

Homological eigenvalues of graph p -Laplacians

Dong Zhang

Abstract

We introduce the homological eigenvalues of the graph p -Laplacian Δ_p , and we prove that for any homological eigenvalue $\lambda(\Delta_p)$, the functions $p(2\lambda(\Delta_p))^{\frac{1}{p}}$ and $2^{-p}\lambda(\Delta_p)$ are locally increasing and decreasing with respect to p , respectively. We show the existence of non-homological eigenvalue of Δ_p , and for general eigenvalues, the local monotonicity doesn't hold, but we have the upper semi-continuity of the spectra of graph p -Laplacians with respect to p . The k -th min-max eigenvalue $\lambda_k(\Delta_p)$ is a homological eigenvalue for any $k = 1, 2, \dots$, and we establish the locally Lipschitz continuity and monotonicity of $p(2\lambda_k(\Delta_p))^{\frac{1}{p}}$ and $2^{-p}\lambda_k(\Delta_p)$ with respect to $p \in [1, +\infty)$. Moreover, we provide a homological eigenvalue which is not of min-max form.

These discoveries establish asymptotic behaviors of Δ_p -eigenvalues for varying p , which lead to several applications, including: (1) settle an open problem on the monotonicity of a certain function related to the eigenvalues of graph p -Laplacians with respect to p ; (2) resolve a question asking whether the third eigenvalue of graph p -Laplacian is of min-max form; (3) refine and strengthen the higher order Cheeger inequalities for graph p -Laplacians.

Keywords: p -Laplacian, min-max principle, variational eigenvalue, homological critical value, simplicial complex, zonotope

1 Introduction

As a discrete version of p -Laplacian, the graph p -Laplacian has been successfully used in various applications, including data and image processing problems and spectral clustering. Plenty of recent works has shown that the graph p -Laplacian may enhance the performance of classical algorithms based on the standard graph Laplacian [3, 18]. This has contributed to several developments on both the theoretical and the numerical aspects of p -Laplacians on graphs and networks [7, 8, 29]. A remarkable development is that the second eigenvalue has a mountain-pass characterization and thus it is a min-max eigenvalue, and more importantly, the second eigenvalue satisfies the Cheeger inequality [3, 18, 29].

Another important result says that the second and the largest eigenvalues satisfy certain monotonicity. Precisely, $p(2\lambda_p)^{\frac{1}{p}}$ and $p(2\gamma_p)^{\frac{1}{p}}$ are increasing with respect to p , where λ_p and γ_p represent the second and the largest eigenvalues of p -Laplacians, respectively. But, it is unknown whether the other eigenvalues satisfy this property. So, Amghibech asked in his original paper [1]:

Is there a version of the monotonicity for other eigenvalues for general graphs?

Actually, the spectra of p -Laplacians are full of mysteries. For example, in general, there are more eigenvalues than the number of vertices (see [1] for p -Laplacian, and [8] for 1-Laplacian). But, whether the third eigenvalue is in the sequence of min-max eigenvalues is still waiting to be explored. In other words, the structure of the spectrum of p -Laplacian is unclear. Here is a natural question: Is there an eigenvalue larger than the second eigenvalue but smaller than the third min-max eigenvalue?

The difficulty to study the above two questions as well as other relevant aspects on p -Laplacian eigenvalue problem is twofold: on one hand, we cannot compute all the eigenvalues of p -Laplacian for general graphs whenever $p \notin \{1, 2\}$; on the other hand, the feature and structure of the spectra of p -Laplacians are less well known [1, 3, 12, 18, 29].

In this paper, we completely answer the above two questions by introducing the homological eigenvalues of graph p -Laplacian. More specifically, we overcome the difficulty by offering the following new contributions: (1) establishing the upper semi-continuity of the spectra of p -Laplacians, and

⁰Max Planck Institute for Mathematics in the Sciences, Inselstrasse 22, 04103 Leipzig, Germany.

Email addresses: dzhang@mis.mpg.de and 13699289001@163.com

the locally Lipschitz continuity of the variational eigenvalues of p -Laplacians with respect to p ; (2) introducing homological critical values and showing their local monotonicity involving p -Laplacians; (3) bringing the persistent homology theory and discrete Morse theory to graph 1-Laplacian.

To state our result precisely, we first present the eigenvalue problem of graph p -Laplacian. In this paper, we are working on a finite simple graph $G = (V, E)$ with the vertex set $V = \{1, \dots, n\}$ and the edge set E . For $p > 1$, the p -Laplacian $\Delta_p : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ is defined by

$$(\Delta_p \mathbf{x})_i = \sum_{j \in V: \{j, i\} \in E} |x_i - x_j|^{p-2} (x_i - x_j), \quad \forall i \in V, \forall \mathbf{x} = (x_1, \dots, x_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n.$$

The eigenvalue problem for Δ_p is to find $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ and $\mathbf{x} \neq \mathbf{0}$ such that

$$(\Delta_p \mathbf{x})_i = \lambda \deg(i) |x_i|^{p-2} x_i, \quad \forall i \in V,$$

where $\deg(i)$ indicates the number of edges that are incident to i . The Lusternik-Schnirelman theory allows to define a sequence of variational eigenvalues (i.e., min-max eigenvalues) of the p -Laplacian:

$$\lambda_k(\Delta_p) := \inf_{\text{genus}(S) \geq k} \sup_{\mathbf{x} \in S} F_p(\mathbf{x}), \quad k = 1, \dots, n,$$

where

$$F_p(\mathbf{x}) := \frac{\sum_{\{j, i\} \in E} |x_i - x_j|^p}{\sum_{i \in V} \deg(i) |x_i|^p},$$

and $\text{genus}(S)$ represents the Krasnoselskii genus of a centrally symmetric compact subset S in \mathbb{R}^n .

Recall that for $p > 1$, we have [21, 29]:

$$\{\text{min-max } \Delta_p\text{-eigenvalues}\} \subset \{\text{critical values of } F_p\} = \{\Delta_p\text{-eigenvalues}\}$$

and for $p = 1$ (see [8, 20]),

$$\{\text{min-max } \Delta_1\text{-eigenvalues}\} \subset \{\text{critical values of } F_1\} \subset \{\Delta_1\text{-eigenvalues}\}$$

where λ is a critical value of F_p if $\lambda = F_p(\mathbf{x})$ for some \mathbf{x} with vanishing gradient $\nabla F_p(\mathbf{x}) = \mathbf{0}$ when $p > 1$, and λ is a critical value of F_1 if $\lambda = F_1(\mathbf{x})$ for some \mathbf{x} with vanishing Clarke subgradient $\nabla F_1(\mathbf{x}) \ni \mathbf{0}$.

We refine the above inclusion relations by employing the homological critical values [4, 6, 17]:

$$\begin{aligned} \{\text{min-max eigenvalues of } \Delta_p\} &\subset \{\mathbf{homological critical values of } F_p\} \\ &\subset \{\text{critical values of } F_p\} \subset \{\text{eigenvalues of } \Delta_p\} \end{aligned}$$

where the last inclusion ‘ \subset ’ is indeed an equality ‘ $=$ ’ if $p > 1$, while for $p = 1$, the four sets are mutually different in general. For convenience, we may call such the homological critical values of F_p the **homological eigenvalues** of Δ_p , and we refer to Section 2.2 for the definitions.

Our most significant new contribution is the following theorem on monotonicity:

Theorem 1.1. *For any $p \geq 1$ and any isolated homological eigenvalue (resp., any eigenvalue produced by homotopical linking) $\lambda(\Delta_p)$ of Δ_p , and any $\epsilon > 0$, there exists $\delta > 0$ such that for any $q \in (p - \delta, p + \delta)$, there exists Δ_q -eigenvalue $\lambda(\Delta_q) \in (\lambda(\Delta_p) - \epsilon, \lambda(\Delta_p) + \epsilon)$, such that $\lambda(\Delta_q)$ is a homological eigenvalue (resp., an eigenvalue produced by homotopical linking) with the property:*


$$\begin{cases} p(2\lambda(\Delta_p))^{\frac{1}{p}} \leq q(2\lambda(\Delta_q))^{\frac{1}{q}} \text{ and } 2^{-p}\lambda(\Delta_p) \geq 2^{-q}\lambda(\Delta_q), & \text{if } p < q, \\ p(2\lambda(\Delta_p))^{\frac{1}{p}} \geq q(2\lambda(\Delta_q))^{\frac{1}{q}} \text{ and } 2^{-p}\lambda(\Delta_p) \leq 2^{-q}\lambda(\Delta_q), & \text{if } p > q. \end{cases}$$

Furthermore, for any k , the min-max eigenvalue $\lambda_k(\Delta_p)$ is locally Lipschitz continuous with respect to p , and the function $p \mapsto p(2\lambda_k(\Delta_p))^{\frac{1}{p}}$ is increasing on $[1, +\infty)$, and the function $p \mapsto 2^{-p}\lambda_k(\Delta_p)$ is decreasing on $[1, +\infty)$.

Remark 1.1. *The monotonicity of the function $p \mapsto p(2\lambda_k(\Delta_p))^{1/p}$ also holds under the domain setting, but the monotonicity of the function $p \mapsto 2^{-p}\lambda_k(\Delta_p)$ only holds for the graph setting. The eigenvalue produced by homotopical linking is introduced in Section 2.2.*

Theorem 1.1 establishes asymptotic behaviors for homological eigenvalues of Δ_p with respect to p . It not only answers a question by Amghibech [1], but also provides an elegant solution to the second question about higher order eigenvalues: we can find an eigenvalue that is not in the list of min-max eigenvalues, and in fact, the third one satisfies the requirement. Roughly speaking, we construct a graph and prove that there is a homological nonvariational eigenvalue of Δ_p for some $p > 1$.

Theorem 1.2. *Let $G = (V, E)$ be the simple graph on $V = \{1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6\}$ shown as:*



Then, for any $0 < \epsilon < \frac{1}{10}$, there exists $0 < \delta < 1$ such that for any $p \in [1, 1 + \delta)$, there is a p -Laplacian eigenvalue $\lambda(\Delta_p) \in (\frac{5}{9} - \epsilon, \frac{5}{9} + \epsilon)$ which is not a (variational) min-max eigenvalue of Δ_p on the graph G .

In order to prove Theorem 1.2, we just need to carefully select homological eigenvalues from nonvariational eigenvalues of Δ_1 , and then apply Theorem 1.1 to complete the verification. It remains to construct a homological eigenvalue of Δ_1 which is not a variational eigenvalue. But it is very difficult to check whether a Δ_1 -eigenvalue is a homological eigenvalue. Fortunately, we establish the following characterization of homological eigenvalues of 1-Laplacian.

For any subset $A \subset V$, we use $\mathbf{1}_A$ to denote the characteristic vector of A . Let K_n be the simplicial complex on the vertex set $\{-1, 0, 1\}^n \setminus \{\mathbf{0}\}$ with $n! \cdot 2^n$ maximal simplexes, where each maximal simplex is a $(n-1)$ -dimensional simplex of the form $\text{conv}\{\mathbf{1}_{A_i} - \mathbf{1}_{B_i} : i = 1, \dots, n\}$, whenever $A_1 \subset \dots \subset A_n$ and $B_1 \subset \dots \subset B_n$ and $A_n \cap B_n = \emptyset$. Then, K_n is a pure simplicial complex and its geometric realization $|K_n|$ is actually the boundary of the hypercube $\{\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n : \|\mathbf{x}\|_\infty \leq 1\}$ which is a PL manifold. Thus, we regard K_n as a triangulation of the unit l^∞ -sphere, and we also identify $|K_n|$ with $\{\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n : \|\mathbf{x}\|_\infty = 1\}$. In addition, we can also see K_n as the order complex on $\mathcal{P}_2(V) = \{(A, B) : A \cap B = \emptyset, A \cup B \neq \emptyset, A, B \subset V\}$ (see Section 2.3 for details).

Theorem 1.3. *$\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ is a homological eigenvalue of Δ_1 if and only if the subcomplex of K_n induced by the vertices in $\{\mathbf{x} \in |K_n| : F_1(\mathbf{x}) < \lambda\}$ and the subcomplex of K_n induced by the vertices in $\{\mathbf{x} \in |K_n| : F_1(\mathbf{x}) \leq \lambda\}$ have different homology groups. Particularly, if there exists $A \neq \emptyset$ such that:*

- (1) $F_1(\mathbf{1}_A) = \lambda$, $F_1(\mathbf{v}) \neq \lambda$ for any vertex \mathbf{v} in $\text{link}(\mathbf{1}_A)$, and
- (2) the subcomplex of K_n induced by the vertices in $\{\mathbf{x} \in \text{link}(\mathbf{1}_A) : F_1(\mathbf{x}) < \lambda\}$ is non-contractible,

then λ is a homological eigenvalue of Δ_1 .

This result is the second ingredient of the proof of Theorem 1.2, by which we only need to check the vertices of K_n .

More interestingly, based on Theorem 1.1, we obtain Cheeger-type inequalities which not only refine the higher-order Cheeger inequality for graph p -Laplacian by Tudisco and Hein [29], but also establish the first multi-way Cheeger inequality for p -Laplacian which is inspired by Miclo [25], Lee, Oveis Gharan and Trevisan [24]. Recall the multi-way Cheeger constant [24, 25]:

$$h_k := \min_{\text{disjoint } A_1, \dots, A_k} \max_{1 \leq i \leq k} \frac{|\partial A_i|}{\text{vol}(A_i)}, \quad k = 1, 2, \dots, n.$$

We introduce the modified combinatorial k -way Cheeger constant

$$\hat{h}_k = \min_{\mathcal{A} \in \mathcal{S}_k} \max_{(A,B) \in \mathcal{A}} \frac{|\partial A| + |\partial B|}{\text{vol}(A \cup B)}$$

where $\mathcal{S}_k := \{\mathcal{A} \in \mathcal{S} : \text{the subcomplex of } K_n \text{ induced by } \mathcal{A} \text{ is homeomorphism to } \mathbb{S}^{k-1}\}$ and $\mathcal{S} = \{\mathcal{A} \subset \mathcal{P}_2(V) : (A, B) \in \mathcal{A} \Leftrightarrow (B, A) \in \mathcal{A}\}$. Then, we have:

Theorem 1.4. *For any $p \geq 1$, and $k = 1, \dots, n$,*

$$\frac{2^{p-1}}{p^p} h_{s_k}^p \leq \frac{2^{p-1}}{p^p} \hat{h}_k^p \leq \lambda_k(\Delta_p) \leq 2^{p-1} \hat{h}_k \leq 2^{p-1} h_k, \quad (1)$$

where $s_k := \max_{x \in S_{\lambda_k}(\Delta_1)} \#\{\text{nodal domains of } x\}$ and $S_{\lambda_k}(\Delta_1)$ is the collection of the eigenvectors corresponding to the eigenvalue $\lambda_k(\Delta_1)$. In addition, we have another lower bound of $\lambda_k(\Delta_p)$:

$$\lambda_k(\Delta_p) \geq \frac{h_k^2}{C k^4} \text{ if } 1 \leq p \leq 2 \text{ and } \lambda_k(\Delta_p) \geq \frac{h_k^p}{C_p k^{2p}} \text{ if } p \geq 2, \quad (2)$$

where $C > 0$ and $C_p > 0$ are universal constants.

Taking $p = 1$ in (1), we have $\lambda_k(\Delta_1) = \hat{h}_k$ which indicates that the min-max eigenvalues of the graph 1-Laplacian are actually combinatorial quantities. Also, (1) refines the higher order Cheeger inequality for p -Laplacian proposed by Tudisco and Hein [29]. Combining with (2), we have $\hat{h}_k \geq \frac{h_k^2}{C k^4}$. We further conjecture that there exists a universal constant $C > 0$ such that for any k , $\hat{h}_k \geq \frac{h_k}{C k^2}$. If such a conjecture has a positive answer, then by Theorem 1.1, we can obtain a strict refinement of the famous multi-way Cheeger inequality proved by Lee, Oveis Gharan and Trevisan [24].

Furthermore, Theorem 1.1 allows to bound some min-max eigenvalues in a novel way:

Theorem 1.5. *For any connected graph, there is a min-max eigenvalue of Δ_p lies in*

$$\begin{cases} (2^{p-3}, 2^{p-1}(\frac{\sqrt{3}}{p})^p) & \text{if } p < 2 \\ [\frac{1}{2}, \frac{3}{2}] & \text{if } p = 2 \\ (\frac{2^{p-1}}{p^p}, 3 \times 2^{p-3}) & \text{if } p > 2 \end{cases}$$

For any graph G , there are at least $\alpha_*(G)$ min-max eigenvalues of Δ_p larger than $\frac{2^{p-1}}{p^p}$ when $p > 1$, and there are at least $\alpha_*(G)$ min-max eigenvalues of Δ_1 equal to 1, where $\alpha_*(G)$ is the pseudo-independence number introduced in [30] (see Section 3.1 for details).

Remark 1.2. *The first statement in Theorem 1.5 is nontrivial when $p > \sqrt{3}$, and the case of $p = 2$ is the main result established in the author's recent work [22].*

Remark 1.3. *The inequality $\lambda_{n-\alpha_*(G)+1}(\Delta_p) > \frac{2^{p-1}}{p^p}$ for $p > 1$ in Theorem 1.5 can be compared with the inertia bound for p -Laplacian [21], i.e., $\lambda_{n-\alpha(G)+1}(\Delta_p) \geq 1$. In some cases, it is better than the inertia bound. For example, considering a triangle graph whose Laplacian eigenvalues are $0, \frac{3}{2}, \frac{3}{2}$, by the inertia bound for $p = 2$, there is an eigenvalue larger than or equal to 1 which is not optimal, while by Theorem 1.5, there are two eigenvalues larger than $\frac{1}{2}$ which is sharp.*

Other new results that we would like to highlight in this paper are:

- We show that the graph 1-Laplacian is zonotope-valued, which reveals that the graph 1-Laplacian is closely related to combinatorial geometry. See Section 2.1 for details.
- We derive that for any graph of order larger than or equal to 4, there exists one number $c \in (\lambda_2, \lambda_n)$ which is not an eigenvalue of Δ_p . This answers the question: is there a number between the second and the largest Δ_p -eigenvalues that is not an eigenvalue? For the p -Laplacian on a domain, the question asks whether there exists one number $c > \lambda_2$ that is not an eigenvalue [11]. Our method is expected to solve this open problem in the domain setting.

- We obtain a characterization for the homological eigenvalues of Δ_1 (see Theorem 1.3), which is inspired by the discrete Morse theory on simplicial complexes [16] and the PL Morse theory on triangulated manifolds [5, 13]. We also note that there are infinitely many graphs whose 1-Laplacian eigenvalues are more than their orders. For details, see Section 4.3.

The organization of this paper is as follows. We present in Section 2 auxiliary lemmas and more relevant results on continuity and monotonicity of p -Laplacian, and we establish sharp estimates for variational eigenvalues in Section 3, and we study nonvariational eigenvalues for typical graphs in Section 4. We refer to Section 2.4 and Proposition 2.5 for the proof of Theorem 1.1. We prove Theorem 1.2 in Section 4.1, and Theorem 1.3 in Section 2.3. The proofs of Theorem 1.4 and Theorem 1.5 are established in Section 3.2 and Section 3.1, respectively.

2 Feature and structure of Δ_p -eigenvalues

First, we recall the definition of graph 1-Laplacian, which has been systematically studied in [7, 8, 18, 20].

Definition 2.1 (1-Laplacian for graphs). *Given a simple, unweighted, undirected, finite graph $G = (V, E)$ with $V = \{1, \dots, n\}$, the 1-Laplacian Δ_1 is a set-valued map on \mathbb{R}^n defined by*

$$(\Delta_1 \mathbf{x})_i = \left\{ \sum_{j \in V: \{j, i\} \in E} z_{ij} \mid z_{ij} \in \text{Sgn}(x_i - x_j), z_{ij} = -z_{ji} \right\}, \quad i \in V,$$

in which

$$\text{Sgn}(t) := \begin{cases} \{1\} & \text{if } t > 0, \\ [-1, 1] & \text{if } t = 0, \\ \{-1\} & \text{if } t < 0. \end{cases}$$

The 1-Laplacian eigenvalue problem is to find $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ and $\mathbf{x} \neq \mathbf{0}$ such that

$$(\Delta_1 \mathbf{x})_i \cap \lambda \deg(i) \text{Sgn}(x_i) \neq \emptyset, \quad \forall i \in V,$$

i.e., there exist $z_{ij} \in \text{Sgn}(x_i - x_j)$ with $z_{ij} = -z_{ji}$, $\forall \{i, j\} \in E$, such that

$$\sum_{j \in V: \{j, i\} \in E} z_{ij} \in \lambda \deg(i) \text{Sgn}(x_i), \quad \forall i \in V. \quad (3)$$

It is known that the critical points and critical values of the l^1 -type Rayleigh quotient

$$F_1(\mathbf{x}) := \frac{\sum_{\{i, j\} \in E} |x_i - x_j|}{\sum_{i \in V} \deg(i) |x_i|}$$

are eigenvectors and eigenvalues of the graph 1-Laplacian, respectively.

2.1 Upper semi-continuity of the spectra of p -Laplacians when p varies

In this subsection, we mainly prove the upper semi-continuity for the set of eigenvalues of Δ_p , namely:

Lemma 2.1. *Given a graph, denote by $\text{spec}(\Delta_p)$ the set of all the eigenvalues of Δ_p . Then the set-valued map $p \mapsto \text{spec}(\Delta_p)$ is upper semi-continuous on $[1, +\infty)$, i.e., for any $p \geq 1$ and for any $\epsilon > 0$, there exists $\delta > 0$ such that for any $p' \in (p - \delta, p + \delta)$ with $p' \geq 1$,*

$$\text{spec}(\Delta_{p'}) \subset \bigcup_{\lambda \in \text{spec}(\Delta_p)} (\lambda - \epsilon, \lambda + \epsilon).$$

For two points (or vectors) \mathbf{a} and \mathbf{b} in \mathbb{R}^n , we use $[\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}]$ to denote the segment with the endpoints \mathbf{a} and \mathbf{b} . A **zonotope** is the Minkowski summation of finitely many segments. For convenience, we also regard a point (resp., a segment) as a zonotope.

Proposition 2.1. *The graph 1-Laplacian maps each vector to a zonotope in the following way:*

$$\Delta_1 \mathbf{x} = \lim_{p \rightarrow 1^+} \Delta_p \mathbf{x} + \sum_{\{i,j\} \in E: x_i = x_j} [\mathbf{e}_i - \mathbf{e}_j, \mathbf{e}_j - \mathbf{e}_i]$$

where the addition ‘+’ is in the sense of Minkowski summation, $(\mathbf{e}_i)_{i=1}^n$ is the standard orthogonal base of \mathbb{R}^n .

Proof. Note that

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta_1 \mathbf{x} &= \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j \in V: \{j,i\} \in E} z_{ij} \mathbf{e}_i \mid z_{ij} \in \text{Sgn}(x_i - x_j), z_{ij} = -z_{ji} \right\} \\ &= \left\{ \sum_{\{i,j\} \in E} z_{ij} (\mathbf{e}_i - \mathbf{e}_j) \mid z_{ij} \in \text{Sgn}(x_i - x_j) \right\} \\ &= \left\{ \sum_{\{i,j\} \in E: x_i > x_j} (\mathbf{e}_i - \mathbf{e}_j) + \sum_{\{i,j\} \in E: x_i = x_j} z (\mathbf{e}_i - \mathbf{e}_j) \mid z \in [-1, 1] \right\} \\ &= \sum_{\{i,j\} \in E: x_i > x_j} (\mathbf{e}_i - \mathbf{e}_j) + \sum_{\{i,j\} \in E: x_i = x_j} [\mathbf{e}_i - \mathbf{e}_j, \mathbf{e}_j - \mathbf{e}_i], \end{aligned}$$

where the addition ‘+’ in the last two equalities are in the sense of Minkowski summation.

We should note that $\lim_{p \rightarrow 1^+} (\Delta_p \mathbf{x})_i = \sum_{j \in V: \{j,i\} \in E} \text{sign}(x_i - x_j)$, and thus

$$\lim_{p \rightarrow 1^+} \Delta_p \mathbf{x} = \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j \in V: \{j,i\} \in E} \text{sign}(x_i - x_j) \mathbf{e}_i = \sum_{\{i,j\} \in E: x_i > x_j} (\mathbf{e}_i - \mathbf{e}_j),$$

where

$$\text{sign}(t) := \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } t > 0, \\ 0 & \text{if } t = 0, \\ -1 & \text{if } t < 0, \end{cases}$$

indicates the standard sign function. The proof is completed. \square

It is known that the 1-Laplacian can be regarded as the limit of the p -Laplacians in some sense. Interestingly, we have the following exact description of the limit of Δ_p as p tends to 1.

Proposition 2.2. *For any $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n$,*

$$\Delta_1 \mathbf{x} = \lim_{\substack{\hat{\mathbf{x}} \rightarrow \mathbf{x} \\ p \rightarrow 1^+}} \Delta_p \hat{\mathbf{x}} = \lim_{\substack{\delta \rightarrow 0^+ \\ p \rightarrow 1^+}} \Delta_p(\mathbb{B}_\delta(\mathbf{x})),$$

and it is interesting that

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{p \rightarrow 1^+} \lim_{\hat{\mathbf{x}} \rightarrow \mathbf{x}} \Delta_p \hat{\mathbf{x}} &= \lim_{p \rightarrow 1^+} \lim_{\delta \rightarrow 0^+} \Delta_p(\mathbb{B}_\delta(\mathbf{x})) = \lim_{p \rightarrow 1^+} \Delta_p \mathbf{x} = \text{the center point of } \Delta_1 \mathbf{x}, \\ \lim_{\hat{\mathbf{x}} \rightarrow \mathbf{x}} \lim_{p \rightarrow 1^+} \Delta_p \hat{\mathbf{x}} &= \lim_{\delta \rightarrow 0^+} \lim_{p \rightarrow 1^+} \Delta_p(\mathbb{B}_\delta(\mathbf{x})) = \bigcup_{\hat{\mathbf{x}} \text{ near } \mathbf{x}} \lim_{p \rightarrow 1^+} \Delta_p \hat{\mathbf{x}} = \{\text{the centers of faces of } \Delta_1 \mathbf{x}\}, \end{aligned}$$

where $\mathbb{B}_\delta(\mathbf{x})$ is the δ -neighborhood of \mathbf{x} , i.e., the δ -ball centered at \mathbf{x} . The last equality depends on the fact that a convex polytope is a zonotope if and only if every face is centrally symmetric.

In addition, the limit points of the eigenvalues of Δ_p are eigenvalues of Δ_1 , as p tends to 1.

Proof. We only study the limit $\lim_{p \rightarrow 1^+} \Delta_p(\mathbb{B}_\delta(\mathbf{x}))$ when δ is sufficiently small. In fact, for any \mathbf{x} , taking $0 < \delta < \frac{1}{2} \min(\{|x_i - x_j| : \{i, j\} \in E\} \setminus \{0\})$, then for any $\mathbf{y} \in \mathbb{B}_\delta(\mathbf{x})$, for $\{i, j\} \in E$, $x_i > x_j$ implies $y_i > y_j$, and thus $\Delta_1 \mathbf{y}$ is a face of $\Delta_1 \mathbf{x}$. In consequence, by Proposition 2.1, $\lim_{p \rightarrow 1^+} \Delta_p \mathbf{y}$ is the center of $\Delta_1 \mathbf{y}$, and therefore, $\lim_{p \rightarrow 1^+} \Delta_p(\mathbb{B}_\delta(\mathbf{x})) =$ the centers of the faces of $\Delta_1 \mathbf{x}$.

Let $(\lambda(\Delta_p), \mathbf{x}^p)$ be an eigenpair of Δ_p such that $\lambda(\Delta_p) \rightarrow \lambda$, $\mathbf{x}^p \rightarrow \mathbf{x} \neq \mathbf{0}$, $p \rightarrow 1^+$. Then the limit points $\lim_{p \rightarrow 1^+} \Delta_p \mathbf{x}^p$ are included in $\Delta_1 \mathbf{x}$. Similarly, the limit points $\lim_{p \rightarrow 1^+} \lambda(\Delta_p) |(\mathbf{x}^p)_i|^{p-2} (\mathbf{x}^p)_i$ are included in $\lambda \text{Sgn}(x_i)$.

Thus, it follows from the eigenvalue equation $(\Delta_p \mathbf{x}^p)_i = \lambda(\Delta_p) \deg(i) |(\mathbf{x}^p)_i|^{p-2} (\mathbf{x}^p)_i$ and the compactness of $\Delta_1 \mathbf{x}$ and $\text{Sgn}(x_i)$ that $(\Delta_1 \mathbf{x})_i \cap \lambda \deg(i) \text{Sgn}(x_i) \neq \emptyset$, $\forall i \in V$, which means that (λ, \mathbf{x}) is an eigenpair.

Suppose that $\lim_{p \rightarrow 1^+} \lambda(\Delta_p) = \lambda$. We can always assume that each eigenvector \mathbf{x}^p is normalized, i.e., $\|\mathbf{x}^p\|_2 = 1$. Then $(\mathbf{x}^p)_{p \rightarrow 1^+}$ has a convergent subsequence, with a limit point denoted by \mathbf{x} . Then, by the above discussions, there is no difficulty to show that (λ, \mathbf{x}) is an eigenpair of Δ_1 . \square

Denote by $S_\lambda(\Delta_p) = \{\mathbf{x} \neq \mathbf{0} : (\lambda, \mathbf{x}) \text{ is an eigenpair of } \Delta_p\}$ the eigenspace of λ . For convenience, we set $S_\lambda(\Delta_p) = \emptyset$ if λ is not an eigenvalue. And, we usually work on the set of the normalized eigenvectors, i.e., $\hat{S}_\lambda(\Delta_p) = \{\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n : (\lambda, \mathbf{x}) \text{ is an eigenpair of } \Delta_p, \|\mathbf{x}\|_\infty = 1\}$.

Proposition 2.3. *The set-valued map $(p, \lambda) \mapsto \hat{S}_\lambda(\Delta_p)$ defines an upper semi-continuous map on $[1, +\infty) \times [0, +\infty)$.*

Proof. Suppose $p_n \rightarrow p$ and $\lambda_n \rightarrow \lambda$, $n \rightarrow +\infty$. By a slight generalization of Proposition 2.2, if λ is not an eigenvalue of Δ_p , then λ_n cannot be an eigenvalue of Δ_{p_n} when n is sufficiently large. In this case, $\hat{S}_\lambda(\Delta_p) = \emptyset = \hat{S}_{\lambda_n}(\Delta_{p_n})$. So $\hat{S}_\lambda(\Delta_p)$ is continuous at (p, λ) .

If λ is an eigenvalue of Δ_p , we shall prove that $\hat{S}_\lambda(\Delta_p)$ is upper semi-continuous at (p, λ) . Suppose the contrary, that $(p, \lambda) \mapsto \hat{S}_\lambda(\Delta_p)$ is not upper semi-continuous at some $(p, \lambda) \in [1, +\infty) \times [0, +\infty)$. Then, there exist $\epsilon > 0$ and a subsequence $(p_n, \lambda_n) \rightarrow (p, \lambda)$ such that $\hat{S}_{\lambda_n}(\Delta_{p_n}) \not\subset B_\epsilon(\hat{S}_\lambda(\Delta_p))$. Then, by a standard technique, we derive that there is a limit point \mathbf{x} of $\hat{S}_{\lambda_n}(\Delta_{p_n})$ which is not in $\hat{S}_\lambda(\Delta_p)$. However, similar to the proof of Proposition 2.2, \mathbf{x} should be an eigenvector of $\lambda(\Delta_p)$, which is a contradiction. \square

Remark 2.1. *Similarly, $p \mapsto \bigcup_{\lambda \in \text{spec}(\Delta_p)} \hat{S}_\lambda(\Delta_p)$ is also an upper semi-continuous set-valued map.*

Proof of Lemma 2.1. We only need to show that $p \mapsto \text{spec}(\Delta_p)$ is an upper semi-continuous set-valued map. Suppose the contrary, that there exists $\epsilon > 0$ and a subsequence $p_n \rightarrow p$ and a subsequence $\lambda_{p_n} \in \text{spec}(\Delta_{p_n}) \setminus B_\epsilon(\text{spec}(\Delta_p))$. Without loss of generality, we may assume that λ_{p_n} converges to some number λ . Then, by $\hat{S}_{\lambda_n}(\Delta_{p_n}) \neq \emptyset$ and Proposition 2.3, there holds $\hat{S}_\lambda(\Delta_p) \neq \emptyset$, implying that $\lambda \in \text{spec}(\Delta_p)$. It is a contradiction. \square

In addition, we can get the upper semi-continuity of the eigenvalue multiplicity. Precisely, denote by $m(p, \lambda)$ the multiplicity of λ of Δ_p (if λ is not an eigenvalue of Δ_p , we simply write $m(p, \lambda) = 0$).

Proposition 2.4. *The multiplicity function $m : [1, +\infty) \times [0, +\infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ is upper semi-continuous, i.e., $\limsup_{(p', \lambda') \rightarrow (p, \lambda)} m(p', \lambda') \leq m(p, \lambda)$.*

Finally, we show the locally Lipschitz continuity of variational eigenvalues.

Proposition 2.5. *For any $k \in \{1, \dots, n\}$, the function $p \mapsto \lambda_k(\Delta_p)$ is locally Lipschitz continuous with respect to $p \in [1, +\infty)$.*

Proof of Proposition 2.5. we have a the k -th min-max eigenvalue $\lambda_k(\Delta_p)$ varies continuously in p . This fact is a direct consequence of the main result in [9], and thus we omit it.

Moreover, since the function $(p, q) \mapsto \max_{x \neq 0} |F_q(\mathbf{x}) - F_p(\mathbf{x})|$ is locally Lipschitz, it follows from Lemma 2.3 that $p \mapsto \lambda_k(\Delta_p)$ is also locally Lipschitz. \square

Remark 2.2. *The continuity of the k -th min-max eigenvalue $\lambda_k(\Delta_p)$ is actually known. We refer to [9, 28] for the proof in the setting of the p -Laplacian on a domain under Dirichlet boundary conditions.*

2.2 Homological eigenvalues of p -Laplacians and eigenvalues produced by homotopical linking

The homological critical value is an important concept used in the theory of persistent homology. We shall adopt the definition proposed by Govc [17], which is inspired by the definition suggested by Bubenik and Scott [4]:

Definition 2.2. *A real number c is a homological regular value of the function F if there exists $\epsilon > 0$ such that for each pair of real numbers $t_1 < t_2$ on the interval $(c - \epsilon, c + \epsilon)$, the inclusion $\{F \leq t_1\} \hookrightarrow \{F \leq t_2\}$ induces isomorphisms on all homology groups [4]. A real number that is not a homological regular value of F is called a homological critical value of F .*

It is clear that the following **critical value lemma** holds:

Lemma 2.2. *Suppose the function F has no homological critical values on the closed interval $[x, y]$, then the inclusion $F^{-1}(-\infty, x] \hookrightarrow F^{-1}(-\infty, y]$ induces isomorphisms on all homology groups.*

Remark 2.3. *A symmetric homological critical value [6] of F is a real number c for which there exists an integer k such that for all sufficiently small $\epsilon > 0$, the map $H_k(\{F \leq c - \epsilon\}) \hookrightarrow H_k(\{F \leq c + \epsilon\})$ induced by inclusion is not an isomorphism [4]. Here H_k denotes the k -th singular homology (possibly with coefficients in a field). As mentioned in [4, 17], this widely cited definition given by Cohen-Steiner, Edelsbrunner and Harer [6] doesn't imply the critical value lemma in generic scenarios.*

In fact, if we replace homological critical values by symmetric homological critical values in Lemma 2.2, the conclusion doesn't hold even though F is continuous. Govc [17] presented a modified version of the critical value lemma: Suppose F is continuous and has no symmetric homological critical values on the interval $[x, y]$, then the inclusion $F^{-1}(-\infty, x) \hookrightarrow F^{-1}(-\infty, y)$ induces isomorphisms on all homology groups.

Proposition 2.6. *Let F be a continuous even function on $\{\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n : \|\mathbf{x}\|_\infty = 1\}$. Then, the min-max critical values of F are homological critical values of F .*

Proof. Suppose λ_k is the k -th min-max critical value of F , and assume $\lambda_k < \lambda_{k+1}$. We shall prove that λ_k is a homological critical value. On one hand, there exists $A \subset \{F \leq \lambda_k\}$ with $\text{genus}(A) \geq k$, which yields $\text{genus}\{F \leq \lambda_k\} \geq k$. On the other hand, for any A' with $\text{genus}(A') \geq k + 1$, $A' \not\subset \{F \leq \lambda_k\}$, which implies that $\text{genus}\{F \leq \lambda_k\} < k + 1$. Therefore, $\text{genus}\{F \leq \lambda_k\} = k$.

Similarly, it is easy to check that $\text{genus}\{F \leq \lambda_k - \epsilon\} < k$ for any sufficiently small $\epsilon > 0$. Thus, the homology of the topology of the sublevel set changes from $\lambda_k - \epsilon$ to λ_k . Therefore, λ_k is a homological critical value of F . \square

Returning to graph p -Laplacians, we introduce the concept of homological eigenvalues.

Definition 2.3. *We say λ is a homological eigenvalue of Δ_p , if λ is a homological critical value of the p -Rayleigh quotient ($1 \leq p < +\infty$):*

$$F_p(\mathbf{x}) := \frac{\sum_{\{j,i\} \in E} |x_i - x_j|^p}{\sum_{i \in V} \text{deg}(i) |x_i|^p}.$$

For simplicity, we use $\{F_p \leq \lambda\}$ to indicate the lower level set $\{\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n : F_p(\mathbf{x}) \leq \lambda, \|\mathbf{x}\|_\infty = 1\}$.

Theorem 2.1. *For any homological eigenvalue λ of Δ_1 , and for any $\epsilon > 0$, there exists $\delta > 0$ such that for any $p \in (1, 1 + \delta)$, Δ_p has an eigenvalue in $(\lambda - \epsilon, \lambda + \epsilon)$.*

Theorem 2.1 overcomes the difficulty that in general, a non-variational Δ_1 -eigenvalue may not be a limit point of the Δ_p -eigenvalues.

Proof of Theorem 2.1. Suppose the contrary, that there exist a homological eigenvalue λ of Δ_1 , and $\epsilon > 0$ and a sequence $\{p_k\}_{k=1}^{\infty} \rightarrow 1^+$ such that Δ_{p_k} has no eigenvalue in $(\lambda - \epsilon, \lambda + \epsilon)$. By the results in [8], Δ_1 has finitely many eigenvalues. We may assume without loss of generality that $\epsilon < \frac{1}{2} \min\{|\lambda' - \lambda''| : \text{different eigenvalues } \lambda', \lambda'' \text{ of } \Delta_1\}$. Then, there is no eigenvalue of Δ_1 in the set $(\lambda - \epsilon, \lambda) \cup (\lambda, \lambda + \epsilon)$.

According to the critical point theory in the sense of Clarke derivative, the inclusion $\{F_{p_k} \leq \lambda - \epsilon_1\} \hookrightarrow \{F_{p_k} \leq \lambda - \epsilon_2\}$ induces isomorphisms on all homology groups, for any $[\lambda - \epsilon_1, \lambda - \epsilon_2] \subset (\lambda - \epsilon, \lambda)$. The same argument holds for F_1 . Taking sufficiently small $0 < \epsilon' < \frac{1}{3} \min\{|\epsilon_1 - \epsilon_2|, |\epsilon - \epsilon_1|, \epsilon_2\}$, and taking sufficiently large k such that $|F_{p_k}(\mathbf{x}) - F_1(\mathbf{x})| < \epsilon'$ for any \mathbf{x} with $\|\mathbf{x}\|_{\infty} = 1$. Then, considering the sublevel sets **restricted on the unit l^{∞} -sphere** $\{\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n : \|\mathbf{x}\|_{\infty} = 1\}$, we have the inclusion relation

$$\{F_{p_k} \leq \lambda - \epsilon_1\} \xrightarrow{i_1} \{F_1 \leq \lambda - \epsilon_1 + \epsilon'\} \xrightarrow{i_2} \{F_{p_k} \leq \lambda - \epsilon_2\} \xrightarrow{i_3} \{F_1 \leq \lambda - \epsilon_2 + \epsilon'\}.$$

By the critical value lemma, both the inclusion $\{F_1 \leq \lambda - \epsilon_1 + \epsilon'\} \xrightarrow{i_3 \circ i_2} \{F_1 \leq \lambda - \epsilon_2 + \epsilon'\}$ and the inclusion $\{F_{p_k} \leq \lambda - \epsilon_1\} \xrightarrow{i_2 \circ i_1} \{F_{p_k} \leq \lambda - \epsilon_2\}$ induce isomorphisms, and then by Lemma 3.1 in [17], the inclusions i_1, i_2 and i_3 also induce isomorphisms. Similarly, the inclusions $\{F_1 \leq \lambda + \epsilon_1\} \hookrightarrow \{F_{p_k} \leq \lambda + \epsilon_1 + \epsilon'\}$ and $\{F_{p_k} \leq \lambda + \epsilon_2 - \epsilon'\} \hookrightarrow \{F_1 \leq \lambda + \epsilon_2\}$ induce isomorphisms on all homology groups.

Since λ is a homological critical value of F_1 , the inclusion $\{F \leq \lambda - \epsilon_1\} \hookrightarrow \{F \leq \lambda + \epsilon_2\}$ doesn't induce isomorphisms on all homology groups. However, all the inclusions $\{F_{p_k} \leq \lambda - \epsilon_1 + \epsilon'\} \xrightarrow{i_2} \{F_{p_k} \leq \lambda + \epsilon_2 - \epsilon'\}$, $\{F_1 \leq \lambda - \epsilon_1\} \xrightarrow{i_1} \{F_{p_k} \leq \lambda - \epsilon_1 + \epsilon'\}$ and $\{F_{p_k} \leq \lambda + \epsilon_2 - \epsilon'\} \xrightarrow{i_3} \{F_1 \leq \lambda + \epsilon_2\}$ induce isomorphisms. Hence, we have the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} H_*(\{F_1 \leq \lambda - \epsilon_1\}) & \xrightarrow{i_*^3 \circ i_*^2 \circ i_*^1} & H_*(\{F_1 \leq \lambda + \epsilon_2\}) \\ \downarrow i_*^1 & & \uparrow i_*^3 \\ H_*(\{F_{p_k} \leq \lambda - \epsilon_1 + \epsilon'\}) & \xrightarrow{i_*^2} & H_*(\{F_{p_k} \leq \lambda + \epsilon_2 - \epsilon'\}) \end{array}$$

which leads to an isomorphism $(i^3 \circ i^2 \circ i^1)_* = i_*^3 \circ i_*^2 \circ i_*^1 : H_*(\{F_1 \leq \lambda - \epsilon_1\}) \cong H_*(\{F_1 \leq \lambda + \epsilon_2\})$. This is a contradiction with the assumption that λ is a homological critical value of F_1 . The proof is completed. \square

The concept of homotopical linking is useful for obtaining critical points.

Definition 2.4. For subsets $Q \subset Q'$ and S of a given topological space X , we say that Q homotopically links S with respect to Q' if $Q \cap S = \emptyset$, and for any continuous function $\gamma : Q' \rightarrow X$, $\gamma(Q') \cap S \neq \emptyset$.

If Q homotopically links S with respect to Q' , and $f : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is continuous with $\min_{\mathbf{x} \in S} f(\mathbf{x}) > \max_{\mathbf{x} \in Q} f(\mathbf{x})$, then by linking theorem,

$$\inf_{\text{continuous } \gamma: Q' \rightarrow X} \max_{\mathbf{x} \in \gamma(Q)} f(\mathbf{x})$$

is a critical value of f , which is said to be a critical value of f produced by homotopical linking. We define the eigenvalue of Δ_p produced by homotopical linking as the critical value of F_p produced by homotopical linking.

Lemma 2.3. For any isolated homological critical value λ of f , and for any sufficiently small $\epsilon > 0$, there is a homological critical value of f_{ϵ} in $[\lambda - 3\epsilon, \lambda + 3\epsilon]$ whenever $\|f_{\epsilon} - f\|_{\infty} < \epsilon$.

Moreover, for any isolated homological critical value λ of f , and for any sufficiently small $\epsilon > 0$, there is a homological critical value of f_{ϵ} in $[\lambda, \lambda + \epsilon]$ whenever $f(x) \leq f_{\epsilon}(x) \leq f(x) + \epsilon, \forall x$.

All the above statements hold if we use critical values produced by homotopical linking instead of isolated homological critical values.

Proof. We concentrate on homological critical values. Without loss of generality, we assume that there is no homological critical value of f in $[\lambda - 3\epsilon, \lambda) \cup (\lambda, \lambda + 3\epsilon]$. Then, we have $\epsilon_1 > 0$ such that any value in $(\lambda - 3\epsilon - \epsilon_1, \lambda) \cup (\lambda, \lambda + 3\epsilon + \epsilon_1)$ is homological regular.

For the first statement, we suppose the contrary, that there is no homological critical value of f_ϵ in $[\lambda - 3\epsilon, \lambda + 3\epsilon]$. Then, we have $\epsilon_1 > 0$ such that any value in $(\lambda - 3\epsilon - \epsilon_1, \lambda + 3\epsilon + \epsilon_1)$ is homological regular. We consider the inclusion relation

$$\{f_\epsilon \leq c\} \subset \{f \leq c + \epsilon\} \subset \{f_\epsilon \leq c + 2\epsilon\} \subset \{f \leq c + 3\epsilon\}.$$

Taking $c = \lambda - 3\epsilon - \epsilon'_1$ in the above inclusion relation, where $0 < \epsilon'_1 < \epsilon_1$, by the same approach shown in the proof of Theorem 2.1, we get the homological equivalence $\{f_\epsilon \leq \lambda - 3\epsilon - \epsilon'_1\} \sim \{f \leq \lambda - \epsilon'_1\}$. Similarly, taking $c = \lambda + \epsilon'_1$, we have the homological equivalence $\{f \leq \lambda + \epsilon'_1\} \sim \{f_\epsilon \leq \lambda + 3\epsilon + \epsilon'_1\}$. Together with the inclusion relation

$$\{f_\epsilon \leq \lambda - 3\epsilon - \epsilon'_1\} \subset \{f \leq \lambda - \epsilon'_1\} \subset \{f \leq \lambda + \epsilon'_1\} \subset \{f_\epsilon \leq \lambda + 3\epsilon + \epsilon'_1\}$$

we obtain the homological equivalence $\{f \leq \lambda - \epsilon'_1\} \sim \{f \leq \lambda + \epsilon'_1\}$, which is a contradiction with the assumption that λ is a homological critical value of f .

For the second statement, note that

$$\{f_\epsilon \leq c\} \subset \{f \leq c\} \subset \{f_\epsilon \leq c + \epsilon\} \subset \{f \leq c + \epsilon\}. \quad (4)$$

A similar argument yields that there is a homological critical value of f_ϵ in $[\lambda - \epsilon, \lambda + \epsilon]$. Suppose that there is no homological critical value of f_ϵ in $[\lambda, \lambda + \epsilon]$. Then, without loss of generality, we may assume that there is no homological critical value of f_ϵ in $[\lambda - \epsilon_1, \lambda + \epsilon + \epsilon_1]$. Then, for any $0 < \epsilon' < \epsilon_1$, it is not difficult to verify that the inclusion relation

$$\{f \leq \lambda - \epsilon - \epsilon'\} \subset \{f_\epsilon \leq \lambda - \epsilon'\} \subset \{f \leq \lambda - \epsilon'\} \subset \{f_\epsilon \leq \lambda + \epsilon - \epsilon'\}$$

implies the homological equivalence $\{f_\epsilon \leq \lambda - \epsilon'\} \sim \{f \leq \lambda - \epsilon'\}$. However, since there is no homological critical value of f_ϵ in $(\lambda - \epsilon_1, \lambda + \epsilon]$, we have the homological equivalence $\{f_\epsilon \leq \lambda - \epsilon'\} \sim \{f_\epsilon \leq \lambda + \epsilon'\}$. Taking $c = \lambda + \epsilon'$ in (4), we can derive the homological equivalence $\{f_\epsilon \leq \lambda + \epsilon'\} \sim \{f \leq \lambda + \epsilon'\}$ in a similar manner as shown in the proof of the first statement. Therefore, we deduce the homological equivalence $\{f \leq \lambda - \epsilon'\} \sim \{f \leq \lambda + \epsilon'\}$, which contradicts to the assumption that λ is a homological critical value of f .

Now, we focus on the critical values produced by homotopical linking. Suppose that Q homotopically links S with respect to Q' , where $Q \subset Q' \subset X$, $S \subset X$, and $\min_{\mathbf{x} \in S} f(\mathbf{x}) > \max_{\mathbf{x} \in Q} f(\mathbf{x}) + 4\epsilon$. Then, for any continuous function $f_\epsilon : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ with $\|f - f_\epsilon\|_\infty < \epsilon$, $\min_{\mathbf{x} \in S} f_\epsilon(\mathbf{x}) > \max_{\mathbf{x} \in Q} f_\epsilon(\mathbf{x})$ which implies that

$$\lambda_{Q,Q',S}(f_\epsilon) := \inf_{\text{continuous } \gamma: Q' \rightarrow X} \max_{\mathbf{x} \in \gamma(Q)} f_\epsilon(\mathbf{x})$$

is a critical value of f_ϵ . It is clear that $|\lambda_{Q,Q',S}(f_\epsilon) - \lambda_{Q,Q',S}(f)| < \epsilon$. Similarly, if $f(x) \leq f_\epsilon(x) \leq f(x) + \epsilon, \forall x \in X$, then $\lambda_{Q,Q',S}(f) \leq \lambda_{Q,Q',S}(f_\epsilon) \leq \lambda_{Q,Q',S}(f) + \epsilon$. The proof is completed. \square

We should note that Theorem 2.1 is a consequence of Lemma 2.3. The next example shows that the local monotonicity doesn't hold for a non-homological critical value.

Remark 2.4. Let $f_t(x) = (x + t)^3 - \frac{t^3}{6}$. Then $f_t > f_0$ when $t > 0$ and $f_t < f_0$ when $t < 0$, while the unique critical value of f_t is $-\frac{t^3}{6}$. This implies that a larger function may have a smaller critical value.

2.3 Homological eigenvalues of 1-Laplacian

Based on the discussions in Section 3.1, we are able to estimate the variational eigenvalues of Δ_1 . To further characterize the homological eigenvalues of Δ_1 , we borrow some ideas from PL Morse theory and discrete Morse theory, which are shown in the following proof of Theorem 1.3.

We first recall the simplicial complex K_n . Let $\mathcal{P}_2(V) = \{(A, B) : A \cap B = \emptyset, A \cup B \neq \emptyset, A, B \subset V\}$ be the collection of all the pairs of disjoint subsets of V . Then, there is a natural partial order on $\mathcal{P}_2(V)$ defined via the inclusion $(A, B) \subset (A', B')$ if $A \subset A'$ and $B \subset B'$. The simplicial complex K_n is defined as the order complex of $\mathcal{P}_2(V)$ with respect to the inclusion order for set-pairs, i.e., the faces of K_n are the inclusion chains in $\mathcal{P}_2(V)$. A natural geometric realization of K_n is the following triangulation of the unit l^∞ -sphere $\{\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n : \|\mathbf{x}\|_\infty = 1\}$:

For any $A \subset V$ and any permutation $\sigma : \{1, \dots, n\} \rightarrow \{1, \dots, n\}$, we define the simplex

$$\Delta_{A, \sigma} = \text{conv} \left(\{\mathbf{1}_A - \mathbf{1}_{V \setminus A}\} \cup \{\mathbf{1}_{A \setminus \{\sigma(1), \dots, \sigma(i)\}} - \mathbf{1}_{(V \setminus A) \setminus \{\sigma(1), \dots, \sigma(i)\}} : i = 1, \dots, n-1\} \right)$$

which is of dimension $(n-1)$. Then, $\{\Delta_{A, \sigma} : A \subset V, \text{ permutation } \sigma \text{ on } V\}$ is the set of maximal simplices¹ of K_n , and we rewrite the vertices of K_n as $\{\mathbf{1}_A - \mathbf{1}_B : (A, B) \in \mathcal{P}_2(V)\}$.

Proof of Theorem 1.3. By the assumption, there exists a pair (A, B) of disjoint subsets of $V = \{1, \dots, n\}$ such that $F_1(\mathbf{1}_A - \mathbf{1}_B) = \lambda$, that is, λ is the value of F_1 acting on some vertices of K_n .

We shall prove that λ is a homological eigenvalue of Δ_1 . Suppose that contrary, that λ is not a homological eigenvalue of Δ_1 , i.e., λ is not a homological critical value of F_1 . Then, by the definition of homological regular values, there exists $\epsilon > 0$ such that for each pair of real numbers $t_1 < t_2$ on the interval $(\lambda - \epsilon, \lambda + \epsilon)$, the inclusion $\{F_1 \leq t_1\} \hookrightarrow \{F_1 \leq t_2\}$ induces isomorphisms on all homology groups. Particularly, $\{F_1 \leq \lambda - \epsilon'\} \hookrightarrow \{F_1 \leq \lambda\}$ induces isomorphisms on all homology groups, for any sufficiently small $\epsilon' > 0$. It is clear that the subcomplex of K_n induced by the vertices in $\{\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n : F_1(\mathbf{x}) < \lambda\}$ coincides with the subcomplex of K_n induced by the vertices in $\{\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n : F_1(\mathbf{x}) \leq \lambda - \epsilon'\}$ exactly, when $\epsilon' > 0$ is sufficiently small.

We should use a result in [Kühnel [23], Morozov [26], Proposition 2.25 in [2]]: Given a PL function f^{PL} on a simplicial complex $|\mathcal{K}|$, then the induced subcomplex of \mathcal{K} on $\{v \in \mathcal{K}_0 : f^{PL}(v) \leq t\}$ is homotopy equivalent to the sublevel set $\{f^{PL} \leq t\}$.

Note that $|K_n|$ is a piecewise linear manifold and also a simplicial complex. Moreover, F_1 is piecewise linear on $|K_n|$, and it is actually linear on each simplex of $|K_n|$.

Thus, we can apply Kühnel's theorem to derive that the subcomplex of K_n induced by the vertices in $\{\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n : F_1(\mathbf{x}) \leq \lambda - \epsilon'\}$ is homotopy equivalent to the sublevel set $\{\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n : F_1(\mathbf{x}) \leq \lambda - \epsilon'\}$. In consequence,

$$H_*(\mathcal{K}(F_1 < \lambda)) = H_*(\mathcal{K}(F_1 \leq \lambda - \epsilon')) = H_*(\{F_1 \leq \lambda - \epsilon'\}) = H_*(\{F_1 \leq \lambda\}) = H_*(\mathcal{K}(F_1 \leq \lambda))$$

which is a contradiction. In the above equalities, we use $\mathcal{K}(F_1 < \lambda)$ to denote the subcomplex of K_n induced by the vertices in the lower level set $\{F_1 < \lambda\}$.

Now, we move on to the local case. Assume that there exists $A \neq \emptyset$ with $F_1(\mathbf{1}_A) = \lambda$ and $F_1(\mathbf{v}) \neq \lambda$ for any vertex \mathbf{v} in $\text{link}(\mathbf{1}_A)$. Then locally, the subcomplex of K_n induced by the vertices in $\{\mathbf{x} \in \text{link}(\mathbf{1}_A) : F_1(\mathbf{x}) \leq \lambda\}$ is a cone, and therefore it is contractible. It is easy to see that in this situation, the subcomplex of K_n induced by the vertices in $\{\mathbf{x} \in \text{star}(\mathbf{1}_A) : F_1(\mathbf{x}) < \lambda\}$ coincides with the subcomplex of K_n induced by the vertices in $\{\mathbf{x} \in \text{link}(\mathbf{1}_A) : F_1(\mathbf{x}) < \lambda\}$.

Thus, if the subcomplex of K_n induced by the vertices in $\{\mathbf{x} \in \text{link}(\mathbf{1}_A) : F_1(\mathbf{x}) < \lambda\}$ is non-contractible, then

$$H_*(\mathcal{K}(\{F_1 < \lambda\} \cap \text{star}(\mathbf{1}_A))) \neq H_*(\mathcal{K}(\{F_1 \leq \lambda\} \cap \text{star}(\mathbf{1}_A)))$$

and consequently, it is easy to see that $H_*(\mathcal{K}(F_1 < \lambda)) \neq H_*(\mathcal{K}(F_1 \leq \lambda))$, which implies that λ is a homological eigenvalue of Δ_1 .

Incidentally, $\mathbf{1}_A$ is a PL critical point and λ is the corresponding PL critical value of F_1 in the sense of Brehm and Kühnel [5] (or in the sense of Edelsbrunner [13, 14]). \square

Remark 2.5. *A similar idea has been used to establish a relationship between Forman's discrete Morse theory and Edelsbrunner's PL Morse theory in a previous work of the author [19]. Moreover, we have studied the combinatorial structure of the simplicial complex K_n in another work [20].*

¹We usually don't distinguish the simplicial complex K_n and its geometric realization $|K_n|$.

2.4 Monotonicity of eigenvalues of Δ_p with respect to p

Proof of Theorem 1.1. Given $p, q \geq 1$, we define an odd continuous map $\Phi_{q/p} : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ by

$$\Phi_{q/p}(\mathbf{x}) = (|x_1|^{\frac{q}{p}} \text{sign}(x_1), \dots, |x_n|^{\frac{q}{p}} \text{sign}(x_n)).$$

Claim. For any $1 \leq p \leq q$, for any $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n$,

$$F_p(\Phi_{q/p}(\mathbf{x})) \geq 2^{p-q} F_q(\mathbf{x}). \quad (5)$$

Proof. Denote by $\|\mathbf{x}\|_p = (\sum_{i=1}^n |x_i|^p)^{\frac{1}{p}}$, $\forall p \geq 1$. It is clear that $\|\Phi_{q/p}(\mathbf{x})\|_p^p = \|\mathbf{x}\|_q^q$. Denote by $\text{TV}_p(\mathbf{x}) = \sum_{\{i,j\} \in E} |x_i - x_j|^p$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \text{TV}_p(\Phi_{q/p}(\mathbf{x})) &= \sum_{\{i,j\} \in E} \left| |x_i|^{\frac{q}{p}} \text{sign}(x_i) - |x_j|^{\frac{q}{p}} \text{sign}(x_j) \right|^p \\ &\geq \sum_{\{i,j\} \in E} |x_i - x_j|^p \left(\frac{|x_i|^{\frac{q}{p}} + |x_j|^{\frac{q}{p}}}{2} \right)^{1-\frac{p}{q}} \\ &\geq \sum_{\{i,j\} \in E} |x_i - x_j|^p \left(\frac{|x_i| + |x_j|}{2} \right)^{\frac{q}{p}(1-\frac{p}{q})} \\ &\geq \sum_{\{i,j\} \in E} |x_i - x_j|^p \left(\frac{|x_i - x_j|}{2} \right)^{q-p} \\ &= 2^{p-q} \sum_{\{i,j\} \in E} |x_i - x_j|^q = 2^{p-q} \text{TV}_q(\mathbf{x}) \end{aligned}$$

where we use

$$\left| |b|^{\frac{q}{p}} \text{sign}(b) - |a|^{\frac{q}{p}} \text{sign}(a) \right| \geq |b - a| \left(\frac{|b|^{\frac{q}{p}} + |a|^{\frac{q}{p}}}{2} \right)^{1-\frac{p}{q}}$$

which is actually Lemma 5.1. Therefore,

$$F_p(\Phi_{q/p}(\mathbf{x})) = \frac{\text{TV}_p(\Phi_{q/p}(\mathbf{x}))}{\|\Phi_{q/p}(\mathbf{x})\|_p^p} \geq \frac{2^{p-q} \text{TV}_q(\mathbf{x})}{\|\mathbf{x}\|_q^q} = 2^{p-q} F_q(\mathbf{x}).$$

The proof of the claim is completed.

Similarly, we have another inequality which was essentially shown in [1]:

Claim. For any $1 \leq p \leq q$, for any $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n$,

$$F_p(\Phi_{q/p}(\mathbf{x})) \leq 2^{\frac{p}{q}-1} \left(\frac{q}{p} \right)^p F_q(\mathbf{x})^{\frac{p}{q}}$$

or equivalently,

$$p(2F_p \circ \Phi_{q/p})^{\frac{1}{p}} \leq q(2F_q)^{\frac{1}{q}}. \quad (6)$$

We also need the following results:

Claim. The homological critical values of F_p coincide with that of $F_p \circ \Phi_{q/p}$.

Proof: It is clear that for any $c \in \mathbb{R}$, the topology of $\{F_p \leq c\}$ and the topology of $\{F_p \circ \Phi_{q/p} \leq c\}$ are the same.

Claim. The critical values of F_p produced by homotopical linking coincide with that of $F_p \circ \Phi_{q/p}$.

Proof: Let $Q \subset Q' \subset \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{\mathbf{0}\}$ and $S \subset \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{\mathbf{0}\}$ be such that Q homotopically links S with respect to Q' , where Q, Q', S are compact subsets. Suppose $\min_{\mathbf{x} \in S} F_p(\mathbf{x}) > \max_{\mathbf{x} \in Q} F_p(\mathbf{x})$. Then, for any $q \geq 1$, $\Phi_{q/p}^{-1}(Q) \subset \Phi_{q/p}^{-1}(Q') \subset \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{\mathbf{0}\}$, $\Phi_{q/p}^{-1}(S) \subset \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{\mathbf{0}\}$, and $\Phi_{q/p}^{-1}(Q)$ homotopically links $\Phi_{q/p}^{-1}(S)$ with respect to $\Phi_{q/p}^{-1}(Q')$. Clearly, $\min_{\mathbf{x} \in \Phi_{q/p}^{-1}(S)} F_p \circ \Phi_{q/p}(\mathbf{x}) = \min_{\mathbf{x} \in S} F_p(\mathbf{x}) > \max_{\mathbf{x} \in Q} F_p(\mathbf{x}) = \max_{\mathbf{x} \in \Phi_{q/p}^{-1}(Q)} F_p \circ \Phi_{q/p}(\mathbf{x})$.

In consequence,

$$\inf_{\text{continuous } \gamma: \Phi_{q/p}^{-1}(Q') \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{\mathbf{0}\}} \max_{\mathbf{x} \in \gamma(\Phi_{q/p}^{-1}(Q))} F_p \circ \Phi_{q/p}(\mathbf{x}) = \inf_{\text{continuous } \gamma: Q' \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{\mathbf{0}\}} \max_{\mathbf{x} \in \gamma(Q)} F_p(\mathbf{x})$$

indicates a critical value produced by homotopical linking for both F_p and $F_p \circ \Phi_{q/p}$.

Claim. The min-max (variational) critical values of F_p coincide with that of $F_p \circ \Phi_{q/p}$.

Proof: Since $\Phi_{q/p}^{-1} : \text{Gen}_k(\mathbb{R}^n) \rightarrow \text{Gen}_k(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is a bijection, for any $k = 1, \dots, n$,

$$\inf_{S \in \text{Gen}_k(\mathbb{R}^n)} \sup_{\mathbf{x} \in S} F_p \circ \Phi_{q/p}(\mathbf{x}) = \inf_{S \in \text{Gen}_k(\mathbb{R}^n)} \sup_{\mathbf{x} \in \Phi_{q/p}^{-1}(S)} F_p \circ \Phi_{q/p}(\mathbf{x}) = \inf_{S \in \text{Gen}_k(\mathbb{R}^n)} \sup_{\mathbf{x} \in S} F_p(\mathbf{x}),$$

where $\text{Gen}_k(\mathbb{R}^n) := \{S \subset \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{\mathbf{0}\} : \text{genus}(S) \geq k\}$. This implies that the k -th min-max critical value of $F_p \circ \Phi_{q/p}$ agrees with the k -th min-max critical value of F_p .

Claim. The critical points of F_p , $p(2F_p)^{\frac{1}{p}}$ and $2^{-p}F_p$ are exactly the same, and their (min-max, or homological, or homotopical linking) critical values coincide up to certain scaling and power factors.

Together with all the above claims, the local monotonicity of the isolated homological eigenvalues is then derived by Lemma 2.3. In fact, for any isolated homological eigenvalue (or any eigenvalue produced by homotopical linking) $\lambda(\Delta_p)$ of Δ_p , $\lambda(\Delta_p)$ is an isolated homological critical value (or a critical value produced by homotopical linking) of $F_p \circ \Phi_{q/p}$. For any sufficiently small $\epsilon > 0$, there exists $\delta > 0$ such that for any $q \in (p, p + \delta)$, $q(2F_q)^{\frac{1}{q}} - \epsilon \leq p(2F_p \circ \Phi_{q/p})^{\frac{1}{p}} \leq q(2F_q)^{\frac{1}{q}}$ and $2^{-q}F_q \leq 2^{-p}F_p \circ \Phi_{q/p} \leq 2^{-q}F_q + \epsilon$. Then, it follows from Lemma 2.3 that there is a homological critical value (or a critical value produced by homotopical linking) $\lambda(\Delta_q)$ of F_q satisfying $2^{-q}\lambda(\Delta_q) \leq 2^{-p}\lambda(\Delta_p) \leq 2^{-q}\lambda(\Delta_q) + \epsilon$. The case of $q(2\lambda(\Delta_q))^{\frac{1}{q}} - \epsilon \leq p(2\lambda(\Delta_p))^{\frac{1}{p}} \leq q(2\lambda(\Delta_q))^{\frac{1}{q}}$ is similar.

For the k -th min-max eigenvalue, for any $1 \leq p < q$, according to (5) and (6) and the above claims, there is no difficulty to verify that $p(2\lambda_k(\Delta_p))^{\frac{1}{p}} \leq q(2\lambda_k(\Delta_q))^{\frac{1}{q}}$ and $2^{-p}\lambda_k(\Delta_p) \geq 2^{-q}\lambda_k(\Delta_q)$.

The case of eigenvalues produced by homotopical linking is similar. We complete the proof. \square

3 Eigenvalue estimates and refined Cheeger inequalities

3.1 Variational eigenvalues of 1-Laplacian

Given a simple graph $G = (V, E)$, let $P := \{V_1, \dots, V_k\}$ be a subpartition² of V such that each V_i induces a complete subgraph, and denote by $\mathcal{SC}(G)$ the collection of all these subpartitions. Let

$$h_*(P) = h_*(V_1, \dots, V_k) = \min_{A \subset \cup_{i=1}^k V_i : |A \cap V_i| \leq 1} \frac{|\partial A|}{\text{vol}(A)}$$

and for $P \in \mathcal{SC}(G)$, let

$$c(P) = \sum_{i=1}^k c(V_i), \quad \text{where } c(V_i) = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } \#V_i \leq 2, \\ 2, & \text{if } \#V_i \geq 3. \end{cases}$$

Lemma 3.1. For any subpartition $P \in \mathcal{SC}(G)$,

$$\lambda_{n-c(P)+1}(\Delta_1) \geq h_*(P).$$

Proof. We only need to work on a subpartition $P = \{V_1, \dots, V_k\}$ such that each V_i is either a singleton or a triangle. Here and after, we simply say that a subset V_i is a **triangle** if it induces a three-order complete subgraph in G . In fact, if $\#V_i \geq 3$, we take a subset $V'_i \subset V_i$ with $\#V'_i = 3$, and if $\#V_i \in \{1, 2\}$, we pick a subset $V'_i \subset V_i$ with $\#V'_i = 1$. Then, we get a new subpartition $P' = \{V'_1, \dots, V'_k\}$ consisting of only singletons and triangles. By the definition of $c(P)$, it is clear that $c(P') = c(P)$. Also, note that

$$\{A \subset \cup_{i=1}^k V_i : |A \cap V_i| \leq 1, i = 1, \dots, k\} \supset \{A \subset \cup_{i=1}^k V'_i : |A \cap V'_i| \leq 1, i = 1, \dots, k\}$$

which implies that $h_*(P) \leq h_*(P')$. Hence, if we have $\lambda_{n-c(P')+1}(\Delta_1) \geq h_*(P')$, then $\lambda_{n-c(P)+1}(\Delta_1) = \lambda_{n-c(P')+1}(\Delta_1) \geq h_*(P') \geq h_*(P)$.

²A subpartition of V is a family of pairwise disjoint subsets of V .




Figure 1: The hexagon $\diamond(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}, \mathbf{c})$ is the union of the six red segments.

According to this fact, we may assume without loss of generality that each V_i of the subpartition P is a singleton or a triangle. Before proving Lemma 3.1, we do some preparations.

The hexagon structure determined by three linear independent vectors $\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}, \mathbf{c}$ is a spatial hexagon defined as

$$\diamond(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}, \mathbf{c}) := [\mathbf{a}, -\mathbf{b}] \cup [-\mathbf{b}, \mathbf{c}] \cup [\mathbf{c}, -\mathbf{a}] \cup [-\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}] \cup [\mathbf{b}, -\mathbf{c}] \cup [-\mathbf{c}, \mathbf{a}]$$

where $[\mathbf{a}, -\mathbf{b}]$ indicates the segment with the endpoints \mathbf{a} and $-\mathbf{b}$. We refer to Fig. 1 for the display of such a hexagon.

Let

$$S(V_i) = \begin{cases} \{-\mathbf{1}_v, \mathbf{1}_v\}, & \text{if } V_i = \{v\}, \\ \diamond(\mathbf{1}_{\{u\}}, \mathbf{1}_{\{v\}}, \mathbf{1}_{\{w\}}), & \text{if } V_i = \{u, v, w\}, \end{cases} \quad (7)$$

and let

$$S(P) = S(V_1) * S(V_2) * \cdots * S(V_k)$$

where $*$ denotes the simplicial join³. We shall prove that $S(P) \cong \mathbb{S}^{c(P)-1}$ and $\inf_{\mathbf{x} \in S(P)} F_1(\mathbf{x}) = h_*(P)$.

Argument. $\min_{\mathbf{x} \in S(P)} F_1(\mathbf{x}) = h_*(P)$.

For any $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n$, there exist $\mathbf{x}^+, \mathbf{x}^- \in \mathbb{R}_+^n$ such that $\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{x}^+ - \mathbf{x}^-$. It can be verified that

$$F_1(\mathbf{x}) = \frac{\sum_{i \sim j} |x_i^+ - x_j^+| + \sum_{i \sim j} |x_i^- - x_j^-|}{\sum_{i \in V} \deg(j) |x_j^+| + \sum_{i \in V} \deg(j) |x_j^-|} \geq \min\{F_1(\mathbf{x}^+), F_1(\mathbf{x}^-)\}.$$

Hence, $\min_{\mathbf{x} \in S(P)} F_1(\mathbf{x}) = \min_{\mathbf{x} \in S(P) \cap \mathbb{R}_+^n} F_1(\mathbf{x})$. Note that $S(P) \cap \mathbb{R}_+^n = (S(V_1) \cap \mathbb{R}_+^n) * \cdots * (S(V_k) \cap \mathbb{R}_+^n)$.

Therefore, by the definition of $S(V_i)$ in (7), for any $\mathbf{x} \in S(P) \cap \mathbb{R}_+^n$, $\#(\text{supp}(\mathbf{x}) \cap V_i) \leq 1, \forall i$. According to an elementary technique (see [20]), $F_1(\mathbf{x}) \geq \frac{|\partial A|}{\text{vol}(A)}$ for some nonempty subset $A \subset \text{supp}(\mathbf{x})$. The proof is completed.

Claim. $S(P) \cong \mathbb{S}^{c(P)-1}$ and there is an odd homeomorphism maps $S(P)$ to $\mathbb{S}^{c(P)-1}$.

Suppose that V_1, \dots, V_l are triangles, and V_{l+1}, \dots, V_k are single point sets. Then, there exists an odd homeomorphism $\psi_i : S(V_i) \rightarrow \mathbb{S}^1$ for $i \in \{1, \dots, l\}$ and an odd homeomorphism $\psi_j : S(V_j) \rightarrow \mathbb{S}^0$ for $j \in \{l+1, \dots, k\}$, where $S(V_i) \subset \{\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n : \text{supp}(\mathbf{x}) \subset V_i\}$ is defined by (7). Therefore,

$$\underbrace{\mathbb{S}^1 * \cdots * \mathbb{S}^1}_{l \text{ times}} * \underbrace{\mathbb{S}^0 * \cdots * \mathbb{S}^0}_{k-l \text{ times}} = \mathbb{S}^{2l+k-l-1} = \mathbb{S}^{c(P)-1},$$

and we can define a map $\psi : S(P) \rightarrow \mathbb{S}^{c(P)-1}$ by $\psi(\sum_{i=1}^k t_i \mathbf{x}^i) := \sum_{i=1}^k t_i \psi_i(\mathbf{x}^i), \forall \mathbf{x}^i \in S(V_i), 0 \leq t_i \leq 1, \sum_{i=1}^k t_i = 1, i = 1, \dots, k$. It is easy to check that ψ is an odd homeomorphism via the

³The simplicial join of subsets A and B in \mathbb{R}^n is defined as $A * B := \{t\mathbf{a} + (1-t)\mathbf{b} : \mathbf{a} \in A, \mathbf{b} \in B, 0 \leq t \leq 1\}$.

diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
S(P) & \xrightarrow{\psi} & \mathbb{S}^{c(P)-1} \\
\parallel & & \parallel \\
S(V_1) * \cdots * S(V_l) * S(V_{l+1}) * \cdots * S(V_k) & \xrightarrow{\psi_1 * \cdots * \psi_k} & \underbrace{\mathbb{S}^1 * \cdots * \mathbb{S}^1}_{l \text{ times}} * \underbrace{\mathbb{S}^0 * \cdots * \mathbb{S}^0}_{k-l \text{ times}}
\end{array}$$

The proof is completed.

Finally, by the intersection property of Krasnoselskii genus, for any centrally symmetric compact subset S with $\text{genus}(S) \geq n - c(P) + 1$, we have $S \cap S(P) \neq \emptyset$, and therefore,

$$\lambda_{n-c(P)+1}(\Delta_1) = \inf_{\text{genus}(S) \geq n-c(P)+1} \sup_{\mathbf{x} \in S} \frac{\sum_{\{j,i\} \in E} |x_i - x_j|}{\sum_{i \in V} \deg(i) |x_i|} \geq \min_{\mathbf{x} \in S(P)} F_1(\mathbf{x}) = h_*(P).$$

We complete the whole proof. \square

Corollary 3.1. *Let $\mathcal{SC}_{na}(G) = \{P \in \mathcal{SC}(G) : \text{the elements in } P \text{ are pairwise non-adjacent}\}$, and denote by*

$$\alpha_*(G) = \max\{c(P) | P \in \mathcal{SC}_{na}(G)\}$$

the so-called pseudo-independence number introduced in [30]. Then

$$\lambda_{n-\alpha_*(G)+1}(\Delta_1) = \cdots = \lambda_n(\Delta_1) = 1.$$

Corollary 3.1 generalizes and enhances a result in [30]. Moreover, we have a stronger version of the main theorem in [30]:

Proposition 3.1. *Let $\alpha(G)$ be the independence number of G , and let $\gamma(G)$ be the multiplicity of the eigenvalue 1 of Δ_1 . Denote by $t(G)$ the largest integer satisfying $\lambda_{n-t(G)+1}(\Delta_1) = 1$. Then,*

$$\alpha(G) \leq \alpha_*(G) \leq t(G) \leq \gamma(G) \leq \min\{c_*(G), 2\alpha(G)\}$$

where $c_(G) := \min\{c(P) | P \in \mathcal{SC}_p(G)\}$ and $\mathcal{SC}_p(G) = \{P \in \mathcal{SC}(G) : P \text{ is a partition of } V\}$.*

3.2 Cheeger inequalities

By Theorem 8 in [8] and Theorem 1.1, we obtain

$$\frac{2^{p-1}}{p^p} h_{s_k}^p \leq \frac{2^{p-1}}{p^p} \lambda_k(\Delta_1)^p \leq \lambda_k(\Delta_p) \leq 2^{p-1} \lambda_k(\Delta_1)_k \leq 2^{p-1} h_k.$$

Using a similar approach developed in the author's previous work [19], we have

$$\lambda_k(\Delta_1) = \hat{h}_k = \min_{A \in \mathcal{S}_k} \max_{(A,B) \in \mathcal{A}} \frac{|\partial A| + |\partial B|}{\text{vol}(A \cup B)} = \max_{A \in \mathcal{S}_{n-k+1}} \min_{(A,B) \in \mathcal{A}} \frac{|\partial A| + |\partial B|}{\text{vol}(A \cup B)}. \quad (8)$$

Therefore, we derive (1). As a complement, we should point out that (1) gives a refinement of Theorem 5.1 in [29]. In order to prove (2), we should use the following multi-way Cheeger inequality proposed in [24]: for every graph, and each natural number k ,

$$\frac{h_k^2}{Ck^4} \leq \lambda_k(\Delta_2) \leq 2h_k$$

where C is a universal constant.

Again, using Theorem 1.1, we have


$$\lambda_k(\Delta_p) \geq \begin{cases} \frac{h_k^2}{Ck^4}, & \text{if } 1 \leq p \leq 2, \\ \frac{h_k^p}{C_p k^{2p}}, & \text{if } p \geq 2 \end{cases}$$

In summary, Theorem 1.4 is proved by combining the above multi-way Cheeger inequality, the identity (8) and Theorem 1.1.

4 Nonvariational eigenvalues

4.1 Homological eigenvalues not in min-max form

For readers' convenience, we redraw the picture shown in Theorem 1.2 again:



First, it is known that $\lambda_1(\Delta_1) = 0$ and $\lambda_2(\Delta_1) = h_2(G) = \frac{2}{5}$.

By Corollary 3.1, we have $\lambda_4(\Delta_1) = \lambda_5(\Delta_1) = \lambda_6(\Delta_1) = 1$. It remains to determine $\lambda_3(\Delta_1)$.

Let $P = \{V_1, V_2\}$ be a partition of V with $V_1 = \{2, 3, 4\}$ and $V_2 = \{1, 5, 6\}$. Then $c(P) = 4$, and $h_*(P) = \frac{5}{7}$. Thus, by Lemma 3.1, $\lambda_3(\Delta_1) = \lambda_{6-c(P)+1}(\Delta_1) \geq h_*(P) = \frac{5}{7}$. On the other hand, by the multi-way Cheeger inequality, $\lambda_3(\Delta_1) \leq h_3(G) = \frac{5}{7}$, which implies $\lambda_3(\Delta_1) = \frac{5}{7}$.

Therefore, we have determined all the min-max eigenvalues of Δ_1 .

Now, we are able to prove our main result.

Proof of Theorem 1.2. Since we have written down the min-max eigenvalues of Δ_1 , $\frac{5}{9}$ is not a min-max eigenvalue. The rest of the verification is to prove that $\frac{5}{9}$ is a homological eigenvalue of Δ_1 .

Note that $F_1(\mathbf{1}_{\{2,5,6\}}) = \frac{5}{9}$. In order to use Theorem 1.3, we list all the values of F_1 acting on the vertices of $\text{link}(\mathbf{1}_{\{2,5,6\}})$:

- $F_1(\mathbf{1}_{\{2,5,6,3,4\}} - \mathbf{1}_{\{1\}}) = \frac{1}{2}$, $F_1(\mathbf{1}_{\{2,5,6,3,1\}} - \mathbf{1}_{\{4\}}) = F_1(\mathbf{1}_{\{2,5,6,4,1\}} - \mathbf{1}_{\{3\}}) = \frac{3}{10}$,
- $F_1(\mathbf{1}_{\{2,5,6,1\}} - \mathbf{1}_{\{3,4\}}) = \frac{2}{5}$, $F_1(\mathbf{1}_{\{2,5,6,3\}} - \mathbf{1}_{\{1,4\}}) = F_1(\mathbf{1}_{\{2,5,6,4\}} - \mathbf{1}_{\{1,3\}}) = \frac{3}{5}$,
- $F_1(\mathbf{1}_{\{2,5,6,1\}} - \mathbf{1}_{\{3\}}) = F_1(\mathbf{1}_{\{2,5,6,1\}} - \mathbf{1}_{\{4\}}) = \frac{7}{17}$, $F_1(\mathbf{1}_{\{2,5,6,3\}} - \mathbf{1}_{\{4\}}) = F_1(\mathbf{1}_{\{2,5,6,4\}} - \mathbf{1}_{\{3\}}) = \frac{3}{5}$,
- $F_1(\mathbf{1}_{\{2,5,6,3\}} - \mathbf{1}_{\{1\}}) = F_1(\mathbf{1}_{\{2,5,6,4\}} - \mathbf{1}_{\{1\}}) = \frac{11}{17}$,
- $F_1(\mathbf{1}_{\{2,5,6,3\}}) = F_1(\mathbf{1}_{\{2,5,6,4\}}) = \frac{1}{2}$, $F_1(\mathbf{1}_{\{2,5,6,1\}}) = \frac{2}{7}$, $F_1(\mathbf{1}_{\{2,5,6,3,4\}}) = \frac{1}{3}$,
- $F_1(\mathbf{1}_{\{2,5,6,3,1\}}) = F_1(\mathbf{1}_{\{2,5,6,4,1\}}) = \frac{3}{17}$, $F_1(\mathbf{1}_{\{2,5,6,3,4,1\}}) = 0$,
- $F_1(\mathbf{1}_{\{2,5,6\}} - \mathbf{1}_{\{3,1\}}) = F_1(\mathbf{1}_{\{2,5,6\}} - \mathbf{1}_{\{4,1\}}) = \frac{11}{17}$, $F_1(\mathbf{1}_{\{2,5,6\}} - \mathbf{1}_{\{3,4\}}) = \frac{3}{5}$,
- $F_1(\mathbf{1}_{\{2,5,6\}} - \mathbf{1}_{\{3\}}) = F_1(\mathbf{1}_{\{2,5,6\}} - \mathbf{1}_{\{4\}}) = \frac{2}{3}$, $F_1(\mathbf{1}_{\{2,5,6\}} - \mathbf{1}_{\{1\}}) = \frac{5}{7}$, $F_1(\mathbf{1}_{\{2,5,6\}} - \mathbf{1}_{\{1,3,4\}}) = \frac{1}{2}$,
- $F_1(\mathbf{1}_{\{2\}}) = F_1(\mathbf{1}_{\{5\}}) = F_1(\mathbf{1}_{\{6\}}) = F_1(\mathbf{1}_{\{2,6\}}) = 1$, $F_1(\mathbf{1}_{\{2,5\}}) = \frac{5}{7}$, $F_1(\mathbf{1}_{\{5,6\}}) = \frac{3}{5}$.

Thus, all the vertices in $\{\mathbf{x} \in \text{link}(\mathbf{1}_{\{2,5,6\}}) : F_1(\mathbf{x}) < F_1(\mathbf{1}_{\{2,5,6\}})\}$ are:

(S1) $\mathbf{1}_{\{2,5,6\}} - \mathbf{1}_{\{1,3,4\}}$

(S2) $\mathbf{1}_{\{2,5,6,3\}}$, $\mathbf{1}_{\{2,5,6,4\}}$, $\mathbf{1}_{\{2,5,6,1\}}$, $\mathbf{1}_{\{2,5,6,3,4\}}$, $\mathbf{1}_{\{2,5,6,3,1\}}$, $\mathbf{1}_{\{2,5,6,4,1\}}$, $\mathbf{1}_{\{2,5,6,4,1,3\}}$, $\mathbf{1}_{\{2,5,6,3,4\}} - \mathbf{1}_{\{1\}}$, $\mathbf{1}_{\{2,5,6,3,1\}} - \mathbf{1}_{\{4\}}$, $\mathbf{1}_{\{2,5,6,4,1\}} - \mathbf{1}_{\{3\}}$, $\mathbf{1}_{\{2,5,6,1\}} - \mathbf{1}_{\{3,4\}}$, $\mathbf{1}_{\{2,5,6,1\}} - \mathbf{1}_{\{3\}}$, $\mathbf{1}_{\{2,5,6,1\}} - \mathbf{1}_{\{4\}}$

It is easy to see that these vertices induce a disconnected simplicial complex in K_n . In fact, it is clear that the subcomplex has two connected components, one is the singleton in (S1), and the other is the subcomplex induced by the vertices listed in (S2).

Therefore, $\frac{5}{9}$ is a homological eigenvalue of Δ_1 . Note that $\lambda_2(\Delta_1) = \frac{2}{5} < \frac{5}{9} < \lambda_3(\Delta_1) = \frac{5}{7}$, and for any $0 < \epsilon < \frac{1}{10}$ and $0 < \epsilon' < \frac{1}{20}$, $(\frac{5}{9} - \epsilon, \frac{5}{9} + \epsilon)$ doesn't intersect with $(\frac{2}{5} - \epsilon', \frac{2}{5} + \epsilon') \cup (\frac{5}{7} - \epsilon', \frac{5}{7} + \epsilon')$. Then, by Proposition 2.5 and Theorem 2.1, there exists $0 < \delta < 1$ such that for any $p \in [1, 1 + \delta)$,

$\lambda_2(\Delta_p) \in (\frac{2}{5} - \epsilon', \frac{2}{5} + \epsilon')$, $\lambda_3(\Delta_p) \in (\frac{5}{7} - \epsilon', \frac{5}{7} + \epsilon')$, and there is another Δ_p -eigenvalue $\lambda(\Delta_p) \in (\frac{5}{9} - \epsilon, \frac{5}{9} + \epsilon)$. In consequence, $\lambda_2(\Delta_p) < \lambda(\Delta_p) < \lambda_3(\Delta_p)$, which implies that such an eigenvalue $\lambda(\Delta_p)$ is not a (variational) min-max eigenvalue of Δ_p on the graph G , $\forall p \in (1, 1 + \delta)$. The proof is completed. \square

Remark 4.1. *The graph shown in Theorem 1.2 (or Section 4.1) was first studied in a previous work of the author [8]. But in that paper, we only get partial results on the 1-Laplacian eigenvalues (for example, we didn't even know the value of $\lambda_3(\Delta_1)$ for the graph in that paper).*


Remark 4.2. *Note that $\lambda_3(\Delta_1)$ equals the third Cheeger constant h_3 . However, from the multi-way Cheeger inequality, we can only get $\lambda_3(\Delta_1) \leq h_3$. In fact, it can be verified that every eigenvector of $\lambda_3(\Delta_1)$ has at most two nodal domains, and thus both the nodal domain theorem and the multi-way Cheeger inequality in [8] are not sharp on this example. They are not powerful enough to obtain the results that we desired. To this end, we establish Lemma 3.1 which is special but strong.*

The picture in Fig. 2 shows a sketch of the proof for Theorem 1.2. In fact, we can determine all the eigenvalues and all the min-max eigenvalues of Δ_1 by some auxiliary results established in Section 2, and then we apply Theorem 1.3 to select the eigenvalue $\frac{5}{9}$ which is homological but not variational. Note that the variational eigenvalues of Δ_p should be far away from $\frac{5}{9}$ when p is sufficiently close to 1, due to Proposition 2.5. Then, Theorem 2.1 is applicable to prove the existence of nonvariational homological eigenvalues of Δ_p near $\frac{5}{9}$, when p is sufficiently close to 1.

To some extent, the picture suggests us to consider the ‘persistent p -Laplacian’ for varying p , which is able to record the birth (appearance) and death (disappearance) of the spectra of Δ_p when p goes from 1 to ∞ , and thus extra combinatorial information is embedded in it.

4.2 Non-homological eigenvalues

Using the same technique in Section 4.1, we can show that $1_{\{1,2\}}$ is an eigenvalue of 1-Laplacian on the following graph.



It can be verified that $\frac{1}{2}$ is an eigenvalue of 1-Laplacian, while by Theorem 1.3, it is not a homological eigenvalue.

4.3 Further remarks on nonvariational eigenvalues of the 1-Laplacian

We have shown in the previous section that for some graphs,

$$\{\text{min-max } \Delta_p\text{-eigenvalues}\} \subsetneq \{\text{homological eigenvalues of } \Delta_p\}.$$

In this section, we show that for $p = 1$, the size of the difference set

$$\{\Delta_1\text{-eigenvalues}\} \setminus \{\text{min-max } \Delta_1\text{-eigenvalues}\}$$

can be very large for some graphs.

- **For sufficiently large integer n , there exists a connected graph G_n on n vertices with $O(n \ln n)$ eigenvalues (counting multiplicity) of $\Delta_1(G_n)$.**

Example 4.1. *Let G_n be the n -order cycle graph, i.e., $V(G_n) = \{1, \dots, n\}$ and $E(G_n) = \{\{1, 2\}, \{2, 3\}, \dots, \{n-1, n\}, \{n, 1\}\}$. According to Theorem 4 in [8], we know that the eigenvalues of $\Delta_1(G_n)$ are $1, \frac{1}{2}, \dots, \frac{1}{\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor}, 0$, and it is not difficult to verify that the corresponding multiplicities are $\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor, \lfloor \frac{n}{4} \rfloor, \dots, \lfloor \frac{n}{2\lfloor n/2 \rfloor} \rfloor, 1$, respectively.*




Figure 2: This picture illustrates the variance of the eigenvalues of Δ_p ($2 \geq p \geq 1$) for the graph presented in Theorem 1.2. The left six numbers are exactly the eigenvalues of Δ_2 , while the right nine numbers are exactly the eigenvalues of Δ_1 . All the black points are the variational (min-max) eigenvalues, while the red one is the homological eigenvalue which is not in min-max form. The blue points are not the variational eigenvalues, but we haven't checked whether they are homological eigenvalues.

Therefore, the number of eigenvalues (counting multiplicity) of $\Delta_1(G_n)$ is $1 + \sum_{i=1}^{\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor} \lfloor \frac{n}{2i} \rfloor = \frac{n}{2}(1 + \frac{1}{2} + \dots + \frac{1}{\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor}) + O(n) = \frac{n}{2} \ln(\frac{n}{2}) + O(n)$, where we used the logarithmic growth property of harmonic series.

- For sufficiently large integer n , there exists a connected graph G_n on n vertices with at least $\lfloor \frac{3n}{2} \rfloor - 2$ pairwise distinct eigenvalues of $\Delta_1(G_n)$.

Example 4.2. For any even number $n \geq 8$, taking

$$E(G_n) = \{\{i, j\} : i + j \leq n + 1, i, j \in \{1, \dots, n\}\} \cup \left\{ \{n, n - i\} : 1 \leq i \leq \frac{n}{2} - 2 \right\}$$

we have $\deg(i) = n - i$ for $1 \leq i \leq \frac{n}{2}$, $\deg(\frac{n}{2} + 1) = \frac{n}{2}$, $\deg(n) = \frac{n}{2} - 1$ and $\deg(j) = n + 2 - j$, for $\frac{n}{2} + 2 \leq j \leq n - 1$. See Fig. 3 for the cases of $n = 8$ and $n = 10$, respectively.




Figure 3: The graphs in Example 4.2

It is clear that $\deg(i) \geq 3, \forall i \in V$. Thus, applying Proposition 4.1 to G_n , the number of distinct eigenvalues of $\Delta_1(G_n)$ is at least

$$\begin{aligned} & 2 + \#\{\deg(i) + \deg(j) : \{i, j\} \in E(G_n)\} \\ &= 2 + \#\left(\{n, \dots, 2n - 3\} \cup \left\{\frac{n}{2} + 2, \dots, n - 1\right\}\right) = \frac{3}{2}n - 2. \end{aligned}$$

Finally, we present our technical results used in the examples above.

Proposition 4.1. For a simple graph $G = (V, E)$, the number of distinct eigenvalues of $\Delta_1(G)$ is larger than or equal to

$$2 + \#\{\deg(i) + \deg(j) : \{i, j\} \text{ is an edge s.t. the induced subgraph on } V \setminus \{i, j\} \text{ has no isolated vertex}\}.$$

Particularly, if the minimum degree of G is larger than or equal to 3, then the number of distinct eigenvalues of $\Delta_1(G)$ is larger than or equal to

$$2 + \#\{\deg(i) + \deg(j) : \{i, j\} \in E(G)\}.$$

Proof. Note that 0 and 1 are the minimal and the maximal eigenvalues of Δ_1 , respectively. By Proposition 4.2 (I), for an edge $\{i, j\}$, the indicator $\mathbf{1}_{\{i, j\}}$ is an eigenvector of Δ_1 if and only if the induced subgraph $G|_{V \setminus \{i, j\}}$ has no isolated vertex. This proves the first statement.

For the second one, it follows from $\deg(i) \geq 3, \forall i \in V$, that $G|_{V \setminus \{i, j\}}$ has no isolated vertex, for any edge $\{i, j\}$ in G . Hence, by the first statement, we complete the proof. \square

Definition 4.1. For a subset $S \subset V$, the **1-neighborhood** of S is $\bigcup_{\{i,j\} \in E: \{i,j\} \cap S \neq \emptyset} \{i,j\}$.

Definition 4.2. We say that some subsets V_1, \dots, V_k of V are **pairwise non-adjacent** if every edge $\{i,j\} \in E$ intersects with at most one V_l , $l = 1, \dots, k$.

Definition 4.3. A subset $S \subset V$ of order 2 is a **simple nodal set** if S is an edge, and the subgraph induced by $V \setminus S$ has no isolated vertex.

Proposition 4.2. (I) Assume that G is connected and S is a connected subset of order 2. Then, $\mathbf{1}_S$ is an eigenvector of Δ_1 if and only if S is a simple nodal set.

(II) If S_1, \dots, S_k are simple nodal sets with pairwise non-adjacent 1-neighborhoods, and $\frac{|\partial S_1|}{\text{vol}(S_1)} = \dots = \frac{|\partial S_k|}{\text{vol}(S_k)}$, then every nonzero vector $\mathbf{x} \in \text{span}(\mathbf{1}_{S_1}, \dots, \mathbf{1}_{S_k})$ is an eigenvector of Δ_1 .

(III) If S and S' are simple nodal sets with disjoint 1-neighborhoods, and $\frac{|\partial S|}{\text{vol}(S)} = \frac{|\partial S'|}{\text{vol}(S')}$, then $t\mathbf{1}_S + t'\mathbf{1}_{S'}$ is an eigenvector of Δ_1 , whenever $tt' \leq 0$.

Proof. It suffices to check the coordinate equation (3) for the 1-Laplacian eigenvalue problem.

(I) Without loss of generality, we may assume that $S = \{1, 2\}$. Note that $|\partial S| = \text{vol}(S) - 2$ and $\lambda := \frac{|\partial S|}{\text{vol}(S)} = 1 - \frac{2}{\text{deg}(2) + \text{deg}(1)}$. Then $z_{1i} = 1$ for $i \notin S$ with $\{1, i\} \in E$, and $z_{2j} = 1$ for $j \notin S$ with $\{2, j\} \in E$.

Assume that $\mathbf{1}_S$ is an eigenvector. If $i \in V \setminus S$ is isolated in the subgraph induced by $V \setminus S$, then $\text{deg}(i) \in \{1, 2\}$ and every vertex adjacent to i lies in $\{1, 2\}$, which implies $z_{ij} = -1$ for any $j \sim i$. Hence, $\lambda = 1$, which contradicts to $\lambda = 1 - \frac{2}{\text{deg}(1) + \text{deg}(2)} < 1$. In consequence, the subgraph induced by $V \setminus S$ has no isolated vertex.

For $i \in V \setminus S$, denote by

$$c_{S,i} = \begin{cases} 2, & \text{if } \{1, i\}, \{2, i\} \in E, \\ 0, & \text{if } \{1, i\}, \{2, i\} \notin E, \\ 1, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

We first prove that, if for any $i \in V \setminus S$,

$$\frac{c_{S,i}}{\text{deg}(i)} \leq \frac{|\partial S|}{\text{vol}(S)} := \lambda, \quad (9)$$

then $\mathbf{1}_S$ is an eigenvector of Δ_1 .

By letting

$$z_{12} = \lambda \text{deg}(1) - \text{deg}(1) + 1 = \frac{\text{deg}(2) - \text{deg}(1)}{\text{deg}(2) + \text{deg}(1)} \in \text{Sgn}(0) = [-1, 1],$$

we have

$$\sum_{i \sim 1} z_{1i} = \text{deg}(1) - 1 + z_{12} = \lambda \text{deg}(1).$$

Similarly, $\sum_{j \sim 2} z_{2j} = \lambda \text{deg}(2)$.

Taking $z_{ij} = 0$ for $i, j \notin S$ and $\{i, j\} \in E$, we have

$$\left| \sum_{j \in V: j \sim i} z_{ij} \right| \leq c_{S,i} \leq \lambda \text{deg}(i)$$

$\forall i \in V \setminus S$, where $\lambda = 1 - \frac{2}{\text{vol}(S)}$. Thus, $\mathbf{1}_S$ is an eigenvector.

Now, we only need to check the remaining case that there exists $i \in V \setminus S$ satisfying

$$c_{S,i} > \deg(i) \left(1 - \frac{2}{\text{vol}(S)} \right)$$

that is, there is a vertex i that doesn't satisfy the inequality (9).

Case 1. $c_{S,i} = 1$.

Without loss of generality, we assume that $\{1, i\} \in E$ but $\{2, i\} \notin E$.

In this case, $\deg(i) \geq 2$, $\deg(1) + \deg(2) \geq 1 + 2 = 3$, and

$$1 > \deg(i) \left(1 - \frac{2}{\deg(1) + \deg(2)} \right).$$

These imply $\deg(i) = 2 = \deg(1)$ and $\deg(2) = 1$. Then, by taking $\lambda = \frac{1}{3}$, $z_{1i} = 1$, $z_{12} = -\frac{1}{3}$, $z_{ij} = \frac{1}{3}$ for $j \notin \{1, 2\}$ with $j \sim i$, and $z_{jj'} = 0$ otherwise, we solve the 1-Laplacian eigenvalue problem (3), which implies that $\mathbf{1}_S$ is an eigenvector corresponding to the eigenvalue $\frac{1}{3}$.

Case 2. $c_{S,i} = 2$.

Without loss of generality, we assume that $\deg(1) \geq \deg(2)$ for simplicity.

In this case, we have

$$2 > \deg(i) \left(1 - \frac{2}{\deg(1) + \deg(2)} \right),$$

$\deg(i) \geq 3$ and $\deg(1) + \deg(2) \geq 2 + 2 = 4$. Hence, we get $\deg(i) = 3$, $\deg(2) = 2$ and $\deg(1) \in \{2, 3\}$. We denote by j the unique vertex in $V \setminus S$ that is adjacent to i .

Case 2.1. $\deg(i) = 3$, $\deg(2) = 2 = \deg(1)$.

In this case, we take $\lambda = \frac{1}{2}$, $z_{12} = 0$, $z_{1i} = z_{2i} = 1$, $z_{ij} = \frac{1}{2}$, and $z_{j_1j_2} = 0$ otherwise. Then, (3) is easy to check.

Case 2.2. $\deg(i) = 3 = \deg(1)$, $\deg(2) = 2$.

In this case, let $\lambda = \frac{3}{5}$, $z_{21} = \frac{1}{5} = z_{ij}$, $z_{1i} = z_{2i} = z_{1i'} = 1$, where i' is the vertex in $V \setminus \{1, 2, i\}$ that is adjacent to 1, and $z_{j_1j_2} = 0$ otherwise. Substituting these parameters in (3) implies that $\mathbf{1}_S$ is an eigenvector.

(II)-(III) These two statements can be verified by solving (3) with the help of (I).

The proof is completed. □

At the end of this section, we establish a result which shows that the size of the difference set

$$\{\text{eigenvectors of } \Delta_1\} \setminus \{\text{critical points of } F_1\}$$

can be very large on some graphs.

- **The Hausdorff dimension of the eigenspace w.r.t an eigenvalue λ of Δ_1 can be larger than the Hausdorff dimension of the set of critical points w.r.t. the critical value λ of F_1**




Figure 4: The graph in Example 4.3.

Example 4.3. In the graph G shown in Figure 4, applying Proposition 4.2 (III) directly to the subsets $\{3, 4\}$ and $\{5, 6\}$, $t\mathbf{1}_{\{3,4\}} + s\mathbf{1}_{\{5,6\}}$ is an eigenvector w.r.t. the eigenvalue $\frac{1}{2}$ of Δ_1 for any $t, s \in \mathbb{R}$ with $ts \leq 0$. In fact, the eigenspace of $\frac{1}{2}$ is $S_{\frac{1}{2}}(\Delta_1) = \{t\mathbf{1}_{\{3,4\}} + s\mathbf{1}_{\{5,6\}} : ts \leq 0\}$.

We will show that $t\mathbf{1}_{\{3,4\}} + s\mathbf{1}_{\{5,6\}}$ is a critical point of F_1 if and only if $t = 0$ or $s = 0$. In fact, if $t > 0 > s$, by letting $\mathbf{x} = t\mathbf{1}_{\{3,4\}} + s\mathbf{1}_{\{5,6\}}$ and $\mathbf{y} = \mathbf{1}_{\{1\}} - \mathbf{1}_{\{2\}}$, we can prove that $\Phi(\mathbf{x}, \xi, \mathbf{y}) - \frac{1}{2}\Psi(\mathbf{x}, \xi, \mathbf{y}) \leq -2$ for any ξ , where Φ and Ψ are defined in Proposition 4.3. To see this, note that

$$\Phi(\mathbf{x}, \xi, \mathbf{y}) = -4 + 2z'_{12}(\xi) \text{ and } \Psi(\mathbf{x}, \xi, \mathbf{y}) = 3(z'_1(\xi) - z''_2(\xi)),$$

$$\text{where } z'_{12}(\xi) = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } \xi(1) \geq \xi(2), \\ -1, & \text{if } \xi(1) < \xi(2), \end{cases} z'_1(\xi) = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } \xi(1) \geq 0, \\ -1, & \text{if } \xi(1) < 0 \end{cases} \text{ and } z''_1(\xi) = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } \xi(1) > 0, \\ -1, & \text{if } \xi(1) \leq 0. \end{cases}$$

Now, it is easy to see $\Phi(\mathbf{x}, \xi, \mathbf{y}) - \frac{1}{2}\Psi(\mathbf{x}, \xi, \mathbf{y}) \leq -2$, $\forall \xi \in \mathbb{R}^n$. By Proposition 4.3, we obtain the desired conclusion. Similarly, for $t < 0 < s$ we take $\mathbf{y} = -\mathbf{1}_{\{1\}} + \mathbf{1}_{\{2\}}$; for $t, s > 0$, take $\mathbf{y} = \mathbf{1}_{\{1\}} + \mathbf{1}_{\{2\}}$; and for $t, s < 0$, take $\mathbf{y} = -\mathbf{1}_{\{1\}} - \mathbf{1}_{\{2\}}$. In summary, for $ts \neq 0$, $t\mathbf{1}_{\{3,4\}} + s\mathbf{1}_{\{5,6\}}$ is not a critical point of F_1 . In contrast to the linear combination of $\mathbf{1}_{\{3,4\}}$ and $\mathbf{1}_{\{5,6\}}$, it is surprising that both $\mathbf{1}_{\{3,4\}}$ and $\mathbf{1}_{\{5,6\}}$ are critical points of F_1 (also by Proposition 4.3).

Proposition 4.3. A nonzero vector \mathbf{x} is a critical point of F_1 with respect to the critical value λ if and only if for any vector $\mathbf{y} \in \mathbb{R}^n$, there exists $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^n$ such that

$$\Phi(\mathbf{x}, \xi, \mathbf{y}) \geq \lambda\Psi(\mathbf{x}, \xi, \mathbf{y}),$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi(\mathbf{x}, \xi, \mathbf{y}) &= \sum_{\substack{\{i,j\} \in E \\ x_i \neq x_j}} z_{ij}(y_i - y_j) + \sum_{\substack{\{i,j\} \in E \\ x_i = x_j \\ \xi_i \neq \xi_j}} z_{ij}(\xi)(y_i - y_j) + \sum_{\substack{\{i,j\} \in E \\ x_i = x_j \\ \xi_i = \xi_j}} |y_i - y_j|, \\ \Psi(\mathbf{x}, \xi, \mathbf{y}) &= \sum_{\substack{i \in V \\ x_i \neq 0}} \deg(i)z_i y_i + \sum_{\substack{i \in V \\ x_i = 0 \\ \xi_i \neq 0}} \deg(i)z_i(\xi)y_i + \sum_{\substack{i \in V \\ x_i = 0 \\ \xi_i = 0}} \deg(i)|y_i|, \end{aligned}$$

$$z_{ij} := z_{ij}(\mathbf{x}) \in \text{Sgn}(x_i - x_j), z_i := z_i(\mathbf{x}) \in \text{Sgn}(x_i), z_{ij}(\xi) \in \text{Sgn}(\xi_i - \xi_j) \text{ and } z_i(\xi) \in \text{Sgn}(\xi_i).$$

Proof. By the definition of Clarke derivative, \mathbf{x} is a critical point of F_1 if and only if, for any $\mathbf{y} \in \mathbb{R}^n$,

$$\limsup_{\xi \rightarrow 0, t \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{1}{t} \left(\frac{TV(\mathbf{x} + \xi + t\mathbf{y})}{\|\mathbf{x} + \xi + t\mathbf{y}\|_1} - \frac{TV(\mathbf{x} + \xi)}{\|\mathbf{x} + \xi\|_1} \right) \geq 0$$

which is equivalent to

$$\limsup_{\xi \rightarrow 0, t \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{TV(\mathbf{x} + \xi + t\mathbf{y})\|\mathbf{x} + \xi\|_1 - TV(\mathbf{x} + \xi)\|\mathbf{x} + \xi + t\mathbf{y}\|_1}{t} \geq 0, \quad (10)$$

where $TV(\mathbf{x}) := \sum_{\{j,i\} \in E} |x_i - x_j|$ and $\|\mathbf{x}\|_1 := \sum_{i \in V} \deg(i)|x_i|$. Since both $TV(\cdot)$ and $\|\cdot\|_1$ are piecewise-linear and one-homogeneous, for sufficiently small $t > 0$, we have

$$TV(\mathbf{x} + \xi + t\mathbf{y}) = TV(\mathbf{x} + \xi) + t \sum_{\substack{\{i,j\} \in E \\ x_i + \xi_i \neq x_j + \xi_j}} z_{ij}(\mathbf{x} + \xi)(y_i - y_j) + t \sum_{\substack{\{i,j\} \in E \\ x_i + \xi_i = x_j + \xi_j}} |y_i - y_j|,$$

and

$$\|\mathbf{x} + \xi + t\mathbf{y}\|_1 = \|\mathbf{x} + \xi\|_1 + t \sum_{\substack{i \in V \\ x_i + \xi_i \neq 0}} \deg(i)z_i(\mathbf{x} + \xi)y_i + t \sum_{\substack{i \in V \\ x_i + \xi_i = 0}} \deg(i)|y_i|.$$

Therefore, (10) can be rewritten as

$$\limsup_{\xi \rightarrow 0} \Phi(\xi) - \lambda(\xi)\Psi(\xi) \geq 0$$

where $\lambda(\xi) := \frac{TV(\mathbf{x}+\xi)}{\|\mathbf{x}+\xi\|_1}$,

$$\Phi(\xi) := \sum_{\substack{\{i,j\} \in E \\ x_i + \xi_i \neq x_j + \xi_j}} z_{ij}(\mathbf{x} + \xi)(y_i - y_j) + \sum_{\substack{\{i,j\} \in E \\ x_i + \xi_i = x_j + \xi_j}} |y_i - y_j|,$$

$$\Psi(\xi) := \sum_{\substack{i \in V \\ x_i + \xi_i \neq 0}} \deg(i) z_i(\mathbf{x} + \xi) y_i + \sum_{\substack{i \in V \\ x_i + \xi_i = 0}} \deg(i) |y_i|.$$

Note that, for ξ sufficiently close to $\mathbf{0}$, $\Phi(\xi) = \Phi(\mathbf{x}, \xi, \mathbf{y})$ and $\Psi(\xi) = \Psi(\mathbf{x}, \xi, \mathbf{y})$. Since $\Phi(\cdot)$ and $\Psi(\cdot)$ are zero-homogeneous, (10) is further equivalent to

$$\max_{\xi \in \mathbb{R}^n} \Phi(\mathbf{x}, \xi, \mathbf{y}) - \lambda \Psi(\mathbf{x}, \xi, \mathbf{y}) \geq 0,$$

with $\lambda := \frac{TV(\mathbf{x})}{\|\mathbf{x}\|_1}$. This shows that \mathbf{x} is a critical point of F_1 if and only if

$$\inf_{\mathbf{y} \in \mathbb{R}^n} \max_{\xi \in \mathbb{R}^n} \Phi(\mathbf{x}, \xi, \mathbf{y}) - \lambda \Psi(\mathbf{x}, \xi, \mathbf{y}) \geq 0.$$

The proof is completed. □

Acknowledgement: The author would like to thank Professor Kung-Ching Chang and Professor Jürgen Jost for their encouragement, and for bringing this question to the author. The author would like to thank Professor Francesco Tudisco for many helpful discussions, and for bringing the very hard-to-find paper [1] to my attention.

References

- [1] S. Amghibeich. Eigenvalues of the discrete p -Laplacian for graphs. *Ars Comb.*, 67, 2003.
- [2] U. Bauer, Persistence in discrete Morse theory, Georg-August-Universität Göttingen, 2011
- [3] T. Bühler and M. Hein, Spectral clustering based on the graph p -Laplacian, In Proceedings of the 26th International Conference on Machine Learning (2009), 81–88.
- [4] P. Bubenik and J. A. Scott, Categorification of persistent homology, *Discrete Comput. Geom.*, 51 (2014), 600–627.
- [5] U. Brehm, W. Kühnel, Combinatorial manifolds with few vertices, *Topology* 26 (1987), 465–473.
- [6] D. Cohen-Steiner, H. Edelsbrunner, and J. Harer, Stability of persistence diagrams, *Discrete Comput. Geom.*, 37 (2007), 103–120.
- [7] K. C. Chang, Spectrum of the 1-Laplacian and Cheeger’s Constant on Graphs, *Journal of Graph Theory*, 81 (2016), 167–207.
- [8] K. C. Chang, S. Shao, D. Zhang, Nodal domains of eigenvectors for 1-Laplacian on graphs, *Adv. Math.*, 308 (2017), 529–574.
- [9] M. Degiovanni and M. Marzocchi, Limit of minimax values under Γ -convergence, *Electronic Journal of Differential Equations*, 2014, No. 266, 1–19.

- [10] M. Degiovanni, D. Mazzoleni, Optimization results for the higher eigenvalues of the p -Laplacian associated with sign-changing capacitary measures, *Journal of the London Mathematical Society* 104 (2021), 97–146.
- [11] L. Diening, P. Lindqvist and B. Kawohl, Mini-Workshop: The p -Laplacian Operator and Applications, *Oberwolfach Reports*, 10 (2013), No. 1, 433–482.
- [12] P. Drabek, The p -Laplacian–mascot of nonlinear analysis, *Acta Math. Univ. Comenian.*, 76 (2007), pp. 85–98.
- [13] H. Edelsbrunner, J. Harer, *Computational topology: an introduction*, American Mathematical Soc., 2010.
- [14] H. Edelsbrunner, J. Harer, V. Natarajan, V. Pascucci, Morse-Smale complexes for piecewise linear 3-manifolds, in: *Proc. 19th ACM Symposium on Computational Geometry*, 2003, 361–370.
- [15] N. Fusco, S. Mukherjee, Y. Zhang, A variational characterisation of the second eigenvalue of the p -Laplacian on quasi open sets, *Proceedings of the London Mathematical Society* 119 (2019), 579–612.
- [16] R. Forman, Morse theory for cell complexes, *Advances in Mathematics*, 134 (1998), 90–145.
- [17] D. Govc, On the definition of the homological critical value, *J. Homotopy Relat. Struct.*, 11 (2016), 143–151.
- [18] M. Hein and T. Bühler, An inverse power method for nonlinear eigenproblems with applications in 1-spectral clustering and sparse PCA, In *Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems* 23 (2010), 847–855.
- [19] J. Jost and D. Zhang, Discrete-to-Continuous Extensions: Lovász extension and Morse theory, arXiv:2003.06021v3
- [20] J. Jost and D. Zhang, Discrete-to-Continuous Extensions: Lovasz extension, optimizations and eigenvalue problems, arXiv:2106.03189v2
- [21] J. Jost and D. Zhang, Discrete-to-Continuous Extensions: piecewise multilinear extension, min-max theory and spectral theory, arXiv:2106.04116v2
- [22] J. Jost, R. Mulas and D. Zhang, Petals and Books: The largest Laplacian spectral gap from 1, arXiv:2110.08751
- [23] W. Kühnel, Triangulations of manifolds with few vertices. In F. Tricerri, editor, *Advances in differential geometry and topology*, pages 59–114. World Scientific, Singapore, 1990.
- [24] J. R. Lee, S. Oveis Gharan, L. Trevisan, Multi-way spectral partitioning and higher-order Cheeger inequalities STOC’12 - Proceedings of the 2012 ACM Symposium on Theory of Computing, ACM, New York (2012), 1117–1130.
- [25] L. Miclo, On eigenfunctions of Markov processes on trees, *Probab. Theory Related Fields*, 142 (2008), 561–594.
- [26] D. Morozov, *Homological Illusions of Persistence and Stability*, PhD thesis, Duke University, 2008.
- [27] K. Perera, R. P. Agarwal, and D. O’Regan, *Morse Theoretic Aspects of p -Laplacian Type Operators*, Mathematical Surveys and Monographs 161, American Mathematical Society, 2010.
- [28] E. Parini, Continuity of the variational eigenvalues of the p -Laplacian with respect to p , *Bull. Aust. Math. Soc.* 83 (2011), 376–381.

- [29] F. Tudisco and M. Hein, A nodal domain theorem and a higher-order Cheeger inequality for the graph p -Laplacian, *Journal of Spectral Theory* (2018), 883–908.
- [30] D. Zhang, Topological multiplicity of the maximum eigenvalue of graph 1-Laplacian, *Discrete Math.* 341 (2018), 25–32.

5 Appendix

Lemma 5.1. *For any $t \geq 1$, $b, a \in \mathbb{R}$,*

$$||b|^t \text{sign}(b) - |a|^t \text{sign}(a)| \geq |b - a| \left(\frac{|b|^t + |a|^t}{2} \right)^{1-\frac{1}{t}}$$

Proof. To prove this, we only need to show that for any $b > a > 0$,

$$b^t - a^t \geq (b - a) \left(\frac{b^t + a^t}{2} \right)^{1-\frac{1}{t}}$$

$$b^t + a^t \geq (b + a) \left(\frac{b^t + a^t}{2} \right)^{1-\frac{1}{t}}$$

The second one follows from the mean power inequality $\left(\frac{b^t + a^t}{2}\right)^{\frac{1}{t}} \geq \frac{b+a}{2}$. The first one clearly holds when $t = 1$. Now, suppose $t > 1$. By the convexity of the function $x \mapsto x^{\frac{t}{t-1}}$ for $x \in (0, +\infty)$, we have

$$\frac{b-a}{b} \left(\frac{b^t - a^t}{b-a} \right)^{\frac{t}{t-1}} + \frac{a}{b} (a^{t-1})^{\frac{t}{t-1}} \geq (b^{t-1})^{\frac{t}{t-1}}$$

which implies

$$\left(\frac{b^t - a^t}{b-a} \right)^{\frac{t}{t-1}} \geq \frac{b^{t+1} - a^{t+1}}{b-a}$$

Since $b^{t+1} - a^{t+1} - (b-a)(b^t + a^t) = ab(b^{t-1} - a^{t-1}) > 0$, we have

$$\left(\frac{b^t - a^t}{b-a} \right)^{\frac{t}{t-1}} \geq \frac{b^{t+1} - a^{t+1}}{b-a} \geq b^t + a^t$$

and thus

$$b^t - a^t \geq (b-a)(b^t + a^t)^{1-\frac{1}{t}} \geq (b-a) \left(\frac{b^t + a^t}{2} \right)^{1-\frac{1}{t}},$$

which proves the first inequality. □

Remark 5.1. *For any $t \geq 1$, $b, a \in \mathbb{R}$,*

$$||b|^t \text{sign}(b) - |a|^t \text{sign}(a)| \leq t|b - a| \left(\frac{|b|^t + |a|^t}{2} \right)^{1-\frac{1}{t}}.$$

This inequality was first established in [1].