

Exponential confidence region based on the projection density estimate.

Recursivity of these estimations.

M.R.Formica, E.Ostrovsky, and L.Sirota.

Università degli Studi di Napoli Parthenope, via Generale Parisi 13, Palazzo Pacanowsky, 80132, Napoli, Italy.

e-mail: mara.formica@uniparthenope.it

Department of Mathematics and Statistics, Bar-Ilan University, 59200, Ramat Gan, Israel.

e-mail: eugostrovsky@list.ru

Department of Mathematics and Statistics, Bar-Ilan University, 59200, Ramat Gan, Israel.

e-mail: sirota3@bezeqint.net

Abstract

We investigate the famous Tchentzov's projection density statistical estimation in order to deduce the exponential decreasing tail of distribution for the natural normalized deviation.

We modify these estimations assuming the square integrability of estimated function, to make it recursive form, which is more convenient for applications, however they have at the same speed of convergence as the for the classical ones in the composite Hilbert space norm.

KEY WORDS AND PHRASES.

Projection statistical density estimation, measure and measurable space, Riesz - Fisher theorem, integrability and square integrability, probability space, random series and variables, sample, orthogonal function and series, Hilbert space and composite norm, orthonormal complete system, order, convergence, adaptivity, Fourier coefficients, sample, random variable (r.v.), density, consistency, ordinary and adaptive projection estimation, slowly varying function, recursivity, error, observations, optimal rule, triangle inequality.

1 Introduction.

Let $(X = \{x\}, \mathcal{B}, \mu)$ be measurable space equipped with sigma finite and separable measure μ . Separability implies that the metric space \mathcal{B} relative the distance function

$$D(A_1, A_2) := \mu(A_1 \setminus A_2) + \mu(A_2 \setminus A_1)$$

is separable.

Let also $\xi_i, i = 1, 2, \dots, \xi := \xi_1$ be a *sample*, i.e. a sequence of independent identical distributed random variables (r.v.) defined on certain probability space $(\Omega = \{\omega\}, \mathcal{V}, \mathbf{P})$ with expectation \mathbf{E} and variance Var , having at the same non - negative integrable and in addition *square integrable* unknown density function $f = f(x), x \in X$ relative the measure μ :

$$\mathbf{P}(\xi_i \in A) = \int_A f(x) \mu(dx), A \in \mathcal{B},$$

such that

$$\|f\|^2(L_2(X, \mu)) = \|f\|^2 = \|f\|_2^2 := \int_X f^2(x) \mu(dx) < \infty, \quad (1)$$

and as ordinary

$$f(x) \geq 0; \quad \int_X f(x) \mu(dx) = \|f\|_1 = 1.$$

Our goal in this report is building of the exponential decreasing confidence region in the Hilbert space norm for the unknown density as well as to offer and investigate the recursive projection statistical estimate of the density function consistent in the mixed Hilbert space norm, having however at the same speed of convergence as the classical optimal projection estimates.

Let us clarify briefly the difference between ordinary and recursive estimations on the example of classical kernel density estimates. The classical *kernel* Parzen - Rosenblatt estimate $f[PR]_n(x)$ of $f(x), x \in R^d, d = 1, 2, \dots$ has a form

$$f[PR]_n(x) := \frac{1}{n h^d(n)} \sum_{i=1}^n V \left(\frac{x - \xi_i}{h(n)} \right),$$

where $h(n) \rightarrow 0, n \rightarrow \infty$. In contradiction, the so - called *recursive* Wolverton - Wagner's estimate $f[WW]_n(x)$ is defined as follows

$$f[WW]_n(x) := n^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{h(i)^d} V \left(\frac{x - \xi_i}{h(i)} \right).$$

The second estimate has at the same asymptotical properties as the first one when $n \rightarrow \infty$ but it obey's the recursive form

$$f[WW]_n(x) = \frac{n-1}{n} f[WW]_{n-1}(x) + \frac{1}{h^d(n)} V \left(\frac{x - \xi_n}{h(n)} \right), \quad n \geq 2,$$

therefore it is more convenient for the practical using.

2 Main result. Non - adaptive projection estimations.

We will start from the classical *projection* Tchentzov's density estimations, see the classical works [26], [27]. The more modern *adaptive* estimations will be discuss briefly further.

Let $\{\phi_k\} = \{\phi_k(x)\}$, $x \in X$ be certain *complete* orthonormal system functions in the (separable) Hilbert space $L_2 = L_2(X, \mu)$:

$$(\phi_k, \phi_l) = \int_X \phi_k(x) \phi_l(x) \mu(dx) = \delta_k^l, \quad k, l = 1, 2, \dots, \quad (2)$$

where δ_k^l is the Kroneker's symbol.

We have been assumed above that the density function is square integrable: $f(\cdot) \in L_2$; therefore in has a form (theorem of Riesz - Fisher)

$$f(x) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} c_k \phi_k(x), \quad (3)$$

empirical Fourier coefficients, so that

$$\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \rho(N) = 0, \quad \rho(N) = \rho[f](N) \stackrel{def}{=} \sum_{k=N+1}^{\infty} c_k^2. \quad (4)$$

As long as

$$c_k = \int_X \phi_k(x) f(x) \mu(dx) = \mathbf{E} \phi_k(\xi),$$

the unbiased and consistent as $n \rightarrow \infty$ with probability one as well as in the mean square sense statistical estimate of the Fourier coefficients $c_k(n)$ has a form

$$c_k(n) \stackrel{def}{=} n^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^n \phi_k(\xi_i). \quad (5)$$

The classical so - called *projection* estimate of $f(x)$ offered by N.N.Tchentzov [26], [27] has a form

$$f_{N,n}(x) \stackrel{def}{=} \sum_{k=1}^N c_k(n) \phi_k(x), \quad N = 2, 3, 4, \dots, \quad (6)$$

the number N is called *order* of this estimation.

The quantity of this approximation may be measured by means of the mixed (anisotropic) square Hilbert norm

$$\Delta(N, n) = \Delta[f_{N,n}, f] \stackrel{def}{=} \mathbf{E} \|f_{N,n}(\cdot) - f(\cdot)\|_2^2. \quad (7)$$

Lemma 2.1. Suppose that the orthonormal system $\{\phi_k(\cdot)\}$ is uniformly bounded:

$$M \stackrel{def}{=} \sup_{k=1,2,\dots} \sup_{x \in X} \phi_k^2(x) < \infty. \quad (8)$$

Then $\Delta(N, n) \leq A(N, n)$, where

$$A(N, n) \stackrel{def}{=} \frac{M N}{n} + \rho(N). \quad (9)$$

Proof. By virtue of orthonormality

$$\|f_{N,n}(\cdot) - f(\cdot)\|_2^2 = \sum_{k=1}^N (c_k(n) - c_k)^2 + \sum_{k=N+1}^{\infty} c_k^2 = \sum_{k=1}^N (c_k(n) - c_k)^2 + \rho(N).$$

Further,

$$\mathbf{E}(c_k(n) - c_k)^2 = n^{-1} \text{Var}\{ \phi_k(\xi) \} \leq n^{-1} \mathbf{E}[\phi_k(\xi)]^2 =$$

$$n^{-1} \int_X \phi_k^2(x) f(x) \mu(dx) \leq M n^{-1};$$

following

$$\mathbf{E} \|f_{N,n}(\cdot) - f(\cdot)\|_2^2 \leq MN/n + \rho(N) = A(N, n), \quad (10)$$

Q.E.D.

Introduce the following important *optimal*, more exactly, pseudo - optimal, variable (number of summands)

$$N_0 = N_0(n) = N_0[n, M, \rho] \stackrel{def}{=} \operatorname{argmin}_{N=2,3,\dots,n} A(N, n), \quad (11)$$

and correspondingly the optimal rate of convergence of offered projection estimate is equal to

$$A^*(n) \stackrel{def}{=} \min_N A(N, n) = A(N_0, n). \quad (12)$$

Example 2.1. Let $c_k^2 \asymp C_1/k^{1+\gamma}$, $C_j, \gamma = \text{const} \in (0, \infty)$. Then

$$\rho(N) \asymp C_2 N^{-\gamma}, \quad N_0(n) \asymp C_3 N^{-\gamma}$$

and finally

$$A^*(n) \asymp C_4 n^{-\gamma/(1+\gamma)}, \quad n \geq 1.$$

Example 2.2. Let now $c_k^2 \asymp C_5 \exp(-C_6 k)$, $k = 1, 2, \dots$; then

$$\rho(N) \asymp C_7 \exp(-C_8 N), \quad N_0(n) \asymp C_9 \ln n, \quad n \geq 2,$$

and finally in this case

$$A^*(n) \asymp C_{10} \ln n/n, \quad n \geq 2.$$

Ibragimov I.A. and Hasminskii R.Z. in [16] proved that this speed of convergence is optimal in the mixed Hilbert norm $L_2(\Omega \otimes X)$ sense on the widely classes of estimated functions f .

Lemma 2.2.

$$c_{N_0}^2 \geq \frac{M}{n}; \quad c_{N_0+1}^2 \leq \frac{M}{n}, \quad n, N_0 \geq 2. \quad (13)$$

Proof. Both the relations in (13) follows immediately from the obvious inequalities

$$A(N_0 - 1, n) \leq A(N_0, n) \leq A(N_0 + 1, n), \quad N_0 = N_0(n). \quad (14)$$

Briefly, by definition of notation:

$$c_{N_0(n)}^2 \stackrel{def}{\approx} \frac{M}{n}, \quad n \rightarrow \infty.$$

Inversely, let the estimations

$$c_L^2 \geq \frac{M}{n}; \quad c_{L+1}^2 \leq \frac{M}{n}, \quad n \geq 2. \quad (15)$$

for some positive integer value $L = L(n)$, $n \geq 2$ holds true. Question: is the value $L = L(n)$ actually the optimal numbers $N_0 : L(n) = N_0(n)$?

Lemma 2.3. Let the integer valued number $L = L(n)$, $n \geq 2$ be a minimal value for which the relation (15) holds true. Let also the numerical non - negative

sequence of square of coefficients c_k^2 be monotonically non - increasing for sufficiently greatest values k :

$$\exists k_0 = 2, 3, \dots \quad \forall k \geq k_0 \Rightarrow c_{k+1}^2 \leq c_k^2. \quad (16)$$

Then $L(n) = N_0(n) = \operatorname{argmin}_N A(N, n)$.

Proof. Let us ground first of all the existence and non - triviality of the variable $N_0 = N_0(n)$. Note that $\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} A(N, n) = \infty$, therefore $N_0(n) < \infty$, $n \geq 2$. Further, denote by $[m] = \operatorname{Ent}(m)$ the integer part the number m . We have

$$A([\sqrt{n}], [\sqrt{n}]) \leq C \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} + \rho[f]([\sqrt{n}]) \rightarrow 0, \quad n \rightarrow \infty,$$

as long as $f \in L_2(X, \mu)$. Following

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} A^*(n) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} A(N_0(n), n) = 0.$$

Ultimately, let the estimations (15) is valid for some positive integer value $L = L(n)$, $n \geq 2$. Let also $l = 1, 2, \dots$ be arbitrary positive integer number. We must ground the inequality

$$\rho(L) + \frac{ML}{n} \leq \rho(L + l) + \frac{M(N + l)}{n}, \quad (17)$$

which is in turn quite equivalent to the next one

$$\sum_{j=1}^l c_{L+j}^2 \leq l \frac{M}{n}, \quad l = 1, 2, \dots; \quad (18)$$

which follows immediately from the conditions of Lemma 2.3, because $c_{L+j}^2 \leq c_L^2$, $j = 1, 2, \dots$.

The case of the negative values l may be considered quite analogously. Namely, one has

$$\rho(L) + \frac{ML}{n} \leq \rho(L - l) + \frac{M(L - l)}{n}, \quad l = 1, 2, \dots, L - 1; \quad (19)$$

which is in turn quite equivalent to the next inequality

$$\sum_{j=1}^l c_{L-j}^2 \geq l \frac{M}{n}, \quad l = 1, 2, \dots, L - 1. \quad (20)$$

Remark 2.1. Note that the assertion of Lemma 2.3 remains true only under both the relations (18) and (20).

Remark 2.2. Let an integer non - random value $K = K(n)$, $n \geq 2$, $K(n) = 2, 3, \dots, n - 1$ be such that there exists an universal constant $\Gamma = \operatorname{const} \in [1, \infty)$ for which

$$\forall n, N \in [2, n-1] \Rightarrow A(K(n), n) \leq \Gamma A(N, n).$$

Then obviously

$$\mathbf{E} \| f(K(n), n)(\cdot) - f(\cdot) \|_2^2 \leq \Gamma \cdot A^*(n) -$$

quasi - optimality.

Remark 2.3. As long as in the practice the values $A(N, n)$ are unknown, one can use instead of him its statistical consistent estimations (adaptivity). Namely, define the variables

$$\tau_n(N) \stackrel{def}{=} \sum_{k=N+1}^{2N} c_k^2(n), \quad n, N \geq 2, \quad N \leq n/2.$$

It is proved in [4], see also [20] - [24] that as $n \rightarrow \infty$ with probability one

$$\tau_n(N) \asymp A(N, n),$$

and following

$$\min_{N \in [2, n/2]} \tau_n(N) \sim A^*(n), \quad \operatorname{argmin}_{N \in [2, n/2]} \tau_n(N) \sim N_0(n),$$

also almost everywhere.

3 Exponential confidence region based on the projection estimate.

Let us return to the arbitrary projection estimate (10). Define the (non - negative) variable

$$\Delta = \Delta[f]_{N,n} \stackrel{def}{=} \frac{n}{M N} \left[\|f_{N,n}(\cdot) - f(\cdot)\|_2^2 - \rho(N) \right], \quad (21)$$

and its tail function

$$T[\Delta](t) \stackrel{def}{=} \mathbf{P}(\Delta > t), \quad t \geq 1, \quad (22)$$

which may be used by the building of the confidence region for the unknown density function $f(\cdot)$ in the $L_2(X)$ sense. Here $2 \leq N \leq n-2$, $n \geq 5$; the value N may be optimal or not.

Theorem 3.1. We conclude under formulated conditions

$$T[\Delta](t) \leq \exp [-t / (e M)], \quad t \geq e M. \quad (23)$$

Proof. We will use the known theory of Grand Lebesgue Spaces (GLS) of random variables having the exponential decreasing tail of distributions, see e.g. [1], [2], [3], [5], [6], [7], [17], [18], [19], [20] etc. Concrete, let $\psi = \psi(p)$, $1 \leq p < b = \text{const} \leq \infty$ be measurable strictly positive: $\inf_p \psi(p) > 0$ function. By definition, the Banach rearrangement invariant Grand Lebesgue Space (GLS) $G\psi$ consists on all the random variables (r.v.) $\{\xi\}$ having a finite norm

$$\|\xi\|_{G\psi} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \sup_{p \in (1, b)} \left\{ \frac{\|\xi\|_{L_{p, \Omega}}}{\psi(p)} \right\} < \infty, \quad (24)$$

where as ordinary

$$\|\xi\|_{L_{p, \Omega}} = \|\xi\|_p := [\mathbf{E}|\xi|^p]^{1/p}, \quad 1 \leq p < \infty,$$

$$\|\xi\|_\infty = \text{vraisup}_{\omega \in \Omega} |\xi(\omega)|.$$

The finiteness of some GLS norm $\|\xi\|_{G\psi}$ for the r.v. ξ is closely related with its tail behavior

$$T[\xi](t) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \mathbf{P}(|\xi| > t), \quad t \geq e.$$

Indeed, assume for definiteness that $\|\xi\|_{G\psi} = 1$; then

$$T[\xi](t) \leq \exp \left(- \sup_{p \in (1, b)} (p \ln t - p \ln \psi(p)) \right), \quad t \geq e; \quad (25)$$

and inverse conclusion is true under simple natural conditions: if (25) there holds, then

$$\xi \in G\psi, \quad \Leftrightarrow \exists K = K(\psi) < \infty, \quad \|\xi\|_{G\psi} \leq K(\psi).$$

Example. Set for certain $m = \text{const} \in (0, \infty)$

$$\psi_m(p) := p^{1/m}, \quad 1 \leq p < \infty.$$

The GLS estimate

$$\|\xi\|_{G\psi_m} = \sup_{p \geq 1} \left[\frac{\|\xi\|_{L(p, \Omega)}}{\psi_m(p)} \right] < \infty \quad (26)$$

is completely equivalent to the following tail inequality

$$\exists \gamma = \gamma(m) \in (0, \infty) \Rightarrow T[\xi](t) \leq \exp(-\gamma(m) t^m), \quad t \geq 0. \quad (27)$$

The case $m = 2$ correspondent to the famous subgaussian random variables. Standard notation [17]:

$$\|\xi\|_{\text{Sub}} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \|\xi\|_{G\psi_2} = \sup_{p \geq 1} \left[\frac{\|\xi\|_p}{\sqrt{p}} \right].$$

It is known that $\|\xi\|_{\text{Sub}} \leq \|\xi\|_{\infty}$ and if the r.v. - s $\{\eta_i\}$, $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$ are subgaussian, independent, centered and identical distributed, then

$$\sup_n \left[n^{-1/2} \left\| \sum_{i=1}^n \eta_i \right\|_{\text{Sub}} \right] = \|\eta_1\|_{\text{Sub}}. \quad (28)$$

Let us return to the theorem 3.1. Denote

$$\delta_k(n) := n^{1/2}(c_k(n) - c_k) = n^{-1/2} \sum_{i=1}^n (\phi_k(\xi_i) - c_k);$$

therefore

$$n^{1/2} \|c_k(n) - c_k\|_{\text{Sub}} \leq \sqrt{M}.$$

We entail from here

$$\|(c_k(n) - c_k)^2\|_{G\psi_1} \leq \frac{M}{n}.$$

One can apply the triangle inequality for the $G\psi_1$ norm

$$\left\| \sum_{k=1}^N (c_k(n) - c_k)^2 \right\|_{G\psi_1} \leq \frac{M N}{n}. \quad (29)$$

Denote

$$\Theta = \Theta[N, n] := \frac{n}{M N} \cdot \sum_{k=1}^N (c_k(n) - c_k)^2;$$

then $\|\Theta\|_{G\psi_1} \leq 1$ and hence

$$T[\Theta](t) \leq \exp(-t/e), \quad t \geq e.$$

The proposition (39) follows immediately from the last estimate after simple calculations.

4 Recursions.

We intent in this section to offer a *recursive* modification of the projection density estimation having at the same up to finite multiplicative constant speed of convergence as classical ones.

Notice that the number of summands $N(n)$ is *integer positive number*, which is monotonically non - increasing relative the number n . Therefore it is reasonable

to suppose that it satisfied for all the sufficiently greatest values n , say for $n \geq 10$, the following recursion

$$N(n+1) = N(n) + 1 \quad (30)$$

or

$$N(n+1) = N(n). \quad (31)$$

The first case (30) take place iff

$$c_{N(n)}^2 > \frac{M}{n}, \quad (32)$$

the second one take place when

$$c_{N(n)}^2 \leq \frac{M}{n}. \quad (33)$$

So, let us introduce the following *recursively defined* sequence of positive non-decreasing integer numbers $Y = \{Y(n)\}$ such that $Y(1) = 1$ and consequently

$$c_{Y(n)}^2 > \frac{M}{n} \Rightarrow Y(n+1) := Y(n) + 1, \quad (34)$$

and otherwise

$$c_{Y(n)}^2 \leq \frac{M}{n} \Rightarrow Y(n+1) := Y(n). \quad (35)$$

Theorem 4.1. We retain all the conditions and notations of the theorem 3.1. Define the following centered and normalized deviation variable

$$\Delta(Y) = \Delta[f]_{Y,N,n} \stackrel{def}{=} \frac{n}{M Y(n)} \left[\|f_{Y(n),n}(\cdot) - f(\cdot)\|_2^2 - \rho(Y(n)) \right], \quad (36)$$

and its tail function

$$T[\Delta(Y)](t) \stackrel{def}{=} \mathbf{P}(\Delta(Y) > t), \quad t \geq 1, \quad (37)$$

Here as above $2 \leq Y(n) \leq n - 2$, $n \geq 5$.

Assume in addition that

$$Q = Q[Y] \stackrel{def}{=} \sup_n \left\{ \frac{A(Y(n), n)}{A^*(n)} \right\} < \infty. \quad (38)$$

We conclude under formulated conditions by virtue of the proposition of remark 2.2

$$T[\Delta(Y)](t) \leq \exp [-t / (e M Q)], \quad t \geq e M Q. \quad (39)$$

Remark 4.1. The condition $Q(Y) < \infty$ in (38) is satisfied for instance when

$$\exists C_1, C_2 \in (0, \infty), \quad c_k^2 \asymp k^{-1-C_1} L(k), \quad k \geq 2,$$

where $L = L(z)$, $z \in [2, \infty)$ is non - negative continuous slowly varying at infinity function; as well as when

$$\exists C_1, C_2 \in (0, \infty), \quad c_k^2 \asymp \exp \left(-C_1 k^{C_2} L(k) \right), \quad k \geq 2.$$

5 Concluding remarks.

It is interest in our opinion to deduce the recursive projection density (and regression, spectral density) function estimates for the so - called *adaptive* estimates, having however the optimal rate of convergence, which does not dependent on the unknown, in general case, class of smoothness for estimating function. See for example [4], [21], [22].

Acknowledgement. The first author has been partially supported by the Gruppo Nazionale per l'Analisi Matematica, la Probabilità e le loro Applicazioni (GNAMPA) of the Istituto Nazionale di Alta Matematica (INdAM) and by Università degli Studi di Napoli Parthenope through the project "sostegno alla Ricerca individuale".

References

- [1] **I. Ahmed, A. Fiorenza, M.R. Formica, A. Gogatishvili, J.M. Rakotoson.** *Some new results related to Lorentz G-Gamma spaces and interpolation.* J. Math. Anal. Appl., 483, **2**, (2020), to appear.
- [2] **G. Anatriello and A. Fiorenza.** *Fully measurable grand Lebesgue spaces.* J. Math. Anal. Appl. **422** (2015), no. 2, 783–797.
- [3] **G. Anatriello and M. R. Formica.** *Weighted fully measurable grand Lebesgue spaces and the maximal theorem.* Ric. Mat. **65** (2016), no. 1, 221–233.
- [4] **Bobrov P.B., Ostrovsky E.I.** *Confidence intervals by adaptive estimations.* Zapiski Nauchn. seminarov POMI. St. - Petersburg, 1997, v. 37 b.2, 28 - 45
- [5] **V.V. Buldygin V.V., D.I.Mushtary, E.I.Ostrovsky, M.I.Pushalsky.** *New Trends in Probability Theory and Statistics.* Mokslas, (1992), V.1, p. 78 - 92; Amsterdam, Utrecht, New York, Tokyo.
- [6] **Capone C, Formica M.R, Giova R.** *Grand Lebesgue spaces with respect to measurable functions.* Nonlinear Analysis 2013; 85: 125 - 131.

- [7] **Capone C, and Fiorenza A.** *On small Lebesgue spaces. Journal of function spaces and applications.* 2005; 3; 73 - 89.
- [8] **Donoho D., Jonstone I., Keryacharian G., Picard D.** *Density estimation by wavelet thresholding.* Technical report N0426, 1993, Dept. of Stat., Stanford University.
- [9] **Donoho D., Jonstone I.** *Adapting to unknown smoothness via wavelet shrinkage.* Technical report N0425, 1993, Dept. of Stat., Stanford University.
- [10] **Donoho D.** *Wedgelets: nearly minimax estimation of edges.* *Annales of Statist.*, 1999, v. 27 b. 3 pp. 859 - 897.
- [11] **Donoho D.** *Unconditional bases are optimal bases for data compression and for statistical estimation.* *Applied Comput. Harmon. Anal.*, 1996, v. 3 pp. 100 - 115.
- [12] **Donoho D.** *Unconditional bases and bit - level compression.* *Appl. Comput. Anal.*, 1999, v. 3 pp. 388 - 392.
- [13] **Efroimovich S.** *Nonparametric estimation of the density of a unknown smoothness.* *Theory Probab. Appl.*, 1985, v. 30 b. 3, 557 - 568.
- [14] **Golubev G., Nussbaum M.** *Adaptive spline Estimations in the nonparametric regression model.* *Theory Probab. Appl.*, 1992, v. 37 No 4, 521 - 529.
- [15] **Golybev G.** *Nonparametric estimation of smooth spectral densities of Gaussian stationary sequences.* *Theory Probab. Appl.*, 1994, v. 38 b. 2, 28 - 45.
- [16] **Ibragimov I.A., Hasminskii R.Z.** *On the boundaries of quality for nonparametric regression estimate.* *Probab. Theory Appl.*, 1982, V. 21, Issue 1, pp. 81 - 94.
- [17] **Yu. V. Kozachenko and E. I. Ostrovsky.** *The Banach Spaces of random variables of sub-Gaussian type.* *of Probab. and Math. Stat.*, **32** (1985), (in Russian). Kiev, KSU, 43-57.
- [18] **Yu. V. Kozachenko, E. I. Ostrovsky and L. Sirota.** *Relations between exponential tails, moments and moment generating functions for random variables and vectors.* arXiv:1701.01901v1 [math.FA], 8 Jan 2017.
- [19] **Yu. V. Kozachenko, E. I. Ostrovsky and L. Sirota.** *Equivalence between tails, Grand Lebesgue Spaces and Orlicz norms for random variables without Cramer's condition.* arXiv:1710.05260v1 [math.PR], 15 Oct 2017.
- [20] **Ostrovsky E.I.** *Exponential estimates for random fields and their applications,* (in Russian). Obninsk, OIATE, 1999, 350 pp.
- [21] **E.Ostrovsky, Y. Zelikov.** *Adaptive Optimal Nonparametric Regression and Density Estimation based on thr Fourier-Legendre expansion.* arXiv:0706.0881v1 [math.ST] 6 Jun 2007
- [22] **Ostrovsky E.I.** *Adaptive estimation in three classical problem on nonparametric statistics.* *Aktualnye problemy sovremennoy matematiki.* Novosibirsk, NII MI OO, 1997, v.3 pp. 142 - 146.
- [23] **Ostrovsky E.I.** *The adaptive estimation in multidimensional statistics.* In: *Proseeding of the 5th international conference on simulation of devices and technologies (ISDT).* Obninsk, Russia, 1996, pp. 115 - 118.
- [24] **Ostrovsky E., Sirota L.** *Universal adaptive estimations and confidence intervals in the non-parametrical statistics.* arXiv.mathPR/0406535 v1 25 Jun 2004.
- [25] **Tony Cai T.** *Adaptive wavelet Estimation: a Block Thresholding and Oracle Inequality Approach.* *Annales of Math. Statist.*, 1999, v. 27 b. 3, 898 - 924

- [26] **N.N.Tchentzov.** *Estimation of unknown density according to observations.* Soviet Mathematics, Doklady, 1962, V. 147, Issue 1, 45 - 48, (in Russian).
- [27] **N.N.Tchentzov.** *Statistical Decision Rules and Optimal Inference.* Translations of Mathematical Monographs, Reprint Edition. American Mathematical Society; Reprint edition, April 19, 2000.