

# $C_{BSE}(\Delta(A))$ AS A DUAL BANACH ALGEBRA

FATEMEH ABTAHI, ALI REJALI AND FARSHAD SAYAF

ABSTRACT. Let  $(X, d)$  be a metric space and  $A$  be a commutative Banach algebra such that  $\Delta(A)$  be nonempty. In this paper, we provide necessary and sufficient conditions, under which  $C_{BSE}(\Delta(A))$  is a Dual Banach algebra. Moreover, we provide some conditions for that  $Lip_\alpha(X, A)$  is a Dual Banach algebra.

## 0. Introduction and Preliminaries

Let  $(A, \|\cdot\|)$  be a commutative Banach algebra and  $A'$  and  $A''$  be the dual and second dual Banach spaces, respectively. Let  $a \in A$ ,  $f \in A'$  and  $\Phi, \Psi \in A''$ . Then  $f \cdot a$  and  $a \cdot f$  are defined as  $f \cdot a(x) = f(ax)$  and  $a \cdot f(x) = f(xa)$ , for all  $x \in A$ , making  $A'$  an  $A$ -bimodule. Moreover for all  $f \in A'$  and  $\Phi \in A''$ , we define  $\Phi \cdot f$  and  $f \cdot \Phi$  as the elements of  $A'$  by

$$\langle \Phi \cdot f, a \rangle = \langle \Phi, f \cdot a \rangle \text{ and } \langle f \cdot \Phi, a \rangle = \langle \Phi, a \cdot f \rangle \quad (a \in A).$$

This defines two Arens products  $\square$  and  $\diamond$  on  $A''$  as

$$\langle \Phi \square \Psi, f \rangle = \langle \Phi, \Psi \cdot f \rangle \text{ and } \langle \Phi \diamond \Psi, f \rangle = \langle \Psi, f \cdot \Phi \rangle,$$

making  $A''$  a Banach algebra with each. The products  $\square$  and  $\diamond$  are called respectively, the first and second Arens products on  $A''$ . Note that  $A$  is embedded in its second dual via the identification

$$\langle a, f \rangle = \langle f, a \rangle \quad (f \in A');$$

see [5], for more information. The space, consisting of all nonzero multiplicative linear functionals on  $A$  will be denote by  $\Delta(A)$  and is called the Gelfand space of  $A$ . A bounded continuous function  $\sigma$  on  $\Delta(A)$  is called a BSE-function if there exists a constant  $M > 0$  such that for every finite number of  $\varphi_1, \dots, \varphi_n$  in  $\Delta(A)$  and the same number of  $c_1, \dots, c_n$  in  $\mathbb{C}$ , the inequality

$$\left| \sum_{j=1}^n c_j \sigma(\varphi_j) \right| \leq M \left\| \sum_{j=1}^n c_j \varphi_j \right\|_{A^*}$$

holds. The BSE-norm of  $\sigma$  is the infimum of all such  $M$  and is denoted by  $\|\sigma\|_{BSE}$ . The set of all BSE-functions will be denoted by  $C_{BSE}(\Delta(A))$ . It has been shown that under the norm  $\|\cdot\|_{BSE}$ ,  $C_{BSE}(\Delta(A))$  is a commutative and semisimple Banach algebra, embedded in  $C_b(\Delta(A))$  as a subalgebra. We refer to [11], for a full information about the history of BSE functions and BSE algebras.

Now we provide some information about Lipschitz algebras; see [6], [7] and [8], for more information. Let  $(X, d)$  be a metric space and  $A$  be a Banach algebra.

---

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 46A03, 46A04.

*Key words and phrases.* BSE functions, Dual Banach algebra, Lipschitz algebra.

For  $f : X \rightarrow A$  let

$$\|f\|_{\infty, E} = \sup_{x \in X} \|f(x)\|.$$

Then  $f$  is called bounded if  $\|f\|_{\infty} < \infty$ . Now let

$$\rho_{d, E}(f) = \sup_{x \neq y} \frac{\|f(x) - f(y)\|_E}{d(x, y)}.$$

Following [6] and [7], the vector-valued Lipschitz space  $Lip_d(X, A)$ , is the space consisting of all bounded maps  $f : X \rightarrow A$  with  $\rho_{d, E}(f) < \infty$ . Furthermore,  $Lip_d(X, A)$ , equipped with the norm

$$\|f\| = \max\{\rho_{d, E}(f), \|f\|_{\infty, E}\}$$

and pointwise product, is a Banach algebra. Moreover, we denote by  $lip_d(X, A)$ , the subspace of  $Lip_d(X, A)$ , consisting of all functions  $f$  such that

$$\lim_{d(x, y) \rightarrow 0} \frac{\|f(x) - f(y)\|}{d(x, y)} = 0.$$

Then  $lip_d(X, A)$  is a Banach algebra with pointwise product. In the case where  $A$  is the field of complex numbers  $\mathbb{C}$ , to simplify the notation, we will write  $Lip_d X$  and  $lip_d X$ , rather than  $Lip_d(X, \mathbb{C})$  and  $lip_d(X, \mathbb{C})$ , respectively.

Recently, some important algebraic properties of Lipschitz algebras have been investigated; see [1], [2] and [3]. Here, we study another feature of this algebras. We first recall the notion of Dual Banach algebras.

Following [10], a dual Banach algebra is a pair  $(A, A_*)$  such that:

- $A = (A_*)'$ .
- $A$  is a Banach algebra, and multiplication in  $A$  is separately  $\sigma(A, A_*)$  continuous; or equivalently  $A \cdot A_* \subseteq A_*$  and  $A_* \cdot A \subseteq A_*$ .

In [8, Theorem 4.1], it has been proved that for any metric space  $(X, d)$ ,  $Lip_\alpha(X, E)$  is a dual space, whenever  $E$  is. In the present paper, we first provide necessary and sufficient conditions for that  $C_{BSE}(\Delta(A))$  is a Dual Banach algebra. Then we give some conditions, under which vector-valued Lipschitz algebra  $Lip_\alpha(X, \mathcal{A})$  is a Dual Banach algebra.

## 1. Main Results

We commence our results with the with following propositions.

**Proposition 1.1.** *Let  $A$  be a commutative Banach algebra such that  $\Delta(A)$  be nonempty. Then the following assertions are equivalent.*

- (i) *The weak topology and weak- $*$  topology are the same on  $\Delta(A)$ ,*
- (ii)  *$A^{**}|_{\overline{\langle \Delta(A) \rangle}} = \widehat{A}|_{\overline{\langle \Delta(A) \rangle}}^{\|\cdot\|_{A^{**}}}$ , as isometric Banach algebras.*

*Proof.* (i) $\Rightarrow$ (ii). Since  $\widehat{A} \subseteq A^{**}$ , it follows that  $\widehat{A}|_{\overline{\langle \Delta(A) \rangle}} \subseteq A^{**}|_{\overline{\langle \Delta(A) \rangle}}$  and so  $\widehat{A}|_{\overline{\langle \Delta(A) \rangle}} \subseteq \langle \Delta(A) \rangle^*$ . Consequently,  $\widehat{A}|_{\overline{\langle \Delta(A) \rangle}}^{\|\cdot\|_{A^{**}}} \subseteq \langle \Delta(A) \rangle^*$ . In the other words,

$$\widehat{A}|_{\overline{\langle \Delta(A) \rangle}}^{\|\cdot\|_{A^{**}}} \subseteq A^{**}|_{\overline{\langle \Delta(A) \rangle}}.$$

Now let  $W := \widehat{A}|_{\overline{\langle \Delta(A) \rangle}}^{\|\cdot\|_{A^{**}}}$  and suppose on the contrary that  $W$  is a proper subset of  $A^{**}|_{\overline{\langle \Delta(A) \rangle}}$ . Thus there exist  $F \in A^{**}$  such that  $F|_{\overline{\langle \Delta(A) \rangle}} \notin W$ . By Hahn-Banach theorem, there exists  $G \in \langle \Delta(A) \rangle^{**}$  such that  $G(W) = 0$  and

$G(F|_{\overline{\langle \Delta(A) \rangle}}) = 1$ . Let  $(x_\alpha)_{\alpha \in I}$  be a net in  $A$  such that  $\|x_\alpha\| \leq \|F\|$  ( $\alpha \in I$ ) and  $\hat{x}_\alpha \rightarrow_\alpha F$ , in the weak\* topology of  $A^{**}$ . By the assumption, we have  $\hat{x}_\alpha|_{\langle \Delta(A) \rangle} \rightarrow_\alpha F|_{\langle \Delta(A) \rangle}$ , in the weak topology of  $A^{**}$ . Consequently,

$$0 = G(\hat{x}_\alpha|_{\langle \Delta(A) \rangle}) \rightarrow G(F|_{\langle \Delta(A) \rangle}) = 1,$$

which is a contradiction.

(ii)  $\Rightarrow$  (i). If  $\phi_\alpha \rightarrow_\alpha \phi$ , in the weak\* topology of  $\Delta(A)$  and  $F \in A^{**}$ , then  $F|_{\overline{\langle \Delta(A) \rangle}} \in \widehat{A}|_{\overline{\langle \Delta(A) \rangle}}^{\|\cdot\|_{A^{**}}}$ . Thus there exists a sequence  $(x_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  in  $A$  such that,  $\hat{x}_n|_{\langle \Delta(A) \rangle} \rightarrow_n F|_{\langle \Delta(A) \rangle}$ , in the norm topology of  $A^{**}$ . Consequently for every  $\varepsilon > 0$  exists  $N > 0$  such that for all  $n \geq N$  we have

$$\|\hat{x}_n|_{\langle \Delta(A) \rangle} - F|_{\langle \Delta(A) \rangle}\| < \varepsilon.$$

Thus by taking a subnet if necessary,

$$|\lim_n \lim_\alpha \phi_\alpha(x_n) - \lim_\alpha F(\phi_\alpha)| \leq \varepsilon$$

and

$$|\lim_\alpha \lim_n \phi_\alpha(x_n) - \lim_\alpha F(\phi_\alpha)| \leq \varepsilon.$$

It follows that

$$\lim_n \lim_\alpha \phi_\alpha(x_n) = \lim_\alpha \lim_n \phi_\alpha(x_n)$$

and so  $\lim_\alpha F(\phi_\alpha) = F(\phi)$ . Note that since the nets are bounded, the limits exist. Consequently,  $\phi_\alpha \rightarrow_\alpha \phi$ , in the weak topology of  $A^{**}$ .  $\square$

**Proposition 1.2.** *Let  $A$  be a commutative Banach algebra such that  $\Delta(A)$  be nonempty. Then the following assertions are equivalent.*

- (i)  $A^{**}|_{\overline{\langle \Delta(A) \rangle}} = \widehat{A}|_{\overline{\langle \Delta(A) \rangle}}^{\|\cdot\|_{A^{**}}}$ , as isometric Banach algebras,
- (ii)  $\overline{A^{**}|_{\langle \Delta(A) \rangle}}^{\|\cdot\|_{A^{**}}} = \widehat{A}|_{\langle \Delta(A) \rangle}^{\|\cdot\|_{A^{**}}}$ , as isometric Banach algebras.

*Proof.* (i)  $\Rightarrow$  (ii). It is clear that  $\widehat{A}|_{\langle \Delta(A) \rangle}^{\|\cdot\|_{A^{**}}} \subseteq \overline{A^{**}|_{\langle \Delta(A) \rangle}}^{\|\cdot\|_{A^{**}}}$ . For the proof of the reverse of the inclusion, take  $F \in A^{**}|_{\langle \Delta(A) \rangle}$  and define  $\overline{F}$  on  $\overline{\langle \Delta(A) \rangle}$  as

$$\overline{F}(\psi) := \lim_n F(\psi_n),$$

where,  $\{\psi_n\} \subseteq \langle \Delta(A) \rangle$  and  $\psi_n \rightarrow_n \psi$ , in the norm topology. Note that  $\overline{F}$  is well-defined. In fact, for all  $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$  we have

$$|F(\psi_n) - F(\psi_m)| \leq \|F\| \cdot \|\psi_n - \psi_m\|.$$

Thus sequence  $(F(\psi_n))_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  is Cauchy and so convergent. Moreover,

$$|\overline{F}(\psi)| = |\lim_n F(\psi_n)| \leq \|F\| \cdot \lim_n \|\psi_n\| = \|F\| \cdot \|\psi\|,$$

which implies that  $\overline{F} \in (\overline{\langle \Delta(A) \rangle})^*$ . By the assumption, we have

$$A^{**}|_{\langle \Delta(A) \rangle} = \widehat{A}|_{\langle \Delta(A) \rangle}^{\|\cdot\|_{A^{**}}}.$$

It follows that  $\overline{F} \in \widehat{A}|_{\overline{\langle \Delta(A) \rangle}}^{\|\cdot\|_{A^{**}}}$ . Thus there exists a sequence  $(x_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  in  $A$  such that

$$\hat{x}_n|_{\overline{\langle \Delta(A) \rangle}} \rightarrow_n \overline{F},$$

in the norm topology. It follows that

$$\hat{x}_n|_{\langle \Delta(A) \rangle} \rightarrow_n F|_{\langle \Delta(A) \rangle},$$

in the norm topology.

(ii) $\Rightarrow$ (i). Let  $A^{**}|_{\langle\Delta(A)\rangle} = \overline{\widehat{A}|_{\langle\Delta(A)\rangle}}^{\|\cdot\|_{A^{**}}}$ . It is clear that

$$\overline{\widehat{A}|_{\langle\Delta(A)\rangle}}^{\|\cdot\|_{A^{**}}} \subseteq A^{**}|_{\overline{\langle\Delta(A)\rangle}}.$$

Now take  $H \in A^{**}|_{\overline{\langle\Delta(A)\rangle}}$  and set

$$F := H|_{\langle\Delta(A)\rangle} \in A^{**}|_{\langle\Delta(A)\rangle}.$$

Thus  $F \in \overline{\widehat{A}|_{\langle\Delta(A)\rangle}}^{\|\cdot\|_{A^{**}}}$  and so there exists a sequence  $(x_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  in  $A$  such that  $\hat{x}_n|_{\langle\Delta(A)\rangle} \rightarrow_n F$ , in the norm topology. It follows that  $\hat{x}_n(\psi) \rightarrow_n F(\psi)$ , for all  $\psi \in \langle\Delta(A)\rangle$  with  $\|\psi\| \leq 1$ . Now take  $k \in \overline{\langle\Delta(A)\rangle}$  such that  $\|k\| \leq 1$ . There exists the sequence  $(k_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  in  $\langle\Delta(A)\rangle$  such that,  $k_n \rightarrow_n k$ , in the norm topology. Thus there exists  $N \in \mathbb{N}$  such that for all  $n, \ell \geq N$ ,  $\|k_\ell\| \leq 1$  and

$$|\hat{x}_n(k_\ell) - F(k_\ell)| < \varepsilon.$$

Consequently,

$$|\hat{x}_n(k) - F(k)| = |\lim_\ell (\hat{x}_n(k_\ell) - F(k_\ell))| = \lim_\ell |\hat{x}_n(k_\ell) - F(k_\ell)| \leq \varepsilon.$$

It follows that for all  $n \geq N$ ,

$$\|\hat{x}_n|_{\overline{\langle\Delta(A)\rangle}} - F\| < \varepsilon,$$

which implies that  $F \in \overline{\widehat{A}|_{\overline{\langle\Delta(A)\rangle}}}^{\|\cdot\|_{A^{**}}}$ . Therefore,

$$A^{**}|_{\overline{\langle\Delta(A)\rangle}} \subseteq \overline{\widehat{A}|_{\overline{\langle\Delta(A)\rangle}}}^{\|\cdot\|_{A^{**}}},$$

and the proof is completed.  $\square$

We state here the main result of the present paper.

**Theorem 1.3.** *Let  $A$  be a commutative Banach algebra such that  $\Delta(A)$  be nonempty. Then the following assertions are equivalent.*

- (i)  $C_{BSE}(\Delta(A)) = \langle\Delta(A)\rangle^*$ , as isometric Banach algebras,
- (ii) The weak topology and weak-\* topology are the same on  $\Delta(A)$ .

*Proof.* (i) $\Rightarrow$ (ii). Suppose that  $\{\phi_\alpha\}$  is a net in  $\Delta(\mathcal{A})$ , converging to  $\phi \in \Delta(A)$ , in the weak\* topology of  $\Delta(\mathcal{A})$ . If  $F \in A^{**}$ , then  $F|_{\overline{\langle\Delta(A)\rangle}} \in \langle\Delta(A)\rangle^*$  and so  $F|_{\overline{\langle\Delta(A)\rangle}} \in C_{BSE}(\Delta(A))$ . It follows that  $F|_{\Delta(A)} \in C_{BSE}(\Delta(A))$  and we have

$$\|F|_{\Delta(A)}\|_{BSE} = \|F|_{\langle\Delta(A)\rangle}\|_{A^{**}} \leq \|F\| < \infty.$$

Since  $F|_{\Delta(A)} \in C_b(\Delta(A))$ , thus

$$F|_{\Delta(A)}(\phi_\alpha) \rightarrow_\alpha F|_{\Delta(A)}(\phi).$$

Consequently  $F(\phi_\alpha) \rightarrow_\alpha F(\phi)$ , which implies that  $\{\phi_\alpha\}$  converges to  $\phi$ , in the weak topology of  $\Delta(\mathcal{A})$ . (ii) $\Rightarrow$ (i). Define

$$\Phi : C_{BSE}(\Delta(A)) \rightarrow \langle\Delta(A)\rangle^*,$$

by

$$\Phi(H)\left(\sum_{k=1}^n \lambda_k \phi_k\right) := \sum_{k=1}^n \lambda_k H(\phi_k) \quad (H \in C_{BSE}(\Delta(A))).$$

It is obvious that  $\Phi$  is linear and

$$\left| \Phi(H) \left( \sum_{k=1}^n \lambda_k \phi_k \right) \right| \leq \|H\|_{BSE} \left\| \sum_{k=1}^n \lambda_k \phi_k \right\|_{A^*}.$$

Thus  $\|\Phi(H)\| \leq \|H\|_{BSE}$  and so  $\Phi$  is continuous. For any  $G \in \langle \Delta(A) \rangle^*$  there exists  $\sigma := G|_{\Delta(A)}$  such tha  $\Phi(\sigma) = G$ . In fact,

$$(1.1) \quad \Phi(\sigma) \left( \sum_{k=1}^n \lambda_k \phi_k \right) = \sum_{k=1}^n \lambda_k G(\phi_k) = G \left( \sum_{k=1}^n \lambda_k \phi_k \right),$$

which implies that  $\Phi(\sigma) = G$ . Now we show that  $\sigma$  is. Let  $\phi_\alpha \rightarrow_\alpha \phi$ , in the weak\* topology of  $\Delta(A)$ . By the assumption,  $\phi_\alpha \rightarrow_\alpha \phi$ , in the weak topology of  $\Delta(A)$  and so  $G(\phi_\alpha) \rightarrow G(\phi)$ . Thus  $\sigma(\phi_\alpha) \rightarrow \sigma(\phi)$ . Consequently  $\sigma \in C_b(\Delta(A))$  and

$$\left| \sum_{k=1}^n c_i \sigma(\phi_i) \right| \leq \|G\| \left\| \sum_{k=1}^n c_i \phi_i \right\|_{A^*}$$

which implies that  $\sigma \in C_{BSE}(\Delta(A))$  and  $\|\sigma\|_{BSE} \leq \|G\|$ . Thus  $\Phi$  is surjective. Moreover, by [ , ], for each  $H \in C_{BSE}(\Delta(A))$ ,  $H = F|_{\Delta(A)}$  for some  $F \in A^{**}$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} \|H\|_{BSE} &= \sup \left\{ \left| \sum_{k=1}^n \lambda_k H(\phi_k) \right| : \left\| \sum_{k=1}^n \lambda_k \phi_k \right\|_{A^*} \leq 1 \right\} \\ &= \sup \left\{ \left| F \left( \sum_{k=1}^n \lambda_k \phi_k \right) \right| : \left\| \sum_{k=1}^n \lambda_k \phi_k \right\|_{A^*} \leq 1 \right\} \\ &= \sup \{ |F|_{\langle \Delta(A) \rangle}(\psi) : \|\psi\|_{\langle \Delta(A) \rangle} \leq 1 \} \\ &= \|F|_{\langle \Delta(A) \rangle}\|_{A^{**}} \\ &= \|\Phi(H)\|_{A^{**}}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore  $\Phi$  is isometric. Now we show that  $\Phi$  is isomorphism. For  $\sigma_1, \sigma_2 \in C_{BSE}(\Delta(A))$ , there exist  $F_1, F_2 \in A^{**}$  such that  $\sigma_1 = F_1|_{\Delta(A)}, \sigma_2 = F_2|_{\Delta(A)}$ . Then for each  $\phi \in \Delta(A)$ , we have

$$(\sigma_1 \cdot \sigma_2)(\phi) := \sigma_1(\phi) \cdot \sigma_2(\phi) = (F_1|_{\Delta(A)})(\phi) \cdot (F_2|_{\Delta(A)})(\phi) = F_1(\phi) F_2(\phi).$$

Furthermore,

$$[\Phi(\sigma_1) \square \Phi(\sigma_2)](\phi) = \Phi(\sigma_1)[\Phi(\sigma_2)\phi]$$

and so for each  $x \in A$ ,

$$\Phi(\sigma_2)\phi(x) = \Phi(\sigma_2)(\phi \cdot x),$$

where,

$$(\phi \cdot x)(y) = \phi(xy) = \phi(x)\phi(y) \quad (y \in A).$$

Thus for all  $x \in A$  and  $\phi \in \Delta(A)$ ,  $\phi \cdot x = \phi(x)\phi$ . Consequently,

$$\Phi(\sigma_2)\phi(x) = \Phi(\sigma_2)(\phi(x)\phi) = \phi(x)\Phi(\sigma_2)(\phi)$$

and so for any  $\sigma_2 \in C_{BSE}(\Delta(A))$ ,

$$\Phi(\sigma_2)\phi = \Phi(\sigma_2)(\phi)\phi.$$

It follows that

$$[\Phi(\sigma_1) \square \Phi(\sigma_2)](\phi) = \Phi(\sigma_1)[\Phi(\sigma_2)(\phi)\phi] = \Phi(\sigma_2)(\phi)\Phi(\sigma_1)(\phi).$$

It follows that

$$[\Phi(\sigma_1) \square \Phi(\sigma_2)](\phi) = F_1(\phi)F_2(\phi) = \sigma_1(\phi)\sigma_2(\phi) = \Phi(\sigma_1\sigma_2)(\phi).$$

Therefore

$$\Phi(\sigma_1\sigma_2) = \Phi(\sigma_1)\square\Phi(\sigma_2).$$

and so  $\Phi$  is isomorphism.  $\square$

**Corollary 1.4.** *Let  $A$  be a reflexive commutative Banach algebra such that  $\Delta(A)$  be nonempty. Then  $C_{BSE}(\Delta(A)) = \langle \Delta(A) \rangle^*$ .*

**Example 1.5.** Let  $(X, d)$  be an infinite compact metric space and  $A = Lip_d X$ . Then

$$\Delta(A) = \{\delta_x : x \in X\}.$$

Moreover, we have

$$A = \langle \delta_x : x \in X \rangle^*.$$

Since  $A$  is a unital BSE-algebra [9, Examp,e 6.1], we obtain

$$C_{BSE}(\Delta(A)) = \widehat{M(A)} = \widehat{A} = \langle \delta_x : x \in X \rangle^* = \langle \Delta(A) \rangle^*.$$

Note that  $A$  is not reflexive. In fact, by [5],

$$lip_d(X)^{**} = Lip_d X \quad \text{and} \quad \Delta(lip_d X) = \Delta(Lip_d X).$$

Thus  $A$  is reflexive if and only if  $lip_d(X)^{**}$  is reflexive. It follows that  $lip_d X^*$  and so  $lip_d X$  is reflexive. Consequently,  $Lip_d X = lip_d X$ , which implies that  $X$  is discrete uniform. Since  $X$  is compact it follows that  $X$  is finite, which is a contradiction.

**Proposition 1.6.** *Let  $A$  be a commutative and semisimple Banach algebra such that weak topology and weak\* topology be the same on  $\Delta(A)$ . Then  $C_{BSE}(\Delta(A))$  is a dual Banach algebra by predual  $B = \overline{\langle \Delta(A) \rangle}^{\|\cdot\|_{A^*}}$ .*

*Proof.* According to Theorem 1.3,

$$C_{BSE}(\Delta(A)) \cong \langle \Delta(A) \rangle^* = \overline{\langle \Delta(A) \rangle}^* = B^*,$$

as two isometric Banach algebras. It is sufficinet to show that

$$C_{BSE}(\Delta(A)) \cdot \Delta(A) \subseteq B.$$

Let  $\sigma \in C_{BSE}(\Delta(A))$  and  $\phi \in \Delta(A)$ . Then there exists  $F \in A^{**}$  such that  $\sigma = F|_{\Delta(A)}$ . Then

$$(\sigma \cdot \phi)(x) = \sigma(\phi \cdot x) = F(\phi \cdot x) \quad (x \in A).$$

Thus

$$\phi \cdot x = \phi(x)\phi,$$

and so

$$(\sigma \cdot \phi)(x) = F(\phi(x)\phi) = \phi(x)F(\phi).$$

It follows that

$$\sigma \cdot \phi = F(\phi)\phi \in \langle \Delta(A) \rangle \subseteq B.$$

In general, if  $\psi = \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i \phi_i \in \langle \Delta(A) \rangle$ , where  $\phi_i \in \Delta(A)$ , ( $i = 1, \dots, n$ ), we have

$$\sigma \cdot \psi = \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i F(\phi_i)\phi_i \in B.$$

Now, take  $\psi \in B$ . There exists a sequence  $\{\psi_n\} \in \langle \Delta(A) \rangle$  such that,  $\psi_n \rightarrow_n \psi$ , in the norm topology. Thus

$$\|\sigma \cdot \psi - \sigma \cdot \psi_n\| \leq \|\sigma\| \|\psi - \psi_n\| \rightarrow_n 0,$$

and so  $\sigma \cdot \psi \in B$ . Therefore  $(C_{BSE}(\Delta(A)), B)$  is a dual Banach algebra.  $\square$

**Theorem 1.7.** *Let  $(X, d)$  be a metric space and  $E$  be a Banach algebra. Suppose that*

$$W = \overline{\langle \delta_s \otimes f : f \in E^*, s \in X \rangle} \subseteq Lip_d(X, E)^*,$$

where,

$$(\delta_s \otimes f)(h) = f(h(s)) \quad (s \in X, f \in E^*, h \in Lip_d(X, E)).$$

Then  $Lip_d(X, E)$  can be imbedded isomerically in the dual Banach algebra  $W^*$ .

*Proof.* Define

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi : Lip_d(X, E) &\rightarrow W^* \\ h &\mapsto \Phi_h, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\Phi_h(\sum_{k=1}^n \lambda_k (\delta_{s_k} \otimes f_k)) := \sum_{k=1}^n \lambda_k f_k(h(s_k)),$$

for each  $h \in Lip_d(X, E)$ . It is clear that  $\Phi$  is well-defined and linear. We show that  $\Phi$  is an isometric mapping. It is easily verified that  $\|\Phi_h\| \leq \|h\|$ . For the reverse inequality, note that for each  $h \in Lip_d(X, E)$  and  $x \in X$  we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|h(x)\|_E &= \|\widehat{h(x)}\|_{E^{**}} = \sup \{ |\sigma(h(x))| : \sigma \in E^*, \|\sigma\| \leq 1 \} \\ &= \sup \{ |(\delta_x \otimes \sigma)(h)| : \sigma \in E^*, \|\sigma\| \leq 1 \}. \end{aligned}$$

Moreover, for any  $x \in X$  and  $\sigma \in E^*$  with  $\|\sigma\| \leq 1$  we have  $\|\delta_x \otimes \sigma\| \leq 1$  and

$$\begin{aligned} \|\Phi_h\| &= \sup \{ |\Phi_h(u)| : u \in W, \|u\| \leq 1 \} \\ &= \sup \left\{ \left| \Phi_h\left(\sum_{k=1}^n \delta_{x_k} \otimes f_k\right) \right| : \left\| \sum_{k=1}^n \delta_{x_k} \otimes f_k \right\| \leq 1 \right\} \\ &\geq |\Phi_h(\delta_x \otimes \sigma)|. \end{aligned}$$

Thus we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \|h(x)\|_E &= \sup \{ |\sigma(h(x))| : \sigma \in E^*, \|\sigma\| \leq 1 \} \\ &= \sup \{ |(\delta_x \otimes \sigma)(h)| : \sigma \in E^*, \|\sigma\| \leq 1 \} \\ &= \sup \{ |\Phi_h(x \otimes \sigma)| : \sigma \in E^*, \|\sigma\| \leq 1 \} \\ &\leq \sup \left\{ \left| \Phi_h\left(\sum_{k=1}^n \delta_{x_k} \otimes f_k\right) \right| : \left\| \sum_{k=1}^n \delta_{x_k} \otimes f_k \right\| \leq 1 \right\} \\ &= \|\Phi_h\|. \end{aligned}$$

Consequently,

$$\|h\|_{\infty, E} \leq \|\Phi_h\|.$$

Moreover, for all  $x, y \in X$  with  $x \neq y$  we have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\|h(x) - h(y)\|_E}{d(x, y)} &\leq \frac{\|h(x) - h(y)\|_E}{\|\delta_x - \delta_y\|} \\ &= \sup \left\{ \frac{|\sigma(h(x)) - \sigma(h(y))|}{\|\delta_x - \delta_y\|} : \sigma \in E^*, \|\sigma\| \leq 1 \right\} \\ &= \sup \left\{ \frac{\|(\delta_x \otimes \sigma)(h) - (\delta_y \otimes \sigma)(h)\|}{\|\delta_x - \delta_y\|} : \sigma \in E^*, \|\sigma\| \leq 1 \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, for any  $\sigma \in E^*$  with  $\|\sigma\| \leq 1$

$$\begin{aligned} \|\delta_x \otimes \sigma - \delta_y \otimes \sigma\| &= \sup \{ |(\delta_x \otimes \sigma - \delta_y \otimes \sigma)(h)| : h \in Lip_d(X, E), \|h\| \leq 1 \} \\ &= \sup \{ |\sigma(h(x)) - \sigma(h(y))| : h \in Lip_d(X, E), \|h\| \leq 1 \} \\ &\leq \|h(x) - h(y)\| \\ &= \|(\delta_x - \delta_y)(h)\| \\ &\leq \|\delta_x - \delta_y\| \|h\| \\ &\leq \|\delta_x - \delta_y\|. \end{aligned}$$

Consequently,

$$\frac{1}{\|\delta_x - \delta_y\|} \leq \frac{1}{\|\delta_x \otimes \sigma - \delta_y \otimes \sigma\|}.$$

Thus

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\|h(x) - h(y)\|_E}{d(x, y)} &\leq \sup \left\{ \frac{|\delta_x \otimes \sigma(h) - \delta_y \otimes \sigma(h)|}{\|\delta_x - \delta_y\|} : \|\sigma\| \leq 1 \right\} \\ &\leq \sup \left\{ \frac{|(x \otimes \sigma - y \otimes \sigma)(h)|}{\|\delta_x \otimes \sigma - y \otimes \sigma\|} : \|\sigma\| \leq 1 \right\} \\ &\leq \sup \left\{ \frac{\|\Phi_h(u)\|}{\|u\|} : u \neq 0 \right\} \\ &= \|\Phi_h\|. \end{aligned}$$

Then for all  $h \in Lip_d(X, E)$ , we obtain

$$\rho_{d,E}(h) = \sup \left\{ \frac{\|h(x) - h(y)\|_E}{d(x, y)} : x \neq y \right\} \leq \|\Phi_h\|.$$

Then

$$\|h\| = \max \{ \rho_{d,E}(h), \|h\|_{\infty, E} \} \leq \|\Phi_h\|.$$

Therefore  $\Phi$  is isometry, as claimed.  $\square$

**Proposition 1.8.** *Let  $(X, d)$  be a metric space and  $E$  be a natural Banach algebra. Then for each  $f \in Lip_d(X, E)$  we have*

$$\|f\| = \|\hat{f}\| = \sup \{ |h(f)| : h \in E^*, \|h\| \leq 1 \} = \sup \{ |\phi(f)| : \phi \in \Delta(E) \}.$$

*Proof.* Since  $E$  is natural,  $\Delta(E) = \{\delta_t : t \in X\}$ . It is clear that,

$$\sup \{ |\phi(f)| : \phi \in \Delta(E) \} = \sup \{ |\delta_t(f)| : t \in X \} = \|f\|_{\infty} \leq \|f\|.$$

Moreover,

$$|\Phi_f(u)| = |\sum_{k=1}^n \phi_k(f(x_k))| \leq \|u\| \cdot \|f\|_{Lip_d(X, E)}.$$

Thus

$$\|\Phi_f\| \leq \|f\|_{Lip_d(X, E)}.$$

Since  $u = \sum_{k=1}^n \delta_{x_k} \otimes \phi_k \in W \subseteq (Lip_d(X, E))^*$ , thus

$$\begin{aligned} \|u\| &= \sup \{ |\sum_{k=1}^n (\delta_{x_k} \otimes \phi_k)(f)| : f \in Lip_d(X, E), \|f\| \leq 1 \} \\ &= \sup \{ |\sum_{k=1}^n \phi_k(f(x_k))| : f \in Lip_d(X, E), \|f\| \leq 1 \}. \end{aligned}$$

Now we show that  $P_{d,E}(f) \leq \|\Phi_f\|$  and  $\|f\|_{\infty, E} \leq \|\Phi_f\|$ . Note that

$$\|f\|_{\infty, E} = \sup \{ \|f(s)\|_E : s \in X \},$$

where

$$\|f(s)\|_E = \|\hat{f}(s)\|_{E^{**}} = \sup \{ |h(f(s))| : h \in E^*, \|h\| \leq 1 \}$$



and

$$\|\Phi_f\| = \sup \left\{ \left\| \sum_{k=1}^n \phi_k(f_{x_k}) \right\| : \left\| \sum_{k=1}^n \delta_{x_k} \otimes \phi_k \right\| \leq 1 \right\}.$$

By the hypothesis, for any  $z \in E$ ,

$$\|z\| = \sup \{ |\phi(z)| : \phi \in \Delta(E) \}.$$

Thus

$$\begin{aligned} \|f(s)\| &= \sup \{ |\phi(f(s))| : \phi \in \Delta(E) \} \\ &= \sup \{ |(\delta_s \otimes \phi)(f)| : \phi \in \Delta(E) \}. \end{aligned}$$

Since

$$\|\delta_s \otimes \phi\| = \|\delta_s\| \|\phi\| = 1$$

and for every  $s \in X$

$$\|f(s)\| \leq \|\phi(f)\|,$$

it follows that

$$\|f\|_{\infty, E} \leq \|\Phi_f\|.$$

Furthermore, for all  $s, t \in X$  with  $s \neq t$  we have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\|f(s) - f(t)\|_E}{d(s, t)} &\leq \frac{\|f(s) - f(t)\|_E}{\|\delta_s - \delta_t\|} \\ &= \sup \left\{ \frac{|\phi(f(s)) - \phi(f(t))|}{\|\delta_s - \delta_t\|} : s \neq t \right\} \\ &= \sup \left\{ \frac{\|(\delta_s \otimes \phi - \delta_t \otimes \phi)(f)\|}{\|\delta_s - \delta_t\|} : s \neq t \right\} \\ &\leq \sup \left\{ \frac{|\Phi_f(u)|}{\|u\|} : u \neq 0 \right\} \\ &= \|\Phi_f\|. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore  $\rho_{d, E}(f) \leq \|\Phi_f\|$  and so  $\Phi$  is isometry.  $\square$

**Proposition 1.9.** *Let  $Y$  be a Hausdorff locally compact space,  $(X, d)$  be a metric space and  $E = (C_0(Y), \|\cdot\|_\infty)$ . Suppose that*

$$W = \overline{\langle \delta_x \otimes \delta_y : x \in X, y \in Y \rangle}.$$

Then

$$\text{Lip}_d(X, E) = \langle \delta_x \otimes \delta_y : x \in X, y \in Y \rangle^* := W^*$$

as a dual Banach algebra.

*Proof.* Define

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi : \text{Lip}_d(X, E) &\rightarrow W^* \\ f &\mapsto \Phi_f, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\Phi_f(\sum_{k=1}^n \lambda_k (\delta_{x_k} \otimes \delta_{y_k})) = \sum_{k=1}^n \lambda_k f(x_k)(y_k).$$

It is easily verified that  $\Phi$  is linear, and

$$\|\Phi_f\| \leq \|f\|.$$

We prove the reverse of the inequality. For all  $s, t \in X$  with  $s \neq t$  we have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\|f(s) - f(t)\|_E}{d(s, t)} &\leq \frac{\|f(s) - f(t)\|_\infty}{\|\delta_s - \delta_t\|_{\text{Lip}_d(X, E)^*}} \\ &= \sup \left\{ \frac{|f(s)(y) - f(t)(y)|}{\|\delta_s - \delta_t\|_{\text{Lip}_d(X, E)^*}} : y \in Y \right\} \\ &\leq \sup \left\{ \frac{|\Phi_f(u)|}{\|u\|} : u \in W, u \neq 0 \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

Since

$$\begin{aligned} |f(s)(y) - f(t)(y)| &= |\Phi_f(\delta_s \otimes \delta_y) - \Phi_f(\delta_t \otimes \delta_y)| \\ &= |\Phi_f((\delta_s - \delta_t) \otimes \delta_y)| \\ &\leq \|\Phi_f\| \|(\delta_s - \delta_t) \otimes \delta_y\| \\ &\leq \|\Phi_f\| \|\delta_s - \delta_t\| \|\delta_y\| \\ &= \|\Phi_f\| \|\delta_s - \delta_t\|, \end{aligned}$$

it follows that

$$(1.2) \quad \frac{|f(s)(y) - f(t)(y)|}{\|\delta_s - \delta_t\|} \leq \|\Phi_f\|.$$

For all  $s, t \in X$  with  $s \neq t$  we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|\delta_s - \delta_t\| &= \sup \{ |\delta_s(f) - \delta_t(f)| : f \in \text{Lip}_d(X, E), \|f\|_{d, E} \leq 1 \} \\ &= \sup \{ |f(s) - f(t)| : f \in \text{Lip}_d(X, E), \|f\|_{d, E} \leq 1 \} \\ &\leq \sup \{ P_{d, E}(f) d(s, t) : f \in \text{Lip}_d(X, E), \|f\|_{d, E} \leq 1 \} \\ &\leq d(s, t). \end{aligned}$$

This inequality together with (1.2) imply that

$$\frac{\|f(s) - f(t)\|_E}{d(s, t)} \leq \|\Phi_f\|.$$

Consequently,

$$\rho_{d, E}(f) \leq \|\Phi_f\| = \|\Phi(f)\|.$$

Moreover, it is easily verified that

$$\|f\|_{\infty, E} \leq \|\Phi_f\|.$$

It follows that

$$\max \{ \|f\|_{\infty, E}, \rho_{d, E}(f) \} = \|f\| \leq \|\Phi(f)\|,$$

and so  $\Phi$  is isometry. Now we show that  $\Phi$  is surjective. Take  $F \in W^*$  and for every  $x \in X$  and  $y \in Y$ , define

$$f(x)(y) := F(\delta_x \otimes \delta_y).$$

Then for all  $s, t \in X$  with  $s \neq t$  we have

$$\frac{\|f(s) - f(t)\|_E}{d(s, t)} \leq \|F\|$$

and so

$$\rho_{d, E}(f) \leq \|F\|.$$

Also

$$\|f\|_{\infty, E} \leq \|F\|,$$

obviously. Consequently,  $\|f\| \leq \|F\|$  and so  $f \in Lip_d(X, E)$ . It is not hard to see that  $\Phi(f) = F$ . Thus  $\Phi$  is surjective. Finally, we show that

$$Lip_d(X, E) \cdot W \subseteq W \text{ and } W \cdot Lip_d(X, E) \subseteq W.$$

Let  $f \in Lip_d(X, E)$ ,  $y, z \in Y$  and  $s, t \in X$ . For every  $g \in Lip_d(X, E)$  we have

$$((\delta_s \otimes \delta_y) \cdot \Phi_f)(g) = \Phi_f(g \cdot (\delta_s \otimes \delta_y)).$$

But

$$\begin{aligned} g \cdot (\delta_s \otimes \delta_y)(f) &= (\delta_s \otimes \delta_y)(fg) \\ &= (fg(s))(y) \\ &= (f(s)g(s))(y) \\ &= f(s)(y) g(s)(y). \end{aligned}$$

Thus

$$g \cdot (\delta_s \otimes \delta_y)(f) = (\delta_s \otimes \delta_y)(f) (\delta_s \otimes \delta_y)(g),$$

and so

$$g \cdot (\delta_s \otimes \delta_y) = (\delta_s \otimes \delta_y)(g) \cdot (\delta_s \otimes \delta_y).$$

Thus

$$\begin{aligned} [(\delta_s \otimes \delta_y) \cdot \Phi_f](g) &= \Phi_f[(\delta_s \otimes \delta_y)(g) \cdot (\delta_s \otimes \delta_y)] \\ &= (\delta_s \otimes \delta_y)(g) \cdot \Phi_f(\delta_s \otimes \delta_y). \end{aligned}$$

Consequently,

$$(\delta_s \otimes \delta_y) \cdot \Phi_f = \Phi_f(\delta_s \otimes \delta_y) \cdot (\delta_s \otimes \delta_y)$$

and so

$$(\delta_s \otimes \delta_y) \cdot \Phi_f = f(s)(y) \cdot (\delta_s \otimes \delta_y) \in W.$$

Now let  $u = \sum_{k=1}^n \lambda_k \delta_{s_k} \otimes \delta_{y_k} \in W$ . Then

$$u \cdot \Phi_f = \sum_{k=1}^n \lambda_k f(s_k)(y_k) (\delta_{s_k} \otimes \delta_{y_k}) \in W.$$

In general, if  $u_n \rightarrow u$ , in the norm topology, where  $u_n \in \langle \delta_s \otimes \delta_y \rangle$ , then

$$\|u_n \cdot \Phi_f - u \cdot \Phi_f\| \leq \|u_n - u\| \|\Phi_f\| \rightarrow 0.$$

Therefore

$$W \cdot Lip_d(X, E) \subseteq W.$$

Similarly, one can show

$$Lip_d(X, E) \cdot W \subseteq W,$$

and so the proof is completed.  $\square$

**Proposition 1.10.** *Let  $(X, d)$  be a metric space and  $E$  be a dual Banach algebra such that  $E = F^*$ . Then*

$$Lip_d(X, E) \cong \langle X \otimes F \rangle^*.$$

*Proof.* Define

$$\Phi : Lip_d(X, E) \rightarrow V^*$$

by  $f \mapsto \Phi_f$ , where

$$V = \langle x \otimes z : x \in X, z \in F \rangle,$$

and for each  $f \in Lip_d(X, E)$ ,

$$\Phi_f(x \otimes z) = f(x)(z).$$

Johnson [8] showed that the mapping of  $\Phi$  is linear and isometric and

$$\text{Lip}_d(X, F^*) = V^*,$$

as two Banach spaces. Moreover, for all  $f, g \in \text{Lip}_d(X, E)$  we have

$$\Phi_{fg}(x \otimes z) = (fg)(x)(z) = f(x)(z)g(x)(z) = \Phi_f(x \otimes z)\Phi_g(x \otimes z).$$

Thus  $\Phi$  is a homomorphism. Finally, we show that

$$\text{Lip}_d(X, E) \cdot V \subseteq V \text{ and } V \cdot \text{Lip}_d(X, E) \subseteq V.$$

Let  $k \in \text{Lip}_d(X, E)$  and  $x \otimes z \in V$ . Then for each  $h \in \text{Lip}_d(X, E)$  we have

$$\begin{aligned} ((x \otimes z) \cdot k)(h) &= (x \otimes z)(kh) = (kh(x))(z) \\ &= (k(x)h(x))(z) = k(x)(z)h(x)(z) \\ &= (k(x)(z))((x \otimes z)(h)). \end{aligned}$$

It follows that

$$(x \otimes z) \cdot k = (k(x)(z))(x \otimes z).$$

Thus

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi_f((x \otimes z) \cdot k) &= \Phi_f((k(x)(z))(x \otimes z)) \\ &= k(x)(z)\Phi_f(x \otimes z) \\ &= (x \otimes z)(k)\Phi_f(x \otimes z) \\ &= (\Phi_f \cdot (x \otimes z))(k), \end{aligned}$$

which implies that

$$\Phi_f \cdot (x \otimes z) = (\Phi_f(x \otimes z)) \cdot (x \otimes z) \in V.$$

Similarly, one can prove that

$$(x \otimes z) \cdot \Phi_f = (x \otimes z) \cdot (\Phi_f(x \otimes z)) \in V,$$

and so the proof is completed.  $\square$

**Remark 1.11.** Proposition 1.10 asserts that if  $E$  is a dual Banach algebra, then  $\text{Lip}_d(X, E)$  is a dual Banach algebra, as well. But the converse of this statement is not true, by Proposition 1.9.

#### REFERENCES

- [1] F. Abtahi, M. Azizi and A. Rejali, *Character amenability of some intersections of Lipschitz algebras*, *Canad. Math. Bull.*, **60/4**, (2017), 673-689.
- [2] F. Abtahi, E. Byabani and A. Rejali, *Some algebraic and homological properties of Lipschitz algebras and their second duals*, *Archivum Mathematicum.*, **55/4**, (2019), 211-224.
- [3] F. Abtahi, Z. Kamali, M. Toutouchi, *The Bochner-Schoenberg-Eberlein property for vector-valued Lipschitz algebras*, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, **479**, (2019), 1172-1181.
- [4] F. F. Bonsall and J. Duncan, *Complete normed algebras*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, Hieldelberg, Newyork, 1973.
- [5] H. G. Dales, *Banach Algebras and Automatic Continuity*, London Mathematical Society Monographs New Series, 24 (Oxford University Press, Oxford, 2000).
- [6] K. Esmaili and H. Mahyar, *The character spaces and Šilov boundaries of vector-valued Lipschitz function algebras*, *Indian J. Pure Appl. Math.*, December, (2014), 977-988.
- [7] T. G. Honary, A. Nikou and A. H. Sanatpour, *On the character space of vector-valued Lipschitz algebras*, *Bull. Iranian Math. Soc.*, **40/6**, (2014), 1453-1468.
- [8] J. A. Johnson, *Banach Spaces of Lipschitz Functions and Vector-Valued Lipschitz Functions*, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, **148**, (1970), 147-169.
- [9] E. Kaniuth and A. Ülger, *The Bochner-Schoenberg-Eberlein property for commutative Banach algebras, especially Fourier and Fourier-Stieltjes algebras*, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, **362**, (2010), 4331-4356.

- [10] V. Runde, *Lectures on amenability*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2002.
- [11] S. E. Takahasi and O. Hatori, *Commutative Banach algebras which satisfy a Bochner-Schoenberg-Eberlein-type theorem*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., **110**, (1990), 149-158.

F. Abtahi  
Faculty of Mathematics and Statistics  
Department of Pure Mathematics  
University of Isfahan  
Isfahan, Iran  
f.abtahi@sci.ui.ac.ir  
abtahif2002@yahoo.com

A. Rejali  
Faculty of Mathematics and Statistics  
Department of Pure Mathematics  
University of Isfahan  
Isfahan, Iran  
rejali@sci.ui.ac.ir

F. Sayaf  
Faculty of Mathematics and Statistics  
Department of Pure Mathematics  
University of Isfahan  
Isfahan, Iran  
f.sayaf@sci.ui.ac.ir