

"INFINITE" PROPERTIES OF CERTAIN LOCAL COHOMOLOGY MODULES OF DETERMINANTAL RINGS

PETER SCHENZEL

*Dedicated to Nguyen Tu Cuong on the occasion of his 70th birthday
and for a long friendship*

ABSTRACT. For given integers $m, n \geq 2$ there are examples of ideals I of complete determinantal local rings (R, \mathfrak{m}) , $\dim R = m + n - 1$, $\text{grade } I = n - 1$, with the canonical module ω_R and the property that the socle dimensions of $H_I^{m+n-2}(\omega_R)$ and $H_{\mathfrak{m}}^m(H_I^{n-1}(\omega_R))$ are not finite. In the case of $m = n$, i.e. a Gorenstein ring, the socle dimensions provide further information about the τ -numbers as studied in [10]. Moreover, the endomorphism ring of $H_I^{n-1}(\omega_R)$ is studied and shown to be an R -algebra of finite type but not finitely generated as R -module generalizing an example of [15].

1. INTRODUCTION

Let I denote an ideal of a local ring (R, \mathfrak{m}) with $\mathbb{k} = R/\mathfrak{m}$ its residue field. Let M be a finitely generated R -module, and let $H_I^i(M), i \in \mathbb{Z}$, denote the local cohomology modules of M with respect to I (see [3] or [1] for definitions). By $\text{grade}(I, M)$ we denote the length of the largest regular sequence contained in I . In the case of $M = R$, an equicharacteristic complete regular local ring, the following is known:

- (a) The Bass numbers $\dim_{\mathbb{k}} \text{Ext}_R^i(\mathbb{k}, H_I^i(R))$ are finite (see [8] and [9]).
- (b) The natural homomorphism $R \rightarrow \text{Hom}_R(H_I^c(R), H_I^c(R)), c = \text{grade } I$, is an isomorphism if and only if $H_I^i(R) = 0$ for $i = d - 1, d$, and $c < d - 1, d = \dim R$ (see [15]).

The socle $\text{Hom}_R(\mathbb{k}, H_I^i(M))$ is in general not finite dimensional as has been shown at first by R. Hartshorne (see [5]) by disproving a question by A. Grothendieck about cofiniteness of local cohomology, i.e. the finiteness of $\text{Hom}_R(R/I, H_I^i(R))$. In their paper Huneke and Koh (see [7]) studied cofiniteness of various ideals. Moreover they showed that for a field \mathbb{k} of characteristic zero and a polynomial ring $R = \mathbb{k}[x_{i,j}, 1 \leq 2, 1 \leq j \leq 3]$ the local cohomology $H_I^3(R)$ is not I -cofinite for I the ideal generated by the maximal minors of the 2×3 -matrix $(x_{i,j})$. A large class of local cohomology modules with infinite socle has been constructed by T. Marley and C. Vassilev (see [11]).

The main topic of the present note is to discuss and relate the properties (a) and (b) above in the non-smooth situation of certain determinantal rings. More precisely:

Theorem 1.1. *Let R denote the completion of the coordinate ring of the vanishing ideal of the 2×2 minors of an $m \times n$ -matrix of variables and therefore $\dim R = m + n - 1$. For the ideal I generated by the first $n - 1$ columns we have $\dim R/I = m$ and $\text{grade } I = n - 1$. Let ω_R denote the canonical module of R .*

Date: October 14, 2021.

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary: 13D45 ; Secondary: 13H10, 13J10.

Key words and phrases. local cohomology, determinantal ring, ring of endomorphisms.

- (a) $\text{Hom}_R(\mathbb{k}, H_I^{m+n-2}(\omega_R))$ and $\text{Hom}_R(\mathbb{k}, H_I^{m+n-2}(R))$ are not finite dimensional, that is I is not cofinite and $H_I^i(\omega_R) = 0$ for $i \neq n-1, m+n-2$.
- (b) $\text{Hom}_R(H_I^{n-1}(\omega_R), H_I^{n-1}(\omega_R))$ is an R -algebra of finite type, not finitely generated over R .
- (c) $\text{Hom}_R(\mathbb{k}, H_m^m(H_I^{n-1}(\omega_R)))$ and $\text{Hom}_R(\mathbb{k}, H_m^0(H_I^{m+n-2}(\omega_R)))$ are not finite dimensional.

In the case of $m = n$ the ring R is a Gorenstein ring and $\omega_R \cong R$. For $m = n = 2$ we recover R. Harsthorne's example (see [5]) by completely different arguments. For an ideal I the cohomological dimension is defined by $\text{cd } I = \sup\{i \in \mathbb{N} \mid H_I^i(R) \neq 0\}$ (introduced by R. Hartshorne (see [4])). Whence, $\text{cd } I = m + n - 2$ for the examples above. That is, possible non-cofinite ideals could occur in the highest non-vanishing cohomological level. In the case of a d -dimensional Gorenstein ring (R, \mathfrak{m}) the socle dimension $\text{Hom}_R(\mathbb{k}, H_m^i(H_I^{d-j}(R)))$ are called τ -numbers of type (i, j) of I (see [10]). In the case of a regular local ring containing a field they coincide with the Lyubeznik numbers introduced by Lyubeznik in [9] (see [10, 3.5] for the details). Therefore, the above results yield further information about these τ -numbers.

In Section 2 we prove the essentials about local cohomology for our purposes. Theorem 2.2 is the needed technical result for our constructions. Furthermore, we introduce the basics for the construction of our examples, based about some results of Segre varieties. In Section 3 we prove the statements of the examples introduced in Section 2. For the needed results about Commutative Algebra we refer to Matsumura's book [12]. For a few homological arguments resp. some facts about determinantal ideals we refer to [16] resp. to [2].

2. PRELIMINARIES AND CONSTRUCTIONS

At the beginning let us recall a few basics from local duality.

Notations and Recalls 2.1. (A) Let (R, \mathfrak{m}) denote a d -dimensional Cohen-Macaulay ring with $E = E_R(R/\mathfrak{m})$ the injective hull of its residue field. Suppose that R possesses a normalized dualizing complex D in the sense of [16, 11.4.6]. Then R admits a canonical module ω_R (see [13]). Moreover, for an arbitrary R -module X there is the following Local Duality Theorem

$$H_{\mathfrak{m}}^i(X) \cong \text{Hom}_R(\text{Ext}_R^{d-i}(X, \omega_R), E)$$

for all $i \geq 0$ (see e.g. [16, 10.4.3]). For further properties of ω_R we refer to [16] and [13].

(B) With the notation of (A) let M denote a finitely generated R -module. Then $\omega_R(M)$, the canonical module of M (in the sense of [13]), exists and there is an isomorphism $\text{Ext}_R^c(M, \omega_R) \cong \omega_R(M)$, where $c = \dim R - \dim_R M$ (see also [13] for more details).

(C) With the notation of (A) it follows that $\omega_R \xrightarrow{\sim} D$, a minimal injective resolution of ω_R , is a normalized dualizing complex in the sense of [16, 11.4.6]. Let M denote a finitely generated R -module. Then there is a natural morphism

$$M \rightarrow \text{Hom}_R(\text{Hom}_R(M, D), D)$$

that is an isomorphism in cohomology. Let $c = d - \dim_R M$, then it induces a natural homomorphism

$$M \rightarrow \text{Ext}_R^c(\text{Ext}_R^c(M, \omega_R), \omega_R) = \omega_R(\omega_R(M)).$$

(D) It is known that for an R -module M the module $\omega_R(\omega_R(M))$ is the S_2 -fication of M (see [13]). Moreover, the natural homomorphism $M \rightarrow \omega_R(\omega_R(M))$ induces a short exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow M/u(M) \rightarrow \omega_R(\omega_R(M)) \rightarrow C \rightarrow 0,$$

where $u(M)$ denotes the intersection of those primary components of M that are of highest dimension $\dim_R M$ and $\dim_R C \leq \dim_R M - 2$ for C the cokernel. Let $I \subset R$ an ideal of

grade c in the Cohen-Macaulay ring R . Then we get an inverse system of homomorphisms $R/I^\alpha \rightarrow \text{Ext}_R^c(\text{Ext}_R^c(R/I^\alpha, \omega_R), \omega_R)$. By passing to the inverse limit there is a homomorphism

$$\hat{R}^I \rightarrow B := \varprojlim \text{Ext}_R^c(\text{Ext}_R^c(R/I^\alpha, \omega_R), \omega_R) \cong \text{Ext}_R^c(H_I^c(\omega_R), \omega_R),$$

where \hat{R}^I denotes the I -adic completion of R . Note that the inverse maps are induced by $R/I^{\alpha+1} \rightarrow R/I^\alpha$. By a slight modification of the arguments of [15, Section 3] it follows that B is a commutative ring.

(E) For an arbitrary R -module X there is a natural homomorphism $R \rightarrow \text{Hom}_R(X, X), r \mapsto \phi(r)$, the multiplication by $r \in R$ on X . Its kernel is $\text{Ann}_R X$. In general the endomorphism ring $\text{Hom}_R(X, X)$ is not commutative.

For the property of the ring B in 2.1 (D) we need a few more intrinsic properties.

Theorem 2.2. *Let $(R, \mathfrak{m}, \mathbb{k})$ be a d -dimensional complete local Cohen-Macaulay ring. Let ω_R denote its canonical module. For an ideal $I \subset R$ with $c = \text{grade } I$ we have:*

(a) *There are isomorphisms*

$$\text{Hom}_R(H_I^c(\omega_R), H_I^c(\omega_R)) \cong \text{Ext}_R^c(H_I^c(\omega_R), \omega_R) \cong \text{Hom}_R(H_{\mathfrak{m}}^{d-c}(H_I^c(\omega_R)), E).$$

(b) *The endomorphism ring $\text{Hom}_R(H_I^c(\omega_R), H_I^c(\omega_R))$ is a finitely generated R -module if and only if $\dim_{\mathbb{k}} \text{Hom}_R(\mathbb{k}, H_{\mathfrak{m}}^{d-c}(H_I^c(\omega_R)))$ is finite.*

(c) *The natural ring homomorphism $\rho : R \rightarrow \text{Hom}_R(H_I^c(\omega_R), H_I^c(\omega_R))$ is onto if and only if $\dim_{\mathbb{k}} \text{Hom}_R(\mathbb{k}, H_{\mathfrak{m}}^{d-c}(H_I^c(\omega_R))) = 1$*

Proof. (a): In order to prove the first isomorphism we refer to [14, 2.2 (d)]. In order to proof the second one we have that

$$\text{Ext}_R^c(H_I^c(\omega_R), \omega_R) \cong \text{Hom}_R(H_{\mathfrak{m}}^{d-c}(H_I^c(\omega_R)), E)$$

as follows by the Local Duality for the complete local Cohen-Macaulay ring R (see 2.1 (A)).

(b): By virtue of (a) we have isomorphisms $B/\mathfrak{m}^\alpha \cong \text{Hom}_R(\text{Hom}_R(R/\mathfrak{m}^\alpha, H_{\mathfrak{m}}^{d-c}(H_I^c(\omega_R))), E)$ that form an inverse system. By passing to the inverse limit there are isomorphisms

$$\hat{B}^{\mathfrak{m}} = \varprojlim \text{Hom}_R(\text{Hom}_R(R/\mathfrak{m}^\alpha, H_{\mathfrak{m}}^{d-c}(H_I^c(\omega_R))), E) \cong \text{Hom}_R(H_{\mathfrak{m}}^0(H_{\mathfrak{m}}^{d-c}(H_I^c(\omega_R))), E) \cong B$$

because of $\text{Supp}_R H_{\mathfrak{m}}^{d-c}(H_I^c(\omega_R)) \subseteq V(\mathfrak{m})$. That is, B is \mathfrak{m} -adic complete. Then B is a finitely generated R -module if and only if $\dim_{\mathbb{k}} B/\mathfrak{m}B < \infty$ (see e.g. [12, Theorem 8.4]).

(c): Since $H_I^c(\omega_R) \neq 0$ it follows that the natural homomorphism

$$\rho \otimes 1_{\mathbb{k}} : R \otimes_R \mathbb{k} \rightarrow \text{Hom}_R(H_I^c(\omega_R), H_I^c(\omega_R)) \otimes_R \mathbb{k}$$

is not zero. Then the statement follows by (b). □

Construction 2.3. (A) Let \mathbb{k} denote a field. Let $m, n \geq 2$ denote integers and let

$$\mathcal{X} = \begin{pmatrix} x_{11} & \cdots & x_{1n} \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ x_{m1} & \cdots & x_{mn} \end{pmatrix}$$

denote a $m \times n$ matrix of mn variables over \mathbb{k} . Let $P = \mathbb{k}[[\mathcal{X}]]$ denote the formal powers series ring in the mn variables of \mathcal{X} . We define $R = P/I_2$, where $I_2 = I_2(\mathcal{X})$ denotes the ideal generated by the 2×2 minors of \mathcal{X} . Then R is a local Cohen-Macaulay domain of dimension $m + n - 1$ (see [6]).

(B) We put $\underline{x}_i = x_{1i}, \dots, x_{mi}, i = 1, \dots, n$, the elements of the i -th column of \mathcal{X} and $\underline{x} = \underline{x}_n$ the elements of the last column. We define $I = (\underline{x}_1, \dots, \underline{x}_{n-1})R$ the ideal generated by the elements

of the first $n - 1$ columns of \mathcal{X} . Then $\dim R/I = m$ and $\text{height } I = \text{grade } I = n - 1$. In the following we recall the form ring $Gr_I(R)$ of $I \subset R$. First we define a $m \times n$ -matrix

$$\mathcal{Y} = \begin{pmatrix} T_{11} & \cdots & T_{1,n-1} & x_{1n} \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\ T_{m1} & \cdots & T_{m,n-1} & x_{mn} \end{pmatrix}$$

where $T_{ij}, 1 \leq i \leq m, 1 \leq j \leq n - 1$, are variables over R . Let $J = I_2(\mathcal{Y})$ the ideal generated by the 2×2 -minors of \mathcal{Y} . Let \mathcal{T} denote the set of all variables $T_{ij}, 1 \leq i \leq m, 1 \leq j \leq n - 1$ of degree 1 and let $\mathcal{G} = (R/I)[\mathcal{T}]$. Then consider the induced homogeneous map

$$\Theta : \mathcal{G}/J \rightarrow Gr_I(R), T_{ij} + J \mapsto x_{ij} + I/I^2, 1 \leq i \leq m, 1 \leq j \leq n - 1.$$

Note that it is well defined and surjective. Clearly, \mathcal{G}/J is a Cohen-Macaulay determinantal domain with $\dim \mathcal{G}/J = m + n - 1$, i.e. J is a prime ideal. Moreover $Gr_I(R)$ is a ring with $\dim Gr_I(R) = m + n - 1$. Let \mathcal{J} be the preimage of $\ker \Theta$ in \mathcal{G} . Let $\mathcal{P} \subset \text{Spec } \mathcal{G}$ denote the preimage of a highest dimensional prime ideal in $Gr_I(R)$. Then $J \subseteq \mathcal{J} \subseteq \mathcal{P}$ and $\dim \mathcal{G}/J = \dim \mathcal{G}/\mathcal{P}$ and therefore $J = \ker \Theta$. That is, Θ is an isomorphism and $Gr_I(R)$ is a domain.

(C) Next we introduce the ring $S = \mathbb{k}[[\underline{x}, \underline{a}]]$, where $\underline{x} = x_1, \dots, x_m$ with $x_i = x_{in}, i = 1, \dots, m$ and $\underline{a} = a_1, \dots, a_{n-1}$ are sequences of variables over \mathbb{k} . Then S is a regular local ring with $\underline{a} = a_1, \dots, a_{n-1}$ a regular sequence. Therefore $Gr_{(\underline{a})}(S) \cong (S/\underline{a})[\underline{T}] \cong \mathbb{k}[[\underline{x}]][\underline{T}]$ with $\underline{T} = T_1, \dots, T_{n-1}$ variables of degree 1. We define a ring homomorphism

$$\phi : R \rightarrow S, x_{ij} \mapsto a_i x_j, i = 1, \dots, n - 1, j = 1, \dots, m.$$

Note that S is a domain and $\dim R = \dim S = m + n + 1$. Therefore ϕ is injective. The homomorphism ϕ extends to a homomorphism

$$\psi : Gr_I(R) \rightarrow Gr_{(\underline{a})}(S), T_{ij} + J \mapsto x_j T_i, i = 1, \dots, m, j = 1, \dots, n - 1.$$

Since both $Gr_I(R)$ and $Gr_{(\underline{a})}(S)$ are domains of the same dimension ψ is injective.

3. EXAMPLES AND REMARKS

In the following we denote by ω_R the canonical module of a Cohen-Macaulay ring R . For basic definitions and properties of ω_R we refer to [2, 3.3], the generalization in [13] and the summary in 2.1. For a local ring (R, \mathfrak{m}) we denote by $E = E_R(R/\mathfrak{m})$ the injective hull of the residue field.

Example 3.1. We fix the notation of 2.3, that is, R is the determinantal Cohen-Macaulay ring of dimension $m + n - 1$ with $I = (\underline{x}_1, \dots, \underline{x}_{n-1})R$ the ideal generated by the elements of the first $n - 1$ columns of \mathcal{X} . Then there are the following results:

- (a) $H_I^i(\omega_R) \neq 0, i = n - 1, m + n - 2$, that is, $\text{cd}(I) = m + n - 2$.
- (b) $\dim_{\mathbb{k}} \text{Hom}_R(\mathbb{k}, H_I^{m+n-2}(\omega_R))$ and $\dim_{\mathbb{k}} \text{Hom}_R(\mathbb{k}, H_I^{m+n-2}(R))$ are not finite, that is I is not cofinite.
- (c) $\text{Hom}_R(H_I^{n-1}(\omega_R), H_I^{n-1}(\omega_R))$ is a commutative Noetherian ring, not finitely generated over R .
- (d) $\dim_{\mathbb{k}} \text{Hom}_R(\mathbb{k}, H_{\mathfrak{m}}^m(H_I^{n-1}(\omega_R)))$ and $\dim_{\mathbb{k}} \text{Hom}_R(\mathbb{k}, H_{\mathfrak{m}}^0(H_I^{m+n-2}(\omega_R)))$ are not finite.

Proof. The ring homomorphism ϕ of 2.3 (C) induces ring homomorphisms

$$\phi^\alpha : R/I^\alpha \rightarrow S/(\underline{a})^\alpha S \text{ for all } \alpha \geq 1,$$

which are well-defined. We claim that ϕ^α is injective for all $\alpha \geq 1$. The restriction ψ^α of the injection ψ of 2.3 (C) to degree α yields injective homomorphisms

$$\psi^\alpha : I^\alpha / I^{\alpha+1} \rightarrow (\underline{a})^\alpha S / (\underline{a})^{\alpha+1} S \text{ for all } \alpha \geq 0.$$

Let $D_\alpha := \text{Coker } \psi^\alpha$ and let $\underline{x} \cdot \underline{a}$ be the sequence of elements $\{x_i a_j : i = 1, \dots, n-1, j = 1, \dots, m\}$. We define $C_\alpha = \text{Coker } \phi^\alpha = S / ((\underline{a})^\alpha S + S[[\underline{x} \cdot \underline{a}]])$ because of $\text{Im } \phi = S[[\underline{x} \cdot \underline{a}]]$. Now it follows that

$$D_\alpha \cong ((\underline{a})^\alpha S + S[[\underline{x} \cdot \underline{a}]]) / ((\underline{a})^{\alpha+1} S + S[[\underline{x} \cdot \underline{a}]]).$$

as a consequence of the following commutative diagram with exact rows and the snake lemma

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccc} 0 & \rightarrow & I^\alpha / I^{\alpha+1} & \rightarrow & R / I^{\alpha+1} & \rightarrow & R / I^\alpha & \rightarrow & 0 \\ & & \downarrow \psi^\alpha & & \downarrow \phi^{\alpha+1} & & \downarrow \phi^\alpha & & \\ 0 & \rightarrow & (\underline{a})^\alpha S / (\underline{a})^{\alpha+1} S & \rightarrow & S / (\underline{a})^{\alpha+1} S & \rightarrow & S / (\underline{a})^\alpha S & \rightarrow & 0. \end{array}$$

Since ψ^α is injective for all $\alpha \geq 0$ as the restriction of ϕ^α it follows by induction that ϕ^α is injective too. Therefore D_α is spanned by $(\underline{x})^i (\underline{a})^\alpha$ with $0 \leq i < \alpha$ over \mathbb{k} . As an R -module it is finitely generated. For the radical of the annihilator it follows that $\text{Rad}_S \text{Ann}_S D_\alpha = (\underline{x}, \underline{a})$. So that $C_\alpha, \alpha \geq 1$, is an R -module of finite length. There is the short exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow R / I^\alpha \rightarrow S / (\underline{a})^\alpha S \rightarrow C_\alpha \rightarrow 0 \text{ for all } \alpha \geq 1.$$

Because of $C_\alpha \cong \bigoplus_{\beta=1}^{\alpha-1} D_\beta$ it follows that it is an R -module of finite length. Since $S / (\underline{a})^\alpha S$ is a finitely generated Cohen-Macaulay R -module it implies that $H_m^1(R / I^\alpha) \cong C_\alpha$ and $H_m^i(R / I^\alpha) = 0$ for all $i \neq 1, m$. The above short exact sequences form an inverse system of short exact sequences. By passing to the inverse limit we get a short exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow R \rightarrow S \rightarrow \varprojlim H_m^1(R / I^\alpha) \rightarrow 0.$$

Note that the first family is given by surjective maps. By virtue of the Local Duality Theorem for a Cohen-Macaulay ring (see 2.1 (A)) there are isomorphisms

$$H_m^i(R / I^\alpha) \cong \text{Hom}_R(\text{Ext}_R^{m+n-1-i}(R / I^\alpha, \omega_R), E)$$

for all $\alpha \geq 1$ and by passing to the inverse limit $\varprojlim H_m^i(R / I^\alpha) \cong \text{Hom}_R(H_I^{m+n-1-i}(\omega_R), E)$ for all i . Therefore $H_I^i(\omega_R) = 0$ for $i \neq n-1, m+n-2$ and

$$\varprojlim H_m^1(R / I^\alpha) \cong \text{Hom}_R(H_I^{m+n-2}(\omega_R), E) \neq 0.$$

Because S is not a finitely generated R -module $\text{Hom}_R(H_I^{m+n-2}(\omega_R), E)$ is not finitely generated too. By the isomorphism

$$\mathbb{k} \otimes_R \text{Hom}_R(H_I^n(\omega_R), E) \cong \text{Hom}_R(\text{Hom}_R(\mathbb{k}, H_I^{m+n-2}(\omega_R)), E)$$

and by Matlis Duality $\dim_{\mathbb{k}} \text{Hom}_R(\mathbb{k}, H_I^{m+n-2}(\omega_R))$ is not finite. Moreover, $H_I^{m+n-2}(\omega_R) \cong H_I^{m+n-2}(R) \otimes_R \omega_R$ so that $\text{Hom}_R(H_I^{m+n-2}(\omega_R), E) \cong \text{Hom}_R(\omega_R, \text{Hom}_R(H_I^{m+n-2}(R), E))$. Because ω_R is a finitely generated R -module $\text{Hom}_R(H_I^{m+n-2}(R), E)$ is not finitely generated and – as above – $\dim_{\mathbb{k}} \text{Hom}_R(\mathbb{k}, H_I^{m+n-2}(R))$ is not finite. That is, (a) and (b) of 3.1 are proved.

Now recall that $S / (\underline{a})^\alpha S$ is an m -dimensional Cohen-Macaulay ring, finitely generated over R and $\dim_R C_\alpha = 0$. Therefore,

$$S / (\underline{a})^\alpha S \cong \omega_R(\omega_R(R / I^\alpha)) \cong \text{Ext}_R^{n-1}(\text{Ext}_R^{n-1}(R / I^\alpha, \omega_R), \omega_R)$$

(by view of 2.1 (D)). By passing to the inverse limit of the corresponding inverse and by Theorem 2.2 (a) it yields that $S \cong \text{Hom}_R(H_I^{n-1}(\omega_R), H_I^{n-1}(\omega_R))$, whence (c) is shown. The first

claim in (d) follows by 2.2 (b) since S is not finitely generated over R . The second one is clear by (b) since $\text{Supp}_R H_I^{m+n-2}(R) = V(\mathfrak{m})$. \square

For the case of $m = n$ in 3.1 we get that R is a Gorenstein ring and therefore $\omega_R \cong R$. For $m = n = 2$ we recover Hartshorne's example in this different context with additional properties.

Question 3.2. Let $I \subset R$ denote an ideal of a local ring (R, \mathfrak{m}) with $c = \text{grade } I$. We do not know whether the endomorphism ring $\text{Hom}_R(H_I^c(R), H_I^c(R))$ is in general commutative and Noetherian.

For further results about the endomorphism ring $\text{Hom}_R(H_I^c(R), H_I^c(R))$ we refer also to [14].

REFERENCES

- [1] M. BRODMANN AND R. SHARP, *Local cohomology. An algebraic introduction with geometric applications. 2nd ed.*, Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 2nd ed. ed., 2012. **1**
- [2] W. BRUNS AND J. HERZOG, *Cohen–Macaulay rings. Rev. ed.*, Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, rev. ed. ed., 1998. **2, 4**
- [3] A. GROTHENDIECK, *Local cohomology. A seminar given by A. Grothendieck, Harvard University, Fall 1961. Notes by R. Hartshorne.* Lecture Notes in Mathematics. 41. Berlin-Heidelberg-New York: Springer-Verlag. 106 p., 1967. **1**
- [4] R. HARTSHORNE, *Cohomological dimension of algebraic varieties.*, Ann. Math. (2), 88 (1968), pp. 403–450. **2**
- [5] ———, *Affine duality and cofiniteness.*, Invent. Math., 9 (1970), pp. 145–164. **1, 2**
- [6] M. HOCHSTER AND J. L. ROBERTS, *Rings of invariants of reductive groups acting on regular rings are Cohen–Macaulay.*, Adv. Math., 13 (1974), pp. 115–175. **3**
- [7] C. HUNEKE AND J. KOH, *Cofiniteness and vanishing of local cohomology modules*, Math. Proc. Camb. Philos. Soc., 110 (1991), pp. 421–429. **1**
- [8] C. L. HUNEKE AND R. Y. SHARP, *Bass numbers of local cohomology modules.*, Trans. Am. Math. Soc., 339 (1993), pp. 765–779. **1**
- [9] G. LYUBEZNIK, *Finiteness properties of local cohomology modules (an application of D -modules to commutative algebra).*, Invent. Math., 113 (1993), pp. 41–55. **1, 2**
- [10] W. MAHMOOD AND P. SCHENZEL, *On invariants and endomorphism rings of certain local cohomology modules*, J. Algebra, 372 (2012), pp. 56–67. **1, 2**
- [11] T. MARLEY AND J. C. VASSILEV, *Local cohomology modules with infinite dimensional socles.*, Proc. Am. Math. Soc., 132 (2004), pp. 3485–3490. **1**
- [12] H. MATSUMURA, *Commutative ring theory. Transl. from the Japanese by M. Reid.*, Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics, 8. Cambridge University Press, XIII, 320 p., 1986. **2, 3**
- [13] P. SCHENZEL, *On the use of local cohomology in algebra and geometry*, in Six lectures on commutative algebra. Lectures presented at the summer school, Bellaterra, Spain, July 16–26, 1996, Basel: Birkhäuser, 1998, pp. 241–292. **2, 4**
- [14] ———, *On endomorphism rings and dimensions of local cohomology modules.*, Proc. Am. Math. Soc., 137 (2009), pp. 1315–1322. **3, 6**
- [15] ———, *On the structure of the endomorphism ring of a certain local cohomology module.*, J. Algebra, 344 (2011), pp. 229–245. **1, 3**
- [16] P. SCHENZEL AND A.-M. SIMON, *Completion, Čech and local homology and cohomology. Interactions between them.*, Cham: Springer, 2018. **2**

MARTIN-LUTHER-UNIVERSITÄT HALLE-WITTENBERG, INSTITUT FÜR INFORMATIK, D — 06 099 HALLE (SAALE), GERMANY

Email address: peter.schenzel@informatik.uni-halle.de