

# Denominators in Lusztig's asymptotic Hecke algebra via the Plancherel formula

Stefan Dawydiak \*

December 1, 2021

## Abstract

Let  $\tilde{W}$  be an extended affine Weyl group,  $\mathbf{H}$  be the corresponding affine Hecke algebra over the ring  $\mathbb{C}[\mathbf{q}, \mathbf{q}^{-1}]$ , and  $J$  be Lusztig's asymptotic Hecke algebra, viewed as a based ring with basis  $\{t_w\}$ . Viewing  $J$  as a subalgebra of the  $(\mathbf{q}^{-1})$ -adic completion of  $\mathbf{H}$  via Lusztig's map  $\phi$ , we use Harish-Chandra's Plancherel formula for  $p$ -adic groups to show that the coefficient of  $T_x$  in  $t_w$  is a rational function of  $\mathbf{q}$ , depending only on the two-sided cell containing  $w$ , with no poles outside of a finite set of roots of unity that depends only on  $\tilde{W}$ . In type  $\tilde{A}_n$  and type  $\tilde{C}_2$ , we show that the denominators all divide a power of the Poincaré polynomial of the finite Weyl group. As an application, we conjecture that these denominators encode more detailed information about the failure of the Kazhdan-Lusztig classification at roots of the Poincaré polynomial than is currently known.

**Keywords**— Asymptotic Hecke algebra, Iwahori-Hecke algebra, Plancherel formula

## Contents

<b>1</b>	<b>Introduction</b>	<b>2</b>
1.1	Outline of the argument . . . . .	4
1.2	The affine Hecke algebra . . . . .	5
1.3	The asymptotic Hecke algebra . . . . .	5
1.3.1	Deformations of the group ring . . . . .	6
1.4	Representation theory of $\mathbf{H}$ and $J$ . . . . .	6
1.4.1	Involutions on $\mathbf{H}$ . . . . .	7
1.5	Acknowledgements . . . . .	8
<b>2</b>	<b>Harish-Chandra's Plancherel formula</b>	<b>8</b>
2.1	Tempered and discrete series representations . . . . .	9
2.1.1	Formal degrees of discrete series representations . . . . .	9
2.2	Harish-Chandra's canonical measure . . . . .	11
2.3	The Harish-Chandra Schwartz algebra . . . . .	12
2.4	The algebra $J$ as a subalgebra of the Schwartz algebra. . . . .	12
2.5	The Plancherel formula for $\mathrm{GL}_n$ . . . . .	13
2.6	Plancherel measure for $\mathrm{GL}_n$ . . . . .	15
2.7	Beyond type A: the Plancherel formula following Opdam . . . . .	16
<b>3</b>	<b>Regularity of the trace</b>	<b>16</b>
3.1	Intertwining operators . . . . .	16
3.2	Conventions on parabolic subgroups . . . . .	17
3.3	Regularity of the trace . . . . .	18

---

\*Department of Mathematics, University of Toronto, Bahen Centre 40 St. George St., Toronto ON M5S 2E4 Canada; email [stefand@math.utoronto.ca](mailto:stefand@math.utoronto.ca)

<b>4</b>	<b>Proof of Conjecture 1 for <math>GL_n</math>, and Theorem 2 for general <math>G</math></b>	<b>19</b>
4.1	The functions $f_w$ for $GL_n$ . . . . .	19
4.1.1	Proofs of Theorem 11, Lemma 7, and Corollary 2 . . . . .	22
4.2	The functions $f_w$ for general $G$ . . . . .	26
4.3	Relating $t_w$ and $f_w$ . . . . .	26
4.3.1	Completions of $\mathbf{H}$ and $J \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathcal{A}$ . . . . .	26
4.3.2	The functions $f_w$ and the basis elements $t_w$ . . . . .	27
4.4	Proof of Conjecture 1 for $GL_n$ . . . . .	30
4.5	Proof of Theorem 2 . . . . .	31
<b>5</b>	<b>Further example: <math>G = Sp_4</math></b>	<b>31</b>

# 1 Introduction

Let  $\tilde{W}$  be an affine Weyl group or extended affine Weyl group, and let  $\mathbf{H}$  be its associated Hecke algebra over  $\mathcal{A} := \mathbb{C}[\mathbf{q}^{1/2}, \mathbf{q}^{-1/2}]$ , where  $\mathbf{q}$  is a formal variable. The representation theory of  $\mathbf{H}$  is very well understood, behaving well and uniformly when  $\mathbf{q}$  is specialized to any  $q \in \mathbb{C}^\times$  that is not a root of the Poincaré polynomial  $P_W$  of the finite Weyl group  $W \subset \tilde{W}$ .

When  $\mathbf{q}$  is specialized to a prime power  $q$ , the category of modules over the specialized algebra  $H$  is equivalent to the category of admissible representations with Iwahori-fixed vector of some  $p$ -adic group. A form of local Langlands correspondence, the Deligne-Langlands conjecture, has been established by Kazhdan and Lusztig in [KL87], where they classified modules over the generic algebra  $\mathbf{H}$  using algebraic  $K$ -theory. A slightly different approach to this classification due to Ginzburg is explained in [CG97]. In both treatments, a first step is to fix a central character. In particular, one must chose a complex number  $q \in \mathbb{C}^\times$  by which  $\mathbf{q}$  will act. Decomposing the  $K$ -theory of certain subvarieties of Springer fibres into irreducible representations of a certain finite group yields the *standard modules*. It can happen that the standard modules are themselves simple (this is true, for example, in the case of tempered representations, which play an essential role in this paper), but in general simple modules are obtained as a certain unique nonzero quotient of standard modules. This quotient exists when  $q \in \mathbb{C}^\times$  is not a root of unity, but can be zero otherwise. Lusztig conjectured in [Lus89b] that this classification would in fact hold whenever  $q$  was not a root of  $P_W$ , and this result was proven by Xi in [Xi06]. The failure of the classification found by Xi is related to a lack of simple  $\mathbf{H}|_{\mathbf{q}=q}$ -modules attached to the lowest two-sided cell. Our results in this paper explain that the lowest two-sided cell is in a precise sense the most singular with respect to  $q$ ; in Conjecture 2 below we make precise how our results might parameterize the failure of the Kazhdan-Lusztig classification.

One way Lusztig expressed the uniformity in  $q$  of the representation theory of the various algebras  $\mathbf{H}|_{\mathbf{q}=q}$  is via the asymptotic Hecke algebra  $J$ . This is a  $\mathbb{C}$ -algebra (in fact,  $\mathbb{Z}$ -algebra)  $J$  with a distinguished basis  $\{t_w\}_{w \in \tilde{W}}$  equipped with an injection  $\phi: \mathbf{H} \hookrightarrow J \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} \mathcal{A}$ . In this way there is a map from  $J$ -modules to  $\mathbf{H}$ -modules, and Lusztig has shown in [Lus87b] and [Lus89a] that when  $q$  is not a root of unity (other than 1), that the specialized map  $\phi_q$  induces a bijection between simple  $\mathbf{H}$ -modules and simple  $J$ -modules, these last being defined over  $\mathbb{C}$ . Moreover, he showed that when  $P_W(q) \neq 0$ , the map  $\phi_q$  induces an isomorphism

$$(\phi_q)_*: K_0(J - \mathbf{Mod}) \rightarrow K_0(\mathbf{H}|_{\mathbf{q}=q} - \mathbf{Mod})$$

of Grothendieck groups. The map  $\phi$  becomes a bijection after completing both sides by replacing  $\mathcal{A}$  with  $\mathbb{C}((\mathbf{q}^{-1/2}))$  and allowing infinite sums convergent in the  $(\mathbf{q}^{-1/2})$ -adic topology. In this way one can write a basis element  $t_w$  as an infinite sum

$$()^\dagger \circ \phi^{-1}(t_w) = \sum_{x \in \tilde{W}} a_{x,w} T_x, \tag{1}$$

where each  $a_{x,w}$  is a formal Laurent series in  $\mathbf{q}^{-1/2}$ , and  $()^\dagger$  is the Goldman involution. In light of the above, it is natural to ask how  $a_{x,w}$  behaves when  $\mathbf{q}$  is specialized to a root of unity.

This paper is prompted by the work of Braverman and Kazhdan in [BK18], who associated a Schwartz function to an element of  $J$ , giving another way of associating to  $t_w$  an expression similar to (1). Along the

way, we prove in Proposition 3 that their construction is essentially the map  $\phi^{-1}$ . The following conjecture was first made by Kazhdan; we have strengthened it based on the results we obtain in the present paper.

**Conjecture 1.** *Let  $\tilde{W}$  be an affine Weyl group,  $\mathbf{H}$  its affine Hecke algebra over  $\mathcal{A}$ , and  $J$  its asymptotic Hecke algebra. Let  $\phi: \mathbf{H} \hookrightarrow J \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathcal{A}$  be Lusztig's map.*

1. *For all  $x, w \in \tilde{W}$ ,  $a_{x,w}$  is a rational function of  $\mathbf{q}$ . The denominator of  $a_{x,w}$  is independent of  $x$ . As a function of  $w$ , it is constant on two-sided cells.*
2. *There exists  $N_{\tilde{W}} \in \mathbb{N}$  such that upon writing*

$$(\ )^\dagger \circ \phi^{-1}(t_w) = \sum_{x \in \tilde{W}} a_{x,w} T_x,$$

$$P_W(\mathbf{q})^{N_{\tilde{W}}} a_{x,w} \in \mathcal{A}$$

*for all  $x, w \in \tilde{W}$ .*

3. *Moreover, there exists  $N_{\tilde{W}} \in \mathbb{N}$  such that*

$$P_W(\mathbf{q})^{N_{\tilde{W}}} d(\omega) \in \mathcal{A}$$

*for all discrete series representations  $\omega$  of  $\mathbf{H}$ .*

In [Daw21], the author proved Conjecture 1 in type  $\tilde{A}_1$ , but with different conventions. To translate to the conventions of this paper, the reader should replace  $j$  with the Goldman involution  $(\ )^\dagger$ , and the completion of  $\mathbf{H}$  with respect to the  $C_w$  basis and positive powers of  $\mathbf{q}^{1/2}$  with the completion of  $\mathbf{H}$  with respect to the basis  $\{(-1)^{\ell(w)} C'_w\}_{w \in \tilde{W}}$  and negative powers of  $\mathbf{q}^{1/2}$ . Note also that we write  $a_{x,w}$  instead of  $a_{w,x}$  as in [Daw21]. In [Neu06], Neunhoffer described the coefficients  $a_{x,w}$  for finite Weyl groups.

Our main result in this paper, which appears as Theorem 13 and Theorem 15 below, is the following

**Theorem 1.** *Let  $\tilde{W}$  be of type  $\tilde{A}_n$  or  $\tilde{C}_2$ . Then Conjecture 1 is true.*

The asymptotic Hecke algebra can be used to organize the results of [KL87], as done by Lusztig in [Lus89a]. This setup used by Xi in [Xi06]. Let  $\mathbf{c}_0$  be the lowest two-sided cell and restrict to type  $\tilde{A}_n$ . As noted above, in *loc. cit.*, Xi showed that the Kazhdan-Lusztig classification of representations of  $\mathbf{H}$  fails whenever  $P_W(q) = 0$  in the following way: Appealing to [Xi94], Xi shows that when  $P_W(q) = 0$ , there are no simple  $\mathbf{H}|_{\mathbf{q}=q}$ -modules  $M$  such that  $\mathbf{c}(M) = \mathbf{c}_0$ . This shows that there is no bijection between simple  $\mathbf{H}|_{\mathbf{q}=q}$ -modules and triples  $(u, s, \rho)$  has in [KL87], as this bijection fails for  $u = 0$ . Examining Theorem 11, we see by Proposition 3 that in the notation of (9),  $a_{1,d}$  has poles at every root of  $P_W$ , for all distinguished involutions  $d \in \mathbf{c}_0$ . On the other hand, for  $d \in \mathbf{c} \neq \mathbf{c}_0$ , by Theorem 11 and Proposition 1, there are roots of  $P_W$  at which  $a_{1,d}$  does not have a pole. One could hope that this behaviour detects the failure of Kazhdan-Lusztig classification. We encode this hope as

**Conjecture 2.** *Let  $\tilde{W}$  be such that Conjecture 1 holds, and let  $q \in \mathbb{C}^\times$  be a root of  $P_W$ . Let  $\mathbf{c}$  be a two-sided cell such that if  $w \in \mathbf{c}$ , then  $a_{x,w}$  does not have a pole at  $\mathbf{q} = q$ . Let  $u = u(\mathbf{c})$ . Then every standard module  $K(u, s, \rho, q)$  has a unique simple quotient  $L = L(u, s, \rho, q)$ . Two such simple modules are isomorphic if and only if their corresponding triples are conjugate. We have  $a(L) = a(E)$ , where  $E$  is the simple  $J$  module corresponding to  $K(u, s, \rho, q)$ , in the notation of [Lus89a].*

Note that in type  $\tilde{A}_n$ , the number of two-sided cells grows as  $e^{\sqrt{n}}$ , whereas the number of subsets of roots of  $P_W$  is  $2^{n(n+1)/2}$ , but in low rank these quantities may be such that the conjecture is vacuous. For example in type  $\tilde{A}_1$  there is only one root of  $P_W = \mathbf{q} + 1$ , but there are two two-sided cells (and both are singular at  $\mathbf{q} = -1$ .) The same holds for type  $\tilde{C}_2$  considered in Section 5: all the cells are singular at all the roots of  $P_{\tilde{C}_2}$ . However, already in type  $\tilde{A}_3$  one can see from Theorem 11 that the two-sided cell corresponding to the partition  $4 = 2 + 2$  is not singular at either of the roots  $\mathbf{q} = (-1)^{2/3}$  or  $\mathbf{q} = -(-1)^{1/3}$  of  $P_{\tilde{A}_3}(\mathbf{q}) = (1 + \mathbf{q})(1 + \mathbf{q} + \mathbf{q}^2)(1 + \mathbf{q} + \mathbf{q}^2 + \mathbf{q}^3)$ .

Our main tool is Harish-Chandra's Plancherel theorem for the  $p$ -adic group  $G$  associated to  $\mathbf{H}$ . The proof of the theorem relies on certain cancellations taking place while computing the integrals given by the Plancherel formula. In particular, the theorem is sometimes only true thanks to cancellations involving formal degrees. For this reason, for general  $\mathbf{G}$ , we can presently only prove the following weaker form of Conjecture 1:

**Theorem 2.** *Let  $\tilde{W}$  be an affine Weyl group,  $\mathbf{H}$  its affine Hecke algebra over  $\mathcal{A}$ , and  $J$  its asymptotic Hecke algebra. Let  $\phi: \mathbf{H} \hookrightarrow J \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathcal{A}$  be Lusztig's map.*

1. *For all  $w, x \in \tilde{W}$ ,  $a_{x,w}$  is a rational function of  $\mathbf{q}$ . The denominator of  $a_{x,w}$  is independent of  $x$ . As a function of  $w$ , it is constant on two-sided cells.*
2. *There is a finite subset of roots of unity  $D_{\tilde{W}} \subset \mathbb{C}^\times$  such that that upon writing*

$$(\ )^\dagger \circ \phi^{-1}(t_w) = \sum_{x \in \tilde{W}} a_{x,w} T_x,$$

*the function  $a_{x,w}$  on  $\mathbb{C}^\times$  has no poles on  $\mathbb{C}^\times \setminus D_{\tilde{W}}$ . If  $d$  is a distinguished involution in the lowest two-sided cell, then  $a_{1,d} = 1/P_W(q)$  exactly.*

3. *Moreover, for  $\omega \in \mathcal{E}_2(M)$ , when  $d(\omega)$  is viewed as a function on  $\mathbb{C}^\times$ , it has no poles on  $\mathbb{C}^\times \setminus D_{\tilde{W}}$ .*

*Remark 1.* It is tempting to pose the following more precise version of Conjecture 1, (1) based on the factorization  $P_M(q)P_{G/P}(q) = P_{\mathbf{G}/\mathbf{B}}(q)$ : for every Levi subgroup  $M$  of  $G$  and all  $\omega \in \mathcal{E}_2(M)$ , the formal degree  $d(\omega)$  is a rational function of  $q$  the denominator of which divides a power of  $P_M(q)$ . The integral over all induced twists  $\text{Ind}_P^G(\nu \otimes \omega)$  is a rational function of  $q$  with denominator dividing a power of the Poincaré polynomial of the partial flag variety  $(G/P)(\mathbb{C})$ . For example, if  $G = \text{GL}_6(F)$  and  $M = \text{GL}_3(F) \times \text{GL}_3(F)$ . In this case the integral itself (omitting the factor  $C_M$  in the notation of section 2.5) is

$$\frac{1}{2\pi i} \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\mathbb{T}} \int_{\mathbb{T}} \frac{(z_1 - z_2)(z_1 - z_2)}{(z_1 - q^3 z_2)(z_1 - q^{-3} z_2)} \frac{dz_1}{z_1} \frac{dz_2}{z_2} = \frac{(1 - q^3)^2}{(1 - q^6)^2 q^3} + 1 = \frac{(1 - q^3)q^{-3}}{1 + q^3} + 1,$$

and by [BT82] Proposition 23.1, (with  $q = t^2$ ) we have

$$P_{G/P}(q) = P_{G(3,6)}(q) = (1 + q^2)(1 + q + q^2 + q^3 + q^4)(1 + q^3).$$

In examples such as the above, this does indeed happen, but only after cancellation with some terms in the numerator. In general, we will not track numerators precisely enough to show this version of Conjecture 1. We shall however see a limited demonstration of this behaviour in Corollary 1.

## 1.1 Outline of the argument

Our strategy is to determine that the Schwartz functions  $f_w$  on the  $p$ -adic group  $G$  satisfy the statements of the conjecture, and are in addition well-behaved enough that they lift to elements of a certain completion  $\mathcal{H}^-$  of  $\mathbf{H}$ . We therefore obtain two maps  $J \subset J \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}^-$ : Lusztig's map, and our map induced by the construction in [BK18]. We prove that these maps agree, at which point our main results follow.

This paper is organized according to our strategy for proving Theorems 13, 2, and 15. These results are each simple corollaries of computations with the Plancherel formula and some of Lusztig's results on  $J$ . The remainder of this section will introduce  $\mathbf{H}$  and  $J$  precisely, and recall their basic representation theory. In Section 2, we introduce Harish-Chandra's Plancherel formula in detail, along with all the numerical constants that appear in it. In Section 2.4, we recall the results of Braverman-Kazhdan from [BK18]. There is no original material in the first two sections. In Section 3, we prepare to apply the Plancherel formula by proving that, if  $f_w$  is the Schwartz function associated by Braverman-Kazhdan to  $t_w$ , and  $\pi$  is a tempered representation, then  $\text{trace}(\pi(f_w))$  sufficiently regular as not to complicate the denominators of  $a_{x,w}$ . This section is also mostly recollection of standard material, the only original result being Lemma 5. In Section

4, we prove our main results. As we are able to be more precise in type  $\tilde{A}_n$ , we perform each step in parallel for type  $\tilde{A}_n$  and for other types: in Sections 4.1 and 4.2 we prove statements like those of Conjecture 1 for the Schwartz functions  $f_w$ . In these sections  $\mathbf{q}$  is specialized to a prime power  $q$ . In Section 4.3 we relate the functions  $f_w$  to the basis elements  $t_w$ , turning statements that hold for all prime powers  $q$  into statements that hold for the formal variable  $\mathbf{q}$ . We are then able to prove our main results. In Section 5, we show that Conjecture 1 also holds for type  $\tilde{C}_2$ .

## 1.2 The affine Hecke algebra

Let  $F$  be a non-archimedean local field,  $\mathcal{O}$  its ring of integers and  $\varpi$  be a uniformizer. Let  $q$  be the cardinality of the residue field. Then  $q = p^r$  is a prime power. We write  $|\cdot|_F$  for the  $p$ -adic absolute value on  $F$ ; when necessary,  $|\cdot|_\infty$  will denote the archimedean absolute value on  $\mathbb{C}$ .

Let  $\mathbf{G}$  be a connected reductive algebraic group defined and split over  $F$ ,  $\mathbf{A}$  a maximal torus of  $\mathbf{G}$ , and  $X_* = X_*(\mathbf{A})$  the cocharacter lattice of  $\mathbf{A}$ . Let  $\mathbf{N}$  be unipotent radical of  $\mathbf{B}$ , so that  $\mathbf{B} = \mathbf{AN}$  is the upper-triangular Borel subgroup. Let  $W$  be the finite Weyl group of  $\mathbf{G}$ , and  $\tilde{W} = W \ltimes X_*(\mathbf{A})$  be the affine Weyl group. Write  $S$  for the set of simple reflections in  $\tilde{W}$ . Let  $\mathbf{G}^\vee$  be the Langlands dual group of  $\mathbf{G}$ , taken over  $\mathbb{C}$ , and write  $G^\vee$  for  $\mathbf{G}^\vee(\mathbb{C})$ . We write  $G = \mathbf{G}(F)$ ,  $A = \mathbf{A}(F)$ , etc. Let  $K$  be the maximal compact subgroup  $\mathbf{G}(\mathcal{O})$ . Let  $I$  be Iwahori subgroup of  $G$  that is the preimage of a fixed Borel subgroup in  $\mathbf{G}(\mathbb{F}_q)$ . We sometimes write  $P_{\mathbf{G}/\mathbf{B}}$  for  $P_W$ , as this polynomial is also the Poincaré polynomial of the flag variety  $(\mathbf{G}/\mathbf{B})(\mathbb{C})$ .

We write  $\mathbf{H}$  for the affine Hecke algebra of  $\tilde{W}$ . It is a unital associative algebra over the ring  $\mathcal{A} = \mathbb{C}[\mathbf{q}^{\frac{1}{2}}, \mathbf{q}^{-\frac{1}{2}}]$  (in fact, it is defined over  $\mathbb{Z}[\mathbf{q}^{\frac{1}{2}}, \mathbf{q}^{-\frac{1}{2}}]$  but we will work over  $\mathbb{C}$  to avoid having to introduce extra notation later), where  $\mathbf{q}^{\frac{1}{2}}$  is a formal variable. We will think of  $\mathbb{C}^\times$  as  $\text{Spec } \mathcal{A}$ . The algebra  $\mathbf{H}$  has the Coxeter presentation under with standard basis  $\{T_w\}_{w \in \tilde{W}}$  with  $T_w T_{w'} = T_{ww'}$  if  $\ell(ww') = \ell(w) + \ell(w')$  and quadratic relation  $(T_s + 1)(T_s - \mathbf{q}) = 0$  for  $s \in S$ . We write  $\theta_\lambda$  for the generators of the Bernstein subalgebra.

It is well-known that, upon extending scalars, there is an isomorphism of associative  $\mathbb{C}$ -algebras

$$\mathbf{H} \otimes_{\mathcal{A}} \mathbb{C} \rightarrow C_c^\infty(G)^{I \times I} =: H,$$

where  $\mathbf{q}$  acts on  $\mathbb{C}$  by multiplication by  $q$  (our splitness assumptions are in place to guarantee equal parameters in the definition of  $H$ ).

## 1.3 The asymptotic Hecke algebra

**Definition 1.** *Lusztig's  $a$ -function*  $a: \tilde{W} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$  is defined such that  $a(w)$  is minimal such that  $\mathbf{q}^{\frac{a(w)}{2}} h_{x,y,w} \in \mathcal{A}^+$  for all  $x, y \in \tilde{W}$ .

The  $a$ -function is constant of two-sided cells of  $\tilde{W}$ . Obviously,  $a(1) = 1$ , and the  $a$ -function obtains its maximum, equal to the number of positive roots, on the two-sided cell containing the longest word  $w_0 \in W$ . In general, under the bijection between two-sided cells of  $\tilde{W}$  and unipotent conjugacy classes in  $G^\vee$ , we have

$$a(\mathbf{c}) = \dim_{\mathbb{C}}(\mathcal{B}_u^\vee),$$

where  $\mathcal{B}_u^\vee$  is the subvariety of Borel subgroups of  $G^\vee$  meeting the conjugacy class  $u$  that corresponds to  $\mathbf{c}$  [Lus89a]. We have  $a(w) \leq \ell(w)$  for all  $w \in \tilde{W}$ .

In [Lus87a] Lusztig defined an associative algebra  $J$  over  $\mathbb{C}$  equipped with an injection  $\phi: \mathbf{H} \hookrightarrow J \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} \mathcal{A}$  which becomes an isomorphism after taking a certain completion, to be recalled in Section 4.3.1, of both sides. As an abelian group,  $J$  is free with basis  $\{t_w\}_{w \in W}$ . The structure constants of  $J$  are obtained from those in  $\mathbf{H}$  written in the  $\{C_w\}_{w \in W}$ -basis under the following procedure. Write

$$C_x C_y = \sum_{z \in W} h_{x,y,z} C_z$$

and then Lusztig defines the integer  $\gamma_{x,y,z}$  by the condition

$$\mathbf{q}^{\frac{a(z)}{2}} h_{x,y,z^{-1}} - \gamma_{x,y,z} \in \mathbf{q}\mathcal{A}^+.$$

One then defines

$$\phi(C_w) = \sum_{z \in W, d \in \mathcal{D} \text{ } a(z)=a(d)} h_{x,d,z} t_z.$$

The algebra  $J$  decomposes as a direct sum indexed by the two-sided cells of  $W$ . Each left cell contains a single distinguished involution, and corresponding basis elements  $\{t_d\}$  are easily seen to form a family of orthogonal idempotents, and their sum is the identity element in  $J$ . If  $\mathbf{c}$  is a two-sided cell, then  $J_{\mathbf{c}}$  is a unital ring with unit

$$1_{J_{\mathbf{c}}} = \sum_{d \in \mathcal{D} \cap \mathbf{c}} t_d,$$

where  $\mathcal{D}$  is the (finite) set of distinguished involutions of  $\tilde{W}$ .

### 1.3.1 Deformations of the group ring

Upon setting  $\mathbf{q} = 1$ ,  $\mathbf{H}|_{\mathbf{q}=1}$  is isomorphic to  $\mathbb{C}[\tilde{W}]$ , and so  $\mathbf{H}$  is a deformation of the group algebra of  $\tilde{W}$ .

Let, temporarily,  $W$  be any finite Coxeter group. Then one can define its Hecke algebra  $\mathbf{H}$ , an algebra over  $\mathbb{Z}[\mathbf{q}^{\frac{1}{2}}, \mathbf{q}^{-\frac{1}{2}}]$  which deforms the group ring  $\mathbb{Z}[W]$ . Let  $q \in \mathbb{C}^\times$ . For all but finitely-many values of  $q$ , all roots of unity, the algebras  $\mathbf{H}_{\mathbf{q}=q}$  are trivial deformations of  $\mathbb{C}[W]$ , and hence are all isomorphic. However, this isomorphism requires choosing a square root of  $q$ . The affine Hecke algebra provides a canonical isomorphism: away from finitely-many  $q$ , we have that  $\mathbf{H}|_{\mathbf{q}=q} = \mathbf{H} \otimes_{\mathcal{A}} \mathbb{C}_q$  is isomorphic to  $J$ , and  $J$  is defined over  $\mathbb{Z}$ , see [Lus03], §20.1 (e).

*Example 1.* Let  $W = \langle 1, s \mid s^2 = 1 \rangle$  be the Weyl group of type  $A_1$ . The Kazhdan-Lusztig  $C_w$ -basis elements are  $C_1 = T_1$  and  $C_s = \mathbf{q}^{-\frac{1}{2}} - \mathbf{q}^{\frac{1}{2}}$ . There are two two-sided cells in  $W$ , and one can easily check that

$$\phi: C_1 \mapsto t_1 + t_s$$

and

$$\phi: C_s \mapsto -\left(\mathbf{q}^{\frac{1}{2}} + \mathbf{q}^{-\frac{1}{2}}\right) t_s.$$

Specializing  $\mathbf{q} = q$ , we see that  $\phi$  becomes an isomorphism whenever  $(q^{\frac{1}{2}} + q^{-\frac{1}{2}}) \neq 0$ , that is, whenever  $q \neq -1$ .

## 1.4 Representation theory of $\mathbf{H}$ and $J$

As we do not have access to the notion of absolute value of  $\mathbf{q}$ , the classical definitions of tempered and discrete-series representations of the corresponding  $p$ -adic group  $G$  are not available to us. However, Kazhdan-Lusztig provide the following algebraic generalization. Let  $\kappa = \mathbb{C}(\mathbf{q}^{-\frac{1}{2}})$  and  $\bar{\kappa}$  be the algebraic closure of  $\kappa$ . We write  $\mathbf{H}_{\bar{\kappa}}$  for  $\mathbf{H} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \bar{\kappa}$ .

Following [Lus89a], we choose a morphism of groups  $V: \bar{\kappa}^\times \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  such that  $V(\mathbf{q}^{-\frac{1}{2}}) = 1$  and  $V(a\mathbf{q}^{-\frac{1}{2}} + b) = 0$  for all  $a \in \mathbb{C}, b \in \mathbb{C}^\times$ .

**Definition 2** ([KL87], [Lus89a]). Let  $M$  be any finite-dimensional  $\mathbf{H}_{\bar{\kappa}}$ -module. We say that  $M$  is *V-tempered* if all eigenvalues  $\nu$  of  $\theta_\lambda$  for all dominant  $\lambda \in X_*(\mathbf{A})$  satisfy  $V(\nu) \leq 0$ . We say that  $M$  is *V-square-integrable* if  $V(\nu) < 0$ .

Note that when  $\mathbf{q}$  is specialized a prime power  $q \in \mathbb{C}^\times$  and  $V(z) = \log|z|$ , we recover that our *anti-tempered* (i.e.  $V(\nu) \geq 0$ ) modules are *tempered* in the traditional analytic sense, and likewise for square-integrable, by a theorem of Casselman. We will recall these notions explicitly below. The reason for our choice of conventions, which match those of [Lus87b] and [Lus89a], is the presence of the Goldman involution, which exchanges these notions, in the relationship between  $H$ -modules and  $J$ -modules, see Theorem 3.

The representation theory of  $J$  is very well understood. We shall recall some notation and then state some major classification results of Lusztig, which relate the representation theory of  $J$  to certain  $\mathbf{H}$ -modules defined by Kazhdan-Lusztig.

**Definition 3.** Let  $E$  be a  $J \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{C}$ -module. Then  $E \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} \kappa$  is a  $J \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \kappa$ -module. Hence  $\mathbf{H}_{\kappa}$  acts on  $E$  via  $\phi$ . Denote the resulting  $\mathbf{H}_{\kappa}$  module by  ${}^{\phi}E$ .

Recall the classification given in [KL87]. For an extended exposition with slightly different conventions, we refer the reader to Chapters 7 and 8 of [CG97]. The primary difference between the setup we require and that of [CG97] is that we must be able to defer specializing  $\mathbf{q}$  until the last possible moment, whereas specializing  $\mathbf{q}$  is the first step of the construction as given in [CG97]. In particular, let  $u \in G^{\vee}(\mathbb{C})$  be a unipotent element, and  $s \in G^{\vee}(\mathbb{C})$  be a semisimple element such that  $us = su$ . Let  $\rho$  be an irreducible representation of the simultaneous centralizer  $Z_{G^{\vee}}(s, u)$ . The *standard  $H$ -module*  $K(s, u, \rho)$  is a certain, in general reducible,  $H$ -module defined using the geometry of the flag variety of the Langlands dual group. It may be the zero module; we say that  $(u, s, \rho)$  is *admissible* when this does not happen. Having fixed,  $s$  and  $u$ , we say that  $\rho$  is admissible if  $(u, s, \rho)$  is.

We need to recall a final definition of Lusztig's from [Lus89a].

**Definition 4.** Let  $M$  be a  $H_{\bar{\kappa}}$ -module finite-dimensional over  $\bar{\kappa}$ . Say that  $m \in M$  is an *eigenvector* if  $\theta_x(m) = \chi_m(x)m$  for all dominant  $x$  in  $X_*$ . As  $\chi_m$  is a character of the coweight lattice, it corresponds to an element  $\sigma_m \in A^{\vee}(\bar{\kappa})$  in the sense that, for all cocharacters  $x$  of  $A$ , we have

$$\chi_m(x) = x(\sigma_m)$$

where  $x$  is viewed as a character of  $A^{\vee}$ . Then  $M$  is of *constant type* if there is a semisimple element  $s' \in G^{\vee}(\mathbb{C})$  and a morphism of algebraic groups

$$\phi' : \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{C}) \rightarrow Z_{G^{\vee}}^0(s')$$

such that for all eigenvectors  $m$  of  $M$ , the element  $\sigma_m$  is  $G^{\vee}(\bar{\kappa})$ -conjugate to

$$\phi'(\mathrm{diag}(\mathbf{q}^{1/2}, \mathbf{q}^{-1/2}))s',$$

where by abuse of notation we have written  $\phi'$  again for the base-change to  $\bar{\kappa}$ .

The idea of the name of the definition is that  $s' \in G^{\vee}(\mathbb{C})$  is a “constant element” not depending on  $\mathbf{q}$ .

#### 1.4.1 Involutions on $\mathbf{H}$

**Definition 5.** Let  $j : \mathbf{H} \rightarrow \mathbf{H}$  be the ring (and not  $\mathcal{A}$ -algebra) involution of  $\mathbf{H}$  defined by  $j(\sum_w a_w T_w) = \bar{a}_2(-1)^{\ell(w)} q^{-\ell(w)} T_w$ .

**Definition 6.** Let  $h \mapsto h^{\dagger}$  be  $\mathcal{A}$ -algebra involution of  $\mathbf{H}$  defined by setting

$$T_w^{\dagger} = (-1)^{\ell(w)} q^{\ell(w)} T_{w^{-1}}^{-1}.$$

This involution is the *Goldman* involution of  $\mathbf{H}$ . Given an  $\mathbf{H}$  (or  $\mathbf{H}_{\kappa}$ )-module  $M$ , define  ${}^{\dagger}M$  to be the same vector space with the  $\mathbf{H}$ -action twisted by this involution.

**Lemma 1.** *We have*

$$\overline{h^{\dagger}} = (\bar{h})^{\dagger} = j(h)$$

as  $\mathcal{A}$ -antilinear involutions. In particular,  $C_w^{\dagger} = j(C_w) = (-1)^{\ell(w)} C_w'$ .

*Proof.* We compute

$$\overline{\sum_x b_x T_x^{\dagger}} = \sum_x \bar{b}_x (-1)^{\ell(x)} q^{\ell(x)} \overline{T_x} = \sum_x \bar{b}_x (-1)^{\ell(x)} q^{-\ell(x)} T_x = j\left(\sum_x b_x T_x\right)$$

whereas

$$\left(\overline{\sum_x b_x T_x}\right)^\dagger = \left(\sum_x \bar{b}_x q^{-\ell(x)} (-1)^{\ell(x)} T_x^\dagger\right)^\dagger.$$

Thus we have  $\bar{C}_w^\dagger = (-1)^{\ell(w)} C'_w$ , whence the last claim.  $\square$

We now summarize the relationship between representations of  $\mathbf{H}$  and of  $J$ .

**Theorem 3** ([Lus89a], Prop. 2.11, Thm. 4.2, Prop. 4.4). *There are bijections of sets*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} (u, s, \rho) & & \{(u, s, \rho) \mid \rho \text{ admissible, } us = su\} / G^\vee(\mathbb{C}) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ K(s, u, \rho) \otimes_A \kappa & & \{M \in H_\kappa - \mathbf{Mod} \mid {}^\dagger M \otimes_\kappa \bar{\kappa} \text{ simple, } V - \text{tempered } H_{\bar{\kappa}} - \text{module of constant type}\} \\ \parallel & & \uparrow \\ \phi E = E \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} \kappa \in H_\kappa - \mathbf{Mod} & & \{E \in J_{\mathbb{C}} - \mathbf{Mod} \mid E \text{ is simple}\}. \\ \uparrow & & \\ E & & \end{array}$$

Moreover, for a simple  $J_{\mathbb{C}}$ -module  $E$ ,

1.  $E$  is finite-dimensional over  $\mathbb{C}$ ;
2. There is a unique two-sided cell  $\mathbf{c} = \mathbf{c}(E)$  of  $\tilde{W}$  such that  $\text{trace}(t_w, E) \neq 0$  implies  $w \in \mathbf{c}$ .
3.  $\text{trace}(t_w, E)$  is the constant term of the polynomial

$$(-\mathbf{q}^{1/2})^{a(\mathbf{c}(E))} \text{trace}(C_w, M) \in \mathbb{C}[\mathbf{q}^{\frac{1}{2}}]$$

where  $M \simeq \phi E$ .

In particular,  $\text{trace}(t_x)$  is independent of  $\mathbf{q}$ , and upon specializing  $\mathbf{q} = q$  a prime power, will be a regular function in the inducing character in the setting of the Payley-Weiner theorem for the Iwahori-Hecke algebra of the  $p$ -adic group  $G$ , as we will explain in greater detail below.

We will comment more on the necessity of twisting by the Goldman involution in Section 2.4.

## 1.5 Acknowledgements

The author thanks Alexander Braverman for introducing him to this material and the problem, and suggesting the use of the Plancherel formula, as well as Roman Bezrukavnikov, Itai Bar-Natan, Julia Gordon, Malors Espinosa-Lara, and Matthew Sunohara for helpful conversations. The author also thanks Maarten Solleveld for explaining the generalization in [Sol21] of the results of [FOS21]. This research was supported by NSERC.

## 2 Harish-Chandra's Plancherel formula

We recall the notation and classical results we will need about the Plancherel formula. In this section  $q$  is a prime power (or at least a real number of absolute value strictly greater than 1). The formal variable  $\mathbf{q}$  will not appear in this section.



## 2.1 Tempered and discrete series representations

**Definition 7.** A smooth representation  $\pi$  of  $G$  is *tempered* if  $\pi$  is unitary, of finite length, and all matrix coefficients of  $\pi$  belong to  $L^{2+\epsilon}(G/Z(G))$  for all  $\epsilon > 0$ . We write  $\mathcal{M}_t(G)$  for the category of tempered representations of  $G$ .

In particular, the central character  $\chi$  of such a representation takes values in the circle group  $\mathbb{T} \subset \mathbb{C}^\times$ .

*Example 2.* A principal series representation  $i_B^G(\chi)$  where  $\chi$  is a unitary character of the maximal torus is tempered. A principal series induced from a nonunitary character will not have unitary central character, and is not tempered.

**Definition 8.** A representation  $\omega$  of  $G$  belongs to the *discrete series* if  $\omega$  is square-integrable modulo  $Z(G)$ . We write  $\mathcal{E}_2(G)$  for the space of unitary discrete series, and  $\mathcal{E}_2(G)^I$  for the space of unitary discrete series with nontrivial Iwahori-fixed vectors.

### 2.1.1 Formal degrees of discrete series representations

We will soon study the Plancherel decomposition  $f = \sum_M f_M$  of the Schwartz function  $f$  determined by an element of  $J$  as explained in Section 2.4. As will be explained below, each function  $f_M$  is given by an integral formula that involves several constants depending on  $M$ , or are functions on the discrete series of  $M$ . These constants are rational functions of  $q$ , the most sensitive of which is the formal degree  $d(\omega)$  of  $\omega \in \mathcal{E}_2(M)^I$ . Much is known about formal degrees for  $I$ -spherical  $\omega$ , and we summarize the known formulas here, rewriting Macdonald's formulas to emphasize that all the denominators appearing divide a power of Poincaré polynomial of  $W$ .

**Theorem 4** ([Bor76]). *Let  $\omega$  be a discrete series representation of  $G$  such that  $\dim \omega^I = 1$ . Then a complete list of formal degrees of such  $\omega \neq \text{St}_G$  is, in the notation of [Mac72]:*

1. For  $\mathbf{G}$  of type  $B_\ell$  ( $\ell \geq 3$ ),  $d(\omega)$  is equal to

$$\tilde{W}_{B_\ell}(q^{-1}, q)^{-1} = \pm \frac{q^\ell(1+q^\ell)(1-q^{2-\ell})(1-q^{3-\ell})}{(1+q)(1+q+q^2+\dots+q^{2\ell-1})} \prod_{i=1}^{\ell-1} \frac{q^{2i-1}}{1+q+q^2+\dots+q^{2i-1}} \prod_{i=2}^{\ell-1} \frac{q^{2i-2}(1-q^{1-\ell})(1-q^{2-\ell+i})}{1-q^{2i-2}}$$

2. For  $\mathbf{G}$  of type  $C_\ell$  ( $\ell \geq 2$ ),  $d(\omega)$  is equal to either

(a)

$$\tilde{W}_{C_\ell}(q^{-1}, q^{-1}, q)^{-1} = \tilde{W}_{C_\ell}(q^{-1}, q, q^{-1})^{-1} = \prod_{i=0}^{\ell-1} \frac{(1-q^{-1})(1-q^{-\ell-i+1})}{(1-q^{-i-1})(1+q^{-i-1})(1+q^{-i+1})};$$

or, occurring only for  $\ell \geq 4$ ,

(b)

$$\tilde{W}_{C_\ell}(q^{-1}, q, q)^{-1} = \prod_{i=0}^{\ell-1} \frac{(1-q^{-1})(1+q^{-\ell-i+3})}{(1-q^{-i-1})(1+q^{-i+1})(1+q^{-i+1})}.$$

3. For  $\mathbf{G}$  of type  $F_4$ ,  $d(\omega)$  is equal to

$$\tilde{W}_{F_4}(q^{-1}, q)^{-1} = \prod_{i=0}^3 \frac{(1-q^{-1})(1-q)}{(1-q^{i-1})(1+q^{-i+1})(1-q^i)}.$$

In each case, the formal degree of the Steinberg representation is exactly the reciprocal of the Poincaré series of  $\tilde{W}$ .

Borel also treated the case  $G_2$ , but we will use the formal degree of the unique discrete series representation  $\omega$  coming from a character of  $H$  that appears below. It is well-known that  $d(\text{St}_G)$  is exactly  $P_{\mathbf{G}/\mathbf{B}}(q)$ , given Theorem 4 and Bott's theorem on the Poincaré series of  $\tilde{W}$  [BB05], Theorem. 7.1.10.

An essentially disjoint set of discrete series representations is studied by Reeder in [Ree94]. A general formula was obtained in *loc. cit.*, but its denominator is given by a complicated expression. Thankfully, Reeder computed some examples using a computer algebra system. Reeder's obtained formulas also in the case of the Steinberg representation, but we omit them here as we included them in list Borel calculated. Reeder points out that his formulas have a geometric interpretation in terms of the complex-dual side, which gives hope that one might understand the zeros at the level of detail we require. We will not pursue this hope in the current paper.

**Theorem 5** ([Ree94]). *The examples computed in [Ree94] by specializing Formula A of loc. cit. give the following formal degrees of some Iwahori-spherical discrete series representations admitting a Whittaker model:*

1. If  $\mathbf{G}$  is of type  $\mathbf{G}_2$ , then a complete list of formal degrees of elements of  $\mathcal{E}_2(G)^I$  is

$$d(\tau_1) = \frac{(q^5 - 1)(q - 1)^2}{(q^6 - 1)(q + 1)}, \quad d(\tau_2) = \frac{1}{2}d(\tau_2)' = \frac{q(1 + q^3)(q - 1)^2}{6(1 + q + q^2 + q^3 + q^4 + q^5)(1 + q)^2}$$

and

$$d(\tau_3) = \frac{q(q - 1)^2(1 + q + q^2)}{2(1 + q + q^2 + q^3 + q^4 + q^5)(1 + q)}, \quad d(\tau_4) = \frac{q(q - 1)^2(q + 1)}{3(q^6 - 1)}$$

2. If  $G = \text{SO}_5(F)$ , then

$$d(\pm\tau_2) = \frac{q(q - 1)^2}{2(q^2 + 1)(q + 1)^2}$$

3. If  $G = \text{SO}_7(F)$ , then

$$d(\tau_2) = d(\tau_2)' = \frac{q(q - 1)^3}{4(q^2 + 1)(q + 1)^3}$$

and

$$d(\tau_3) = \frac{q(q - 1)^2(q^3 - 1)}{4(q^3 + 1)(q^2 + 1)(q + 1)}$$

4. If  $G = \text{SO}_9(F)$ , then in the case of  $\tau_i$  regular (when reviewed as a root of the complex dual group) we have

$$d(\pm\tau_1) = \frac{(q^4 - 1)(q^3 - 1)(q^7 - 1)(q^2 - 1)^2(q - 1)^2}{2(q^8 - 1)(q^6 - 1)(q^4 - 1)^2(q + 1)^3},$$

$$d(\pm\tau_3) = \frac{q(q^5 - 1)(q - 1)^3}{4(q^4 + 1)(q^3 + 1)(q + 1)^3}$$

and

$$d(\pm\tau_5) = \frac{q^2(q^3 - 1)^2(q - 1)^2}{2(q^4 + 1)(q^2 + 1)(q + 1)^4}$$

5. If  $\mathbf{G}$  is of type  $\mathbf{F}_4$ , then

$$d(\tau) = \frac{q(q^{10} - 1)(q^7 - 1)(q^3 - 1)(q - 1)^3(1 + q + q^2)}{2(q^{12} - 1)(q^8 - 1)(1 + q + q^2 + q^3 + q^4 + q^5)(q + 1)^2}.$$

Together, Reeder's formulas plus Borel's cover all of  $\mathcal{E}_2(G)^I$  for  $\mathbf{G}$  of rank at most 3. Finally, the most general current result seems to be

**Theorem 6** ([Sol21], [FOS21], Theorem 5.1 (b), [GR10] Proposition 4.1). *Let  $G$  be connected reductive. Let  $\omega$  be any unipotent—in particular, any Iwahori-spherical—discrete series representation. Then  $d(\omega)$  is a rational function of  $q$ , the numerator and denominator of which are products of factors of the form  $q^{m/2}$  with  $m \in \mathbb{Z}$  and  $(q^n - 1)$  with  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Moreover, there is a polynomial  $\Delta_G$  depending only on  $G$  and  $F$  such that  $\Delta_G d(\omega)$  is a polynomial in  $q$ .*

*Remark 2.* Proposition 4.1 of [GR10] studies not the  $\gamma$ -factor we are interested in, but rather its quotient by the  $\gamma$ -factor for the Steinberg representation. However, accounting for the use of the Euler-Poincaré measure on  $G$ , and known formula for the formal degree of the Steinberg representation, one may recover our desired statement about formal degrees from the main theorem and equation (61) of [GR10].

This result is proven by first proving that the Hiraga-Ichino-Ikeda conjecture [II08] holds for unipotent discrete series representations. Note that [Sol21], [FOS21] and [II08] all use the normalization of the Haar measure on  $G$  defined in [II08]. This normalization gives in our setting  $\mu_{\text{HII}}(K) = q^{\dim \mathbf{G}} \# \mathbf{G}(\mathbb{F}_q)$ . Hence, noting that  $\# \mathbf{G}(\mathbb{F}_q) = P_{\mathbf{G}/\mathbf{B}}(q) \cdot \# \mathbf{B}(\mathbb{F}_q)$  and that, as  $\mathbb{F}_q$  is perfect,  $\# \mathbf{B}(\mathbb{F}_q)$  is a polynomial in  $q$ , we have

$$\mu_I = \frac{1}{q^{\dim \mathbf{G}} \# \mathbf{B}(\mathbb{F}_q)} \mu_{\text{HII}},$$

and so this question of normalization cannot affect the denominators of  $d(\omega)$ , for any Levi subgroup.

In the Iwahori-spherical case, Opdam showed the above result in [Opd04], Proposition 3.27 (v), although with less control over the possible factors appearing in the numerator and denominator of  $d(\omega)$ . We emphasize that *loc. cit.* does not make the splitness assumption we have temporarily allowed ourselves above.

## 2.2 Harish-Chandra's canonical measure

In this section, we recall the standard coordinates used in [AP05], [Wal03], [HC84b]. Everything in this section is standard, and we have attempted to choose no eccentric notation of our own. We state the below for general  $G$ , which we shall apply to each standard Levi subgroup of  $\text{GL}_n$ .

Let  $\mathfrak{a}_G := (X^*(A) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{R})^*$  be the real Lie algebra of  $A$  and let  $\mathfrak{a}_{G\mathbb{C}}$  be its complexification. Let  $\text{Rat}(G) = \text{Hom}(G(F), \mathbb{G}_m(F))$  denote the rational characters of  $G$  defined over  $F$ . This set has a complex manifold structure under which  $X(G) \simeq (\mathbb{C}^\times)^{\dim_{\mathbb{R}} \mathfrak{a}_G}$ . We have a map

$$\text{Rat}(G) \rightarrow \text{Hom}(G, \mathbb{C}^\times)$$

given by  $\chi \mapsto |\chi|_F$ , where  $|\chi|_F(g) = |\chi(g)|_F$ . Set  $G^1 = \bigcap_{\chi \in \text{Rat}(G)} \ker |\chi|_F$ . We have

$$\mathfrak{a}_{G\mathbb{C}}^* \longrightarrow X(G) \longrightarrow 1$$

given by

$$\chi \otimes s \mapsto (g \mapsto |\chi(g)|_F^s = q^{-s \text{val}(\chi(g))}).$$

The kernel is all  $\chi$  such that  $s \text{val}(\chi(g)) \in \frac{2\pi}{\log q} \mathbb{Z}$ . Hence the kernel is  $\frac{2\pi}{\log q} R$ , where  $R \subset X^*(A) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Q}$  is a lattice. Additionally, we have a morphism  $H_G: G \rightarrow \mathfrak{a}_G$  defined by determining  $\langle \chi, H_G(g) \rangle$  for all  $\chi \in \text{Rat}(G)$ . One does this by requiring that

$$q^{-\langle \chi, H_G(g) \rangle} = |\chi|_F(g) = |\chi(g)|_F = q^{-\text{val}(\chi(g))},$$

so that  $R = \ker H_G$ . Put  $L = H_G(G)$ . Then  $R = L^*$  is the dual lattice, and set  $\mathfrak{o}_{\mathbb{C}} := \mathfrak{a}_{G\mathbb{C}}^*/R$ . Thus  $\mathfrak{o}_{\mathbb{C}}$  is a  $\mathbb{C}$ -manifold. Let  $d\nu$  be the Euclidean measure on  $\mathfrak{a}_G^*$  such that  $\mathfrak{a}_G^*/R$  has volume 1.

This construction defines the *canonical* measure  $d\omega$  on the space  $\mathcal{E}_2(M)$  of unitary discrete series, for each Levi subgroup  $M$  of  $G$ .

*Example 3.* If  $G = \text{SL}_2(F)$  and  $M = A$  is the diagonal torus, we have  $\mathfrak{a}_G^* \simeq \mathbb{R}$  and  $R = \frac{2\pi}{\log q} \mathbb{Z}$  so that a fundamental domain for  $\mathfrak{a}_G^*/R$  is  $\left[-\frac{\pi}{\log q}, \frac{\pi}{\log q}\right)$  and  $d\nu = \frac{\log q}{2\pi} dx$ , where  $dx$  is the Lebesgue measure. To obtain quasicharacters of  $G$ , we associate to  $\nu \in \mathfrak{a}_{G\mathbb{C}}$  the quasicharacter  $\chi_\nu(g) = q^{i\langle \nu, H_G(g) \rangle}$ .

Therefore to compute the integral of a function  $f$  on  $\mathcal{E}_2(G)$  supported on the unramified unitary characters of  $A$ , we compute

$$\int_{\mathcal{E}_2(A)} f(\omega) d\omega = \int f(\chi_\nu) d\nu = \frac{\log q}{2\pi} \int_{\frac{-\pi}{\log q}}^{\frac{\pi}{\log q}} f(e^{it \log q}) dt = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\mathbb{T}} \frac{f(z)}{z} dz$$

if  $t \mapsto e^{it \log q} = q^s =: z$  (here  $s = it$ ) parameterizes the compact complex torus  $\mathbb{T}$ .

In general,  $\mathcal{E}_2(M)$  is the disjoint union of compact tori, and the components of the Bernstein variety are quotients of these compact tori by certain symmetric groups, namely, the Weyl groups of  $M$ . For a detailed description of this complex structure, see [HC84a], [HC84b], and [AP05]. The  $\mathcal{E}_2(M)^I$  is finite up to twist by unramified characters, by a result of Harish-Chandra [Wal03].

### 2.3 The Harish-Chandra Schwartz algebra

Let  $\mathcal{C} = \mathcal{C}(G)$  be the Harish-Chandra Schwartz algebra of  $G$ ; see [HC84a], [Wal03], or [BK18] for the definition and associated notation. In particular, we will record for future comparison with the argument in the proof of Proposition 2 that

$$q^{\frac{\ell(w)}{2}} q^{-\#W} \leq \Delta(IwI) \leq q^{\frac{\ell(w)}{2}}.$$

Let  $\mathcal{C}^{I \times I}$  the subalgebra of Iwahori-biinvariant functions. As explained in [Wal03], the Fourier transform  $f \mapsto \pi(f)$  defines an endomorphism of  $\pi$  for every  $f \in \mathcal{C}$  and every tempered representation  $\pi$ .

The Plancherel formula is the statement [BK18] that this assignment defines an isomorphism of rings

$$\mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{E}_t(G),$$

where  $\mathcal{E}_t(G)$  is the subring of the endomorphism ring of the forgetful functor  $\mathcal{M}_t(G) \rightarrow \mathbf{Vect}_{\mathbb{C}}$  defined by the following conditions:

1. For all  $\pi = \text{Ind}_P^G(\nu \otimes \omega)$ , the endomorphism  $\eta_\pi = \eta_{\nu, \omega}$  is a smooth function of  $\nu$ ;
2. The endomorphism  $\eta_\pi$  is biinvariant with respect to some open compact subgroup of  $G$ .

For computational purposes such as ours, we require this isomorphism to be explicit. In the Iwahori-spherical case, harmonic analysis on  $\mathcal{C}^{I \times I}$  can be phrased internally to  $H$  and various completions of  $H$ . In this setting Opdam gave an explicit Plancherel formula in [Opd04]. In more general settings there are explicit formulas for  $\text{GL}_n(F)$  and  $\text{Sp}_4(F)$ , which we will also make use of.

We have the obvious inclusion  $\iota: H \hookrightarrow \mathcal{C}^{I \times I}$ .

### 2.4 The algebra $J$ as a subalgebra of the Schwartz algebra.

In [BK18], Braverman and Kazhdan constructed a map of  $\mathbb{C}$ -algebras  $J \rightarrow \mathcal{C}^{I \times I}$ . We shall review this construction now. Following *loc. cit.*, let  $\mathcal{E}_J^I(G)$  denote the subring of  $\mathcal{E}_t(G)$  defined by the following conditions on the endomorphisms  $\eta_\pi$ :

1. For all  $\pi = \text{Ind}_P^G(\nu \otimes \omega)$ , the endomorphism  $\eta_\pi = \eta_{\nu, \omega}$  is a rational function of  $\nu$ , regular on the set of non-strictly positive  $\nu$  (see Definition 10).
2. The endomorphism  $\eta_\pi$  is  $I \times I$ -biinvariant.

**Theorem 7** ([BK18], Theorem 1.8). *Let  $G$  be a connected split reductive group over  $F$ . Then the following statements hold*

1. *Let  $(\pi, V)$  be a tempered representation of  $G$ . Then the action of  $H$  on  $V^I$  extends uniquely to  $J$ .*
2. *Let  $P = MN$  be a Levi subgroup of  $G$  and  $\omega$  an irreducible tempered representation of  $M$ . Let  $\chi^{-1}$  be a non-strictly positive character of  $M$  and let  $(\pi, V) = \text{Ind}_P^G(\omega \otimes \chi)$ . Then the action of  $H(G, I)$  on  $V^I$  extends uniquely to an action of  $J$ .*

3. The map  $t_w \mapsto (\eta_\pi(w))_{\pi \in \mathcal{M}_t(G)}$  defines an isomorphism between  $J$  and the ring  $\mathcal{E}_J^I(G)$ .

Composing this isomorphism with the inverse Fourier transform, Braverman and Kazhdan define an algebra inclusion

$$\tilde{\phi}: J \hookrightarrow \mathcal{C}^{I \times I}$$

sending

$$t_w \mapsto (\eta_\pi(w))_{\pi \in \mathcal{M}_t(G)} \mapsto f_w = \sum_{x \in \tilde{W}} A_{w,x} T_x \in \mathcal{C}^{I \times I},$$

where  $A_{w,x} = f_w(IxI)$ . By definition,  $\eta_\pi(w) = \pi(f_w)$  as endomorphisms of  $\pi$ .

We will show later that  $\tilde{\phi}$  is essentially the map  $\phi^{-1}$ .

*Remark 3.* There is a gap in the proof of injectivity of the map  $t_w \mapsto (\eta_\pi(w))_{\pi \in \mathcal{M}_t(G)}$  in [BK18]. However, we shall prove injectivity by proving injectivity of  $\tilde{\phi}$  in Corollary 4, using only the fact that  $\tilde{\phi}$  restricted to  $\phi(H)$  is equal to the inclusion of the Iwahori-Hecke algebra into the Schwartz algebra.

Implicit in [BK18] is

**Lemma 2.** *We have a commutative diagram*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} J & \xrightarrow{\tilde{\phi}} & \mathcal{C}^{I \times I} \\ \phi_q \uparrow & & \uparrow \iota \\ H & \xleftarrow{(\ )^\dagger} & H. \end{array}$$

*Proof.* Let  $\pi$  be a tempered representation of  $G$ . By [BK18], the  $H$ -action on it extends to a  $J$ -action, and by Corollary 2.6 of *loc. cit.*, we have  $\eta_\pi(\phi_q(f^\dagger)) = \pi(f)$  for any  $f \in H$ . Therefore  $\tilde{\phi} \circ \phi_q(f^\dagger) = f$  by Theorem 3 and the Matrix Paley-Wiener theorem.  $\square$

*Remark 4.* The presence of the Goldman involution is necessary for tempered representations of  $J$  to restrict to tempered representations of  $H$ . For example, in [BK18], Braverman and Kazhdan showed for  $G = \mathrm{SL}_2(F)$ , that  $\mathcal{E}_J^I(G) = \mathrm{End}(\mathrm{St}^I) \oplus \mathrm{End}_{\Phi, X_*}(\mathcal{S}^I)$ , matching the decomposition  $J = \mathbb{C}t_1 \oplus J_0$ , where  $\Phi$  is Fourier transform on the basic affine space  $\mathcal{S}$ , and  $\mathrm{St}$  is the Steinberg representation. In this case  $J$  has a unique one-dimensional representation, whereas  $H$  has one tempered one-dimensional representation  $\mathrm{St}$  and one non-tempered one-dimensional representation, the trivial representation. It is easy to compute using the formulas of [Daw21] that  $\phi(T_s)t_1 = qt_1$ , whereas  $\phi(T_s^\dagger)t_1 = -t_1$ . Thus for the Steinberg representation to restrict to the Steinberg representation, the twist is required.

## 2.5 The Plancherel formula for $\mathrm{GL}_n$

For  $G = \mathrm{GL}_n(F)$ , we have access to an explicit Plancherel measure and its Bernstein decomposition, thanks to [AP05].

Recall that for  $G = \mathrm{GL}_n(F)$ , we have bijections

$$\{\text{partitions of } n\} \leftrightarrow \{\text{Standard Levi subgroups } M \text{ of } \mathrm{GL}_n(F)\} \quad (2)$$

$$\leftrightarrow \{\text{unipotent conjugacy classes in } \mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{C})\} \quad (3)$$

$$\leftrightarrow \mathcal{N}/\mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{C})$$

$$\leftrightarrow \{2\text{-sided cells } \mathbf{c} \text{ in } \tilde{W}\} \quad (4)$$

$$\leftrightarrow \{\text{direct summands } J_{\mathbf{c}} \text{ of } J\}$$

where (2)  $\leftrightarrow$  (3) sends a unipotent conjugacy class  $u$  to the standard Levi  $M$  such that a member of  $u$  is distinguished in  $M$ , and (3)  $\leftrightarrow$  (4) is Lusztig's bijection from [Lus89a].

Let  $P = M_P N_P$  be a parabolic subgroup of  $G$  and let  $\mathcal{O}$  be an orbit in  $\mathcal{E}_2(M_P)$  under the action of the unitary unramified characters of  $M_P$  as explained in Section 2.2. Write  $W_{M_P} \subset W$  for the finite Weyl group

of  $(M_P, A_P)$ . Let  $\text{Stab}_{W_M}(\mathcal{O})$  be the stabilizer of  $\mathcal{O}$ . Recall that a parabolic subgroup of  $G$  is said to be *semistandard* if it contains  $A$ . Then the Plancherel decomposition reads

$$f = \sum_{(P=M_P N_P, \mathcal{O})/\text{association}} f_{M_P, \mathcal{O}}$$

where  $f \in \mathcal{C}(G)$ , the sum is taken over semistandard parabolic subgroups  $P$  up to association, and

$$f_{M_P, \mathcal{O}}(g) = c(G/M)^{-2} \gamma(G/M)^{-1} \# \text{Stab}_{W_M}(\mathcal{O})^{-1} \int_{\mathcal{O}} \mu_{G/M}(\omega) d(\omega) \text{trace } \pi(R_g(f)) d\omega,$$

where  $R_g f(x) = f(xg)$  is the right translation of  $f$  and  $\pi = \text{Ind}_P^G(\nu \otimes \omega)$  is the normalized parabolic induction of the twist of  $\omega$  by a unitary character  $\nu$  of  $Z(M)$ . In [AP05], each term above is explicitly calculated as a rational function of  $q$ .

**Lemma 3.** *There is a finite set  $S = \{(M, \omega) \mid \omega \in \mathcal{E}_2(M)^I\}$  such that  $\text{trace}(\pi(f))$ , where  $\pi = \text{Ind}_P^G(\chi \otimes \omega)$ , is supported on  $\pi$  of the form  $\pi = \text{Ind}_P^G(\chi \otimes \omega)$  for  $\omega \in S$ , for all  $I$ -biinvariant Schwartz functions  $f$ .*

*Proof.* This is entirely standard. As  $\mathcal{E}_2(M)^{I_M}$  is finite for every  $M$ , and there are finitely-many standard parabolics of  $G$ , we need only show that  $\text{Ind}_P^G(\chi \otimes \omega)^I \neq 0$  only if  $\omega^{I_M} \neq 0$ , where  $I_M$  is the Iwahori subgroup of the reductive group  $M$  relative to the Borel subgroup  $M(\mathbb{F}_q) \cap B(\mathbb{F}_q)$  of  $M(\mathbb{F}_q)$ . Note that  $I_M$  is naturally a subgroup of  $I$ . For any representation  $\sigma$  of  $M$ , if  $f \in \text{Ind}_P^G(\sigma)$  is  $I$ -fixed, then for  $i_M \in I_M$ , we have

$$f(gi_M) = \sigma(i_M) \delta_P^{\frac{1}{2}}(i_M) f(g) = f(g),$$

and so if  $\delta_P^{\frac{1}{2}}(i_M) = 1$ , then it must be that  $f(g) \in \sigma^{I_M}$ . The Iwahori factorization  $I_M = N_P^-(\pi \mathcal{O}) A(\mathcal{O}) N_M(\mathcal{O})$  and the fact that  $\text{Ad}_{n_P}$  is a representation implies that

$$\delta_P^{\frac{1}{2}}(i_M) = |\det \text{Ad}_{n_P}(N_P^-(i_M) A(i_M) N_M(i_M))|_F = |\det \text{Ad}_{n_P}(A(i_M))|_F = 1$$

by unipotence of the groups  $N_P^-$  and  $N_M$  and the fact that the image of  $i_M$  in  $M(\mathbb{F}_q)$  lies in the Borel.  $\square$

**Definition 9.** Let  $u$  be a unipotent element of a reductive group  $M$  over the complex numbers. Then  $u$  is *distinguished* in  $M$  if  $Z_M(u)$  contains no nontrivial torus.

**Proposition 1** (c.f. [Opd04] Proposition 8.3). *The Plancherel decomposition is compatible with the decomposition  $J = \bigoplus_{\mathbf{c}} J_{\mathbf{c}}$  in the sense that if  $w \in \mathbf{c}$ ,  $f = f_w$  and  $u = u(\mathbf{c})$  under Lusztig's bijection, then  $f_M \neq 0$  only for the unique Levi subgroup  $M$  such that  $u$  is distinguished in  $[\mathbf{M}^{\vee}(\mathbb{C}), \mathbf{M}^{\vee}(\mathbb{C})]$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $\pi := \text{Ind}_P^G(\nu \otimes \omega)$  be a tempered irreducible representation of  $G$  induced as usual from a standard parabolic subgroup  $P$  with Levi subgroup  $M$ . Then  $\pi^I$  is a tempered irreducible  $H$ -module, and is of the form  $K(u, s, \rho, q)$  for  $u, s \in \mathbf{G}^{\vee}(\mathbb{C})$  and  $\rho$  a representation of  $\pi_0(Z_G(u, s))$  with  $s$  compact. By [BK18], Corollary 2.6,  $K(u, s, \rho, q)$  extends to a  $J$ -module. By definition of Lusztig's bijection,  $\pi(f_w) \neq 0$  only if  $w$  is in the two-sided cell  $\mathbf{c} = \mathbf{c}(u)$  of  $\tilde{W}$  corresponding to  $u$ . On the other hand,  $K(u, s, \rho, q)$  is induced from a square-integrable standard module  $K_M(u, s, \tilde{\rho}, q)$  of  $H(M, I_M)$ . By [KL87], Theorem 8.3 and Lemma 4,  $\mathbf{M}^{\vee}(\mathbb{C})$  is the unique Levi subgroup such that  $u$  is distinguished in  $[\mathbf{M}^{\vee}(\mathbb{C}), \mathbf{M}^{\vee}(\mathbb{C})]$ . Thus  $(f_w)_M$  is the only nonzero summand in the Plancherel decomposition of  $f_w$ .  $\square$

We include the following trivial lemma for completeness, as the proof of the above proposition uses a slightly reformulated version of Theorem 8.3 of [KL87].

**Lemma 4.** *Let  $\mathbf{M}$  be a reductive group and  $M = \mathbf{M}(\mathbb{C})$ . Let  $u$  be a unipotent element of  $M$ . Then  $u$  is distinguished in  $M$  if and only if, for all semisimple elements  $s$  of  $M$ ,  $Z_M(s) \cap Z_M(u)$  contains no nontrivial torus.*

*Proof.* As we have  $Z_M(s) \cap Z_M(u) \subset Z_M(u)$ , one direction is obvious. For the converse, suppose that  $T \subset Z_M(u)$  is a nontrivial torus. By [Hum75], Prop. 16.4, choose  $s \in T$  such that  $Z_M(T) = Z_M(s)$ . Then  $Z_M(s) \cap Z_M(u) \supset T$ , a contradiction.  $\square$

## 2.6 Plancherel measure for $\mathrm{GL}_n$

We refer to [AP05], §5, for a summary of the Bernstein decomposition of the tempered irreducible representations of  $\mathrm{GL}_n$ , in particular we use the description in *loc. cit.* of the Bernstein component parameterizing the  $I$ -spherical representations of  $G$ .

As we shall be applying the Plancherel formula only to Iwahori-biinvariant functions, it suffices to consider only irreducible tempered representations with Iwahori-fixed vectors. For  $\mathrm{GL}_n$ , the only such representations are of the form

$$\pi = \mathrm{Ind}_P^G(\chi_1 \mathrm{St}_1 \boxtimes \cdots \boxtimes \chi_k \mathrm{St}_k)$$

where  $\mathrm{St}_i$  is the Steinberg representation of  $\mathrm{GL}_i$ , and  $P \supset M = \mathrm{GL}_{l_1} \times \cdots \times \mathrm{GL}_{l_k}$ .

These representations are parameterized as follows. Let  $M$  be a Levi subgroup corresponding to the partition  $l_1 + \cdots + l_k = n$ , and let  $\mathbb{T}^n$  be the rank  $n$  compact complex torus. Define  $\gamma \in \mathfrak{S}_n$  by  $\gamma = (1 \dots l_1)(1 \dots l_2) \cdots (1 \dots l_k)$ , so that the fixed-point set  $(\mathbb{T}^n)^\gamma = \{(z_1, \dots, z_1, \dots, z_k, \dots, z_k)\} \simeq \mathbb{T}^k$ . Then the irreducible tempered representations with Iwahori-fixed vectors induced from  $M$  are parameterized by the compact orbifold  $(\mathbb{T}^n)^\gamma / Z_{\mathfrak{S}_n}(\gamma)$ . For Iwahori-biinvariant Schwartz functions, the Plancherel formula is known explicitly for all connected reductive groups, and is due to Opdam in [Opd04]. In the case of  $G = \mathrm{GL}_n(F)$ , we shall refer instead to [AP05] (where in fact the Plancherel formula is computed explicitly in its entirety for  $\mathrm{GL}_n$ ). In the case  $\mathbf{G} = \mathrm{Sp}_4$ , we shall refer to the unpublished work [AK00] of Aubert and Kim.

**Theorem 8** ([AP05], Remark 5.6). *Let  $G = \mathrm{GL}_n$  and  $M = \mathrm{GL}_{l_1} \times \cdots \times \mathrm{GL}_{l_k}$  be a Levi subgroup. Then the Plancherel measure of  $H$  on  $X^\gamma / Z(\gamma)$  is*

$$d\nu_H(\omega) = \prod_{i=1}^k \frac{q^{l_i^2 - l_i} (q-1)^{l_i}}{l_i (q^{l_i} - 1)} \cdot q^{\frac{n-n^2}{2}} \cdot \prod_{(i,j,g)} \frac{q^{2g+1} (z_i - z_j q^g) (z_i - q^{-g} z_j)}{(z_i - z_j q^{-g-1}) (z_i - q^{g+1} z_j)},$$

where the tuples  $(i, j, g)$  are tuples of integers such that  $0 \leq i < j \leq k$  and  $|g_i - g_j| \leq g \leq g_i + g_j$ , where  $g_i = \frac{l_i - 1}{2}$  and the numbers  $g$  are taken from  $\frac{1}{2}\mathbb{Z}$ .

This is the measure that we will integrate against, by successively applying the residue theorem. When carrying out explicit calculations, we will usually elide the constant

$$\prod_{i=1}^k \frac{q^{l_i^2 - l_i} (q-1)^{l_i}}{l_i (q^{l_i} - 1)} \cdot q^{\frac{n-n^2}{2}}$$

as it depends only on  $M$ . We shall abbreviate

$$\Gamma_{i,j,g} := q^{-2g-1} \left| \Gamma_F(q^{-g} \frac{z_i}{z_j}) \right|^2 = \frac{(z_i - q^g z_j) (z_i - q^{-g} z_j)}{(z_i - z_j q^{-g-1}) (z_i - q^{g+1} z_j)},$$

and recall that, as noted in the proof of Theorem 5.1 in [AP05], the function  $(z_1, \dots, z_k) \mapsto \prod_{(i,j,g)} \Gamma_{i,j,g}$  is  $Z_{\mathfrak{S}_n}(\gamma)$ -invariant. Hence for the purposes of integration, we may allow ourselves to integrate simply over  $\mathbb{T}^k$ . Moreover, there are many cancellations between the  $\Gamma_{i,j,g}$  for a fixed pair  $i < j$  as  $g$  varies. Indeed, putting  $q_{ij} = q^{|g_i - g_j|}$ ,  $q^{ij} = q^{g_i + g_j + 1}$ , and

$$\Gamma^{ij} := \frac{(z_i - q_{ij} z_j) (z_i - (q_{ij})^{-1} z_j)}{(z_i - q^{ij} z_j) (z_i - (q^{ij})^{-1} z_j)}$$

we have

$$\prod \Gamma_{i,j,g} = \Gamma^{ij},$$

where the product is taken over all integers  $g$  appearing in triples  $(i, j, g)$  for  $i < j$  fixed. We set

$$c_M := \prod_{(i,j,g)} q^{2g+1} \cdot \prod_{i=1}^k \frac{q^{l_i^2 - l_i} (q-1)^{l_i}}{l_i (q^{l_i} - 1)} \cdot q^{\frac{n-n^2}{2}},$$

where the first product is taken over  $(i, j, g)$  such that  $1 \leq i < j \leq k$  and  $|g_i - g_j| \leq g \leq g_i + g_j$ .

## 2.7 Beyond type $A$ : the Plancherel formula following Opdam

Beyond type  $A$ , we still have available Opdam's explicit Plancherel formula for Iwahori-Hecke algebra [Opd04]. In certain cases, more precise knowledge of formal degrees is available than the general facts given in *loc. cit.*

Let  $\mathbf{G}$  be a connected reductive algebraic group (to avoid redundancy of Dynkin type other than type  $A$ ) and  $G = \mathbf{G}(F)$ . Let  $f$  be an Iwahori-biinvariant Schwartz function on  $G$  and let  $M$  be a Levi subgroup of  $G$ . Given a parabolic subgroup  $\mathbf{P}$  of  $\mathbf{G}$ , let  $R_{1,+}$  and  $R_{P,1,+}$  be defined as in [Opd04], Section 2.3. Recall that the group of unramified characters of a Levi subgroup  $M$  has structure of a complex torus, and is in fact a maximal torus of  $\mathbf{M}^\vee(\mathbb{C})$ . In particular, if  $P$  is a parabolic subgroup and  $\alpha$  is a root of  $(M_P, A_P)$ , then it makes sense to write  $\alpha^\vee(\nu)$  for any unramified character  $\nu$  of  $M$ . Then, altering Opdam's notation to match our own from Section 2.5, the Plancherel formula reads

**Theorem 9** ([Opd04] Thm. 4.43).

$$f_{M,\mathcal{O}}(1) = q^{-\ell(w^P)} \frac{1}{\#\text{Stab}_{W_M}(\mathcal{O})} \int_{\mathcal{O}} d(\omega) \prod_{\alpha^\vee \in R_{1,+} \setminus R_{P,1,+}} \frac{|1 - \alpha^\vee(\nu)|^2}{|1 + q^{\frac{1}{2}} \alpha^\vee(\nu)|^2 |1 - q^{\frac{1}{2}} q_{2\alpha} \alpha^\vee(\nu)^{1/2}|^2} \text{trace}(\pi(f)) d\omega \quad (5)$$

where  $\pi = \text{Ind}_P^G(\omega \otimes \nu)$ ,  $P \supset M$ , and where  $q_\alpha$  and  $q_{2\alpha}$  are powers of  $q$ , and  $w^P$  is the longest element in the complement  $W^P$  to the parabolic subgroup  $W_P$  of  $W$ .

Note that whenever  $q_{2\alpha} = 1$ , which holds whenever  $\alpha^\vee \notin 2X_*$ , the factor for  $\alpha$  reduces to

$$\frac{(z_i - z_j^{\pm 1})^2 q_\alpha^{-1}}{(z_i - q_\alpha^{-1} z_j^{\pm 1})(z_i - q_\alpha z_j^{\pm 1})}.$$

In types  $A$  (as we have used above) and  $D$ , this simplification always occurs. In types  $B$  and  $C$ , it happens for all roots except  $\alpha = 2\epsilon_i \in R_{1,+}(B_n)$  and  $\alpha = 4\epsilon_i \in R_{1,+}(C_n)$ , where  $\epsilon_i$  is the character  $\text{diag}(a, \dots, a_n) \mapsto a_i$ .

## 3 Regularity of the trace

In order to extract information about the expansion of the elements  $t_w$  in terms of the basis  $T_x$  via the Plancherel formula, we must pause briefly to establish a regularity property of  $\text{trace} \pi(t_w)$  where  $\pi$  is an irreducible tempered representation of  $G$ . The needed property follows trivially from Theorem 7.

**Definition 10** ([BK18], §1.7). Let  $P = M_P N_P$ . A character  $\chi: M_P \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^\times$  of  $M_P$  is *non-strictly positive* if for all root subgroups  $U_\alpha \subset N_P$ , we have  $|\chi(\alpha^\vee(x))|_\infty \geq 1$  for  $|x|_F \geq 1$ .

**Definition 11.** We say a non-strictly positive character  $\chi$  is *strictly positive* if for all root subgroups  $U_\alpha \subset N_P$ , we have  $|\chi(\alpha^\vee(x))|_\infty > 1$  for  $|x|_F \geq 1$ .

Of course, it suffices to test this for  $x = \varpi^{-1}$ . The condition for  $\chi^{-1}$  to be non-strictly positive is then that  $|\chi(\alpha^\vee(\varpi))|_\infty \geq 1$ . If  $\nu$  corresponds to the vector  $(z_1, \dots, z_n) \in \mathbb{C}^n$ , then the condition that  $\nu^{-1}$  is non-strictly positive translate to  $|z_n| \geq |z_{n-1}| \geq \dots \geq |z_1|$ . Such conditions divide  $\mathbb{C}^n$  into chambers, on which the Weyl group  $\mathfrak{S}_n$  clearly acts simply-transitively. Interior points correspond to  $\nu$  such that  $\nu^{-1}$  is strictly positive.

### 3.1 Intertwining operators

The goal is to use the property that elements of  $\mathcal{E}_J(G)$  commute with all intertwining operators, and regularity of the trace for unitary and non-strictly positive characters of  $M$  to deduce regularity of the trace at all characters of  $M$ .

Let  $\omega$  be a discrete series representation of a Levi subgroup  $M$  of  $G$ , and let  $\nu$  be any unramified character  $M$ , not necessarily unitary. Then we may form the tempered representation  $\pi = \text{Ind}_P^B(\nu \otimes \omega)$  of  $G$ , where  $P$



is a parabolic with Levi factor  $M$ . We will now recall some well-known facts about the action of the Weyl group of  $M$  on such representations  $\pi$ . Let  $\theta$  and  $\theta'$  be two subsets of  $\Delta$  corresponding to Levi subgroups  $M$  and  $M'$ . Let  $w \in W$  be such that  $w\theta = \theta'$ . Then there is an intertwining operator

$$J_{P|P'}(\omega, \chi): \text{Ind}_P^B(\nu \otimes \omega) \rightarrow \text{Ind}_{P'}^B(\nu \otimes \omega)$$

for each  $w \in W(\theta, \theta') = \{w \in W \mid w(\theta) = \theta'\}$ . This set is nonempty only if

$$M_\theta = \text{GL}_{l_1} \times \cdots \times \text{GL}_{l_N} \quad M_{\theta'} = \text{GL}_{l'_1} \times \cdots \times \text{GL}_{l'_N}$$

and  $\{l_1, \dots, l_N\} = \{l'_1, \dots, l'_N\}$  are equal multisets. In this case,  $W(\theta, \theta') \simeq \mathfrak{S}_N$  can be viewed as acting by permuting the blocks of  $M$ . It well-known that  $J_{P|P'}(\omega, \nu)$  that is a meromorphic function of  $\nu$  with simple poles. The poles of these operators have been studied by Shahidi in [Sha81], and in the language of modules over the full Hecke algebra by Arthur in [Art89].

### 3.2 Conventions on parabolic subgroups

In the case  $\mathbf{G} = \text{GL}_n$ , we adopt the union of the notation of [Art03], §5 and [Sha81]. Let  $\alpha_{ij}: \text{diag}(t_i) \mapsto t_i t_j^{-1}$  be characters of  $T$ . The  $\alpha_{ij}$  for  $j > i$  are the positive roots of  $(\mathbf{B}, \mathbf{A})$  and  $\beta_i := \alpha_{ii+1}$  are our chosen simple roots.

We now recall the notation of [Sha81]. Given a subset  $\theta$ , we set  $\Sigma_\theta = \text{span}_{\mathbb{R}} \theta$ , and  $\Sigma_\theta^+ = \Psi^+ \cap \Sigma_\theta$  and likewise define  $\Sigma_\theta^-$ . Let  $\Sigma(\theta)$  be the roots of  $(P, A_P)$ . Define the positive roots  $\Sigma^+(\theta)$  to be the roots obtained by restriction of an element of  $\Psi^+ \setminus \Sigma_\theta^-$ .

Given two subsets  $\theta, \theta' \subset \Delta$ , following [Sha81] we set

$$W(\theta, \theta') = \{w \in W \mid w(\theta) = \theta'\},$$

and then for  $w \in W(\theta, \theta')$ , we define

$$\Sigma(\theta, \theta', w) = \{[\beta] \in \Sigma^+(\theta) \mid \beta \in \Psi^+ - \Sigma_\theta^+ \text{ and } w(\beta) \in \Psi^-\},$$

and then

$$\Sigma^\circ(\theta, \theta', w) := \{[\beta] \in \Sigma(\theta, \theta', w) \mid w_{[\beta]} \in W(A_P)\}.$$

*Remark 5.* In [Sha81] additional care about the relative case is taken in the notation. In our simple case this is of course unnecessary, and we omit it.

If  $P$  corresponds to the partition  $n_1 + \cdots + n_p$  of  $n$  and subset  $\theta \subset \Delta$ , and then  $\Sigma(\theta) = \text{span}\{\beta_{n_1}, \beta_{n_1+n_2}, \dots\}$ , where we view  $\beta_i$  as restricted to  $\mathfrak{a}_P \hookrightarrow \mathfrak{a}_G$ . Note that all the positive roots  $\Sigma^+(\theta)$  of  $(P_\theta, N_\theta)$  are in  $N_P$ . Denoting by  $[\alpha]$  the coset representing a root  $\alpha$  of  $G$  restricted to  $P$ , the positive roots in  $N_P$  are the  $\alpha_{ij}$  such that  $[\alpha_{ij}] = [\beta_{n_1+\dots+n_k}]$ .

*Example 4.* Let  $\mathbf{G} = \text{GL}_6$ , and  $P$  be the parabolic subgroup of block upper-triangular matrices

$$\begin{pmatrix} * & * & * & * & * & * \\ * & * & * & * & * & * \\ & & * & * & * & * \\ & & & * & * & * \\ & & & & * & * \\ & & & & & * \end{pmatrix}$$

corresponding to the partition  $6 = 2 + 2 + 1 + 1$  and  $\theta = \{\alpha_{12}, \alpha_{34}\}$ . We have  $A_P = \{\text{diag}(t_1, t_1, t_2, t_2, t_3, t_4)\}$ . The positive roots are  $\Sigma^+(\theta) = \{[\beta_2], [\beta_4], [\beta_5]\}$ . The Weyl group  $W(A_P) \simeq \mathfrak{S}_2 \times \mathfrak{S}_2$  acts by permuting the blocks. Note that the simple reflection sending  $\beta_4 \mapsto -\beta_4$  does not arise by permuting the blocks (*i.e.*  $(4 \ 5)$  does not send blocks to blocks), hence  $w_{[\beta_4]} \notin W(A_P)$ . Hence for any  $w \in W(\theta, \theta')$  we have  $\Sigma^\circ(\theta, \theta', w) \subseteq \{[\beta_2], [\beta_5]\}$ .

We have the following information about the poles, due, according to [Sha81], to Harish-Chandra:

**Theorem 10** ([Sha81], Corollary 2.2.2). *Let  $\omega$  be an irreducible unitary representation of  $M$ . Say that  $\omega$  is a subrepresentation of  $\text{Ind}_{P_*}^M(\omega_*)$  for a parabolic subgroup  $P_* = M_*N_*$  and  $\omega_*$  is an irreducible supercuspidal representation of  $M_*$ . Let  $\theta_* \subset \Delta$  be such that  $P_* = P_{\theta_*}$  as parabolic subgroups of  $M_*$ . Then*

*Then the operator*

$$\prod_{\alpha \in \Sigma_r^0(\theta_*, w\theta_*, w)} (1 - \chi_{\omega, \nu}^2(h_\alpha)) A(\nu, \pi, w)$$

*is holomorphic on  $\mathfrak{a}_{\theta\mathbb{C}^*}$ .*

Here  $\chi_{\omega, \nu}$  is the central character of the twisted representation  $\omega \otimes q^{\langle \nu, H_\theta(-) \rangle}$ . In particular for the purposes of the Plancherel formula, the only relevant  $\omega$  are unitary, hence have unitary central characters. Therefore  $A(\nu, \omega, w)$  is holomorphic at  $\nu$  if  $|q^{\langle \nu, H_\theta(-) \rangle}| \neq 1$ , or equivalently if

$$\Re(\langle \nu, H_\theta(-) \rangle) \neq 0.$$

In particular, there is a finite union of hyperplanes away from which each operator  $A(\nu, \pi, w)$  is holomorphic, for any  $w \in W$ .

### 3.3 Regularity of the trace

**Lemma 5.** *Let  $M$  be a Levi subgroup of  $G = \mathbf{G}(F)$  and let  $\omega$  be a discrete series representation of  $M$ . Let  $P = M_P A_P N_P$  be the standard parabolic subgroup containing  $M = M_P$  and let  $k = \text{rk } A_P$ . Let  $z_1, \dots, z_k \in \mathbb{C}^\times \in X^*(A_P) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{C}$  be define an unramified quasicharacter  $\nu = \nu(z_1, \dots, z_k)$  of  $A_P$  as in Section 2.2. Let  $\pi = \text{Ind}_P^G(\omega \otimes \nu)$ . Let  $f \in J$ . Then*

$$\text{trace}(\pi(f)) \in \mathbb{C}[z_1, \dots, z_k, z_1^{-1}, \dots, z_k^{-1}].$$

*That is, the trace is a regular function on  $(\mathbb{C}^\times)^k$ .*

*Proof.* We know a priori that

$$\text{trace}(\pi(f)) \in \mathbb{C}(z_1, \dots, z_k)$$

is a rational function of  $\nu$ , as the operator  $\pi(f)$  itself depends rationally on the variables  $z_i$  by Theorem 7. Therefore

$$\text{trace}(\pi(f)) = \frac{p(\nu)}{h(\nu)} \in \mathbb{C}(z_1, \dots, z_k).$$

By Theorem 10 and the discussion following it, for  $\nu$  in open subset of  $\mathbb{C}^k$ , we have, for every each  $w \in W$ ,

$$\frac{p(\nu)}{h(\nu)} = \frac{p(w(\nu))}{h(w(\nu))}. \quad (6)$$

Therefore (6) actually holds for all  $\nu$  i.e.  $\text{trace}(\pi(f))$  is a  $W$ -invariant rational function of  $\nu$ . When  $\nu$  is nonstrictly positive with respect to  $M$ , by Theorem 7,  $\text{trace}(\pi(f))$  has poles only of the form  $z_i^{n_i} = 0$ . The claim now follows from the  $W$ -invariance, and thus  $\text{trace}(\pi(f))$  is a regular function on  $(\mathbb{C}^\times)^k$ .  $\square$

**Lemma 6.** *Let  $d \in \tilde{W}$  be a distinguished involution and  $\pi$  be a tempered representation induced from the Levi corresponding to the two-sided cell containing  $d$  in the sense of Proposition 1. Then  $\text{trace}(\pi(f_d))$  is constant and a natural number.*

*Proof.* Let  $\mathfrak{c}$  be the two-sided cell that contains  $d$ . We have  $\text{trace}(\pi(f_d)) = \text{rank}(\pi(f_d))$ , and moreover we have that

$$\sum_{d' \in \mathfrak{c}} \text{rank}(\pi(f_{d'})) = \text{rank} \left( \sum_{d' \in \mathfrak{c}} \pi(f_{d'}) \right) = \dim \pi,$$

where the sum is over all distinguished involutions contained in  $\mathbf{c}$ , and  $\dim \pi$  is independent of  $\nu$ . Therefore on one hand,  $\text{rank}(\pi(f_d))$  is lower semicontinuous in  $\nu$ , and on the other hand,

$$\text{rank}(\pi(f_d)) = \dim \pi - \sum_{\substack{d' \in \mathbf{c} \\ d' \neq d}} \text{rank}(\pi(f_{d'}))$$

is upper semicontinuous. Therefore  $\text{rank}(\pi(f_d))$  is continuous in  $\nu$  and the lemma follows as  $\mathbb{T}$  is connected.  $\square$


**Definition 12.** We write  $\text{rank}(f_d)$  for the constant  $\text{trace}(\pi(f_d))$  for  $\pi$  as in Lemma 6.

## 4 Proof of Conjecture 1 for $\text{GL}_n$ , and Theorem 2 for general $G$

In this section,  $q > 1$ .

### 4.1 The functions $f_w$ for $\text{GL}_n$

We will need to apply the residue theorem successively in each variable, and in doing so will we will need to sum over a certain tree tracking which residues in each variable we evaluated at. Upon integrating with respect to each variable  $z_i$ , we will have poles of the form  $z_i = 0$  or  $z_i = (q^{ij})^{-1}z_j$ . For example, if we have 4 variables  $z_1, z_2, z_3, z_4$  corresponding to a Levi subgroup  $\text{GL}_{l_1} \times \text{GL}_{l_2} \times \text{GL}_{l_3} \times \text{GL}_{l_4}$ , then some of the summands obtained by successively applying the residue theorem are labelled by paths on the tree



Of course, to evaluate the entire integral for  $M$ , we would also need to consider trees whose roots are decorated with  $z_1 = (q^{12})^{-1}z_2$ , and so on, for a total of four trees.

**Definition 13.** Given a Levi subgroup  $M$  with  $N$  blocks, a *bookkeeping tree*  $T$  for  $M$  is a rooted tree with  $N - 1$  levels such that the vertices on the  $i$ -th level below the root each have  $N - i$  child vertices, and each node is decorated with an equation of the form  $z_i = 0$  or  $z_i = (q^{ij})^{-1}z_j$ , where the index  $j$  does not appear along the path from the vertex to the root, and the parent root is decorated with an equation  $z_k = (q^{ki})^{-1}z_i$  for some  $k$ . Moreover, we require that the root be decorated with equation of the form  $z_i = 0$  or  $z_i = (q^{ij})^{-1}z_j$  for  $i$  minimal. A *branch* of  $T$  is a simple path in  $T$  from the root to one of the leaves.

**Definition 14.** Given a branch  $B$  of a bookkeeping tree, a *clump* in  $B$  is an ordered subset of indices  $i$  appearing in the decorations of successive parent-child vertices, such that no decoration of the form  $z_i = 0$  occurs along the path from the closest index to the root to the farthest index from the root. We write  $C \prec B$  if  $C$  is a clump of  $B$ .

*Example 5.* The sets of indices  $\{3, 4\}$ ,  $\{2, 3, 4\}$ ,  $\{2, 3\}$ ,  $\{2, 3, 4\}$ ,  $\{2, 4\}$ , and  $\{2, 4, 3\}$  (note the ordering) are all the clumps of the above tree. The sets  $\{1, 2, 3\}$  and  $\{1, 2, 4\}$  are not clumps.

**Theorem 11.** Let  $G = \text{GL}_n(F)$  and let  $d$  be a distinguished involution such that the two-sided cell containing  $d$  corresponds to the Levi subgroup  $M$ . Let  $N + 1$  be the number of blocks in  $M$  such that the  $i$ -th block has size  $l_i$ . Let  $m_i$  be the number of blocks of size  $l_i$ . Define for  $r \leq k$

$$Q_{rk} = q^{i_k i_{k+1}} q^{i_{k-1} i_k} \dots q^{i_r i_{r+1}}.$$

Then in the notation of Sections 2.4 and 3.3,

$$f_d(1) = \frac{\text{rank}(f_d)}{m_1! \cdots m_{N+1}!} c_M \sum_{\text{trees } T} \sum_{\text{branches } B \text{ of } T} \prod_{\substack{C \prec B \\ C = \{i_0, \dots, i_t\}}} \frac{(1 - q^{l_{i_0}})(1 - q^{l_{i_1}})}{1 - q^{l_{i_0} + l_{i_1}}} \prod_{k=1}^{t-1} \frac{(1 - q^{l_{i_{k+1}}})}{(1 - q^{l_{i_k} + l_{i_{k+1}}})} \prod_{r=0}^{k-1} \frac{R_{rk}}{1 - Q_{rk} q^{i_r i_{k+1}}}, \quad (7)$$

where

$$R_{rk} = \begin{cases} 1 - Q_{rk} q^{g_{i_r} - g_{i_k}} & \text{if } k < t - 1 \\ (1 - Q_{r,t-1} q^{g_{i_r} - g_{i_{t-1}}})(1 - Q_{r,t-1} q^{g_{i_k} - g_{i_r}}) & \text{if } k = t - 1 \end{cases}.$$

**Corollary 1.** *The denominator of  $f_d(1)$  divides a power of the Poincaré polynomial  $P_{\mathbf{G}/\mathbf{B}}(q) = P_{\mathfrak{S}_n}(q)$  of  $G$ . Moreover, when  $d$  is in lowest two-sided cell, corresponding to  $M = T$ ,  $f_d(1) = \text{rank}(\pi(f_d))/P_{\mathbf{G}/\mathbf{B}}(q)$  exactly.*

*Example 6.* Let  $G = \text{GL}_2$  and  $M = T$ . Then  $l_1 = l_2 = 1$  and  $g_1 = g_2 = 0$ . Let  $d = s_0$  or  $s_1$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} f_d(1) &= \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{2\pi i} \frac{1}{2\pi i} q^{-1} \int_{\mathbb{T}} \int_{\mathbb{T}} q \frac{(z_1 - z_2)(z_1 - z_2)}{(z_1 - q^{-1}z_2)(z_1 - qz_2)} \frac{dz_1}{z_1} \frac{dz_2}{z_2} \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\mathbb{T}} \text{Res}_{z_1=q^{-1}z_2} \frac{(z_1 - z_2)(z_1 - z_2)}{(z_1 - q^{-1}z_2)(z_1 - qz_2)} \frac{1}{z_1} + \text{Res}_{z_1=0} \frac{(z_1 - z_2)(z_1 - z_2)}{(z_1 - q^{-1}z_2)(z_1 - qz_2)} \frac{1}{z_1} \frac{dz_2}{z_2} \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\mathbb{T}} \frac{(q^{-1}z_2 - z_2)(q^{-1}z_2 - z_2)}{(q^{-1}z_2 - qz_2)q^{-1}z_2} + \frac{z_2^2}{z_2^2} \frac{dz_2}{z_2} \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\mathbb{T}} \frac{(q^{-1} - 1)^2}{q^{-2} - 1} + 1 \frac{dz_2}{z_2} \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{(q^{-1} - 1)^2}{q^{-2} - 1} + 1 \right) \\ &= \frac{1}{q + 1}. \end{aligned}$$

The factor  $\frac{1}{2}$  reflects the fact that we integrate with the respect to the pushforward of the above  $\mathfrak{S}_2$ -invariant measure to the quotient  $\mathbb{T} \times \mathbb{T}/\mathfrak{S}_2$ .

Reassuringly, this agrees with the theorem, which instructs us to calculate  $f_d(1)$  as follows: There are two trees, each of which has one vertex and no edges. The trees are  $z_1 = 0$  and  $z_1 = q^{-1}z_2$ . Each has one branch. The first has no clumps, so the entire is product is empty. The second tree has one clump  $C = \{1, 2\}$  for which  $t = 1$ , and we obtain

$$f_d(1) = \frac{1}{2} \left( 1 + \frac{1 - q}{1 + q} \right) = \frac{1}{2} \frac{2}{1 + q} = \frac{1}{1 + q}.$$

In rank three, the computation is similar but slightly more involved.

*Example 7.* If  $G = \text{GL}_3$  and  $M = T$ , recalling the definition of  $c_M = c_T$ , we want to show that

$$\int_{\mathbb{T}} \int_{\mathbb{T}} \int_{\mathbb{T}} \frac{(z_0 - z_1)(z_0 - z_1)}{(z_0 - qz_1)(z_0 - q^{-1}z_1)} \frac{(z_0 - z_3)(z_0 - z_3)}{(z_0 - qz_3)(z_0 - q^{-1}z_3)} \frac{(z_1 - z_2)(z_1 - z_2)}{(z_1 - qz_2)(z_1 - q^{-1}z_2)} \frac{dz_1}{z_1} \frac{dz_2}{z_2} \frac{dz_3}{z_3} = 6!$$

There are three bookkeeping trees to consider, corresponding to residues at  $z_0 = 0$ ,  $z_0 = q^{-1}z_1$ , and

$z_0 = q^{-2}z_2$ . Reusing our computation from the previous example, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& \iiint \underbrace{\frac{(z_0 - z_1)(z_0 - z_1)}{(z_0 - qz_1)(z_0 - q^{-1}z_1)} \frac{(z_0 - z_3)(z_0 - z_3)}{(z_0 - qz_3)(z_0 - q^{-1}z_3)} \frac{(z_1 - z_2)(z_1 - z_2)}{(z_1 - qz_2)(z_1 - q^{-1}z_2)}}_{\mu(z_0, z_1, z_2)} \frac{dz_1}{z_1} \frac{dz_2}{z_2} \frac{dz_3}{z_3} \\
&= \iint \operatorname{Res}_{z_0=0} \frac{\mu(z_0, z_1, z_2)}{z_0 z_1 z_2} + \operatorname{Res}_{z_0=q^{-1}z_1} \frac{\mu(z_0, z_1, z_2)}{z_0 z_1 z_2} + \operatorname{Res}_{z_0=q^{-1}z_2} \frac{\mu(z_0, z_1, z_2)}{z_0 z_1 z_2} dz_0 dz_1 dz_2 \\
&= \iint \frac{(z_1 - z_2)(z_1 - z_2)}{(z_1 - qz_2)(z_1 - q^{-1}z_2)} \frac{1}{z_1 z_2} dz_1 dz_2 \\
&+ \frac{(q^{-1} - 1)(q^{-1} - 1)}{(q^{-1} - q)q^{-1}} \iint \frac{(q^{-1}z_1 - z_2)(q^{-1}z_1 - z_2)}{(q^{-1}z_1 - qz_2)(q^{-1}z_1 - q^{-1}z_2)} \frac{(z_1 - z_2)(z_1 - z_2)}{(z_1 - qz_2)(z_1 - q^{-1}z_2)} \frac{1}{z_1 z_2} dz_1 dz_2 \\
&+ \frac{(q^{-1} - 1)(q^{-1} - 1)}{(q^{-1} - q)q^{-1}} \iint \frac{(q^{-2}z_2 - z_1)(q^{-2}z_2 - z_1)}{(q^{-2}z_2 - qz_1)(q^{-2}z_2 - q^{-2}z_1)} \frac{(z_2 - z_1)(z_2 - z_1)}{(z_2 - qz_1)(z_2 - q^{-2}z_1)} \frac{2}{z_2 z_1} dz_2 dz_1 \\
&= \frac{2}{1+q} + 2 \frac{1-q}{1+q} \iint \underbrace{\frac{(z_1 - qz_2)(z_1 - qz_2)}{(z_1 - q^2z_2)(z_1 - z_2)} \frac{(z_1 - z_2)(z_1 - z_2)}{(z_1 - qz_2)(z_1 - q^{-1}z_2)}}_{\mu_2(z_1, z_2)} \frac{1}{z_1 z_2} dz_1 dz_2 \\
&= \frac{2}{1+q} + 2 \frac{1-q}{1+q} \int \operatorname{Res}_{z_1=0} \frac{\mu_2(z_1, z_2)}{z_1 z_2} + \operatorname{Res}_{z_1=q^{-1}z_2} \frac{\mu_2(z_1, z_2)}{z_1 z_2} dz_2 \\
&= \frac{2}{1+q} + 2 \frac{1-q}{1+q} \left( 1 + \frac{(1-q^2)}{1+q+q^2} \right) \\
&= 2 \left( \frac{1}{1+q} + \frac{(1-q)(1+q+q^2) + (1-q)(1-q^2)}{(1+q)(1+q+q^2)} \right) \\
&= 2 \left( \frac{1+q+q+q^2 + (1-q)(1+q+q^2) + (1+q)(1-q)^2}{(1+q)(1+q+q^2)} \right) \\
&= \frac{6!}{(1+q)(1+q+q^2)}.
\end{aligned}$$

*Proof of Corollary 1.* We will show that each of the three forms of denominator that appear in the conclusion of Theorem 11 divide  $P_{\mathbf{G}/\mathbf{B}}(q)$ , and thus that their product divides a power of  $P_{\mathbf{G}/\mathbf{B}}(q)$ . The denominators of  $c_M$  are all of the form  $1+q+\dots+q^{l_i-1}$ , and so divide  $P_{\mathbf{G}/\mathbf{B}}(q)$  as  $l_i \leq n$  for all  $i$ . Note that as  $l_{i_i} + l_{i_{k+1}} \leq n$ , the leftmost denominators in (7) satisfy the conclusion of the corollary also. Finally,  $Q_{rk} q^{i_r i_{k+1}} = q^{l_{i_{k+1}} + l_{i_k} + \dots + l_{i_r}}$ , and  $R_{rk}$  is likewise always a polynomial in  $q$  (as opposed to  $q^{-1}$ ) divisible by  $1-q$ . Again using that  $l_{i_{k+1}} + l_{i_k} + \dots + l_{i_r} \leq n$ , we are done with the first statement.

We now take up the second statement, the proof of which was explained to us by A. Braverman and does not use our previous arguments at all. Recalling that the only  $K$ -spherical tempered representations of  $G$  are principal series representations [Mac71], let  $\mu_K$  be the Haar measure on  $G$  such that  $\mu_K(K) = 1$ ,  $\mu_I$  be the Haar measure such that  $\mu_I(I) = 1$ , and  $\pi_K(f)$  denote the Fourier transform with respect to  $\mu_K$ . Then we have

$$\mu_I = P_{\mathbf{G}/\mathbf{B}}(q) \mu_K$$

and,

$$\operatorname{trace}(\pi(1_K)) = P_{\mathbf{G}/\mathbf{B}}(q) \operatorname{trace}(\pi_K(1_K)) = P_{\mathbf{G}/\mathbf{B}}(q) = P_{\mathbf{G}/\mathbf{B}}(q) \frac{1}{\operatorname{rank}(\pi(f_d))} \operatorname{trace}(\pi(f_d)) \quad (8)$$

for any  $d$  in the lowest two-sided cell. Therefore the Plancherel formula gives that

$$f_d(1) = \frac{\operatorname{rk}(\pi(f_d))}{P_{\mathbf{G}/\mathbf{B}}(q)} = \frac{1}{P_{\mathbf{G}/\mathbf{B}}(q)}, \quad (9)$$

where the rank is given by [Xi90], Proposition 5.5. (In *loc. cit.* there is the assumption of simple-connectedness, but it is easy to see that the distinguished involutions for the extended affine Weyl group

$\tilde{W}(\tilde{\mathbf{G}}(F))$  of the universal cover  $\tilde{\mathbf{G}}$  are distinguished involutions for  $\tilde{W}$  using the definition in [Lus87a] and uniqueness of the  $\{C_w\}$ -basis, and that the lowest cell is just the lowest cell of  $\tilde{W}(\tilde{\mathbf{G}}(F))$  intersected with  $\tilde{W}$ .)  $\square$

Note that (9) is an example of the behaviour conjectured in Remark 1.

It would be interesting to find  $I$ -biinvariant Schwartz functions  $f$  playing the role of  $\chi_K$  for the other two-sided cells, namely such that  $\text{trace } \pi(f)$  was a constant, nonzero only for a single pair  $(M, \omega)$ .

**Lemma 7.** *Let  $e_0, \dots, e_n \in \mathbb{Z}$ . Then*

$$\int_{\mathbb{T}} \cdots \int_{\mathbb{T}} z_0^{e_0} \cdots z_N^{e_N} \prod_{i < j} \Gamma^{ij} dz_0 \cdots dz_N = 0 \quad (10)$$

unless  $e_0 + \cdots + e_N = -N$ .

**Corollary 2.** *Let  $w \in \tilde{W}$ . Then  $f_w(1)$  is a rational function of  $q$  with denominator dividing a power of the Poincaré polynomial of  $G$ . The numerator is a Laurent polynomial  $p_1(q^{1/2}) + p_1(q^{-1/2})$  in  $q^{1/2}$ , where the degree of  $p_1$  is bounded uniformly in terms of  $\tilde{W}$ . The denominator of  $f_w(1)$  depends only on the two-sided cell containing  $w$ .*

*Remark 6.* In light of Lemma 5, Lemma 7 and Corollary 2 have the following interpretation. Let  $\Gamma$  be a left cell in a two-sided cell  $\mathbf{c}$ . Then in [Xi02], Xi shows that all the rings  $J_{\Gamma \cap \Gamma^{-1}}$  are isomorphic to the representation ring of the associated Levi subgroup  $M_{\mathbf{c}}$ , and  $J_{\mathbf{c}}$  is a matrix algebra over  $J_{\Gamma \cap \Gamma^{-1}}$ . Therefore  $w \in \Gamma \cap \Gamma^{-1}$  are labelled by dominant weights of  $M_{\mathbf{c}}$ , and if  $t_\lambda$  is such an element, Xi's result show that if  $\mathbf{q} = q$  and  $\pi = \text{Ind}_B^G(\nu)$  is an irreducible representation of  $J_{\mathbf{c}}$ , then

$$\text{trace}(\pi(t_\lambda)) = \text{trace}(\nu, V(\lambda)),$$

where we view  $\nu$  as a semisimple conjugacy class in  $M_{\mathbf{c}}$ . Then we have that  $f_\lambda(1) \neq 0$  only if  $\lambda$  is height 0 with respect to the basis  $\varepsilon_i: \text{diag}(a_1, \dots, a_n) \mapsto a_i$ .

The proofs of Lemma 7 and Corollary 2 will use the notation of the proof of Theorem 11, and we defer them until after the proof of the theorem.

#### 4.1.1 Proofs of Theorem 11, Lemma 7, and Corollary 2

*Proof of Theorem 11.* Let  $n = l_0 + \cdots + l_N$ . We may assume that  $l_0 \leq l_2 \leq \cdots \leq l_N$ . It suffices to evaluate the integral

$$\int_{\mathbb{T}} \cdots \int_{\mathbb{T}} \prod_{i,j,g} \Gamma^{i,j,g} \frac{dz_0}{z_0} \cdots \frac{dz_N}{z_N} = \int_{\mathbb{T}} \cdots \int_{\mathbb{T}} \prod_{i < j} \Gamma^{ij} \frac{dz_0}{z_0} \cdots \frac{dz_N}{z_N}.$$

We claim that the value of this integral is

$$\frac{1}{n!} c_M \sum_{\text{trees } T} \sum_{\text{branches } B \text{ of } T} \prod_{\substack{C \prec B \\ C = \{i_0, \dots, i_t\}}} \prod_{k=0}^{t-1} \frac{(1 - q^{i_k i_{k+1}} q_{i_k i_{k+1}})(1 - q^{i_k i_{k+1}} (q_{i_k i_{k+1}})^{-1})}{1 - (q^{i_k i_{k+1}})^2} \cdot \prod_{r=0}^{k-1} \frac{(1 - Q_{rk} q_{i_r i_{k+1}})(1 - Q_{rk} (q_{i_r i_{k+1}})^{-1})}{(1 - Q_{rk} q^{i_r i_{k+1}})(1 - Q_{rk} (q^{i_r i_{k+1}})^{-1})}, \quad (11)$$

where the sum over trees is taken over all bookkeeping trees for the integral. When  $k = 0$ , we interpret the product over  $r$  as being empty.

First we explain how (11) simplifies to (7). All cancellations will take place within the same clump  $C$  of some branch  $B$ , which we now fix. We have

$$1 - Q_{rk} (q^{i_r i_{k+1}})^{-1} = 1 - q^{g_{i_k} + g_{i_{k+1}} + 1} q^{i_k i_{k+1}} \cdots q^{i_r i_{r+1}} q^{-g_{i_r} - g_{i_{k+1}} - 1} = 1 - Q_{r,k-1} q^{g_{i_k} - g_{i_r}},$$

which is one of the factors in the product  $(1 - Q_{r,k-1}q_{i_r,i_k})(Q_{r,k-1}(q_{i_r,i_k})^{-1})$ . The surviving factor in the numerator at index  $(k-1, r)$  is then equal to  $(1 - Q_{r,k-1}q^{g_{i_r}-g_{i_k}})$ . In short, the above factors in the denominator cancel with a numerator occurring with the same  $r$ -index but  $k$ -index one lower. Such a factor occurs whenever  $r < k-1$  (note that this inequality does not hold when  $k=1$  and  $r=0$ ). When  $r=k-1$ , we have

$$1 - Q_{k-1,k}(q^{i_k i_{k+1}})^{-1} = 1 - q^{i_k i_{k+1}} q^{i_{k-1} i_k} (q^{i_{k-1} i_{k+1}})^{-1} = q^{g_{i_k} + g_{i_{k+1}} + 1} q^{g_{i_{k-1}} - g_{i_{k+1}}} = 1 - q^{2g_{i_k} + 1},$$

which one of the factors in  $(1 - q^{i_{k+1} i_k} q_{i_k i_{k+1}})(1 - q^{i_k i_{k+1}} (q_{i_k i_{k+1}})^{-1})$ . The cancellation leaves behind the factor  $1 - q^{l_{i_{k+1}}}$  in the numerator, except for  $k=0$ ; this term keeps both its denominators. At this point we have shown that the factor corresponding to  $C$  in (11) simplifies to

$$\frac{(1 - q^{l_{i_0}})(1 - q^{l_{i_1}})}{1 - q^{l_{i_0} + l_{i_1}}} \prod_{k=1}^{t-1} \frac{(1 - q^{l_{i_{k+1}}})}{(1 - q^{l_{i_k} + l_{i_{k+1}}})} \prod_{r=0}^{k-1} \frac{R_{rk}}{1 - Q_{rk} q^{i_r i_{k+1}}},$$

where

$$R_{rk} = \begin{cases} 1 - Q_{rk} q^{g_{i_r} - g_{i_k}} & \text{if } k < t-1 \\ (1 - Q_{r,t-1} q^{g_{i_r} - g_{i_{t-1}}})(1 - Q_{r,t-1} q^{g_{i_k} - g_{i_r}}) & \text{if } k = t-1 \end{cases}.$$

This means that (11) simplifies to (7).

To prove (11), we will use the residue theorem for each variable consecutively, keeping track of the constant expressions in  $q$  that we extract after integrating with respect to each variable  $z_i$ . More precisely, we will track what happens in a single summand corresponding to some successive choosing of poles to take residues at. Note that all the rational functions that will appear, namely the  $\Gamma^{ij}$  or the rational functions that result from substitutions into the  $\Gamma^{ij}$ , become equal to 1 once  $z_i$  or  $z_j$  is set to zero. Therefore poles at  $z_i = 0$  serve simply to remove all factors involving  $z_i$  from inside the integrand (we will see what these rational functions are below). It follows that the summand whose branch we are computing is a product over clumps in the corresponding branch, so it suffices to compute the value of a given clump for some ordered subsets  $\{i_0, i_1, \dots, i_l\}$  of the indices  $\{0, \dots, N\}$ . As we are inside a clump, we will consider only poles occurring at nonzero complex numbers. Thus we are left only to determine what happens within a single clump.

We first integrate with respect to the variable  $z_{i_0}$ . The residue theorem gives

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\mathbb{T}} \dots \int_{\mathbb{T}} \prod_{i < j} \Gamma^{ij} \frac{dz_{i_0}}{z_{i_0}} \dots \frac{dz_{i_l}}{z_{i_l}} \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{T}} \dots \int_{\mathbb{T}} \sum_{l \neq i_0} \text{Res}_{z_{i_0} = (q^{i_0 l})^{-1} z_l} \frac{1}{z_{i_0}} \prod_{i < j} \Gamma^{ij} \frac{dz_{i_1}}{z_{i_1}} \dots \frac{dz_{i_l}}{z_{i_l}} + \int_{\mathbb{T}} \dots \int_{\mathbb{T}} \prod_{\substack{i < j \\ i, j \neq i_0}} \Gamma^{ij} \frac{dz_{i_1}}{z_{i_1}} \dots \frac{dz_{i_l}}{z_{i_l}}. \end{aligned}$$

As noted above, the second integral belongs to a different clump; our procedure will deal with it separately, and we will now consider what happens with the first integral.

For the first integral, consider one of the summands corresponding to  $z_{i_0} = (q^{i_0 i_1})^{-1} z_{i_1}$  for some  $i_1$ . We have,

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\mathbb{T}} \dots \int_{\mathbb{T}} \text{Res}_{z_{i_0} = (q^{i_0 i_1})^{-1} z_{i_1}} \frac{1}{z_{i_0}} \prod_{i < j} \Gamma^{ij} \frac{dz_{i_1}}{z_{i_1}} \dots \frac{dz_{i_l}}{z_{i_l}} \\ &= \frac{(1 - q^{i_0 i_1} q_{i_0 i_1})(1 - q^{i_0 i_1} (q_{i_0 i_1})^{-1})}{1 - (q^{i_0 i_1})^2} \int_{\mathbb{T}} \dots \int_{\mathbb{T}} \prod_{j \neq i_1, i_0} \frac{(z_{i_1} - q^{i_0 i_1} q_{i_0 j} z_j)(z_{i_1} - q^{i_0 i_1} (q_{i_0 j})^{-1} z_j)}{(z_{i_1} - q^{i_0 i_1} q^{i_0 j} z_j)(z_{i_1} - q^{i_0 i_1} (q^{i_0 j})^{-1} z_j)} \\ & \quad \prod_{\substack{i < j \\ i, j \neq i_0}} \Gamma^{ij} \frac{dz_{i_2}}{z_{i_2}} \dots \frac{dz_{i_l}}{z_{i_l}}. \end{aligned}$$

Recall that, if  $i_1 > 2$ , even though formally we have defined the symbols  $q_{ij}$  and  $q^{ij}$  only for  $i < j$ , the symmetry of the factors allows us to write  $q_{ij}$  even if  $i > j$ , thanks to the factor with  $(q_{ij})^{-1}$  also present in the numerator.

Now we integrate with respect to  $z_{i_1}$ . Observe that the leftmost product over  $j \neq i_1, i_0$  does not contribute poles. The first factors in the denominators do not have zeros contained in  $\mathbb{T}$ , and the second factors in the denominator in each case have their zero at  $z_{i_1} = q^{i_0 i_1} (q^{i_0 i_2})^{-1} z_{i_2}$ . The power of  $q$  appearing is

$$g_{i_0} + g_{i_1} + 1 - (g_{i_0} + g_{i_2} + 1) = g_{i_1} - g_{i_2},$$

and so  $q^{i_0 i_1} (q^{i_0 i_2})^{-1}$  is equal to  $q_{i_1 i_2}$  or  $(q_{i_2 i_1})^{-1}$ , whichever is defined. Thus this zero cancels with a zero in the numerator of  $\Gamma^{i_1 i_2}$  or  $\Gamma^{i_2 i_1}$ , whichever is defined. Therefore we need only consider the simple poles at  $z_{i_1} = (q^{i_1 i_2})^{-1} z_{i_2}$  for  $i_1 < i_2$  and  $z_{i_1} = (q^{i_2 i_1})^{-1} z_{i_2}$  for  $i_2 < i_1$ . Observe that the residues will be the same for either inequality. In the case  $i_2 < i_1$ , for example,  $\Gamma^{i_2 i_1}$  needs to be rewritten so that its simple pole inside  $\mathbb{T}$  is in the correct format to calculate the residue by substitution:

$$\begin{aligned} & \text{Res}_{z_{i_1}=(q^{i_2 i_1})^{-1} z_{i_2}} \frac{(z_{i_2} - q_{i_2 i_1} z_{i_1})(z_{i_2} - (q_{i_2 i_1})^{-1} z_{i_1})}{(z_{i_2} - q^{i_2 i_1} z_{i_1})(z_{i_2} - (q^{i_2 i_1})^{-1} z_{i_1})} \frac{1}{z_{i_1}} \\ &= \text{Res}_{z_{i_1}=(q^{i_2 i_1})^{-1} z_{i_2}} \frac{-(q^{i_2 i_1})^{-1} (z_{i_2} - q_{i_2 i_1} z_{i_1})(z_{i_2} - (q_{i_2 i_1})^{-1} z_{i_1})}{(z_{i_2} - q^{i_2 i_1} z_{i_1})(z_{i_2} - (q^{i_2 i_1})^{-1} z_{i_1})} \frac{1}{z_{i_1}} \\ &= \frac{(1 - q^{i_2 i_1} q_{i_2 i_1})(1 - q^{i_2 i_1} (q_{i_2 i_1})^{-1})}{1 - (q^{i_2 i_1})^2}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore after integrating within the clump at hand with respect to  $z_{i_0}$  and then  $z_{i_1}$ , we have the expression.

$$\frac{(1 - q^{i_0 i_1} q_{i_0 i_1})(1 - q^{i_0 i_1} (q_{i_0 i_1})^{-1})}{1 - (q^{i_0 i_1})^2} \frac{(1 - q^{i_2 i_1} q_{i_0 i_1} q_{i_0 i_2})(1 - q^{i_2 i_1} q^{i_0 i_1} (q_{i_0 i_2})^{-1})}{(1 - q^{i_2 i_1} q^{i_0 i_1} q^{i_0 i_2})(1 - q^{i_2 i_1} q^{i_0 i_1} (q^{i_0 i_2})^{-1})} \frac{(1 - q^{i_2 i_1} q_{i_2 i_1})(1 - q^{i_2 i_1} (q_{i_2 i_1})^{-1})}{(1 - (q^{i_2 i_1})^2)} \quad (12)$$

$$\int_{\mathbb{T}} \cdots \int_{\mathbb{T}} \prod_{j \neq i_0, i_1, i_2} \frac{(z_{i_2} - q^{i_1 i_2} q^{i_0 i_1} q_{i_0 j} z_j)(z_{i_2} - q^{i_1 i_2} q^{i_0 i_1} (q_{i_0 j})^{-1} z_j)}{(z_{i_2} - q^{i_1 i_2} q^{i_0 i_1} q^{i_0 j} z_j)(z_{i_2} - q^{i_1 i_2} q^{i_0 i_1} (q^{i_0 j})^{-1} z_j)} \quad (13)$$

$$\cdot \prod_{j \neq i_0, i_1, i_2} \frac{(z_{i_2} - q^{i_1 i_2} q_{i_1 j} z_j)(z_{i_2} - q^{i_1 i_2} (q_{i_1 j})^{-1} z_j)}{(z_{i_2} - q^{i_1 i_2} q^{i_1 j} z_j)(z_{i_2} - q^{i_1 i_2} (q^{i_1 j})^{-1} z_j)} \prod_{\substack{i < j \\ i, j \neq i_0, i_1}} \Gamma^{ij} \frac{dz_{i_2}}{z_{i_2}} \cdots \frac{dz_{i_k}}{z_{i_k}}. \quad (14)$$

Now we integrate with respect to  $z_{i_2}$ . Again, only poles from the product of  $\Gamma^{ij}$ 's occur with nonzero residues: in total we have simple poles contained in  $\mathbb{T}$  possibly at  $z_{i_2} = q^{i_1 i_2} q^{i_0 i_1} (q^{i_0 j})^{-1} z_j$ , at  $z_{i_2} = q^{i_1 i_2} (q^{i_1 j})^{-1} z_j$  and at  $z_{i_2} = (q^{i_2 j})^{-1} z_j$  for  $j \neq i_0, i_1, i_2$ . It may happen that these poles are not all distinct, but all zeros in the denominator of the former two types are in fact cancelled by zeros of denominator anyway. The necessary factors occur in the product immediately adjacent on the right. Indeed, we have

$$g_{i_1} + g_{i_2} + 1 + g_{i_0} + g_{i_1} + 1 - g_{i_0} - g_j - 1 = g_{i_1} + g_{i_2} + 1 + g_{i_1} - g_j$$

and so either  $q^{i_1 i_2} q^{i_0 i_1} (q_{i_0 j})^{-1} = q^{i_1 i_2} q_{i_1 j}$  or  $q^{i_1 i_2} q^{i_0 i_1} (q_{i_0 j})^{-1} = q^{i_1 i_2} (q_{i_1 j})^{-1}$  (or  $q_{j i_1}$  or  $(q_{j i_1})^{-1}$ ).

Likewise we have

$$g_{i_1} + g_{i_2} + 1 - g_{i_1} - g_j - 1 = g_{i_2} - g_j$$

as happened when we integrated with respect to  $z_{i_0}$ .

Now we see the following pattern: At each stage, we integrate with respect to the variable we took a residue at in the previous step. When integrating with respect to  $z_{i_r}$ , there will be  $r + 1$  products of rational functions, and each rational function in the leftmost  $r$  products will contribute a pole, in addition to the pole contributed by  $\Gamma^{i_r i_{r+1}}$  or  $\Gamma^{i_{r+1} i_r}$ , whichever is defined. However, each is nullified by having zero residue thanks to a denominator in the product immediately to the right. Integrating with respect to  $z_{i_r}$  will result in extracting  $r + 1$  new rational factors, each of the form claimed in the theorem. When it comes to integrating with respect to  $z_{i_k}$ , all variables  $z_{i_k}$  will cancel from the remains of the Gamma functions by homogeneity, and the factor  $\frac{1}{z_{i_k}}$  will result in the final integral contributing just the remaining  $k + 1$  rational factors.  $\square$



Lemma 7 is a porism of the preceding proof.

*Proof of Lemma 7.* We will evaluate the integral in (10) by applying the residue theorem successively for each variable as in the proof of Theorem 11. Note that as functions of any variable  $z_k$ , the functions  $\prod_{i<j} \Gamma^{ij}$  and all the other products, for example those appearing in (12), have numerator and denominator with equal degrees. There the overall sum of powers of all  $z_i$  in the integrand is  $e_0 + \dots + e_N$ .

When evaluating (10) along a single branch, we find again that the only poles that appear are of the form  $z_i = (q^{ij})^{-1}z_j$ , or  $z_i^{e_i} = 0$  for  $e_i < 0$ . We will track the effect that evaluating each successive has on the total degree of the integrand, and then conclude using the fact that  $\int_{\mathbb{T}} z^r dz = 2\pi i \delta_{r,-1}$ . First, observe that evaluating a residue of the form  $z_i = (q^{ij})^{-1}z_j$  increases the sum of all powers by 1 (a factor  $z_j$  is contributed to the resulting integrand). The sum of all powers is likewise increased by 1 when evaluating the residue at a simple pole  $z_i^{-1} = 0$ . To compute the residue at a pole  $z_i^{-e_i} = 0$  for  $e_i > 1$ , consider the Taylor expansion at 0 of  $\Gamma^{ij}$ . We have

$$\frac{1}{z_i - q^{ij}z_j} = -\frac{1}{q^{ij}z_j} - \frac{z_i}{(q^{ij}z_j)^2} - \frac{z_i^3}{(q^{ij}z_j)^3} - \dots$$

and

$$\frac{1}{z_i - (q^{ij})^{-1}z_j} = -\frac{q^{ij}}{z_j} - \left(\frac{q^{ij}}{z_j}\right)^2 z_i - \left(\frac{q^{ij}}{z_j}\right)^3 z_i^2 - \dots$$

Multiplying these series and further multiplying by the denominator  $z_i^2 - (q_{ij} + q_{ij}^{-1})z_i z_j + z_j$ , it follows that the Taylor expansion of  $\Gamma^{ij}$  is

$$\begin{aligned} & 1 + \frac{q^{ij} + (q^{ij})^{-1} - q_{ij} - q_{ij}^{-1}}{z_j} z_i + \frac{(q^{ij})^2 + 2 + (q^{ij})^{-2} - (q_{ij} + q_{ij}^{-1})(q^{ij} + q^{-ij})}{z_j} z_i^2 + \\ & \dots + \frac{-(q_{ij} + q_{ij}^{-1})((q^{ij})^{n-1} + \dots + (q^{ij})^{-n+1}) + (q^{ij})^{n-2} + \dots + (q^{ij})^{-n+2} + (q^{ij})^n + \dots + (q^{ij})^{-n}}{z_j^n} z_i^n + \dots \end{aligned} \quad (15)$$

It is clear that the salient point of (15), that  $z_i^n$  appears with a coefficient proportional to  $z_j^{-n}$ , holds also for the all the products like those in (12). Thus computing a residue at  $z_i^{-e_i} = 0$  will result in a new integrand, the total degree of which has increased by  $e_i - e_i + 1 = 1$ . It now follows that after integrating with respect to  $N - 1$  variables, the final integral to be computed will be a constant times  $\int_{\mathbb{T}} z_N^{e_0 + \dots + e_N + N - 1} z_N$ . This is nonzero if and only if  $e_0 + \dots + e_N = -N$ . Summing over all branches of a bookkeeping tree, we see that (10) is nonzero only if  $e_0 + \dots + e_N = -N$ .  $\square$

We can now prove Corollary 2.

*Proof of Corollary 2.* In light of Lemmas 5 and 7, it is enough to prove that the conclusions of the present corollary hold for integrals of the form (10) with  $e_0 + \dots + e_N = -N$ . If  $e_i = -1$  for all  $i$  then the integral (10) is just the integral from Theorem 11. Otherwise there is some  $e_{i_0} \geq 0$ . We may assume that  $i_0 = 0$ . Then the only poles in  $z_0$  are of the form  $z_0 = (q^{0i_1})^{-1}z_{i_1}$  for indices  $i_1 > 0$ . Therefore we compute that (10) is equal to to a sum of terms of the form

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{(1 - q^{0i_1}q_{0i_1})(1 - q^{0i_1}(q_{0i_1})^{-1})}{1 - (q^{0i_1})^2} (q^{0i_1})^{-e_0-1} \int_{\mathbb{T}} \dots \int_{\mathbb{T}} z_{i_1}^{e_{i_1}+1+e_0} z_{i_2}^{e_{i_2}} \dots z_{i_N}^{e_{i_N}} \\ & \cdot \prod_{j \neq i_1, 0} \frac{(z_{i_1} - q^{0i_1}q_{0j}z_j)(z_{i_1} - q^{0i_1}(q_{0j})^{-1}z_j)}{(z_{i_1} - q^{0i_1}q^{0j}z_j)(z_{i_1} - q^{0i_1}(q^{0j})^{-1}z_j)} \prod_{\substack{i < j \\ i, j \neq i_0}} \Gamma^{ij} dz_{i_1} \dots dz_{i_N}. \end{aligned} \quad (16)$$

The total degree of the integrand is now  $e_0 + \dots + e_N + 1 = -(N - 1)$ . Therefore either  $e_{i_0} + e_{i_1} + 1 = e_{i_2} = \dots = e_{-N} = -1$ , or we may again assume without loss of generality that the exponent of some  $z_{i_j}$  is nonnegative, and proceed with evaluating (16) by integrating with respect to  $z_{i_j}$ . We may continue in this

way, never having to deal with more than a simple pole at 0. It is now clear from the calculations in the proof of Theorem 11 that the only positive powers of  $q^{1/2}$  that appear in the any numerator are those that appeared as in 11. These are controlled by the possible block sizes of  $M$ , and hence are bounded in terms of  $\tilde{W}$ . Throughout this procedure, the denominator has been contributed to only by the Plancherel density itself, which depends only on  $M_P$ . The last claim of the corollary now follows from Proposition 1.  $\square$

## 4.2 The functions $f_w$ for general $\mathbf{G}$

For general  $\mathbf{G}$ , we will follow the same plan as for  $\mathbf{G} = \mathrm{GL}_n$ . The only difference is that we have less control over which denominators can appear (indeed, this is true even for formal degrees). It will also be necessary to control the possible numerators of  $f_w(1)$  in a different manner than for  $\mathbf{G} = \mathrm{GL}_n$  in order to prove Proposition 2.

**Theorem 12.** *Let  $w \in \tilde{W}$ . Then  $f_w(1)$  is a rational function of  $q$  with poles drawn from a finite set of roots of unity depending only on  $\tilde{W}$ . The numerator is a Laurent polynomial in  $q^{1/2}$ . The denominator depends only on the two-sided cell containing  $w$ .*

*Proof of Theorem 12.* Let  $w \in \tilde{W}$  and  $M$  be the Levi subgroup corresponding to the two-sided cell containing  $w$ . Let  $N = \mathrm{rk} A_P$ . By Lemma 5, it suffices to show the conclusions of the theorem hold for integrals of the form

$$\left(\frac{1}{2\pi i}\right)^N \int_{\mathbb{T}} \cdots \int_{\mathbb{T}} \prod_{\substack{\alpha \in R_{1,+} \setminus R_{P,1,+} \\ \alpha \neq r\epsilon_i}} \frac{(z_i - z_j^{\pm 1})^2 q_{\alpha}^{-1}}{(z_i - q_{\alpha}^{-1} z_j^{\pm 1})(z_i - q_{\alpha} z_j^{\pm 1})} \prod_{\substack{\alpha \in R_{1,+} \setminus R_{P,1,+} \\ \alpha = r\epsilon_i}} \frac{(1 - z_i^r)^2 q_{\alpha}^{-1} q_{2\alpha}^{-1}}{(z_i^{r/2} + q_{\alpha}^{-1/2})(z_i^{r/2} + q_{\alpha}^{1/2})(z_i^{r/2} - q_{\alpha}^{-1/2})(z_i^{r/2} - q_{\alpha}^{1/2})} z_1^{e_1} \cdots z_N^{e_N} dz_1 \cdots dz_N \quad (17)$$

where  $r \in \{2, 4\}$  and  $e_i \in \mathbb{Z}$ . Note that as every  $\alpha$  appearing in the second product has even length as an element of  $\tilde{W}$ ,  $q_{\alpha}^{1/2}$  is a natural power of  $q$  by multiplicativity of the root label function. As in the case of  $\mathrm{GL}_n$ , using the residue theorem and the quotient rule, we see that after integrating with respect to each variable  $z_i$ , the constant factors extracted are of the form

$$\frac{Q}{(1 \pm q^{f_1})^{h_1} \cdots (1 \pm q^{f_k})^{h_k}} \quad (18)$$

where  $Q$  is a Laurent polynomial in  $q^{\frac{1}{2}}$ ,  $f_i \in \frac{1}{2}\mathbb{N}$ , and  $e_i \in \mathbb{N}$ . Therefore (17) has poles in  $q$  only at a finite number of roots of unity. Again thanks to the quotient rule, exponents  $h_i$  and  $f_i$  depend only on  $M$ . Clearly there are only finitely-many exponents  $f_i$  that appear for any  $M$ . The theorem now follows.  $\square$

## 4.3 Relating $t_w$ and $f_w$

We will now relate the Schwartz functions  $f_w$  on  $G$  to the elements  $\phi^{-1}(t_w)$  of a completion  $\mathcal{H}^-$  of  $\mathbf{H}$ , whose definition we will now recall. In this section  $\mathbf{G}$  is general.

### 4.3.1 Completions of $\mathbf{H}$ and $J \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathcal{A}$

Let  $\hat{\mathcal{A}} = \mathbb{C}((\mathbf{q}^{-1/2}))$  and  $\hat{\mathcal{A}}^- = \mathbb{C}[[\mathbf{q}^{-1/2}]]$ . Write  $\mathcal{H}^-$  for the  $\hat{\mathcal{A}}$ -algebra

$$\mathcal{H}^- = \left\{ \sum_{x \in \tilde{W}} b_x T_x \mid b_x \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } \ell(x) \rightarrow \infty \right\}$$

(note the difference between  $C'_x$  and  $C_x$ ), where we say that  $b_x \rightarrow 0$  as  $\ell(x) \rightarrow \infty$  if for all  $N > 0$ ,  $b_x \in (\mathbf{q}^{1/2})^N \hat{\mathcal{A}}^-$  for all  $x$  sufficiently long.

Consider also the completions

$$\mathcal{H}_{C'}^- := \left\{ \sum_{x \in \tilde{W}} b_x C'_x \mid b_x \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } \ell(x) \rightarrow \infty \right\}.$$

and

$$\mathcal{H}_C^- := \left\{ \sum_{x \in \tilde{W}} b_x C_x \mid b_x \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } \ell(x) \rightarrow \infty \right\}.$$

as well as

$$\mathcal{J} = \left\{ \sum_{x \in \tilde{W}} b_x t_x \mid b_x \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } \ell(x) \rightarrow \infty \right\}.$$

In the same way, one can define a completion  $\mathcal{J}$  of  $J \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} \mathcal{A}$ . In [Lus87a], Lusztig shows that  $\phi$  extends to an isomorphism of  $\hat{\mathcal{A}}$ -algebras  $\mathcal{H}_C^- \rightarrow \mathcal{J}$ . In this way the elements  $t_w \in J \subset \mathcal{J}$  may be identified with elements of  $\mathcal{H}^-$ .

**Lemma 8.** *We have  $\mathcal{H}_{C'}^- \subset \mathcal{H}^-$ .*

*Proof.* Given an infinite sum  $\sum_x b_x C'_x$ , upon rewriting this sum in the standard basis, the coefficient of some  $T_y$  is

$$a_y := \sum_{x \geq y} b_x q^{-\frac{\ell(x)}{2}} P_{y,x}(q).$$

As  $\deg P_{y,x} \leq \frac{1}{2}(\ell(x) - \ell(y) - 1)$ , we have that  $q^{-\frac{\ell(x)}{2}} P_{y,x}(q)$  is a polynomial in  $q^{-1/2}$ . Therefore the above sum defines a formal Laurent series. Moreover, as  $\ell(y) \rightarrow \infty$ , it is clear that  $a_y \rightarrow 0$ .  $\square$

### 4.3.2 The functions $f_w$ and the basis elements $t_w$

We shall now explain how the map  $\tilde{\phi}: J \rightarrow \mathcal{C}(G)^I$  induces a map of  $\mathcal{A}$ -algebras  $\phi_1: J \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}^-$ . The most difficult part of the proof of the proposition is showing that  $a_{x,w} \rightarrow 0$  as  $\ell(x) \rightarrow \infty$ . To this end we have

**Lemma 9.** *Let  $w \in \tilde{W}$ . Then the degree in  $q$  of the numerator of  $f_w(1)$  is bounded uniformly in  $w$  by some  $N$  depending only on  $\tilde{W}$ , and hence in the notation of Proposition 3 below, we have  $a_{1,w} \in (\mathbf{q}^{1/2})^N \hat{\mathcal{A}}^-$  for all  $w \in \tilde{W}$ .*

*Remark 7.* In type  $A$ , the Lemma follows immediately from the order of integration used in the proof of Corollary 2 (for types  $D$  and  $E$  the argument is analogous).

*Proof.* Let  $\pi_\omega = \text{Ind}_P^G(\nu \otimes \omega)$  be a tempered  $I$ -spherical representation of  $G$  arising by induction from a parabolic  $P$  such that  $\text{rank } A_P = k$ . Let  $\mathbb{T}^k$  be the compact torus parametrizing twists of  $\omega$ , and let  $t_w$  be given. By Lemma 5,  $\text{trace}(\pi(f_w))$  is a regular function on  $\mathbb{T}^k$ , i.e. a Laurent polynomial in the coordinates  $z_1, \dots, z_k$  on  $\mathbb{T}^k$ . The coefficients of this Laurent polynomial are independent of  $q$ , as  $J$  and its representation theory are independent of  $q$ . As we have

$$|1 - q^r| \leq |z_i - q^r z_j| \leq |1 + q^r|$$

for all  $z_i, z_j \in \mathbb{T}^k$  and  $r$ , we may bound  $|\mu(z)|$  by a rational function  $U(q)$ . Thus we define a rational function of  $q$  by

$$\frac{h_1(q) + h_2(q^{-1})}{k_1(q) + k_2(q^{-1})} = U(q) \sum_{\omega} |d(\omega)| \max_{z \in \mathbb{T}^k} |\text{trace}(\pi(f_w))|,$$

where  $h_1, k_1 \in \mathbb{C}[q]$  and  $h_2, k_2 \in q^{-1}\mathbb{C}[q^{-1}]$ ,  $\mu$  is the Plancherel measure, and the sum is over the finitely many, up to unitary twist,  $\omega \in \mathcal{E}_2(M)$  such that  $\text{trace}(\pi_\omega(f_w)) \neq 0$ .

We then have

$$|f_w(1)| = \left| \int_{\mathbb{T}^k} d(\omega) \operatorname{trace}(\pi_\omega(f_w)) d\mu(z) \right| \leq \frac{h_1(q) + h_2(q^{-1})}{k_1(q) + k_2(q^{-1})}.$$

Crucially, this expression holds for all  $q > 1$ .

On the other hand, by Corollary 2 and Theorem 12,  $f_w(1)$  is a rational function of  $q$ , and for  $q$  sufficiently large, we may write

$$|f_w(1)| = \frac{f_1(q) + f_2(q^{-1})}{d_1(q) + d_2(q^{-1})}$$

where  $f_1, d_1 \in \mathbb{C}[q]$  and  $f_2, d_2 \in q^{-1}\mathbb{C}[q^{-1}]$ . Therefore for all  $q \gg 1$  we have

$$|(f_1(q) + f_2(q^{-1}))|(k_1(q) + k_2(q^{-1}))| \leq |(d_1(q) + d_2(q^{-1}))|(h_1(q) + h_1(q^{-1}))|.$$

We claim that this implies

$$|f_1(q)k_1(q)| \leq |d_1(q)h_1(q)|.$$

Indeed, let  $\epsilon > 0$  be given and choose  $q \gg 1$  such that

$$|(f_1(q) + f_2(q^{-1}))|(k_1(q) + k_2(q^{-1}))| - |f_1(q)k_1(q)| \leq \epsilon$$

and

$$|(d_1(q) + d_2(q^{-1}))|(h_1(q) + h_2(q^{-1}))| - |d_1(q)h_1(q)| \leq \epsilon.$$

Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} |f_1(q)k_1(q)| &\leq |(f_1(q) + f_2(q^{-1}))|(k_1(q) + k_2(q^{-1}))| + \epsilon \\ &\leq |(d_1(q) + d_2(q^{-1}))|(h_1(q) + h_2(q^{-1}))| + \epsilon \\ &\leq |d_1(q)h_1(q)| + 2\epsilon, \end{aligned}$$

which proves the claim.

Therefore

$$\deg f_1 \leq \deg f_1 + \deg k_1 \leq \deg d_1 + \deg h_1.$$

Now, the denominator of  $f_1(w)$  depends only on the two-sided cell containing  $w$ , again by Corollary 2 and Theorem 12. We can also bound  $\deg h_1$  uniformly in terms of  $\tilde{W}$ , as it depends only on the Plancherel measure and the finitely-many possible formal degrees appearing in the parametrization of the  $I$ -spherical part of the tempered dual of  $G$ . This proves the lemma.  $\square$

**Proposition 2.** *There is a map of  $\mathcal{A}$ -algebras  $\phi_1: J \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}^-$  such that if  $\phi_1(t_w) = \sum_x a_{x,w} T_x$ , then  $a_{x,w}(q) = f_w(x)$ . Moreover, there is a constant  $N$  depending only of  $\tilde{W}$  such that  $a_{y,w} \in (\mathfrak{q}^{1/2})^N \hat{\mathcal{A}}^-$  for all  $w, y \in \tilde{W}$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $w \in \tilde{W}$ . Write  $\tilde{\phi}(t_w) = f_w = \sum_x A_{x,w} T_x$  as Schwartz functions on  $G$ . We must show that there is a unique element  $a_{x,w} \in \hat{\mathcal{A}}$  such that  $a_{x,w}(q) = A_{x,w}$  as complex numbers, where  $A_{x,w} := f_w(x)$ . We will then check that  $a_{x,w} \rightarrow 0$  rapidly enough as  $\ell(x) \rightarrow \infty$  for  $\sum_x a_{x,w} T_x$  to define an element of  $\mathcal{H}^-$ .

By Corollary 2 and Theorem 12, there is a formal power series in  $\hat{\mathcal{A}}^-$  with constant term equal to 1 that specializes to the denominator of  $A_{1,w}$  when  $\mathfrak{q} = q$ . Moreover, there is a unique formal Laurent series  $a_{1,w} \in \hat{\mathcal{A}}$  such that  $a_{1,w}(q) = A_{1,w}$  for all prime powers.

Indeed,  $a_{1,w}$  is convergent for  $\mathfrak{q} = q$ , and the difference of any two such series defines a meromorphic function of  $\mathfrak{q}^{-1/2}$  outside the unit disk with zeros at  $q = p^r$  for every  $r \in \mathbb{N}$ . As these prime powers accumulate at  $\infty$ , such a meromorphic function must be identically zero.

If  $f \in \mathcal{C}^{I \times I}$  is a Harish-Chandra Schwartz function, then

$$q^{-\ell(x)}(f \star T_{x^{-1}})(1) = q^{-\ell(x)} \int_G f(g) T_{x^{-1}}(g^{-1}) d\mu_I(g) = q^{-\ell(x)} \int_{IxI} f(g) d\mu_I(g) = q^{-\ell(x)} \mu_I(IxI) f(x) = f(x).$$

By definition,  $f_w(x) = A_{x,w}$ . On the other hand, according to Lemma 2, we have

$$\begin{aligned} q^{-\ell(x)}(f_w \star T_{x^{-1}})(1) &= q^{-\ell(x)} \left( \tilde{\phi}(t_w) \star \tilde{\phi} \left( \phi_q(T_{x^{-1}}^\dagger) \right) \right) (1) \\ &= q^{-\ell(x)} \tilde{\phi} \left( t_w \phi_q(T_{x^{-1}}^\dagger) \right) (1) \\ &= q^{-\ell(x)} \tilde{\phi} \left( t_w \phi_q \left( \sum_{y \leq x} q^{\frac{\ell(y)}{2}} (-1)^{\ell(x)-\ell(y)} Q_{y,x}(q) (C'_y)^\dagger \right) \right) (1) \end{aligned} \quad (19)$$

$$= q^{-\ell(x)} \tilde{\phi} \left( t_w \sum_{y \leq x} q^{\frac{\ell(y)}{2}} (-1)^{\ell(x)-\ell(y)} Q_{y,x}(q) \sum_{\substack{r \\ d \in \mathcal{D} \\ a(d)=a(r)}} h_{y,d,r} t_r \right) (1) \quad (20)$$

$$\begin{aligned} &= q^{-\ell(x)} \tilde{\phi} \left( \sum_{y \leq x} q^{\frac{\ell(y)}{2}} (-1)^{\ell(x)-\ell(y)} Q_{y,x}(q) \sum_{\substack{r \\ d \in \mathcal{D} \\ a(d)=a(r)=a(w)}} h_{y,d,r} t_w t_r \right) (1) \\ &= q^{-\ell(x)} \sum_{y \leq x} q^{\frac{\ell(y)}{2}} (-1)^{\ell(x)-\ell(y)} Q_{y,x}(q) \sum_{\substack{r \\ a(r)=a(w)}} h_{y,d_w,r} (f_w \star f_r)(1), \end{aligned} \quad (21)$$

where  $d_w$  is the unique distinguished involution in the right cell containing  $w$ . In line (19), we rewrote  $T_x^{-1}$  in terms of the  $C'$ -basis of  $\mathbf{H}$ , using the inverse Kazhdan-Lusztig polynomials  $Q_{y,x}$ . In line (20) we applied Lusztig's map  $\phi_q$ , and then in line (21), we applied the map  $\tilde{\phi}$ .

We use (21) to define  $a_{x,w} \in \hat{\mathcal{A}}$ . By the same arguments as above,  $a_{x,w}$  is unique and defines a meromorphic function of  $\mathbf{q}^{-1/2}$ . It remains to show that as  $\ell(x) \rightarrow \infty$ ,  $a_{x,w} \rightarrow 0$  in the  $(\mathbf{q}^{-1/2})$ -adic topology. This follows in fact from (21). Indeed, the product  $f_w \star f_r$  is an  $\mathbb{N}$ -linear combination of functions  $f_z$ , and the values  $f_z(1)$  are rational functions of  $q$ , the numerators of which have uniformly bounded degree in  $q$  by Lemma 9. The polynomials  $h_{y,d_w,r}$  have bounded degree in  $q$  (for example in terms of the  $a$ -function). Finally, the degree in  $q$  of

$$q^{-\ell(x)} q^{\frac{\ell(y)}{2}} Q_{y,x}(q)$$

is at most

$$q^{-\ell(x)} q^{\frac{\ell(y)}{2}} q^{\frac{\ell(x)-\ell(y)-1}{2}} = q^{\frac{-\ell(x)-1}{2}} \rightarrow 0$$

as  $\ell(x) \rightarrow \infty$ . This completes the definition of  $\phi_1$  as a map of  $\mathcal{A}$ -modules.

It is easy to see that  $\phi_1$  is a morphism of rings, essentially because  $\tilde{\phi}$  is. Indeed, we have

$$\phi_1(t_w t_{w'}) = \sum_z \gamma_{w,w',z^{-1}} \sum_x a_{z,x} T_x \quad (22)$$

while on the other hand

$$\phi_1(t_w) \phi_1(t_{w'}) = \sum_x a_{w,x} T_x \cdot \sum_y a_{w',y} T_y \quad (23)$$

and when  $\mathbf{q} = q$ , we have that (23) becomes by definition

$$\tilde{\phi}(t_w) \star \tilde{\phi}(t_{w'}) = \tilde{\phi} \left( \sum_z \gamma_{w,w',z^{-1}} t_z \right) = \sum_z \sum_r \gamma_{w,w',z^{-1}} A_{z,r} T_r.$$

Hence for infinitely many prime powers we have that the specializations of (22) agrees with those of (23), and hence (22) is equal to (23) in  $\mathcal{H}^-$ . A similar argument shows that  $\phi_1$  preserves units.  $\square$

**Proposition 3.** *There is a commutative diagram*

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
\mathbf{H} & \longrightarrow & J \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathcal{A} \xrightarrow{\phi_1} \mathcal{H}^- \\
& & \downarrow \phi^{-1} \qquad \uparrow \\
& & \mathcal{H}_C^- \xrightarrow{(\cdot)^\dagger} \mathcal{H}_{C'}^-,
\end{array}$$

and we have  $\phi_1 = (\cdot)^\dagger \circ \phi^{-1}$  as morphisms of  $\mathcal{A}$ -algebras  $J \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}^-$ . In particular,  $a_{x,w}$  has integer coefficients for all  $x, w \in \tilde{W}$ .

*Proof.* The second claim follows from the first if we show that  $\phi_1$  extends to a continuous morphism  $\mathcal{J} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}^-$ , by density of  $\phi(\mathbf{H})$  in  $\mathcal{J} \simeq \mathcal{H}_C^-$ , and the third claim follows from the second.

Note that as  $\tilde{\phi} \circ \phi_q = (\cdot)^\dagger$  on  $H$  for all  $q$ , we have that  $\phi_1 = (\cdot)^\dagger \circ \phi^{-1}$  on  $\phi(\mathbf{H})$ . This says that the diagram commutes.

We show that  $\phi_1$  extends to a continuous map  $\mathcal{J} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}_C^-$ . Let  $\sum_w b_w t_w$  define an element of  $\mathcal{J}$  and define

$$\phi_1 \left( \sum_w b_w t_w \right) = \sum_y b'_y T_y,$$

where

$$b'_y = \sum_w b_w a_{y,w}.$$

We must first show that this infinite sum of elements of  $\hat{\mathcal{A}}$  is well-defined. By Lemma 9 and (21), we have that there is  $N$  such that  $a_{y,w} \in (\mathbf{q}^{1/2})^M \hat{\mathcal{A}}^-$  for all  $w, y$ . Therefore  $b'_y$  is well-defined, and as  $a_{y,w} \rightarrow 0$  as  $\ell(y) \rightarrow \infty$ , we have  $b'_y \rightarrow 0$  as  $\ell(y) \rightarrow \infty$ . Therefore  $\phi_1$  extends to  $\mathcal{J}$ .

To show continuity, it suffices to show that if  $\{\sum_x b_{x,n} t_x\}_n$  is a sequence of elements of  $\mathcal{J}$  tending to 0 as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ , then

$$\sum_x b_{x,n} \phi_1(t_x) = \sum_y b'_{y,n} T_y \rightarrow 0$$

as  $n \rightarrow \infty$  in  $\mathcal{H}^-$ , where  $b'_{y,n} = \sum_w b_{w,n} a_{y,w}$ . For all  $R > 0$ , there is  $N > 0$  such that  $n > N$  implies  $b_{x,n} \in (\mathbf{q}^{-1/2})^R \hat{\mathcal{A}}^-$  for all  $x$ . We have seen that there is  $M$  depending only on  $\tilde{W}$  such that  $a_{y,w} \in (\mathbf{q}^{1/2})^M \hat{\mathcal{A}}^-$  for all  $w, y$ . Therefore  $b'_{y,n} \rightarrow 0$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ , because  $b_{w,n} \rightarrow 0$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ .  $\square$

*Remark 8.* In the preceding proof also, we can replace all appeals to Lemma 9 in type  $A$  with the consequences of the integration order used in the proof of Corollary 2.

Note that Proposition 3 means in particular that  $\phi_1(J) \subset \mathcal{H}_C^-$ .

**Corollary 3.** *We have*

$$(\phi \circ (\cdot)^\dagger)^{-1}(t_1) = f_1(1) \sum_{w \in \tilde{W}} q^{-\ell(w)} T_w.$$

**Corollary 4.** *The map  $\tilde{\phi}$  defined in [BK18] is injective.*

*Proof.* Suppose that  $\tilde{\phi}(j) = 0$  for some  $j \in J$ . The Plancherel formula and the definition of  $\phi_1$  then imply that  $\phi_1(j) = 0$ , but  $\phi_1$  is injective by Proposition 3. Therefore  $j = 0$ .  $\square$

#### 4.4 Proof of Conjecture 1 for $\mathrm{GL}_n$

**Theorem 13.** *Let  $\tilde{W}$  be of type  $\tilde{A}_n$ . Then Conjecture 1 is true.*

*Proof.* The third statement follows from the standard formula for the formal degree of the Steinberg representation and multiplicativity of the formal degree under external tensor product.

By Corollary 2 and Propositions 2 and 3 show that  $a_{1,x}$  is a rational function of  $\mathbf{q}$  for all  $w$ . Then equation (21) implies that  $a_{x,w}$ , being a sum of rational functions with Laurent polynomial coefficients, is a rational function of  $\mathbf{q}$  for all  $x$ . The same equation, together with the fact that  $J_{\mathcal{C}}$  is a two-sided ideal for

each cell  $\mathbf{c}$  shows that the denominator of  $a_{x,w}$  depends only on the two-sided cell containing  $w$ . This proves the first claim. The second claim now follows from the first claim and the first statement of Corollary 2 and the fact that  $\tilde{W}$  has finitely-many two-sided cells.  $\square$

## 4.5 Proof of Theorem 2

**Theorem 14.** *Let  $\tilde{W}$  be an affine Weyl group,  $\mathbf{H}$  its affine Hecke algebra over  $\mathcal{A} = \mathbb{Z}[\mathbf{q}, \mathbf{q}^{-1}]$ , and  $J$  its asymptotic Hecke algebra. Let  $\phi: \mathbf{H} \hookrightarrow J \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathcal{A}$  and  $j$  be the involution from [KL79].*

1. *For all  $w, x_0 \in \tilde{W}$ ,  $a_{w,x}$  is a rational function of  $\mathbf{q}$ . The denominator of  $a_{w,x}$  is independent of  $x$ . As a function of  $w$ , it is constant on two-sided cells.*
2. *There is a finite subset of roots of unity  $D_{\tilde{W}} \subset \mathbb{C}^\times$  such that that upon writing*

$$(\phi)^{-1}(t_w) = \sum_{x \in \tilde{W}} a_{w,x} T_x,$$

*the function  $a_{w,x}$  on  $\mathbb{C}^\times$  has no poles on  $\mathbb{C}^\times \setminus D_{\tilde{W}}$ . If  $d$  is a distinguished involution in the lowest two-sided cell, then  $a_{d,1} = 1/P_{\mathbf{G}/\mathbf{B}}(\mathbf{q})$  exactly.*

3. *Moreover, for  $\omega \in \mathcal{E}_2(M)$ , when  $d(\omega)$  is viewed as a function on  $\mathbb{C}^\times$ , it has no poles on  $\mathbb{C}^\times \setminus D_{\tilde{W}}$ .*

*Proof.* Theorem 12 together with Propositions 2 and 3 show that  $a_{1,w}$  is a rational function of  $\mathbf{q}$  with denominator depending only on the two-sided cell containing  $w$ . Equation (21) again shows that  $a_{x,w}$  is a rational function of  $\mathbf{q}$  with denominator depending only on the two-sided cell containing  $w$ ; up to twists the set  $\mathcal{E}_2(M)$  is finite for every Levi subgroup  $M$ , so we may multiply through to include the denominators of all required formal degrees, which are in fact rational by Theorem 6. Moreover, Theorems 6 and 12 give that  $a_{x,w}$  has poles occurring only in a finite set of roots of unity. The statement about distinguished involutions in the lowest two-sided cell follows from Equation (9).

By finiteness up to twists of  $\mathcal{E}_2(M)$  and finiteness of Levi subgroups up to association, we may enlarge, again if necessary, using Theorem 6, the set of roots of unity provided by the above paragraph.  $\square$

## 5 Further example: $\mathbf{G} = \mathrm{Sp}_4$

In this section we will provide some further evidence for Conjecture 1 by proving it in type  $\tilde{C}_2$ . In the case, the entire Plancherel formula, not just the Iwahori-spherical part, has been computed explicitly by Aubert and Kim in [AK00]. Set  $\mathbf{G} = \mathrm{Sp}_4$  and  $G = \mathbf{G}(F)$ . We will use their calculations to prove a final

**Theorem 15.** *Conjecture 1 is true for  $\mathbf{G} = \mathrm{Sp}_4$ .*

We will first briefly recall some facts about  $\mathrm{Sp}_4$  and notation from [AK00]. The Poincaré polynomial of  $\mathrm{Sp}_4$  is

$$(1 + \mathbf{q})(1 + \mathbf{q} + \mathbf{q}^2 + \mathbf{q}^3) = (1 + \mathbf{q})^2(1 + \mathbf{q}^2).$$

Denote the three proper Levi subgroups of  $G$  by writing the maximal torus  $M_\emptyset \simeq \mathrm{GL}_1(F) \times \mathrm{GL}_1(F)$ , and putting

$$M_s := \left\{ \left( \begin{array}{cc} A & 0 \\ 0 & w_0 {}^t A w_0^{-1} \end{array} \right) \middle| A \in \mathrm{GL}_2(F) \right\} \simeq \mathrm{GL}_2(F)$$

where  $w_0$  is the longest element in the finite Weyl group, and

$$M_h := \left\{ \left( \begin{array}{ccc} a & & \\ & A & \\ & & a^{-1} \end{array} \right) \middle| A \in \mathrm{Sp}_2(F), a \in F^\times \right\} \simeq \mathrm{GL}_1(F) \times \mathrm{Sp}_2(F).$$

Then under the Plancherel decomposition  $f = \sum_M f_M$ , according to [AK00], p. 14, we have

$$f_{M_h}(1) = c(G/P_h)^{-2} \gamma(G/P_h) \frac{1}{\#W(M_h)} \frac{1}{2\pi i} \frac{q-1}{q+1} \int_{\mathbb{T}} \frac{q^3(z-q)(z-q^{-1})}{(z-q^{-2})(z-q^2)} \text{trace}(\pi(f)) \frac{dz}{z}. \quad (24)$$

and

$$f_{M_s}(1) = c(G/P_s)^{-2} \gamma(G/P_s) \frac{1}{\#W(M_s)} \frac{q-1}{2(q+1)} \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\mathbb{T}} \frac{(z^2-1)^2}{(z+q^{-\frac{1}{2}})(z-q^{-\frac{3}{2}})(z-q^{\frac{3}{2}})(z+q^{\frac{1}{2}})} \text{trace}(\pi(f)) \frac{dz}{z} \quad (25)$$

and  $f_{M_\emptyset}(1)$  is equal, up to a sign, to

$$\frac{q^{11}}{1+q+q^2+q^3} \frac{1}{(2\pi i)^2} \int_{\mathbb{T} \setminus \{\pm 1\}} \int_{\mathbb{T} \setminus \{\pm 1\}} \frac{q^4(z_2-1)^2(z_1-1)^2(z_1z_2-1)^2(z_1-z_2)^2}{(z_1-q^{-1})(z_1-q^{-1}z_2)(z_1-qz_2)(z_1-q)(z_2-q^{-1})(z_2-q)(z_1z_2-q)^2} \text{trace}(\pi(f)) \frac{dz_1}{z_1} \frac{dz_2}{z_2} \quad (26)$$

*Proof of Theorem 15.* By the same arguments using Propositions 2 and 3 as for  $\text{GL}_n$ , it suffices to check that the functions  $f_w$  are of the required form. We will check this, and along the way check that same is true of the formal degrees we shall require.

The first step is to note that, according to [AK00], p. 6, all the  $c(G/P)^{-1}$ - and  $\gamma$ -factors that appear in equations (24) and (25) are either polynomial or have denominators dividing  $P_{\mathbf{G}/\mathbf{B}}(q)$ . The same is obviously true for all the formal degrees that appear. Recalling Lemma 5, it suffices in the case of (24) to compute that

$$\int_{\mathbb{T}} \frac{q^3(z-q)(z-q^{-1})}{(z-q^{-2})(z-q^2)} z^e dz = \begin{cases} \frac{q^{-2e-2}(q^{-2}-q)}{1+q+q^2+q^3} & \text{if } e \geq 0 \\ \frac{q^{-2e-2}(q^{-2}-q)}{1+q+q^2+q^3} + Q & \text{if } e < 0 \end{cases},$$

and that for any  $e \in \mathbb{Z}$ , where  $Q$  is a Laurent polynomial in  $q$ .

In the case of (25), for any  $e \in \mathbb{Z}$ , the integral in question involves summing over residues at poles  $z_0$ ,  $z = q^{-3/2}$ , and  $z = q^{-1/2}$ , and is a sum of terms of the form

$$\frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\mathbb{T}} \frac{(z^2-1)^2 z^e}{(z+q^{-\frac{1}{2}})(z-q^{-\frac{3}{2}})(z-q^{\frac{3}{2}})(z+q^{\frac{1}{2}})} dz = Q + \frac{q^{-3}q^{9/2}q^{-3e/2}}{(1+q)(1+q^2)} + \frac{q^e q^{1/2}}{(1+q)^2},$$

where  $Q$  is a Laurent polynomial in  $q^{1/2}$ .

As for the principal series, let  $e_1, e_2 \in \mathbb{Z}$ . It will be clear from the computations below that if  $e_1 \geq 0$  or  $e_2 \geq 0$ , we obtain only a strict subset of the summands below. Therefore it suffices to assume that  $e_1, e_2 < 0$  and prove that every summand below has denominators as required.

The integral (26) is then a sum of terms of the form

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{(2\pi i)^2} \int_{\mathbb{T}} \int_{\mathbb{T}} \underbrace{\frac{q^4(z_2-1)^2(z_1-1)^2(z_1z_2-1)^2(z_1-z_2)^2 z_1^{e_1} z_2^{e_2}}{(z_1-q^{-1})(z_1-q^{-1}z_2)(z_1-qz_2)(z_1-q)(z_2-q^{-1})(z_2-q)(z_1z_2-q)^2}}_{H(z_1, z_2)} dz_1 dz_2 \\ &= \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\mathbb{T}} \text{Res}_{z_1=0} H(z_1, z_2) + \text{Res}_{z_1=q^{-1}} H(z_1, z_2) + \text{Res}_{z_1=q^{-1}z_2} H(z_1, z_2) dz_2 \\ &= \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\mathbb{T}} \frac{p(z_2)(z_2-1)^2 z_2^{e_2}}{(z_2-q^{-1})(z_2-q)} + \frac{q-1}{q+1} \frac{(z_2-1)(z_2^2-q)^2 z_2^{e_2+1}}{(z_2-q^2)(z_2+q)(z_2-q^{-1})} + \frac{1-q}{1+q} \frac{q^{4-e_1}(1-z_2)(z_2-q)^2(z_2-q^{-1})z_2^{e_2}}{(q^{-2}-z_2)(z_2-q^2)^2} dz_2, \end{aligned}$$

where  $p$  is a polynomial.

The first summand has pole at  $z_2 = 0$ , and a simple pole at  $z_2 = q^{-1}$ . The residue at  $z_2 = 0$  is a Laurent polynomial in  $q$ . The residue at  $z_2 = q^{-1}$  is

$$\frac{p(q^{-1})}{1+q}.$$



The second summand has a possible pole at  $z_2 = 0$ , and a simple pole at  $z_2 = q^{-1}$ . The residue at  $z_2 = 0$  has denominator  $1 + q$ . The residue at  $z_2 = q^{-1}$  is

$$-\frac{(1-q)(1-q^2)(1-q^3)q^{-e_2-2}}{(1+q)(1+q+q^2+q^3)}$$

and again is as required.

The third summand has a pole at  $z_2 = 0$ , the residue of which has denominator  $1 + q$ , as well as a pole at  $z_2 = q^{-1}$ . The residue at  $z_2 = q^{-1}$  is

$$\frac{(1+q)(1-q^3)(1+q+q^2)(q^{-2}-q^{-1})q^{-e_1-2e_2}}{(1+q)(1+q+q^2+q^3)^2}.$$

These computations show that the denominator of  $f_w(1)$  divides a power of  $P_{\mathbf{G}/\mathbf{B}}(\mathbf{q})$ , for all  $w \in \tilde{W}$ . By the same argument as before, the denominators of  $f_w(x)$  divide a power of  $P_{\mathbf{G}/\mathbf{B}}(\mathbf{q})$  for any  $x \in \tilde{W}$ . The theorem follows.  $\square$

## References

- [AK00] A.-M. Aubert and J.-L. Kim, *A Plancherel formula on  $\mathrm{Sp}_4$* , 2000.
- [AP05] A.-M. Aubert and R. Plymen, *Plancherel measure for  $\mathrm{GL}(n, F)$  and  $\mathrm{GL}(m, D)$ : Explicit formulas and Bernstein decomposition*, *J. Number Theory* **112** (2005).
- [Art03] J. Arthur, *An Introduction to the Trace Formula*, Harmonic Analysis, the Trace Formula, and Shimura Varieties, 2003June, pp. 1–265.
- [Art89] ———, *Intertwining Operators and Residues I. Weighted Characters*, *J. Funct. Anal.* **84** (1989), 19–84.
- [BB05] A. Bjorner and F. Brenti, *Combinatorics of Coxeter groups*, Grad. Texts in Math., vol. 231, Springer Science+Business Media, New York, 2005.
- [BK18] A. Braverman and D. Kazhdan, *Remarks on the asymptotic Hecke algebra* (2018), available at [arXiv:1704.03019](https://arxiv.org/abs/1704.03019).
- [Bor76] A. Borel, *Admissible representations of a semi-simple group over a local field with vectors fixed under an Iwahori subgroup*, *Inventiones. math.* **35** (1976).
- [BT82] R. Bott and L. Tu, *Differential forms in algebraic topology*, 3rd ed., Grad. Texts in Math., vol. 82, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1982.
- [CG97] N. Chriss and V. Ginzburg, *Representation theory and complex geometry*, Birkhäuser, Boston, 1997.
- [Daw21] S. Dawydiak, *On Lusztig’s asymptotic Hecke algebra for  $\mathrm{SL}_2$* , *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **149** (2021January), no. 1, 71–88.
- [FOS21] Y. Feng, E. Opdam, and M. Solleveld, *On formal degrees of unipotent representations*, *J. Inst. Math. Jussieu* (2021), 1–53.
- [GR10] Benedict H. Gross and Mark Reeder, *Arithmetic invariants of discrete Langlands parameters*, *Duke Math. J.* **154** (2010), no. 3, 431–508.
- [HC84a] Harish-Chandra, *Harmonic Analysis on Reductive  $p$ -adic Groups*, Collected Papers IV, 1984, pp. 75–100.
- [HC84b] ———, *The Plancherel Formula for Reductive  $p$ -adic Groups*, Collected Papers IV, 1984, pp. 353–367.
- [Hum75] J. Humphreys, *Linear algebraic groups*, Grad. Texts in Math., vol. 21, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1975.
- [II08] K. Hiraga, A. Ichino, and T. Ikeda, *Formal degrees and adjoint  $\gamma$ -factors*, *J. Amer. Math. Soc.* **21** (2008), 283–304.
- [KL79] D. Kazhdan and G. Lusztig, *Representations of coxeter groups and hecke algebras*, *Inventiones math.* **53** (1979), 165–184.
- [KL87] ———, *Proof of the Deligne-Langlands conjectures for Hecke algebras*, *Invent. Math.* **87** (1987), 153–215.
- [Lus03] G. Lusztig, *Hecke Algebras with Unequal Parameters*, CRM Monograph Series, vol. 18, American Mathematical Society, Providence, Rhode Island, 2003.
- [Lus87a] ———, *Cells in affine Weyl groups, II*, *J. Algebra* **109** (1987), 536–548.
- [Lus87b] ———, *Cells in affine Weyl groups, III*, *J. Fac. Sci. Univ. Tokyo Sect. IA Math* **34** (1987), 223–243.
- [Lus89a] ———, *Cells in affine Weyl groups, IV*, *J. Fac. Sci. Univ. Tokyo Sect. IA, Math.* **36** (1989), 297–328.
- [Lus89b] ———, *Representations of affine Hecke algebras*, *Astérisque* **171–172** (1989), 73–84.

- [Mac71] I. G. Macdonald, *Spherical functions on a group of  $p$ -adic type* (C.T. Rajagopal, V.K. Balachandran, and M.S. Rangachari, eds.), Ramanujan Institute Lecture Notes, University of Madras, Madras 5, India, 1971.
- [Mac72] I.G. Macdonald, *The Poincaré series of a Coxeter group*, Math. Ann. **199** (1972), 161–174.
- [Neu06] M. Neunhöfer, *Kazhdan-Lusztig basis, Wedderburn decomposition, and Lusztig’s homomorphism for Iwahori-Hecke algebras*, J. Algebra **303** (2006), 430–446.
- [Opd04] Eric M. Opdam, *On the spectral decomposition of affine Hecke algebras*, J. Inst. Math. Jussieu **3** (2004), no. 4, 531–648.
- [Ree94] M. Reeder, *On the Iwahori-spherical discrete series for  $p$ -adic Chevalley groups ; formal degrees and  $L$ -packets*, Ann. Sci. Éc. Norm. Supér. **Ser. 4, 27** (1994), no. 4, 463–491. MR95g:22017
- [Sha81] F. Shahidi, *On Certain  $L$ -Functions*, Amer. J. Math. **103** (1981Apr.), no. 2, 297–355.
- [Sol21] M. Solleveld, *On unipotent representations of ramified  $p$ -adic groups* (2021), available at <https://arxiv.org/abs/1912.08451>.
- [Wal03] J.-L. Waldspurger, *La formule de Plancherel pour les groupes  $p$ -adiques d’après Harish-Chandra*, J. Inst. Math. Jussieu **2** (2003), no. 2, 235–333.
- [Xi02] N. Xi, *The based ring of two-sided cells of affine Weyl groups of type*, Mem. Amer. Math. Soc., vol. 157, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI., 2002.
- [Xi06] ———, *Representations of affine Hecke algebras and based rings of affine Weyl groups*, J. Amer. Math. Soc. **20** (2006), no. 1, 211–217.
- [Xi90] ———, *The based ring of the lowest two-sided cell of an affine weyl group*, J. Algebra **134** (1990), 356–368.
- [Xi94] ———, *Representations of Affine Hecke Algebras*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics, vol. 1587, Springer-Verlag, Berlin Heidelberg, 1994.