

HIGHER SPECHT POLYNOMIALS AND MODULES OVER THE WEYL ALGEBRA

IBRAHIM NONKANÉ, LÉONARD TODJIHOUNDE

ABSTRACT. In this paper, we study an irreducible decomposition structure of the \mathcal{D} -module direct image $\pi_+(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}^n})$ for the finite map $\pi : \mathbb{C}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^n/(\mathcal{S}_{n_1} \times \cdots \times \mathcal{S}_{n_r})$. We explicitly construct the simple component of $\pi_+(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}^n})$ by providing their generators and their multiplicities. Using an equivalence categories and the higher Specht polynomials, we describe a \mathcal{D} -module decomposition of the of the polynomial ring localized at the discriminant of π . Furthermore we study the action invariants differential operators on the higher Specht polynomials.

keywords: Direct image, Direct product, Group representation theory, Higher Specht polynomials, Partitions, Primitive idempotents, Semisimplicity, Symmetric group , Young diagram.

Mathematics Subject Classification: Primary 13N10, Secondary 20C30.

1

1. INTRODUCTION

It is well-known that the ring $\mathcal{O}_X := \mathbb{C}[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ of the polynomials in n indeterminates is a simple module over the Weyl algebra \mathcal{D}_X associated with the \mathcal{O}_X . The direct image of a simple module under a proper map π is semisimple by the Kashiwara's decomposition Theorem [3]. The simplest case is when the map $\pi : X \rightarrow Y$ is finite, in which case it is easy to give an elementary and wholly algebraic proof, using essentially the generic correspondence with the differential Galois group, which equals the ordinary Galois group G . The irreducible submodule of the direct image are in one-to-one correspondence with the irreducible representations of G (see [9]). The goal of this paper is to find the simple component of the direct image $\pi_+(\mathcal{O}_X)$ of the polynomial ring \mathcal{O}_X as a \mathcal{D} -module under the map $\pi : \text{spec } \mathcal{O}_X \rightarrow \text{spec } \mathcal{O}_Y$ where $\mathcal{O}_Y = \mathcal{O}_X^{\mathcal{S}_{n_1} \times \cdots \times \mathcal{S}_{n_r}}$; the ring of invariant polynomials under the action of $\mathcal{S}_{n_1} \times \cdots \times \mathcal{S}_{n_r}$. We describe The generators of the simple components of $\pi_+(\mathcal{O}_X)$ and their multiplicities as in [9]. We then give

¹**Acknowledgements** This work was done while the first author was visiting IMSP at Benin, under the *Staff Exchange* program of the German Office of Academic Exchange (DAAD). He warmly thanks the DAAD for the financial support.

the decomposition of the structure of $\pi_+(\mathcal{O}_X)$ by giving a basis generated by the higher Specht polynomials. This proof uses the fact that the irreducible \mathcal{D} -submodules of $\pi_+(\mathcal{O}_X)$ are in one-to-one correspondence with irreducible representations of $\mathcal{S}_{n_1} \times \cdots \times \mathcal{S}_{n_r}$.

Secondly we elaborate a \mathcal{D} -module decomposition of the polynomial ring localized at the discriminant of π . Finally we describe the action invariants differential operators on higher Specht polynomials. The higher Specht polynomials (introduced combinatorially by several authors [14], [1]), are adapted to the \mathcal{D} -module structure.

This paper generalizes results on modules over the Weyl algebra appeared in [9] and [10]. The case $r = 2$ have been presented at 10th International Conference on Mathematical Modeling in Physical Sciences in order to describe the action of the rational quantum Calogero-Moser system on polynomials [11].

2. PRELIMINARIES

2.1. Direct image. We briefly recall the definition of the direct image of a \mathcal{D} -module [4].

Let K be a field of characteristic zero, put $X = K^n$. The polynomial ring $K[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ will be denoted by $K[X]$; and the Weyl algebra generated by x_i 's and $\frac{\partial}{\partial x_i}$'s by \mathcal{D}_X . The n -tuple (x_1, \dots, x_n) will be denoted by X . Similar conventions will holds for $Y = K^m$, with polynomial ring $K[Y]$ and Weyl algebra \mathcal{D}_Y .

Let $\pi : X \rightarrow Y$ be a polynomial map, with $\pi = (\pi_1, \dots, \pi_m)$. Let M be a left \mathcal{D}_Y -module. The inverse image of M under the map π is $\pi^+(M) = K[X] \otimes_{K[Y]} M$. This is a $K[X]$ -module. It becomes a \mathcal{D}_X -module with ∂_{x_i} acting according to the formula

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial x_i}(h \otimes u) = \frac{\partial h}{\partial x_i} \otimes u + \sum_{j=1}^m \frac{\partial \pi_j}{\partial x_i} \otimes \frac{\partial}{\partial y_j} u, \quad h \in K[X], u \in M.$$

Since $\mathcal{D}_Y \otimes_{\mathcal{D}_Y} M \cong M$, we have that

$$\pi^+(M) \cong K[X] \otimes_{K[Y]} \mathcal{D}_Y \otimes_{\mathcal{D}_Y} M = \pi^+(K[Y]) \otimes_{\mathcal{D}_Y} M.$$

Writing $D_{X \rightarrow Y}$ for $\pi^+(K[Y])$, on has that $\pi^+(M) = D_{X \rightarrow Y} \otimes_{\mathcal{D}_Y} M$. Note that $D_{X \rightarrow Y}$ is \mathcal{D}_X - \mathcal{D}_Y -bimodule.

Let N be a right \mathcal{D}_X -module. The tensor product

$$\pi_+(N) = N \otimes_{\mathcal{D}_X} D_{X \rightarrow Y}$$

is a right \mathcal{D}_Y -module, which is called the *direct image* of N under the polynomial map π . Let us consider the *standard transposition* $\tau : \mathcal{D}_X \rightarrow \mathcal{D}_X$ defined by $\tau(h\partial^\alpha) = (-1)^{|\alpha|} \partial^\alpha h$, where $h \in K[X]$ and $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^n$. If N is a right \mathcal{D}_X -module then we define a left \mathcal{D}_X -module N^t as follows. As an abelian group, $N^t = N$. If $a \in \mathcal{D}_X$ and $u \in N^t$ then the left action of a on u is defined by $a \star u = u\tau(a)$. Using the

standard transposition for \mathcal{D}_Y and \mathcal{D}_X , put $D_{Y \leftarrow X} = (D_{X \rightarrow Y})^t$, this is a \mathcal{D}_Y - \mathcal{D}_X -bimodule. Let M be a left \mathcal{D}_X -module. The direct image of M under π is defined by the formula

$$\pi_+(M) = D_{Y \leftarrow X} \otimes_{\mathcal{D}_X} M.$$

It is clear that $\pi_+(M)$ is a \mathcal{D}_Y -module.

The following is the Kashiwara decomposition theorem

Theorem 2.1. [3] *Let $\pi : X \rightarrow Y$ be a polynomial map. If M is a simple (holonomic) module over \mathcal{D}_X . Then $\pi_+(M)$ is a semisimple \mathcal{D}_Y -module. we have*

$$\pi_+(M) = \bigoplus M_i^{\alpha_i},$$

where the M_i are inequivalent irreducible \mathcal{D}_Y -submodules.

2.2. Higher Specht polynomials. In this subsection we recall some notions about irreducibles of representations of product of symmetric groups (see [1] for more details).

Let \mathcal{O}_X be the algebra of polynomials of n variables x_1, \dots, x_n with complex coefficients, on which the symmetric group \mathcal{S}_n acts by permutation of variables:

$$(\sigma f)(x_1, \dots, x_n) = f(x_{\sigma(1)}, \dots, x_{\sigma(n)}), \sigma \in \mathcal{S}_n, f \in \mathcal{O}_X.$$

Let n_1, \dots, n_r be natural numbers such that $n = \sum_{i=1}^r n_i$. Then the product of symmetric groups $\mathcal{S}_{n_1} \times \dots \times \mathcal{S}_{n_r}$ is naturally embedded in \mathcal{S}_n .

A partition λ is a non-increasing finite sequence of positive integers $\lambda \geq \dots \geq \lambda_l > 0$. We write $\lambda \vdash n$ when $\sum_{i=1}^l \lambda_i = n$, and n is called the size of λ . To every partition corresponds a Young diagram [13]. Let r be a positive integer and $\lambda = (\lambda^1, \dots, \lambda^r)$ a r -tuple of partitions (Young diagrams), with $\lambda^1 \vdash n_1, \dots, \lambda^r \vdash n_r$, λ is called an r -diagram. The sequence (n_1, \dots, n_r) is called the type λ and denoted by $type(\lambda)$ and n called the size of λ . The irreducible representations of $\mathcal{S}_{n_1} \times \dots \times \mathcal{S}_{n_r}$ are indexed by the set of r -diagrams of type (n_1, \dots, n_r) . By filling each "box" with a non-negative integer, we obtain an r -tableau from an r -diagram. The original r -diagram is called the shape of the r -diagram. An r -tableau $T = (T^1, \dots, T^r)$ is said to be standard if the written sequence on each column and row of T^i ($1 \leq i \leq r$) is strictly increasing, and each number from 1 to n appears exactly once. The set of all standard r -tableaux of shape λ is denoted by $ST(\lambda)$. A standard r -tableau $T = (T^1, \dots, T^r)$ is said to be natural if and only if the set of numbers written in T^i is $\{n_1 + \dots + n_{i-1} + 1, \dots, n_1 + \dots + n_i\}$. The set of natural standard r -tableaux of shape λ is denoted by $NST(\lambda)$.

For a standard r -tableau T , we associate a word $w(T)$ in the following way. First we read each column of the tableau T^1 from the bottom to

the top starting from the left. We continue this procedure for the tableau T^2 and so on. We define the index $i(w(T))$ of $w(T)$ as follows. The number 1 in the word $w(T)$ has index 0. If k in the word has index p , then $k+1$ has index p or $p+1$ according as it lies to the right or the left of k . Assigning the index $i(w(T))$ to the corresponding of $w(T)$ to the corresponding box, we get a new r -tableau $i(T)$ which is called the index r -tableau of T .

2.3. Example. For $n = 8, r = 2, n_1 = 5, n_2 = 3, \lambda^1 = (3, 2), \lambda^2 = (2, 1)$

$$\lambda = \left(\begin{array}{|c|c|c|} \hline & & \\ \hline & & \\ \hline \end{array}, \begin{array}{|c|c|} \hline & \\ \hline & \\ \hline \end{array} \right)$$

with

$$T = \left(\begin{array}{|c|c|c|} \hline 1 & 4 & 6 \\ \hline 2 & 7 & \\ \hline \end{array}, \begin{array}{|c|c|} \hline 3 & 8 \\ \hline 5 & \\ \hline \end{array} \right)$$

and

$$i(T) = \left(\begin{array}{|c|c|c|} \hline 0 & 2 & 3 \\ \hline 1 & 4 & \\ \hline \end{array}, \begin{array}{|c|c|} \hline 1 & 4 \\ \hline 2 & \\ \hline \end{array} \right).$$

For words $u = (u_1, \dots, u_n)$ and $v = (v_1, \dots, v_n)$, we define $x_v^u = x_{v_1}^{u_1} \cdots x_{v_n}^{u_n}$. For standard 2-tableaux S, T , we define $x_T^{i(S)} = x_{w(T)}^{i(w(S))}$.

Let $T = (T^1, \dots, T^r)$ be a standard r -tableau of shape λ . For each component T^i ($1 \leq i \leq r$), The Young symmetrizer \mathbf{e}_{T^i} of T^i is defined by

$$\mathbf{e}_{T^i} = \frac{f^{\lambda^i}}{n_i!} \sum_{\sigma \in R(T^i)} \sum_{\tau \in C(T^i)} \text{sgn}(\tau) \tau \sigma \in \mathbb{C}[\mathcal{S}_{n_i}], \quad (2.1)$$

where f^{λ^i} is the number of standard tableau of shape λ^i , $R(T^i)$ and $C(T^i)$ are the *row-stabilizer* and *column-stabilizer* of T^i , respectively. We set

$$\mathbf{e}_T = \mathbf{e}_{T^1} \cdots \mathbf{e}_{T^r}. \quad (2.2)$$

For $T, S \in ST(\lambda)$, Ariki, Terasoma and Yamada have defined the higher Specht polynomial for (T, S) in [1] by

$$F_T^S = F_T^S(x_1, \dots, x_n) = \mathbf{e}_T(x_T^{i(S)}). \quad (2.3)$$

Let $\mathcal{P}_{r,n}$ be the set r -tuples of Young diagrams λ of type n

Theorem 2.2. [1] *For an r -diagram λ of type (n_1, \dots, n_r) and $S \in ST(\lambda)$, the set $\{F_T^S | T \in NST(\lambda)\}$ forms a \mathbb{C} -basis of a $\mathbb{C}[\mathcal{S}_{n_1} \times \cdots \times \mathcal{S}_{n_r}]$ -submodule denoted by $V^S(\lambda)$, which affords an irreducible representation of $\mathcal{S}_{n_1} \times \cdots \times \mathcal{S}_{n_r}$ corresponding to λ . All the other irreducible representation of $\mathcal{S}_{n_1} \times \cdots \times \mathcal{S}_{n_r}$ are obtained by same procedure.*

3. DECOMPOSITION THEOREM

We are interested in studying the decomposition structure of $\pi_+(M)$, where $M = \mathcal{O}_X$, $\pi : X = \text{spec}(\mathcal{O}_X) \rightarrow Y = \text{spec}(\mathcal{O}_X^{\mathcal{S}_{n_1} \times \cdots \times \mathcal{S}_{n_r}})$. Since \mathcal{O}_X is a holonomic \mathcal{D}_X -module [4, Chapter 10], $\pi_+(\mathcal{O}_X)$ is a semisimple \mathcal{D}_Y -module by the Kashiwara decomposition theorem. We construct the simple components of $\pi_+(\mathcal{O}_X)$ and provide their multiplicities. Let us recall some useful facts from [9].

Let $\Delta := \text{Jac}(\pi)$ be the Jacobian of π , Δ^2 the discriminant of π we denote the complement of the branch locus and the discriminant by U and V , respectively. Assume now that U, V are such that the respective canonical modules are generated by volume forms dx , and dy , related by $dx = \Delta dy$, where Δ is the Jacobian of π .

Proposition 3.1. (i) There is an isomorphism of \mathcal{D}_V -modules

$$T : \pi_+(O_U) \cong O_U, \quad r(dy^{-1} \otimes dx) \mapsto r\Delta^{-1}.$$

(ii) $T(\pi_+(O_X))$ is isomorphic as a \mathcal{D}_Y -module to $\pi_+(O_X)$.

Proof. See [9, Lemma 2.3]. □

It is more convenient to study $T(\pi_+(O_X)) \cong \pi_+(O_X)$, as a submodule of O_U , than using the definition of $\pi_+(O_X)$. Therefore to reach our goal, we will first study the decomposition of O_U into irreducible components as a \mathcal{D}_V -module.

The following proposition enables us to reduce the study of the decomposition factors of $\pi_+(O_X)$ to the behavior of the direct image over the complement to the branch locus, or even over the generic point. Let $j : U \hookrightarrow X$ and $i : V \hookrightarrow Y$ be the inclusions.

Proposition 3.2. Let $\pi : X \rightarrow Y$ be a finite map. Then

- (i) $\pi_+(O_X)$ is semi-simple as a \mathcal{D}_Y -module.
- (ii) If $\pi_+(O_X) = \bigoplus M_i$, $i \in I$ is a decomposition into simple (non-zero) \mathcal{D}_Y -modules, then $\pi_+(O_U) = \bigoplus i^+(M_i)$, $i \in I$, is a decomposition of $\pi_+(O_U)$ into simple (non-zero) \mathcal{D}_V -modules.

Proof. See [9, Proposition 2.8]. □

3.1. Notation. Let $\mathcal{D}_X := \mathbb{C}\langle x_1, \dots, x_n, \frac{\partial}{\partial x_1}, \dots, \frac{\partial}{\partial x_n} \rangle$ be the Weyl algebra associated with the polynomial ring \mathcal{O}_X , and $\mathcal{O}_Y := \mathcal{O}_X^{\mathcal{S}_{n_1} \times \cdots \times \mathcal{S}_{n_r}} = \mathbb{C}[y_1, \dots, y_n]$ be the ring of invariant polynomials under the group $\mathcal{S}_{n_1} \times \cdots \times \mathcal{S}_{n_r}$. We denote by $\mathcal{D}_Y = \mathbb{C}\langle y_1, \dots, y_n, \frac{\partial}{\partial y_1}, \dots, \frac{\partial}{\partial y_n} \rangle$ the Weyl algebra associated with \mathcal{O}_Y . We have $\mathcal{O}_U = \mathbb{C}[x_1, \dots, x_n, \Delta^{-1}]$, $\mathcal{O}_V = \mathbb{C}[y_1, \dots, y_n, \Delta^{-2}]$, and

$$\mathcal{D}_V = \mathbb{C}\langle y_1, \dots, y_n, \frac{\partial}{\partial y_1}, \dots, \frac{\partial}{\partial y_n}, \Delta^{-2} \rangle.$$

We adopt the following notations for $i = 1, \dots, r$

$$\Delta_i = \prod_{n_1+\dots+n_{i-1}+1 \leq i < j \leq n_1+\dots+n_i} (x_i - x_j)$$

$$\mathcal{O}_{X_i} := \mathbb{C}[x_{n_1+\dots+n_{i-1}+1}, \dots, x_{n_1+\dots+n_i} \Delta_i^{-1}],$$

$$\mathcal{O}_{Y_i} := \mathbb{C}[y_{n_1+\dots+n_{i-1}+1}, \dots, y_{n_1+\dots+n_i} \Delta_i^{-2}], \text{ and}$$

$$\mathcal{D}_{Y_i} := \mathbb{C}\langle y_{n_1+\dots+n_{i-1}+1}, \dots, y_{n_1+\dots+n_i}, \frac{\partial}{\partial y_{n_1+\dots+n_{i-1}+1}}, \dots, \frac{\partial}{\partial y_{n_1+\dots+n_i}}, \Delta_i^{-2} \rangle.$$

Then we have $\mathcal{O}_U = \mathcal{O}_{X_1} \otimes \dots \otimes \mathcal{O}_{X_r}$, $\mathcal{O}_V = \mathcal{O}_{Y_1} \otimes \dots \otimes \mathcal{O}_{Y_r}$, $\mathcal{D}_V = \mathcal{D}_{Y_1} \otimes \dots \otimes \mathcal{D}_{Y_r}$ and $\Delta = \prod \Delta_i$.

For M_i a \mathcal{D}_{Y_i} -module $i = 1, \dots, r$, we make $M_1 \otimes \dots \otimes M_r$ into a \mathcal{D}_V -module by setting

$$(D_1 \otimes \dots \otimes D_r)(m_1 \otimes \dots \otimes m_r) = D_1 m_1 \otimes \dots \otimes D_r m_r \quad (3.1)$$

for $D_i \in \mathcal{D}_{Y_i}$ and $m_i \in \mathcal{O}_{X_i}$, $i = 1, \dots, r$.

Lemma 3.3. \mathcal{O}_U is a \mathcal{D}_V -module.

Proof. Since by [8, Lemma 3.1] \mathcal{O}_{X_i} is a \mathcal{D}_{Y_i} -module for $i = 1, \dots, r$, it clearly follows from equality (3.1). \square

Let V_i be a $\mathbb{C}[\mathcal{S}_{n_i}]$ -module ($i = 1, \dots, r$), we define the action $\mathbb{C}[\mathcal{S}_{n_1} \times \dots \times \mathcal{S}_{n_r}]$ on $V_1 \otimes \dots \otimes V_r$ by

$$(s_1 \times \dots \times s_r)(v_1 \otimes \dots \otimes v_r) = s_1 v_1 \otimes \dots \otimes s_r v_r,$$

for $s_i \in \mathcal{S}_{n_i}$, $v_i \in V_i$, $1, \dots, r$. This makes $V_1 \otimes \dots \otimes V_r$ into $\mathbb{C}[\mathcal{S}_{n_1} \times \dots \times \mathcal{S}_{n_r}]$. If V_i is an irreducible $\mathbb{C}[\mathcal{S}_{n_i}]$ -module ($i = 1, \dots, r$), then $V_1 \otimes \dots \otimes V_r$ is an irreducible $\mathbb{C}[\mathcal{S}_{n_1} \times \dots \times \mathcal{S}_{n_r}]$ -module and all irreducible $\mathbb{C}[\mathcal{S}_{n_1} \times \dots \times \mathcal{S}_{n_r}]$ -modules have this form [5, Chapter IV §27].

We know by [6, Proposition 3.2] that:

$$\mathbb{C}[\mathcal{S}_{n_1} \times \dots \times \mathcal{S}_{n_r}] \cong \mathbb{C}[\mathcal{S}_{n_1}] \otimes \dots \otimes \mathbb{C}[\mathcal{S}_{n_r}]. \quad (3.2)$$

A basis over \mathbb{C} of $\mathbb{C}[\mathcal{S}_{n_1} \times \dots \times \mathcal{S}_{n_r}]$ is given $\mathcal{F} = \{F_T^S; S \in ST(\lambda), T \in NST(\lambda) | \lambda \in \mathcal{P}_{r,n}\}$. For every couple $(\lambda, S) \in \mathcal{P}_{r,n} \times ST(\lambda)$ corresponds an irreducible $\mathcal{S}_{n_1} \times \dots \times \mathcal{S}_{n_r}$ -representation $V^S(\lambda)$. For $i = 1, \dots, r$ a basis over \mathbb{C} of $\mathbb{C}[\mathcal{S}_{n_i}]$ is given by $\mathcal{F}_i = \{F_{T^i}^{S^i}; S^i, T^i \in ST(\lambda) | \lambda \vdash n_i\}$. For every couple $(\lambda_i, S^i) \in \mathcal{P}_{1,n_i} \times ST(\lambda_i)$ corresponds an irreducible \mathcal{S}_{n_i} -representation $V^{S^i}(\lambda_i)$, $i = 1, \dots, r$, [14].

Lemma 3.4 (Identification map). *For $T = (T^1, \dots, T^r) \in NST(\lambda)$ and $S = (S^1, \dots, S^r) \in ST(\lambda)$, define the linear map $\varphi : \mathbb{C}[\mathcal{S}_{n_1} \times \dots \times \mathcal{S}_{n_r}] \rightarrow \mathbb{C}[\mathcal{S}_{n_1}] \otimes \dots \otimes \mathbb{C}[\mathcal{S}_{n_r}]$ by $\varphi(F_T^S) = F_{T^1}^{S^1} \otimes \dots \otimes F_{T^r}^{S^r}$. Then φ is a $\mathbb{C}[\mathcal{S}_{n_1} \times \dots \times \mathcal{S}_{n_r}]$ -isomorphism. Moreover we have*

$$\varphi(V^S(\lambda)) = V^{S^1}(\lambda_1) \otimes \dots \otimes V^{S^r}(\lambda_r).$$

Proof. It obvious that φ is a $\mathbb{C}[\mathcal{S}_{n_1} \times \dots \times \mathcal{S}_{n_r}]$ which is a bijection. \square

From now we will use the map φ in Lemma 3.4 to identify elements of $\mathbb{C}[\mathcal{S}_{n_1} \times \cdots \times \mathcal{S}_{n_r}]$ with elements of $\mathbb{C}[\mathcal{S}_{n_1}] \otimes \cdots \otimes \mathbb{C}[\mathcal{S}_{n_r}]$.

3.2. Simple components and their multiplicities. Let $\lambda \in \mathcal{P}_{r,n}$ be an r -diagram of size n and $T \in NST(\lambda)$ a natural standard tableau and let the \mathbf{e}_T be as in (2,2). The element \mathbf{e}_T is a primitive idempotents $\mathbb{C}[\mathcal{S}_{n_1} \times \cdots \times \mathcal{S}_{n_r}]$ and each primitive idempotent of $\mathbb{C}[\mathcal{S}_{n_1} \times \cdots \times \mathcal{S}_{n_r}]$ is associated with a natural standard tableau [15, chapter V, § 10]. $\{\mathbf{e}_T; T \in NST(\lambda), \lambda \in \mathcal{P}_{r,n}\}$ is the complete list of all primitive idempotents of $\mathbb{C}[\mathcal{S}_{n_1} \times \cdots \times \mathcal{S}_{n_r}]$.

For $i = 1, \dots, r$ let $\lambda_i \vdash n_i$; the canonical standard tableau S_0^i of shape λ_i is the unique λ_i -tableau whose cells are numbered from the left to the right in successive rows, starting from the top. Let T^i be a λ_i -standard tableau, we denote by F_{T^i} the ordinary Specht polynomial associated with T^i [12]. Then the higher Specht polynomial $F_{T^i}^{S_0^i}$ is proportional to the Specht polynomial F_{T^i} [14]. The following theorem is the analog of [10, Theorem 3] for product of symmetric groups.

Theorem 3.5. *Let $\lambda \in \mathcal{P}_{r,n}$ be an r -diagram of size n , $T \in NST(\lambda)$ a natural standard tableau of shape λ , and \mathbf{e}_T is the primitive idempotent associated with T . Then we have :*

- (1) $\mathbf{e}_T \mathcal{O}_U$ is a nontrivial \mathcal{D}_V -submodule of \mathcal{O}_U ,
- (2) The \mathcal{D}_V -module $\mathbf{e}_T \mathcal{O}_U$ is simple,
- (3) There exist a $S \in ST(\lambda)$ and a higher Specht polynomial F_T^S for (T, S) such that $\mathbf{e}_T \mathcal{O}_U = \mathcal{D}_V F_T^S$.

Proof. Let $\lambda \in \mathcal{P}_{r,n}$ be an r -diagram of size n and $T \in NST(\lambda)$, There exist $n_1, \dots, n_r \in \mathbb{N}$, $\lambda^i \vdash n_i$, and $T_i \in ST(\lambda_i)$, $i = 1, \dots, r$ such that

$$\sum n_i = n, \quad \lambda = (\lambda^1, \dots, \lambda^r) \text{ and } \mathbf{e}_T = \mathbf{e}_{T^1} \cdots \mathbf{e}_{T^r}.$$

- (1) We know that e_{T^i} is an primitive idempotent for $\mathbb{C}[\mathcal{S}_{n_i}]$, $i = 1, \dots, r$ and $\mathbf{e}_T \mathcal{O}_U = (\mathbf{e}_{T^1} \cdots \mathbf{e}_{T^r}) \mathcal{O}_U = \mathbf{e}_{T^1} \mathcal{O}_{X_1} \otimes \cdots \otimes \mathbf{e}_{T^r} \mathcal{O}_{X_r}$. By [10, Theorem 3], $\mathbf{e}_{T^i} \mathcal{O}_{X_i}$ is a nontrivial \mathcal{D}_{Y_i} module for $i = 1, \dots, r$. Hence $\mathbf{e}_T \mathcal{O}_U$ is a nontrivial module over \mathcal{D}_V .
- (2) Since e_{T^i} being a primitive idempotent for $\mathbb{C}[\mathcal{S}_{n_i}]$, $i = 1, \dots, r$, by [10, Theorem 3], we have that $\mathbf{e}_{T^i} \mathcal{O}_{X_i}$ is a simple \mathcal{D}_{Y_i} -modules for $i = 1, \dots, r$. Then $\mathbf{e}_{T^1} \mathcal{O}_{X_1} \otimes \cdots \otimes \mathbf{e}_{T^r} \mathcal{O}_{X_r}$ is an irreducible $\mathcal{D}_{Y_1} \otimes \cdots \otimes \mathcal{D}_{Y_r}$ -module. Hence $\mathbf{e}_T \mathcal{O}_U$ is a simple \mathcal{D}_V -module.
- (3) Let S_0^i be the canonical standard tableau of shape λ_i , $i = 1, \dots, r$ and we know that the higher Specht polynomial $F_{T^i}^{S_0^i}$, is proportional to the Specht polynomial F_{T^i} of T^i , $i = 1, \dots, r$. Then By [10, Theorem 3] we have that $\mathbf{e}_{T^i} \mathcal{O}_{X_i} = \mathcal{D}_{Y_i} F_{T^i}$, $i = 1, \dots, r$

so that,

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbf{e}_T \mathcal{O}_U &= \mathbf{e}_{T^1} \mathcal{O}_{X_1} \otimes \cdots \otimes \mathbf{e}_{T^r} \mathcal{O}_{X_r} \\
&= \mathcal{D}_{Y_1} F_{T^1} \otimes \cdots \otimes \mathcal{D}_{Y_r} F_{T^r} \text{ by [10, Theorem 3 (iii)]} \\
&= \mathcal{D}_{Y_1} F_{T^1}^{S_0^1} \otimes \cdots \otimes \mathcal{D}_{Y_r} F_{T^r}^{S_0^r} \\
&= (\mathcal{D}_{Y_1} \otimes \cdots \otimes \mathcal{D}_{Y_r})(F_{T^1}^{S_0^1} \otimes \cdots \otimes F_{T^r}^{S_0^r}) \\
&= \mathcal{D}_V F_T^{S_0} \text{ by the identification map Lemma 3.3}
\end{aligned}$$

where $T = (T^1, \dots, T^r)$ and $S_0 = (S_0^1, \dots, S_0^r)$.

□

From now we adopt the following notation. Let λ be an r -diagram of size n , $T \in NST(\lambda)$, put $F_T := F_T^{S_0}$ where $S_0 = (S_0^1, \dots, S_0^r)$ so that $\mathbf{e}_T \mathcal{O}_U = \mathcal{D}_V F_T$. We denote by F_λ the unique higher Specht polynomial $F_{S_0}^{S_0}$.

Corollary 3.6. With the above notations, $\mathbf{e}_{T_1} \mathcal{O}_U \cong_{\mathcal{D}_V} \mathbf{e}_{T_2} \mathcal{O}_U$ if T_1 and T_2 have the same shape i.e. if there is a r -diagram λ of size n such that $T_1, T_2 \in NST(\lambda)$.

Proof. Let $T_1, T_2 \in NST(\lambda)$ with $T_1 = (T_1^1, \dots, T_1^r)$ and $T_2 = (T_2^1, \dots, T_2^r)$. Then $\mathbf{e}_{T_1} = \mathbf{e}_{T_1^1} \cdots \mathbf{e}_{T_1^r}$ and $\mathbf{e}_{T_2} = \mathbf{e}_{T_2^1} \cdots \mathbf{e}_{T_2^r}$, so that $\mathbf{e}_{T_k} \mathcal{O}_U \cong \mathbf{e}_{T_k^1} \mathcal{O}_{X_1} \otimes \cdots \otimes \mathbf{e}_{T_k^r} \mathcal{O}_{X_r}$, $k = 1, 2$. By [10, Corollary 2], we know that $\mathbf{e}_{T_1^i} \mathcal{O}_{X_i} \cong_{\mathcal{D}_{Y_i}} \mathbf{e}_{T_2^i} \mathcal{O}_{X_i}$ if T_1^i and T_2^i have the same shape, $i = 1, \dots, r$. Hence $\mathbf{e}_{T_1} \mathcal{O}_U \cong_{\mathcal{D}_V} \mathbf{e}_{T_2} \mathcal{O}_U$ if T_1 and T_2 have the same shape. □

Proposition 3.7. Let $\lambda = (\lambda^1, \dots, \lambda^r)$ be an r -diagram of size n , $T \in NST(\lambda)$, and \mathbf{e}_T the primitive idempotent associated with T . Then with the notation above, we have:

(1)

$$\mathcal{O}_U = \bigoplus_{\lambda \in \mathcal{P}_{r,n}} \left(\bigoplus_{T \in NST(\lambda)} \mathcal{D}_V F_T \right), \quad (3.3)$$

(2)

$$\mathcal{O}_U \cong \bigoplus_{\lambda \in \mathcal{P}_{r,n}} f^\lambda \mathcal{D}_V F_\lambda, \quad (3.4)$$

where $f^\lambda = \dim_{\mathbb{C}}(V^{S_0}(\lambda))$.

Proof. (1) Since $1 = \sum_{\lambda \in \mathcal{P}_{r,n}} \sum_{T \in NST(\lambda)} \mathbf{e}_T$, we have $\mathcal{O}_U = \sum_{\lambda \in \mathcal{P}_{r,n}} \sum_{T \in NST(\lambda)} \mathbf{e}_T \mathcal{O}_U$.

Let $m \in \mathbf{e}_{T_1} \mathcal{O}_U \cap \mathbf{e}_{T_2} \mathcal{O}_U$ with $T_1 \neq T_2$ so that $m = \mathbf{e}_{T_1} m_1$ and $m = \mathbf{e}_{T_2} m_2$. Then $\mathbf{e}_{T_1} m = \mathbf{e}_{T_1} \mathbf{e}_{T_2} m_2 = 0$, hence $m = 0$. It is clear that $\mathbf{e}_{T_1} \mathcal{O}_U \cap \mathbf{e}_{T_2} \mathcal{O}_U = \{0\}$ and

$$\mathcal{O}_U = \bigoplus_{\lambda} \left(\bigoplus_{T \in NST(\lambda)} \mathbf{e}_T \mathcal{O}_U \right),$$

where the $\mathbf{e}_T \mathcal{O}_U$ are simple \mathcal{D}_V -modules. Since to each an r -tableau T corresponds a higher Specht polynomial F_T such that

$$\mathbf{e}_T \mathcal{O}_U = \mathcal{D}_V F_T \text{ then } \mathcal{O}_U = \bigoplus_{\lambda \in \mathcal{P}_{r,n}} \left(\bigoplus_{T \in NST(\lambda)} \mathcal{D}_V F_T \right).$$

- (2) By Corollary 3.6, $\mathcal{D}_V F_{T_j} \cong \mathcal{D}_V F_{T_i}$ if $T_i, T_j \in NST(\lambda)$ for some $\lambda \in \mathcal{P}_{r,n}$ and so we have f^λ isomorphic copies of $\mathcal{D}_V F_\lambda$ in the direct sum (3.3). □

Using Proposition 3.1 and Proposition 3.2 we get the next theorem.

Theorem 3.8. (i) $N_T := \mathcal{D}_Y F_T$ is an irreducible \mathcal{D}_Y -submodule of $\pi_+(\mathcal{O}_X)$.

- (ii) There is a direct sum decomposition

$$\pi_+(\mathcal{O}_X) = \bigoplus_{\lambda \in \mathcal{P}_{r,n}} \bigoplus_{T \in NST(\lambda)} N_T \quad (3.5)$$

We get in Theorem 3.8 a decomposition of the $\pi_+(\mathcal{O}_X)$ into irreducible \mathcal{D}_Y modules generated by the higher Specht polynomials.

3.3. Using correspondence between G -representations and D -modules. Recall that if M is a semi-simple module over a ring R , and N is simple R -module, then the isotopic component of M associated with N is the sum $\sum N' \subset M$ of all $N' \subset M$ such that $N' \cong N$.

Proposition 3.9. For $i = 1, \dots, r$, let $V(\lambda^i)$ be the Specht module corresponding to the partition $\lambda^i \vdash n_i$, T^i a λ^i -standard tableau and $M_i := \mathcal{O}_{X_i}$ and $M_i^{\lambda^i}$ the isotopic component of M_i (as \mathcal{O}_{Y_i} -module) associated with $V(\lambda^i)$. Then

- (i) $\mathbf{e}_{T^i}(V(\lambda^i)) = \{\mathbf{e}_{T^i}(m) | m \in V(\lambda^i)\}$ is a one dimensional \mathbb{C} -vector space.
- (ii) $M_i^{\lambda^i}$ is \mathcal{D}_{Y_i} -module.
- (iii) $\mathbf{e}_{T^i}(M_i^{\lambda^i})$ is a \mathcal{D}_{Y_i} -module

Proof. (i) In fact we have

$$\mathbf{e}_{T^i} V(\lambda^i) \cong \mathbf{e}_{T^i} \mathbb{C}[\mathcal{S}_{n_i}] \mathbf{e}_{T^i} \cong \mathbb{C} \mathbf{e}_{T^i} \text{ by [2, Theorem 3.9]}$$

- (ii) We only have to prove that $\mathcal{D}_{Y_i} M_i^{\lambda^i} \subset M_i^{\lambda^i}$. Let $D \in \mathcal{D}_{Y_i}$ and N be a $\mathbb{C}[\mathcal{S}_{n_i}]$ -module isomorphic to $V(\lambda^i)$, since by [8, Corollary 3.5] D commute with the elements of the group algebra $\mathbb{C}[\mathcal{S}_{n_i}]$, D is an $\mathbb{C}[\mathcal{S}_{n_i}]$ -homomorphism from N into $D(N)$. Then by virtue of the Schur lemma $D(N) = 0$ or $D(N) \cong N$ as a $\mathbb{C}[\mathcal{S}_{n_i}]$ -module, and $D(N) \subset M_i^{\lambda^i}$. Hence $\mathcal{D}_{Y_i} M_i^{\lambda^i} \subset M_i^{\lambda^i}$.
- (iii) Let $D \in \mathcal{D}_{Y_i}$, we have $D(\mathbf{e}_{T^i}(M_i^{\lambda^i})) = \mathbf{e}_{T^i}(D(M_i^{\lambda^i})) \subset \mathbf{e}_{T^i}(M_i^{\lambda^i})$, so that $\mathbf{e}_{T^i}(M_i^{\lambda^i})$ is a \mathcal{D}_{Y_i} -module. □

Let us recall the correspondence between G -representations and D -modules [9, Paragraph 2.4]. Let L and K be two extensions fields a field k , denote by $T_{K/k}$ the k -linear derivations of K . We say that a $T_{K/k}$ -module M is L -trivial if $L \otimes_K M \cong L^n$ as $T_{L/k}$ -modules. Denote by $\text{Mod}^L(T_{K/k})$ the full subcategory of finitely generated $T_{K/k}$ -modules that are L -trivial. It is immediate that it is closed under taking submodules and quotient modules. Using a lifting ϕ , L may be thought of as a $T_{K/k}$ -module. If G is a finite group let $\text{Mod}(k[G])$ be the category of finite-dimensional representations of $k[G]$. Let now $k \rightarrow K \rightarrow L$ be a tower of fields such that $K = L^G$. Note that the action of $T_{K/k}$ commutes with the action of G . If V is a $k[G]$ -module, $L \otimes_k V$ is a $T_{K/k}$ -module by $D(l \otimes v) = D(l) \otimes v$, $D \in T_{K/k}$, and $(L \otimes_k V)^G$ is a $T_{K/k}$ -submodule.

Proposition 3.10. The functor

$$\nabla : \text{Mod}(k[G]) \rightarrow \text{Mod}(T_{K/k}), \quad V \mapsto (L \otimes_k V)^G$$

is fully faithful, and defines an equivalence of categories

$$\text{Mod}(k[G]) \rightarrow \text{Mod}^L(T_{K/k}).$$

The quasi-inverse of ∇ is the functor

$$\text{Loc} : \text{Mod}^L(T_{K/k}) \rightarrow \text{Mod}(k[G]), \quad \text{Loc}(M) = (L \otimes_K M)^{\phi(T_{K/k})}.$$

Proof. see [9, Proposition 2.4] □

In the following proposition we take $G = \mathcal{S}_{n_i}$, K the field of fractions of \mathcal{O}_{Y_i} and L the field of fractions of \mathcal{O}_{X_i} so that $K = L^{\mathcal{S}_{n_i}}$. It is clear that L is a Galois extension of K with Galois \mathcal{S}_{n_i} , $i = 1, \dots, r$.

Proposition 3.11. For $i = 1, \dots, r$, let T^i be a λ^i -standard tableau where $\lambda^i \vdash n_i$, $M_{T^i} := \mathbf{e}_{T^i} \mathcal{O}_{X_i}$, $V(\lambda^i) := V^{\mathcal{S}_{n_i}^0}(\lambda^i)$ and $ST(n_i) = \bigcup_{\lambda^i \vdash n_i} ST(\lambda^i)$. Then we have:

- (1) $M_{T^i} = \nabla(V(\lambda^i))$,
- (2) $M_{T^i} = \mathbf{e}_{T^i}(M_i^{\lambda^i})$ is simple \mathcal{D}_{Y_i} -module;
- (3) $M_i^{\lambda^i} = \bigoplus_{T^i \in ST(n_i)} \mathbf{e}_{T^i}(M_i^{\lambda^i})$.

Proof. (1) Let us consider the right $\mathbb{C}[\mathcal{S}_{n_i}]$ -module $V = \mathbf{e}_{T^i} \mathbb{C}[\mathcal{S}_{n_i}]$ where T^i is a λ^i -standard tableau. This is the image of $\mathbb{C}[\mathcal{S}_{n_i}]$ by right multiplication map $\mathbf{e}_{T^i} : \mathbb{C}[\mathcal{S}_{n_i}] \rightarrow \mathbb{C}[\mathcal{S}_{n_i}]$. By [9, Example 2.5], we may turn this map into a left multiplication $\mathbb{C}[\mathcal{S}_{n_i}]^r \rightarrow \mathbb{C}[\mathcal{S}_{n_i}]^r$ and get an image which is isomorphic to $V(\lambda^i)$. Then we have an induced map

$$\nabla(\mathbb{C}[\mathcal{S}_{n_i}]^r) \rightarrow \nabla(V(\lambda^i)) \subset \nabla(\mathbb{C}[\mathcal{S}_{n_i}]^r),$$

which is a multiplication by \mathbf{e}_{T^i} according to [9, Example 2.5]. Then $\nabla(V(\lambda^i))$ is equal to $\mathbf{e}_{T^i} \mathcal{O}_{X_i} = M_{T^i}$.

- (2) Since $V(\lambda^i)$ is a simple $\mathbb{C}[\mathcal{S}_{n_i}]$ -module, $\nabla(V(\lambda^i))$ is also a simple \mathcal{D}_{Y_i} -module.
- (3) follows from the fact that $1 = \sum_{T \in ST(n_i)} \mathbf{e}_T$ and $\mathbf{e}_T(M^{\lambda^i}) = 0$ if T is not a λ^i -tableau. \square

Proposition 3.12. For $i = 1, \dots, r$, let T^i be a λ^i -standard tableau where $\lambda^i \vdash n_i$, let $M_{T^i} := \mathbf{e}_{T^i} \mathcal{O}_{X_i}$. Then

- (1) $M_{T^i} = \bigoplus_{S^i \in ST(\lambda^i)} \mathcal{O}_{Y_i} F_{T^i}^{S^i}$ as \mathcal{D}_{Y_i} -module,
- (2) $\mathcal{O}_{X_i} = \bigoplus_{\lambda^i \vdash n_i} \left(\bigoplus_{S^i, T^i \in ST(\lambda^i)} \mathcal{O}_{Y_i} F_{T^i}^{S^i} \right)$ as a \mathcal{D}_{Y_i} -module.

Proof. (1) For a fixed $S^i \in ST(\lambda^i)$, we know that the polynomial $F_{T^i}^{S^i}$ generate a cyclic $\mathbb{C}[\mathcal{S}_{n_i}]$ -module inside \mathcal{O}_{X_i} which is isomorphic to $V(\lambda^i)$. Then $F_{T^i}^{S^i} \in M_i^{\lambda^i}$ and $M_i^{\lambda^i} = \bigoplus_{S^i, T^i \in ST(\lambda^i)} \mathbb{C}[\mathcal{S}_{n_i}] F_{T^i}^{S^i} \mathcal{O}_{Y_i}$ by [14]. Moreover $\mathbf{e}_{T^i}(F_{T^i}^{S^i}) = c F_{T^i}^{S^i}$, $c \in \mathbb{C}$ and by Lemma 3.9 $\mathbf{e}_{T^i}(\mathbb{C}[\mathcal{S}_{n_i}] F_{T^i}^{S^i}) = \mathbb{C} F_{T^i}^{S^i}$. Hence $M_{T^i} = \mathbf{e}_{T^i}(M_i^{\lambda^i}) = \bigoplus_{S^i \in ST(\lambda^i)} \mathcal{O}_{Y_i} F_{T^i}^{S^i}$.

- (2) follows from Proposition 3.11 and [8, Theorem 3.6]. \square

Theorem 3.13. Let $\lambda \in \mathcal{P}_{r,n}$ be an r -diagram of size n , $T \in NST(\lambda)$ and $M_T := \mathbf{e}_T \mathcal{O}_U$. Then

- (1) $M_T = \bigoplus_{S \in ST(\lambda)} \mathcal{O}_V F_T^S$ as \mathcal{D}_V -module,
- (2) $\mathcal{O}_U = \bigoplus_{\lambda \in \mathcal{P}_{r,n}} \left(\bigoplus_{S \in STab(\lambda) T \in NSTab(\lambda)} \mathcal{O}_V F_T^S \right)$ as a \mathcal{D}_V -module.

Proof. (1) Suppose that $\lambda = (\lambda^1, \dots, \lambda^r)$, $T = (T^1, \dots, T^r)$, with $\lambda^i \vdash n_i$, $T^i \in ST(\lambda^i)$, $i = 1, \dots, r$ and $\sum n_i = n$. We have that

$$\begin{aligned}
 M_T &= \mathbf{e}_T \mathcal{O}_U \\
 &= (\mathbf{e}_{T^1} \times \dots \times \mathbf{e}_{T^r})(\mathcal{O}_{X_1} \otimes \dots \otimes \mathcal{O}_{X_r}) \\
 &= \mathbf{e}_{T^1} \mathcal{O}_{X_1} \otimes \dots \otimes \mathbf{e}_{T^r} \mathcal{O}_{X_r} \\
 &= M_{T^1} \otimes \dots \otimes M_{T^r} \\
 &= \left(\bigoplus_{S^1 \in ST(\lambda^1)} \mathcal{O}_{Y_1} F_{T^1}^{S^1} \right) \otimes \dots \otimes \left(\bigoplus_{S^r \in ST(\lambda^r)} \mathcal{O}_{Y_r} F_{T^r}^{S^r} \right) \text{ by Proposition 3.12} \\
 &= \bigoplus_{S^i \in ST(\lambda^i)} \left(\mathcal{O}_{Y_1} \otimes \dots \otimes \mathcal{O}_{Y_r} \right) \left(F_{T^1}^{S^1} \otimes \dots \otimes F_{T^r}^{S^r} \right) \\
 &= \bigoplus_{S \in ST(\lambda)} \mathcal{O}_V F_T^S \text{ by Lemma 3.4 with } S = (S^1, \dots, S^r).
 \end{aligned}$$

(2) follows from the fact that $\mathcal{O}_U = \mathcal{O}_{X_1} \otimes \cdots \otimes \mathcal{O}_{X_r}$ and

$$\mathcal{O}_{X_i} = \bigoplus_{\lambda^i \vdash n_i} \left(\bigoplus_{S^i, T^i \in ST(\lambda^i)} \mathcal{O}_{Y_i} F_{T^i}^{S^i} \right)$$

□

3.4. Invariant differential operators and higher Specht polynomials for the symmetric group. In this subsection we investigate the action of invariant differential operators on higher Specht polynomials. Let $\lambda \vdash n$, T a λ -tableau, and let $C(T)$ be column stabilizer of T , by [8, Lemma 4.4] we know that for every derivation \mathbf{D} such that $\mathbf{D}(F_T) \neq 0$ then there exists a polynomial G in $\mathbb{C}[x_1, \dots, x_n]^{C(T)}$, the polynomial ring invariant under the subgroup $C(T)$, such that $\mathbf{D}(F_T) = F_T G$, we will show that this is also true for the higher Specht polynomials.

For $i = 1, \dots, r$, let $\lambda^i \vdash n_i$, $S^i \in ST(\lambda^i)$ and $T^i \in ST(\lambda^i)$, we have that for all $\sigma \in C(T^i)$, $\sigma(F_{T^i}) = \text{sgn}(\sigma)F_{T^i}$ and $\sigma(F_{T^i}^{S^i}) = \text{sgn}(\sigma)F_{T^i}^{S^i}$.

Lemma 3.14. *For $i = 1, \dots, r$, let $\lambda^i \vdash n_i$, $T^i, S^i \in ST(\lambda^i)$. Then there exists a polynomial $G \in \mathcal{O}_{X_i}^{C(T^i)}$ such that $F_{T^i}^{S^i} = F_{T^i} G$.*

Proof. Let us consider the linear application $\varphi : V(\lambda^i) \rightarrow V^{S^i}(\lambda^i)$ defined by $\varphi(F_{T^i}) = F_{T^i}^{S^i}$. For every $\sigma \in \mathbb{C}[\mathcal{S}_{n_i}]$, we have that $\varphi(\sigma F_{T^i}) = \sigma \varphi(F_{T^i})$, so that φ is a $\mathbb{C}[\mathcal{S}_{n_i}]$ -homomorphism and by the Schur' lemma φ is a $\mathbb{C}[\mathcal{S}_{n_i}]$ -isomorphism. Suppose that x_k and x_l occur in the same column of T^i , and let $\pi = (k, l)$ the transposition of k and l . Then

$$\pi F_{T^i}^{S^i} = \pi \varphi(F_{T^i}) = \varphi(\pi F_{T^i}) = \varphi(-F_{T^i}) = -F_{T^i}^{S^i}.$$

This implies that $(x_k - x_l)$ is a factor of $F_{T^i}^{S^i}$. This holds for each linear factor of F_{T^i} , so that F_{T^i} divides $F_{T^i}^{S^i}$. Hence there exists a polynomial $G \in \mathbb{C}[x_{n_1+\dots+n_{i-1}+1}, \dots, x_{n_1+\dots+n_i}]$ such that $F_{T^i}^{S^i} = F_{T^i} G$. Let now $\sigma \in C(T^i)$, we get

$$\sigma G = \sigma \left(\frac{F_{T^i}^{S^i}}{F_{T^i}} \right) = \frac{\sigma F_{T^i}^{S^i}}{\sigma F_{T^i}} = \frac{\text{sgn } \sigma F_{T^i}^{S^i}}{\text{sgn } \sigma F_{T^i}} = G.$$

Then $G \in \mathbb{C}[x_{n_1+\dots+n_{i-1}+1}, \dots, x_{n_1+\dots+n_i}]^{C(T^i)}$. □

Lemma 3.15. *For $i = 1, \dots, r$, let $\lambda^i \vdash n_i$, $T^i, S^i \in ST(\lambda^i)$, and \mathbf{D} a derivation in \mathcal{D}_{Y_i} such that $\mathbf{D}(F_{T^i}^{S^i}) \neq 0$. Then there exists a polynomial $G \in \mathcal{O}_{X_i}^{C(T^i)}$ such that $\mathbf{D}(F_{T^i}^{S^i}) = F_{T^i} G$.*

Proof. Let \mathbf{D} a derivation in \mathcal{D}_{Y_i} , we have that

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{D}(F_{T^i}^{S^i}) &= \mathbf{D}(F_{T^i}G') \text{ where } G' \text{ is a polynomial in } \mathcal{O}_{X_i}^{C(T^i)} \text{ by Lemma 3.14} \\ &= \mathbf{D}(F_T)G' + F_T\mathbf{D}(G') \\ &= F_TG''G' + F_T\mathbf{D}(G') \text{ where } G'' \in \mathcal{O}_{X_i}^{C(T^i)} \text{ by [8, Lemma 4.4]} \\ &= F_T(G''G' + \mathbf{D}(G')) \text{ with } G', G'' \in \mathcal{O}_{X_i}^{C(T^i)}. \end{aligned}$$

Now let $\pi \in C(T^i)$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} \pi(G''G' + \mathbf{D}(G')) &= \pi(G'')\pi(G') + \pi\mathbf{D}(G') \\ &= G''G' + \mathbf{D}(\pi G') \text{ since } G', G'' \in \mathcal{O}_{X_i}^{C(T^i)} \\ &= G''G' + \mathbf{D}(G') \end{aligned}$$

Then $G''G' + \mathbf{D}(G') \in \mathcal{O}_{X_i}^{C(T^i)}$. Set $G = G''G' + \mathbf{D}(G')$ and we get $\mathbf{D}(F_{T^i}^{S^i}) = F_{T^i}G$. \square

Proposition 3.16. let $\lambda \in \mathcal{P}_{r,n}$ be an r -diagram, $T, S \in ST(\lambda)$ and \mathbf{D} a derivation in \mathcal{D}_V such that $\mathbf{D}(F_T^S) \neq 0$. Then there exists a polynomial $G \in \mathcal{O}_U^{C(T)}$, where $C(T) = C(T^1) \times \dots \times C(T^r)$ such that $\mathbf{D}(F_T^S) = F_TG$.

Proof. For $i = 1 \dots r$, there exists a derivation $D_i \in \mathcal{D}_{Y_i}$ with $D_i(F_{T^i}^{S^i}) \neq 0$, such that $\mathbf{D} = D_1 \otimes \dots \otimes D_r$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{D}(F_T^S) &= (D_1 \otimes \dots \otimes D_r)(F_T^S) \\ &= (D_1 \otimes \dots \otimes D_r)(F_{T^1}^{S^1} \otimes \dots \otimes F_{T^r}^{S^r}) \text{ by Lemma 3.4} \\ &= D_1(F_{T^1}^{S^1}) \otimes \dots \otimes D_r(F_{T^r}^{S^r}) \\ &= F_{T^1}G_1 \otimes \dots \otimes F_{T^r}G_r \text{ where } G_i \in \mathcal{O}_{X_i}^{C(T^i)} \text{ by Lemma 3.15} \\ &= (F_{T^1} \otimes \dots \otimes F_{T^r})(G_1 \otimes \dots \otimes G_r) \text{ with } G_1 \otimes \dots \otimes G_r \in \mathcal{O}_U^{C(T)} \\ &= F_TG \text{ where } G = G_1 \otimes \dots \otimes G_r \text{ by Lemma 3.4} \end{aligned}$$

\square

Proposition 3.17. For $i = 1, \dots, r$, let $\lambda^i \vdash n_i$, $T^i, S^i \in ST(\lambda^i)$ and $\mathbf{D} \in \mathcal{D}_{Y_i}$ such that $\mathbf{D}(F_{T^i}^{S^i}) \neq 0$ for $S^i, T^i \in ST(\lambda^i)$. Then the image of the $\mathbb{C}[\mathcal{S}_{n_i}]$ -module $V^{S^i}(\lambda^i)$ by \mathbf{D} is an $\mathbb{C}[\mathcal{S}_{n_i}]$ -module isomorphic to $V^{S^i}(\lambda^i)$.

Proof. Let $\lambda^i \vdash n_i$, $\mathbf{D} \in \mathcal{D}_{Y_i}$ such that $\mathbf{D}(F_{T^i}^{S^i}) \neq 0$ for $S^i, T^i \in ST(\lambda^i)$ and set $W_{\mathbf{D}}^{S^i}(\lambda^i) := \mathbf{D}(V^{S^i}(\lambda^i))$ the image of the module $V^{S^i}(\lambda^i)$ under the map \mathbf{D} . Since the \mathbb{C} -vector space $V^{S^i}(\lambda^i)$ is equipped with a basis $\mathcal{F}^{S^i}(\lambda^i) = \{F_{T^i}^{S^i}; T^i \in ST(\lambda^i)\}$, $W_{\mathbf{D}}^{S^i}(\lambda^i)$ is the vector space spanned by the set $\{\mathbf{D}(F_{T^i}^{S^i}); T^i \in ST(\lambda^i)\}$. The elements of $\{F_{T^i}^{S^i}; T^i \in ST(\lambda^i)\}$

are linearly independent over \mathcal{D}_{Y_i} , otherwise the direct sums in Proposition 3.12 cannot hold. It follows that the elements in $\{\mathbf{D}(F_{T^i}^{S^i}); T^i \in ST(\lambda^i)\}$ are linear independent over \mathbb{C} . Hence $\{\mathbf{D}(F_{T^i}^{S^i}); T^i \in ST(\lambda^i)\}$ is a basis of $W_{\mathbf{D}}^{S^i}(\lambda^i)$ over \mathbb{C} . Since \mathbf{D} commute with elements of $\mathbb{C}[\mathcal{S}_{n_i}]$, $W_{\mathbf{D}}^{S^i}(\lambda^i)$ is an $\mathbb{C}[\mathcal{S}_{n_i}]$ -module isomorphic to $V^{S^i}(\lambda^i)$. \square

Theorem 3.18. *Let $\lambda \in \mathcal{P}_{r,n}$ be an r -diagram of size n , $T \in NST(\lambda)$ and $\mathbf{D} \in \mathcal{D}_V$ such that $\mathbf{D}(F_T^S) \neq 0$ for $S \in ST(\lambda)$. Then the image of the $\mathbb{C}[\mathcal{S}_{n_1} \times \cdots \times \mathcal{S}_{n_r}]$ -module $V^S(\lambda)$ by \mathbf{D} is a $\mathbb{C}[\mathcal{S}_{n_1} \times \cdots \times \mathcal{S}_{n_r}]$ -module isomorphic to $V^S(\lambda)$. In others words, the action of the differential operators of \mathcal{D}_V on the higher Specht polynomials generate isomorphic copies of the corresponding module.*

Proof. Let λ be an r -diagram of size n , $T \in NST(\lambda)$ and $\mathbf{D} \in \mathcal{D}_V$ such that $\mathbf{D}(F_T^S) \neq 0$ for $S \in ST(\lambda)$. Then \mathbf{D} may be written as $\mathbf{D} = D_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes D_r$ where $D_i \in \mathcal{D}_{Y_i}$, $i = 1, \dots, r$.

$$\mathbf{D}(F_T^S) = (D_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes D_r)(F_{T^1}^{S^1} \otimes \cdots \otimes F_{T^r}^{S^r}) = D_1(F_{T^1}^{S^1}) \otimes \cdots \otimes D_r(F_{T^r}^{S^r}) \neq 0,$$

so that $D_i F_{T^i}^{S^i} \neq 0$, $i = 1, \dots, r$. Then by Proposition 3.17, $D_i F_{T^i}^{S^i}$ generate a $\mathbb{C}[\mathcal{S}_{n_i}]$ -module isomorphic to $V^{S^i}(\lambda^i)$, $i = 1, \dots, r$. Hence $D_1(F_{T^1}^{S^1}) \otimes \cdots \otimes D_r(F_{T^r}^{S^r})$ generate a $\mathbb{C}[\mathcal{S}_{n_1} \times \cdots \times \mathcal{S}_{n_r}]$ -module isomorphic to $V^{S^1}(\lambda^1) \otimes \cdots \otimes V^{S^r}(\lambda^r) \cong V^S(\lambda)$ by Lemma 3.4. \square

REFERENCES

- [1] Ariki, S. Terasoma, T. and Yamada, H., *Higher Specht polynomials*, Hiroshima Math, 27 (1997), no. 1, 177-188.
- [2] Boerner, H. *Representations of Groups with special Consideration for the Needs of Modern Physics*, North-Holland, New York, NY, (1970).
- [3] de Cataldo, M. A.A and Migliorini, L., *The decomposition theorem, perverse sheaves and the topology of algebraic maps*, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. (N.S.) 46 (2009), no. 4, 535-633.
- [4] Coutinho, S. C., *A primer of algebraic D-modules*, 33, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1995.
- [5] Curtis, W.C and Reiner, I., *Representation Theory of Finite groups and Associative Algebras*, Interscience, New York, (1962).
- [6] Endelman, R. and Mukherjee, M., *Primitive central idempotents of the group algebra.*, arXiv:0803.1336v2 [math.RT] (2008)
- [7] Milies, P.C. and Sehgal, K.S, *An introduction to group rings*, volume 1 of Algebras and Applications. Kluwer Academic Publishers, Dorchet, (2002).
- [8] Nonkané, I., *Differential operators and the symmetric groups*, Journal of Physics: Conference Series 1730(1):012129, (2021).
- [9] Nonkané, I., *Specht polynomials and modules over the Weyl algebra*, Afr. Mat, 30, Issue 11 pp 279-290 (2019).
- [10] Nonkané, I., *Representation Theory of Groups and D-Modules*, International Journal of Mathematics and Mathematical Sciences, vol. 2021, Article ID 6613869, 7 pages, (2021).

- [11] Nonkané, I. and Todjihounde, L., *Differential operators and higher Specht polynomials.*, Proceedings of 10th International Conference on Mathematical Modeling in Physical Science (2021), accepted to appear in J of phys.: confer.ser.
- [12] Peel, M.H, *Specht modules and symmetric groups* , J. Algebra, 36, 1975. no. 1, 88-97
- [13] Sagan, Bruce. E., *The symmetric group. Representations, combinatorial algorithms, and symmetric functions*, Second edition. Graduate Texts in Mathematics, 203. Springer-Verlag, New York, (2001).
- [14] Terasoma, T. and Yamada, H., *Higher Specht polynomials for the symmetric group*, Proc Japan Acad, 69, (1993).
- [15] Weyl, Hermann, *The theory of groups and quantum mechanics*, Dover, New York (!950).

DEPARTEMENT D'ÉCONOMIE ET DE MATHÉMATIQUES APPLIQUÉES, IUFIC,
UNIVERSITÉ THOMAS SANKARA, BURKINA FASO
Email address: inonkane@univ-ouaga2.bf

INSTITUT DE MATHÉMATIQUES ET DE SCIENCES PHYSIQUES (IMSP), UNI-
VERSITÉ D'ABOMEY-CALAVI, BENIN
Email address: leonardt@imsp-uac.org