

Aura: Privacy-preserving Augmentation to Improve Test Set Diversity in Noise Suppression Applications

Xavier Gitiaux^{1*}, Aditya Khant², Ebrahim Beyrami², Chandan Reddy³⁺,
Jayant Gupchup², Ross Cutler²

1. George Mason University, Fairfax VA, USA. Email: xgitiaux@gmu.edu
2. Microsoft Corporation, Redmond WA, USA. Email: firstname.lastname@microsoft.com
3. Email: chandan.ka@outlook.com

Abstract

Noise suppression models running in production environments are commonly trained on publicly available datasets. However, this approach leads to regressions due to the lack of training/testing on representative customer data. Moreover, due to privacy reasons, developers cannot listen to customer content. This ‘ears-off’ situation motivates augmenting existing datasets in a privacy-preserving manner. In this paper, we present *Aura*, a solution to make existing noise suppression test sets more challenging and diverse while being sample efficient. *Aura* is ‘ears-off’ because it relies on a feature extractor and a metric of speech quality, DNSMOS P.835, both pre-trained on data obtained from public sources. As an application of *Aura*, we augment the INTERSPEECH 2021 DNS challenge by sampling audio files from a new batch of data of 20K clean speech clips from Librivox mixed with noise clips obtained from Audio Set. *Aura* makes the existing benchmark test set harder by 0.27 in DNSMOS P.835 OVL (7%), 0.64 harder in DNSMOS P.835 SIG (16%), increases diversity by 31%, and achieves a 26% improvement in Spearman’s rank correlation coefficient (SRCC) compared to random sampling. Finally, we open-source *Aura* to stimulate research of test set development.

Index Terms: noise suppression, privacy processing, test set construction

1. Introduction

Real-time communication platforms such as Microsoft Teams and Skype operate under a wide range of device, speaker, and ambient conditions. In such conditions, speech enhancement methods include removing background noise and reverberation [1, 2]. Deep learning based approaches provide state-of-the-art improvement of speech quality but typically involve supervised training on a large and diverse dataset of noisy speech and clean speech pairs [3]. Since real-world applications contain speech mixed with noise, most deep learning methods rely on synthetic data that mix clean and noisy speech [4]. However, synthetic data cannot fully capture real-world conditions and provide a model evaluation that accounts for large and diverse customer workloads [5]. One solution is to identify new conditions to augment current test sets with additional representative data. However, labeling a large batch of customer data is expensive, and often prohibitive due to privacy and compliance reasons.

This paper presents *Aura*, an ‘ears-off’ methodology to identify scenarios in a sample-efficient manner that is: (i) chal-

lenging and diverse to noise suppression (NS) models, and (ii) representative of the customer workload. In our setting, ‘ears-off’ means that audio (noisy or enhanced speech) from customers cannot be heard; only aggregated evaluation metrics from a large batch of files can be logged in the ‘ears-off’ environment. Real-world scenarios are instrumental to generate a low-variance estimate of how noise suppressors improve speech quality when used by customers. A sample efficient model evaluation that uses a few test examples ensures agile development and deployment in production pipelines. Sample efficiency is an important practical challenge because production workload datasets can be in the order of millions of clips.

Aura operates in an ‘ears-off’ mode by relying on a pre-trained audio feature extractor such as VGGish [6] and a pre-trained accurate objective speech quality metric called DNSMOS P.835 [7]. Both feature extractor and speech quality metric are convolution-based models trained on large-scale open-sourced data and do not risk memorizing customer private information. *Aura* maps customer data into an embedding space, partitions the data via clustering to capture the diversity, identifies the most challenging scenarios for noise suppressors within each cluster, and reports aggregate model performances on these challenging conditions.

Since we cannot share results on customer data, we evaluate *Aura*’s sampling on simulated data. We apply *Aura* to rank 28 noise suppression models from the INTERSPEECH 2021 DNS Challenge [4] (henceforth, referred to as ‘benchmark’) in terms of DNSMOS P.835 [7]. We show that the SRCC between a 1% sample produced by *Aura* and the entire mixture of noisy speech is 0.91. This is an improvement of 26% compared to a 1% random sampling of noisy speech. We also apply *Aura* to augment the benchmark test set [4]. The resulting test set is more challenging to noise suppressors with a predicted absolute overall decline of 0.27 in differential MOS [7]. Moreover, the *Aura*-based test set captures more diverse audio scenarios with an increase of 31% in diversity (as measured by δ_{χ^2} distance) compared to the current benchmark test set [4].

Finally, we open source *Aura* to stimulate active research in test set development¹. The main contributions of this paper are as follows:

1. A privacy-preserving methodology to construct challenging, diverse, and representative test sets for noise suppression applications deployed in production environments. The ‘ears-off’ test set methodology can be adopted by any speech enhancement scenario with an objective metric, a feature extractor, and an ontology for categories (or labels).

* Work performed during internship at Microsoft

+ Work performed while at Microsoft. Currently affiliated with Google.

¹Code is available at github.com/microsoft/Aura.

2. A quantitative approach to measure diversity of a test set that is generic and independent of the *Aura* pipeline.
3. An open-source library to stimulate research in test set development.

The rest of the paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we do a detailed literature review. Section 3 captures the practical uses cases of *Aura* and the high-level solution. In Section 4, we describe the simulated production dataset and the results to show the effectiveness of the test sets created by *Aura*. Finally, we conclude in Section 5.

2. Related Work

The Deep Noise Suppression (DNS) benchmark challenge [4] created an extensive open-sourced noisy speech test set with about 20 noise types, 15 languages, 200+ speakers, emotions, and singing. However, the resulting benchmark test set does not cover all the scenarios experienced by customers using real-time communication platforms. *Aura* addresses this gap by creating a test set representative of customer workload while preserving customer privacy.

The literature on the construction of test sets in production scenarios is sparse. In software development, Rothermel et al. present metrics to evaluate testing coverage [8]. They propose code path coverage, percentage of tests affecting system state, and worst-case runtime metrics. Mani et al. evaluate the coverage quality of test set for deep learning classifiers with the following metrics: distribution of classes (equivalence partitioning), within-class distance (centroid positioning), between class statistics (boundary conditioning) [9]. *Aura* extends Mani et al. to noise suppression problems where there are no predictive classes to measure testing coverage. Instead, in *Aura*, we use classes from a known ontology of audio events [10] and replace centroid positioning and boundary conditioning statistics with an objective performance metric to quantify the level of difficulty in the overall test set and within each class.

Well established ontologies are instrumental to creating large-scale and diverse public dataset in object detection (e.g., ImageNet, [11], Open Images [12]) and audio event detection (Audio Set, [10]). An archetypical example of ontology-based data is ImageNet which relies on the hierarchical ontology established by Wordnet [13]. ImageNet currently contains about 15 million images that cover 22K Wordnet concepts (so-called synonym sets) with at least 1000 images per concept². Audio Set relies on a two-level ontology of 632 sound types. Pham et al. show how to measure diversity based on an existing ontology, a reference distribution and a distance metric [14]. *Aura* follows Pham et al. approach using Audio Set sound types as ontology, and a distance metric defined in Section 3.3.

Active learning is commonly employed when labeling is not possible [15, 16, 17]. The learner selects the data points from a pool of unlabeled data using either uncertainty-based or diversity-based heuristics. Kossen et al. show that it is optimal to prioritize hard examples to reduce the variance of a given evaluation metric [17]. However, these uncertainty-based heuristics do not preclude selecting redundant scenarios. On the other hand, Sener et al. propose a diversity-based solution identifying a set of data points that are the most representative of the entire data set [18]. This paper integrates diversity and uncertainty-based techniques to create a test set for noise suppression models while operating in an ‘ears-off’ environment.

A growing body of work has developed differentially pri-

vate learning algorithms that do not expose private customer data [19]. Differential privacy allows private computation of evaluation metrics [20, 21] provided that the data is already annotated. This does not apply to our ‘ears-off’ setting where the data cannot be labeled. Federated learning is a partial solution to the ‘ears-off’ problem as it enables training models on decentralized devices without the need for a central server. Latif et al. and Guliani et al. present applications of the federated learning paradigm to speech tasks [22, 23]. However, the evaluation of the noise suppression model trained using federated learning still requires to be tested on an ‘ears-off’ production test set if the evaluation is to be done on a production workload.

Finally, we use DNSMOS P.835 [7] to accurately measure the quality of speech without the need for clean reference. The use of a non-invasive CNN-based metric in an audio setting mirrors the use of VGG-based perceptual metric in computer vision [24]. This provides the flexibility to estimate speech quality before and after noise suppression without listening to the file.

3. Use Cases and Solution

In this section, we present the use cases for *Aura* and describe our end-to-end solution to address each use case.

3.1. Test set 1 (TS1): Challenging and Diverse Test Set

The first application of *Aura* is to sample a subset of audio clips from a database (referred to as target) to form a test set for a noise suppression model. The goal of the test set is to find challenging scenarios that are diverse. The quality of the test set itself is judged using an objective speech quality metric (ρ) and a diversity metric (δ_{χ^2}). Both are described in detail in Section 3.3.

3.2. Test set 2 (TS2): Production Workload Test Set

A typical model evaluation task is to rank the speech quality ρ produced by multiple models against a representative workload. A representative workload contains both clean and noisy speeches and mirrors the distribution observed in the production system. The main motivation is to compare NS models with two goals: (i) ensuring NS models do not introduce regressions by degrading the scenarios frequently encountered by users of the system (clean speech for example); (ii) finding few audio scenarios that allows to discriminate model performances. We rank NS models according to the quality metric ρ . We measure sampling performance by comparing the Spearman’s rank correlation coefficient (SRCC, [25]) between the ranking of the models obtained with the sample and the ranking obtained with the entire representative workload.

3.3. End-to-end Solution

The end-to-end *Aura* system is described in Figure 1. The main components are described in detail below.

Input: A large database of speech clips (\mathcal{D}). For TS1 (Section 3.1), the clean speech clips are filtered out. For TS2 (Section 3.2), the database contains the representative workload that includes clean speech.

Output: A test set representing a sampled version of the input.

Feature Extractor: Our feature extractor is constructed from VGGish, a model pre-trained on a 100M YouTube videos dataset [6]. It generates a 128 dimension embedding for each audio clip. VGGish embeddings are trained to identifying the type of foreground sound in an audio clip. In the context of

²See <https://www.image-net.org/about.php>.




Figure 1: Overall structure of Aura. Aura reduces the variance of the performance metric ρ (red) while maximizing the diversity component (gray) to ensure good coverage of audio scenarios in the embedding space.

noise suppression, we aim to detect the noise in the background of a speech clip. Therefore, we fine-tune the feature extractor by using its embedding as inputs to two fully connected layers and by classifying the type of background noise.

We follow Reddy et al. [4] to synthesize 1.5 million instances of 10 second noisy speech containing 527 categories of noise sounds from the unbalanced split of Audio Set [10]³. We add each noise sound to the background of speech clips randomly drawn from the bank of clean speech clips created by [4]. Our background noise type classifier trained on this synthetic data achieved a mean average precision (MAP) of 0.33 and area-under-the-curve (AUC) of 0.96 on a hold-out sample. It provides us with a feature extractor that maps noisy speech to a 128 dimension embedding space that is semantically aligned with the type of background noise.

Clustering: We perform kmeans++ on the embedding space to partition 1.5 million noisy speech into 256 clusters [26]⁴. We validate the quality of the clusters using subjective listening tests for 24 clusters selected at random. The raters reported a majority label (i.e., consensus) in 80% of the clusters.

Sampler: To trade-off variance and bias, *Aura* leverages the partitioning of the target data and applies probability-proportional-to-size sampling (PPS) within each cluster. Within each cluster, it prioritizes audio clips with highest ρ .

Objective Metric: For each speech clip, the P.835 protocol generates a MOS for signal quality SIG, background noise BAK, and overall quality OVRL. We derive ρ as a differential MOS (DMOS) between before and after denoising. A negative value for the DMOS ρ indicates that the NS method degrades the quality of speech instead of enhancing it.

Diversity Metric: The procedure for diversity computation is shown in Algorithm 1. We leverage the ontology from Audio Set and follow the approach presented by Pham et al. [14]. Specifically, we flatten the Audio Set ontology and consider a uniform distribution over the 527 sound types in Audio Set as our reference distribution. We measure the diversity of a test set as the χ^2 histogram distance δ_{χ^2} [27] between the reference distribution and the distribution of the test set. Algorithm 1 presents the procedure to compute δ_{χ^2} . The lower the χ^2 distance, the more audio properties encoded in the embedding space the resulting test set covers: $\delta_{\chi^2} = 0$ means that the test

³Audio Set is split into a unbalanced set; an evaluation set; and, a balanced set (see partitioning here). We use the unbalanced and evaluation splits to train our feature extractor; and the balanced split to evaluate *Aura*'s sampling capability (section 4).

⁴The number of clusters is selected as the number that achieve the lowest Davies-Bouldin index, where the index is computed using Euclidean distance in the embedding space.

set covers uniformly all noise types; $\delta_{\chi^2} = 1$ means that the test set has no overlap with the noise categories in the reference distribution. Our approach to measure diversity does not depend on the internal structure of *Aura*'s pipeline and thus can be applied to any noise suppression test set.

Open sourced components: The code and models for the feature extractor, clustering, sampler and diversity is made available in [28]

Algorithm 1 Diversity evaluation

- 1: **Inputs:** Sample \mathcal{S} , noise type ontology \mathcal{O}
 - 2: **Output:** χ^2 distance δ_{χ^2}
 - 3: $n = |\mathcal{S}|$: # of clips in \mathcal{S}
 - 4: $C = |\mathcal{O}|$: # of classes in \mathcal{O}
 - 5: $p_c = \frac{\text{number of clips in } \mathcal{S} \text{ with noise type } c}{n}$
 - 6: $p_u = \frac{1}{C}$ (probability of classes in uniform distribution)
 - 7: Compute $\delta_{\chi^2} = \sum_{c=1}^C \frac{(p_c - p_u)^2}{p_c + p_u}$
 - 8: Returns δ_{χ^2} .
-

4. Dataset and Results

To preserve corporate confidentiality, we perform experiments on a simulated ears-off dataset. We use this dataset as a ground truth we can listen to in order to validate our pipeline. We make it available as part of the open sourcing of *Aura* [28].

4.1. Simulated Ears-off Dataset

We create noisy speech candidates to add to the benchmark test set [4]. We mix sounds from the balanced split of Audio Set [10] with clean speech clips [29]. We use segments from Audio Set as background noise and follow [29] to generate noisy speech with a signal-to-noise ratio (SNR) between -5 dB and 5 dB. The resulting target data combines 1000 files from the benchmark test set with 22K of 10 seconds clips from the newly synthesized noisy speech that covers 302 noise types. The pool of noisy speech candidates is partially out-of-distribution compared to [4], which covers 227 noise types. Moreover, it does not overlap the dataset of audio clips used to fine-tune our feature extractor and train DNSMOS P.835 and thus, reproduces the conditions of an ‘ears-off’ environment.

To simulate real-world conditions, for each noisy speech in the previous target data, we randomly draw 10 clean speech clips from [4]. Clean speech presents a challenge to stack rank models in development because it does not allow to discriminate the performance of noise suppressors.

4.2. TS1: Challenging and Diverse Test Set

For generating TS1, we only consider the noisy speech candidates from the simulated ears-off dataset. To compare with benchmark test set [4], we set the size of the sample to be 1000. We measure the DMOS metric ρ and δ_{χ^2} as explained in Section 3.3. We say that a test set is challenging if it captures the audio scenarios for which $\rho \leq 0$. In addition to the benchmark dataset, we report results for the following sampling approaches: random, greedy (sorted by ascending DMOS without clustering), and *Aura*.

Table 1 shows the comparison of DMOS and δ_{χ^2} for the different sampling strategies. *Aura* test set is more challenging to NS models than the benchmark and random test sets.

Table 1: *Aura*-based DNS test set. Sample provided by *Aura* on the augmented benchmark dataset increases difficulty level (lower DMOS) and diversity (lower δ_{χ^2} , higher coverage). Each sample is 1000 files. \pm represents 95% conf. intervals and “classes covered” represents the categories covered in the Audio Set ontology.

Test set	DMOS			δ_{χ^2}	Classes covered
	SIG	BAK	OVRL		
DNS	-0.24	0.99	0.18	0.53	227
Benchmark [4]	± 0.01	± 0.01	± 0.01		
Random	-0.23	1.27	0.33	0.55	243
	± 0.01	± 0.01	± 0.01		
Greedy (no cluster)	-0.57	0.91	-0.32	0.53	249
	± 0.01	± 0.01	± 0.01		
<i>Aura</i>	-0.40	0.92	-0.09	0.37	302
	± 0.01	± 0.01	± 0.01		

Table 2: SRCC between the ranking of 28 NS models obtained from a 1% *Aura* sample with the model ranking obtained from using the entire data. 95% conf interval depicted using \pm .

Sampling method	SRCC		
	SIG	BAK	OVRL
<i>Random</i>	0.58 \pm 0.02	0.72 \pm 0.01	0.72 \pm 0.02
<i>Stratified-Random</i>	0.72 \pm 0.02	0.89 \pm 0.01	0.82 \pm 0.01
<i>Variance</i>	0.80 \pm 0.01	0.93 \pm 0.01	0.88 \pm 0.01
<i>Aura</i>	0.84\pm0.01	0.93\pm0.01	0.91\pm0.01

NS models degrade by 0.64 in SIG DMOS and 0.27 in OVRL DMOS compared to the benchmark test set. On the other hand, covering each cluster in the embedding space is instrumental to increasing the diversity of the test set. *Aura*’s test set with clustering covers 302 of the noise types in the reference distribution (out of 527 classes), while *Aura*’s test set without clustering (Greedy) covers only 249 of the noise types, which is only a marginal improvement over the benchmark test set. Moreover, the χ^2 distance decreases from 0.53 without clustering to 0.37 with clustering. This result means that the audio properties captured by clusters in the embedding space align well with the noise type ontology from Audio Set. Therefore, even if audio clips in customer data are not labeled with a noise type, we can use the soft ontology created by cluster membership to cover a diverse set of audio scenarios.

Figure 2 shows the top-10 noise types (in green) from the augmenting dataset of noisy speech that *Aura* adds to the test set. *Aura* prioritizes new audio scenarios compared to the top-10 noise types present in the benchmark test set.

4.3. TS2: Production Workload Test Set

For generating this test set, we consider the entire simulated dataset including clean speech files.

For each speech clip, we run 28 noise suppression models from the benchmark challenge [4]. We report the SRCC of the DNSMOS P.835 for the sample compared to the entire dataset. We bootstrap the sampling 200 times and report the mean and standard deviation of the resulting rank correlation coefficients. We compare *Aura*’s sampling performance to three alternative strategies: (i) *Random*, which draws randomly 1% of data; (ii) *Stratified-Random*, which stratifies the data into clusters and samples uniformly within clusters; (iii) *Variance*, which samples proportionally to the variance of predicted DMOS across the 28 models.




Figure 2: Top-10 categories not in distribution (green) and in-distribution (blue) noise categories in *Aura*-based samples. In-distribution relates to categories in the DNS benchmark test set.




Figure 3: SRCC of *Aura*-based rank estimates as a function of sample size.

Table 2 shows the SRCC for ranking based on the signal, background, and overall DMOS. *Aura*’s sampling leads to an improvement in SRCC across all three DNSMOS P.835 components. In terms of the overall quality (OVRL), we observe a 26% SRCC improvement over random sampling. We also observe that the 95% confidence interval of the ranking obtained from *Aura*-based samples are narrower than the one obtained by random sampling. Figure 3 shows that even for larger samples, *Aura* still outperforms *Random* in terms of rank correlation. *Aura*’s test set serves as a regression test to estimate whether new models will outperform or under-perform existing models when deployed in a production environment.

5. Conclusion

Aura presents an end-to-end system for improving test sets used to evaluate deep noise suppression models in an ‘ears-off’ environment. *Aura* creates challenging, diverse, and representative test sets by relying on an objective quality metric, a pre-trained feature extractor, and an established ontology. Although targeted for noise suppression, the method is generic and can be extended to other media (i.e., audio/video/image). Our work is an important step toward balancing customer privacy and measuring the model’s performance in real-world scenarios. Towards this goal, we open-source this library to stimulate active research in test set development. Future work includes (i) developing a process to add new classes that appear in customer workload and are not yet covered by the existing sound type ontology; and, (ii) extending *Aura*’s capability toward augmenting the train set on which noise suppressors are trained.

6. References

- [1] Y. Ephraim and D. Malah, "Speech enhancement using a minimum mean-square error log-spectral amplitude estimator," *IEEE transactions on acoustics, speech, and signal processing*, vol. 33, no. 2, pp. 443–445, 1985.
- [2] T. Lotter and P. Vary, "Speech enhancement by map spectral amplitude estimation using a super-gaussian speech model," *EURASIP Journal on Advances in Signal Processing*, vol. 2005, no. 7, pp. 1–17, 2005.
- [3] Y. Xu, J. Du, L.-R. Dai, and C.-H. Lee, "A regression approach to speech enhancement based on deep neural networks," *IEEE/ACM Transactions on Audio, Speech, and Language Processing*, vol. 23, no. 1, pp. 7–19, 2014.
- [4] C. K. Reddy, H. Dubey, K. Koishida, A. Nair, V. Gopal, R. Cutler, S. Braun, H. Gamper, R. Aichner, and S. Srinivasan, "Interspeech 2021 Deep Noise Suppression Challenge," *Interspeech*, 2021.
- [5] Z. Xu, M. Strake, and T. Fingscheidt, "Deep noise suppression with non-intrusive pesqnet supervision enabling the use of real training data," *arXiv preprint arXiv:2103.17088*, 2021.
- [6] S. Hershey, S. Chaudhuri, D. P. Ellis, J. F. Gemmeke, A. Jansen, R. C. Moore, M. Plakal, D. Platt, R. A. Saurous, B. Seybold *et al.*, "Cnn architectures for large-scale audio classification," in *2017 IEEE ICASSP*. IEEE, 2017, pp. 131–135.
- [7] C. Reddy, V. Gopal, and R. Cutler, "DNSMOS P.835: A non-intrusive perceptual objective speech quality metric to evaluate noise suppressors," *arXiv preprint arXiv:2101.11665*, 2021.
- [8] G. Rothermel and M. J. Harrold, "Analyzing regression test selection techniques," *IEEE Transactions on software engineering*, vol. 22, no. 8, pp. 529–551, 1996.
- [9] S. Mani, A. Sankaran, S. Tamilselvam, and A. Sethi, "Coverage testing of deep learning models using dataset characterization," *arXiv preprint arXiv:1911.07309*, 2019.
- [10] J. F. Gemmeke, D. P. W. Ellis, D. Freedman, A. Jansen, W. Lawrence, R. C. Moore, M. Plakal, and M. Ritter, "Audio set: An ontology and human-labeled dataset for audio events," in *Proc. IEEE ICASSP 2017*, New Orleans, LA, 2017.
- [11] J. Deng, W. Dong, R. Socher, L.-J. Li, K. Li, and L. Fei-Fei, "Imagenet: A large-scale hierarchical image database," in *2009 IEEE conference on computer vision and pattern recognition*. IEEE, 2009, pp. 248–255.
- [12] A. Kuznetsova, H. Rom, N. Alldrin, J. Uijlings, I. Krasin, J. Pont-Tuset, S. Kamali, S. Popov, M. Mallocci, A. Kolesnikov *et al.*, "The open images dataset v4," *International Journal of Computer Vision*, vol. 128, no. 7, pp. 1956–1981, 2020.
- [13] G. A. Miller, "Wordnet: a lexical database for english," *Communications of the ACM*, vol. 38, no. 11, pp. 39–41, 1995.
- [14] T. Pham, R. Hess, C. Ju, E. Zhang, and R. Metoyer, "Visualization of diversity in large multivariate data sets," *IEEE Transactions on Visualization and Computer Graphics*, vol. 16, no. 6, pp. 1053–1062, 2010.
- [15] B. Settles, "Active learning literature survey," 2009.
- [16] D. Lowell, Z. C. Lipton, and B. C. Wallace, "Practical obstacles to deploying active learning," *arXiv preprint arXiv:1807.04801*, 2018.
- [17] J. Kossen, S. Farquhar, Y. Gal, and T. Rainforth, "Active testing: Sample-efficient model evaluation," *arXiv preprint arXiv:2103.05331*, 2021.
- [18] O. Sener and S. Savarese, "Active learning for convolutional neural networks: A core-set approach," in *ICLR*, 2018.
- [19] M. Abadi, A. Chu, I. Goodfellow, H. B. McMahan, I. Mironov, K. Talwar, and L. Zhang, "Deep learning with differential privacy," in *Proceedings of the 2016 ACM SIGSAC*, 2016, pp. 308–318.
- [20] K. Boyd, E. Lantz, and D. Page, "Differential privacy for classifier evaluation," in *Proceedings of the 8th ACM Workshop on Artificial Intelligence and Security*, 2015, pp. 15–23.
- [21] Y. Chen, A. Machanavajjhala, J. P. Reiter, and A. F. Barrientos, "Differentially private regression diagnostics," in *ICDM*, 2016, pp. 81–90.
- [22] S. Latif, S. Khalifa, R. Rana, and R. Jurdak, "Federated learning for speech emotion recognition applications," in *2020 19th ACM/IEEE International Conference on Information Processing in Sensor Networks (IPSN)*. IEEE, 2020, pp. 341–342.
- [23] D. Guliani, F. Beaufays, and G. Motta, "Training speech recognition models with federated learning: A quality/cost framework," in *ICASSP 2021*. IEEE, 2021, pp. 3080–3084.
- [24] R. Zhang, P. Isola, A. A. Efros, E. Shechtman, and O. Wang, "The unreasonable effectiveness of deep features as a perceptual metric," in *Proceedings of the IEEE conference on computer vision and pattern recognition*, 2018, pp. 586–595.
- [25] J. H. Zar, "Significance testing of the spearman rank correlation coefficient," *Journal of the American Statistical Association*, vol. 67, no. 339, pp. 578–580, 1972.
- [26] D. Arthur and S. Vassilvitskii, "k-means++: The advantages of careful seeding," Stanford, Tech. Rep., 2006.
- [27] O. Pele and M. Werman, "The quadratic-chi histogram distance family," in *European conference on computer vision*. Springer, 2010, pp. 749–762.
- [28] Microsoft, "Aura: A library for creating challenging and diverse test sets for noise suppression applications." [Online]. Available: <https://github.com/microsoft/Aura>
- [29] C. K. Reddy, H. Dubey, V. Gopal, R. Cutler, S. Braun, H. Gamper, R. Aichner, and S. Srinivasan, "ICASSP 2021 Deep Noise Suppression Challenge," in *ICASSP 2021*. IEEE, 2021, pp. 6623–6627.