

Polish topologies on groups of non-singular transformations

François Le Maître[†]

October 15, 2021

Abstract

In this paper, we prove several results concerning Polish group topologies on groups of non-singular transformation. We first prove that the group of measure-preserving transformations of the real line whose support has finite measure carries no Polish group topology. We then characterize the Borel σ -finite measures λ on a standard Borel space for which the group of λ -preserving transformations has the automatic continuity property. We finally show that the natural Polish topology on the group of all non-singular transformations is actually its only Polish group topology.

1 Introduction

The study of measure-preserving (or more generally non-singular) transformations on a standard measured space (Y, λ) is broadened once one realises that such transformations form a Polish group. Indeed, the Baire category theorem is then available and so the question of generic properties of such transformations arises naturally.

As a somewhat degenerate case, one may first look at the case where the measure λ is completely atomic. Then $\text{Aut}(Y, \lambda)$ only acts by permuting atoms of the same measure and thus splits as a direct product of permutation groups. In the case where all the atoms have the same measure and λ is infinite, we get the Polish group \mathfrak{S}_∞ of permutations of the integers. In this group, the generic permutation has only finite orbits and infinitely many orbits of size n for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Such permutations thus form a comeager conjugacy class.

Actually a much stronger property called *ample generics* holds for the Polish group \mathfrak{S}_∞ , and this has several nice consequences as was shown by Kechris and Rosendal [KR07], among which the *automatic continuity property*.

Definition 1.1. A Polish group G has the **automatic continuity property** if whenever $\pi : G \rightarrow H$ is a group homomorphism taking values in a separable topological group H , the homomorphism π has to be continuous.

It is well-known that as soon as λ has a non-atomic part, the group $\text{Aut}(Y, \lambda)$ fails to have ample generics. However, it was shown by Ben Yaacov, Berenstein and Melleray that when λ is a non-atomic *finite* measure, $\text{Aut}(X, \lambda)$ still has the automatic continuity

[†]Research partially supported by ANR AGRUME (ANR-17-CE40-0026) and ANR AODynG (19-CE40-0008-01).

property [BYBM13]. Later on Sabok developed a framework to show automatic continuity for automorphism groups of metric structures [Sab19]. In particular, he got another proof of automatic continuity for $\text{Aut}(Y, \lambda)$, and then Malicki simplified his approach [Mal16]. We first observe that this framework can also be applied when λ is infinite.

Theorem 1.2. *Let (Y, λ) be a standard Borel space equipped with a non-atomic σ -finite infinite measure λ . Then $\text{Aut}(Y, \lambda)$ has the automatic continuity property.*

Note that as a concrete example for the above result, one can take X to be the reals equipped with the Lebesgue measure. In general, we can actually characterize when $\text{Aut}(X, \lambda)$ has the automatic continuity as follows, where the λ -atomic multiplicity of a real $r > 0$ is the number of atoms whose measure is equal to r .

Theorem 1.3. *Let (Y, λ) be a standard Borel space equipped with a Borel σ -finite measure λ . Then the following are equivalent:*

- (i) $\text{Aut}(Y, \lambda)$ has the automatic continuity property;
- (ii) There are only finitely many positive reals whose λ -atomic multiplicity belongs to $[2, +\infty[$.

Let us now consider the group $\text{Aut}^*(Y, \lambda)$ of non-singular transformations of (Y, λ) , i.e. the group of Borel bijections which preserve λ -null sets. If λ_{at} denotes the atomic part of λ and λ_{cont} denotes the atomless part, we see that $\text{Aut}^*(Y, \lambda)$ splits as a direct product

$$\text{Aut}^*(Y, \lambda) = \text{Aut}^*(Y, \lambda_{at}) \times \text{Aut}^*(Y, \lambda_{cont}).$$

The group $\text{Aut}(Y, \lambda_{at})$ is a permutation group, so it has the automatic continuity and thus we focus on $\text{Aut}(Y, \lambda_{cont})$, assuming that λ_{cont} is non-trivial. Observe that λ_{cont} is then equivalent to an atomless probability measure, so we may as well assume λ_{cont} is a probability measure. We are thus led to ask:

Question. *Let (X, μ) be a standard probability space. Does the group $\text{Aut}^*(X, \mu)$ of all non-singular transformations of (X, μ) have the automatic continuity property ?*

The main difficulty with this question is that the framework of Sabok is not available for $\text{Aut}^*(X, \mu)$ because it cannot be the automorphism group of a complete homogeneous metric structure as was recently shown by Ben Yaacov [BY18]. While we cannot answer this question, we still manage to obtain a basic consequence of automatic continuity, namely having a unique Polish group topology.

Theorem 1.4. *Let (X, μ) be a standard probability space. The group $\text{Aut}^*(X, \mu)$ has a unique Polish group topology, namely the weak topology.*

The techniques we use to prove the above theorem are quite standard, except for the fact that we use the automatic continuity for $\text{Aut}(X, \mu)$ so as to know that $\text{Aut}(X, \mu)$ is a Borel subgroup of $\text{Aut}^*(X, \mu)$ for any Polish group topology on $\text{Aut}^*(X, \mu)$. This trick may be of use for other Polish groups.

Finally, we prove a kind of opposite result by showing that the group of all measure-preserving transformations of the real line which have finite support cannot carry any Polish group topology.

The paper is organized in two independent sections. Section 2 deals with groups of measure-preserving transformations over a σ -finite space. After a preliminary section, we start with the above mentioned absence of Polish group topology on the group of finite support transformations in Section 2.2. We then check that $\text{Aut}(Y, \nu)$ has the automatic continuity property in Section 2.3, and we prove Theorem 1.3 in Section 2.4. Section 3 is finally devoted to the proof of the uniqueness of the Polish group topology of $\text{Aut}^*(X, \mu)$ (Theorem 1.4).

2 Groups of transformations preserving a σ -finite measure

2.1 Preliminaries

A **standard σ -finite space** is a standard Borel space equipped with a Borel nonatomic σ -finite infinite measure. All such spaces are isomorphic to \mathbb{R} equipped with the Lebesgue measure, and we fix from now on such a standard σ -finite space (Y, λ) .

The first group we are interested in is denoted by $\text{Aut}(Y, \lambda)$ and consists of all Borel bijections $T : Y \rightarrow Y$ which preserve the measure λ : for all Borel $A \subseteq Y$, we have $\lambda(A) = \lambda(T^{-1}(A))$. As usual two such bijections are identified if they coincide on a conull set.

Consider the space $M(Y, \lambda)$ of finite measure Borel subsets of Y equipped with the metric $d_\lambda(A, B) := \lambda(A \triangle B)$, where we identify A and B if $\lambda(A \triangle B) = 0$. Because Y is standard and λ is σ -finite, the metric space $(M(Y, \lambda), d_\lambda)$ is separable. Moreover, the Borel-Cantelli lemma yields that $(M(Y, \lambda), d_\lambda)$ is a complete metric space.

If (X, μ) and (Y, ν) are two standard probability spaces, any isometry between $(\text{MAlg}(X, \mu), d_\mu)$ and $(\text{MAlg}(Y, \nu), d_\nu)$ sending \emptyset to \emptyset comes from a measure-preserving bijection which is unique up to a null set (see [Kec10, Sec. 1 (B)]). This easily yields the following proposition.

Proposition 2.1. *$\text{Aut}(Y, \lambda)$ is equal to the group of isometries of $(M(Y, \lambda), d_\lambda)$ which fix \emptyset .*

The above proposition implies that $\text{Aut}(Y, \lambda)$ is a Polish group as it is a closed subgroup of the isometry group of a separable complete metric space. The corresponding topology is called the weak topology; it is thus defined by $T_n \rightarrow T$ iff for all $A \subseteq Y$ of finite measure, one has

$$\lambda(T_n(A) \triangle T(A)) \rightarrow 0$$

Note that since $\lambda(T_n(A)) = \lambda(T(A))$, this condition is in turn equivalent to $\lambda(T_n(A) \setminus T(A)) \rightarrow 0$.

For $T \in \text{Aut}(Y, \lambda)$, we define its **support** to be the Borel set

$$\text{supp } T := \{y \in Y : T(y) \neq y\}.$$

Note that we have the following relation: for all $S, T \in \text{Aut}(Y, \lambda)$,

$$\text{supp}(STS^{-1}) = S(\text{supp } T).$$

Definition 2.2. The group $\text{Aut}_f(Y, \lambda)$ is the normal subgroup of $\text{Aut}(Y, \lambda)$ consisting of all $T \in \text{Aut}(Y, \lambda)$ such that $\lambda(\text{supp}(T)) < +\infty$.

2.2 Absence of Polish group topology on $\text{Aut}_f(Y, \lambda)$

2.2.1 Non-Polishability

Our first lemma is well-known, we provide a proof for the reader's convenience.

Lemma 2.3. *For all $R > 0$, the set of $T \in \text{Aut}(Y, \lambda)$ such that $\lambda(\text{supp } T) \leq R$ is closed.*

Proof. Take T such that $\lambda(\text{supp } T) > R$, then there exists a partition of $\text{supp } T$ in countably many sets of positive measure $(A_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ such that for all $i \in \mathbb{N}$, we have $\mu(T(A_i) \cap A_i) = 0$. By our hypothesis, we may then find $n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $\lambda(A_1 \sqcup \dots \sqcup A_n) > R$, and up to shrinking each A_i we may furthermore assume $\lambda(A_1 \sqcup \dots \sqcup A_n) < +\infty$.

Let $\epsilon = \frac{1}{n}(\lambda(A_1 \sqcup \dots \sqcup A_n) - R)$. Now take $T' \in \text{Aut}(Y, \lambda)$ such that $\lambda(T(A_i) \Delta T'(A_i)) < \epsilon$ for $i = 1, \dots, n$, let $B_i := A_i \setminus T'(A_i)$. By construction we have $\lambda(B_i) > \lambda(A_i) - \epsilon$. Moreover $T'(B_i)$ is disjoint from B_i so each B_i is contained in the support of T' , and since they are disjoint we conclude that the support of T' has measure greater than $\lambda(A_1 \sqcup \dots \sqcup A_n) - n\epsilon > R$. \square

Definition 2.4. A subgroup H of a Polish group G is called **Polishable** if it admits a topology which refines the topology of G .

Theorem 2.5. *The subgroup $\text{Aut}_f(Y, \lambda) \leq \text{Aut}(Y, \lambda)$ is not Polishable.*

Proof. Suppose that $\text{Aut}_f(Y, \lambda)$ is Polishable. Then by definition its Polish group topology τ refines the weak topology. For each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, let

$$F_n := \{T \in \text{Aut}_f(Y, \mu) : \lambda(\text{supp } T) \leq n\}.$$

By the previous lemma, each F_n is closed in $\text{Aut}_f(Y, \lambda)$. Since $\text{Aut}_f(Y, \lambda) = \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} F_n$, the Baire category theorem yields that there is $n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that F_n has nonempty interior. Since τ is second-countable, we deduce that $\text{Aut}_f(Y, \lambda)$ is covered by countably many F_n -translates. This means that $\text{Aut}_f(Y, \lambda)$ contains a countable set which is n -dense for the metric d_λ given by

$$d_\lambda(T, T') := \lambda(\{x \in Y : T(x) \neq T'(x)\}).$$

Let us explain why this cannot happen.

Fix a Borel set $A \subseteq Y$ of measure $2n$, and identify A with the circle \mathbb{S}^1 equipped with the finite measure $3n\lambda$, where λ is the Haar measure on \mathbb{S}^1 . Take $z \in \mathbb{S}^1$ and consider T_z the translation by z in \mathbb{S}^1 , which we can see through our identification as a measure preserving transformation of (Y, λ) supported on A . Observe that for $z \neq z'$, we have $d_\lambda(T_z, T_{z'}) = 3n$. So in $\text{Aut}_f(Y, \lambda)$ there is an uncountable subgroup all whose distinct elements are $3n$ -apart for the metric d_λ , contradicting the fact that $\text{Aut}_f(Y, \lambda)$ contains a countable set which is n -dense for the metric d_λ by the pigeonhole principle. \square

2.2.2 Non-existence of a Polish group topology

We now upgrade the previous theorem to see that $G := \text{Aut}_f(Y, \lambda)$ cannot carry a Polish group topology. Fortunately, the arguments we need were carried out by Kallman in [Kal85] to prove the uniqueness of the Polish topology of $\text{Aut}(Y, \lambda)$. We reproduce them here for the convenience of the reader.

For a Borel subset $A \subseteq Y$ we let

$$G_A := \{T \in \text{Aut}_f(Y, \lambda) : \text{supp } T \subseteq A\}.$$

For a subset $F \subseteq \text{Aut}_f(Y, \lambda)$ we let

$$\mathcal{C}(F) := \{U \in \text{Aut}_f(Y, \lambda) : TU = UT \text{ for all } T \in F\}$$

denote its centraliser.

Lemma 2.6. *We have $\mathcal{C}(G_A) = G_{Y \setminus A}$.*

Proof. We clearly have $G_{Y \setminus A} \leq \mathcal{C}(G_A)$.

Take $T \notin G_{Y \setminus A}$. Then there exists $B \subseteq A$ with $T(B)$ disjoint from B . But clearly T does not commute with an element of $\text{Aut}_f(Y, \lambda)$ supported in B , in particular $T \notin \mathcal{C}(G_A)$. \square

By this lemma, whenever τ is a Hausdorff group topology on $\text{Aut}_f(Y, \lambda)$ the set $G_{Y \setminus A}$ is τ -closed. Moreover for all $T \in \text{Aut}_f(Y, \lambda)$ and all $A \subseteq Y$, we have

$$G_{T(A)} = TG_AT^{-1}. \quad (1)$$

Denote by $G(A, B)$ the set of $T \in \text{Aut}_f(Y, \lambda)$ such that $T(A) \subseteq B$.

Lemma 2.7. *Let τ be a Hausdorff group topology on $G = \text{Aut}_f(Y, Y)$. For all $A, B \subseteq Y$, the set $G(A, B)$ is τ -closed.*

Proof. Observe first that $A \subseteq B$ if and only if $G_A \leq G_B$: the direct implication is clear, conversely if A is not a subset of B then we find a transformation supported on $A \setminus B$, thus witnessing that $G_A \not\leq G_B$. By equality (1), we then have $G(A, B) = \{T \in \text{Aut}(Y, \nu) : TG_AT^{-1} \subseteq G_B\}$. So by the previous lemma $G(A, B)$ is the set of all $T \in \text{Aut}(Y, \nu)$ such that for all $U \in G_A$, TUT^{-1} commutes with every element of $G_{X \setminus B}$. This is clearly a τ -closed condition. \square

Now take $A \subseteq Y$, let $\epsilon > 0$, and pick $B \subseteq Y$ containing A such that $\lambda(B \setminus A) = \epsilon$.

Lemma 2.8. $G_{Y \setminus A} \cdot G(A, B) = \{T \in \text{Aut}_f(Y, \lambda) : \lambda(T(A) \setminus A) \leq \epsilon\}$.

Proof of claim. Note that $G_{Y \setminus A}$ is a group, and that the set $F := \{T \in \text{Aut}_f(Y, \lambda) : \lambda(T(A) \setminus A) \leq \epsilon\}$ is left $G_{Y \setminus A}$ -invariant. Moreover since $\lambda(B \setminus A) = \epsilon$ we clearly have $G(A, B) \subseteq F$ so $G_{Y \setminus A} \cdot G(A, B) \subseteq F$.

For the reverse inclusion, take $T \in F$. Since $\mu(T(A) \setminus A) \leq \epsilon$ and $\mu(B \setminus A) = \epsilon$ we may find $U \in G_{Y \setminus A}$ such that $U(T(A) \setminus A) \subseteq B \setminus A$. We conclude that $UT \in G(A, B)$ so $T \in G_{Y \setminus A} \cdot G(A, B)$. \square

The above lemma implies that if τ is a Polish group topology on $\text{Aut}_f(Y, \lambda)$, then for all $A \subseteq Y$ and $\epsilon > 0$, the set $\{T \in \text{Aut}_f(Y, \lambda) : \lambda(T(A) \setminus A) \leq \epsilon\}$ is analytic (it is the pointwise product of two closed sets) hence Baire-measurable. It easily follows that the inclusion map $\text{Aut}_f(Y, \lambda) \rightarrow \text{Aut}(Y, \lambda)$ is Baire-measurable, hence continuous by Pettis' lemma (see e.g. [Gao09, Thm. 2.3.2]). But this is impossible by Theorem 2.5. This proves the following result.

Theorem 2.9. *The group $\text{Aut}_f(Y, \lambda)$ cannot carry a Polish group topology.*

2.3 Automatic continuity for $\text{Aut}(Y, \lambda)$

Let us now briefly indicate why $\text{Aut}(Y, \lambda)$ has the automatic continuity property by checking the criteria given by Sabok [Sab19] and then simplified by Malicki [Mal16]. We won't give full details since the proofs adapt verbatim and we refer the reader to Malicki's paper for definitions of the terms used thereafter.

We view the group $\text{Aut}(Y, \lambda)$ as the group of automorphisms of the metric structure $(M(Y, \lambda), d_\lambda, \Delta, \cap)$ where

- $M(Y, \lambda)$ is the set of finite measure Borel subsets of Y , up to measure zero;
- $d_\lambda(A, B) = \lambda(A \Delta B)$;
- Δ and \cap are the usual set-theoretic operations, thus making $(M(Y, \lambda), \Delta, \cap)$ a Boolean algebra (without unit).

It is well-known that $M(Y, \lambda)$ is homogeneous and complete as a metric structure.

Lemma 2.10. *Finite tuples of disjoint subsets of (Y, λ) are ample and relevant.*

Proof. The proof of [Mal16, Lem. 6.2] adapts verbatim. □

Lemma 2.11. *$M(Y, \lambda)$ locally has finite automorphisms and has the extension property.*

Proof. Every finitely generated substructure of $M(Y, \lambda)$ has a unit X so that we may see it as a substructure of the measure algebra $M(X, \lambda_X)$, which up to rescaling is the measure algebra over a standard probability space. The result then follows from [Sab19, Lem. 8.1 and Lem. 8.2]. □

As a consequence of Malicki's theorem [Mal16, Thm. 3.4], we thus have the following result.

Theorem 2.12. *The group $\text{Aut}(Y, \lambda)$ of measure-preserving transformations of an infinite σ -finite standard measured space has the automatic continuity property.*

Corollary 2.13 (Kallman [Kal85]). *The group $\text{Aut}(Y, \lambda)$ has a unique Polish group topology.*

Remark. Let $M_1(Y, \lambda)$ denote the closed set of all $A \in M(Y, \lambda)$ whose measure is at most 1. It is easy to check that the $\text{Aut}(Y, \lambda)$ -action on $M_1(Y, \lambda)$ is approximately oligomorphic and that $\text{Aut}(Y, \lambda)$ is a closed subgroup of the isometry group of $M_1(Y, \lambda)$. By [BYT16, Thm. 2.4], we conclude that $\text{Aut}(Y, \lambda)$ is a Roetke precompact Polish group.

2.4 A characterization of automatic continuity

We finally use the previous results to characterize automatic continuity for $\text{Aut}(Y, \lambda)$, where (Y, λ) be a standard Borel space equipped with a Borel σ -finite measure λ . Recall that for such a measure there are only countably many atoms and they have finite measure (by σ -finiteness), and that each atom is a singleton (because Y is standard). Let us say that the λ -**atomic multiplicity** of a positive real r is the (possibly infinite) number of atoms in Y whose measure is equal to r .

Theorem 2.14. *Let (Y, λ) be a standard Borel space equipped with a Borel σ -finite measure λ . Then the following are equivalent:*

(i) $\text{Aut}(X, \lambda)$ has the automatic continuity property;

(ii) There are only finitely many positive reals whose λ -atomic multiplicity belongs to $[2, +\infty[$.

Proof. We first prove the contrapositive of (i) \Rightarrow (ii). Suppose there are infinitely many positive reals whose λ -atomic multiplicity belongs to $[2, +\infty[$ and enumerate them as $(r_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$. Then if A_n is the set of atoms of measure r_n , we see that each A_n is $\text{Aut}(Y, \lambda)$ -invariant and we thus get natural surjection

$$\text{Aut}(Y, \lambda) \twoheadrightarrow \prod_n \mathfrak{S}(A_n).$$

For each n , let σ_n be the signature map $\mathfrak{S}(A_n) \twoheadrightarrow \{\pm 1\}$. By composing our previous homomorphism with $(\sigma_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ we get a continuous surjection $\text{Aut}(Y, \lambda) \twoheadrightarrow \{\pm 1\}^{\mathbb{N}}$. Since the latter has $2^{2^{\aleph_0}}$ distinct homomorphisms onto $\{\pm 1\}$ (indeed each ultrafilter on \mathbb{N} provides such a homomorphism) and there are at most 2^{\aleph_0} continuous homomorphisms $\text{Aut}(Y, \lambda) \rightarrow \{\pm 1\}$, we conclude that $\text{Aut}(Y, \lambda)$ does not have the automatic continuity property.

We now prove (ii) \Rightarrow (i). Let $(r_i)_{i=1}^n$ be the reals whose λ -atomic multiplicity belongs to $[2, +\infty[$ and let A_i be the set of atoms of measure r_i . Let $(s_j)_{j \in J}$ denote the reals whose λ -atomic multiplicity is infinite and let B_j be the set of atoms of measure s_j . Finally, let η be the non-atomic part of λ . We then have a decomposition

$$\text{Aut}(Y, \lambda) = \text{Aut}(Y, \eta) \times \prod_{i=1}^n \mathfrak{S}(A_i) \times \prod_{j \in J} \mathfrak{S}(B_j), \quad (2)$$

where $\mathfrak{S}(B_j)$ is equipped with the topology of pointwise convergence, viewing B_j as a discrete set.

Let us show that $\text{Aut}(Y, \eta)$, $\prod_{i=1}^n \mathfrak{S}(A_i)$ and $\prod_{j \in J} \mathfrak{S}(B_j)$ have automatic continuity. Since λ is σ -finite, η also is. We then have three cases to check.

- If η is trivial, $\text{Aut}(Y, \eta)$ also is and hence has automatic continuity.
- If η is finite, $\text{Aut}(Y, \eta)$ has automatic continuity by [BYBM13, Thm. 6.2].
- If η is infinite, $\text{Aut}(Y, \eta)$ has automatic continuity by Theorem 2.12.

The group $\prod_{i=1}^n \mathfrak{S}(A_i)$ is finite and thus has automatic continuity. Finally the group $\prod_{j \in J} \mathfrak{S}(B_j)$ is a countable product of groups with ample generics and hence has ample generics. By [KR07, Thm. 1.10] it has automatic continuity.

Since any finite product of groups with automatic continuity has automatic continuity, we conclude from (2) that $\text{Aut}(Y, \eta)$ has the automatic continuity property. \square

3 The group of non-singular transformations

3.1 Preliminaries

A **standard probability space** is a standard Borel space equipped with a Borel nonatomic probability measure. All such spaces are isomorphic, and we fix from now on such a standard probability space (X, μ) .

A Borel bijection T of (X, μ) is called **non-singular** if the pushforward measure $T_*\mu$ is equivalent to μ , that is, if for all Borel $A \subseteq X$, we have $\mu(A) = 0$ if and only if

$\mu(T^{-1}(A)) = 0$. Denote by $\text{Aut}^*(X, \mu)$ the group of non-singular Borel bijections of (X, μ) , two such bijections being identified if they coincide up to measure zero.

The **weak topology** on $\text{Aut}^*(X, \mu)$ is a metrizable group topology defined by declaring that a sequence (T_n) of elements of $\text{Aut}^*(X, \mu)$ weakly converges to $T \in \text{Aut}^*(X, \mu)$ if for all Borel $A \subseteq X$, one has $\mu(T_n(A) \Delta T(A)) \rightarrow 0$ and

$$\left\| \frac{d(T_n \mu)}{d\mu} - \frac{d(T \mu)}{d\mu} \right\|_1 \rightarrow 0. \quad (3)$$

We refer the reader to [DS11] for more on this topology, which is actually a Polish group topology. Our purpose here will be to show that it is the unique Polish group topology one can put on $\text{Aut}^*(X, \mu)$.

For $T \in \text{Aut}^*(X, \mu)$, we define as before its **support** to be the Borel set

$$\text{supp } T := \{x \in X : T(x) \neq x\}.$$

Note that we have again the following relation: for all $S, T \in \text{Aut}^*(X, \mu)$, $\text{supp}(STS^{-1}) = S(\text{supp } T)$.

We denote by $\text{Aut}(X, \mu)$ the group of measure-preserving transformations of (X, μ) , which is a closed subgroup of $\text{Aut}^*(X, \mu)$. The following theorem of Ben Yaacov, Berenstein and Melleray will imply it is always a Borel subset regardless of the Polish group topology we put on $\text{Aut}^*(X, \mu)$.

Theorem 3.1 (see [BYBM13, Thm. 6.3]). *Every homomorphism $\text{Aut}(X, \mu) \rightarrow H$ where H is a separable topological group has to be continuous.*

Finally, we will need the following kind of converse of the fact that elements of $\text{Aut}(X, \mu)$ preserve the measure: given two subsets $A, B \subseteq X$ of the same measure, there exists $T \in \text{Aut}(X, \mu)$ supported on $A \cup B$ such that $T(A) = B$.

3.2 Uniqueness of the Polish group topology of $\text{Aut}^*(X, \mu)$

Theorem 3.2. *The weak topology is the unique Polish group topology on the group $\text{Aut}^*(X, \mu)$.*

Proof. Let us fix a countable dense subalgebra of $\text{MAlg}(X, \mu)$ and enumerate it as $(A_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$. For $m, n, k \in \mathbb{N}$, we let

$$\mathbb{B}_{n,m,k} := \left\{ T \in \text{Aut}^*(X, \mu) : \mu(T(A_n) \setminus A_m) \leq \frac{1}{2^k} \right\}.$$

Let us first show that the Borel group structure of $\text{Aut}^*(X, \mu)$ is generated by the subsets $\mathbb{B}_{n,m,k}$.

First note that since $\text{Aut}^*(X, \mu)$ acts continuously on $\text{MAlg}(X, \mu)$, we have that each $\mathbb{B}_{n,m,k}$ is closed, hence Borel.

By density of (A_n) in $\text{MAlg}(X, \mu)$, we have that $(\mathbb{B}_{n,m,k})_{n,m,k \in \mathbb{N}}$ is a countable *separating* family of Borel subsets of the standard Borel space $\text{Aut}^*(X, \mu)$. We conclude that $(\mathbb{B}_{n,m,k})_{n,m,k \in \mathbb{N}}$ generates the Borel σ -algebra of $\text{Aut}^*(X, \mu)$.

Let now τ be a Polish group topology on $\mathbb{G} := \text{Aut}^*(X, \mu)$. To conclude that τ is the weak topology, it suffices to show that each $\mathbb{B}_{n,m,k}$ is τ -Baire-measurable. We need a few easy lemmas already present in the previous section.

For a Borel subset $A \subseteq X$, we let \mathbb{G}_A denote the group of $T \in \text{Aut}^*(X, \mu)$ such that $\text{supp } T \subseteq A$. For a subset $\mathbb{B} \subseteq \text{Aut}^*(X, \mu)$, let $\mathcal{C}(\mathbb{B})$ denote its centraliser. We now repeat the short proofs of Lemma 2.6 and 2.7.

Lemma 3.3. *For all $A \subseteq X$ we have $\mathcal{C}(\mathbb{G}_A) = \mathbb{G}_{X \setminus A}$. In particular \mathbb{G}_A is τ -closed.*

Proof. We clearly have $\mathbb{G}_{X \setminus A} \leq \mathcal{C}(\mathbb{G}_A)$.

Take $T \notin \mathbb{G}_{X \setminus A}$. Then there exists $B \subseteq A$ with $T(B)$ disjoint from B . But clearly T does not commute with any nontrivial element of $\text{Aut}^*(X, \mu)$ supported in B , in particular $T \notin \mathcal{C}(\mathbb{G}_A)$. \square

Note that for all $T \in \text{Aut}^*(X, \lambda)$ and all $A \subseteq X$, we have again $\mathbb{G}_{T(A)} = T\mathbb{G}_AT^{-1}$. Denote by $\mathbb{G}(A, B)$ the set of $T \in \text{Aut}^*(X, \mu)$ such that $T(A) \subseteq B$.

Lemma 3.4. *For all $A, B \subseteq X$, the set $\mathbb{G}(A, B)$ is τ -closed.*

Proof. By the equality (1), we have $\mathbb{G}(A, B) = \{T \in \text{Aut}^*(X, \mu) : T^{-1}\mathbb{G}_AT \subseteq \mathbb{G}_B\}$. So by the previous lemma $\mathbb{G}(A, B)$ is the set of all $T \in \text{Aut}^*(X, \mu)$ such that for all $U \in \mathbb{G}_A$, TUT^{-1} commutes with every element of $\mathbb{G}_{X \setminus B}$. This is clearly a τ -closed condition. \square

We now make a crucial remark which relies on the automatic continuity property for $\text{Aut}(X, \mu)$.

Lemma 3.5. *For $A \subseteq X$, let $\mathbb{H}_A = \{T \in \text{Aut}(X, \mu) : \text{supp } T \subseteq A\}$. Then \mathbb{H}_A is a τ -Borel subset of $\text{Aut}^*(X, \mu)$.*

Proof. By the automatic continuity property for $\text{Aut}(X, \mu)$, we know that $\text{Aut}(X, \mu)$ has to be a τ -Borel subset of $\text{Aut}^*(X, \mu)$. But $\mathbb{H}_A = \mathbb{G}_A \cap \text{Aut}(X, \mu)$ and by Lemma 3.3 we have that \mathbb{G}_A is closed, so \mathbb{H}_A is Borel. \square

Remark. We could replace $\text{Aut}(X, \mu)$ by the full group of any measure-preserving ergodic equivalence relation on (X, μ) in our argument. Indeed such a group also has the automatic continuity property by a result of Kittrell and Tsankov [KT10], and acts transitively on sets of equal measure.

Let $n, m, k \in \mathbb{N}$; we finally prove that the set

$$\mathbb{B}_{n,m,k} = \left\{ T \in \text{Aut}^*(X, \mu) : \mu(T(A_n) \setminus A_m) \leq \frac{1}{2^k} \right\}$$

is τ -Baire-measurable. We may assume that $\mu(A_m) < 1 - \frac{1}{2^k}$ because otherwise $\mathbb{B}_{n,m,k} = \text{Aut}^*(X, \mu)$. Let B a Borel set containing A_m such that $\mu(B) = \mu(A_m) + \frac{1}{2^k}$.

Claim. We have $\mathbb{B}_{n,m,k} = \mathbb{H}_{X \setminus A_m} \cdot \mathbb{G}(A_n, B)$

Proof of claim. Note that $\mathbb{H}_{X \setminus A_m}$ is a group, and that $\mathbb{B}_{n,m,k}$ is left $\mathbb{H}_{X \setminus A_m}$ -invariant. Moreover since $\mu(B \setminus A_m) = \frac{1}{2^k}$ we clearly have $\mathbb{G}(A_n, B) \subseteq \mathbb{B}_{n,m,k}$ so $\mathbb{H}_{X \setminus A_m} \cdot \mathbb{G}(A_n, B) \subseteq \mathbb{B}_{n,m,k}$.

For the reverse inclusion, take $T \in \mathbb{B}_{n,m,k}$. Since $\mu(T(A_n) \setminus A_m) \leq \frac{1}{2^k}$ and $\mu(B \setminus A_m) = \frac{1}{2^k}$ we may find $U \in \mathbb{H}_{X \setminus A_m}$ such that $U(T(A_n) \setminus A_m) \subseteq B \setminus A_m$. We conclude that $UT \in \mathbb{G}(A_n, B)$ so $T \in \mathbb{H}_{X \setminus A_m} \cdot \mathbb{G}(A_n, B)$. \square

By Lemma 3.4 the set $\mathbb{G}(A_n, B)$ is τ -closed, while by Lemma 3.5 the set $\mathbb{H}_{X \setminus A_m}$ is τ -Borel. Being the pointwise product of two Borel sets, the set $\mathbb{B}_{n,m,k}$ is analytic, hence Baire-measurable.

We can then conclude the proof in a standard manner: since the sets $\mathbb{B}_{n,m,k}$ generate the σ -algebra of the weak topology w on $\text{Aut}^*(X, \mu)$, the identity map $(\text{Aut}^*(X, \mu), \tau) \rightarrow (\text{Aut}^*(X, \mu), w)$ is continuous by Pettis' lemma [Gao09, Thm. 2.3.2]. Being injective, its inverse is Borel by the Lusin-Suslin theorem [Kec95, Thm. 15.1], and thus continuous as well by one last application of Pettis' lemma. \square

References

- [BY18] Itaï Ben Yaacov. On a Roelcke-precompact Polish group that cannot act transitively on a complete metric space. *Israel Journal of Mathematics*, 224(1):105–132, April 2018.
- [BYBM13] Itaï Ben Yaacov, Alexander Berenstein, and Julien Melleray. Polish topometric groups. *Transactions of the American Mathematical Society*, 365(7):3877–3897, 2013.
- [BYT16] Itaï Ben Yaacov and Todor Tsankov. Weakly almost periodic functions, model-theoretic stability, and minimality of topological groups. *Transactions of the American Mathematical Society*, 368(11):8267–8294, 2016.
- [DS11] Alexandre I. Danilenko and Cesar E. Silva. Ergodic Theory: Non-singular Transformations. In Robert A. Meyers, editor, *Mathematics of Complexity and Dynamical Systems*, pages 329–356. Springer, New York, NY, 2011.
- [Gao09] Su Gao. *Invariant Descriptive Set Theory*, volume 293 of *Pure and Applied Mathematics (Boca Raton)*. CRC Press, Boca Raton, FL, 2009.
- [Kal85] Robert R. Kallman. Uniqueness results for groups of measure preserving transformations. *Proceedings of the American Mathematical Society*, 95(1):87–90, 1985.
- [Kec95] Alexander S. Kechris. *Classical Descriptive Set Theory*, volume 156 of *Graduate Texts in Mathematics*. Springer-Verlag, New York, 1995.
- [Kec10] Alexander S. Kechris. *Global Aspects of Ergodic Group Actions*, volume 160 of *Mathematical Surveys and Monographs*. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2010.
- [KR07] Alexander S. Kechris and Christian Rosendal. Turbulence, amalgamation, and generic automorphisms of homogeneous structures. *Proceedings of the London Mathematical Society*, 94(2):302–350, March 2007.
- [KT10] John Kittrell and Todor Tsankov. Topological properties of full groups. *Ergodic Theory and Dynamical Systems*, 30(2):525–545, April 2010.
- [Mal16] Maciej Malicki. Consequences of the existence of ample generics and automorphism groups of homogeneous metric structures. *The Journal of Symbolic Logic*, 81(3):876–886, September 2016.
- [Sab19] Marcin Sabok. Automatic continuity for isometry groups. *Journal of the Institute of Mathematics of Jussieu*, 18(3):561–590, May 2019.