

GENERALIZED CLINE'S FORMULA AND JACOBSON'S LEMMA IN A RING

HUANYIN CHEN AND MARJAN SHEIBANI

ABSTRACT. We present new generalized Cline's formula and Jacobson's lemma for the g-Drazin inverse in a ring. These extend many known results, e.g., Chen and Abdolyousefi (Generalized Jacobson's Lemma in a Banach algebra, *Comm. Algebra*, **49**(2021), 3263–3272), Yan and Zeng (The generalized inverses of the products of two elements in a ring, *Turk. J. Math.*, **44**(2020), 1744–1756).

1. INTRODUCTION

Let R be an associative ring with an identity. The commutant of $a \in R$ is defined by $comm(a) = \{x \in R \mid xa = ax\}$. The double commutant of $a \in R$ is defined by $comm^2(a) = \{x \in R \mid xy = yx \text{ for all } y \in comm(a)\}$. An element $a \in R$ has g-Drazin inverse (i.e., generalized Drazin inverse) in case there exists $b \in R$ such that $b = bab, b \in comm^2(a), a - a^2b \in R^{qnil}$. The preceding b is unique if exists, we denote it by a^d . Here, $R^{qnil} = \{a \in R \mid 1 + ax \in R^{-1} \text{ for every } x \in comm(a)\}$. An element $a \in R$ has Drazin inverse in case there exists $b \in R$ such that $b = bab, b \in comm^2(a), a - a^2b \in R^{nil}$. The preceding b is unique if exists, we denote it by a^D .

Let $a, b \in R$. As is well known, ab is Drazin invertible if and only if so is ba . In this case, $(ba)^D = b((ab)^D)^2a$. This equation is called Cline's formula. It plays an important role in matrix theory and operator algebra.

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification*. 16U99, 15A09, 47A11.

Key words and phrases. Cline's formula; Jacobson's Lemma; Generalized Drazin inverse; Drazin inverse; ring.

In [6, Theorem 2.2], Liao et al. generalized Cline's formula to the case of the generalized Drazin invertibility. It was proved $ab \in R^d$ if and only if $ba \in R^d$. Generalized Cline's formula was also presented.

In [5, Theorem 2.3]. Cline's formula for a generalized Drazin inverse was extended to the case when $aba = aca$.

In [2, Theorem 2.2], the authors presented a generalized Cline's formula for g-Drazin inverse under wider conditions $a(ba)^2 = abaca = acaba = (ac)^2a$. Common spectral properties for bounded linear operators under the same conditions were studied by Zguitti (see [18]).

If $A, B, C, D \in \mathcal{B}(X)$ satisfy $BAC = BDB$ and $CDB = CAC$, then $AC \in \mathcal{B}(X)^d$ if and only if $BD \in \mathcal{B}(X)^d$ (see [15, Theorem 3.4]).

In [10, Theorem 3.2], Mosić proved that $bd \in R^d$ if and only if $ac \in R^d$ under the conditions $bac = bdb$ and $cdb = cac$. The generalized Cline's formula was provided. This was also proved by Yan and Zeng in [13, Theorem 2.2].

Jacobson's Lemma states that $1 - ab \in R^{-1}$ if and only if $1 - ba \in R^{-1}$. It was extended to Drazin inverse in [4]. In [19, Theorem 2.3], Zhuang et al. proved that $1 - ab \in R^d$ if and only if $1 - ba \in R^d$ and a formula for the g-Drazin inverse of $1 - ba$ in terms of the g-Drazin inverse of $1 - ab$ was provided.

Corach et al. generalized Jacobson's lemma for g-Drazin inverse to the condition $aba = aca$ (see [3, Theorem 1]).

In [1, Theorem 2.2], the authors considered the wider conditions $a(ba)^2 = abaca = acaba = (ac)^2a$, and present an extension of Jacobson's lemma on the g-Drazin inverse for Banach algebras. This was extended from Banach algebras to rings by Ren and Jiang (see [11, Theorem 2.1]).

If $A, B, C, D \in \mathcal{B}(X)$ satisfy $BAC = BDB$ and $CDB = CAC$, then $I - AC \in \mathcal{B}(X)^d$ if and only if $I - BD \in \mathcal{B}(X)^d$ (see [15, Theorem 4.4]).

In [10, Theorem 2.1], Mosić proved that $1 - bd \in R^d$ if and only if $1 - ac \in R^d$ under the conditions $bac = bdb$ and $cdb = cac$. This was also proved by Yan and Zeng (see [13, Theorem 3.1]).

In this paper, we establish new generalized Cline's formula and Jacobson's Lemma for g-Drazin inverse in a ring under the wider conditions. The preceding known theorems are thereby obtained as the special case of our results.

Throughout the paper, all rings are associative with an identity. We use R^{-1} and R^{nil} to denote the sets of all units and all nilpotents of the ring R , respectively. R^D and R^d denote the sets of all Drazin and g-Drazin invertible elements in R . \mathbb{C} stands for the field of all complex numbers.

2. GENERALIZED CLINE'S FORMULA

Let $a, b \in R$. As is well known, $ab \in R^{qnil}$ if and only if $ba \in R^{qnil}$ (see [5, Lemma 2.2]). We begin with the following extension.

Lemma 2.1. *Let R be a ring, and let $a, b, c, d \in R$ satisfying*

$$\begin{aligned} b(db)(ac) &= b(db)^2; \\ c(ac)(db) &= c(db)^2. \end{aligned}$$

If $ac \in R^{qnil}$, then $bd \in R^{qnil}$.

Proof. Let $x \in comm(bd)$. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} (acdbdx^5bdb)ac &= acdbdx^5(bdbac) \\ &= acdbdx^5(bbdb) \\ &= a(cbdb)dbdx^5b \\ &= a(cacdb)dbdx^5b \\ &= ac(acdbdx^5bdb) \end{aligned}$$

We infer that $acdbdx^5bdb \in comm(ac)$; hence, $1 - (acdbd)(x^5bdbac) = 1 - (acdbdx^5bdb)ac \in R^{-1}$. By using Jacobson's Lemma (see [5, Lemma 2.1]), we have

$$\begin{aligned} 1 - x^5bdbbdbdbd &= 1 - x^5bd(bbdb)dbd \\ &= 1 - x^5bd(bdbac)dbd \\ &= 1 - x^5(bbdb)acdbd \\ &= 1 - x^5(bdbacac)dbd \\ &= 1 - (x^5bdbacac)dbd \\ &\in R^{-1}. \end{aligned}$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned}
& (1 - xbd)(1 + xbd + x^2bdbd + x^3bdbdbd + x^4bdbbdbd) \\
&= (1 + xbd + x^2bdbd + x^3bdbdbd + x^4bdbbdbd)(1 - xbd) \\
&= 1 - x^5bdbbdbdbd \\
&\in R^{-1}.
\end{aligned}$$

Accordingly, $bd \in R^{qnil}$, as desired. \square

We come now to the main result of this paper.

Theorem 2.2. *Let R be a ring, and let $a, b, c, d \in R$ satisfying*

$$\begin{aligned}
b(ac)^2 &= b(ac)(db) = b(db)(ac) = b(db)^2; \\
c(ac)^2 &= c(ac)(db) = c(db)(ac) = c(db)^2.
\end{aligned}$$

Then $ac \in R^d$ if and only if $bd \in R^d$ and $(bd)^d = b((ac)^d)^2d$.

Proof. \implies Suppose that ac has g-Drazin inverse and $(ac)^d = h$. Let $e = bh^2d$ and $t \in \text{comm}(bd)$. We check that

$$\begin{aligned}
ac(acdtbdbbac) &= (acbdbbdb)(tbac) \\
&= acdtbdbbdbac \\
&= (acdtbdbbac)ac.
\end{aligned}$$

Hence, $acdtbdbbac \in \text{comm}(ac)$, and so $(acdtbdbbac)h = h(acdtbdbbac)$.

We compute that

$$\begin{aligned}
et &= bh^7(ac)^5dt \\
&= bh^7(acdtbdbbac)d \\
&= b(acdtbdbbac)h^7d \\
&= (bacdb)dtbdbach^7d \\
&= (bdbdb)dtbdbach^7d \\
&= tdbdbdtbdbach^7d \\
&= tb(ac)^5h^7d \\
&= tbh^2d \\
&= te.
\end{aligned}$$

This implies that $e \in \text{comm}^2(bd)$.

We easily check that

$$\begin{aligned}
e(bd)e &= bh^2d(bd)bh^2d = b(h^5acacac)dbdbh^2d \\
&= bh^5ac(db)^4h^2d = bh^5(ac)^5h^2d = bh^2d = e.
\end{aligned}$$

Let $p = 1 - (ac)h$. Then $(pa)c = ac - achac = ac - (ac)^2h \in R^{qnil}$. Moreover, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 bd - (bd)^2e &= bd - bdbdbh^2d \\
 &= bd - b(dbdbac)h^3d \\
 &= bd - b(ac)^3h^3d \\
 &= b(1 - ach)d \\
 &= b(pd).
 \end{aligned}$$

We directly compute that

$$\begin{aligned}
 b(pac)(pdb) &= b(ac)(db) - b(ac)^d a(cacdb) \\
 &= b(ac)^2 - b(ac)^3(ac)^d = bp(ac)^2 = b(pac)^2, \\
 b(pdb)(pac) &= b[1 - ac(ac)^d]dbacp = bdbacp - b(ac)^d acdbacp \\
 &= bdbacp - b(ac)^d p(ac)^3 = bdbacp = b(pac)^2, \\
 b(pdb)^2 &= bpdb[1 - ac(ac)^d]db = bpdbdb - bpdbac(ac)^d db \\
 &= bpdbdb - b[1 - ac(ac)^d]dbac(ac)^d db = bpdbdb \\
 &= b[1 - ac(ac)^d]dbdb = bdbdb - b(ac)^d acdbdb \\
 &= b(ac)^2 - b(ac)^d ac(ac)^2 = bp(ac)^2 = b(pac)^2.
 \end{aligned}$$

Furthermore, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 c(pac)(pdb) &= c[1 - ac(ac)^d]acdb = cacdb - c(ac)^d a(cacdb) \\
 &= c(ac)^2 - c(ac)^d(ac)^3 = cp(ac)^2 = c(pac)^2, \\
 c(pdb)(pac) &= c[1 - ac(ac)^d]dbacp = cdbacp - c(ac)^d acdbacp \\
 &= c(ac)^2 p - c(ac)^d p(ac)^3 = c(pac)^2, \\
 c(pac)(pdb) &= c[1 - ac(ac)^d]acdb = cacdb - c(ac)^d a(cacdb) \\
 &= c(ac)^2 - c(ac)^d(ac)^3 = cp(ac)^2 = c(pac)^2.
 \end{aligned}$$

Therefore

$$\begin{aligned}
 b(pac)^2 &= b(pac)(pdb) = b(pdb)(pac) = b(pdb)^2; \\
 c(pac)^2 &= c(pac)(pdb) = c(pdb)(pac) = c(pdb)^2.
 \end{aligned}$$

In light of Lemma 2.1, $b(pd) \in R^{qnil}$. Therefore bd has g-Drazin inverse e . That is, $e = bh^2a = (bd)^d$, as desired.

\Leftarrow This is obvious by the symmetry and Clin's formula. \square

In the case that $c = b$ and $d = a$, we recover the Cline's formula for g-Drazin inverse (see [6, Theorem 2.2]). Moreover, we derive

Corollary 2.3. (see [2, Theorem 2.2]) *Let R be a ring, and let $a, b, c \in R$ satisfying $(ac)^2a = acaba = abaca = a(ba)^2$. Then $ac \in R^d$ if and only if $ba \in R^d$ and $(ba)^d = b((ac)^d)^2a$.*

Proof. By hypothesis, we have

$$a(caca) = a(caba) = a(baca) = a(baba).$$

In view of Theorem 2.2, $ca \in R^d$ if and only if $ab \in R^d$ and $(ab)^d = a((ca)^d)^2b$. By using Cline's formula (see [6, Theorem 2.2]), we prove that $ac \in R^d$ if and only if $ba \in R^d$. In this case,

$$\begin{aligned} (ba)^d &= b[(ab)^d]^2a \\ &= b[a((ca)^d)^2b][a((ca)^d)^2b]a \\ &= ba((ca)^d)^4c(acaca)((ca)^d)^4c(acaca) \\ &= ba[(ca)^d]^8(ca)^6 \\ &= ba[(ca)^d]^3ca \\ &= ba[(ca)^d]^2ca[(ca)^d]^2ca \\ &= b((ac)^d)^2a, \end{aligned}$$

as asserted. \square

Corollary 2.4. (see [5, Theorem 2.3]) *Let R be a ring, and let $a, b, c \in R$ satisfying $aba = aca$. Then $ac \in R^d$ if and only if $ba \in R^d$ and $(ba)^d = b((ab)^d)^2a$.*

Proof. This is clear by Corollary 2.3. \square

Corollary 2.5. (see [10, Theorem 3.2] and [13, Theorem 2.2]) *Let R be a ring, and let $a, b, c, d \in R$ satisfying*

$$\begin{aligned} bac &= bdb; \\ cac &= cdb. \end{aligned}$$

Then $ac \in R^d$ if and only if $bd \in R^d$ and $(bd)^d = b((ac)^d)^2d$.

Proof. This is obvious by Theorem 2.2. \square

3. EXTENSIONS IN BANACH ALGEBRAS

The aim of this section is to investigate the Cline's formula in a Banach algebra under more simpler conditions. We now derive

Theorem 3.1. *Let \mathcal{A} be a Banach algebra, and let $a, b, c, d \in \mathcal{A}$ satisfying*

$$\begin{aligned} b(ac)^2 &= b(db)^2; \\ c(ac)^2 &= c(db)^2. \end{aligned}$$

Then $ac \in \mathcal{A}^d$ if and only if $bd \in \mathcal{A}^d$. In this case, $(bd)^d = b[(ac)^d]^2d$.

Proof. \implies Let $aca = a', c = c', dbd = d'$ and $b = b'$. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} b'a'c' &= b(ac)^2 = b(db)^2 = b'd'b'; \\ c'a'c' &= c(ac)^2 = c(db)^2 = c'd'b'. \end{aligned}$$

Since $ac \in \mathcal{A}^d$, it follows by [8, Corollary 2.2] that $a'c' = (ac)^2 \in \mathcal{A}^d$. By virtue of Corollary 2.5, $b'd' = (bd)^2 \in \mathcal{A}^d$. According to [8, Corollary 2.2], $bd \in \mathcal{A}^d$. In this case, we have

$$\begin{aligned} (bd)^d &= [(bd)^2]^d bd \\ &= (b'd')^d bd = b'[(a'c')^d]^2 d'bd \\ &= b[(ac)^d]^4 (db)^2 d \\ &= b[(ac)^d]^5 ac(db)^2 d \\ &= b[(ac)^d]^5 ac(ac)^2 d \\ &= b[(ac)^d]^2 d, \end{aligned}$$

as desired.

\Leftarrow This is obvious by the symmetry and Cline's formula. \square

Corollary 3.2. *Let \mathcal{A} be a Banach algebra, and let $a, b, c \in \mathcal{A}$ satisfying $a(ca)^2 = (ab)^2a$. Then $ac \in \mathcal{A}^d$ if and only if $ba \in \mathcal{A}^d$. In this case, $(ba)^d = b[(ac)^d]^2a$.*

Proof. Since $ac \in \mathcal{A}^d$, by Cline's formula, $ca \in \mathcal{A}^d$. As $a(ca)^2 = (ab)^2a$, by using Theorem 3.1, $ab \in \mathcal{A}^d$. By using Cline's formula again, $ba \in \mathcal{A}^d$. In this case,

$$\begin{aligned} (ba)^d &= b[(ab)^d]^2a \\ &= b[a((ca)^d)^2c]^2a \\ &= b[(ac)^d]^2a. \end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof. \square

Corollary 3.3. *Let R be a ring, and let $a, b, c, d \in R$ satisfying*

$$\begin{aligned} b(ac)^2 &= b(db)^2; \\ c(ac)^2 &= c(db)^2. \end{aligned}$$

Then $ac \in R^D$ if and only if $bd \in R^D$ and $(bd)^D = b((ac)^D)^2d$.

Proof. Since $ac \in R^D$, we have $ac \in R^d$. In view of Theorem 3.1, $bd \in R^d$ and $(bd)^d = b[(ac)^D]^2d$. We check that

$$\begin{aligned} bd - (bd)^2(bd)^d &= bd - (bd)^2b[(ac)^D]^2d \\ &= bd - b(db)^2[(ac)^D]^2d \\ &= bd - b(ac)^2[(ac)^D]^2d \\ &= b[1 - (ac)(ac)^D]d. \end{aligned}$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} [bd - (bd)^2(bd)^d]bdbd &= b[1 - (ac)(ac)^D]dbdbd \\ &= b(db)^2d - b(ac)^Dac(db)^2d \\ &= b(ac)^2d - b(ac)^Dac(ac)^2d \\ &= b[ac - (ac)^2(ac)^D]acd. \end{aligned}$$

Hence,

$$[bd - (bd)^2(bd)^d]^3 = b[ac - (ac)^2(ac)^D]acd[1 - (bd)(bd)^d].$$

As $ac - (ac)^2(ac)^D$ is nilpotent, by induction, we verify that $bd - (bd)^2(bd)^d$ is nilpotent. Therefore $bd \in R^D$ and $(bd)^D = (bd)^d$, as asserted. \square

Example 3.4.

Let $R = M_2(\mathbb{C})$. Choose

$$\begin{aligned} a &= \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, b = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \\ c &= \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \in M_2(\mathbb{C}). \end{aligned}$$

Then $a(ca)^2 = 0 = (ab)^2a$, while $aca = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \neq 0 = aba$. In this case, $(ac)^D = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$ and $(ba)^D = 0$.

4. GENERALIZED JACOBSON'S LEMMA FOR G-DRAZIN INVERSE

In this section, we investigate new extension of Jacobson's lemma for generalized Drazin inverse. We have the following new characterization.

Theorem 4.1. *Let R be a ring, and let $a, b, c, d \in R$ satisfying*

$$\begin{aligned} b(ac)^2 &= b(ac)(db) = b(db)(ac) = b(db)^2; \\ c(ac)^2 &= c(ac)(db) = c(db)(ac) = c(db)^2. \end{aligned}$$

If $\alpha = 1 - bd \in R^d$, then $\beta = 1 - ac \in R^d$ and $\beta^d = [1 - acd\alpha^\pi(1 - \alpha\alpha^\pi(1 + bd + bdbd))^{-1}bac](1 + ac + acac) + acd\alpha^d bac$.

Proof. \implies Let $p = \alpha^\pi, x = \alpha^d$. Then $1 - p\alpha(1 + bd + bdbd) \in R^{-1}$.
Let

$$y = [1 - acdp(1 - p\alpha(1 + bd + bdbd))^{-1}bac](1 + ac + acac) + acd\alpha^d bac.$$

We shall prove that $\beta^d = y$.

Step 1. $y\beta y = y$. We see that

$$y\beta = 1 - (ac)^3 - acdp[1 - p\alpha(1 + bd + bdbd)]^{-1}bac[1 - (ac)^3] + acdxbac(1 - ac).$$

We compute that

$$\begin{aligned} & y\beta \\ &= 1 - [acacac - acdxbac(1 - ac)] - acdp[1 - p\alpha(1 + bd + bdbd)]^{-1}bac[1 - (ac)^3] \\ &= 1 - ac[dbac - dxbac(1 - ac)] - acdp[1 - p\alpha(1 + bd + bdbd)]^{-1}[bac - bac(ac)^3] \\ &= 1 - ac[dbac - dx(bac - bacac)] - acdp[1 - p\alpha(1 + bd + bdbd)]^{-1}(bac - bacacdbac) \\ &= 1 - ac[dbac - dx(bac - bacac)] - acdp[1 - p\alpha(1 + bd + bdbd)]^{-1}(1 - bacacdbac) \\ &= 1 - ac[dbac - dx(1 - bd)bac] - acdp[1 - p\alpha(1 + bd + bdbd)]^{-1}[1 - (bd)^3]bac \\ &= 1 - acdpbac - acdp[1 - p\alpha(1 + bd + bdbd)]^{-1}p\alpha(1 + bd + bdbd)bac \\ &= 1 - acdp[1 - p\alpha(1 + bd + bdbd)]^{-1}[(1 - p\alpha(1 + bd + bdbd)) + p\alpha(1 + bd + bdbd)]bac \\ &= 1 - acdp[1 - p\alpha(1 + bd + bdbd)]^{-1}bac. \end{aligned}$$

Since $bacacdb = ba(cacdb) = bacbdb = bdbbdb = bd(bacac) = bdbbacac$, we have $(bacacd)(bd) = (bd)(bacacd)$, and so $(bacacd)\alpha = \alpha(bacacd)$. Hence, $(bacacd)x = x(bacacd)$, and then

$$\begin{aligned} & acdp[1 - p\alpha(1 + bd + bdbd)]^{-1}bacacdxbac \\ &= acd[1 - p\alpha(1 + bd + bdbd)]^{-1}p\alpha bacacdxbac \\ &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& y\beta y \\
&= y - acdp[1 - p\alpha(1 + bd + bdbd)]^{-1}bacy \\
&= y - acdp[1 - p\alpha(1 + bd + bdbd)]^{-1}bac(1 + ac + acac) \\
&+ acdp[1 - p\alpha(1 + bd + bdbd)]^{-1}bacacdp(1 - p\alpha(1 + bd + bdbd))^{-1}bac(1 + ac + acac) \\
&= y - acdp[1 - p\alpha(1 + bd + bdbd)]^{-1}(1 + bd + bdbd)bac \\
&+ acdp[1 - p\alpha(1 + bd + bdbd)]^{-1}bdbbdp(1 - p\alpha(1 + bd + bdbd))^{-1}(1 + bd + bdbd)bac \\
&= y - acdp[1 - p\alpha(1 + bd + bdbd)]^{-1}(1 + bd + bdbd)bac \\
&+ acdp[1 - p\alpha(1 + bd + bdbd)]^{-2}(bd)^3(1 + bd + bdbd)bac \\
&= y - acdp[1 - p\alpha(1 + bd + bdbd)]^{-2}[p - p\alpha(1 + bd + bdbd) - p(bd)^3](1 + bd + bdbd)bac \\
&= y.
\end{aligned}$$

Step 2. $\beta - \beta y\beta \in R^{qnil}$. By Step 1, $y = y\beta y$, and so $(1 - y\beta)^2 = 1 - y\beta$. Hence,

$$\begin{aligned}
\beta - \beta y\beta &= \beta(1 - y\beta)^2 \\
&= \beta acd(bd)^3 p[1 - p\alpha(1 + bd + bdbd)]^{-2}bac \\
&= (1 - ac)acacacacdp[1 - p\alpha(1 + bd + bdbd)]^{-2}bac \\
&= [acdbac - acacdbac]acdp[1 - p\alpha(1 + bd + bdbd)]^{-2}bac \\
&= [acdbac - acdbdbac]acdp[1 - p\alpha(1 + bd + bdbd)]^{-2}bac \\
&= acd\alpha bacacdp[1 - p\alpha(1 + bd + bdbd)]^{-2}bac \\
&= acd\alpha bdbbdp[1 - p\alpha(1 + bd + bdbd)]^{-2}bac.
\end{aligned}$$

Let $z \in comm(\beta - \beta y\beta)$. Then

$$\begin{aligned}
& zacd\alpha bdbbdp[1 - p\alpha(1 + bd + bdbd)]^{-2}bac \\
&= acd\alpha bdbbdp[1 - p\alpha(1 + bd + bdbd)]^{-2}bacz.
\end{aligned}$$

We will suffice to prove $1 + acd\alpha bdbbdp[1 - p\alpha(1 + bd + bdbd)]^{-2}bacz \in R^{-1}$. Obviously, we check that $p = (bd)^3 p[1 - p\alpha(1 + bd + bdbd)]^{-1} = (bd)^6 p[1 - p\alpha(1 + bd + bdbd)]^{-2}$. Hence, we get

$$\begin{aligned}
& (baczacdbdbbd)\alpha p \\
&= bac[zacd\alpha bdbbdp[1 - p\alpha(1 + bd + bdbd)]^{-2}](bd)^6 \\
&= bac[zacd\alpha bdbbdp[1 - p\alpha(1 + bd + bdbd)]^{-2}bac](db)^4 d \\
&= bac[zacd\alpha bdbbdp[1 - p\alpha(1 + bd + bdbd)]^{-2}bac]ac(db)^3 d \\
&= bac[acd\alpha bdbbdp[1 - p\alpha(1 + bd + bdbd)]^{-2}bacz]ac(db)^3 d \\
&= bdbbd\alpha bdbbdp[1 - p\alpha(1 + bd + bdbd)]^{-2}baczac(db)^3 d \\
&= \alpha(bd)^6 p[1 - p\alpha(1 + bd + bdbd)]^{-2}baczac(db)^3 d \\
&= \alpha p(baczacdbdbbd).
\end{aligned}$$

Step 3. $y \in comm^2(\beta)$. Let $s \in comm(\beta)$. Then $s\beta = \beta s$, and so $s(ac) = (ac)s$.

Claim 1. $s(acdxbac) = (acdxbac)s$. We easily check that

$$(bacsd\alpha bd) = bacsd\alpha bd = bac\beta dbd = bac\beta sdbd = \alpha(bacsd\alpha bd).$$

Hence $(bacsd\alpha bd)x = x(bacsd\alpha bd)$, and then

$$\begin{aligned} s(acdpbac) &= sd(bd)^4 p[1 - p\alpha(1 + bd)]^{-2} bac \\ &= s(ac)^2 dbdbdp[1 - p\alpha(1 + bd)]^{-2} bac \\ &= d(bacsd\alpha bd)bdp[1 - p\alpha(1 + bd)]^{-2} bac \\ &= dbdp[1 - p\alpha(1 + bd)]^{-2} (bacsd\alpha bd) bac \\ &= dbdp[1 - p\alpha(1 + bd)]^{-2} bac s(ac)^3 \\ &= dbdp[1 - p\alpha(1 + bd)]^{-2} (bd)^3 bac s \\ &= d(bd)^4 p[1 - p\alpha(1 + bd)]^{-2} bac s \\ &= (acdpbac)s. \end{aligned}$$

Since $sacdbac = s(ac)^3 = (ac)^3 s = acdbacs$, we have $sacd\alpha xbac = acd\alpha xbac$, and so $sacdxbac - sacdbdxbac = acdxbac - acdbdxbac$.

On the other hand, we have

$$\begin{aligned} s(acdbdpbac) &= sd(bd)^5 p[1 - p\alpha(1 + bd)]^{-2} bac \\ &= s(ac)^4 dbdp[1 - p\alpha(1 + bd)]^{-2} bac \\ &= dbdbd(bacsd\alpha bd)p[1 - p\alpha(1 + bd)]^{-2} bac \\ &= dbdbdp[1 - p\alpha(1 + bd)]^{-2} (bacsd\alpha bd) bac \\ &= dbdbdp[1 - p\alpha(1 + bd)]^{-2} bac s(ac)^3 \\ &= dbdbdp[1 - p\alpha(1 + bd)]^{-2} (bd)^3 bac s \\ &= dbd(bd)^4 p[1 - p\alpha(1 + bd)]^{-2} bac s \\ &= (acdbdpbac)s. \end{aligned}$$

Since $sacdbdbac = s(ac)^4 = (ac)^4 s = acdbdbacs$, we have $acdbd\alpha xbac = sacdbd\alpha xbac$. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} acacdbd\alpha xbac &= ac(acdbd\alpha xbac) \\ &= ac(sacdbd\alpha xbac) \\ &= sacacdbd\alpha xbac \\ &= sacdbdbd\alpha xbac, \end{aligned}$$

and so $acdbd(1+bd)\alpha xbac = sacdbd(1+bd)\alpha xbac$, and then $acdbdxbac - acdbd(bd)^2xbac = sacdbdxbac - sacdbd(bd)^2xbac$. One easily checks

that

$$\begin{aligned}
acd(bd)^3xbacs &= acdx(bd)^3bacs \\
&= acdxb(ac)^4s \\
&= acdx(bacsdbd)bac \\
&= acd(bacsdbd)xbac \\
&= (ac)^3sdbdxbac \\
&= s(ac)^3dbdxbac \\
&= sacd(bd)^3xbac.
\end{aligned}$$

Hence $acdbdxbacs = sacdbdxbac$, and so $s(acdxbac) = (acdxbac)s$.

Claim 2. $sacd p[1 - p\alpha(1 + bd + bdbd)]^{-1}bac(1 + ac + acac) = acdp[1 - p\alpha(1 + bd + bdbd)]^{-1}bac(1 + ac + acac)s$. Set $t = acdp[1 - p\alpha(1 + bd + bdbd)]^{-1}bac(1 + ac + acac)$. Then we check that

$$\begin{aligned}
st &= sacdp[1 - p\alpha(1 + bd + bdbd)]^{-1}bac(1 + ac + acac) \\
&= sacd(bd)^3p[1 - p\alpha(1 + bd + bdbd)]^{-2}bac(1 + ac + acac) \\
&= acacacsdbdp[1 - p\alpha(1 + bd + bdbd)]^{-2}bac(1 + ac + acac) \\
&= acd(bacsdbd)p[1 - p\alpha(1 + bd + bdbd)]^{-2}bac(1 + ac + acac) \\
&= acdp[1 - p\alpha(1 + bd + bdbd)]^{-2}bacsdbdbac(1 + ac + acac),
\end{aligned}$$

Also we have

$$\begin{aligned}
ts &= acdp[1 - p\alpha(1 + bd + bdbd)]^{-1}bac(1 + ac + acac)s \\
&= acdp[1 - p\alpha(1 + bd + bdbd)]^{-2}(bd)^3bac(1 + ac + acac)s \\
&= acdp[1 - p\alpha(1 + bd + bdbd)]^{-2}bsacacacac(1 + ac + acac) \\
&= acdp[1 - p\alpha(1 + bd + bdbd)]^{-2}bsacdbdbac(1 + ac + acac) \\
&= acdp[1 - p\alpha(1 + bd + bdbd)]^{-2}bacsdbdbac(1 + ac + acac)
\end{aligned}$$

Then $st = ts$; hence, $y \in comm^2(\beta)$. Therefore $y = \beta^d$, as desired.

\Leftarrow Since $1 - ac \in R^d$, it follows by Jacobson's Lemma that $1 - ca \in R^d$. Applying the preceding discussion, we obtain that $1 - bd \in R^d$, as desired. \square

Corollary 4.2. (*[10, Theorem 2.1] and [13, Theorem 2.2]*) *Let R be a ring, and let $a, b, c, d \in R$ satisfying $bac = bdb, cac = cdb$. Then $\alpha = 1 - bd \in R^d$ if and only if $\beta = 1 - ac \in R^d$. In this case,*

$$\beta^d = [1 - acd\alpha^\pi(1 - \alpha\alpha^\pi(1 + bd + bdbd))]^{-1}bac(1 + ac + acac) + acd\alpha^d bac.$$

Proof. By hypothesis, we have

$$\begin{aligned} b(ac)^2 &= b(ac)(db) = b(db)(ac) = b(db)^2; \\ c(ac)^2 &= c(ac)(db) = c(db)(ac) = c(db)^2. \end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof by Theorem 4.1. □

Corollary 4.3. (*[1, Theorem 2.2] and [11, Theorem 2.1]*) *Let R be a ring, and let $a, b, c \in R$ satisfying $(ac)^2a = acaba = abaca = a(ba)^2$. Then $\alpha = 1 - ba \in R^d$ if and only if $\beta = 1 - ac \in R^d$. In this case,*

$$\beta^d = [1 - aca\alpha^\pi(1 - \alpha\alpha^\pi(1 + ba + baba))^{-1}bac](1 + ac + acac) + aca\alpha^d bac.$$

Proof. We complete the proof by Theorem 4.1 and Jacobson's lemma (see [6, Theorem 2.3]). □

Theorem 4.4. *Let R be a ring, and let $a, b, c, d \in R$ satisfying*

$$\begin{aligned} b(ac)^2 &= b(ac)(db) = b(db)(ac) = b(db)^2; \\ c(ac)^2 &= c(ac)(db) = c(db)(ac) = c(db)^2. \end{aligned}$$

Then $\alpha = 1 - bd \in R^D$ if and only if $\beta = 1 - ac \in R^D$ and $\beta^D = [1 - acd\alpha^\pi(1 - \alpha\alpha^\pi(1 + bd + bdbd))^{-1}bac](1 + ac + acac) + acd\alpha^D bac$.

Proof. \implies In view of Theorem 4.1, $\beta = 1 - bd \in R^d$ and $\beta^d = [1 - acd\alpha^\pi(1 - \alpha\alpha^\pi(1 + bd + bdbd))^{-1}bac](1 + ac + acac) + acd\alpha^D bac$. It will suffice to prove $\beta\beta^\pi \in R^{nil}$. Let $p = \alpha^\pi$. As in the proof of Theorem 4.1, we have

$$\beta\beta^\pi = acd\alpha bdbdbdp[1 - p\alpha(1 + bd + bdbd)]^{-2}bac$$

It is easy to check that

$$\begin{aligned} & bacacd\alpha bdbdbdp[1 - p\alpha(1 + bd + bdbd)]^{-2} \\ &= bdbdbd\alpha bdbdbdp[1 - p\alpha(1 + bd + bdbd)]^{-2} \\ &= (bd)^6[1 - p\alpha(1 + bd + bdbd)]^{-2}(\alpha - \alpha^2\alpha^D) \\ &\in R^{nil}. \end{aligned}$$

In view of [5, Lemma 2.2], $\beta\beta^\pi \in R^{nil}$. Therefore $\beta \in R^D$ and $\beta^D = \beta^d$, as desired.

\longleftarrow This is obvious by the symmetry and Jacobson's lemma for Drazin inverse. □

Corollary 4.5. *Let R be a ring, and let $a, b, c, d \in R$ satisfying*

$$\begin{aligned} b(ac)^2 &= b(ac)(db) = b(db)(ac) = b(db)^2; \\ c(ac)^2 &= c(ac)(db) = c(db)(ac) = c(db)^2. \end{aligned}$$

Then $\beta = 1 - ac \in R^\#$ if and only if $\alpha = 1 - bd \in R^\#$ and $\beta^\# = [1 - acd\alpha^\pi bac](1 + ac + acac) + acd\alpha^\#bac$.

Proof. \implies Since $\beta \in R^\#$, we see that $\alpha\alpha^\# = 0$. In view of Theorem 4.4, we have $\beta^D = [1 - acd\alpha^\pi bac](1 + ac + acac) + acd\alpha^\#bac$. As in the proof of Theorem 4.4, we get

$$\beta\beta^\pi = acd\alpha(bd)^3\alpha^\pi[1 - p\alpha(1 + bd + bdbd)]^{-2}bac = 0,$$

and therefore $\beta^\# = \beta^D$, as required.

\Leftarrow This is symmetric. \square

Remark 4.6.

Many authors investigated the common spectral properties for bounded linear operators over Banach spaces (see [12, 15, 19, 18]). For bounded linear operators A, B, C and D , we note that $I - AC$ (resp. AC) and $I - BD$ (resp. BD) share the common spectral properties under our preceding conditions.

REFERENCES

- [1] H. Chen and M.S. Abdolyousefi, Generalized Jacobson's Lemma in a Banach algebra, *Comm. Algebra*, **49**(2021), 3263–3272.
- [2] H. Chen and M. Sheibani, Cline's formula for g-Drazin inverses in a ring, *Filomat*, **33**(2019), 2249–2255.
- [3] G. Corach, Extensions of Jacobson's lemma, *Comm. Algebra*, **41**(2013), 520–531.
- [4] D. Cvetkovic-Ilić and R. Harte, On Jacobson's lemma and Drazin invertibility, *Applied Math. Letters*, **23**(2010), 417–420.
- [5] Y. Lian and Q. Zeng, An extension of Cline's formula for generalized Drazin inverse, *Turk. Math. J.*, **40**(2016), 161–165.
- [6] Y. Liao; J. Chen and J. Cui, Cline's formula for the generalized Drazin inverse, *Bull. Malays. Math. Sci. Soc.*, **37**(2014), 37–42.
- [7] V.G. Miller and H. Zguitti, New extensions of Jacobson's lemma and Cline's formula, *Rend. Circ. Mat. Palermo, II. Ser.*, **67**(2018), 105–114.
- [8] D. Mosić, A note on Cline's formula for the generalized Drazin inverse, *Linear Multilinear Algebra*, **63**(2014), 1106–1110.

- [9] D. Mosić, Extensions of Jacobson's lemma for Drazin inverses, *Aequat. Math.*, **91**(2017), 419–428.
- [10] D. Mosić, On Jacobson's lemma and Cline's formula for Drazin inverses, , *Revista de la Unión Matemática Argentina*, **61**(2020), 267–276.
- [11] Y. Ren and L. Jiang, Extensions of Jacobson's lemma for generalized inverses in a ring, arXiv:2104.09846v3 [math.RA] 22 Apr 2021. <https://arxiv.org/abs/2104.09846>.
- [12] Y. Ren and L. Jiang, Remark on common properties of the products ac and ba , arXiv:2104.11862v1 [math.RA] 24 Apr 2021. <https://arxiv.org/abs/2104.11862v1>.
- [13] K. Yang and Q. Zeng, The generalized inverses of the products of two elements in a ring, *Turk. J. Math.*, **44**(2020), 1744–1756.
- [14] K. Yan; Q. Zeng and Y. Zhu, Generalized Jacobson's lemma for Drazin inverses and its applications, *Linear and Multilinear Algebra*, **68**(2020), 81–93.
- [15] K. Yan; Q. Zeng and Y. Zhu, On Drazin spectral equation for the operator products, *Complex Analysis and Operator Theory*, **14**(2020), <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11785-019-00979-y>.
- [16] Q.P. Zeng; Z. Wu and Y. Wen, New extensions of Cline's formula for generalized inverses, *Filomat*, **31**(2017), 1973–1980.
- [17] Q.P. Zeng; K. Yan and S. Zhang, New results on common properties of the products AC and BA , II, *Mathematische Nachrichten*, **293**(2020), 1629–1635.
- [18] H. Zguitti, A note on the common spectral properties for bounded linear operators, *Filomat*, **33**(2019), 4575–4584.
- [19] G. Zhuang; J. Chen and J. Cui, Jacobson's lemma for the generalized Drazin inverse, *Linear Algebra Appl.*, **436**(2012), 742–746.

SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICS, HANGZHOU NORMAL UNIVERSITY, HANGZHOU, CHINA

Email address: <huanyinchenhz@163.com>

FARZANEGAN CAMPUS, SEMNAN UNIVERSITY, SEMNAN, IRAN

Email address: <m.sheibani@semnan.ac.ir>