

# ON $(p, q)$ -EIGENVALUES OF SUBELLIPTIC OPERATORS ON NILPOTENT LIE GROUPS

PRASHANTA GARAIN AND ALEXANDER UKHLOV

ABSTRACT. In the article we study the Dirichlet  $(p, q)$ -eigenvalue problem for subelliptic non-commutative operators on nilpotent Lie groups. We prove solvability of this eigenvalue problem and existence of the minimizer of the corresponding variational problem.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

In this article we consider the Dirichlet  $(p, q)$ -eigenvalue problem,  $1 < p < \nu$ ,  $1 < q < \nu^* = \nu p(\nu - p)$  for subelliptic non-commutative operators

$$(1.1) \quad -\operatorname{div}_H(|\nabla_H u|^{p-2} \nabla_H u) = \lambda \|u\|_{L^q(\Omega)}^{p-q} |u|^{q-2} u \text{ in } \Omega, \quad u = 0 \text{ on } \partial\Omega,$$

where  $\Omega$  is a bounded domain on a stratified nilpotent Lie group  $\mathbb{G}$ .

In the commutative case  $\mathbb{G} = \mathbb{R}^n$  the eigenvalue problem ( $p = q = 2$ ) arises to works by Lord Rayleigh [23] where the author established the variational formulation of this problem which is based on the Dirichlet integral

$$\|u\|_{W_0^{1,2}(\Omega)}^2 = \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u|^2 \, dx.$$

We note classical works [21, 22] devoted to eigenvalues of linear elliptic operators and its connections with problems of continuum mechanics.

The non-linear commutative case  $p = q \neq 2$  was investigated by many authors as a typical non-linear eigenvalue problem, see, for example, [1], for extensive references we refer to [15]. The case  $p \neq q$  was considered in [1, 8, 13, 19].

Subelliptic eigenvalue problems were considered first in [10]. In the recent time eigenvalue problems for  $p$ -sub-Laplace operators were intensively studied, for example, in [3, 17, 26].

In the present work we consider the Dirichlet  $(p, q)$ -eigenvalue problem (1.1) in the weak formulation: a function  $u$  solves the eigenvalue problem iff  $u \in W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)$  and

$$(1.2) \quad \int_{\Omega} |\nabla_H u|^{p-2} \nabla_H u \nabla_H v \, dx = \lambda \|u\|_{L^q(\Omega)}^{p-q} \int_{\Omega} |u|^{q-2} uv \, dx$$

for all  $v \in W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)$ .

In this case we refer to  $\lambda$  as an eigenvalue and  $u$  as the corresponding eigenfunction.

---

<sup>0</sup>**Key words and phrases:** Subelliptic operators, Eigenvalue problem, Carnot groups.

<sup>0</sup>**2010 Mathematics Subject Classification:** 35P30, 22E30, 46E35

We prove solvability of the Dirichlet  $(p, q)$ -eigenvalue problem (1.1), see Theorem 3.2 and Theorem 3.3. Indeed, in Theorem 3.3, we have considered the following minimizing problem given by

$$\lambda = \inf_{u \in W_0^{1,p}(\Omega): \|u\|_{L^q(\Omega)}=1} \int_{\Omega} |\nabla_{\mathbb{H}} u|^p dx$$

and proved existence of a function  $v \in W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)$ ,  $\|v\|_{L^q(\Omega)} = 1$ , such that

$$\lambda = \int_{\Omega} |\nabla_{\mathbb{H}} v|^p dx.$$

Moreover, we observe that  $v$  is an eigenfunction corresponding to  $\lambda$  and its associated eigenfunctions are precisely the scalar multiple of those vectors at which  $\lambda$  is reached. Finally, in Theorem 3.4, we establish some qualitative properties of the eigenfunctions of (1.1).

## 2. NILPOTENT LIE GROUPS AND SOBOLEV SPACES

Recall that a stratified homogeneous group [12], or, in another terminology, a Carnot group [20] is a connected simply connected nilpotent Lie group  $\mathbb{G}$  whose Lie algebra  $V$  is decomposed into the direct sum  $V_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus V_m$  of vector spaces such that  $\dim V_1 \geq 2$ ,  $[V_1, V_i] = V_{i+1}$  for  $1 \leq i \leq m-1$  and  $[V_1, V_m] = \{0\}$ . Let  $X_{11}, \dots, X_{1n_1}$  be left-invariant basis vector fields of  $V_1$ . Since they generate  $V$ , for each  $i$ ,  $1 < i \leq m$ , one can choose a basis  $X_{ik}$  in  $V_i$ ,  $1 \leq k \leq n_i = \dim V_i$ , consisting of commutators of order  $i-1$  of fields  $X_{1k} \in V_1$ . We identify elements  $g$  of  $\mathbb{G}$  with vectors  $x \in \mathbb{R}^N$ ,  $N = \sum_{i=1}^m n_i$ ,  $x = (x_{ik})$ ,  $1 \leq i \leq m$ ,  $1 \leq k \leq n_i$  by means of exponential map  $\exp(\sum x_{ik} X_{ik}) = g$ . Dilations  $\delta_t$  defined by the formula

$$\begin{aligned} \delta_t x &= (t^i x_{ik})_{1 \leq i \leq m, 1 \leq k \leq n_i} \\ &= (tx_{11}, \dots, tx_{1n_1}, t^2 x_{21}, \dots, t^2 x_{2n_2}, \dots, t^m x_{m1}, \dots, t^m x_{mn_m}), \end{aligned}$$

are automorphisms of  $\mathbb{G}$  for each  $t > 0$ . Lebesgue measure  $dx$  on  $\mathbb{R}^N$  is the bi-invariant Haar measure on  $\mathbb{G}$  (which is generated by the Lebesgue measure by means of the exponential map), and  $d(\delta_t x) = t^\nu dx$ , where the number  $\nu = \sum_{i=1}^m i n_i$  is called the homogeneous dimension of the group  $\mathbb{G}$ . The measure  $|E|$  of a measurable subset  $E$  of  $\mathbb{G}$  is defined by  $|E| = \int_E dx$ .

Recall that a continuous map  $\gamma : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{G}$  is called a continuous curve on  $\mathbb{G}$ . This continuous curve is rectifiable if

$$\sup \left\{ \sum_{k=1}^m |(\gamma(t_k))^{-1} \gamma(t_{k+1})| \right\} < \infty,$$

where the supremum is taken over all partitions  $a = t_1 < t_2 < \dots < t_m = b$  of the segment  $[a, b]$ . The length  $l(\gamma)$  of a rectifiable curve  $\gamma : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{G}$  can be calculated by the formula

$$l(\gamma) = \int_a^b \langle \dot{\gamma}(t), \dot{\gamma}(t) \rangle_0^{\frac{1}{2}} dt = \int_a^b \left( \sum_{i=1}^n |a_i(t)|^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} dt$$

where  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_0$  is the inner product on  $V_1$ . The result of [4] implies that one can connect two arbitrary points  $x, y \in \mathbb{G}$  by a rectifiable curve. The Carnot-Carathéodory

distance  $d(x, y)$  is the infimum of the lengths over all rectifiable curves with endpoints  $x$  and  $y$  in  $\mathbb{G}$ . The Hausdorff dimension of the metric space  $(\mathbb{G}, d)$  coincides with the homogeneous dimension  $\nu$  of the group  $\mathbb{G}$ .

**2.1. Sobolev spaces on Carnot groups.** Let  $\mathbb{G}$  be a Carnot group with one-parameter dilatation group  $\delta_t$ ,  $t > 0$ , and a homogeneous norm  $\rho$ , and let  $E$  be a measurable subset of  $\mathbb{G}$ . The Lebesgue space  $L^p(E)$ ,  $p \in [1, \infty]$ , is the space of  $p$ th-power integrable functions  $f : E \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  with the standard norm:

$$\|f\|_{L^p(E)} = \left( \int_E |f(x)|^p dx \right)^{\frac{1}{p}}, \quad 1 \leq p < \infty,$$

and  $\|f\|_{L^\infty(E)} = \text{esssup}_E |f(x)|$  for  $p = \infty$ . We denote by  $L^p_{\text{loc}}(E)$  the space of functions  $f : E \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  such that  $f \in L^p(F)$  for each compact subset  $F$  of  $E$ .

Let  $\Omega$  be an open set in  $\mathbb{G}$ . The (horizontal) Sobolev space  $W^{1,p}(\Omega)$ ,  $1 \leq p \leq \infty$ , consists of the functions  $f : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  which are locally integrable in  $\Omega$ , having the weak derivatives  $X_{1i}f$  along the horizontal vector fields  $X_{1i}$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, n_1$ , and the finite norm

$$\|f\|_{W^{1,p}(\Omega)} = \|f\|_{L^p(\Omega)} + \|\nabla_{\text{H}} f\|_{L^p(\Omega)},$$

where  $\nabla_{\text{H}} f = (X_{11}f, \dots, X_{1n_1}f)$  is the horizontal subgradient of  $f$ . If  $f \in W^{1,p}(U)$  for each bounded open set  $U$  such that  $\bar{U} \subset \Omega$  then we say that  $f$  belongs to the class  $W^{1,p}_{\text{loc}}(\Omega)$ .

The Sobolev space  $W^{1,p}_0(\Omega)$  is defined to be the closure of  $C_c^\infty(\Omega)$  under the norm

$$\|f\|_{W^{1,p}_0(\Omega)} = \|f\|_{L^p(\Omega)} + \|\nabla_{\text{H}} f\|_{L^p(\Omega)}.$$

For the following result, refer to [11, 24, 25, 27].

**Lemma 2.1.** *The space  $W^{1,p}_0(\Omega)$  is a real separable and uniformly convex Banach space.*

The following embedding result follows from [7, (2.8)] and [11], [14, Theorem 8.1], see also [2, Theorem 2.3].

**Lemma 2.2.** *Let  $\Omega \subset \mathbb{G}$  be a bounded domain and  $1 < p < \nu$ . Then  $W^{1,p}_0(\Omega)$  is continuously embedded in  $L^q(\Omega)$  for every  $1 \leq q \leq \nu^*$  where  $\nu^* = \nu p / (\nu - p)$ . Moreover, the embedding is compact for every  $1 \leq q < \nu^*$ .*

Hence, in the case  $1 < p < \nu$  we can consider the Sobolev space  $W^{1,p}_0(\Omega)$  with the norm

$$\|f\|_{W^{1,p}_0(\Omega)} = \|\nabla_{\text{H}} f\|_{L^p(\Omega)}.$$

### 3. DIRICHLET $(p, q)$ -EIGENVALUE PROBLEMS

The system of basis vectors  $X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n$  of the space  $V_1$  (here and throughout we set  $n_1 = n$  and  $X_{1i} = X_i$ , where  $i = 1, \dots, n$ ) satisfies the Hörmander's hypoellipticity condition. We study the non-linear eigenvalue problem in the geometry of the vector fields satisfying the Hörmander's hypoellipticity condition.

Let  $1 < p < \nu$ ,  $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$  and consider the following subelliptic equation

$$(3.1) \quad -\text{div}_{\text{H}}(|\nabla_{\text{H}} u|^{p-2} \nabla_{\text{H}} u) = \lambda \|u\|_{L^q(\Omega)}^{p-q} |u|^{q-2} u \text{ in } \Omega, \quad u = 0 \text{ on } \partial\Omega,$$

where  $1 < q < \nu^* = \frac{\nu p}{\nu - p}$ . We say that  $(\lambda, u) \in \mathbb{R} \times W_0^{1,p}(\Omega) \setminus \{0\}$  is an eigenpair of (3.1) if for every  $v \in W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)$ , we have

$$(3.2) \quad \int_{\Omega} |\nabla_{\mathbb{H}} u|^{p-2} \nabla_{\mathbb{H}} u \nabla_{\mathbb{H}} v \, dx = \lambda \|u\|_{L^q(\Omega)}^{p-q} \int_{\Omega} |u|^{q-2} uv \, dx.$$

Moreover, we refer to  $\lambda$  as an eigenvalue and  $u$  as the corresponding eigenfunction.

Let us consider the following minimizing problem given by

$$(3.3) \quad \lambda := \inf \left\{ \int_{\Omega} |\nabla_{\mathbb{H}} u|^p \, dx : v \in W_0^{1,p}(\Omega) \cap SL^q(\Omega) \right\},$$

where

$$(3.4) \quad SL^q(\Omega) := \{v \in L^q(\Omega) : \|v\|_{L^q(\Omega)} = 1\}.$$

Let us define

$$(3.5) \quad (U, \|\cdot\|_U) = (W_0^{1,p}(\Omega), \|\cdot\|_{W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)})$$

and

$$(3.6) \quad (V, \|\cdot\|_V) = (L^q(\Omega), \|\cdot\|_{L^q(\Omega)})$$

and we denote by  $SV = SL^q(\Omega)$ , where  $SL^q(\Omega)$  is defined in (3.4). By Lemma 2.1 and Lemma 2.2, it follows that  $(U, \|\cdot\|_U)$  is a uniformly convex Banach space, which is compactly embedded in the Banach space  $(V, \|\cdot\|_V)$ . Define the operators

$$(3.7) \quad A : U \rightarrow U^* \text{ by } \langle Av, w \rangle = \langle \operatorname{div} (\nabla_{\mathbb{H}} v)^{p-2} \nabla_{\mathbb{H}} v, w \rangle = \int_{\Omega} |\nabla_{\mathbb{H}} v|^{p-2} \nabla_{\mathbb{H}} v \nabla_{\mathbb{H}} w \, dx$$

and

$$(3.8) \quad B : V \rightarrow V^* \text{ by } \langle B(v), w \rangle = \int_{\Omega} |v|^{q-2} vw \, dx.$$

Below, we prove the following properties of  $A$  and  $B$ .

**Lemma 3.1.**  $(H_1)$   $A(tv) = |t|^{p-2} tA(v) \quad \forall t \in \mathbb{R} \text{ and } \forall v \in U,$

$(H_2)$   $B(tv) = |t|^{q-2} tB(v) \quad \forall t \in \mathbb{R} \text{ and } \forall v \in V,$

$(H_3)$   $\langle A(v), w \rangle \leq \|v\|_U^{p-1} \|w\|_U$  for all  $v, w \in U$ , where the equality holds if and only if  $v = 0$  or  $w = 0$  or  $v = tw$  for some  $t > 0$ .

$(H_4)$   $\langle B(v), w \rangle \leq \|v\|_V^{q-1} \|w\|_V$  for all  $v, w \in V$ , where the equality holds if and only if  $v = 0$  or  $w = 0$  or  $v = tw$  for some  $t \geq 0$ .

$(H_5)$  For every  $w \in V \setminus \{0\}$  there exists  $u \in U \setminus \{0\}$  such that

$$\langle A(u), v \rangle = \langle B(w), v \rangle \quad \forall v \in U.$$

Moreover,  $A$  and  $B$  are continuous.

By the property  $(H_5)$  in Lemma 3.1, as in [9, page 579 and page 584 – 585] for every  $w_0 \in SV$  there exists a sequence  $\{w_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \subset U \cap SV$  such that

$$(3.9) \quad \langle A(w_{n+1}), v \rangle = \mu_n \langle B(w_n), v \rangle \quad \forall v \in U,$$

where  $\mu_n \geq \lambda$ , with  $\lambda$  given by (3.3).

**3.1. Main results.** The main results in this section are stated as follows:

**Theorem 3.2.** *Let  $1 < p < \nu$  and  $1 < q < \nu^*$ . Then, the sequences  $\{\mu_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  and  $\{\|w_{n+1}\|_U^p\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  given by (3.9) are nonincreasing and converge to the same limit  $\mu$ , which is bounded below by  $\lambda$ . Moreover,  $\mu$  is an eigenvalue of (3.1) and there exists a subsequence  $\{n_j\}_{j \in \mathbb{N}}$  such that both  $\{w_{n_j}\}_{j \in \mathbb{N}}$  and  $\{w_{n_{j+1}}\}_{j \in \mathbb{N}}$  converges in  $U$  to the same limit  $w \in U \cap SV$ , which is an eigenvector corresponding to  $\mu$ .*

**Theorem 3.3.** *Let  $1 < p < \nu$  and  $1 < q < \nu^*$ . Suppose  $\{u_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \subset U \cap SV$  is a minimizing sequence for  $\lambda$ , that is  $\|u_n\|_V = 1$  and  $\|u_n\|_U^p \rightarrow \lambda$ . Then there exists a subsequence  $\{u_{n_j}\}_{j \in \mathbb{N}}$  which converges weakly in  $U$  to  $u \in U \cap SV$  such that*

$$\lambda = \int_{\Omega} |\nabla_H u|^p dx.$$

Moreover,  $u$  is an eigenfunction corresponding to  $\lambda$  and its associated eigenfunctions are precisely the scalar multiple of those vectors at which  $\lambda$  is reached.

Our final main result concerns the following qualitative properties of the eigenfunctions of (3.1).

**Theorem 3.4.** *Let  $1 < p < \nu$  and  $1 < q < \nu^*$ . Assume that  $\lambda > 0$  is an eigenvalue of the problem (3.1) and  $u \in U \setminus \{0\}$  is a corresponding eigenfunction. Then (i)  $u \in L^\infty(\Omega)$ . (ii) Moreover, if  $u \in U \setminus \{0\}$  is nonnegative in  $\Omega$ , then  $u > 0$  in  $\Omega$ . Further, for every  $\omega \Subset \Omega$  there exists a positive constant  $c$  depending on  $\omega$  such that  $u \geq c > 0$  in  $\omega$ .*

To prove our main results above, first we prove Lemma 3.1 below.

**Proof of Lemma 3.1:**

- (H<sub>1</sub>) Follows by the definition of  $A$ .
- (H<sub>2</sub>) Follows by the definition of  $B$ .
- (H<sub>3</sub>) First, using Cauchy-Schwartz inequality and then by Hölder's inequality with exponents  $\frac{p}{p-1}$  and  $p$ , we obtain

$$\langle Av, w \rangle = \int_{\Omega} |\nabla_H v|^{p-2} \nabla_H v \nabla_H w dx \leq \int_{\Omega} |\nabla_H v|^{p-1} |\nabla_H w| dx \leq \|v\|_U^{p-1} \|w\|_U.$$

If  $v = 0$  or  $w = 0$  then the equality  $\langle Av, w \rangle = \|v\|_U^{p-1} \|w\|_U$  holds. So we assume this equality such that both  $v \neq 0$  and  $w \neq 0$ . Then the equality in Cauchy-Schwartz and Hölder's inequality hold simultaneously. That is, at one end (due to the case of the equality in Cauchy-Schwartz inequality) we get

$$\int_{\Omega} |\nabla_H v|^{p-2} \nabla_H v \nabla_H w dx = \int_{\Omega} |\nabla_H v|^{p-1} |\nabla_H w| dx,$$

which gives  $|\nabla_H v|^{p-2} \nabla_H v \nabla_H w = |\nabla_H v|^{p-1} |\nabla_H w|$  and hence,  $\nabla_H v(x) = c(x) \nabla_H w(x)$  for almost every  $x \in \Omega$  for some  $c(x) \geq 0$ . Also, due to the equality in Hölder's inequality, we have

$$\int_{\Omega} |\nabla_H v|^{p-2} \nabla_H v \nabla_H w dx = \|v\|_U^{p-1} \|w\|_U,$$

which gives  $|\nabla_H v| = t |\nabla_H w|$  in  $\Omega$  for some constant  $t > 0$ . Therefore,  $c(x) = t$  in  $\Omega$ . Hence  $\nabla_H v = t \nabla_H w$  in  $\Omega$  and therefore  $v = tw$  in  $\Omega$  for some  $t > 0$ . Thus, (H<sub>3</sub>) holds.

( $H_4$ ) This property can be verified similarly as in ( $H_3$ ).

( $H_5$ ) We prove it by applying Theorem 4.2 as follows:

**Boundedness:** From Hölder's inequality, we have

$$\|Av\|_{U^*} = \sup_{\|w\|_U \leq 1} |\langle Av, w \rangle| \leq \|v\|_U^{p-1} \|w\|_U \leq \|v\|_U^{p-1}.$$

Thus,  $A$  is bounded.

**Continuity:** Suppose  $v_n \in U$  such that  $v_n \rightarrow v$  in the norm of  $U$ . Thus, upto a subsequence  $\nabla_H v_{n_j} \rightarrow \nabla_H v$  pointwise almost everywhere in  $\Omega$ . We observe that

$$\| |\nabla_H v_{n_j}|^{p-2} \nabla_H v_{n_j} \|_{L^{\frac{p}{p-1}}(\Omega)} \leq \| \nabla_H v_{n_j} \|_U^{p-1} \leq C,$$

for some uniform constant  $C > 0$ , which is independent of  $n$ . Thus,

$$|\nabla_H v_{n_j}|^{p-2} \nabla_H v_{n_j} \rightharpoonup |\nabla_H v|^{p-2} \nabla_H v$$

weakly in  $L^{\frac{p}{p-1}}(\Omega)$ . Since, the weak limit is independent of the choice of the subsequence, it follows that

$$|\nabla_H v_n|^{p-2} \nabla_H v_n \rightharpoonup |\nabla_H v|^{p-2} \nabla_H v$$

weakly in  $L^{\frac{p}{p-1}}(\Omega)$ . As a consequence, we have

$$\langle Av_n, w \rangle \rightarrow \langle Av, w \rangle$$

for every  $w \in U$ . Thus  $A$  is continuous. Similarly, we obtain  $B$  is continuous.

**Coercivity:** We observe that

$$\langle Av, v \rangle = \int_{\Omega} |\nabla_H v|^p dx.$$

Since  $p > 1$ , the operator  $A$  is coercive.

**Monotonicity:** By Lemma 4.1 we have

$$\langle Av - Aw, v - w \rangle = \int_{\Omega} \langle |\nabla_H v|^{p-2} \nabla_H v - |\nabla_H w|^{p-2} \nabla_H w, \nabla_H(v - w) \rangle dx \geq 0,$$

for every  $v, w \in U$ . Thus,  $A$  is monotone.

Now, by the continuous embedding of  $U$  in  $V$  from Lemma 2.2, we observe that  $B(w) \in U^*$  for every  $w \in V \setminus \{0\}$ . Note that by Lemma 2.1, it follows that  $U$  is a separable and reflexive Banach space. Therefore, by Theorem 4.2 there exists  $u \in U \setminus \{0\}$  such that

$$\langle A(u), v \rangle = \langle B(w), v \rangle \quad \forall v \in U.$$

Hence the hypothesis ( $H_5$ ) holds. This completes the proof.  $\square$

The next result is useful to prove boundedness of the eigenfunctions of (3.1).

**Lemma 3.5.** *Let  $\Omega \subset \mathbb{G}$  be such that  $|\Omega| < \infty$  and  $1 < p < \nu$ ,  $1 < l < \nu^* = \frac{\nu l}{\nu - l}$ . Then for every  $u \in W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)$ , there exists a positive constant  $C = C(p, l, \nu)$  such that*

$$(3.10) \quad \left( \int_{\Omega} |u|^l dx \right)^{\frac{1}{l}} \leq C |\Omega|^{\frac{1}{l} - \frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{\nu}} \left( \int_{\Omega} |\nabla_H u|^p dx \right)^{\frac{1}{p}}.$$

*Proof.* Proceeding as in [16, Corollary 1.57], we set

$$s = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } l\nu \leq \nu + l \\ \frac{\nu l}{\nu + l}, & \text{if } \nu l > \nu + l. \end{cases}$$

Then  $1 \leq s \leq p$ ,  $s < \nu$  and  $s^* = \frac{\nu s}{\nu - s} \geq l$ . Using Hölder's inequality along with Lemma 2.2, we obtain

$$(3.11) \quad \begin{aligned} \|u\|_{L^l(\Omega)} &\leq \|u\|_{L^{s^*}(\Omega)} |\Omega|^{\frac{1}{l} - \frac{1}{s} + \frac{1}{\nu}} \leq C \|\nabla_{\mathbb{H}} u\|_{L^s(\Omega)} |\Omega|^{\frac{1}{l} - \frac{1}{s} + \frac{1}{\nu}} \\ &\leq C \|\nabla_{\mathbb{H}} u\|_{L^p(\Omega)} |\Omega|^{\frac{1}{l} - \frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{\nu}}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence the proof.  $\square$

**3.2. Proof of the main results: Proof of Theorem 3.2:** The proof follows by Lemma 3.1 and [9, Theorem 1].  $\square$

**Proof of Theorem 3.3:** The proof follows by Lemma 3.1 and [9, Proposition 2].

**Proof of Theorem 3.4: (i)** Due to the homogeneity of the equation (3.1), without loss of generality, we assume that  $\|u\|_V = 1$ . Let  $k \geq 1$  and set  $A(k) := \{x \in \Omega : u(x) > k\}$ . Choosing  $v = (u - k)^+$  as a test function in (3.2), we obtain

$$(3.12) \quad \int_{A(k)} |\nabla_{\mathbb{H}} u|^p dx = \lambda \int_{A(k)} |u|^{q-2} u (u - k) dx \leq \lambda \int_{A(k)} |u|^{q-1} (u - k) dx.$$

We prove the result in the following two cases:

**Case I.** Let  $q \leq p$ , then since  $k \geq 1$ , over the set  $A(k)$ , we have  $|u|^{q-1} \leq |u|^{p-1}$ . Therefore, from (3.12) we have

$$(3.13) \quad \begin{aligned} \int_{A(k)} |\nabla_{\mathbb{H}} u|^p dx &= \lambda \int_{A(k)} |u|^{q-2} u (u - k) dx \\ &\leq \lambda \int_{A(k)} |u|^{p-1} (u - k) dx \\ &\leq \lambda \int_{A(k)} (2^{p-1} (u - k)^p + 2^{p-1} k^{p-1} (u - k)) dx, \end{aligned}$$

where to obtain the last inequality above, we have used the inequality  $(a + b)^{p-1} \leq 2^{p-1} (a^{p-1} + b^{p-1})$  for  $a, b \geq 0$ . Using the Sobolev inequality (3.10) with  $l = p$  in (3.13) we obtain

$$(3.14) \quad (1 - S\lambda 2^{p-1} |A(k)|^{\frac{p}{\nu}}) \int_{A(k)} (u - k)^p dx \leq \lambda S 2^{p-1} k^{p-1} |A(k)|^{\frac{p}{\nu}} \int_{A(k)} (u - k) dx,$$

where  $S > 0$  is the Sobolev constant. Note that  $\|u\|_{L^1(\Omega)} \geq k |A(k)|$  and therefore for every  $k \geq k_0 = (2^p S \lambda)^{\frac{\nu}{p}} \|u\|_{L^1(\Omega)}$ , we have  $S\lambda 2^{p-1} |A(k)|^{\frac{p}{\nu}} \leq \frac{1}{2}$ . Using this fact in (3.14), for every  $k \geq \max\{k_0, 1\}$ , we get

$$(3.15) \quad \int_{A(k)} (u - k)^p dx \leq \lambda S 2^p k^{p-1} |A(k)|^{\frac{p}{\nu}} \int_{A(k)} (u - k) dx.$$

Using Hölder's inequality and the estimate (3.15) we obtain

$$(3.16) \quad \int_{A(k)} (u - k) dx \leq (\lambda S 2^p)^{\frac{1}{p-1}} k |A(k)|^{1 + \frac{p}{\nu(p-1)}}.$$

Noting (3.16), by [18, Lemma 5.1], we get  $u \in L^\infty(\Omega)$ .

**Case II.** Let  $q > p$ , then using the inequality  $(a + b)^{q-1} \leq 2^{q-1}(a^{q-1} + b^{q-1})$  for  $a, b \geq 0$  in (3.12) we get

$$(3.17) \quad \int_{A(k)} |\nabla_{\mathbb{H}} u|^p dx \leq \lambda \int_{A(k)} (2^{q-1}(u-k)^q + 2^{q-1}k^{q-1}(u-k)) dx.$$

Now, using the Sobolev inequality (3.10) with  $l = q$  in the estimate (3.17) we obtain

$$(3.18) \quad \left( \int_{A(k)} (u-k)^q dx \right)^{\frac{p}{q}} \leq S\lambda |A(k)|^{p(\frac{1}{q} - \frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{\nu})} \int_{A(k)} (2^{q-1}(u-k)^q + 2^{q-1}k^{q-1}(u-k)) dx,$$

where  $S > 0$  is the Sobolev constant. Since  $\int_{A(k)} (u-k)^q dx \leq \|u\|_{L^q(\Omega)}^q = 1$  and  $q > p$ , the quantity in the left side of (3.18) can be estimated from below as

$$(3.19) \quad \left( \int_{A(k)} (u-k)^q dx \right)^{\frac{p}{q}} = \left( \int_{A(k)} (u-k)^q dx \right)^{\frac{p-q}{q} + 1} \geq \int_{A(k)} (u-k)^q dx.$$

Using (3.19) in (3.18) we get

$$(3.20) \quad \begin{aligned} & \left( 1 - S\lambda 2^{q-1} |A(k)|^{p(\frac{1}{q} - \frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{\nu})} \right) \int_{A(k)} (u-k)^q dx \\ & \leq S\lambda 2^{q-1} k^{q-1} |A(k)|^{p(\frac{1}{q} - \frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{\nu})} \int_{A(k)} (u-k) dx. \end{aligned}$$

Let  $\alpha = p(\frac{1}{q} - \frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{\nu})$ , which is positive, since  $1 < q < \nu^*$ . Choosing  $k_1 = (S\lambda 2^q)^{\frac{1}{\alpha}} \|u\|_{L^1(\Omega)}$ , due to the fact that  $k|A(k)| \leq \|u\|_{L^1(\Omega)}$ , we obtain for every  $k \geq k_1$  that  $S\lambda 2^{q-1} |A(k)|^\alpha \leq \frac{1}{2}$ . Using this property in (3.20), we have

$$(3.21) \quad \int_{A(k)} (u-k)^q dx \leq S\lambda 2^q k^{q-1} |A(k)|^\alpha \int_{A(k)} (u-k) dx.$$

By Hölder's inequality and the estimate (3.21) we arrive at

$$(3.22) \quad \int_{A(k)} (u-k) dx \leq (\lambda S 2^q)^{\frac{1}{q-1}} k |A(k)|^{1 + \frac{\alpha}{q-1}}.$$

Noting (3.22), by [18, Lemma 5.1], we get  $u \in L^\infty(\Omega)$ .

(ii) By [24, Theorem 5], the result follows.  $\square$

#### 4. COERCIVE OPERATORS IN BANACH SPACES

In this section, we state some auxiliary results. The first one is the following algebraic inequality from [6, Lemma 2.1].

**Lemma 4.1.** *Let  $1 < p < \infty$ . Then for any  $a, b \in \mathbb{R}^N$ , there exists a constant  $C = C(p) > 0$  such that*

$$(4.1) \quad \langle |a|^{p-2}a - |b|^{p-2}b, a - b \rangle \geq C(|a| + |b|)^{p-2} |a - b|^2.$$

Next, we state the following result, which follows from [5, Theorem 9.14].

**Theorem 4.2.** *Let  $V$  be a real separable reflexive Banach space and  $V^*$  be the dual of  $V$ . Assume that  $A : V \rightarrow V^*$  is a bounded, continuous, coercive and monotone operator. Then  $A$  is surjective, i.e., given any  $f \in V^*$ , there exists  $u \in V$  such that  $A(u) = f$ . If  $A$  is strictly monotone, then  $A$  is also injective.*

## ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

The authors thank Professor Giovanni Franzina for some fruitful discussion on the topic.

## REFERENCES

- [1] J. García Azorero and I. Peral Alonso, Existence and nonuniqueness for the  $p$ -Laplacian: nonlinear eigenvalues, *Comm. Partial Differential Equations*, **12** (1987), 1389–1430.
- [2] L. Capogna, D. Danielli, N. Garofalo, An embedding theorem and the Harnack inequality for nonlinear subelliptic equations, *Comm. Partial Differential Equations*, **18** (1993), 1765–1794.
- [3] H. Chen, H. G. Chen, Estimates of Dirichlet eigenvalues for a class of sub-elliptic operators, *Proc. London Math. Soc.*, **122** (2021), 808–847.
- [4] W. L. Chow, Systeme von linearen partiellen differential gleichungen erster ordnung, *Math. Ann.*, **117** (1939), 98–105.
- [5] Ph. G. Ciarlet, *Linear and nonlinear functional analysis with applications*, Society for Industrial and Applied Mathematics, Philadelphia, PA, 2013.
- [6] L. Damascelli, Comparison theorems for some quasilinear degenerate elliptic operators and applications to symmetry and monotonicity results, *Ann. Inst. H. Poincaré Anal. Non Linéaire*, **15** (1998), 493–516.
- [7] D. Danielli, Regularity at the boundary for solutions of nonlinear subelliptic equations, *Indiana Univ. Math. J.*, **44** (1995), 269–286.
- [8] P. Drábek, A. Kufner, F. Nicolosi, *Quasilinear elliptic equations with degenerations and singularities*, de Gruyter Series in Nonlinear Analysis and Applications, **5**, Walter de Gruyter & Co., Berlin, (1997).
- [9] G. Ercole, Solving an abstract nonlinear eigenvalue problem by the inverse iteration method, *Bull. Braz. Math. Soc. (N.S.)*, **49** (2018), 577–591.
- [10] C. Fefferman, D. H. Phong, Subelliptic eigenvalue problems, *Proceedings of the Conference on Harmonic Analysis, in honor of A. Zygmund*, *Wadsworth Math. Series* (1981), 590–606.
- [11] G. B. Folland, Subelliptic estimates and function spaces on nilpotent Lie groups, *Ark. Math.*, **13** (1975), 161–207.
- [12] G. B. Folland, E. M. Stein, *Hardy spaces on homogeneous group*, Princeton Univ. Press. (Princeton, 1982).
- [13] G. Franzina, P. D. Lamberti, *Electronic Journal of Differential Equations*, **26** (2010), 1–10.
- [14] P. Hajlasz, P. Koskela, Sobolev met Poincare, *Mem. Amer. Math. Soc.*, **688**, (2000).
- [15] P. Lindqvist, A nonlinear eigenvalue problem. *Topics in mathematical analysis*, *Ser. Anal. Appl. Comput.*, World Sci. Publ., Hackensack, NJ, **3** (2008), 175–203.
- [16] Jan Malý and William P. Ziemer, *Fine regularity of solutions of elliptic partial differential equations*, volume 51 of *Mathematical Surveys and Monographs*. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 1997.
- [17] F. Montefalcone, Geometric inequalities in Carnot groups, *Pacific J. Math.*, **263** 2013, 171–206. Vol. 263, No. 1, 2013
- [18] Ladyzhenskaya, Olga A. and Ural'tseva, Nina N, *Linear and quasilinear elliptic equations*, Academic Press, New York-London, 1968, xviii+495.
- [19] M. Otani, Existence and nonexistence of nontrivial solutions of some nonlinear degenerate elliptic equations, *J. Funct. Anal.*, **76** (1988), 140–159.
- [20] P. Pansu, Métriques de Carnot–Carathéodory et quasiisométries des espaces symétriques de rang un, *Ann. Math.*, **129** (1989), 1–60.

- [21] L. E. Payne, H. F. Weinberger, Some isoperimetric inequalities for membrane frequencies and torsional rigidity, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, **2** (1961), 210–216.
- [22] G. Pólya and G. Szegő, *Isoperimetric inequalities in mathematical physics*, *Annals of mathematical studies* **27**, Princeton University Press, Princeton, (1951).
- [23] L. Rayleigh, *The Theory of Sound*, London, (1894/1896).
- [24] S. K. Vodop'yanov, Weighted Sobolev spaces and the boundary behavior of solutions of degenerate hypoelliptic equations, *Siberian Math. J.*, **36** (1995), 278–300.
- [25] S. K. Vodop'yanov, V. M. Chernikov, Sobolev spaces and hypoelliptic equations, *Trudy Inst. Mat.*, **29** (1995), 7–62.
- [26] N. Wei, P. Niu, H. Liu, Dirichlet Eigenvalue Ratios for the  $p$ -sub-Laplacian in the Carnot Group, *J. Part. Diff. Eq.*, **22** (2009), 1–10.
- [27] Ch. J. Xu, Subelliptic variational problems, *Bull. Soc. Math. France*, **118** (1990), 147–169.

Department of Mathematics, Ben-Gurion University of the Negev, P.O.Box 653,  
Beer Sheva, 8410501, Israel

*E-mail address:* pgarain92@gmail.com

Department of Mathematics, Ben-Gurion University of the Negev, P.O.Box 653,  
Beer Sheva, 8410501, Israel

*E-mail address:* ukhlov@math.bgu.ac.il