i \in I} A_i$,

$$A \xrightarrow{f} \prod_{i \in I} A_i \xrightarrow{\pi} \prod_{j \in J} A_j$$

is also a monomorphism for some finite subset J of I . Equivalently, for any family of congruences $\{\rho_i \mid i \in I\}$ on A_S , if $\bigcap_{i \in I} \rho_i = \Delta_A$, then $\bigcap_{j \in J} \rho_j = \Delta_A$ for some finite subset J of I .

- (ii) We call A_S *finitely Rees cogenerated* whenever for any family of Rees congruences $\{\rho_{B_i} \mid i \in I\}$ on A_S , if $\bigcap_{i \in I} \rho_{B_i} = \Delta_A$, then $\bigcap_{j \in J} \rho_{B_j} = \Delta_A$ for some finite subset J of I .

Proposition 2 ([9]) Let A_S be an S -act, and $\theta \in \text{Con}(A)$. Then, A/θ is finitely (Rees) cogenerated if and only if for any family of (Rees) congruences $\{\rho_i \mid i \in I\}$ on A_S , if $\bigcap_{i \in I} \rho_i = \theta$, then $\bigcap_{j \in J} \rho_j = \theta$ for some finite subset J of I .

Proposition 3 ([9]) Every finitely (Rees) cogenerated S -act is a finite co-product of indecomposable S -acts.

2 (Rees) Artinian and Noetherian S -acts

In this section, we provide the necessary notations and establish several results on S -acts satisfying the ascending (or descending) chain condition on congruences and subacts.

Definition 4 Let A_S be an S -act.

- (i) We call A_S *artinian (noetherian)* if $\text{Con}(A)$ satisfies the descending (ascending) chain condition.
- (ii) We say that A_S is *Rees artinian (Rees noetherian)* if it satisfies the descending (ascending) chain condition on its Rees congruences.

The notions of *right (Rees) artinian (noetherian) monoids* are applied for a monoid S with these properties as a right S -act. It can be easily checked that A_S is Rees artinian (Rees noetherian) if and only if it satisfies the descending (ascending) chain condition on its subacts.

The following theorem presents a characterization of noetherian S -acts. Its proof is similar to that of [10, Proposition 2.1].

Theorem 5 For an S -act A_S , the following statements are equivalent.

- (i) The S -act A_S is noetherian.
- (ii) Every congruence of A_S is finitely generated.

(iii) *Every non-empty subset of $\text{Con}(A)$ contains a maximal element.*

Now, we characterize artinian S -acts.

Theorem 6 *For an S -act A_S , the following statements are equivalent.*

- (i) *The S -act A_S is artinian.*
- (ii) *Every factor act of A_S is finitely cogenerated.*
- (iii) *Every non-empty subset of $\text{Con}(A)$ contains a minimal element.*

Proof (i) \longrightarrow (iii) Let \mathfrak{A} be a non-empty set of congruences of A_S , and suppose that \mathfrak{A} does not have a minimal element. Then, for an element ρ of \mathfrak{A} , ρ is not minimal. Therefore, the set $\{\theta \in \mathfrak{A} \mid \rho \supset \theta\}$ is not empty. Now, we can use the axiom of choice to find an infinite descending chain $\rho \supset \theta_1 \supset \theta_2 \supset \dots$ of congruences on A_S , which is a contradiction.

(iii) \longrightarrow (ii). Using Proposition 2, let $\theta \in \text{Con}(A)$ and $\{\rho_i \mid i \in I\}$ be a family of congruences on A such that $\bigcap_{i \in I} \rho_i = \theta$. We show that $\bigcap_{j \in J} \rho_j = \theta$, for some finite subset J of I . By our assumption,

$$\{\bigcap_{j \in K} \rho_j \mid K \subseteq I \text{ is a finite set}\}$$

has a minimal element $\bigcap_{j \in J} \rho_j$, where J is a finite subset of I . It can be easily checked that $\bigcap_{j \in J} \rho_j = \theta$.

(ii) \longrightarrow (i). Suppose that A_S has a descending chain $\theta_1 \supset \theta_2 \supset \dots$ of congruences on A_S . Set $\theta = \bigcap_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \theta_i$. Then, since A/θ is finitely cogenerated, there exists a finite subset \mathbb{F} of \mathbb{N} with $\theta = \bigcap_{i \in \mathbb{F}} \theta_i$. Thus, for the maximum element n of \mathbb{F} , $\theta_n = \theta_{n+j}$ for each $j \in \mathbb{N}$.

The proof of the following theorem is a special case of the proofs of the previous theorems, and this is why it is omitted.

Proposition 7 *For an S -act A_S , the following statements are equivalent.*

- (i) *The S -act A_S is Rees artinian (noetherian).*
- (ii) *Every factor act (subact) of A_S is finitely Rees cogenerated (generated).*
- (iii) *Every non-empty set of subacts of A_S contains a minimal (maximal) element.*

It is clear that every artinian (noetherian) S -act is Rees artinian (Rees noetherian). By [4, Example 3.1], the property of being Rees noetherian does not imply being noetherian. The following example shows that the property of being Rees artinian does not imply being artinian.

Example 8 Let $S = (\mathbb{N}, \min)^\varepsilon = (\mathbb{N}, \min) \dot{\cup} \{\varepsilon\}$, where ε denotes the externally adjoint identity. Then, $K_S = S \setminus \{\varepsilon\}$ is a right ideal of S . The subacts of K_S are $1S \subseteq 2S \subseteq 3S \subseteq \dots$. Hence, K_S is Rees artinian. In [9, Example 3.4], it is shown that K is not finitely cogenerated. Hence, K is not artinian.

Lemma 1 *For a monoid S , the following statements are true.*

- (i) *Every subact of a (Rees) artinian (noetherian) S -act is (Rees) artinian (noetherian).*

- (ii) *Every factor act of a (Rees) artinian (noetherian) S -act is (Rees) artinian (noetherian).*

Proof We prove the artinian case; one can use a similar method for the proof of the noetherian case.

(i). Let B be a subact of A_S , and assume that A_S is artinian. Let $\rho_1 \supseteq \rho_2 \supseteq \dots$ be a chain of congruences on B . Then, $\sigma_i = \rho_i \cup \Delta_A$ is a congruence on A_S , for each $i \in \mathbb{N}$. Since A_S is artinian, $\sigma_n = \sigma_k$ for some $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and for each $k \geq n$. It can be easily checked that $\rho_n = \rho_k$, and B is artinian.

(ii). Let B_S be a factor act of A_S , and assume that A_S is artinian. By Theorem 6, every factor act of A_S is finitely cogenerated. So, every factor act of B_S is finitely cogenerated, and B is artinian.

The statements for Rees artinian (noetherian) S -acts can be proved similarly.

The following corollary is a straightforward result, and can be proved using (ii) of the previous lemma.

Corollary 9 *Let S be a monoid. Then, S_S is (Rees) artinian (noetherian) if and only if every cyclic S -act is (Rees) artinian (noetherian).*

Let $f : A_S \rightarrow B_S$ and $g : B_S \rightarrow C_S$ be S -morphisms. Recall from [12] that the sequence $A \xrightarrow{f} B \xrightarrow{g} C$ is said to be a *Rees short exact sequence* if f is one-to-one, g is onto, and $\ker g = \mathcal{K}_{\text{Im}f}$, where $\mathcal{K}_{\text{Im}f} = (f(A) \times f(A)) \cup \Delta_B$. The following theorem discusses the behavior of the properties of being (Rees) artinian and noetherian for Rees short exact sequences.

Theorem 10 *Let $A \rightarrow B \rightarrow C$ be a Rees short exact sequence of S -acts. Then, B_S is (Rees) artinian (noetherian) if and only if both A_S and C_S are (Rees) artinian (noetherian).*

Proof The necessity is clear by Lemma 1.

Sufficiency. Let $\rho_1 \supseteq \rho_2 \supseteq \dots$ be a descending chain of congruences on B . Then, $\sigma_i = \rho_i \cap (f(A) \times f(A))$ is a congruence on $f(A)$ for each $i \in \mathbb{N}$. Since $f(A)$ is artinian, $\sigma_n = \sigma_{n+1} = \dots$ for some $n \in \mathbb{N}$. On the other hand, take $\varepsilon_i = \rho_i \vee \ker g$ and $\gamma_i = \{(g(a), g(b)) \mid (a, b) \in \varepsilon_i\}$ for each $i \in \mathbb{N}$. It is routine to check that $\gamma_i \in \text{Con}(C)$. Moreover, $\gamma_1 \supseteq \gamma_2 \supseteq \dots$. Since C is artinian, $\gamma_m = \gamma_{m+1} = \dots$. Let $k = \max\{m, n\}$. We show that $\rho_k = \rho_{k+1} = \dots$. If $(b_1, b_2) \in \rho_k$, then $(b_1, b_2) \in \rho_k \vee \ker g = \varepsilon_k$, and so $(g(b_1), g(b_2)) \in g(\varepsilon_k) = \gamma_k = \gamma_{k+1}$. There exists $(b'_1, b'_2) \in \varepsilon_{k+1}$ such that $(g(b_1), g(b_2)) = (g(b'_1), g(b'_2))$. We obtain $b_1 \ker g b'_1 \varepsilon_{k+1} b'_2 \ker g b_2$, which implies that $(b_1, b_2) \in \varepsilon_{k+1}$. Thus $\rho_k \subseteq \varepsilon_{k+1}$, showing that $\varepsilon_k = \varepsilon_{k+1}$. Moreover, since $\sigma_k = \sigma_{k+1}$, $\rho_k \cap (f(A) \times f(A)) = \rho_{k+1} \cap (f(A) \times f(A))$. From $\ker g = (f(A) \times f(A)) \cup \Delta_B$ we deduce that $\rho_k \cap \ker g = \rho_{k+1} \cap \ker g$. Now, we show that $\rho_k \subseteq \rho_{k+1}$. If $(b, b') \in \rho_k$, then $\rho_k \subseteq \varepsilon_{k+1}$ implies that $(b, b') \in \varepsilon_{k+1}$. Let n be the least positive integer such that

$$b \rho_{k+1} d_1 \ker g d_2 \rho_{k+1} d_3 \ker g d_4 \dots \rho_{k+1} b,$$

where $d_1, \dots, d_n \in B$. First, we claim that $n \leq 2$. Otherwise, if $n > 2$, then $(d_1, d_2), (d_3, d_4) \in \ker g$. From $\ker g = (f(A) \times f(A)) \cup \Delta_B$, four cases $d_1 = d_2$ or $d_1, d_2 \in f(A)$ and $d_3 = d_4$ or $d_3, d_4 \in f(A)$ are obtained, and in each case, one can reduce the length n , which contradicts the minimality of n . Thus, $n \leq 2$. If $n = 1$, then it is clear that $(b, b') \in \rho_{k+1}$. If $n = 2$, we obtain $b\rho_{k+1} d_1 \ker g d_2 \rho_{k+1} b'$. Since $\rho_{k+1} \subseteq \rho_k$, $d_1\rho_k b\rho_k b' \rho_k d_2$, and $(d_1, d_2) \in \rho_k$. So, $(d_1, d_2) \in \rho_k \cap \ker g$. From $\rho_k \cap \ker g = \rho_{k+1} \cap \ker g$ we get $(d_1, d_2) \in \rho_{k+1} \cap \ker g$. Hence $b\rho_{k+1} d_1\rho_{k+1} d_2 \rho_{k+1} b'$, and so $(b, b') \in \rho_{k+1}$. Therefore, $\rho_k = \rho_{k+1} = \dots$, and B is artinian.

For the case of being Rees artinian, let $\rho_{B_1} \supseteq \rho_{B_2} \supseteq \dots$ be a descending chain of Rees congruences on B . Then, $\sigma_i = \rho_i \cap (f(A) \times f(A)) = \rho_{B_i \cap f(A)}$ is a Rees congruence on $f(A)$ for each $i \in \mathbb{N}$. On the other hand, if $\varepsilon_i = \rho_i \vee \ker g$, then $\gamma_i = \{(g(a), g(b)) \mid (a, b) \in \varepsilon_i\} = \rho_{g(B_i)}$ is a Rees congruence on C . Now, a reasoning similar to that of the previous proof can be used to show that B is Rees artinian.

For the case of being (Rees) noetherian, it suffices to replace “ \supseteq ” with “ \subseteq ” in the previous proof.

Lemma 2 *Let A_S be an S -act, and $A_1 \subseteq A_2 \subseteq \dots \subseteq A_n = A$. Then, A is (Rees) artinian (noetherian) if and only if A_1 and the factor S -acts A_{i+1}/A_i are (Rees) artinian (noetherian) for all $1 \leq i \leq n-1$.*

Proof Applying the Rees short exact sequence

$$A_i \longrightarrow A_{i+1} \longrightarrow A_{i+1}/A_i$$

for each $0 \leq i \leq n$, the result follows.

We know from [12] that for a monoid S with zero, $A_1 \longrightarrow A_1 \amalg A_2 \longrightarrow A_2$ and $A_1 \longrightarrow A_1 \amalg A_2 \longrightarrow A_2$ are Rees short exact sequences of S -acts. Note that in the category $S\text{-Act}_0$, $A_1 \amalg A_2 = A_1 \cup A_2$, where $A_1 \cap A_2 = \Theta$, and each A_i is called a 0-direct summand of A .

Now, using Theorem 10, we extend Lemma 2.9 of [3] from monoids to arbitrary S -acts in the following results. We discuss the way the properties of being (Rees) artinian and noetherian behave on products and coproducts.

Proposition 11 *For a monoid S , the following statements are true.*

- (i) *If $A = \prod_{i \in I} A_i$ ($A = \coprod_{i \in I} A_i$) is artinian (noetherian), then I is finite and each A_i is artinian (noetherian).*
- (ii) *A_S is (Rees) artinian (noetherian) if and only if $A \amalg \Theta$ is (Rees) artinian (noetherian).*
- (iii) *If A_S is (Rees) artinian (noetherian), then $\prod_{i=1}^{i=n} A$ is (Rees) artinian (noetherian) for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$.*
In the following statements, assume that S contains a zero.
- (iv) *If A_1, \dots, A_n are S -acts, then $A = \prod_{i=1}^{i=n} A_i$ ($A = \coprod_{i=1}^{i=n} A_i$) is (Rees) artinian (noetherian) if and only if each A_i , $1 \leq i \leq n$, is (Rees) artinian (noetherian).*

- (v) *If a direct product $A = \prod_{i \in I} A_i$ of S -acts is Rees artinian (noetherian), then I is finite and each A_i is Rees artinian (noetherian).*

Proof (i). The artinian case follows by the definition of being finitely cogenerated and Proposition 3. Let $A = \prod_{i \in I} A_i$ be noetherian. If $A_i \neq \Theta$ for only finitely many $i \in I$. Suppose that there exists a finite subset K of I such that $A_i = \{\theta_i\}$ for each $i \in I \setminus K$. Since $\prod_{j \in \setminus K} A_j = \{(\theta_j)_{j \in I \setminus K}\}$ is a one-element S -act, we may assume without loss of generality that $\Theta = A_{i_0} = \prod_{j \in I \setminus K} A_j$ for some $i_0 \in I \setminus K$. Then $A = (\prod_{i \in K'} A_i)$, where $K' = K \cup \{i_0\}$. Therefore, I may be considered as a finite set. Otherwise, assume to the contrary that $J = \{i_n \mid n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ is an infinite sequence of distinct elements of I such that $A_{i_n} \neq \Theta$. Let $J_n = I \setminus \{i_k \mid 1 \leq k \leq n\}$, $B_n = \prod_{j \in J_n} A_j$, $\pi_n : A \rightarrow B_n$ be the natural epimorphism, and $\rho_n = \ker \pi_n$ for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$. It can be easily verified that $\rho_1 \subseteq \rho_2 \subseteq \dots$. By our assumption, $\rho_m = \rho_{m+1} = \dots$ for some $m \in \mathbb{N}$. Thus, it is routine to see that $A_{i_{m+1}}$ is the one-element S -act Θ , a contradiction.

(ii) and (iii) are direct consequences of Lemma 2.

(iv). Let $A = \prod_{i \in I} A_i$ be Rees artinian. Assume to the contrary that I is infinite. Suppose that $J = \{i_n \mid n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ is an infinite sequence of distinct elements of I . Take $C_n = \prod_{i \in I} B_i$ such that

$$B_i = \begin{cases} A_i, & i \notin J \text{ or } (i = i_k \text{ for } k \geq j) \\ \Theta, & (i = i_k \text{ for } k < j) \end{cases}.$$

Then, $A \supset C_1 \supset C_2 \supset \dots$ is a strictly descending chain of subacts of A_S , a contradiction. For the case of being Rees noetherian, take

$$B_i = \begin{cases} \Theta, & i \notin J \text{ or } (i = i_k \text{ for } k \geq j) \\ A_i, & (i = i_k \text{ for } k < j) \end{cases},$$

and the result follows using a proof similar to the previous one.

Part (v) is an immediate consequence of the previous theorem.

In the category $S\text{-Act}_0$, the coproducts of θ -simple S -acts are called semi-simple. Moreover, one can show that over a monoid S with zero, an S -act is semi-simple if and only if each of its subacts is a 0-direct summand. Using [9, Proposition 3.10] and Proposition 11 we obtain the following result.

Corollary 12 *Let S be a monoid with zero. For a semi-simple S -act A_S , the following statements are equivalent.*

- (i) A_S is Rees artinian.
- (ii) A_S is finitely Rees cogenerated.
- (iii) A_S is finitely generated.
- (iv) A_S is Rees noetherian.

Using [9, Proposition 3.8] we obtain the following result.

Proposition 13 *Every right Rees artinian S -act has only finitely many maximal right subacts.*

By [1, Lemma 11.6], for an artinian module M and a homomorphism $f : M \rightarrow M$, there exists a natural number n such that $\text{Im}f^n + \ker f^n = M$. In the case of S -acts, we use the lattice of congruences to present the following analogous statement.

Proposition 14 *Let A_S be an S -act and $f \in \text{End}(A_S)$. If A_S is (Rees) artinian, then $\ker f^n \vee \mathcal{K}_{\text{Im}f^n} = \nabla_A$ for some $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Moreover, f is an automorphism if and only if it is a monomorphism.*

Proof It is obvious that

$$\text{Im}f \supseteq \text{Im}f^2 \supseteq \dots$$

Since A_S is artinian, there exists $n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $\text{Im}f^n = \text{Im}f^{2n}$. Let $(a, a') \in \nabla_A$. Then $(f^n(a), f^n(a')) \in \mathcal{K}_{\text{Im}f^{2n}}$, and so $f^n(a) = f^n(a')$ or $f^n(a) = f^{2n}(b) \neq f^n(a') = f^{2n}(b') \in \text{Im}f^{2n}$. If $f^n(a) = f^n(a')$, it is clear that $(a, a') \in \ker f^n$. Otherwise, $a \ker f^n f^n(b) \mathcal{K}_{\text{Im}f^n} f^n(b') \ker f^n a'$. Therefore, $(a, a') \in \ker f^n \vee \mathcal{K}_{\text{Im}f^n}$, and the result follows. To prove the second part note that if f is a monomorphism, then $\ker f^n = \Delta_A$. So $\mathcal{K}_{\text{Im}f^n} = \nabla_A$, which implies that f is an epimorphism.

As an immediate consequence of the second part of the previous proposition, we deduce that every Rees artinian S -act is cohopfian.

Now, using Proposition 14, we can state Fitting's Lemma for S -acts.

Proposition 15 *[Fitting's Lemma] Let A_S be a noetherian and Rees artinian S -act. Then, for each $f \in \text{End}(A_S)$ there exists $n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that*

$$\ker f^n \oplus \mathcal{K}_{\text{Im}f^n} = \nabla_A.$$

Proof Let A_S be both noetherian and Rees artinian. Then, by Proposition 14, $\ker f^m \vee \mathcal{K}_{\text{Im}f^m} = \nabla_A$ for some $m \in \mathbb{N}$. Furthermore, by [13, Proposition 3.6], $\ker f^k \cap \mathcal{K}_{\text{Im}f^k} = \Delta_A$ for some $k \in \mathbb{N}$. Take $l = \max\{m, k\}$. Then, it is clear that $\ker f^l \oplus \mathcal{K}_{\text{Im}f^l} = \nabla_A$.

3 Right (Rees) Artinian and Noetherian Monoids

In this section, we focus on a monoid S as a right S -act. In [10, Proposition 2.19], right noetherian monoids are characterized as monoids over which every cyclic S -act is finitely presented, or equivalently, every finitely generated S -act is finitely presented. By analogy with that result, we characterize right artinian monoids.

Proposition 16 *For a monoid S , the following statements are equivalent.*

- (i) *The monoid S is right artinian.*
- (ii) *There exists a generator artinian S -act.*
- (iii) *Every finitely generated S -act is artinian.*
- (iv) *Every finitely generated S -act is finitely cogenerated.*

Proof The implications (i) \Rightarrow (ii) and (iii) \Rightarrow (iv) are obviously true.

(ii) \Rightarrow (iii). Suppose that a generator G is artinian, and that B is finitely generated. Then there exists an epimorphism $f : \prod_{i=1}^{i=n} G \rightarrow B$. Now, applying part (ii) of Proposition 11 and Lemma 1, we deduce that B is artinian.

(iv) \Rightarrow (i). By the assumption, every cyclic S -act is finitely cogenerated. So, by Corollary 9, S is artinian.

Lemma 2.17 of [10] shows that the property of being right noetherian for monoids is closed under homomorphic images. The following result generalizes this fact to the behavior of chain conditions on S -acts under homomorphic images of monoids.

Proposition 17 *Let $f : S \rightarrow T$ be a monoid homomorphism, and let A be a T -act. If A is a right (Rees) artinian (noetherian) S -act, then it is also a right (Rees) artinian (noetherian) T -act. If f is an epimorphism, the converse is also true.*

Proof Let $f : S \rightarrow T$ be a monoid homomorphism, and let A be a right T -act. Clearly, A is also a right S -act via $as = af(s)$ for any $a \in A$, $s \in S$. Now, suppose that A_S is a right artinian or noetherian S -act. If ρ is a congruence on A as T -act, it can be easily checked that it is a right congruence of A as S -act. Now, the descending (or ascending) chain condition on the congruences of A as S -act implies that it is also valid as T -act. Thus, A is a right artinian (or noetherian) T -act.

In the case of being Rees artinian (noetherian), we replace congruence with subact. The second part can be proved using a similar reasoning.

By analogy with [10, Lemma 2.17], the following corollary is a direct consequence of the proposition above. It shows that all the artinian and noetherian properties are closed under quotients.

Corollary 18 *If ρ is a congruence on a right (Rees) artinian (noetherian) monoid S , then S/ρ is a right (Rees) artinian (noetherian) monoid.*

It is well-known that every simple or θ -simple S -act is both right Rees artinian and right Rees noetherian. The following result shows that over groups or 0-groups, the properties of being Rees artinian and Rees noetherian are essentially equivalent.

Proposition 19 *Let S be a group or 0-group. Then, an S -act A_S is right Rees artinian if and only if it is right Rees noetherian.*

Proof Suppose that S is a group. By [6, Proposition 1.5.34.], all right acts over S are completely reducible. Moreover, by [9, Corollary 3.11.], every finitely Rees cogenerated, completely reducible S -act is finitely generated. On the other hand, if A_S is right Rees noetherian, again A is finitely generated. So, we have the chain $A_1 \subseteq A_2 \subseteq \dots \subseteq A_n = A$ such that A_1 is simple and each factor S -act A_{i+1}/A_i is θ -simple. Thus, the result follows by applying Lemma 2.

Now, suppose that S is a 0-group. Then, every S -act is semi-simple. The result follows by Corollary 12.

In the following two results, we consider commutative monoids.

Theorem 20 *Every right Rees artinian, commutative monoid is right Rees noetherian.*

Proof Suppose that S_S is Rees artinian. Using [8, Lemma 4.2] and the fact that S is commutative, we find that S is a group or is local. If S is a group, then it is clear that S is right Rees noetherian. Otherwise, suppose that M is the unique maximal right ideal of S . Consider the chain $S \supseteq M \supseteq M^2 \supseteq \dots$. Since S is right Rees artinian, $M^n = M^{n+1} = \dots$ for some $n \in \mathbb{N}$. But, S is commutative and Rees artinian, showing that it contains a proper minimal two-sided ideal, which is easily checked to be the unique minimum ideal denoted by $K(S)$. From the chain $S \supseteq M \supseteq M^2 \supseteq \dots \supseteq M^n \supseteq K(S)$, by Lemma 2 it follows that $B_i = M^{i-1}/M^i$ is a right Rees artinian S -act. So, $B_i = M^{i-1}/M^i$ is a right Rees artinian S/M^i -act. Since S/M is a factor of S/M^i , B_i is a right Rees artinian S/M -act by Proposition 17. Thus, as S/M is a 0-group, B_i is a right Rees noetherian S/M -act by Proposition 19, and it is a Rees noetherian S -act by Proposition 17. Therefore, Lemma 2 allows us to conclude that A_S is right Rees noetherian.

Recall that S satisfies condition A if every right S -act satisfies the ascending chain condition for cyclic subacts.

Proposition 21 *Every commutative, artinian semigroup S satisfies condition A .*

Proof Suppose that $S/\rho_1 \subseteq S/\rho_2 \subseteq \dots$ is an ascending chain of cyclic acts, where for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$, ρ_n is a congruence on S . Thanks to the commutativity of S , we observe that $\rho_1 \supseteq \rho_2 \supseteq \dots$, and the result follows by the assumption.

Next, we describe Rees artinian monoids in a special case.

Proposition 22 *Every right Rees artinian, left cancellative monoid is a group or a 0-group.*

Proof Let a be a non-zero element of a right artinian, left cancellative monoid S . The chain of right ideals $aS \supseteq a^2S \supseteq a^3S \supseteq \dots$ stabilizes. So, we obtain $a^nS = a^{n+1}S$ for some $n \in \mathbb{N}$. If $a^n = a^{n+1}b$, then the fact that S is left cancellative allows us to conclude that $ab = 1$.

To conclude this section, we consider monoid endomorphisms of artinian, projective S -acts.

Proposition 23 *Suppose that A_S is an artinian, projective right S -act. Then, T satisfies the descending chain condition on principal right ideals, where $T = \text{End}(A_S)$.*

Proof Suppose that $f_1T \supseteq f_2T \supseteq \dots$ is a descending chain of principal right ideals of T , where $f_n \in T$ for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Then, for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$ there exists $g_n \in T$ such that $f_n g_n = f_{n+1}$. Hence, $f_n A \supseteq f_n g_n A \supseteq f_{n+1} A$ for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Therefore, $f_1 A \supseteq f_2 A \supseteq \dots$. Consequently, $f_k A = f_{k+1} A$ for some $k \in \mathbb{N}$, by the assumption. We claim that $f_k T = f_{k+1} T$. Since A_S is projective, there exists a homomorphism $h : A \rightarrow A$ such that $f_k = f_{k+1} h$. Thus $f_k T = f_{k+1} T$, which completes the proof.

References

1. Anderson, F., and Fuller, K.: Rings and Categories of Modules, Springer-Verlag, New York, (1992)
2. Dandan, Y., Gould, V., Hartmann, M., Rusuk, N., Zenab, R.E.: Coherency and Construction for Monoids, *Quart. J. Math.* 71, 1461–1488 (2020)
3. Davvaz, B. , Nazemian, Z.: Chain conditions on commutative monoids, *Semigroup Forum* 100, 732–742 (2020)
4. Gould, V.: Coherent monoids, *J. Australian Math. Soc.*, 53, 166–182 (1992)
5. Hotzel, E.: On semigroups with maximal conditions. *Semigroup Forum*, 11, 337-362 (1975)
6. Kilp, M., Knauer, U., Mikhalev, A.: *Monoids, Acts and Categories*. W. de Gruyter. Berlin, (2000)
7. Kozhukhov, I.: On semigroups with minimal or maximal condition on left congruences. *Semigroup Forum*, 21, 337-350 (1980)
8. Khosravi, R., Roueentan, M.: Co-uniform and hollow S -acts over monoids, *Commun. Korean Math. Soc.*, 2021
9. Khosravi, R. , Liang, X., Roueentan, M.: Finitely cogenerated and Cogenerating S -acts, <https://arxiv.org/abs/2109.00344>
10. Miller, C., Rusuk, N.: Right Noetherian Semigroups, <https://arxiv.org/abs/1811.08408v4>
11. Wisbauer, R.: *Foundations of module and ring theory*, Gordon and Breach Science Publishers, Reading (1991)
12. Chen, Y., Shum, K.P.: Rees short exact sequences of S -systems, *Semigroup Forum*, 65, 141-148 (2002)
13. Roueentan, M., Sedaghatjoo, M.: On uniform acts over semigroups, *Semigroup Forum*, 97, 229-243 (2018)