

SCATTERING FOR THE DEFOCUSING, CUBIC NONLINEAR SCHRÖDINGER EQUATION WITH INITIAL DATA IN A CRITICAL SPACE

BENJAMIN DODSON

ABSTRACT. In this note we prove scattering for a defocusing nonlinear Schrödinger equation with initial data lying in a critical Besov space. In addition, we obtain polynomial bounds on the scattering size as a function of the critical Besov norm.

1. INTRODUCTION

The qualitative long time behavior for the defocusing, nonlinear Schrödinger equation

$$(1.1) \quad iu_t + \Delta u = |u|^{p-1}u, \quad u(0, x) = u_0,$$

is completely worked out in the mass-critical ($p = \frac{4}{d} + 1$) and energy-critical ($p = \frac{4}{d-2} + 1$) cases. Indeed, (1.1) is known to be globally well-posed and scattering for any initial data $u_0 \in \dot{H}^{s_c}(\mathbb{R}^d)$,

$$(1.2) \quad s_c = \frac{d}{2} - \frac{2}{p-1},$$

in the mass-critical ([5], [6], [7], [8], [27], [17], [19]) and energy-critical cases ([1], [4], [24], [28], [18], [25]). The critical exponent (1.2) arises from the fact that if $u(t, x)$ solves (1.1), then for any $\lambda > 0$,

$$(1.3) \quad u(t, x) \mapsto \lambda^{\frac{2}{p-1}} u(\lambda^2 t, \lambda x),$$

also solves (1.1), and the \dot{H}^{s_c} norm of the initial data is invariant under (1.3). On the other hand, well-posedness fails for $s < s_c$, see [2].

Remark 1. *In this paper, global well-posedness refers to the existence of a global strong solution, i.e., a solution that satisfies Duhamel's principle*

$$(1.4) \quad u(t) = e^{it\Delta} u_0 - i \int_0^t e^{i(t-\tau)\Delta} |u(\tau)|^{p-1} u(\tau) d\tau,$$

that is continuous in time, and depends continuously on the initial data. Scattering refers to the existence of u_+ , $u_- \in \dot{H}^{s_c}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ such that

$$(1.5) \quad \lim_{t \nearrow \infty} \|u(t) - e^{it\Delta} u_+\|_{\dot{H}^{s_c}(\mathbb{R}^d)} = 0,$$

and

$$(1.6) \quad \lim_{t \searrow -\infty} \|u(t) - e^{it\Delta} u_-\|_{\dot{H}^{s_c}(\mathbb{R}^d)} = 0.$$

See Chapter three of [26] for a detailed treatment of global well-posedness and scattering for dispersive partial differential equations in general.

The case when $p = \frac{4}{d} + 1$, ($s_c = 0$) is called mass-critical because a solution to (1.1) preserves the mass, or L^2 norm of a solution,

$$(1.7) \quad M(u(t)) = \int |u(t, x)|^2 dx = M(u(0)).$$

Likewise, the case when $p = \frac{4}{d-2} + 1$, ($s_c = 1$) is called energy critical because a solution to (1.1) preserves the energy,

$$(1.8) \quad E(u(t)) = \int [\frac{1}{2} |\nabla u(t, x)|^2 + \frac{1}{p+1} |u(t, x)|^{p+1}] dx = E(u(0)).$$

The conserved quantities (1.7) and (1.8) imply that the $\dot{H}^{s_c}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ norm is uniformly bounded for the entire time of existence of the solution to (1.1) in the mass-critical and energy-critical cases. Thus, in the mass-critical and energy-critical cases, the proof of global well-posedness and scattering reduces to proving global well-posedness and scattering for a solution to (1.1) with uniformly bounded $\dot{H}^{s_c}(\mathbb{R}^d)$, which has been done.

Remark 2. *In the energy-critical case, the Sobolev embedding theorem implies that $E(u(0)) < \infty$ when $u_0 \in \dot{H}^1(\mathbb{R}^d)$.*

It is conjectured that global well-posedness and scattering also hold for (1.1) when $0 < s_c < 1$. In this case, there is no known conserved quantity that gives uniform bounds on the $\dot{H}^{s_c}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ norm of a solution to (1.1). Therefore, there are two possible ways in which a solution to (1.1) might fail to scatter. These are called type one blowup and type two blowup. A solution to (1.1) is called a type one blowup solution to (1.1) if the $\dot{H}^{s_c}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ norm is not uniformly bounded. Since $e^{it\Delta}$ is a unitary operator, an unbounded $\dot{H}^{s_c}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ norm automatically precludes (1.5) or (1.6) from occurring. A blowup solution to (1.1) is called a type two blowup solution if the solution fails to scatter but the $\dot{H}^{s_c}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ norm is uniformly bounded for the entire time of its existence.

Type one blowup is known to occur for solutions to (1.1) for some d and $s_c > 1$, see [20]. Interestingly, the solutions obtained in [20] have good regularity and good decay. By comparison, when $0 < s_c < 1$, if $u_0 \in H_x^1(\mathbb{R}^d)$, where H_x^1 is an inhomogeneous Sobolev space, then (1.7), (1.8), and interpolation imply a uniform bound on the $\dot{H}^{s_c}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ norm when $0 < s_c < 1$. In fact, global well-posedness and scattering is known for a solution to (1.1) when $u_0 \in H_x^1(\mathbb{R}^d)$ and $0 < s_c < 1$, see [14] and [13].

Type two blowup has been precluded in many cases for (1.1) when $0 < s_c < 1$. One particularly important case is the cubic nonlinear Schrödinger equation in three dimensions (see [12]),

$$(1.9) \quad iu_t + \Delta u = |u|^2 u, \quad u : \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^3 \rightarrow \mathbb{C}, \quad u(0, x) = u_0.$$

In this case, $s_c = \frac{3}{2} - \frac{2}{2} = \frac{1}{2}$. The main obstacle to proving scattering for (1.9) with generic initial data in $\dot{H}^{1/2}(\mathbb{R}^3)$ is the absence of a conservation law that controls the $\dot{H}^{1/2}$ norm of a solution to (1.9) with initial data in $\dot{H}^{1/2}$. Observe that the momentum

$$(1.10) \quad P(u(t)) = \int \text{Im}[\bar{u}(t, x) \nabla u(t, x)] dx,$$

is conserved and scales like the $\dot{H}^{1/2}$ norm, but does not control the $\dot{H}^{1/2}$ norm of a solution to (1.1).

In a breakthrough result, [15] proved that any solution to (1.9) with $\|u(t)\|_{\dot{H}^{1/2}(\mathbb{R}^3)}$ uniformly bounded on its entire interval of existence must be globally well-posed and scattering. Indeed, [15] and [4] were key in developing the concentration compactness method for the nonlinear Schrödinger

equation. Type two blowup was later precluded for a great many cases of (1.1) when $0 < s_c < 1$, see [23], [22], and [21]. Since the mass-critical and energy-critical problems reduce to type two blowup questions, the same techniques are useful for both problems.

In this paper we prove scattering for (1.1) when $0 < s_c < 1$, $1 < p \leq 3$, and the initial data is radially symmetric and in the critical Besov space $B_{1,1}^{\frac{d}{2}+s_c}(\mathbb{R}^d)$.

Theorem 1. *The initial value problem (1.1) is globally well-posed and scattering for radially symmetric initial data in the Besov space $B_{1,1}^{\frac{d}{2}+s_c}(\mathbb{R}^d)$. In addition, when $1 < p < 3$, the scattering size,*

$$(1.11) \quad \|u\|_{L_t^{\frac{p+1}{1-s_c}} L_x^{p+1}(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^d)},$$

is bounded by a polynomial function of $\|u_0\|_{B_{1,1}^{\frac{d}{2}+s_c}}$.

The Besov space $B_{p,q}^s(\mathbb{R}^d)$ is given by the norm

$$(1.12) \quad \|u_0\|_{B_{p,q}^s(\mathbb{R}^d)} = \left(\sum_j 2^{jps} \|P_j u_0\|_{L^q}^p \right)^{1/p},$$

when $1 \leq p < \infty$, with the usual modification when $p = \infty$. Here, P_j is the usual Littlewood–Paley projection operator. The Sobolev embedding theorem implies that $B_{1,1}^{\frac{d}{2}+s_c}(\mathbb{R}^d) \subset \dot{H}^{s_c}(\mathbb{R}^d)$. The $B_{1,1}^{\frac{d}{2}+s_c}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ norm is invariant under the scaling symmetry (1.3).

For the Schrödinger equation in dimensions $d \geq 3$,

$$(1.13) \quad iu_t + \Delta u = F, \quad u(0, x) = u_0, \quad u : I \times \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{C},$$

we have the Strichartz estimate

$$(1.14) \quad \|u\|_{L_t^2 L_x^{\frac{2d}{d-2}} \cap L_t^\infty L_x^2(I \times \mathbb{R}^d)} \lesssim \|u_0\|_{L^2} + \|F\|_{L_t^1 L_x^2 + L_t^2 L_x^{\frac{2d}{d+2}}(I \times \mathbb{R}^d)}.$$

See [26] and the references therein for a detailed treatment of this topic.

In particular, when $F = 0$, (1.14) implies a bound on $\|u\|_{L_t^p L_x^q}$, when (p, q) is an admissible pair, i.e.

$$(1.15) \quad \frac{2}{p} = d \left(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{q} \right), \quad p \geq 2.$$

Then by the Sobolev embedding theorem, if $F = 0$,

$$(1.16) \quad \|u\|_{L_t^p L_x^r} \lesssim \|u_0\|_{\dot{H}^s}, \quad \text{for } \frac{1}{r} = \frac{1}{q} - \frac{s}{d}, \quad (p, q) \text{ is admissible.}$$

The pair (p, r) is then said to be s -admissible. Doing some algebra, $(\frac{p+1}{1-s_c}, p+1)$ is s_c -admissible. Since $\frac{p+1}{1-s_c} < \infty$, a bound on (1.11) on $\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^d$ implies scattering for (1.1). Again, see [26] for a detailed exposition of the method to prove this fact. It is useful to use the Strichartz space,

$$(1.17) \quad \|u\|_{\dot{S}^{s_c}(I \times \mathbb{R}^d)} = \| |\nabla|^{s_c} u \|_{L_t^2 L_x^{\frac{2d}{d-2}} \cap L_t^\infty L_x^2(I \times \mathbb{R}^d)}.$$

Studying nonlinear wave ([9], [11]) and Schrödinger equations ([10]) with initial data in a critical Besov space has proved to be a very fruitful endeavor. Consider (1.9), for example. Observe that the interaction Morawetz estimates in [3] and conservation of energy imply

$$(1.18) \quad \|u\|_{L_t^8 L_x^4(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^3)} \lesssim (1 + \|u_0\|_{\dot{H}^{1/2}}^3) \|u_0\|_{\dot{H}^1}^3 \|u_0\|_{L^2}^3.$$

This provides good bounds on the left hand side of (1.18) for $u_0 = e^{-\frac{|x|^2}{2}}$, or for any rescaled version under (1.3), i.e., $u_0 = \lambda e^{-\frac{\lambda^2|x|^2}{2}}$. Plugging

$$(1.19) \quad u_0 = c_1 e^{-\frac{|x|^2}{2}} + c_2 \lambda e^{-\lambda^2 \frac{|x|^2}{2}},$$

into (1.18) gives a bound on the right hand side that is a function of λ , c_1 , and c_2 . However, Theorem 1 implies that the $L_t^8 L_x^4$ bound depends only on c_1 and c_2 . Moreover, for (1.1) with $1 < p < 3$, such bounds are polynomially dependent on c_1 and c_2 . We could also replace (1.19) by a convergent series of c_j 's, with arbitrary λ_j 's.

2. SCATTERING FOR THE CUBIC NLS IN THREE DIMENSIONS

We begin by proving scattering for the cubic equation (1.3) with $u_0 \in B_{1,1}^2(\mathbb{R}^3)$, before moving on to the general problem. In this section, we do not prove any uniform bounds on the scattering size as a function of the $B_{1,1}^2$ norm of the initial data.

Theorem 2. *The initial value problem*

$$(2.1) \quad iu_t + \Delta u = |u|^2 u, \quad u(0, x) = u_0,$$

with radially symmetric initial data $u_0 \in B_{1,1}^2(\mathbb{R}^3)$ has a global solution that scatters.

Proof. By time reversal symmetry, it suffices to prove scattering on $[0, \infty)$. In [10], we proved that the cubic nonlinear Schrödinger equation is globally well-posed for initial data $u_0 \in W^{\frac{7}{6}, \frac{11}{7}}(\mathbb{R}^3)$. By the Sobolev embedding theorem, $B_{1,1}^2(\mathbb{R}^3) \subset W^{\frac{7}{6}, \frac{11}{7}}(\mathbb{R}^3)$, so global well-posedness follows.

Furthermore, after rescaling the initial data, suppose that the global solution has the form

$$(2.2) \quad \|u\|_{L_{t,x}^5([0,1] \times \mathbb{R}^3)} \leq \delta, \quad \text{which implies} \quad \|u\|_{\dot{S}^{1/2}([0,1] \times \mathbb{R}^3)} < \infty.$$

Then for $1 \leq t < \infty$, decompose

$$(2.3) \quad u(t) = w(t) + v(t), \quad \text{where} \quad w(t) = e^{it\Delta} u_0^{(1)},$$

and $u_0 = u_0^{(1)} + u_0^{(2)}$ is some decomposition of u_0 that will be specified later.

Let $\mathcal{E}(t)$ denote the conformal energy of v ,

$$(2.4) \quad \mathcal{E}(t) = \|(x + 2it\nabla)v\|_{L^2}^2 + 2t^2 \|v\|_{L^4}^4 = \|xv\|_{L^2}^2 + 2\langle xv, 2it\nabla v \rangle_{L^2} + 8t^2 E(t),$$

where $E(t)$ is the energy in (1.8),

$$(2.5) \quad E(t) = \frac{1}{2} \|\nabla v\|_{L^2}^2 + \frac{1}{4} \|v\|_{L^4}^4.$$

When $w = 0$,

$$(2.6) \quad \frac{d}{dt} \mathcal{E}(t) = -2t \|v\|_{L^4}^4,$$

which implies $\|v\|_{L_{t,x}^4([1,\infty) \times \mathbb{R}^3)} < \infty$. Interpolating this bound with the bound $\|v\|_{L_t^\infty L_x^4} < \infty$, which a uniform bound on $\mathcal{E}(t)$ implies for any $1 \leq t \leq \infty$, gives

$$(2.7) \quad \|u\|_{L_t^8 L_x^4([1,\infty) \times \mathbb{R}^3)} = \|v\|_{L_t^8 L_x^4([1,\infty) \times \mathbb{R}^3)} < \infty.$$

For a general $u_0^{(1)} \in \dot{H}^{1/2}(\mathbb{R}^3)$, Strichartz estimates imply that

$$(2.8) \quad \|w\|_{L_t^8 L_x^4(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^3)} \lesssim \|u_0\|_{\dot{H}^{1/2}(\mathbb{R}^3)},$$

so to prove scattering, it suffices to prove

$$(2.9) \quad \int_1^\infty \frac{1}{t^4} \mathcal{E}(t)^2 dt < \infty.$$

Indeed,

$$(2.10) \quad \int_1^\infty \|v\|_{L^4}^8 dt \lesssim \int_1^\infty \frac{1}{t^4} \mathcal{E}(t)^2 dt.$$

By Duhamel's principle,

$$(2.11) \quad v(1) = -i \int_0^1 e^{i(1-\tau)\Delta} |u|^2 u d\tau + e^{i1\Delta} u_0^{(2)}.$$

By direct computation,

$$(2.12) \quad (x + 2i\nabla) \int_0^1 e^{i(1-\tau)\Delta} |u|^2 u d\tau = \int_0^1 (x + 2i(1-\tau)\nabla) e^{i(1-\tau)\Delta} |u|^2 u d\tau + \int_0^1 2i\tau \nabla e^{i(1-\tau)\Delta} |u|^2 u d\tau.$$

By examining the kernel,

$$(2.13) \quad e^{it\Delta} f = \frac{C}{t^{3/2}} \int e^{-i\frac{|x-y|^2}{4t}} f(y) dy, \quad (x + 2it\nabla) e^{it\Delta} f = e^{it\Delta} x f,$$

so using the radial Sobolev embedding theorem and the computations in [10],

$$(2.14) \quad \left\| \int_0^1 (x + 2i(1-\tau)\nabla) e^{i(1-\tau)\Delta} |u|^2 u d\tau \right\|_{L^2} \lesssim \|x|u|^2 u\|_{L_t^1 L_x^2} \lesssim \|xu\|_{L_t^\infty L_x^2} \|u\|_{L_t^8 L_x^4}^2 \lesssim \|u\|_{L_t^\infty B_{1,2}^{1/2}} \|u\|_{\dot{S}^{1/2}}^2 \lesssim \|u_0\|_{B_{1,1}^2}^3.$$

Next, recall from [10] that for any $0 < t < 1$,

$$(2.15) \quad u = u_1 + u_2, \quad \text{where} \quad \|\nabla u_1\|_{L^2} \lesssim t^{-1/4}, \quad \|\nabla u_2\|_{L^6} \lesssim t^{-3/4},$$

with constant independent of t . Therefore, by Strichartz estimates,

$$(2.16) \quad \left\| \int_0^1 2i\tau \nabla e^{i(1-\tau)\Delta} |u|^2 u d\tau \right\|_{L^2} \lesssim \|\tau \nabla u_1\|_{L_\tau^\infty L_x^2} \|u\|_{L_t^4 L_x^6}^2 + \|\tau \nabla u_2\|_{L_\tau^\infty L_x^6} \|u\|_{L_t^4 L_x^6}^2 \lesssim \|u_0\|_{B_{1,1}^2} 1.$$

Now decompose the initial data. Let $\chi \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^3)$, $\chi(x) = 1$ on $|x| \leq 1$, $\chi(x)$ is supported on $|x| \leq 2$, and let $R(\epsilon, u_0) < \infty$ be a constant sufficiently large so that

$$(2.17) \quad \sum_j 2^{j/2} \|(1 - \chi(\frac{x}{R})) P_j u_0\|_{L^2} \leq \epsilon, \quad \text{and} \quad \sum_j 2^{2j} \|(1 - \chi(\frac{x}{R})) P_j u_0\|_{L^1} \leq \epsilon.$$

By Hölder's inequality and the Sobolev embedding theorem,

$$(2.18) \quad \|\nabla((1 - \chi(\frac{x}{R})) P_j u_0)\|_{L^2} \lesssim \|P_j u_0\|_{\dot{H}^1}, \quad \text{and} \quad \|\nabla^2((1 - \chi(\frac{x}{R})) P_j u_0)\|_{L^1} \lesssim 2^{2j} \|P_j u_0\|_{L^1}.$$

Then,

$$(2.19) \quad \sum_j \|(1 - \chi(\frac{x}{R})) P_j u_0\|_{L^2}^{1/2} \|(1 - \chi(\frac{x}{R})) P_j u_0\|_{\dot{H}^1}^{1/2} \lesssim \epsilon^{1/2} \|u_0\|_{B_{1,1}^2}^{1/2}.$$

Therefore, by the radial Sobolev embedding theorem, if $\epsilon \leq \|u_0\|_{B_{1,1}^2}^{-2}$,

$$(2.20) \quad \| |x| e^{it\Delta} (1 - \chi(\frac{x}{R})) u_0 \|_{L^\infty} \lesssim \epsilon^{1/4}.$$

Also, by Hölder's inequality,

$$(2.21) \quad \|x\chi(\frac{x}{R})u_0\|_{L^2} \lesssim R^{3/2}\|u_0\|_{L^3},$$

so (2.13) implies

$$(2.22) \quad \|(x + 2i\nabla)v(1)\|_{L^2} \lesssim R^{3/2}\|u_0\|_{B_{1,1}^2}.$$

The computations in [10] also imply

$$(2.23) \quad \|v(1)\|_{L^4}^4 \lesssim 1,$$

and therefore,

$$(2.24) \quad \mathcal{E}(1) \lesssim_{\|u_0\|_{B_{1,1}^2}, R} 1.$$

To obtain the bound (2.9), observe that v solves

$$(2.25) \quad iv_t + \Delta v = |u|^2 u, \quad v(1, x) = (2.11),$$

and w solves

$$(2.26) \quad iw_t + \Delta w = 0, \quad w(1, x) = e^{i1\Delta} u_0^{(1)},$$

on $[1, \infty)$.

Rearranging (2.25),

$$(2.27) \quad -\Delta v + |v|^2 v = iv_t - F, \quad F = 2|v|^2 w + v^2 \bar{w} + 2|w|^2 v + w^2 \bar{v} + |w|^2 w = F_1 + F_2 + F_3.$$

Integrating by parts,

$$(2.28) \quad \begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dt}\mathcal{E}(t) &= 16tE(v) + 8t^2\langle v_t, -\Delta v + |v|^2 v \rangle + 4\langle xv, i\nabla v \rangle + 4t\langle xv_t, i\nabla v \rangle + 4t\langle xv, i\nabla v_t \rangle \\ &\quad + 2\langle ix\Delta v, xv \rangle - 2\langle ixF, xv \rangle = -2t\|v\|_{L^4}^4 + 8t^2\langle v_t, F \rangle - 4t\langle xF, \nabla v \rangle + 4t\langle xv, \nabla F \rangle - 2\langle ixF, xv \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

Integrating by parts and plugging in (2.27), with $F_3 = |w|^2 w$,

$$(2.29) \quad \begin{aligned} &8t^2\langle v_t, F_3 \rangle - 4t\langle xF_3, \nabla v \rangle + 4t\langle xv, \nabla F_3 \rangle - 2\langle ixF_3, xv \rangle \\ &= 2\langle (x + 2it\nabla)|w|^2 w, i(x + 2it\nabla)v \rangle_{L^2} + O(t^2(\langle |v|^3 + |w|^3, |w|^3 \rangle)) \\ &\lesssim \|(x + 2it\nabla)v\|_{L^2}\|xw\|_{L^\infty}\|w\|_{L^4}^2 + \|(x + 2it\nabla)v\|_{L^2}\|t\nabla w\|_{L^\infty}\|w\|_{L^4}^2 + t^2\|v\|_{L^4}^3\|w\|_{L^4}\|w\|_{L^\infty}^2 + t^2\|w\|_{L^6}^6 \\ &\lesssim \mathcal{E}(t)^{1/2}\|w\|_{L^4}^2 + t^{3/8}\mathcal{E}(t)^{3/4}\|w\|_{L^\infty}^2 + t^{3/2}\|w\|_{L^\infty}^2. \end{aligned}$$

Also, integrating by parts and plugging in (2.27) with $F_2 = 2|w|^2 v + w^2 \bar{v}$,

$$(2.30) \quad \begin{aligned} &8t^2\langle v_t, F_2 \rangle - 4t\langle xF_2, \nabla v \rangle + 4t\langle xv, \nabla F_2 \rangle - 2\langle ixF_2, xv \rangle \\ &= 2\langle (x + 2it\nabla)F_2, i(x + 2it\nabla)v \rangle_{L^2} + O(t^2(\langle |v|^3 + |w|^3, |w|^2|v \rangle)) \\ &\lesssim \|(x + 2it\nabla)v\|_{L^2}\|xw\|_{L^\infty}\|w\|_{L^4}\|v\|_{L^4} + \|(x + 2it\nabla)v\|_{L^2}\|t\nabla w\|_{L^\infty}\|w\|_{L^4}\|v\|_{L^4} \\ &\quad + \|(x + 2it\nabla)v\|_{L^2}^2\|w\|_{L^\infty}^2 + t^2\|v\|_{L^4}^4\|w\|_{L^\infty}^2 + t^2\|w\|_{L^6}^6 \\ &\lesssim t^{-1/2}\mathcal{E}(t)^{3/4}\|w\|_{L^4} + t^{3/2}\|w\|_{L^\infty}^2 + \mathcal{E}(t)\|w\|_{L^\infty}^2. \end{aligned}$$

Finally, take

$$(2.31) \quad 8t^2\langle v_t, F_1 \rangle - 4t\langle xF_1, \nabla v \rangle + 4t\langle xv, \nabla F_1 \rangle - 2\langle ixF_1, xv \rangle,$$

with $F_1 = 2|v|^2w + v^2\bar{w}$. This term will be handled slightly differently from (2.29) and (2.30). By (2.20),

$$(2.32) \quad -4t\langle xF_1, \nabla v \rangle - 2\langle ixF_1, xv \rangle = -2\langle ixF_1, (x + 2it\nabla)v \rangle \lesssim \|(x + 2it\nabla)v\|_{L^2} \|v\|_{L^4}^2 \|xw\|_{L^\infty} \lesssim \frac{\epsilon^{1/4}}{t} \mathcal{E}(t).$$

Next, integrating by parts,

$$(2.33) \quad \begin{aligned} 4t\langle xv, \nabla F_1 \rangle &= -4t\langle x\nabla v, F_1 \rangle - 12t\langle v, F_1 \rangle = -4t\langle xw, \nabla(|v|^2v) \rangle - 12t\langle v, F_1 \rangle \\ &\lesssim t\|w\|_{L^4} \|v\|_{L^4}^3 + 4t\langle \nabla w, x|v|^2v \rangle \lesssim t^{-1/2}\|w\|_{L^4} \mathcal{E}(t)^{3/4} + 4t\langle \nabla w, x|v|^2v \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

Then by the product rule, integrating by parts, and (2.18),

$$(2.34) \quad \begin{aligned} 4t\langle \nabla w, x|v|^2v \rangle &= 8t\langle \nabla w, |v|^2(x + 2it\nabla)v \rangle - 4t^2\langle \nabla w, v^2(x - 2it\nabla)\bar{v} \rangle - 8t\langle \nabla w, i\nabla(|v|^2v) \rangle \\ &\lesssim t\|\nabla w\|_{L^\infty} \|(x + 2it\nabla)v\|_{L^2} \|v\|_{L^4}^2 - 8t^2\langle i\Delta w, |v|^2v \rangle \\ &\lesssim \|\nabla w\|_{L^\infty} \mathcal{E}(t) + t\|\Delta w\|_{L^\infty} \|v\|_{L^2} \mathcal{E}(t)^{1/2} \lesssim \|\nabla w\|_{L^\infty} \mathcal{E}(t) + t^{-1/2}\|v\|_{L^2} \mathcal{E}(t)^{1/2}. \end{aligned}$$

Meanwhile, integrating by parts in t ,

$$(2.35) \quad \int_1^T 8t^2\langle v_t, F_1 \rangle dt = 8t^2\langle |v|^3, |w| \rangle_1^T - \int_1^T 8t^2\langle |v|^2v, w_t \rangle - \int_1^T 16t\langle |v|^2v, w \rangle dt.$$

First observe that

$$(2.36) \quad 8t^2\langle |v|^3, |w| \rangle_1^T \lesssim t^{1/2}\|w\|_{L^4} \mathcal{E}(t)^{3/4} \Big|_1^T.$$

Also compute

$$(2.37) \quad 8t^2\langle |v|^2v, w_t \rangle \lesssim t\|\Delta w\|_{L^\infty} \|v\|_{L^2} \mathcal{E}(t)^{1/2} \lesssim t^{-1/2}\|v\|_{L^2} \mathcal{E}(t)^{1/2}, \quad \text{and} \quad 16t\langle |v|^2v, w \rangle \lesssim t^{-1/2} \mathcal{E}(t)^{3/4} \|w\|_{L^4}.$$

Therefore,

$$(2.38) \quad \begin{aligned} \mathcal{E}(t) &\lesssim \int_1^t [\mathcal{E}(s)^{1/2} \|w\|_{L^4}^2 + s^{3/8} \mathcal{E}(s)^{3/4} \|w\|_{L^\infty}^2 + s^{3/2} \|w\|_{L^\infty}^2 + s^{1/4} \mathcal{E}(s)^{1/2} \|w\|_{L^\infty} \\ &+ \mathcal{E}(s) \|w\|_{L^\infty}^2 + \frac{\epsilon}{s} \mathcal{E}(s) + \|\nabla w\|_{L^\infty} \mathcal{E}(s) + s^{-1/2} \mathcal{E}(s)^{1/2} \|v\|_{L^2} + s^{-1/2} \mathcal{E}(s)^{3/4} \|w\|_{L^4}] ds + t^{1/2} \|w\|_{L^4} \mathcal{E}(t)^{3/4} + R. \end{aligned}$$

By Fubini's theorem and Hölder's inequality,

$$(2.39) \quad \begin{aligned} \int_1^\infty \frac{1}{t^4} \left(\int_1^t \mathcal{E}(s)^{1/2} \|w\|_{L^4}^2 ds \right)^2 dt &\lesssim \int_1^\infty \frac{1}{t^3} \left(\int_1^t \mathcal{E}(s) \|w\|_{L^4}^4 ds \right) dt = \int_1^\infty \mathcal{E}(s) \|w(s)\|_{L^4}^4 \int_s^\infty \frac{1}{t^3} dt ds \\ &\lesssim \int_1^\infty \frac{1}{s^2} \mathcal{E}(s) \|w\|_{L^4}^4 ds \lesssim \left(\int_1^\infty \frac{1}{s^4} \mathcal{E}(s)^2 ds \right)^{1/2} \left(\int_1^\infty \|w\|_{L^4}^8 ds \right)^{1/2}. \end{aligned}$$

Next, interpolating (2.17) and (2.18),

$$(2.40) \quad \begin{aligned} &\|\nabla e^{it\Delta} (1 - \chi(\frac{x}{R})) P_j u_0\|_{L^\infty} \\ &\lesssim \inf\{t^{-3/2} 2^{-j} \cdot 2^j \|(1 - \chi(\frac{x}{R})) (P_j u_0)\|_{L^1}^{1/2} \|\nabla^2 (1 - \chi(\frac{x}{R})) (P_j u_0)\|_{L^1}^{1/2}, 2^j \|\nabla^2 (1 - \chi(\frac{x}{R})) (P_j u_0)\|_{L^1}\}, \end{aligned}$$

which by (2.19) implies that for $\epsilon \leq \|u_0\|_{B_{1,1}^2}^{-8}$,

$$(2.41) \quad \int_0^\infty \|\nabla w\|_{L^\infty} dt \lesssim \epsilon^{1/4} \|u_0\|_{B_{1,1}^2}^{3/4} \lesssim \epsilon^{5/32}.$$

Similar computations also show that

$$(2.42) \quad \|w\|_{L_t^2 L_x^\infty} \lesssim \epsilon^{3/8}, \quad \text{and} \quad \|w\|_{L^\infty} \lesssim \frac{\epsilon^{3/8}}{s^{1/2}}.$$

Therefore,

$$(2.43) \quad \begin{aligned} \int_1^\infty \frac{1}{t^4} \mathcal{E}(t)^2 dt &\lesssim \int_1^\infty \frac{R^2}{t^4} dt + \int_1^\infty \frac{1}{t^3} \mathcal{E}(t)^{3/2} \|w\|_{L^4}^2 dt + \int_1^\infty \frac{1}{t^4} \left(\int_1^t \mathcal{E}(s)^{1/2} \|w\|_{L^4}^2 ds \right)^2 dt \\ &\quad + \int_1^\infty \frac{1}{t^4} \left(\int_1^t s^{3/8} \mathcal{E}(s)^{3/4} \|w\|_{L^\infty}^2 + s^{3/2} \|w\|_{L^\infty}^2 + s^{1/4} \mathcal{E}(s)^{1/2} \|w\|_{L^\infty} ds \right)^2 dt \\ &\quad + \int_1^\infty \frac{1}{t^4} \left(\int_1^t \mathcal{E}(s) \|w\|_{L^\infty}^2 + \frac{\epsilon}{s} \mathcal{E}(s) + s^{-1/2} \mathcal{E}(s)^{1/2} \|v\|_{L^2} + s^{-1/2} \mathcal{E}(s)^{3/4} \|w\|_{L^4} ds \right)^2 dt \\ &\lesssim R^2 + \left(\int_1^\infty \frac{1}{t^4} \mathcal{E}(t)^2 dt \right)^{3/4} \left(\int_1^\infty \|w\|_{L^4}^8 dt \right)^{1/4} + \left(\int_1^\infty \frac{1}{s^4} \mathcal{E}(s)^2 ds \right)^{1/2} \left(\int_1^\infty \|w\|_{L^4}^8 ds \right)^{1/2} \\ &\quad + \left(\int_1^\infty \frac{1}{s^4} \mathcal{E}(s)^2 ds \right)^{3/4} \left(\int_1^\infty s^7 \|w\|_{L^\infty}^{16} ds \right)^{1/4} + \left(\int_1^\infty s \|w\|_{L^\infty}^4 ds \right) + \left(\int_1^\infty \frac{1}{s^4} \mathcal{E}(s)^2 ds \right)^{1/2} \left(\int_1^\infty \|w\|_{L^\infty}^4 ds \right)^{1/2} \\ &\quad + \epsilon^{5/16} \left(\int_1^\infty \frac{1}{s^4} \mathcal{E}(s)^2 ds \right) + \left(\int_1^\infty \frac{1}{s^4} \mathcal{E}(s)^2 ds \right)^{1/2} \left(\int_1^\infty \frac{1}{s^2} \|v(s)\|_{L^2}^4 ds \right)^{1/2}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$(2.44) \quad \int_1^\infty \frac{1}{t^4} \mathcal{E}(t)^2 dt \lesssim R^2 + \int_1^\infty \|w\|_{L^4}^8 dt + \int_1^\infty \|w\|_{L^\infty}^2 dt + \left(\int_1^\infty \frac{1}{t^4} \mathcal{E}(t)^2 dt \right)^{1/2} \left(\int_1^\infty \frac{1}{t^2} \|v(t)\|_{L^2}^2 dt \right)^{1/2}.$$

Now since v solves (2.25),

$$(2.45) \quad \frac{d}{dt} \|v\|_{L^2}^2 \lesssim \|w\|_{L^\infty} \|v\|_{L^4}^2 \|v\|_{L^2}^2 + \|w\|_{L^\infty} \|w\|_{L^4}^2 \|v\|_{L^2}, \quad \|v(1)\|_{L^2}^2 \lesssim R.$$

Therefore, by Hölder's inequality,

$$(2.46) \quad \begin{aligned} \|v(t)\|_{L^2}^4 &\lesssim R^2 + \left(\int_1^t \|w\|_{L^\infty} \|v\|_{L^4}^2 \|v\|_{L^2} + \|w\|_{L^\infty} \|w\|_{L^4}^2 \|v\|_{L^2} dt \right)^2 \\ &\lesssim R^2 + \|w\|_{L_t^2 L_x^\infty}^2 \left(\int_1^t \|v\|_{L^4}^4 \|v\|_{L^2}^2 + \|w\|_{L^4}^4 \|v\|_{L^2}^2 \right). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, by Fubini's theorem,

$$(2.47) \quad \begin{aligned} \int_1^\infty \frac{1}{t^2} \|v(t)\|_{L^2}^4 dt &\lesssim R^2 + \epsilon^2 \left(\int_1^\infty \|v\|_{L^4}^8 dt \right)^{1/2} \left(\int_1^\infty \frac{1}{t^2} \|v(t)\|_{L^2}^4 dt \right)^{1/2} + \epsilon^2 \|w\|_{L_t^8 L_x^4}^4 \left(\int_1^\infty \frac{1}{t^2} \|v(t)\|_{L^2}^4 dt \right)^{1/2} \\ &\lesssim R^2 + \epsilon^2 \left(\int_1^\infty \frac{1}{t^4} \mathcal{E}(t)^2 dt \right)^{1/2} \left(\int_1^\infty \frac{1}{t^2} \|v(t)\|_{L^2}^4 dt \right)^{1/2} + \epsilon^2 \|w\|_{L_t^8 L_x^4}^4 \left(\int_1^\infty \frac{1}{t^2} \|v(t)\|_{L^2}^4 dt \right)^{1/2}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$(2.48) \quad \int_1^\infty \frac{1}{t^2} \|v(t)\|_{L^2}^4 dt \lesssim R^2 + \epsilon^2 \left(\int_1^\infty \frac{1}{t^4} \mathcal{E}(t)^2 dt \right) + \epsilon^2 \int_1^\infty \|w\|_{L^4}^8 dt.$$

Plugging (2.48) into (2.44),

$$(2.49) \quad \int_1^\infty \|v(t)\|_{L^4}^8 dt \lesssim \int_1^\infty \frac{1}{t^4} \mathcal{E}(t)^2 dt \lesssim R^2 + \int_1^\infty \|w\|_{L^4}^8 dt + \int_1^\infty \|w\|_{L^\infty}^2 dt.$$

Therefore, scattering follows. \square

3. CONCENTRATION COMPACTNESS IN THE CUBIC CASE

Recall from Strichartz estimates that for a solution to (1.9),

$$(3.1) \quad \|u\|_{L_t^8 L_x^4(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^3)} < \infty, \quad \text{is equivalent to} \quad \|u\|_{L_{t,x}^5(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^3)} < \infty,$$

so Theorem 2 implies that for $u_0 \in B_{1,1}^2$, (1.1) has a global solution satisfying $\|u\|_{L_{t,x}^5(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^3)} < \infty$. However, since R depends on $\epsilon > 0$ and u_0 , not just the norm $\|u_0\|_{B_{1,1}^2}$, (2.49) does not directly give a uniform bound on

$$(3.2) \quad \|u\|_{L_{t,x}^5(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^3)}, \quad \text{when} \quad \|u_0\|_{B_{1,1}^2} \leq A < \infty.$$

Such a bound follows from a concentration compactness argument, as in [9] for the nonlinear wave equation.

Following by now standard concentration compactness techniques, see for example [16],

Lemma 1. *Let u_n be a bounded sequence in $\dot{H}^{1/2}$,*

$$(3.3) \quad \sup_n \|u_n\|_{\dot{H}^{1/2}(\mathbb{R}^3)} \leq A < \infty,$$

that is radially symmetric. After passing to a subsequence, assume that

$$(3.4) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|u_n\|_{\dot{H}^{1/2}(\mathbb{R}^3)} = A.$$

Then passing to a further subsequence, for any $1 \leq J < \infty$, there exist $\phi^1, \dots, \phi^J \in \dot{H}^{1/2}$ such that

$$(3.5) \quad u_n = \sum_{j=1}^J e^{it_n^j (\lambda_n^j)^2 \Delta} \frac{1}{\lambda_n^j} \phi^j \left(\frac{x}{\lambda_n^j} \right) + w_n^J,$$

where

$$(3.6) \quad \sum_{j=1}^J \|\phi^j\|_{\dot{H}^{1/2}}^2 + \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|w_n^J\|_{\dot{H}^{1/2}}^2 = A^2,$$

$$(3.7) \quad \lim_{J \rightarrow \infty} \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|e^{it\Delta} w_n^J\|_{L_{t,x}^5(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^3)} = 0,$$

and for $j \neq k$,

$$(3.8) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left| \ln \left(\frac{\lambda_n^j}{\lambda_n^k} \right) \right| + |t_n^j - t_n^k| = \infty.$$

Now let u_n be a sequence in $B_{1,1}^2(\mathbb{R}^3)$ with the uniform bound

$$(3.9) \quad \|u_n\|_{B_{1,1}^2} \leq A.$$

Then by the Sobolev embedding theorem,

$$(3.10) \quad \|u_n\|_{\dot{H}^{1/2}(\mathbb{R}^3)} \lesssim A,$$

so apply Lemma 1, and observe that for any J ,

$$(3.11) \quad u_n = \sum_{j=1}^J e^{it_n^j (\lambda_n^j)^2 \Delta} \frac{1}{\lambda_n^j} \phi^j \left(\frac{x}{\lambda_n^j} \right) + w_n^J.$$

Next, observe that Lemma 1 implies that for any fixed j ,

$$(3.12) \quad e^{-it_n^j \Delta} (\lambda_n^j u_n(\lambda_n^j \cdot)) \rightharpoonup \phi^j, \quad \text{weakly in } \dot{H}^{1/2}(\mathbb{R}^3).$$

Using dispersive estimates, for any $t \in \mathbb{R}$, since $B_{1,1}^2$ is invariant under the scaling symmetry (1.3),

$$(3.13) \quad \|e^{it\Delta} e^{-it_n^j \Delta} (\lambda_n^j u_n(\lambda_n^j \cdot))\|_{L^\infty} \lesssim \frac{1}{|t - t_n^j|^{1/2}} \|u_n\|_{B_{1,1}^2},$$

in particular, if $t_n^j \rightarrow \pm\infty$ along a subsequence, interpolating (3.13) and the Sobolev embedding theorem $\dot{H}^{1/2} \hookrightarrow L^3$,

$$(3.14) \quad \|e^{it\Delta} e^{-it_n^j \Delta} (\lambda_n^j u_n(\lambda_n^j \cdot))\|_{L_{t,x}^5([-T,T] \times \mathbb{R}^3)} = 0,$$

for any fixed $0 < T < \infty$. Since $u_n \rightharpoonup \phi$ weakly in $\dot{H}^{1/2}$ implies

$$(3.15) \quad e^{it\Delta} u_n \rightharpoonup e^{it\Delta} \phi, \quad \text{weakly in } L_{t,x}^5,$$

(3.14) implies that $\phi^j = 0$ if $t_n^j \rightarrow \pm\infty$ along a subsequence.

Remark 3. *The fact that weak convergence implies (3.15) follows from Strichartz estimates and approximating a function in $L_{t,x}^{5/4}$ with a smooth, compactly supported function and a small remainder.*

Therefore, the t_n^j 's must be uniformly bounded for any j , and after passing to a subsequence, $t_n^j \rightarrow t^j \in \mathbb{R}$ for any j . Since

$$(3.16) \quad e^{it_n^j (\lambda_n^j)^2 \Delta} \frac{1}{\lambda_n^j} \phi^j \left(\frac{x}{\lambda_n^j} \right) = \frac{1}{\lambda_n^j} (e^{it_n^j \Delta} \phi^j) \left(\frac{x}{\lambda_n^j} \right),$$

replacing ϕ^j with $e^{it^j \Delta} \phi^j$ and absorbing the remainder into w_n^J , it is possible to set $t_n^j \equiv 0$ for all j in (3.11). Therefore,

$$(3.17) \quad u_n = \sum_{j=1}^J \frac{1}{\lambda_n^j} \phi^j \left(\frac{x}{\lambda_n^j} \right) + w_n^J.$$

By Theorem 2, for any j , let u^j be the solution to (2.1) with initial data ϕ^j . Then for any j ,

$$(3.18) \quad \|u^j\|_{L_{t,x}^5(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^3)} < \infty.$$

Furthermore, (3.6), (3.7), (3.8), and small data arguments imply that if $u^{(n)}(t, x)$ is the solution to (2.1) with initial data $u_n(x)$,

$$(3.19) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|u^{(n)}\|_{L_{t,x}^5(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^3)}^5 \leq \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \|u^j\|_{L_{t,x}^5(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^3)}^5 < \infty.$$

For all but finitely many j 's, say all but j_0 , $\|u^j\|_{L_t^\infty \dot{H}^{1/2}} \leq \epsilon$, so by small data arguments and (3.6),

$$(3.20) \quad \sum_{j \geq j_0} \|u^j\|_{L_{t,x}^5(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^3)}^2 \lesssim A.$$

Therefore, there exists a function $f : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ such that if $\|u_0\|_{B_{1,1}^2} \leq A$ is radial, then (2.1) has a global solution that satisfies the bound

$$(3.21) \quad \|u\|_{L_{t,x}^5(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^3)} \leq f(A) < \infty.$$

Observe that (3.21) gives no explicit bound on the scattering size. In general, the bounds obtained from a concentration compactness argument are likely far from optimal. For example, in [25],

$$(3.22) \quad \|u\|_{L_{t,x}^{\frac{2(d+2)}{d-2}}(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^d)} \leq C \exp(CE^C),$$

where $C(d)$ is a large constant, E is the energy (1.8), and u is a solution to the energy-critical problem ($s_c = 1$) with radially symmetric initial data.

4. A LOCAL RESULT FOR (1.1) WHEN $1 < p < 3$

In the second part of the paper, we will prove explicit bounds on the scattering size of a solution to (1.1) with radially symmetric initial data in $B_{1,1}^{\frac{d}{2}+s_c}$, when $0 < s_c < 1$ and $1 < p < 3$. Note that the restrictions on s_c and p require $d \geq 3$.

As in the cubic case, the first step is to rescale and obtain good bounds on the interval $[0, 1]$. The space $L_{t,x}^{\frac{(d+2)(p-1)}{2}}(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^d)$ is also invariant under the rescaling (1.3), so rescale the initial data so that

$$(4.1) \quad \|u\|_{L_{t,x}^{\frac{(d+2)(p-1)}{2}}([0,1] \times \mathbb{R}^d)} \leq \delta,$$

for some $\delta \ll 1$.

Lemma 2. *If u is a solution to (1.1) on $[0, 1]$ with initial data $u_0 \in B_{1,1}^{\frac{d}{2}+s_c}$, and u satisfies (4.1), then for any $j \in \mathbb{Z}_{<0}$,*

$$(4.2) \quad \|\nabla u\|_{L_t^2 L_x^{\frac{2d}{d-2}}([2^j, 2^{j+1}] \times \mathbb{R}^d)} \lesssim 2^{j \frac{s_c-1}{2}} \|u_0\|_{B_{1,1}^{\frac{d}{2}+s_c}(\mathbb{R}^d)},$$

Proof. The local solution may be obtained by showing that the operator

$$(4.3) \quad \Phi(u(t)) = e^{it\Delta} u_0 - i \int_0^t e^{i(t-\tau)\Delta} |u(\tau)|^{p-1} u(\tau) d\tau,$$

has a unique fixed point in $\dot{S}^{s_c}([0, 1] \times \mathbb{R}^d)$.

Interpolating the Sobolev embedding theorem,

$$(4.4) \quad \|P_k e^{it\Delta} u_0\|_{L^\infty} \lesssim 2^{k \frac{2}{p-1}} 2^{k(d-\frac{2}{p-1})} \|P_k u_0\|_{L^1},$$

with the dispersive estimate,

$$(4.5) \quad \|P_k e^{it\Delta} u_0\|_{L^\infty} \lesssim t^{-d/2} 2^{-k(d-\frac{2}{p-1})} 2^{k(d-\frac{2}{p-1})} \|P_k u_0\|_{L^1},$$

where P_k is the usual Littlewood–Paley projection operator for any $k \in \mathbb{Z}$,

$$(4.6) \quad \|e^{it\Delta} u_0\|_{L^\infty} \lesssim t^{-\frac{1}{p-1}} \|u_0\|_{B_{1,1}^{\frac{d}{2}+s_c}},$$

and

$$(4.7) \quad \|\nabla e^{it\Delta} u_0\|_{L^\infty} \lesssim t^{-\frac{1}{p-1}-\frac{1}{2}} \|u_0\|_{B_{1,1}^{\frac{d}{2}+s_c}}.$$

Interpolating (4.5) with the Sobolev embedding theorem,

$$(4.8) \quad \|\nabla P_k e^{it\Delta} u_0\|_{L^2} \lesssim 2^{k(1-s_c)} 2^{k(\frac{d}{2}+s_c)} \|P_k u_0\|_{L^1},$$

and

$$(4.9) \quad \|\nabla P_k e^{it\Delta} u_0\|_{L^{\frac{2d}{d-2}}} \lesssim 2^{-ks_c} \frac{1}{t} 2^{k(\frac{d}{2}+s_c)} \|P_k u_0\|_{L^1}.$$

Interpolating this bound with

$$(4.10) \quad \|\nabla P_k e^{it\Delta} u_0\|_{L^{\frac{2d}{d-2}}} \lesssim 2^{k(2-s_c)} 2^{k(\frac{d}{2}+s_c)} \|P_k u_0\|_{L^1},$$

we obtain

$$(4.11) \quad \|\nabla e^{it\Delta} u_0\|_{L^{\frac{2d}{d-2}}} \lesssim t^{-\frac{1}{2}-\frac{1-s_c}{2}} \|u_0\|_{B_{1,1}^{\frac{d}{2}+s_c}}.$$

Therefore, for any $j \in \mathbb{Z}_{<0}$,

$$(4.12) \quad \|\nabla e^{it\Delta} u_0\|_{L_t^2 L_x^{\frac{2d}{d-2}}([2^j, 2^{j+1}] \times \mathbb{R}^d)} \lesssim 2^{j\frac{s_c-1}{2}} \|u_0\|_{B_{1,1}^{\frac{d}{2}+s_c}}.$$

By Strichartz estimates, for any $t \in [2^j, 2^{j+1}]$, let j_δ be the integer closest to $\log_2(\delta 2^j)$. By Strichartz estimates and the chain rule, and by (4.1),

$$(4.13) \quad \left\| \nabla \int_{2^{j_\delta}}^t e^{i(t-\tau)\Delta} |u(\tau)|^{p-1} u(\tau) d\tau \right\|_{L_t^2 L_x^{\frac{2d}{d-2}}([2^j, 2^{j+1}] \times \mathbb{R}^d)} \lesssim \delta^2 \|\nabla u\|_{L_t^2 L_x^{\frac{2d}{d-2}}([2^{j_\delta}, 2^{j+1}] \times \mathbb{R}^d)}.$$

Meanwhile, the dispersive estimate combined with the Sobolev embedding theorem $\|u\|_{L_t^\infty L_x^{\frac{d(p-1)}{2}}([0,1] \times \mathbb{R}^d)} \lesssim \|u_0\|_{B_{1,1}^{\frac{d}{2}+s_c}}$,

$$(4.14) \quad \left\| \int_0^{2^{j_\delta}} e^{i(t-\tau)\Delta} |u(\tau)|^{p-1} u(\tau) d\tau \right\|_{L^{\frac{2d}{d-2}}} \lesssim \|u\|_{L_t^\infty L_x^{\frac{d(p-1)}{2}}([0,1] \times \mathbb{R}^d)}^{p-1} \|\nabla u\|_{L_t^1 L_x^{\frac{2d}{d-2}}([0, 2^{j_\delta}] \times \mathbb{R}^d)}.$$

Therefore, for $\delta(\|u_0\|_{B_{1,1}^{\frac{d}{2}+s_c}}) > 0$ sufficiently small,

$$(4.15) \quad \sup_{j<0} 2^{j\frac{1-s_c}{2}} \|\nabla \Phi(u)\|_{L_t^2 L_x^{\frac{2d}{d-2}}([2^j, 2^{j+1}] \times \mathbb{R}^d)} \lesssim \|u_0\|_{B_{1,1}^{\frac{d}{2}+s_c}} + \epsilon \cdot \sup_{j<0} 2^{j\frac{1-s_c}{2}} \|\nabla u\|_{L_t^2 L_x^{\frac{2d}{d-2}}([2^j, 2^{j+1}] \times \mathbb{R}^d)},$$

for some $\epsilon > 0$. Thus, (4.2) holds. \square

Now suppose (1.1) with initial data u_0 has a solution on the maximal interval $[0, T)$, where $1 < T \leq \infty$. Again decompose $u = v + w$, where v and w solve

$$(4.16) \quad i v_t + \Delta v = |v + w|^{p-1} (v + w), \quad v(0) = 0,$$

and

$$(4.17) \quad i w_t + \Delta w = 0, \quad w(0) = u_0,$$

on $[0, \infty)$. Let $\mathcal{E}(t)$ denote the pseudoconformal energy of v ,

$$(4.18) \quad \mathcal{E}(t) = \|(x + 2it\nabla)v\|_{L^2}^2 + \frac{8}{p+1} t^2 \|v\|_{L^{p+1}}^{p+1} = \|xv\|_{L^2}^2 + 2\langle xv, 2it\nabla v \rangle + 8t^2 E(t).$$

Lemma 3. *If $u_0 \in B_{1,1}^{\frac{d}{2}+s_c}$ is radially symmetric, and (1.1) has a local solution satisfying (4.1), then $\mathcal{E}(1) \lesssim 1$ for $\delta(\|u_0\|_{B_{1,1}^{\frac{d}{2}+s_c}}) > 0$ sufficiently small.*

Proof. Observe that the proof of Lemma 2 also implies

$$(4.19) \quad \|\nabla v(1)\|_{L^2 + L^{\frac{2d}{d-2}}} \lesssim \epsilon \cdot \sup_{j < 0} 2^{j\frac{1-s_c}{2}} \|\nabla u\|_{L_t^2 L_x^{\frac{2d}{d-2}}([2^j, 2^{j+1}] \times \mathbb{R}^d)}.$$

Interpolating (4.19) with the bound

$$(4.20) \quad \|v(1)\|_{\dot{H}^{s_c}} \lesssim \|u_0\|_{B_{1,1}^{\frac{d}{2}+s_c}},$$

implies $\|v(1)\|_{L^{p+1}} \lesssim 1$ for $\delta > 0$ sufficiently small, since $\epsilon = \epsilon(\|u_0\|_{B_{1,1}^{\frac{d}{2}+s_c}}, p, d, \delta)$.

Using the computations in (2.12),

$$(4.21) \quad \|(x+2i1\nabla) \int_0^1 e^{i(1-\tau)\Delta} |u|^{p-1} u d\tau\|_{L_x^2} \lesssim \|x|u|^{p-1}u\|_{L_t^1 L_x^2([0,1] \times \mathbb{R}^d)} + \|t\nabla u\|_{L_t^2 L_x^{\frac{2d}{d-2}}([0,1] \times \mathbb{R}^d)} \|u\|_{L_{t,x}^{\frac{p-1}{(d+2)(p-1)}}([0,1] \times \mathbb{R}^d)}.$$

Then by Lemma 2,

$$(4.22) \quad \|t\nabla u\|_{L_t^2 L_x^{\frac{2d}{d-2}}([0,1] \times \mathbb{R}^d)} \|u\|_{L_{t,x}^{\frac{p-1}{(d+2)(p-1)}}([0,1] \times \mathbb{R}^d)} \lesssim \delta^{p-1} \cdot \sup_{j < 0} 2^{j\frac{1-s_c}{2}} \|\nabla u\|_{L_t^2 L_x^{\frac{2d}{d-2}}([2^j, 2^{j+1}] \times \mathbb{R}^d)}.$$

To handle the first term in (4.21), consider the cases $\frac{1}{2} \leq s_c < 1$ and $0 < s_c < \frac{1}{2}$ separately. When $\frac{1}{2} \leq s_c < 1$, the radial Sobolev embedding theorem implies

$$(4.23) \quad \|x|u|^{p-1}u\|_{L_t^1 L_x^2} \lesssim \|x^{\frac{2}{p-1}}u\|_{L_{t,x}^{\frac{p-1}{2}}} \|u\|_{L_{t,x}^{\frac{p-1}{2}+(1-c)}} \|u\|_{L_{t,x}^{\frac{(d+2)(p-1)}{2}}}^c \lesssim \|u_0\|_{B_{1,1}^{\frac{d}{2}+s_c}}^{p-c} \delta^c,$$

where $c \searrow 0$ as $s_c \nearrow 1$.

When $0 < s_c < \frac{1}{2}$, using the radial Strichartz estimates,

$$(4.24) \quad \|x|u|^{p-1}u\|_{L_t^1 L_x^2([0,1] \times \mathbb{R}^d)} \lesssim \|x^{\frac{d-1}{2}}u\|_{L_t^{\frac{2}{2-s_c}} L_x^{\frac{2}{2-s_c}}} \|u\|_{L_t^\infty L_x^{\frac{d}{2}(p-1)}}^{p-1+(1-\frac{2}{d-1})-c} \|u\|_{L_{t,x}^{\frac{(d+2)(p-1)}{2}}}^c \lesssim \|u_0\|_{B_{1,1}^{\frac{d}{2}+s_c}}^{p-c} \delta^c,$$

where $c > 0$ for all $0 < s_c < \frac{1}{2}$ and $d \geq 3$, with appropriate p .

This proves the Lemma. \square

5. SCATTERING FOR (1.1) WHEN $1 < p < 3$ AND $0 < s_c < 1$

Having obtained good bounds on the interval $[0, 1]$, we can use the pseudoconformal conservation of energy to extend these bounds to $[1, \infty)$.

Theorem 3. *The initial value problem*

$$(5.1) \quad iu_t + \Delta u = |u|^{p-1}u, \quad u(0, x) = u_0 \in B_{1,1}^{\frac{d}{2}+s_c}(\mathbb{R}^d), \quad u : \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{C},$$

is globally well-posed and scattering when u_0 is radially symmetric. Moreover,

$$(5.2) \quad \|u\|_{L_t^{\frac{p+1}{1-s_c}} L_x^{p+1}(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^d)} \leq C(1 + \|u_0\|_{B_{1,1}^{\frac{d}{2}+s_c}}^r),$$

for some C that does not depend on $\|u_0\|_{B_{1,1}^{\frac{d}{2}+s_c}}$ and $r < \infty$.

Remark 4. When $\|u_0\|_{B_{1,1}^{\frac{d}{2}+s_c}}$ is small,

$$(5.3) \quad \|u\|_{L_t^{\frac{p+1}{1-s_c}} L_x^{p+1}(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^d)} \lesssim \|u_0\|_{\dot{H}^{s_c}} \lesssim \|u_0\|_{B_{1,1}^{\frac{d}{2}+s_c}}.$$

So it suffices to consider $\|u_0\|_{B_{1,1}^{\frac{d}{2}+s_c}} \gtrsim 1$.

Proof. If v solves (4.16) on $[1, \infty)$ with $w = 0$, $0 < s_c < 1$, and $\mathcal{E}(1) < \infty$, where $\mathcal{E}(t)$ is given by (4.18), then by direct computation,

$$(5.4) \quad \frac{d}{dt} \mathcal{E}(t) = -\frac{4}{p+1} t \|v\|_{L^{p+1}}^{p+1} < 0,$$

which implies scattering.

Now compute $\frac{d}{dt} \mathcal{E}(t)$ when w need not be zero, but w solves (4.17) with $u_0 \in B_{1,1}^{\frac{d}{2}+s_c}$, radially symmetric. Then by direct computation,

$$(5.5) \quad \begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dt} \mathcal{E}(t) = & -\frac{4}{p+1} t \|v\|_{L^{p+1}}^{p+1} - 2 \langle (x + 2it\nabla)v, i(x + 2it\nabla)(|v+w|^{p-1}(v+w) - |v|^{p-1}v) \rangle \\ & - 8t^2 \langle |v|^{p-1}v, i(|v+w|^{p-1}(v+w) - |v|^{p-1}v) \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

When $\frac{1}{2} \leq s_c < 1$, by the radial Sobolev embedding theorem, since $\frac{p-1}{2} < 1$,

$$(5.6) \quad \begin{aligned} & -2 \langle (x + 2it\nabla)v, i(x + 2it\nabla)(|v+w|^{p-1}(v+w) - |v|^{p-1}v) \rangle \\ & \lesssim \|(x + 2it\nabla)v\|_{L^2} \|x^{\frac{2}{p-1}} w\|_{L^\infty}^{\frac{p-1}{2}} \|w\|_{L^{p+1}}^{1-\frac{p-1}{2}} (\|v\|_{L^{p+1}}^{p-1} + \|w\|_{L^{p+1}}^{p-1}) \\ & \lesssim \mathcal{E}(t)^{1/2} \|u_0\|_{B_{1,1}^{\frac{d}{2}+s_c}}^{\frac{p-1}{2}} \|w\|_{L^{p+1}}^{1-\frac{p-1}{2}} (\|v\|_{L^{p+1}}^{p-1} + \|w\|_{L^{p+1}}^{p-1}). \end{aligned}$$

When $0 < s_c < \frac{1}{2}$, split

$$(5.7) \quad xw = (x + 2it\nabla)w - 2it\nabla w.$$

Again by (2.13) and the radial Sobolev embedding theorem, for $s_c < \frac{d}{2} - 1$, $\|yu_0\|_{\dot{H}^{s_c+1}} \lesssim \|u_0\|_{\dot{H}^{s_c}}$, so interpolating the Strichartz estimate,

$$(5.8) \quad \|e^{it\Delta} u_0\|_{L_t^{\frac{p+1}{1-s_c}} L_x^{p+1}} \lesssim \|u_0\|_{\dot{H}^{s_c}},$$

with the Littlewood–Paley projection estimate

$$(5.9) \quad \|P_j e^{it\Delta} u_0\|_{L_{t,x}^\infty} \lesssim \|P_j u_0\|_{\dot{H}^{d/2}},$$

implies that

$$(5.10) \quad \|(x + 2it\nabla)w\|_{L_t^{\frac{2(p+1)}{3-p}} L_x^{\frac{1}{1-s_c}} L_x^{\frac{2(p+1)}{3-p}}} \lesssim \|u_0\|_{B_{1,1}^{\frac{d}{2}+s_c}}.$$

Finally, since $\frac{2}{p-1} < \frac{d}{2}$, by the Sobolev embedding theorem, for $\frac{1}{q} = s_c$, by dispersive estimates and $s_c = \frac{d}{2} - \frac{2}{p-1}$,

$$(5.11) \quad \||\nabla|^{\frac{2}{p-1}} e^{it\Delta} u_0\|_{L_x^\infty} \lesssim \|e^{it\Delta} u_0\|_{B_{1,q}^{\frac{d}{2}}} \lesssim \frac{1}{t^{\frac{2}{p-1}}} \|u_0\|_{B_{1,q'}^{\frac{d}{2}}} \lesssim \frac{1}{t^{\frac{2}{p-1}}} \|u_0\|_{B_{1,1}^{\frac{d}{2}+s_c}}.$$

Therefore,

$$(5.12) \quad \|t^{\frac{2}{p-1}} |\nabla|^{\frac{2}{p-1}} w\|_{L^\infty}^{\frac{p-1}{2}} \lesssim \|u_0\|_{B_{1,1}^{\frac{d}{2}+s_c}}^{\frac{p-1}{2}}.$$

Therefore, we have proved

$$(5.13) \quad \|xw\|_{L_t^{\frac{2(p+1)}{3-p}} L_x^{\frac{1}{1-s_c}} L_x^{\frac{2(p+1)}{3-p}}} \lesssim \|u_0\|_{B_{1,1}^{\frac{d}{2}+s_c}}.$$

Next, integrating by parts,

$$(5.14) \quad \begin{aligned} -2\langle (2it\nabla)v, i(2it\nabla)(|v+w|^{p-1}(v+w) - |v|^{p-1}v) \rangle &= -8t^2\langle \nabla v, i\nabla(|v+w|^{p-1}(v+w) - |v|^{p-1}v) \rangle \\ &= 8t^2\langle \Delta v, i(|v+w|^{p-1}(v+w) - |v|^{p-1}v) \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

Summing, by (4.16),

$$(5.15) \quad \begin{aligned} &8t^2\langle \Delta v, i(|v+w|^{p-1}(v+w) - |v|^{p-1}v) \rangle - 8t^2\langle |v|^{p-1}v, i(|v+w|^{p-1}(v+w) - |v|^{p-1}v) \rangle \\ &= 8t^2\langle -iv_t, i(|v+w|^{p-1}(v+w) - |v|^{p-1}v) \rangle \\ &- 8t^2\langle (|v+w|^{p-1}(v+w) - |v|^{p-1}v), i(|v+w|^{p-1}(v+w) - |v|^{p-1}v) \rangle \\ &= -8t^2\langle v_t, (|v+w|^{p-1}(v+w) - |v|^{p-1}v) \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

Finally, integrating by parts,

$$(5.16) \quad \begin{aligned} -2\langle xv, i(2it\nabla)(|v+w|^{p-1}(v+w) - |v|^{p-1}v) \rangle &= 4t\langle xv, \nabla(|v+w|^{p-1}(v+w) - |v|^{p-1}v) \rangle \\ &= -4td\langle v, (|v+w|^{p-1}(v+w) - |v|^{p-1}v) \rangle - 4t\langle x \cdot \nabla v, (|v+w|^{p-1}(v+w) - |v|^{p-1}v) \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

Integrating by parts,

$$(5.17) \quad -4t\langle x \cdot \nabla v, (|v+w|^{p-1}(v+w) - |v|^{p-1}v) \rangle = \frac{4dt}{p+1}(\|v+w\|_{L^{p+1}}^{p+1} - \|v\|_{L^{p+1}}^{p+1}) + 4t\langle x \cdot \nabla w, |v+w|^{p-1}(v+w) \rangle.$$

Now then, summing,

$$(5.18) \quad 4t\langle x \cdot \nabla w, |v+w|^{p-1}(v+w) \rangle = 4t\langle (x+2it\nabla) \cdot \nabla w, |v+w|^{p-1}(v+w) \rangle - 8t^2\langle i\Delta w, |v+w|^{p-1}(v+w) \rangle.$$

Summing (5.15) and (5.18), since $w_t = i\Delta w$,

$$(5.19) \quad (5.15) + (5.18) = 4t\langle (x+2it\nabla) \cdot \nabla w, |v+w|^{p-1}(v+w) \rangle - 8t^2\langle v_t + w_t, |v+w|^{p-1}(v+w) \rangle + 8t^2\langle v_t, |v|^{p-1}v \rangle.$$

By the radial Sobolev embedding theorem, (2.13), and the fact that $\frac{d}{2} > 1$,

$$(5.20) \quad \|(x+2it\nabla) \cdot \nabla w\|_{L_t^{\frac{p+1}{1-s_c}} L_x^{p+1}} \lesssim \|u_0\|_{B_{1,1}^{\frac{d}{2}+s_c}}.$$

Therefore, plugging these computations back into (5.5),

$$(5.21) \quad \begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dt}\mathcal{E}(t) &\lesssim -\frac{4}{p+1}t\|v\|_{L^{p+1}}^{p+1} - \frac{8t^2}{p+1}\frac{d}{dt}\|v+w\|_{L^{p+1}}^{p+1} + \frac{8t^2}{p+1}\frac{d}{dt}\|v\|_{L^{p+1}}^{p+1} + t\|(x+2it\nabla) \cdot \nabla w\|_{L^{p+1}}\|v+w\|_{L^{p+1}}^p \\ &+ t\|v\|_{L^{p+1}}\|w\|_{L^{p+1}}(\|v\|_{L^{p+1}}^{p-1} + \|w\|_{L^{p+1}}^{p-1}) + t\|w\|_{L^{p+1}}^{p+1} + \mathcal{E}(t)^{1/2}\|(x+2it\nabla)w\|_{L^{\frac{2(p+1)}{3-p}}}(\|v\|_{L^{p+1}}^{p-1} + \|w\|_{L^{p+1}}^{p-1}) \\ &+ \mathcal{E}(t)^{1/2}\|u_0\|_{B_{1,1}^{\frac{d}{2}+s_c}}^{\frac{p-1}{2}}\|w\|_{L^{p+1}}^{1-\frac{p-1}{2}}(\|v\|_{L^{p+1}}^{p-1} + \|w\|_{L^{p+1}}^{p-1}). \end{aligned}$$

Then by the product rule,
(5.22)

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{d}{dt} [\mathcal{E}(t) + \frac{8t^2}{p+1} \|v+w\|_{L^{p+1}}^{p+1} - \frac{8t^2}{p+1} \|v\|_{L^{p+1}}] \\ & \lesssim -\frac{4}{p+1} t \|v\|_{L^{p+1}}^{p+1} + t \|(x+2it\nabla) \cdot \nabla w\|_{L^{p+1}} \|v+w\|_{L^{p+1}}^p + t \|v\|_{L^{p+1}}^p \|w\|_{L^{p+1}} + t \|w\|_{L^{p+1}}^{p+1} \\ & + \mathcal{E}(t)^{1/2} \|(x+2it\nabla)w\|_{L^{\frac{2(p+1)}{3-p}}} (\|v\|_{L^{p+1}}^{p-1} + \|w\|_{L^{p+1}}^{p-1}) + \mathcal{E}(t)^{1/2} \|u_0\|_{B_{1,1}^{\frac{p-1}{2}+s_c}} \|w\|_{L^{p+1}}^{1-\frac{p-1}{2}} (\|v\|_{L^{p+1}}^{p-1} + \|w\|_{L^{p+1}}^{p-1}). \end{aligned}$$

Since $\|v(1)\|_{L^{p+1}} \lesssim 1$, $\mathcal{E}(1) \lesssim 1$, and dispersive estimates imply that $\|w(1)\|_{L^{p+1}} \lesssim 1$, the Cauchy–Schwartz inequality and (5.22) imply that

$$\begin{aligned} (5.23) \quad & \frac{1}{t^2} \mathcal{E}(t) \lesssim \frac{1}{t^2} + \|w(t)\|_{L^{p+1}} (\|v(t)\|_{L^{p+1}}^p + \|w(t)\|_{L^{p+1}}^p) + \frac{1}{t^2} \int_1^t \tau \|(x+2it\nabla) \cdot \nabla w\|_{L^{p+1}}^{p+1} d\tau \\ & + \frac{1}{t^2} \int_1^t \tau \|w\|_{L^{p+1}}^{p+1} + \frac{1}{t^2} \int_1^t \frac{\mathcal{E}(t)^{1/2}}{\tau} \cdot \tau \|(x+2it\nabla)w\|_{L^{\frac{2(p+1)}{3-p}}} (\|v\|_{L^{p+1}}^{p-1} + \|w\|_{L^{p+1}}^{p-1}) d\tau \\ & + \frac{1}{t^2} \int_1^t \frac{\mathcal{E}(t)^{1/2}}{\tau} \cdot \tau \|u_0\|_{B_{1,1}^{\frac{p-1}{2}+s_c}} \|w\|_{L^{p+1}}^{1-\frac{p-1}{2}} (\|v\|_{L^{p+1}}^{p-1} + \|w\|_{L^{p+1}}^{p-1}) d\tau, \end{aligned}$$

with implicit constants depending only on p and d . Then choosing $0 < \delta(p, d) \ll 1$ sufficiently small, by the Cauchy–Schwartz inequality,

$$\begin{aligned} (5.24) \quad & \frac{1}{t^2} \mathcal{E}(t) \lesssim \frac{1}{t^2} + \|w(t)\|_{L^{p+1}} (\|v(t)\|_{L^{p+1}}^p + \|w(t)\|_{L^{p+1}}^p) + \frac{1}{t^2} \int_1^t \tau \|(x+2it\nabla) \cdot \nabla w\|_{L^{p+1}}^{p+1} d\tau \\ & + \frac{1}{t^2} \int_1^t \tau \|w\|_{L^{p+1}}^{p+1} + \frac{\delta}{t^2} \int_1^t \frac{\mathcal{E}(t)}{\tau^2} \tau d\tau + \frac{1}{\delta t^2} \int_1^t \tau \|(x+2it\nabla)w\|_{L^{\frac{2(p+1)}{3-p}}}^2 (\|v\|_{L^{p+1}}^{2(p-1)} + \|w\|_{L^{p+1}}^{2(p-1)}) d\tau \\ & + \frac{1}{\delta t^2} \int_1^t \tau \|u_0\|_{B_{1,1}^{\frac{p-1}{2}+s_c}}^{p-1} \|w\|_{L^{p+1}}^{3-p} (\|v\|_{L^{p+1}}^{2(p-1)} + \|w\|_{L^{p+1}}^{2(p-1)}) d\tau. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, by Young’s inequality,

$$\begin{aligned} (5.25) \quad & \left\| \frac{1}{t^2} \mathcal{E}(t) \right\|_{L_t^{\frac{1}{1-s_c}}([1, \infty))} \lesssim 1 + \left\| \|v(t)\|_{L^{p+1}}^{p+1} \right\|_{L_t^{\frac{1}{1-s_c}}([1, \infty))} \left\| \|w(t)\|_{L^{p+1}}^{p+1} \right\|_{L_t^{\frac{1}{1-s_c}}([1, \infty))} + \left\| \|w(t)\|_{L^{p+1}}^{p+1} \right\|_{L_t^{\frac{1}{1-s_c}}([1, \infty))} \\ & + \left\| \|(x+2it\nabla) \cdot \nabla w\|_{L^{p+1}}^{p+1} \right\|_{L_t^{\frac{1}{1-s_c}}([1, \infty))} + \frac{1}{\delta} \|u_0\|_{B_{1,1}^{\frac{p-1}{2}+s_c}}^{p-1} \left\| \|w(t)\|_{L^{p+1}}^{p+1} \right\|_{L_t^{\frac{1}{1-s_c}}([1, \infty))} \\ & + \frac{1}{\delta} \|u_0\|_{B_{1,1}^{\frac{p-1}{2}+s_c}}^{p-1} \left\| \|w(t)\|_{L^{p+1}}^{p+1} \right\|_{L_t^{\frac{1}{1-s_c}}([1, \infty))} \left\| \|v(t)\|_{L^{p+1}}^{p+1} \right\|_{L_t^{\frac{2(p-1)}{p+1}}([1, \infty))} \\ & + \frac{1}{\delta} \left\| \|(x+2it\nabla)w\|_{L^{\frac{2(p+1)}{3-p}}}^2 \right\|_{L_t^{\frac{1}{1-s_c}}([1, \infty))} \left\| \|v(t)\|_{L^{p+1}}^{p+1} \right\|_{L_t^{\frac{2(p-1)}{p+1}}([1, \infty))} \\ & + \frac{1}{\delta} \left\| \|(x+2it\nabla)w\|_{L^{\frac{2(p+1)}{3-p}}}^2 \right\|_{L_t^{\frac{1}{1-s_c}}([1, \infty))} \left\| \|w(t)\|_{L^{p+1}}^{p+1} \right\|_{L_t^{\frac{2(p-1)}{p+1}}([1, \infty))}. \end{aligned}$$

Then combining $\|v(t)\|_{L^{p+1}}^{p+1} \lesssim \frac{1}{t^2} \mathcal{E}(t)$, Strichartz estimates, (5.6)–(5.13), and (5.20),

$$(5.26) \quad \left\| \frac{1}{t^2} \mathcal{E}(t) \right\|_{L_t^{\frac{1}{1-s_c}}((1,\infty))} \lesssim_{p,d} 1 + \|u_0\|_{B_{1,1}^{\frac{d}{2}+s_c}}^{p+1} + \|u_0\|_{B_{1,1}^{\frac{d}{2}+s_c}}^{2p} + \|u_0\|_{B_{1,1}^{\frac{d}{2}+s_c}}^{\frac{2(p+1)}{3-p}}.$$

This proves the theorem. \square

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The author was supported by NSF grant DMS-1764358 during the writing of this paper. The author is grateful to Frank Merle for many helpful conversations regarding this problem.

REFERENCES

- [1] Jean Bourgain. Global wellposedness of defocusing critical nonlinear Schrödinger equation in the radial case. *Journal of the American Mathematical Society*, pages 145–171, 1999.
- [2] Michael Christ, James Colliander, and Terence Tao. Asymptotics, frequency modulation, and low regularity ill-posedness for canonical defocusing equations. *American journal of mathematics*, 125(6):1235–1293, 2003.
- [3] James Colliander, Markus Keel, Gigliola Staffilani, Hideo Takaoka, and Terence Tao. Global existence and scattering for rough solutions of a nonlinear Schrödinger equation on \mathbf{R}^3 . *Communications on Pure and Applied Mathematics: A Journal Issued by the Courant Institute of Mathematical Sciences*, 57(8):987–1014, 2004.
- [4] James Colliander, Markus Keel, Gigliola Staffilani, Hideo Takaoka, and Terence Tao. Global well-posedness and scattering for the energy-critical nonlinear Schrödinger equation in \mathbf{R}^3 . *Annals of Mathematics*, pages 767–865, 2008.
- [5] Benjamin Dodson. Global well-posedness and scattering for the defocusing, L^2 -critical nonlinear Schrödinger equation when $d \geq 3$. *Journal of the American Mathematical Society*, 25(2):429–463, 2012.
- [6] Benjamin Dodson. Global well-posedness and scattering for the defocusing, L^2 -critical, nonlinear Schrödinger equation when $d = 2$. *Duke Mathematical Journal*, 165(18):3435–3516, 2016.
- [7] Benjamin Dodson. Global well-posedness and scattering for the defocusing, L^2 -critical, nonlinear Schrödinger equation when $d = 1$. *American Journal of Mathematics*, 138(2):531–569, 2016.
- [8] Benjamin Dodson. *Defocusing nonlinear Schrödinger equations*, volume 217 of *Cambridge Tracts in Mathematics*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2019.
- [9] Benjamin Dodson. Global well-posedness and scattering for the radial, defocusing, cubic wave equation with initial data in a critical Besov space. *Analysis & PDE*, 12(4):1023–1048, 2019.
- [10] Benjamin Dodson. Global well-posedness for the defocusing, cubic nonlinear Schrödinger equation with initial data in a critical space. *Revista Matemática Iberoamericana*, 2021.
- [11] Benjamin Dodson. Global well-posedness of the energy subcritical nonlinear wave equation with initial data in a critical space. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2108.03224*, 2021.
- [12] László Erdős, Benjamin Schlein, and Horng-Tzer Yau. Derivation of the cubic non-linear Schrödinger equation from quantum dynamics of many-body systems. *Inventiones mathematicae*, 167(3):515–614, 2007.
- [13] J. Ginibre and G. Velo. Scattering theory in the energy space for a class of nonlinear Schrödinger equations. *J. Math. Pures Appl. (9)*, 64(4):363–401, 1985.
- [14] J. Ginibre and G. Velo. Scattering theory in the energy space for a class of nonlinear Schrödinger equations. In *Semigroups, theory and applications, Vol. I (Trieste, 1984)*, volume 141 of *Pitman Res. Notes Math. Ser.*, pages 110–120. Longman Sci. Tech., Harlow, 1986.
- [15] Carlos Kenig and Frank Merle. Scattering for $H^{1/2}$ bounded solutions to the cubic, defocusing NLS in 3 dimensions. *Transactions of the American Mathematical Society*, 362(4):1937–1962, 2010.
- [16] Sahbi Keraani. On the defect of compactness for the Strichartz estimates of the Schrödinger equations. *Journal of Differential equations*, 175(2):353–392, 2001.
- [17] Rowan Killip, Terence Tao, and Monica Visan. The cubic nonlinear Schrödinger equation in two dimensions with radial data. *Journal of the European Mathematical Society*, 11(6):1203–1258, 2009.
- [18] Rowan Killip and Monica Visan. Nonlinear Schrödinger equations at critical regularity. *Evolution equations*, 17:325–437, 2013.
- [19] Rowan Killip, Monica Visan, and Xiaoyi Zhang. The mass-critical nonlinear Schrödinger equation with radial data in dimensions three and higher. *Analysis & PDE*, 1(2):229–266, 2009.

- [20] Frank Merle, Pierre Raphael, Igor Rodnianski, and Jeremie Szeftel. On blow up for the energy super critical defocusing non linear Schrödinger equations. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1912.11005*, 2019.
- [21] Jason Murphy. The defocusing $H^{1/2}$ -critical NLS in high dimensions. *Discrete & Continuous Dynamical Systems*, 34(2):733, 2014.
- [22] Jason Murphy. Intercritical NLS: Critical? H^s -bounds imply scattering. *SIAM Journal on Mathematical Analysis*, 46(1):939–997, 2014.
- [23] Jason Murphy. The radial defocusing nonlinear Schrödinger equation in three space dimensions. *Communications in Partial Differential Equations*, 40(2):265–308, 2015.
- [24] Eric Ryckman and Monica Visan. Global well-posedness and scattering for the defocusing energy-critical nonlinear Schrödinger equation in \mathbb{R}^{1+4} . *American Journal of Mathematics*, 129(1):1–60, 2007.
- [25] Terence Tao. Global well-posedness and scattering for the higher-dimensional energy-critical nonlinear Schrödinger equation for radial data. *New York J. Math.*, 11:57–80, 2005.
- [26] Terence Tao. *Nonlinear Dispersive Equations: Local and Global Analysis*. Number 106. American Mathematical Soc., 2006.
- [27] Terence Tao, Monica Visan, and Xiaoyi Zhang. Global well-posedness and scattering for the defocusing mass-critical nonlinear Schrödinger equation for radial data in high dimensions. *Duke Mathematical Journal*, 140(1):165–202, 2007.
- [28] Monica Visan. The defocusing energy-critical nonlinear Schrödinger equation in higher dimensions. *Duke Mathematical Journal*, 138(2):281–374, 2007.