

LOCAL REGULARITY NEAR BOUNDARY FOR THE STOKES AND NAVIER-STOKES EQUATIONS

TONGKEUN CHANG AND KYUNGKEUN KANG

ABSTRACT. We are concerned with local regularity of the solutions for the Stokes and Navier-Stokes equations near boundary. Firstly, we construct a bounded solution but its normal derivatives are singular in any L^p with $1 < p$ locally near boundary. On the other hand, we present criteria of solutions of the Stokes equations near boundary to imply that the gradients of solutions are bounded (in fact, even further Hölder continuous). Finally, we provide examples of solutions whose local regularity near boundary is optimal.

2020 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* primary 35Q30, secondary 35B65.

Keywords and phrases: Stokes equations, Navier-Stokes equations, local regularity near boundary

1. INTRODUCTION

We consider non-stationary Stokes equations near flat boundary

$$(1.1) \quad u_t - \Delta u + \nabla \pi = 0 \quad \operatorname{div} u = 0 \quad \text{in } B_1^+ \times (0, 1),$$

where $B_r^+ := \{x = (x', x_n) \in \mathbb{R}^{n-1} \times \mathbb{R} : |x| < r, x_n > 0\}$. Here, no-slip boundary condition is given only on the flat boundary, i.e.

$$(1.2) \quad u = 0 \quad \text{on } \Sigma := (B_1 \cap \{x_n = 0\}) \times (0, 1).$$

We can also consider similar situation for the Navier-Stokes equations, i.e.

$$(1.3) \quad u_t - \Delta u + (u \cdot \nabla)u + \nabla \pi = 0, \quad \operatorname{div} u = 0 \quad \text{in } B_1^+ \times (0, 1)$$

with the boundary condition (1.2).

Our concern is local analysis of the solutions of the equations (1.1) or (1.3) with (1.2) in $B_1^+ \times (0, 1)$. Unlike the heat equation, non-local effect of the Stokes equations may cause limitation of local smoothing effects of solutions. In fact, the second author showed that there exists a weak solution of the Stokes equations (1.1)-(1.2) whose normal derivative is unbounded near boundary, although it is bounded and its derivatives are square integrable (see [13]), i.e.

$$\sup_{Q_{\frac{1}{2}}^+} |D_{x_n} u| = \infty, \quad \sup_{Q_1^+} |u| + \|\nabla u\|_{L^2(Q_1^+)} < \infty,$$

where $Q_r^+ := B_r^+ \times (1 - r^2, 1)$, $0 < r < 1$.

Seregin and Šverák found a simplified example as the form of shear flow in a half-space to the Stokes equations (and Navier-Stokes equations as well) such that its gradient is unbounded near boundary, although velocity field is locally bounded (see [19]). It is noteworthy that the example in [19] is not of globally finite energy, and on the other hand, the constructed one in [13] has finite energy globally in a half-space (see [15] for the details).

Following similar constructions as in [13], even further, the authors constructed an example that u is integrable in $L_t^4 L_x^p$ but ∇u is not square integrable (see [7]). More precisely, there exists a very weak solution of the Stokes equations (1.1) or the Navier-Stokes equations (1.3) with (1.2) such that

$$\|\nabla u\|_{L^2(Q_1^+)} = \infty, \quad \|u\|_{L_t^4 L_x^p(Q_1^+)} < \infty, \quad p < \infty.$$

The notions of very weak solutions are given in Section 2 (see Definition 2.3 and Definition 2.11). With the aid of this construction, the authors also proved that Caccioppoli's inequalities of Stokes equations and Navier-Stokes equations in general may fails near boundary when only local boundary problems are considered (see [7, Theorem 1.1]). It is a very important distinction in comparison to the interior case, where Caccioppoli's (type) inequalities turn out to be true (compare to [11] and [26], and refer also [12] for generalized Navier-Stokes flow).

One may ask how bad ∇u could be, when u is bounded in a local domain near boundary. One of our motivations in this paper is to answer to the question, and we obtain the following:

Theorem 1.1. *Let $1 < p < \infty$. Then, there exists a very weak solution u of Stokes equations (1.1) or Navier-Stokes equations (1.3) with the boundary condition (1.2) such that*

$$(1.4) \quad \|u\|_{L^\infty(Q_1^+)} < \infty, \quad \|\nabla u\|_{L^p(Q_{\frac{1}{2}}^+)} = \infty.$$

Remark 1.2. *If we compare to the example constructed by Seregin and Šverák, their solutions also show singular normal derivatives near boundary not only pointwisely but also L_{loc}^p , $p > 3$, since $\partial_{x_3} u(x_3, t) \geq cx_3^{-1+2\alpha}$, $\alpha \in (0, 1/2)$ in the region near origin with $x_3^2 \geq -4t$. Theorem 1.1 is an improvement of their result, since construction of singular normal derivatives in L_{loc}^p is extended up to all $p > 1$ near boundary.*

Remark 1.3. *We do not know if p in (1.4) can be replaced by 1 in Theorem 1.1, and thus we leave it as an open question. In Appendix A, alternative proof is given for $p = 2$ in Theorem 1.1, which seems informative.*

On the other hand, the second motivation of the paper is to study optimal regularity of the local problem near boundary, in case that the pressure π is locally integrable in L^p . We recall that it was shown in [18, Proposition 2] in three dimensions that for given $p, q \in (1, 2]$ the solution of the

Stokes equations (1.1)-(1.2) satisfies the following a priori estimate: For any r with $p \leq r < \infty$

(1.5)

$$\|u_t\|_{L_t^q L_x^r(Q_{\frac{1}{4}}^+)} + \|\nabla^2 u\|_{L_t^q L_x^r(Q_{\frac{1}{4}}^+)} + \|\nabla \pi\|_{L_t^q L_x^r(Q_{\frac{1}{4}}^+)} \leq C \left(\|u\|_{L_t^q W_x^{1,p}(Q_{\frac{1}{4}}^+)} + \|\pi\|_{L_t^q L_x^p(Q_{\frac{1}{4}}^+)} \right).$$

Furthermore, due to parabolic embedding, it follows that

$$(1.6) \quad \|u\|_{C_t^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} C_x^\alpha(Q_{\frac{1}{4}}^+)} < \infty, \quad 0 < \alpha < 2 - \frac{2}{q}.$$

We remark that there are examples such that the Hölder continuity (1.6) is optimal. To be more precise, in case that $q < 2$, it was proved in [15] that

$$(1.7) \quad \|\nabla u\|_{L^\infty(Q_{\frac{1}{4}}^+)} = \infty.$$

Remark 1.4. For the case $q = 2$, we can also show that (1.7) is true, and the details of its verification will be given in Appendix B.

The above estimate (1.5) shows that integrability of u_t , $\nabla^2 u$ and $\nabla \pi$ is increased for spatial variables, and it is, however, not clear if integrability in time could be improved or not.

Firstly, we extend the result of [18, Proposition 2] for the case $q > 2$. In such case, an interesting feature is that not only velocity but also the gradient of velocity fields are Hölder continuous up to boundary, contrary to the case $1 < q \leq 2$.

Theorem 1.5. Let $2 < q < \infty$ and $1 < p < \infty$. Suppose that $\nabla^2 u$, $u_t \in L_t^q L_x^p(Q_{\frac{1}{4}}^+)$ and $\pi \in L_t^q W_x^{1,p}(Q_{\frac{1}{4}}^+)$ are solution for the Stokes equations (1.1)-(1.2). Then, for any r with $p \leq r < \infty$

$$(1.8) \quad \|u_t\|_{L_t^q L_x^r(Q_{\frac{1}{4}}^+)} + \|\nabla^2 u\|_{L_t^q L_x^r(Q_{\frac{1}{4}}^+)} + \|\nabla \pi\|_{L_t^q L_x^r(Q_{\frac{1}{4}}^+)} \leq c \left(\|u\|_{L_t^q W_x^{1,p}(Q_{\frac{1}{4}}^+)} + \|\pi\|_{L_t^q L_x^p(Q_{\frac{1}{4}}^+)} \right).$$

Furthermore, the derivative of u is Hölder continuous, i.e.

$$(1.9) \quad \|\nabla u\|_{C_t^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} C_x^\alpha(Q_{\frac{1}{4}}^+)} < \infty, \quad 0 < \alpha < 1 - \frac{2}{q}.$$

In next theorem, we prove that the estimates (1.5) and (1.8) are indeed optimal. To be more precise, there is a solution of the Stokes equations (1.1)-(1.2) such that ∇u and π belong to $L^p(Q_{\frac{1}{4}}^+)$ but $u_t, \nabla \pi \notin L^{\tilde{p}}(Q_{\frac{1}{2}}^+)$ for any \tilde{p} with $\tilde{p} > p$.

Theorem 1.6. Let $1 < p, q < \infty$. Then, there exist a solution u of Stokes equations (1.1) and Navier-Stokes equations (1.3) with the boundary condition (1.2) such that

$$(1.10) \quad \|\nabla^2 u\|_{L_t^{\frac{3q}{2}} L_x^p(Q_{\frac{1}{4}}^+)} + \|D_t u\|_{L_t^q L_x^p(Q_{\frac{1}{4}}^+)} + \|\nabla \pi\|_{L_t^q L_x^p(Q_{\frac{1}{4}}^+)} < \infty,$$

but for any $r_1 > q$ and $r_2 > \frac{3q}{2}$

$$(1.11) \quad \|D_t u\|_{L_t^{r_1} L_x^p(Q_{\frac{1}{2}}^+)} = \infty, \quad \|\nabla \pi\|_{L_t^{r_1} L_x^p(Q_{\frac{1}{2}}^+)} = \infty, \quad \|\nabla^2 u\|_{L_t^{r_2} L_x^p(Q_{\frac{1}{2}}^+)} = \infty.$$

Remark 1.7. *We do not know whether or not there exists a solution u of Stokes equations (1.1)-(1.2) such that $\|\nabla^2 u\|_{L_t^{r_1} L_x^q(Q_{\frac{1}{2}}^+)} = \infty$ for $r_1 > q$. In fact, our construction shows that $\nabla^2 u \in L_t^{\frac{3q}{2}} L_x^p(Q_{\frac{1}{2}}^+)$.*

This paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we introduce the function spaces and we recall some known results and introduce results useful for our purpose. Section 3 is devoted to recalling Poisson kernel for Stokes equations in a half-space and two useful lemmas are proved as well. In Section 4, Section 5 and Section 6, we present the proofs of Theorem 1.1, Theorem 1.5 and Theorem 1.6 for the Stokes equations, respectively. In the case of the Navier-Stokes equations, proofs of Theorem 1.1 and Theorem 1.6 are given in Section 7. Appendix provides a simple proof of Theorem 1.1 for the case $p = 2$, and presents the details of Remark 1.3, Remark 1.4 and Remark 4.2 as well.

2. PRELIMINARIES

For notational convention, we denote $x = (x', x_n)$, where the symbol $'$ means the coordinate up to $n - 1$, that is, $x' = (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{n-1})$. We write $D_{x_i} u$ as the partial derivative of u with respect to x_i , $1 \leq i \leq n$, i.e., $D_{x_i} u(x) = \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} u(x)$. Throughout this paper we denote by c various generic positive constant and by $c(*, \dots, *)$ depending on the quantities in the parenthesis.

Let $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$ and $1 \leq p \leq \infty$. We define an anisotropic homogeneous Sobolev space $\dot{W}_p^{\alpha, \frac{\alpha}{2}}(\mathbb{R}^{n+1})$ by

$$\dot{W}_p^{\alpha, \frac{\alpha}{2}}(\mathbb{R}^{n+1}) = \{f \in \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^{n+1}) \mid f = h_\alpha * g, \text{ for some } g \in L^p(\mathbb{R}^{n+1})\}$$

with norm $\|f\|_{\dot{W}_p^{\alpha, \frac{\alpha}{2}}(\mathbb{R}^{n+1})} := \|g\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^{n+1})} = \|h_{-\alpha} * f\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^{n+1})}$, where $*$ is a convolution in \mathbb{R}^{n+1} and $\mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^{n+1})$ is the dual space of the Schwartz space $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^{n+1})$. Here h_α is a distribution whose Fourier transform in \mathbb{R}^{n+1} is defined by

$$\widehat{h_\alpha}(\xi, \tau) = c_\alpha (4\pi^2 |\xi|^2 + i\tau)^{-\frac{\alpha}{2}}, \quad (\xi, \tau) \in \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}.$$

In case that $\alpha = k \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$, we note that

$$\|f\|_{\dot{W}_p^{k, \frac{k}{2}}(\mathbb{R}^{n+1})} \approx \sum_{k_1 + k_2 + \dots + k_n + l = k} \|D_{x_1}^{k_1} D_{x_2}^{k_2} \dots D_{x_n}^{k_n} D_t^{\frac{1}{2}l} f\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^{n+1})},$$

where $D_t^{\frac{1}{2}} f(t) = D_t \int_{-\infty}^t \frac{f(s)}{(t-s)^{\frac{1}{2}}} ds$ and $D_t^{k+\frac{1}{2}} f = D_t^{\frac{1}{2}} D_t^k f$. In particular, when $k = 0$, it follows that $\|f\|_{\dot{W}_p^{0,0}(\mathbb{R}^{n+1})} = \|f\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^{n+1})}$.

Next, we recall an anisotropic homogeneous Besov space $\dot{B}_{pq}^{\alpha, \frac{\alpha}{2}}(\mathbb{R}^{n+1})$. Let $\phi \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^{n+1})$ such that $\hat{\phi}$, the Fourier transform of ϕ , satisfies

$$\begin{cases} \hat{\phi}(\xi, \tau) > 0 & \text{on } 2^{-1} < |\xi| + |\tau|^{\frac{1}{2}} < 2, \\ \hat{\phi}(\xi, \tau) = 0 & \text{elsewhere,} \\ \sum_{-\infty < i < \infty} \hat{\phi}(2^{-i}\xi, 2^{-2i}\tau) = 1 & ((\xi, \tau) \neq (0, 0)). \end{cases}$$

We then introduce functions $\phi_i \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^{n+1})$, $i \in \mathbb{Z}$ in terms of ϕ , which are defined by

$$(2.1) \quad \hat{\phi}_i(\xi, \tau) = \hat{\phi}(2^{-i}\xi, 2^{-2i}\tau), \quad i = 0, \pm 1, \pm 2, \dots$$

Note that $\phi_i(x, t) = 2^{(i+2)n} \phi(2^i x, 2^{2i} t)$. For $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$ we define the anisotropic homogeneous Besov space $\dot{B}_{pr}^{\alpha, \frac{1}{2}\alpha}(\mathbb{R}^{n+1})$ by

$$\dot{B}_{pr}^{\alpha, \frac{1}{2}\alpha}(\mathbb{R}^{n+1}) = \left\{ f \in \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^{n+1}) \mid \|f\|_{\dot{B}_{pr}^{\alpha, \frac{1}{2}\alpha}} < \infty \right\}$$

with the norms

$$\begin{aligned} \|f\|_{\dot{B}_{pr}^{\alpha, \frac{1}{2}\alpha}} &:= \left(\sum_{-\infty < i < \infty} (2^{\alpha i} \|\phi_i * f\|_{L^p})^r \right)^{\frac{1}{r}}, \quad 1 \leq r < \infty, \\ \|f\|_{\dot{B}_{p\infty}^{\alpha, \frac{1}{2}\alpha}} &:= \sup_{-\infty < i < \infty} 2^{\alpha i} \|\phi_i * f\|_{L^p}. \end{aligned}$$

Let I be an open interval. The anisotropic homogeneous Sobolev space $\dot{W}_p^{\alpha, \frac{\alpha}{2}}(\mathbb{R}_+^n \times I)$ in $\mathbb{R}_+^n \times I$ is defined by

$$\dot{W}_p^{\alpha, \frac{\alpha}{2}}(\mathbb{R}_+^n \times I) = \left\{ f = F|_{\mathbb{R}_+^n \times I} \mid F \in \dot{W}_p^{\alpha, \frac{\alpha}{2}}(\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}) \right\}$$

with norm

$$\|f\|_{\dot{W}_p^{\alpha, \frac{\alpha}{2}}(\mathbb{R}_+^n \times \mathbb{R})} = \inf \left\{ \|F\|_{\dot{W}_p^{\alpha, \frac{\alpha}{2}}(\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R})} \mid F \in \dot{W}_p^{\alpha, \frac{\alpha}{2}}(\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}), F|_{\mathbb{R}_+^n \times I} = f \right\}.$$

Similarly, we define the the anisotropic homogeneous Besov space $\dot{B}_{pq}^{\alpha, \frac{\alpha}{2}}(\mathbb{R}_+^n \times I)$.

The properties of the anisotropic Besov spaces are comparable with the properties of Besov spaces. In particular, the following properties will be used later.

Proposition 2.1. *Let Ω be \mathbb{R}^n or \mathbb{R}_+^n .*

(1) *For $\alpha > 0$*

$$\dot{B}_{pp}^{\alpha, \frac{\alpha}{2}}(\Omega \times I) = L^p(I; \dot{B}_{pp}^{\alpha}(\Omega)) \cap L^p(\Omega; \dot{B}_{pp}^{\frac{\alpha}{2}}(I)).$$

(2) *Suppose that $1 \leq p_0 \leq p_1 \leq \infty$, $1 \leq q_0 \leq q_1 \leq \infty$ and $\alpha_0 \geq \alpha_1$ with $\alpha_0 - \frac{n+2}{p_0} = \alpha_1 - \frac{n+2}{p_1}$. Then, the following inclusion holds*

$$\dot{B}_{p_0 q_0}^{\alpha_0, \frac{\alpha_0}{2}}(\Omega \times I) \subset \dot{B}_{p_1 q_1}^{\alpha_1, \frac{\alpha_1}{2}}(\Omega \times I).$$

(3) Suppose that $f \in \dot{W}_p^{\alpha, \frac{\alpha}{2}}(\Omega \times I)$ and $f \in \dot{B}_{pp}^{\alpha, \frac{\alpha}{2}}(\Omega \times I)$ with $\alpha > \frac{1}{p}$. Then, $f|_{x_n=0} \in \dot{B}_{pp}^{\alpha - \frac{1}{p}, \frac{\alpha}{2} - \frac{1}{2p}}(\mathbb{R}^{n-1} \times I)$ and following estimates are satisfied.

$$\begin{aligned} \|f\|_{\dot{B}_{pp}^{\alpha - \frac{1}{p}, \frac{\alpha}{2} - \frac{1}{2p}}(\mathbb{R}^{n-1} \times I)} &\leq c \|f\|_{\dot{W}_p^{\alpha, \frac{\alpha}{2}}(\Omega \times I)}, \\ \|f\|_{\dot{B}_{pp}^{\alpha - \frac{1}{p}, \frac{\alpha}{2} - \frac{1}{2p}}(\mathbb{R}^{n-1} \times I)} &\leq c \|f\|_{\dot{B}_{pp}^{\alpha, \frac{\alpha}{2}}(\Omega \times I)}. \end{aligned}$$

Remark 2.2. For the proof of (1) in Proposition 2.1, one can refer to [8, Theorem 3]. We also consult Theorem 6.5.1 and Theorem 6.6.1 in [2] for (2) and (3) in Proposition 2.1, respectively.

We next remind the non-homogeneous anisotropic Sobolev space and Besov space defined by

$$\begin{aligned} \|f\|_{W_p^{\alpha, \frac{\alpha}{2}}(\Omega \times I)} &= \|f\|_{L^p(\Omega \times I)} + \|f\|_{\dot{W}_p^{\alpha, \frac{\alpha}{2}}(\Omega \times I)}, \\ \|f\|_{B_{pp}^{\alpha, \frac{\alpha}{2}}(\Omega \times I)} &= \|f\|_{L^p(\Omega \times I)} + \|f\|_{\dot{B}_{pp}^{\alpha, \frac{\alpha}{2}}(\Omega \times (0, I))}, \end{aligned}$$

where $\alpha > 0$ and $1 \leq p \leq \infty$. Then, Proposition 2.1 holds for non-homogeneous anisotropic Sobolev spaces and Besov spaces (see [1, 24, 25]).

Let Ω be a domain in \mathbb{R}^n and I be an open interval. Let $X(\Omega)$ be a function space defined in Ω . We denote by $L_t^q X_x(\Omega \times I)$, $1 \leq q \leq \infty$ with norm

$$\|f\|_{L_t^q X_x(\Omega \times I)} = \left(\int_I \|f(t)\|_{X(\Omega)}^q dt \right)^{\frac{1}{q}}.$$

In case that $X(\Omega) = L^q(\Omega)$, we denote $L^q(\Omega \times I) = L_t^q L_x^q(\Omega \times I)$.

We introduce notions of *very weak solutions* for the Stokes equations and the Navier-Stokes equations with non-zero boundary values in a half-space \mathbb{R}_+^n . To be more precise, we consider first the following Stokes equations in \mathbb{R}_+^n :

$$(2.2) \quad w_t - \Delta w + \nabla \pi = f, \quad \operatorname{div} w = 0, \quad \text{in } \mathbb{R}_+^n \times (0, \infty)$$

with zero initial data and non-zero boundary value

$$(2.3) \quad w|_{t=0} = 0, \quad w|_{x_n=0} = g.$$

We now define very weak solutions of the Stokes equations (2.2)-(2.3).

Definition 2.3. Let $g \in L_{\text{loc}}^1(\mathbb{R}^{n-1} \times (0, \infty))$ and $f \in L_{\text{loc}}^1(\mathbb{R}_+^n \times (0, \infty))$. A vector field $w \in L_{\text{loc}}^1(\mathbb{R}_+^n \times (0, \infty))$ is called a *very weak solution* of the Stokes equations (2.2)-(2.3), if the following equality is satisfied:

$$- \int_0^\infty \int_{\mathbb{R}_+^n} w \cdot \Delta \Phi dx dt = \int_0^\infty \int_{\mathbb{R}_+^n} (w \cdot \Phi_t + f \cdot \Phi) dx dt - \int_0^\infty \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n-1}} g \cdot D_{x_n} \Phi dx' dt$$

for each $\Phi \in C_c^2(\overline{\mathbb{R}_+^n} \times [0, \infty))$ with

$$(2.4) \quad \operatorname{div} \Phi = 0, \quad \Phi|_{\mathbb{R}^{n-1} \times (0, \infty)} = 0.$$

In addition, for each $\Psi \in C_c^1(\overline{\mathbb{R}_+^n})$ with $\Psi|_{\mathbb{R}^{n-1}} = 0$

$$(2.5) \quad \int_{\mathbb{R}_+^n} w(x, t) \cdot \nabla \Psi(x) dx = 0 \quad \text{for all } 0 < t < \infty.$$

We recall some estimates which are useful to our purpose. In the sequel, we denote by N and Γ the fundamental solutions of the Laplace and the heat equation, respectively, i.e.

$$N(x) = \begin{cases} -\frac{1}{(n-2)\omega_n} \frac{1}{|x|^{n-2}}, & n \geq 3 \\ \frac{1}{2\pi} \ln |x|, & n = 2 \end{cases} \quad \Gamma(x, t) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{\sqrt{4\pi t}^n} e^{-\frac{|x|^2}{4t}}, & t > 0, \\ 0, & t < 0, \end{cases}$$

where ω_n is the measure of the unit sphere in \mathbb{R}^n .

Proposition 2.4. *Let w be solution of (2.2)-(2.3) with $g = 0$. Then,*

$$\|w(t)\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}_+^n)} \leq c(\|\Gamma * \mathbb{P}f(t)\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}_+^n)} + \|\Gamma^* * \mathbb{P}f(t)\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}_+^n)}) \quad 0 < t < \infty,$$

where \mathbb{P} is Helmholtz decomposition in \mathbb{R}_+^n and

$$\begin{aligned} \Gamma * \mathbb{P}f(x, t) &= \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}_+^n} \Gamma(x - y, t - s) \mathbb{P}f(y, s) dy ds, \\ \Gamma^* * \mathbb{P}f(x, t) &= \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}_+^n} \Gamma(x' - y', x_n + y_n, t - s) \mathbb{P}f(y, s) dy ds. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. See the proof of Lemma 3.3 in [5]. □

Proposition 2.5. *Let $f = \operatorname{div} F$ with $F_{in}|_{x_n=0} = 0$ and $g = 0$. Then, for $1 < p_0 \leq p < \infty$,*

$$\|\Gamma * \mathbb{P}f(t)\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}_+^n)} + \|\Gamma^* * \mathbb{P}f(t)\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}_+^n)} \leq c \int_0^t (t-s)^{-\frac{1}{2} - \frac{n}{2}(\frac{1}{p_0} - \frac{1}{p})} \|F(s)\|_{L^{p_0}(\mathbb{R}_+^n)} ds.$$

Proof. See the proof of Lemma 3.7 in [4]. □

With aid of Proposition 2.4 and Proposition 2.5, we obtain the following proposition.

Proposition 2.6. *Let $1 < p < \infty$. Let $f = \operatorname{div} F$ with $F_{in}|_{x_n=0} = 0$ and $g = 0$. Then, for $1 < p < \infty$ the solution w of (2.2)-(2.3) satisfies*

$$\|w(t)\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}_+^n)} \leq c \int_0^t (t-s)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \|F(s)\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}_+^n)} ds, \quad 0 < t < \infty.$$

Some estimates of solutions for (2.2) are reminded as well.

Proposition 2.7. ([7, Proposition 2.3]) *Let $f = \operatorname{div} F$ with $F \in L^q(0, \infty; L^p(\mathbb{R}_+^n))$, $F|_{x_n=0} \in L^q(0, \infty; \dot{B}_{pp}^{-\frac{1}{p}}(\mathbb{R}^{n-1}))$ and $g = 0$. Then, for $1 < p, q < \infty$ the solution w of (2.2)-(2.3) satisfies*

$$(2.6) \quad \|\nabla w\|_{L^q(0, \infty; L^p(\mathbb{R}_+^n))} \leq c \left(\|F\|_{L^q(0, \infty; L^p(\mathbb{R}_+^n))} + \|F|_{x_n=0}\|_{L^q(0, \infty; \dot{B}_{pp}^{-\frac{1}{p}}(\mathbb{R}^{n-1}))} \right).$$

Proposition 2.8. [3, Theorem 1.2] *Let $g = 0$ and $f = \operatorname{div} F$ with $F \in L^q(\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}_+)$, $1 < q < \infty$. Then there is a unique very weak solution $w \in L^q(\mathbb{R}_+^n \times (0, T))$ of the Stokes equations (2.2)-(2.3) satisfying the following inequality*

$$\|w\|_{L^q(\mathbb{R}_+^n \times (0, T))} \leq cT^{\frac{1}{2}} \|F\|_{L^q(\mathbb{R}^n \times (0, T))}.$$

Proposition 2.9. [6, Theorem 2.2] *Let $n + 2 < p < \infty$. Let $f = \operatorname{div} F$ with $F \in L^p(\mathbb{R}_+^n \times (0, T))$ and $g \equiv 0$. Then, there exists unique very weak solution, $w \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R}_+^n \times (0, T))$, of the Stokes equations (2.2) such that*

$$\|w\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}_+^n \times (0, T))} \leq cT^{\frac{1}{2}(1 - \frac{n+2}{p})} \max(1, T^{-\frac{\alpha_1}{2}}) \|F\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}_+^n \times (0, T))},$$

where $0 < \alpha_1 \leq 1 - \frac{n+2}{p}$.

Proposition 2.10. *Let $\frac{n+2}{2} < p < \infty$. Let $f \in L^p(\mathbb{R}_+^n \times (0, T))$ and $g \equiv 0$. Then, there exists unique very weak solution, $w \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R}_+^n \times (0, T))$, of the Stokes equations (2.2) such that*

$$\|w\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}_+^n \times (0, T))} \leq cT^{1 - \frac{n+2}{2p}} \|f\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}_+^n \times (0, T))}.$$

The proof of Proposition 2.10 is rather straightforward, and thus we skip its details.

We similarly consider the Navier-Stokes equations in a half-space, namely

$$(2.7) \quad u_t - \Delta u + \nabla p = -\operatorname{div}(u \otimes u), \quad \operatorname{div} u = 0 \quad \text{in } Q^+ := \mathbb{R}_+^n \times (0, \infty)$$

with zero initial data and non-zero boundary values

$$(2.8) \quad u|_{t=0} = 0, \quad u|_{x_n=0} = g.$$

We mean by very weak solutions of the Navier-Stokes equations (2.7)-(2.8) distribution solutions, which are defined as follows:

Definition 2.11. *Let $g \in L^1_{\text{loc}}(\partial\mathbb{R}_+^n \times (0, \infty))$. A vector field $u \in L^2_{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R}_+^n \times (0, \infty))$ is called a very weak solution of the non-stationary Navier-Stokes equations (2.7)-(2.8), if the following equality is satisfied:*

$$-\int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{R}_+^n} u \cdot \Delta \Phi dx dt = \int_0^\infty \int_{\mathbb{R}_+^n} (u \cdot \Phi_t + (u \otimes u) : \nabla \Phi) dx dt - \int_0^\infty \int_{\partial\mathbb{R}_+^n} g \cdot D_{x_n} \Phi dx' dt$$

for each $\Phi \in C_c^2(\overline{\mathbb{R}_+^n} \times [0, \infty))$ satisfying (2.4). In addition, for each $\Psi \in C_c^1(\overline{\mathbb{R}_+^n})$ with $\Psi|_{\partial\mathbb{R}_+^n} = 0$, u satisfies (2.5).

3. STOKES EQUATIONS WITH BOUNDARY DATA IN A HALF-SPACE

For convenience, we introduce a tensor L_{ij} defined by

$$(3.1) \quad L_{ij}(x, t) = D_{x_i} \int_0^{x_n} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n-1}} D_{z_n} \Gamma(z, t) D_{x_j} N(x - z) dz, \quad i, j = 1, 2, \dots, n.$$

We recall the following relations on L_{ij} (see [20]):

$$(3.2) \quad \sum_{i=1}^n L_{ii} = -2D_{x_n} \Gamma, \quad L_{in} = L_{ni} + B_{in} \text{ if } i \neq n,$$

where

$$(3.3) \quad B_{in}(x, t) = - \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n-1}} D_{x_n} \Gamma(x' - z', x_n, t) D_{z_i} N(z', 0) dz'.$$

Furthermore, we remind an estimate of L_{ij} defined in (3.1) (see [20])

$$(3.4) \quad |D_{x_n}^{l_0} D_{x'}^{k_0} D_t^{m_0} L_{ij}(x, t)| \leq \frac{c}{t^{m_0 + \frac{1}{2}} (|x|^2 + t)^{\frac{1}{2}n + \frac{1}{2}k_0} (x_n^2 + t)^{\frac{1}{2}l_0}},$$

where $1 \leq i \leq n$ and $1 \leq j \leq n - 1$.

It is known that the Poisson kernel K of the Stokes equations is given as follows (see [20]):

$$(3.5) \quad \begin{aligned} K_{ij}(x' - y', x_n, t) &= -2\delta_{ij} D_{x_n} \Gamma(x' - y', x_n, t) - 4L_{ij}(x' - y', x_n, t) \\ &\quad + \delta_{jn} \delta(t) D_{x_i} N(x' - y', x_n), \end{aligned}$$

where $\delta(t)$ is the Dirac delta function and δ_{ij} is the Kronecker delta function, and thus, the solution w of the Stokes equations (2.2)-(2.3) with $f = 0$ is expressed by

$$(3.6) \quad w_i(x, t) = \sum_{j=1}^n \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n-1}} K_{ij}(x' - y', x_n, t - s) g_j(y', s) dy' ds.$$

Next, we will construct a solution w of Stokes equations via (3.6) for a certain g such that $w \in L^\infty$ but L^p -norm of ∇w is not bounded near boundary. For convenience, we denote

$$A = \{y' = (y_1, y_2, \dots, y_{n-1}) \in \mathbb{R}^{n-1} \mid 1 < |y'| < 2, -2 < y_i < -1, 1 \leq i \leq n-1\}.$$

We introduce a non-zero boundary data $g : \mathbb{R}^{n-1} \times \mathbb{R}_+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ with only n -th component defined as follows:

$$(3.7) \quad g(y', s) = (0, \dots, 0, g_n(y', s)) = (0, \dots, 0, a g_n^S(y') g_n^T(s)),$$

where $g_n^S \geq 0$ and $g_n^T \geq 0$ satisfy

$$(3.8) \quad g_n^S \in C_c^\infty(A), \quad \text{supp } g_n^T \subset \left(\frac{3}{4}, 1\right), \quad g_n^T \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R}).$$

Here $a > 0$ is a constant, which is specified later. In this section, we assume $a = 1$, without loss of generality (in section 5, the parameter a will be taken sufficiently small).

In the next lemma, we estimate spatial derivatives of the convolution of L_{ni} and g_n up to the second order. For convenience, we denote

$$(3.9) \quad w_i^{\mathcal{L}}(x, t) = \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n-1}} L_{in}(x' - y', x_n, t - s) g_n(y', s) dy' ds \quad 1 \leq i \leq n.$$

Lemma 3.1. *Let g_n be given in (3.7) and (3.8), and $w_i^{\mathcal{L}}$ defined in (3.9). Then, for $|x'| < \frac{1}{2}$, $w_i^{\mathcal{L}}$ satisfies the following estimates.*

$$(3.10) \quad |w_i^{\mathcal{L}}(x, t)| \leq c \|g\|_{L^\infty}, \quad 1 \leq i \leq n,$$

$$(3.11) \quad |\nabla w_i^{\mathcal{L}}(x, t)| \leq c \|g\|_{L^\infty}, \quad 1 \leq i \leq n - 1,$$

$$(3.12) \quad |\nabla w_n^{\mathcal{L}}(x, t)| \leq c \left| \ln \frac{x_n^2}{t} \right| \|g\|_{L^\infty},$$

$$(3.13) \quad |\nabla^2 w_i^{\mathcal{L}}(x, t)| \leq c \left| \ln \frac{x_n^2}{t} \right| \|g\|_{L^\infty}, \quad 1 \leq i \leq n - 1,$$

$$(3.14) \quad \|\nabla^2 w_n^{\mathcal{L}}\|_{L^q(0, \infty, L^r(\mathbb{R}_+^n))} \leq c \|g_n^{\mathcal{T}}\|_{L^\infty} \|D_{x'} g_n^{\mathcal{S}}\|_{L^\infty}, \quad \frac{1}{q} + 1 > 1 - \frac{1}{2r}.$$

Proof. Let $1 \leq i \leq n - 1$. Noting that for $|x'| < \frac{1}{2}$ and $y' \in A$, we have $|x' - y'| > \frac{1}{2}$. Hence, due to the estimate (3.4), we have for $k, l \neq n$

$$(3.15) \quad |w_i^{\mathcal{L}}(x, t)| + |D_{x_k} w_i^{\mathcal{L}}(x, t)| + |D_{x_k} D_{x_l} w_i^{\mathcal{L}}(x, t)| \leq c \|g\|_{L^\infty}.$$

Set $f(x, t) = \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n-1}} D_{x_n} \Gamma(x' - z', x_n, t - s) g_n(z', s) dz' ds$. Then, we note that $-B_{in}(x, t) = R'_i f(x, t)$, where R'_i is $n - 1$ dimensional Riesz transform. From the second identity of (3.2), we observe

$$(3.16) \quad w_i^{\mathcal{L}}(x, t) = D_{x_n} D_{x_i} \int_0^{x_n} N(x - y) f(y, t) dy - R'_i f(x, t).$$

On the other hand, we note that

$$\Delta_x \int_0^{x_n} N(x - y) f(y, t) dy = \frac{1}{2} f(x, t) + I(D_{x_n} f(\cdot, x_n, t))(x'),$$

where $I f(\cdot, x_n, t) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n-1}} N(x' - y', 0) f(y', x_n, t) dy'$. Since $D_{x_i} I = R'_i$, we have from (3.16)

$$(3.17) \quad \begin{aligned} D_{x_n} w_i^{\mathcal{L}}(x, t) &= -\Delta_{x'} D_{x_i} \int_0^{x_n} N(x - y) f(y, t) dy \\ &\quad + D_{x_i} \left(\frac{1}{2} f(x, t) + I(D_{x_n} f(x', x_n, t))(x') \right) - D_{x_n} R'_i f(x, t) \\ &= -\sum_{k=1}^{n-1} D_{x_k} w_{ik}^{\mathcal{L}}(x, t) + \frac{1}{2} D_{x_i} f(x, t), \end{aligned}$$

where

$$w_{ik}^{\mathcal{L}}(x, t) = \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n-1}} L_{ik}(x' - y', x_n, t - s) g_n(y', s) dy' ds, \quad k = 1, 2, \dots, n - 1.$$

It follows from (3.4) that, for $|x'| < \frac{1}{2}$,

$$(3.18) \quad |D_{x'} w_{ik}^{\mathcal{L}}(x, t)| + |D_{x_i} f(x, t)| \leq \|g_n\|_{L^\infty}.$$

Summing up (3.15) and (3.18), we obtain (3.11).

Similarly, for $1 \leq l \leq n$, we have from (3.16)

$$(3.19) \quad D_{x_n} D_{x_l} w_i^{\mathcal{L}}(x, t) = - \sum_{k=1}^{k=n-1} D_{x_l} D_{x_k} w_{ik}^{\mathcal{L}}(x, t) + \frac{1}{2} D_{x_l} D_{x_i} f(x, t).$$

Here, we note that

$$(3.20) \quad |D_{x_l} D_{x_i} f(x, t)| \leq c \|g\|_{L^\infty}.$$

Again, using the estimate (3.4), for $1 \leq l \leq n$ and $1 \leq k \leq n-1$, we get

$$(3.21) \quad |D_{x_l} D_{x_k} w_{ik}^{\mathcal{L}}(x, t)| \leq c \int_0^t (t-s)^{-\frac{1}{2}} (x_n^2 + t-s)^{-\frac{1}{2}} ds \|g\|_{L^\infty} \leq c \left| \ln \frac{x_n^2}{t} \right| \|g\|_{L^\infty}$$

Hence, combining estimates (3.15), (3.20) and (3.21), we obtain (3.13).

Now, it remains to estimate w_n . The first equality of (3.2) implies

$$(3.22) \quad w_n^{\mathcal{L}}(x, t) = - \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} w_{kk}^{\mathcal{L}}(x, t) - 2f(x, t).$$

Hence, we observe that, for $1 \leq k, l \leq n-1$,

$$(3.23) \quad |w_n^{\mathcal{L}}(x, t)| + |D_{x_k} w_n^{\mathcal{L}}(x, t)| + |D_{x_k} D_{x_l} w_n^{\mathcal{L}}(x, t)| \leq c \|g\|_{L^\infty}$$

and, for $1 \leq l \leq n-1$,

$$(3.24) \quad |D_{x_n} w_n^{\mathcal{L}}(x, t)| + |D_{x_n} D_{x_l} w_n^{\mathcal{L}}(x, t)| \leq c \left| \ln \frac{x_n^2}{t} \right| \|g\|_{L^\infty}.$$

Therefore, the estimates (3.10) and (3.12) are consequences of (3.22), (3.23) and (3.24).

With the same reason to (3.17), we have

$$(3.25) \quad \begin{aligned} D_{x_n} D_{x_n} w_{kk}^{\mathcal{L}}(x, t) &= - \sum_{l=1}^{n-1} D_{x_k} D_{x_k} D_{x_l} D_{x_l} w_{kl}^{\mathcal{L}}(x, t) \\ &+ \frac{1}{2} D_{x_k} D_{x_k} f(x, t) + R'_k(D_{x_k} D_{x_n} f(\cdot, x_n, t))(x'). \end{aligned}$$

It follows from (3.4) that

$$(3.26) \quad |D_{x_k} D_{x_k} D_{x_l} D_{x_l} w_{ik}^{\mathcal{L}}(x, t)| + |D_{x_k} D_{x_k} f(x, t)| \leq c \|g_n\|_{L^\infty}.$$

We note that

$$R'_k(D_{x_k} D_{x_n} f(\cdot, x_n, t))(x') = \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n-1}} D_{x_n} \Gamma(x' - z', x_n, t-s) R'_k D_{x_k} g_n(z', s) dz' ds.$$

Since R' is bounded from $L^r(\mathbb{R}^{n-1})$ to $L^r(\mathbb{R}^{n-1})$ for $1 < r < \infty$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|R'_k(D_{x_k} D_{x_n} f(\cdot, t))\|_{L^r(\mathbb{R}_+^n)} &\leq \int_0^t (t-s)^{-\frac{3}{2}} \|x_n e^{-\frac{x_n^2}{t-s}}\|_{L^r(0,\infty)} \|\Gamma'_{t-s} *' R'_k D_{x_k} g_n(s)\|_{L^r(\mathbb{R}^{n-1})} ds \\ &\leq \int_0^t (t-s)^{-1+\frac{1}{2r}} |g_n^T(s)| ds \|D_{x_k} g_n^S\|_{L^r(\mathbb{R}^{n-1})}, \end{aligned}$$

where Γ' is $n-1$ dimensional Gaussian kernel and $*'$ is convolution in \mathbb{R}^{n-1} . By Sobolev-Littlewood-Hardy inequality, for $\frac{1}{q} + 1 = \frac{1}{q_1} + 1 - \frac{1}{2r}$, we have

$$(3.27) \quad \|R'_k(D_{x_k} D_{x_n} f(\cdot, t))\|_{L^q(0,\infty, L^r(\mathbb{R}_+^n))} \leq c \|g_n^T\|_{L^{q_1}(0,\infty)} \|D_{x_k} g_n^S\|_{L^r(\mathbb{R}^{n-1})}.$$

Due to (3.25), (3.26) and (3.27), we have

$$(3.28) \quad \|D_{x_n} D_{x_n} w_n^L\|_{L^q(0,\infty, L^r(\mathbb{R}_+^n))} \leq c \|g_n^T\|_{L^\infty} \|D_{x_k} g_n^S\|_{L^\infty}.$$

Thus, we obtain (3.14) via (3.22), (3.23), (3.24) and (3.28). We complete the proof. \square

Next lemma shows a pointwise control for $n-1$ dimensional Riesz transform of $e^{|x'|^2/t}$, which is one of crucial estimates in our analysis.

Lemma 3.2. *Let $\frac{1}{2} \leq |X'| \leq 2$. Then,*

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n-1}} e^{-\frac{|X'-z'|^2}{t}} \frac{z_1}{|z'|^n} dz = c_{n-1} t^{\frac{n-1}{2}} \frac{X_1}{|X'|^n} + J(X', t),$$

where $|J(X', t)| \leq ct^{\frac{n}{2}}$ and $c_{n-1} = \pi^{\frac{n-1}{2}}$.

Proof. We divide \mathbb{R}^{n-1} by three disjoint sets D_1, D_2 and D_3 , which are defined by

$$\begin{aligned} D_1 &= \left\{ z' \in \mathbb{R}^{n-1} : |X' - z'| \leq \frac{1}{10} |X'| \right\}, \\ D_2 &= \left\{ z' \in \mathbb{R}^{n-1} : |z'| \leq \frac{1}{10} |X'| \right\}, \quad D_3 = \mathbb{R}^{n-1} \setminus (D_1 \cup D_2). \end{aligned}$$

We then split the following integral into three terms as follows:

$$(3.29) \quad \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n-1}} e^{-\frac{|X'-z'|^2}{t}} \frac{z_1}{|z'|^n} dz' = \int_{D_1} \cdots + \int_{D_2} \cdots + \int_{D_3} \cdots := J_1 + J_2 + J_3.$$

Since $\int_{D_2} \frac{z_1}{|z'|^n} dz' = 0$, we have $\int_{D_2} \frac{z_1}{|z'|^n} e^{-\frac{|X'-z'|^2}{t}} dz' = \int_{D_2} \frac{z_1}{|z'|^n} (e^{-\frac{|X'-z'|^2}{t}} - e^{-\frac{|X'|^2}{t}}) dz'$.

Thus, using the mean-value Theorem, we have

$$\begin{aligned} |J_2| &= \left| \int_{D_2} \frac{z_1}{|z'|^n} (e^{-\frac{|X'-z'|^2}{t}} - e^{-\frac{|X'|^2}{t}}) dz' \right| \leq ct^{-1} |X'| e^{-c\frac{|X'|^2}{t-s}} \int_{D_2} \frac{1}{|z'|^{n-2}} dz' \\ (3.30) \quad &\leq ct^{-1} |X'|^2 e^{-c\frac{|X'|^2}{t}} \leq ce^{-c\frac{|X'|^2}{t}}. \end{aligned}$$

Since $\int_{|z'|>a} e^{-|z'|^2} dz' \leq c_1 e^{-c_2 a^2}$, $a > 0$, we have

$$(3.31) \quad |J_3| \leq \frac{c}{|X'|^{n-1}} \int_{\{|z'-X'| \geq \frac{1}{10}|X'|\}} e^{-\frac{|z'-X'|^2}{t}} dz' \leq \frac{ct^{\frac{n-1}{2}}}{|X'|^{n-1}} e^{-c\frac{|X'|^2}{t}} \leq ce^{-c\frac{|X'|^2}{t}}.$$

Due to $\frac{1}{2} \leq |X'| \leq 2$, it follows from (3.30) and (3.31) that

$$(3.32) \quad |J_2(X', t)| + |J_3(X', t)| \leq ce^{-\frac{t}{2}}.$$

Now, we estimate J_1 . Firstly, we decompose J_1 in the following way:

$$(3.33) \quad \begin{aligned} J_1 &= \int_{D_1} e^{-\frac{|X'-z'|^2}{t}} \frac{z_1}{|z'|^n} dz' = t^{\frac{n-1}{2}} \int_{\{|z'| \leq \frac{1}{10} \frac{|X'|}{\sqrt{t}}\}} e^{-|z'|^2} \frac{X_1 - t^{\frac{1}{2}} z_1}{|X' - t^{\frac{1}{2}} z'|^n} dz' \\ &= t^{\frac{n-1}{2}} \int_{\{|z'| \leq \frac{1}{10} \frac{|X'|}{\sqrt{t}}\}} e^{-|z'|^2} \left(\frac{X_1 - t^{\frac{1}{2}} z_1}{|X' - t^{\frac{1}{2}} z'|^n} - \frac{X_1}{|X'|^n} \right) dz' \\ &\quad - t^{\frac{n-1}{2}} \int_{\{|z'| \geq \frac{1}{10} \frac{|X'|}{\sqrt{t}}\}} e^{-|z'|^2} \frac{X_1}{|X'|^n} dz' + t^{\frac{n-1}{2}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n-1}} e^{-|z'|^2} \frac{X_1}{|X'|^n} dz' \\ &= J_{11} + J_{12} + J_{13}. \end{aligned}$$

We observe that

$$(3.34) \quad |J_{11}(X', t)| \leq ct^{\frac{n}{2}}, \quad |J_{12}(X', t)| \leq e^{-\frac{|X'|^2}{t-s}} \leq ct^{\frac{n}{2}}.$$

Here we set $c_{n-1} := \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n-1}} e^{-|z'|^2} dz' = \pi^{\frac{n-1}{2}}$ and take $J := J_2 + J_3 + J_{11} + J_{12}$. Then, combining (3.29), (3.32), (3.33) and (3.34), we complete the proof of Lemma 3.2. \square

4. PROOF OF THEOREM 1.1 FOR STOKES EQUATIONS

The case for the Stokes system in Theorem 1.1 can be verified by the following proposition, where a class of boundary data for temporal variable is specified to show that velocity is bounded but its gradient is not integrable in L^q_{loc} near boundary. The case of the Navier-Stokes equations will be treated in subsection 7.1.

Proposition 4.1. *Let $1 < p < \infty$ and g satisfy (3.7) and (3.8). Assume further that $g_n^T \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R}) \setminus \dot{B}_{pp}^{\frac{1}{2}-\frac{1}{2p}}(\mathbb{R})$. Suppose that w is a solution of the Stokes equations (2.2)-(2.3) defined by (3.6) with $f = 0$ and the boundary data g given in (3.7) with (3.8). Then, w is bounded in Q_1^+ but the normal derivative of tangential components for w are unbounded in $L^p(B_{\frac{1}{2}}^+ \times (\frac{1}{16}, \frac{1}{4}))$, i.e. w satisfies*

$$(4.1) \quad \|w\|_{L^\infty(Q_1^+)} < \infty,$$

$$(4.2) \quad \int_{\frac{3}{4}}^1 \int_{B(0, \frac{1}{2})} |D_{x_n} w_i(x, t)|^p dx dt = \infty \quad i = 1, \dots, n-1.$$

Remark 4.2. *In Appendix C, we give an example, for a clearer understanding, of a function $g_n^T \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R}) \setminus \dot{B}_{pp}^{\frac{1}{2}-\frac{1}{2p}}(\mathbb{R})$, $1 < p < \infty$.*

Proof. We prove only the case that $i = 1$, since the arguments are similar for other cases. From (3.2) and (3.5), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
w_1(x, t) &= \int_0^t \int_A L_{n1}(x' - y', x_n, t - s) g_n(y', s) dy' ds \\
&\quad + \int_0^t \int_A B_{1n}(x' - y', x_n, t - s) g_n(y', s) dy' ds \\
&\quad - \int_A D_{x_1} N(x' - y', x_n) g_n(y', t) dy' \\
(4.3) \quad &:= w_1^{\mathcal{L}}(x, t) + w_1^{\mathcal{B}}(x, t) + w_1^{\mathcal{N}}(x, t).
\end{aligned}$$

We note that $\frac{1}{2} \leq |x' - y'| \leq 2$ for $|x'| \leq \frac{1}{2}$ and $y' \in A$, and thus, for $(x, t) \in B(0, \frac{1}{2}) \times (0, 1)$ it is direct that

$$(4.4) \quad \|w_1^{\mathcal{N}}(x, t)\|_{L^\infty(Q_1^+)} + \|\nabla w_1^{\mathcal{N}}(x, t)\|_{L^p(Q_1^+)} \leq c \|g_n\|_{L^\infty} \quad 1 < p < \infty.$$

From Lemma 3.1, it is straightforward that for $1 < r < \infty$,

$$(4.5) \quad \|w_1^{\mathcal{L}}\|_{L^\infty(Q_1^+)} + \|\nabla w_1^{\mathcal{L}}\|_{L^r(Q_1^+)} \leq c \|g_n\|_{L^\infty}.$$

Next, we estimate $w_1^{\mathcal{B}}$. Since $\frac{1}{2} \leq |x' - y'| \leq 2$, reminding (3.3) and Lemma 3.2, we note that

$$\begin{aligned}
w_1^{\mathcal{B}}(x, t) &= c_n \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n-1}} g_n(y', s) \frac{x_n}{(t-s)^{\frac{n+2}{2}}} e^{-\frac{x_n^2}{t-s}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n-1}} e^{-\frac{|x'-y'-z'|^2}{t-s}} \frac{z_1}{|z'|^n} dz' dy' ds \\
(4.6) \quad &:= w_1^{\mathcal{B},1}(x, t) + w_1^{\mathcal{B},2}(x, t),
\end{aligned}$$

where

$$(4.7) \quad w_1^{\mathcal{B},1}(x, t) = c_n \int_0^t g_n^{\mathcal{T}}(s) \frac{x_n}{(t-s)^{\frac{3}{2}}} e^{-\frac{x_n^2}{t-s}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n-1}} g_n^{\mathcal{S}}(y') \frac{x_1 - y_1}{|x' - y'|^{n-1}} dy' ds,$$

$$(4.8) \quad w_1^{\mathcal{B},2}(x, t) = c_n \int_0^t g_n^{\mathcal{T}}(s) \frac{x_n}{(t-s)^{\frac{n+2}{2}}} e^{-\frac{x_n^2}{t-s}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n-1}} g_n^{\mathcal{S}}(y') J(x' - y', t - s) dy' ds.$$

Since $\frac{1}{2} \leq |x' - y'| \leq 2$, it follows from Lemma 3.2 that

$$(4.9) \quad |w_1^{\mathcal{B}}(x, t)| \leq c_n \int_0^t e^{-\frac{x_n^2}{t-s}} \left(\frac{x_n}{(t-s)^{\frac{3}{2}}} + \frac{x_n}{t-s} \right) ds \|g_n\|_{L^\infty} \leq c_n \|g_n\|_{L^\infty}.$$

Thus, due to (4.3), (4.4) and (4.9), we obtain (4.1). Noting that

$$D_{x'} w_1^{\mathcal{B}}(x, t) = c_n \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n-1}} D_{y'} g_n(y', s) \frac{x_n}{(t-s)^{\frac{n+2}{2}}} e^{-\frac{x_n^2}{t-s}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n-1}} e^{-\frac{|x'-y'-z'|^2}{t-s}} \frac{z_1}{|z'|^n} dz' dy' ds,$$

we similarly have

$$(4.10) \quad |D_{x'} w_1^{\mathcal{B}}(x, t)| \leq c_n \|D_{y'} g_n\|_{L^\infty}.$$

Using Lemma 3.2, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
D_{x_n} w_1^{\mathcal{B},2}(x, t) &= c_n \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n-1}} g_n(y', s) \frac{1}{(t-s)^{\frac{n+2}{2}}} e^{-\frac{x_n^2}{t-s}} \left(1 - \frac{x_n^2}{t-s}\right) J(x' - y', t-s) ds \\
&\leq c \int_0^t \frac{1}{t-s} e^{-\frac{x_n^2}{t-s}} \left(1 - \frac{x_n^2}{t-s}\right) ds \|g_n\|_{L^\infty} \\
(4.11) \quad &\leq c \|g_n\|_{L^\infty}.
\end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, we note that

$$(4.12) \quad w_1^{\mathcal{B},1}(x, t) = c_n \int_0^t D_{x_n} \Gamma_1(x_n, t-s) g_n^{\mathcal{T}}(s) ds \psi(x'),$$

where Γ_1 is one dimensional Gaussian kernel and $\psi(x') = \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n-1}} \frac{x_1 - y_1}{|x' - y'|^n} g_n^S(y') dy'$, which is smooth in $|x'| \leq \frac{1}{2}$. Since $\text{supp } g_n^{\mathcal{T}} \subset (\frac{1}{16}, \frac{1}{4})$, we have for $t > 1$

$$\left| \int_0^t D_{x_n}^2 \Gamma_1(x_n, t-s) g_n^{\mathcal{T}}(s) ds \right| \leq t^{-\frac{3}{2}} e^{-\frac{x_n^2}{t}} \|g_n^{\mathcal{T}}\|_{L^\infty}$$

and for $x_n > 1$, we have

$$\left| \int_0^t D_{x_n}^2 \Gamma_1(x_n, t-s) g_n^{\mathcal{T}}(s) ds \right| \leq c \|g_n^{\mathcal{T}}\|_{L^\infty} \begin{cases} x_n^{-1}, & t < 1, \\ t^{-\frac{3}{2}} e^{-\frac{x_n^2}{t}}, & t > 1. \end{cases}$$

This implies that

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_1^\infty \int_0^\infty \left| \int_0^t D_{x_n}^2 \Gamma_1(x_n, t-s) g_n^{\mathcal{T}}(s) ds \right|^p dx_n dt \leq c \|g_n^{\mathcal{T}}\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R})}^p, \\
(4.13) \quad &\int_1^\infty \int_0^\infty \left| \int_0^t D_{x_n}^2 \Gamma_1(x_n, t-s) g_n^{\mathcal{T}}(s) ds \right|^p dt dx_n \leq c \|g_n^{\mathcal{T}}\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R})}^p.
\end{aligned}$$

By the trace theorem of anisotropic space (see (3) of Proposition 2.1), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\|g_n^{\mathcal{T}}\|_{\dot{B}_{pp}^{\frac{1}{2}-\frac{1}{2p}}(\mathbb{R})} &\leq c \left\| \int_0^t D_{x_n} \Gamma_1(x_n, t-s) g_n^{\mathcal{T}}(s) ds \right\|_{\dot{H}_p^{1,\frac{1}{2}}(\mathbb{R}_+ \times \mathbb{R})} \\
(4.14) \quad &\leq c \|D_{x_n} \int_0^t D_{x_n} \Gamma_1(x_n, t-s) g_n^{\mathcal{T}}(s) ds\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}_+ \times \mathbb{R})}.
\end{aligned}$$

With the aid of (4.12), (4.13) and (4.14), we conclude that

$$\begin{aligned}
\|D_{x_n} w_1^{\mathcal{B},1}\|_{L^p(Q_1^+)} &\geq c \left\| D_{x_n} \int_0^t D_{x_n} \Gamma_1(x_n, t-s) g_n^{\mathcal{T}}(s) ds \right\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}_+ \times \mathbb{R})} \|\psi\|_{L^p(B_1')} \\
&\quad - c \|g_n\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^{n-1} \times (0, \infty))} \\
&\geq c \|g_n^{\mathcal{T}}\|_{\dot{B}_{pp}^{\frac{1}{2}-\frac{1}{2p}}(\mathbb{R})} \|\psi\|_{L^p(B_1')} - c \|g_n\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^{n-1} \times (0, \infty))}.
\end{aligned}$$

Hence, since the righthand side is not bounded, we obtain (4.2). Hence, we complete the proof Proposition 4.1. \square

5. PROOF OF THEOREM 1.5

Before we prove Theorem 1.5 for the Stokes system, we first show an elementary estimate, which is useful for our purpose.

Lemma 5.1. *For $1 < p < \infty$, $1 \leq r \leq \infty$ and $0 < \beta < 1$,*

$$\|\nabla\Gamma * f(t)\|_{\dot{B}_{pr}^\beta(\mathbb{R}_+^n)} + \|\nabla\Gamma^* * f(t)\|_{\dot{B}_{pr}^\beta(\mathbb{R}_+^n)} \leq c \int_0^t (t-s)^{-\frac{1}{2}-\frac{\beta}{2}} \|f(s)\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}_+^n)} ds,$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \Gamma * f(x, t) &= \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}_+^n} \Gamma(x-y, t-s) f(y, s) dy ds, \\ \Gamma^* * f(x, t) &= \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}_+^n} \Gamma(x'-y', x_n+y_n, t-s) f(y, s) dy ds. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. We prove only the case $\Gamma * f$, since the other case can be treated similarly. For $h \in L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$, we have

$$\|\nabla^k \Gamma_t * h\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)} \leq ct^{-\frac{k}{2}} \|h\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)} \quad 0 < t < \infty,$$

where k is a non-negative integer and

$$\Gamma_t * h(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \Gamma(x-y, t) h(y) dy.$$

Using the property of real interpolation between $k = 1$ and $k = 2$, we have

$$\|\nabla\Gamma_t * h\|_{\dot{B}_{pr}^\beta(\mathbb{R}^n)} \leq ct^{-\frac{1}{2}-\frac{\beta}{2}} \|h\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)}.$$

Let $\tilde{f}(t)$ be a zero extension of $f(t)$ over \mathbb{R}^n . Then, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|\nabla\Gamma * f(t)\|_{\dot{B}_{pr}^\beta(\mathbb{R}_+^n)} &\leq \|\nabla\Gamma * \tilde{f}(t)\|_{\dot{B}_{pr}^\beta(\mathbb{R}^n)} \leq c \int_0^t \|\nabla\Gamma_{t-s} * \tilde{f}(s)\|_{\dot{B}_{pr}^\beta(\mathbb{R}^n)} ds \\ &\leq c \int_0^t (t-s)^{-\frac{1}{2}-\frac{\beta}{2}} \|\tilde{f}(s)\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)} ds \\ &\leq c \int_0^t (t-s)^{-\frac{1}{2}-\frac{\beta}{2}} \|f(s)\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}_+^n)} ds. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, we complete the proof of Lemma 5.1. \square

To prove Theorem 1.5, we change the local problem into a problem in a half-space by multiplying a test function. Using Bogoski's formula to control non-divergence free term caused localization, we appropriately decompose the solution to compute estimates of Hölder continuity.

Firstly, let $\phi_1 \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$ be a cut-off function satisfying $\phi_1 \geq 0$, $\text{supp } \phi_1 \subset B_{\frac{1}{2}}$ and $\phi_1 \equiv 1$ in $B_{\frac{1}{4}}$. Also, let and $\phi_2 \in C_c^\infty(-\infty, \infty)$ be a cut-off function satisfying $\phi_2 \geq 0$, $\text{supp } \phi_2 \subset (0, 1)$

and $\phi_2 \equiv 1$ in $(\frac{3}{4}, 1)$. Let $\phi(x, t) = \phi_1(x)\phi_2(t)$. Let $U = u\phi$ and $\Pi = \pi\phi$ such that $U|_{Q_{\frac{1}{4}}^+} = u$ and $\Pi|_{Q_{\frac{1}{4}}^+} = \pi$. Then, (U, Π) satisfies the following equations;

$$\begin{aligned} U_t - \Delta U + \nabla \Pi &= \tilde{f}, \quad \operatorname{div} U = h \quad \text{in } \mathbb{R}_+^n \times (0, 1), \\ U|_{t=0} &= 0, \quad U|_{x_n=0} = 0, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\tilde{f} = -2(\nabla u)\phi - \Delta \phi u - \phi_t u + \pi \nabla \phi, \quad h = \nabla \phi \cdot u.$$

We note that $\tilde{f}, h, \nabla h, h_t \in L_t^q L_x^r(Q^+)$, $1 < r < \infty$ with

$$\begin{aligned} \|\tilde{f}\|_{L_t^q L_x^r(Q^+)} &\leq c(\|\nabla u\|_{L_t^q L_x^r(Q_{\frac{1}{2}}^+)} + \|u\|_{L_t^q L_x^r(Q_{\frac{1}{2}}^+)} + \|\pi\|_{L_t^q L_x^r(Q_{\frac{1}{2}}^+)}), \\ \|h\|_{L_t^q L_x^r(Q^+)} &\leq c\|u\|_{L_t^q L_x^r(Q_{\frac{1}{2}}^+)}, \\ \|\nabla h\|_{L_t^q L_x^r(Q^+)} &\leq c(\|u\|_{L_t^q L_x^r(Q_{\frac{1}{2}}^+)} + \|\nabla u\|_{L_t^q L_x^r(Q_{\frac{1}{2}}^+)}), \\ \|h_t\|_{L_t^q L_x^r(Q^+)} &\leq c(\|u\|_{L_t^q L_x^r(Q_{\frac{1}{2}}^+)} + \|u_t\|_{L_t^q L_x^r(Q_{\frac{1}{2}}^+)}) \\ (5.1) \quad &\leq c(\|u\|_{L_t^q L_x^r(Q_1^+)} + \|\nabla u\|_{L_t^q L_x^r(Q_1^+)} + \|\pi\|_{L_t^q L_x^r(Q_1^+)}). \end{aligned}$$

For the fifth inequality, we used Proposition 1 in [18].

Let $H(y, t) = \int_{\mathbb{R}_+^n} E(x, y)h(y, t)dy$ be a Bogoski's formula (see [9]) such that

$$\operatorname{div} H(\cdot, t) = h(\cdot, t), \quad \text{in } \mathbb{R}_+^n \quad \text{and} \quad H(\cdot, t)|_{x_n=0} = 0 \quad \text{on } (x_n = 0).$$

Since $h(t) \in W_0^{1,p}(B_{\frac{1}{2}}^+)$ for all $0 < t < \infty$, $H(t)$ satisfies

$$\begin{aligned} \|\nabla^k H(t)\|_{L^r(\mathbb{R}_+^n)} &\leq c\|\nabla^{k-1} h(t)\|_{L^r(\mathbb{R}_+^n)}, \quad k = 1, 2 \quad 1 < r < \infty, \\ (5.2) \quad \|H_t(t)\|_{L^{r^*}(\mathbb{R}_+^n)} &\leq c\|\nabla H_t(t)\|_{L^r(\mathbb{R}_+^n)} \leq c\|h_t(t)\|_{L^r(\mathbb{R}_+^n)}, \end{aligned}$$

where $r^* = \frac{nr}{n-r}$ for $r < n$. (See Chapter 3 in [9].) Take $1 < r < \infty$ and $0 < \epsilon$ such that $\alpha + \frac{n}{r} + \epsilon < 1$. Using the Besov imbedding and the property of real interpolation in (5.2), we obtain

$$(5.3) \quad \|\nabla H\|_{L_t^\infty \dot{C}_x^\alpha(Q^+)} \leq c\|\nabla H\|_{L_t^\infty \dot{B}_{r r x}^{\alpha + \frac{n}{r}}(Q^+)} \leq c\|h\|_{L_t^\infty \dot{B}_{r r x}^{\alpha + \frac{n}{r}}(Q_{\frac{1}{2}}^+)} \leq c\|h\|_{L_t^\infty C_x^{\alpha + \frac{n}{r} + \epsilon}(Q_{\frac{1}{2}}^+)}.$$

Note that $\operatorname{supp} h \subset B_{\frac{1}{2}} \setminus B_{\frac{1}{4}}$. Since $|E(x, y)| \leq c$ for $|x| < \frac{1}{4}$ and $|y| > \frac{1}{2}$, in case that $|x| < \frac{1}{4}$, we observe that

$$\begin{aligned} |\nabla H(x, t) - \nabla H(x, s)| &\leq \int_{B_{\frac{1}{2}} \setminus B_{\frac{1}{4}}} |E(x, y)(h(y, t) - h(y, s))| dy \\ &\leq \|h\|_{\dot{C}_t^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} L_x^\infty(Q_{\frac{1}{2}}^+)} |t - s|^{\frac{\alpha}{2}}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, we have

$$\|\nabla H\|_{\dot{C}_t^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} L_x^\infty(Q_{\frac{1}{2}}^+)} \leq c \|h\|_{\dot{C}_t^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} L_x^\infty(Q_{\frac{1}{2}}^+)}.$$

Since $\alpha + \frac{n}{r} + \epsilon < 1$, from Proposition 2 and Lemma 1 in [18], there are $1 < q_0 < 2$ and $1 < p_0 < p$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} \|h\|_{L_t^\infty C_x^{\alpha + \frac{n}{r} + \epsilon}(Q_{\frac{1}{2}}^+)} &\leq c \|u\|_{L_t^\infty C_x^{\alpha + \frac{n}{r} + \epsilon}(Q_{\frac{1}{2}}^+)} \\ &\leq c (\|\nabla u\|_{L_t^{q_0} L_x^{p_0}(Q_{\frac{3}{4}}^+)} + \|u\|_{L_t^{q_0} L_x^{p_0}(Q_{\frac{3}{4}}^+)} + \|\pi\|_{L_t^{q_0} L_x^{p_0}(Q_{\frac{3}{4}}^+)}) \\ &\leq c (\|\nabla u\|_{L_t^q L_x^p(Q_1^+)} + \|u\|_{L_t^q L_x^p(Q_1^+)} + \|\pi\|_{L_t^q L_x^p(Q_1^+)}). \end{aligned}$$

Summing up all estimates, we have

$$(5.4) \quad \|\nabla H\|_{C_t^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} C_x^\alpha(Q_{\frac{1}{2}}^+)} \leq c (\|\nabla u\|_{L_t^q L_x^p(Q_1^+)} + \|u\|_{L_t^q L_x^p(Q_1^+)} + \|\pi\|_{L_t^q L_x^p(Q_1^+)}).$$

We then decompose $U = H + W$ such that W solves the following equations:

$$W_t - \Delta W + \nabla \Pi = \tilde{f}_1, \quad \operatorname{div} W = 0, \quad \text{in } Q_+,$$

$$W|_{t=0} = 0, \quad W|_{x_n=0} = 0,$$

where \mathbb{P} is Helmholtz decomposition in \mathbb{R}_+^n and $\tilde{f}_1 = \tilde{f} - H_t + \Delta H$.

If $n \leq p < r$, then choose $p_1 < n$ such that $r = \frac{np_1}{n-p_1}$. Then, from (5.1), (5.2), Sobolev imbedding and Proposition 1.1 in [18], we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|\tilde{f}_1\|_{L_t^q L_x^r(Q^+)} &\leq c (\|\nabla u\|_{L_t^q L_x^r(Q_{\frac{1}{2}}^+)} + \|u\|_{L_t^q L_x^r(Q_{\frac{1}{2}}^+)} + \|\pi\|_{L_t^q L_x^r(Q_{\frac{1}{2}}^+)} + \|u_t\|_{L_t^q L_x^{p_1}(Q_{\frac{1}{2}}^+)}) \\ &\leq c (\|\nabla^2 u\|_{L_t^q L_x^{p_1}(Q_{\frac{1}{2}}^+)} + \|\nabla u\|_{L_t^q L_x^{p_1}(Q_{\frac{1}{2}}^+)} + \|\nabla \pi\|_{L_t^q L_x^{p_1}(Q_{\frac{1}{2}}^+)} + \|\pi\|_{L_t^q L_x^{p_1}(Q_{\frac{1}{2}}^+)}) \\ &\leq c (\|\nabla u\|_{L_t^q L_x^{p_1}(Q_1^+)} + \|\pi\|_{L_t^q L_x^{p_1}(Q_1^+)} + \|u\|_{L_t^q L_x^{p_1}(Q_1^+)}) \\ (5.5) \quad &\leq c (\|\nabla u\|_{L_t^q L_x^p(Q_1^+)} + \|\pi\|_{L_t^q L_x^p(Q_1^+)} + \|u\|_{L_t^q L_x^p(Q_1^+)}). \end{aligned}$$

From well-known result (see Theorem 1.1 in [21]), we have

$$\|\nabla^2 W\|_{L_t^q L_x^r(Q^+)} + \|D_t W\|_{L_t^q L_x^r(Q^+)} + \|\nabla \Pi\|_{L_t^q L_x^r(Q^+)} \leq c \|\tilde{f}_1\|_{L_t^q L_x^r(Q^+)}.$$

Then, due to (5.1), (5.2) and (5.5), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& \|\nabla^2 u\|_{L_t^q L_x^r(Q_{\frac{1}{4}}^+)} + \|D_t u\|_{L_t^q L_x^r(Q_{\frac{1}{4}}^+)} + \|\nabla \pi\|_{L_t^q L_x^r(Q_{\frac{1}{4}}^+)} \\
&= \|\nabla^2 U\|_{L_t^q L_x^r(Q_{\frac{1}{4}}^+)} + \|D_t U\|_{L_t^q L_x^r(Q_{\frac{1}{4}}^+)} + \|\nabla \Pi\|_{L_t^q L_x^r(Q_{\frac{1}{4}}^+)} \\
&\leq c(\|\tilde{f}_1\|_{L_t^q L_x^r(Q^+)} + \|\nabla^2 H\|_{L_t^q L_x^r(Q_{\frac{1}{2}}^+)} + \|H_t\|_{L_t^q L_x^r(Q_{\frac{1}{2}}^+)}) \\
&\leq c(\|\tilde{f}_1\|_{L_t^q L_x^r(Q^+)} + \|\nabla h\|_{L_t^q L_x^{p_1}(Q_{\frac{1}{2}}^+)} + \|h_t\|_{L_t^q L_x^{p_1}(Q_{\frac{1}{2}}^+)}) \\
&\leq c(\|\nabla u\|_{L_t^q L_x^p(Q_1^+)} + \|\pi\|_{L_t^q L_x^p(Q_1^+)} + \|u\|_{L_t^q L_x^p(Q_1^+)}).
\end{aligned}$$

Hence, we obtain (1.8) for $p \geq n$.

In case that $p < n$, we set $p^* = \frac{np}{n-p}$. For the same reason as the case $p \geq n$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& \|\nabla^2 u\|_{L_t^q L_x^{p^*}(Q_{\frac{1}{4}}^+)} + \|D_t u\|_{L_t^q L_x^{p^*}(Q_{\frac{1}{4}}^+)} + \|\nabla \pi\|_{L_t^q L_x^{p^*}(Q_{\frac{1}{4}}^+)} \\
&\leq c(\|\nabla u\|_{L_t^q L_x^p(Q_1^+)} + \|\pi\|_{L_t^q L_x^p(Q_1^+)} + \|u\|_{L_t^q L_x^p(Q_1^+)}).
\end{aligned}$$

If $p^* < n$, then iterating the process up to $p^* > n$, we obtain (1.8).

Using Proposition 2.4 and the property of real interpolation, for $1 < r_1 < \infty$, $1 \leq r_2 \leq \infty$ and $\alpha > 0$, W holds the following estimate

$$(5.6) \quad \|W(t)\|_{B_{r_1 r_2}^{\alpha}(\mathbb{R}_+^n)} \leq c(\|\Gamma * \mathbb{P}\tilde{f}_1(t)\|_{B_{r_1 r_2}^{\alpha}(\mathbb{R}_+^n)} + \|\Gamma^* * \mathbb{P}\tilde{f}_1(t)\|_{B_{r_1 r_2}^{\alpha}(\mathbb{R}_+^n)}).$$

From the Besov imbedding, (5.6) and Lemma 5.1, we have

$$(5.7) \quad \|\nabla W(t)\|_{\dot{C}^{\alpha}(\mathbb{R}_+^n)} \leq c\|\nabla W(t)(t)\|_{\dot{B}_{rr}^{\alpha+\frac{n}{r}}(\mathbb{R}_+^n)} \leq c \int_0^t (t-s)^{-\frac{1}{2}-\frac{\alpha}{2}-\frac{n}{2r}} \|\tilde{f}_1(s)\|_{L^r(\mathbb{R}_+^n)} ds,$$

$$\begin{aligned}
(5.8) \quad \|\nabla W(t)\|_{L^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}_+^n)} &\leq \|\nabla W(t)\|_{\dot{B}_{r_1}^{\frac{n}{r}}(\mathbb{R}_+^n)} \leq c \int_0^t (t-s)^{-\frac{1}{2}-\frac{n}{2r}} \|\tilde{f}_1(s)\|_{L^r(\mathbb{R}_+^n)} ds \\
&\leq c \int_0^t (t-s)^{-\frac{1}{2}-\frac{\alpha}{2}-\frac{n}{2r}} \|\tilde{f}_1(s)\|_{L^r(\mathbb{R}_+^n)} ds.
\end{aligned}$$

Combining (5.5), (5.6), (5.7) and (5.8), and taking $r < \infty$ satisfying $\frac{n}{r} + \frac{2}{q} < 1 - \alpha$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
(5.9) \quad \|\nabla W\|_{L_t^{\infty} C_x^{\alpha}(\mathbb{R}_+^n \times (0,1))} &\leq c\|\tilde{f}_1\|_{L_t^q L_x^r(\mathbb{R}_+^n \times (0,1))} \\
&\leq c(\|\nabla u\|_{L_t^q L_x^p(Q_1^+)} + \|\pi\|_{L_t^q L_x^p(Q_1^+)} + \|u\|_{L_t^q L_x^p(Q_1^+)}).
\end{aligned}$$

Next, we compute Hölder continuous estimate with respect to t . From [22], there is the kernel $K^{\mathcal{P}}$ such that W is represented by

$$(5.10) \quad W(x, t) = \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}_+^n} K^{\mathcal{P}}(x, y, t-s) \mathbb{P}\tilde{f}_1(y, s) dy ds,$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} K_{ij}^{\mathcal{P}}(x, y, t) &= \delta_{ij}(\Gamma(x - y, t) - \Gamma(x - y^*, t)) \\ &\quad + 4(1 - \delta_{jn})D_{x_j} \int_0^{x_n} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n-1}} \Gamma(x - z, t) D_{x_n} N(z - y^*) dz. \end{aligned}$$

Furthermore, it is known that $K^{\mathcal{P}}$ satisfies that for all $k \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$, $l = (l', l_n) \in (\mathbb{N} \cup \{0\})^n$,

$$(5.11) \quad |D_t^k D_{x_n}^{l_n} D_{x'}^{l'} K^{\mathcal{P}}(x, y, t)| \leq \frac{c}{t^k (t + x_n^2)^{\frac{l_n}{2}} (|x - y|^2 + t)^{\frac{n+|l'|}{2}}}.$$

We remark that one can also refer [14] for the representation formula via unrestricted Green tensor. Since we use L^p -type estimate of f , not the pointwise estimate of f , the formula (5.10) with the restricted Green tensor $K^{\mathcal{P}}$ is enough for our purpose.

Continuing computations, it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \nabla_x W(x, t) - \nabla_x W(x, s) &= \int_0^s \int_{\mathbb{R}_+^n} (\nabla_x K^{\mathcal{P}}(x - y, t - r) - \nabla_x K^{\mathcal{P}}(x - y, s - r)) \mathbb{P} \tilde{f}_1(y, r) dy dr \\ &\quad + \int_s^t \int_{\mathbb{R}_+^n} \nabla_x K^{\mathcal{P}}(x - y, t - r) \mathbb{P} \tilde{f}_1(y, r) dy dr \\ (5.12) \quad &:= I_1 + I_2. \end{aligned}$$

Using Hölder inequality, we first estimate I_2

$$|I_2| \leq \int_s^t \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}_+^n} |\nabla_x K^{\mathcal{P}}(x - y, t - r)|^{r'} dy \right)^{\frac{1}{r'}} \|\mathbb{P} \tilde{f}_1(\tau)\|_{L^r(\mathbb{R}_+^n)} d\tau.$$

It follows from (5.11) that

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbb{R}_+^n} |\nabla_x K^{\mathcal{P}}(x - y, t - \tau)|^{r'} dy &\leq c \int_{\mathbb{R}_+^n} (|x - y|^2 + (t - \tau))^{-\frac{nr'}{2}} ((x_n - y_n)^2 + t - \tau)^{-\frac{r'}{2}} dy \\ &\leq c \int_0^\infty ((x_n - y_n)^2 + t - \tau)^{-\frac{r'}{2} - \frac{nr'}{2} + \frac{n-1}{2}} dy_n \\ &= \frac{c}{(t - r)^{\frac{r'}{2} + \frac{nr'}{2} - \frac{n-1}{2} - \frac{1}{2}}}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, for $1 < r < \infty$ satisfying $1 > \frac{n}{r} + \frac{2}{q}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} |I_2| &\leq c \int_s^t (t - \tau)^{-\frac{1}{2} - \frac{n}{2r}} \|\tilde{f}_1(\tau)\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}_+^n)} d\tau \\ &\leq c \left(\int_s^t (t - \tau)^{(-\frac{1}{2} - \frac{n}{2r})q'} dr \right)^{\frac{1}{q'}} \|\tilde{f}_1\|_{L_t^q L_x^r(Q_1^+)} \\ (5.13) \quad &= c(t - s)^{\frac{1}{2} - \frac{n}{2r} - \frac{2}{q}} \|\tilde{f}_1\|_{L_t^q L_x^r(Q_1^+)}. \end{aligned}$$

Next, we estimate I_1 . Using Hölder inequality, it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} I_1 &= c \int_0^s \int_0^{t-s} \int_{\mathbb{R}_+^n} D_t \nabla_x K^{\mathcal{P}}(x-y, \eta+s-\tau) \mathbb{P} \tilde{f}_1(y, r) dy d\eta d\tau \\ &\leq c \int_0^s \int_0^{t-s} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}_+^n} |D_t \nabla_x K^{\mathcal{P}}(x-y, \eta+s-\tau)|^{r'} dy \right)^{\frac{1}{r'}} \|\tilde{f}_1(r)\|_{L^r(\mathbb{R}_+^n)} d\eta d\tau. \end{aligned}$$

Due to (5.11), we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_{\mathbb{R}_+^n} |D_t \nabla_x K^{\mathcal{P}}(x-y, \eta+s-\tau)|^{r'} dy \\ &\leq c \int_{\mathbb{R}_+^n} \frac{1}{(\eta+s-\tau)^{r'} (|x-y|^2 + \eta+s-r)^{\frac{nr'}{2}} ((x_n-y_n)^2 + \eta+s-\tau)^{\frac{r'}{2}}} dy \\ &\leq c \int_0^\infty \frac{1}{(\eta+s-\tau)^{r'} ((x_n-y_n)^2 + \eta+s-\tau)^{\frac{r'}{2} + \frac{nr'}{2} - \frac{n-1}{2}}} dy_n \\ &\leq \frac{c}{(\eta+s-\tau)^{\left(\frac{3}{2} + \frac{n}{2r}\right)r'}}. \end{aligned}$$

Using change of variable, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^{t-s} (\eta+s-\tau)^{-\frac{3}{2} - \frac{n}{2r}} d\eta &= (s-\tau)^{-\frac{1}{2} - \frac{n}{2r}} \int_0^{t-s} (\eta+1)^{-\frac{3}{2} - \frac{n}{2r}} d\eta \\ &\leq \begin{cases} c(t-s)(s-\tau)^{-\frac{1}{2} - \frac{n}{2r}} & \text{if } \tau < 2s-t, \\ c(s-\tau)^{-\frac{1}{2} - \frac{n}{2r}} & \text{if } \tau > 2s-t. \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

We note that for $1 > \frac{n}{r} + \frac{2}{q}$

$$\begin{aligned} (t-s) \left(\int_0^{2s-t} (s-\tau)^{\left(-\frac{1}{2} - \frac{n}{2r}\right)q'} dr \right)^{\frac{1}{q'}} &\leq c(t-s)^{\frac{3}{2} - \frac{n}{2r} - \frac{1}{q}}, \\ \left(\int_{2s-t}^s (s-\tau)^{\left(-\frac{1}{2} - \frac{n}{2r}\right)q'} dr \right)^{\frac{1}{q'}} &\leq c(t-s)^{\frac{1}{2} - \frac{n}{2r} - \frac{1}{q}}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, we have

$$(5.14) \quad |I_1| \leq c(t-s)^{\frac{1}{2} - \frac{n}{2r} - \frac{2}{q}} \|\tilde{f}_1\|_{L_t^q L_x^r(Q_1^+)}.$$

Due to (5.12), (5.13) and (5.14), for $\alpha \leq \frac{1}{2} - \frac{n}{2r} - \frac{2}{q}$, it follows that

$$(5.15) \quad \|W\|_{L_t^\infty \dot{C}_t^{\frac{\alpha}{2}}(Q_{\frac{1}{4}}^+)} \leq \|\tilde{f}_1\|_{L_t^q L_x^r(Q_1^+)}.$$

Combining (5.9) and (5.15), we obtain

$$(5.16) \quad \|W\|_{C_t^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} C_x^\alpha(Q_{\frac{1}{4}}^+)} \leq \|\tilde{f}_1\|_{L_t^q L_x^r(Q_1^+)} \leq c(\|\nabla u\|_{L_t^q L_x^p(Q_1^+)} + \|\pi\|_{L_t^q L_x^p(Q_1^+)} + \|u\|_{L_t^q L_x^p(Q_1^+)}).$$

Since $U = W + H$ and $u = U$ in Q_1^+ , we have via (5.4) and (5.16)

$$\begin{aligned} \|\nabla u\|_{C_t^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} C_x^\alpha(Q_{\frac{1}{4}}^+)} &= \|\nabla U\|_{C_t^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} C_x^\alpha(Q_{\frac{1}{4}}^+)} \\ &\leq c(\|\tilde{f}_1\|_{L_t^q L_x^p(Q_{\frac{1}{2}}^+)} + \|h\|_{L_t^\infty C_x^{\alpha+\frac{n}{r}}(Q_{\frac{1}{2}}^+)} + \|h\|_{C_t^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} L^\infty(Q_{\frac{1}{2}}^+)}) \\ &\leq c(\|\nabla u\|_{L_t^q L_x^p(Q_1)} + \|\pi\|_{L_t^q L_x^p(Q_1^+)} + \|u\|_{L_t^q L_x^p(Q_1^+)}) + c(\|u\|_{L_t^\infty C_x^{\alpha+\frac{n}{r}}(Q_{\frac{1}{2}}^+)} + \|u\|_{C_t^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} L_x^\infty(Q_{\frac{1}{2}}^+)}). \end{aligned}$$

Due to Proposition 2 and Lemma 1 in [18], there are $1 < q_0 < 2$ and $1 < p_0 < p$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} \|u\|_{L_t^\infty C_x^{\alpha+\frac{n}{r}}(Q_{\frac{1}{2}}^+)} + \|u\|_{C_t^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} L_t^\infty(Q_{\frac{1}{2}}^+)} &\leq c(\|\nabla u\|_{L_t^{q_0} L_x^{p_0}(Q_1^+)} + \|\pi\|_{L_t^{q_0} L_x^{p_0}(Q_1^+)} + \|u\|_{L_t^{q_0} L_x^{p_0}(Q_1^+)}) \\ &\leq c(\|\nabla u\|_{L_t^q L_x^p(Q_1^+)} + \|\pi\|_{L_t^q L_x^p(Q_1^+)} + \|u\|_{L_t^q L_x^p(Q_1^+)}). \end{aligned}$$

Hence, we obtain (1.9). This completes the proof of Theorem 1.5. \square

6. PROOF OF THEOREM 1.6 FOR STOKES EQUATIONS

Let g be a vector field defined in (3.7) such that

$$(6.1) \quad \begin{aligned} g_n^S &\in C_c^\infty(B_2' \setminus B_{\frac{3}{2}}'), \quad g_n^T \in C(0, 1) \quad \text{with} \quad g_n^T(0) = 0, \quad D_t g_n^T \in L^q(0, 1), \\ D_t g_n^T &\notin L^r(\frac{3}{4}, 1) \quad \text{for all} \quad r > q, \quad g_n^T \notin B_{rr}^{1-\frac{1}{2r}}(\frac{3}{4}, 1) \quad \text{for all} \quad r > \frac{3q}{2}. \end{aligned}$$

Remark 6.1. An example of g_n^T satisfying (6.1) is the following:

$$g_n^T(t) = \begin{cases} 0, & 0 < t \leq \frac{3}{4}, \\ (t - \frac{3}{4})^{1-\frac{1}{q}} \ln(t - \frac{3}{4})^{-1}, & \frac{3}{4} < t < 1. \end{cases}$$

In Appendix C, for clarity, we give its details.

Let $\phi(x, t) = c_n \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n-1}} \frac{1}{|x - y'|^{n-2}} g_n(y', t) dy'$, and $w^{\mathcal{H}} = \nabla \phi$ and $p^{\mathcal{H}}(x, t) = -D_t \phi(x, t)$. Then, $(w^{\mathcal{H}}, p^{\mathcal{H}})$ is the solution of the Stokes equations in $\mathbb{R}_+^n \times (0, \infty)$

$$(6.2) \quad \begin{cases} w_t^{\mathcal{H}} - \Delta w^{\mathcal{H}} + \nabla p^{\mathcal{H}} = 0, & \operatorname{div} w^{\mathcal{H}} = 0, \\ w^{\mathcal{H}}|_{t=0} = 0, & w^{\mathcal{H}}|_{x_n=0} = (R_1' g_n, \cdot, R_{n-1}' g_n, g_n). \end{cases}$$

We set $G = g - w^{\mathcal{H}}|_{x_n=0} = (-R_1' g_n, \dots, -R_{n-1}' g_n, 0)$. Let (w^S, p^S) be a solution of the following equations in $\mathbb{R}_+^n \times (0, \infty)$

$$(6.3) \quad \begin{cases} w_t^S - \Delta w^S + \nabla p^S = 0, & \operatorname{div} w^S = 0, \\ w^S|_{t=0} = 0, & w^S|_{x_n=0} = G. \end{cases}$$

Then, $(w^{\mathcal{H}} + w^S, p^{\mathcal{H}} + p^S)$ is solution of (2.2) with external force $f = 0$.

Since for $x' \in B_1'$ and $y' \in B_2' \setminus B_{\frac{3}{2}}'$, $|x' - y'| \geq \frac{1}{2}$, we have

$$\left| D_x^k \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n-1}} \frac{1}{|x - y'|^{n-2}} g_n^S(y') dy' \right| \leq c \|g_n^S\|_{L^\infty(B_2' \setminus B_{\frac{3}{2}}')}$$

for $x' \in B'_1$ and $k \geq 0$. We note that for $1 \leq \theta \leq \infty$ and $0 < r < 1$,

$$(6.4) \quad \begin{aligned} \|D_x^2 w^{\mathcal{H}}\|_{L^\theta(Q_r^+)} &= \|g_n^{\mathcal{T}}\|_{L^\theta(1-r^2,1)} \|D_x^3 \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n-1}} \frac{1}{|x-y'|^{n-2}} g_n^{\mathcal{S}}(y') dy'\|_{L^\theta(B_r^+)}, \\ \|D_t w^{\mathcal{H}}\|_{L^\theta(Q_r^+)} &= \|D_t g_n^{\mathcal{T}}\|_{L^\theta(0,1-r^2)} \|D_x^1 \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n-1}} \frac{1}{|x-y'|^{n-2}} g_n^{\mathcal{S}}(y') dy'\|_{L^\theta(B_r^+)}, \\ \|D_x p^{\mathcal{H}}\|_{L^\theta(Q_r^+)} &= \|D_t g_n^{\mathcal{T}}\|_{L^\theta(0,1-r^2)} \|D_x^1 \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n-1}} \frac{1}{|x-y'|^{n-2}} g_n^{\mathcal{S}}(y') dy'\|_{L^\theta(B_r^+)}. \end{aligned}$$

Since $\|g_n^{\mathcal{T}}\|_{\dot{B}_{\frac{3q}{2}}^{1-\frac{1}{3q}}(\mathbb{R})} \leq \|g_n^{\mathcal{T}}\|_{W_p^1(\mathbb{R})} < \infty$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|G\|_{\dot{B}_{\frac{3q}{2}}^{2-\frac{2}{3q},1-\frac{1}{3q}}(\mathbb{R}^{n-1} \times \mathbb{R})} &\leq c \|g_n\|_{\dot{B}_{\frac{3q}{2}}^{2-\frac{2}{3q},1-\frac{1}{3q}}(\mathbb{R}^{n-1} \times \mathbb{R})} \\ &\leq \|g_n^{\mathcal{S}}\|_{L^{\frac{3q}{2}}(\mathbb{R}^{n-1})} \|g_n^{\mathcal{T}}\|_{\dot{B}_{\frac{3q}{2}}^{1-\frac{1}{3q}}(\mathbb{R})} + \|g_n^{\mathcal{S}}\|_{\dot{B}_{\frac{3q}{2}}^{2-\frac{2}{3q}}(\mathbb{R}^{n-1})} \|g_n^{\mathcal{T}}\|_{L^{\frac{3q}{2}}(\mathbb{R})} \\ &< \infty. \end{aligned}$$

From [17], we obtain

$$(6.5) \quad \begin{aligned} \|D_t w^{\mathcal{S}}\|_{L^{\frac{3q}{2}}((Q_1^+))} + \|D_x^2 w^{\mathcal{S}}\|_{L^{\frac{3q}{2}}((Q_1^+))} + \|D_x p^{\mathcal{S}}\|_{L^{\frac{3q}{2}}((Q_1^+))} &\leq c \|G\|_{\dot{B}_{\frac{3q}{2}}^{2-\frac{2}{3q},1-\frac{1}{3q}}(\mathbb{R}^{n-1} \times \mathbb{R})} \\ &< \infty. \end{aligned}$$

Combining (6.4) and (6.5), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \|\nabla p\|_{L^q(Q_1^+)} + \|D_t w\|_{L^q(Q_1^+)} + \|D_x^2 w\|_{L^{\frac{3q}{2}}(Q_1^+)} &< \infty, \\ \|\nabla p\|_{L^{r_1}(Q_{\frac{1}{2}}^+)} = \infty, \quad \|D_t w\|_{L^{r_1}(Q_{\frac{1}{2}}^+)} &= \infty. \end{aligned}$$

For the last result in (1.11), we use the decomposition of $w = w^{\mathcal{L}} + w^{\mathcal{B}} + w^{\mathcal{N}}$ defined in Proposition 4.1. We note that for $(x, t) \in B(0, 1) \times (0, 1)$ it is direct that

$$(6.6) \quad |\nabla^2 w^{\mathcal{N}}(x, t)| \leq c \|g_n\|_{L^\infty}.$$

From (6.6) and (3.13), for $1 < r < \infty$, we have

$$(6.7) \quad \|\nabla^2(w - w^{\mathcal{B}})\|_{L^r(Q_1^+)} \leq \|g\|_{L^\infty}.$$

We note that for $1 \leq i \leq n-1$,

$$\begin{aligned} D_{x_n} D_{x_n} w_i^{\mathcal{B}}(x, t) &= c_n \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n-1}} D_s g_n(y', s) \frac{x_n}{(t-s)^{\frac{n+2}{2}}} e^{-\frac{x_n^2}{t-s}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n-1}} e^{-\frac{|x'-y'-z'|^2}{t-s}} \frac{z_i}{|z'|^n} dz' dy' ds \\ &\quad + c_n \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n-1}} \Delta' g_n(y', s) \frac{x_n}{(t-s)^{\frac{n+2}{2}}} e^{-\frac{x_n^2}{t-s}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n-1}} e^{-\frac{|x'-y'-z'|^2}{t-s}} \frac{z_i}{|z'|^n} dz' \\ &:= I_1 + I_2. \end{aligned}$$

Firstly, it follows from (4.9) that, for $|x'| \leq 1$,

$$|I_2(x, t)| \leq c \|\nabla_{x'}^2 g_n\|_{L^\infty} < \infty.$$

Using Lemma 3.2, we divide $I_1 = I_{11} + I_{12}$, where

$$\begin{aligned} I_{11}(x, t) &= \int_0^t D_{x_n} \Gamma_1(x_n, t-s) D_s g_n^{\mathcal{T}}(s) ds \psi(x'), \\ I_{12}(x, t) &= \int_0^t D_{x_n} \Gamma_1(x_n, t-s) D_s g_n^{\mathcal{T}}(s) \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n-1}} g_n^{\mathcal{S}}(y') J(x' - y', t-s) dy' ds. \end{aligned}$$

We consider first I_{12} . Noting that

$$|I_{12}(x, t)| \leq c \int_0^t \frac{x_n}{t-s} e^{-\frac{x_n^2}{t-s}} |D_s g_n^{\mathcal{T}}(s)| ds \|g_n^{\mathcal{S}}\|_{L^\infty}.$$

and using the integral Minkowski's inequality, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \left(\int_{B_1} |I_{12}(x, t)|^{p_0} dx \right)^{\frac{1}{p_0}} &\leq c \int_0^t \frac{1}{t-s} |D_s g_n^{\mathcal{T}}(s)| \left(\int_0^1 x_n^{p_0} e^{-\frac{x_n^2}{t-s}} dx_n \right)^{\frac{1}{p_0}} ds \|g_n^{\mathcal{S}}\|_{L^\infty} \\ &\leq c \int_0^t (t-s)^{-\frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2p_0}} |D_s g_n^{\mathcal{T}}(s)| ds \|g_n^{\mathcal{S}}\|_{L^\infty}. \end{aligned}$$

Due to Sobolev-Littlewood-Hardy inequality and Holder inequality, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \|I_{12}\|_{L^{p_0}(Q_1^+)} &\leq c \|D_t g_n^{\mathcal{T}}\|_{L^p} \|g_n^{\mathcal{S}}\|_{L^\infty}, \quad 1 < q < 2, \quad p_0 = \frac{3q}{2-q}, \\ \|I_{12}\|_{L_t^\infty L_x^{p_0}(Q_1^+)} &\leq c \|D_t g_n^{\mathcal{T}}\|_{L^q} \|g_n^{\mathcal{S}}\|_{L^\infty}, \quad 2 \leq q < \infty, \quad p_0 > q. \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, from the result of boundary value problem of heat equation and trace theorem in half space ((3) of Proposition 2.1), we have that for $1 < r < \infty$,

$$\begin{aligned} 2\|D_{x_n}^3 \Gamma_1 * g_n^{\mathcal{T}}\|_{L^r(\mathbb{R} \times (0, \infty))} &= \|D_t D_{x_n} \Gamma_1 * g_n^{\mathcal{T}}\|_{L^r(\mathbb{R} \times (0, \infty))} + \|D_{x_n}^3 \Gamma_1 * g_n^{\mathcal{T}}\|_{L^r(\mathbb{R} \times (0, \infty))} \\ (6.8) \quad &\geq c \|g_n^{\mathcal{T}}\|_{\dot{B}_{rr}^{1-\frac{1}{2r}}(\mathbb{R})}. \end{aligned}$$

Since $\|g_n^{\mathcal{T}}\|_{\dot{B}_{r_2 r_2}^{1-\frac{1}{2r_2}}(\mathbb{R})} = \infty$ for $\frac{3q}{2} < r_2$, it follows from (4.6), (4.11), (4.12), (4.13) and (6.8) that

$$(6.9) \quad \|I_{11}\|_{L^{r_2}(Q_1^+)} \geq c \|g_n^{\mathcal{T}}\|_{\dot{B}_{r_2 r_2}^{1-\frac{1}{2r_2}}(\mathbb{R})} \|\psi\|_{L^{r_2}(B'_{\frac{1}{2}})} - c = \infty.$$

Thus, we complete the proof of Theorem 1.6. \square

Following similar computations in the proof of Theorem 1.6, we obtain the following corollary. Since its verification is rather direct, we skip its details.

Corollary 6.2. *Let $1 < q < \infty$ and $g_n^{\mathcal{S}}$ and $g_n^{\mathcal{T}}$ satisfy the assumption (6.1). Let $p_0 = \frac{3q}{2-q}$ if $1 < q < 2$ and p_0 be a any number larger than q if $q \geq 2$. Then, the constructed solution w of the Stokes equations (1.1) satisfies*

$$(6.10) \quad \|D_x w\|_{L^{p_0}(Q_1^+)} < \infty.$$

In case that $1 < q < 2$, if g_n^T is taken as the function in Remark 6.1 and if $r > p_0$, then

$$(6.11) \quad \|D_x w\|_{L^r(Q_1^+)} = \infty.$$

Proof. We recall the decomposition of $w = w^\mathcal{L} + w^\mathcal{B} + w^\mathcal{N}$ defined in Proposition 4.1. Flowing similarly the estimate (6.7), for $1 < r < \infty$, we have

$$(6.12) \quad \|\nabla(w - w^\mathcal{B})\|_{L^r(Q_1^+)} \leq \|g\|_{L^\infty}.$$

Here we compute only the normal derivative, since we can show that tangential derivatives are rather easily controlled. Thus, taking the normal derivative to $w_i^\mathcal{B}$, we compute

$$\begin{aligned} D_{x_n} w_i^\mathcal{B}(x, t) &= c_n \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n-1}} D_s g_n(y', s) \frac{1}{(t-s)^{\frac{n}{2}}} e^{-\frac{x_n^2}{t-s}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n-1}} e^{-\frac{|x'-y'-z'|^2}{t-s}} \frac{z_i}{|z'|^n} dz' dy' ds \\ &\quad + c_n \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n-1}} \Delta' g_n(y', s) \frac{1}{(t-s)^{\frac{n}{2}}} e^{-\frac{x_n^2}{t-s}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n-1}} e^{-\frac{|x'-y'-z'|^2}{t-s}} \frac{z_i}{|z'|^n} dz' \\ &:= I_1 + I_2. \end{aligned}$$

Due to (4.9), we have

$$\|I_2\|_{L^\infty(Q_1^+)} \leq c \|\nabla_{x'}^2 g_n\|_{L^\infty} < \infty.$$

Using Lemma 3.2, we divide $I_1 = I_{11} + I_{12}$, where

$$\begin{aligned} I_{11}(x, t) &= \int_0^t \Gamma_1(x_n, t-s) D_s g_n^T(s) ds \psi(x'), \\ I_{12}(x, t) &= \int_0^t \Gamma_1(x_n, t-s) D_s g_n^T(s) \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n-1}} g_n^S(y') J(x' - y', t-s) dy' ds. \end{aligned}$$

It follows from Lemma 3.2 that for $1 < r < \infty$,

$$\begin{aligned} \|I_{12}(t)\|_{L^r(B_1^+)} &\leq c \int_0^t |D_s g_n^T(s)| \left(\int_0^1 e^{-r \frac{x_n^2}{t-s}} dx_n \right)^{\frac{1}{r}} \|g_n^S\|_{L^\infty} \\ &\leq c \int_0^t (t-s)^{\frac{1}{2r}} |D_s g_n^T(s)| \|g_n^S\|_{L^\infty} \\ &\leq c \|D_t g_n^T\|_{L^p(0,1)} \|D_{y'} g_n^S\|_{L^\infty}. \end{aligned}$$

Continuing computations for I_{11} ,

$$\begin{aligned} \|I_{11}(t)\|_{L^{p_0}(B_{\frac{1}{2}}^+)} &\leq c \int_0^t (t-s)^{-\frac{1}{2}} |D_s g_n^T(s)| \left(\int_0^{\frac{1}{2}} e^{-p_0 \frac{x_n^2}{t-s}} dx_n \right)^{\frac{1}{p_0}} \|g_n^S\|_{L^\infty} \\ &\leq c \int_0^t (t-s)^{-\frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2p_0}} |D_s g_n^T(s)| \|g_n^S\|_{L^\infty}. \end{aligned}$$

Due to Young's inequality, we obtain

$$\|I_{11}\|_{L^{p_0}(Q_1^+)} \leq c \|D_t g_n^T\|_{L^p(0,1)} \|D_{y'} g_n^S\|_{L^\infty}.$$

Hence, summing up the estimates, for $1 \leq i \leq n-1$, we have

$$(6.13) \quad \|\nabla w_i\|_{L^{p_0}(Q_1^+)} < \infty.$$

From the first equality of (3.2), we obtain (6.13) for $i = n$. Hence, we complete the proof of (6.10).

It remains to show (6.11). It follows from the above estimate that

$$(6.14) \quad \|\nabla w - I_{11}\|_{L^r(Q_1^+)} \leq \infty \quad 1 < r < \infty.$$

Noting that for $\frac{3}{4} < t < 1$,

$$\begin{aligned} |D_t g_n^{\mathcal{T}}(t)| &= (t - \frac{3}{4})^{-\frac{1}{q}} |\ln^{-1}(t - \frac{3}{4})| \left((1 - \frac{1}{q}) - \ln^{-1}(t - \frac{3}{4}) \right) \\ &\geq (1 - \frac{1}{q})(t - \frac{3}{4})^{-\frac{1}{q}} |\ln^{-1}(t - \frac{3}{4})|. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, for $\frac{3}{4} < t < 1$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \int_0^t \Gamma_1(x_n, t-s) D_s g_n^{\mathcal{T}}(s) ds \right| &\geq c \int_{\frac{3}{4}}^{\frac{1}{2}(t+\frac{3}{4})} (t-s)^{-\frac{1}{2}} e^{-\frac{x_n^2}{4(t-s)}} (s - \frac{3}{4})^{-\frac{1}{q}} |\ln^{-1}(s - \frac{3}{4})| ds \\ &\geq c (t - \frac{3}{4})^{-\frac{1}{2}} e^{-\frac{x_n^2}{2(t-\frac{3}{4})}} \int_{\frac{3}{4}}^{\frac{1}{2}(t+\frac{3}{4})} (s - \frac{3}{4})^{-\frac{1}{q}} |\ln^{-1}(s - \frac{3}{4})| ds \\ &= c (t - \frac{3}{4})^{-\frac{1}{2}} e^{-\frac{x_n^2}{2(t-\frac{3}{4})}} \int_0^{\frac{1}{2}(t-\frac{3}{4})} s^{-\frac{1}{q}} |\ln^{-1}(s)| ds. \end{aligned}$$

By L'hospital's Theorem, we have $\lim_{a \rightarrow 0} \frac{\int_0^a s^{-\frac{1}{q}} |\ln^{-1}(s)| ds}{a^{1-\frac{1}{q}} |\ln^{-1}(a)|} = \frac{q-1}{q}$, we have

$$\left| \int_0^t \Gamma_1(x_n, t-s) D_s g_n^{\mathcal{T}}(s) ds \right| \geq c (t - \frac{3}{4})^{\frac{1}{2}-\frac{1}{q}} e^{-\frac{x_n^2}{2(t-\frac{3}{4})}} |\ln^{-1}(t - \frac{3}{4})|.$$

Hence, for $r > p_0$, we have

$$\|I_{11}\|_{L^r(Q_{\frac{1}{2}}^+)}^r \geq c \int_{\frac{3}{4}}^1 (t - \frac{3}{4})^{(\frac{1}{2}-\frac{1}{q})r+\frac{1}{2}} |\ln^{-r}(t - \frac{3}{4})| dt \|\psi\|_{L^r(B_{\frac{1}{2}}')}^r = \infty.$$

Thus, combining the estimate (6.14), we have (6.11). We complete the proof of Corollary 6.2 \square

7. PROOF OF MAIN RESULTS FOR NAVIER-STOKES EQUATIONS

Previously, we presented the proofs of Theorem 1.1 and Theorem 1.6 for the Stokes equations. In this section we complete the proofs of those results by providing the details for the case of Navier-Stokes equations. We start with the case of Theorem 1.1

7.1. Proof of Theorem 1.1 for Navier-Stokes equations. Let g be a boundary data defined in (3.7) and w be a solution of the Stokes equations (2.2)-(2.3) with $f = 0$ defined by (3.6). Let $\phi_1 \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$ be a cut-off function satisfying $\phi_1 \geq 0$, $\text{supp } \phi_1 \subset B_2$ and $\phi_1 \equiv 1$ in B_1 . Also, let and $\phi_2 \in C_c^\infty(-\infty, \infty)$ be a cut-off function satisfying $\phi_2 \geq 0$, $\text{supp } \phi_2 \subset (-2, 2)$ and $\phi_2 \equiv 1$ in $(-1, 1)$. Let $\phi(x, t) = \phi_1(x)\phi_2(t)$.

Let $\phi \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n \times (0, \infty))$ be defined cut-off function defined in Section 5. Let $W = \phi w$ such that $W = w$ in Q_1^+ . Furthermore, since supports of g_n and ϕ are disjoint, we note that $W|_{x_n=0} = 0$ and $W|_{t=0} = 0$.

By the result of Proposition 4.1, we have

$$(7.1) \quad \|W\|_{L^r(\mathbb{R}_+^n \times (0,1))} \leq c\|w\|_{L^r(Q_1^+)} \leq c\|g\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^{n-1} \times (0,\infty))} \leq ca$$

for all $1 \leq r \leq \infty$, where $a > 0$ is defined in (3.7).

We consider the following perturbed Navier-Stokes equations in $\mathbb{R}_+^n \times (0, 1)$:

$$(7.2) \quad v_t - \Delta v + \nabla q + \text{div}(v \otimes v + v \otimes W + W \otimes v) = -\text{div}(W \otimes W), \quad \text{div } v = 0$$

with homogeneous initial and boundary data, i.e. $v(x, 0) = 0$ and $v(x, t) = 0$ on $x_n = 0$.

Our aim is to establish the existence of solution v for (7.2) satisfying $v \in L^r(\mathbb{R}_+^n \times (0, 1)) \cap L^\infty(\mathbb{R}_+^n \times (0, 1))$ and $\nabla v \in L^r(\mathbb{R}_+^n \times (0, 1))$ for all $n + 2 < r < \infty$. In order to do that, we consider the iterative scheme for (7.2), which is given as follows: For a positive integer $m \geq 1$

$$\begin{aligned} v_t^{m+1} - \Delta v^{m+1} + \nabla q^{m+1} &= -\text{div}(v^m \otimes v^m + v^m \otimes W + W \otimes v^m + W \otimes W), \\ \text{div } v^{m+1} &= 0 \end{aligned}$$

with homogeneous initial and boundary data, i.e. $v^{m+1}(x, 0) = 0$ and $v^{m+1}(x, t) = 0$ on $\{x_n = 0\}$.

We set $v^1 = 0$. By Proposition 2.6, we have

$$\|v^2(t)\|_{L^r(\mathbb{R}_+^n)} \leq c \int_0^t (t-s)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \|W(s) \otimes W(s)\|_{L^r(\mathbb{R}_+^n)} ds.$$

From now on, we denote $Q_+ := \mathbb{R}_+^n \times (0, 1)$, unless any confusion is to be expected. By Young's inequality, we have

$$(7.3) \quad \|v^2\|_{L^r(Q_+)} \leq c\|W \otimes W\|_{L^r(Q_+)} \leq c\|W\|_{L^r(Q_+)}\|W\|_{L^\infty(Q_+)}.$$

Since $r > n + 2$, according to Proposition 2.9, we have

$$(7.4) \quad \|v^2\|_{L^\infty(Q_+)} \leq c\|W \otimes W\|_{L^r(Q_+)} \leq c\|W\|_{L^r(Q_+)}\|W\|_{L^\infty(Q_+)}.$$

According to Proposition 2.7, we have

$$(7.5) \quad \|\nabla v^2\|_{L^r(Q_+)} \leq c\|W \otimes W\|_{L^r(Q_+)} \leq c\|W\|_{L^r(Q_+)}\|W\|_{L^\infty(Q_+)}.$$

By (7.1), we have $A := \|W\|_{L^r(Q_+)} + \|W\|_{L^\infty(Q_+)} \leq ca < 1$, where $a > 0$ is defined in (3.7). Taking $a > 0$ small such that $A < \frac{1}{4c}$, where c is the constant in (7.3)-(7.5) such that

$$\|v^2\|_{L^r(Q_+)} + \|v^2\|_{L^\infty(Q_+)} + \|\nabla v^2\|_{L^r(Q_+)} < A^2 < A.$$

Suppose that for $m \geq 2$,

$$(7.6) \quad \|v^m\|_{L^r(Q_+)} + \|v^m\|_{L^\infty(Q_+)} < A.$$

Then, iterative arguments show that

$$(7.7) \quad \begin{aligned} & \|\nabla v^{m+1}\|_{L^r(Q_+)} + \|v^{m+1}\|_{L^r(Q_+)} + \|v^{m+1}\|_{L^\infty(Q_+)} \\ & \leq c(\| |v^m|^2 + |v^m W| + |W|^2 \|_{L^r(Q_+)}) \\ & \leq c(\|v^m\|_{L^r(Q_+)} + \|W\|_{L^r(Q_+)}) (\|v^m\|_{L^\infty(Q_+)} + \|W\|_{L^\infty(Q_+)}) \\ & \leq 4cA^2 < A. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, by mathematical induction, (7.6) holds for all $m \geq 2$.

We denote $V^{m+1} := v^{m+1} - v^m$ and $Q^{m+1} := q^{m+1} - q^m$ for $m \geq 1$. We then see that (V^{m+1}, Q^{m+1}) solves

$$\begin{aligned} V_t^{m+1} - \Delta V^{m+1} + \nabla Q^{m+1} &= -\operatorname{div} (V^m \otimes v^m + v^{m-1} \otimes V^m + V^m \otimes W + W \otimes V^m), \\ \operatorname{div} V^{m+1} &= 0, \end{aligned}$$

with homogeneous initial and boundary data, i.e. $V^{m+1}(x, 0) = 0$ and $V^{m+1}(x, t) = 0$ on $\{x_n = 0\}$. Taking sufficiently small $a > 0$ such that $A < \frac{1}{6c}$, we obtain from (7.7) that

$$(7.8) \quad \begin{aligned} & \|V^{m+1}\|_{L^r(Q_+)} + \|V^{m+1}\|_{L^\infty(Q_+)} + \|\nabla V^{m+1}\|_{L^r(Q_+)} \\ & \leq c(\|V^m \otimes v^m + |v^{m-1} \otimes V^m\|_{L^r(Q_+)}) \\ & \leq c\|V^m\|_{L^r(Q_+)} (\|v^m\|_{L^\infty(Q_+)} + \|v^{m-1}\|_{L^\infty(Q_+)}) \\ & \leq \frac{1}{2}\|V^m\|_{L^r(Q_+)}. \end{aligned}$$

This implies that $(v^m, \nabla v^m)$ converges to $(v, \nabla v)$ in

$$(L^r(Q_+) \cap L^\infty(Q_+)) \times L^r(Q_+)$$

such that v solves (7.2) with an appropriate distribution q .

We then set $u := v + W$ and $p = \pi + q$, which becomes a weak solution of the Navier-Stokes equations in $\mathbb{R}_+^n \times (0, 1)$, namely

$$u_t - \Delta u + \nabla p = -\operatorname{div} (u \otimes u), \quad \operatorname{div} u = 0 \quad \text{in } Q_1^+$$

with boundary data $u(x, t) = g(x)$ on $\{x_n = 0\}$ such that

$$(7.9) \quad \|u\|_{L^\infty(Q_1^+)} \leq c, \quad \|\nabla u\|_{L^p(Q_{\frac{1}{2}}^+)} = \infty.$$

This completes the roof of Theorem 1.1 for the Navier-Stokes equations. \square

7.2. Proof of Theorem 1.6 for Navier-Stokes equations. Let g_n be a boundary data defined in (6.1) and w be a solution of the Stokes equations (2.2)-(2.3) with $f = 0$ defined by (3.6). Let $\phi \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n \times (0, \infty))$ be a cut-off function defined in Section 7.1. Let $W = \phi w$ and $P = \phi \pi$ such that $W = w$ and $P = \pi$ in Q_1^+ . As before, we denote $Q_+ := \mathbb{R}_+^n \times (0, 1)$ for simplicity.

Let $a > 0$ be the number defined in (3.7). From Section (1.10) and Corollary 6.2, we have

$$(7.10) \quad \begin{aligned} \|D_t W\|_{L^q(Q_+)} + \|D_x^2 W\|_{L^{\frac{3q}{2}}(Q_+)} + \|\nabla P\|_{L^q(Q_+)} &< ca, \\ \|D_x W\|_{L^{p_0}(Q_+)} &< ca, \end{aligned}$$

where $p_0 := \frac{3q}{2-q}$ if $q < 2$ and p_0 is any real number satisfying $p_0 > \frac{3q}{2}$ if $q \geq 2$. We note that $p_0 > \frac{3q}{2}$. Applying Proposition 1 in [18], we have

$$(7.11) \quad \|W\|_{L_t^q L_x^r(Q_+)} < ca, \quad \forall r < \infty.$$

We consider the following perturbed Navier-Stokes equations in Q_+ :

$$(7.12) \quad v_t - \Delta v + \nabla q + \operatorname{div}(v \otimes v + v \otimes W + W \otimes v) = -\operatorname{div}(W \otimes W), \quad \operatorname{div} v = 0$$

with homogeneous initial and boundary data, i.e. $v(x, 0) = 0$ and $v(x, t) = 0$ on $x_n = 0$.

Our aim is to establish the existence of solution v for (7.12) satisfying

$$v \in L^\infty(Q_+), \quad D_x v, D_x^2 v, D_t v, \pi \in L^{p_0}(Q_+).$$

Since the proofs are exactly the same, we only prove for the case of $q < 2$. We consider the iterative scheme for (7.12), which is given as follows: For a positive integer $m \geq 1$

$$\begin{aligned} v_t^{m+1} - \Delta v^{m+1} + \nabla q^{m+1} &= -\operatorname{div}(v^m \otimes v^m + v^m \otimes W + W \otimes v^m + W \otimes W), \\ \operatorname{div} v^{m+1} &= 0 \end{aligned}$$

with homogeneous initial and boundary data, i.e. $v^{m+1}(x, 0) = 0$ and $v^{m+1}(x, t) = 0$ on $\{x_n = 0\}$. We set $v^1 = 0$. From the well-known result of initial-boundary value problem for Stokes equations in half space, we have

$$(7.13) \quad \begin{aligned} \|\nabla^2 v^2\|_{L^{p_0}(Q_+)} + \|D_t v^2\|_{L^{p_0}(Q_+)} &\leq c \|\nabla W \cdot W\|_{L^{p_0}(Q_+)} \\ &\leq c \|\nabla W\|_{L^{p_0}(Q_+)} \|W\|_{L^\infty(Q_+)}. \end{aligned}$$

According to Proposition 2.7, Proposition 2.8 and Proposition 2.10, we have

$$(7.14) \quad \begin{aligned} \|\nabla v^2\|_{L^{p_0}(Q_+)} &\leq c\|W \otimes W\|_{L^{p_0}(Q_+)} \leq c\|W\|_{L^\infty(Q_+)}^2, \\ \|v^2\|_{L^{p_0}(Q_+)} &\leq c\|W \otimes W\|_{L^{p_0}(Q_+)} \leq c\|W\|_{L^\infty(Q_+)}^2. \end{aligned}$$

Take $r_0 < \infty$ satisfying $\frac{2}{q} + \frac{n}{r_0} < 2$. From Proposition 2.4, Young's inequality and Holder inequality, we have

$$\begin{aligned} |v^2(x, t)| &\leq c \int_0^t (t-s)^{-\frac{n}{2r_0}} \|\nabla W(s) \cdot W(s)\|_{L^{r_0}(\mathbb{R}_+^n)} ds \\ &\leq ct^{-\frac{n}{2r_0}+1-\frac{1}{q}} \|\nabla W \cdot W\|_{L_t^q L_x^{r_0}(Q_+)}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, we obtain

$$(7.15) \quad \|v^2\|_{L^\infty(Q_+)} \leq c\|\nabla W\|_{L_t^q L_x^{r_0}(Q_+)} \|W\|_{L^\infty(Q_+)}.$$

Moreover, from Proposition 2.4, Proposition 2.5, Young's inequality and Hardy-Littlewood-Sobolev's inequality, we have

$$(7.16) \quad \|v^2\|_{L_t^{2q} L_x^{2r_0}(Q_+)} \leq c\|W \otimes W\|_{L_t^q L_x^{r_0}(Q_+)} \leq c\|W\|_{L_t^{2q} L_x^{2r_0}(Q_+)}^2.$$

In Section 6, we showed that $\|W\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}_+^n \times (0,1))} \leq \|w\|_{L^\infty(Q_+^1)} \leq ca$, where $a > 0$ is defined in (3.7). In Corollary 6.2, we showed that $\|\nabla w\|_{L^{p_0}(Q_+^{\frac{1}{2}})} < ca$. Then, from (7.11), we have

$$A := \|\nabla W\|_{L^{p_0}(Q_+)} + \|\nabla W\|_{L_t^q L_x^{r_0}(Q_+)} + \|W\|_{L^\infty(Q_+)} \leq ca.$$

Taking $a > 0$ small such that $A < \min(\frac{1}{4c}, \frac{1}{2})$, where c is the constant in (7.13), (7.14), (7.15) and (7.16), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|\nabla^2 v^2\|_{L^{p_0}(Q_+)} + \|D_t v^2\|_{L^{p_0}(Q_+)} + \|\nabla v^2\|_{L^{p_0}(Q_+)} + \|v^2\|_{L^{p_0}(Q_+)} \\ + \|v^2\|_{L^\infty(Q_+)} + \|\nabla v^2\|_{L_t^q L_x^{r_0}(Q_+)} + \|v^2\|_{L_t^{2q} L_x^{2r_0}(Q_+)} < A. \end{aligned}$$

Suppose that for $m \geq 2$,

$$(7.17) \quad \begin{aligned} \|\nabla^2 v^m\|_{L^{p_0}(Q_+)} + \|D_t v^m\|_{L^{p_0}(Q_+)} + \|v^m\|_{L^{p_0}(Q_+)} + \|v^m\|_{L^\infty(Q_+)} \\ + \|\nabla v^m\|_{L^{p_0}(Q_+)} + \|\nabla v^m\|_{L_t^q L_x^{r_0}(Q_+)} + \|v^m\|_{L_t^{2q} L_x^{2r_0}(Q_+)} < A. \end{aligned}$$

Then, first we obtain

$$(7.18) \quad \begin{aligned} &\|\nabla^2 v^{m+1}\|_{L^{p_0}(Q_+)} + \|D_t v^{m+1}\|_{L^{p_0}(Q_+)} \\ &\leq c(\|\nabla v^m \otimes v^m + |\nabla v^m \otimes W| + |\nabla W \otimes v^m| + |\nabla W \otimes W|\|_{L^{p_0}(Q_+)}) \\ &\leq 2c(\|\nabla v^m\|_{L^{p_0}(Q_+)} + \|\nabla W\|_{L^{p_0}(Q_+)}) (\|v^m\|_{L^\infty(Q_+)} + \|W\|_{L^\infty(Q_+)}) \leq 4cA^2 < \frac{1}{2}A. \end{aligned}$$

Similarly, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& \|v^{m+1}\|_{L^{p_0}(Q_+)} + \|\nabla v^{m+1}\|_{L^{p_0}(Q_+)} \\
& \leq c(\|v^m \otimes v^m\| + |v^m \otimes W| + |W \otimes v^m| + |W \otimes W|)_{L^{p_0}(Q_+)} \\
& \leq c(\|v^m\|_{L^{p_0}(Q_+)})\|v^m\|_{L^\infty(Q_+)} + \|v^m\|_{L^{p_0}(Q_+)}\|w\|_{L^\infty(Q_+)} + \|W\|_{L^{p_0}(Q_+)}^2 \leq A^2 < \frac{1}{2}A.
\end{aligned}$$

Continuing computations for L^∞ ,

$$\begin{aligned}
(7.19) \quad & \|v^{m+1}\|_{L^\infty(Q_+)} \leq c(\|\nabla v^m\|_{L_t^q L_x^{r_0}(Q_+)})\|v^m\|_{L^\infty(Q_+)} + \|\nabla v^m\|_{L_t^q L_x^{r_0}(Q_+)}\|W\|_{L^\infty(Q_+)} \\
& + \|\nabla W\|_{L_t^q L_x^{r_0}(Q_+)}\|W\|_{L^\infty(Q_+)} \leq A^2 < \frac{1}{2}A.
\end{aligned}$$

For mixed norm, we compute likewise, that is,

$$\begin{aligned}
& \|\nabla v^{m+1}\|_{L_t^q L_x^{r_0}(Q_+)} \\
& \leq c(\|v^m \otimes v^m\| + |v^m \otimes W| + |W \otimes v^m| + |W \otimes W|)_{L_t^q L_x^{r_0}(Q_+)} \\
& \leq c(\|v^m\|_{L_t^{2q} L_x^{2r_0}(Q_+)}^2 + \|v^m\|_{L_t^{2q} L_x^{2r_0}(Q_+)})\|W\|_{L_t^{2q} L_x^{2r_0}(Q_+)} + \|W\|_{L_t^{2q} L_x^{2r_0}(Q_+)}^2 \leq A^2 < \frac{1}{2}A.
\end{aligned}$$

In the same way as above, we get

$$\begin{aligned}
& \|v^{m+1}\|_{L_t^{2q} L_x^{2r_0}(Q_+)} \\
& \leq c(\|v^m \otimes v^m\| + |v^m \otimes W| + |W \otimes v^m| + |W \otimes W|)_{L_t^q L_x^{r_0}(Q_+)} \\
& \leq c(\|v^m\|_{L_t^{2q} L_x^{2r_0}(Q_+)}^2 + \|v^m\|_{L_t^{2q} L_x^{2r_0}(Q_+)})\|W\|_{L_t^{2q} L_x^{2r_0}(Q_+)} + \|W\|_{L_t^{2q} L_x^{2r_0}(Q_+)}^2 \leq A^2 < \frac{1}{2}A.
\end{aligned}$$

Hence, by mathematical induction, (7.17) holds for all $m \geq 2$.

We denote $V^{m+1} := v^{m+1} - v^m$ and $Q^{m+1} := q^{m+1} - q^m$ for $m \geq 1$. We then see that (V^{m+1}, Q^{m+1}) solves

$$\begin{aligned}
V_t^{m+1} - \Delta V^{m+1} + \nabla Q^{m+1} &= -\operatorname{div} (V^m \otimes v^m + v^{m-1} \otimes V^m + V^m \otimes W + W \otimes V^m), \\
\operatorname{div} V^{m+1} &= 0,
\end{aligned}$$

with homogeneous initial and boundary data, i.e. $V^{m+1}(x, 0) = 0$ and $V^{m+1}(x, t) = 0$ on $\{x_n = 0\}$. Taking sufficiently small $\alpha > 0$ such that $A < \frac{1}{6c}$, from (7.18), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
(7.20) \quad & \|\nabla^2 V^{m+1}\|_{L^{p_0}(Q_+)} + \|D_t V^{m+1}\|_{L^{p_0}(Q_+)} \\
& \leq 2c(\|\nabla V^m\|_{L^{p_0}(Q_+)} + \|V^m\|_{L^\infty(Q_+)}) \\
& \quad \times (\|v^m\|_{L^\infty(Q_+)} + \|\nabla v^{m-1}\|_{L^{p_0}(Q_+)} + \|W\|_{L^\infty(Q_+)} + \|\nabla W\|_{L^{p_0}(Q_+)}) \\
& \leq \frac{1}{2}(\|\nabla V^m\|_{L^{p_0}(Q_+)} + \|V^m\|_{L^\infty(Q_+)}).
\end{aligned}$$

Similarly, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& \|V^{m+1}\|_{L^{p_0}(Q_+)} + \|\nabla V^{m+1}\|_{L^{p_0}(Q_+)} \\
& \leq c(\|V^m \otimes v^m + v^{m-1} \otimes V^m + V^m \otimes W + W \otimes V^m\|_{L^{p_0}(Q_+)}) \\
& \leq c\|V^m\|_{L^{p_0}(Q_+)} (\|v^m\|_{L^\infty(Q_+)} + \|v^{m-1}\|_{L^\infty(Q_+)} + \|W\|_{L^\infty(Q_+)}) \\
(7.21) \quad & \leq \frac{1}{2}\|V^m\|_{L^{p_0}(Q_+)}.
\end{aligned}$$

For L^∞ estimate, we compute

$$\begin{aligned}
\|V^{m+1}\|_{L^\infty(Q_+)} & \leq c(\|\nabla V^m\|_{L_t^q L_x^{r_0}(Q_+)} \|v^m\|_{L^\infty(Q_+)} + \|\nabla V^m\|_{L_t^q L_x^{r_0}(Q_+)} \|W\|_{L^\infty(Q_+)}) \\
(7.22) \quad & \leq \frac{1}{2}\|\nabla V^m\|_{L_t^q L_x^{r_0}(Q_+)}.
\end{aligned}$$

In the same way as above, we get

$$\begin{aligned}
\|V^m\|_{L_t^{2q} L_x^{2r_0}(Q_+)} & < \frac{1}{2}\|V^{m-1}\|_{L_t^{2q} L_x^{2r_0}(Q_+)}, \\
\|\nabla V^m\|_{L_t^q L_x^{r_0}(Q_+)} & < \frac{1}{2}\|\nabla V^{m-1}\|_{L_t^q L_x^{r_0}(Q_+)}.
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore, there is v satisfying $v \in L_t^{2q} L_x^{2r_0}(Q_+) \cap L^\infty(Q_+)$, $D_x v \in L_t^q L_x^{r_0}(Q_+) \cap L^{p_0}(Q_+)$ and $D_x^2 v, D_t v \in L^{p_0}(Q_+)$ such that

$$\begin{aligned}
v^m & \rightarrow v \quad \text{in } L_t^{2q} L_x^{2r_0}(Q_+) \cap L^\infty(Q_+), \\
D_x v^m & \rightarrow D_x v \quad \text{in } L_t^q L_x^{r_0}(Q_+) \cap L^{p_0}(Q_+), \\
D_x^2 v^m & \rightarrow D_x^2 v \quad \text{in } L^{p_0}(Q_+), \\
D_t v^m & \rightarrow D_t v \quad \text{in } L^{p_0}(Q_+).
\end{aligned}$$

Moreover, v solves (7.12) with appropriate pressure $q \in L^{p_0}(Q_+)$.

We then set $u := v + W$ and $p = \pi + q$, which becomes a weak solution of the Navier-Stokes equations in Q_+ , namely

$$u_t - \Delta u + \nabla p = -\operatorname{div}(u \otimes u), \quad \operatorname{div} u = 0 \quad Q_1^+$$

with boundary data $u(x, t) = g(x)$ on $\{x_n = 0\}$ such that

$$\|\nabla^2 u\|_{L^{\frac{3q}{2}}(Q_1^+)} + \|D_t u\|_{L^q(Q_1^+)} + \|\nabla p\|_{L^q(Q_1^+)} < \infty$$

Since integrability of spatial variables can be improved, we can have that for given $p > 1$

$$\|\nabla^2 u\|_{L_t^{\frac{3q}{2}} L_x^p(Q_1^+)} + \|D_t u\|_{L_t^q L_x^p(Q_1^+)} + \|\nabla \pi\|_{L_t^q L_x^p(Q_1^+)} < \infty,$$

However, it is straightforward via construction that for any $r_1 > q$ and $r_2 > \frac{3q}{2}$

$$\|D_t u\|_{L_t^{r_1} L_x^p(Q_1^+)} = \infty, \quad \|\nabla \pi\|_{L_t^{r_1} L_x^p(Q_1^+)} = \infty, \quad \|\nabla^2 u\|_{L_t^{r_2} L_x^p(Q_1^+)} = \infty.$$

This completes the proof. \square

APPENDIX A. ALTERNATIVE PROOF IN REMARK 1.3

We provide the alternative and simple proof of Theorem 1.1 for the case $p = 2$, since it seems informative. Assume that $g_n^T \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R}) \setminus \dot{H}_2^{\frac{1}{4}}(\mathbb{R})$. Suppose that w is a solution of the Stokes equations (2.2)-(2.3) defined by (3.6) when $f = 0$ and the boundary data g is given in (3.7) with (3.8). We remind the decomposition of $w = w^\mathcal{L} + w^\mathcal{N} + w^{\mathcal{B},1} + w^{\mathcal{B},2}$, where $w^\mathcal{L}$, $w^\mathcal{N}$, $w^{\mathcal{B},1}$ and $w^{\mathcal{B},2}$ are specified in the proof of Proposition 4.1. We recalled that it was shown in the proof of Proposition 4.1 that

$$\begin{aligned} & \|w^\mathcal{L}\|_{L^\infty(Q_1^+)} + \|w^\mathcal{N}\|_{L^\infty(Q_1^+)} + \|w^{\mathcal{B},2}\|_{L^\infty(Q_1^+)} < \infty, \\ & \|\nabla w^\mathcal{L}\|_{L^r(Q_1^+)} + \|\nabla w^\mathcal{N}\|_{L^r(Q_1^+)} + \|\nabla w^{\mathcal{B},2}\|_{L^r(Q_1^+)} < \infty \quad 1 < r < \infty. \end{aligned}$$

The term $w_i^{\mathcal{B},1}$ is represented by

$$w_i^{\mathcal{B},1}(x, t) = c_n \int_0^t D_{x_n} \Gamma_1(x_n, t-s) g_n^T(s) ds \psi_i(x'),$$

where Γ_1 is the one dimensional Gaussian kernel and $\psi_i(x') = \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n-1}} \frac{x_i - y_i}{|x' - y'|^n} g_n^S(y') dy'$ is smooth in $|x'| \leq 1$. Using the decay of Γ_1 , we can obtain

$$(1.1) \quad \begin{aligned} & \int_{\frac{1}{2}}^\infty \int_0^\infty \left| \int_0^t D_{x_n}^2 \Gamma_1(x_n, t-s) g_n^T(s) ds \right|^2 dx_n dt \leq c \|g_n^T\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R})}^2, \\ & \int_1^\infty \int_0^\infty \left| \int_0^t D_{x_n}^2 \Gamma_1(x_n, t-s) g_n^T(s) ds \right|^2 dt dx_n \leq c \|g_n^T\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R})}^2. \end{aligned}$$

Note that $D_{x_n}^2 \Gamma_1(x_n, t) = x_n^{-1} K_{x_n^2}(t)$, where $K_{x_n^2}(t) = x_n^{-2} K(\frac{4t}{x_n^2})$ with

$$K(t) = \left(-\frac{2}{t^{\frac{3}{2}}} + \frac{4}{t^{\frac{5}{2}}} \right) e^{-\frac{1}{t}} \chi_{t>0} = D_t \left(\frac{1}{2} t^{-\frac{1}{2}} e^{-\frac{1}{t}} \chi_{t>0} \right).$$

Using Plancherel Theorem with respect to t and change of variables, we have

$$(1.2) \quad \begin{aligned} & \int_{-\infty}^\infty \int_0^\infty \left| \int_0^t D_{x_n}^2 \Gamma_1(x_n, t-s) g_n^T(s) ds \right|^2 dx_n dt \\ &= \int_{-\infty}^\infty |\hat{g}_n^T(\tau)|^2 \int_0^\infty x_n^{-2} |\hat{K}(x_n^2 \tau)|^2 dx_n d\tau \\ &= \int_{-\infty}^\infty |\hat{g}_n^T(\tau)|^2 |\tau|^{\frac{1}{2}} d\tau \int_0^\infty x_n^{-\frac{3}{2}} |\hat{K}(x_n)|^2 dx_n \\ &= \|g_n^T\|_{\dot{H}_2^{\frac{1}{4}}(\mathbb{R})}^2 \int_0^\infty x_n^{-\frac{3}{2}} |\hat{K}(x_n)|^2 dx_n. \end{aligned}$$

Since $\int_{-\infty}^\infty K(t) dt = 0$, it follows that $|\hat{K}(x_n)| \leq c|x_n|$ near zero. Hence, $\int_0^\infty x_n^{-\frac{3}{2}} |\hat{K}(x_n)|^2 dx_n$ is well-defined, since $\hat{K}(x_n)$ is also bounded. Thus, we have

$$(1.3) \quad \int_{-\infty}^\infty \int_0^\infty x_n^{-2} |K_{x_n^2} * g_n^T(t)|^2 dx_n dt = c \|g_n^T\|_{\dot{H}_2^{\frac{1}{4}}(\mathbb{R})}^2.$$

Since $\|g_n^T\|_{\dot{H}_2^{\frac{1}{4}}(\mathbb{R})} = \infty$, it follows from (1.1), (1.2) and (1.3) that

$$\int_{Q_1^+} |D_{x_n} w_1^{\mathcal{B},1}(x,t)|^2 dx dt \geq c \|g_n^T\|_{\dot{H}_2^{\frac{1}{4}}(\mathbb{R})} \|\psi\|_{L^\infty(B_1')} - c \|g_n\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^{n-1} \times (0,\infty))} = \infty.$$

Hence, we complete the proof of Remark 1.3. \square

APPENDIX B. PROOF OF REMARK 1.4

The claim in Remark 1.4 is proved in the next lemma.

Lemma 2.1. *Let $g_n^T(t) = \eta(t)(1-t)^{\frac{1}{2}} \ln^{-1}(1-t)$ and $g_n^S \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^{n-1})$, where $\eta \in C_c^\infty(\frac{1}{4}, 4)$ satisfying $\eta \geq 0$ and $\eta = 1$ in $\frac{1}{2} \leq t \leq 2$. Then,*

$$(2.4) \quad \|p\|_{L^2(Q_1^+)} + \|\nabla p\|_{L^2(Q_1^+)} + \|\nabla w\|_{L^2(Q_1^+)} < \infty.$$

but

$$(2.5) \quad \|\nabla w\|_{L^\infty(Q_1^+)} = \infty.$$

Proof. From the proof of Theorem 1.6, we have

$$\|p\|_{L^2(Q_1^+)} + \|\nabla p\|_{L^2(Q_1^+)} + \|u\|_{L^2(Q_1^+)} \leq c(\|g_n^T\|_{L^2(0,1)} + \|D_t g_n^T\|_{L^2(0,1)}) < \infty.$$

Hence, (2.4) holds.

To prove (2.5), we use the decomposition $w = w^{\mathcal{L}} + w^{\mathcal{B}} + w^{\mathcal{N}}$ defined in Proposition 4.1. In the proof of Proposition 4.1, for $1 < r < \infty$, we have

$$\|\nabla(w - w^{\mathcal{B},1})\|_{L^\infty(B_1 \times (0,1))} \leq \|g\|_{L^\infty}.$$

Note that

$$\begin{aligned} D_{x_n}^2 \Gamma_1 * g_n^T(x_n, 1) &= \int_0^1 \frac{1}{(1-s)^{\frac{1}{2}}} e^{-\frac{x_n^2}{4(1-s)}} D_s g_n^T(s) ds \\ &= \int_0^{\frac{1}{2}} \frac{1}{(1-s)^{\frac{1}{2}}} e^{-\frac{x_n^2}{4(1-s)}} D_s g_n^T(s) ds + \int_{\frac{1}{2}}^1 \frac{1}{(1-s)^{\frac{1}{2}}} e^{-\frac{x_n^2}{4(1-s)}} D_s g_n^T(s) ds \\ &:= I_1 + I_2. \end{aligned}$$

Since $|D_s g_n^T(s)| \leq c$ in $0 \leq t \leq \frac{1}{2}$, we have $|I_1| \leq c$. Note that for $\frac{1}{2} \leq s \leq 1$

$$D_s g_n^T(s) = -\frac{1}{2}(1-s)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \ln^{-1}(1-s) (1 - 2 \ln^{-1}(1-s))$$

We set $a := e^{-1}$. Since singularity occurs at $t = 1$, we observe that

$$I_2 = \int_{\frac{1}{2}}^1 \cdots ds = \int_{1-a}^1 \cdots ds + \int_{\frac{1}{2}}^{1-a} \cdots ds \geq \int_{1-a}^1 \cdots ds - C.$$

Using the change of variable $\tau = \frac{x_n^2}{4(1-s)}$, it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} I_2 &\geq -\frac{1}{2} \int_{1-a}^1 \frac{1}{(1-s)} e^{-\frac{x_n^2}{4(1-s)}} \ln^{-1}(1-s) (1 - 2 \ln^{-1}(1-s)) ds - C \\ &\geq -\frac{1}{2} \int_{\frac{x_n^2}{4a}}^{\infty} \tau^{-1} e^{-\tau} \ln^{-1} \frac{x_n^2}{4\tau} d\tau - C \geq \frac{1}{2} \int_{\frac{x_n^2}{4a}}^{\infty} \tau^{-1} e^{-\tau} d\tau - C, \end{aligned}$$

where we used that $\ln^{-1} \frac{x_n^2}{4\tau} \geq -1$ for $\tau \geq \frac{x_n^2}{4a}$. Noting that

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2} \int_{\frac{x_n^2}{4a}}^{\infty} \tau^{-1} e^{-\tau} d\tau &= \frac{1}{2} \int_{\frac{x_n^2}{4a}}^1 \tau^{-1} e^{-\tau} d\tau + \frac{1}{2} \int_1^{\infty} \tau^{-1} e^{-\tau} d\tau \\ &\geq \frac{1}{2} \int_{\frac{x_n^2}{4a}}^1 \tau^{-1} d\tau + C = |\ln x_n| + C \end{aligned}$$

Summing up the above estimates, we have $\lim_{x_n \rightarrow 0} I_2 = \infty$, which implies that $\|\nabla w^{\mathcal{B},1}\|_{L^\infty(B_1 \times (0,1))} = \infty$, depending on the sign of $\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n-1}} g_n^S(y') \frac{x_1 - y_1}{|x' - y'|^{n-1}} dy' ds$, unless it vanishes. It is not difficult to choose g_n^S such that the integral is not zero. Thus, we complete the proof of Lemma 2.1. \square

APPENDIX C. EXAMPLES IN REMARK 4.2 AND REMARK 6.1

- $(g_n^T \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R}) \setminus \dot{B}_{pp}^{\frac{1}{2}-\frac{1}{2p}}(\mathbb{R}), 1 < p < \infty$ in Remark 4.2)

Let $0 < a < 1$ be a number satisfying $3 - \frac{2}{1+a} < p$. Define $g_n^T(t)$ by

$$g_n^T(t) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \chi_{((2k+1)^{-a}, (2k)^{-a})}(t),$$

where χ is a characteristic function. It is direct that $g_n^T \in L^\infty(0,1)$. We claim that $g_n^T \notin \dot{B}_{pp}^{\frac{1}{2}-\frac{1}{2p}}(0,1)$. Indeed,

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^1 \int_0^1 \frac{|g_n^T(t) - g_n^T(s)|^p}{|t-s|^{1+p(\frac{1}{2}-\frac{1}{2p})}} ds dt &\geq \sum_{k \geq 1} \int_{(2k+1)^{-a}}^{(2k)^{-a}} \int_{(2k)^{-a}}^1 \frac{|1 - g_n^T(s)|^p}{|t-s|^{1+p(\frac{1}{2}-\frac{1}{2p})}} ds dt \\ (3.6) \qquad \qquad \qquad &\geq \sum_{k \geq 1} \sum_{1 \leq l \leq k} \int_{(2k+1)^{-a}}^{(2k)^{-a}} \int_{(2l)^{-a}}^{(2l-1)^{-a}} \frac{1}{|t-s|^{\frac{1}{2}+\frac{p}{2}}} ds dt. \end{aligned}$$

For $t \in ((2k+1)^{-a}, (2k)^{-a})$ and $l < k$, there is $c_1 > 0$ independent of k, l such that

$$\int_{(2l)^{-a}}^{(2l-1)^{-a}} \frac{1}{|t-s|^{\frac{1}{2}+\frac{p}{2}}} ds \geq \int_{(2l-1)^{-a}}^{(2l-2)^{-a}} \frac{1}{|t-s|^{\frac{1}{2}+\frac{p}{2}}} ds.$$

This implies that

$$\begin{aligned}
\sum_{1 \leq l \leq k} \int_{(2l)^{-a}}^{(2l-1)^{-a}} \frac{1}{|t-s|^{\frac{1}{2}+\frac{p}{2}}} ds &\geq \frac{1}{2} \int_{(2k)^{-a}}^1 \frac{1}{|t-s|^{\frac{1}{2}+\frac{p}{2}}} ds dt \\
&= c \left(\frac{1}{((2k)^{-a}-t)^{-\frac{1}{2}+\frac{p}{2}}} - \frac{1}{(1-t)^{-\frac{1}{2}+\frac{p}{2}}} \right) \\
&\geq c \frac{1}{((2k)^{-a}-t)^{-\frac{1}{2}+\frac{p}{2}}}.
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\sum_{1 \leq l \leq k} \int_{(2k+1)^{-a}}^{(2k)^{-a}} \int_{(2l)^{-a}}^{(2l-1)^{-a}} \frac{1}{|t-s|^{\frac{1}{2}+\frac{p}{2}}} ds dt &\geq c \int_{(2k+1)^{-a}}^{(2k)^{-a}} \frac{1}{((2k)^{-a}-t)^{-\frac{1}{2}+\frac{p}{2}}} dt \\
(3.7) \quad &= \begin{cases} \infty & \text{if } p \geq 3, \\ c_4((2k)^{-a} - (2k+1)^{-a})^{\frac{3}{2}-\frac{p}{2}} & \text{if } 1 < p < 3. \end{cases}
\end{aligned}$$

Hence, $g_n^2 \notin \dot{B}_{pp}^{\frac{1}{2}-\frac{1}{2p}}(0,1)$ for $p \geq 3$ and $a > 0$.

Using mean-value Theorem, there is $\xi \in (2k, 2k+1)$, we have

$$(3.8) \quad (2k)^{-a} - (2k+1)^{-a} = \frac{(2k+1)^a - (2k)^a}{(2k)^a(2k+1)^a} = \frac{a\xi^{a-1}}{(2k)^a(2k+1)^a} \geq ck^{-1-a}.$$

By (3.6), (3.7) and (3.8), for $1 < p < 3$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_0^1 \int_0^1 \frac{|g_n^T(t) - g_n^T(s)|^p}{|t-s|^{1+p(\frac{1}{2}-\frac{1}{2p})}} ds dt &\geq c_4 \sum_{k \geq 1} ((2k)^{-a} - (2k+1)^{-a})^{\frac{3}{2}-\frac{p}{2}} \\
(3.9) \quad &\geq c_5 \sum_{k \geq 1} k^{-(1+a)(\frac{3}{2}-\frac{p}{2})}.
\end{aligned}$$

Since $-(1+a)(\frac{3}{2}-\frac{p}{2}) > -1$, the right-hand side of (3.9) is infinite. Therefore, $g_n^T \notin \dot{B}_{pp}^{\frac{1}{2}-\frac{1}{2p}}(0,1)$. \square

- $(D_t g_n^T \in L^q(0,1) \setminus L^r(0,1)$ for $q < r$ and $g_n^T \notin B_{rr}^{1-\frac{1}{2r}}(0,1)$ for $r > \frac{3q}{2}$ in Remark 6.1)

Direct calculations show

$$\begin{aligned}
\|D_t g_n^T\|_{L^q(0,1)}^q &\leq c \int_{\frac{3}{4}}^1 (t - \frac{3}{4})^{-1} |\ln(t - \frac{3}{4})|^{-q} dt < \infty, \\
\|D_t g_n^T\|_{L^q(0,1)}^r &\geq c \int_{\frac{3}{4}}^1 (t - \frac{3}{4})^{-\frac{r}{q}} |\ln(t - \frac{3}{4})|^{-r} dt = \infty \quad r > q.
\end{aligned}$$

Reminding the definition of Beosv space, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\|g_n^T\|_{\dot{B}_{rr}^{1-\frac{1}{2r}}(0,1)}^r &= \int_0^1 \int_0^1 \frac{|g_n^T(t) - g_n^T(s)|^r}{|t-s|^{1+r(1-\frac{1}{2r})}} ds dt \\
&\geq \int_{\frac{3}{4}}^1 \int_{\frac{3}{4}}^t \frac{|g_n^T(t) - g_n^T(s)|^r}{|t-s|^{1+r(1-\frac{1}{2r})}} ds dt.
\end{aligned}$$

Using mean-value Theorem, for $\frac{3}{4} < t < 1$ and $\frac{3}{4} < s < t$, there is $\xi \in (s, t)$ such that $|g_n^T(t) - g_n^T(s)| = |D_t g_n^T(\xi)| |t - s| \geq c(t - \frac{3}{4})^{-\frac{1}{q}} |\ln(t - \frac{3}{4})|^{-1} |t - s|$. Hence, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|g_n^T\|_{\dot{B}_{rr}^{1-\frac{1}{2r}}(0,1)}^r &\geq c \int_{\frac{3}{4}}^1 (t - \frac{3}{4})^{-\frac{r}{q}} |\ln(t - \frac{3}{4})|^{-r} \int_{\frac{3}{4}}^t |t - s|^{-\frac{1}{2}} ds dt \\ &\geq c \int_{\frac{3}{4}}^1 (t - \frac{3}{4})^{-\frac{r}{q} + \frac{1}{2}} |\ln(t - \frac{3}{4})|^{-r} dt \\ &= \infty \quad \text{for } r > \frac{3q}{2}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, this completes to provides an example mentioned Remark 6.1. \square

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

T. Chang is partially supported by NRF-2020R1A2C1A01102531. K. Kang is partially supported by NRF-2019R1A2C1084685 and NRF-2015R1A5A1009350.

REFERENCES

- [1] H. Amann, *Anisotropic function spaces and maximal regularity for parabolic problems. Part 1. Function spaces*, Jindřich Nečas Center for Mathematical Modeling Lecture Notes, 6. Matfyzpress, Prague, vi+141(2009).
- [2] J. Bergh and J. Löfström, *Interpolation Spaces, An Introduction*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin 1976.
- [3] T. Chang and B. Jin, *Initial and boundary values for $L_\alpha^q(L_p)$ solution of the Navier-Stokes equations in the half-space*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **439**, no. 1, 70-90 (2016).
- [4] T. Chang and B. Jin, *Global in time solvability of the Navier-Stokes equations in the half-space*. J. Differential Equations, **267**, no. 7, 4293–4319 (2019).
- [5] T. Chang and K. Kang, *Estimates of anisotropic Sobolev spaces with mixed norms for the Stokes system in a half-space*, Ann. Univ. Ferrara Sez. VII Sci. Mat. **64**, no. 1, 47-82 (2018).
- [6] T. Chang and K. Kang, *On maximum modulus estimates of the Navier-Stokes equations with non-zero boundary data*, SIAM J. Math. Anal. **50**, no. 3, 3147-3171(2018).
- [7] T. Chang and K. Kang, *On Caccioppoli's inequalities of Stokes equations and Navier-Stokes equations near boundary*, J. Differential Equations, **269**, no. 9, 6732–6757 (2020).
- [8] H. Dappa and H. Triebel, *On anisotropic Besov and Bessel Potential spaces*, Approximation and function spaces, 69-87 (Warsaw, 1986), Banach Center Publ., 22, PWN, Warsaw(1989).
- [9] G.P. Galdi, *An Introduction to the Mathematical Theory of the Navier-Stokes Equations*, vol. I, linearised steady problems, Springer Tracts in Natural Philosophy vol. 38, Springer 1994.
- [10] M. Giga, Y. Giga and H. Sohr, *L^p estimates for the Stokes system*, Lect. Notes Math. **1540**, (1993), 55-67.
- [11] B. Jin, *On the Caccioppoli inequality of the unsteady Stokes system*, Int. J. Numer. Anal. Model. Ser. B, **4**, no. 3, 215-223(2013).
- [12] B. Jin and K. Kang, *Caccioppoli type inequality for non-Newtonian Stokes system and a local energy inequality of non-Newtonian Navier-Stokes equations without pressure*, Discrete Contin. Dyn. Syst. **37**, no. 9, 4815-4834(2017).
- [13] K. Kang, *Unbounded normal derivative for the Stokes system near boundary*, Math. Ann. **331** no. 1, 87-109(2005).
- [14] K. Kang, B. Lai, C.-C. Lai, T.-P. Tsai, *The Green tensor of the nonstationary Stokes system in the half space*, Preprint: <https://arxiv.org/abs/2011.00134>.
- [15] K. Kang, B. Lai, C.-C. Lai, T.-P. Tsai, *Finite energy Navier-Stokes flows with unbounded gradients induced by localized flux in the half-space*, Preprint: <https://arxiv.org/abs/arXiv:2107.00810>.
- [16] H. Koch and V.A. Solonnikov, *L_p estimates for a solution to the nonstationary Stokes equations. Function theory and phase transitions*, J. Math. Sci. (New York) **106**, no. 3, 3042-3072(2001).
- [17] O.A. Ladyženskaja, V.A. Solonnikov and N.N. Uralceva, *Linear and Quasilinear Equations of Parabolic Type*, (Russian) Translated from the Russian by S. Smith. Translations of Mathematical Monographs, Vol. 23 American Mathematical Society, Providence, R.I. 1968.

- [18] G. A. Seregin, *Some estimates near the boundary for solutions to the non-stationary linearized Navier-Stokes equations*, (English, Russian summary) Zap. Nauchn. Sem. S.-Peterburg. Otdel. Mat. Inst. Steklov. (POMI) **271** (2000), Kraev. Zadachi Mat. Fiz. i Smezh. Vopr. Teor. Funkts. 31, 204–223, 317; translation in J. Math. Sci. (N. Y.) **115** no. 6, 2820–2831(2003).
- [19] G. A. Seregin and V. Šverák, *On a bounded shear flow in half-space*, Zap. Nauchn. Sem. S.-Peterburg. Otdel. Mat. Inst. Steklov. (POMI) 385 (2010), Kraevye Zadachi Matematicheskoi Fiziki i Smezhnye Voprosy Teorii Funktsii. 41, 200–205, 236; J. Math. Sci. (New York) **178**, no. 3, 353–356 (2011).
- [20] V. A. Solonnikov, *Estimates of the solutions of the nonstationary Navier-Stokes system. (Russian) Boundary value problems of mathematical physics and related questions in the theory of functions*, 7. Zap. Nauchn. Sem. Leningrad. Otdel. Mat. Inst. Steklov. (LoMI) 38: 153–231. Translated in J. Soviet Math. 1977, 8: 47–529.
- [21] V. A. Solonnikov, *On estimates of the solutions of the non-stationary Stokes problem in anisotropic Sobolev spaces and on estimates for the resolvent of the Stokes operator*. Russian Math. Surveys 58:2 331–365(2003).
- [22] V. A. Solonnikov, *The initial boundary-value problem for a generalized Stokes system in a half-space*, Journal of Mathematical Sciences, **115**, no. 6, 2832–2861(2003).
- [23] E.M. Stein, *Singular Integrals and Differentiability Properties of Functions*, Princeton Mathematical Series, No. 30 Princeton University Press, Princeton, N.J. 1970.
- [24] H. Triebel, *Theory of Function Spaces. III*, Monographs in Mathematics, 100. Birkhäuser Verlag, Basel, 2006.
- [25] H. Triebel, *Theory of Function Spaces*, Monographs in Mathematics, 78. Birkhäuser Verlag, Basel, 1983.
- [26] J. Wolf, *On the local regularity of suitable weak solutions to the generalized Navier-Stokes equations*, Ann. Univ. Ferrara Sez. VII Sci. Mat. **61** no. 1, 149–171(2015).

Tongkeun Chang
Department of Mathematics
Yonsei University
Seoul, Republic of Korea
chang7357@yonsei.ac.kr

Kyungkeun Kang
Department of Mathematics
Yonsei University
Seoul, Republic of Korea
kkang@yonsei.ac.kr