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Abstract

A series of new physics scenarios predict the existence of the extra charged gauge boson $W'$, which can induce the charged-current (CC) non-standard neutrino interactions (NSIs). Considering the theoretical constraints on the CC NSI parameters from the partial wave unitarity and the $W'$ decays, we discuss the constraints and sensitivities on the CC NSI parameters $\epsilon_{qq}'Y_{\alpha\beta}$ ($\alpha = \beta = e$ or $\mu$) via the process $pp \rightarrow W' \rightarrow \ell\nu$ at the LHC and high-luminosity (HL) LHC experiments by the Monte-Carlo (MC) analysis. We find that the interference effects play an important role and the LHC data can give strong constraints on the CC NSI parameters. Compared with the 13 TeV LHC with $\mathcal{L} = 139$ fb$^{-1}$, the sensitivities given by the 14 TeV LHC with $\mathcal{L} = 3$ ab$^{-1}$ can be strengthened to about one order of magnitude.
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I. INTRODUCTION

The Standard Model (SM) of particle physics has achieved great success in describing basic particles and interactions. A large number of experimental studies have verified its predictions with very high accuracy. But the SM does not reveal the origin of the neutrino mass. Many strong evidences show that neutrinos with different flavors cannot oscillate with each other without small mass differences. It is obvious that the origin of the neutrino mass needs new physical theory beyond the SM (BSM) [1, 2], which often comes with the new Fermi type interactions between neutrinos and the first generation of fermions and usually called non-standard neutrino interactions (NSIs) [3, 4]. NSIs are generally divided into two types: the neutral-current (NC) NSI and charged-current (CC) NSI. NSI does not only affect neutrino propagation in matter through NC interaction, but also affects non-standard charged production and neutrino detection mechanism through CC interaction (for recent reviews, see Refs. [5–8]). These new interactions lead to rich phenomenology in both neutrino oscillation experiments and high- and low-energy collider experiments. Very strong constraints on NSIs have been obtained, see, for example, Refs. [4, 9–17]. The experiments generally impose more strict restrictions on the CC NSI than those for the NC NSI [18, 19].

Many BSMs predict the existence of the new gauge boson $W'$, such as the left-right symmetry models [20, 21], the little Higgs models [22] and models with extra dimensions [23, 24]. Previous searches for $W'$ boson at the Large Hadron Collider (LHC) have been carried out by the ATLAS and CMS collaborations with datas collected at the center-of-mass (c.m.) energy $\sqrt{s} = 7$ TeV [25, 26], 8 TeV [27, 28] and 13 TeV [29, 30]. The most sensitive searches for the $W'$ boson are in the $e\nu$ and $\mu\nu$ channels with the limits to date being set by the ATLAS and CMS in the analysis of $pp$ collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 13$ TeV with the integrated luminosity $L = 139$ fb$^{-1}$ [31, 32], which can be translated to constraints on the CC NSIs. The LHC experiments have further promoted the theoretical research of $W'$ properties [33–36].

Studying the properties of neutrinos in collider experiments is one of the important methods to search for the BSM features in neutrino physics. The LHC experiment plays a complementary role in detecting the effects of the NSIs [4, 11, 14, 37]. Differently from the LHC, the effects of the CC NSIs induced by the new gauge boson $W'$ in neutrino oscillation experiments do not depend on its mass, while are dependent on the strengths of the new vector interactions, which strongly depend on the flavor structure of the CC NSI.
and the oscillation channel being studied, leading to degeneracies [38]. It is hard to breaking degeneracies at neutrino facilities. The LHC is not sensitive to the flavors of the neutrinos, and therefore the signal, single charged lepton plus missing transverse momentum at the LHC, \( pp \rightarrow \ell \nu \), will lead to the same observation for different flavors. Thus, in addition to constraining the NSI parameters, the LHC data can be used to break degeneracy [39, 40]. Furthermore, the LHC is sensitive to both vector- and axial-vector interactions, while neutrino oscillation experiments are not sensitive to the latter.

Recently, a great deal of phenomenological studies have been made on the NSIs. Using the recent LHC data about the mono-jet signal, Refs. [12, 39–41] have studied the bounds on the NC NSIs in the context of a simplified \( Z' \) model. So far, a large variety of works on the detection of \( W' \) at the LHC has been completed, and searching for this kind of new particles will continue to be important at the future LHC with higher luminosities (HL-LHC). Although there are other new particles that can also cause the CC NSI, such as charged scalar particles (For example, see [14]), we will in this paper focus our attention on investigating the constraints on the CC NSI in a simplified \( W' \) model. Based on the Monte-Carlo (MC) simulation, we will discuss the constraints on the CC NSI parameters via the process \( pp \rightarrow \ell^+\nu_\ell \) with the emphasis on the contributions of the interference between the CC NSI and the SM. Except for that, the sensitives of the process \( pp \rightarrow \ell^+\nu_\ell \) to the new charged gauge boson \( W' \) at the future runs of the LHC are also discussed.

The remainder of this paper is as follows. In Sec. II, we investigate the unitarity bounds on the simplified \( W' \) model considered in this paper and further discuss the theoretical constraints on the CC NSI parameters \( \epsilon^{qqY}_{\alpha\beta} \) (\( \alpha = \beta = e \) or \( \mu \)). The sensitivities of the process \( pp \rightarrow \ell^+\nu_\ell \) to the parameters \( \epsilon^{udY}_{\alpha\beta} \) at current LHC and future runs of LHC are studied in Secs. III and IV, respectively. Our conclusions and discussions are given in Sec. V.

II. THEORETICAL CONSTRAINTS ON THE SIMPLIFIED \( W' \) MODEL AND THE NSI PARAMETERS

NSIs are kinds of the new vector interactions between neutrinos and matter fields, which are induced by either a vector or a charged-scalar mediator, and can be parameterized in terms of the low-energy effective four-fermion Lagrangian [18, 19, 37, 42]. The CC NSI with
quarks are given by effective Lagrangian

\[ \mathcal{L}_{\text{NSI,CC}} = -2\sqrt{2}G_F\epsilon_{\alpha\beta}^{qq'Y} [\gamma^\mu P_Y q'] [\bar{\nu}_\alpha \gamma_\mu P_L \ell_\beta] + h.c., \]  

(1)

where \( G_F \) is the Fermi constant, \( \alpha \) and \( \beta \) are lepton flavor indices, \( \alpha, \beta \in \{e, \mu, \tau\} \), and \( P_Y \) is a chiral projection operator (\( P_L \) or \( P_R \)). We have assumed that there are only left-handed neutrinos in above equation. The parameters \( \epsilon_{\alpha\beta}^{qq'Y} \) are dimensionless coefficients that quantify the strengths of the new vector interactions. According to Hermiticity, there is \( \epsilon_{\alpha\beta}^{qq'Y} = \epsilon_{\beta\alpha}^{qq'Y^*} \), it can be thought of in a simplified model framework as \( \epsilon \sim g^2_X/M_X^2 \) with \( X \) being charged mediator. The CC NSI might change the production of neutrinos through its effects on processes such as muon decay and inverse beta decay [43, 44].

Since the momentum transfers can be very high to resolve further dynamics of the new physics at the LHC, the influence of the CC NSI may not be simply described by the effective operators. In this paper, we focus on a simplified model with the CC NSI induced by exchange of a \( W' \) boson. The effective Lagrangian can be written as [45–47],

\[ \mathcal{L}_{W'} = -\frac{g}{\sqrt{2}} \left[ V_{qq'} q \gamma^\mu \left( A_{qq'}^{L} P_L + A_{qq'}^{R} P_R \right) q' + B_{L}^{\alpha\beta} \bar{\ell}_\alpha \gamma^\mu P_L \nu_\beta \right] W'_\mu + h.c., \]  

(2)

where \( W'_\mu \) denotes the new force carrier with a mass \( M_{W'} \) and \( g \) is the electroweak coupling constant. \( q = \{u, c, t\} \) and \( q' = \{d, s, b\} \) are up-type and down-type quarks, respectively. \( V_{qq'} \) is the Cabbibo-Kobayashi-Maskawa(CKM) matrix element, in which the non-diagonal terms will contribute to the flavor changing neutral current (FCNC) processes [48], and the contributions are very small and negligible. Certainly, we can also absorb \( gV_{qq'}/\sqrt{2} \) into the coupling parameters \( A_{qq'}^{L} \) and \( B_{L}^{\alpha\beta} \). As long as the square of momentum transfer is much smaller than \( M_{W'}^2 \), the effective parameters \( \epsilon_{\alpha\beta}^{qq'Y} \) can be approximatively written as

\[ \epsilon_{\alpha\beta}^{qq'Y} = A_{qq'}^{L} B_{L}^{\beta\alpha*} \left( \frac{M_W}{M_{W'}} \right)^2, \]  

(3)

In principle, the new charged gauge boson \( W' \) can has the flavor violation couplings with ordinary leptons and induce the flavor violation CC NSI. Considering that the LHC can not be able to identify the flavor of neutrinos in the final states so far, we will concentrate on the case \( \alpha = \beta \) in this paper.
A. Unitarity constraints from $f \bar{f} \rightarrow V_1V_2$ process

In a weakly coupled renormalizable theory, the high-energy behavior of the scattering amplitude of the bosons under the perturbation calculation is expected to respect unitarity. It has been shown that the constraints on $M_{Z'}$ can be obtained by using the partial wave unitarity bounds derived from $f \bar{f} \rightarrow V_1V_2$ process where $V_{1,2}$ are vector bosons including the SM $W,Z$ bosons and also the new gauge bosons $W',Z'$, $\bar{f}$ and $f$ are fermions and anti-fermions [49]. The helicity amplitudes can be expanded as [50, 51]

$$M_{f\bar{f} \rightarrow V_1V_2} = 16\pi \sum_J \left( J + \frac{1}{2} \right) \delta_{\sigma_1,-\sigma_2} e^{i(m_1-m_2)\phi} d_{m_1,m_2}^J(\theta,\phi) T_J, \quad (4)$$

where $m_1 = \sigma_1 - \sigma_2$ and $m_2 = \lambda_3 - \lambda_4$ are the helicity differences of fermions and vector bosons, respectively. $d_{m_1,m_2}^J$ are Wigner $d$-functions [50], $\phi$ and $\theta$ are azimuth and zenith angles of $V_1$ in the rest frame of the fermions whose Z-axis points to the direction of $f$. The partial wave unitarity bound is $|T_J| \leq 1$ [52, 53], where $T_J$ is coefficient of the partial wave expansion. As pointed out in Ref. [49], we only need to consider the processes with longitudinal final states $f_{\pm} \bar{f}_{\pm} \rightarrow V_{1,\lambda_3=0}V_{2,\lambda_4=0}$, whose amplitudes are denoted as $M_{f_1f_2 \rightarrow V_1V_2}^{(+\pm)}$ and $M_{f_1f_2 \rightarrow V_1V_2}^{(-\pm)}$, where ‘$+$’ in superscript means $f_{+} \bar{f}_{-}$, and ‘$-$’ means $f_{-} \bar{f}_{+}$. $M_{f_1f_2 \rightarrow V_1V_2}^{(+\pm)}$ and $M_{f_1f_2 \rightarrow V_1V_2}^{(-\pm)}$ can be expanded in terms of the c. m. energy squared $s$ as

$$M_{f_1f_2 \rightarrow V_1V_2}^{(\pm\mp)} = A_1s + A_0 + A_{-1}s^{-1} + A_{-2}s^{-2} + \cdots. \quad (5)$$

The partial wave unitarity should be satisfied for arbitrary $s$. When $s \rightarrow \infty$, a finite $|T_J|$ implies $A_1 = 0$ which results in a set of ‘sum rules’ established in Refs. [49, 54]. In the following, we only consider the tree-level processes including t-channel, u-channel and s-channel processes. The corresponding Feynman diagrams are shown in Fig. 1. There is also a s-channel scalar exchange diagram which only contributes to $M_{f_1f_2 \rightarrow V_1V_2}^{(+\pm)}$ and $M_{f_1f_2 \rightarrow V_1V_2}^{(-\pm)}$, therefore is not shown.
For the scattering amplitudes of the process $f \bar{f} \to V_1 V_2$, the existence of the $Z'$ are necessary in order to maintain the perturbation unitarity of all amplitudes. Unitarity of the theory fixes the couplings of $Z'$ to SM gauge bosons and fermions [49]. The $W - W'$ and $Z - Z'$ mixings might correct the couplings of the SM gauge bosons $W$ and $Z$ to the SM fermions. However, their effects on the process $f \bar{f} \to V_1 V_2$ are very small and can be neglected. With the help of sum rules [49, 54] and Eq. (2), the amplitudes corresponding to the Feynman diagrams Fig. 1 can be written as

\begin{align*}
\mathcal{M} \left( u_{-\frac{1}{2}} \tilde{d}_{\frac{1}{2}} \to W'^{0}\, Z_{0} \right) & = g^2 |V_{ud}|^2 \, A_{L}^{ud} c_{W} \frac{(2M_{W'}^{2} + M_{Z}^{2})}{2M_{W'}M_{Z}} e^{-i\phi} \sin \theta + \mathcal{O}(s^{-1}), \\
\mathcal{M} \left( d_{-\frac{1}{2}} \tilde{u}_{\frac{1}{2}} \to W^{0}\, Z_{0} \right) & = g^2 |V_{ud}|^2 \, A_{L}^{ud} c_{W} \frac{(2M_{W'}^{2} + M_{Z}^{2})}{2M_{W'}M_{Z}} e^{-i\phi} \sin \theta + \mathcal{O}(s^{-1}), \\
\mathcal{M} \left( u_{-\frac{1}{2}} \tilde{u}_{\frac{1}{2}} \to W^{0}\, W_{0}^{-} \right) & = - \left[ g^2 |V_{ud}|^2 |A_{L}^{ud}|^2 - \left( \frac{1}{2} - \frac{2}{3}s_{W}^{2} \right) \frac{g^2 |V_{ud}|^2 M_{Z}^{2}}{2M_{W'}^{2}} \right] e^{-i\phi} \sin \theta + \mathcal{O}(s^{-1}), \\
\mathcal{M} \left( d_{-\frac{1}{2}} \tilde{d}_{\frac{1}{2}} \to W^{0}\, W_{0}^{-} \right) & = \left[ g^2 |V_{ud}|^2 |A_{L}^{ud}|^2 + \left( \frac{1}{3}s_{W}^{2} - \frac{1}{2} \right) \frac{g^2 |V_{ud}|^2 M_{Z}^{2}}{2M_{W'}^{2}} \right] e^{-i\phi} \sin \theta + \mathcal{O}(s^{-1}), \\
\mathcal{M} \left( u_{-\frac{1}{2}} \tilde{d}_{\frac{1}{2}} \to W^{0}\, W_{0}^{-} \right) & = \mathcal{M} \left( -d_{\frac{1}{2}} \tilde{d}_{\frac{1}{2}} \to W^{0}\, W_{0}^{-} \right) \\
& = \pm g^2 |V_{ud}|^2 A_{L}^{ud} \left[ \frac{(M_{W'}^{2} + M_{W}^{2})}{2M_{W'}M_{W}} - \frac{M_{Z}^{2}}{4M_{W'}M_{W}} \right] e^{-i\phi} \sin \theta + \mathcal{O}(s^{-1}), \\
\mathcal{M} \left( u_{-\frac{1}{2}} \tilde{u}_{\frac{1}{2}} \to W^{0}\, W_{0}^{+} \right) & = \mathcal{M} \left( -d_{\frac{1}{2}} \tilde{d}_{\frac{1}{2}} \to W^{0}\, W_{0}^{+} \right)
\end{align*}
\[ M \left(e_{\frac{1}{2}} - e_{\frac{1}{2}} \rightarrow W^0_0\right) = M \left(\mu^+_{\frac{1}{2}} = e_{\frac{1}{2}} \rightarrow W^0_0\right) = \left(g^2 |B_{L}^{\mu\mu}|^2 + \left(s_{W}^2 - \frac{1}{2}\right) \frac{g^2 M_Z^2}{2M_W^2} - \frac{(|B_{L}^{\mu\mu}|^2 - 1) g^2 M_Z^2}{4M_W^2}\right) e^{-\phi} \sin \theta + O(s^{-1}), \]

\[ M \left(e_{\frac{1}{2}} - e_{\frac{1}{2}} \rightarrow W^0_0\right) = M \left(\mu^+_{\frac{1}{2}} = e_{\frac{1}{2}} \rightarrow W^0_0\right) = g^2 B_{L}^{w} \left(M_{Z}^2 + M_{W}^2\right) + \frac{M_{Z}^2}{4M_W^2} e^{-\phi} \sin \theta + O(s^{-1}), \]

\[ M \left(e_{\frac{1}{2}} - e_{\frac{1}{2}} \rightarrow W^0_0\right) = M \left(\mu^+_{\frac{1}{2}} = e_{\frac{1}{2}} \rightarrow W^0_0\right) = -g^2 B_{L}^{w} \left(M_{Z}^2 + M_{W}^2\right) + \frac{M_{Z}^2}{2M_W^2} e^{-\phi} \sin \theta + O(s^{-1}), \]

\[ M \left(e_{\frac{1}{2}} - e_{\frac{1}{2}} \rightarrow W^0_0\right) = M \left(\mu^+_{\frac{1}{2}} = e_{\frac{1}{2}} \rightarrow W^0_0\right) = g^2 B_{R}^{w} \left(M_{Z}^2 + M_{W}^2\right) + \frac{M_{Z}^2}{2M_W^2} e^{-\phi} \sin \theta + O(s^{-1}), \]

\[ M \left(e_{\frac{1}{2}} - e_{\frac{1}{2}} \rightarrow W^0_0\right) = M \left(\mu^+_{\frac{1}{2}} = e_{\frac{1}{2}} \rightarrow W^0_0\right) = -g^2 B_{L}^{w} \left(M_{Z}^2 + M_{W}^2\right) + \frac{M_{Z}^2}{2M_W^2} e^{-\phi} \sin \theta + O(s^{-1}), \]

\[ M \left(e_{\frac{1}{2}} - e_{\frac{1}{2}} \rightarrow W^0_0\right) = M \left(\mu^+_{\frac{1}{2}} = e_{\frac{1}{2}} \rightarrow W^0_0\right) = g^2 B_{L}^{w} \left(M_{Z}^2 + M_{W}^2\right) + \frac{M_{Z}^2}{2M_W^2} e^{-\phi} \sin \theta + O(s^{-1}), \]

\[ M \left(\nu_{e} e_{\frac{1}{2}} \rightarrow W^0_0\right) = M \left(\nu_{e} e_{\frac{1}{2}} \rightarrow W^0_0\right) = g^2 B_{L}^{w} \left(M_{Z}^2 + M_{W}^2\right) + \frac{M_{Z}^2}{2M_W^2} e^{-\phi} \sin \theta + O(s^{-1}), \]
Where $\mathcal{O}(s^{-1})$ indicates higher order terms, which is small and is ignored in this paper.

Using the relationship $|T_f| \leq 1$, one can obtain a constraint on $A_{L}^{qq'}$ or $B_{L}^{\alpha\alpha}$ from each above process. All these constraints should be satisfied, therefore, we concentrate on the tightest ones, which depend on the free parameters $M_{W'}$ and $M_{Z'}$. In the case of $0.2 \text{ TeV} < M_{Z'} < 2 \text{ TeV}$ [39] and $s \rightarrow \infty$, the tightest bounds are given by

\[
A_{L}^{ud} \left( u_{-\frac{1}{2}} \bar{d}_{\frac{1}{2}} \rightarrow W_{0}^{\prime+} Z_{0} \right) = A_{L}^{uds} \left( d_{-\frac{1}{2}} \bar{u}_{\frac{1}{2}} \rightarrow W_{0}^{\prime-} Z_{0} \right),
\]

\[
A_{L}^{ud} \left( u_{-\frac{1}{2}} \bar{u}_{\frac{1}{2}} \rightarrow W_{0}^{\prime+} W_{0}^{\prime-} \right) < \frac{24\sqrt{2} \pi M_{W'} M_{Z}}{g^{2} |V_{ud}|^{2} c_{W} (2M_{W'}^{2} + M_{Z}^{2})},
\]

\[
A_{L}^{uds} \left( u_{-\frac{1}{2}} \bar{u}_{\frac{1}{2}} \rightarrow W_{0}^{\prime+} W_{0}^{\prime+} \right) < \frac{48 \sqrt{2} \pi M_{W'} M_{W}}{g^{2} |V_{ud}|^{2} (2M_{W'}^{2} + 2M_{W}^{2} + M_{Z}^{2})},
\]

\[
A_{L}^{ud} \left( d_{-\frac{1}{2}} \bar{d}_{\frac{1}{2}} \rightarrow W_{0}^{\prime+} W_{0}^{\prime+} \right) = A_{L}^{uds} \left( d_{-\frac{1}{2}} \bar{d}_{\frac{1}{2}} \rightarrow W_{0}^{\prime-} W_{0}^{\prime-} \right) < \frac{24 \sqrt{2} \pi M_{W'} M_{Z}}{g^{2} |V_{ud}|^{2} c_{W} (2M_{W'}^{2} + M_{Z}^{2})},
\]

\[
A_{R}^{ud} \left( d_{-\frac{1}{2}} \bar{d}_{\frac{1}{2}} \rightarrow W_{0}^{\prime+} W_{0}^{\prime+} \right) < \frac{48 \sqrt{2} \pi M_{W'} M_{W}}{g^{2} |V_{ud}|^{2} (2M_{W'}^{2} + 2M_{W}^{2} + M_{Z}^{2})},
\]

\[
B_{L}^{ee} \left( e_{-\frac{1}{2}} e_{+\frac{1}{2}} \rightarrow W_{0}^{\prime+} W_{0}^{\prime+} \right) < \frac{48 \sqrt{2} \pi M_{W'} M_{W}}{2g^{2} (2M_{W'}^{2} + M_{W}^{2}) + g^{2} M_{Z}^{2}},
\]

\[
B_{L}^{ee} \left( \mu_{-\frac{1}{2}} \mu_{+\frac{1}{2}} \rightarrow W_{0}^{\prime+} W_{0}^{\prime+} \right) = B_{L}^{ee} \left( \mu_{+\frac{1}{2}} \mu_{-\frac{1}{2}} \rightarrow W_{0}^{\prime+} W_{0}^{\prime+} \right) < \frac{6 \sqrt{2} \pi}{g^{2}},
\]

\[
B_{L}^{\nu e} \left( \nu_{e} e_{+\frac{1}{2}} \rightarrow W_{0}^{+} Z_{0} \right) < \frac{24 \sqrt{2} \pi M_{W'} M_{Z}}{g^{2} c_{W} (2M_{W'}^{2} + M_{Z}^{2})}.
\]  

The relationship between $M_{W'}$ and the coupling parameters according to Eq. (8) are shown in Fig. 2. It can be seen that, in wide range of $M_{W'}$, the tightest bound on $A_{L}^{qq'}$ comes from the process $q \bar{q}' \rightarrow W'Z$. Similarly, the tightest bound on $B_{L}^{\alpha\alpha}$ mainly comes from the process $\ell^{-} \ell'^{-} \rightarrow W'Z$. For simplicity, we only use the above bounds. For the coupling parameter $A_{R}^{qq'}$, there are two most tight bounds and one of the bounds depend on $M_{Z'}$, we choose the tightest case $M_{Z'} = 2 \text{ TeV}$. Using these conclusions and Eq. (3), we can derive the perturbative unitarity constraints on the parameters $\epsilon_{\alpha\alpha}^{qq'Y}$, which are summarized as
FIG. 2. The tightest constraints on the coupling parameters $A_{qq}^{q'q}$ and $B_{\alpha\alpha}^{\alpha\alpha}$ as functions of $M_{W'}$.

$$|\epsilon_{\alpha\alpha}^L| < \frac{1152\pi^2 M_Z^2 M_W^2}{g^4 c_W^2 |V_{qq}'|^2 (2M_W^2 + M_Z^2)^2},$$

$$|\epsilon_{\alpha\alpha}^R| < \min \left\{ \frac{1152\pi^2 M_Z^2 M_W^2}{|V_{qq}'|^2 s_W^2 g^4 (2M_W^2 + M_Z^2)^2}, \frac{48\sqrt{2}\pi M_Z M_W^2}{g^2 c_W M_{W'} (2M_W^2 + M_Z^2)} \sqrt{\frac{72\sqrt{2}\pi c_W^2 M_W^2 + s_W^2 g^2 |V_{qq}'|^2 (s_W^2 M_Z^2 - M_W^2)}{3g^2 c_W^2 |V_{qq}'|^2 (4M_W^2 - M_Z^2)}} \right\}. \tag{9}$$

B. Constraints from $W'$ decays

From Eq. (2) the total decay width of the new charged gauge boson $W'$ at leading order can be written as

$$\Gamma_{W'} = M_{W'} \left( \frac{g^2}{16\pi} \sum_{q,q'} |V_{qq}'|^2 \left( |A_{L}^{q'q}|^2 + |A_{R}^{q'q}|^2 \right) + \frac{g^2}{48\pi} \left( |B_{L}^{ee}|^2 + |B_{L}^{\mu\mu}|^2 + |B_{L}^{\tau\tau}|^2 \right) \right). \tag{10}$$
Without loss of generality, using the case of $A_L^{ud} \neq 0$, $B_L^{ee} \neq 0$ as an example, there is

$$
\frac{\Gamma_{W'}}{M_{W'}} \geq \left( \sqrt{\frac{g^2 |V_{ud}|^2}{16\pi}} A_{L}^{ud} - \sqrt{\frac{g^2}{48\pi}} B_{L}^{ee} \right)^2 + 2 \sqrt{\frac{g^2 |V_{ud}|^2}{768\pi^2}} A_{L}^{ud} B_{L}^{ee} \geq \frac{g^2 V_{ud} B_{L}^{ee}}{8\sqrt{3}\pi} A_{L}^{ud} B_{L}^{ee}. \tag{11}
$$

The first inequality takes the equal sign at $A_{L}^{q'\neq ud} = A_{R}^{q'} = B_{L}^{q'\neq ee} = 0$, while the second inequality takes the equal sign at $\sqrt{g^2 |V_{ud}|^2/16\pi} A_{L}^{ud} = \sqrt{g^2/48\pi} B_{L}^{ee}$. These inequalities hold for all $A_{L,R}^{q'}$ and $B_{L}^{q'}$, and set an upper bound on $\epsilon_{\alpha\alpha}^{qq'Y} \times M_{W'}^2$ when $\Gamma_{W'}/M_{W'}$ is fixed.

Then, for any fixed $\Gamma_{W'}/M_{W'}$, we can write the following inequality

$$
|\epsilon_{\alpha\alpha}^{qq'Y}| \leq \frac{8\sqrt{3}\pi M_{W}^2}{g^2 |V_{qq'}| M_{W'}^2} \Gamma_{W'}. \tag{12}
$$

For simplicity, taking $|\epsilon_{ee}^{udY}|$ as an example, the maximally allowed $|\epsilon_{ee}^{udY}|$ as a function of $M_{W'}$ is shown in Fig. 3 for different values of the ratio $\Gamma_{W'}/M_{W'}$. The unitarity bounds given by Eq.(9) are also shown in Fig. 3. It can be seen that, with additional assumptions on $\Gamma_{W'}/M_{W'}$, i.e., for some values of $\Gamma_{W'}/M_{W'}$ and $M_{W'}$, tighter constraints can be obtained.

For larger $M_{W'}$, the perturbative unitarity constraints become stronger than those from $W'$ decays. If we take $\Gamma_{W'}/M_{W'} = 0.1$, there are $|\epsilon_{\alpha\alpha}^{qq'R}| \leq 10.868 \times M_{W}^2/M_{W'}^2$, for $1$ TeV $\leq M_{W'} \leq 7$ TeV, and $|\epsilon_{\alpha\alpha}^{qq'L}| \leq 10.868 \times M_{W}^2/M_{W'}^2$, for $4$ TeV $\leq M_{W'} \leq 7$ TeV. Meanwhile, the perturbative unitarity constraints are $|\epsilon_{\alpha\alpha}^{qq'R}| \leq 7.584 \times M_{W}^2/M_{W'}^2$, $|\epsilon_{\alpha\alpha}^{qq'L}| \leq 3.637 \times M_{W}^2/M_{W'}^2$, and $|\epsilon_{\alpha\alpha}^{qq'L}| \leq 2.089 \times M_{W}^2/M_{W'}^2$, for $M_{W'} = 5$ TeV, 6 TeV and 7 TeV, respectively.

The theoretical constraints given in Fig. 3 can be used as a reference for the MC studies in the next section. It can be seen from Fig. 3 that, the larger the mass of $W'$ boson is, the tighter the constraint on $|\epsilon_{ee}^{udL}|$ is. On the other hand, with a fixed $\Gamma_{W'}/M_{W'}$, the $W'$ contributions to the process $pp \rightarrow e^+ \nu_e$ typically decrease with the growth of $M_{W'}$, therefore the larger $M_{W'}$ is, the larger $|\epsilon_{ee}^{udL}|$ is needed to observe the signal at the LHC. However, the $|\epsilon_{ee}^{udL}|$ must satisfy the unitarity constraint given by Eq. (9). In the following MC analysis, we will take into account of the theoretical constraints on the NSI parameters $|\epsilon_{\alpha\alpha}^{qq'Y}|$, take $\Gamma_{W'}/M_{W'} = 0.1$ and assume that the mass $M_{W'}$ is taken from 1 TeV to 7 TeV.

III. THE CONSTRAINTS ON THE CC NSI PARAMETERS AT THE LHC

A simple and efficient way to search for the gauge boson $W'$ at the LHC is through its single s-channel resonance and subsequent leptonic decay $[55]$. Since the main components

1 The equal sign can be taken only in the sense of mathematics, which corresponds to the loosest case.

There is no physical motivation behind it. For a physical scenario this constraint should be even tighter.
of protons are \( u \) and \( d \) quarks, the contribution of the \( ud \) process is much larger than that of the \( cs \) process. It has been pointed out that the luminosity of \( ud \) quarks is two orders of magnitude larger than that of \( cs \) quarks [56]. If \( \epsilon_{ee} \) were at the same magnitude as \( \epsilon_{\ell\ell} \), the contribution of \( cs \rightarrow \ell^+\nu_\ell \) is negligible compared with \( ud \rightarrow \ell^+\nu_\ell \), therefore we neglect the contribution from a none zero \( \epsilon_{q'q}Y \) with \( qq' \neq ud \). Furthermore, the results of the LHC experiments have shown that the most sensitive searches for \( W' \) bosons are in the \( e\nu \) and \( \mu\nu \) channels [32]. Thus, in this paper, we only consider the subprocess \( ud \rightarrow \ell^+\nu_\ell \) with \( \ell \) being \( e \) or \( \mu \). Fig. 4 shows the Feynman diagram of the subprocess \( ud \rightarrow \ell^+\nu_\ell \) (\( \ell = e \) or \( \mu \)) induced by \( W' \) exchange at the LHC.

FIG. 4. Leading-order (LO) Feynman diagram for single production of \( W' \) boson and subsequently leptonic decays.
In our numerical calculation, the Lagrangian Eq. (2) is implemented using FEYNRULES [57–59], and a Universal Feynrules Output (UFO) file is generated and taken into MadGraph5_aMC@NLO toolkit [60, 61] with standard cuts

\[ p_T^\ell > 10 \text{ GeV}, |\eta_\ell| < 2.5. \]

A fast detector simulation is applied by using Delphes [62] with the CMS detector card. The parton distribution functions are taken as the NNPDF2.3 [63, 64]. Since the masses of fermions are negligible, the interference between \( \epsilon_{q\ell}^{q\ell} \) and \( \epsilon_{q\ell}^{q\ell} \) is neglected in this paper for simplicity. To focus on the sensitivities of the process on \( \epsilon \), we assume \( \epsilon \) is a real number in numerical estimation. For \( \epsilon_{q\ell}^{q\ell} \neq 0 \) or \( \epsilon_{q\ell}^{q\ell} \neq 0 \), the cross-sections of the process \( pp \to \ell^+\nu_\ell \) including the \( W' \) contributions can be parameterized as

\[
\sigma^L(\epsilon) = \sigma_{SM} + \sigma_{INT}(\epsilon) + \sigma_{NSI}^L(\epsilon),
\]

\[
\sigma^R(\epsilon) = \sigma_{SM} + \sigma_{NSI}^R(\epsilon),
\]

(13)

where \( \sigma_{SM}^{ee} \approx \sigma_{SM}^{\mu\mu} = 5667.8 \text{ pb at } \sqrt{s} = 13 \text{ TeV} \), \( \sigma_{INT}(\epsilon) = \alpha_{int} \times \epsilon \) comes from the interference between the SM and \( W' \) contributions and \( \sigma_{NSI}^Y \) represents the contribution only from \( W' \) exchanges with \( \sigma_{NSI}^Y(\epsilon) = \alpha_{NSI}^Y \times \epsilon^2 \). The dependencies of the factors \( \alpha_{int} \) and \( \alpha_{NSI}^Y \) on \( M_{W'} \) can be fitted with the results of the MC simulation for different \( M_{W'} \) at \( \sqrt{s} = 13 \text{ TeV} \), which are listed in Table I. Taking \( pp \to e^+\nu_e \) as an example, the cross-sections as functions of \( \epsilon_{ee}^{udY} \) for different \( M_{W'} \) are shown in Fig. 5. The numerical results fit the bilinear functions in Eq. (13) very well. It can be seen from Fig. 5 that the interference plays a very important role in \( \sigma^L(\epsilon) \). As can be seen in Fig. 5 and will be presented in the next section, the sensitivity of the process \( pp \to \ell^+\nu_\ell \) on \( W' \) at the LHC has already reached the region where the interference effect should be taken into account.

To highlight the signal from the background, kinematical features of the signal and background are studied by using MadAnalysis5 [65]. The processes in the SM with the same final states are considered as the background. At tree level, there is only one kind of background that \( \ell^+\nu_\ell \) are from the SM \( W \) boson. The main difference between the signal and the background is that, for signal events, the leptons are from a \( W' \) boson with mass \( M_{W'} \) much larger than those from the SM \( W \) boson. As a consequence, the transverse momenta of charged leptons for signal events are generally larger than those for background events. \( p_T^\ell \) was also used in previous works to highlight the signal of \( W' \). The normalized distributions
TABLE I. $\alpha_{int}$ and $\alpha_{nsi}^Y$ are fitted for different $M_{W'}$ at $\sqrt{s} = 13$ TeV.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$M_{W'}$ (TeV)</th>
<th>$pp \rightarrow e^+\nu_e$</th>
<th>$pp \rightarrow \mu^+\nu_\mu$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$\alpha_{int}(pb)$</td>
<td>$\alpha_{nssi}(pb)$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$\alpha_{nsi}^L(pb)$</td>
<td>$\alpha_{nsi}^R(pb)$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>-138.55</td>
<td>12604.62</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>10843.79</td>
<td>12595.85</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>-132.86</td>
<td>10139.80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>9321.48</td>
<td>10133.86</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>-129.48</td>
<td>6212.12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>5730.09</td>
<td>6207.28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>-128.53</td>
<td>3770.36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3393.52</td>
<td>3767.42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>-127.60</td>
<td>2628.76</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2290.30</td>
<td>2625.56</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>-126.95</td>
<td>2172.66</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1846.59</td>
<td>2169.70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>-126.95</td>
<td>1997.57</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1676.19</td>
<td>1995.23</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

FIG. 5. The relationship between $\epsilon_{ee}^{udL}$ (a), $\epsilon_{ee}^{udR}$ (b) and the cross-section of the process $pp \rightarrow e^+\nu_e$ for different $M_{W'}$.

The missing transverse momentum $p_T^\ell$ for $pp \rightarrow e^+\nu_e$ are shown in Fig. 6. (a). It can be seen that $p_T^\ell$ is generally smaller than 360 GeV for background events, while the signal events have large $p_T^\ell$ tails. In this paper, we only keep the events with $p_T^\ell \geq 360$ GeV. For the same reason, the missing transverse energy $E_T$ for the signal events is also typically larger than those for the background events. The normalized distributions of $E_T$ are shown in Fig. 6. (b). The background events dominantly distributed in the region $E_T < 460$ GeV which is not the case for the signal events. In this paper, we only keep the events with $E_T \geq 460$ GeV. Similarly, for the process $pp \rightarrow \mu^+\nu_\mu$, ...
FIG. 6. For the process $pp \rightarrow e^+\nu_e$, the normalized distributions of $p_T^f$ and $E_T$ for the signal and background events. The cases for $A^{ud}_R = 0$ are shown in (a,b) while the cases for $A^{ud}_L = 0$ are shown in (c,d).

After the event selection strategy, $\sigma_{SM}^{ee} = 0.0034$ pb, $\sigma_{SM}^{\mu\mu} = 0.0027$ pb and the cross-sections of the process $pp \rightarrow l^+\nu_l$ are obtained, based on which the factors $\alpha_{int}$ and $\alpha_{nsi}$ are fitted again. The results are shown in Table II. The cross-sections of the process $pp \rightarrow e^+\nu_e$ after cuts for different $M_{W'}$ are shown in Fig. 8 as examples.

The constraints on $\epsilon$ are estimated with the help of statistical significance defined as

$$S_{stat} = \sqrt{L} \times \left( \left| \sigma \left( \epsilon^{qq'Y}_{\alpha\alpha} \right) - \sigma_{SM} \right| / \sqrt{\sigma(\epsilon^{qq'Y}_{\alpha\alpha})} \right),$$

where $\sigma_{SM}$ and $\sigma \left( \epsilon^{qq'Y}_{\alpha\alpha} \right)$ are the SM cross-section and total cross-section including the $W'$ contributions after cuts applied. When $\sigma^L(\epsilon)$ and $\sigma^R(\epsilon)$ are both none zero, $\sigma(\epsilon^L,\epsilon^R) = \sigma_{SM} + \sigma_{INT}(\epsilon^L) + \sigma_{NSI}^{L}(\epsilon^L) + \sigma_{NSI}^{R}(\epsilon^R)$. For $S_{stat} = 2, 3$ and $5$, the relation between the constraints on $\epsilon^{udL,udR}_{ee}$ and $\epsilon^{udL,udR}_{\mu\mu}$ are shown in Fig. 9. In Figs. 10 and 11 we show the constraints on the CC NSI parameters $\epsilon^{udL,(R)}_{ee}$ and $\epsilon^{udL,(R)}_{\mu\mu}$ for different $M_{W'}$ at the 13 TeV LHC with
FIG. 7. Same as Fig. 6 but for the process $pp \rightarrow \mu^+\nu_\mu$.

TABLE II. Same as Table I but after the event selection strategy.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$M_{W'}$ (TeV)</th>
<th>$pp \rightarrow e^+\nu_e$</th>
<th>$pp \rightarrow \mu^+\nu_\mu$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$\alpha_{\text{int}}$(pb)</td>
<td>$\alpha^L_{\text{nsi}}$(pb)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>-2.52</td>
<td>4068.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>-2.49</td>
<td>5215.94</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>-2.45</td>
<td>3225.12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>-2.23</td>
<td>1621.66</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>-2.07</td>
<td>969.23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>-2.07</td>
<td>493.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>-1.95</td>
<td>508.87</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

$\mathcal{L} = 139 \text{ fb}^{-1}$. For $M_{W'}$ in the range of 1 TeV $\sim$ 7 TeV, the constraints on the CC NSI parameters are $-1.62 \times 10^{-4} \leq \epsilon_{ee}^{udL} \leq 1.68 \times 10^{-4}$ ($-8.09 \times 10^{-4} \leq \epsilon_{ee}^{udR} \leq 7.73 \times 10^{-4}$), $-2.43 \times 10^{-4} \leq \epsilon_{ee}^{udL} \leq 2.71 \times 10^{-4}$ ($-1.00 \times 10^{-3} \leq \epsilon_{ee}^{udR} \leq 9.35 \times 10^{-4}$) and $-4.08 \times 10^{-4} \leq \epsilon_{ee}^{udL} \leq 4.53 \times 10^{-4}$ ($-1.32 \times 10^{-3} \leq \epsilon_{ee}^{udR} \leq 1.18 \times 10^{-3}$) at the 2$\sigma$, 3$\sigma$, and 5$\sigma$ levels,
respectively. Similarly, for the parameter $\epsilon_{\mu\mu}^{udL(R)}$, there are $-1.49 \times 10^{-4} \leq \epsilon_{\mu\mu}^{udL} \leq 1.66 \times 10^{-4}$ ($-7.31 \times 10^{-4} \leq \epsilon_{\mu\mu}^{udR} \leq 7.70 \times 10^{-4}$), $-2.33 \times 10^{-4} \leq \epsilon_{\mu\mu}^{udL} \leq 2.59 \times 10^{-4}$ ($-9.55 \times 10^{-4} \leq \epsilon_{\mu\mu}^{udR} \leq 8.85 \times 10^{-4}$) and $-3.92 \times 10^{-4} \leq \epsilon_{\mu\mu}^{udL} \leq 4.26 \times 10^{-4}$ ($-1.26 \times 10^{-3} \leq \epsilon_{\mu\mu}^{udR} \leq 1.11 \times 10^{-3}$), respectively. The results of $\epsilon_{\alpha\alpha}^{udY}$ satisfy the unitarity bounds in Eq. (9) and satisfy the constraints in Eq. (12). It can be found from Figs. 10 and 11 that, for different $M_{W'}$, the constraints are close to each other for $\epsilon_{\ell\ell}^{udL}$. This can be understood by looking at Tables I and II that $\alpha_{int}$ are at the same order of magnitude. Therefore, when the interference term is dominant, the constraints are insensitive to $M_{W'}$. For the same reason, the positive and negative constraints are different for $\epsilon_{\ell\ell}^{udL}$. This is not the case for $\epsilon_{\ell\ell}^{udR}$. Since there is no interference, the constraints on $\epsilon_{\ell\ell}^{udR}$ are generally looser than those on $\epsilon_{\ell\ell}^{udL}$.

It can be found that, except for the case of $M_{W'} = 1$ TeV, the constraints are looser when $M_{W'}$ is larger, because the cross-section decreases rapidly with increasing $M_{W'}$. In the case of $M_{W'} = 1$ TeV, the mass of $W'$ boson is closer to the SM $W$ boson, therefore the event selection strategy is less efficient, as a consequence, even the cross-section of the signal is larger, a stronger constraint cannot be reached compared with the case of $M_{W'} = 2$ TeV.

It is interesting to discuss the constraints for special cases. In the case of $|A_Y^{q\bar{q}}| = |B_{\ell\ell}^L| = 1$ corresponding to the SSM, the constraints on $\epsilon$ can be translated to lower bounds on $M_{W'}$. The results are shown in Fig. 12. On the other hand, since the MC simulation is carried out for fixed $M_{W'}$, if the lower bound on the $M_{W'}$ is larger than the value of $M_{W'}$ used in the simulation, such value should be ruled out for a given $M_{W'}$. Consequently, a constraint can
FIG. 9. For $S_{\text{stat}}$ equalling to 2 (a), 3 (b) and 5 (c), the relationship between the constraints on the CC NSI parameters $\epsilon_{ee}^{udL}$ and $\epsilon_{ee}^{udR}$ at the 13 TeV LHC with $L = 139 \text{ fb}^{-1}$.

FIG. 10. For $S_{\text{stat}}$ equalling to 2 (dotted line), 3 (solid line) and 5 (dashed line), the constraints on the CC NSI parameters $\epsilon_{ee}^{udL}$ (a) and $\epsilon_{ee}^{udR}$ (b) as functions of $M_{W'}$ at the 13 TeV LHC with $L = 139 \text{ fb}^{-1}$.

FIG. 11. Same as Fig. 10 but for the CC NSI parameters $\epsilon_{\mu\mu}^{udL}$ (a) and $\epsilon_{\mu\mu}^{udR}$ (b).
be set on $M_{W'}$. For $|A_Y^{q'd}| = |B_L^{\ell\ell}| = 1$, based on $\epsilon_{ee}^{udL}$, the constraints on $M_{W'}$ for $S_{stat} = 2$, 3 and 5 are $M_{W'} > 6.3$ TeV, $M_{W'} > 5.2$ TeV and $M_{W'} > 3.8$ TeV, respectively. Based on $\epsilon_{\mu\mu}^{udL}$, the constraints on $M_{W'}$ for $S_{stat} = 2$, 3 and 5 are $M_{W'} > 6.5$ TeV, $M_{W'} > 5.4$ TeV and $M_{W'} > 3.9$ TeV, respectively. The search results of the LHC experiments are $M_{W'} > 6.0$ TeV for the $e\nu_e$ channel and $M_{W'} > 5.0$ TeV for the $\mu\nu_\mu$ channel at 95% CL (we choose the largest lower bounds from Refs. [29–32]). It can be seen that, with interference effect considered, these results can be further improved.

When searching for the signal of the $W'$ boson with an unknown mass, the cuts are applied uniformly. However, the above constraints can be further improved. Because if the signal was not found, then the goal should be to constraint the parameter $\epsilon$ for different $M_{W'}$. For this purpose, the event selection strategy can be different for different $M_{W'}$, therefore can be further optimized according to $M_{W'}$.

IV. THE SENSITIVITIES OF THE HL-LHC TO THE CC NSI PARAMETERS

In this section, sensitivities to the CC NSI parameters in the future run of the LHC with higher luminosities called the HL-LHC are investigated. To do this, we assume that the HL-LHC runs at $\sqrt{s} = 14$ TeV with the integrated luminosity as 300 fb$^{-1}$, 1 ab$^{-1}$ and 3 ab$^{-1}$ [66, 67] and use the similar method as in Sec. III. Taking the process $pp \to e^+\nu_e$ as an example, the normalized distributions of $p_T^\ell$ and $E_T^\gamma$ for the signal and background are shown in Fig. 13.

According to Fig. 13, we select the events with $p_T^\ell \geq 300$ GeV and $E_T^\gamma \geq 280$ GeV for $pp \to e^+\nu_e$ and $p_T^\ell \geq 300$ GeV and $E_T^\gamma \geq 340$ GeV for $pp \to \mu^+\nu_\mu$. The cross-sections after cuts applied are also fitted using Eqs. (??) and (13), $\sigma_{SM}^{ee} = 0.022$ pb and $\sigma_{SM}^{\mu\mu} = 0.016$ pb, the results are shown in Table III and Fig. 14. The relation between the constraints on $\epsilon_{ee}^{udL}$ and $\epsilon_{ee}^{udR}$ at the 14 TeV LHC with $\mathcal{L} = 300$ fb$^{-1}$ are shown in Fig. 15.
FIG. 12. For $|A_Y^{qq'}| = |B_L^{ee}| = 1$, the constraints on $M_{W'}$ obtained by events generated at $M_{W'} = m$. The $M_{W'}$ on the left hand side of the dashed-dotted line is ruled out. The intersection of the dashed-dotted line and the dotted line gives the lower bound for $S_{stat} = 2$ as an example.
FIG. 13. Same as Fig. 6 but for the HL-LHC at $\sqrt{s} = 14$ TeV with $L = 300$ fb$^{-1}$.

TABLE III. Same as Table II but for the HL-LHC with $\sqrt{s} = 14$ TeV.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$M_{W'}$ (TeV)</th>
<th>$pp \rightarrow e^+\nu_e$</th>
<th>$pp \rightarrow \mu^+\nu_\mu$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$\alpha_{int}(pb)$</td>
<td>$\alpha_{int}^L$ (pb)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>-6.98</td>
<td>7782.23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>-7.47</td>
<td>8730.81</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>-6.79</td>
<td>4719.97</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>-6.54</td>
<td>2510.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>-6.16</td>
<td>1256.88</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>-5.84</td>
<td>1139.29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>-5.38</td>
<td>1067.50</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The sensitivities of the process $pp \rightarrow \ell^+\nu_\ell$ at the 14 TeV LHC with $L = 300$ fb$^{-1}$ are estimated with the help of $S_{stat}$. The numerical results are summarized in Figs. 16 and 17.
FIG. 14. Same as Fig. 8 but for the HL-LHC with $\sqrt{s} = 14$ TeV.

FIG. 15. Same as Fig. 9 but for the HL-LHC with $\sqrt{s} = 14$ TeV.

FIG. 16. Same as Fig. 10 but for the CC NSI parameters $\epsilon_{ee}^{udL}$ (a) and $\epsilon_{ee}^{udR}$ (b) at the 14 TeV HL-LHC with $\mathcal{L} = 300$ fb$^{-1}$. 
As shown in Figs. 16 and 17, $\epsilon_{\alpha\alpha}$ satisfies the unitarity bounds in Eq. (9), and are also within the constraints given by Eq. (12). Comparing these figures with Figs. 10 and 11, we can see that the HL-LHC is more sensitive to the CC NSI parameters than the LHC. The results for higher luminosities, $\mathcal{L} = 1\,\text{ab}^{-1}$ and $3\,\text{ab}^{-1}$ are also investigated and shown in Fig. 18. Compared with those of the 13 TeV LHC with $\mathcal{L} = 139\,\text{fb}^{-1}$, the constraints can be strengthened to about an order of magnitude for the 14 TeV LHC with $\mathcal{L} = 3\,\text{ab}^{-1}$.

V. CONCLUSIONS AND DISCUSSIONS

Many BSM scenarios predict the existence of the extra charged, massive, colorless gauge boson $W'$, which can induce the CC NSI. There are many parameters regarding the BSM of $W'$ boson, to simplify the $W'$ model, we mainly concentrate on the process $pp \to \ell^+\nu_\ell$ which
has the simplest Feynman diagram and a relatively cleaner background. Before the MC simulation, we first consider the theoretical constraints on $\epsilon^{qq'YY}_{ll}$ from two aspects: the partial wave unitarity and the $W'$ decays. In numerical calculation, it is found that interference effects play a vital role, as a consequence the constraints on $\epsilon$ are not as mass-dependent as in previous studies. Then the event selection strategy is studied, using $p_T^l$ and $E_T$ as the discriminating variables between signal and background. With the help of $S_{stat}$, the expected constraints on $\epsilon$ are estimated.

In the context of a simplified $W'$ model, the constraints on the CC NSI parameters are $-1.62 \times 10^{-4} \leq \epsilon^{udL}_{ee} \leq 1.68 \times 10^{-4}$ and $-1.49 \times 10^{-4} \leq \epsilon^{udL}_{\mu\mu} \leq 1.66 \times 10^{-4}$ at the 13 TeV LHC with $\mathcal{L} = 139$ fb$^{-1}$. Ref. [68] has carefully analyzed the contributions of $W'$ to some low-energy observables, such as leptonic decays of charged pion meson, semileptonic $\tau$ decay, the lepton flavor universality (LFU) in pion meson, the CKM unitarity and superallowed $\beta$ decays. Among them, the tightest constraints on the CC NSI parameters $\epsilon^{udV}_{ee}$ and $\epsilon^{udA}_{\mu\mu}$ are given, which are $\epsilon^{udV}_{ee} = 0.710 \times 10^{-3}$ from superallowed $\beta$ decays and $\epsilon^{udA}_{\mu\mu} = 0.250 \times 10^{-4}$ from the decay $\pi^+ \rightarrow \mu^+\nu_\mu$. Thus, we can say that the constraints on the CC NSI parameters from the LHC experiments are comparable to those from some low-energy observables. Moreover, the expected constraints at the 14 TeV LHC with $\mathcal{L} = 3$ ab$^{-1}$ can further narrow down the sensitivity to one order of magnitude than the 13 TeV LHC. We propose that, the interference effects are non-negligible part and should be taken into account in future experiments.
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