

A VARIANT OF THE $\Lambda(p)$ -SET PROBLEM IN ORLICZ SPACES

DONGGEUN RYOU

ABSTRACT. We introduce $\Lambda(\Phi)$ -sets as generalizations of $\Lambda(p)$ -sets. These sets are defined in terms of Orlicz spaces. We consider $\Lambda(\Phi)$ -sets when the Matuszewska-Orlicz index of Φ is larger than 2. When S is a $\Lambda(\Phi)$ -set, we establish an estimate of the size of $S \cap [-N, N]$ where $N \in \mathbb{N}$. Next, we construct a $\Lambda(\Phi_1)$ -set which is not a $\Lambda(\Phi_2)$ -set for any Φ_2 such that $\sup_{u \geq 1} \Phi_2(u)/\Phi_1(u) = \infty$ by using a probabilistic method. With an additional assumption about a subset E of \mathbb{Z} , we can construct such a $\Lambda(\Phi_1)$ -set contained in E . These statements extend known results on the structure of $\Lambda(p)$ -sets to $\Lambda(\Phi)$ -sets.

1. INTRODUCTION

A subset S of \mathbb{Z} is called a $\Lambda(p)$ -set if

$$\left\| \sum_{n \in S} a_n e^{2\pi i n x} \right\|_{L^p(\mathbb{T})} \leq C(p) \left\| \sum_{n \in S} a_n e^{2\pi i n x} \right\|_{L^q(\mathbb{T})}$$

for some q such that $0 < q < p$ and a constant $C(p)$ which depends on p . We are interested in $\Lambda(p)$ -sets when $p > 2$ because S is a $\Lambda(p)$ set for $p > 2$ if and only if

$$(1.1) \quad \left\| \sum_{n \in S} a_n e^{2\pi i n x} \right\|_{L^p(\mathbb{T})} \leq C(p) \left(\sum_{n \in S} |a_n|^2 \right)^{1/2}$$

and we can use properties of Fourier series. The inequality (1.1) is a direct implication of [14, Theorem 1.4]. When $p \leq 2$, the behavior of $\Lambda(p)$ -sets is different, see [5, 204p]. In [14], Rudin studied properties of $\Lambda(p)$ -sets and the following theorems are related to this paper.

Theorem 1.1. [14, Theorem 3.5] *Let us denote by $|A|$ a number of elements in a set A . If $p > 2$ and S is a $\Lambda(p)$ -set, then*

$$(1.2) \quad |S \cap [-N, N]| \leq C(p) N^{2/p}.$$

Theorem 1.2. [14, Theorem 4.8] *Let n be an integer larger than 1. There exists a $\Lambda(2n)$ -set which is not a $\Lambda(2n + \epsilon)$ -set for any $\epsilon > 0$.*

In the proof of Theorem 1.2, Rudin constructed explicit examples while no such explicit example is known when p is not an even integer. However, Bourgain [3] used the probabilistic method to show that there exists a $\Lambda(p)$ -set when $p > 2$ which is not a $\Lambda(p + \epsilon)$ -set for any $\epsilon > 0$. The key step of [3] was to prove that for all N , there exists a set S of size $N^{2/p}$ in $\{1, \dots, N\}$ which satisfies (1.1) where $C(p)$ is independent of N . This is where the probabilistic argument was used. In [16], Talagrand generalized this key step of [3] that for

all N , there exists a set S of size $N^{2/p}$ in $\{1, \dots, N\}$ such that

$$(1.3) \quad \left\| \sum_{n \in S} a_n e^{2\pi i n x} \right\|_{L^{p,1}(\mathbb{T})} \leq C(p) \left(\sum_{n \in S} |a_n|^2 \right)^{1/2}$$

where $L^{p,1}(\mathbb{T})$ is a Lorentz space and $C(p)$ does not depend on N . In [17], the reader will find a simpler proof of the result. There were some works which studied analogues of $\Lambda(p)$ -sets in more general function spaces. Grinnell [7] defined $\Lambda(p, q)$ -sets in terms of Lorentz spaces as generalizations of $\Lambda(p)$ -sets and studied properties of $\Lambda(p, q)$ -sets. Grinnell also showed that there exists a $\Lambda(p_1, q_1)$ -set which is not a $\Lambda(p, q)$ -set for any $p > p_1$ when $2 < p_1 \leq q_1$. Astashkin [1] studied the $\Lambda(p)$ -sets in a setting of rearrangement invariant spaces and showed that there exists a $\Lambda(p)$ -set when $p > 2$ such that (1.1) does not hold when the L^p norm is replaced by an Orlicz norm where the corresponding Orlicz space is properly contained in the L^p space.

In another direction, as a follow up paper of [3], Bourgain [4] proved that if $p > 4$, there exists a $\Lambda(p)$ -set S contained in a square set $Q := \{k^2 : k \in \mathbb{N}\}$ such that S is not a $\Lambda(p+\epsilon)$ -set for any $\epsilon > 0$. The important intermediate step of [4] is to prove that

$$(1.4) \quad \left\| \sum_{n \in Q} a_n e^{2\pi i n x} \right\|_{L^p(\mathbb{T})} \leq C(p) N^{1/2-2/p} \left(\sum_{n \in Q} |a_n|^2 \right)^{1/2} \quad \text{if } p > 4$$

and to combine with the argument in [3].

In this paper, we consider $\Lambda(\Phi)$ -sets which are generalizations of $\Lambda(p)$ -sets. In order to define $\Lambda(\Phi)$ -sets, we need the following definitions and notations. A function $\Phi : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty]$ is called a *Young function* if Φ is convex, $\Phi(0) = 0$ and $\lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} \Phi(x) = +\infty$. The *Orlicz norm* of a measurable function f on a measure space (Ω, μ) with respect to a Young function Φ is defined by

$$\|f\|_{\Phi} = \inf \left\{ k > 0 : \int_{\Omega} \Phi(|f|/k) d\mu \leq 1 \right\}.$$

The norm $\|f\|_{\Phi}$ is also called the *Luxemburg norm* of f . The Orlicz space $L^{\Phi}(\Omega, \mu)$ is defined as a space of all measurable functions f on Ω such that $\|f\|_{\Phi} < \infty$.

A function $\Phi : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty]$ is called a *nice Young function* or an *N-function* if Φ is a continuous Young function such that $\Phi(u) = 0$ if and only if $u = 0$ and satisfies

$$\lim_{u \rightarrow 0} \frac{\Phi(u)}{u} = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \lim_{u \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\Phi(u)}{u} = +\infty.$$

We denote that $\Phi \in \Delta_2$ if $\Phi(2u) \leq K_1 \Phi(u)$ for some $K_1 > 0$ and $\Phi \in \nabla_2$ if $\Phi(2u) \geq K_2 \Phi(u)$ for some $K_2 > 0$. We also define the following indices.

$$M^a(t, \Phi) := \sup_{u > 0} \frac{\Phi(tu)}{\Phi(u)} \quad M^{\infty}(t, \Phi) := \limsup_{u \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\Phi(tu)}{\Phi(u)}$$

$$\alpha_{\Phi}^i := \lim_{t \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{\log M_i(t, \Phi)}{\log t} \quad \beta_{\Phi}^i := \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log M_i(t, \Phi)}{\log t}$$

where $i = a$ or $i = \infty$. α_{Φ}^i and β_{Φ}^i are called *Matuszewska-Orlicz indices*. This is a special case of Boyd indices of a rearrangement-invariant function spaces, see [12] and [2]. These indices imply that

$$C_1(\epsilon, \Phi) u^{\alpha_{\Phi}^{\infty} - \epsilon} \leq \Phi(u) \leq C_2(\epsilon, \Phi) u^{\beta_{\Phi}^{\infty} + \epsilon}$$

if $u \geq 1$ where $C_1(\epsilon, \Phi)$ and $C_2(\epsilon, \Phi)$ are constants that depend on ϵ and Φ . We establish this in Lemma 2.5. Also, note that $\alpha_{\Phi}^i \leq \beta_{\Phi}^i$.

Throughout the paper, we consider $\Omega = \mathbb{T}$ and μ is the Lebesgue measure so that $d\mu := dx$. We denote $L^{\Phi}(\Omega, \mu)$ by $L^{\Phi}(\mathbb{T})$. If $\Phi(x) = x^p$, we denote $\|f\|_{\Phi}$ by $\|f\|_p$ and denote $L^p(\Omega, \mu)$ by $L^p(\mathbb{T})$. We denote by $X \lesssim Y$ when $X \leq CY$ for some constant $C > 0$ where the constant C may depend on $\Phi, \Phi_0, \Phi_1, \Phi_2$ and some parameters determined by Φ, Φ_0, Φ_1 and Φ_2 which are $p, p_0, p_1, p + \epsilon$ and $p_0 + \epsilon_0$, but does not depend on other parameters, such as N . We write $X \approx Y$ to denote that $X \gtrsim Y$ and $X \lesssim Y$. If there is a constant C_{ϵ} that depends on ϵ such that $X(\epsilon) \leq C_{\epsilon}Y(\epsilon)$, we denote it by $X(\epsilon) \lesssim_{\epsilon} Y(\epsilon)$. Now, we state the definition of $\Lambda(\Phi)$ -sets and main theorems.

Definition 1.3. A subset S of \mathbb{Z} is called a $\Lambda(\Phi)$ -set if there exists a Nice Young function Φ_1 such that $\Phi_1 \in \Delta_2 \cap \nabla_2$, $\alpha_{\Phi_1}^{\infty} < \alpha_{\Phi}^{\infty}$ and

$$(1.5) \quad \left\| \sum_{n \in S} a_n e^{2\pi i n x} \right\|_{\Phi} \lesssim \left\| \sum_{n \in S} a_n e^{2\pi i n x} \right\|_{\Phi_1}$$

for all $\sum_{n \in S} a_n e^{2\pi i n x}$ where $a_n \in \mathbb{C}$.

If $\alpha_{\Phi}^{\infty} > 2$, (1.5) can be replaced by

$$(1.6) \quad \left\| \sum_{n \in S} a_n e^{2\pi i n x} \right\|_{\Phi} \lesssim \left(\sum_{n \in S} |a_n|^2 \right)^{1/2}.$$

The equivalence of (1.5) and (1.6) follows from Theorem 3.1 in section 3.

Theorem 1.4. Let Φ is a nice Young function such that $\Phi \in \Delta_2 \cap \nabla_2$ and $2 < \alpha_{\Phi}^{\infty}$. If S is a $\Lambda(\Phi)$ -set, then

$$(1.7) \quad |S \cap [-N, N]| \lesssim (\Phi^{-1}(N))^2.$$

Theorem 1.5. Assume that Φ_0, Φ are nice Young functions such that $\Phi_0, \Phi \in \Delta_2 \cap \nabla_2$ and $2 \leq \alpha_{\Phi_0}^{\infty}, \beta_{\Phi_0}^{\infty} < \alpha_{\Phi}^{\infty}$. Let E be a subset of \mathbb{Z} and $\text{diam}(E) := |\max E - \min E| + 1$.

For

$$\delta = \frac{(\Phi^{-1}(\text{diam}(E)))^2}{|E|},$$

we consider independent and identically distributed random variables $(\xi_i)_{i \in \mathbb{Z}}$ such that

$$P(\xi_i = 1) = \delta \quad P(\xi_i = 0) = 1 - \delta.$$

For a subset E of \mathbb{Z} and $(\xi_i)_{i \in \mathbb{Z}}$, we define the following.

$$J := \{i \in E : \xi_i = 1\}$$

$$K_{\Phi_0}(E) := \sup_{\|a_n\|_{\ell^2} \leq 1} \left\| \sum_{n \in E} a_n e^{2\pi i n x} \right\|_{\Phi_0}, \quad K_{\Phi}(J) := \sup_{\|a_n\|_{\ell^2} \leq 1} \left\| \sum_{n \in J} a_n e^{2\pi i n x} \right\|_{\Phi}.$$

If

$$(1.8) \quad K_{\Phi_0}(E) \lesssim \frac{|E|^{1/2}}{\Phi_0^{-1}(\text{diam}(E))},$$

then

$$\mathbb{E}(K_{\Phi}(J)) \lesssim 1.$$

Theorem 1.4 and 1.5 imply the following.

Theorem 1.6. *Assume that Φ_0 and Φ_1 are nice Young functions such that $\Phi_0, \Phi_1 \in \Delta_2 \cap \nabla_2$, $2 \leq \alpha_{\Phi_0}^\infty$ and $\beta_{\Phi_0}^\infty < \alpha_{\Phi_1}^\infty$. Let E be an infinite subset of \mathbb{Z} and let $E_N = E \cap ([-2N, -N] \cup [N, 2N])$ where $N \in \mathbb{N}$. If*

$$(1.9) \quad K_{\Phi_0}(E_N) \lesssim \frac{|E_N|^{1/2}}{\Phi_0^{-1}(N)},$$

then there exists a $\Lambda(\Phi_1)$ -set in E which is not a $\Lambda(\Phi_2)$ -set for any nice Young function Φ_2 such that $\Phi_2 \in \Delta_2 \cap \nabla_2$, $\beta_{\Phi_0}^\infty < \alpha_{\Phi_2}^\infty$ and

$$(1.10) \quad \sup_{u \geq 1} \frac{\Phi_2(u)}{\Phi_1(u)} = \infty.$$

Remark 1.7. (1) The proof of Theorem 1.6 essentially follows from Talagrand's argument in [17, Section 16.6]. But, we found a simpler way to handle large values of $|\sum a_n e^{2\pi i n x}|$ without using Giné-Zinn Theorem. We communicated our method to Talagrand, who then used it in the forthcoming new edition of [17].

(2) Let S be a $\Lambda(\Phi_1)$ -set in E constructed by Theorem 1.6. The set S has the maximal density in the sense that

$$|S \cap [-N, N]| \approx (\Phi_1^{-1}(N))^2$$

(3) Theorem 1.5 can be applied, not only to $(e^{2\pi i n x})_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}$, but also to uniformly bounded orthogonal systems.

The following examples show that Theorem 1.4, 1.5 and 1.6 are generalizations of previous results in [3],[4],[14],[16].

Example 1.8. Let $\Phi(x) = u^p$ for some $p > 2$, $\Phi_0 = u^2$ and let $E = \mathbb{Z}$. By Plancherel theorem, (1.9) holds. Therefore, Theorem 1.6 implies that there exists a $\Lambda(p)$ -set which is not in a $\Lambda(q)$ -set for any $q > p$. This was proved in [3].

Example 1.9. Let $\Phi_0 = u^{p_0}$ for some $p_0 > 4$ and $\Phi(x) = u^p$ where $p > p_0$. Let us consider $Q = \{k^2 : k \in \mathbb{N}\}$ and $Q_N = Q \cap ([-2N, N] \cup [N, 2N])$. We have

$$\frac{|Q_N|^{1/2}}{\Phi_0^{-1}(N)} \approx N^{1/4-1/p_0}.$$

In [4, (4.1)], Bourgain proved that $K_{\Phi_0}(Q \cap [-N, N]) \lesssim N^{1/4-1/p_0}$ when $p_0 > 4$. Therefore, (1.9) is satisfied and Theorem 1.6 implies that there exists a $\Lambda(p)$ -set in Q when $p > 4$ and it is not a $\Lambda(q)$ -set for any $q > p$. This was proved in [4].

Example 1.10. Let us consider a function $\Phi(x)$ such that

$$(1.11) \quad \Phi(u) = \begin{cases} cu^2 & \text{if } u \leq u_0 \\ u^p \log^{\alpha p}(u) & \text{if } u > u_0 \end{cases}$$

where $p > 2$ and $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$. We can choose c and u_0 such that Φ is a nice Young function. By the inequality (2.12), Lemma 2.4 (1) and Lemma 2.6 (2), it is easy to check that $\Phi \in \Delta_2 \cap \nabla_2$ and $\alpha_\Phi^\infty = \beta_\Phi^\infty = p$ regardless of c and u_0 . Now, we can consider the Orlicz space $L^\Phi(\mathbb{T})$, which is called a $L^p(\log L)^\alpha(\mathbb{T})$ space or a *Zygmund space*. The reader can find more information about this space in [2, Chapter 4, Section 6]. For completeness, we give a proof in Section 6 that the norm $\|\cdot\|_\Phi$ is equivalent to the norm of $L^p(\log L)^\alpha(\mathbb{T})$ in [2].

Note that

$$\Phi^{-1}(u) \approx u^{1/p} \log^{-\alpha}(u)$$

for sufficiently large u . If S is a $\Lambda(\Phi)$ -set, then Theorem 1.4 implies that

$$|S \cap [-N, N]| \lesssim N^{2/p} \log^{-2\alpha}(N).$$

Let us consider $\Phi_1(u)$ and $\Phi_2(u)$ such that

$$\Phi_1(u) = \begin{cases} c_1 u^2 & \text{if } u \leq u_1 \\ u^{p_1} \log^{\alpha_1 p_1}(u) & \text{if } u > u_1 \end{cases}, \quad \Phi_2(u) = \begin{cases} c_2 u^2 & \text{if } u \leq u_2 \\ u^{p_2} \log^{\alpha_2 p_2}(u) & \text{if } u > u_2 \end{cases}$$

where c_1, c_2, u_1 and u_2 were chosen as in (1.11) so that Φ_1 and Φ_2 are both nice Young functions. If either

$$(1.12) \quad 2 < p_1 < p_2 \quad \text{or} \quad 2 < p_1 = p_2, \alpha_1 < \alpha_2,$$

then we have

$$\lim_{x \geq 1} \frac{\Phi_2(x)}{\Phi_1(x)} = \infty.$$

As in previous examples, Theorem 1.6 implies that there exists a $\Lambda(\Phi_1)$ -set which is not a $\Lambda(\Phi_2)$ -set for any Φ_2 that satisfies (1.12). Also, for $p_1, p_2 > 4$, there exists a $\Lambda(\Phi_1)$ -set in Q which is not a $\Lambda(\Phi_2)$ -set for any Φ_2 that satisfies (1.12).

We introduce basic notations and properties of Orlicz spaces in Section 2, which will be used throughout the paper. In Section 3, we study properties of $\Lambda(\Phi)$ -sets and prove Theorem 1.4. In Section 4, we prove Theorem 1.5 by using Talagrand's argument in [17]. In Section 5, we derive the Littlewood-Paley estimate in Orlicz spaces. Then, we combine this estimate with Theorem 1.4 and Theorem 1.5 to prove Theorem 1.6. Finally, in Section 6, we give the proof of the equivalence of two norms mentioned in Example 1.10.

Acknowledgements. The author would like to thank his advisor Alexander Iosevich for productive discussions of this work and encouragement. The author also would like to thank Michel Talagrand and Allan Greenleaf for helpful conversations.

2. PRELIMINARIES

We recall some properties of Orlicz spaces. With each Young function Φ , we can associate another Young function $\Psi : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty]$ defined by

$$\Psi(v) = \sup_{u \geq 0} (u|v| - \Phi(u)).$$

The function Ψ is called a *complementary function* to Φ and (Φ, Ψ) is called a *complementary Young pair*. If (Φ, Ψ) is a complementary Young pair, for $f \in L^\Phi(\mathbb{T})$, we have

$$(2.1) \quad \|f\|_\Phi \approx \sup_g \int |fg| dx$$

where the supremum was taken over all g such that $\int \Psi(|g|) dx \leq 1$.

Lemma 2.1. [13, Section 2.1, Proposition 1] *Let (Φ, Ψ) be a complementary Young pair of nice Young functions. Their inverses Φ^{-1} and Ψ^{-1} are well defined and*

$$(2.2) \quad u \leq \Phi^{-1}(u) \Psi^{-1}(u) \leq 2u.$$

Lemma 2.2. [13, Section 3.3, Proposition 1] *If $f \in L^\Phi(\mathbb{T})$ and $g \in L^\Psi(\mathbb{T})$ where (Φ, Ψ) is a complementary pair of Young functions, we have*

$$(2.3) \quad \int |fg| dx \lesssim \|f\|_\Phi \|g\|_\Psi.$$

We define the *equivalence of nice Young functions* by $\Phi_1 \sim \Phi_2$, if there exist constants $0 < c_1 < c_2 < \infty$ and $u_0 \geq 0$ such that

$$(2.4) \quad \Phi_1(c_1 u) < \Phi_2(u) \leq \Phi_1(c_2 u) \quad \text{if } u \geq u_0.$$

Nice Young functions Φ_1 and Φ_2 are equivalent in the sense that $\|f\|_{\Phi_1} \approx \|f\|_{\Phi_2}$.

Lemma 2.3. *Let Φ_1 and Φ_2 be Nice Young functions.*

- (1) *If $\Phi_1(u) \leq \Phi_2(u)$ for some $u \geq u_0$, then $\|f\|_{\Phi_1} \lesssim \|f\|_{\Phi_2}$.*
(2) *If $\Phi_1(u) = \Phi_2(u)$ for some u_0 , then $\Phi_1 \sim \Phi_2$.*

Proof. The statement (1) is Theorem 3 in [13, Section 5.1] and (2) easily follows from (1). \square

Indices α_{Φ}^i and β_{Φ}^i are well defined and finite not only when Φ is a Nice Young function but also when

$$(2.5) \quad \Phi : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty) \text{ is increasing, continuous, unbounded and } \Phi(0) = 0.$$

Thus, we have the following properties of α_{Φ}^i and β_{Φ}^i .

Lemma 2.4. *Let $\Phi : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ satisfies (2.5).*

- (1) *If $\Phi_1 \sim \Phi_2$, then $\alpha_{\Phi_1}^{\infty} = \alpha_{\Phi_2}^{\infty}$ and $\beta_{\Phi_1}^{\infty} = \beta_{\Phi_2}^{\infty}$. If $x_0 = 0$ in (2.4) and $\Phi_1 \sim \Phi_2$, then $\alpha_{\Phi_1}^a = \alpha_{\Phi_2}^a$ and $\beta_{\Phi_1}^a = \beta_{\Phi_2}^a$.*
(2) *Let Φ^{-1} be the inverse of Φ . Then,*

$$(2.6) \quad \alpha_{\Phi^{-1}}^i = \frac{1}{\beta_{\Phi}^i} \quad \beta_{\Phi^{-1}}^i = \frac{1}{\alpha_{\Phi}^i} \quad \text{for } i = a, \infty.$$

- (3) *Let $0 < \alpha_{\Phi}^{\infty} < \infty$. If $u \geq 1$, for any $\epsilon > 0$, we have*

$$(2.7) \quad \int_1^u s^{-(\alpha_{\Phi}^{\infty} - \epsilon) - 1} \Phi(s) ds \lesssim_{\epsilon} u^{-(\alpha_{\Phi}^{\infty} - \epsilon)} \Phi(u).$$

- (4) *We have*

$$(2.8) \quad \alpha_{\Phi}^{\infty} = \sup\{p > 0 : \sup_{u, t \geq 1} \frac{\Phi(u)t^p}{\Phi(tu)} < \infty\}, \quad \beta_{\Phi}^{\infty} = \inf\{p > 0 : \inf_{u, t \geq 1} \frac{\Phi(u)t^p}{\Phi(tu)} > 0\}.$$

Proof. The proofs are in [12]. They are Theorem 11.4, Theorem 11.5, Theorem 11.8 and Theorem 11.13 respectively. \square

We can also obtain the following relations between $M_i(t, \Phi)$ and $\alpha_{\Phi}^i, \beta_{\Phi}^i$.

Lemma 2.5. *Let $\Phi : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ satisfies (2.5). For $i = a, \infty$, we have*

$$(2.9) \quad M_i(t, \Phi) \geq t^{\beta_{\Phi}^i} \quad \text{if } t > 1, \quad M_i(t, \Phi) \geq t^{\alpha_{\Phi}^i} \quad \text{if } t \leq 1.$$

For any $\epsilon > 0$, we have

$$(2.10) \quad M^{\infty}(t, \Phi) \lesssim_{\epsilon} t^{\beta_{\Phi}^i + \epsilon} \quad \text{if } t > 1, \quad M^{\infty}(t, \Phi) \lesssim_{\epsilon} t^{\alpha_{\Phi}^i - \epsilon} \quad \text{if } t \leq 1.$$

In other word, when $u \geq 1$,

$$(2.11) \quad u^{\alpha_{\Phi}^{\infty} - \epsilon} \lesssim_{\epsilon} \Phi(u) \lesssim_{\epsilon} u^{\beta_{\Phi}^{\infty} + \epsilon}.$$

Proof. The inequalities (2.9) is Theorem 11.3 in [12]. First statement of (2.10) directly follows from (2.8). For the second, when we replace t by $1/t$ in (2.8), we obtain

$$\sup_{0 < t \leq 1 \leq u} \frac{\Phi(u)}{\Phi(u/t)t^{\alpha_{\Phi}^{\infty} - \epsilon}} \lesssim_{\epsilon} 1.$$

When we replace u by u/t , we obtain

$$\sup_{0 \leq t \leq 1} \frac{M_\infty(t, \Phi)}{t^{\alpha_\Phi^\infty - \epsilon}} \leq \sup_{0 < t \leq 1, t^{-1} \leq u} \frac{\Phi(ut)}{\Phi(u)t^{\alpha_\Phi^\infty - \epsilon}} \lesssim_\epsilon 1.$$

Thus, we get (2.10). If $t \geq 1$, we obtain from (2.8) that

$$\frac{\Phi(1)t^{\alpha_\Phi^\infty - \epsilon}}{\Phi(t)} \leq \sup_{u, t \geq 1} \frac{\Phi(u)t^{\alpha_\Phi^\infty - \epsilon}}{\Phi(tu)} \lesssim_\epsilon 1, \quad \frac{\Phi(1)t^{\beta_\Phi^\infty + \epsilon}}{\Phi(t)} \geq \inf_{u, t \geq 1} \frac{\Phi(u)t^{\beta_\Phi^\infty + \epsilon}}{\Phi(tu)} \gtrsim_\epsilon 1.$$

Hence, we have (2.11). \square

Let us define the following indices.

$$p_\Phi^\infty := \liminf_{u \rightarrow \infty} \frac{u\Phi'(u)}{\Phi(u)}, \quad q_\Phi^\infty := \limsup_{u \rightarrow \infty} \frac{u\Phi'(u)}{\Phi(u)},$$

$$p_\Phi^a := \inf_{u > 0} \frac{u\Phi'(u)}{\Phi(u)}, \quad q_\Phi^a := \sup_{u > 0} \frac{u\Phi'(u)}{\Phi(u)}.$$

Unlike Lemma 2.4 (1), p_Φ^i and q_Φ^i does not guarantee that, $p_{\Phi_1}^i = p_{\Phi_2}^i$ or $q_{\Phi_1}^i = q_{\Phi_2}^i$ when $\Phi_1 \sim \Phi_2$, see [12, 93p]. However, these indices have the following useful properties.

Lemma 2.6. *Let Φ satisfies (2.5).*

(1) *For $i = a, \infty$, we have*

$$(2.12) \quad p_\Phi^i \leq \alpha_\Phi^i \leq \beta_\Phi^i \leq q_\Phi^i.$$

(2) *Let Φ be a Nice Young function. The function Φ is in $\Delta_2 \cap \nabla_2$ if and only if $1 < p_\Phi^\infty \leq q_\Phi^\infty < \infty$.*

(3) *If $\Phi \in \Delta_2 \cap \nabla_2$, then there exists a Nice Young function Φ_1 such that $1 < p_{\Phi_1}^a \leq q_{\Phi_1}^a < \infty$ and $\Phi_1(u) = \Phi(u)$ when $u \geq u_0$ for some u_0 .*

Proof. The statement (1) is Theorem 11.11 in [12] and the statement (2) is Corollary 4 in Section 2.3 of [13].

For (3), we can choose $\epsilon > 0$ and u_0 such that $\Phi'(u)$ is well defined at u_0 and

$$1 < p_\Phi^\infty - \epsilon \leq \frac{u\Phi'(u)}{\Phi(u)} \leq q_\Phi^\infty + \epsilon < \infty \quad \text{if } u \geq u_0.$$

Let $p = \frac{u_0\Phi'(u_0)}{\Phi(u_0)}$ and choose c such that $\Phi(x_0) = cu_0^p$. Let

$$\Phi_1(u) = \begin{cases} cu^p & \text{if } u \leq u_0 \\ \Phi(u) & \text{if } u > u_0 \end{cases}.$$

Since $\Phi_1'(u_0) = \Phi'(u_0)$, Φ_1 is a Nice Young function and

$$1 \leq p_{\Phi_1}^\infty - \epsilon \leq \frac{u\Phi_1'(u)}{\Phi_1(u)} \leq q_{\Phi_1}^\infty + \epsilon < \infty$$

for all $u > 0$. \square

We introduce additional properties of a Nice Young function Φ such that $\Phi \in \Delta_2$ or $\Phi \in \nabla_2$ that we need later.

Lemma 2.7. [13, Section 2.2, Theorem 3] *Let Φ be a Nice Young function. Then, $\Phi \in \Delta_2$ if and only if there exists $\delta > 0$ and $u_0 \geq 0$ such that $\Phi((1 + \delta)u) \leq 2\Phi(u)$ where $u \geq u_0$. Similarly, $\Phi \in \nabla_2$ if and only if there exists $0 < \delta < 1$ and $u_0 \geq 0$ such that $\Phi((2 - \delta)u) \geq 2\Phi(u)$ when $u \geq u_0$.*

Lemma 2.8. *Let Φ be a Nice Young function such that $\Phi \in \Delta_2 \cap \nabla_2$ and $p < \alpha_\Phi^\infty < \infty$. There exists a Nice Young function $\tilde{\Phi}$ such that $\Phi \sim \tilde{\Phi}$, $\tilde{\Phi} \in \Delta_2 \cap \nabla_2$ and $\tilde{\Phi}(u^{1/p})$ is convex.*

Proof. We modify the proof of Theorem 1.7 in [10] which considers α_Φ^a , instead of α_Φ^∞ . Let

$$\Phi_p(u) = \begin{cases} u^p & \text{if } u \leq 1, \\ u^p + u^p \int_1^u s^{-p-1} \Phi(s) ds & \text{if } u > 1 \end{cases}$$

and

$$\tilde{\Phi}(u) = \int_0^u \frac{\Phi_p(s)}{s} ds.$$

Then, we obtain

$$(\tilde{\Phi}(u^{1/p}))' = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } u \leq 1, \\ 1 + \int_1^{u^{1/p}} s^{-p-1} \Phi(s) ds & \text{if } u > 1 \end{cases}.$$

Thus, $\tilde{\Phi}(u^{1/p})$ is convex. First, we prove that $\Phi_p \approx \Phi$ when $u \geq 1$. By (2.7) and (2.11), we obtain

$$\Phi_p(u) \lesssim u^p + \Phi(u) \lesssim \Phi(u).$$

Conversely, when $u \geq 2$, we obtain

$$\Phi_p(u) \geq u^p \int_{u/2}^u s^{-p-1} \Phi(s) ds \gtrsim \Phi(u/2) \gtrsim \Phi(u).$$

In the last inequality, we used the definition of the condition that $\Phi \in \Delta_2$. When $1 \leq u \leq 2$, $\Phi_p \approx \tilde{\Phi}$ because Φ_p and Φ are larger than some positive constant and finite. Thus, we have $\Phi_p \approx \Phi$ when $u \geq 1$. When we combine the fact that $\Phi_p \approx \Phi$ with the assumption that Φ is a nice Young function such that $\Phi \in \Delta_2 \cap \nabla_2$, we obtain that Φ_p is also a nice Young function such that $\Phi_p \in \Delta_2 \cap \nabla_2$ and $\Phi_p \sim \Phi$.

Similarly, it suffices to show that $\tilde{\Phi} \approx \Phi_p$. By Lemma (2.6) (2), we have

$$\tilde{\Phi}(u) \lesssim \int_0^u \Phi'(s) ds = \Phi(u).$$

Conversely, since $\Phi_p \in \Delta_2$, we have

$$\tilde{\Phi}(u) \geq \int_{u/2}^u \frac{\Phi_p(s)}{s} ds \geq \Phi_p(u/2) \gtrsim \Phi_p(u).$$

□

Lemma 2.9. *Let Φ_1, Φ_2 be Nice Young functions such that $\Phi_1, \Phi_2 \in \Delta_2 \cap \nabla_2$. If $\sup_{u \geq 1} \Phi_2(u)/\Phi_1(u) = \infty$, then $\sup_{u \geq 1} \Phi_1^{-1}(u)/\Phi_2^{-1}(u) = \infty$.*

Proof. For any large B , we can find sufficiently large u such that $\Phi_2(u)/\Phi_1(u) > B$ by the assumption. Therefore, $\Phi_1^{-1}(u/B) \geq \Phi_2^{-1}(u)$. Since $\Phi_1 \in \Delta_2$, Lemma 2.7 implies that $\Phi_1((1+\delta)u) \leq 2\Phi_1(u)$ when $u \geq u_0$ for some $\delta > 0$ and $u_0 \geq 0$. Thus, $\Phi_1^{-1}(u) \leq \Phi_1^{-1}(2u)/(1+\delta)$. If $2^k \leq B < 2^{k+1}$, we obtain

$$\Phi_2^{-1}(u) \leq \Phi_1^{-1}\left(\frac{u}{B}\right) \leq \Phi_1^{-1}\left(\frac{u}{2^k}\right) \leq \Phi_1^{-1}(u) \left(\frac{1}{1+\delta}\right)^k.$$

Therefore,

$$(1+\delta)^k \leq \frac{\Phi_1^{-1}(u)}{\Phi_2^{-1}(u)}.$$

As B increases, so does $(1+\delta)^k$. □

3. $\Lambda(\Phi)$ -SETS AND THEIR PROPERTIES

The following results are analogues of Theorem 1.4 and Theorem 3.5 in [14] respectively. Arguments are similar to the proofs in [14] except that we consider in terms of Orlicz spaces.

Theorem 3.1. *Assume that Φ, Φ_1 and Φ_2 are Nice Young functions such that $\Phi, \Phi_1 \in \Delta_2 \cap \nabla_2$. If*

$$(3.1) \quad \|f\|_{\Phi} \lesssim \|f\|_{\Phi_1}$$

for some Φ_1 such that $\beta_{\Phi_1} < \alpha_{\Phi}$, then

$$\|f\|_{\Phi} \lesssim \|f\|_{\Phi_2}$$

for any Φ_2 .

Proof. We can choose p and $\epsilon > 0$ such that $\beta_{\Phi_1} < p < p + \epsilon < \alpha_{\Phi}$. By (2.11), for sufficiently large u , we have

$$\Phi_1(u) \lesssim u^p < u^{p+\epsilon} \lesssim \Phi(u)$$

Let $\theta = (p-1)/(p+\epsilon-1)$ and $\theta' = \epsilon/(p+\epsilon-1)$. The constant θ is nonzero and finite since $1 < p < \infty$. By Lemma 2.3 (1), we have

$$(3.2) \quad \begin{aligned} \|f\|_{\Phi_1}^p &\lesssim \|f\|_p^p = \int |f|^{(p+\epsilon)\theta} |f|^{\theta'} dx \\ &\leq \left(\int |f|^{p+\epsilon} dx \right)^{\theta} \left(\int |f| dx \right)^{\theta'} = \|f\|_{p+\epsilon}^{(p+\epsilon)\theta} \|f\|_1^{\theta'}. \end{aligned}$$

Lemma 2.3 (1) and (3.1) implies that

$$(3.3) \quad \|f\|_{p+\epsilon} \lesssim \|f\|_{\Phi} \lesssim \|f\|_{\Phi_1}.$$

By (3.2) and (3.3), we obtain

$$\|f\|_{\Phi_1} \lesssim \|f\|_1.$$

Since Φ_2 is a Nice Young function, $u \lesssim \Phi_2(u)$ when $u \geq 1$. Therefore,

$$\|f\|_{\Phi_1} \lesssim \|f\|_1 \lesssim \|f\|_{\Phi_2}.$$

□

Theorem 3.1 implies that (1.5) and (1.6) are equivalent when $\alpha_{\Phi}^{\infty} > 2$.

Proposition 3.2. *Assume that Φ is a Nice Young function such that $\Phi \in \Delta_2 \cap \nabla_2$ and $\alpha_{\Phi}^{\infty} > 2$. For any positive integer N , we let $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $b \neq 0$ and $A_S(N, a, b)$ be a number of terms in a set $S \cap \{a+b, a+2b, \dots, a+Nb\}$. Let $A_S(N) := \sup_{a, b \in \mathbb{Z}} A_S(N, a, b)$. If S is a $\Lambda(\Phi)$ -set, then*

$$A_S(N) \lesssim (\Phi^{-1}(N))^2.$$

Proof. Consider the Fejér kernel K_N , i.e.,

$$(3.4) \quad K_N(x) = \sum_{|n| \leq N} \left(1 - \frac{|n|}{N}\right) e^{2\pi i n x}$$

and a set $A = \{a+b, a+2b, \dots, a+Nb\}$. As in [14], we claim that $A_S(N) \lesssim \|K_N\|_{\Psi}^2$. Let $m = a + \frac{N}{2}b$ or $m = a + \frac{N+1}{2}b$ depending on whether N is even or odd and let

$$Q(x) = e^{2\pi i m x} K_N(e^{2\pi i b x}) = \sum_{n=-N}^N \left(1 - \frac{|n|}{N}\right) e^{2\pi i (m+nb)x}.$$

It follows that

$$\widehat{Q}(n) \geq \frac{1}{2} \quad \text{for } n \in A.$$

Let $A \cap S$ consists of numbers n_1, \dots, n_α and consider a trigonometric polynomial

$$f(x) = \sum_{j=1}^{\alpha} e^{2\pi i n_j x}.$$

By (2.3), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\alpha}{2} &\leq \sum_{k=j}^{\alpha} \widehat{Q}(n_k) = \int f(-x)Q(x)dx \lesssim \|f\|_{\Phi} \|Q\|_{\Psi} \\ &\leq \|f\|_{\Phi} \|K_N\|_{\Psi} \lesssim \|f\|_2 \|K_N\|_{\Psi} \lesssim \sqrt{\alpha} \|K_N\|_{\Psi} \end{aligned}$$

where Ψ is a complementary Young function of Φ . In the second to last inequality, we used the assumption that S is a $\Lambda(\Phi)$ -set. Once we prove that

$$(3.5) \quad \|K_N\|_{\Psi} \lesssim \Phi^{-1}(N),$$

it implies that

$$\alpha \lesssim \|K_N\|_{\Psi}^2 \lesssim (\Phi^{-1}(N))^2$$

for arbitrary A . Therefore, $A_S(N) \lesssim (\Phi^{-1}(N))^2$.

Note that

$$K_N(x) \leq \begin{cases} N, & \text{if } |x| \leq \frac{1}{N}, \\ \frac{1}{Nx^2}, & \text{if } |x| > \frac{1}{N}. \end{cases}$$

By (2.2), we have

$$(3.6) \quad \frac{1}{\Phi^{-1}(N)} \leq \frac{\Psi^{-1}(N)}{N}.$$

Since Φ is a Nice Young function, so does Ψ . If $K \geq 1$, by using concavity of Ψ^{-1} , we have

$$(3.7) \quad \frac{\Psi^{-1}(N)}{K} \leq \Psi^{-1}\left(\frac{N}{K}\right).$$

For sufficiently large N , (3.6) and (3.7) imply that

$$\begin{aligned} \int \Psi\left(\frac{K_N}{2\Phi^{-1}(N)}\right) dx &\leq \int_{|x| \leq 1/N} \Psi\left(\frac{\Psi^{-1}(N)N}{2N}\right) dx + \int_{|x| \geq 1/N} \Psi\left(\frac{\Psi^{-1}(N)}{2N^2x^2}\right) dx \\ &\leq \int_{|x| \leq 1/N} \Psi\left(\Psi^{-1}\left(\frac{N}{2}\right)\right) dx + \int_{|x| \geq 1/N} \Psi\left(\Psi^{-1}\left(\frac{N}{2N^2x^2}\right)\right) dx \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2} + \int_{|x| \geq 1/N} \frac{1}{2Nx^2} dx \leq 1. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, $\|K_N\|_{\Psi} \leq 2\Phi^{-1}(N)$. □

Theorem 1.4 follows from Proposition 3.2.

4. PROBABILISTIC ESTIMATE ABOUT $\Lambda(\Phi)$ -SETS

In this section, we adapt Talagrand's argument in [17, Section 16.6] to prove Theorem 1.5. Let X be a Banach space of real valued functions on \mathbb{T} and X^* be the dual space of X . We denote by $\|\cdot\|_C$ a norm on X such that the unit ball of its dual norm is

$$X_{1,C}^* := \{g \in X^* : \|g\|_{X^*} \leq 1, \sum_{n \in E} |\widehat{g}(n)|^2 \leq C\},$$

i.e.,

$$\|f\|_C = \sup_{g \in X_{1,C}^*} \int f(x) \overline{g(x)} dx.$$

Let J be a subset of E and denote

$$\|U_J\|_C := \sup_{g \in X_{1,C}^*} \left(\sum_{n \in J} |\widehat{g}(n)|^2 \right)^{1/2}.$$

Consider a real number $p \geq 2$. A norm $\|\cdot\|$ of a Banach space is called p -convex if there exists a constant $\eta > 0$ such that

$$(4.1) \quad \left\| \frac{x+y}{2} \right\| \leq 1 - \eta \|x-y\|^p$$

for any $\|x\|, \|y\| \leq 1$. Note that $\|\cdot\|_p$ is 2-convex if $p \leq 2$. See [11, 63p], [9].

Lemma 4.1. [17, Theorem 16.5.3] *Consider a Banach space X of real valued functions on \mathbb{T} with a norm $\|\cdot\|$ such that X^* is 2-convex with corresponding constant η in (4.1). Suppose that $\|e^{2\pi i n x}\| \leq 1$ for all $n \in E$. Then, there exists a number $K(\eta)$ that depends only on η with the following property. Consider a number C and define $B = \max(C, K(\eta) \log |E|)$. Consider a number $\delta > 0$ and assume that for some $\epsilon > 0$,*

$$\delta \leq \frac{1}{B\epsilon|E|^\epsilon} \leq 1.$$

For random variables $(\xi_i)_{i \in E}$ and $J = \{i \in E : \xi_i = 1\}$ in Theorem 1.5, we have

$$\mathbb{E}(\|U_J\|_C^p) \leq \frac{K(\eta)}{\epsilon}.$$

Proposition 4.2. *Let $p_1 > \beta_\Phi^\infty$ and $X = L^{p_1}(\mathbb{T})$. Under the assumptions of Theorem 1.5, if*

$$C_1 = \frac{|E|^{1/2}}{\Phi^{-1}(\text{diam}(E))}, \quad C_2 = \frac{|E| \log |E|}{10(\Phi^{-1}(\text{diam}(E)))^2},$$

then

$$(4.2) \quad \mathbb{E}(\|U_J\|_{C_1}) \lesssim 1, \quad \mathbb{E}(\|U_J\|_{C_2}) \lesssim \sqrt{\log |E|}.$$

Proof. Since $p_1 > 2$, $X^* = (L^{p_1}(\mathbb{T}))^*$ is 2-convex. Since $1 \lesssim K_{\Phi_0}(E)$, (1.8) implies that

$$(4.3) \quad \Phi_0^{-1}(\text{diam}(E)) \lesssim |E|^{1/2}.$$

We can choose $p_0 > 2$ and $\epsilon_0 > 0$ such that $\beta_{\Phi_0}^\infty < p_0 < p_0 + \epsilon_0 < \alpha_{\Phi_0}^\infty$. By (2.11), we have

$$(4.4) \quad \Phi^{-1}(u) \lesssim u^{1/(p_0+\epsilon_0)} \leq u^{1/p_0} \lesssim \Phi_0^{-1}(u)$$

for sufficiently large u . From (4.3) and (4.4), we obtain

$$C_1 \gtrsim \frac{\Phi_0^{-1}(\text{diam}(E))}{\Phi^{-1}(\text{diam}(E))} \gtrsim (\text{diam}(E))^{1/p_0-1/(p_0+\epsilon_0)} \gtrsim |E|^{1/p_0-1/(p_0+\epsilon_0)} \gtrsim \log |E|$$

when $|E|$ is sufficiently large. Therefore, $B \approx C_1$ in Lemma 4.1. From (4.3) and (4.4) again, we obtain

$$(4.5) \quad \Phi^{-1}(\text{diam}(E)) \lesssim \text{diam}(E)^{1/(p_0+\epsilon_0)} \lesssim (\Phi_0^{-1}(\text{diam}(E)))^{p_0/(p_0+\epsilon_0)} \lesssim |E|^{p_0/2(p_0+\epsilon_0)}.$$

We can choose ϵ_1 such that

$$(4.6) \quad \frac{1}{2} - \frac{p_0}{2(p_0+\epsilon_0)} - \epsilon_1 > 0.$$

Let the left hand side of (4.6) be ϵ . By (4.5), we have

$$\frac{1}{B\epsilon|E|^\epsilon} \gtrsim \frac{(\Phi^{-1}(\text{diam}(E)))^2}{|E|} |E|^{\epsilon_1} \geq \delta.$$

It follows from Lemma 4.1 that

$$\mathbb{E}\|U_J\|_{C_1} \lesssim 1.$$

Similarly, by (4.3) and (4.4), we have

$$\begin{aligned} C_2 &\gtrsim \frac{\log |E|}{10} \left(\frac{\Phi_0^{-1}(\text{diam}(E))}{\Phi^{-1}(\text{diam}(E))} \right)^2 \gtrsim \frac{\log |E|}{10} (\text{diam}(E))^{2(1/p_0-1/(p_0+\epsilon_0))} \\ &\gtrsim \frac{\log |E|}{10} |E|^{2(1/p_0-1/(p_0+\epsilon_0))} \gtrsim \log |E|. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, $B \approx C_2$ in Lemma 4.1. If $\epsilon = 1/\log |E|$, then

$$\frac{1}{B\epsilon|E|^\epsilon} = \frac{10(\Phi^{-1}(\text{diam}(E)))^2}{e|E|} \geq \delta.$$

Lemma 4.1 implies that

$$\mathbb{E}\|U_J\|_{C_2} \lesssim \sqrt{\log |E|}.$$

□

Lemma 4.3. *Let $p_1 > \beta_\Phi^\infty$, $X = L^{p_1}(\mathbb{T})$ and assume that (1.8) holds and C_1 and C_2 are constants in Proposition 4.2. If f is a measurable function such that $\|f\|_{C_1} \leq 1$ and $\|f\|_{C_2} \leq \sqrt{\log |E|}$, then $\|f\|_\Phi \lesssim 1$.*

Proof. We can choose p such that $\beta_\Phi^\infty < p < p_1$. We have

$$(4.7) \quad \|f\|_\Phi \leq \|f\mathbf{1}_{\{|f| \leq 1\}}\|_\Phi + \|f\mathbf{1}_{\{1 \leq |f| \leq D\}}\|_\Phi + \|f\mathbf{1}_{\{|f| \geq D\}}\|_\Phi$$

where $D^{p_1-2} \approx C_1$. Such D exists since $C_1 \gtrsim 1$ and $p_1 > 2$. It is easy to show that $\|f\mathbf{1}_{\{|f| \leq 1\}}\|_\Phi \lesssim 1$. Next, we obtain by Fubini that

$$\|f\|_\Phi = \inf\{k > 0 : \int \Phi(|f/k|) dx \leq 1\} = \inf\{k > 0 : \int \Phi'(u) \mu\{|f| \geq ku\} du \leq 1\}$$

where μ is the Lebesgue measure. By Lemma 2.6 (2) and (2.11), when $u \geq 1$,

$$\Phi'(u) \lesssim \frac{\Phi(u)}{u} \lesssim u^{p-1}$$

Therefore,

$$(4.8) \quad \begin{aligned} \|f\mathbf{1}_{\{1 \leq |f| \leq D\}}\|_\Phi &= \inf\{k > 0 : \frac{1}{k} \int_1^D \Phi'(u/k) \mu\{|f| \geq u\} du \leq 1\} \\ &\lesssim \inf\{k > 0 : \int_1^D u^{p-1} \mu\{|f| \geq u\} \leq k^p\}. \end{aligned}$$

As mentioned in [17, 557p], Hahn-Banach theorem and the fact that $\|f\|_{C_1} \leq 1$ implies that there exist v_1 and v_2 such that $f = v_1 + v_2$ and

$$(4.9) \quad \|v_1\|_{p_1} \leq 1, \quad v_2 = \sum_{n \in E} a_n e^{2\pi i n x} \quad \text{where} \quad \sum_{n \in E} |a_n|^2 \leq C_1^{-1}.$$

By the fact that $\|v_1\|_{p_1} \leq 1$, we have

$$(4.10) \quad \mu\{|v_1| > u\} \leq u^{-p_1}.$$

Similarly, $\|v_2\|_2 \leq C_1^{-1/2}$ implies that

$$(4.11) \quad \mu\{|v_2| > u\} \leq C_1^{-1} u^{-2}.$$

If $t \leq D$, then

$$(4.12) \quad \mu\{|f| \geq u\} \leq \mu\{|v_1| > \frac{u}{2}\} + \mu\{|v_2| > \frac{u}{2}\} \lesssim u^{-p_1} + C_1^{-1} u^{-2} \lesssim u^{-p_1}.$$

By (4.8), (4.12) and the fact that $p < p_1$, we obtain

$$\|f \mathbf{1}_{\{|f| \leq D\}}\|_{\Phi} \lesssim \inf\{k > 0 : \int_1^D u^{p-p_1-1} du \leq k^p\} \lesssim 1.$$

Similarly, $\|f\|_{C_2} \leq \sqrt{\log |E|}$ implies that there exist w_1 and w_2 such that $f = w_1 + w_2$ and

$$\|w_1\|_{p_1} \leq \sqrt{\log |E|}, \quad w_2 = \sum_{n \in E} a_n e^{2\pi i n x} \quad \text{where} \quad \sum_{n \in E} |a_n|^2 \leq \frac{\log |E|}{C_2} \approx \frac{(\Phi^{-1}(\text{diam}(E)))^2}{|E|}.$$

Thus, we have

$$(4.13) \quad \mu\{|w_1| > u\} \leq (\log |E|)^{p_1/2} u^{-p_1}.$$

By (1.8) and the definition of w_2 , we have

$$(4.14) \quad \|w_2\|_{\Phi_0} \lesssim \frac{|E|^{1/2}}{\Phi_0^{-1}(\text{diam}(E))} \|w_2\|_2 \lesssim \frac{\Phi^{-1}(\text{diam}(E))}{\Phi_0^{-1}(\text{diam}(E))}.$$

Let

$$k_0 = C \frac{\Phi^{-1}(\text{diam}(E))}{\Phi_0^{-1}(\text{diam}(E))}$$

where C is a sufficiently large constant. It follows from (4.14) that

$$(4.15) \quad \mu\{|w_2| > u\} \leq \frac{1}{\Phi_0(u/k_0)}.$$

Using (4.13) and (4.15), we have

$$(4.16) \quad \begin{aligned} \mu\{|f| > u\} &\leq \mu\{|w_1| > u/2\} + \mu\{|w_2| > u/2\} \\ &\lesssim u^{-p_1} (\log |E|)^{p_1/2} + \frac{1}{\Phi_0(u/k_0)}. \end{aligned}$$

We also have

$$(4.17) \quad \|w_2\|_{\infty} \leq \left(\sum_{n \in E} |a_n|^2 \right)^{1/2} \left(\sum_{n \in E} |e^{2\pi i n x}|^2 \right)^{1/2} \lesssim \Phi^{-1}(\text{diam}(E)).$$

From (4.16) and (4.17), it follows that

$$(4.18) \quad \begin{aligned} \|f \mathbf{1}_{\{|f| \geq D\}}\|_{\Phi} &= \inf\{k > 0 : \frac{1}{k} \int_D^{\infty} \Phi'(u/k) \mu\{|f| \geq u\} du \leq 1\} \\ &\leq \inf\{k > 0 : \frac{1}{k} \int_D^{\infty} \Phi'(u/k) u^{-p_1} (\log |E|)^{p_1/2} du + \frac{1}{k} \int_D^{D_1} \frac{\Phi'(u/k)}{\Phi_0(u/k_0)} du \leq 1\} \end{aligned}$$

where $D_1 \approx \Phi^{-1}(\text{diam}(E))$. As in (4.8),

$$(4.19) \quad \begin{aligned} \frac{(\log |E|)^{p_1/2}}{k} \int_D^{\infty} \Phi'(u/k) u^{-p_1} du &\lesssim \frac{(\log |E|)^{p_1/2}}{k^p} \int_D^{\infty} u^{p-p_1-1} du \\ &\lesssim (\log |E|)^{p_1/2} D^{p-p_1} \frac{1}{k^p} \lesssim \frac{1}{k^p}. \end{aligned}$$

For the second integral, we can assume that $k \geq 1$ without loss of generality. Thus, $\Phi(u/k) \leq \Phi(u)/k$ since Φ is convex and $\Phi(0) = 0$. By using this inequality and Lemma 2.6 (2), we have

$$\frac{\Phi'(u/k)}{k} \lesssim \frac{\Phi(u/k)}{u} \leq \frac{\Phi(u)}{ku}.$$

Thus, we obtain that

$$(4.20) \quad \begin{aligned} \frac{1}{k} \int_D^{D_1} \frac{\Phi'(u/k)}{\Phi_0(u/k_0)} du &\leq \int_D^{D_1} \frac{\Phi(u)}{ku\Phi_0(u/k_0)} du \\ &\lesssim \frac{1}{k} \int_{D/D_1}^1 \frac{\Phi(\Phi^{-1}(\text{diam}(E))s)}{s\Phi_0(C^{-1}\Phi_0^{-1}(\text{diam}(E))s)} ds \quad \text{where } u = D_1 s. \end{aligned}$$

In the second inequality, we used the fact that $\Phi \in \Delta_2 \cap \nabla_2$. We can choose $\epsilon_0 > 0$ such that $\beta_{\Phi_0}^{\infty} < \beta_{\Phi_0}^{\infty} + \epsilon_0 < \alpha_{\Phi}^{\infty}$. Since $s \leq 1$ and $\Phi^{-1}(\text{diam}(E)) \gtrsim 1$, we obtain from (2.10) that

$$(4.21) \quad \Phi(s\Phi^{-1}(\text{diam}(E))) \lesssim s^{\beta_{\Phi_0}^{\infty} + \epsilon_0} \text{diam}(E)$$

By (2.6), $\alpha_{\Phi_0^{-1}}^{\infty} = (\beta_{\Phi_0}^{\infty})^{-1}$. Hence, it follows from (2.9) that

$$(4.22) \quad \Phi_0^{-1}((C^{-1}s)^{\beta_{\Phi_0}^{\infty}} \text{diam}(E)) \lesssim C^{-1}s\Phi_0^{-1}(\text{diam}(E))$$

Inequality (4.20) combined with (4.21) and (4.22) gives

$$(4.23) \quad \frac{1}{k} \int_D^{D_1} \frac{\Phi'(u/k)}{\Phi_0(u/k_0)} du \lesssim \frac{1}{k} \int_0^1 s^{\epsilon_0-1} ds \lesssim \frac{1}{k}.$$

Combining (4.18) with (4.19) and (4.23) gives

$$\|f \mathbf{1}_{\{|f| \geq D\}}\|_{\Phi} \leq \inf\{k : k^{-p} + k^{-1} \lesssim 1\} \lesssim 1$$

□

Now, we can prove Theorem 1.5

Proof. Let us assume that $\sum_{n \in J} |a_n|^2 \leq 1$. When $X = L^{p_1}(\mathbb{T})$ such that $p_1 > \beta_{\Phi}^{\infty}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| \sum_{n \in J} a_n e^{2\pi i n x} \right\|_{C_1} &= \sup_{g \in X_{1,C_1}^*} \int \sum_{n \in J} a_n e^{2\pi i n x} g(x) dx = \sup_{g \in X_{1,C}^*} \sum_{n \in J} a_n \hat{g}(-n) \\ &\leq \sup_{g \in X_{1,C_1}^*} \left(\sum_{n \in J} |a_n|^2 \right)^{1/2} \left(\sum_{n \in J} |\hat{g}(-n)|^2 \right)^{1/2} \leq \|U_J\|_{C_1}. \end{aligned}$$

Similarly, from $\sum_{n \in J} |a_n|^2 \leq 1$, we have

$$\left\| \sum_{n \in J} a_n e^{2\pi i n x} \right\|_{C_2} \leq \|U_J\|_{C_2}.$$

Let

$$h(x) := \frac{\sum_{n \in J} a_n e^{2\pi i n x}}{\|U_J\|_{C_1} + (\|U_J\|_{C_2} / \sqrt{\log |E|})}$$

Since $\|h\|_{C_1} \leq 1$ and $\|h\|_{C_2} \leq \sqrt{\log |E|}$, Lemma 4.3 implies that $\|h\|_{\Phi} \lesssim 1$. Therefore,

$$K_{\Phi}(J) = \sup_{\|a_n\|_{\ell^2} \leq 1} \left\| \sum_{n \in J} a_n e^{2\pi i n x} \right\|_{\Phi} \lesssim \|U_J\|_{C_1} + \frac{\|U_J\|_{C_2}}{\sqrt{\log |E|}}.$$

Applying (4.2) gives $\mathbb{E}(K_{\Phi}(J)) \lesssim 1$. □

5. EXISTENCE OF $\Lambda(\Phi)$ -SETS

Before we prove Littlewood-Paley estimate on Orlicz space, we have to start with classical results. Although Littlewood-Paley estimate of Fourier series in $L^p(\mathbb{T})$ is well known (see e.g., [18, Theorem 14.2.1]), we need the following proof of the estimate which uses transference of multipliers.

Let $\xi_0 \in \mathbb{R}$. A bounded function b on \mathbb{R} is called *regulated at the point ξ_0* if

$$\lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \frac{1}{\epsilon} \int_{|\xi| \leq \epsilon} (b(\xi_0 - \xi) - b(\xi_0)) d\xi = 0.$$

Lemma 5.1. [6, Theorem 4.3.7] *Suppose that b is a regulated function at every point in \mathbb{Z} . Let T_1 be a linear operator defined on $L^p(\mathbb{R})$ for some $1 < p < \infty$ such that*

$$\widehat{T_1 f}(\xi) = b(\xi) f(\xi)$$

and $\|T_1\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}) \rightarrow L^p(\mathbb{R})}$ is finite. Let us consider another linear operator T_2 associated with b defined on $L^p(\mathbb{T})$ such that

$$T_2 f(x) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} b(n) a_n e^{2\pi i n x}$$

where $f(x) = \sum_n a_n e^{2\pi i n x}$. Then, we have

$$\|T_2\|_{L^p(\mathbb{T}) \rightarrow L^p(\mathbb{T})} \lesssim \|T_1\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}) \rightarrow L^p(\mathbb{R})}.$$

Let $\psi(x)$ be a compactly supported smooth function and let

$$\psi_0(\xi) = \psi(\xi), \quad \psi_j(\xi) = \psi\left(\frac{\xi}{2^{j+1}}\right) - \psi\left(\frac{\xi}{2^j}\right) \quad \text{for } j \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Let $r_j(t)$ be the Rademacher function where $t \in [0, 1]$. We can define linear operators

$$\widehat{P_j f}(\xi) = \psi_j(\xi) \widehat{f}(\xi)$$

and

$$T_1 f(x) = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} P_j f(x) r_j(t)$$

where $f(x)$ is a complex-valued measurable function on \mathbb{R} . We also define a corresponding linear operators such that

$$\tilde{P}_j f(x) = \sum_j \psi_j(n) a_n e^{2\pi i n x}$$

and

$$T_2 f(x) = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \tilde{P}_j f(x) r_j(t)$$

where $f(x) = \sum_n a_n e^{2\pi i n x}$.

Lemma 5.2. *For any $1 < p < \infty$,*

$$(5.1) \quad \left\| \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \tilde{P}_j f(x) r_j(t) \right\|_p \leq C(p) \|f\|_p$$

with $C(p)$ independent of t .

Proof. It is well known that $\|T_1 f\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R})} \lesssim \|f\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R})}$, see e.g., [15][Section 4.5, 5.3.2]. Once we show that $\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \psi_j(\xi) r_j(t)$ is regulated everywhere on \mathbb{R} , (5.1) follows from Lemma 5.1. Let $\xi_0 \in \mathbb{R}$, then we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{\epsilon} \left| \int_{|\xi| \leq \epsilon} \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \psi_j(\xi_0 - \xi) r_j(t) - \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \psi_j(\xi_0) r_j(t) d\xi \right| \\ & \lesssim \frac{1}{\epsilon} \int_{|\xi| \leq \epsilon} \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \left| \psi_j(\xi_0 - \xi) - \psi_j(\xi_0) \right| d\xi \\ & \lesssim \frac{1}{\epsilon} \int_{|\xi| \leq \epsilon} \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \frac{|\xi|}{2^j} d\xi \leq \epsilon. \end{aligned}$$

Since ξ_0 is arbitrary, $\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \psi_j(\xi) r_j(t)$ is regulated everywhere on \mathbb{R} . \square

Now, let us introduce an interpolation lemma in Orlicz spaces. Let \mathcal{P} be a set of functions $\rho : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ such that it is continuous and positive on $(0, \infty)$ and

$$\rho(s) \leq \max(1, s/t) \rho(t) \quad \text{for any } s, t > 0.$$

By \mathcal{P}^{\pm} , we denote a set of $\rho \in \mathcal{P}$ such that

$$(5.2) \quad \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \bar{\rho}(t) = \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\bar{\rho}(t)}{t} = 0 \quad \text{where } \bar{\rho}(t) := \sup_{s > 0} \frac{\rho(st)}{\rho(s)}.$$

Note that (5.2) is equivalent to $0 < \alpha_{\rho}^a \leq \beta_{\rho}^a < 1$.

Lemma 5.3. [12, Theorem 14.8] *Let Φ_0, Φ_1 and Ψ_0, Ψ_1 be Young functions. Assume that T is a continuous linear operator such that $\|T\|_{L^{\Phi_0}(\mathbb{T}) \rightarrow L^{\Psi_0}(\mathbb{T})}$ and $\|T\|_{L^{\Phi_1}(\mathbb{T}) \rightarrow L^{\Psi_1}(\mathbb{T})}$ are both finite. If*

$$\Phi^{-1} = \Phi_0^{-1} \rho(\Phi_1^{-1} / \Phi_0^{-1}) \quad \text{and} \quad \Psi^{-1} = \Psi_0^{-1} \rho(\Psi_1^{-1} / \Psi_0^{-1})$$

with $\rho \in \mathcal{P}^{\pm}$, then

$$(5.3) \quad \|T\|_{L^{\Phi}(\mathbb{T}) \rightarrow L^{\Psi}(\mathbb{T})} \lesssim \max\{\|T\|_{L^{\Phi_0}(\mathbb{T}) \rightarrow L^{\Psi_0}(\mathbb{T})}, \|T\|_{L^{\Phi_1}(\mathbb{T}) \rightarrow L^{\Psi_1}(\mathbb{T})}\}.$$

Lemma 5.3 was proved by Gustavsson and Peetre in [8] and we used notations in [12].

Lemma 5.4. *Let $r_j(t)$ be the Rademacher function where $t \in \mathbb{T}$. If Φ is a Nice Young function such that $\Phi \in \Delta_2 \cap \nabla_2$, then*

$$(5.4) \quad \left\| \sum_{j \in \mathbb{N}} \tilde{P}_j f(x) r_j(t) \right\|_{\Phi} \leq C(\Phi) \|f\|_{\Phi}$$

where $C(\Phi)$ only depends on Φ , not t .

Proof. The argument is similar to the proof of Corollary 2 in Chapter 14 of [12]. By Lemma 2.6 (3), there exists Φ_1 such that $1 < p_{\Phi_1}^a \leq q_{\Phi_1}^a < \infty$ and $\Phi_1(u) = \Phi(u)$ if $u \geq u_0$ for some u_0 . The inequality (2.12) implies that $1 < \alpha_{\Phi_1}^a \leq \beta_{\Phi_1}^a < \infty$. We can choose p_0, p_1 such that $1 < p_0 < \alpha_{\Phi_1}^a \leq \beta_{\Phi_1}^a < p_1 < \infty$. Put

$$\rho(t) = t^{\frac{p_1}{p_1-p_0}} \Phi_1^{-1}(t^{-\frac{p_0 p_1}{p_1-p_0}}) \quad \text{if } t > 0$$

and $\rho(0) = 0$. By (2.6), we have

$$\alpha_{\rho}^a = \lim_{t \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{\log M^{\infty}(t, \Phi)}{\log t} = \frac{p_1}{p_1 - p_0} - \frac{p_1 p_0}{p_1 - p_0} \beta_{\Phi^{-1}}^a = \frac{p_1}{p_1 - p_0} \left(1 - \frac{p_0}{\alpha_{\Phi}^a}\right) > 0$$

and

$$\beta_{\rho}^a = \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log M^{\infty}(t, \Phi)}{\log t} = \frac{p_1}{p_1 - p_0} \left(1 - \frac{p_0}{\beta_{\Phi}^a}\right) < \frac{p_1}{p_1 - p_0} \left(1 - \frac{p_0}{p_1}\right) = 1.$$

Therefore, $\rho \in \mathcal{P}^{\pm}$. It follows from (5.1) that there exist constants C_1 and C_2 such that

$$\left\| \sum_{j \in \mathbb{N}} \tilde{P}_j f(x) r_j(t) \right\|_{p_0} \leq C_0 \|f\|_{p_0} \quad \left\| \sum_{j \in \mathbb{N}} \tilde{P}_j f(x) r_j(t) \right\|_{p_1} \leq C_1 \|f\|_{p_1}.$$

Since $\Phi_1^{-1}(u) = u^{1/p_0} \rho(u^{1/p_1-1/p_0})$, (5.3) and Lemma 2.3 (2) implies that

$$\left\| \sum_{j \in \mathbb{N}} \tilde{P}_j f(x) r_j(t) \right\|_{\Phi} \approx \left\| \sum_{j \in \mathbb{N}} \tilde{P}_j f(x) r_j(t) \right\|_{\Phi_1} \lesssim \max(C_0, C_1) \|f\|_{\Phi_1} \approx \|f\|_{\Phi}.$$

□

Lemma 5.5. *(Littlewood-Paley estimate in Orlicz spaces) Assume that Φ is a Nice Young function such that $\Phi \in \Delta_2 \cap \nabla_2$. Then, we have*

$$(5.5) \quad \left\| \left(\sum_{j \in \mathbb{N}} |\tilde{P}_j f|^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \right\|_{\Phi} \approx \|f\|_{\Phi}$$

Proof. We follow the proof of classical Littlewood-Paley estimate with slight modifications. First, we prove that

$$(5.6) \quad \left\| \left(\sum_{j \in \mathbb{N}} |\tilde{P}_j f|^2 \right)^{1/2} \right\|_{\Phi} \lesssim \|f\|_{\Phi}.$$

By Khintchine's inequality, we have

$$\left\| \left(\sum_{j \in \mathbb{N}} |\tilde{P}_j f|^2 \right)^{1/2} \right\|_{\Phi} \approx \left\| \left\| \sum_{j \in \mathbb{N}} \tilde{P}(x) r_j(t) \right\|_{L^1(dt)} \right\|_{\Phi(dx)}.$$

By Jensen's inequality, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| \left(\sum_{j \in \mathbb{N}} |\tilde{P}_j f|^2 \right)^{1/2} \right\|_{\Phi} &\approx \inf \left\{ k : \int \Phi \left(\frac{1}{k} \int \left| \sum_{j \in \mathbb{N}} \tilde{P}_j f(x) r_j(t) \right| dt \right) dx \leq 1 \right\} \\ &\leq \inf \left\{ k : \iint \Phi \left(\frac{1}{k} \left| \sum_{j \in \mathbb{N}} \tilde{P}_j f(x) r_j(t) \right| \right) dx dt \leq 1 \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

Let $k = C \|f\|_{\Phi}$, then (5.4) implies that

$$\int \Phi \left(\frac{1}{k} \left| \sum_{j \in \mathbb{N}} \tilde{P}_j f(x) r_j(t) \right| \right) dx \leq 1$$

for some constant C . Therefore, (5.6) was proved. For the converse, we use (2.1) and (2.3) and obtain that, for some finite constant c ,

$$\begin{aligned} \|f\| &\lesssim \sup_{\|g\|_{\Psi} \leq 1} \int f \bar{g} dx \lesssim \sup_{\|g\|_{\Psi} \leq 1} \sum_{i \leq |c|} \int \sum_j \tilde{P}_j f \tilde{P}_{j+i} \bar{g} dx \\ &\lesssim \sup_{\|g\|_{\Psi} \leq 1} \int \left(\sum_j |\tilde{P}_j f|^2 \right)^{1/2} \left(\sum_j |\tilde{P}_j \bar{g}|^2 \right)^{1/2} dx \\ &\lesssim \sup_{\|g\|_{\Psi} \leq 1} \left\| \left(\sum_j |\tilde{P}_j f|^2 \right)^{1/2} \right\|_{\Phi} \left\| \left(\sum_j |\tilde{P}_j \bar{g}|^2 \right)^{1/2} \right\|_{\Psi} \\ &\leq \left\| \left(\sum_j |\tilde{P}_j f|^2 \right)^{1/2} \right\|_{\Phi}. \end{aligned}$$

In the last inequality, we used (5.6). □

Now, we prove Theorem 1.6.

Proof. We can follow the proof of Theorem 2 in [3] since we established Lemma 5.5. By the assumption (1.9), we have

$$K_{\Phi_0}(E_{2^r}) \lesssim \frac{|E_{2^r}|^{1/2}}{\Phi_0^{-1}(2^r)}.$$

By Theorem 1.5, there exists a set $S_r \subset E_{2^r}$ such that $|S_r| \approx (\Phi_1^{-1}(2^r))^2$ and

$$\left\| \sum_{n \in S_r} a_n e^{2\pi i n x} \right\|_{\Phi_1} \lesssim \left(\sum_{n \in S_r} |a_n|^2 \right)^{1/2}.$$

Let $S = \cup_{r=1}^{\infty} S_r$ and $f(x) = \sum_{n \in S} a_n e^{2\pi i n x}$. By (5.5), we have

$$\left\| \sum_{n \in S} a_n e^{2\pi i n x} \right\|_{\Phi_1} \approx \left\| \left(\sum_{j \in \mathbb{N}} |\tilde{P}_j f|^2 \right)^{1/2} \right\|_{\Phi_1}.$$

Thus, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| \left(\sum_{j \in \mathbb{N}} |\tilde{P}_j f|^2 \right)^{1/2} \right\|_{\Phi_1}^2 &= \inf \left\{ k^2 : \int \Phi_1 \left(\frac{1}{k} \left(\sum_{j \in \mathbb{N}} |\tilde{P}_j f|^2 \right)^{1/2} \right) dx \leq 1 \right\} \\ &= \inf \left\{ s : \int \Phi_1 \left(\left(\frac{1}{s} \sum_{j \in \mathbb{N}} |\tilde{P}_j f|^2 \right)^{1/2} \right) dx \leq 1 \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

By Lemma 2.8, there exists $\tilde{\Phi}_1$ such that $\Phi_1 \sim \tilde{\Phi}_1$ and $\tilde{\Phi}_1(u^{1/2})$ is convex so that the Orlicz norm with respect to $\tilde{\Phi}_1(u^{1/2})$ is well defined. Therefore, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| \left(\sum_{j \in \mathbb{N}} |\tilde{P}_j f|^2 \right)^{1/2} \right\|_{\Phi_1}^2 &\lesssim \inf \left\{ s : \int \tilde{\Phi}_1 \left(\left(\frac{1}{s} \sum_{j \in \mathbb{N}} |\tilde{P}_j f|^2 \right)^{1/2} \right) dx \leq 1 \right\} \\ &= \left\| \sum_{j \in \mathbb{N}} |\tilde{P}_j f|^2 \right\|_{\Gamma} \quad \text{where } \Gamma(u) = \tilde{\Phi}_1(u^{1/2}) \\ &\leq \sum_{j \in \mathbb{N}} \left\| |\tilde{P}_j f|^2 \right\|_{\Gamma} = \sum_{j \in \mathbb{N}} \left\| \tilde{P}_j f \right\|_{\tilde{\Phi}_1}^2 \approx \sum_{j \in \mathbb{N}} \left\| \tilde{P}_j f \right\|_{\Phi_1}^2 \\ &\lesssim \sum_{j \in \mathbb{N}} \left\| \tilde{P}_j f \right\|_2^2 \approx \|f\|_2^2. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, S is a $\Lambda(\Phi_1)$ -set. Now, let us consider

$$k = \frac{(\Phi_1^{-1}(2^r))^2}{\Phi_2^{-1}(2^r)}.$$

Then, we have

$$\int_{|x| \leq 2^{-r}/10} \Phi_2 \left(\frac{1}{k} \sum_{n \in S_r} e^{2\pi i n x} \right) \gtrsim \frac{2^{-r}}{10} \Phi_2 \left(\frac{1}{2k} (\Phi_1^{-1}(2^r))^2 \right) \gtrsim 1.$$

Therefore, it follows that

$$\left\| \sum_{n \in S_r} e^{2\pi i n x} \right\|_{\Phi_2} \gtrsim \frac{(\Phi_1^{-1}(2^r))^2}{\Phi_2^{-1}(2^r)} \approx \frac{\Phi_1^{-1}(2^r)}{\Phi_2^{-1}(2^r)} \left\| \sum_{n \in S_r} e^{2\pi i n x} \right\|_2.$$

By using (1.10), Lemma 2.7 and Lemma 2.9, we obtain

$$\infty = \sup_{x \geq 1} \frac{\Phi_1^{-1}(x)}{\Phi_2^{-1}(x)} \approx \sup_{r \geq 1} \frac{\Phi_1^{-1}(2^r)}{\Phi_2^{-1}(2^r)}$$

Therefore, S is not a $\Lambda(\Phi_1)$ -set. \square

6. APPENDIX

In this section, we prove that the norm $\|\cdot\|_{\Phi}$ defined by the function Φ in (1.11) is equivalent to the norm of $L^p(\log L)^\alpha(\mathbb{T})$ space. Let f be a measurable function on \mathbb{T} . The *decreasing rearrangement* of f is a function f^* defined on $[0, 1]$ such that

$$f^*(x) = \inf \{ y : \mu \{ u \in \mathbb{T} : |f(u)| \geq y \} \leq x \}.$$

Then f^* is a nonnegative decreasing function on $[0, 1]$. Suppose that $0 < p < \infty$ and $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$. The $L^p(\log L)^\alpha(\mathbb{T})$ space consists of all measurable functions f on \mathbb{T} such that

$$\|f\|_{p;\alpha} = \left(\int_0^1 |f^*(x)|^p \log^{\alpha p}(1/x) dx \right)^{1/p} < \infty.$$

The $L^p(\log L)^\alpha(\mathbb{T})$ space is a Banach spaces with a norm $\|\cdot\|_{p;\alpha}$.

Lemma 6.1. [2][Chapter 4, Theorem 8.9] *If Φ is a Young function, $\|f\|_\Phi = \|f^*\|_\Phi$.*

Proposition 6.2. *Let $p > 2$ and Φ be the function in Example 1.10. We have*

$$\|f\|_{p;a} \approx \|f\|_\Phi.$$

Proof. We use the proof of Lemma 6.2 in Chapter 4 of [2] with slight modification. Note that c and u_0 depends on Φ . By Lemma 6.1, it suffices to show that $\|f\|_{p;a} \approx \|f^*\|_\Phi$. First, let us consider when $\alpha > 0$. Let $F_0 = \{x : |f^*| \leq u_0\}$, $F_1 = \{x : |f^*| \geq u_0, |f^*|^{2p} \geq x^{-1}\}$ and $F_2 = [0, 1] \setminus (F_0 \cup F_1)$. If $\|f^*\|_\Phi = 1$, since Φ is continuous, we obtain

$$\int \Phi(|f^*|) dx = \int_{F_0} c|f^*|^2 dx + \int_{[0,1] \setminus F_0} |f^*|^p \log^{\alpha p} |f^*| dx \leq 1.$$

Then, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|f\|_{p;a}^p &= \int_{F_0} |f^*(x)|^p \log^{\alpha p}(1/x) dx + \int_{F_1} |f^*(x)|^p \log^{\alpha p}(1/x) dx + \int_{F_2} |f^*(x)|^p \log^{\alpha p}(1/x) dx \\ &\lesssim u_0^p \int_0^1 x^{-1/2} dx + \int_{F_1} |f^*|^p \log^{\alpha p}(|f^*|) dx + \int_{F_2} x^{-1/2} \log^{\alpha p}(1/x) dx \lesssim 1. \end{aligned}$$

Conversely, assume that $\|f\|_{p;a} = 1$. Since $p > 2$, note that

$$(6.1) \quad \sup_{x \in [0,1]} x|f^*(x)| \leq \|f^*\|_1 \lesssim \|f^*\|_p \lesssim \|f^*\|_{p;a}.$$

The last inequality is because

$$\|f\|_p^p = \int_0^1 |f^*|^p dx \leq 2 \int_0^{1/2} |f^*|^p dx \lesssim \int_0^{1/2} |f^*|^p \log^{\alpha p}(1/x) dx \lesssim \|f\|_{p;a}^p.$$

Therefore, $|f^*| \leq C/x$ for some constant C and it implies that

$$\begin{aligned} \int \Phi(|f^*|) dx &\leq \int_{F_0} c|f^*|^2 dx + \int_{[0,1] \setminus F_0} |f^*|^p \log^{\alpha p}(|f^*|) dx \\ &\lesssim cu_0^2 + \int_{[0,1] \setminus F_0} |f^*|^p \log^{\alpha p}(C) dx + \int_{[0,1] \setminus F_0} |f^*|^p \log^{\alpha p}(1/x) dx \\ &\lesssim cu_0^2 + \|f^*\|_p \log^{\alpha p}(C) + \|f^*\|_{p;a} \lesssim 1. \end{aligned}$$

Since Φ is a nice Young function, for any constant $K \geq 1$,

$$\int \Phi(|f^*|/K) dx \leq \frac{1}{K} \int \Phi(|f^*|) dx \lesssim \frac{1}{K}.$$

Therefore, $\|f\|_\Phi \lesssim 1$.

Next, we assume that $\alpha < 0$. Instead of (6.1), we have

$$\sup_{x \in [0,1]} x|f^*(x)| \leq \|f^*\|_1 \lesssim \|f^*\|_{p;a} \lesssim \|f^*\|_p.$$

If $\|f\|_{\Phi} = 1$, since $\|f^*\|_1 \lesssim \|f^*\|_{\Phi}$, there exists some constant C larger than 1 such that

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^1 |f^*|^p \log^{\alpha p}(1/x) dx &\leq \int_0^1 |f^*|^p \log^{\alpha p} \left(\frac{|f^*|}{\|f^*\|_1} \right) dx \\ &\lesssim \|f^*\|_{\Phi}^p \int_0^1 \left| \frac{f^*}{C\|f^*\|_{\Phi}} \right|^p \log^{\alpha p} \left(\frac{|f^*|}{C\|f^*\|_{\Phi}} \right) dx \\ &\leq \|f^*\|_{\Phi}^p \lesssim 1. \end{aligned}$$

The second to last inequality is due to the definition of $\|f\|_{\Phi}$.

Conversely, we assume that $\|f\|_{p;a} = 1$. Then, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \int \Phi(|f^*|) dx &\leq \int_{F_0} c|f^*|^2 dx + \int_{F_1} |f^*|^p \log^{\alpha p}(|f^*|) dx + \int_{F_2} |f^*|^p \log^{\alpha p}(|f^*|) dx \\ &\lesssim cu_0^2 + \int_{F_1} |f^*|^p \log^{\alpha p}(1/x) dx + \int_{F_2} x^{-1/2} \log^{\alpha p}(|f^*|) dx \\ &\leq cu_0^2 + 1 + \log^{\alpha p}(u_0) \lesssim 1. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, we have $\|f\|_{\Phi} \lesssim 1$. □

REFERENCES

- [1] S. V. Astashkin. $\Lambda(p)$ -spaces. *J. Funct. Anal.*, 266(8):5174–5198, 2014.
- [2] C. Bennett and R. Sharpley. *Interpolation of operators*, volume 129 of *Pure and Applied Mathematics*. Academic Press, Inc., Boston, MA, 1988.
- [3] J. Bourgain. Bounded orthogonal systems and the $\Lambda(p)$ -set problem. *Acta Math.*, 162(3-4):227–245, 1989.
- [4] J. Bourgain. On $\Lambda(p)$ -subsets of squares. *Israel J. Math.*, 67(3):291–311, 1989.
- [5] J. Bourgain. Λ_p -sets in analysis: results, problems and related aspects. In *Handbook of the geometry of Banach spaces, Vol. I*, pages 195–232. North-Holland, Amsterdam, 2001.
- [6] L. Grafakos. *Classical Fourier analysis*, volume 249 of *Graduate Texts in Mathematics*. Springer, New York, third edition, 2014.
- [7] R. J. Grinnell. Lacunary sets based on Lorentz spaces. *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 127(12):3547–3556, 1999.
- [8] J. Gustavsson and J. Peetre. Interpolation of Orlicz spaces. *Studia Math.*, 60(1):33–59, 1977.
- [9] O. Hanner. On the uniform convexity of L^p and l^p . *Ark. Mat.*, 3:239–244, 1956.
- [10] A. Kamińska, L. Maligranda, and L. E. Persson. Indices and regularizations of measurable functions. In *Function spaces (Poznań, 1998)*, volume 213 of *Lecture Notes in Pure and Appl. Math.*, pages 231–246. Dekker, New York, 2000.
- [11] J. Lindenstrauss and L. Tzafriri. *Classical Banach spaces. II*, volume 97 of *Ergebnisse der Mathematik und ihrer Grenzgebiete [Results in Mathematics and Related Areas]*. Springer-Verlag, Berlin-New York, 1979. Function spaces.
- [12] L. Maligranda. *Orlicz spaces and interpolation*, volume 5 of *Seminários de Matemática [Seminars in Mathematics]*. Universidade Estadual de Campinas, Departamento de Matemática, Campinas, 1989.
- [13] M. M. Rao and Z. D. Ren. *Theory of Orlicz spaces*, volume 146 of *Monographs and Textbooks in Pure and Applied Mathematics*. Marcel Dekker, Inc., New York, 1991.
- [14] W. Rudin. Trigonometric series with gaps. *J. Math. Mech.*, 9:203–227, 1960.
- [15] E. M. Stein. *Singular integrals and differentiability properties of functions*. Princeton Mathematical Series, No. 30. Princeton University Press, Princeton, N.J., 1970.
- [16] M. Talagrand. Sections of smooth convex bodies via majorizing measures. *Acta Math.*, 175(2):273–300, 1995.
- [17] M. Talagrand. *Upper and lower bounds for stochastic processes: modern methods and classical problems*, volume 60. Springer Science & Business Media, 2014.
- [18] A. Zygmund. *Trigonometric series. Vol. I, II*. Cambridge Mathematical Library. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, third edition, 2002.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF ROCHESTER, ROCHESTER, NY, USA
Email address: `dryou@ur.rochester.edu`