

EQUIVARIANT JACOBIAN CONJECTURE IN DIMENSION TWO

MASAYOSHI MIYANISHI

ABSTRACT. Let G be a small finite subgroup of $GL(2, \mathbb{C})$ and let $\tilde{\varphi} : \mathbb{A}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{A}^2$ be a G -equivariant étale endomorphism of the affine plane. We show that $\tilde{\varphi}$ is an automorphism if the order of G is even. The proof depends on an analysis of a quasi-étale endomorphism φ induced by $\tilde{\varphi}$ on the singular quotient surface \mathbb{A}^2/G whose smooth part X° has the standard \mathbb{A}_*^1 -fibration $p^\circ : X^\circ \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$. If φ preserves the standard \mathbb{A}_*^1 -fibration p° then both φ and $\tilde{\varphi}$ are automorphisms. We look for the condition with which φ preserves the standard \mathbb{A}_*^1 -fibration and prove as a consequence that $\tilde{\varphi}$ is an automorphism if G has even order.

INTRODUCTION

Let X° be a smooth algebraic variety defined over the complex number field \mathbb{C} and let $\varphi^\circ : X^\circ \rightarrow X^\circ$ be an étale endomorphism. The generalized Jacobian conjecture (GJC, for short) asks if φ° is a finite morphism. We considered this problem extensively in the case $\dim X^\circ = 2$. See the references [7, 10, 11, 14]. The obtained results support the conjecture affirmatively, but there are scattered counterexamples sometimes with non-trivial families of non-finite étale endomorphisms [5, Thm. C]. In [7], we treated the case where X° is the smooth part of a singular normal affine surface X . Then the endomorphism φ° extends to an endomorphism $\varphi : X \rightarrow X$. An endomorphism $\varphi : X \rightarrow X$ is called a *quasi-étale endomorphism* if it induces an étale endomorphism $\varphi^\circ : X^\circ \rightarrow X^\circ$. In the subsequent arguments, we often denote $\varphi : X \rightarrow X$ by $\varphi : X_u \rightarrow X_l$ to distinguish the source X from the target X , where “ u ” (resp. “ l ”) means “upper” (resp. “lower”).

In the present article, we consider the case $X = \mathbb{A}^2/G$, where G is a nontrivial small finite subgroup of $GL(2, \mathbb{C})$. Hence X has a unique

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification*. Primary: 14R15; Secondary: 14R20.

Key words and phrases. Jacobian conjecture, a finite group action, quotient surface, singularity.

The author was supported by Grant-in-Aid for Scientific Research (C), No. 16K05115, JSPS.

quotient singularity P_0 , which is the image of the origin O in \mathbb{A}^2 . A quasi-étale endomorphism φ sends the point P_0 to itself. Let \widehat{X} be a minimal resolution of singularity of X . If G is non-cyclic, then the exceptional locus Γ is an admissible rational fork which consists of the central component S_0 and three linear chains T_i ($i = 1, 2, 3$) sprouting out of S_0 such that the determinants $\delta_i = d(T_i)$ form a Platonic triplet. If G is cyclic, then the exceptional locus Γ is an admissible rational rod. For the terminology and the definitions in the theory of open algebraic surfaces, a general reference is [13, p. 93].

We first show that φ lifts to an étale endomorphism $\tilde{\varphi} : \mathbb{A}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{A}^2$ such that $\tilde{\varphi}^{-1}(O) = (O)$ and $\tilde{\varphi}(gx) = \chi(g)\tilde{\varphi}(x)$ for $g \in G$ and $x \in \mathbb{A}^2$, where χ is a group automorphism of G . We call $\tilde{\varphi}$ a (G, χ) -equivariant étale endomorphism. Since G is a finite group, there exists an integer N such that $\chi^N = \text{id}$. Then φ^N is a G -equivariant endomorphism, that is, $\tilde{\varphi}^N(gx) = g\tilde{\varphi}^N(x)$.

We show that the quasi-étale endomorphism φ extends to an étale endomorphism $\widehat{\varphi} : \widehat{X} \rightarrow \widehat{X}$ provided φ lifts up to a G -equivariant endomorphism $\tilde{\varphi}$. Under the same condition, φ is also étale at the singular point P_0 (see Lemma 2.2).

We make here the following two well-known remarks for the case of an étale endomorphism $\tilde{\varphi} : \mathbb{A}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{A}^2$ which is equivariant with respect to a (not necessarily small) finite subgroup G of $\text{GL}(2, \mathbb{C})$.

(1) Let N be the normal subgroup of G consisting of all pseudo-reflections of G . Then the algebraic quotient \mathbb{A}^2/N is isomorphic to the affine plane \mathbb{A}^2 , and $\tilde{\varphi}$ induces a G/N -equivariant étale endomorphism $\tilde{\varphi}/N : \mathbb{A}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{A}^2$, where G/N is a small finite subgroup of $\text{GL}(2, \mathbb{C})$.

(2) Let $X = (\mathbb{A}^2/N)/(G/N)$. Then $\tilde{\varphi}$ induces a quasi-étale endomorphism φ of X , and $\tilde{\varphi}$ is an automorphism if and only if so is φ . In fact, if φ is an automorphism, then $\tilde{\varphi}$ is a finite étale covering which is an automorphism because \mathbb{A}^2 is simply-connected.

By the second remark, we can restrict ourselves to the case where G is a small finite subgroup.

In section one, we define the standard \mathbb{A}_*^1 -fibration $p^\circ : X^\circ \rightarrow C$ on the smooth part $X^\circ = X \setminus \{P_0\}$, where $C \cong \mathbb{P}^1$, and give the logarithmic canonical divisor formula in terms of the standard fibration.

In section two, we prove that if a quasi-étale endomorphism φ of X preserves the standard \mathbb{A}_*^1 -fibration p° then φ is an automorphism (see Theorem 2.4).

In section three, we consider the conditions under which the given étale endomorphism φ of X preserves the \mathbb{A}_*^1 -fibration p° of X° (see Lemmas 3.5 and 3.7).

In section four, we show that any quasi-étale endomorphism φ preserves the standard \mathbb{A}_*^1 -fibration if G is cyclic and the action is given by ${}^{\zeta}(x, y) = (\zeta x, \zeta y)$ if $G = \langle \zeta \rangle$. Hence φ is an automorphism in this case.

The last observation gives a surprising result. This remark is due to R.V. Gurjar to whom the author expresses his deep gratitude.

Theorem. *Let G be a small finite subgroup of $\mathrm{GL}(2, \mathbb{C})$ and let $X = \mathbb{A}^2/G$. Let $\varphi : X \rightarrow X$ be a quasi-étale endomorphism. If G has even order then φ is an automorphism. In particular, if $\tilde{\varphi} : \mathbb{A}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{A}^2$ is a G -equivariant étale endomorphism then $\tilde{\varphi}$ is an automorphism.*

As an example, the above theorem implies that a polynomial endomorphism $(x, y) \mapsto (f(x, y), g(x, y))$ of the affine plane \mathbb{A}^2 is an automorphism if the Jacobian determinant of f, g is a nonzero constant and $f(-x, -y) = -f(x, y)$ and $g(-x, -y) = -g(x, y)$. The case where G is cyclic and $d > 1$ (see Section one for d) is regrettably unsettled.

We use the terms like *subdivisional* or *sprouting* blowing-up of the boundary divisor for which the readers are referred to [13, p. 87]. To accord with the current use, a smooth normal completion (see [13, p. 65]) is called a *log smooth completion*.

The author thanks the referees for persevering critical reading of the manuscript and many suggestions of improvement.

1. THE STANDARD \mathbb{A}_*^1 -FIBRATION ON \mathbb{A}^2/G

Let $X = \mathbb{A}^2/G$ and let $X^\circ = X \setminus \{P_0\}$, where P_0 is the unique singular point and the image of the origin O in \mathbb{A}^2 by the quotient morphism $\pi : \mathbb{A}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{A}^2/G$. Suppose first that G is non-cyclic. Then X° is embedded as an open set into a smooth projective \mathbb{P}^1 -fiber space $p : V \rightarrow C \cong \mathbb{P}^1$ as $X^\circ = V - (S_0 + T_1 + T_2 + T_3) - (S_1 + R_1 + R_2 + R_3)$, where :

- (1) S_0 and S_1 are sections of the \mathbb{P}^1 -fibration p .
- (2) $S_0 + T_1 + T_2 + T_3$ is the exceptional locus of the minimal resolution of the singular point P_0 . Hence it is an admissible rational fork with negative definite intersection matrix.
- (3) There are three (-1) -curves F_1, F_2, F_3 such that $T_i + F_i + R_i$ is a linear chain and supports a degenerate \mathbb{P}^1 -fiber ℓ_i of p with the unique (-1) -curve F_i for $i = 1, 2, 3$. Let m_i be the multiplicity of F_i in ℓ_i . Then the set $\{m_1, m_2, m_3\}$ is one of the Platonic triplets $\{2, 2, n\} (n \geq 2)$, $\{2, 3, 3\}$, $\{2, 3, 4\}$ and $\{2, 3, 5\}$. The linear part R_i in ℓ_i is uniquely determined by $T_i + F_i$.

- (4) There are no singular fibers of p other than the above three fibers ℓ_i .

The restriction $p^\circ = p|_{X^\circ} : X^\circ \rightarrow C$ defines a Platonic \mathbb{A}_*^1 -fiber space. For the details, see [12, p.143].

Suppose next that G is a cyclic group of order n , which we identify with the group $G = \{\zeta^i \mid 0 \leq i < n\}$ with a primitive n th root ζ of 1. We choose a system of coordinates $\{x, y\}$ on \mathbb{A}^2 so that G acts by $\zeta(x, y) = (\zeta x, \zeta^d y)$, where $0 < d < n$ and $\gcd(n, d) = 1$. We say that the G -action has type (n, d) . Then the minimal resolution of singularity at P_0 has the exceptional locus indicated by the dual graph

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} -a_1 & & -a_2 & & -a_3 & & \dots & & -a_r \\ \circ & \text{---} & \circ & \text{---} & \circ & \text{---} & \dots & \text{---} & \circ \\ E_1 & & E_2 & & E_3 & & & & E_r \end{array}$$

where $E_i \cong \mathbb{P}^1$, $a_i \geq 2$ and $n/d = [a_1, a_2, \dots, a_r]$ is the continued fraction expansion

$$\frac{n}{d} = a_1 - \frac{1}{a_2 - \frac{1}{\ddots - \frac{1}{a_{r-1} - \frac{1}{a_r}}}}$$

For both cases where G is non-cyclic or cyclic, let $\tilde{\varphi}$ be a G -equivariant étale endomorphism of \mathbb{A}^2 such that $\tilde{\varphi}^{-1}(O) = O$. Then we have the following result, which explains the singular fibers of $p : V \rightarrow C$ in terms of the G -action.

Lemma 1.1. *Let $\widehat{\mathbb{A}}^2$ be the blowing-up of \mathbb{A}^2 at the point O and let E be the exceptional curve. Then the étale endomorphism $\tilde{\varphi}$ induces an étale endomorphism $\widehat{\varphi} : \widehat{\mathbb{A}}^2 \rightarrow \widehat{\mathbb{A}}^2$ such that the restriction of $\widehat{\varphi}$ to E is an automorphism. Furthermore, $\widehat{\varphi}$ is G -equivariant, and G acts on E via the natural action of $\mathrm{PGL}(2, \mathbb{C})$ on \mathbb{P}^1 . Let σ be the induced action of G on $E \cong \mathbb{P}^1$. Then σ has the following properties.*

- (1) *If G is non-cyclic, the action (G, σ) has three nontrivial isotropy subgroups up to conjugacy which are cyclic groups of order m_1, m_2, m_3 , where $\{m_1, m_2, m_3\}$ is one of the Platonic triplets. Consequently, there exist three cyclic singularities on $E/G \hookrightarrow \widehat{\mathbb{A}}^2/G$. The minimal resolution of these singularities gives rise to the part $S_0 + T_1 + T_2 + T_3$, which is one of the connected components of the boundary divisor of the normal completion V of X° , where S_0 is the proper transform of the curve E/G .*

- (2) If G is cyclic and $d > 1$, there are two G -fixed points Q_0, Q_∞ on E such that the G -action is locally described as

$$\begin{aligned} (x, t) &\mapsto (\zeta x, \zeta^{d-1}t) && \text{at } Q_0 \\ (u, y) &\mapsto (\zeta^{n-d+1}u, \zeta^d y) && \text{at } Q_\infty \end{aligned}$$

where $\{x, y\}$ is the system of coordinates on \mathbb{A}^2 , $t = y/x$ and $u = x/y$. In the minimal resolution, if $\delta_1 = \gcd(n, d-1) > 1$, we consider the reduced pair $(n/\delta_1, (d-1)/\delta_1)$ instead of $(n, d-1)$. Similarly, we consider the reduced pair for $(n-d+1, d)$. Namely, writing $\xi = \zeta^d$ and $\xi^e = \zeta^{n-d+1}$ ($0 < e < n$), we consider the pair $(n/\delta_2, e/\delta_2)$ with $\delta_2 = \gcd(n, e)$. Let S_0 be the proper transform of E/G and T_0, T_∞ the exceptional loci of the minimal resolution of the image points of Q_0, Q_∞ , respectively. Then there exists a normal smooth completion V and a \mathbb{P}^1 -fibration $p : V \rightarrow C$ such that $X^\circ = V - (S_0 + T_0 + T_\infty) - (S_1 + R_0 + R_\infty)$, where:

- (i) S_0 and S_1 are sections of p . Furthermore, $(S_0^2) \leq -1$.
 - (ii) There exist (-1) -curves F_0, F_∞ such that $T_0 + F_0 + R_0$ and $T_\infty + F_\infty + R_\infty$ are degenerate \mathbb{P}^1 -fibers. Furthermore, the multiplicities m_0 and m_∞ of F_0 and F_∞ are respectively n/δ_1 and n/δ_2 .
 - (iii) The parts R_0 and R_∞ are uniquely determined by the condition that F_0 and F_∞ are unique (-1) -curves in the respective degenerate fibers.
- (3) If G is cyclic and $d = 1$, then the induced G -action on the curve E is trivial. Then $X^\circ = V - (S_0 + S_1)$, where V is a Hirzebruch surface \mathbb{F}_n , S_0 is a minimal section and S_1 is a section disjoint from S_0 .

Proof. The endomorphism $\tilde{\varphi}$ is defined by two polynomials $\varphi^*(x) = f(x, y)$ and $\varphi^*(y) = g(x, y)$, where

$$\begin{aligned} f(x, y) &= ax + by + \{\text{terms of degree higher than 1}\} \\ g(x, y) &= cx + dy + \{\text{terms of degree higher than 1}\}. \end{aligned}$$

Let $t = y/x$. Then $(g(x, y)/f(x, y))|_E = (c + dt)/(a + bt)$, where the Jacobian determinant $J((f, g)/(x, y)) = ad - bc$ is a nonzero constant. Hence the endomorphism $\tilde{\varphi}$ extends to an endomorphism $\hat{\varphi}$ of $\hat{\mathbb{A}}^2$. Since its restriction to E is an automorphism, $\hat{\varphi}$ is an étale endomorphism. For this end, one can use purity of the branch locus to $\hat{\varphi} : \hat{\mathbb{A}}^2 \rightarrow \hat{\mathbb{A}}^2$. Furthermore, the ring endomorphism $\tilde{\varphi}^*$ of $\mathbb{C}[x, y]$ is G -equivariant, its extension $\hat{\varphi}^*$ to the quotient field $\mathbb{C}(x, y)$ is also G -equivariant. Hence $\hat{\varphi}$ is G -equivariant.

Now we discuss separately the cases where G is non-cyclic and G is cyclic. If G is non-cyclic, it is known that there exists a Galois covering $q : \tilde{C} \rightarrow C$ with both \tilde{C} and C isomorphic to \mathbb{P}^1 . The Galois group is G , and its action has the property stated in the assertion. See [10, Lemma 4.3] for the references. In fact, the G -action σ on E is via $\mathrm{PGL}(2, \mathbb{C})$, and it is known (see [3]) that the covering $E \rightarrow E/G$ is exactly this Galois covering. Thence follows the assertions in (1).

Suppose that G is cyclic and $d > 1$. Most assertions are verified straightforwardly. If $\delta_1 > 1$, the subgroup $H = \langle \zeta^{n/\delta_1} \rangle$ acts on the (x, t) -coordinates as pseudo-reflections which makes the variable t invariant. Hence, by a theorem of Chevalley [4], the invariant subring is $\mathbb{C}[x, t]^H = \mathbb{C}[x', t]$ with $x' = x^{\delta_1}$ for which the induced G -action is given by $\zeta'(x', t) = (\zeta' x', \zeta'^{(d-1)/\delta_1} t)$, where $\zeta' = \zeta^{\delta_1}$ is a primitive (n/δ_1) th root of 1. Since the points Q_0 and Q_∞ have cyclic singularities of the given type, the exceptional loci of these singularities connected by the component S_0 are obtained from the exceptional locus of the cyclic singularity of \mathbb{A}^2/G by suitable subdivisional blowing-ups. Namely, these loci together with S_0 are contracted to a cyclic singularity of \mathbb{A}^2/G . Hence $(S_0^2) \leq -1$. But it is not necessarily the case that $(S_0^2) = -1$. For example, if $n = 6$ and $d = 5$, $(S_0^2) = -2$.

Suppose that G is cyclic and $d = 1$. Namely, the G -action on \mathbb{A}^2 is given by $(x, y) \mapsto (\zeta x, \zeta y)$. Then the action σ on E is trivial. Hence the quotient morphism $\hat{\pi} : \hat{\mathbb{A}}^2 \rightarrow \hat{\mathbb{A}}^2/G$ is totally ramifying on E . This implies that $\hat{\mathbb{A}}^2/G$ is smooth and $\hat{\pi}^*(S_0) = nE$. In fact, each orbit of the G_m -action induced by the standard G_m -action on \mathbb{A}^2 , ${}^t(x, y) = (tx, ty)$, meets E in one point transversally if taken the closure in $\hat{\mathbb{A}}^2$, where E is totally ramifying for the morphism $\hat{\pi}$. Hence $\hat{\mathbb{A}}^2/G$ is an \mathbb{A}^1 -bundle over the curve $S_0 = \hat{\pi}(E)$. Hence $\hat{\mathbb{A}}^2/G$ is smooth. Since $n(S_0^2) = n^2(E^2) = -n^2$, it follows that $(S_0^2) = -n$ and V is isomorphic to a Hirzebruch surface \mathbb{F}_n . \square

Both in the non-cyclic and cyclic cases, we call V the *standard* completion of X° , $p : V \rightarrow C$ the *standard* \mathbb{P}^1 -fibration and $p^\circ : X^\circ \rightarrow C$ the *standard* \mathbb{A}_*^1 -fibration. Note that p is determined by the G_m -action on \mathbb{A}^2/G which is inherited from the natural G_m -action on \mathbb{A}^2 as the G -action on \mathbb{A}^2 is linear. Let $D = V - X^\circ$, which is a reduced effective divisor with simple normal crossings. The divisor D has two connected components D_0 and D_∞ , where D_0 is the exceptional locus of resolution of the singular point P_0 . We call $D + K_V$ the *logarithmic canonical divisor* (log canonical divisor, for short).

Lemma 1.2. *The logarithmic canonical divisor $D + K_V$ is given by $\sum_i(\ell_i - F_i) - 2\ell$, where ℓ is a general fiber of the \mathbb{P}^1 -fibration p and the sum runs over all degenerate fibers of p . Hence we have*

$$D + K_V \sim \begin{cases} \ell - (F_1 + F_2 + F_3) & \text{Case } G \text{ is non-cyclic} \\ -F_0 - F_\infty & \text{Case } G \text{ is cyclic and } d > 1 \\ -2\ell & \text{Case } G \text{ is cyclic and } d = 1 \end{cases}$$

Proof. The formula is obtained by the same computations as in [12, p. 81]. \square

2. A QUASI-ÉTALE ENDOMORPHISM OF \mathbb{A}^2/G

Let $X = \mathbb{A}^2/G$ and let $\varphi : X_u \rightarrow X_l$ be a quasi-étale endomorphism. Let $\varphi^\circ : X_u^\circ \rightarrow X_l^\circ$ be the induced étale endomorphism. We first prove the following result which is a strengthening of [10, Lemma 2.2].

Lemma 2.1. *Let X and φ be the same as above. Then the following assertions hold.*

- (1) *There exists an étale endomorphism $\tilde{\varphi} : \mathbb{A}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{A}^2$ such that $\tilde{\varphi}^{-1}(O) = O$ and $\pi \cdot \tilde{\varphi} = \varphi \cdot \pi$ for the quotient morphism $\pi : \mathbb{A}^2 \rightarrow X$. Furthermore, $\tilde{\varphi}(gx) = \chi(g)\tilde{\varphi}(x)$ for a group endomorphism χ of G .*
- (2) *χ is an automorphism.*
- (3) *Replacing φ by φ^N for some $N > 0$, we may assume that $\chi = \text{id}$.*
- (4) *Suppose φ is birational. Then φ is an automorphism.*

Proof. The assertion (1) is proved in the same fashion as in [10, ibid.]. The argument therein works also in the case G is cyclic. For the assertion (2), let $K = \text{Ker } \chi$. Then $\tilde{\varphi}(gx) = \tilde{\varphi}(x)$ for $g \in K$ and $x \in \mathbb{A}^2$. Then $\tilde{\varphi}$ factors as

$$\tilde{\varphi}^\circ : \mathbb{A}^2 \setminus \{O\} \xrightarrow{\pi_K^\circ} (\mathbb{A}^2/K) \setminus \{O\} \xrightarrow{\tau^\circ} \mathbb{A}^2 \setminus \{O\} .$$

Since $\tilde{\varphi}^\circ$ and π_K° are étale, so is τ° . On the other hand, the above three morphisms extend as

$$\tilde{\varphi} : \mathbb{A}^2 \xrightarrow{\pi_K} \mathbb{A}^2/K \xrightarrow{\tau} \mathbb{A}^2 .$$

Since $\tilde{\varphi}$ is étale at the point O , $\hat{\mathcal{O}}_{\mathbb{A}^2, \tilde{\varphi}(O)} \cong \hat{\mathcal{O}}_{\mathbb{A}^2, O}$. Let $Q_0 = \pi_K(O)$, whence $\tau(Q_0) = O$. Then we have injections

$$\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{A}^2, \tilde{\varphi}(O)} \xrightarrow{\tau^*} \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{A}^2/K, Q_0} \hookrightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{A}^2, O},$$

which induces the injections

$$\hat{\mathcal{O}}_{\mathbb{A}^2, \tilde{\varphi}(O)} \hookrightarrow \hat{\mathcal{O}}_{\mathbb{A}^2/K, Q_0} \hookrightarrow \hat{\mathcal{O}}_{\mathbb{A}^2, O}.$$

In fact, $\widehat{\mathcal{O}}_{\mathbb{A}^2/K, Q_0} \rightarrow \widehat{\mathcal{O}}_{\mathbb{A}^2, O}$ is injective since $\widehat{\mathcal{O}}_{\mathbb{A}^2/K, Q_0}$ is the K -invariant subring of $\widehat{\mathcal{O}}_{\mathbb{A}^2, O} = \mathbb{C}[[x, y]]$. Since the composite

$$\widehat{\mathcal{O}}_{\mathbb{A}^2, \tilde{\varphi}(O)} \rightarrow \widehat{\mathcal{O}}_{\mathbb{A}^2/K, Q_0} \rightarrow \widehat{\mathcal{O}}_{\mathbb{A}^2, O}$$

is surjective, so is $\widehat{\mathcal{O}}_{\mathbb{A}^2/K, Q_0} \rightarrow \widehat{\mathcal{O}}_{\mathbb{A}^2, O}$. Hence $\widehat{\mathcal{O}}_{\mathbb{A}^2/K, Q_0} \cong \widehat{\mathcal{O}}_{\mathbb{A}^2, O}$. Hence Q_0 is a smooth point of \mathbb{A}^2/K . Since K is small, this implies that $K = \{1\}$.

(3) We have $\tilde{\varphi}^r(gx) = \chi^r(g)\tilde{\varphi}^r(x)$. Since G is a finite group, so is $\text{Aut}(G)$. Hence $\chi^N = \text{id}$ for some positive integer N . Then $\tilde{\varphi}^N(gx) = g\tilde{\varphi}^N(x)$.

(4) Replacing φ by φ^N for $N > 0$, by (3) above we may assume that φ lifts to a G -equivariant étale endomorphism $\tilde{\varphi}$ of \mathbb{A}^2 . It is easy to show that $\tilde{\varphi}$ is birational if so is φ . It is also well-known that $\tilde{\varphi}$ is then an automorphism by Zariski's main theorem and a theorem of Ax-Grothendieck [2, 6]. Hence $\varphi : X \rightarrow X$ is an automorphism. \square

We prove the following result.

Lemma 2.2. *Let X and φ be the same as above. Suppose that φ is induced by a G -equivariant étale endomorphism $\tilde{\varphi} : \mathbb{A}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{A}^2$ so that $\pi \circ \tilde{\varphi} = \varphi \circ \pi$, where $\pi : \mathbb{A}^2 \rightarrow X$ is the quotient morphism. Then, after replacing φ by its suitable power, φ extends to an étale endomorphism $\widehat{\varphi} : \widehat{X} \rightarrow \widehat{X}$ such that $\widehat{\varphi}^{-1}(\widehat{E}) = \widehat{E}$. Furthermore, $\widehat{\varphi}|_{\widehat{E}}$ is an automorphism.*

Proof. Let X be a complex normal algebraic surface with a quotient singular point P_0 and a quasi-étale endomorphism φ of X fixing the point P_0 . We take U to be a small analytic open neighborhood of P_0 such that U is realized as a G -quotient of a small open disc \tilde{U} of \mathbb{A}^2 around the origin O , where $G = \pi_1(U \setminus \{P_0\})$. Let $B = \widehat{\mathcal{O}}_{U, P_0}$ and let $A = \widehat{\mathcal{O}}_{\tilde{U}, O}$. Let $\sigma : \text{Bl}_{P_0}(X) \rightarrow X$ be the scheme-theoretic blowing-up of the point P_0 . Namely, $\text{Bl}_{P_0}(X) = \text{Proj}(\oplus_{i \geq 0} \mathfrak{m}_{P_0}^i)$, where \mathfrak{m}_{P_0} denotes, by abuse of notation, the sheaf of ideals defining the point $P_0 \in X$. The quasi-étale endomorphism $\varphi : X \rightarrow X$ induces the inclusion $\varphi^*(\mathfrak{m}_{P_0}) \subseteq \mathfrak{m}_{P_0}$. Let M be the maximal ideal of A and let $\mathfrak{m} = M \cap B$. We claim that $B = A^G$, \mathfrak{m} is the maximal ideal of B and $\varphi^*(\mathfrak{m}) = \mathfrak{m}$, where $\varphi^* : B \rightarrow B$ denotes, by abuse of notation, the ring homomorphism of complete local rings induced by φ .

In fact, it is clear that $B = A^G$ and \mathfrak{m} is the maximal ideal of B . After replacing φ by its power if necessary, $\varphi|_U$ lifts up to a G -equivariant étale endomorphism $\tilde{\varphi}$ of \tilde{U} such that $\tilde{\varphi}^*(A) = A$ and $\tilde{\varphi}^*(M) = M$, where we denote by the same letter the homomorphism of complete

local rings induced by $\tilde{\varphi}^*$ (see Lemma 2.1). It is clear that $\varphi^*(\mathfrak{m}) \subseteq \mathfrak{m}$ as $\tilde{\varphi}^*(M) = M$ and $\tilde{\varphi}^*(B) = B$. We show that $\varphi^*(\mathfrak{m}) = \mathfrak{m}$. For $b \in \mathfrak{m}$, there exists $a \in M$ such that $\tilde{\varphi}^*(a) = b$ because $\tilde{\varphi}^*(M) = M$. Set $b' = (\sum_{g \in G} {}^g a)/|G|$, which is an element of \mathfrak{m} because G stabilizes M . Then $\tilde{\varphi}^*(b') = (\sum_{g \in G} {}^g \tilde{\varphi}^*(a))/|G| = (\sum_{g \in G} {}^g b)/|G| = b$. Hence $\tilde{\varphi}^*(\mathfrak{m}) = \mathfrak{m}$. So, $\varphi^*(\mathfrak{m}) = \mathfrak{m}$.

Then the endomorphism $\varphi : X \rightarrow X$ lifts uniquely to an endomorphism $\psi : \text{Bl}_{P_0}(X) \rightarrow \text{Bl}_{P_0}(X)$ such that $\sigma \circ \psi = \varphi \circ \sigma$. Let E be the exceptional locus of σ . Then $\psi(E) = E$. In fact, since $\varphi^*(\mathfrak{m}) = \mathfrak{m}$, each generic point of E is in the image of $\psi|_E$. Since E is proper, $\psi|_E$ is surjective. Replacing φ by its suitable power if necessary, we may assume that $\psi|_E$ sends each irreducible component of E to itself. Since $\psi|_E$ induces a separable algebraic extension of the function field of the irreducible exceptional component, ψ is étale generically on each component of E and hence étale on E by purity of branch loci possibly except for the new singular points on E of $\text{Bl}_{P_0}(X)$.

Now revert to the notations in the statement. Since $\tilde{\varphi} : \mathbb{A}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{A}^2$ is étale at O and $\varphi^{-1}(O) = O$, the above observation shows that $\varphi^*(\mathfrak{m}_{P_0}) = \mathfrak{m}_{P_0}$ and φ lifts uniquely to a quasi-étale endomorphism $\psi : \text{Bl}_{P_0}(X) \rightarrow \text{Bl}_{P_0}(X)$. Replacing φ by its power, we may assume that ψ fixes each of the singular points on $\text{Bl}_{P_0}(X)$.

Let $\hat{\sigma} : \hat{X} \rightarrow X$ be the minimal resolution of singularity with exceptional locus \hat{E} . By Artin [1, Proof of Theorem 4], $\mathfrak{m}_{P_0} \cdot \mathcal{O}_{\hat{X}} = \mathcal{O}_{\hat{X}}(-Z)$, where Z is the fundamental cycle of \hat{E} . Hence $\hat{\sigma}$ factors through the blowing-up $\sigma : \text{Bl}_{P_0}(X) \rightarrow X$ as

$$\hat{\sigma} : \hat{X} \xrightarrow{\tau_1} \text{Bl}_{P_0}(X) \xrightarrow{\sigma} X.$$

If there exist new singular points on $\text{Bl}_{P_0}(X)$ lying on the exceptional locus E of σ , by the above factorization of $\hat{\sigma}$, each of the new singular point is obtained by contracting a partial subgraph of the exceptional locus \hat{E} on \hat{X} , where we use the fact that $\text{Bl}_{P_0}(X)$ is a normal surface (see the references below). Hence it is again a quotient singular point.

By Tyurina [16, Theorem 1] or by Lipman [9, Proposition 8.1 and Theorem 4.1], $\text{Bl}_{P_0}(X)$ is a normal algebraic surface and \hat{X} is obtained by a finite succession of blowing-ups of the above type. Hence φ extends to an étale endomorphism $\hat{\varphi} : \hat{X} \rightarrow \hat{X}$ such that $\hat{\varphi}^{-1}(\hat{E}) = \hat{E}$.

As for the last assertion, note that the restriction $\hat{\varphi}|_{\hat{E}}$ is a proper étale endomorphism since \hat{E} is a proper scheme. Hence it is a topological covering of the simply-connected space \hat{E} . This implies that $\hat{\varphi}|_{\hat{E}}$ is an automorphism. \square

Remark 2.3. In order to prove Theorem stated in the introduction, we use Lemma 2.2 only in the case where X has a cyclic quotient singularity of type $(n, 1)$ (or type $\frac{1}{n}(1, 1)$ in the current notation). In this case, Lemma 2.2 is proved in a more transparent way.

Let $\sigma_1 : \widehat{\mathbb{A}}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{A}^2$ be the blowing-up of the origin O and let E_1 be the exceptional curve. By Lemma 1.1, $\tilde{\varphi}$ induces a G -equivariant étale endomorphism $\widehat{\varphi} : \widehat{\mathbb{A}}^2 \rightarrow \widehat{\mathbb{A}}^2$ such that $\widehat{\varphi}^{-1}(E_1) = E_1$, E_1 is G -stable and $\widehat{\varphi}|_{E_1}$ is an automorphism. This assertion holds because O is a G -fixed point and $\tilde{\varphi}^*$ induces a local ring automorphism of $\widehat{\mathcal{O}}_{\mathbb{A}^2, O}$. Let $X_1 = \widehat{\mathbb{A}}^2/G$ and let $\overline{E}_1 = E_1/G$. Since $\widehat{\varphi}$ is G -equivariant and $\widehat{\varphi}^{-1}(E_1) = E_1$, $\widehat{\varphi}$ induces an endomorphism $\varphi_1 : X_1 \rightarrow X_1$ such that $q_1 \circ \widehat{\varphi} = \varphi_1 \circ q_1$ and $\varphi_1^{-1}(\overline{E}_1) = \overline{E}_1$, where $q_1 : \widehat{\mathbb{A}}^2 \rightarrow X_1$ is the quotient morphism.

Since the G -action has type $(n, 1)$, the induced G -action on E_1 is trivial. Then X_1 is smooth, q_1 is totally ramified over \overline{E}_1 and φ_1 is étale on \overline{E}_1 . Let X and φ be the same as in Lemma 2.2. Then the endomorphism $\widehat{\varphi}$ induces an automorphism on the exceptional locus \widehat{E} . This implies that the given quasi-étale endomorphism φ is étale at the singular point P_0 as well. \square

We prove the following result, which will be used in the subsequent arguments.

Theorem 2.4. *Let $\varphi : X \rightarrow X$ be a quasi-étale endomorphism of $X = \mathbb{A}^2/G$ for a small finite subgroup G of $\mathrm{GL}(2, \mathbb{C})$. Suppose that φ preserves the standard \mathbb{A}_*^1 -fibration p° . Namely there exists an endomorphism $\beta : C \rightarrow C$ such that $p^\circ \cdot (\varphi|_{X^\circ}) = \beta \cdot p^\circ$. Then φ is an automorphism.*

Proof. The following simple proof was suggested by one of the referees.

By Lemma 2.2, we assume after replacing φ by its suitable power that φ extends to an étale endomorphism $\widehat{\varphi}$ of \widehat{X} which induces an automorphism on the curve S_0 with the notation in section one. Since S_0 is identified with C via $p|_{S_0}$, $\widehat{\varphi}|_{S_0}$ is identified with β . Hence β is an automorphism.

For a general fiber ℓ of the \mathbb{P}^1 -fibration p , $\ell \setminus (\ell \cap S_1)$ is isomorphic to \mathbb{A}^1 , and $\widehat{\varphi}$ restricted to $\ell \setminus (\ell \cap S_1)$ induces an étale endomorphism from \mathbb{A}^1 to \mathbb{A}^1 , which must be an isomorphism by the Jacobian conjecture in dimension one. Hence $\widehat{\varphi}$ and φ are birational. Then φ is an automorphism by Lemma 2.1. \square

3. WHEN DOES φ PRESERVE THE STANDARD \mathbb{A}_*^1 -FIBRATION?

Let $\nu : Y \rightarrow X_l$ be the normalization morphism with Y the normalization of X_l in the function field of X_u . Then, by Zariski's main theorem, there exists an open immersion $\iota : X_u \rightarrow Y$ such that $\nu \cdot \iota = \varphi$. We identify X_u with the image $\iota(X_u)$. By [7, Th. 1.1], we know the following result.

Lemma 3.1. *$Y - X_u$ consists of a disjoint union of irreducible curves $\coprod_{i=1}^r A_i$ such that A_i is isomorphic to \mathbb{A}^1 and, for each i , there exists at most one cyclic quotient singularity P_i lying on A_i . The exceptional locus B_i of the cyclic singularity at P_i meets the proper transform of A_i at the end component of B_i .*

Remark 3.2. We consider the set $(Y - X_u) \cap \nu^{-1}(P_0)$.

(1) Assume first that $(Y - X_u) \cap \nu^{-1}(P_0) = \emptyset$. Then $\varphi : X_u \rightarrow X_l$ is proper over P_0 . This implies that $\varphi^* : \mathcal{O}_{X_l, P_0} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{X_u, P_0}$ is an isomorphism. In fact, since $\nu^{-1}(P_0) = P_0$ by the assumption, \mathcal{O}_{X_u, P_0} is a finite \mathcal{O}_{X_l, P_0} -module. Since φ is induced by a G -equivariant endomorphism $\tilde{\varphi}$ of \mathbb{A}^2 , by Lemma 2.2, we have

$$\mathcal{O}_{X_u, P_0} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{X_l, P_0}} \widehat{\mathcal{O}}_{X_l, P_0} \cong \widehat{\mathcal{O}}_{X_u, P_0} \cong \widehat{\mathcal{O}}_{X_l, P_0} \cong \mathcal{O}_{X_l, P_0} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{X_l, P_0}} \widehat{\mathcal{O}}_{X_l, P_0}.$$

Since $\widehat{\mathcal{O}}_{X_l, P_0}$ is faithfully flat over \mathcal{O}_{X_l, P_0} , it follows that $\mathcal{O}_{X_u, P_0} \cong \mathcal{O}_{X_l, P_0}$. This implies that φ is birational. Then φ is an automorphism by Lemma 2.1, (4).

(2) Suppose that the image by ν of an irreducible component of $Y - X_u$ passes through the point P_0 . Namely, we suppose that $\nu(P) = P_0$ for a point P of the component A_i , say $i = 1$. Let $(U/H, P)$ be a local analytic neighborhood of P in Y such that $(U/H, P)$ is mapped to a local analytic neighborhood $(U_0/G, P_0)$ of X at the point P_0 via a finite holomorphic map ν_1 of degree n_1 induced by ν , where U and U_0 are local analytic neighborhoods of \mathbb{A}^2 at the origin O and $H = \pi_1(U/H - \{P\})$ is a cyclic group which is trivial if P is a smooth point. Denoting by the superscript \circ the germs punctured at the origin or the singular point, we have the following commutative diagram.

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
& & U^\circ & & \\
& \swarrow & & \searrow & \\
& & H & & \\
U_0^\circ \times_{(U_0/G)^\circ} (U/H)^\circ & \xrightarrow{G} & (U/H)^\circ & & (U/H_0)^\circ \longrightarrow (U/H)^\circ \\
\text{pr} \downarrow & & \nu_1 \downarrow & & \downarrow \mu \quad \downarrow \nu_1 \\
U_0^\circ & \xrightarrow{G} & (U_0/G)^\circ & , & U_0^\circ \longrightarrow (U_0/G)^\circ
\end{array}$$

In the left picture, the lower square is a fiber product. Hence the upper horizontal arrow indicates an étale finite covering. Hence $U_0^\circ \times_{(U_0/G)^\circ} (U/H)^\circ$ is a direct sum of connected components $(U^\circ/H_i)^\circ$ for a subgroup H_i of H . Since G acts transitively on the connected components, H_i is equal to one and the same subgroup H_0 of H . The left vertical arrow of the square is a finite map. Hence, by extracting these data, we obtain the right picture, where μ denotes the restriction of the projection map to one connected component of $U_0^\circ \times_{(U_0/G)^\circ} (U/H)^\circ$. Hence we have $[H : H_0]n_1 = m|G|$, where $n_1 = \deg \nu_1$ and $m = \deg \mu$. One connected component $(U/H_0)^\circ$ has degree $[H : H_0]$ over $(U/H)^\circ$, and its translate $g(U/H_0)^\circ \cong (U/H_0)^\circ$ has the same degree $[H : H_0]$ over $(U/H)^\circ$. Hence $[H : H_0]$ divides $|G|$ by the count of degree of the upper horizontal morphism of the left picture, and consequently, m divides n_1 . In particular, if $[H : H_0] = 1$, e.g., if P is smooth, then n_1 is a multiple of $|G|$.

(3) If the morphism ν_1 is unramified on the component A_1 , then μ is an isomorphism in the above diagram because the restriction to A_1 of the projection morphism is finite and unramified. This implies that $m = 1$ and the universal covering map $U_0^\circ \rightarrow (U_0/G)^\circ$ is factored as

$$U_0^\circ \cong (U/H_0)^\circ \rightarrow (U/H)^\circ \xrightarrow{\nu} (U_0/G)^\circ .$$

Hence U is homotopic to U_0 and H is a subgroup of G . \square

Hereafter we assume without loss of generality that φ lifts to a G -equivariant endomorphism $\tilde{\varphi}$ of \mathbb{A}^2 . Let \hat{Y} be a minimal resolution of singularities on Y . Then \hat{Y} contains \hat{X}_u as an open set. By blowing up the points on $\hat{Y} - \hat{X}_u$, we find a minimal sequence of blowing-ups

$\alpha : \tilde{Y} \rightarrow \hat{Y}$ such that the canonical rational mapping

$$\hat{Y} \dashrightarrow \hat{X}_u \xrightarrow{\hat{\varphi}} \hat{X}_l$$


composed with α is a morphism $\tilde{\nu} : \tilde{Y} \rightarrow \hat{X}_l$. The above rational mapping is determined by the inclusion of the function fields

$$\mathbb{C}(\hat{X}_l) \xrightarrow{\hat{\varphi}^*} \mathbb{C}(\hat{X}_u) = \mathbb{C}(\hat{Y}),$$

which might not be a morphism if there exist points on $Y \setminus X_u$ mapped by ν to the point P_0 . Such points on Y must be blown up. Then $\tilde{\nu}|_{\hat{X}_u} = \hat{\varphi}$ by Lemma 2.2. Let W be a log smooth completion of \tilde{Y} , i.e., a smooth projective surface such that $W - \tilde{Y}$ is a divisor with simple normal crossings, such that the birational mapping $W \dashrightarrow V_u$ is a morphism which is a composite of blowing-ups and the morphism $\tilde{\nu}$ extends to a projective morphism $\Phi : W \rightarrow V_l$ which makes the following diagram commutative

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \tilde{Y} & \longrightarrow & W \\ \tilde{\nu} \downarrow & & \downarrow \Phi \\ \hat{X}_l & \longrightarrow & V_l \end{array}$$

Here \hat{X}_l is the minimal resolution of singularity of X_l , and V_l is the standard completion of X_l° . Since \tilde{Y} contains \hat{X}_u , W is obtained from the standard completion V_u of X_u° by blowing up points on the boundary divisor $D_u (= D)$. Let $\rho : W \rightarrow V_u$ be the birational morphism which is a composite of blowing-ups. The irreducible component A_i of $Y - X_u$ together with the exceptional locus B_i of the possible cyclic singularity on A_i is obtained from a point on an irreducible component of D as exhibited in



where A_i is a (-1) -curve ¹, B_i is a linear chain not necessarily consisting of only (-2) -curves and the part C_i connecting D and A_i is

¹ A_i is the last exceptional (-1) -curve in the blowing-up process and the part B_i is the locus of exceptional curves of a minimal resolution of a cyclic quotient singularity. Hence A_i stays as a (-1) -curve.

not necessarily a linear chain. The divisor $A_i + B_i + C_i$ is contracted to a smooth point on D or on the divisor obtained from D by subdivisational blowing-ups. In the midst of blowing-ups $\rho : W \rightarrow V_u$, the following five cases are possible. We denote by σ an intermediate single blowing-up. The change of log canonical divisors under σ is given as follows.

- (1) If σ is a blowing-up of a non-boundary point with the exceptional curve E included in the new boundary divisor, then $D'' + K_{V''} = \sigma^*(D' + K_{V'}) + 2E$, where $\sigma : V'' \rightarrow V'$ is the blowing-up, D' is the boundary divisor of V' and $D'' = \sigma'(D') + E$ is the boundary divisor of V'' . This case occurs if a point on A_i is mapped to P_0 by ν .
- (2) If σ is a subdivisational blowing-up of the boundary divisor with the resulting exceptional curve E included in the new boundary divisor, then $D'' + K_{V''} = \sigma^*(D' + K_{V'})$, where $D'' = \sigma'(D') + E$.
- (3) If σ is a subdivisational blowing-up with E not included in the boundary divisor, then $D'' + K_{V''} = \sigma^*(D' + K_{V'}) - E$.
- (4) If σ is a sprouting blowing-up with E not included into the boundary divisor, then $D'' + K_{V''} = \sigma^*(D' + K_{V'})$.
- (5) If σ is a sprouting blowing-up with E included in the boundary divisor, then $D'' + K_{V''} = \sigma^*(D' + K_{V'}) + E$.

Since $\widehat{Y} - \widehat{X}_u = \coprod_{i=1}^r (A_i + B_i)$, the cases (3) and (4) occur only for $E = A_i$. By abuse of notation, we here denote by the same A_i the closure of A_i in \widehat{Y} (later also in \widehat{V} , \widetilde{V} and W). More precisely, (3) occurs if $B_i \neq 0$ and (4) occurs if $B_i = 0$, i.e., there is no singular point of Y on A_i . Further, the rational morphism $\alpha : \widetilde{Y} \rightarrow \widehat{Y}$ is a composite of blowing-ups with centers on $\widehat{Y} - X_u$.

We examine more precisely the change of log canonical divisors by $\rho : (W, \Delta) \rightarrow (V_u, D)$, where $\Delta = W - Y^\circ$ with the smooth locus Y° of Y . Decompose the morphism $\rho : W \rightarrow V_u$ as in the top row of the diagram below, where \widehat{V} (resp. \widetilde{V}) is a log smooth completion of \widehat{Y} (resp. \widetilde{Y}) such that $\widetilde{V} - \widetilde{Y} \cong \widehat{V} - \widehat{Y}$. Hence the blowing-ups appearing in the morphism ρ_2 are those appearing in the morphism α , which are performed to eliminate the indeterminacy of the rational mapping $\widehat{Y} \dashrightarrow \widehat{X}_u \xrightarrow{\widehat{\varphi}} \widehat{X}_l$. Those centers are points on the A_i mapped to the point P_0 of X_u and their infinitely near points. Note that the \mathbb{P}^1 -fibration $p : V_u \rightarrow C$ extends to \mathbb{P}^1 -fibrations $\widehat{p} : \widehat{V} \rightarrow C$ and $\widetilde{p} : \widetilde{V} \rightarrow C$ such that the divisors $A_i + B_i$ are contained in the fibers of \widehat{p} . Thus there exists a \mathbb{P}^1 -fibration $p_W : W \rightarrow C$ which is induced by the \mathbb{P}^1 -fibration $p : V_u \rightarrow C$, and each irreducible component of $\widehat{Y} - X_u$

is contained in the fibers of p_W . This shows that $\widehat{Y} - X_u$ (and $W - X_u$ as well) is a divisor with simple normal crossings.

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
 \rho : W & \xrightarrow{\rho_3} & \widetilde{V} & \xrightarrow{\rho_2} & \widehat{V} & \xrightarrow{\rho_1} & V_u \\
 & & \cup & & \cup & & \cup \\
 & & \widetilde{Y} & \xrightarrow{\alpha} & \widehat{Y} & \cdots \dashrightarrow & \widehat{X}_u \\
 & \searrow \Phi & & & & & \downarrow \widehat{\varphi} \\
 & & & & \widetilde{V} & & \\
 & & & & \searrow \widetilde{\nu} & & \\
 & & & & & & \widehat{X}_i \\
 & & & & V_i & \supset &
 \end{array}$$

We observe the changes of log canonical divisors according to the decomposition $\rho = \rho_1 \circ \rho_2 \circ \rho_3$.

- (I) Let $\widehat{\Delta} = \widehat{V} - Y^\circ$, which is considered as a reduced effective divisor. Then we have the following formula for the morphism $\rho_1 : \widehat{V} \rightarrow V_u$:

$$\widehat{\Delta} + K_{\widehat{V}} = \rho_1^*(D + K_{V_u}) + \sum_j E_j - \sum_{i=1}^s A_i,$$

where the E_j exhaust the total transforms on \widehat{V} of the exceptional curves which arise from sprouting blowing-ups possibly after subdivisional blowing-ups of the divisor D and are included in the boundary divisor and where the A_i ($1 \leq i \leq s$) exhaust the irreducible components of $Y - X_u$ carrying singular points of Y . Here $\sum_j E_j - \sum_{i=1}^s A_i$ is an effective divisor.

In fact, the completion \widehat{V} of \widehat{Y} is obtained from V_u by means of sprouting or subdivisional blowing-ups of the connected component D_∞ of the boundary D of V_u and subsequent new boundaries, whereas the connected component D_0 of D arising from the resolution of singularity P_0 of X_u is untouched. Effect of the new exceptional curve in the log canonical divisor in each step of blowing-ups is considered in the above cases (2) \sim (5). In particular, the component A_i with $B_i \neq \emptyset$ is obtained from a sprouting blowing-up in an intermediate step followed by subdivisional blowing-ups. Then the total transform on \widehat{V} of the exceptional curve arising from the sprouting one contains the

last exceptional (-1) curve A_i . Hence $\sum E_j - \sum_{i=1}^s A_i$ is effective.

- (II) By Remark 3.2, (1), we may assume that there exists a point on $\widehat{Y} - X_u$ which is mapped to the point P_0 on X_l . Since the singularity at P_0 is resolved on \widehat{V}_l , we need the corresponding blowing-ups at the points of \widehat{Y} mapped to P_0 . Hence the morphism $\rho_2 : \widetilde{V} \rightarrow \widehat{V}$ coincides with the morphism $\alpha : \widetilde{Y} \rightarrow \widehat{Y}$ on the open set \widetilde{Y} and the identity on the boundary $\widetilde{V} - \widetilde{Y}$. The morphism α is a composite of blowing-ups with centers at points on $A_i + B_i$ (including the case $B_i = \emptyset$) and their infinitely near points. All resulting exceptional curves are contained in the boundary divisor $\Delta = W - Y^\circ$ when taken the proper transforms on W . The change of log canonical divisors is given by

$$\widetilde{\Delta} + K_{\widetilde{V}} = (\rho_1 \rho_2)^*(D + K_{V_u}) + \widetilde{\Gamma}_1 + \widetilde{\Gamma}_2 - \sum_{i=1}^s A'_i,$$

where $\widetilde{\Gamma}_1 = \rho_2^*(\sum_j E_j)$, $\widetilde{\Gamma}_2$ is a sum of the total transforms of the divisors $\delta_E E$ with E ranging over all exceptional curves arising from α and $A'_i = \rho'_2(A_i)$ is the proper transform of A_i . The coefficient δ_E is equal to 2 if the blowing-up is centered on A_i with $B_i = \emptyset$ (by the case (1) above), it is equal to 1 if the blowing-up is centered on $A_i \setminus (A_i \cap B_i)$ ($1 \leq i \leq s$) (since A_i produces E once) or if the blowing-up is sprouting one centered on a boundary component which is not the proper transform of A_i ($1 \leq i \leq s$) (by the cases (2) and (5)), and it is 0 otherwise. Here $\widetilde{\Gamma}_1 + \widetilde{\Gamma}_2 - \sum_{i=1}^s A'_i$ is an effective divisor.

- (III) The birational morphism $\rho_3 : W \rightarrow \widetilde{V}$ consists of blowing-ups with centers (including infinitely near centers) over $\widetilde{V} - \widetilde{Y}$. The log canonical divisor on W is given by

$$\Delta + K_W = \rho^*(D + K_{V_u}) + \Gamma_1 + \Gamma_2 + \Gamma_3 - \sum_{i=1}^s A'_i,$$

where $\rho = \rho_1 \rho_2 \rho_3$, $\Gamma_1 = \rho_3^*(\widetilde{\Gamma}_1)$, $\Gamma_2 = \rho_3^*(\widetilde{\Gamma}_2)$, Γ_3 is the sum of total transforms of sprouting blowing-ups centered over $\widetilde{V} - \widetilde{Y}$ and A'_i is the proper transform of A_i . The divisor added to $\rho^*(D + K_{V_u})$ on the right hand side is effective.

Summarizing the above arguments, we obtain the following result.

Lemma 3.3. *Let $\Delta = W - Y^\circ$ with the smooth locus Y° of Y . Then we have*

$$\Delta + K_W = \rho^*(D + K_{V_u}) + \Gamma - \sum_{i=1}^s A'_i,$$

where Γ is an effective divisor supported by the exceptional divisor of the birational morphism $\rho : W \rightarrow V_u$ and A'_i is the proper transform of A_i with $B_i \neq \emptyset$. Further, $\Gamma \geq \sum_{i=1}^s A'_i$.

The logarithmic ramification divisor formula due to Iitaka [8] (see [13, Lemma 1.11.1]) applied to the morphism $\Phi : (W, \Delta) \rightarrow (V, D)$ implies that

$$\Delta + K_W = \Phi^*(D + K_{V_l}) + R,$$

where R is an effective divisor supported by the union of curves contracted by Φ and the curves on which Φ is finite to the image but ramifying. We describe the ramification locus R .

Lemma 3.4. *Let V, W be smooth projective surfaces and let D, Δ be reduced effective divisors with simple normal crossings on V, W , respectively. Let $\Phi : W \rightarrow V$ be a dominant morphism such that $\Phi^{-1}(D) \subseteq \Delta$ and every divisor component in $\Delta \setminus \Phi^{-1}(D)$ is contracted by Φ ². Let R be the logarithmic ramification divisor defined by the above formula. Then R is supported by the union of curves C on W such that C is contracted by Φ or $\Phi|_C : C \rightarrow \Phi(C)$ is ramifying. The curve C has coefficient zero in R if C is a component of Δ which is not contracted by Φ . If a component C of Δ has coefficient zero in R and C is contracted by Φ , then it is contracted to an intersection point of two irreducible components of D .*

Proof. Suppose that C is not contracted by Φ . Let $\overline{C} = \Phi(C)$. Choose a general point P on C and let $Q = \Phi(P)$. Suppose that C is not a component of Δ . We choose a system of parameters $\{t, u\}$ of V at Q so that \overline{C} is locally defined by $t = 0$ and u is a parameter along \overline{C} . Similarly, we choose a system of parameters $\{\xi, \eta\}$ of W at P so that C is defined by $\xi = 0$ and η is a parameter along C . Then $\Phi^*(t) = f(\xi, \eta)$ and $\Phi^*(u) = g(\xi, \eta)$, where we view f, g as complex functions holomorphic at P . We fix a nonzero, rational, differential 2-form ω of V and express it as $\omega = adt \wedge du$, where $a \in \mathbb{C}(V)$. Similarly, write $\Phi^*(\omega) = bd\xi \wedge d\eta$ with $b \in \mathbb{C}(W)$. The divisor $D + K_V$ (resp. $\Delta + K_W$) is determined near the point Q (resp. P) by evaluating b (resp. a) in terms of the normalized discrete valuation v_C (resp. $v_{\overline{C}}$)

²Hence it follows that $\Phi_*(\Delta) = D$

associated to C (resp. \overline{C}). By the assumption, \overline{C} is not a component of D as well. By a simple calculation, we obtain

$$b = Ja \quad \text{with} \quad J = J\left(\frac{f, g}{\xi, \eta}\right).$$

Hence, near the point P , $\Delta + K_W$ differs from $\Phi^*(D + K_V)$ by $v_C(J)C$. Note that $\Phi|_C : C \rightarrow \overline{C}$ is unramified at P if and only if $J(P) \neq 0$. In fact, if $v_C(f) = r$, then $v_C(J) = r - 1$.

If C is a component of Δ , then \overline{C} is a component of D . Express ω and $\Phi^*(\omega)$ as

$$\omega = a \frac{dt}{t} \wedge du \quad \text{and} \quad \Phi^*(\omega) = b \frac{d\xi}{\xi} \wedge d\eta.$$

Then we have

$$b = \frac{a\xi}{f} J\left(\frac{f, g}{\xi, \eta}\right).$$

Hence, near the point P , $\Delta + K_W$ differs from $\Phi^*(D + K_V)$ by $v_C(\xi J/f)C$ which is zero. In fact, if we write $f = \xi^m f_1$ with $m > 0$ and $\xi \nmid f_1$ in $\mathcal{O}_{W,P}$ then we have

$$\frac{\xi J}{f} = \frac{m\xi^m f_1 g_\eta + \xi^{m+1} J_1}{\xi^m f_1} = m g_\eta + \frac{\xi J_1}{f_1},$$

where J_1 is the Jacobian of f_1, g with respect to ξ, η and $v_C(g_\eta) = 0$ for a general point P of C .

Now suppose that C is contracted by Φ . Then, choosing a system of parameters $\{t, u\}$ of V at the point $Q = \Phi(C)$, one can write $\Phi^*(t) = \xi^r f_1(\xi, \eta)$ and $\Phi^*(u) = \xi^s g_1(\xi, \eta)$ with $\xi \nmid f_1 g_1$, $r > 0$ and $s > 0$. Hence we have

$$\begin{aligned} J\left(\frac{f, g}{\xi, \eta}\right) &= \xi^{r+s-1} \left(r f_1 \frac{\partial g_1}{\partial \eta} - s g_1 \frac{\partial f_1}{\partial \eta} \right) + \xi^{r+s} J\left(\frac{f_1, g_1}{\xi, \eta}\right) \\ &= \xi^{r+s-1} I \quad \text{with} \quad I = r f_1 \frac{\partial g_1}{\partial \eta} - s g_1 \frac{\partial f_1}{\partial \eta} + \xi J\left(\frac{f_1, g_1}{\xi, \eta}\right). \end{aligned}$$

This implies that

$$\omega = a dt \wedge du = a \xi^{r+s-1} I d\xi \wedge d\eta.$$

If C is not a component of Δ , then $\Delta + K_W$ differs from $\Phi^*(D + K_V)$ by $v_C(\xi^{r+s-1} I)C$ which has a positive coefficient. If C is a component of Δ , three cases are possible. Namely, the point $Q = \Phi(C)$ lies in

$V \setminus D$, lies on a single irreducible component D_1 or is the intersection point $D_1 \cap D_2$. In the first case,

$$\omega = a dt \wedge du = a \xi^{r+s} I \frac{d\xi}{\xi} \wedge d\eta$$

and the coefficient of C in $(\Delta + K_W) - \Phi^*(D + K_V)$ is $v_C(\xi^{r+s} I)$ which is positive. In the second case, we choose a system of local parameters $\{t, u\}$ so that D_1 is defined by $t = 0$ and u is a parameter along D_1 . In the third case, we choose $\{t, u\}$ so that t and u are respectively parameters along the curves D_2 and D_1 . In the second case, we have

$$\omega = a \frac{dt}{t} \wedge du = \frac{a \xi^s I d\xi}{f_1 \xi} \wedge d\eta,$$

where $f_1 \neq 0$ on a general point of C . In the third case, we have

$$\omega = a \frac{dt}{t} \wedge \frac{du}{u} = \frac{a I d\xi}{f_1 g_1 \xi} \wedge d\eta.$$

In the second case, the component C appears with a positive coefficient in the difference $(\Delta + K_W) - \Phi^*(D + K_V)$. In the third case, the coefficient is non-negative with C possibly not appearing in the difference. \square

Comparing the logarithmic ramification divisor formula with the one in Lemma 3.3, we have the following formula.

$$\Phi^*(D + K_{V_i}) = \rho^*(D + K_{V_u}) + \Gamma - \sum_{i=1}^s A'_i - R. \quad (1)$$

Let H be the irreducible boundary component of $W - \widehat{X}_u$ which is the proper transform of the section S_1 of the standard \mathbb{P}^1 -fibration $p : V_u \rightarrow T$.³ Except for the component H , all other components of $\Gamma - \sum_{i=1}^s A'_i - R$ are fiber components of the \mathbb{P}^1 -fibration p_W on W induced by p . There are two cases depending on whether H is a component of R or not.

Lemma 3.5. *Suppose that H is not contracted by Φ . Then the following assertions hold.*

- (1) *The image $\Phi(H)$ is either S_1 or an irreducible component of $D_\infty - S_1$, where D_∞ is the connected component of D such that $S_1 \subseteq D_\infty$.*
- (2) *Suppose that either G is non-cyclic or G is cyclic and $d > 1$. If $\Phi(H) = S_1$ then φ preserves the standard \mathbb{A}_*^1 -fibration.*

³To avoid confusion, we change the notation C in Lemma 1.1 to T .

(3) *If G is cyclic and $d = 1$ then φ preserves the standard \mathbb{A}_*^1 -fibration.*

Proof. (1) By Lemma 3.4, $H \not\subset \text{Supp}(R)$ since H is a component of Δ and is not contracted by the morphism Φ . Let ℓ be a general fiber of p on V_u and let $C = \Phi(\ell)$. Intersecting ℓ with both sides of the formula (1), we have $C \cdot (D + K_{V_i}) = 0$ since $\ell \cdot (\rho^*(D + K_{V_u})) = \ell \cdot (\Gamma - \sum_i A_i - R) = 0$ as $H \not\subset \text{Supp}(R)$. Suppose that G is non-cyclic. Then Lemma 1.2 shows that

$$D + K_{V_i} \sim \ell - (F_1 + F_2 + F_3) \geq \left(1 - \frac{1}{m_1} - \frac{1}{m_2} - \frac{1}{m_3}\right) \ell,$$

where ℓ denotes a fiber of the standard \mathbb{P}^1 -fibration on V_i by abuse of notation and the difference is an effective \mathbb{Q} -divisor

$$L := \sum_{i=1}^3 \frac{1}{m_i} (\ell_i - m_i F_i).$$

Hence we have

$$0 \geq \left(1 - \frac{1}{m_1} - \frac{1}{m_2} - \frac{1}{m_3}\right) \cdot (C \cdot \ell)$$

because $(C \cdot D + K_{V_i}) = 0$. Note that C can move as $\dim|\ell| = 1$. Since $\left(1 - \frac{1}{m_1} - \frac{1}{m_2} - \frac{1}{m_3}\right) < 0$, it follows that $C \cdot \ell \geq 0$. If $C \cdot \ell = 0$, C is a fiber of the fibration p , and this is what we have to prove. Suppose $C \cdot \ell > 0$. Then $L \cdot C > 0$. Hence C meets a boundary component of $\ell_i - m_i F_i$ for some $i = 1, 2, 3$. If C meets an irreducible component connected to S_0 , i.e., if C meets the connected component D_0 of D containing S_0 , then the image of C by the contraction of $S_0 + T_1 + T_2 + T_3$ is a complete curve contained in $X = \mathbb{A}^2/G$. In fact, C meets S_0 because Φ is the identity on S_0 and has only two places at infinity (i.e., at the points in the boundary D) as so does ℓ . This is a contradiction. For, otherwise, C has at least three places at infinity. Hence C meets an irreducible component of D_∞ , and one of the components of D_∞ is necessarily $\Phi(H)$.

(2) Suppose that $\Phi(H) = S_1$. Then C has only two places at the boundary over the points $C \cap S_0$ and $C \cap S_1$, both of which move by the assumption. This implies that C corresponding to a general ℓ does not meet an irreducible component of L . Hence $C \cdot \ell = 0$.

The case where G is cyclic and $d > 1$ can be handled in a similar way. The \mathbb{Q} -divisor L is

$$L = \frac{1}{m_0} (\ell_0 - m_0 F_0) + \frac{1}{m_\infty} (\ell_\infty - m_\infty F_\infty)$$

in this case.

(3) Suppose that G is cyclic and $d = 1$. By Lemma 1.2, $D + K_{V_\ell} \sim -2\ell$. Hence, for $C = \Phi(\ell)$, we have

$$0 = C \cdot (D + K_{V_\ell}) = -2(C \cdot \ell).$$

Hence $(C \cdot \ell) = 0$. This implies that C is a fiber of the standard \mathbb{P}^1 -fibration p on V_ℓ . Hence φ preserves the \mathbb{A}_*^1 -fibration. \square

Remark 3.6. Lemma 3.5 shows that the problem becomes harder in the case where $\Phi(H)$ is a component of L or in the case where H is contracted by Φ . We do not know if H is not contracted by Φ provided $H \not\subset \text{Supp}(R)$. In fact, Lemma 3.4 suggests that if H contracts to an intersection points of two boundary components of D on V_ℓ , H might not be a component of R . Only in the third case of Lemma 1.2 where G is cyclic and $d = 1$, $H \not\subset R$ implies that H is not contracted by Φ . This is because D consists of components S_0 and S_1 . \square

Let ℓ be a general fiber of the standard \mathbb{P}^1 -fibration $p : V_\ell \rightarrow C$ and let $B = \Phi^*(\ell)$. Since $\ell^2 = 0$ and $\dim |\ell| = 1$, it follows that $B^2 = 0$ and $|B|$ is composed of a linear pencil. Then we have the following result.

Lemma 3.7. *With the above notations, B is an irreducible curve, and $|B|$ is an irreducible pencil. Further, the following four conditions are equivalent.*

- (1) $B \cdot (\Delta + K_W) = 0$.
- (2) B is an irreducible smooth rational curve, and $B \cdot R = 0$.
- (3) The boundary divisor Δ contains an irreducible component Δ_1 such that Φ induces an isomorphism between Δ_1 and S_1 . Further, B does not meet any other irreducible components of $W - \tilde{Y}$.
- (4) φ is an automorphism.

Proof. Let $Q = S_0 \cap \ell$. Since $\widehat{\varphi}^{-1}(Q)$ is a single point \widehat{Q} on S_0 on \widehat{X}_u by Lemma 2.2 and since $\widehat{\varphi}$ is étale at the point \widehat{Q} , we have an isomorphism $\widehat{\mathcal{O}}_{W, \widehat{Q}} \cong \widehat{\mathcal{O}}_{V_\ell, Q}$. Hence the analytic local behavior of B at \widehat{Q} is the same as the one of ℓ at Q . This implies that B is irreducible. In fact, since $(B^2) = (\Phi^*(\ell))^2 = n(\ell^2) = 0$ with $n = \deg \Phi$, $|B|$ is composed of an irreducible linear pencil Λ parametrized by the base curve of $\tilde{p} : V_u \rightarrow \tilde{C}$, where \tilde{C} is the normalization of C in the function field of V_u (Stein factorization). If $m = \deg_C \tilde{C}$, then $B = B_1 + \cdots + B_m$ with $B_i \in \Lambda$ and hence $S_0 \cdot B = \sum_{i=1}^m S_0 \cdot B_i \geq m$. Since $\widehat{\varphi}|_{S_0}$ is an

isomorphism by Lemma 2.2, B meets S_0 only at \widehat{Q} . Hence $(S_0 \cdot B)_W = (S_0 \cdot \ell)_{V_l} = 1$, whence we have $m = 1$.

(1) \Rightarrow (2) Suppose that $B \cdot (\Delta + K_W) = 0$. Since Δ contains S_0 (identified with the proper transform on W), $B \cdot \Delta > 0$. Hence $B \cdot K_W < 0$. By the arithmetic genus formula, it follows that $B^2 = 0$ and $B \cdot K_W = -2$. Namely B is a smooth rational curve. By the logarithmic canonical divisor formula in Lemma 1.2 and the logarithmic ramification divisor formula before Lemma 3.4, $B \cdot (\Delta + K_W) = 0$ implies $B \cdot R = 0$.

(2) \Rightarrow (1) Since $B \cdot \Phi^*(D + K_{V_l}) = \deg \Phi \cdot (\ell \cdot D + K_{V_l}) = 0$ by Lemma 1.2, it follows that $B \cdot (\Delta + K_W) = 0$ if $B \cdot R = 0$.

(2) \Rightarrow (3) Since $B \cdot (\Delta + K_W) = 0$, $B \cdot \Delta = 2$ and $B \cdot S_0 = 1$, there exists an irreducible component Δ_1 of Δ such that $B \cdot \Delta_1 = 1$. Hence $\ell \cdot \Phi_*(\Delta_1) = 1$. Since $\ell \cdot S_1 = 1$, it follows that $\Phi_*(\Delta_1) = S_1$. This implies that $\Phi|_{\Delta_1} : \Delta_1 \rightarrow S_1$ is an isomorphism. It is clear that B does not meet the components of $\Delta - (S_0 + \Delta_1)$.

(3) \Rightarrow (4) The restriction $\Phi|_B : B \rightarrow \ell$ is a finite covering of \mathbb{P}^1 which is totally ramifying at $B \cap \Delta_1$ by the condition (3) and étale over $B \cap S_0$ by Lemma 2.2. If $\deg \Phi|_B > 1$ then $\Phi^{-1}(\ell \cap S_0)$ consists of the points $B \cap S_0$ and the intersection points $\coprod_i (B \cap A_i)$. Then one of the components of $\coprod A_i$, say A_1 , is mapped by Φ to the curve S_0 on V_l . In fact, since the point $\ell \cap S_0$ moves as ℓ moves, Φ induces a correspondence between A_1 and S_0 under the correspondence between the family $\{B\}$ and $\{\ell\}$. Hence A_1 is mapped to the singular point P_0 by the normalization morphism $\nu : Y \rightarrow X_l$. This is a contradiction because ν is a finite morphism. Thus $\deg \Phi|_B = 1$. This implies that $\deg \varphi = 1$. Namely, φ is birational. Then the lifting $\tilde{\varphi}$ of φ onto \mathbb{A}^2 is birational. Note that $\tilde{\varphi}$ is an automorphism because an étale birational endomorphism of \mathbb{A}^2 is an automorphism. Hence φ is an automorphism.

(4) \Rightarrow (1) B is a general member of the pencil $|B|$. Hence B is a smooth rational curve isomorphic to ℓ by the assumption that φ is an automorphism. Furthermore, B has two places outside X° as ℓ does and $B \cdot S_0 = 1$. Hence B meets one component, say Δ_1 , of Δ in one point transversally and does not meet other components of $\Delta - (\Delta_1 + S_0)$. Hence $B \cdot (\Delta + K_W) = 0$. \square

4. THE CASE WHERE G IS CYCLIC AND $d = 1$

We shall show that GJC holds true under the assumption that G is cyclic and $d = 1$. We retain the assumption throughout the present section. In view of Theorem 2.4 and Lemma 3.5, it remains to show that H may be assumed to be not contracted by Φ .


Lemma 4.1. *Assume that $\Phi(H)$ is a point Q_1 in V_l . Then the following assertions hold.*

- (1) $Q_1 \in S_1$.
- (2) Let $C = \Phi(\ell)$ for a general fiber ℓ of the standard \mathbb{P}^1 -fibration $p : V_u \rightarrow T$ ⁴, which is identified with the proper transform on the surface W . Then $C \sim aS_1 + \ell$ with $a > 0$, where ℓ is a fiber of the standard \mathbb{P}^1 -fibration $p : V_l \rightarrow T$.
- (3) Let Λ be the linear pencil on V_u consisting of the fibers of p . Then $\Phi_*(\Lambda)$ is a linear pencil spanned by C and $C_0 := aS_1 + \ell_0$, where $\ell_0 = p^{-1}(p(Q_1))$.
- (4) A general member C has two places at infinity at $Q_0 = C \cap S_0$ and $Q_1 = C \cap S_1$, where $i(C, S_0; Q_0) = 1$, $i(C, S_1; Q_1) = an + 1$ and $i(C, \ell_0; Q_1) = a$, where $n = |G|$. Hence C has a cusp of type $(an + 1, a)$ at Q_1 .
- (5) Let $\sigma : V' \rightarrow V_l$ be a minimal resolution of base points of $\Phi_*(\Lambda)$. Let $\sigma'(\Phi_*(\Lambda))$ be the proper transform of $\Phi_*(\Lambda)$ by σ . Then it is the linear pencil spanned by the proper transform $C' := \sigma'(C)$ and the member C'_0 obtained from C_0 , where

$$\begin{aligned} C'_0 &= a(S'_1 + A_1 + \cdots + A_a) + (E_n + E_{n-1} + \cdots + E_1 + \ell'_0) \\ &\quad + (a-1)B_1 + (a-2)B_2 + \cdots + B_{a-1}, \end{aligned}$$

where the last (-1) -curve F of σ , which is a section of $\sigma'(\Phi_*(\Lambda))$, meets the curve B_{a-1} . The weighted dual graph of $\sigma^*(aS_1 + \ell_0)$ is given as below.

⁴In the previous sections, the base curve is denoted by C . In order to avoid confusion, we denote it by T and keep C for the image $\Phi(\ell)$



- (6) The curve C has n cusps of multiplicity a at Q_1 and its infinitely near points and no singular points elsewhere. Hence the pencil $\sigma'(\Phi_*(\Lambda))$ is a linear pencil of \mathbb{P}^1 s.
- (7) Let τ be the contraction of the curves $S'_1, A_1, \dots, A_a, B_1, \dots, B_{a-1}, E_n, \dots, E_1$ in this order. Then $\tau(V') = \mathbb{F}_n$, $\tau(\ell'_0)$ is a fiber, and $\tau(F)$ is a section disjoint from the minimal section $\tau(S'_0)$. The \mathbb{P}^1 -fibration on the new completion \mathbb{F}_n of X_l is given by $(\tau \circ \sigma^{-1} \circ \Phi)_*\Lambda$.

Proof. (1) Otherwise, the image C would give a complete curve in the affine surface $X = \mathbb{A}^2/G$.

(2) Since $V_l \cong \mathbb{F}_n$, C is written as $C \sim aS_1 + b\ell$. Since $C \cdot S_0 = 1$, it follows that $b = 1$.

(3) As ℓ moves in the pencil, C is parametrized by the point $C \cap S_0$. Hence $\Phi_*(\Lambda)$ is a linear pencil parametrized by S_0 .

(4) C has only one place over each of $S_0 \cap C$ and $S_1 \cap C$. So, the assertion follows straightforwardly.

(5) After the n blowing-ups with centers at the intersection points of the proper transforms of S_1 and C , we have a linear equivalence relation

$$aS_1^{(n)} + \ell_0^{(n)} + E_1 + \dots + E_n \sim C^{(n)},$$

where $S_1^{(n)}, \ell_0^{(n)}, C^{(n)}$ are the proper transforms of S_1, ℓ_0, C respectively. Now $C^{(n)}$ is a smooth curve with $(C^{(n)})^2 = (C^2) - a^2n = 2a$, $C^{(n)}$ touches E_n in one point, say Q_n , with multiplicity $i(C^{(n)}, E_n; Q_n) = a$. We blow up Q_n and its infinitely near points a times to separate $C^{(n)}$ from E_n . This process gives the exceptional curves A_1, \dots, A_a . Since $(S_1^{(n)})^2 = n - n = 0$, we have the upper horizontal line of the dual graph in the statement. Further we have a linear equivalence relation

$$a(S_1^{(n+a)} + A_1 + \dots + A_a) + \ell_0^{(n+a)} + E_1 + \dots + E_n \sim C^{(n+a)}.$$

Since the intersection point $A_a \cap C^{(n+a)}$ is a base point of the linear pencil $\Lambda^{(n+a)}$ which is the proper transform of Λ , we need a times more blowing-ups with centers at $A_a \cap C^{(n+a)}$ and its infinitely near points, which gives a vertical chain $B_1 + \cdots + B_{a-1}$ in the graph. The last exceptional curve $B_a (= F$ in the statement) is a section of the linear pencil $\sigma'(\Phi_*(\Lambda))$.

The verification of the assertions (6) and (7) is straightforward. \square

Replace the original surface V_l by this birational transform in the assertion (7) as a new completion of \widehat{X}_l such that the pullback of the standard \mathbb{P}^1 -fibration has no base points on V_u . Then Φ is unchanged in the interior part \widehat{X}_u of W . Hence we may assume that $\Phi(H)$ is not contracted. Theorem 2.4 and Lemma 3.5 show that φ is an automorphism in the case G is cyclic and $d = 1$.

Proof of Theorem in Introduction. As explained in Lemma 2.1 (3), some power φ^N lifts up to a G -equivariant étale endomorphism $\tilde{\varphi}^N$ of \mathbb{A}^2 , and φ is an automorphism if and only if φ^N is an automorphism of X . Further, φ^N is an automorphism if and only if $\tilde{\varphi}^N$ is an automorphism of \mathbb{A}^2 . So, we may assume that φ lifts up to a G -equivariant étale endomorphism $\tilde{\varphi}$ of \mathbb{A}^2 . By the hypothesis, G has a subgroup H of order 2. Since G is small, so is H . Hence H acts on \mathbb{A}^2 as $(x, y) \mapsto (-x, -y)$. Moreover, $\tilde{\varphi}$ induces an étale endomorphism $\varphi' : Y \rightarrow Y$, where $Y = \mathbb{A}^2/H$. By the last result which we have proved for a cyclic group G and $d = 1$, φ' and hence $\tilde{\varphi}$ are automorphisms. \square

REFERENCES

- [1] M. Artin, *On isolated rational singularities of surfaces*, Amer. J. Math. **88** (1966), 129–136.
- [2] J. Ax, *Injective endomorphisms of varieties and schemes*, Pacific J. Math. **31** (1969), 1–7.
- [3] E. Brieskorn, *Rationale Singularitäten komplexer Flächen*, Invent. Math. **4** (1968), 336–358.
- [4] C. Chevalley, *Invariants of finite groups generated by reflections*, Amer. J. Math. **77** (1955), 778–782.
- [5] A. Dubouloz and K. Palka, *The Jacobian conjecture fails for pseudo-planes*, Adv. Math. **339** (2018), 248–284.
- [6] A. Grothendieck, *Éléments de géométrie algébrique, IV. Étude locale des schémas et des morphismes de schémas, III*, Inst. Hautes Études Sci. Publ. Math. No. **28** (1966), 255 pp.
- [7] R.V. Gurjar and M. Miyanishi, *Jacobian problem for singular surfaces*, J. Math. Kyoto Univ. **48** (2008), 757–764.

- [8] S. Iitaka, *Algebraic Geometry. An introduction to Birational Geometry of Algebraic Varieties*, Graduate Texts in Mathematics, **76**, Springer-Verlag, New York-Berlin, 1982. x+357 pp.
- [9] J. Lipman, *Rational singularities, with applications to algebraic surfaces and unique factorization*, Inst. Hautes Études Sci. Publ. Math. No. **36** (1969), 195–279.
- [10] K. Masuda and M. Miyanishi, *Étale endomorphisms of algebraic surfaces with G_m -actions*, Math. Ann. **319** (2001), 493–516.
- [11] M. Miyanishi, *Étale endomorphisms of algebraic varieties*, Osaka J. Math. **22** (1985), 345–364.
- [12] M. Miyanishi, *Non-complete Algebraic Surfaces*, Lecture Notes in Math. **857**, Springer Verlag, 1981.
- [13] M. Miyanishi, *Open Algebraic Surfaces*, CRM Monograph Series **12**, Amer. Math. Soc. , 2001.
- [14] M. Miyanishi and K. Masuda, *Generalized Jacobian conjecture and related topics*, Proc. Internat. Colloq. on Algebra, Arithmetic and Geometry, 2002, 427–466, Tata Institute for Fundamental Research and International Mathematical Union.
- [15] M. Miyanishi and K. Masuda, *Affine pseudo-planes with torus actions*, Transform. Groups **11** (2006), no. 2, 249–267.
- [16] G.N. Tyurina, *Absolute isolatedness of rational singularities and triple rational points*, Functional Analysis and its applications **2**(1968), no.4, 324–333.

RESEARCH CENTER FOR MATHEMATICS AND DATA SCIENCE, KWANSEI GAKUIN
UNIVERSITY, 2-1 GAKUEN, SANDA 669-1337, JAPAN

Email address: miyanisi@kwansei.ac.jp